

# *Cheryl Watson's GoalTender™*

## **How to Manage WLM**

Cheryl Watson

Session 2539; SHARE 103 in New York City

August 16, 2004

Watson & Walker, Inc.

home of **Cheryl Watson's TUNING Letter**, **BoxScore** & **GoalTender**

This vendor session announces and describes our new WLM software monitoring product called *Cheryl Watson's GoalTender™*. The product is designed to let you analyze your WLM environment as we would do it manually. The session should still be valuable to any goal mode installation, even those sites not interested in purchasing software, because we describe the process of analysis.

We'll look at several common problems and describe our solutions.

# GoalTender Announcement and WLM Techniques



- WLM Status
- GoalTender Introduction
- Tracker Overview
  - Tracker Reports
- GoalTender Overview
  - Summary Report
  - Interval Report
  - CPU Report
  - Servers Report
  - Missed Goals Report
  - Service Definition Report

# WLM Status



- History and help are on WLM home page at:  
[www.ibm.com/zseries/zos/wlm](http://www.ibm.com/zseries/zos/wlm)
- Most sites are now running in goal mode
- But that leads to several questions:
  - How do you monitor WLM?
  - How often do you monitor WLM?
  - What are the key indicators?
  - How often do you need to modify policies?
  - What has caused missed goals?

# GoalTender Introduction



- Cheryl Watson's GoalTender™ is a new product to help you manage WLM goal mode
- Implements our own WLM analysis method
- It's a combination started task and batch job
  - **Tracker** – STC that runs continually on each system and collects data to record on a common file
  - **GoalTender** – batch job to analyze the data and produce a series of reports and recommendations
- Written in assembler, so only requirement is goal mode and OS/390 or later
- GA date was March 3, 2004

# GoalTender Introduction



- Tracker collects:
  - WLM policies and service definitions
  - Operator changes to service classes
  - SMF type 70, 72 data
- GoalTender runs daily or as needed to identify:
  - Missed goals
  - Operator activities
  - Summary of usage by service class
  - Detailed service class analysis
  - Recommendations and explanations

# GoalTender Introduction



- Unique – keeps a history of service definitions, including data not kept on SMF (classification rules, application environments, resource scheduling, descriptions)
- Uses industry *best practices* to evaluate your system
- Reduces your time in analyzing performance problems
- Helps train new personnel
- Provides comprehensive advice on how to improve your policy
- Produces easy to read reports and service policy

# Problem



- **Lack of history**
  - What happens when you are analyzing a problem period from last week, but the policy has changed?
  - What was defined in the policy?
  - Who knows what changed?
  - SMF only contains a portion of the information
- **Solution**
  - **Keep a history of policies**
  - **Tracker keeps a database of policies and changes to those policies**



## Tracker – This STC allows you to ...



- Keep historical database of COMPLETE service definitions & policies, including classification rules, descriptions, application environments, etc.
- Identify when service definitions are installed
- Identify when service policies are activated
- Identify when operators get "creative"
  - Operators should have some service classes for their own use, but using things like the CICS service class for TSO users could keep you from meeting your goals
- Identify missing SMF data
- Identify periods when Tracker is down

# Tracker Log – Part 1



17Feb2004 15:14  
V1R0

Cheryl Watson's Tracker Utility  
Database Log Report

Page 1

Date	Time	System	Typ	Msg	Activity
17Dec2003	08:48:59	SYS0	WLM	T003I	SD STANDARD(Standard Definition) Installed by IBMUSER.
	08:49:17	SYS0	WLM	T002I	Policy STANDARD(Standard Policy) Activated by IBMUSER. SD STANDARD(Standard Definition) Installed at 17Dec2003 08:48:59.
	09:04:43	SYS0	TRK	T001I	Tracker Started.
	09:05:47	SYS0	OPR	T029I	Job WANDWDOG(JOB00055) SC Changed from PRDBATHI to HOTBATCH by WANDW.
	09:07:32	SYS0	OPR	T030I	Job WANDWDG2(JOB00059) Quiesced from SC PRDBATMD by WANDW.
	09:08:01	SYS0	OPR	T031I	Job WANDWDG2(JOB00059) Resumed in SC PRDBATMD by WANDW.
	09:09:05	SYS0	OPR	T029I	Job WANDWDOG(JOB00062) SC Changed from PRDBATHI to HOTBATCH by WANDW.
	09:54:05	SYS0	SMF	T023I	SMF Data Collected from 17Dec2003:09:00:00 to 17Dec2003:09:59:59.
	09:54:05	SYS1	SMF	T023I	SMF Data Collected from 17Dec2003:09:00:00 to 17Dec2003:09:59:59.
	09:54:05	SYS2	SMF	T023I	SMF Data Collected from 17Dec2003:08:30:00 to 17Dec2003:08:59:59.
	09:55:19	SYS0	OPR	T029I	Job WANDWDOG(JOB00087) SC Changed from PRDBATHI to HOTBATCH by WANDW.
	09:55:45	SYS0	OPR	T030I	Job WANDWDG2(JOB00059) Quiesced from SC PRDBATMD by WANDW.
	09:56:06	SYS0	OPR	T031I	Job WANDWDG2(JOB00059) Resumed in SC PRDBATMD by WANDW.
	15:33:33	SYS0	SMF	T023I	SMF Data Collected from 17Dec2003:10:00:00 to 17Dec2003:15:44:59.
	18:58:01	SYS0	SMF	T023I	SMF Data Collected from 17Dec2003:15:45:00 to 17Dec2003:18:44:59.
	18:58:01	SYS0	TRK	T033I	Tracker Stopped.
18Dec2003	09:01:29	SYS0	TRK	T001I	Tracker Started.
	10:10:27	SYS0	SMF	T023I	SMF Data Collected from 18Dec2003:10:00:00 to 18Dec2003:10:15:00.
	15:36:13	SYS0	OPR	T029I	Job WANDW(TSU00048) SC Changed from TSOPRD to HOTBATCH by *BYPASS*.
	18:25:37	SYS0	SMF	T023I	SMF Data Collected from 18Dec2003:10:15:00 to 18Dec2003:18:15:00.
	18:25:37	SYS0	TRK	T033I	Tracker Stopped.
19Dec2003	08:36:02	SYS0	TRK	T001I	Tracker Started.
	08:37:36	SYS0	OPR	T029I	Job WANDW(TSU00049) SC Changed from TSOPRD to HOTBATCH by *BYPASS*.
	09:00:03	SYS0	TRK	T033I	Tracker Stopped.

