Life Sciences in Space Research 7 (2015) 57–60

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Life Sciences in Space Research

www.elsevier.com/locate/lssr

Water extraction on Mars for an expanding human colony

M. Ralphs [a,*], B. Franz [b], T. Baker [c], S. Howe [d]

[a] Utah State University, Logan, UT 84321, USA
[b] University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA
[c] Idaho State University, Pocatello, ID 83201, USA
[d] Howe Industries LLC, Idaho Falls, ID 83401, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 25 August 2015
Received in revised form 11 September 2015
Accepted 1 October 2015

Keywords:
Human colony on Mars
Water extraction
In-situ resource utilization
Water resources on Mars

ABSTRACT

In-situ water extraction is necessary for an extended human presence on Mars. This study looks at the water requirements of an expanding human colony on Mars and the general systems needed to supply that water from the martian atmosphere and regolith. The proposed combination of systems in order to supply the necessary water includes a system similar to Honeybee Robotics' Mobile In-Situ Water Extractor (MISWE) that uses convection, a system similar to MISWE but that directs microwave energy down a borehole, a greenhouse or hothouse type system, and a system similar to the Mars Atmospheric Resource Recovery System (MARRS). It is demonstrated that a large water extraction system that can take advantage of large deposits of water ice at site specific locations is necessary to keep up with the demands of a growing colony.

© 2015 The Committee on Space Research (COSPAR). Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The ability to extract and process water through in-situ resource utilization (ISRU) is necessary for a sustained human presence on Mars. This study looks at the required hardware and the related production rates that would be needed for water production for an expanding human colony on Mars. This colony would receive new colonists from Earth every two years, when the planets are positioned properly in their orbit and conditions are feasible to send a mission to Mars (A propitious alignment of planets, 2015).

Although not available in 2015, it is expected that future technology will allow for missions to Mars to carry 6, 12, and eventually 24 humans in each mission, or that multiple transports will be sent in each mission. With this assumption in mind, the planned colony expansion is shown in Table 1.

The amount of water needed for extended human survival is around 0.6 kg/hr/person which includes water for consumption, hygiene, and everyday living in space (Bobe et al., 2007; Horneck et al., 2003, 2006). This estimate is based on space station living and, assuming water consumption is less in a micro-gravity environment, would increase up to 0.7 kg/hr/person to account for living with gravity. The amount required if demands from a growing colony (regolith processing, manufacturing, perchlorate remediation, plant growth, habitat maintenance, etc.) are added to human needs is estimated at 1.2 kg/hr/person. Assuming a water reclamation rate of at least 90% (similar to that of the space stations Salut, Mir, and the International Space Station, Bobe et al., 2007; Wieland, 1998), the amount needed from ISRU is 0.12 kg/hr/person. Some sources predict water reclamation rates as high as 96% (Horneck et al., 2006), but current technology reliably allows for 90%.

These numbers also take into account the requirements for hydrogen since hydrogen will most likely come from water electrolysis. This is because most of the accessible hydrogen on Mars is found in the form of H_2O (Krasnopolsky and Feldman, 2001; Boynton et al., 2002).

Table 1
Planned colony expansion, including crew size and colony population at any given year in the first 16 years of the colony.

Year	Mission #	New crew	Colony population
0	1	6	6
2	2	6	12
4	3	6	18
6	4	12	30
8	5	12	42
10	6	12	54
12	7	24	78
14	8	24	102
16	9	24	126

* Corresponding author.
E-mail addresses: miralphs@gmail.com (M. Ralphs), bfranz@usc.edu (B. Franz), tedb314@gmail.com (T. Baker), steve@howeindustries.net (S. Howe).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lssr.2015.10.001
2214-5524/© 2015 The Committee on Space Research (COSPAR). Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Some water can be extracted from the martian atmosphere, but, in order to get enough to sustain a colony, extraction from the martian regolith will be the primary source of water. Several methods of water extraction from the regolith are considered viable and the extraction method will depend heavily on the site selected for the colony.

2. Colony water requirements

Water is needed for many applications for an extended colony on Mars beyond the 0.6 kg/hr/person to keep the humans alive and healthy. These applications fall into 5 categories: regolith processing, manufacturing, perchlorate remediation, plant growth, and habitat maintenance.

