CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
Wednesday, September 12, 2018

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Herriman City Council shall assemble for a meeting in the Herriman City Council Chambers, located at 5355 West Herriman Main Street, Herriman, Utah.

5:00 PM - WORK MEETING: (Fort Herriman Conference Room)

1. Mayor and Council Social
   1.1. The Mayor and Council will meet for informal discussion and dinner. No action will be taken on any items.

2. Council Business – 5:15 PM
   2.1. Review of this Evening’s Agenda
   2.2. Future Agenda Items

3. Administrative Reports
   3.1. New Employee Introductions – Travis Dunn, Human Resources Manager
   3.2. Update to City Boards and Commissions to add the Veterans and Military Advisory Committee – Wendy Thomas, Director of Parks, Recreation and Events
   3.3. Discussion relating to a Text Change to the Land Development Code to revise the Home Occupations Chapter (File No. Z2018-14) – Michael Maloy, City Planner
   3.4. Review of the Kennecott Utah Copper, LLC Annexation Timeline – Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder
   3.5. Discussion relating to the Annexation Agreement with Kennecott Utah Copper, LLC – Gordon Haight, Assistant City Manager
   3.6. Discussion of an Interlocal Cooperative Agreement with Salt Lake County for Planning Services – Gordon Haight, Assistant City Manager
   3.7. Update regarding Project Engagement – Tami Moody, Director of Administration and Communications and Blake Thomas, City Engineer
   3.8. City Manager Updates – Brett Wood, City Manager

4. Adjournment

7:00 PM - GENERAL MEETING:

1. Call to Order
   1.1. Invocation/Thought/Reading and Pledge of Allegiance
1.2. Council Disclosure for Conflict of Interest
1.3. Mayor/Council Comments and Recognitions

2. **Public Comment**
   *Audience members may bring any item to the Mayor and Council’s attention. Comments will be limited to two minutes. State Law prohibits the Council from acting on items that do not appear on the agenda.*

3. **Mayor and Council Board and Committee Reports**

4. **Reports, Presentations and Appointments**
   4.1. Olympia Hills Project Update – Michael Maloy, City Planner
   4.2. Herriman Police Department Update – Chief Troy Carr

5. **Consent Agenda**
   5.1. Approval of the June 6, 2018, June 13, 2018, August 8, 2018, August 22, 2018 City Council Meeting Minutes
   5.2. Approval of a Resolution Requesting Admission to the Public Safety Retirement System – Travis Dunn, Human Resources Manager
   5.3. Approval of an Ordinance amending Title 6, Chapter 1, of the Herriman Code of Ordinances by adding Sections regarding Interference with Control of Vehicles Prohibited, Reasonable and Proper Lookout Required, and Negligent Collision – Troy Carr, Police Chief

6. **Discussion and Action Items**
   6.1. Discussion and Consideration of an Ordinance Adopting the Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan as an Element of the General Plan (File No. G2018-02) – Wendy Thomas, Director of Parks, Recreation and Events
   6.2. Discussion and Consideration of a Resolution Approving Herriman City’s Membership in the Salt Lake County Officer Involved Critical Incident Task Force with its Associated Obligations and Benefits and Becoming a Participant in the Salt Lake County Officer Involved Critical Incident Task Force Interlocal Cooperation Agreement – Troy Carr, Police Chief
   6.3. Discussion and Consideration of a Resolution Approving an Interlocal Cooperative Agreement Between Herriman City and Jordan School District Regarding School Resource Officers – Troy Carr, Police Chief
   6.4. Discussion and Consideration of a Resolution Accepting a Petition for Annexation Filed by Kennecott Utah Copper, LLC for Further Consideration and Related Matters – Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder

7. **Calendar**
   7.1. **Meetings**
       7.1.1. September 20 – Planning Commission Meeting 7:00 p.m.
       7.1.2. September 26 – City Council Work Meeting 5:00 p.m.; City Council Meeting 7:00 p.m.
7.2. **Events**

7.2.1. September 15 – Miss Herriman Orientation; City Hall 11:00 a.m.

7.2.2. September 17 – Food Truck Round up; J Lynn Crane Park 5:00 p.m.

7.2.3. September 17 – Sounds Like Summer Concert; J Lynn Crane Park 6:00 p.m.

7.2.4. September 19 – Community Partner Luncheon; Herriman City Hall 11:00 a.m.

7.2.5. September 20-24 – Children’s Theatre; W&M Butterfield Park 7:30 p.m.

7.3. **Closed Session**

7.3.1. The Herriman City Council may temporarily recess the City Council meeting to convene in a closed session to discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205.

8. **Adjournment**

9. **Recommence to Work Meeting (If Needed)**

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, Herriman City will make reasonable accommodation for participation in the meeting. Request assistance by contacting Herriman City at (801) 446-5323 and provide at least 48 hours advance notice of the meeting.

**Electronic Participation:** Members of the City Council may participate electronically via telephone, Skype, or other electronic means during this meeting.

**Public Comment Policy and Procedure:** The purpose of public comment is to allow citizens to address items on the agenda. Citizens requesting to address the Council will be asked to complete a written comment form and present it to Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder. In general, the chair will allow an individual two minutes to address the Council. A spokesperson, recognized as representing a group in attendance, may be allowed up to five minutes. At the conclusion of the citizen comment time, the chair may direct staff to assist the citizen on the issue presented; direct the citizen to the proper administrative department(s); or take no action. This policy also applies to all public hearings. Citizens may also submit written requests (outlining their issue) for an item to be considered at a future council meeting. The chair may place the item on the agenda under citizen comments; direct staff to assist the citizen; direct the citizen to the proper administrative departments; or take no action.

I, Jackie Nostrom, certify the foregoing agenda was emailed to at least one newspaper of general circulation within the geographic jurisdiction of the public body, at the principal office of the public body, on the Utah State Public Notice website www.utah.gov/pmn/index.html and on Herriman City’s website at www.herriman.org.

*Posted and Dated this 6th day of September, 2018* 

Jackie Nostrom, MMC
City Recorder
DATE: September 4, 2018

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Wendy Thomas, Director of Parks, Recreation and Events

SUBJECT: Recommended Update to City Boards and Commissions (Title 2) to add the Veterans and Military Advisory Committee

BACKGROUND:
Some interest has been expressed by Council and Staff in creating a formal volunteer commission focused on programs and events for veterans and military members residing in Herriman City, specifically, providing support in welcoming those individuals returning from active military duty returning home to Herriman.

Existing Boards and Commissions:
- Historical Society
- Community Arts Council
- Economic Development Committee
- Be Ready Herriman Committee
- Healthy Herriman Committee
- Herriman Trails Committee

The committee name “Veterans and Military Advisory Committee” is the name used by other communities with similar programs. Staff are open to suggestions from Council on the name of the committee.

The Veterans and Military Advisory Committee would work with City Staff to coordinate the planning and implementation of programs and events honoring the service of veterans and military community. Programs and events include, but are not limited to, the Memorial Day Breakfast, Military Appreciation Night at Fort Herriman Days PRCA Rodeo and creating a “Welcome Home” program for active duty service members returning from deployment.

ALTERNATIVES:
Do not create a formal committee for the Veterans and Military Advisory Committee.
FISCAL IMPACT:
Limited budget funding is anticipated, some startup costs in supplies may be incurred and will be discussed with City Council at a later date. Those costs might include flags, ribbons and banners. Staff anticipate that many of the supplies needed will be able to be obtained through donations or grant funding.

RECOMMENDATION:
Staff recommend the creation of the Herriman City Veterans and Military Advisory Committee.
Chapter 8
Veterans and Military Advisory Committee

2-8-1: CREATION:

There is hereby created a committee known as the Herriman Veterans and Military Advisory Committee.

2-8-2: DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES:

The Herriman Veterans and Military Advisory Committee shall:

A. Make recommendations to the City Council with respect to veterans and military service member related issues, ceremonies and other activities that occur within Herriman City;

B. Provides a forum for discussion of issues relating to veterans and military families;

C. Acts as a conduit of communication and coordination between the local veteran and military community;

D. Shall aid the City in the planning, development and implementation of veteran and military community related special activities and programs in the City.

2-8-3: TERMS, COMPENSATION AND VACANCY:

The veterans and military advisory committee shall be comprised of at least three (3) members, appointed by the City Council. Such members shall be appointed in a manner providing balance in geographic, professional, neighborhood and community interests' representation. Members of the veterans and
military advisory committee may serve a maximum of three (3) consecutive terms of three (3) years each. Notwithstanding, the terms of the first members shall be staggered so that all member's terms do not expire in the same year. Vacancies may be filled by the City Council, to any unexpired term or for the full term as the case may be. Members of the veterans and military advisory committee shall serve without compensation.

2-8-4: ORGANIZATION, QUORUM AND COMMITTEES:

A. Quorum: More than fifty percent (50%) of the veterans and military advisory committee present shall constitute a quorum for conducting business of the veterans and military advisory committee and the action can be had upon the vote of the majority present.

B. Organization: The veterans and military advisory committee may elect a Chair, organize committees, and adopt administrative rules, bylaws and procedures necessary to accomplish its purpose. The veterans and military advisory committee shall submit such rules, bylaws and procedures to the City Council for approval.

2-8-5: CITY STAFF SUPPORT:

The City shall provide the committee with such administrative support staff and materials as it deems necessary to assist in carrying out the duties and responsibilities of the committee.

2-8-6: MEETINGS:

The meetings of the veterans and military advisory committee shall be open to the public.

2-8-7: LIMITATIONS:

The veterans and military advisory committee shall not have authority to bind the City or impose any condition on the City or its citizens and is not vested with authority to make decisions regarding the public's business.
Staff Report

DATE: September 5, 2018

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Michael Maloy, AICP, Planning Director

MEETING: City Council Work Meeting, September 12, 2018

REQUEST: Text Change to the Land Development Code to Revise the Home Occupations Chapter
Applicant: Herriman City
File Number: Z2018-14

Request
Herriman City is proposing a text change to Title 10 of the Herriman City Code, which is the Herriman Land Development Code, to revise chapter 22, Home Occupations. The request consolidates all requirements for Home Occupations into one chapter. It also creates a new classification of “Home Group Instruction”.

Notice
The City posted the public hearing notice in the newspaper on August 5, 2018 and on the Herriman City website on August 6, 2018. As of the date of this report, staff has not received any public comments regarding the proposed text change.

Process
A text change is a legislative action. The Planning Commission held a public hearing on August 16, 2018, and recommended approval of the text change to the City Council. The City Council then holds a public meeting to discuss the item and make the final decision.

Discussion
In December 2017, the City adopted a new Land Development Code. Included in the new code were changes that made all home occupations other than daycares and preschools a permitted use. However, many of the same nuisances that need to be mitigated for daycares and preschools are present in nearly all instructor-led home occupations. This includes instruction such as tumbling lessons or swimming lessons. This text change is to is to modify all of these types of occupations to become conditional uses rather than permitted ones. It also creates a new classification of “Home Group Instruction” and add standards of review.

Recommendation
This item is not on for decision. The City Council can provide staff with feedback or questions. The text change will be brought back for decision at a future meeting.

Attachments
A. Proposed Text
Chapter 22
HOME OCCUPATIONS

10-22-1: PURPOSE:
The purpose of this chapter is to establish use and development regulations for home occupations. These regulations are intended to ensure that limited business activities allowed in a residence do not disturb the residential character of a neighborhood.

10-22-2: SCOPE:
The requirements of this chapter shall apply to any home occupation conducted within the City.

10-22-3: DEFINITIONS:
Certain words and phrases in this chapter, including uses, are defined in chapter 3 of this title.

10-22-4: PERMITTED AND PROHIBITED USES:
A. Permitted Uses In Agricultural And Residential Zones: Except for prohibited uses set forth in subsection B of this section, a person residing in a dwelling located in an agricultural or residential zone may conduct a home occupation, subject to the home occupation standards set forth in section 10-22-5 of this chapter and any other applicable requirement under this title.

B. Prohibited Uses In All Zones: The uses set forth below involve operations not suited to a residential area and shall be prohibited as home occupations in all zones:

Any use that requires or utilizes chemicals or hazardous materials in excess of volumes allowed by the International Fire Code in a dwelling.

Auto body or fender work.

Junkyards, storage or recycling yards.

Manufacture or sale of ammunition, explosives, or similar products.

Mortuaries and crematoriums.

Rental or sale of vehicles exceeding two (2) rentals or sales per year.
Sexually oriented businesses.

Short term rentals.

Vehicle towing operations.

10-22-5: HOME OCCUPATION STANDARDS:
The standards set forth in this section shall apply to any home occupation, except as otherwise provided in section 10-22-6 of this chapter.

A. Accessory Buildings: A home occupation shall not involve the use of any accessory building.

B. Business License: Approval of a home occupation shall not relieve a person from obtaining a City business license as required by this Code.

C. Employees Prohibited On Premises: No person conducting a home occupation shall permit any employee, contractor, or other person to do any work related to the home occupation on the premises where the home occupation is located.

D. Fire Inspection: Every facility used in a home occupation may be inspected by the Fire Department prior to initial use and shall meet Fire Department standards at all times.

E. Inventory: Products associated with a home occupation may be kept on the premises provided that storage of such items shall be inside the dwelling and shall be limited to a maximum of two hundred fifty (250) cubic feet.

F. Modification Of Structures: There shall be no visible evidence from the exterior of a dwelling indicating any use other than for a dwelling.

G. Neighborhood Disturbance: A home occupation shall not alter the residential character of the premises or unreasonably disturb the peace and quiet of the neighborhood by reason of construction, dust, electrical or electromagnetic wave interference, fumes, lighting, noise, odor, smoke, sounds, traffic, vibration, or other causes.

H. Parking: Parking shall be limited to two (2) available parking spaces on the subject property where automobiles are customarily parked. No additional parking spaces shall be created to serve a home occupation.

I. Product Display: There shall be no external display of products or merchandise.

J. Promotional Meetings: Promotional meetings for the purpose of selling merchandise, taking orders, or training shall be prohibited.

K. Secondary Use Only: A home occupation shall be conducted entirely indoors and shall be incidental and secondary to the primary use of a dwelling for residential purposes. Not more than twenty percent (20%) of the floor area of a dwelling unit shall be used for a home occupation.
L. Signs: See chapter 27 of this title.

M. Traffic And Access: A home occupation shall not generate pedestrian, parking, or vehicular traffic in excess of that customarily associated with the zone where the home occupation is located. A home occupation which generates additional pedestrian, parking, or vehicular traffic is prohibited in a dwelling unit which shares a common driveway or other access with an adjoining dwelling unit.

N. Utility Demand: A home occupation shall not cause a demand for public utilities in excess of that necessarily and customarily provided for residential uses.

O. Yards: Yards surrounding a dwelling and any accessory building:

1. May be used for activities customarily conducted outside a dwelling unit, such as swimming lessons and child play areas; and
2. Shall not be used for storage of any materials or equipment associated with a home occupation.

P. Hours: Home occupations involving pedestrian or vehicle traffic shall be conducted only between the hours of seven o’clock (7:00) A.M. and seven o’clock (7:00) P.M.

10-22-6: SPECIAL HOME OCCUPATIONS IN AGRICULTURAL ZONES:
Notwithstanding any contrary provision in section 10-22-5 of this chapter, and subject to the provisions of this section, a person residing in a dwelling located in an agricultural zone and up to two (2) employees may conduct a special home occupation. If the lot where the home occupation is located is larger than one acre, up to four (4) employees on the premises may operate the home occupation. A special home occupation shall be a conditional use in the agricultural zones.

A. Accessory Buildings: Work may be done in accessory buildings if any nuisance arising from noise and odors, other than those incidental to legitimate agricultural uses, is mitigated.

B. Business License: A business license for a home occupation in an agricultural zone shall not exceed two (2) years but may be renewed subject to applicable provisions of this chapter.

C. Equipment: Equipment may be stored outside a building in a rear yard.

D. Parking: One additional parking space on the premises shall be provided for each employee coming to the dwelling as a result of the home occupation. Such parking shall be located only in a side or rear yard.

E. Restrooms: Any accessory buildings where employees work shall have restrooms for such employees.
F. Secondary Use Only: A home occupation shall be incidental and secondary to the use of the dwelling and the premises for agricultural purposes and shall not change the character of the dwelling.

G. Storage: Storage of material shall be within an enclosed building.

10-22-7 HOME DAYCARE:
A home daycare shall meet the home occupation standards of section 10-22-5 and the following additional requirements:

1. The operator of the daycare shall be a bona fide resident of the premises where the home occupation is conducted.
2. The number of children attending a daycare center shall conform to applicable requirements of the Utah Code.
3. Outdoor play areas shall be fenced and located only in a rear yard or side yard. No play or yard equipment shall be located in the front yard.
4. A daycare center operated as a home occupation shall be prohibited if located on a shared driveway.

10-22-8 HOME GROUP INSTRUCTION:
A home occupation for group instruction, including, but not limited to, preschools, tumbling lessons, swimming lessons, or dance lessons, shall meet the home occupation standards of section 10-22-5 and the following additional requirements:

1. The instructor shall be a bona fide resident of the premises where the home occupation is conducted.
2. For purposes of this section, a group shall be defined as three (3) or more students attending a session.
3. The number of children attending for preschool instruction shall conform to applicable requirements of the Utah Code.
4. Outdoor play areas shall be fenced and located only in a rear yard or side yard. No play or yard equipment shall be located in the front yard.
5. A home occupation for instruction shall be prohibited if located on a shared driveway.
Add “Home Group Instruction” on the Table of Uses (Chapter 16) as a conditional use in the following zones:
A-.25
A-.5
A-1
R-1-10
R-1-15
R-1-21
R-1-43
R-2-10
R-2-15
FR-1
MU-2

Add “Special Home Occupation” on the Table of Uses (Chapter 16) as a conditional use in the following zones:
A-.25
A-.50
A-1
10-29-16: DAYCARE AND PRESCHOOLS:

A "daycare/preschool center", as defined in chapter 3 of this title, shall be subject to the following conditions:

A. Compatibility: A daycare/preschool center located within an existing building shall be compatible with existing and proposed land uses located on the same lot. New construction shall be compatible in design and scale of building with existing development within the same area.

B. State Approval: A daycare/preschool center shall be approved as required by the State Department of Social Services.

C. Parking: Parking, pickup, and delivery areas shall be provided as required by chapter 24 of this title.

D. Frontage: In any zone, except the R-M, C-1, C-2, or M-1 Zones, the lot on which a daycare/preschool center is located shall have frontage on a street with an existing right-of-way of eighty feet (80') or greater.

E. Home Occupation: A home daycare/preschool shall meet the home occupation requirements set forth in chapter 22 of this title and the following additional requirements:

1. The operator shall be a bona fide resident of the premises where the home occupation is conducted.

2. The number of children attending a daycare or preschool center shall conform to applicable requirements of the Utah Code.

3. Outdoor play areas shall be fenced and located only in a rear yard or side yard. No play or yard equipment shall be located in the front yard.

4. Notwithstanding subsection C of this section, no additional parking or drop-off area shall be created.

5. A daycare or preschool center operated as a home occupation shall be prohibited if located on a shared driveway.
10-3-5 Definitions, Generally

HOME OCCUPATION: A commercial or other nonresidential use conducted within a dwelling unit that is incidental and secondary to the use of the dwelling for residential purposes as permitted pursuant to chapter 22 of this title.

HOME OCCUPATION, SPECIAL: A home occupation located in an agricultural zone conducted pursuant to chapter 22 of this title.

HOME DAYCARE: A facility located within a dwelling and yard which, for direct or indirect compensation, provides care, protection, supervision, of twelve (12) or less children, including the caregiver's own children under the age of six (6) and not yet in full day school. (State Statutes and regulations may contain additional requirements or may limit the number of children allowed in a home daycare) "Home daycare" does not include care provided in the home of the parent or legal guardian of a child or children.

HOME GROUP INSTRUCTION: A facility located within a dwelling and yard which, for direct or indirect compensation, provides instruction for three (3) or more students at a time for uses including, but not limited to, preschool, tumbling lessons, swimming lessons, or dance lessons. Subject to the requirements of Chapter 22 of this title.

10-3-6 D:

DAYCARE/PRESCHOOL, HOME: A facility located within a dwelling and yard which, for direct or indirect compensation, provides care, protection, supervision, and/or preschool instruction of twelve (12) or less children, including the caregiver's own children under the age of six (6) and not yet in full day school. (State Statutes and regulations may contain additional requirements or may limit the number of children allowed in a home daycare/preschool.) "Home daycare/preschool" does not include care provided in the home of the parent or legal guardian of a child or children.
DATE: September 6, 2018

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder

SUBJECT: Kennecott Utah Copper, LLC Annexation Timeline

RECOMMENDATION:
Staff recommends the City Council provide direction for the Kennecott Utah Copper, LLC Annexation Timeline if changes to the proposed schedule are necessary.

DISCUSSION:
Kennecott has filed an annexation petition to bring 267 acres into Herriman City. State Code specifies requirements that have to be met. The proposed timeline is as follows:

1. **Annexation Notice of Intent** was filed on August 31, 2018
2. Salt Lake County certified that the required Notice was mailed on September 4, 2018
3. The Annexation Petition was filed with the City Recorder September 4, 2018.
4. **September 12** - The City Council may accept the petition for further consideration
5. **September 13** - The City Recorder shall certify the annexation and send the required notices of certification.
6. **September 13 - October 13** - Annexation Protest Period
7. Within 10 days of certifying the annexation, a notice shall be published in a newspaper (once a week for 3 consecutive weeks)
8. Notices will be scheduled for publication on September 18, September 25, and October 2.
9. **7 Days +** - Publish a notice by October 3 for a Public Hearing to be scheduled for October 10 City Council Meeting
10. **October 24** - The City Council may grant or deny the petition for annexation.
11. The Annexation Petition must be certified and recorded by October 31 for the annexation to take effect January 1, 2019
STAFF REPORT

DATE: September 5, 2018

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Gordon Haight, Assistant City Manager

SUBJECT: Annexation Agreement with Kennecott Utah Copper, LLC

RECOMMENDATION:
Staff recommends the City Council direct Staff to bring back an executable annexation agreement with Kennecott for a 267 acre annexation.

BACKGROUND:
Kennecott has filed a 267 acre annexation petition. With the filing of that petition, Kennecott has requested the City enter into an annexation agreement to help facilitate development on the property. The annexation agreement will outline what each party will be doing in association with development of the property.

FISCAL IMPACT:
The City will need to use a significant amount of City Staff. However, there is no budget amendment required for this recommendation.
DATE: September 5, 2018

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Gordon Haight, Assistant City Manager

SUBJECT: Discussion of an Interlocal Agreement with Salt Lake County for Planning Services

RECOMMENDATION:
Staff is recommending that the City Council direct Staff to bring back an executable Interlocal agreement between Herriman City and Salt Lake County. The agreement would allow for the efficient development of a manufacturing building within the Kennecott annexation area.

BACKGROUND:
The property is currently located in Salt Lake County, however the property owner has filed an annexation petition. With the current schedule, the property will not be annexed into the City until January 1st, 2019. To meet the manufacture’s timeline much of the entitlement and planning process needs to be completed prior to January 1st, 2019. The City needs to work together with Salt Lake County, which has jurisdiction over this property, to meet the company’s timeline.

FISCAL IMPACT:
The City will need to use a significant amount of City Staff. However, there is no budget amendment required for this recommendation.
STAFF REPORT

DATE: September 5, 2018

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Tami Moody, Director of Administration and Communications
       Blake Thomas, City Engineer

SUBJECT: Project Engagement

BACKGROUND:
The Administrative Staff were assigned to improve public engagement methods regarding construction and development projects that are taking place in the City. The Communications Department and Engineering Department joined forces to come up with an interactive map that will provide information regarding the location of projects within city boundaries, detail about the projects, and the estimated timeline from start to finish. Staff are close to completion on the task and hope to have it up and running within the next 30 to 60 days.

DISCUSSION:
An example of the interactive map and design draft are noted in the photos below (these could change slightly as finalize design). When users visit our website, they can simply click on the construction page and view the project map. The map will include information about capital projects, new development, and land disturbance. Users can zoom in and out on the map and if they hover over the project indicators on the map, a brief description of the project will pop up. If they want additional information, they can click on that description and it will pull up a page with more detailed project information. This will be a useful tool not only for our public, but for staff and council as well.
Construction and Projects

Redwood Road 54-G & Porter Rockwell (Blk) Intersection
Reconstruction of Redwood/Porter Rockwell

UPDATE:
Over the Labor Day weekend crews will not work from 3:00 pm. Friday, August 23rd, until Tuesday morning September 4th.

Work at the intersection with SR-68 began August 28th, this work is expected to take approximately two months. Work includes improvements to the intersection of Redwood Road and Porter Rockwell which will include widening to accommodate additional turning movements, storm drainage, sidewalks, pedestrian ramps, signals, and lighting. The left turn movement from southbound Porter Rockwell onto northbound Redwood Road will be closed for the next two months. Please follow any posted detour signs.

Cyclists
Please Note: During construction there will not be any bike lanes or shoulders available for use. If possible, find an alternate route.

WHAT’S GOING ON?
CAPITAL PROJECTS:
• J Lynn Crane Park, Jr.
• Butterfield Park
• Bridge Construction
DEVELOPMENT
• Ansley Area Development
• Another Development

J. Lynn Crane Park, Jr.
5355 W. Hermann Avenue

DESCRIPTION
In September 2016, the City Council approved 50% design funding for an expansion of J. Lynn Crane Park, Jr. The park will include a variety of amenities including bike trails during construction. The project is expected to go to City Council in 2018 for final approval of the project.

PROJECT TIMELINE
Public Input
Date: October 10th, 2018
Staff: Project Manager
Project Design
• Letter of Intent (LOI) for City Council
• Final Design
Pre-construction
Date: November 10th, 2018
• Construction Begins
• Construction End
Inspection
Date: December 10th, 2018
Proposed Project Completion
Date: April 2019

KEY DATES
• October 10th, 2018
• Public Meeting
• November 15th, 2018
• Construction Begins

CONTACTS
• J. Lynn Crane Park, Jr.
• Butterfield Park
• Bridge Construction
• Ansley Area Development
• Another Development

WHAT ELSE IS COMING?
J. Lynn Crane Park, Jr.
Butterfield Park
Bridge Construction
Ansley Area Development
Another Development

COMMENTS
Joe Resident
August 22nd, 2018 - 6:00 am.
The following are the minutes of the Special City Council Meeting of the Herriman City Council. The meeting was held on Wednesday, June 6, 2018 at 5:00 p.m. in the Herriman City Hall Council Chambers, 5355 West Herriman Main Street, Herriman, Utah. Adequate notice of this meeting, as required by law, was posted in the City Hall, on the City’s website, and delivered to members of the Council, media, and interested citizens.

**Presiding:** Mayor David Watts

**Council Members Present:** Nicole Martin, Sherrie Ohrn and Clint Smith

**Staff Present:** City Manager Brett Wood, Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight and Director of Administration and Communications Tami Moody, Finance Director Alan Rae, City Recorder Jackie Nostrom, Public Works Director Justun Edwards, Parks and Recreation Director Wendy Thomas, Operations Director Monte Johnson, City Engineer Blake Thomas, Police Chief Troy Carr, City Planner Michael Maloy, Unified Fire Chief Riley Pilgrim, Chief Building Official Cathryn Nelson, Communications Specialist Jonathan LaFollette, City Attorney John Brems, and Acting Deputy Police Chief Brian Lohrke.

**Excused:** Councilmember Jared Henderson

### 5:00 PM - WORK MEETING: *(Fort Herriman Conference Room)*

1. **Mayor and Council Social**
   
   1.1. *The Mayor and Council will meet for informal discussion and dinner. No action will be taken on any items.*

2. **Council Business – 5:15 PM**

Mayor David Watts called the meeting to order at 5:20 p.m. and excused Councilmember Henderson.

2.1. **Review of this Evening’s Agenda**

   Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight informed the Council Item 3.7 would be continued to a future meeting. Councilmember Nicole Martin mentioned the need to nominate a temporary chair for the Herriman City Safety Enforcement Area meeting due to the absence of Councilmember Jared Henderson. It was determined Mayor Watts would conduct the meeting.
2.2. Future Agenda Items

There were no future agenda items discussed.

3. Administrative Reports

3.1. Discussion pertaining to the 2018-2019 Herriman City Tentative Budget – Alan Rae, Finance Director

Finance Director Alan Rae began with an explanation of an addendum in the budget due to unforeseen changes. The budget needed to account for the changes. The budget would include four police officer salaries and the purchase of four respective vehicles. These costs would be offset by the lease revenue. Councilmember Martin asked why the current four vehicles could not be used for the purposes of the new vehicles. City Manager Brett Wood discussed the need for the vehicles and confirmed they would all be utilized. The addendum would pertain to the general budget, not to the Herriman City Safety Enforcement Area (HCSEA) budget. Councilmember Ohrn confirmed that the money for the HCSEA could not be assimilated into the general budget. Councilmember Smith added that the HCSEA helped to protect dedicated funds for public safety services. Councilmember Ohrn voiced her desire to make that a public statement in order to keep transparency.

3.2. Community Facilitator Training Report – Sandra Llewellyn, Community Development Coordinator

Community Development Coordinator Sandra Llewellyn presented a training program to the Council that had been implemented in September 2017. The training covered expectations and requirements for community development. A follow-up training occurred in April and a third had been scheduled in June. City Planner Michael Maloy would review land use for the community facilitators. The planning discussion portion of the training would include current zone and land-use expectations. Councilmember Martin suggested the facilitators check out the “next door” app for them to maintain strong communication with the various communities. The system is community-oriented design allows for dialogue purely amongst the residents. This seems to fit nicely with the facilitator program. Community Development Coordinator Sandra Llewellyn mentioned that in September, there were eleven community meetings. She also mentioned that if concerns with the developers arise, meetings and social media outreach helps clear those concerns. At these meetings, people are able to come and speak one-on-one with developers to better understand processes and planned developments. Councilmember Ohrn mentioned the importance of developers participating in planning coordination meetings.

3.3. Discussion pertaining to the Withdrawal Process from the Unified Police Department – Brett Wood City Manager

City Manager Brett Wood outlined the meeting on May 24, 2018 that gave operations a chance to work through certain processes and identify assets and liabilities with the police department changes. He announced that both Chief Carr and Unified Police Department Deputy Chief Jason Mazuran were present to discuss the status for the Council. Chief Troy Carr addressed the Council and affirmed that the meeting went well. Certain aspects not in the scope were identified. At first glance, operations would run efficiently in the scope of appropriate distribution. There weren’t any pending road blocks at the moment. From the perspective of the police department, past issues mostly revolved around logistics. The logistics included officially rolling out the department, rebranding, and making sure all vehicles meet standards. Deputy Chief Jason Mazuran agreed with Chief Troy Carr. The goal has been set to meet weekly in
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order to keep things clear and concise. Despite any complexities, all parties committed to moving forward and creating information needed for distribution. Amidst everything, the goal would be to maintain an underlying tone of mindfulness of being fair to Herriman City and to the members of the Unified Police Department (UPD). Chief Troy Carr observed the key relationships needed to keep from creating destructive relations as the Goal is to keep all relationships intact.

City Manager Brett Wood praised the demeanor of the new police department. He stressed that the utmost concern is the residents and keeping them under full coverage during the transition from UPD to Herriman Police Department (HPD). Rather than leaving on July 1, HPD will become official this September. A letter had been drafted to address certain issues between the two entities as Herriman expressed apprehension about assets being released to the City in a timely manner. The operations team would deliver a list of assets to see what can be moved, check out legal issues, and help transition them from UPD to HPD assets. The City asked that UPD act fairly during the transition, without benefit or deficit. Chief Troy Carr mentioned some of the new officers are feeling a sense of anxiety. In order to curb these, he and some of the other members agreed to meet frequently. The goal would be to maintain a great working relationship with all involved and keep the focus on public safety and policing. City Manager Brett Wood agreed and relayed his confidence that the officers would help the transition.

Councilmember Martin mentioned the situation was similar to a divorce. A divorce can be good or bad. In this sense, it needs to be good for the sake of the “kids” or residents. There are no winners unless both parties continue to approach the situation with thought. Without this, there would be an unnecessary level of dysfunction. Despite the need to move forward on time and with support, the main concern would be to maintain focus to keep the community safe. Her support was conditional on the good faith of keeping it as pleasant of an experience as possible, eliminating any games, and moving forward with needed relationships in check. Councilmember Smith agreed and added his appreciation for those undertaking the process. He recognized the difficult conversations that had resulted and appreciated how members of UPD approached the City. The UPD is a professional organization and Herriman expected nothing less than professionalism. He reiterated Chief Mazuran’s statement; this was a unique situation that will inevitably lead to certain challenging situations.

In addition to the public safety, Councilmember Smith stated his goal would be for entities to maintain professionalism during the difficult conversations and suggested it was a top priority. He restated Councilmember Martin’s words that there are many competent team members who are capable of handling these situations in an appropriate manner. He relayed his support to maintain a definite date to plan accordingly. The City’s job shall be to protect the interests of the residents and their contributions. He relayed full confidence in all those involved in operating the police department and keeping to the timeline. Councilmember Smith thanked Chief Mazuran and acting Chief Brian Lohrke for their hard work and dedication. The Council agreed with statements offered. City Manager Brett Wood handed out a draft response to the resolution. He asked for feedback from the Council. Four officers have already been sworn into service and the department is starting to move forward.

3.4. Discussion Relating to the Storm Water Ordinance – Blake Thomas, City Engineer

City Engineer Blake Thomas discussed a model ordinance for the City to utilize. The City needed a UPDES permit for the stormwater to allow the City to control illicit discharge, erosion, sediment control, and post construction storm water controls. The goal is to protect the waters of the State and City. The ordinance would serve as an enforcement
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A tool to help the City gain compliance with the State. The focus of the ordinance is stormwater quality. Several key components included in the ordinance are not yet enforced by the City, including land disturbance permits.

Councilmember Smith asked about other necessary requirements in the ordinance. City Engineer Blake Thomas mentioned that certain areas cannot be disturbed as per the hillside ordinance. Revegetation is also part of the ordinance for erosion control. If the City fails to monitor this, later down the road other issues may arise. Other cities each have several stormwater monitors that regularly check for compliance; Herriman City does not yet have any such employees and needs to budget for them. Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight added that since there is no inspector right now, controlling the revegetation and potential erosion issues is virtually impossible as these details need frequent follow-up. The project carries a price tag and will affect the budget. Financial Director Alan Rae has not yet added the potential costs from the ordinance into the budget plan.

Mayor David Watts added that despite the unexpected costs, it is necessary in order to become compliant with federal law. Councilmember Ohrn mentioned that the cost would increase later if left unattended. Councilmember Smith expressed his desire that the ordinance include a measure for verification at some point in order to avoid any redundancy due to lack of upkeep to allow the ordinance to properly accomplish the intended purpose. City Engineer Blake Thomas continued to explain that owners are required to check the storm drains, etc. at least once a year and file with the City. Every three years the City would check the system. These precautions would be in place to maintain compliance with the State standards. The ordinance would require churches, schools, and other private lots to go through and inspect or rebuild different parts of the development. Certain landscaping and stabilization requirements would also be applied. Areas where vegetation has been wholly or partially cleared by development activities must be revegetated. The ordinance specifically prohibits illicit discharge and illegal dumping. Certain activities would receive an exception from this law, including irrigation water and residential car washing.

The last section in the ordinance pertained to enforcement methods. The City would need to have escalating enforcement steps to include a notice of violation with a two-day mandatory response time, a second notice with a potential penalty or fine imposed daily until correction, or loss of permit after 10 days. The method and fines would be approved by the Council prior to enforcement.

City Engineer Blake Thomas indicated he would bring more information to the Council as it comes available. He also noted that the information was included in a recent City newsletter.

3.5. Mountain View Corridor and Rosecrest Road Safety Meeting Follow-up Discussion – Blake Thomas, City Engineer

City Engineer Blake Thomas reviewed the Mountain View Corridor and Rosecrest Road Safety meeting that had been scheduled on May 24, 2018. He noted a few people attended from the community as well as a representative from the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT). The meeting was streamed live by UDOT. During the meeting, Mountain View Corridor was discussed along with the safety on Rosecrest Road and future developments in the area. He presented a map to depict future intersections and roads. The Crash history on certain intersections was observed, starting in 2010. With 11800 South, 12600 South, 13400 South and Rosecrest Road, 46 total accidents occurred between January 2010 and March 2018.
UDOT had provided optional solutions to the concerns about accidents. Some of the concerns included running red lights and the acceleration lane from Rosecrest Road. Advanced warning system lights, dual red globes, and a tattletale act for the police officers all can help improve safety as well. Mayor David Watts added that the intersection was making changes. The City also had offered solutions to concerns. An Autumn Crest extension, a Juniper Crest West connection to Real Vista Drive, and increased coordination with UDOT for safety evaluation were all options to increase safety.