# Tracker Log – Part 2



Tracker Database: WANDW.PLEX3.DATABASE

Report and Filtering Options:

Log (ALL)

00278404

SMF Contents:	System:SYS0	Earliest:17Dec2003:09:00	Latest:18Dec2003:18:15	SMF-70: ...72	SMF-72: ..3,456
	System:SYS1	Earliest:17Dec2003:09:00	Latest:17Dec2003:09:59	SMF-70: ....4	SMF-72: ....192
	System:SYS2	Earliest:17Dec2003:08:30	Latest:17Dec2003:08:59	SMF-70: ....2	SMF-72: .....59

Record Counts:	Policy	.....1	SD	.....1	Tracker	....14
	New SC	.....5	Quiesce	.....2	Resume	.....2
	Compat	.....0	Goal	.....0		

# Tracker Log – Selective



- Selection parameter example:  
SYSTEM(SYS0)  
DATE(17Dec2003-19Dec2003)  
LOG(WLM,OPR)

17Feb2004 15:14 Cheryl Watson's Tracker Utility Page 1  
V1R0 Database Log Report

Date	Time	System	Typ	Msg	Activity
17Dec2003	08:48:59	SYS0	WLM	T003I	SD STANDARD(Standard Definition) Installed by IBMUSER.
	08:49:17	SYS0	WLM	T002I	Policy STANDARD(Standard Policy) Activated by IBMUSER. SD STANDARD(Standard Definition) Installed at 17Dec2003 08:48:59.
	09:05:47	SYS0	OPR	T029I	Job WANDWDOG(JOB00055) SC Changed from PRDBATHI to HOTBATCH by WANDW.
	09:07:32	SYS0	OPR	T030I	Job WANDWDG2(JOB00059) Quiesced from SC PRDBATMD by WANDW.
	09:08:01	SYS0	OPR	T031I	Job WANDWDG2(JOB00059) Resumed in SC PRDBATMD by WANDW.
	09:09:05	SYS0	OPR	T029I	Job WANDWDOG(JOB00062) SC Changed from PRDBATHI to HOTBATCH by WANDW.
	09:55:19	SYS0	OPR	T029I	Job WANDWDOG(JOB00087) SC Changed from PRDBATHI to HOTBATCH by WANDW.
	09:55:45	SYS0	OPR	T030I	Job WANDWDG2(JOB00059) Quiesced from SC PRDBATMD by WANDW.
	09:56:06	SYS0	OPR	T031I	Job WANDWDG2(JOB00059) Resumed in SC PRDBATMD by WANDW.
18Dec2003	15:36:13	SYS0	OPR	T029I	Job WANDW(TSU00048) SC Changed from TSOPRD to HOTBATCH by *BYPASS*.
	08:49:17	SYS0	WLM	T002I	Policy NIGHT(Night Policy) Activated by IBMUSER. SD STANDARD(Standard Definition) Installed at 17Dec2003 08:48:59.
19Dec2003	08:37:36	SYS0	OPR	T029I	Job WANDW(TSU00049) SC Changed from TSOPRD to HOTBATCH by *BYPASS*.

# Problem



- **Too much data**
  - Reams of reports to review
  - Which are peak activity periods?
  - Which are worst periods for missing goals?
  - Did anything change during or right before those periods?
- **Solution**
  - **Automatically pick peak periods and worst periods**

# GoalTender Summary Report



- Produced each time GoalTender is run
- GoalTender usually runs once a day, so summary shows you the results of one day
- Key elements:
  - Top three peak intervals based on service units
  - Top three worst intervals based on a unique weighted score
  - SMF data that is present on Tracker database
  - Number of recommendations and missed goals during the period

# GoalTender Summary Report



Policy: STANDARD                      Activation Date: 17Dec2003:08:49:17  
Description: Standard Policy  
Service Definition: STANDARD   Install Date: 17Dec2003:08:48:59  
Description: Standard Definition  
Analysis period for this policy: 17Dec2003:09:00:00 - 17Dec2003:10:45:00

<u>Peak Intervals:</u>	<u>Interval Start</u>	<u>Raw SUs (K)</u>	<u>Policy Name</u>	<u>Install Date</u>
	17Dec2003:09:45:00	<u>2144</u>	STANDARD	17Dec2003:08:49:17
	17Dec2003:09:30:00	<u>2076</u>	STANDARD	17Dec2003:08:49:17
	17Dec2003:09:15:00	<u>1972</u>	STANDARD	17Dec2003:08:49:17

