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Section 2: Introduction

This document provides a summary of two essential ELM link management services that apply when Configuration Management is enabled.

Link Validity API

Link Validity is primarily a manual marker to link status in particular contexts applies when Configuration Management is enabled, Link Validity also needs to be enabled for each ELM application server.

Link Discovery Services ldx

Link Discovery is an optional service for incoming link discovery to a given project area. Link discovery usage takes into account the ELM conventions for link storage across upstream and downstream apps, which is explained here.

This documents provides an overview and summary at V6.06.01 and V7.

Information provided as-is and is currently draft.

Usage outside of any ELM application is without warranty and may require additional licensing please consult IBM Offering Management. Usage by third parties is not yet officially support, again consult IBM.

Please read disclaimers in Appendix 4.

Section 3: Link Validity

IBM Engineering Lifecycle Management (ELM) suite applies Linked Data concepts using Open Services for Lifecycle Collaboration (OSLC), these concepts allow dependencies and associations to be made between certain types of artefacts in ELM, also with certain external non-ELM applications which use specific OSLC conventions. An application user may propose or define a relationship between artefacts using links but in general OSLC does not require or even elaborated use link properties, such as their status or applicability. In their most basic, and typical, form links are just information triples – link source URI, linktype URI and link target URI. Link Validity provides additional properties for visual and reportable indication of link status within ELM and is a common, aggregating utility provided by Jazz Foundation, which is a set of components and services found within the Jazz Team Server. In ELM users can manually set, unset and comment upon link validity states to indicate and track link validity status or approval. User link validity actions are the individual link or multi-select level.

Noting that OSLC does not define specific link validity mechanisms or properties, these emerged as customer requested that ELM provide similar support to DOORS classic link suspicion.

Noting further the role of OSLC Configuration Management which uses a containment paradigm rather than adding applicability properties to links. Links and Link validities are valid within a given configuration context, because they are bounded within a configuration container. There is no direct query on a link to find the configurations in which it is valid, a context is provided and a link status is returned, more of this later.

Implementation in ELM is based upon convention over apps “lifecycle position” – which assumes certain link ordering. There is a notion of links to upstream or downstream applications:

1. Upstream apps “mature” or evolve earlier in the 1st run through of the lifecycle e.g. A Requirement

---

1 Consult e.g.
Downstream apps “mature” or evolve later in the 1\textsuperscript{st} run through of the lifecycle e.g. a test of some requirement

Changes typically propagate from upstream apps to downstream apps

Suppose that an artefact link exists, from a downstream app to an upstream app, such as a test validates some requirement. Suppose then that an upstream app user, e.g. in DNG, changes that requirement and then may mark the (incoming) link invalid. A downstream app user, e.g. in ETM, may then see that at least that test needs review or change to be able then to set the link validity back to valid. Which would be then reflected in the appearance and reporting on the link validity. Note that with Configuration Management enabled these changes and visibilities occur with specific Global or Local Configuration and Change Set contexts.

This convention applies to asymmetric link types, i.e. links resolved in downstream apps. A change to either end of a symmetric link with cause Link Validity to change.

A rolled-up Link validity summary is also provided according to directionality which is addressed below.

### Link validity states

Link validity is analysed according to

\[ \text{Contents of artefact version } X + \text{ link type } + \text{ contents of artefact version } Y \Rightarrow \text{[has a] validity state} \]

The link validity states supported are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Link state</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Occurs</th>
<th>Can comments be added</th>
<th>App visibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified / Unassigned</td>
<td>Unknown or not specified Assumed suspect</td>
<td>By default</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ENI/RB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>A human has marked as having asserted validity of the association between the artefacts, each in given state and a given configuration context</td>
<td>When a human as consciously marked a link as Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td>DNG/ETM/EWM ENI/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invalid</td>
<td>A human has marked as having asserted invalidity of the association between the artefacts, each in given state and a given configuration context</td>
<td>When a human as consciously marked a link as Invalid</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>DNG/ETM/EWM ENI/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspect</td>
<td>ELM or a human has detected some change which may make an</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>DNG/ETM/EWM ENI/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Expected Link Validity not available</td>
<td>A server may be down or an artefact deleted</td>
<td></td>
<td>ENI/R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[^2\] Suspect is not really a valid status – See ref

---

2 Suspect is not really a valid status – See ref
Link Validity Profile

Link Validity make use of an artefact Content Hash based upon a Validity Profile, the hash is created before or as the link status is set. Absence of the Content Hash for a given link can be taken as invalidity or suspicion, the latter is the default case in ELM apps.