2.1. Regolith processing

Many useful compounds exist in the martian regolith in significant quantities including silica, alumina, iron oxide, magnesia, calcium oxide, and sulfates (Meyer, 1989; Stoker et al., 1993). The metallic oxides can be extracted by dissolution in sulfuric acid. To separate them, the solution is slowly neutralized using magnesia to selectively precipitate relatively pure oxide powders. These powders can be further processed to produce metallic ores (Berggren et al., 2009). This processing can require a significant volume of water on the order of hundreds of liters, but nearly all is reclaimed during processing. The makeup volume of water is therefore considered negligible.

2.2. Manufacturing

The regolith processing results in a number of useful materials including metallic iron powder, alumina, magnesia, silica, and residual regolith. These can be used to manufacture spare parts, structural members (Landis, 2009; Kirn et al., 2002), advanced ceramics, explosives (Dick et al., 1985), and cementitious products. Cementitious products are extremely useful for creating radiation shielding (Kirn et al., 2002), pathways, structures, and protective barriers, but they require a substantial amount of water. Concrete production requires approximately 0.4 $kg_{H_2O}/kg_{concrete}$ (Berggren et al., 2009). Concrete production will most likely use the most water out of all the manufactured materials because that water isn't easily reclaimed. And, although there may be more need for manufactured products towards the early stages of the colony, the amount required will be normalized to the amount of people in the colony. The estimated water required for manufacturing processes is 0.04 kg/hr/person.

2.3. Perchlorate remediation

Several perchlorate compounds are found on Mars that can be detrimental to human health. The perchlorate molecules are similar to iodine and block its receptors in the human body, causing hormone deficiencies (Wolff, 1998; Mukhi and Patiño, 2007; Davila et al., 2013). Therefore, it is imperative that the perchlorates are removed from all the materials that will be used by humans. This process is estimated to require 0.1 kg/hr/person of water, however, almost all of this water can be recycled and reused so the amount that ISRU will need to make up is considered negligible.

2.4. Plant growth

Plants are the most likely long term solution for food in a colony on Mars (Schulze-Makuch and Davies, 2010). And whether they are grown in hydroponic or potted systems, the plants will consume a certain amount of water. It is estimated that plants will require approximately 0.003 kg/hr/person of water that cannot be easily reclaimed by the environmental control and life support systems (ECLSS). This must, therefore, be produced by ISRU.

2.5. Habitat maintenance

Water needed for habitat maintenance will vary depending on the design of the habitat. A few uses of water that fall under this category are increasing grounding pin efficiency, resupply of fuel cells (Baird et al., 2003), and resupply of coolant in power systems. The estimated requirement for habitat maintenance is approximately 0.01 kg/hr/person of water.

3. Water extraction from the atmosphere

The martian atmosphere is made up of 0.03% H_2O (Muscatello and Santiago-Maldonado, 2012). Using an atmospheric processing system, similar to the Mars Atmospheric Resource Recovery System (MARRS) (England, 2001), it is plausible to extract 0.02 kg/hr/person of water from the atmosphere. This amount relates to the water that would be extracted from the atmosphere during the process of extracting the required oxygen for breathable air (Wieland, 1998). This also neglects oxygen regeneration in the habitat which would decrease the amount of water produced with this system. This system will grow as needed to support the oxygen needs of the growing colony. However, since this system will vary depending on the amount of oxygen regeneration in the habitat and 0.02 kg/hr/person is the maximum that would be produced, the actual amount of water that would be produced is small and non-constant. Thus the atmosphere is not a good source for water to sustain an expanding colony.

4. Water extraction from regolith

The water available for extraction from the martian regolith is site dependent. At higher and lower latitudes, the majority of the water is found in icy soils and permafrost. Around the equator, most of the water content is found in hydrated minerals. There is some speculation that more water exists deeper in the regolith that may be available if a method is developed to drill down to it (Clifford, 1993), but at present this solution is not proven. But even near the equator where the water content is low, the regolith is very hard and difficult to remove in large quantities. It is estimated that a 2 ton excavator would be required to scoop up regolith containing more than 5% water content in a 4.5 cm wide scoop (Zacny et al., 2012a). However, advanced scooping systems are being developed for martian and lunar surfaces that are capable of excavating a significant amount of regolith (Mueller et al., 2013). The following excavation methods were chosen with the hard martian regolith in mind and are considered some of the most probable options for water extraction from the regolith.