<u>Worst intervals:</u>	<u>Interval Start</u>	<u>Score/#Miss</u>	<u>Policy Name</u>	<u>Install Date</u>
	17Dec2003:09:45:00	<u>24</u> 12	STANDARD	17Dec2003:08:49:17
	17Dec2003:09:00:00	<u>19</u> 11	STANDARD	17Dec2003:08:49:17
	17Dec2003:09:30:00	<u>18</u> 10	STANDARD	17Dec2003:08:49:17

Tracker Database: WANDW.PLEX3.DATABASE   Sysplex Name: ADCDPL

## SMF Contents:

System: SYS0	Earliest: 17Dec2003:09:00:00	Latest: 17Dec2003:10:45:00
	OS Level: z/OS 01.04	Cycle: 1.000 seconds
	SMF-70: .....8	SMF-72: ...384
System: SYS1	Earliest: 17Dec2003:09:00:00	Latest: 17Dec2003:09:45:00
	OS Level: z/OS 01.04	Cycle: 1.000 seconds
	SMF-70: .....4	SMF-72: ...192

Number of SMF records processed: 588

Number of times when PIs were missed: 55, Percent of Total: 88%

Number of warnings based on SMF data: 75

Number of service definition advice recommendations given: 6

# Problem



- **How do you start analyzing WLM data?**
- **Solution**
  - **Find peak and/or worst interval**
  - **Analyze the sysplex like WLM manages it!**
  - **First analyze WLM by sysplex**
    - **This is WLM's view of the workload**
    - **A PI of 1.0 at the sysplex level doesn't necessarily mean that all systems are meeting the goal**
  - **Then analyze WLM for each system**
    - **This is what's really going on**



# GoalTender Interval Report



- Provides a snapshot in time
- Can select peak interval, worst interval or specific intervals
  - Worst interval is based on a unique score given to each interval based on missed goals
- One-page view of sysplex
  - By service class name, PI or volume of activity
  - PCT is volume based on service units
  - Distribution of workload across systems
  - Distribution of total resources across systems

# GoalTender Interval Report



- We identify:
  - Missed goals during the interval
  - Missed system goals, even when the sysplex goal is not missed
  - Too much work running above a service class that is missing its goal
  - No/too little /too much work living in discretionary or system categories
  - Distribution of resources as you planned?

# GoalTender Interval Report – Page 1



17Feb2004 15:14  
V1R0

Cheryl Watson's GoalTender

Page 15

Interval Report - Analysis by Worst Interval

Sample Reports for SHARE Presentation

Service Definition: STANDARD Install Date: 17Dec2003:08:48:59 Description: Standard Definition

Policy: STANDARD Install Date: 17Dec2003:08:49:17 Description: Standard Policy

Worst Interval: 17Dec2003:09:45:00

SUs Used=2144K, Duration=14:59, Defined Periods=23, Sort=IMP

Missed Goals=12, Missed Score=24

```

-----
Service Per Imp   Sysplex   SYS0   SYS1
Class
      PI   Pct   PI   Pct   PI   Pct   PI   Pct   PI   Pct
-----
SYSSTC  1  (0)  0.0  3.4  0.0  1.7  0.0  1.6
SYSTEM  1  (0)  0.0  6.0  0.0  3.1  0.0  2.8
HOTBATCH 1  3    1.2  2.8  1.2  2.8  0.0  0.0
PRDBATHI 1  4   17.5 57.9 23.8 28.4  8.6 29.4
PRDBATMD 1  4    5.5 24.8 11.8  5.4  3.1 19.3
TSOPRD  1  4    2.0  1.0  ****  0.9  0.5  0.1
TSOPRD  2  5    7.2  3.2  7.2  3.2  0.0  0.0
STCLO   1  (6)  0.0  0.5  0.0  0.2  0.0  0.3

*TOTALS*           100.0           46.1           53.8
    
```

# Problem



- **High importance work uses a lot of CPU**
  - It then exceeds its goal and uses cycles that could be used by discretionary or lower importance work (e.g. CICS response time is .3 seconds instead of goal of 1 second). This occurs mainly on uni- or dyadic machines.
  - Two results:
    - Discretionary work doesn't get the excess cycles and languishes a long time
    - Users get used to rapid response and complain when response time drops to the goal you all agreed upon
- **Solution**
  - **Identify whenever PI goes below some limit (e.g. .5)**

# GoalTender Interval Report – Page 2



17Feb2004 15:14  
V1R0

Cheryl Watson's GoalTender  
Interval Report - Analysis by Worst Interval  
Sample Reports for SHARE Presentation

Page 16

-----  
Messages and advice for Worst Interval: 17Dec2003:09:45:00

- G200E - HOTBATCH, Period=1, Imp=3, missed its goal sysplex wide,  
PI=1.2, PCT=2.8%, Goal=50% Vel, Actual=41% Vel,  
Delay=CPU
- G200E - PRDBATHI, Period=1, Imp=4, missed its goal sysplex wide,  
PI=17.5, PCT=57.9%, Goal=15 sc Avg, Actual=263 sc Avg,  
Delay=Idle
- G200E - PRDBATMD, Period=1, Imp=4, missed its goal sysplex wide,  
PI=5.5, PCT=24.8%, Goal=80% Vel, Actual=15% Vel,  
Delay=Swapping
- G200E - TSOPRD, Period=1, Imp=4, missed its goal sysplex wide,  
PI=2.0, PCT=1.0%, Goal=80% in 200 ms, Actual=78% in 200 ms,  
Delay=Idle
- G200E - TSOPRD, Period=2, Imp=5, missed its goal sysplex wide,  
PI=7.2, PCT=3.2%, Goal=80% Vel, Actual=11% Vel,  
Delay=CPU
- G202E - HOTBATCH, Period=1, Imp=3, missed its system (SYS0) goal,  
PI=1.2, PCT=2.8%, Goal=50% Vel, Actual=41% Vel,  
Delay=CPU
- G202E - PRDBATHI, Period=1, Imp=4, missed its system (SYS0) goal,  
PI=23.8, PCT=28.4%, Goal=15 sc Avg, Actual=357 sc Avg,  
Delay=Idle