The hash needs to be chosen (calculated) so as to have a low likelihood of being duplicated by another linking application, a strong cryptographic hash is used in the ELM apps. The aim is to create the hash from a set of attributes which define the semantic content of the artefact itself, not artefact version marking, therefore such properties as version ID, version URL, creation time, modification time, contributor, etc. should normally be omitted from the hash calculation, as should the presence of links.

Applications should support the same content hash values from the same profile and from different profiles when the attribute values in question are the same; that reuse of the same content hash should be considered a valid case and not a ‘collision’.

ELM currently supports only a default profile, and is not customisable. See Appendix 2

Link Validity information in the TRS Shape

There are essentially two records that appear in the JTS Link Validity TRS (2.0) around link validity, they must be combined for reporting:

Content Hash for Link Validity in TRS

The first of these records, the simpler, is a contentHash statement about a specific resource. As soon as the validity service is made aware of the validity of a particular resource version, this record is published in TRS. This is the configuration-independent yet artefact content specific mechanism that is used to truly determine if two versions of the same resource can be treated the same with respect to link validity.

An example of one of these Content Hash entries in RDF is as follows:

```xml
<rdf:RDF
   xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
   xmlns:oslc="http://open-services.net/ns/core#"
   xmlns:validity="http://jazz.net/ns/validity#"
   xmlns:dcterm="http://purl.org/dc/terms/"
   <rdf:Description rdf:about="https://rtpclmperf13-rn.rtp.raleigh.ibm.com:9443/rm/resources/_53efdc5e9ea84b9e9b5eb2551e0748?revision=_DDYmDL-2EeWGRdEY53LVLA">
   <validity:contentHash
      rdf:datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string">90676a2d3f8c989f1cb3be1f00fc1053</validity:contentHash>
   </rdf:Description>
</rdf:RDF>
```

Note that multiple versions of the same resource (although would have unique URIs) may align to the same content hash. This is expected and good.
Link Validity record in the TRS

The more complex item is for the link validity record itself. This has some basic metadata (who modified it, when, an optionally populated description).

The data needs to be unioned with the previously mentioned contentHash bits combined to provide the 'validity:status' for a given validity:validateTargetHash + validity:validateSourceHash + dcterm:type (representing link type) for the specific validity:profile.

Currently in ELM a single profile is used.

```xml
<rdf:RDF
   xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
   xmlns:oslc="http://open-services.net/ns/core#"
   xmlns:validity="http://jazz.net/ns/validity#"
   xmlns:dcterm="http://purl.org/dc/terms/">
     <validity:validateTargetHash rdf:datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string">daa8e4118d774cb9129e2c0b42f3df46</validity:validateTargetHash>
     <oslc:instanceShape rdf:resource="http://jazz.net/shapes/validity#ValidityResourceShape"/>
     <validity:validateSourceHash rdf:datatype="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#string">90676a2d3f8c989f1cb3be1f00f1053</validity:validateSourceHash>
     <validity:status rdf:resource="http://jazz.net/ns/validity#Valid"/>
   </rdf:Description>
</rdf:RDF>
```

A common report scenario would be something like 'show valid relationships in stream alpha'. The query output typical requirement or test case info (title, type, whatever else), but determine the result set based on the specified configuration(s), filtering through the contentHash data on based on the validity:status.
Section 4: Link Validity API

Link Validity data model

![Link Validity data model diagram]

Provided Service end points

On the ELM application side the REST APIs is available at

https://{appUrl}:{port}/{appContext}/validity

On the ELM JTS side the REST API is available at

https://{jtsUrl}:{port}/{jtsContext}/validity

REST APIs

Provided services details are available at /validity?method=OPTIONS

The API only accepts or returns JSON objects

**POST /validity/addValidity:** add or update a list of validityInfo (valid or not). A validity link is updated if it as the same link type, source concept, source content hash, target concept, target content hash, and context name. Otherwise a new link is created
ValidityInfo: This is the object that is passed around to the validity service to add or update a link instance. The mandatory values: linkType, sourceConceptId, sourceContentHash, targetConceptId, targetContentHash, contextName.