3.6. Discussion of the Herriman Crossroads Development Agreement – Michael Maloy, City Planner

City Planner Michael Maloy presented a few early comments on the current draft for the agreement. He expressed his desire to hear feedback on the direction taken with the developer.

He first touched on the opening lines explaining that the Master Development Agreement (MDA) would result in significant planning and economic benefits to the City. He explained that perhaps this might be inherent; however, reading further in the letter there is no support to back the claim. He recommended reaching out for clarification and justification before moving forward. The project itself was not technically a planned unit development in the city code. Typically the City gives up certain rights or zoning standards in exchange for achieving a better project. Although this project wants to deliver a better product, it claims that it wants to comply with all city standards.

City Planner Michael Maloy mentioned that with all other MDAs, an architectural review committee had typically been established. However, this development does not have a committee and would rely on the Planning Commission process; however, there are certain stipulations for the project to bypass the Planning Commission process. The map displayed showed several open space areas not clarified in the MDA. These elements would be removed. The Agreement included a lengthy legal description of the property, but the breakdown of the area shows about 113 acres but the developer claimed a total of 125 acres. The discrepancy had not been resolved but he assured the Council that the differences would be accounted for prior to consideration.

City Planner Michael Maloy mentioned that there were a few aspects in the proposal are not specific or measurable which could pose to be tricky to enforce. He offered an example provided in the proposal depicting a few images to show how the development would exceed the minimum, but he was unclear what they are attempting to convey with the images. All images to be included in the MDA should be clear and concise. Descriptions needed to include what the image displays, expectations, and other specific data. Currently, the images are unlabeled and confusing. The legal agreement needed improved clarity as well and needed to include the vested rights and exceptions. The City Attorney will be tasked to review the MDA for final editing, proofing and formatting.

City Planner Michael Maloy requested a direction from the Council regarding the MDA. Mayor David Watts thanked the staff for going through the agreement. He mentioned his concern regarding the difference in what has been approved vs. what is currently going into the proposal and should be addressed. Councilmember Smith expressed his gratitude for the thorough review from City Planner Michael Maloy. He specifically mentioned the architectural issues and the photographs included. He had missed that the listed materials didn’t match the depicted photographs. Having seen these, he expressed the need for answers for all the highlighted items. The design elements need to be reflected in the photos. City Planner Michael Maloy mentioned that stucco can make up to 40-percent of material, but most of
Councilmember Smith reviewed the question of exterior makeup. He mentioned the percentages required to make up part of the building, such as that stucco must make up a third of the building material. The proposal did not accurately meet the requirements in the description and needed to be addressed. Councilmember Ohrn added her opinion that the proposal must show something measurable. The developer should also comply with due diligence and move along a good timeline. It must be understood that there is a process that has to be followed. City Planner Michael Maloy will continue refining their agreement.

City Planner Michael Maloy requested moving forward with other apartments in the meantime. Councilmember Martin added to keep very thorough tabs on the projects and keep all verbal agreements. The project should not move forward under unsubstantiated verbiage. Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight and Councilmember Smith agreed.

3.7. Engineering Standards Update and Review Discussion – Jonathan Bowers, Assistant City Engineer
This item was scheduled for discussion in a future meeting.

3.8. Discussion of the HB 136 and the request from Salt Lake County to adopt a Support Resolution – Gordon Haight, Assistant City Manager
Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight discussed the tax increase endorsement with the new resolution from the county. The decision deadline is June 22, 2018. He mentioned the importance of discussing the reasoning behind endorsing or not endorsing the imposition and putting it up for a vote on June 20, 2018. As it is outlined, 300K would come to the City and this would be a great benefit for road maintenance.

As far as positives and negatives, he addressed certain concepts handed down by the State. Two current legislators mentioned previously that the resolution would not be endorsed mainly by cities that have enough funding and accepted by those that could use the extra help. For this reason, the percentages are based on monetary needs. Both State and County legislators made similar statements. If a City is not in support of the resolution, the general interpretation of the State is that the City is not in need of the money. Councilmember Martin added that another reason to decline the resolution would be due to the return for investment.

Finance Director Alan Rae brought to the discussion population distribution and receiving sales tax from non-residents that visit the City. The resolution therefore would not accurately represent the population and the tax would be disproportionate. The Council discussed how the population and the resolution would in the end utilize the same sales tax principles. Councilmember Martin used an example of exporting vs importing with different cities both receiving benefit. Each city will receive a portion from the tax resolution. She was mostly concerned about the pot of money outside of the City’s 300K and how it will be disseminated. However, based on population and sales, Herriman City would receive similar to what Sandy would receive, but in comparison, Herriman is nowhere near similar local sale margins. Councilmember Smith asked what the anticipated total money collected would be from this resolution. Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight answered that the City would be eligible for a line share for more. The
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opportunity to receive money available outside of this tax amounts to one or two million. Aside from funds from this new resolution, support will come as well. The cities that will support this resolution will have a leg up on Herriman City.

In summary, the cities that do not endorse the resolution will not reach the 67-percent benchmark, and those that do endorse it will reach the mark. Councilmember Smith mentioned that the County Council has hopes of receiving the support of the City. If they receive 2/3 of the population of the county, theoretically that is enough to move forward. The threshold is already set and the City now should consider the resolution. However, the vote from Herriman overall may be in vain if enough of the other cities are supportive. Councilmember Martin opined that the County is not likely to change the threshold, potentially as an intimidation factor for the cities involved. Publicly, it frustrated her that if this is a true resolution where City Council’s are to vet and represent the residents. If the goal is signature, then why bother putting the City in each position. There are no choices if it is thus characterized. The council members are initially voted in to represent the citizens. However, the representation is virtually taken away when processes similar to this resolution are initiated. She stressed that she is not happy to play a game, but she is happy to have a look at the resolution. Of course, more money is always a help, but she was concerned if legitimate conversations are happening regarding how the tax will improve transportation and congestion. She would like to bring in that money where it would be desperately needed rather than playing “theater”. Her current concern was that the quality of life for the residents does not plummet due to massive congestion increases at certain intersections.

Mayor David Watts previously made frustrations clear to the County, specifically regarding transit concerns. Many of these projects have major regional significance but are not yet on the plan. Councilmember Martin agreed that they should be considered, especially considering that Herriman has preserved the right of way and created a transit plan. David Mayor Watts continued the discussion by saying that he would like to keep the City in mind and move forward with productive conversations. Councilmember Martin voiced that she would not like the City or residents to be punished purely because the Council followed the due diligence they were elected to upkeep. Councilmember Ohrn discussed how the vote was presented to the City and all discussed how it was not an appropriate way to implement a tax. She voiced that she would like this resolution to be put strictly to a vote by the residents. She felt disgusted and agreed that this was not a proper way to build collaborative agreements or cohesiveness. They did not agree with the tactics and the lack of integrity. Mayor David Watts added that the City had worked with the federal transit. They affirmed that the developments were ready to go and the funding was already set in place. He said he was willing to consider this tax increase, but with the knowledge that funding sources are already available and without indication that clogged intersections will be improved, he was wary but thinks it should be included on the agenda. Councilmember Martin would love to have a legislator come out to share his thoughts on the resolution. This would help with the spirit of working together. Various opinions should have the opportunity of coming to the table and being considered. The Council all agreed with the statements.

3.9. **City Manager Updates** – Brett Wood, City Manager

Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight discussed Olympia Hill Development. The zoning would allow up to 8,700 units to be built in the developed area. The statistics show why this would be a huge impact to the region, not just to the City. Developer Doug Young met with the City to discuss options. What the City needs from the Council is to direct the staff to come back with a proposal to annex and reduce density. However, the City can develop a process, keeping the residents in the loop, to make the potential population density smaller. The situation is delicate and must
be done carefully and openly. There is quite a bit of reason for people to want to bring money to help fund this project. Mayor David Watts mentioned that he was the elected official in the meeting and felt very positive about the future. It is not a done deal and a more palatable resolution was possible.

Councilmember Martin voiced that the developer proved responsive and open to resident feedback. Due to this, the product was vastly improved. It is momentarily disheartening that after such a good relationship-building experience between the developer and residents, he then submitted an application for a high level of density. She would like to go on good faith and have a conversation in the hopes to help the developer see the good response coming from an open process with a large amount of feedback. In essence, her point is that there is a middle ground. Herriman City no longer has low density. However, this high-density proposal is absurd. There must be a middle ground between the old, low-density Herriman and the new high-density proposed development. She believed that Herriman is the best suited to do something good for the county. If everyone was willing to be open, honest and transparent, then she would be supportive of moving forward.

Assistant City manager Gordon Haight expressed his appreciation for the Council’s opinion. He opined that many components would not resonate will with the residents. That being said, he did not believe that the City should dig its heels in and close off to discussion. Either way, the City will feel the impacts of the development, and should be open at this point when the City has a sway in the potential future. He agreed with Councilmember Martin in finding the middle ground “sweet spot” with the developer. Councilmember Ohrn agreed and further discussed the importance of discussion and the benefits of transparency.

4. Adjournment
Councilmember Smith moved to adjourn the City Council work meeting at 7:06 p.m. Councilmember Martin seconded the motion and all voted aye.

7:00 PM - GENERAL MEETING:
1. Call to Order
Mayor Watts called the meeting to order at 7:13 p.m. and excused Councilmember Henderson from the meeting.

1.1. Invocation/Thought/Reading and Pledge of Allegiance
Mr. Paul Fulks offered the invocation and Mr. Travis Barton led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

1.2. Council Disclosure for Conflict of Interest
No Conflict of Interest was disclosed.

1.3. Mayor/Council Comments and Recognitions
Mayor David Watts recognized the rodeo committee and staff. Councilmember Smith also expressed his appreciation to those involved in the rodeo. Each year somehow the staff makes it better. Councilmember Ohrn recognized the efforts of Young Living Farms and commented on the great rodeo.

2. Public Comment
No comments were offered.
3. Mayor and Council Board and Committee Reports
Councilmember Ohrn reported on the youth council interviews. She applauded the people in the area. Many fine families and children live in the area and she expressed her awe at the youth and their insightful responses. She thanked the City for raising a great generation.

4. Reports, Presentations and Appointments
Operations Director Monte Johnson introduced Ed Roughner from the American Public Works Administration. Ed Roughner addressed the Council and expressed his gratitude for honoring the public works employees. He turned the time back to Operations Director Monte Johnson to administer an award to one employee. Operations Director Monte Johnson expressed his honor in nominating Streets Manager Ed Blackett for the award. He mentioned that Manager Blackett is very diligent in his work and protecting the projects. Through a story, he explained how Manager Blackett caught an issue in an earlier project and worked diligently with the water department for readjustment. This saved the City a substantial amount of money. For this, he expressed his gratitude and awarded him the Morrison Employee of the Year award.

   4.2. Unified Fire Authority Quarterly Report – Riley Pilgrim, UFA Fire Chief
UFA Fire Chief Riley Pilgrim began a report on the statistics from the first quarter call volume for Station 103 and 123. Station 103 had 141 calls, of those, 41 were fire-related, and 110 were medical-related. The numbers were increased from last year but not in an alarming way or out of the normal. Station 123 had a total of 126 calls during the quarter. Of these calls, 29 were fire-related and 97 medical. The numbers overall haven’t increased or decreased much from the year before. Councilmember Martin affirmed that as the population increases, the City should expect the amount of medical-related calls to increase. UFA Fire Chief Riley Pilgrim affirmed this and mentioned that this time of year is typically prone for more wildfire fires as well. He proceeded to give a breakdown of the average call volume over the last 12 months for Station 103. One trend is when the weather is nicer, people are more likely to be out and recreating. The calls will inevitably increase. In the winter calls can increase as well with ski accidents. Overall, the calls from both stations had not jumped or spiked in any alarming way and had stayed average.

Councilmember Martin mentioned that she noticed a headline on opioid manufacture. She asked for clarification. He answered that there is an increase for those overdosing or seizing due to opioid use. However, Herriman City has not demonstrated the use as much as other cities. He mentioned that the opioid issue has been a concern of the department and they try to use educational methods to prevent as much as possible. They are equipped to deal with those situations, especially when mere seconds make all the difference. Some of the main calls revolve around false alarms, miscellaneous fires, locked doors with children and smoke investigation. Station 103 conducted eight tours for educational purposes. Station 123 had quite a few more due to its central location.

He proceeded to discuss the recent IFA audit. The department has completed 21 of 28 action items as well as some internal audit items. From the UFA audit, 100 of the 126 items have been completed. The remaining items from UFA are all regarding the State of Utah’s attorney general office and are out of the hands of Chief Peterson. Councilmember
Martin added that she has been quite impressed with the complete culture change and how strategically it was completed. In her eyes, the fire department has been completely renewed.

UFA Fire Chief Riley Pilgrim responded by expressing his appreciation for Chief Peterson’s hard efforts. The department had improved in transparency, accountability, and refocusing on fixing issues. Quite a bit of help came from Herriman City as well and he expressed his gratitude for that. Some things that had taken the back end while the department was reorganized, such as water rescue and recovery program. Another weaker program from before was the Blackridge response. Now that the department has improved, they have begun training again for the weaker areas. In addition, they have had a few meetings with Herriman City Trails in order to better respond to any trail accidents.

Finally, one continual concern is the wildfire potential. Although it is hard to predict, certain weather patterns help. They are anticipating quite a few wildfires and have been preparing for this potential. Camp Williams has worked with the department since 2013. In addition, the National Guard is a great help to have regarding Camp William. Many measures have been taken to mitigate larger fires and since, they have been significantly reduced. He personally thanked Matt McFarland, who has been instrumental in many of the improvements. Instrumental shout out. Chief Pilgrim indicated the UFA budget process is wrapping up. The tentative budget was approved in the last meeting and will be officially approved on June 19. Fire school also recently occurred with Mayor David Watts being in attendance. Councilmember Ohrn thanked him for the fire school experience as well and for all the hard work that the department has put in to keeping the City safe. Mayor David Watts thanked UFA Fire Chief Riley Pilgrim for his specific service as well.

Councilmember Smith made a comment about how quickly temperatures can rise in a locked car. He remembered reading that at 90 degrees, the inside of a car can rise to 140 degrees within 10 minutes. He continued by specifically thanking UFA Fire Chief Riley Pilgrim for his service. He then mentioned that he had several questions and thoughts on the topic. He first asked about what changes happened with the department regarding job roles. UFA Fire Chief Riley Pilgrim mentioned that in both 103 and 126, the departments had become short staffed until recently, forcing people to take on roles they were unequipped to fulfill. For example, the medical personnel working for the engineering department. Changes were made in July of 2017 in the staffing and now the department no longer falls below the minimum staffing needs. They are still waiting for statistics to see the difference with the new staffing, but by way of the feel, it has improved. Councilmember Smith expressed his interest in seeing both the response time within the community and the ambulance response time that comes from Riverton. This data helps the Council better make future decisions as needed due to growth. Another appropriate report would be the tax dollars collected through the UFSA and ultimately how that relates to the cost of the service being provided in Herriman.

UFA Fire Chief Riley Pilgrim voiced his desire to get the requested information to the Council for the next report. With regards to the tax information, they have a user friendly program that can help them calculate the figures by the following day to send out to the Council. The budget is built so that any excess funds return to Herriman City rather than stay with the UFSA. Anything about 8.5-percent was returned to the cities. He opined that this is a valuable asset and the money can come back to the community as needed. Councilmember Smith asked about the use of fund balance for next year’s balance. He asked if the money labelled as fund balance is the exact amount being used, or if it refers to the money that will be returned. In response, UFA Fire Chief Riley Pilgrim believed that it represents the excess
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amount, but will look into it to find the exact answer for the Council. He also advised the Council to view the policy online on page 45 that discusses the member fee. Mayor David Watts expressed his appreciation for the transparency.

4.3. **Herriman Police Department Report and Introductions** – Troy Carr, Police Chief

City Manager Brett Wood took the opportunity to introduce the Herriman Police Chief, Troy Carr. He affirmed that the administrative team also has been put together. There was much interest in the positions. Many in-state and out-of-state candidates were interviewed.

Chief Troy Carr expressed how honored he felt to be selected as the Herriman Police Chief. Recently he met with Director Stephenson who accepted the police department. He also discussed the amazing response the City received when the new positions had opened. Quality candidates were interested. He introduced Deputy Chief Chad Reyes who then offered his appreciation for the opportunity to serve a community in which he lives. He mentioned his privilege of working for UPD for 20 years. Quite a few great opportunities have come his way as he has had the chance to work in both violent crimes and the K-9 unit. He is looking forward to working exclusively in Herriman City, his hometown.

Chief Carr introduced Lieutenant Cody Stromberg who will work as an operations commander. He mentioned that he is happy to be here and privileged to see a community grow. He expressed his excitement to be working with the team. Lieutenant Brian Weidmar also expressed his pleasure to be a part of the team and discussed his background of tradition in UPD. He knows the great leadership will be modelled. His role will be on the investigative portion of the team, including community policing. Previously he worked in search and rescue.

The department has also moved forward with branding. The new patch will go out to the community in the next newsletter. The department is excited about the branding. They wanted the patch and badge to have tradition. The patch will have the Herriman ‘H’ in the middle with the surrounding green, which will look amazing on a blue background. With the badge, they added a copper background to display history with Kennecott Copper. The cars are underway. Some preliminary sketching occurred. They will have an interesting design with the black and white. He believed that the Council would be quite impressed with the design. When the job descriptions were prepared for the department, he had a moment of worry. However, he stressed the outstanding response received. The next goal is to find officers, supervisors and civilians. He believed that people would come to Herriman because of the strong culture and community. He would like to build the Herriman City Police Department to a strong brand and culture that will remain long after all the current members are gone.

He invited Chief Mazuran up with him to discuss the transition period and partnership with UPD. Chief Mazuran introduced himself and mentioned that he would be promoted within the UPD and will work closely with Herriman City. The main goal is to make sure the citizens are safe and all operations continue seamlessly and uninterrupted. Lieutenant Brian Lohrke will be acting commander until the transition is complete. He affirmed that he would always be available to help should the City need anything. Lieutenant Brian Lohrke introduced himself and expressed his gratitude to serve the citizens and mayor. His job will strictly be public safety and keeping all the citizens safe.

Lieutenant Cody Stromberg stressed serving the citizens during the transition to ensure public safety. Chief Troy Carr mentioned that the transition team has worked great. Each member is qualified and been through similar situations.
June 6, 2018 – Special City Council Minutes

He met with Chief Jake Peterson and Chief Mazuran to discuss future relationships. He affirmed that he and his crew are specifically dedicated to a seamless transition to ensure citizens’ safety. In addition, good progress has already been made in the department and the team will continue to move down the road. He promised to bring more information to the next meeting and was thanked by the Council.

Mayor David Watts added that this decision was taken seriously and that UPD has done a fine job training officers to help create the department. Chief Troy Carr commended the hired officers. Councilmember Smith thanked Chiefs Lohrke and Mazuran for working through challenging situations and having candor throughout.

5. Public Hearing
   5.1. Public Hearing to discuss the Tentative Fiscal Year 2018-2019 Herriman City Budget and to amend the Fiscal Year 2017-2018 Herriman City Budget – Alan Rae, Finance Director

Finance Director Alan Rae reviewed the budget timeline and discussed the scheduled public hearing. The budget would officially receive approval on June 20. The Council will be looking for public input.

Mayor David Watts opened the public hearing.

No comments were offered.

Councilmember Ohrn moved to close the public hearing; Councilmember Smith seconded the motion. All voted aye.

Councilmember Ohrn reminded those in attendance that the budget would not be approved until the next meeting and the Council is open to public outreach. She encouraged residents to review the document. Councilmember Smith agreed with her statements and asked for feedback on the City’s responsibility and accountability. The budget document had been prepared for easy reading.

6. Consent Agenda
   6.1. Approval of the March 21, 2018, May 9, 2018, and May 19, 2018 City Council Meeting Minutes
   6.2. Approval of an Interlocal Cooperative Agreement between Herriman City and Salt Lake County extending the contract for Animal Services – Justun Edwards, Public Works Director
   6.3. Approval of a Cooperative Agreement with Utah Department of Transportation for the installation of a signal located at the Intersection of Academy Parkway and Mountain View Corridor – Blake Thomas, City Engineer
   6.4. Approval on Recruiting Strategies and Policy Changes – Travis Dunn, Human Resources Manager

Councilmember Martin moved to approve the Consent Agenda as written. Councilmember Smith seconded the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:
The motion passed unanimously.

7. **Calendar**
   7.1. **Meetings**
      7.1.1. June 7 – Planning Commission Meeting 7:00 p.m.
      7.1.2. June 13 – City Council Work Meeting 5:00 p.m.; City Council Meeting 7:00 p.m. Cancelled
      7.1.3. June 20 – Special City Council Work Meeting 5:00 p.m.; Special City Council Meeting 7:00 p.m.
      7.1.4. June 21 – Planning Commission Meeting 7:00 p.m.
   
   7.2. **Events**
      7.2.1. June 18-23 – Herriman Towne Days

8. **Adjournment**
   Councilmember Ohrn moved to adjourn the City Council meeting at 8:44 p.m. Councilmember Smith seconded the motion and all voted aye.

9. **Recommence to Work Meeting (If Needed)**

I, Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder for Herriman City, hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true, accurate and complete record of the meeting held on June 6, 2018. This document constitutes the official minutes for the Herriman City Council Meeting.

Jackie Nostrom, MMC
City Recorder
CITY COUNCIL MINUTES
Wednesday, June 13, 2018
Awaiting Formal Approval

The following are the minutes of the City Council Meeting of the Herriman City Council. The meeting was held on Wednesday, June 13, 2018 at 7:00 p.m. in the Herriman City Hall Fort Herriman Conference Room, 5355 West Herriman Main Street, Herriman, Utah. Adequate notice of this meeting, as required by law, was posted in the City Hall, on the City’s website, and delivered to members of the Council, media, and interested citizens.

Presiding: Mayor David Watts

Council Members Present: Jared Henderson, Nicole Martin, Sherrie Ohrn and Clint Smith

Staff Present: Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight and Director of Administration and Communications Tami Moody, Finance Director Alan Rae, City Recorder Jackie Nostrom, Parks and Recreation Director Wendy Thomas, City Engineer Blake Thomas, City Planner Michael Maloy, Communications Specialist Mitch Davis, and City Attorney John Brems.

7:00 PM - WORK MEETING:
1. Council Business

Mayor David Watts called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

1.1. Discussion of options for consideration pertaining to the Olympia Hills Development approved by Salt Lake County Council on June 5, 2018, rezoning and amending the Southwest Community General Plan on 931 acres located at approximately 6300-8500 West, 12400-13100 South

Councilmember Nicole Martin indicated this Development has been placed on the Salt Lake County Agenda for next week to reconsider the proposal with the potential to rescind their approval. Mayor Watts added he spoke with Salt Lake County Mayor Ben McAdams who confirmed his willingness to veto the approval. Councilmember Jared Henderson relayed his concern with the possibility the veto could be overridden by the County Council.

Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight informed the Council he had been having conversations with Developer Doug Young to discuss the density numbers. He acknowledged Mayor McAdams sensed the disapproval from the Mayors of the southwest portion of Salt Lake County during a recent meeting. He confirmed Mayor McAdams willingness to veto the proposal if an agreement has not been reached by this coming Friday. Councilmember Martin
noted the fundamental problem with the directive as this development would necessitate a robust public outreach program. Assistant City Manager agreed and noted the County Council made their decision and would either implement necessary changes or not. Councilmember Martin suggested that a one-week timeframe would not make the development palatable for residents or affected cities. Assistant City Manager Haight indicated the process might change to include public outreach. He recognized the concern of a veto being overturned by the County Council. if the veto is rejected, new discussions would have to be ensued.

Councilmember Sherrie Ohrn interjected to highlight a principle that the cities don’t get an opportunity to offer input as this is considered a County decision. She relayed her feeling this assumption was incorrect as the City residents reside and vote in elected officials to represent the County. Councilmember Martin added this development could have an immense impact on the community and the project should only move forward with a clear public outreach program. He relayed her thought this was a healthy uprising from residents who wanted to be heard. Mayor Watts agreed.

Assistant City Manager Haight pondered what would happen if the County Council overturned the veto. Councilmember Smith agreed with the statement offered by Councilmember Ohrn that residents should have the opportunity to be engaged in the process. He relayed his frustration and disappointment in the process that had been followed to date as there was plenty of input offered to the County Council and they chose not to deviate from their course of action. He iterated his frustration regarding the Elected Officials not reaching out to the impacted communities. Councilmember Smith expressed his appreciation to the residents for the amount of pressure that they have placed on this issue.

Councilmember Martin quoted County Councilmember Michael Jensen requesting to put a hold on the project to be able to have public input. She relayed her support to allow the County Council to move through the process with continued resident feedback. Councilmember Henderson agreed and asked City Attorney Brems what options would be available.

City Attorney Brems notated he had listened to the meeting and read the minutes and relayed his confusion of the matter. He indicated the County Council voted to pass the General Plan Amendment and to approve the rezone to put in the development while notating they needed more “tinkering”. On June 5, the County Council heard it again and voted to waive the requirements. He observed the opposition of County Councilmember Steve DeBry. City Attorney Brems suggested the ten-day requirement deadline would be the following Friday; however, the District Court deadline would be July 5, 2018. He suggested the District Court would be a poor option as he felt there was not a strong enough case of public opinion. Councilmember Martin expressed the importance of continuing to impress the decision making process to allow public input and to ensure appropriate timelines have been researched.

City Attorney Brems informed the Council that this case would be difficult to win as the discretion would be given to the legislation. Councilmember Ohrn indicated she spoke to other Elected Officials who have indicated they would support Herriman pursuing this issue, but was unaware if their support would include financial backing. Mayor Watts suggested no action was needed this evening and asked if the discussion item could be discussed at a future meeting. Councilmember Ohrn relayed her apprehension of losing the window of opportunity to be able to stop the
Mayor Watts expressed frustration with the restrictions of being able to push out information. City Attorney Brems cautioned the Council not to use any City resources regarding this issue. Councilmember Henderson asked if there has been any dialogue with any other County Council Members. Councilmember Martin responded in the affirmative and recommended continuing the dialog up until a decision had been rendered. The Council agreed. Councilmember Smith requested information be sent to the Council to keep everyone abreast of the situation. As this situation has changes quickly, current information is necessary. Councilmember Henderson agreed. Councilmember Martin stated the Council would need to have a unified front and asked if Communications Director Tami Moody would push out pertinent information to keep the public aware of the updates. Director Moody confirmed.

2. Adjournment

Councilmember Henderson moved to adjourn the City Council Meeting at 7:40 pm. Councilmember Martin seconded the motion and all voted aye.

I, Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder for Herriman City, hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true, accurate and complete record of the meeting held on June 13, 2018. This document constitutes the official minutes for the Herriman City Council Meeting.

Jackie Nostrom, MMC
City Recorder
The following are the minutes of the Special City Council Meeting of the Herriman City Council. The meeting was held on Wednesday, August 8, 2018 at 5:00 p.m. in the Herriman City Hall Council Chambers, 5355 West Herriman Main Street, Herriman, Utah. Adequate notice of this meeting, as required by law, was posted in the City Hall, on the City's website, and delivered to members of the Council, media, and interested citizens.

Presiding: Mayor David Watts

Councilmembers Present: Jared Henderson, Nicole Martin, Sherrie Ohrn and Clint Smith

Staff Present: City Manager Brett Wood, Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight, Director of Administration and Communications Tami Moody, Finance Director Alan Rae, City Recorder Jackie Nostrom, Public Works Director Justun Edwards, Parks and Recreation Director Wendy Thomas, Operations Director Monte Johnson, City Engineer Blake Thomas, Police Chief Troy Carr, City Planner Michael Maloy, Unified Fire Chief Riley Pilgrim, Chief Building Official Cathryn Nelson, Communications Specialist Destiny Skinner, Assistant City Engineer Jonathan Bowers, Lieutenant Cody Stromberg, City Attorney John Brems, UPD Acting Deputy Police Chief Brian Lohrke, Economic Development Manager Heather Upshaw, and Community Development Coordinator Sandra Llewellyn.

Excused: Councilmember Clint Smith

5:00 PM - WORK MEETING: (Fort Herriman Conference Room)

1. Mayor and Council Social
   1.1. The Mayor and Council will meet for informal discussion and dinner. No action will be taken on any items.

2. Council Business – 5:15 PM
Mayor David Watts called the meeting to order at 5:15 p.m.

2.1. Review of this Evening’s Agenda
The Council and staff briefly reviewed the agenda.
2.2. Future Agenda Items

Councilmember Martin discussed an issue raised by a citizen that was charged for secondary water, despite never having access. Public Works Director Justun Edwards replied that the secondary water exists in certain areas. He agreed to contact the resident to resolve the concern.

Councilmember Ohrn asked about an email that was distributed to the Council regarding the use of fencing materials. She relayed her concern not to be able to utilize wood fence materials. Mayor David Watts agreed that it would be an appropriate discussion in the future and Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight agreed to put in on a future meeting agenda.

3. Administrative Reports

3.1. Discussion and nomination of a Council Member to the Utah League of Cities and Towns Board of Directors – Brett Wood, City Manager

City Manager Brett Wood informed the Council of the need to nominate a representative to serve on the Utah League of Cities and Towns Board of Directors. Mayor Watts nominated Councilmember Martin to continue serving in that capacity. The Council agreed.

3.2. Review of the Proposed Budget Amendment – Alan Rae, Finance Director

Finance Director Alan Rae reviewed the expected impact fee reimbursements that are required to be paid sooner than originally expected. The reimbursements would only happen as impact fees have been collected on the project, resulting in a no fund balance change. The reimbursements covered storm drain projects, road projects, and water projects.

The City also had projects that had been authorized in the 2018 budget; however, they were not completed. To continue with the project, it is required to have the funds of be reallocated into the 2019 budget. The projects include Blackridge Storage Building, Butterfield East Connector, Bathrooms at Prairie Oaks, Arches Park, Herriman Parkway to 6600 West Park, Asphalt Trails, traffic signals, Bruin View Drive, REAL Vista Drive, Herriman Parkway to 6600 West street lights, right-of-way along 5600 West, Shoshone Pond, and Herriman Parkway to 6600 West. Arches Park was originally budgeted at $500,000 and has been requested to be set at $1.25 Million, as an upgraded playground has been included in the new design.

Regarding the increase for Arches Park, Parks and Recreation Director Wendy Thomas indicated that the bids came in higher than originally anticipated. She indicated it was a higher quality park as it was going to be considered a destination location. Councilmember Henderson expressed his concern over the substantial increase request. City Manager Wood responded by explaining the increase in the quality of the park as well. Councilmember Henderson asked to see the comparison between the two designs. Parks and Recreation Director Wendy Thomas reviewed the unique features of the destination park. Councilmember Henderson asked what options the City has for cutting parts of the budget for the park and where that money would go otherwise.

Parks and Recreation Director Wendy Thomas discussed that the reason for the price increase was not due to expansion or material change in the plan, but to poor assumption. When the bids came back for the project, they were all higher than anticipated. City Manager Brett Wood mentioned that once the detail of the design was drawn...
up, that was when the budget began to increase. Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight added that the parking is a large allocation as well. An alternative would be to make a nice grass area to help conserve money, if the Council requested. This also would increase park impact fees among the residents. The bid would be awarded tomorrow for the construction of the park if the amendment is substantiated and approved under the purview of the City Council. Councilmember Martin mentioned that more fees are being exported than received and that this would also be the only park in that certain part of the City. City Manager Brett Wood interjected that there is no question the park would be used. However, the residents can be sensitive about programmable space. He emphasized this need and further indicated that he would not move forward until the Council felt comfortable with the project.

3.3. **Herriman Crossroads Development Agreement Discussion** – Michael Maloy, City Planner

City Planner Michael Maloy explained the preliminary planning concerns related to the proposed development agreement for approximately 125 acres of property located on Camp Williams Road (Redwood Road). The applicants previously submitted a land use application for 266 units of apartments and townhomes, but they have also proposed an alternate plan, a development agreement, that would outline the type of dwellings to be developed and a timeline for each phase. Whereas the proposed development agreement does not require a general plan amendment, zoning amendment, or planned development approval, the development agreement does not require a recommendation from the Planning Commission. However, during a Planning Commission work meeting held on April 19, 2018, the applicants did present their proposal to the Commission for review and discussion. Following the presentation, the Commission expressed concerns that the draft was not mature enough to efficiently review and recommend to the City Council.

During the May 16, 2018, City Council Work Meeting, staff briefed the Council on the Commission’s concerns regarding the proposed development agreement and draft design guidelines. In response, the Council directed staff to present the draft development agreement to the Council for further review and consideration. On June 6, 2018, staff presented the proposed development agreement to the City Council during a work meeting and identified a number of questions and concerns within the draft. In response, the Council directed staff to work with the applicant to resolve the identified issues. Following this meeting, staff met with the applicants twice to discuss these concerns. In an attempt to resolve these issues, the applicant submitted additional and revised materials to the City Council for consideration. The site currently has three different zoning districts. There are approximately 17 acres zoned C-2 Commercial, 36 acres zoned R-M Multi-Family Residential, and 60 acres are MU-2 Mixed Use.

Planner Maloy identified and discussed a number of concerns during the June 6, 2018, review of the draft development agreement. Staff provided a written copy of all questions and concerns to the applicant for review. In response, the applicant submitted the following documents:

- **Revised Draft Master Developer Agreement.** Applicant made minor edits to the draft and added descriptions for most images in the Exhibit D – Design Guidelines; however, a number of previously identified concerns or questions were not resolved in this draft.

- **Draft Architectural Review Committee.** An earlier draft did not include an Architectural Review Committee, which staff recommended; however, the proposed committee is not independent from the developer. Staff informed the applicant that this was a significant concern and again recommended the ARC be independent from the developer. In response, the applicant stated a strong preference to not have an ARC in the development agreement.
Letter from Caro Nelson, Senior Vice President, Mountain Region, for Alliance Residential Company. This letter is an attempt to demonstrate that the proposed level of amenities is appropriate for the development. However, staff remains concerned that most amenities are not sufficiently detailed in quality or quantity.

Series of Power Point slides entitled “Herriman Cross Roads | Economic Benefits.” Applicant prepared a series of general statements regarding potential economic benefits for Herriman residents. In general, staff recommended additional revisions be warranted prior to the City entering into a development agreement with the applicants. Furthermore, staff wished to identify and discuss any additional concerns the Council may have prior to finalizing the draft development agreement, which will be discussed during a future public meeting.

Planner Maloy indicated he felt the agreement was still loose and needed tweaking. Councilmember Henderson agreed and indicated pictures depicted in the report are typically not the product constructed. Planner Maloy agreed. Councilmember Martin added that the changes be made only as long as the developer is amenable to that. The staff are able to help articulate; however, the approval process needs to remain consistent for this developer and all others. If not, it creates an unfair standard. Now is not the time to introduce a completely new standard and the Council agreed with this sentiment.

3.4. Discussion of a text change to the Land Development Code on behalf of Herriman City amending Chapter 16, Table of Uses (File No. Z2018-12) – Michael Maloy, City Planner

City Planner Michael Maloy indicated Herriman City is proposing a text change to Title 10 of the Herriman City Code, which is the Land Development Code, regarding Chapter 16 Table of Uses.

In December 2017, the City adopted a new Land Development Code. At that time, the Planning Commission directed staff to make several updates to the permitted and conditional uses listed in each zone. Staff has conducted several work meetings with the Planning Commission to review Chapter 16, the Table of Uses, and make revisions. Some of the revisions are to bring greater clarity to the ordinance. Other changes eliminate uses that are not currently anticipated or desired in the City. Some of the revisions include:

- Separating the animal uses
- Relocating “Home Occupations” from the Commercial to the Residential section
- Expanding the use of second kitchens to the R-2-10 and R-2-15 zones
- Removing “Wind Energy Facilities”
- Removing “Heavy Industry” from all zones

This is the first step in making updates to the Land Use Table. The next step would be to change some uses from “conditional uses” to “permitted uses”. This would involve creating additional standards for several of the uses. The Planning Commission recommended approval of the changes to Chapter 16, the Table of Uses. No formal action is needed by the City Council at this time. The Council may provide direction to staff regarding the proposed text change. The item will be placed on a future agenda for discussion and consideration.
3.5. **Gorilla Car Wash Transportation Impact Fee Reduction Request** – Blake Thomas, City Engineer

City Engineer Blake Thomas requested this item be continued to a future meeting.