# GoalTender Interval Report – Page 3



17Feb2004 15:14

Cheryl Watson's GoalTender

Page 16

V1R0

Interval Report - Analysis by Worst Interval

Sample Reports for SHARE Presentation

-----  
Messages and advice for Worst Interval: 17Dec2003:09:45:00

G202E - PRDBATMD, Period=1, Imp=4, missed its system (SYS0) goal,  
PI=11.8, PCT=5.4%, Goal=80% Vel, Actual=7% Vel,  
Delay=Swapping

. . . .

G207W - Job WANDWDOG(JOB00087) service class changed from PRDBATHI  
to HOTBATCH on system SYS0 by operator/user WANDW at 09:55:19.

G208W - Job WANDWDG2(JOB00059) was quiesced in service class PRDBATMD  
on system SYS0 by operator/user WANDW at 09:55:45.

G209W - Job WANDWDG2(JOB00059) was resumed in service class PRDBATMD  
on system SYS0 by operator/user WANDW at 09:56:06.

G214W - PRDBATHI, Period=1, Imp=4, averaged less than one ended  
transaction every two minutes, which may have caused the  
system (SYS1) goal to be missed.

G203I - TSOPRD, Period=1, Imp=4, exceeded its system (SYS1) goal,  
PI=0.5, PCT=0.1

# Interval Report – Messages



**G201I** - *servclass, Period=per, Imp=import, exceeded its goal sysplex wide,  
PI=pivalue, PCT=percent*

**Condition** - A service class period had a PI less than .5 at a sysplex level during the peak intervals (as defined by your PEAK parameter). The .5 default can be changed with the OVERRIDE parameter.

**Description** - This message only occurs for multi-system sysplexes and indicates that a service class period has greatly exceeded its sysplex-wide goal during a peak interval. It's important for you to set your a value for the PEAK interval because you will typically exceed your goals during non-peak times. There are two major problems with exceeding your goals during your peak intervals:

The users will come to expect this level of service at all times, and your agreed upon service will have no meaning. Once users have experienced a certain level of service, it's impossible to reduce that level without complaints. This becomes the new level of service.

When you exceed your goals for one service class, it's possible that work at lower importance levels may miss their goals. Because of this, you should not set higher goals than you need for any level of importance.

**Recommendation** - Review any of these messages for to see if you've set your velocity goals too low or response goals too high for peak period. If so, you should either increase the velocity or decrease the response time. Please review message G200E (Recommendation) because it gives a list of times (e.g. there are too few transactions to determine an adequate goal) when goals are not reasonable.

# GoalTender Interval Report



- Shows you:
  - Major reasons for missed goals
  - Whether there are enough transactions to meet a goal
  - Whether some service classes are exceeding goals while lower importance ones are missing theirs
  - Whether operators moved things into or out of service classes and caused missed goals



# Problem



- **Too few transactions**
  - Recommendation is that you have at least ten ended transactions in a twenty minute period before using an average or percentile response goal - else use velocity goal or discretionary goal
- **Solution**
  - **Identify whether this happens when a goal is missed**

# Problem



- **Who is using the CPU?**
- **Solution - Analysis by Importance**
  - by System
  - by SYSSTC
  - by discretionary
  - by work running at higher importance
  - by each system in each importance

# GoalTender Interval Report – CPU



17Feb2004 15:14  
V1R0

Cheryl Watson's GoalTender  
Interval Report - Analysis by Worst Interval  
Sample Reports for SHARE Presentation

Page 18

-----  
Worst Interval: 17Dec2003:09:45:00

CPU Usage by Importance Level:

Importance	Sysplex	SYS0	SYS1
System	9.4%	4.9%	4.5%
1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
3	2.8%	2.8%	0.0%
4	83.8%	34.8%	49.0%
5	3.2%	3.2%	0.0%
Discretionary	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%

# Problem



- **Server Configuration**
  - Servers and served not assigned correctly
  - Causes goals to appear to be missed or met, when the actual situation is reversed
- **Solution**
  - **Understand all of the server connections and volumes**

# GoalTender Interval Report - Servers



View of Servers

Sorted by System/Server Class:

System	Server	Served	Count
-----	-----	-----	-----
SYSA	SERVERS	TRANHI	121,931
SYSA	SERVERS	TRANLO	186,674
SYSA	STCMD	TRANHI	44
SYSA	STCMD	TRANLO	102
*Total*			308,751

Sorted by System/Served Class:

System	Served	Server	Count
-----	-----	-----	-----
SYSA	TRANHI	SERVERS	121,931
SYSA	TRANHI	STCMD	44
SYSA	TRANLO	SERVERS	186,674
SYSA	TRANLO	STCMD	102
*Total*			308,751

# Problem



- **What happened during the rest of the day?**
- **Solution**
  - **Use exception reporting**
  - **With GoalTender, we pick the exceptions, but user can modify them**
  - **Review this daily**

# Missed Goals Report – By Time



17Feb2004 15:14  
V1R0

Cheryl Watson's GoalTender

Page 1

Missed Goals Report - Analysis by Time  
Sample Reports for SHARE Presentation

Service Definition: STANDARD Install Date: 17Dec2003:08:48:59 Description: Standard Definition