A list of versionIds can also be passed to populate the contentHashToVersionMapping table at the same time during insertion of the validity link status.

See below for the properties names to use during JSON serialization

```java
@BeanConstructor(properties={"linkType", "validityDescription", "sourceConceptId", "sourceContentHash", "targetConceptId", "targetContentHash", "status", "contextName", "contextDescription", "sourceVersionIds", "targetVersionIds")
public ValidityInfo(String linkType, String validityDescription, String sourceConceptId, String sourceContentHash, String targetConceptId, String targetContentHash, int status, String contextName, String contextDescription, String[] sourceVersionIds, String[] targetVersionIds)

POST /validity/addVersionsMapping: For a given content hash, add a list of versions to the ContentHashToVersionMapping table. These new versions will then be associated to the links which reference this content hash.

VersionMapping : This is the object that is passed around to the validity service map a list of versions to a contentHash. See below for the properties names to use during JSON serialization

```java
@BeanConstructor(properties={"contentHash","versionIds")
public VersionMapping(String contentHash, String[] versionIds)

POST /validity/isValid: Verify if a link is valid given a link type, a source concept, a source content hash, a target concept, a target content hash and a context name. If not found, 404 is returned.

IsValidQuery : This is the object that is passed around to the validity service to verify the validity of a link. See below for the properties names to use during JSON serialization

```java
@BeanConstructor(properties={"linkType","sourceConceptId", "sourceContentHash", "targetConceptId", "targetContentHash", "contextName")
public IsValidQuery(String linkType, String sourceConceptId, String sourceContentHash, String targetConceptId, String targetContentHash, String contextName) {

POST /validity/validTargetVersions: Return the list of valid target versions given a link type, the source concept, source content hash, the target concept and context name. 404 is returned if no links found

QueryValidTargetVersions : This is the object that is passed around to the validity service. See below for the properties names to use during JSON serialization

```java
@BeanConstructor(properties={"linkType","sourceConceptId", "sourceContentHash", "targetConceptId", "contextName")
public QueryValidTargetVersions(String linkType, String sourceConceptId, String sourceContentHash, String targetConceptId, String contextName) {

POST /validity/validSourceVersion: Return the list of valid target versions given a link type, the source concept, the source content hash, the target concept and the context name. 404 is returned if no links found

QueryValidSourceVersions : This is the object that is passed around to the validity service. See below for the properties names to use during JSON serialization

```java
@BeanConstructor(properties={"linkType","sourceConceptId", "targetConceptId", "targetContentHash", "contextName")
public QueryValidSourceVersions(String linkType, String sourceConceptId, String targetConceptId, String targetContentHash, String contextName) {

```
Link Validity API examples

Accessing the APIs

Rich client can authenticate and access the REST APIs using ITeamRawRestServiceClient

```java
RemoteTeamServer server = (RemoteTeamServer) TeamServerFactory.getInstance().newTeamServerFromURL(getJazzServerUrl(),
   LientCertificateValidator.getInstance());
server.getCredentials("ADMIN", "ADMIN");
final ITeamRawRestServiceClient rawRestServiceClient = new TeamRawRestServiceClient(server);
```

Or

```java
if(! TeamPlatform.isStarted())
    TeamPlatform.startup();
ITeamRepository repo = TeamPlatform.getTeamRepositoryService().getTeamRepository("https://localhost:9443/jazz");
if(!repo.loginIn())
    repo.registerLoginHandler(new ITeamRepository.ILoginHandler()
    {
        public ILoginInfo challenge(ITeamRepository repository)
        {
            return new ILoginInfo()
            {
                public String getUserID()
                {
                    return "ADMIN";
                }

                public String getPassword()
                {
                    return "ADMIN";
                }
            }
        }
    });
    try {
        repo.login(null);
    } catch (TeamRepositoryException e) {
        // TODO Auto-generated catch block
        e.printStackTrace();
    }
final ITeamRawRestServiceClient rawRestServiceClient = repo.getRawRestServiceClient();
```