3.6. **Discussion relating to the Small Wireless Facilities Deployment Ordinance** – John Brems, City Attorney

City Attorney John Brems reviewed the proposed small cell ordinance SB189, which mandates small cells on public property will be on next meeting for consideration. Councilmember Martin indicated that these facilities are an eyesore. Mayor David Watts asked if the City could require a portion of the equipment be placed underground. City Attorney John Brems did not believe that this request could be mandated. Councilmember Henderson indicated that certain measures could be taken. City Attorney John Brems recommended keeping the standard to match others. The site license fee cannot amount to more than 3.4-percent of revenue, or $250 annually. Councilmember Henderson if the structures would collocate on a power pole. City Attorney John Brems affirmed that they have to reside on a Rocky Mountain Power pole. Councilmember Henderson asked about who would install the infrastructure. Councilmember Martin mentioned that it is a hard sell as a service. In response, City Attorney John Brems mentioned that he is currently working with others for specific design standards.

City Manager Brett Wood asked if the language of the agreement could incorporate a requirement to bury the devices. The response from City Attorney John Brems was that it would depend on the application date. City Manager Brett Wood mentioned that there could be a way to bury the device while still complying with the design standards. Mayor David Watts asked if it could be restricted to commercial areas or if they would also be required on every residential street. City Attorney John Brems responded that this would not allowed in residential areas where the right-of-way is less than 60-feet. City Planner Michael Maloy added that there is technology that includes a fatter pole that includes each part aside from the individual meter. This does have to be separately installed. Certain companies also specify a cabinet oversize. Other cities have mandated design standards to mitigate exposure, which Herriman might be able to use in areas like the Towne Center. This would be added in the land-use portion. Mayor David Watts asked if it would be mandated on private right-of-way streets and asked if we could change the state statute for residential streets to 59-feet on the right-of-way in order to avoid the devices being placed in those neighborhoods.

3.7. **City Manager Updates** – Brett Wood, City Manager

City Manager Brett Wood recognized platoon 103 being present. John Wilde introduced himself as well as his crew consisting of Robby Anderson, Jesse Gollim, and Jesse Carpenter. City Manager Brett Wood expressed appreciation for their attendance. He reminded the Council that Chief Day recently passed away and the ceremony had UPD and HPD escorting. He expressed his condolences.

City Manager Brett Wood discussed the Employee Appreciation Day and reminded the Council that the following Tuesday would be a half day and offices would close at 11:00 a.m.

SLCC will be starting classes in the Community Center for Fall Semester. A wide list of classes will be held there. They maintain interest in creating a college campus in the area. The school process begins in two weeks and tomorrow SLCC and the building authority will meet at Salt Lake REAL. Attendance is encouraged.
His next topic covered the military procession scheduled to welcome home and escort military personnel. He asked Parks and Recreation Director Wendy Thomas to speak on the matter. He first mentioned that she was supportive of the idea and asked her to discuss parameters and a clear direction. Parks and Recreation Director Wendy Thomas discussed forming a volunteer committee to place flags and ribbons. The committee would work with local military service arms to coordinate. Certain members meet at a certain location, such as City Hall, because timing can be problematic. She reached out to her peers and none of them do anything similar to this but find it interesting. City Manager Brett Wood added that the committee would be formed if the Council requested. He discussed many ways to integrate creative welcome home ideas, such as social media platforms or the HPD escorting them home. Director Thomas mentioned that certain ordinances would first need tweaking in order to form another citizen committee. Councilmember Henderson added that the announcement would show support.

Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight discussed the progress with the Dansie property. He let the Council know that they are waiting on a traffic study and the specific boundary lines of the property. The traffic study approval documents should arrive within the next two weeks. Councilmember Henderson mentioned that this same promise was given weeks ago. Councilmember Ohrn expressed that the excuses can only be made for so long. Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight affirmed that the report was focused on the addition of the charter school, the related boundary changes, and the acceptance of the variation by county property. An actual survey will not be conducted. His anticipation was that within two weeks, it will have arrived and be recorded.

City Manager Brett Wood mentioned that the ULCT Conference is approaching from September 12th through the 14th. He asked if any of the Council or if the Mayor were interested in registering to attend. Mayor David Watts confirmed that he would attend. Councilmember Ohrn and Councilmember Martin also affirmed their desire to attend.

City Manager Brett Wood indicated the Outstanding Achievement PAFR (popular annual financial report) came to the City in the mail recently. This is an impressive award presented to the City. It shows how effectively the City communicates about finances to the public. He congratulated and thanked the financial team. He expressed that this award is one of the highest rewards the City could receive. A formal presentation will soon follow to present the award. He again thanked more specifically Financial Director Alan Rae, Director of Administration and Communications Tami Moody, and their team for the exceptional efforts. This is the first of this award for the City.

City Recorder Jackie Nostrom discussed the concept of Ranked Choice Voting. A registered voter would rank their elected official preferences in the order that they would choose to have them in office. The candidate who received the lowest amount of votes would have the votes redistributed to the second or third choice, etc. It would feed into the idea that “majority speaks”. Councilmember Martin asked how to validate the process and the period. City Recorder Jackie Nostrom affirmed that the process would return to hand counting until the technology could process it automatically. The County actually does not offer this type of process, but the City could minimize certain costs by following the process. No other municipality in Utah follows this procedure, but other cities outside of the state have had success. The process might become more convoluted, especially in the event of a recount. Councilmember Martin added that she like the idea that the elected candidate would purely be through the majority; however, the process at this point seems too much to undertake. Mayor David Watts opined that now is not the time for Herriman to start this but he is open to the idea in initiating the process in a few years once the process is more vetted.
Councilmember Ohrn moved to temporarily recess the City Council work meeting to convene in closed session to discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205 at 6:27 p.m. Councilmember Martin seconded the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:
Councilmember Jared Henderson  Aye
Councilmember Nicole Martin   Aye
Councilmember Sherrie Ohrn  Aye
Councilmember Clint Smith  Absent
Mayor David Watts    Aye
The motion passed unanimously with Councilmember Smith being absent.

Reconvened the City Council work meeting at 6:39 p.m.

Councilmember Ohrn moved to temporarily recess the City Council meeting to convene in closed session to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation 6:40 p.m. Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:
Councilmember Jared Henderson  Aye
Councilmember Nicole Martin   Aye
Councilmember Sherrie Ohrn  Aye
Councilmember Clint Smith  Absent
Mayor David Watts    Aye
The motion passed unanimously with Councilmember Smith being absent.

Reconvened the City Council work meeting at 6:44 p.m.

4. Adjournment
Councilmember Ohrn moved to adjourn the Work Meeting and move into the General Meeting at 6:45 p.m. Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion and all voted aye.

7:00 PM - GENERAL MEETING:

1. Call to Order
Mayor David Watts called the meeting to order at 7:01 p.m. and welcomed those in attendance. He excused Councilmember Smith.

1.1. Invocation/Thought/Reading and Pledge of Allegiance
Mr. Clay Thurgood offered the invocation. Scout Troop #697 led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

1.2. Council Disclosure for Conflict of Interest
There were no noted conflicts of interest.
1.3. Mayor/Council Comments and Recognitions
There were no Mayor/Council Comments and Recognitions.

2. Public Comment
There was no public comment offered.

3. Mayor and Council Board and Committee Reports
There were no Council reports.

4. Reports, Presentations and Appointments
4.1. Update of the Olympia Hills Development Study – Michael Maloy, City Planner
City Planner Michael Maloy indicated on June 20, 2018, the Herriman City Council directed staff to prepare an estimate for professional services to analyze a proposed 937-acre mixed-use development known as “Olympia Hills,” which is located immediately north of the City boundary. The study intended to determine the carrying capacity of the development and surrounding areas. While the project is outside Herriman city limits, and within an unincorporated area of Salt Lake County, it is within Herriman’s annexation declaration, which was approved December 8, 2011.

Under the direction of the City Council, staff entered into a contract for professional services on July 27, 2018, with Bob Springmeyer, Chairman of Bonneville Research, an experienced and highly regarded business and economic development consultant, who has completed previous contracts for services with Herriman City. To complete the study within the next 90 days, as specified within the contract, Bonneville Research retained the services of the following two individuals: Tom Burdett and James Taylor.

Mr. Springmeyer thanked the Council and introduced the team. He asked if the Council had any questions. Councilmember Henderson requested specifically for information regarding the surrounding areas, as this is the more crucial part. Councilmember Martin mentioned the foundation: the lack of infrastructure during a time of housing shortage. She asked if his team would be willing to meet with ULCT to portray how other cities are attempting to accommodate this growth. She is interested in not only seeing Herriman doing its part, but seeing other cities interacting as well. Mr. Springmeyer indicated that it was a critical part of the study, especially when thinking about future efforts to reduce the amount of people having to drive out of Herriman for work. Councilmember Martin mentioned the desire for many to eliminate long commutes. Mr. Springmeyer discussed that due to the critical nature, he believes his team should meet regularly with the City. Coordinating staff meetings also can occur simultaneously.

4.2. Reappointment of a Planning Commissioner – Michael Maloy, City Planner
City Planner Maloy stated staff recommendation to reappoint Adam Jacobson, an existing member of the Planning Commission, for a three-year term.

Councilmember Ohrn moved to approve Resolution No. R39-2018 appointing reappointing a member to the Planning Commission. Councilmember Martin seconded the motion.
The vote was recorded as follows:

- Councilmember Jared Henderson: Aye
- Councilmember Nicole Martin: Aye
- Councilmember Sherrie Ohrn: Aye
- Councilmember Clint Smith: Absent
- Mayor David Watts: Aye

The motion passed unanimously with the absence of Councilmember Smith.

4.3. Herriman Police Department Update – Chief Troy Carr

Police Chief Troy Carr discussed asset transition:

- At the request of UPD for presentation to their board at their July meeting, Police Chief Troy Carr developed four categories for UPD to provide assets:
  - 1. Officer Equipment
  - 2. Facility Transfer
    - August 1 (completed)
  - 3. Fleet
    - As available (as officers separate or are transferred)
    - On September 17 (remaining fleet 17)
    - Nine of the expected 26 vehicles have arrived
    - Specialty equipment: bike rodeo trailer/ATVs/Side by side/speed trailers/Harley
  - 4. Settlement of fund balance (will take place sometime after launch)

- The board accepted the document and UPD has taken steps to provide those materials and have met the date timelines thus far.

PD Facility:

- The PD was turned over August 1st with all assets requested
- UPD is in the Mayor / Council area that was very generously provided
- Rebranding is underway with the reception area

Personnel:

- Karen Bleazard started July as an Executive Administrative Assistant
- Lance Bess started last Monday as an Evidence Control & Forensics Specialist
- Patsy Hall has been hired as the Records Specialist
- Casey Davies has been scheduled to begin September 3 as an Armorer
- Sergeants will start September 10
- Officers will start September 17
- All job offers have been extended and accepted
  - SGT (47 qualified applicants for 5 positions)
  - OFFICER (150 applicants; 100 meet requirements; 25 hired)
  - 2/3 UPD-1/3 OUTSIDE – Sandy/Cottonwood Heights/Utah County/Orem/Provo
Evidence Storage:
- Evidence Storage will be completed next week
- Armory is completed

Dispatch and RMS
- HPD is live with Spillman
  - Dispatch and RMS
- Next week the department will be able to begin user input with RMS
- Radios have been received and UCA is programming them
  - By next week, air time is expected

As HPD officially starts next week, all critical development actions will have been completed. The original expected date for this was the last week of August.

Mayor David Watts emphasized the need to keep the community safe through transition period. This priority must be included in training. He asked about the plan to ensure coverage. Acting Chief Lorke affirmed that every hour will be covered. Mayor David Watts expressed his appreciation and Councilmember Henderson also expressed his opinion on the transitional part of the plan.

4.4. Deer Mitigation Plan Presentation – Justun Edwards, Public Works Director

Public Works Director Justun Edwards indicated that in 2015 the City Council voted to approve the Urban Deer Control Plan in conjunction with a Certification of Registration (COR) which is issued by the Division of Wildlife Resources (DWR). The Urban Deer Control Plan and the COR allow the City to use two methods to control the deer population within City limits. Since that time, the City has been working with the DWR and the Mule Deer Foundation (MDF) to reduce the number of resident deer within City limits.

Over the past three years, City staff have been working closely with the DWR and MDF to control the high number of resident deer within City limits. The City utilizes two basic methods: lethal removal of deer with the use of archery equipment and non-lethal removal of deer with the use of traps and relocation. Both methods have been very successful. The COR must be renewed with the DWR every three years. As part of the COR approval process, the Urban Deer Control Plan must be made available and presented to the public. Any comments received during the public hearing must be heard and taken into consideration by the City and DWR. Once the plan has been presented, a public meeting has been held, and modifications have been made from public comment, the plan must then be approved by the City Council. The plan has been scheduled for Council approval during the August 22\textsuperscript{nd} meeting.

Public Works Director Justun Edwards reviewed the costs associated with the program and the achievements it has offered. He reviewed the updated deer mitigation plan and highlighted the changes of the agreement. Furthermore, he expressed his appreciation for partnerships with the DWR, MDR and Humphries Archery. He recognized Austin Crane and Luke Sieverts who have been dedicated to this program and have put in a lot of after hour time including volunteer hours to help this program succeed.
Councilmember Ohrn asked about the mortality rate of the relocated deer. It appeared to be about 50-percent survival rate. Councilmember Ohrn mentioned that through the lethal method, the caught deer are harvested and the meat is used. She wondered if this is a more efficient method since the alternative loses 50-percent of the deer without harvesting. Director Edwards responded that the non-lethal method also exists partly for the many citizens who are opposed to lethal methods. Many cities only incorporate lethal methods. Typically, citizens feel strongly one way or another. Mayor David Watts observed that the non-lethal method also exists in order to keep higher-density residential neighborhoods safe. He also mentioned that there has not been a single complaint in the three years the program has functioned. Public Works Director Justun Edwards responded that there are hazards in using a lethal method in someone’s backyard, for example. For this reason, the non-lethal method is conducive to the situation. The team is continually striving to increase the survivability. This is a priority. Councilmember Henderson asked about the wild mortality rate and was told that it is estimated at 80-percent survival.

5. Public Hearing

5.1. Public Hearing and Consideration of a Resolution Amending the 2018-2019 Herriman City Fiscal Budget – Alan Rae, Finance Director

Finance Director Alan Rae reviewed the expected impact fee reimbursements that would be required to be paid sooner than originally expected. The reimbursements would only happen as impact fees have been collected on the project, resulting in a no fund balance change.

The City also has projects that had been authorized in the 2018 budget; however, incompletion requires the funds of those projects be moved over to the 2019 budget. He then discussed a current park project. It originally had a budget of $500,000 and a request was put in to increase that budget to $1.25 million. A new design would include an upgraded playground and is now going out to bid. The City also received $40,000 for the Shoshone Pond from a developer.

Mayor David Watts opened the Public Hearing.

There were no comments offered.

*Councilmember Ohrn moved to close the Public Hearing. Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion and all voted aye.*

Councilmember Henderson mentioned that the increase for the Arches Park is quite significant and he would have a desire to push it out of the amendment. This would allow the Council and the citizens to see clearly the design before the park upgrades and the new design with the upgrades. Mayor David Watts asked Parks and Recreation Director Wendy Thomas to address the park. She reviewed the park location and mentioned that this project was originally discussed about two years ago. The plan was always to create a unique park. The concepts drafted were geared towards enticing people to gather. The regional park will serve one home for every 1,000. Mayor David Watts discussed the playground and planned soft surface. Parks and Recreation Director Wendy Thomas mentioned that due to the large allocation, it will be ADA accessible.

She continued reviewing other concepts and designs of the park. Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight reviewed the way the City used to budget for parks. Now the vision is to make it an attractive amenity. The estimate changed based on this vision. Councilmember Henderson asked to see the differences between the two plans. He made the
August 8, 2018 – Special City Council Minutes

emphasis that parks are needed in the area, he merely wants to make sure the City can answer all the questions. Councilmember Ohrn mentioned that the public may not be aware that the money is drawn by park impact fees, not from the general fund. The park will be paid for through the money collected for that specific purpose. Councilmember Martin added that the area is solely to be for the community. Mayor David Watts asked about the specifics of approving a park with respect to the facilities plan. He asked if the money could be designated to the amendment to accommodate requests and other items on the budget amendment. Finance Director Alan Rae discussed the capital facilities in the anthem neighborhood and other items on the budget amendment. Councilmember Henderson requested the details of the new park design be brought forward in two weeks. Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight asked for the money and contract execution to be approved contingent upon those plans. Councilmember Martin added that they could approve the amendment and add the caveat to study further.

Councilmember Henderson asked to approve the contract for Arches Park with the contingency. Mayor David Watts proceeded to the motion.

Councilmember Henderson moved to approve Resolution No. R39-2018 approving an amendment to the 2018-2019 fiscal year budget with a contingency the contract for Arches Park will not be awarded until further information has been provided to the Council and a majority of the Council has agreed. Councilmember Martin seconded the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:
Councilmember Jared Henderson  Aye
Councilmember Nicole Martin  Aye
Councilmember Sherrie Ohrn  Aye
Councilmember Clint Smith  Absent
Mayor David Watts  Aye

The motion passed unanimously with Councilmember Smith being absent.

6. Consent Agenda

6.1. Approval of the July 11, 2018 City Council Meeting Minutes
6.2. Approval of an Interlocal Cooperative Agreement with Utah Department of Transportation for the betterment of a Traffic Signal located at the intersection of SR-85 and Rosecrest Road – Blake Thomas, City Engineer
6.3. Approval of a Resolution approving the form of the Lease/Purchase Agreement with Zions Bank and Authorizing the Execution and Delivery Thereof – Alan Rae, Finance Director

Councilmember Martin moved to approve the Consent Agenda as written. Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:
Councilmember Jared Henderson  Aye
Councilmember Nicole Martin  Aye
Councilmember Sherrie Ohrn  Aye
Councilmember Clint Smith  Absent
Mayor David Watts  Nay
7. **Calendar**

7.1. **Meetings**

7.1.1. August 16 – Planning Commission Meeting 7:00 p.m.

7.1.2. August 22 – City Council Work Meeting 5:00 p.m.; City Council Meeting 7:00 p.m.

7.1.3. August 30 – Joint City Council/Planning Commission Meeting 6:00 p.m.

7.2. **Events**

7.2.1. August 10 – Enduro Challenge Practice Session; Butterfield Park 4:30 p.m.

7.2.2. August 11 – Enduro Challenge Main Event; Butterfield Park 6:00 p.m.

7.2.3. August 20 – Herriman Hula, Crane Park 6:30 p.m.

7.3. **Closed Session**

7.3.1. The Herriman City Council may temporarily recess the City Council meeting to convene in a closed session to discuss the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual, pending or reasonably imminent litigation, and the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205

8. **Adjournment**

Councilmember Martin moved to adjourn the City Council meeting at 8:07 p.m. Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion and all voted aye.

9. **Recommence to Work Meeting (If Needed)**

I, Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder for Herriman City, hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true, accurate and complete record of the meeting held on August 8, 2018. This document constitutes the official minutes for the Herriman City Council Meeting.

Jackie Nostrom, MMC
City Recorder
The following are the minutes of the Special City Council Meeting of the Herriman City Council. The meeting was held on **Wednesday, August 22, 2018 at 5:00 p.m.** in the Herriman City Hall Council Chambers, 5355 West Herriman Main Street, Herriman, Utah. Adequate notice of this meeting, as required by law, was posted in the City Hall, on the City’s website, and delivered to members of the Council, media, and interested citizens.

**Presiding:** Mayor David Watts

**Council Members Present:** Jared Henderson, Nicole Martin, Sherrie Ohrn and Clint Smith

**Staff Present:** City Manager Brett Wood, Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight and Director of Administration and Communications Tami Moody, Finance Director Alan Rae, City Recorder Jackie Nostrom, Public Works Director Justun Edwards, Parks and Recreation Director Wendy Thomas, Operations Director Monte Johnson, City Engineer Blake Thomas, Deputy Police Chief Chad Reyes, Assistant City Planner Bryn McCarty, Chief Building Official Cathryn Nelson, Communications Specialist Mitch Davis, Assistant City Engineer Jonathan Bowers, City Attorney John Brems, UPD Acting Deputy Police Chief Brian Lohrke, and Economic Development Manager Heather Upshaw.

**5:00 PM - WORK MEETING: (Fort Herriman Conference Room)**

1. **Mayor and Council Social**
   1.1. *The Mayor and Council will meet for informal discussion and dinner. No action will be taken on any items.*

2. **Council Business – 5:15 PM**
Mayor David Watts called the meeting to order at 5:15 p.m.

2.1. **Review of this Evening’s Agenda**
The Council and staff briefly reviewed the agenda.

2.2. **Future Agenda Items**
There were none.
3. Administrative Reports

3.1. City Manager Updates – Brett Wood, City Manager

3.1.1. URS Concerns
City Manager Wood explained when the insurance companies dealt with URS they checked the wrong box and collected from the General Fund. He said they agreed to give back $9 million but they actually owed $12 million. The State was pushing back to have the cities make up this difference. He said this issue would be a part of the next legislative session and he would keep the Council updated on the situation.

3.1.2. Arches Park
City Manager Wood explained there had been a growing concern of the cost and inflation of this project. He said they would not move forward with this project until they had a discussion and consensus on how to proceed. Assistant City Manager Haight explained they approved Arches Park two years ago. The goal was to create a park that drew public interest. He said Director Thomas was tasked with creating a theme for this park. The park would include a softball field, slack line area, hammocks, and a parking lot. The project would cost approximately $500,000, which was an increase from previous estimates.

City Manager Wood said there was some concern about ropes, noting they were used in playgrounds regularly. The ropes that would be used in this playground would have a ten-year warranty, which was a good value. The City's goal was to create a safe and creative area for children to play.

Assistant City Manager Haight asked the Mayor and Council if they wanted to follow through with their current bid on the park. He noted the Council was not bound to anything at this time.

City Manager Wood explained that restrooms needed to be included with the park. There was subsequent discussion on the price of trashcans. City Manager Wood stated they could use cheaper products throughout the project but they were also concerned with the City’s branding. Director Thomas commented this was a themed park and was meant to have nicer amenities. Councilmember Ohrn asked if the contractor could take 10-percent off the bid and add a bathroom. Councilmember Henderson said he was concerned that the bid amount did not include the cost of labor. City Manager Wood explained they could go directly to the manufacturer to find out the prices on equipment. He said it was difficult to ask the contractor to lower their price because they would be forced to rebid the project. He explained the way they kept the prices down was to have a competitive bid. Councilmember Henderson stated that going forward he wanted to ensure fair costs for bids.

Councilmember Henderson expressed his concerned that this area had been neglected when it came to parks and open space. Assistant City Manager Haight reviewed the proposed area for the park to accommodate the residents.

City Manager Wood discussed the costs, size, access points, and the integration into the trail system. Councilmember Henderson noted this was the last available area to create open space. City Manager Wood discussed the potential properties the City could purchase for open space. He recommended the City Council have a joint meeting with the Planning Commission to discuss how they wanted to proceed with these types of projects.
Assistant City Manager Haight noted there was a lot of anxiety about the Arches Park. However, there was a need for open space in this area and therefore he supported it.

Councilmember Henderson stated that he wanted this park to have a restroom, and he agreed there was a need for open space in the area due to surrounding high density. He did not agree that schools should be considered open space.

Councilmember Smith said they needed a restroom. Councilmember Ohrn agreed. City Manager Wood commented for Councilmember Martin explaining she wanted a nicer park for this area. Mayor Watts commented that they should consider other parts of the City as they moved forward with building parks.

3.1.3. **“Bend, Not Break”**
Director Thomas explained the City was hosting Alex Boyé who would be shooting a video. They planned to close Black Ridge Reservoir for the video shoot. She explained the video was about mental health and suicide prevention.

3.1.4. **Herriman Hero Homecoming**
Director Thomas explained they were working on an ordinance for the veterans returning process. Staff planned to have a program in place by March 2019.

3.1.5. **ILA with Jordan School District regarding Resource Officers**
City Manager Wood explained there was some concern about middle school children. The school district did not agree with the City’s method concerning this program. He explained the costs for the officers that would be allocated to the middle schools. Finance Director Rae said it would cost the City $165,000, which was available in the City’s budget. City Manager Wood said this would be a valued asset to the middle school and thanked the Council. Chief Reyes agreed this was a good use of their resources.

3.1.6. **ACUB funding**
Councilmember Ohrn explained the City might not qualify for ACUB funding. She said this was because the installations were on State and BLM lands. They were working with federal legislatures and the Department of Defense and would be receiving $3.5 million by the end of the month. She said Mia Love and Chris Stewart fought for the funds for Camp Williams.

3.1.7. **Council District Boundaries**
It was stated that the City needed to wait until the census was released to further discuss the district boundaries. The question was raised as to whether or not the Council would consider redistricting. There was subsequent discussion regarding population disparity between the districts. The Council decided to discuss the district boundaries once the census was available.
3.1.8. **Cabin Trail Way Right-of-Way Vacation**

City Manager Wood explained the City did not want to own this piece of property. It had flooding issues and there were risks to maintain the property. He said there were two homes that fronted the property. The Council agreed to vacate the property.

City Manager Wood explained the SLCC Board of Regents was meeting to support the campus site. He encouraged the Council to attend the meeting.

3.2. **Presentation regarding the Herriman Hills Master Plan** – Wendy Thomas, Director of Parks, Recreation and Events

Director of Parks, Recreation and Events Wendy Thomas explained the Planning Commission made a recommendation to include the plan as an element of the General Plan. She said they would return with the plan at the next Council meeting.

3.3. **Disc Golf Discussion** – Wendy Thomas, Director of Parks, Recreation and Events

City Manager Wood said the residents in Rosecrest and Rosecreek had submitted complaints concerning the Disc Golf. There had been some abuse of private property rights. He turned the time over to Director Thomas to discuss some information on the number of people that were involved in Disc Golf.

Director Thomas explained they had received two complaints from residents that lived near Hole #2 of the Disc Golf course. She said the discs were spooking horses at the arena. The other resident was concerned with what the people were wearing on the course. She said they moved the baskets around to solve the issue with the discs spooking the horses. Mayor Watts asked if there were complaints about people entering residents’ yards. City Manager Wood stated this was prevalent. Councilmember Smith said this happened to him and he thought it was not being sufficiently communicated back to the City. Councilmember Henderson explained this issue was brought to the attention of the City several years ago, and he assumed people resigned to the fact the City would not do anything about this problem.

Mayor Watts asked if there were ever any citations made. Deputy Chief Reyes did not know of any actual citations made for trespassing. Mayor Watts said his biggest concern was children playing in these back yards surrounded by potential strangers. There were also safety concerns with people hopping fences.

Councilmember Smith explained a few people were ruining this activity for everyone. He noted that signs were not deterring people from entering yards. He said he wanted to be able to send his children in the back yard without fear of strangers intruding into their space. He said they needed to have a conversation if the course should remain in this area.

Councilmember Henderson noted these open spaces were not designed for this purpose. He explained when the first complaints were made they adjusted how the course was designed. The City has reached the point where they needed to reevaluate the course. Councilmember Smith said there were two property damage complaints for a disc golf player breaking a fence.
Mayor Watts asked if they needed 18 holes and suggested removing the most problematic ones. Director Thomas said she did not believe there was a perfect set up. Councilmember Ohrn said they should not have to spend more money to enhance the course. She noted there were more issues than they were hearing from the residents. Councilmember Henderson said there had been adjustments to the course and it was not worth it to continue making enhancements.

Councilmember Henderson said he was in favor of removing the course. Mayor Watts said he was not in favor of removing the entire course but removing the problematic areas. Councilmember Ohrn said it did not benefit the residents, so it needed to be removed. Councilmember Smith said they had experimented with disc golf and it was not working.

Councilmember Henderson said he was willing to see adjustments but it had to be perfect. There were already too many complaints. Assistant City Manager Haight recommended removing the entire course and suggested notifying residents that it would be relocated.

3.4. Discussion related to the Potential Regulations for the Sale of Animals – Bryn McCarty, Assistant City Planner

Assistant City Planner Bryn McCarty explained they had received a request from the Humane Society to adopt an ordinance to regulate the sale of animals. This ordinance was already adopted by several other cities. Councilmember Ohrn said the City did not have any business making these types of regulations. She noted there were already laws regulating puppy mills and abuse to animals. She said the regulation was an overreach that negatively affected pet stores. Councilmember Henderson agreed. Mayor Watts explained the Humane Society was asking the City to prevent the sale of animals from any other entity. He said this was unacceptable.

4. Adjournment

Councilmember Henderson moved to adjourn the Work Meeting and move into the General Meeting at 6:54 pm. Councilmember Smith seconded the motion and all voted aye.

7:00 PM - GENERAL MEETING:

1. Call to Order

Mayor David Watts called the meeting to order at 7:04 p.m. and welcomed those in attendance. He excused Councilmember Martin.

1.1. Invocation/Thought/Reading and Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Deven Heninger with Scout Troop #114 led the audience in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.

1.2. Council Disclosure for Conflict of Interest

There were no noted conflicts of interest.

1.3. Mayor/Council Comments and Recognitions

Mayor Watts recognized the loss of Officer Matt Burchett and extended condolences to the family.
2. Public Comment

Glen Larson commented that he appreciated the Council discussing the City parks. He encouraged the Council to keep the Arches Park connected to the City’s trails.

3. Mayor and Council Board and Committee Reports

Mayor Watts reported that he served on the Mosquito Abatement Committee. He noted there was a death due to the West Nile virus, and he encouraged residents to take preventative measures against mosquitoes.

Councilmember Ohrn reported that the first Youth Council meeting was recently held. There were 26 youth participants, and she thanked everyone for participating.

Councilmember Smith reported he was unable to attend the most recent sewer board meeting. He said they were beginning their budget process, and he would have more details at the next Council Meeting.

4. Reports, Presentations and Appointments

4.1. Unified Police Department Recognition of Officer Dustin Olzack – Brian Lohrke, Unified Police Department Acting Precinct Chief

Unified Police Department Acting Precinct Chief Brian Lohrke introduced Dustin Olzack. He recognized him for saving a choking infant. Officer Olzack also comforted the mother after paramedics arrived.

Councilmember Smith said this type of situation was extremely stressful and Officer Olzack did an outstanding job. Mayor Watts agreed he was an outstanding officer and asked to take a picture with him.

4.2. Point of the Mountain Vision Phase 3 Update – Envision Utah VP of Planning Ryan Beck and Ari Bruening, President and COO of Envision Utah

Envision Utah President Ari Bruening thanked the Council for the opportunity to present. He reviewed the purposes relating to the effort of the Point of the Mountain Vision. He said they were now in Phase 3, which concerned the vision’s financing.

He explained the job and housing growth in this area was the fastest in the State. Their goal was to capitalize on this growth. They used economic data and public input to create a plan for the economic growth. He discussed traffic between Utah and Salt Lake Counties, creation of vibrant urban centers, location between jobs and housing, and connectivity.

Mayor Watts noted there was a middle school in a location that would be ideal for a high-speed connection. Councilmember Henderson commented there were areas that would be filled with homes if these roads were not built.

4.3. Herriman Police Department Update – Chief Troy Carr

Deputy Police Chief Chad Reyes thanked the Council for the opportunity to present. He explained they had 38 days left to launch the department, and reported that they had made progress completing the transition process. Currently, they were working on transitioning vehicles from the UPD. They had also created a domain same
Councilmember Smith asked if South Jordan had entered into any agreements with other cities. Chief Reyes responded they trained with Sandy and Draper. He said these agreements were restrictive and they were working on creating agreements that did not bind Herriman to other cities’ tactics. Councilmember Smith said it would be valuable to have the SRO Officer in Herriman High School. He also remarked that partnerships with other cities were important.

Councilmember Henderson stated they were still contracted with the UPD until the end of September. He said it was exciting to see the completion of all their goals in creating the department, and commented that all the planning was coming together.

5. Consent Agenda

5.1. Approval of an Ordinance adding Chapter 13 to Title 7 of the Herriman Code of Ordinances regarding Small Wireless Facilities Deployment – John Brems, City Attorney

5.2. Approval of the Deer Mitigation Program – Justun Edwards, Public Works Director

5.3. Approval of an Ordinance adopting a New Herriman Flag – John Brems, City Attorney

5.4. Approval of the Monthly Financial Summary

5.5. Approval of a Resolution Granting Local Consent for Ten (10) Off-Premises Beer Retailer State Licenses Located in Herriman City – Bryn McCarty, Assistant City Planner

Councilmember Smith moved to approve the Consent Agenda as written. Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:
Councilmember Jared Henderson Aye
Councilmember Nicole Martin Absent
Councilmember Sherrie Ohrn Aye
Councilmember Clint Smith Aye
Mayor David Watts Aye

The motion passed unanimously with Councilmember Martin being absent.

6. Discussion and Action Items

6.1. Discussion and Consideration of a text change to the Land Development Code on behalf of Herriman City amending Chapter 16, Table of Uses (File No. Z2018-12) – Bryn McCarty, Assistant City Planner

Assistant City Planner Bryn McCarty indicated the City adopted a new Land Development Code. At that time, the Planning Commission (PC) directed staff to make several updates to the permitted and conditional uses listed in each zone. Staff conducted several work meetings with the Planning Commission to review Chapter 16, the Table
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of Uses, and make revisions. Some of the revisions were to bring greater clarity to the ordinance. Other changes eliminated uses that were not currently anticipated or desired in the City.

Mayor Watts requested the Council allow daycare and preschool in the RM zone. Councilmember Henderson explained this was to prevent apartments having daycare and preschools. He said parking and traffic were not adequate for residents in these areas to support daycares and preschools. Councilmember Ohrn suggested making this a conditional use to consider on a case-by-case basis whether these uses were appropriate. Councilmember Smith said this would be acceptable if they added specific conditions to allow these uses. Councilmember Henderson and Councilmember Ohrn agreed.

Planner McCarthy continued explaining the changes made to the ordinance. She noted heavy industry was no longer allowed anywhere in the City. She said the Planning Commission recommended approval.

*Councilmember Ohrn moved to approve Ordinance No. 2018-26 authorizing a text change to the Land Development Code to amend Chapter 16, the Table of Uses. Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion.*

The vote was recorded as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Councilmember</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jared Henderson</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicole Martin</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherrie Ohrn</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clint Smith</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Watts</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The motion passed unanimously with Councilmember Martin being absent.

7. **Calendar**

7.1. **Meetings**

7.1.1. August 30 – Joint City Council/Planning Commission Meeting 6:00 p.m.

7.1.2. September 6 – Planning Commission Meeting 7:00 p.m.

7.1.3. September 12 – City Council Work Meeting 5:00 p.m.; City Council Meeting 7:00 p.m.

7.2. **Events**

7.2.1. September 12 – Dig Off; W&M Butterfield Park 10:00 a.m.

7.2.2. September 19 – Community Partner Luncheon; Herriman City Hall 11:00 a.m.

7.2.3. September 20-24 – Children’s Theatre; W&M Butterfield Park 7:30 p.m.

7.3. **Closed Session**

7.3.1. *The Herriman City Council may temporarily recess the City Council meeting to convene in a closed session to discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205*

*Councilmember Smith moved to temporarily recess the City Council meeting to convene in closed session to discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205 at 8:00 p.m. Councilmember Ohrn seconded the motion.*
The vote was recorded as follows:

Councilmember Jared Henderson  Aye
Councilmember Nicole Martin   Absent
Councilmember Sherrie Ohrn Aye
Councilmember Clint Smith  Aye
Mayor David Watts    Aye

The motion passed unanimously with Councilmember Martin being absent.

The City Council reconvened the City Council meeting at 9:12 p.m.

8. **Adjournment**

Councilmember Henderson moved to adjourn the City Council meeting at 9:13 p.m. Councilmember Ohrn seconded the motion and all voted aye.

9. **Recommence to Work Meeting (If Needed)**

I, Jackie Nostrum, City Recorder for Herriman City, hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true, accurate and complete record of the meeting held on August 22, 2018. This document constitutes the official minutes for the Herriman City Council Meeting.

Jackie Nostrum, MMC
City Recorder
STAFF REPORT

DATE: 08/10/2018

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Travis Dunn- HR Manager

SUBJECT: Herriman City to Pay into URS Public Safety

RECOMMENDATION:
With the new Herriman City Police Department, Utah Retirement Systems wants a resolution stating we are committed into paying into the Public Safety fund on behalf of our officers.