Policy: STANDARD Install Date: 17Dec2003:08:49:17 Description: Standard Policy

Date: 17Dec2003

10:45:00 (SUs Used=1228K, Duration=15:00, Missed=3, Score=5)

G202E - PRDBATHI, Period=1, Imp=4, missed its system (SYS0) goal, PI=7.4, PCT=56.1%, Goal=15 sc Avg, Actual=112 sc Avg, Delay=Idle

G202E - PRDBATMD, Period=1, Imp=4, missed its system (SYS0) goal, PI=3.3, PCT=28.9%, Goal=80% Vel, Actual=24% Vel, Delay=CPU

G202E - TSOPRD, Period=2, Imp=5, missed its system (SYS0) goal, PI=18.7, PCT=3.3%, Goal=80% Vel, Actual=4% Vel, Delay=CPU

G214W - PRDBATHI, Period=1, Imp=4, averaged less than one ended transaction every two minutes, which may have caused the system (SYS0) goal to be missed.

10:30:00 (SUs Used=1210K, Duration=14:59, Missed=3, Score=5)

G202E - PRDBATHI, Period=1, Imp=4, missed its system (SYS0) goal, PI=8.0, PCT=54.1%, Goal=15 sc Avg, Actual=119 sc Avg, Delay=Idle

# Missed Goals Report – By Importance



17Feb2004 15:14  
V1R0

Cheryl Watson's GoalTender

Page 6

Missed Goals Report - Analysis by Importance  
Sample Reports for SHARE Presentation

Service Definition: STANDARD Install Date: 17Dec2003:08:48:59 Description: Standard Definition

Policy: STANDARD Install Date: 17Dec2003:08:49:17 Description: Standard Policy

G200E - Imp=3 Missed Goals Sysplex Wide

HOTBATCH 17Dec2003:09:45:00 Period=1, PI=1.2, PCT=2.8%,  
Goal=50% Vel, Actual=41% Vel, Delay=CPU

Number missed in this group: 1 (1.8% of total)

G200E - Imp=4 Missed Goals Sysplex Wide

TSOPRD 17Dec2003:09:30:00 Period=1, PI=\*\*\*\*, PCT=1.0%,  
Goal=80% in 200 ms, Actual=56% in 200 ms, Delay=Idle

TSOPRD 17Dec2003:09:15:00 Period=1, PI=\*\*\*\*, PCT=1.0%,  
Goal=80% in 200 ms, Actual=62% in 200 ms, Delay=Idle

PRDBATHI 17Dec2003:09:45:00 Period=1, PI=17.5, PCT=57.9%,  
Goal=15 sc Avg, Actual=263 sc Avg, Delay=Idle

PRDBATHI 17Dec2003:09:30:00 Period=1, PI=8.9, PCT=62.6%,  
Goal=15 sc Avg, Actual=133 sc Avg, Delay=Idle



# Problem



- **Service Definition Management**
  - Sysprogs don't know about any service definition but the active definition
  - Service Definition report is too bulky
- **Solution**
  - **Keep complete service definitions on database and be able to print them as needed**
  - **Have slimmer print format**

# Problem



- **Education**
  - New people in systems programming
  - Not everyone gets to go to SHARE and classes
  - Current staff may not know what to look for
  - Training budget has been cut
  
- **Solution**
  - **Let us do the analysis and provide the recommendations**

# Service Definition Report



- Concise listing of entire service definition
- Recommendations made using 'best practices', including the reasons for the recommendations
- Service classes sorted by both importance and name
- Additional sections not shown here – application environments, scheduling environments, scheduling resources
- Can be produced for historical policies (yesterday, last month)

# Service Definition Report – 1



17Feb2004 15:14  
V1R0

Cheryl Watson's GoalTender  
Service Definition Report with Advice  
Sample Reports for SHARE Presentation

Page 20

Service Definition: STANDARD Install Date: 17Dec2003:08:48:59  
Description: Standard Definition

6 workloads  
21 service classes  
23 periods; 18 service class periods with response or velocity goals  
1 resource groups  
1 service policies  
13 classification groups  
17 subsystem types  
27 report classes  
0 application environments  
0 scheduling environments  
0 scheduling resources

CPU = 1.0  
IOC = 0.1  
MSO = 0.0  
SRB = 1.0  
I/O Management is YES  
Dynamic Alias Management is NO

Notepad:

This Quickstart policy was created by Cheryl Watson of  
Watson & Walker. This updated version was created in  
April of 2003. A description of this policy can be  
found at <<http://www.watsonwalker.com>>. 00000100  
00000200  
00000201  
00000210

2539

36

# Service Definition Report - 2



## Service Policies:

Base: STANDARD - Standard Policy

\*\*\*\*\*

## Workloads:

Workload Service Classes  
Workload Description

NEWWORK NEWWORK, NEWWORKV  
New Workloads

ONLINE ONLPRD, TRANHI, TRANLO, ONLTST, SERVERS  
Online Workloads

PRDBAT HOTBATCH, PRDBATHI, PRDBATMD, PRDBATLO  
Production Batch

STC STCLO, STCMD, KILLIT  
Started Tasks

SYSTEM SYSTEM, SYSSTC, SYSOTHER  
(WLM Internal)

TSO TSOPRD  
TSO Users

TSTBAT TSTBATHI, TSTBATLO, TSTBATMD  
Test Batch

\*\*\*\*\*

## Resource Groups:

Group	Limits	Resource Description
KILLIT	Min=None, Max=1	Logical Swapout for Non-Swap
	Used by service classes: KILLIT	