Create/add a link validity record using addValidity

```java
con = rawRestServiceClient.getConnection(new URI(getJazzServerUrl() + VALIDITY_URL + "/addValidity").normalize());
con.addRequestHeader("Accept", "text/json");
String content = "{"+
   "linkType:tl," +
   "validityDescription:tl," +
   "sourceConceptId:tl," +
   "sourceContentHash:tl," +
   "targetConceptId:tl," +
   "targetContentHash:tl," +
   "status:0," +
   "contextName:tl," +
   "sourceVersionIds: ["sv1","sv2"]," +
   "targetVersionIds: ["tv1","tv2"]," +
   "}" +
};
Response resp = con.doPost(new ByteArrayInputStream(content.getBytes("UTF-8")), content.length(), ", text/json");
assertTrue(resp.getStatusCode() == HttpStatus.SC_OK);
```

Add a contentHash for a specific artefact version using addVersionsMapping

```java
con = rawRestServiceClient.getConnection(new URI(getJazzServerUrl() + VALIDITY_URL + "/addVersionsMapping").normalize());
con.addRequestHeader("Accept", "text/json");
String mapping = "{"+
   "contentHash:tl," +
   "versionIds:["tv1"]};
Response resp = con.doPost(new ByteArrayInputStream(mapping.getBytes("UTF-8")), mapping.length(), ", text/json");
assertTrue(resp.getStatusCode() == HttpStatus.SC_OK);
```

Sets a link as valid using isValid

```java
```
Checking for validSourceVersions

```java
con = runRestServiceClient.getConnection(new URI(getJazzServerUrl() + VALIDITY_URL + "/validSourceVersions"), new RequestHeader("Accept", "application/json"));
String content = "{" +
    "linkType:" +
    "sourceConceptId:" +
    "sourceContentHash:" +
    "targetConceptId:" +
    "targetContentHash:" +
    "contextName:" +
"}"
Response resp = con.doPost(new ByteArrayInputStream(content.getBytes("UTF-8")), content.length(), "application/json");
assertTrue(resp.getStatusCode() == HttpStatus.SC_OK);
```

Setting contentHash for an artefact version and Checking for validTargetVersions

```java
con = runRestServiceClient.getConnection(new URI(getJazzServerUrl() + VALIDITY_URL + "/addVersionsMapping"), new RequestHeader("Accept", "application/json"));
String mapping = "{" +
    "contentHash:" +
    "versionId:" +
"}";
Response resp = con.doPost(new ByteArrayInputStream(mapping.getBytes("UTF-8")), mapping.length(), "application/json");
assertTrue(resp.getStatusCode() == HttpStatus.SC_OK);
```

Client API

IValidityClientService

This is the service which applications should use

IValidityClientService can be access as 0.6(?) services or through REST API

IValidityClientService can be accessed on either the application or the JTS side
By default the application validity uses the connected JTS as a validity provider

The applications just forwards the calls to the JTS IVailabilityClientService

The used validity provider can be changed in the server "Advanced server properties"
Section 5: Link Discovery Service

The ELM Link Discovery Service\(^3\) ldx is a specialised version of the ELM Lifecycle Query Engine (LQE) and so uses the same technology to aggregate link information across the ELM applications and other registered data sources. It uses the same OSLC Tracked Resource Set feeds as LQE. Its purpose is to provide a common service for incoming link discovery when Configuration Management is enabled. By default ldx updates every 60 secs, noting that the default for GC cache update is 5 secs\(^i\). Within ELM on screen its named as Link Index Provider

Because it’s a specialised version of LQE, ldx can be moved to its own server\(^4\) and is a feed to ENI and Reporting.

Link storage conventions across ELM are summarised as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Stores links to</th>
<th>Queries for incoming links from</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RM (DOORS Next)</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>AM, CCM, QM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM (RMM)</td>
<td>AM, RM</td>
<td>CCM, QM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QM (ETM)</td>
<td>QM, AM, RM</td>
<td>CCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCM (EWM)</td>
<td>CCM, QM, AM, RM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ELM applications may use ldx or OSLC Query for incoming links. LDX contains information on all links and all configurations, so can do a configuration scoped search for links to a given artefact in a given configuration (global or local).