BACKGROUND:
The URS contribution amount has already been approved in the July 1, 2018 budget.

FISCAL IMPACT:
No additional increase to what was approved in the budget on July 11, 2018.
HERRIMAN, UTAH
RESOLUTION NO.

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING ADMISSION TO THE PUBLIC SAFETY RETIREMENT SYSTEM

WHEREAS, Herriman City Corporation is authorized to employ public safety personnel on a full-time basis; and

WHEREAS, it is in the public interest to provide benefits authorized by Utah state law for the public safety personnel by the City; and

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the City Council to approve and authorize coverage under the Utah Public Safety Retirement System for Herriman City public safety personnel.

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved by the City Council of Herriman City, Utah that the City Administrator and Mayor are authorized to undertake all of the necessary actions to enroll the City in the benefit programs of the Utah Public Safety Retirement System offered by Utah Retirement Systems, including the retirement coverage and death benefit coverage for qualified employees under the laws and regulations of the Utah Retirement Systems.

ADOPTED by the City Council of Herriman City, Utah, this 12th day of September, 2018.

HERRIMAN

____________________________________
David Watts, Mayor

Jackie Nostrom, MCM
City Recorder
DATE: September 6, 2018

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: John Brems, City Attorney

SUBJECT: Ordinance amending Title 6, Chapter 1, of the Herriman Code of Ordinances by adding Sections regarding Interference with Control of Vehicles Prohibited, Reasonable and Proper Lookout Required, and Negligent Collision

RECOMMENDATION:
Approving an Ordinance amending Title 6, Chapter 1, of the Herriman Code of Ordinances by adding Sections regarding Interference with Control of Vehicles Prohibited, Reasonable and Proper Lookout Required, and Negligent Collision

DISCUSSION:
There is currently no State Statute governing Negligent Collisions. This is an Ordinance to deal with those types of incidents and has been requested by the Courts, Prosecutor, and the Unified Police Department.
HERRIMAN, UTAH
ORDINANCE NO. 18-__

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 6 CHAPTER 1 OF THE HERRIMAN CODE OF
ORDINANCES BY ADDING SECTIONS REGARDING INTERFERENCE WITH
CONTROL OF VEHICLE PROHIBITED, REASONABLE AND PROPER LOOKOUT
REQUIRED, AND NEGLIGENT COLLISION

WHEREAS, the City Council (the “Council”) met in regular meeting on September __, 2018, to consider, among other things, an ordinance amending title 6 chapter 1 of the Herriman Code of Ordinances by adding sections regarding interference with control of vehicle prohibited, reasonable and proper lookout required, and negligent collision; and

WHEREAS, the Council finds that maintaining safe control of a vehicle is critical to the safety of the inhabitants of Herriman; and

WHEREAS, the Council has been informed that police officers need provision dealing with interference with control of vehicle prohibited, reasonable and proper lookout required, and negligent collision; and

WHEREAS, after careful consideration, the Council finds that it is in the best interest of the health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of Herriman to amend title 6 chapter 1 of the Herriman Code of Ordinances by adding sections regarding interference with control of vehicle prohibited, reasonable and proper lookout required, and negligent collision.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Council that Herriman Code of Ordinances be amended, designated by interlineating the words to be deleted and underlining the words to be added, as follows

6.1.3 - Interference with control of vehicle prohibited.
No driver shall engage in any activity that interferes with the safe control and operation of his vehicle while the same is in motion.

6.1.4 - Reasonable and proper lookout required.
It is unlawful for any person to drive a vehicle on the streets of the city without keeping a reasonable and proper lookout for other traffic, persons or objects.

6.1.5 - Negligent collision.
It is unlawful to operate a vehicle with such lack of due care as to cause the same to collide with any vehicle, person or object.
This Ordinance, assigned Ordinance No. 18-__, shall take effect as soon as it shall be published or posted as required by law, deposited and recorded in the office of the City Recorder, and accepted as required herein.

PASSED AND APPROVED this _____ day of ____________________, 2018.

HERRIMAN

ATTEST: ________________________________

Mayor David Watts

Jackie Nostrom, MMC
City Recorder
DATE: September 4, 2018
TO: Herriman City Council
FROM: Wendy Thomas, CPRP, Director, Parks, Recreation and Events
MEETING: September 12, 2018

Summary

In October 2017, Herriman City Council approved the use of Park Impact Fees to conduct a study of the highest and best use of property purchased primarily through the Army Compatible Use Buffer (ACUB) program. Project Engineering Consultants (PEC) was chosen out of four firms that submitted proposals to conduct the study.

Herriman City continues to acquire primarily non-developable open space through the donation of property, with the use of Council approved City funds, through the ACUB program or through other forms of grant funding. This area of the City is referred to as the “Herriman Hills”. The primary intent of the area identified as the Herriman Hills is to provide a protective buffer between Herriman City and Camp Williams, to preserve open space and provide outdoor recreational opportunities for residents and guests to enjoy.

A rudimentary trail system previously existed in the open space and connects through a mix of privately owned and publically owned land. Current use is for non-motorized trails only. The Herriman Hills Trails Committee, in coordination with the Herriman Parks Department, has expanded the trail system through hiring a professional trail builder and has added nearly six (6) miles of single track trail on Herriman City property since May of 2018. The Herriman Hills area currently occupies 1,160 acres with another 391 acres currently under contract. Additional parcels have been identified as compatible, but are not currently under contract.

The Herriman City Parks Master Plan and the Salt Lake County East West Recreational Trails Master Plan have been completed and were adopted in 2015. These plans identify preferred and alternative alignments for active transportation and trail uses from a high level with minimal detail. The Herriman Hills Master Plan provided a detailed examination of not only recreational trails and appropriate locations for trailheads, but other recreational amenities that could drive recreational tourism and provide additional leisure opportunities for residents and guests.
**Study Process**

Several project team meetings were held between November 2017 and March 2018 in order to clearly identify study area, establish goals and objectives and to set timelines for the study.

**Open House Meeting**

A public open house was held on March 22, 2018 at Herriman City Hall. Approximately 50 individuals attended the meeting where the Herriman Hills Project Staff were available to provide maps, initial ideas on amenities, answer questions, listen to resident requests and concerns and to collect comments. Feedback from the Open House can be found in section 3.2 of the Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan.

**Online Public Opinion Survey**

An online public opinion survey was also conducted. The survey was posted on the City website and links were shared through social and print media. The survey received 735 responses. Key findings include:

- 84% of respondents would like to see only small scale (hiking, running, biking, parks, etc) development of the area;
- Hiking trails were the most important small scale activity at almost 65% with mountain biking a close second at 57%;
- Several respondents also requested that the property be left as is.

Additional data and feedback from the online public opinion survey can be found in section 3.3 of the Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan with all survey results found in Appendix B of the Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan.

**Staff Recommendation**

Staff recommend incorporating the Herriman Hillside Master Plan as an element of the Herriman City General Plan by resolution.

**Planning Commission Recommendation:**

Planning Commission recommended the approval of City Council to incorporate the Herriman Hills Master Plan as an element of the Herriman City General Plan on August 16, 2018.

**Attachments**

A. Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan
HERRIMAN, UTAH
ORDINANCE NO. ____

AN ORDINANCE OF THE HERRIMAN CITY COUNCIL ADOPTING AN
AMENDMENT TO THE HERRIMAN CITY GENERAL PLAN KNOWN AS THE
HERRIMAN HILLS OPEN SPACE MASTER PLAN

WHEREAS, the Herriman City Council ("Council") met in regular meeting September 12, 2018, to consider, among other things, adopting an amendment to the Herriman City General Plan known as the Herriman Hills OpenSpace Master Plan; and

WHEREAS, Utah Code Ann. § 10-9a-401 provides that a city must enact a general plan establishing guidelines for the present and future needs of the municipality; and growth and development of all or any part of the land within the municipality; and

WHEREAS, Utah Code Ann. § 10-9a-403 provides that a proposed general plan shall include, at a minimum, with the accompanying maps, charts, and descriptive and explanatory matter, the Planning Commission's recommendations for a land use element that designates the long-term goals and the proposed extent, general distribution, and location of land for housing business, industry, agriculture, recreation, education, public buildings and grounds, open space, and other categories of public and private uses of land as appropriate; and; and

WHEREAS, Utah Code Ann. § 10-9a-403 provides that a proposed general plan may include: the protection, conservation, development, and use of natural resources, including the quality of air, forests, soils, rivers and other waters, harbors, fisheries, wildlife, minerals, and other natural resources; and

WHEREAS, notice of the City intent to prepare a general plan amendment was provided to each affected entity, the Automated Geographic Reference Center created in Section 63F-1-506, the association of governments, established pursuant to an interlocal agreement under Title 11, Chapter 13, Interlocal Cooperation Act, of which the municipality is a member, and posted on the Utah Public Notice Website created under Section 63F-1-701 on ____________; and

WHEREAS, notice of the Planning Commission public hearing on the amendment to the Herriman City General Plan known as the Herriman Hills OpenSpace Master Plan ("Herriman Hills Plan") was published in a newspaper of general circulation in the area, published on the Utah Public Notice Website created in Section 63F-1-701, mailed to each affected entity, and posted on the municipality's official website on ___________; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on August 16, 2018, at approximately 7:00 p.m. regarding the Herriman Hills Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the Herriman Hills Plan; and
WHEREAS, the Council considered adoption of the Herriman Hills Plan at public meeting on ______________; and

WHEREAS, notice of the Council public meeting regarding the Herriman Hills Plan public meeting was submitted to a newspaper of general circulation in the area, published on the Utah Public Notice Website created in Section 63F-1-701, and posted on the municipality's official website on ______________; and

WHEREAS, the Council finds that it is in the best interest of the residents of Herriman to adopt the Herriman Hills Plan which was recommended by the Planning Commission for approval; and

NOW, THEREFORE, be it ordained by the Council that the Herriman Hills Plan be adopted, a copy of which is set forth in exhibit “A” to this Ordinance. The Herriman Hills Plan is also on record with the City Recorder.

PASSED AND APPROVED this ___day of September 2018.
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By: _____________________________
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Executive Summary

Accessibility to a the Herriman Hills Open Space with a well connected trail network is a key goal for this vibrant, livable, healthy community. Well planned trailheads and recreation amenities are also an integral part of a complete and attractive recreation system to help increase economic viability. The integration of well planned access locations in the community aids in reducing traffic congestion in residential neighborhoods, while also helping to improve the health and quality for life of residents and communities.

An active lifestyle is a primary component in the allure of Herriman City to many new residents. In recognition of these benefits and to provide support for active recreation and the related lifestyle, Herriman City contracted with Project Engineering Consultants (PEC) to create the first Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan. The plan focuses specifically on exploring recreational solutions that are compatible with the Army Compatible Use Buffer (ACUB) and balancing the City’s desire to attract economic activity with the residents desire for maximum natural open space. The primary goal of the plan was to develop a complete, comprehensive recreational amenities feasibility study to identify potential recreational amenities and destination locations for the Herriman Hills area. The plan process included coordination with municipal agencies and local committees that own and manage the land.

Tasks completed by the project team during the development of the feasibility study and open space master plan include:

- Collection of existing trails and City data, including geographic information system (GIS) shapefiles, zoning maps, City trail plans, etc;
- Compilation and organization of existing data into a single dataset;
- Coordination with local municipality and contributing committees;
- Completion of a public open house;
- Completion of a user attitudes and needs survey (online and available at public open house);
- Completion of various site analysis and space allocation map iterations with revisions and changes as directed by the project team;
- Completion of project and planning evaluation of potential recreation amenities;
- Preparation of a cost economic impact study (provided by Zions Public Financial);
- Recommendations for project prioritization, implementation, and funding; and
- Completion of preliminary conceptual design and this open space master plan document.
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1.0 Introduction

In October 2017, Herriman City contracted with Project Engineering Consultants Ltd. (PEC) to complete an open space feasibility study for the Herriman Hills area. The Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan was developed to provide a framework for creating a connected system of trails and recreation amenities throughout the property and determine the feasibility of access points, trailhead locations, and additional recreation development options. This non-motorized system is envisioned to serve a diverse range of users, providing safe and well-maintained linkages to important natural, recreational, cultural, and civic destinations and other points of interest within and outside of the area. The plan will also include important links to trail systems in surrounding regions, such as the Bonneville Shoreline Trail, Bluffdale, and Yellow Fork/Rose Canyon.

1.1 Project Setting

Herriman City is located on the north slope of the southern foothills of the Oquirrh Mountains. The City has roughly 51,000 inhabitants within 20.3 square miles, according U.S. Census Bureau estimates, equating to a population density of about 2,512.3 inhabitants per square mile.

The City is bordered by Camp Williams to the south, Bluffdale to the east, Riverton to the north and north east, and unincorporated Salt Lake County to the west (see Figure 1). Most of the City consists of residential zoned land (see Figure 3).

The proposed study area for the Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan, focuses primarily on the approximate 1,800 acre site (see Figure 2). Consideration of major connections to surrounding cities and/or open lands were part of the process (see Appendix A: Site Analysis Maps).

1.2 Importance of Open Space Planning

Good open space planning does more than create amenities for a community; a unified open space plan can foster community cohesion, improve public health, and preserve natural resources. A well-planned open space system can enhance the quality of life for residents, attract new residents, and support local businesses.

From left to right, Figure 1: This map of the state of Utah shows the location of Salt Lake County and where Herriman is located within Salt Lake County; Figure 2: The Herriman City map shows the defined project area in red.
Figure 3: Herriman City Zoning Map. Provided by Herriman City.
space master plan does the following:

- Connects open space and trail users into a municipal and regional network;
- Connects communities;
- Encourages integrated development planning;
- Connects the local trail network to public lands and recreation areas;
- Helps preserve open space;
- Fosters an active lifestyle (see Figure 4);
- Helps communities better prioritize the development and construction of trails, trailheads, and recreation amenities; and
- Strengthens a community’s ability to secure outside funding to build trail, trailhead, and/or recreation amenity projects.

1.3 Purpose of the Master Plan

Herriman City (City) with the help of federal funding purchased approximately 1,800 acres of native foothills open space to accommodate the Army Compatible Use Buffer (ACUB) Zone adjacent to Camp Williams. The City’s vision is to create a regional recreational hub to draw visitors to the area and increase local commercial prospects for the City. Due to an increasing interest in recreation throughout the county and a decrease in available open space for recreational opportunities, a niche for a regional development of open space for recreation is prudent. Population growth and urban expansion have created a demand for unique outdoor experiences which once established could draw new residents and regional day-trippers. This proposed extensive network of backcountry trails, trailheads, and unique recreational opportunities could put Herriman on the map as well as create a cohesive community, connecting backcountry trail nodes with an urban transportation trail network.

The City also anticipates the likelihood of the population spilling over into the communities adjacent to Herriman Hills affecting future access and impacts to the natural environment. By establishing a comprehensive open space master plan now, the City can implement trail infrastructure and trail access seamlessly with applications for funding and development
in the area. The development of trails and trailheads will also limit damage to the natural environmental and habitat by discouraging social trails and unlimited access.

The purpose of the Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan project is to identify the feasibility of potential recreation amenities and destination locations for the area which are compatible with the ACUB Zone. To fulfill the purpose of the master plan, the following goals and objectives were outlined:

- Inventory existing trails and access points within the study area (see Figure 5);
- Provide a list of possible recreation opportunities that are compatible with the ACUB;
- Define the recreation amenities that best suit the City and its residents, recommend strategies for implementation, funding, and prioritization of the proposed master plan; and
- Create a comprehensive concept plan to provide direction for future recreation development and implementation of the strategies and goals of this open space master plan.

1.4 Project Team Members
Representatives from Herriman City, Herriman Trails Committee, and Trails Utah were involved in the planning and development of the open space master plan; they are listed below:

**Project Engineering Consultants (PEC)**
- Lars Anderson, Principal-in-Charge
- Greg Kloberdanz, Project Manager
- Geoff Dupaix, Public Involvement Specialist
- Debora Shepard, Landscape Architect/Planner
- Zachary Scott, Trail Designer

**Zions Public Financial**
- Benj Becker, Economic Analyst

**Herriman City**
- Wendy Thomas, Director of Parks and Events
- Gordon M. Haight II, Assistant City Manager
- Eric Blackett, GIS Technician

**Trails Utah/Super Fly**
- Sarah Bennett
- Senta Beyer, Open Space/Trail Planner

**Herriman Trails Committee**
- Jo Darton, Committee Chair

Team members were individually consulted when the project was initiated to gather existing information and input regarding the study area. Following these consultations, team meetings were held to determine solutions and manage efforts.

1.5 Public Involvement
Herriman City and the surrounding communities have an active population with a passion for outdoor recreation. The project team determined that public opinion and support for the master plan would be essential in its development and implementation. It also became apparent that due to the geographic nature of the area and the neighboring land uses, that coordination between the trails committee, Camp Williams, and residents would be crucial for success. The
project team used the following tactics to involve the general public and coordinate between agencies:

- Conducted a public open house;
- Conducted an online user survey;
- Created presentations and informational materials; and
- Collected comments from the online user survey and from the public open house and organized them into a matrix.

1.6 Goals, Objectives, and Policies
PEC originally identified a basis for the goals, objectives, and policies in the project proposal. This basis was then tailored through interactions with city staff and residents. The goals, objectives, and policies for the Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan consist of the following:

- Build upon existing and proposed trails to create a comprehensive municipal and regional trails plan for Herriman Hills area;
- Identify, review, and present the vision and goals of city officials and residents;
- Gather data regarding the use of existing trails and the implementation of new trails and amenities through a needs and attitudes survey;
- Determine desired recreation amenities that are compatible with the ACUB Zone;
- Estimate costs for trail and amenities construction;
- Research maintenance requirements for a variety of trail types;
- Make suggestions for prioritization as it relates to the open space master plan;
- Suggest funding options for implementation and maintenance;
- Compile data collected during the above listed objectives; and
- Produce area concepts and a written open space master plan document.

1.7 Benefits of Open Space
Trails and open space have tremendous benefits for local communities. Not only do they connect cities and towns to surrounding lands, but they also enhance the local quality of life through positive impacts to health, economy, and environment. Herriman City recognized this importance and has taken the steps to prepare a municipal open space master plan so the community can benefit from a unified trail system and limited development of their natural open space. Examples of benefits from trails and open space are listed below.

- **Improving Health:** Trails and open space reduce medical costs by encouraging exercise and other healthy outdoor activities at low to no cost relative to other recreational services.
**Improving Economy:** The costs of land acquisition for trails, trail construction, open space, and maintenance are far outweighed by the economic benefits generated, which can include increased property values, increased spending at local businesses, and increased business development. A healthy trails and open space system fosters a desirable destination for regional travel.

### 2.0 Project Approach

Although Herriman City consists of a little more than 20 square miles of land, the 1,800 acre Herriman Hills area is an incredible asset to the community and residents. Determining recreational amenities and destination locations that are suitable for the Army Compatible Use Buffer (ACUB) is the goal. These recreation solutions will include the City’s goal of generating more tourism and economic activity in the area while protecting the activities of Camp Williams.

### 2.1 Study Area

The 1,800 acre Herriman Hills site is located between Herriman City and Camp Williams. At 5,000 feet in elevation this high desert environment sees only 10 to 15 inches of precipitation per year. This rocky, semi-arid foothill landscape consists of desert shrubs and grassland which support a range of wildlife including deer, small mammals, reptiles, and birds. With human activity moving further and further into the foothills, preserving a portion of this valuable habitat will enhance the community and attract visitors from the region.

### 2.2 Existing Infrastructure

Herriman Hills has ample opportunities for trails and amenities plus a supportive group of trail users. However, access to the area is limited to Blackridge Reservoir, a few trail connections within the city, and social trails through empty residential lots. The following systems are components of the existing trail infrastructure within the city which are connected to the area (see Figure 5).

- **Blackridge Reservoir Trailhead:** This is the only designated trailhead for the Herriman Hills Open Space currently. The extreme popularity of this destination has overwhelmed the parking capacity. Neighborhood residents are concerned with on street parking and increased traffic in the area.

- **Blackridge Reservoir Trail System:** Herriman City developed a trail corridor from Leila Lane, crossing at Rosecrest Road and Emmeline Drive, to Blackridge reservoir. This paved urban trail is popular with residents for trail activities and access to the reservoir area.

- **Juniper Crest Trail System:** From Mountain View Corridor to Herriman Hills are a group of trails bordering an intermittent stream drainage. A small portion of these trails are paved and cross Juniper Crest Road to reach the Herriman Hills Open Space. This trail corridor is essential in connecting the community and activities on the east
1. The Cove Access Area
2. Graffiti Rock Access Area
3. Herriman View Access Area
4. Cuchara Way Access Area
5. Blackridge Reservoir Existing Trailhead and Access Area
6. Juniper Crest Access Area

Figures 5: This map shows existing trails, trailheads, and access points in and around the Herriman Hills Open Space.
side of Mountain View Corridor including the REAL Salt Lake Academy and Salt Lake Community College Herriman Campus with Herriman Hills. Some visitors are accessing the open space by parking in the LDS Church parking lot on Juniper Crest Road.

- **Butterfield Park Trail System**: Herriman City developed a trail from Butterfield Parkway to Blackridge Reservoir which traverses the Herriman Hills area. The trail from Butterfield Parkway to the Friendship Drive area is paved and from there to Blackridge Reservoir the trail is not paved.

- **Cuchara Way/Friendship Dr. Access**: A 24’ wide right of way access was created by the City during neighborhood development. An unpaved trail links with the Butterfield Park Trail System.

- **Herriman View Way/Fort Pierce Way Access**: Two trail right of ways were established for resident access to Herriman Hills, however only socially created trails exist at this time.

- **Graffiti Rock Access**: At the intersection of Wide Hollow Dr. and 6600 West a social trail has been created to access the open space area. Many visitors are parking along this narrow road creating traffic problems and damaging bordering vegetation.

- **The Cove Area Access**: Between Spring Canyon Dr. and Cedar Falls Dr. an intermittent drainage swell was set aside as open space during residential development. This future trail system area could connect Vista Springs Dr. to Herriman Hills through future neighborhoods if planned successfully.

### 2.3 Data Collection
Herriman Hills encompasses such a large area, that the collection of existing data was essential to the creation of a universal data set from which future decisions could be made. Data for the project was collected in the form of ESRI shapefiles and hardcopy maps from Herriman City. PEC contacted Eric Blackett with the City to request data applicable to the study area.

### 2.4 Data Compilation
Any available ESRI shapefiles were merged into one single shapefile. The new universal shapefile was evaluated to determine missing components. Hard copy maps were used to cross check data, and any missing or incorrect parts of the data were added to the new shapefile using geographic information system (GIS) software. In the urban areas, digital data was verified using current aerial imagery and agency representatives. When all data had been compiled and verified, a universal set of base maps was created and used in planning and mapping workshops with the project team.

### 2.5 Mapping
The team used the universal set of basemaps in public meetings and in planning sessions with managing agencies and municipal planners. Full size scaled maps were used to draw conceptual trail alignments, outline existing trails, and define proposed trailheads and
development for the master plan (See Appendix A: Site Analysis Maps). During the creation of these maps, PEC and team members determined that due to the large number of trails being proposed and the large study area, developing a core network of primary trails and priority trailheads would be necessary.

2.6 Core Network
The Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan is a network of the primary trails and trailheads in the study area that provide connections to key access points, points of interest, and regional trails with the addition of priority and proposed trailheads with recreation amenities. The core network was developed throughout the mapping process and committee meetings with the project team. After identifying key access points in the study area, the team began to draw conceptual alignments between these locations. Through revision and refinement, a core network was developed.

The project team found that connections between the Bonneville Shoreline Trail (BST) and municipal trail systems were essential. In addition, key connections needed to be made between the city commercial center, REAL Salt Lake Academy, Salt Lake Community College Herriman Campus, and the open space. Finally, the team determined that providing access to communities through existing and future right of ways was crucial for resident and visitor access. By proposing solutions to these basic needs, the core network was developed.

3.0 Public Involvement
Public involvement (PI) played an integral role in the development of the Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan. Generating support among residents and adjacent communities in Herriman City was crucial to building an area-wide plan that connects neighborhoods to open space and ties the recreation locations into the core network of trails. To accomplish this goal, the project team held committee meetings, conducted a public open house, and organized an online survey to gauge frequency of trail use, recreational activities and amenities, and willingness to find alternatives to fund trail, trailhead, and amenity construction and maintenance.

3.1 Committee Meetings
Developing a unified open space plan required collaboration with the trails committee, a group of key representatives who speak for their municipalities and organizations and champion the creation of trails within Herriman City and the surrounding area.

The purpose of the meeting was to review project goals and outcomes, objectives, and identify trail user groups and access locations. The team wanted to create a plan that identified a core network of trails that would connect to residential areas, establish access and trailheads, and incorporate recreational activities important to the community. The second goal was to create a public input survey to collect data concerning trail use and recreational needs and wants. The group identified the following objectives for the plan:

- Relocate the Bonneville Shoreline Trail (BST) alignment;
- Minimize development areas and roads to interior of open space in order to enhance the natural quality of the environment and habitat;
- Exclude motorized activity from the area; and
- Create a comprehensive public input survey in order to determine the desires of the residents for the area.

Additional refinements were made to the proposed trail network. The team also reviewed the public survey and provided comments. The team wanted to explore how willing respondents would be to pay an additional park fee to build and maintain trails.

### 3.2 Public Open House

The team scheduled a public open house on March 22, 2018 at Herriman City Hall. The purpose for the meeting was to present to the public the existing trail network, proposed BST alignment, potential trailhead locations, and proposed trail classifications that had been developed and gather feedback. The public was given the opportunity to review the maps of the proposed trail routes and trailhead locations and make suggestions. Approximately 50 people attended the meeting, with 41 signing the attendance roster.

Several methods were used to promote the open house, including using the City website and implementing social media tools.

The City website was used as the primary information source. The project survey, open house announcement, and all project materials were posted to the website, allowing stakeholders to look at the information at their convenience. Table 2 summarizes the comments from the March 22, 2018 public open house.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Comments</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Comment Descriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Add Trails</td>
<td>Include a trail network to accommodate hiking, biking, trail running, and equestrian with connectivity and loop trails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Skills Trails</td>
<td>Low, medium, and high level skills trails for mountain biking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>No Motorized Vehicles</td>
<td>No ATV’s or motorized vehicles to tear up natural environment, damage trails, create noise, dust, or sparks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pump Track</td>
<td>Include a mountain bike pump track for variety and regional draw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NICCA Course</td>
<td>Mountain bike race course for regional and state events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dog Park</td>
<td>Include an off leash dog park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cross Country</td>
<td>Create a 5K or 3 mile course for Herriman Cross Country team practice and events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Trailheads</td>
<td>Add trailheads/access which include restrooms, drinking fountains, and off street parking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Comments</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Comment Descriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Shooting Range</td>
<td>Public/private partnership to develop a managed shooting range for regional draw and revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Leave As Is</td>
<td>Leave property as it is with no improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Picnic Areas</td>
<td>Develop picnic areas for outdoor eating on the trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Obstacle Course</td>
<td>Create an obstacle/rope/climbing course for unique experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Challenge Staircase</td>
<td>Include a challenge staircase for a unique outdoor fitness and recreational experience</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A total of 15 people submitted comments at the open house

Table 1: Summary of comments from the March 22, 2018 public open house.

3.3 Public Opinion Survey

The project team developed an online survey of 17 questions to measure topics such as frequency of trail use, primary use of trails, desired recreational amenities, trailhead and access locations, and willingness of the public (or trail users at least) to pay additional fees or taxes to build and maintain trails. The survey was posted on the City website and each member of the trails committee sent out a link to the survey on social media to each of their communities and user groups.

The data may be skewed in favor of trail users and may not reflect a true sample of the population of Herriman City residents, since almost 72% of respondents are trail users. That said, the information from the survey provides good information to influence the direction or the project and in future conduct more research and analysis.

More than 735 persons responded to the survey, with 234 respondents answering all the questions. Of those who responded to the survey, 81% of respondents came from Herriman.

Some key findings from the survey included the following:

- 84% of respondents would like to see only small scale development of the area (hiking/running/biking trails, parks, limited vehicular access);
- Hiking trails were the most important small scale activity at almost 65% and mountain biking came in second with over 57%);
- The only medium scale activity rated important was a year-round terrain park (e.g. biking trail in summer/snowboard, snowshoe, tubing in winter), however it was only important to 39% of respondents;
- Less popular was large scale development with the highest important activities including 23% for access roads to interior trailheads and almost 19% for access roads to view areas;
- Off-leash dog parks were almost a tie with 51.5% indicating they should be considered and 49.5% that they shouldn’t be a part of the open space;
Due to the limited access available into the open space respondents were asked about increased traffic in the adjacent neighborhoods. The answers were fairly even opposed to in-favor;

Proposed trailhead locations include Juniper Crest at 30%, The Cove area at 26%, Graffiti Rock (6600 West) area 25%, Herriman View area 17%, Cuchara Way area at 12%, and all of the above at 51% and none of the above at 11%;

Popular amenities to include at trailheads include trash receptacles - 87%, area rules - 80%, trail maps - 80%, restrooms - 76%, dog waste station - 63%, and benches - 52%;

Over 78% of respondents said they would be willing to pay additional fees to improve and maintain trails;

The most popular trail types are all natural surface trails;

Only 114 of respondents indicated safety concerns with most of the comments about traffic considerations and fire safety; and

32% of those surveyed included additional development suggestions including additional trees, off-leash dog trails, revenue generating development, and ATV/UTV use. Some respondents also requested the property be left as is.

Most of the respondents were current trail users. Their responses could mean that if residents see the benefits of creating a unified trail system, they may be more likely to pay an additional fee to build and maintain trails. The majority of respondents support an increase in city park fees to fund the improvements and maintenance. The complete survey can be found in Appendix B: Public Involvement Materials.

### 4.0 Trail Classification

To plan for proposed trails and improve existing trails, a trail classification system was developed as part of the open space planning process. Four different categories were proposed and adopted to serve as a guide for developing a functional, sustainable trail system: Safety, accessibility, connectivity, function, and economics. These categories will help planners and professionals find creative solutions when providing for trail connectivity within a variety of conditions.

The design concepts and attributes presented were derived from current trail design guidelines provided in the American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials (AASHTO), Bonneville Shoreline Trail Guidelines, and International Mountain Biking Association (IMBA) for sustainable, urban and backcountry trail construction and design standards. These standards, combined with the collective interests from the trails committee, were instrumental in developing the classification system and design standards specific to the needs of the Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan.

#### 4.1 Improved Multi-Use Trail - Class 1

Multi-use trails are major community arterial routes that are independent of vehicular routes and provide adequate separation from vehicles. They may bisect parks and open space, as well
as parallel natural features, such as rivers and streams. These trails are both transportation and recreation oriented and should provide connections to cities, towns, backcountry trails and trailheads, parks, points of interest, and other transportation nodes. Where possible, these trails should comply with AASHTO standards (See Figures 6-8). Although no improved multi-use trails are proposed with the Herriman Hills Open Space many of the connector trail corridors and arterials are improved multi-use trails.

4.2 Urban Soft Surface Trail - Class 2

Urban soft surface multi-use trails are major community arterial routes independent of vehicular routes (see Figures 9-10). They may bisect parks and open space, as well as parallel natural features, such as rivers and streams. These trails are both transportation and recreation oriented and should provide connections to the city, backcountry trails and trailheads, parks, points of interest, and other transportation nodes. These trails may differ from other multi-use trails in surface material and width. Soft surface trails are constructed of compacted road base, preferably a 6-inch depth of 3/4-inch material that allows for firm compaction. Soft surface trails provide a suitable surface for all user types (e.g., walkers, runners, mountain bikers, and equestrian users). Currently, no urban soft surface trails are planned for the area but future improvements and widening of trails may be needed that would qualify as class 2 trails.
4.3 Improved Pedestrian Trail - Class 3
Improved pedestrian trails are collector trails (including sidewalks) that connect users to community trails (see Figures 11-12). They may bisect neighborhoods, open spaces, commercial developments, parks, schools, etc., and parallel rivers and streams. Improved Pedestrian Trails are popular connectors to the Herriman Hills Open Space.

Figures 11-12: Examples of improved pedestrian trails. Images courtesy of Google and PEC.

Efforts should be made to maintain a consistent width and for residential sidewalks to remain between 4 to 6 feet. Primary sidewalks should be constructed to a minimum width of 6 to 8 feet.

4.4 Backcountry Trail - Class 4
The majority of the proposed trails within the Herriman Hills Open Space area will be designated as backcountry trails. For the purposes of the master plan, backcountry trails (single-track type) are defined as trails that provide access for users to explore areas outside of the urban trail network. These non-motorized trails are typically designed and constructed for a variety of recreational users, such as hikers, trail runners, mountain bikers, and equestrians (see Figures 13-15). The Bonneville Shoreline Trail (BST) realignment will fall in this category. According to the proposal, the BST trail construction will be 4 feet wide to match existing unpaved trails in the area.

Figures 13-15: Examples of backcountry trails. Images courtesy of Google and PEC.
Because they are located outside of the urban network, the surface typically consists of natural dirt, creating an undeveloped feel for users. In some rare cases, other materials, such as gravel, may be implemented to aid in erosion control or stabilization. Backcountry trails accommodate a variety of uses, such as hiking, running, mountain biking, equestrians, and snowshoeing. Backcountry trails range from 2 to 4-feet wide.

In some cases, bridges, and boardwalks may be necessary to traverse through or over natural features in the backcountry. These structures should be designed and constructed to be compatible with all backcountry uses.

**5.0 Trailhead Classification**

Access to a trail system is one of the primary elements for a successful trail network. Trailheads serve the local and regional population who access the trail network by car, transit, bicycle, foot, and/or other modes of travel. These access points provide essential connections to the system and serve as an information hub to educate and provide users with directions, maps, rules and regulations of the trails and area, closures, events, etc. Where appropriate, support facilities, such as resting areas, interpretive signs specific to the area, trail maps, restrooms, drinking fountains, and bike racks, may be provided. To plan proposed trailheads and improve existing ones, a trail classification standard was developed. Three different classes are proposed based on capacity, location, trail access, and amenities.

**5.1 Large Trailhead - Class 1**

Class 1 trailheads are classified as major developed parking hubs for both community and regional trails where heavy use is anticipated. Class 1 trailheads should include, but are not limited to, a minimum of 25 paved parking stalls, direct and safe trail access, restrooms, information kiosks, with maps and educational information, drinking fountains, bike racks, security lighting, public art, and monument signs, as determined at the time of approval, evaluation, and development. Class 1 trailheads should address good circulation patterns, site distances, proper drainage and storm water run off, and landscaping, as required (See Figures 16-18).

*Figures 16-18: Class 1 trailheads have a minimum of 25 total parking stalls, major amenities, and direct access to trails. Images courtesy of Google and the City of Phoenix.*
5.2 Medium Trailhead - Class 2

Class 2 trailheads are classified as trail parking areas that include less than 25 parking stalls but more than six. Parking surfaces may be improved with road base, gravel, or pavement (see Figures 19-21). Amenities may include, but are not limited to, restrooms, map kiosks, signs, safe and direct trail access, and other items, as determined at the time of approval, evaluation, and development. These trailheads should address good circulation patterns, site distances, and proper drainage and storm water run off, if necessary.
5.3 Small Trailhead - Class 3

Class 3 trailheads are classified as small, undeveloped, legal trail parking areas that provide ten or less parking stalls and accommodate off-street parking (see Figures 22-24). There are typically no trailhead amenities, such as restrooms, bike racks, etc. They do provide direct access to trails and often provide a map kiosk with trail information.

Figures 26-28: Class 3 Trailheads include less than six parking stalls, no major amenities, direct access to trails, and trail information or kiosk. Images courtesy of Google.

5.4 Priority Trailhead Recommendations

Through the data collection and analysis process the study identified three priority trailhead sites with multiple other future areas of potential development. The primary constraints of the site are access from adjacent residential areas to the developable areas and access between developable areas due to terrain or land acquisition. The study has identified the most probable locations for both vehicular and pedestrian access as well as locations for activity centers, amenities, and trails (see Appendix D: Open Space Concept Plans). These three trailhead sites are priority recommendations based on their current access, buildable areas, and more direct access routes:

- **Wide Hollow Trailhead (5 Acres):** A Class 1 to 2 trailhead is suggested for this priority site located on 6600 West and Wide Hollow Drive. Potential activities/amenities for this area include parking (not to exceed 60 stalls), restrooms with drinking fountain/bottle filler, picnic tables, small picnic pavilion, trail map/rules kiosk, trail access for hiking and mountain biking with potential for loops, and a recommended off leash fenced dog park with dog waste station and/or small challenge staircase (150 to 300 stairs) (see Figures 29-31).