# Service Definition Report - 3



## Service Classes (in Name Sequence):

Service Class	Per	Imp	Dur	Goal	CPU Crit	Workload	Svc Class Description
HOTBATCH	1	3		Velocity=50		PRDBAT	Hot Batch
KILLIT	1	(6)		Discretionary		STC	Logical swapout for non-swap
In KILLIT Resource Group, Min=None, Max=1							
NEWWORK	1	3	500	80% within 500 ms		NEWWORK	New Work on System
<b>*1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>		<b>Velocity=20</b>		<b>NEWWORK</b>	<b><u>New Work on System</u></b>
*1 NEWWORKV	1	3		Velocity=20		NEWWORK	New Work on System (Velocity)
ONLPRD	1	1		Velocity=50		ONLINE	Online Production Regions
*1 ONLTST	1	5		Velocity=10		ONLINE	Online Test Regions
*2 PRDBATHI	1	4		Avg 15 sec		PRDBAT	Production Batch High
PRDBATLO	1	(6)		Discretionary		PRDBAT	Production Batch Low
*3 PRDBATMD	1	4		Velocity=80		PRDBAT	Production Batch Medium
*4 SERVERS	1	1		Velocity=70		ONLINE	Server Address Spaces
STCLO	1	(6)		Discretionary		STC	STC Low
STCMD	1	3		Velocity=40		STC	STC Medium
SYSOTHER	1	(6)		Discretionary		SYSTEM	(WLM Internal)
SYSSTC	1	(0)		Second highest DP		SYSTEM	(WLM Internal)
SYSTEM	1	(0)		Highest DP		SYSTEM	(WLM Internal)
TRANHI	1	1		80% within 500 ms		ONLINE	Online Transactions High
*5 TRANLO	1	3		50% within 10 min		ONLINE	Online Transactions Low
TSOPRD	1	4	500	80% within 200 ms		TSO	TSO Production
	2	5		Velocity=80		TSO	TSO Production
TSTBATHI	1	3		90% within 10 min		TSTBAT	Test Batch High
TSTBATLO	1	(6)		Discretionary		TSTBAT	Test Batch Low
TSTBATMD	1	4		80% within 30 min		TSTBAT	Test Batch Medium

# Service Definition Report - 4



## Service Classes (in Importance Sequence):

Service Class	Per	Imp	Dur	Goal	CPU Crit	Workload	Svc Class Description
SYSTEM	1	(0)		Highest DP	SYSTEM	(WLM Internal)	
SYSSTC	1	(0)		Second highest DP	SYSTEM	(WLM Internal)	
ONLPRD	1	1		Velocity=50	ONLINE	Online Production Regions	
SERVERS	1	1		Velocity=70	ONLINE	Server Address Spaces	
TRANHI	1	1		80% within 500 ms	ONLINE	Online Transactions High	
HOTBATCH	1	3		Velocity=50	PRDBAT	Hot Batch	
NEWWORK	1	3	500	80% within 500 ms	NEWWORK	New Work on System	
NEWWORKV	1	3		Velocity=20	NEWWORK	New Work on System (Velocity)	
STCMD	1	3		Velocity=40	STC	STC Medium	
TRANLO	1	3		50% within 10 min	ONLINE	Online Transactions Low	
TSTBATHI	1	3		90% within 10 min	TSTBAT	Test Batch High	
NEWWORK	2	4		Velocity=20	NEWWORK	New Work on System	
PRDBATHI	1	4		Avg 15 sec	PRDBAT	Production Batch High	
PRDBATMD	1	4		Velocity=80	PRDBAT	Production Batch Medium	
TSOPRD	1	4	500	80% within 200 ms	TSO	TSO Production	
TSTBATMD	1	4		80% within 30 min	TSTBAT	Test Batch Medium	
ONLTST	1	5		Velocity=10	ONLINE	Online Test Regions	
TSOPRD	2	5		Velocity=80	TSO	TSO Production	
KILLIT	1	(6)		Discretionary	STC	Logical swapout for non-swap	
In KILLIT Resource Group, Min=None, Max=1							
PRDBATLO	1	(6)		Discretionary	PRDBAT	Production Batch Low	
STCLO	1	(6)		Discretionary	STC	STC Low	
SYSOTHER	1	(6)		Discretionary	SYSTEM	(WLM Internal)	
TSTBATLO	1	(6)		Discretionary	TSTBAT	Test Batch Low	

# Service Definition Report - 5



## Classification Groups:

Group Name	Type	Description
		Contents and Description
MONITORS	TNG	Monitor Products RMF* RMF OMON* Omegamon NETV* NetView TMON* TMON Products CMF* CMF CA* CA Monitors SDSF SDSF
ONLPRD	TNG	Online Production Regions DSN* DB2 Production Regions ADABAS* ADABAS IDMS* IDMS ORA* (If not using OSDI subsystem) *DIST (If not using DDF subsystem) MQ* (If not using MQ subsystem)
ONLTST	TNG	Online Test Regions CICST* CICS Testing IMST* IMS Testing DB2T* DB2 Testing



# Service Definition Report - 6



PRDBATHI	TCG	Production Batch High
		A (Replace these with the job
		B classes assigned to your high
		C priority production jobs)
PRDBATLO	TCG	Production Batch Low
		G (Replace these with the job
		H classes assigned to your low
		I priority production jobs)
PRDBATMD	TCG	Production Batch Medium
		D (Replace these with the job
		E classes assigned to your medium
		F priority production jobs)
SERVERS	TNG	Server Address Spaces
		CICS4* CICS
		CICSTS* CICS
		IMS5* IMS
		IMS6* IMS
		IMS7* IMS
		*DIST (If using DDF subsystem)
		ORA* (If using OSDI subsystem)
		MQ* (If using MQ subsystem)