Sample Query result

(Placeholder)

---

\(^3\) Some jaz.net articles refer to Link Index Provider

## Section 6: Change Logs

### Major changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date / Version</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Who</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V0.1</td>
<td>Format and create</td>
<td>Gray Bachelor</td>
<td>Link Validity pre-final</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V0.2</td>
<td>Formatting and updates</td>
<td>Gray Bachelor</td>
<td>Out for review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V0.3</td>
<td>Add ELM arch overview</td>
<td>Gray Bachelor</td>
<td>Share draft with partner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Major issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date / Version</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Action due</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V0.3</td>
<td>Lack ldx detail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Docs used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date / Version</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 1: Architectural Context

Link Validity is provided by the Jazz Team Server / Jazz Foundation server

Link Discovery / Index Provider is a separate server
Appendix 2: Current ELM Validity profile

Since ELM 6.0.1 profile behaviour is fixed and unmodifiable

Behaviour is outlined below as per which artefact attributes and link directionality will be acknowledged in this standard behaviour prior to the introduction of fully user configurable profiles.

**DNG Artefacts attributes considered for validity:**

DNG will include all user modifiable fields since ELM 6.0.1

Primary Text, Title, Description and Custom-defined attributes

**QM Test Cases attributes considered for validity:**

<Missing>

**RMM SCM and Architecture artefact properties considered for validity:**

<Missing>

**DM Artefacts attributes considered for validity:**

DM uses the same artefact attributes used to compute their eTags.

(Nearly identical behaviour to DNG)

**Link types (directionality) for validity:**

This directionality will only effect 'validity summary' (rollup)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>LinkType</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Tracked since 6.0.1</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OSLC Links</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (RM)</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Elaborated By</td>
<td>Use case</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (RM)</td>
<td>Use case</td>
<td>Elaborates</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (RM)</td>
<td>Specified By</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (RM)</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Specifies</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (RM)</td>
<td>WorkItem**</td>
<td>Affects</td>
<td>Requirement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (RM)</td>
<td>Requirement</td>
<td>Affected By</td>
<td>WorkItem**</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (QM)</td>
<td>Test Case</td>
<td>Validates</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (QM)</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Validated By</td>
<td>Test Case</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>LinkType</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Tracked since 6.0.1</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (CCM)</td>
<td>Change Request</td>
<td>Tracks</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (CCM)</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Tracked By</td>
<td>Change Request</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (CCM)</td>
<td>Change Request</td>
<td>Implements</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (CCM)</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Implemented By</td>
<td>Change Request</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (RM)</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>References</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (RM)</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Referenced By</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (DM)</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Derives</td>
<td>Architecture Element</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (DM)</td>
<td>Architecture Element</td>
<td>Derives From</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (DM)</td>
<td>Architecture Element</td>
<td>Satisfies</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (DM)</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Satisfied By</td>
<td>Architecture Element</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (DM)</td>
<td>Architecture Element</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (DM)</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Traced by</td>
<td>Architecture Element**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (DM)</td>
<td>Architecture Element</td>
<td>Refines</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSLC (DM)</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Refined By</td>
<td>Architecture Element</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RM Internal Links**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RM</th>
<th>Requirement**</th>
<th>Link To</th>
<th>Requirement**</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RM</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Link From</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Parent Of</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Child Of</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Synonym</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Embeds</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>LinkType</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Tracked since 6.0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Embedded in</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Extracts</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Extracted from</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>&lt;Custom link type&gt;</td>
<td>Requirement**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DM Internal Links**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DM</th>
<th>Architecture Element**</th>
<th>Links to</th>
<th>Architecture Element**</th>
<th>???</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>Architecture Element**</td>
<td>&lt;Custom link type&gt;</td>
<td>Architecture Element**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Appendix 3: Links to additional info

Introduction to Link Validity

Administer LinkValidity across ELM servers

Reporting on Link validity

Additional useful info not linked

Andy Lapping’s Link Existence and validity enablement presentation for V7
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