Figures 29-31: Wide Hollow Trailhead proposed amenities. Images courtesy of Google.
- **Friendship Trailhead (2 to 3 Acres):** This priority Class 2 to 3 trailhead will be accessed through 24’ wide trail right of way off of the intersection at Friendship Drive and Cuchara Way. Potential activities/amenities may include a small parking area (6 to 10 stalls), restrooms with drinking fountain/bottle filler, picnic tables, trail map/rules kiosk, dog waste station, trail access for hiking and mountain biking, and suggested fit trail from Butterfield Parkway to Friendship Trailhead. The right of way access to the site is narrow but could accommodate a road for access to a small parking lot to help reduce on street parking in the area. Class 3 trailheads, however, do not require parking. If a small parking area is not constructed a minimum 10 foot wide with 2 foot shoulder multi-use soft surface trail will need to be constructed for maintenance access to the trailhead area and restrooms. An access bar barricade is recommended to block unauthorized vehicular access at the entrance. A minimum 50 foot landscape buffer is recommended between the residential property line and parking, if installed.

- **Juniper Crest Trailhead (5 Acres):** This area is a key connection point between the new SLCC Campus/REAL Center and Herriman Hills. The current north trail from Juniper Crest Road to Mountain View Corridor is partially paved where it is located south of the residential development. The soft surface portion of the trail is planned to be paved and connect under Mountain View Corridor and to the planned commercial area at the intersection of Mountain View Corridor and Juniper Crest Road. This urban trail could provide a unique opportunity for family friendly strolls with a destination or through traffic from the east to Herriman Hills Open Space. The five acre site is tucked back behind currently undeveloped residential property with access off of Juniper Crest Road via Juniper Trail Drive. This priority 5 acre site is a priority site for a Class 1 trailhead which will include parking (130 to 150 stalls), restrooms with drinking fountain/bottle filler, picnic tables, small/medium picnic pavilion, dog waste station, race start area (cross country, running, etc.), 5K loop, pump track, gravity flow trail, small amphitheater, trail map/rules kiosk, and access to trails. Other proposed amenities include an off-leash dog park, playground, reception/event center, and outdoor gym. The project could be phased. The first phase might include a 60 stall road base parking lot, restrooms with drinking fountain/bottle filler, trail map/rules kiosk, and picnic pavilion area (see Figures 32-34).
5.5 Potential Future Trailhead Recommendations

Additional areas of the site have been identified as potential trailhead locations or development areas. However, access to these areas is limited creating the need for City planning and land acquisition. The following areas are suggested for future site development (see Appendix D: Open Space Concept Plans):

- **The Cove Area (2 Acres):** Establish future access off Spring Canyon Drive through proposed residential development to create small Class 2 trailhead with potential small to medium parking area, restrooms with drinking fountain/bottle filler, trail map/rules kiosk, and dog waste station. The developable area of the site is small, however, there is currently a portion of land just outside the property boundary that is used as a parking and turn around area that could increase the size of the parking lot making it a good location for equestrian trailer parking and access area. If this could be accomplished it is recommended that a watering trough and hitching post also be added. The trail right of way behind the residential lots between Spring Canyon Drive and Cedar Falls Drive has been established from Vista Springs Drive and needs to be continued through future developments to connect to the proposed trailhead and Herriman Hills Open Space.

- **Table Top Area (150 Acres):** This area of the south mountain foothills provides an elevated relatively flat destination location for hikers and bikers. Though not recommended to have public vehicular access, the area has potential for several activities/amenities rewarding ambitious visitors. This trail node area is proposed to include trail loops for hiking and mountain biking, off leash dog area/trail, back country (no fire) camping sites, view areas, a mountain living room, and restrooms (pit toilets or a possible location for package treatment sanitary sewer). There would be no drinking water at this location which limits activities. A ten foot with 2 foot shoulder wide soft surface trail will need to be constructed up to the site for maintenance and emergency access.

- **Tickville Gulch Area (100 Acres):** Tickville Gulch is situated in the southeast corner of the property away from residential areas. However, vehicular access will need to be constructed to access this potential year round terrain park. Access is recommended off of Juniper Crest Drive with another future access from Mountain View Corridor from Porter Rockwell Boulevard. Both routes require additional land acquisition. Suggested future activities in the Tickville Gulch area, once vehicular access can be established, include a Class 1 trailhead, parking (50 to 200 stalls with room for food trucks), restroom with drinking fountain/bottle filler, picnic pavilion, interpretive/educational trail loops, amphitheater, mountain bike recreation area (pump tracks, downhill biking, skills course, etc.), NICCA course, challenge staircase (two or three sets of 200 stairs serpentined with a trail loop), winter slope activities such as tubing, and/or rope/obstacle course possibly with short zip lines incorporated for a unique outdoor experience. The mountain bike and hiking season on the lower foothills is longer than the mountain canyons which
creates an advantage for regional users, however it limits winter activities. It is suggested that snowflex be installed for a year round tubing hill creating an exclusive recreational opportunity. This area could provide a desirable destination area for public/private partnership opportunities to create and wilderness/outdoor leadership and education camp to attract regional tourists and potential corporate or team retreat events (see Figures 35-37).

- **Bluffs at Herriman Springs Area (350 Acres):** Lack of access limits the current options for this area. Access is gained through Salt Lake County jurisdictional land. This area offers the potential as a future Class 1 trailhead with a large parking area to accommodate trailers for equestrian use or if the West Traverse Trail is open to ATV/UTV users parking for those visitors. The area also has the opportunity to include an archery/paintball site. This amenity would be developed as a public/private partnership and could provide revenue for the site. We recommend this outdoor range provide unique shooting and sitting experiences with themed obstacles and events. The area could also accommodate overnight camping (no fires) or a yurt village retreat area. Expeditionary Learning centers and corporate retreats could be established at this location (see Figures 38-40).
6.0 Design Standards

Trails can be formal or informal, paved or unpaved, and designated for a variety of users, such as cyclists, pedestrians, or equestrians. The classification or standard of each route depends on the intended user group, the project setting, and the requirements of the funding or approving agencies. Trails should also cater to the needs of a range of users, including equestrian users, pedestrians (including joggers), disabled persons, and bicyclists (both road and mountain bikes).

The design standards section summarizes standards and guidelines for equestrian, pedestrian, and bicycle facilities that may become a part of the proposed trail network. The intent of these standards is that all new trails constructed in the area will adopt these standards. This will help to create uniformity throughout the area. As Herriman City expands and grows, these standards and guidelines should be revisited and adapted to better serve future needs. Several agency design standards for trail and bike facilities were researched and consulted during the compilation of the Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan (See Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trail Type</th>
<th>Tread Width</th>
<th>Pavement Section/Material</th>
<th>Maximum Grade</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improved Multi-Use Trail</td>
<td>10-14 feet</td>
<td>3-inch depth of hot mix asphalt over a 6-inch deep compacted road base</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>Surface treatment may vary to include pavers, brick, or concrete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Soft Surface Trail</td>
<td>10-12 feet</td>
<td>6-inch depth of compacted roadbase or stone fines.</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved Pedestrian Trail</td>
<td>6-8 feet</td>
<td>4-inch depth of concrete over 6 inches of 3/4 inch gravel</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>Surface treatment may vary to include pavers, brick, or stamped/stained concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backcountry Trail</td>
<td>2-4 feet</td>
<td>Natural surface</td>
<td>10-20% (17-20% for short distances only)</td>
<td>Refer to IMBA standards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Table of trail standards.

6.1 Improved Multi-Use Trail - Class 1

Improved multi-use trails are major community arterial routes within the urban network. Because improved multi-use trails are designed for large volumes of users with varying uses, the surface materials should be a durable pavement. Asphalt is the preferred pavement type due to cost, ease of maintenance, and surface smoothness.
Typical pavement cross sections for an asphalt multi-use trail should consist of the following:

- a properly graded and compacted subgrade that has been stripped of all organics and lined with a structural weed barrier fabric;
- a 6-inch depth of compacted road base material; and
- a 3-inch depth of hot mix asphalt (HMA) pavement.

In some circumstances, concrete or concrete masonry unit (CMU) pavers may be used to create a unique look or feel. These surfaces should be avoided in most areas due to the uneven joints and score lines that cause undesirable riding experiences for cyclists.

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) requires a minimum 10-foot wide tread width with 2-foot wide shoulders for a trail to be considered multi-use. For improved multi-use trails in Herriman City, a tread width between 10 and 14 feet is recommended. Trails with a 14-foot tread width should be actively pursued where cost and space permit. By constructing wider trails initially, the county, cities, and other agencies will have made preparations for a growing population in the area. Wider trails will also accommodate larger trail specific competitions and events (see Figure 41). Maximum slope should not exceed 8%. All improved multi use trails should be constructed within a 20 foot easement with a 50 foot temporary construction easement where terrain requires it.

![Figure 41: Typical cross section (left) and plan view (right) of an improved multi-use trail.](image)

6.2 Urban Soft Surface Trail - Class 2

Urban soft surface trails are major community arterial routes within the urban network but are located in more natural settings. Soft surface trails are targeted toward recreational use and should be implemented in equal proportions with improved multi-use trails to meet the needs of various users. These trails are constructed of compacted road base or fine stone materials and provide a more suitable surface for runners and equestrian users. The typical cross section for these types of trails should consist of the following:
• a properly graded and compacted subgrade that has been stripped of all organics and lined with a structural weed barrier fabric; and
• a 6-inch depth of compacted $\frac{3}{4}$ inch road base; color of the road base should match the surrounding materials to provide a natural appearance (see Figure 42).

Figure 42: Typical cross section (left) and plan view (right) of an urban soft surface trail.

FHWA requires a minimum 10-foot wide tread with 2-foot wide shoulders for a trail to be considered multi-use. For improved multi-use trails in Herriman Hills, a tread width between 10 and 12 feet is recommended. Trails with a 12-foot tread width should be actively pursued where cost and space permit. Maximum slope should not exceed 8%. By constructing wider trails initially, the county, cities, and other agencies will have made preparations for a growing population in the area. Wider trails will also accommodate larger trail specific competitions and events. Trails in Herriman Hills should consist of a properly graded and compacted subgrade, lined with a structural weed barrier fabric, followed by a 6-inch depth of compacted $\frac{3}{4}$ inch minus road base material. All urban soft surface trails should be constructed within a 20 foot easement with a 50 foot temporary construction easement where terrain requires it.

6.3 Improved Pedestrian Trail -Class 3

Improved pedestrian trails are more commonly referred to as sidewalks. The objective of the master plan is that future construction of major sidewalks will be adapted to meet the standards of improved pedestrian trails. The typical cross section of an improved pedestrian trail in Herriman City should include an 6 to 8-foot wide tread surface. Trail construction should consist of a properly graded and compacted subgrade that has been stripped of all organics and lined with a structural weed barrier fabric followed by a 4-inch thick concrete pavement on top of a 6-inch depth of $\frac{3}{4}$ inch gravel (see Figure 43).

Efforts should be made to update all existing improved pedestrian routes in the urban system to meet these standards. Residential and collector sidewalks can remain at 4- to 6-foot widths, but primary sidewalks should be constructed at 6 to 8-feet wide. All improved pedestrian trails should be constructed within a 20 foot easement with a 50 foot temporary construction easement where terrain requires it.
6.4 Backcountry Trail - Class 4

Backcountry trails, as classified in this document, are recreational trails outside of the urban network. Because they are located outside the urban network, tread material is often created from the natural sub-grade. In some cases other materials such as gravel may be implemented to aid in erosion control and/or stabilization. In all cases, these materials should be derived from adjacent areas to match the surroundings. Any imported materials should complement the features in the area and maintain a natural look and feel. Tread width of backcountry trails will vary based on vegetation, obstacles, and use. In most cases, backcountry trails should not exceed 48 inches wide. Most backcountry trails will have a tread width of 24-30 inches, depending on the use. In situations where backcountry trails share corridors with fire access roads or all terrain vehicle
Figure 45: Image showing the problems from trails constructed without proper cross slope.
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Figures 46-47: Images illustrating proper grade reversal and bench cut construction.
(ATV) routes, the tread width may be wider (see Figure 44). Maximum slope should not exceed 20%, and slope should only exceed 17% for short distances, with ideal slopes ranging from 10 to 16%. All backcountry trails should be built within a 10 foot easement with a 25 foot temporary construction easement where terrain requires it.

All backcountry trails should be designated with a rating to allow potential users to make safe and informed decisions. The International Mountain Bicycling Association (IMBA) has developed a standard trail difficulty rating system applicable to mountain bike trails. By providing ratings on trail signs in accordance with IMBA’s standards and by providing general trail characteristics such as total length, elevation change, and projected trip times at trailheads, all potential users can make educated decisions about the trails they use.

Backcountry trails should be built to IMBA standards. Surface treatment shall be a natural surface constructed with a bench width of 2 to 4 feet, which ensures environmental stewardship and allows for long-term sustainability by (see Figure 45-47):

- Incorporating bench-cut construction with a tread surface that has an outward slope to the outer edge from a grade of 2 to 8% in the downhill direction; and

- Incorporating grade reversals and dips to reduce water erosion; these grades should average between 8 and 10%, with a maximum grade of no more than 15%, into the trail design and construction specifications.

Adding these features will help minimize tread erosion by allowing water to drain in a gentle, non-erosive manner and ensuring the soil stays on the trail where it belongs.

**6.5 Signage and Wayfinding Standards**
Successful trail systems require appropriate and adequate signage that informs the trail users about route attributes, distances, or regulations. Placement and design of trail signage is essential in creating an enjoyable experience for users. Three types of signage are typical in trail systems: regulatory signs, informational signs, and interpretive signage. These types of signs are used in different locations based on the information they display. Signage can be themed based on the region or area in which the trail is located and may be built from a variety of materials based on cost and the climatic demands of the region.

**Regulatory Signs**
Requirements for the use and placement of signs, including regulatory signs at intersections, should follow the standards set forth in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), specific to the section on traffic control devices for bicycle facilities, and will apply to all improved multi-use trails.

Information concerning specific rules and regulations can also be depicted within regulatory signage. All signs should have engineer grade reflective coating and be graffiti proof.
• **Stop Signs**—Shall be installed wherever paved multiple use trails cross public streets, unless traffic is required to stop at trail intersections or at other potentially hazardous locations.

• **Speed Limit, Steep Grade, Danger Warning, and Slow Signs**—These signs should be installed where trails approach maximum slopes, areas with limited sight distance, and areas with dangerous conditions ahead. Signs indicating warnings should appear at least 50 feet before the hazard.

• **Sharp Curve Signs**—Signs should be posted when a curve has a smaller than recommended travel radius or limited sight distance. Signs indicating warnings should appear at least 50 feet before the hazard.

• **Dismount Sign**—Such signs should be posted in areas where slope exceeds recommended standards and where trail width or vertical clearance is less than the recommended standards.

• **School Zone Signs**—For the safety of schoolchildren and trail users, school signs should be posted on the trails in school areas.

• **Private Property**—Signs identifying private property should be posted where needed.

**Informational Signs**

Informational signs include signs indicating distances, destinations, and trail conditions. Dimensions for destination/distance signs vary from community to community. However, to create some level of uniformity for the core network, sign standards should be created for the types of signs to be used. These signs need to conform to standards and guidelines established in chapter 9B of the MUTCD (see Figures 48-50).

![Images of various directional and distance signs](https://example.com/figures48-50)

For destination/distance signs, FHWA is experimenting with sign dimension standards of 30-inch tall signs that vary in width to allow space for the destination names and distance. These signs would be placed where different routes intersect and would provide useful information to the trail user. Examples of similar signs can be found along the Murdock Canal Trail in Utah County.

Mile markers can use the standard signs found in chapter 9B of the MUTCD, or communities have the flexibility to create their own standard. For example, several trail mile markers may use a specific trail logo or logo from a municipality with the mile posting placed underneath. What is important is that the markings are consistent throughout the network (see Figures 51-53).
Figures 51-53: Various types of mile marking posts are available. To maintain consistency along the core tail network, posts and markings should be standardized. From left to right, photos courtesy Santa Clara, americantrails.org, and Provo City.

**Interpretive Signs**

These signs benefit the users by explaining some feature available on the trail route. The features may include historical, biological, natural resources, or cultural facts about an area to encourage interaction and curiosity among visitors. Often these signs are educational and will serve to relay management goals and increase appreciation of an area. These must be located in appropriate areas. These signs will be required to follow MUTCD guidelines.

**Sign Construction Features**

There are many design solutions to signage along a trail. One commonly used design that functions well is a post that ranges in size from 4 to 6 inches square, and may consist of pressure treated wood or recycled plastic that requires less maintenance. These posts are not visually obtrusive, provide a barrier to motorized use that can be removed for emergency and maintenance in select locations, and would be in a style that denotes a seamless network while allowing for individual jurisdictions to display information specific to their areas.

Figures 54-61: Various trailhead and trail sign ideas. Courtesy Google.
Post locations will conform to the standards set forth in the MUTCD Traffic Control Devices for Bicycle Facilities. Posts should be embedded into the ground a minimum of 24-inches, unless other materials are specifically approved. Depending on the size of the posts, square reflective decals should be mounted on heavy gauge aluminum plates that are placed into the routed post.

Backcountry directional trail signage should provide users with wayfinding information, keeping them on the trail and identifying junctions and intersections for other trails and routes. Directional markers such as carsonite signs provide a sustainable trail marker that can house sticker decals, similar to bollard plates, to denote information specific to the trail and general area. Single-slat carsonite posts, pedestal signs with maps, or triangular posts are all effective sign options for the backcountry (see Figures 54-61 for various trailhead and trail sign ideas).

### 6.6 Trail Recommendations

Recent recommendations by the Herriman Trails Committee and Trails Utah to the state have prompted a realignment of the Bonneville Shoreline Trail (BST) from the south edge of the property to the north edge of the property. By weaving along the north edge of the open space this will allow greater access to the trail by the community (see Appendix D: Open Space Concept Plans). The implementation and construction of the new BST alignment is a priority which could provide funding opportunities from regional and state governmental sources. All BST trails will be non-motorized, Class 4 backcountry trails, 4 feet wide with 1 foot shoulders using BST standard trail design, revegetation of disturbed areas, and trail signage.

- **Blackridge Reservoir to Juniper Crest (2.28 Miles of new urban soft surface trail):**
  
  This segment of the Bonneville Shoreline trail needs to be the number one priority. The installation of this segment of trail will provide connectivity turning the Herriman Trail system into a trail network.

- **Friendship Trailhead to Blackridge Reservoir - Sidewinder Trail (0.69 Miles of new urban soft surface trail and 1.16 Miles of established trail requiring improvement):**
  
  Although there is a current trail from Butterfield Parkway to Blackridge Reservoir the proposed BST route runs closer to the edge of the property creating more community access opportunities. This trail segment will consist of new backcountry trail and improved existing trails.

---

Figures 62-64: Bonneville Shoreline Trail trail examples. Courtesy Google.
• Wide Hollow Trailhead to Friendship Trailhead (0.91 Miles of new BST backcountry trail and 1.37 miles of new backcountry trail): This segment of trail will require a portion of the alignment through private property. Either land acquisition or right of way needs to be established prior to implementation. This trail segment will provide connection through the open space to west Herriman residents and link all the priority trailheads. A backcountry trail link to Herriman View Way and Fort Pierce Way through the trail access right of ways will also need to be included as part of this project.

• Tickville Gulch Trails (8.95 Miles of new backcountry trails): The creation of the Tickville Gulch backcountry trails could begin immediately with the use of donations and volunteer resources. This area already has some social and game trails that could be utilized. Trail alignments for Eric’s Trail and Mustang Trail have already been laid out and are ready for construction. Accurate trail mapping will also need to be conducted in order to provide future trail maps.

• Juniper Crest Trail Loop Improvement for BST (1.7 Miles of improved BST backcountry trail): A section of the current Juniper Crest Trail Loop will become part of the new Bonneville Shoreline Trail (BST) alignment. The current 4 foot wide soft surface trail meets the proposed requirements for the BST but will necessitate the improvement of the existing trail and installing the appropriate signage for the trail.

7.0 Implementation, Cost, and Conclusion
Herriman City and the greater Herriman area are positioned to implement a quality non-motorized transportation trail system that can be used for transportation and recreation. This type of system can enhance the quality of life for existing residents and those desiring to move into the area.

The foundation to develop a unified trail system is desirable. City planners and trail interest groups have a strong desire to implement a functional system for residents and visitors. This plan focuses on the need to connect neighborhoods, schools, cities, regional lands, business districts, backcountry trails, and environmental features.

7.1 Implementation
The Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan should complement the various planning documents currently in place within Herriman City and Salt Lake County. The plan is a planning tool and policy guide for consideration of future land use and development proposals, as well as capital improvement plan expenditures.

Implementation of individual projects or facilities may be subject to city approvals. Minor modifications to the master plan are expected to be made from time to time due to situations and circumstances, such as engineering constraints, resident concerns, land use changes, topographical constraints, or other unforeseen circumstances.
If major modifications to the plan are desired, these changes should be discussed and implemented during the annual review of the open space master plan. Regardless of the changes made to the plan, the idea is to promote the overall goals and objectives defined as part of the plan and to implement a successful non-motorized trail system, ultimately incorporating integral recreation opportunities as well as contributing to the quality of life in Herriman City.

Implementation of the open space master plan throughout the area is essential to the plan’s success. Some recommended implementation strategies are as follows:

- Prioritize trail and trailhead projects outlined in the open space master plan and source funding based on priorities;
- Encourage the adoption of the open space master plan as part of local general plans, parks and open space master plans, and public facilities plans;
- Encourage private developers to incorporate features of the open space master plan into their development project designs, including offering incentives to developers if trail features are built as part of the development;
- Help the City identify potential funding sources to be used in trail, trailhead, and amenity construction and design; and
- Provide community outreach events to educate the public about the benefits of creating a unified trail network and open space plan.

### 7.2 Trail and Trailhead Prioritization

Herriman Hills area has just begun the process of trail network development. With the amount of growth and development planned for the Herriman area, creating an open space plan that provides for future access, trailhead development, and recreational purposes is critical. Trail and trailhead prioritization is a vital component to the open space master plan to develop a seamless trail network that connects communities with the recreational areas in an order that makes sense logistically and financially.

The recommended Bonneville Shoreline Trail and trail network builds upon existing plans and ongoing local and regional planning efforts and reflects input from the local governmental entities, the Trails Advisory Committee, Trails Utah, and others.

Priorities were developed with information gathered from public input and City planners by assessing the most important connections and recreational uses for the community. With these priorities, the decision makers can search and secure funding in an efficient manner (see Table 3).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority Projects</th>
<th>Total Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bonneville Shoreline Trail</td>
<td>$338,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juniper Crest Trailhead</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendship Trailhead</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide Hollow Trailhead</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Estimated costs for priority projects (Costs provided by Zions Financial).

### 7.3 Cost/Funding

To aid in securing funding and for use in open space planning, design, and construction, the following cost estimates for the open space master plan have been prepared (see Table 4). These costs are conservative estimates and will vary with the location of trail corridor to be constructed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trail Type</th>
<th>Cost per Linear Foot</th>
<th>Proposed Linear Feet as per the 2018 Trails Master Plan</th>
<th>Total Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Backcountry Trail</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>224,531 LF</td>
<td>$900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BST Backcountry Trail</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>84,430 LF</td>
<td>$340,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Estimated costs for the trail improvements (Costs provided by Zions Financial).

Funding for the trails master plan implementation will need to come from a variety of sources, including but not limited to the following:

**Federal Sources**
- Federal transportation funding and grant programs

**State Sources**
- Integrating proposed trail improvements with planned roadway construction
- Recreation Trails Program (RTP), associated with state funding for trail projects
- Other state trail funding sources such as the Waypoint Grant

**Local**
- Developer contribution improvements as part of planning and development process and approvals
- Local funding sources, such as trail/park impact fees, bonds, special service districts, restaurant tax, public-private partnerships, etc.

**Nonprofit Organizations**
- Active transportation initiatives from non-profits and healthcare providers that offer funding for bicycle and trail projects

A complete list of funding sources can be found in Appendix C: Economic Impact Study.
7.4 Maintenance Guidelines

Building and maintaining trails and trailheads that are safe for users is a high priority. Herriman City will need to implement considerations for construction and maintenance within each project budget (see Table 5 and Appendix C: Economic Impact Study).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>Protocol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspections</td>
<td>Seasonal; beginning and end of summer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailhead pavement sweeping/blowing</td>
<td>Early spring after snow melts, weekly in fall, and as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailhead pavement sealing, resurfacing</td>
<td>Every 5 to 15 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailhead Restroom/Trash Cleaning</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culvert and drainage grate inspection</td>
<td>Before winter and after major storm events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement markings</td>
<td>Replace every 3 to 5 years, or as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail signs</td>
<td>Replace every 3 to 5 years, or as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder areas (weed control)</td>
<td>Spray annually and as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major damage (e.g. washouts, fallen trees, flooding)</td>
<td>As quickly as possible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Maintenance guidelines for the trail improvements (Maintenance protocol provided by Zions Financial).

Trailhead Maintenance

Maintenance for trailheads requires weekly checks of restrooms and removal of trash/waste. The parking lots, sidewalks, amenities, and structures need to be inspected twice a year to catch needed repairs. Paved parking lots should be swept or cleaned in early spring and in the fall as needed. Proper signage should be in place and in good order.

Backcountry Trail Maintenance

Backcountry trails should be checked in the spring for downed trees and deadfall that may have come down over the winter months or areas where erosion has deteriorated trails. If trails are built to sustainable standards, incorporating grade reversals and proper drainage, little should have to be done to ensure proper drainage and run-off. If not, drainages should be cleaned out where necessary. Vegetation should be cut back to allow for good site distances, and weeds should be sprayed where necessary. Proper signage should be in place and in good order.

7.5 Conclusion

The Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan is a dynamic document that should be updated, modified, and improved as circumstances require. Each update of the open space master plan should address four primary elements of the plan.

Review of Proposed Trail Routes

This includes all proposed trails and a review of their status, potential funding sources, and proposed construction dates. The review may also consider potential or proposed trails that
may not have been included in the original plan but have since been considered as potential routes. Potential trails not included in the original master plan should be reviewed by representatives from the original team to certify that all original goals and objectives are still being met by the addition of new proposed trails.

Inventory of Existing Trails, Trailheads, and Recreation Amenities
Each time the plan is updated, existing trails, trailheads, and recreational amenities should be verified and identified on the open space master plan maps. Trails that have been built since the last update of the open space master plan should have their status changed on maps, GIS shapefiles, and in the written portion of the open space master plan.

Design Guidelines
Design guidelines include specific directions that can be used as appropriate in designing individual trails. Over time, different uses, increase in use, or advances in construction processes and materials may require that the design guidelines in the open space master plan be updated. City planners involved with the open space master plan are responsible for keeping current with the latest practices used worldwide and for the careful review of these practices to determine their applicability to the trails and amenities as outlined in the master plan.

Use and Management Guidelines
Use and management guidelines are specific directions that can be used as appropriate to determine the use and management of individual trails and amenities. As the City grows and urban dynamics change, it may be necessary to revisit some of the guidelines in the open space master plan regarding maintenance and use. It also may become necessary, as municipal boundaries change, that agencies responsible for certain trails also change to ensure proper care and management (see Appendix C: Economic Impact Study).
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Figure 1-4: Herriman Hills Zoning Map

GIS Map Data Supplied by Herriman City 2017
Author: DS

Herriman Hills Study Area
Herriman City Limit

Camp Williams
R-1 Residential
R-2 Residential
FR-1 Forestry Recreation
FR-2.5 Forestry Recreation
A-1 Agricultural
A-25 Agricultural
R-M Residential Multi-Family
MU-2 Mixed Use
C-2 Community Commercial
Figure 1-5: Herriman Hills Slope Analysis

GIS Map Data Supplied by Herriman City 2017
Author: DS

- 0-5% Slope
- 6-10% Slope
- 11-15% Slope
- 16-20% Slope
- 21-30% Slope
- 30% + Slope

Herriman Hills Area
Herriman City Limit
Camp Williams

Miles
0 0.5 1
Figure 1-6: Herriman Hills Solar Analysis

GIS Map Data Supplied by Herriman City 2017
Author: DS

- High Solar Radiation
- Low Solar Radiation
Figure 1-7: Existing Access Dirt Roads and GIS Map Data Supplied by Herriman City 2017
Author: DS

- Herriman Hills Study Area
- Trail Only Property
- Buildable Areas
- Existing Access Dirt Roads/Trails
- Trails
- Primitive Trails
- Proposed Bonneville Shoreline Trail
- Potential Access Points
Figure 1-8: Buildable Areas

GIS Map Data Supplied by Herriman City 2017
Author: DS
Figure 1-9: Proposed Access Roads

GIS Map Data Supplied by Herriman City 2017
Author: DS

Legend:
- Herriman Hills Area
- Herriman City Limit
- Camp Williams
- Buildable Areas
- Trail Only Property
- Trails
- Primitive Trails
- Proposed Access Roads
- Existing Access Dirt Roads/Trails
- Streams
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Figure 1-11: Herriman Hills Proposed Access and Activity Areas
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Figure 1-12: Herriman Hills Proposed Trailheads, Trails, and Destination Points
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Figure 1-16: Herriman Hills Proposed Activities Map East

GIS Map Data Supplied by Herriman City 2017
Author: DS
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Public Involvement Materials
Public Survey Results
Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan

AGENDA ITEMS:
- SUMMARY
- SURVEY RESULTS
- CONCEPT PLANS
- PRIORITY TRAIL SEGMENTS
- MASTER PLAN
- ECONOMIC IMPACT STUDY

Demographics: Place of Residence

Q1: Please indicate on the attached figure where you live?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone A</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone B</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone C</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone D</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone E</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone F</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of Respondents
Place: Familiar With Area

Q2: Are you familiar with the current Herriman Hills Area?

- Yes: 646
- No: 90

Number of Respondents

Place: Use the Area

Q3: Do you currently use or recreate in the Herriman Hills Area?

- Yes: 522
- No: 210
- Skipped: 4

Number of Respondents
Access: Locations

Q4: What roads or locations do you use to access the area?

![Bar chart showing access locations]

- The Cove Area: 27
- Graffit Rock Area: 40
- Herriman View Area: 49
- Cuchara Way Area: 50
- Blackridge Reservoir Area: 102
- Juniper Crest Area: 118
- Yellow Fork/Rose Canyon: 9

Number of Respondents
**Development Size: Options**

Q5: What types of options would you like to see in the Herriman Hills Area?

- Small Scale: 615
- Medium Scale: 249
- Large Scale: 59
- Other: 204

**Development Size: Small Scale**

Q6: On a scale of ONE meaning “not important” to FIVE meaning “very important”, please tell us how important these proposed SMALL scale development options are:

- Hiking
- Trail running
- Mountain biking
- Horseback riding
- Picnicking

Legend:
- 1 (Not important)
- 2
- 3 (Neutral)
- 4
- 5 (Very important)
- No Opinion
**Amenities: Off-Leash Dog Park**

Q9: Should Herriman City consider an off-leash dog park within the Herriman Hills Area?

![Bar chart showing number of respondents for yes and no options.]

**Access: Increased Traffic**

Q10: Accessing the Herriman Hills Area will require vehicles to use local roads to connect to trailheads at various locations. This may result in increased traffic in the neighborhoods. In your opinion, would you favor or oppose accommodating additional traffic?

![Bar chart showing number of respondents for different levels of traffic opinion.]

Amenities: Off-Leash Dog Park

Q9: Should Herriman City consider an off-leash dog park within the Herriman Hills Area?

Access: Increased Traffic

Q10: Accessing the Herriman Hills Area will require vehicles to use local roads to connect to trailheads at various locations. This may result in increased traffic in the neighborhoods. In your opinion, would you favor or oppose accommodating additional traffic?
Access: Trailhead Locations

Q11: Where should trailhead locations be placed for access to the area?

- Graffiti Rock: 187
- The Cove: 183
- Juniper Crest: 223
- Herriman View: 124
- Cuchara Way: 85
- All: 377
- None: 53

Number of Respondents

Amenities: Trailhead Locations

Q12: What types of amenities should be provided at the trailhead access points?

- Restrooms: 561
- Trail Maps: 587
- Area Rules: 616
- Bike Racks: 639
- Trash Receptacles: 159
- Beaches: 388
- Bike Repair: 388
- Dog Waste St.: 388
- Other: 53

Number of Respondents
Funding: Park Fees

Q13: Maintaining the Herriman Hills Area will require ongoing maintenance and improvements. Are you willing to support an increase in city park fees to maintain and improve the area?

![Bar chart showing response to funding question]

Number of Respondents

---

Trails: Types

Q14: What types of trails would you use most in Herriman Hills Area?

![Bar chart showing types of trails preferred by respondents]

Number of Respondents
Concerns: Safety

Q15: Do you have any safety concerns about building improvements/amenities in the Herriman Hills Area?

- Traffic: 38
- Fire: 20
- Crime: 11
- Proximity to Camp Williams: 4
- Trash: 4
- Gun Range: 6
- Growth: 6
- Parking: 4
- None: 2

Number of Respondents

Amenities: Suggestions

Q16: What other suggestions or improvements would you like to see in the Herriman Hills Area?

- Leave As Is: 21
- Dog Park/Off Leash: 7
- More Trees: 10
- Revenue Generating Activities/ATV/UTV Use: 5
- Bikes: 16
- Gun Range: 5
- Tubing Hill: 1
- Camping: 2

Number of Respondents
Q4 Comments: What roads or locations do you use to access the area?