# Service Definition Report - 7



STCHI	TNG	High Priority STCs
		ASCH ASCH
		APPC APPC/MVS
		AOPS* Automated Operations Products
		DLF DLF
		IRLM IRLM
		JES* JES2 / JES3
		LLA LLA
		MIM MIM
		NPM NPM
		OMVS OMVS Kernel
		PCAUTH PCAUTH Address Space
		RACF (Or ACF2 or Top Secret)
		SMS DFSMS
		SYSBMAS SYSBMAS
		TRACE System Trace
		TSO TCAS
		VLF VLF
		VTAM VTAM
		NFS* NFS
		CB* WebSphere
		JES2AUX JES2AUX
		ANTMAIN ANTMAIN
		ANTAS000 ANTAS000

# Service Definition Report – 8



STCMD	TNG	Medium Priority STCs
		SCHED* Your Scheduler Program
		SPOOL* Your Spooler Programs
		PRINT* Your Spooler Programs
		OPS_JOBS Your Important Operations Work
		OMVSD* OMVS Daemons
TRANCIC	TNG	Important CICS Transactions
		IMPCICA (Replace these with the
		IMPCICB names of your important
		IMPCICC CICS transactions)
TRANIMS	TCG	Important IMS Transactions
		ITRANA (Replace these with the
		ITRANB transaction names of your
		ITRANC important IMS transactions)
TSTBATHI	TCG	Test Batch High
		R (Replace these with the job
		S classes assigned to your high
		T priority test jobs)
TSTBATMD	TCG	Test Batch Medium
		U (Replace these with the job
		V classes assigned to your medium
		W priority test jobs)

# Service Definition Report – 9a



## Subsystem Classification Rules:

Subsys	Level/Rule	Start	Service Class	Report Class	Reg/ Tran	Stor Crit	Subsystem Description Rule Description
ASCH	Default		NEWWORK	RASCH			APPC/MVS Users
CB	Default		NEWWORK	RCB			WebSphere Transactions
CICS	Default		TRANLO	RCICS			CICS Workload
	1 SI CICS*		TRANHI	RCICS	TRAN		CICS Region Name
	1 TNG TRANCIC		TRANHI	RCICS	TRAN		CICS Transaction Name
DB2	Default		NEWWORK	RDB2SQ			DB2 Sysplex Queries
DDF	Default		NEWWORK	RDDF			DB2 Distributed Transactions
IMS	Default		TRANLO	RIMS			IMS Workload
	1 SI IMSP*		TRANHI	RIMS	TRAN		IMS Subsystem Name
	1 TCG TRANIMS		TRANHI	RIMS	TRAN		IMS Transaction Classes
IWEB	Default		NEWWORK	RIWEB			Web Server Transactions
JES	Default		TSTBATLO	RTBATLO			Batch Jobs
	1 TCG PRDBATHI		PRDBATHI	RPBATHI	TRAN		High Prod Job Classes
	1 TCG PRDBATMD		PRDBATMD	RPBATMD	TRAN		Medium Prod Job Classes
	1 TCG PRDBATLO		PRDBATLO	RPBATLO	TRAN		Low Production Job Classes
	1 TCG TSTBATHI		TSTBATHI	RTBATHI	TRAN		High Test Job Classes
	1 TCG TSTBATMD		TSTBATMD	RTBATMD	TRAN		Medium Test Job Classes

# Service Definition Report – 9b



## Subsystem Classification Rules:

Subsys	Level/Rule	Start	Service Class	Report Class	Reg/ Tran	Stor Crit	Subsystem Rule Description
LSFM	Default		NEWWORKV	RLSFM			Lan Server for MVS
MQ	Default		NEWWORKV	RMQ			MQSeries
NETV	Default		NEWWORKV	RNETV			NetView
OMVS	Default		NEWWORK	ROMVS			OpenEdition MVS/UNIX
OSDI	Default		NEWWORK	ROSDI			Oracle
SAP	Default		NEWWORK	RSAP			SAP R/3
SOM	Default		NEWWORKV	RSOM			System Object Model
STC	Default		STCLO	RSTC			Started Tasks
	1 TN	GRS	SYSTEM	RGRS	TRAN		GRS Address Space
	1 TN	CATALOG	SYSTEM	RCATALOG	TRAN		Catalog Address Space
	1 SPM	SYSSTC	SYSSTC	RSTC	TRAN		System Tasks
	1 SPM	SYSTEM	SYSTEM	RSTC	TRAN		System Tasks
	1 TNG	STCHI	SYSSTC	RSTC			High Priority STCs
	1 TNG	STCMD	STCMD	RSTC			Medium Priority STCs
	1 TNG	SERVERS	SERVERS	RONLINE	TRAN		Server Address Spaces
	1 TNG	MONITORS	SYSSTC	RMONITOR			Monitor Products
	1 TNG	ONLPRD	ONLPRD	RONLINE			Online Production Regions
	1 TNG	ONLTST	ONLTST	RONLINE			Online Test Regions
TSO	Default		TSOPRD	RTSO			TSO Users

# Service Definition Report – 10



Cross Reference:

Service Class	Subsys	Report Class	Report Class Description
NEWWORK	ASCH	RASCH	APPC/MVS Users
	CB	RCB	WebSphere Transactions
	DB2	RDB2SQ	DB2 Sysplex Queries
	DDF	RDDF	DB2 Distributed Transactions
	IWEB	RIWEB	Web Server Transactions
	OMVS	ROMVS	OpenEdition MVS/UNIX
	OSDI	ROSDI	Oracle
	SAP	RSAP	SAP R/3
NEWWORKV	LSFM	RLSFM	Lan Server for MVS
	MQ	RMQ	MQSeries
	NETV	RNETV	NetView
	SOM	RSOM	System Object Model
*6	ONLPRD	STC	RONLINE Online Systems
*6	ONLTST	STC	RONLINE Online Systems
	PRDBATHI	JES	RPBATHI Batch Production High
	PRDBATLO	JES	RPBATLO Batch Production Low
	PRDBATMD	JES	RPBATMD Batch Production Medium
*6	SERVERS	STC	RONLINE Online Systems
*6	STCLO	STC	RSTC Started Tasks
*6	STCMD	STC	RSTC Started Tasks
	SYSSTC	STC	RMONITOR Monitor Products
*6		STC	RSTC Started Tasks
	SYSTEM	STC	RCATALOG Catalog Address Space
		STC	RGRS GRS Address Space
*6		STC	RSTC Started Tasks
*6	TRANHI	CICS	RCICS CICS Transactions
*6		IMS	RIMS IMS Transactions
*6	TRANLO	CICS	RCICS CICS Transactions
*6		IMS	RIMS IMS Transactions
	TSOPRD	TSO	RTSO TSO Users
	TSTBATHI	JES	RTBATHI Batch Test High
	TSTBATLO	JES	RTBATLO Batch Test Low
	TSTBATMD	JES	RTBATMD Batch Test Medium

# Service Definition Report – 11



Service Definition Advice:

(None)

Service Class Advice (Base):

- \*1 G113I - If work in this period exceeds its goal, discretionary work could run above it.
- \*2 G106W - Percentile response time goals are much more effective than average response time goals.
- \*3 G111I - The specified batch velocity goal seems to be unreasonably large.
- \*4 G136I - The specified STC velocity goal seems to be unreasonably high.
- \*5 G108I - The response time goal specified for IMS work seems to be unreasonably large.

Service Class Advice (Override):

(None)

Subsystem Classification Rules Advice:

(None)

Report Class Advice:

- \*6 G116E - Multiple service classes are assigned to the same report class, which may limit your ability to analyze goals.

Summary of Advice Recommendations:

4 Informational Messages Issued  
1 Warning Messages Issued  
1 Critical Messages Issued

# Service Definition Report - Advice



**G106W** - Percentile response time goals are much more effective than average response time goals.

**Condition** - An average response time goal was specified for a service class period.

**Description** - When you specify an average response time, WLM will attempt to assign resources in order to achieve the average response or turnaround time you've defined. The problem with an average response time is that a single long transaction or job can ruin the average. As an example, a test batch job that should get 10-minute turnaround could result in a turnaround of many hours if it was submitted to a class without an initiator. Having 20 jobs with 5-minute turnaround and one job with a 6-hour turnaround results in an average response time of 22 minutes, when over 95% of the jobs took less than 5 minutes.

When using average response time goals, it's quite possible for a few long transactions to skew the results. WLM may then go to extra work trying to compensate for the long work and actually give preferred service to other work in the same service class period while lower importance work misses its goals. Because of this, percentile response times provide more consistent management.

There is one major exception. You can allow CICSplexSM to manage CICS transactions. CICSplexSM can run in either goal mode or compat mode (its own terms, unrelated to WLM goal mode and compat mode). When in goal mode, CICSplexSM requires that WLM goals for CICS service class periods use only average, not percentile, response time goals.

Also remember that in order to use any type of response goals, you need to have at least ten completions within twenty minutes; otherwise you're better off using a velocity goal,

**Recommendation** - We recommend that you replace the average response time goals with percentile response time goals. A percentile response time goal is specified as the percentage of transactions completing within a specified response time, such as 80% of first period TSO completing within .5 seconds. The percentile goals can be used for the same type of service classes that use average response times, but are easier to consistently meet because a few long transactions can't skew the results. If you have currently specified a goal with an average response time of 10 minutes, you can simply change the goal to 80% within 10 minutes. This is probably quite close to what you are currently experiencing. After running with this goal for an hour or so, you can review the PIs and response times to determine if a different setting would be closer to what users had been experiencing. (You will still be able to see the average response times in any online monitors.)



# Tending to WLM



- Creating a service definition is not a one-time task
- As work changes, hardware changes, software changes (and even user habits change), WLM must be modified
- The good news – WLM produces lots of information to help you manage it
- The bad news – WLM produces lots of information to help you manage it
- Exception reporting is your only choice
- But what do you look for besides missed goals?

# How GoalTender Works



- Product to accomplish all that automatically
- Designed to be run daily, but can be run as soon as problems occur (at end of RMF interval)
- Exception reporting where exceptions are determined by us, but modifiable by you
- Easy to read, summarized reports
- Provides historical database of service policies, including classification rules, application environments and scheduling resources
- Training guide for new WLM sysprogs
- Our best and most comprehensive advice

# GoalTender Futures



- Detail analysis
  - Complete analysis with daily and weekly trends of a single service class, including recommendations
  - Specific analysis of CICS and IMS
  - Complete reporting of all RMF/CMF type 72 data, including summaries
- Download for spreadsheet graphs and user analysis
- More report formats on request
- More exceptions
- Additions to recommendations in User Guide

# Questions?



- **Email:**  
[cheryl@watsonwalker.com](mailto:cheryl@watsonwalker.com)
- **Web site:**  
[www.watsonwalker.com](http://www.watsonwalker.com)