4.1. Hydrated minerals

In the equatorial regions of Mars, the regolith is predicted to contain between 2% and 13% water content, most likely in the form of hydrated minerals (Muscatello and Santiago-Maldonado, 2012; McKay et al., 1993; Feldman et al., 2004). More energy is required to release the water from hydrated minerals than to sublimate the water from icy soils and permafrost. Although a few of the hydrated minerals found in the martian regolith have dehydration temperatures that are relatively low, as shown in Table 2, temperatures in excess of 600 °C are typically required to remove all the water from the hydrated minerals (Sanders and Mueller, 2015). However, the Sample Analysis on Mars (SAM) instrument on the Curiosity rover showed that a heating above 450 °C causes the

Table 2
Hydrated minerals that have a confirmed presence at several locations on the martian surface. Also included is their chemical formula and dehydration temperatures.

Mineral	Chemical formula	Dehydration temp (K)
Kieserite	$MgSO_4 \cdot H_2O$	
Epsomite	$MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$	301
Gypsum	$CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$	1073
Melanterite	$FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$	363
Analcime	$NaAlSi_2O_6 \cdot H_2O$	773
Mirabilite	$Na_2SO_4 \cdot 10H_2O$	306
Perchlorate	$M(ClO_4)_2 \cdot nH_2O$	600
Opal-A	$SiO_4 \cdot 4H_2O$	973

carbonates and perchlorates in the regolith to break down and produce HCl and H_2S, which may not be desirable (Leshin et al., 2013). Regardless, there are two methods of water extraction that look most promising for removing water from hydrated minerals, both use an auger based system, but one uses convective heat transfer and one uses microwave. An auger based system is considered ideal because of its ability to penetrate the hard martian regolith and actually move the soil (Zacny et al., 2008, 2012a, 2012b).

The system that uses convective heat transfer would be a system similar in design to Honeybee Robotics' Mobile In Situ Water Extractor (MISWE) (Zacny et al., 2012a). This system uses an auger to dig into the soil, lifts the soil caked on the auger back into the rover, applies convective heat to evaporate the water into vapor, and collects the water vapor in a cold trap to condense. This system is predicted to have a water extraction rate of 0.2 kg/hr and would require around 350 Watts of thermal power for evaporating the water and additional electrical power for operating the auger and rover, for a total of around 1 kW_e.

The system that uses microwave energy is similar to the convective system explained above, however, the auger would be used to dig a bore hole into which microwave energy could be emitted with the intent of energizing a greater amount of water for each hole drilled. The vaporized water could then be collected and condensed in the rover. Microwave heating has the potential to penetrate further into the regolith and heat the water molecules at a faster rate than convection (Ethridge and Kaukler, 2012, 2011). It is estimated that a borehole and microwave system would be able to produce slightly more than a MISWE while using comparable amounts of power: 0.3 kg/hr with 1 kW_e.

4.2. Icy soils

In icy soils, which are as low as 40°N latitude in some locations (Byrne et al., 2009), the two auger based systems proposed would most likely still be viable, but other methods might also be used that have the potential of a higher extraction rate. These options are in the form a greenhouse (Mungas et al., 2006) or a hothouse. The difference between the two is the heat source: one is the Sun, the other is from an external source. The concept is to heat up the inside of a tent or dome on the surface of Mars over an area with icy soil or permafrost. The water would evaporate out and condense on the walls of the structure to be collected.

Using the energy from the Sun would be very beneficial, however, there are some complications with that method, which include, but are not limited to: the transparent material to be used on the structure, keeping the dust off the surface of the structure, and solar interference from frequent dust storms. Using an external heat source, such as from a surface reactor or a battery, would provide its own limitations. A few of the limitations may include mobility and distance from the main base, which may limit the accessible soils that can be tapped for water extraction. But if the challenges for either system can be overcome, then a system such as this would be able to provide a much greater quantity of water for the colony. A larger, high production water extraction system is crucial for an expanding human colony on Mars.

Table 3
Proposed configuration for water extraction on Mars for an expanding human colony. "Col." = total number of humans in the colony, "Goal" = water production goal in kg/hr, "MISWE" = number of MISWE's, "B.M." = number of borehole/microwave systems, "H.H." = number of hothouse or greenhouse systems, "Prod." = water produced by the proposed configuration in kg/hr. "Prod." includes 0.02 kg/hr/person from a system similar to the MARRS system. It is assumed that MISWE and B.M. are extracting water from hydrated minerals with between 5 and 7% water content and the H.H. is extracting water from icy soils and permafrost.

Col.	Goal	MISWE	B.M.	H.H.	Prod.
6	0.74	2	2	0	1.21
12	1.48	2	2	0	1.33
18	2.21	2	2	1	3.44
30	3.69	2	2	1	3.68
42	5.17	4	4	1	5
54	6.64	4	4	2	7.24
78	9.59	4	4	3	9.7
102	12.55	6	6	4	13.26
126	15.5	6	6	5	15.71
150	15.5	6	6	5	15.71




Fig. 1. Water required for the expanding colony as well as the amount produced by the proposed configuration.