All of them; 10600 south; 1-15; 12600 S; 13400 south; 6400 west; rose canyon road; 13400; Mountain View; 13500 S; 14284 Trail View Way; Juniper Crest; 215; 5600 West 13400 south and rose canyon; 13400 (West); 6400 W.; 6400 west; 6600 W; 6600 W; 6600 W; 6600 W; 6600 west; 6600 West; 6600 West; 6600 West and Friendship Drive; 6600 west and Herriman view above Ft Pierce; 6600 West; the Blackridge Reservoir parking area or up the trail from the LDS on Butterfield Park Way. I’ve also come along the ridge from the west, starting from Step Mountain Road.; 6600 West; trail across from fort herriman; 6600 West, Rosecrest; All of the Herriman trial system; All of them; All of them; Ambermont and Juniper Crest; Ambermont and Juniper Crest Rd.; Ambermont drive and juniper crest road; Ambermont, blackridge; Any I’m currently walking on that let’s me; Anything but the cove; Arnold hollow; Ashland Ridge; Ashland Ridge; Ashland Ridge; Ashland ridge Blackridge reservoir; Ashland Ridge Dr.; Herriman View Way; Ashland Ridge Drive, Juniper Crest; Ashland ridge, juniper crest; Aurora Vista Road; Autumn crest; Autumncrest rd; Baccus Hwy, 134th, Rosecrest; Back yard, and multiple other roads and trails starting around the Black Ridge Reservoir; Backyard; Bike/run at Rose Canyon/Yellow Canyon, trails by Blackrock, used to bike off of Juniper Crest; black ridge lake area; Black Ridge reservoir; Black Ridge Reservoir; Black ridge reservoir; Black ridge reservoir; Black ridge reservoir area; Black ridge reservoir Butterfield parkway 6400 w, Oslo alto; Black Ridge Reservoir parking lot; Black ridge reservoir, Butterfield Parkway, Cuchara Way, Juniper Crest; Black ridge reservoir, lookout ridge neighborhood-secret canyon circle; Black ridge trail. Juniper trails; Black ridge trial; black rock reservoir; Blackridge res.; Blackridge; Blackridge Res; Blackridge Reservoir, Juniper Crest, Fort Herriman Middle School; Blackridge reservoir; Blackridge reservoir; Blackridge reservoir; Blackridge reservoir; Blackridge reservoir; Blackridge reservoir; Blackridge Reservoir; Blackridge reservoir parking lot; Blackridge reservoir parking lot, Muirwood Circle, church parking lot next to Fort Herriman middle; Blackridge reservoir trail; Blackridge Reservoir, 6600 West, or from Step Mountain Road via the ridge south of the Cove; Blackridge reservoir, Fort Herriman Middle School, Graffiti Rock, Juniper Crest; Blackridge Reservoir, Juniper Crest; Blackridge Reservoir, Juniper Crest Road; Blackridge Reservoir, Trailhead paths off Emmeline & Butterfield Parkway; Blackridge Reservoir; Blackridge Reservoir and the trails around it; Blackridge side; Blackridge trail, yellow fork; Bonnie Jean; Briar park rd, Ashland; Buckridge; Butterfield Canyon; Butterfield Canyon; Butterfield canyon road; Butterfield parkway; Butterfield Parkway; Butterfield Parkway; Butterfield Parkway; Butterfield Parkway, Friendship, Blackridge, Juniper Crest; Butterfield Parkway, Muirwood, Cuchara; Butterfield, Rose and yellow canyons; By graffiti rock and top of cove; By the pond next to the church; Cedar butte rd; Cove, Black ridge reservoir, trails and stuff; Crimson Patch Way; Diamond Back / Blackridge trails, 6600 W; Don’t know, not real familiar but would like an additional choice besides Corner Canyon that is easily accessible; Due to the goat heads I use Ashland downs to access the mountains. When hiking we use the trail across from Fort Herriman Middle school; Dunno the ones near my house; Either through High Country or The Cove; Emmeline, Aurora Vista, Emmeline; Emmeline to reservoir; Emmeline; Fort Pierce Way; Fort Pierce Way; Friendship; Friendship and Juniper Point; Friendship dr and Blackridge reservoir trailhead; Friendship Dr.; Friendship Dr.; Friendship Dr.; Friendship Dr.; friendship drive; Friendship Drive, Diamond Back trail; Friendship or Butterfield parkway; Friendship, Blackridge reservoir; Friendship, Butterfield, black ridge reservoir; Friendship, Fort Pierce Way; Friendship, Juniper, Ashland ridge it; Graffiti rock; Graffiti Rock; Graffiti Rock; Graffiti rock dirt road and cove main road spring canyon; Graffiti rock trail; Herriman trail system; Herriman View Cove; Herriman View way; Herriman way way; Herriman view way, Fort Pierce Way, 6600 West; Herriman View Way; Muirwood Cir,
6600 W; Herriman view, juniper crest, Paulo alto area; Hike up the ravine, access from Blackridge reservoir; Hiking by Blackridge and by Providence Hall; Hiking off Juniper Crest Road; Hiking trail off of Butterfield; Hiking trails; Hunt Harder; I assume it'd be Redwood or the end part of Mtn View?; I can't remember but through Butterfield canyon I think; I can't! It's all private land as far as I saw! There needs to be more trail heads and markers for the trails; I don't go there because there isn't much to do there; I enter from the Cove; I live on Wide Hollow Drive and walk to the graffiti rock.; I run from my house past the Ft Herriman middle school straight up the trails to the mountain and lake; I run in the hills described in your paragraph; I run to it; I typically Park at Blackridge Reservoir; I use the trails; I usually run the trails from my house to the trailhead south of the middle school on Butterfield parkway; I usually walk from my home up juniper crest road; I-15; Juniper; Juniper; Juniper (Something), Rosecrest Road, and Rose Canyon Road; Juniper canyon trails; Juniper crest; Juniper Crest; Juniper Crest; Juniper crest; Juniper crest; Juniper Crest; Juniper Crest; Juniper Crest; Juniper Crest; Juniper Crest; Juniper Crest; Juniper Crest; Juniper Crest; Juniper Crest; Juniper Crest; Juniper Crest; Juniper Crest; Juniper Crest. Also areas near Butterfield Park; Juniper Crest and Ashland Ridge; Juniper Crest and Emmeline; Juniper crest and the cove; Juniper Crest Rd; Juniper Crest Rd; Juniper Crest Rd. Blackridge Reservoir; Juniper Crest Road; Juniper Crest Road; Juniper crest road; Juniper crest road; Juniper Crest to the trails S. of the bridge; Juniper Crest Trailhead; Juniper crest, Rose Summit, Juniper crest, 6600 W. Also, Fort Pierce Way and Butterfield Park Way, Friendship and Spring Canyon; Juniper crest, black ridge reservoir; Juniper crest, cove & summit; Juniper crest, friendship dr; Juniper Crest, Graffiti Rock; Juniper Crest, Graffiti Rock; Juniper Crest, Killington Ct/Friendship, Butterfield Park, 6600 West/Wide Hollow, other nearby trails; Juniper Crest, Muirwood; Juniper crest, rose canyon road, roads to black ridge, mirabella; Juniper Crest, Summit Ridge Circle; Juniper Crest, various trailheads; Juniper Crest; Juniper crest?; Juniper hills; Juniper point; Juniper Point; Juniper Point; Juniper point trailhead, Blackridge trailhead; Juniper, Ashland Ridge, Butterfield Parkway; Juniper, friendship; Jupiter Crest and Rose Canyon; Killington; Lake Ridge Circle; Lookout Ridge; Lookout Ridge area; Many different; Most, if not all have No Trespassing signs on them; Mostly the reservoir or Juniper Crest, near the church and the ravine; Motorcycle trails; mountain view; Mountain View; Mountain View; Mountain View Corridor; Mountain View corridor; Mountain View corridor; Mountain View corridor; Mountain View Corridor; Rosecrest Road; Juniper Crest Road; Mt. Ogden peak. Rosecrest; Muirwood Circle; Muirwood, Rose Canyon; MV Corridor; VC; My home on Aurora vista drive; My local neighborhood ones 6600 West and the Cove; My neighborhood, Herriman view way; N/A; N/A; N/A; N/A; N/A; NA; Na; Near the reservoir and south by lookout ridge; None; None; None; None, it is accessible from my home; None. Didn't know it was accessible; Not sure what it’s called but there are trails just behind Ft Herriman Jr. high that I go up; Off of Blackridge Reservoir or Lookout Ridge; Only the reservoir, not sure if this is part of Herriman hills area? But the only way to access is through a neighborhood, which is very, very unfortunate!; Out my back door; Palisade rd and juniper crest rd; Providence Hall High School; Quiet Shade Drive; Reservoir / Lake Ridge; Reservoir and Rosecrest; Reservoir, juniper crest church bldg.; Ride from my house; Ride my Mtn bike from my house; Ridgecrest road; Right at the bridge; River Chase road; River Chase Road and Juniper Crest Road; Road near graffiti rock; Roads to Blackridge res.; Rose canyon; Rose Canyon; Rose Canyon; Rose Canyon; Rose Canyon and 6400 West; Rose Canyon Area; Rose Canyon et al; Rose Canyon MTB trails; Rose Canyon Rd; Rose canyon rd; Rose Canyon Rd; Rose Canyon Rd; Rose Canyon Rd / Yellow Fork Area; Rose Canyon Rd Yellow fork; Rose Canyon Road; Rose Canyon road; Rose canyon road; Rose Canyon Road; Rose Canyon Road; Rose Canyon Road; Rose Canyon Road and Blackridge Reservoir Trailhead; Rose canyon road and juniper crest road; Rose Canyon road, Rose canyon road, juniper crest; Rose Canyon, Butterfield Canyon, roads by Providence Hall;
Rose Canyon, friendship; Rose Canyon, Juniper Crest, Blackridge Reservoir; Rose Canyon, Yellow Fork, Friendship, Emmeline, Juniper; Rose canyon/ yellow fork; Rose crest road to Ashland ridge, Rose summit; Rose Summit Drive, Briar park road; Rose Summit Rd., Emmeline Rd; Rose summit, etc; Rosecrest; Rosecrest; Rosecrest; Rosecrest; Rosecrest; Rosecrest; Rosecrest; Rosecrest Rd; Rosecrest Rd, Mountain View Corridor

Rosecrest road, juniper crest, Butterfield parkway, rose canyon road, 13400 south; Rosecrest, Emmeline; Spring Canyon; Spring Canyon; spring canyon, 6600 west; Spring Canyon, Juniper Crest, Black Ridge Reservoir; steep mountain; Sun bloom to Emmeline; Sunbloom; The Cove (I live in the Cove); The cove, black ridge and around Butterfield park areas; The only ones that exist and aren’t blocked off due to the whims of construction companies; The reservoir; The trail just south of Fort Herriman middle school behind the houses; Top of the cove; Trail access above Blackridge Reservoir; Trail across from Fort Herriman as well as 6600 W.; Trail behind Herriman View Way; Trail by the LDS church and also trail by the reservoir; Trail to the west of the Blackridge reservoir; trail up and over to Blackridge res. and to Herriman trails area in Rosecrest; Trailhead at Blackridge Reservoir, Patriot Gulch trails; Trails; Trails above Blackridge. Yellow fork.; Trails above the reservoir and trails at Juniper Crest; Trails near my home; Trails no roads park and hike; Trails, entering by the church near Juniper Crest; Typically from my house, 6600 W, Butterfield Park way, Juniper Crest Road; Unknown; Up by the church; Use the trail system that goes up and over to Black Ridge Res from Herriman View Way. I also just hike the hill right south of Herriman View way to get to the top of the hill to the flag; Use to be from Juniper heights area until the trails were destroyed; Varies; various; Walk; walk from home; Walk from home or bike ..take Wide Hollow; Walk from our home; Walk up through Blackridge Reservoir; We access from Juniper Crest Road, from Blackridge Reservoir, and some of the side streets on the other side of the mountain by Butterfield Park; We park at Blackridge; We park at the equestrian/rodeo grounds and access the trail from there; We walk from our home; We walk from our home on Pulley Lane; Whatever Google maps tells me to get to a trail head; Wide Hollow Dr.; Yellow Fork; Yellow fork; Yellow fork; Yellow Fork Canyon; Yellow Fork Canyon; Yellow Fork Canyon; Yellow fork canyon; yellow fork canyon, black fork trails; Yellowfork, Rosecrest; Zone E.
Q5 Comments: What types of options would you like to see in the Herriman Hills Area?

Some ATV uses; Gun range; Mt. Biking! Flow Trails, downhill, cross-country, Possible winter tubing hill (assuming snow conditions); Nothing. I vote you leave it alone; No structures; All of those and a Big Gun Range with a training and Pro shop to buy, with Paintball and Archery; Why do we have to develop it to enjoy it? Why do we have to create something to bring in more people to destroy our area? Why can’t we leave it as is?; Camping would be nice!; It depends on the venue. If it generates income to pay for itself, then I would be more inclined to be for it. I just don’t want to have to pay for large venues that would make the roads/population much more busy that it currently is (unless it can be handled and paid for properly). I would enjoy a gun range, hiking/biking/running trails, camp grounds. Also, just a good way to find these items (website or pamphlet); Nothing more. It’s great how it is. Some of the trails have already been disturbed or taken away due to building. It’s very sad. We moved to Herriman for the hills and trails and there quickly disappearing and being replaced with houses.; Picnic tables; I would love to see an amphitheater similar to Draper or Sandy at the base of the mountain. We have ATV/UTV’s and would love more/longer trail options close by.; A DOG PARK would be absolutely fantastic!!!!; Off leash walking trail; Golf course, absolutely no more high density, we need commercial here in Herriman, our taxes are going to be out the roof once the residential building permits run out, think sustainability, stop ruining our city, we are the joke of Riverton; Disc golf would be a great addition to the small scale. It would still keep the area foot and/or bike traffic; Shooting Range; Motox track, shooting range, Flight park for paragliding; mountain biking!; ATV use; Something similar to the Jordan River Parkway. A bunch of trails, benches, some areas to picnic and have family bbq’s, open grass fields for kids to run and play with a frisbee or soccer ball.; GUN RANGE!; Gun range; We bought in this area because it was quiet and natural. We would like very much for it to stay that way.; Camping areas and lake; Horse Trails we ride these trails 2 times a week; Nothing to much traffic!!!; Dog park. No vehicular traffic, only for parking vehicles to walk/bike/run/hike. Biking needs to be separate from running/hiking/walking areas.; Golf course; campgrounds; I would like to see a mix of uses - a large outdoor amphitheater for concerts, while keeping the trails unpaved for hiking and mtn biking. Herriman is one of the few places in the valley where you can ride your mtn bike from your house and access trails in minutes. I would like to see these unpaved hiking trails expanded, and connect to the paved bike/jogging trails that are already in place (and it would be nice of more of the paved trails in Herriman connected with each other - especially in the Rosecrest area - to make the area more walkable/bikeable); Mountain bike trails. From beginner to experts level; Ohh trails please, we don’t have any in the area for use dirt bikers. Especially single track that bikers could use too!; A venue for events such as running or biking events would be great.; Dirt bike tracks; connect trails from yellow fork to those by providence hall; Horse trails; NO HOUSES!!; Bathrooms; ATV trail; Motorcycle single track; ATV trails; Big feature Downhill mountain biking trails; Tons of MTB trails.; I would love to see a great variety of mountain biking trails including beginner and advanced (Black Diamond) expert trails that could bring a wide variety of people and skill sets into the area. In turn I think it would boost the local economy and would encourage more people to move to Herriman, similar to what Draper has done with corner canyon.; Rest rooms; Downhill bike only trails. This would decrease trail issues with non-bikers.; Leave it alone and allow ATV’s to access it.; Dog parks; golf course like was originally planned would be nice; Archery Deer Hunting; ATV Trails; Mountain Bike Trails and Mountain bike parks; Downhill mountain biking, similar to corner canyon.; No motorized vehicles.; More trails. Bathroom possibly.; Dog Park; Mostly small scale I’d love to see it stay natural.; Bike trails!! I’m moving to Herriman next month and I would LOVE to see them. Directional, easy, intermediate, and advanced, etc. Please!!!!; Please keep the trails! So many have already been ripped up for construction.; Just
maintain the trail system that is there STOP building anything out there the trails that were awesome have been destroyed. Terrible planning on the city’s part.; Shooting sports. ATV park and trails.; Picnic areas; Pit toilets at all trail heads, trash cans at all trail heads, noise restriction (can't hike, bike etc. without personal listening device i.e. no boom boxes); I am fairly new to the area but would love some easily accessible hiking trails.; Gun range; Golf Course; Gun Range. It was a selling point when we decided to move out here. I know the land was already donated. Not sure what happened to this plan. Based on previous comments on Facebook, it is a very popular idea.; Mountain biking trails established and maintained.; Why don’t Herriman just leave it as it is and save there money for public safety. It’s working fine for hiking, biking and horse back. Why do you feel that we need to spend money on it!!; Eating establishments in the hills. A beer garden bar; Better trail system similar to what is offered in Corner Canyon in Draper. Connected trails and loops.; Rifle and pistol range; Golf course; Shooting range; An off-leash dog park.; Small scale vehicular access, If bikes are allowed at a minimum dirt bike single track should be allowed. it would great to see multi use access instead of limiting the land to only biased traffic. Herriman being one of the last open spaces to live in the valley should accommodate the many users of OHV’s since the whole rest of the county already exclusively services hiking, running, and biking.; Less is more when it comes to development.; With the rest of Herriman blowing up with HDH and smaller lot sizes, please keep this a nature area much like Draper has on their hiking path back towards Ghost falls. It is truly a treasure to have that space open and free to use.; shooting range; Multi Use Trails with a well established, well supporting trailhead. A disc golf course would be a ton of fun!; ATV trails; Include ATV and UTV trails with day picnic areas throughout; UTV and Dirt Bike Trails; disc golf included in the small scale; Some separate trails for biking and hiking. in particular a downhill bike traffic only route.; I wouldn’t mind a disk golf. But no on zip lining... and no vehicles.; please, the area is being overbuilt without planning for roads and parking for multiple housing projects. We see it every day on Rosecrest - townhome owners are parking on main roads due to improper planning by developers.; Not sure about the vehicular access. This type of activity sounds great but people abuse it and ruin it for everyone else.; ATV trail. One way. 20 MPH; Nothing. It’s fine how it is.; 4 wheeling, ATV; Very limited vehicular access. The hills are utilized by joggers and bikers. I see them all the time walking dogs, running and biking on trails. It’s why we moved to Herriman.; I would love to see Herriman trails turn into a corner canyon trail system! Hiking and biking!; More parks; We would love to see a bike park with various levels of jumps and terrain for kids, teenagers as well as adults. Moab City has a new great skills bike park. Draper, as well as Eagle Mountain has one that our whole family loves to go to. Would be awesome to see one locally! Also, a motorcycle track would be great! As of now the closest tracks for my young boys to ride are Jordan River OHV State park near Woods Cross and the track out in Tooele.; Mountain bike trails. Make the trails link together to Rose Canyon / Yellow fork.; We should have some horse only trails. I know my biking is hard on horses and there are lots that like to get out.; Mountain Bike skills practice facility. Jumps, drops, pump track. Also, downhill oriented mountain biking trails.; More biking trails; Dog park; ATV trails, shooting range; Gun Range, ATV trails, Gun range; Bike trails. Bike trails.; Motorized OHV trails, as well as mountain bike singletrack; mountain bike trails; Leave it alone; Gun range; Let’s keep it open and quiet; Archery Range; Golf course; ATV trails with map signs!; UTV/ATV trail system. More hiking trails.; Off road access, archery range; ATV use. Trails;Off leash dog area; The Herriman High School Cross Country team desperately needs a Cross Country Course. There are some specific details that it would require but it would enable our City to be recognized by other high schools competing and Herriman residents could use it when a race is not happening.; Jeep trails; Mountain bike park; Mountain biking; ATV; Dog park!!!; Gun range! (Not necessarily free as it could bring revenue if there’s a charge and pay for its own upkeep.) Second choice would be OHV trails. (There’s plenty of hiking paths and bike trails already.); ATV access; OHV Park, Outdoor Gun Range, Mountain biking course, Obstacle Course, Hiking, Zip Line; 4 wheeler; Restrooms; As a resident who would be greatly affected by this
change, I would like the hills to be left alone in a "natural" state. We already have unauthorized hikers walking through (day and night) our property to access the hills. We built here for the peace, quiet, and view.; Gun range Sledding hill; Separate Bike/Hiking trails; Mountain Bike trails; Outdoor shooting range!; Outdoor shooting range; off leash dog park, pavilion areas for day camping/picnicking; Great biking trails, and ATV trails, shooting sports, and possibly a winter tubing hill with tow rope (similar to Soldier Hollow).; Mountain bike trails; DOG PARK! PLEASE! Most of us go to Draper for their dog park. I GUARANTEE there will be lots of demand for a dog park!; horse riding trails we currently ride out there and would like to keep that option; Please, please don’t do anything on the hills that face Herriman! Just look to the east at the point of the mountain. It is a destroyed eye sore. Please don’t allow recreation to ruin what currently looks like a mountain; Please NOT a gun range; There is a lot of area to build mountain bike, walking & running trails; BMX bike course, possibly a zip line (but not with "moderate vehicular access"), possibly a gun range if there could be a single road in/out to limit vehicular access; Downhill bike trail; Shooting range; Keep them natural. I'd love to see markers to make it easy to see how far we've hiked or biked. I'm a new scout leader and scouts need to do specific hikes and bike rides so it would be great to plan them easily. I'd also love to see a campground for HERRIMAN residents only, preferably where you can't see the valley. It is so hard to get campgrounds in this state and we need to take our scouts camping.; A shooting area; Amphitheater, picnicking ; Shooting range; Mountain bike trails systems with extensive trail network, trailhead with restrooms; Open space and trails; More MTB trails connected to existing networks; I'd drive from slc to ride a bike park in this area; Trail network similar to Corner Canyon; More singletrack "bikes only" trails, particularly "downhill bikes only" trails where bikers can go faster without worrying about scaring a hiker, horseman or uphill rider. Also, a singletrack connection from the rose crest trails to the yellowfork trails would be great allowing for longer distance rides.; A gun range would be nice; Gun range; No GUN Range!; Forced to choose an option. Nothing. Leave it as is.; we need a gun range, that's it! Leave a small part of Herriman alone. No more high density housing in Herriman. The roads have become unbearable. We can't take more traffic! Stop the madness!!! ; Trash cans, restrooms and parking lots at trail heads; Quit spending money on stupid crap; Shooting range; Gun range, gun range; ATV biking hiking; Gun range and OHV trails; My preference is to just leave it alone. Thank you!; Golf course; Leave the hills as is. I live on the hill this is my backyard. I don't want a bunch of people hanging out; Off road vehicle trails, well operated/managed gun range; Golf course (not disc golf) would be nice, we used hear talk of one going in out here.; Managed shooting range on southmost edge of area, butting up against Camp Williams; Multi use. With access in some trails to OHV; NOTHING. Leave it as is.; Shooting range...; OHV trails; Hiking and mountain biking trails are the best for most people to enjoy nature. Low cost to make & maintenance; Campground; Would still like to see a ski area somewhere around here; I work in Park City and the mountain biking and hiking have made it a destination location, not just for skiing.; No motor vehicular access. Amphitheater, What happened to the survey results sent out a couple years ago?; Off leash dog hiking trail; Motocross track, riding trails. Everybody in my neighborhood has dirt bikes, it be great to be able to use them in this area.; Dog friendly trails; Shooting range; Dog park; Off road vehicle trails; ATV trails, and it would be great if lots of trees could be planted throughout the area.; It would be nice to have a field where we can enjoy playing cricket; Nothing! Please leave the hills natural!; Please reconsider a shooting range!; Keep natural!!!!!!!; Mt.Bike race course, Interconnected trail system with access easements every 11/2 - 21/2 miles.; Paragliding Launch/ Landing area/ Windsock; Paint ball, tubing parks, ATV trails; Just build a couple MTB (mountain bike trails). Don't develop it too much; Shooting range, archery, RC park, Drone park; Pump track, more trails for Herriman MTB team to train on; Picnic Tables, Rest Rooms, Sun Shelters, Park Benches; Hiking, ATV Trail; Mtn. bike trail & pump track.
Q11 Comments: Where should trail head locations be placed for access to the area?

All of the above can help spread the traffic problems, instead of having them in one neighborhood. Extra traffic in our neighborhoods sounds terrible! We already have enough extra traffic with the lake and random vehicles parking in all the circles in the neighborhoods above the lake. They should originate at existing parks or community areas near the points of interest. Leave the hills as is; I live in this area and do not want traffic or anything built here. Reservoir; Just let it stay as it is; if I had to chose one I guess juniper point cuz its already a mess with construction; As I live in Zone A I obviously like any access points, I'd assume people that live in those areas would care more. Leave the mountain area alone! Don't develop it and devalue what little natural area Herriman has left! No strong opinion; Please keep this area natural. Blackridge Reservoir has been nothing but a disaster for us and local residents. The last thing we need is to draw more people into the area. NOT SURE; I'm not familiar with these areas; No opinion; The trail head needs to be off Mountain View by Providence Hall or the new high school being built. The trail needs to be similar to the Corner Canyon trails head. On trail that can access every trail/Terrain Park on the mountain where the neighbor hoods will not limit the trails or people parking in their neighborhoods. No input; Being a non resident, I don't have much to say about location or traffic. But I travel all over the SLC area to mountain bike and am also willing to pay reasonable fair use fees. Millcreek Canyon access is a good example; ATV's can access it multiple ways already; I worry about the traffic and crime; I don't want trails. Isn't this already available in Herriman? Can we please have some other options to everyone else who won't use them? Leave it alone; Really do not want extra traffic through the Cove. Limit residential traffic when possible. Don't provide additional access; On my side by Juniper Crest, the development is increasing year over year, along with Blackridge reservoir becoming more popular, I would be willing to drive to the other access points. The reason I moved to the area is to have undeveloped land around me. The addition of trailheads will put me in a position that I will sell and move away. Please do not bring more traffic to Graffiti rock, it is turning into graffiti hillside! Mountain view Corridor; Hmmm? This survey isn’t submitting unless I fill this out; NA; Not sure; Not sure.; ATV trail only. There is already enough traffic for other things elsewhere in the valley; It would nice to return trail access parking back on juniper crest south of the church where it was located 2 yrs ago and move parking away from the bridge; Any trails; As long as the big even area parking, access and venues with loud noise and lights do not encroach on the peace that current home owners enjoy now. Loud events and light with traffic will keep families and children awake and worried. Don’t want more traffic for me or others; Just leave the hills as they are!! Don't want more; The more trailhead/parking areas, the more the traffic will dispense to all the different parking lots. This decreases the congestion in any one isolated area. Leave it alone; No preference; I would love to see a trail connect up with Yellow Fork Canyon; You should have put these locations on the map above because I, and I'm sure others, don't know exactly where they all are so I'd have to see that before being able to select any.; The more access points the better; I would prefer ZERO trails; Would love to see multiple access points to interconnected trails; I think it should be kept for local access by foot but otherwise it should be in each area so the traffic is spread rather than centralized in one area; I think a lot of residents live in Herriman to be AWAY from traffic. The last thing we need is our neighborhoods clogged with people from around the valley (especially hauling all their ATV's) driving through neighborhoods. Safety!!! These people will be focused on their final destination, not the safety and peaceful environments that neighborhoods be. None, leave it alone; Indifferent; Don't know the area well enough to answer; Improve access via bicycle/walking. Not more parking lots.; A trailhead at the top of Lookout Ridge by the water tank.; None...leave it as it is; NA; Doesn’t matter to me; Unfamiliar with these areas; Don’t do it!; None. Nothing. Leave the hills
as-is.; Quit spending money on stupid stuff; No opinion; I want it all to be left alone; Mountain View; LEAVE EVERYTHING AS IS; Blackridge Reservoir; No roads or parking; Blackridge where there is already the reservoir etc.; Leave the hills natural; None. Don’t develop.
Q12 Comments: What types of amenities should be provided?

Trail Signs; Trail Markers; Water fountain; Leave the hills as is; None. Please leave it alone.; Horse trailer parking and Hitching rails. Picnic area’s, stretching area’s; Just leave it alone; Fire pit with safe ring and area nearby. Water. It would be nice to have a trail are also for ATV motorcycles.; parking; A simple sign stating which trail head it is; Parking; Parking, lots of parking.; Water fountain; Access to drinking water ; picnic area; Covered picnic tables; Power washers for muddy MTB and dirt bikes; Parking lot; No opinion; All abilities flat surfaces for wheelchair access; Use corner canyon as an example; Leaving everything alone and allowed ATV access or let people hike and bike as is; Water bottle filling station or drinking fountain; Large enough parking area that it can become the”place to hike” on the West side of the valley. If you want more people to shop in Herriman, you need to get them here. A large trail system that is good for families is the way.; Don’t want to pay for something else I won’t use; The only problem with dog waste bags is some people will collect the waste in a bag and throw off the trail and into the weeds. Now you have a bag of waste that takes forever to decompose.; If people are going to pick up dog crap they need to put it somewhere other than hang it on the sign; Eating establishments, beer garden. NO ANIMALS; And off leash dog park; Nothing; Pavilion/ lunch area. Water.; Water fountains; I already have enough problems with people letting their dogs shit on my lawn without adding a trailhead nearby. The less developed the better.; Just for hiking only; bathrooms- drinking fountain; Do nothing. Leave it how it is.; Recycle bin for cans and plastic; Parking area so people do not utilize side streets as they do around Blackridge reservoir; Leave the hills as they are!!!; Water fountain; Maps aren’t very useful when most people just use their phones; I like natural/primitive feel and look; Picnic tables; Water bottle fill up station; Camp ground; Trash cans; Outdoor gun range, OHV park; ZERO TRAILS; Trail etiquette guides, it is important for trail users to know and use proper etiquette. What type of trail users yield to other types. Leashes required for dogs in that particular area?; NOTHING; Small Parking areas; Too many "extras" make it hard and costly to maintain. Corner Canyon seems to do it right, for example, the Coyote Hollow trailhead has a port a-potty (less expensive than permanent restrooms), no benches or trash receptacles (pack in/pack out), bike racks, etc.; Drinking fountain and water bottle refill spot; ***fee for use and parking for nonresidents if my park fee goes up; Water fountains; None. Leave it as it is; None leave it be!; water fountain; None; Quit spending money on stupid stuff; Dog waste would be great on all trail systems along with signs. Our yard is used by dogs on the daily basis we also need someone to clean the trails. There is always dog feces on the trail; Golf course; Shooting range; There needs to be a plan for EMS to be able to quickly and easily access any potential emergencies; drinking water; Bulletin board, key-hooks and other area for "Lost & Found".
Q15 Comments: Do you have any safety concerns about building improvements/amenities in the Herriman Hills Area?

Extra traffic in the neighborhood which increases risk for children playing and fowl play in the neighborhoods; None- areas like this attract patrons who are familiar with these types of activities; Leave hills as is; I live here and do not wish to have anything built here; Increased traffic through neighborhoods; none, use at there own risk. you can't bubble wrap stupid; Yes, the hills have been know to be a fire hazard and we don’t need maintained parks that will cause us to pay for damage and bring in those to steal and damage our property. Leave it as it is.; Just make sure traffic can be handled; No fires allowed clearly stated by picnic areas; no; There’s always the concern of another fire. Do you run into the chance of activating any unused explosives from Camp Williams?; No; Unwanted people lurking on trails with easy access; Yes! Herriman has been filled in with HOA neighborhoods that have tiny roads!! Lots of families and kids need safe access points and good lighting!!!; No; Absolutely! We purchased our homes up in the Herriman View area for the natural area of the mountain. We already gave an abundance of people speeding thru the streets up here by people in ATV’s!! We also already have people parking in the cul-de-sacs, drinking and doing drugs!! (According to the police that patrol up here!) The police don’t come up here very often and now you want to increase the traffic up here!; No more high density!!! No more tiny houses, tiny yards, only commercial; No; Above mentioned drones so close to Camp Williams; No; Keep teen gathering spots in consideration - Have had issues in hills with them; Taxes/Rates being raised... They are already extremely high compared to other cities; Make sure roadways are adequately improved to ensure safety for residents already living in the area; none; No; Increased traffic in the cove area is very unfavorable. Road is already busy with local traffic and increasing as homes continued to be built up there. Other areas have larger roads that would be more suited for this type of recreational activities.; Yes. Blackridge reservoir has already drawn in many undesirable activities into local neighborhoods. Including frequent issues of drug sales, vandalism, and theft. Lets not make it worse.; No; none; FIRE! NOISE! TRAFFIC! TRASH!; None right now; The increase of garbage in the area, illegal fires.; No; No ATV or off road vehicles. Noise pollution!; N/A; Yes, increased traffic to area where there are a lot of pedestrians and vehicles speed regularly; No; No; Limit access to backyards of existing homes. Curfew times; No; No; No; No; Shooting range would be a major concern for me; No; no; Roads and access are a problem. It seems in Utah, you build houses and business first, then expand the roads when it’s too crowded. There needs to be more urban development studies with inlets and outlets that are correctly planned. Now we have a giant shopping center being built in Riverton, with a lack of access and freeways getting to it. The 13400 south intersection is a nightmare and will only get worse as people move in and go to the shopping center. We need more thought put into access.; No; No; No; No; It would be best to separate Horses and Mountain bikes; Cell coverage is still pretty good in the area and the fire stations are close, common sense and the usual personal safety measures should be fine; Surveillance will definitely need to be considered; I’m very interested to see all of the trails connect. The recent development in the Juniper crest area has chopped big portions of access. I’d love to be able to go all the way across the hills to Yellow Fork Canyon without setting a foot on the pavement.; anything that increases vehicle traffic in this area I am extremely opposed to; Keep it simple. I don’t want taxes to increase at all; No; No; Increased crime; Increased traffic on our steep street; No; no; Motorized vehicles, guns, wildlife; You need direction specific trails to minimize user conflict; I’m strongly opposed to developments that would increase fire danger in the area, such as OHV trails. I like shooting, but I don’t think it’s the right place for a gun range, either.; Stop building in the hills; No; Predatory actions by morons; Paving trails will destroy what makes this area great. I hike these areas to connect with nature please do not take that
away; No; No; No; Wildlife (threats to humans from wildlife), less-savory characters that have become prevalent with the high-density housing the city has embraced and loves.; Yes, diversity. Stop with all the hiking trails.; No; Make sure there is enough parking; No; Steep roads in winter; No; No; Fire; No; Taxation is theft, but that’s a different issue; Increased traffic and people that don’t live in the community. Too many people in the hills that don’t respect the area and will trash it. Leave the hills as is.; I live by the reservoir and traffic is already increasing due to high density housing going in and the reservoir during the summer. Would need that taken into consideration before any projects; Traffic; Well placed signage for any trail access limitations and one way trails (bikes); No gun range please; It already attracts a lot non residents and requires steady police presence in the roads during weekends and nights.; Yes, I worry about the traffic on the streets. I worry about theft occurring to vehicles left at trailheads and theft occurring from the increased traffic on our streets.; none; none; Traffic in the neighborhood, overnight campers; Directional bike trails are great for safety; No; More traffic; No; Area needs security or some type of police. Maybe off-duty officers for extra pay. SAFETY FIRST; trash being dumped, teens congregating and smoking and setting accidental fires; Night time noise; Yes. More traffic. Absolutely no gun range. More people means more hazards and crime.; Traffic near my home; Folks drive way too fast on Ambermont dr and juniper crest rd. They drag race on juniper crest. It’s ridiculous.; No; Add a cell tower with access for all major carriers nearby to allow for calls in the event of an accident or emergency; Too much traffic through residential and school areas; No; We don’t need anymore traffic up here, leave them alone!; car security; No; more traffic more danger to neighborhood children; Don’t build homes and keep as is; Traffic through neighborhoods. If possible, look to build small access roads that take off from already main roads, trying to bypass direct access through neighborhoods as much as possible.; No; Fire; Local traffic in the Herriman area. Our local roads are already congested with traffic. Adding more in the neighborhoods could be hazardous; I love this idea!!!; No; no; Yes, it’s too close to the military base where they practice; More traffic in resident areas; Stop building leave it natural; Over building, ruining the serenity of the area; Would be good if the streets were at least improved enough to handle the normal traffic first.... maybe even pavement before adding traffic for this.; Landslide/mud slide mitigation, earthquake-durable; No; No shooting range. Is it safe for pets to be in this area?; No. The risks are inherent; No; No; Enforcing rules/laws. Access for emergency vehicles. There must be a curfew & lockable gates like at Blackridge Reservoir.; Low impact; We already have a high theft/crime rate going on. Concerned about traffic increasing as well as the crime. Also, raising tax increases.; Traffic and parking; No; Please no range; crime; Traffic; No; ATV’s on the hiking and biking trails they go way too fast and will run someone over.; Increase traffic. And maintaining the space. Catching violators; Yes. The hills are my backyard and we already have people walking through our properties to hike the mountain. I believe that is a safety issue.; Keep it natural. The hillside is open to the city views...things like zip lines should be nestled away in canyons.; Don’t want people sleeping/living up in the area; No; no; No; No; Fire danger; No; Traffic/parking; No; yes it should be left natural that is the attraction; Yes!; No; I just really don’t want to a gun range; no; Sandy recently implemented "milepost signs" (http://www.good4utah.com/news/local-news/trail-markers-to-speed-up-finding-distressed-hikers/802969973) so this would be a good safety addition in the design/implementation phase.; I’d rather not have horses because I don’t like what they do to Yellow Fork Canyon, or at least have only a few horse trails; Yes, please no shooting ranges (noise/safety), and no ATV’s (same reasons); No; I don’t want to see the natural beauty of the area fall to over development; No gun ranges!; Yes; No; Increase in crime. Traffic right by my house. I live right by graffiti rock. The trail head being abused. Not cleaned up. I don’t want to constantly look at filth.; No; No; No; Na; No; No; If there is allowed camping then no campfires or open flames should be allowed; No. But leave it as it is. Herriman is becoming too crowded.; I would like people to pick up their dog poop.; More potential for crime in the neighborhoods people travel through to get to the hills; No; no; No; No; yes. FIRE; No; No; There’s too many people. crime has gone up. Drugs are in our city. I miss old Herriman.; No;
Heavy traffic; Stupid people do stupid things there is no safety items that will prevent that; No; Increased traffic on local roads; No; No; I want this area to be left alone; I worry that as a Herriman resident my taxes will go up to provide recreation for the rest of the State, and then they go to spend their money at Riverton’s new mall. It makes me mad.; No more houses, especially hdh. The city hall is a joke btw, an expensive overkill for this small town. It’s not likely you will make any good decisions on this either.; No; Yes. Increased motor vehicles in the hills.; No, build a shooting range; Possibility of increased crime/theft in the area due to increased traffic; Yes. This could lead to others coming that don’t respect the area or the property of those that live here.; Traffics monitoring to keep speeds down in neighborhoods that link to trail network; Emergency services. Wildfire potential.; They would need to be maintained; Traffic; Do not put in ATV trails or a shooting range; No; Camp Williams and fires; Fire, traffic congestion; Yes. Same as Blackridge reservoir ; I do not want to see motorized OHV allowed on the Hill. I would like to see it left more quiet & natural.; The more people that go up into the hills the more chances fire can be started; No; Yes. Fire. You open this up and more and all of our homes are going to burn down the next time some idiot rides a four wheeler or flicks a cigarette.; No; Bike traffic, especially downhill, can be a danger to hikers and equestrians.; Fire buffer zone; I would like to avoid allowing activities that have noise impact on neighbors: Disallow ATV’s, Firearms, Drones; No; Build the access so traffic isn’t a nightmare; Just don’t develop it too much. Don’t ruin a perfectly foo mountain with dog parks, amphitheater, and event areas. It is not needed at all.; erosion from heavy rains could cause mud slides during construction; have a pump track and lots of MTB trails. And a mtn. bike skills course.; Too much building over trails.
Q16 Comments: What other suggestions or improvements would you like to see in the Herriman Hills Area?

