

PUBLIC LAW BOARD NO. 7833

SMART - TRANSPORTATION DIVISION *

CASE NO. 6

AWARD NO.6

and

BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY *

STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

Claim for the denial of the discipline claim of Illinois-Wisconsin Seniority District (Aurora) Conductor J. Walsh and our request for removal of censure from his personal record and pay for all time lost (10-day record suspension) as the result of an investigation held on November 17, 2015.

FINDINGS

Claimant J. Walsh was charged with being unavailable 5 weekend days, which exceeded his allotment of 3.4 weekend days by 1.5 days during the three-month rolling period of July, August, and September 2015. As a result of the investigation, the Carrier notified the Claimant on November 30, 2015, that he was assessed a 10-day record suspension and a 12-month review period. The Organization filed a claim on behalf of the Claimant on March 15, 2016. The Carrier denied the claim on July 8, 2016. The matter was then progressed in accordance with the Parties' Agreement, after which, it remained in dispute. It is properly before the Board for resolution.

It is the position of the Carrier that the Claimant was afforded a fair and impartial hearing. The Carrier points to the testimony of Trainmaster Brandon Mixter, who stated that

Claimant was aware of System General Notice Number 37 - Guidelines for TYE and Yardmaster Attendance. The guidelines state in relevant part:

BNSF TYE employees and Yardmasters are key members of our community, and have a legitimate expectation of reasonable opportunity to be off from work. And, along with all other members of our community, BNSF TYE employees and Yardmasters share certain responsibilities to the community as a whole. One of these basic responsibilities is to be “full-time” employees.

I. Specific principles for applying these Guidelines follow:

4. For employees in unassigned and mixed service, there is a maximum threshold of twenty-five percent of weekdays and weekends, measured separately. (25% less the total of all rest days equals final threshold.)

11. Any layoff touching a weekend day will be considered a weekend day, with a 30-minute grace period. As a result, an employee may be charged with three weekend days for a given week.

12. Any layoff period from 0-25 hours is considered as one unavailable day.

13. Working a portion of a calendar day does not negate a layoff period that begins or ends on that day from counting as an unavailable day for the purpose of attendance.

II. Discipline handling (including progression and the applicable review period) for Attendance Guidelines violations follows:

B. Review Period

The TYE Attendance Guidelines review period is an “active” review period and requires an employee work a period of time which exceeds a complete 12 month period without another Attendance Guideline discipline incident before the prior Attendance Guideline violation is considered “inactive”. However, attendance violations will not be “cleared” from an employee’s record.

Mr. Mixter testified that employees have a responsibility to maintain their full-time status by being available for 75% of the weekend time in a three-month rolling period. The Claimant was allotted 3.5 days or a 25% unavailability threshold, but he laid off for 5 days. Mr. Mixter stated that employees cannot take half days on weekends because the full day will be counted as an unavailable day.

Mr. Mixter stated that the Claimant also violated General Code of Operating Rules, which state the following:

1.3.3 Circulars, Instructions, and Notices

Circulars, instructions and notices, and other information are issued and canceled by the designated manager. Before beginning each day's work or trip, crew members and any others whose duties require, must review those that apply to the territory they will work on.

1.13 Reporting and Complying with Instructions

Employees will report to and comply with instructions from supervisors who have the proper jurisdiction. Employees will comply with instructions issued by managers of various departments when the instructions apply to their duties.

II. Discipline handling (including progression and the applicable review period) for Attendance Guidelines violations follows:

B. Review Period

The TYE Attendance Guidelines review period is an "active" review period and requires an employee work a period of time which exceeds a complete 12 month period without another Attendance Guideline discipline incident before the prior Attendance Guideline violation is considered "inactive". However, attendance violations will not be "cleared" from an employee's record.

The Carrier points out that Claimant offered no explanations for his absences. The Carrier notes that Claimant was in mixed service during July and August, which reduced his threshold by the days he had previously observed. The Carrier points out that this was the Claimant's second attendance violation in a 12-month period and the discipline was warranted.

The Claimant stated that the threshold was incorrectly calculated. He said that if a threshold has a half-day in it, then it is not correctly calculated, since he cannot take half days on weekends.

The Organization disputes that the Claimant had a fair hearing. The Organization argues that the Claimant should have been allotted 4 weekend days. It asserts that employees cannot take half days without the day being counted as a full-day layoff. The Organization points out that the discipline is no longer active, it has served its purpose, and should be removed from the Claimant's personal record.

OPINION OF THE BOARD

The Board has reviewed the record in this case, including the transcript of the formal investigation and the documentary evidence presented at that hearing. The record reveals that the Carrier has posted attendance rules. The Claimant acknowledged that he was aware of the rules. The Claimant exceeded his allotted weekend days whether the actual number was 3.5 or 4 days. Thus, the evidence supports the Carrier's assessment of discipline. It was also undisputed that this incident was his second attendance violation in a 12-month period. In addition, the attendance guidelines state that attendance violations are not cleared from an employee's record.

Therefore, under the particular circumstances presented in this case, and on the weight of

the evidence before us on the record, the Board concludes that the will not be removed from the Claimant's personal file.

AWARD

The claim is denied.

Lisa Charles

Neutral Member

Thomas Bossell

Carrier Member

JBB

Organizational Member

Dated April 6, 2018