5. Growth with the colony

In order for the water extraction to grow with the colony, the proposed configuration of water extraction methods is shown in Table 3. This configuration assumes that methods are available to store the excess water produced for several years as a reserve. These numbers correspond to the total colony needs, including human consumption and hygiene, habitat requirements, manufacturing processes, and plant growth. The ability of this system to keep up with an expanding colony on Mars over time can be visualized by Fig. 1. If a large scale water production system, like the hothouse, is not available, it would require 65 MISWE's to accommodate a colony of 126 humans.

6. Conclusions

With an expanding human colony on Mars, the primary source of water is going to come from the regolith. Therefore, a system will need to be developed that can take advantage of larger water sources (such as water ice) near the site selected for the colony.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to the Universities Space Research Association and the Center for Space Nuclear Research for funding this research.

References

A propitious alignment of planets, 2015. http://science.nasa.gov/science-news/science-at-nasa/2001/ast19jul_1/, accessed: 2015-07-22.

Baird, R.S., Sanders, G., Simon, T., McCurdy, K., 2003. Isru reactant, fuel cell based power plant for robotic and human mobile exploration applications. In: Expanding the Frontiers of Space, vol. 654, pp. 1157–1162.

Berggren, M., Zubrin, R., Wilson, C., Rose, H., Carrera, S., 2009. Mars aqueous processing system. In: Mars. Springer, pp. 563–586.

Bobe, L., Samsonov, N., Gavrilov, L., Novikov, V., Tomashpolskiy, M., Andreychuk, P., Protasov, N., Synjak, Y., Skuratov, V., 2007. Regenerative water supply for an interplanetary space station: the experience gained on the space stations Salut, Mir, ISS and development prospects. Acta Astronaut. 61 (1), 8–15.

Boynton, W.V., Feldman, W.C., Squyres, S.W., Prettyman, T.H., Brückner, J., Evans, L.G., Reedy, R.C., Starr, R., Arnold, J.R., Drake, D.M., et al., 2002. Distribution of hydrogen in the near surface of Mars: evidence for subsurface ice deposits. Science 297 (5578), 81–85.

Byrne, S., Dundas, C.M., Kennedy, M.R., Mellon, M.T., McEwen, A.S., Cull, S.C., Daubar, I.J., Shean, D.E., Seelos, K.D., Murchie, S.L., et al., 2009. Distribution of mid-latitude ground ice on Mars from new impact craters. Science 325 (5948), 1674–1676.

Clifford, S.M., 1993. A model for the hydrologic and climatic behavior of water on Mars. J. Geophys. Res. 98 (E6), 10973.

Davila, A.F., Willson, D., Coates, J.D., McKay, C.P., 2013. Perchlorate on Mars: a chemical hazard and a resource for humans. Int. J. Astrobiol. 12 (04), 321–325.

Dick, R.D., Baric, J.D., Pettitt, D.R., 1985. Use of chemical explosives for emergency solar flare shelter construction and other excavations on the Martian surface. No. LA-UR-85-1990; CONF-8506149-1. Los Alamos National Lab., NM (USA).

England, C., 2001. Mars atmosphere resource recovery system (MARRS). In: Space Technology and Applications International Forum-2001, vol. 552. AIP Publishing, pp. 10–15.

Ethridge, E.C., Kaukler, W., 2011. Microwave processing of planetary surfaces for the extraction of volatiles. In: 49th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting Including the New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition, p. 612.

Ethridge, E.C., Kaukler, W.F., 2012. Microwave extraction of volatiles for Mars science and ISRU. LPI Contrib. 1679, 4328.

Feldman, W.C., Prettyman, T.H., Maurice, S., Plaut, J.J., Bish, D.L., Vaniman, D.T., Mellon, M.T., Metzger, A.E., Squyres, S.W., Karunatillake, S., et al., 2004. Global distribution of near-surface hydrogen on Mars. J. Geophys. Res., Planets (1991–2012) 109 (E9).

Horneck, G., Facius, R., Reichert, M., Rettberg, P., Seboldt, W., Manzey, D., Comet, B., Maillet, A., Preiss, H., Schauer, L., et al., 2003. Humex, a study on the survivability and adaptation of humans to long-duration exploratory missions, part I: Lunar missions. Adv. Space Res. 31 (11), 2389–2401.