I would like to see partnerships with Private Sector Companies such as Real to partially fund this project. I would also like to see if Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District would contribute to the improvements in the area. If there were stations at which you could rent bikes to use on the paved trails such as the shared bikes in Salt lake City you could fund maintenance of the trail with minimal fees to the citizens.; Someone operating Blackridge Reservoir and possibly a fee for everyone using it.; Draper has done a terrific job in Corner Canyon. We have the same opportunity here.; These are good ideas- a trail system that connects more areas should be considered - a trail system to Yellow fork that gets people off the roads (horses runners bikers etc); Please leave it alone and in its natural state; N/A; no structures; Tubing hills, Hang gliding, overnight camping, motocross and ATV/UTV; No improvements are needed; Plant native trees that will survive with little involvement and that will beautify the area, making a greater desire to be there.; It would be nice to have something that can generate revenue for the city; Snake control; I would love to see thicker/greener foliage like pine trees; Still just a Dog Park; OFF LEASH WALKING TRAIL; At this point try to not affect peoples homes who have already built. Limit the area to stay a good distance from existing homes. Going forward people can decide if they want to build by the trails etc.; More mountain bike trails; Keep it natural, don’t develop it! We all bought our houses up here to be out of the way and a little secluded. Please don’t devalue my property anymore than it already is. There is SO much HDH going in here in Herriman that I may never be able to sell my home!; Leave it be or I liked he idea of golf course because they are nice and bring in revenue; Single track motorcycle trails; NO HOUSES!!!!!!; Would love maintenance of trails to preserve them like draper does!; Trees, that would be great up there!; MORE DOG (and off leash) FRIENDLY PLACES!!; We mountain bike and hike regularly at Corner Canyon in Draper. We would love to see something similar to that here in Herriman.; Wildlife reserve area; Something similar to the Jordan River Parkway; Gun Range!; Low cost/ low tax; A large Family park; minimal development. We have an opportunity to preserve a natural and wild place on the doorstep of our town. Let’s not miss out on the opportunities it provides for our current residents as well as those that will live in Herriman long after we’re gone.; Limited Access or charge admission to attract people that will respect it. Black Ridge reservoir is a mess because it’s free. Free promotes abuse!; Shooting range; Downhill only flow tracks for mountain biking; Golf course; dedicated overnight campgrounds; I love what Draper City has done in regards to trails and think we could make Herriman a destination to mount bikers and a great benefit to the local community.; Keep it as it is.; Nothing just dirt bike single track, with easy medium hard and extreme difficulty levels please.; Multi use Trails similar to black ridge trails, corner canyon; I support a small increase in park fees, but not large; Look at corner canyon. Copy it.; The biggest user conflicts come from bikers going too fast downhill. If you build specific use trails for downhill bikes it will help reduce conflicts.; Family friendly; green space preserved with all the building going on; All ability trails for wheelchairs; Off-road motorcycle park. Like trials riding/ single track loops; ATV trails; Ambassador program for Herriman Trails, much like Park City does with the Mountain Trails Foundation.; N/a; Keep it simple. Keep in natural.; flow mountain bike trails would be amazing!; Mountain bike loop trails, need at least 10 miles in connected trails with loops, single track is preferred. Directional trails with options for downhill only for bikers. Restrictions on horse use for specific trails. Snow biking options with winter grooming on selected trails.; Better planning when it comes to roads. If an area is planned, access is important. Unfortunately most of the time, homes and business’s are built first, then expand the roads. This causes traffic issues and is a large inconvenience. Bangerter Highway never should have been built with stop lights. It should have been a freeway to begin with.
We also need a proper east to west freeway on the south end of the valley. Ideally around the 104th south area. It can take up to 45 minutes to travel 5 miles due to the poor city planning and stop lights during rush hour. We need better urban development.; No; Connect in to Yellow Fork via BST; None at this time; Gun range; I think a native plant garden with labels would be a nice addition to one of the parks; Awesome! We need more trails in the area. I live in draper and many Herriman residents come and use our trails and I look forward to coming over and using Herriman trails.; No more housing or “reservoirs”; Maps, vault toilet; It would be really nice if there were designated parking areas/driving road to access the trails. This could really make Herriman a value to the community as a whole.; a golf course at the zone where MTV Corridor and Juniper Crest meet; Archery range or rifle range; ATV Trails; Mountain Bike Trails; An interlocking trail system that won’t be destroyed for construction; Maps; I’d like it to stay as natural as possible; Draper City trail system is a great example of what Herriman should look like. They have a great mix of multi use trails and downhill mountain bike trails.; Parking; I like the idea of the medium or large scale amenities so that we can draw people into our city. While I am not opposed to an increase in park fees to facilitate the improvements needed, I would hope that the increased tax base would allow us to decrease the park fee over time as the commercial taxes we collect could be used to replace that money.; I’ve seen a lot of ideas about what could be done in the area. The only ones I am completely opposed to are OHV trails and a gun range. From the online discussion I’ve seen, most of the strongest proponents for an OHV park don’t live in Herriman anyway, so they aren’t concerned about the impact it would have on property values or the fact that the cost of developing and maintaining such a facility would fall disproportionately on the city of Herriman and its residents. If people want an OHV park in Salt Lake County, then maybe they should take it up with the county and see if some open land can’t be found that abuts an industrial area where it won’t negatively impact neighborhoods and residential properties.; Trees; Please stop doing things over there. The more that gets put in the harder it will be for residents to access the area as it will be over run by those who do not live in the city.; Shooting sports. ATV park.; Trail improvement near and just above the graffiti rock on 6600 west. The current grade is steep and very slippery.; Keep it nice without increasing taxes too much. Rely on volunteers.; Thank you for considering this!!! I am super excited!; Gun range; Gun range, won’t use it but horse trails or ATV.; Don’t spend any money on it; Mostly just mountain bike trails; Add water stations; Gun range; Better trail system similar to what is offered in Corner Canyon in Draper. Connected trails and loops.; Will it patrolled by law enforcement? It should.; Alpine slide, Oktoberfest facilities, Bring more revenue in with what your make of the area so stuff like this doesn’t hit the tax payers. ; unbiased access, your survey has been very selective to not advocate OHV access.; Public Gun range; Much lower speed limits to ANY of the access points, along with more law enforcement; None; Leave the area with limited impact; shooting range; For trails, a status page with conditions and closures. Well designed markers establishing trails as public / private / closed; Limiting noise and disturbance of the natural geography. Keeping Herriman’s "small town" feel is very important.; Get the local high school mountain bike team involved. We have built dozens of trails in the last few years. And we maintain them.; UTV and Dirt Bike trails; Please don’t do any development! I would hate to see a hillside cluttered with zip lines, roadways, drones, etc.; Remove graffiti rock; none; NA; None, you got some good ideas, make it happen!!; Limited home development; I really don’t know why we can’t just leave it as is; Keep it as natural as possible. LIMIT multi-housing units. It is unethical to overbuild an area we all moved to for its "natural amenities"; I like parks; Look to Draper city and park city for examples. They have both built fantastic trail systems.; That’s it. NOTHInG commercial. Recreational only; Leave it alone. It’s fine how it is.; Just running and biking trails; Wheelchair accessibility; Adequate parking to support events and patrons; More trees. Less dense housing.; Absolutely NO to shooting range or ATV trails!! We live right next to the hills and do not want that noise, danger, or more traffic! Please leave the hills be! Thanks; I love to see that these ideas are being discussed! Our family loves to recreate outside on the weekends! Whether it’s hiking, mountain
biking, boating in the summers or riding motorcycles and ATV’s. We do it all as a family. We spend our weekends recreating in other parts of the valley. We’d love to be able to stay in our own little corner of the valley to do these things! It would be such a wonderful asset to our area.; More trails the better; lots of access points so all the travel isn’t in 1 or 2 places; trails that go for a long way so you can travel for a good distance; off leash dog trail like Tanner Park; Na; If considering trails please consider directional trails for mountain bikes. It will help with safety; Focus on connecting the new neighborhood to MVC and getting business in Herriman.; A Santa’s Village would be great; Finding something self sustaining not going to increase taxes; Love this!!!; trails but limited other things keep it natural. also using volunteers to maintain trails.; Picnic areas; Fire block of some sort; Gun range; Marked multi-use trails so everybody isn’t just making up their own. Some maintenance. Erosion engineering. Keeping as much as natural as possible.; Golf course, Like Cedar Hills golf course. Keep the integrity of the mountain. Separate parking lot so no one is parking in neighborhoods.; Youth groups could help with hiking/mountain bike trail maintenance for service opportunities; No; More trails, and connect to rose canyon trail system; ATV / UTV trails; 4x4; Nothing leave it alone; More mountain biking trails; I would love to keep it as is. Natural trails for hiking/biking/equestrian trails.; An area that will increase tourism for Herriman and make people say ”I want to live there because of the amazing recreation areas.; Additional reservoir(S); I would love to see a trail system that rivals Draper’s trail system in Corner Canyon. If possible I would love to see another reservoir similar to Black Ridge.; See other comments above regarding gun range or OHV trails; Gun Range, OHV Park; Decent parking by trailheads; Make the bike trails big enough to bring in the High School Mountain Bike race. This would bring in a lot of people to the area that would help with the tax base. There are grants available to build trails. We could be the next corner canyon!; More parks; Leave it natural. We don’t have to build on every free space in this valley.; Keep the trails natural as possible. Directional or activity specific trails help avoid trail issues.; We need an outdoor shooting range; Camping; Negative impacts for local residents (me): Fire danger, noise, erosion, watershed damage, flooding, increased traffic, decreased property value due to aforementioned; Connect to yellow fork trails; Leaving a large OPEN space for family gatherings. Something Herriman currently does not have (small pavilions in poorly kept parks don’t count).; DOG PARK! DOG PARK! And easily accessed trail heads; none; No access through neighborhoods!!! This cannot be stressed enough.; Don’t have any; Connection to Yellow Fork Canyon would be amazing; Just please, please keep it small and natural, but a campground for HERRIMAN residents only would be great!; Leaving some are ‘as is’ could also be an option; ATV Trails; More trees; Shooting areas; Trees planted along trails?; Better access. Driving through these small neighborhoods to get there isn’t great for anyone.; Leave it alone or only do minimal like hike trails; Even more mountain bike trails; I think it’s great the way it is. Maybe some more trails; Don’t regulate the hell out of it; Plan for and keep open space and trails. We need to keep our connection to nature; Na; Hurry; I would like to see the land between Juniper Crest and Yellow Fork used to connect the two areas via mountain bike trails or multi use singletrack. Similar to a Corner Canyon style system, that land would be perfect for it.; More singletrack “bikes only” trails, particularly "downhill bikes only” trails where bikers can go faster without worrying about scaring a hiker, horseman or uphill rider. Also, a singletrack connection from the rose crest trails to the yellowfork trails would be great allowing for longer distance rides.; Geologic information about the formation of the Herriman Hills; None; Leave it as it is; A gun range; Gun range; Don’t build anymore homes up on the mountain. Don’t build anything. Make a few trails for those of us that hike, horses. Bike.; I just done with so many houses. Let’s stop building & make fun things to do. People are doing all this any ways so why not improve it & make better use for everyone.; Some UTV trails would be great; none; less is more; None; More natural trails that are hikeable and tunable. A Trail system to the top (flag rock) and some trails above (before getting to the camp Williams property). For hikers some benches. Trail maps, markers, regular maintenance and improvements.; No more building; Outdoor pool; Keep it to small outside activities. No ATV access and no huge developments. Keep it
simple; Leave it natural and quit spending money on stupid stuff; Maybe charging a fee for non Herriman residents; Noise from a gun range and ATV’s would be a big concern; Shooting/archery range; Limit development to low impact use. No medium or large scale developments.; How about an observatory (like Griffith observatory in LA)?; OHV access and Gun Range; I would like it all to be left alone; none; Weed control along trail and animal waste clean up weekly; Gun range; We used to hunt there, that's likely going, going, gone.; None. If people are too lazy to use now that’s their fault. I don’t want my taxes increased to pay for amenities that won’t get used. We have 2 amphitheater’s in the area already. There is st enough snow to do winter activities.; Not much because we will have to pay for it as taxpayers; Campground; Rather than raise our taxes, there should be fees for those that are not from Herriman/Riverton. This will also help manage the traffic.; At one point I heard about a tubing hill. That still a possibility?; Landscaping and vegetation (more trees); No more houses!; Gun Range and UTV trails; No more horse trails, open up the areas for people to go ride dirt bikes; One; Protect the land and keep it natural; I'd like it to remain the same. Untouched!; ATV trails; Lots of trees along the trails; Do not allow anymore development; More equestrian access; Please leave them alone; Trails is my priority. Keep it natural, like corner canyon; None. Leave it as is. Open and undeveloped.; Or leave it just open no development; Dog park; Possible planting of trees; Paved walkway for the disabled; Skills bike park for kids & adults; A couple of mountain bike trails. Make it like eagle mountain and Corner Canyon. Those places are great and aren't over the top with man made things.; shooting range, Pro drone filming regulation and rules; I am on the Herriman High mountain biking team and we would like to see a pump track 5 mile track for races so Herriman could host mountain biking races witch will bring a lot of people in to Herriman/ will bring in money I would also like to see hiking trails also. but no motor vehicles they would ruin the land and are loud and for someone who lives by the hills/ I do not want that by my house/ parking is also an issue we should learn from the????/we want lots of parking.
The purpose and need

Create a Master Plan
• Form a trails blueprint and organize sources of funding for future growth and protection of planned trail routes.

Establish a Core Network
• Link city and regional trails to Herriman Hills Open Space.
• Establish trailhead and access point locations.
• Connect back county trails to urban network at coordinated trailheads.

Identify Potential Ammenities
• Identify viable ammenity options.
• Determine desirable ammenities and development scale for area.
How you can help!

1. **Sign-in** to help us keep you informed of upcoming activities and plan updates.

2. **Study and review** the trail map, trailhead locations, and potential amenities to familiarize yourself with existing and proposed elements and key locations/destinations.

3. **Consider trail routes** that could be improved or developed to connect existing trails or provide recreational or transportation opportunities.

4. **Ask questions** to help you understand planning concerns and to learn what other stakeholders and trail users are saying about the study.

5. **Provide valuable feedback** that will help the study team develop a master plan best suited for trail users, land owners, cities, and the protection of the natural environment.
**Herriman Hills Open Space**

### Trail Attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Bike Routes</th>
<th>Paved Multi-Use Trail</th>
<th>Soft Surface Multi-Use Trail</th>
<th>Equestrian Trail</th>
<th>Improved Pedestrian Trail</th>
<th>Backcountry Trail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bike routes include a variety of cyclist infrastructure. Bike routes provide extra emphasis for bike travel. On bike routes, infrastructure such as bike lanes, bike boxes, and bike signals are provided. Bike routes are often located in urban environments.</td>
<td>Paved multi-use trails are major arterial trails that are independent of vehicular routes. They may bisect open space and parallel natural features such as rivers or streams. These trails are recreation and transportation oriented.</td>
<td>Soft surface multi-use trails are major arterial trails that are independent of vehicular routes. They may bisect open space and parallel natural features such as rivers or streams.</td>
<td>Equestrian trails may bisect open space and parallel natural features such as rivers or streams. These trails are dedicated to equestrian use but may also be used by pedestrians.</td>
<td>Improved pedestrian trails are collector trails that connect users to arterial trails. They may bisect open space or residential developments and often parallel natural features such as rivers or streams. These trails are recreation- and travel-oriented for pedestrian use.</td>
<td>Backcountry trail networks access or explore areas outside of the urban trail network. Backcountry trails may be connected by other backcountry trails or by a combination of other trails such as bike routes or multi-use trails. They are typically recreation-oriented and are suited for pedestrians, bicyclists, and equestrian users. Includes single track bike trails, hiking trails, and equestrian specific trails.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Primary User Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary User Groups</th>
<th>Bike Routes</th>
<th>Paved Multi-Use Trail</th>
<th>Soft Surface Multi-Use Trail</th>
<th>Equestrian Trail</th>
<th>Improved Pedestrian Trail</th>
<th>Backcountry Trail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bicyclists</td>
<td>Pedestrians and Bicyclists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrians and Bicyclists</td>
<td>10-14’ Tread Width</td>
<td>2’ Minimum Shoulder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrians, Bicyclists, and Equestrians</td>
<td>6’ Minimum Clear Area</td>
<td>4-6’ 2-4’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Design Width

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Surface</th>
<th>Bike Routes</th>
<th>Paved Multi-Use Trail</th>
<th>Soft Surface Multi-Use Trail</th>
<th>Equestrian Trail</th>
<th>Improved Pedestrian Trail</th>
<th>Backcountry Trail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asphalt or Concrete</td>
<td>Asphalt or Concrete</td>
<td>Compacted Roadbase, Crushed Rock with fines</td>
<td>Crushed Rock with fines or Natural/Existing Material</td>
<td>Asphalt or Concrete</td>
<td>Crushed Rock with fines, Compacted Road base, or Natural/Existing Materials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Herriman Hills Open Space Trail Classification System**

**Herriman Hills Open Space Trail Classification Reference Images**

1. Bike Routes
2. Paved Multi-Use Trail
3. Soft Surface Multi-Use Trail
4. Equestrian Trail
5. Improved Pedestrian Trail
6. Backcountry Trail
7. Bike Routes
8. Paved Multi-Use Trail
9. Soft Surface Multi-Use Trail
10. Equestrian Trail
11. Improved Pedestrian Trail
12. Backcountry Trail
Herriman Hills Open Space

**Proposed Juniper Crest Trailhead Amenities**

- Restrooms
- Parking
- Outdoor Gym
- Fit Trail
- Picnic Table

**Prospective Improvements**

- Restrooms
- Pavilion
- Picnic Tables
- Dog Park
- Playground

---

**TYPICAL BACKCOUNTRY TRAIL CROSS SECTION**
- Backcountry Trail ~ $10 Linear Foot
- 2' Wide Clear Zone
- 2-4' Wide Trail (Natural or Minimal Materials)
- Existing Slope and Vegetation

**TYPICAL URBAN SOFT SURFACE TRAIL CROSS SECTION**
- Urban Soft Surface Trail ~ $35/Linear Foot
- 2' Wide Shoulder
- 8-12' Wide Compacted Road Base Trail
- Existing Shoulder and Vegetation

**IMPROVED MULTI-USE TRAIL CROSS SECTION**
- Improved Multi-Use Trail ~ $80-100/Linear Foot
- 2' Wide Shoulder, 3:1 Slope
- 10-14' Wide Asphalt Trail (3" Asphalt, 6" Base Course)
- Centerline Striping
- Existing Shoulder and Vegetation

**IMPROVED PEDESTRIAN TRAIL CROSS SECTION**
- Improved Pedestrian Trail ~ $60-80/Linear Foot
- 8' Wide Concrete Path
- 2' Wide Shoulder (3:1 Slope)
- Existing Shoulder and Vegetation
Herriman Hills Open Space

1 - Existing Blackridge Reservoir Trailhead
2 - Proposed Secret Canyon Trailhead
3 - Proposed Table Top Trailhead
4 - Proposed Tickville Gulch Trailhead
5 - Proposed Wide Hollow Trailhead
6 - Proposed Bluffs at Herriman Springs Trailhead
Economic Study Report

Herriman Hills Analysis

The purpose of this study is to address the following:

- Possible uses* – market trends and demand
- Initial costs of possible uses
- Perpetual maintenance costs
- Funding options

*Possible uses based on the community survey results

Herriman Hills Analysis – Executive Summary

The economic summary presented herein highlights potential uses and their estimated costs for the Herriman Hills recreation area. The focus of the study is based on the results of the resident survey conducted by Project Engineering Consultants (PEC). Consequently, the proposed uses, and their associated costs, are not necessarily considered as “highest and best uses” from a revenue generating potential. They are, however, the uses highlighted as possible recreational opportunities that are desired by the survey responders.

Summary analysis on following pages will show the market demand for certain uses, including trail construction, trail events (races), mountain biking, pump tracks, archery and shooting ranges, camping, and possible corporate retreat activities. All of these uses are being met with solid market demand in Utah at present, and the Herriman Hills area presents an opportunity to satisfy some of the existing need. Estimated costs of construction for most proposed improvements are noted to be relatively limited, as the uses primarily involve utilizing natural, existing features. The majority of expenses involve trailhead construction, which includes parking lots, restroom facilities, and trail signage.

Economic benefits, while not a focus of this study, can be seen through some revenue generation from a few of the proposed uses. Overall, however, the likely utilization of the open space is not seen as a major income source, but rather a desirable amenity to further promote the quality of living in Herriman. Property values may see escalations due to the proximity of a major recreation area, but this will be dependent on the eventual uses, location, and timeline of improvement construction.
This analysis first considers the feasibility of possible recreation uses. These uses are based on the results of the community survey performed by Project Engineering Consultants (PEC). As a result, while the uses may not represent the areas of greatest economic feasibility or overall market demand, they do reflect the desired needs of the local public. They include:

- Trail Running Events
- Mountain Bike Events
- Corporate Training
- Pump Track Courses
- Gun/Archery Range
- Campground Rentals

### Trail Running Events

- Participation is greatest when:
  - Multiple events
    - 5k, 10k, Family 1k
  - Continuity
    - Most communities note a steady increase in interest following the third year of the same event
  - Events are successful when they are well-branded, they focus on a local need or the community, they include a destination or a unique challenge, and they have an after-run gathering event

### Is There Market Demand?

- Yes – Access to trails and trail events are consistently in the highest categories for residents regarding “community needs.”
- Having varying course lengths appeals to a wide-variety of users
- A recent sampling of community trail events in Utah County and Davis County showed age ranges from 2 to upwards of 80, with 88 percent indicating they looked forward to next year’s event
Mountain Bike Events

- There is limited appeal in comparison to trail running events, but interest is growing.
- Mountain biking is typically a male-dominated sport, particularly in the age category of 14-35 years.
- The physical impact is notably more on the trails than hiking/running options.
- Year-round use of mountain biking trails has become more popular in the past several years.

Is There Market Demand for Mountain Bike Events?

- Yes, from high-school aged participants. This user base is growing with the increase of school clubs.
- The demand for trails is notable from non-event users, while interest in events is less pronounced outside of the high school clubs – other venues within the state are popular and better suited for events (Snowbasin, Snowbird, Park City, Corner Canyon).

Corporate Training

- There is strong growth for corporate training uses, particularly amongst technology tenants.

Is There Market Demand?

- Yes, but unless the amenities are notable, options are significant along the Wasatch Front.
- Companies want access to food options, something “unique,” and an ability to gather in an amphitheater or meeting space environment.
Pump Track Courses

- Numerous communities in Utah are putting in pump track courses
- They have become a destination spot for bikers
- Maintenance for pump trails is slightly more complex than typical trails
- Shared uses are not likely, considering the specific layout of pump tracks

Is There Market Demand for Pump Tracks?

- Yes, particularly from high school teams. Pump tracks have a limited ability to generate revenue, but they have become more and more requested of cities from residents throughout Utah

Gun/Archery Ranges

- Gun and archery ranges are widely popular and gaining in use along the Wasatch Front
- They can require as little as two acres, with some utilizing more than 20 acres
- They can be combined with paint-ball courses and other uses to create multi-use facilities
- Ranges require a large buffer area, but typically have limited, built amenities
- Indoor ranges have increased significantly in recent years throughout Utah, and demand is noted to be high for outdoor ranges that provide experiences that the indoor ranges do not
Campgrounds

- Campgrounds at Herriman Hills would be usable nearly year-round.
- Many communities use online reservation systems for campgrounds. These systems have limited costs, and are easy to implement.
- According to other communities, trash and noise complaints are largest concerns for maintaining and operating campgrounds.
- At improved campgrounds, water is typically brought to a single point, with pit toilets often provided.
- Initial costs for a campground facility are limited to grading, firepits, tables, and appropriate signage.

Initial Costs of Possible Uses

Potential costs of construction of the previously highlighted options are now discussed. These costs are taken from recent projects throughout Utah. They are noted to be flexible, and are highly contingent upon existing infrastructure, slopes, soil conditions, vegetation, etc.

New Trail Construction – Hiking/Trail Running

- New construction on flat to slightly sloped hills, with limited water navigation, limited scrub oak or mature vegetation = $8,500 to $12,500 per mile, or near $1.60 to $2.40 per linear foot.

- New construction on moderately sloped hills, with some mature vegetation - $18,500 to $25,000 per mile, or near $3.50 to $4.75 per linear foot.

Most likely average cost for Herriman Hills = $4.00 per linear foot – natural surfaces.

Costs are heavily influenced by vegetation, slope, soil types, and erosion factors.
**Initial Costs of Possible Uses**

**Total Planned Trail Length**
- Proposed new trail network inside Herriman Hills – 224,532 lineal feet, or, 42.5 miles
- Cost – Roughly $900,000, not including trailheads, water crossings, or sensitive environmental areas. Roughly $4.00 per linear foot, and $21,130 per mile

Mountain Bike Flow Trails - $4.50 to $5.00 per linear foot
Mountain Bike Pump Track - $5.00 to $6.00 per linear foot
Pump tracks can range from 600 to 5,000 linear feet

---

**Trailhead Costs**
- Trailhead costs typically consist of the following:
  - Restroom facilities – cost is heavily dependent upon proximity to water and sewer lines
  - Parking – significant cost differences between gravel and paved lots
  - Signage
Initial Costs of Possible Uses

Trailhead Costs

- If sewer and water lines are in near proximity, most communities anticipate restroom costs near $40,000
- Parking, including circulation (large turnaround areas are needed for equestrian-oriented trails) ranges from near $10,000 to $15,000 per stall, dependent upon grading and existing vegetation
- Signage is typically less than $5,000 per trailhead

Standard Trailhead Cost – $300,000
- Restrooms, 20 parking stalls, and standard signage

Trail Race Event Costs

- Most cities indicate that profits from trail races are limited until roughly the third year, and are heavily influenced by the following:
  - Availability of local sponsors to offset production costs
  - The number of roads/trails along the route that require police assistance
  - Quality of participation awards and race concessions
  - Need for additional restroom facilities

Herriman Hills Race Analysis –

Revenues should initially cover costs, with likely profits coming within three years. Profits will be minimal unless participation is significant and/or notable sponsorships are secured
**Initial Costs of Possible Uses**

**Gun/Archery Range Costs**
- There is a large variance in possible amenities and costs – most notable considerations include the following:
  - The acreage to be cleared and made relatively level
  - The amenities of a shooting pavilion/range (i.e., restrooms, electrical capacity)
  - The amount of supplied parking
  - The required buffer area (how much land needs to be acquired/set apart)
- Most community, open-air gun ranges are run at a nominal profit. Costs cover facility maintenance and upgrades, general upkeep, and ensuring safety measures.

Estimated costs for Herriman Hills for a gun/archery range - $550,000 – Includes parking, restrooms, site clearance, equipment and range improvements.

---

**Initial Costs of Possible Uses**

**Campground**
- The variance in proposed costs is based on the level of amenities provided. These include:
  - Access to restroom facilities, potable water
  - Paved or gravel parking and roads
  - The amenity level of firepits, grass areas, picnic tables, etc.
- Additional costs may include an online reservation system, if deemed necessary.

Estimated costs for Herriman Hills for a campground facility (assuming near ten, separate spaces) - $80,000 – Includes gravel parking, pat restrooms, some site grading and vegetation removal, picnic equipment and firepit improvements. Does not include online reservation costs.
## Perpetual Maintenance Costs

The following table highlights potential maintenance costs for the noted, suggested improvements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Initial Cost</th>
<th>Perpetual Maintenance Costs</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trails – Hiking and Trail Running</td>
<td>$4.00 per linear foot</td>
<td>Less than $600 per mile, annually, not including bridges – some of this cost is lessened in communities with volunteer groups</td>
<td>Trailheads, including restrooms, will require regular maintenance. Ongoing costs in other communities vary significantly for this, ranging from $200 to $1,500 per month per facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain Bike – Flow and Pump</td>
<td>$4.50 - $6.00 per linear foot</td>
<td>Typically require maintenance two to three times per year – often maintained by local bike groups. Costs are less than $0.10 per linear foot unless significant erosion issues</td>
<td>Occasional storms will result in the need for erosion measures that require heavy equipment. Maintenance largely depends upon initial construction quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gun/Archery Range</td>
<td>Estimated at $550,000, large variety of potential cost differences</td>
<td>Requires ongoing landscaping maintenance to keep ranges free of vegetation growth. Daily maintenance is necessary with shovel/razor – these costs are typically covered by range fees</td>
<td>Maintenance fees largely depend upon usage and amenity features. Most ranges operate on minimal costs, and are often undertaken by volunteer and local community groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campground</td>
<td>Estimated at $80,000 with gravel parking, picnic tables, and firepits for roughly ten dedicated sites</td>
<td>Cleaning and maintenance of firepits, picnic tables, and roadways. Costs vary significantly, but can be up to $1,000 per year per campsite</td>
<td>Entities report that large groups and unmonitored sites can result in additional expenses. Partnerships with Land America can result in offsetting liability and expenses, with limited profit. A larger area dedicated to camping would be required, however.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

## Funding Options

Funding options are considered to address the potential costs of various improvements to Herriman Hills:

- Grants – numerous grants exist on both federal and state levels that focus on new trail construction. Most require a local match.
- Park fees - Herriman has a monthly park fee per household. An increase to that fee could help support maintenance and show new projects, although a significant escalation is unlikely.
- Sales tax revenue bonds – this funding source has been used in other communities for recreation and outdoor funding.
- Establishing a recreation district.
Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan
Appendix
Open Space Concept Plan
The Wide Hollow Trailhead can accommodate a large size - Class I Trailhead. Amenity recommendations include parking (40 to 50 stalls), restrooms, small pavilion, picnic areas, and trail maps. Additional amenities to consider are a fenced-off-leash dog park and/or a challenge staircase (150 to 300 stairs).
The Friendship Trailhead can accommodate a small to medium size trailhead. Amenity recommendations include restrooms, picnic areas, landscape screening, and trail maps. Additional amenities to consider are a small parking lot (not to exceed 12 stalls) and/or fit trail to Butterfield Parkway.
The Juniper Crest Trailhead can accommodate a large size trailhead. Amenity recommendations include parking (130 to 150 stalls), restrooms, pavilion, picnic areas, and trail maps. Additional amenities to consider are a race start area for 5k races, small amphitheater, reception/event center, off leash dog park, pump track, gravity flow trail, and/or outdoor gym.
In order to create a trail network within Herriman connectivity is crucial. By joining the Juniper Crest Trail with the Blackridge Reservoir Trail a trail network can be established. This 2.28 mile new section of the Bonneville Shoreline Trail will benefit local and regional visitors.
ALTHOUGH 1.16 MILES OF THIS TRAIL SEGMENT IS EXISTING THAT PORTION OF THE TRAIL WILL NEED TO BE IMPROVED TO MEET BONNEVILLE SHORELINE TRAIL STANDARDS. A 0.69 MILE LONG NEW SEGMENT OF TRAIL WILL NEED TO BE CONSTRUCTED TO LINK THE FRIENDSHIP AND BLACKRIDGE TRAILHEADS.
THIS SEGMENT OF THE BONNEVILLE SHORELINE TRAIL WILL REQUIRE A PORTION OF THE ALIGNMENT THROUGH PRIVATE PROPERTY. EITHER LAND ACQUISITION OR RIGHT OF WAY NEEDS TO BE ESTABLISHED PRIOR TO IMPLEMENTATION. THE 0.91 MILES OF NEW BST BACKCOUNTRY TRAIL AND 1.36 MILES OF NEW BACKCOUNTRY TRAIL WILL PROVIDE CONNECTION TO THE TRAIL NETWORK FOR WEST HERRIMAN RESIDENTS.
The creation of these 8.95 miles of backcountry trails in the Tickville Gulch area could begin immediately with the use of donations and volunteer resources. This area already has some social and game trails that could be utilized. Eric's Trail and Mustang Trail are ready for implementation. Accurate trail mapping will need to be conducted for future trail maps.
A portion of the Juniper Crest Trail loop will become part of the Bonneville Shoreline Trail. The existing trail will need to be improved to meet BST standards including signage. This 1.7 mile segment once finished will bring the Herriman BST total length to 6.75 miles. In order to complete the trail through Herriman another 9.25 miles of trail will need to be constructed.
DATE: September 6, 2018

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: John Brems, City Attorney

SUBJECT: Resolution Approving Herriman City’s Membership in the Salt Lake County Officer Involved Critical Incident Task Force with its Associated Obligations and Benefits and Becoming a Participant in the Salt Lake County Officer Involved Critical Incident Task Force Interlocal Cooperation Agreement

RECOMMENDATION:
Approving Herriman City’s Membership in the Salt Lake County Officer Involved Critical Incident Task Force with its Associated Obligations and Benefits and Becoming a Participant in the Salt Lake County Officer Involved Critical Incident Task Force Interlocal Cooperation Agreement

DISCUSSION:
Utah Code Annotated 76-2-408 requires an investigation with respect to any officer involved critical incident. The attached Interlocal Agreement satisfies the requirements of 76-2-408

FISCAL IMPACT:
The Participation would require officer investigations for other agencies when an officer is involved in a critical incident. There is no additional fiscal impact to the budget.
HERRIMAN, UTAH
RESOLUTION NO. 18__

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF HERRIMAN
APPROVING HERRIMAN’S MEMBERSHIP IN THE SALT LAKE COUNTY
OFFICER INVOLVED CRITICAL INCIDENT TASK FORCE WITH ITS
ASSOCIATED OBLIGATIONS AND BENEFITS AND BECOMING A PARTICIPANT
IN THE SALT LAKE COUNTY OFFICER INVOLVED CRITICAL INCIDENT TASK
FORCE INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, the Herriman City Council (“Council”) met in regular meeting on
September __, 2018 to consider, among other things, approving Herriman’s membership in the Salt Lake County Officer Involved Critical Incident Task Force with its associated obligations and benefits and becoming a participant in the Salt Lake County Officer Involved Critical Incident Task Force Interlocal Cooperation Agreement; and

WHEREAS, Utah Code Ann. §76-2-408 provides among other things that every law enforcement agency adopt protocols to ensure that each officer-involved critical incident is investigated in a professional, thorough, and impartial manner; and

WHEREAS, the municipalities and governmental entities located in Salt Lake County for and on behalf of their law enforcement agencies have formed the Salt Lake County Officer Involved Critical Incident Task Force (“OICI Task Force”) by Interlocal Cooperation Agreement (“OICO Interlocal”) to satisfy the obligations created by Utah Code Ann. §76-2-408; and

WHEREAS, the Utah Local Cooperative Act (UTAH CODE ANN. § 11-13-101, et seq.) provides that two local governmental entities are authorized to enter into agreements with each other, upon a resolution to do so by their respective governing bodies, to do what each agency is authorized by law to perform; and

WHEREAS, all the Parties, as defined therein, to the OICI Interlocal are governmental entities; and

WHEREAS, Herriman has determined that it is best interests of the inhabitants of Herriman to enter into the OICI Interlocal a copy of which is attached hereto.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Herriman participate in the OICI Task Force and become a member of the OICI Interlocal with its associated obligation and benefits and the city manager and recorder are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver the same.

This resolution, assigned no. 18__, shall take effect immediately upon passage and acceptance as provided herein.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Council of Herriman, Utah, this __ day of September 2018.
HERRIMAN

________________________________________
Mayor David Watts

ATTEST:

_______________________________
Jackie Nostrom, MMC
City Recorder
THIS INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is entered into this ____ day of ________________ 2016, by and between the following municipal and governmental entities for and on behalf of their respective law enforcement agencies, City of Alta, City of Bluffdale, City of Cottonwood Heights, Draper City, Granite School District, Murray City Corporation, Salt Lake City Corporation, Salt Lake County, Sandy City, City of Saratoga Springs, City of South Jordan, City of South Salt Lake, Unified Police Department of Greater Salt Lake, University of Utah, Utah Attorney General’s Office, Utah Department of Corrections, Utah Department of Public Safety, Utah Transit Authority, City of West Jordan, and West Valley City, for the purpose of facilitating the establishment of the Salt Lake County Officer Involved Critical Incident Task Force ("OICI Task Force"). The parties to this Agreement are sometimes referred to collectively as the “Parties” or individually as a “Party.”