Horneck, G., Facius, R., Reichert, M., Rettberg, P., Seboldt, W., Manzey, D., Comet, B., Maillet, A., Preiss, H., Schauer, L., et al., 2006. Humex, a study on the survivability and adaptation of humans to long-duration exploratory missions, part II: Missions to Mars. Adv. Space Res. 38 (4), 752–759.

Kirn, M.I., Thibeault, S.A., Kiefer, R.L., Wilson, J.W., Buckley, J.D., 2002. Processing of structural shielding materials for martian in-situ resource utilization. AIAA 2002-0466.

Krasnopolsky, V.A., Feldman, P.D., 2001. Detection of molecular hydrogen in the atmosphere of Mars. Science 294 (5548), 1914–1917.

Landis, G.A., 2009. Meteoritic steel as a construction resource on Mars. Acta Astronaut. 64 (2), 183–187.

Leshin, L.A., Mahaffy, P.R., Webster, C.R., Cabane, M., Coll, P., Conrad, P.G., Archer, P.D., Atreya, S.K., Brunner, A.E., Buch, A., et al., 2013. Volatile, isotope, and organic analysis of martian fines with the Mars curiosity rover. Science 341 (6153). 1238937.

McKay, Christopher P., Meyer, Thomas R., Boston, Penelope J., Nelson, Mark, MacCallum, Taber, Gwynne, Owen, 1993. Utilizing martian resources for life support. In: Resources of Near-Earth Space 1, p. 819.

Meyer, T.R., 1989. The resources of Mars for human settlement. J. Br. Interplanet. Soc. 42, 147–160.

Mueller, R.P., Cox, R.E., Ebert, T., Smith, J.D., Schuler, J.M., Nick, A.J., 2013. Regolith advanced surface systems operations robot (RASSOR). In: 2013 IEEE Aerospace Conference. IEEE, pp. 1–12.

Mukhi, S., Patiño, R., 2007. Effects of prolonged exposure to perchlorate on thyroid and reproductive function in zebrafish. Toxicol. Sci. 96 (2), 246–254.

Mungas, G., Rapp, D., Easter, R., Johnson, K., Wilson, T., 2006. Sublimation extraction of Mars H_2O for future in-situ resource utilization. Earth Space, 1–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/40830(188)75.

Muscatello, Anthony C., Santiago-Maldonado, Edgardo, 2012. Mars in situ resource utilization technology evaluation. In: 50th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting including the New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition, vol. 360. AIAA.

Sanders, G.B., Mueller, R.P., 2015. Mars soil-based resource processing and planetary protection. Document ID: 20150003489, Subject Category: Lunar and Planetary Science and Exploration; Man/System Technology and Life Support Report/Patent Number: JSC-CN-33067.

Schulze-Makuch, D., Davies, P., 2010. To boldly go: a one-way human mission to Mars. J. Cosmol. 12, 3619–3626.

Stoker, C.R., Gooding, J.L., Roush, T., Banin, A., Burt, D., Clark, B.C., Flynn, G., Gwynne, O., 1993. The physical and chemical properties and resource potential of martian surface soils. In: Resources of Near-Earth Space, pp. 659–707.

Wieland, P.O., 1998. Living Together in Space: The Design and Operation of the Life Support Systems on the International Space Station. National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Marshall Space Flight Center Huntsville, AL.

Wolff, J., 1998. Perchlorate and the thyroid gland. Pharmacol. Rev. 50 (1), 89–106.

Zacny, K., Mungas, G., Mungas, C., Fisher, D., Hedlund, M., 2008. Pneumatic excavator and regolith transport system for lunar ISRU and construction. In: Paper No: AIAA-2008-7824 and Presentation, AIAA SPACE 2008 Conference & Exposition, pp. 9–11.

Zacny, K., Chu, P., Paulsen, G., Avanesyan, A., Craft, J., Osborne, L., 2012a. Mobile in-situ water extractor (MISWE) for Mars, Moon, and asteroids in situ resource utilization. In: AIAA SPACE 2012 Conference & Exposition, p. 5168.

Zacny, K., Paulsen, G., Szczesiak, M., Craft, J., Chu, P., McKay, C., Glass, B., Davila, A., Marinova, M., Pollard, W., et al., 2012b. Lunarvader: development and testing of lunar drill in vacuum chamber and in lunar analog site of Antarctica. J. Aerosp. Eng. 26 (1), 74–86.