RECITALS:

A. UTAH CODE ANN. § 76-2-408 (the “OICI Statute”) became effective on May 12, 2015 and sets forth requirements for the investigation of officer involved critical incidents (“OICI”) delineated in the statute.

B. The OICI Statute requires every law enforcement agency to adopt and post by December 31, 2015, (1) the policies and procedure the agency has adopted to select the investigating agency that will investigate an OICI that occurs in its jurisdiction when one or more of its officers are alleged to have caused or contributed to the OICI; and (2) the protocols the agency has adopted to ensure that every OICI investigation conducted in its jurisdiction is conducted professionally, thoroughly, and impartially.

C. The Parties have determined that the formation of a Salt Lake County OICI Task Force ("OICI Task Force") that will serve as the investigating agency for OICI’s that occur in Salt Lake County will ensure that any investigation of an OICI will be conducted professionally, thoroughly and impartially.

D. The Parties have determined that the OICI Task Force will be governed the Salt Lake County OICI Protocol ("OICI Protocol") that the Parties have established to provide uniform procedures for the investigation of OICI’s.

E. The utilization of a Salt Lake County OICI Task Force to investigate OICI’s is beneficial to the Parties, the citizens of Salt Lake County and the officers who are involved in OICI’s.

F. The Utah Interlocal Cooperation Act, UTAH CODE ANN. §§ 11-13-101 et seq., 1953, as amended (the “Interlocal Act”), authorizes public agencies to enter into
agreement to provide law enforcement services to one or more other public agencies.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and undertakings of the Parties hereto, and for other good and valuable consideration, the sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties hereto agree as follows:

Section 1. General Purpose. The purpose of this Agreement is to form a Salt Lake County OICI Task Force to meet the requirements set forth in the OICI Statute and to provide improved OICI investigations while avoiding conflicts of interest. The Parties declare that there is a county-wide need for an OICI Task Force.

Section 2. Definitions. For purposes of this Agreement, the following terms shall have the meanings given in this section:

(a) "Advisory Board" means the Advisory Board that shall govern the administration of the OICI Protocol and shall include the District Attorney or designee thereof and a designee from each Participating Agency.

(b) "Investigating Agency" means the Protocol Task Force composed of officers from multiple law enforcement agencies.

(c) "Officer-involved critical incident" ("OICI") as established in the OICI Statute includes any of the following:
   1. the use of a Dangerous Weapon by an Officer against a person that causes injury to any person;
   2. a fatal injury to any person, except the Officer, resulting from the use of a motor vehicle by an Officer;
   3. the death of a person who is in law enforcement custody, but not including deaths that are the result of disease, natural causes, or conditions that have been medically diagnosed prior to the person's death; or
   4. a fatal injury to a person resulting from the efforts of an Officer attempting to prevent a person's escape from custody, make an arrest, or otherwise gain physical control of a person.

(d) "Participating Agency" means a law enforcement agency that agrees to be subject to and participate in the OICI Protocol and has indicated such commitment to participation by signing the Protocol.

(e) "Protocol Task Force" means the OICI Protocol Task Force comprised of personnel from Participating Agencies designated with investigation duties led by the Protocol Task Force Coordinator and Team Leader under the terms and provisions of the OICI Protocol.

Section 3. OICI Task Force Jurisdiction. With the exception of in custody inmate deaths defined under Section 2 (c) (3) occurring at Salt Lake County correctional facilities, the
OICI Task Force shall have jurisdiction throughout Salt Lake County to investigate OICI's. Each Party to this Agreement hereby expressly consents to allow the OICI Task Force to act as the Investigating Agency to investigate OICI's that occur in its jurisdiction when one or more of its officers are alleged to have caused or contributed to the OICI.

Section 4. Interlocal Authority. The Interlocal Act permits local governmental units to efficiently use of their powers by enabling them to provide joint or cooperative law enforcement services between agencies in a manner that will best aid the agencies and the citizens of the agencies served by such cooperative endeavors. In satisfaction of the requirement of the Interlocal Act, and in connection with this Agreement, the Parties agree as follows:

(a) This Agreement shall be approved by each Party pursuant to Section 11-13-202.5 of the Interlocal Act;

(b) This Agreement shall be reviewed as to proper form and compliance with applicable law by a duly authorized attorney on behalf of each Party, pursuant to Section 11-13-202.5 of the Interlocal Act;

(c) A duly executed original counterpart of this Agreement shall be filed with keeper of records of each Party, pursuant to Section 11-13-209 of the Interlocal Act;

(d) No separate legal entity is created by the terms of this Agreement;

(e) As required by Section 11-13-207 of the Interlocal Act, the Parties agree that the cooperative undertaking under this Agreement shall be administered by the Advisory Board of the Salt Lake County OICI Protocol; and

(f) No real or personal property shall be acquired jointly by the Parties as a result of this Agreement. To the extent that a Party acquires, holds or disposes of any real or personal property for use in the joint or cooperative undertaking contemplated by this Agreement, such Party shall do so in the same manner that it deals with other property of such Party.

Section 5. Consideration. The consideration for this Agreement consists of the mutual benefits and exchange of promises provided herein.

Section 6. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts by the Parties. All signed counterparts shall be deemed to be one original.

Section 7. Binding Agreement. This Agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the respective Parties hereto.

Section 8. Captions; Recitals. The headings used in this Agreement are inserted for reference purposes only and shall not be deemed to define, limit, extend, describe, or affect in any way the meaning, scope or interpretation of any of the terms or provisions of this Agreement or the intent hereof. The recitals form an integral part of this Agreement and are hereby incorporated.
Section 9. Severability. The provisions of this Agreement are severable, and should any provision hereof be void, voidable, unenforceable or invalid, such void, voidable, unenforceable or invalid provision shall not affect the other provisions of this Agreement.

Section 10. Interpretation. This Agreement shall be interpreted, construed and enforced according to the substantive laws of the state of Utah, without giving effect to any choice or conflict of law provision or rule (whether of the state of Utah or any other jurisdiction).

Section 11. Notice. All notices and other communications provided for in this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be sufficient for all purposes if: (a) sent by email to the address a Party may designate, or by fax to the fax number a Party may designate, and concurrently sent by first class mail to the Party and to the Party’s legal office; (b) personally delivered; or (c) sent by certified or registered United States Mail addressed to the Party at the address the Party may designate, return receipt requested. Any notice or other communication required or permitted to be given hereunder shall be deemed to have been received (a) upon personal delivery or actual receipt thereof, or (b) within three (3) days after such notice is deposited in the United States mail, certified mail postage prepaid and addressed to the Parties at their respective addresses.

Section 12. Governmental Immunity. All Parties are governmental entities under the Governmental Immunity Act of Utah, Utah Code Ann. §§ 63G-7-101 to -904 (2011), as amended (the “Act”). Subject to and consistent with the terms of the Act, each Party shall be liable for its own negligent acts or omissions, or those of its authorized employees, officers, and agents while engaged in the performance of the obligations under this Agreement, and no Party shall have any liability whatsoever for any negligent act or omission of any other Party, its employees, officers, or agents. No Party waives any defenses or limits of liability available under the Act and other applicable law. All Parties maintain all privileges, immunities, and other rights granted by the Act and all other applicable law.

Section 13. Ethical Standards. The Parties to this Agreement each represent that they have not: (a) provided an illegal gift or payoff to any officer or employee, or former officer or employee, or to any relative or business entity of an officer or employee, or relative or business entity of a former officer or employee of any of the Parties; (b) retained any person to solicit or secure participation in this Agreement upon an agreement or understanding for a commission, percentage, brokerage or contingent fee, other than bona fide employees of bona fide commercial agencies established for the purpose of securing business; (c) breached any of the ethical standards set forth in State statute; or (d) knowingly influenced, and hereby promise that they will not knowingly influence, any officer or employee or former officer or employee of any Party to breach any of the ethical standards set forth in State statute.

Section 14. Assignment. No Party may assign any of its rights or delegate any performance under this Agreement. Any attempt to assign any rights or delegate any performance under this Agreement shall be void.

Section 17. **Insurance.** Each Participating Agency shall be solely responsible for providing workers’ compensation and benefits for its own employees who provide services under this Agreement. Each Participating Agency shall obtain insurance, become a member of a risk pool, or be self-insured to cover the liability arising out of negligent acts or omissions of its own personnel rendering services under this Agreement.

Section 18. **Effective Date.** This Agreement shall become effective when at least two Parties named above each execute an original or copy of the Agreement as required by law.

Section 19. **Term.** The term of this Agreement shall be three (3) years from the effective date, unless the Parties agree in writing to terminate the Agreement prior to the expiration of the initial term of the Agreement. Renewals shall occur automatically thereafter every three (3) years, for a period of up to fifty (50) years, unless the Parties agree in writing that the Agreement shall not be renewed.

Section 20. **Termination by Any Party.** Any Party to this Agreement may terminate its involvement with the Salt Lake County OICI Task Force at any time prior to the expiration of the term of the Agreement. Such termination shall be provided via written notice to the Advisory Board and shall be effective upon delivery to the Advisory Board. Notwithstanding such termination, any terminating Party will agree to complete its involvement in any investigations that are open at the time that written notice to terminate is delivered.

Section 21. **Claims and Disputes.** Claims, disputes and other issues between the Parties arising out of or related to this Agreement shall be decided by litigation in the Third Judicial District Court of Salt Lake County, Utah. Unless otherwise terminated pursuant to the provisions hereof or otherwise agreed in writing, each of the Parties shall continue to perform its obligations hereunder during the pendency of such dispute.

Section 22. **Integration.** This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties pertaining to the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings concerning the subject matter of this Agreement.

Section 23. **Rights and Remedies.** The rights and remedies of the Parties shall not be mutually exclusive, and the exercise of one or more of the provisions of this Agreement shall not preclude the exercise of any other provision(s) hereof.

Section 24. **Modification.** Subject to Section 25, this Agreement may be modified only by a writing signed by all parties hereto.

Section 25. **Additional Parties.** Any entity within Salt Lake County which is subject to the provisions of the OICI Statute may apply in writing to become a Party to this Agreement. The applicant shall become a Party to this Agreement upon (a) the approving vote of at least seventy-five (75) percent of the members of the OICI Advisory Board; and (b) the approved applicant's execution and delivery of a counterpart of this Agreement whereunder the approved applicant agrees to be bound by all of the terms and conditions of this Agreement. Subject to the foregoing, the Parties' formal amendment to this Agreement for the purposes of admitting an applicant as an additional Party shall be unnecessary.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each Party has caused this Agreement to be executed on its behalf by its duly authorized representative.
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Signature Page pertaining to the “Salt Lake County Officer Involved Critical Incident Task Force Interlocal Agreement” between City of Alta, City of Bluffdale, City of Cottonwood Heights, Draper City, Granite School District, Murray City Corporation, Salt Lake City Corporation, Salt Lake County, Sandy City, City of Saratoga Springs, City of South Jordan, City of South Salt Lake, Unified Police Department of Greater Salt Lake, University of Utah, Utah Attorney General’s Office, Utah Department of Corrections, Utah Department of Public Safety, Utah Transit Authority, City of West Jordan, and West Valley City.

STATE OF UTAH DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

By________________________________________

Its________________________________________

Approved as to form

________________________________________

Legal Counsel
Signature Page pertaining to the “Salt Lake County Officer Involved Critical Incident Task Force Interlocal Agreement” between City of Alta, City of Bluffdale, City of Cottonwood Heights, Draper City, Granite School District, Murray City Corporation, Salt Lake City Corporation, Salt Lake County, Sandy City, City of Saratoga Springs, City of South Jordan, City of South Salt Lake, Unified Police Department of Greater Salt Lake, University of Utah, Utah Attorney General’s Office, Utah Department of Corrections, Utah Department of Public Safety, Utah Transit Authority, City of West Jordan, and West Valley City.

UTAH TRANSIT AUTHORITY

By ________________________________

Its ______________________________

Approved as to form

_____________________________________
Legal Counsel
Signature Page pertaining to the "Salt Lake County Officer Involved Critical Incident Task Force Interlocal Agreement" between City of Alta, City of Bluffdale, City of Cottonwood Heights, Draper City, Granite School District, Murray City Corporation, Salt Lake City Corporation, Salt Lake County, Sandy City, City of Saratoga Springs, City of South Jordan, City of South Salt Lake, Unified Police Department of Greater Salt Lake, University of Utah, Utah Attorney General’s Office, Utah Department of Corrections, Utah Department of Public Safety, Utah Transit Authority, City of West Jordan, and West Valley City.

CITY OF WEST JORDAN

By __________________________

Its __________________________

Attest: _________________________

City Clerk

Approved as to form

______________________________

City Attorney/Legal Counsel
Signature Page pertaining to the “Salt Lake County Officer Involved Critical Incident Task Force Interlocal Agreement” between City of Alta, City of Bluffdale, City of Cottonwood Heights, Draper City, Granite School District, Murray City Corporation, Salt Lake City Corporation, Salt Lake County, Sandy City, City of Saratoga Springs, City of South Jordan, City of South Salt Lake, Unified Police Department of Greater Salt Lake, University of Utah, Utah Attorney General’s Office, Utah Department of Corrections, Utah Department of Public Safety, Utah Transit Authority, City of West Jordan, and West Valley City.

WEST VALLEY CITY

By____________________________________

Its____________________________________

Approved as to form

____________________________
City Attorney/Legal Counsel
Signature Page pertaining to the “Salt Lake County Officer Involved Critical Incident Task Force Interlocal Agreement” between City of Alta, City of Bluffdale, City of Cottonwood Heights, Draper City, Granite School District, Murray City Corporation, Salt Lake City Corporation, Salt Lake County, Sandy City, City of Saratoga Springs, City of South Jordan, City of South Salt Lake, Unified Police Department of Greater Salt Lake, University of Utah, Utah Attorney General’s Office, Utah Department of Corrections, Utah Department of Public Safety, Utah Transit Authority, City of West Jordan, and West Valley City.

UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL’S OFFICE

By__________________________

Its__________________________

Approved as to form

________________________________
Legal Counsel
August 29, 2018

Dear Chief Troy Carr,

This letter is documentation that the Advisory Board of the Salt Lake County OICI Task Force recently conducted an email vote as required by the amended Salt Lake County OICI Interlocal Agreement, Section 25. 16 of the 20 agencies covered by the Interlocal Agreement responded and the vote was unanimous in the affirmative. This vote exceeds the 75% requirement but I am confident that all the agencies would support Herriman City as a party to the agreement.

Please forward a signed copy of the Interlocal Agreement once your council approves it. In the spirit of mutual aid, in the unfortunate event of an OICI prior to the signature of your council, please feel free to call on the OICI Task Force for assistance.

If you need additional information or clarification, please feel free to contact me.

Respectfully,

[Signature]

Chief Jeff Carr
South Jordan Police Chief
OICI Chair
DATE: September 6, 2018

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: John Brems, City Attorney

SUBJECT: Resolution Approving an Interlocal Cooperative Agreement Between Herriman City and Jordan School District Regarding School Resource Officers

RECOMMENDATION:
Approving a Resolution Approving an Interlocal Cooperative Agreement between Herriman City and Jordan School District Regarding School Resource Officers.

DISCUSSION:
This Interlocal provides that Jordan School District reimburse Herriman Police Department for a portion of the cost to have a School Resource Officer. The School Resource Officer is currently working. The position was previously allocated in the Herriman City Safety Enforcement Area 2018-2019 budget.
HERRIMAN, UTAH
RESOLUTION NO.____

A RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT
WITH THE JORDAN SCHOOL DISTRICT REGARDING SCHOOL RESOURCE
OFFICERS

WHEREAS, the Herriman City Council (“Council”) met in regular session on
September__, 2018, to consider, among other things, a resolution approving an
Interlocal cooperative agreement with the Jordan School District regarding school resource
officers; and

WHEREAS, the Utah Interlocal Cooperative Act (Utah Code Ann. § 11-13-101, et seq.)
(the “Act”) provides that any two or more government entities are authorized to enter into
agreements with each other to do what each agency is authorized by law to perform; and

WHEREAS, Herriman City (“Herriman”) and the Jordan School District (“District”) are
government entities as contemplated by the Act; and

WHEREAS, Herriman and District are authorized to enter into agreements with each
other for cooperative action; and

WHEREAS, an Interlocal cooperative agreement has been presented to the Council for
review and approval, a copy of which is attached hereto (“Agreement”); and

WHEREAS, the Agreement sets forth the purpose thereof, the extent of participation of
the parties, and the rights, duties, and responsibilities of the parties; and

WHEREAS, the Council finds that it is in the best interests of the inhabitants of
Herriman to enter into the Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Agreement is approved and the
City Manager and Recorder are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver the
Agreement.

This Resolution, assigned No. _____ shall take effect immediately upon passage and
acceptance as provided herein.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Council of Herriman, Utah, this __ day of
September, 2018.

HERRIMAN

____________________________________
David Watts, Mayor

Jackie Nostrom, MCM
City Recorder
SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICER
INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT

This SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICER INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT (the “Agreement”), is made and entered into by and between the BOARD OF EDUCATION OF JORDAN SCHOOL DISTRICT (the “School District”), a political subdivision of the State of Utah, 7387 South Campus View Drive, West Jordan, Utah, 84084, and HERRIMAN CITY (the “City”), a Utah municipality, 5355 West Herriman Street, Herriman, Utah, 84096.

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of the Utah Interlocal Cooperation Act, Utah Code Annotated, Section 11-13-101, et seq., as amended, public agencies, including political subdivisions of the State of Utah as defined therein, are authorized to enter into mutually advantageous agreements for joint or cooperative action;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of the Utah Code Annotated, Section 53A-11-1604, which provides that the School District may contract with a law enforcement agency to provide school resource officer services at the schools pursuant to Board review and approval of this Agreement;

WHEREAS, the School District and City, through their respective governing bodies, have voluntarily determined that the interests and welfare of the public within their respective jurisdictions will best be served by this Agreement to provide for joint and cooperative action in regards to having a School Resource Officer (“SRO”) to maintain safe schools, improve school climate, and support educational opportunities for students while serving at Herriman High School, Copper Mountain Middle School, Fort Herriman Middle School, Butterfield Canyon Elementary School, Bastian Elementary School, Herriman Elementary School, Silvercrest Elementary School, Blackridge Elementary School and such other schools or locations as the City and the School District may determine from time to time;

WHEREAS, the City’s School Resource Officer Program will provide the outreach to school administrators, parents, students, businesses and the community for stakeholder involvement in problem solving and solutions and enable the City’s Police Department and School District to form valuable partnerships and promote ongoing continued relationships that will benefit the community, which collective effort will help the City’s Police Department to provide the best public safety services to better protect citizens and support officers in their law enforcement work;

WHEREAS, the governing bodies of the School District and the City have by resolution agreed to adopt this Agreement to provide for the joint and cooperative action contained herein; and

WHEREAS, this Agreement shall replace and supersede any agreements or memoranda of understanding approved and executed previously by the School District and the City regarding school resource officers.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it mutually covenanted and agreed as follows, each of the parties accepting as consideration for this Agreement the mutual promises and agreements of the other:
SECTION ONE  
EFFECTIVE DATE AND DURATION

This Interlocal Cooperation Agreement shall be effective as of ____________ and shall continue for a period of up to five (5) years, unless sooner terminated as provided herein. However, should either party encounter budgetary constraints that make the continuation of this agreement impractical, then either party may cancel this agreement upon sixty (60) days’ notice to the other, subject to the termination provisions set out in Section 13 below. Following the initial five-year term, this agreement shall be automatically renewed for successive one-year periods, with a total term not to exceed 50 years, unless either party requests termination or modification of this agreement.

SECTION TWO  
ADMINISTRATIVE ENTITY

The City and the School District do not contemplate nor intend to establish a separate legal entity under their terms of this Agreement.

SECTION THREE  
PURPOSE

This Agreement is established for the purpose of jointly providing for School Resource Officers to serve at schools in Herriman, Utah, including the following existing schools: Herriman High School, Copper Mountain Middle School, Fort Herriman Middle School, Butterfield Canyon Elementary School, Bastian Elementary School, Herriman Elementary School, Silvercrest Elementary School, Blackridge Elementary School or such other school or place as the City and the School District may determine from time to time.

SECTION FOUR  
MANNER OF FINANCING

This Agreement and the matters contemplated herein shall not receive separate financing, nor shall a separate budget be required. Each party shall be responsible for its own obligations under this Agreement. The City shall budget and be responsible for all payments related to the employment of the School Resource Officer. The City shall send an invoice to the School District on an annual basis following the completion of the school year for payment of the services of the School Resource Officers as agreed to in writing between the School District and City, which total cost to the School District is forty-five thousand dollars ($45,000.00) per full-time School Resource Officer. The invoice shall be paid within thirty (30) days of receipt by the School District. If this Agreement is terminated during the budget year, the City and the School District agree to divide the costs associated with the payment of the services of the School Resource Officers as on a pro-rata basis depending upon the length of the year remaining.

SECTION FIVE
ADMINISTRATOR

Pursuant to Utah Code Annotated, Section 11-13-101, et seq., the parties agree that the City shall act as administrator responsible for this Agreement. This Agreement does not anticipate nor provide for any organizational changes in the City or the School District.

SECTION SIX
FILING OF AGREEMENT

A copy of this Agreement shall be placed on file in the Office of the City Recorder of the City and with the Business Administrator of the School District and shall remain on file for public inspection during the term of this Agreement.

SECTION SEVEN
DESCRIPTION OF ARRANGEMENT

I. Employment of School Resource Officers
   a. The School Resource Officers shall be employees of the City’s Police Department and shall be subject to the administration, supervision and control of the Police Department.
   b. City will furnish any training state law requires and as is needed for the operation of this agreement.
   c. City will provide School Resource Officer with uniforms and equipment.
   d. The School Resource Officers shall be subject to all personnel policies and practices of the City’s Police Department except as such policies or practices may be modified by the terms and conditions of this Agreement.
   e. The City’s Police Department, in its sole discretion, shall have the power and authority to hire, discharge, and discipline the School Resource Officers; however, the School District and the City’s Police Department will jointly discuss School Resource Officer applicants.
   f. As employees of the City’s Police Department, School Resource Officers will be subject to the chain of command of the Police Department.
   g. If a principal is dissatisfied with a School Resource Officer who has been assigned to that principal’s school, then that principal may request that the Chief of Police or his or her designee assign a different officer as the School Resource Officer for that school. Such a request to the Chief of Police will occur after the principal has met with the School Resource Officer’s Supervisor to discuss concerns and allow a reasonable amount of time for the School Resource Officer’s Supervisor to remediate the issues unless the concerns are of such a nature, in the opinion of the principal, to warrant bringing them immediately to the attention of the Chief of Police. The Chief of Police shall make every reasonable effort to expeditiously assign a new School Resource Officer for that school. The City’s Police Department reserves the right to remove/re-assign any School Resource Officer along with notification given to the School District.
h. The School Resource Officer and the principal (or designee) at the school where a School Resource Officer will be working will jointly complete the School Resource Officer training described in Utah Code Annotated, Section 53A-11-1603. If training is required during the school day, the City’s Police Department will make a good faith effort to cover the School Resource Officer’s classes and responsibilities in the school.

II. Duties of School Resource Officers

a. The mission of the School Resource Officer is to provide for and maintain a safe, healthy and productive learning environment, emphasizing the use of restorative approaches to address negative behavior while acting as a positive role model for students in the School District by working in a cooperative, proactive, problem-solving partnership between the Police Department and the School District.

b. One School Resource Officer will be assigned to each of the following schools to build partnerships, enhance community-policing activities, identify problems within the schools, develop problem solving strategies with school administrators and staff, and collaboratively develop a comprehensive school safety plan with school administrators, staff, and the District’s Risk Management: Herriman High School, Copper Mountain Middle School, Fort Herriman Middle School, Butterfield Canyon Elementary School, Bastian Elementary School, Herriman Elementary School, Silvercrest Elementary School, Blackridge Elementary School or such other school or place as the City and the School District may determine from time to time.

c. The high schools’ School Resource Officer will teach a law enforcement course each semester at the high school.

d. Additional School Resource Officer services may be assigned to instruct the D.A.R.E. curriculum at the district’s elementary schools in the City including the following existing schools: Butterfield Canyon Elementary School, Bastian Elementary School, Herriman Elementary School, Silvercrest Elementary School, Blackridge Elementary School or such other school or place as the City and the School District may determine from time to time.

e. School Resource Officers may provide additional presentations to the schools in safety, crime prevention, and bullying. When lawful, permissible, and practical the School Resource Officers should respond to requests for assistance by schools to address problems or meet school needs and they may also provide additional appropriate police services to the School District’s Herriman schools.

f. The School Resource Officers and the School Resource Officer’s supervisor will meet regularly to identify problems and solve issues at the schools.

g. The City and School Resource Officers will work closely with the School District to improve the social and behavioral skills of students in order to maximize their ability to achieve academically and become successful, contributing citizens. Issues to be addressed include substance abuse, violence reduction, social skills and other areas of district and community concern.

h. The City’s Police Department and School District understand that the School
Resource Officers may use any measures to secure school property as followed through established protocols of the City’s Police Department in the event of an emergency situation that requires “lock-down”.

i. The School Resource Officer will be a visible active law enforcement figure dealing with law enforcement matters. The School Resource Officer shall confer with the principal (or designee) to resolve an offense that is a minor violation of the law but would not violate the law if the offense was committed by an adult, which originates or continues on the assigned campus. As to school policy violations, the School Resource Officer will take the student to the principal’s office for discipline to be taken by the school officials.

j. The School Resource Officer will differentiate between disciplinary issues and crime problems and respond appropriately, de-escalating school-based incidents whenever possible.

i. School Resource Officers are responsible for criminal law issues, not school discipline issues.

ii. Absent a real and immediate threat to student, teacher, School Resource Officer or public safety, student incidents involving public order offenses including disorderly conduct; disturbance/disruption of schools or public assembly; trespass loitering; profanity; and fighting that does not involve physical injury or a weapon, shall be considered school discipline issues to be handled by school officials, rather than criminal law issues warranting formal law enforcement intervention (e.g., issuance of a criminal citation, ticket, or summons, filing of a delinquency petition, referral to a probation officer, or actual arrest).

k. The School Resource Officer will be involved in school discipline when it pertains to preventing a disruption that would, if ignored, place students, faculty, visitors and staff at risk of harm, so the School Resource Officer will resolve the problem to preserve the safe school climate. In all other cases, disciplining students is a School District responsibility and the School Resource Officer will take students who violate school policies or the code of conduct to the principal’s office for discipline to be taken by school officials.

l. The School Resource Officer shall initiate positive interaction with students in the classroom and general areas of the school building to promote the profession of police officers and be a positive role model, while increasing the visibility and accessibility of police to the school community.

m. The School Resource Officer will share information with the school’s administrator about persons and conditions pertaining to campus safety concerns.

n. As partners with the School District, when appropriate, the School Resource Officer may assist with resolving law enforcement issues that affect the School District and the broader community.

o. The School Resource Officer shall notify school administration upon removing a student from campus.

p. The School Resource Officer shall notify parents as soon as possible when students are ticketed or arrested.
q. If a student’s arrest is warranted, the School Resource Officer shall use the least disruptive and the least obtrusive manner reasonably available to conduct the arrest of the student. The School Resource Officer will be accompanied by a school principal or vice principal when arresting a student unless emergency circumstances require otherwise for the safety of the student, School Resource Officer, and/or others.

r. The School Resource Officer shall question students in a manner and a time when it has the least impact on the student’s schooling so long as the delay in questioning does not interfere with the effectiveness of an investigation.

s. School administrators shall handle routine discipline (tardiness, loitering, use of profanity, dress code violations, disruptive or disrespectful behaviors, etc.) within the school and without involving the School Resource Officer in an enforcement capacity (issuing citations) unless it absolutely necessary or required by law.

t. The School Resource Officer shall become familiar with School District’s student discipline policies.

III. Duties of School District Administrators

a. Provide the Police Department a School District “point of contact” to facilitate communication.

b. Provide an office/storage or work space for School Resource Officer’s materials and personal effects.

c. Provide students, classroom, equipment and supplies for classes taught by School Resource Officers.

d. Differentiate between disciplinary issues and crime problems and respond appropriately.

e. Facilitate School Resource Officer-initiated investigations and actions.

f. Provide ongoing feedback to the Police Department designee for evaluation purposes.

g. Notify School Resource Officers responding to a school-based infraction if any student involved possesses disabilities and/or an Individualized Education Plan (“IEP”) and who therefore may require special treatment or accommodations.

h. The School District acknowledges that School Resource Officers are required by policy and procedures to attend mandatory trainings and/or meetings.

IV. Respect for Student’s Rights

a. A School Resource Officer may conduct or participate in a search of a student’s person, possessions, or locker only where there is probable cause to believe that the search will turn up evidence that the child has committed or is committing a criminal offense.

i. It is recommended that in addition to having probable cause, a School Resource Officer should follow Federal and Utah state law and City Police Department policy and procedures applicable to conducting searches of
persons and property which may require a search warrant to obtain a warrant before conducting the search of a student’s property under her or his control.

ii. The School Resource Officer shall inform school administrators prior to conducting a probable cause search where practicable.

iii. The School Resource Officer shall not ask school officials to search a student’s person, possessions, or locker in an effort to circumvent his or her protections.

b. A school official may conduct a search of a student’s person, possessions, or locker where there is a reasonable suspicion to believe that the search will turn up evidence that the student has violated or is violating either that law or the rules of the school, and the search is justified in scope given such suspicion.

i. Absent a real and immediate threat to student, teacher, School Resource Officer or public safety, a school official shall not ask a School Resource Officer to be present or participate in such a search.

c. A School Resource Officer may question a student about criminal conduct that could expose the child to court-involvement or arrest.

i. If the child is 14 years and older, the child may be informed of his or her Miranda rights before questioning if the circumstances meet the requirement that Miranda be given.

ii. If the child is under the age of 14, the child may be informed of his or her Miranda rights only in the presence of the child’s parent or guardian before questioning if the circumstances meet the requirement that Miranda be given.

iii. The School Resource Officer shall inform school administrators prior to questioning the student where practical.

iv. The School Resource Officer shall not ask a school official to question a student in an effort to circumvent these protections.

v. Other conversations between School Resource Officers and student will be on the premise of building relationships to help develop a healthy learning environment and promote pro-social behaviors.

d. If an exigent circumstance or immediate threat exists, a school official or School Resource Officer may question a student about criminal conduct or conduct a search of a student’s person and possessions.

c. Strip searches of children by either school officials or School Resource Officers shall be prohibited.

f. A School Resource Officer shall not use physical force or restraints on a child, including handcuffs, Tasers, mace, or other physical or chemical restraints unless a student’s actions pose a threat or they are subject to arrest.

V. Access to Education Records

a. School officials shall allow School Resource Officers to inspect and copy any public records, including directory information, maintained by the school to the
extent allowed by law.

b. If some information in a student’s record is needed in an emergency to protect the health or safety of the student or other individuals, school officials shall disclose to the School Resource Officers that information that is needed to respond to the emergency situation based on the seriousness of the threat to someone’s health or safety; the need of the information to meet the emergency situation; and the extent to which time is of the essence.

c. If a School Resource Officer needs confidential student record information, but no emergency situation exists, the information may be released only as allowed by law.

VI. Law Enforcement Units

a. The School District will designate the District’s Risk Management as the District’s Law Enforcement Unit and School Resource Officers as the School’s Law Enforcement Unit as provided under FERPA (Family Education Rights Privacy Act), pursuant to 20 U.S.C. 1232g(a)(4)(ii) and 34 C.F.R. 99.8. Parents shall be notified annually of these designations. All school security equipment shall be the responsibility of the District’s law enforcement unit. All school security records, including but not limited to, school security campus videotapes or school bus videotapes, are created and maintained by the District’s law enforcement unit. These records are not deemed to be student records or educational records [20 U.S.C. 1232g(a)(4)(ii)]. In addition, records created by the School’s Law Enforcement Unit are not deemed to be student records or educational records [20 U.S.C. 1232g(a)(4)(ii)]. If the District’s law enforcement unit or School’s law enforcement unit provides these records to the School’s officials for student disciplinary purposes, the records maintained by the School officials may become student records or educational records.

SECTION EIGHT
NOTICE OF DEFAULT; CORRECTIVE ACTION

The failure of either party to comply with each and every term and condition of this Agreement shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. Either party shall have thirty (30) days after receipt of written notice from the other of any breach to correct the conditions specified in the notice, or if the corrections cannot be made within the thirty (30) day period, within a reasonable time if corrective action is commenced within ten (10) days after receipt of the notice.

SECTION NINE
RIGHTS AND REMEDIES

In the event of any breach hereunder and after the lapse of the cure period as per Section Eight above, the non-breaching party shall have all the rights and remedies available under the laws of the State of Utah in effect. The rights and remedies of the parties hereto shall not be mutually exclusive, but shall be cumulative in all respects. The respective rights and obligations
of the parties hereunder shall be enforceable in equity as well as at law or otherwise. In addition, in the event the School District breaches this Agreement and fails to make corrections as set forth in Section Eight above, the City may terminate this Agreement and cease providing a School Resource Officers.

SECTION TEN
GOVERNING LAW, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

All questions with respect to the construction of this Agreement and all right and liability of the parties hereto shall be governed by the laws of the State of Utah. Jurisdiction and venue for the enforcement of this Agreement shall be found in the courts of Salt Lake County State of Utah.

SECTION ELEVEN
COSTS OF ENFORCEMENT

In the event of a breach of this Agreement, the non-breaching party shall be entitled to recover from the breaching party all of the non-breaching party’s costs (including, but not limited to, courts fees and expert witness costs) and attorneys’ fees associated with the enforcement of this Agreement.

SECTION TWELVE
NOTICE

Any written notice which must or may be given relating to this Agreement shall be sufficient if mailed postage prepaid, registered or certified mail, in the United States mail addressed to a party at the address given above. In the case of the School District, notice shall be mailed to the attention of the Business Administrator at the above address. In the case of the City, notice shall be mailed to the attention of the City Manager at the above address. Either party may notify the other to designate a different address for mailing.

SECTION THIRTEEN
TERMINATION

Either party may terminate this Agreement for any or no reason and at any time by giving the other party at least sixty (60) days prior written notice of the same.

SECTION FOURTEEN
APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS

The Parties’ obligations under this Agreement are expressly subject to the appropriation
of funds by the City Council and/or the School District’s Board of Education. Further, in the event that funds are not appropriated in whole or in part sufficient for performance of the obligations under this Agreement, the Parties may terminate this Agreement.

SECTION FIFTEEN
INDEMNIFICATION

Both parties are governmental entities under the Governmental Immunity Act of Utah (the “Immunity Act”), Utah Code Annotated Sections 63G-7-101 to -904, as amended. Consistent with the terms of the Immunity Act, it is mutually agreed that each party is responsible and liable for its own wrongful or negligent acts, which it commits or which are committed, by its agents, officials, or employees. Neither Party waives any defenses otherwise available under the Immunity Act nor does any party waive any limits of liability now or hereafter provided by law.

SECTION SIXTEEN
GENERAL PROVISIONS

A. Severability. In the event that any condition, covenant, or other provision herein contained is held to be invalid or void by any court of competent jurisdiction, the same shall be deemed severable from the remainder of this Agreement and shall in no way affect any other covenant or condition herein contained. If such condition, covenant, or other provision shall be deemed invalid due to its scope or breadth, such provision shall be deemed valid to the extent of the scope or breadth permitted by law.

B. Entire Agreement. This Agreement contains the entire agreement between the parties. No promise, representation, warranty, or covenant not included in this Agreement has been or is relied upon by the parties. All prior understandings, negotiations, or agreements are merged herein and superseded hereby.

C. Amendments. This Agreement may be modified only by a writing signed by each of the parties hereto.

D. Covenants and Conditions. Each provision of this Agreement performable by the City and the School District shall be deemed to be both a covenant and a condition.

E. Not Assignable. This Agreement is specific to the parties hereto and is therefore not assignable.

F. Binding Effect. This Agreement shall bind the parties and their respective successors and assigns.

G. Captions. The captions to the various Sections of this Agreement are for convenience and ease of reference only and do not define, limit, augment, or describe the scope, content, or intent of this Agreement or any part or parts of this Agreement.

H. Time. Time is of the essence of each term, provision, and covenant of this Agreement.

I. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which shall constitute one and the same instrument.
J. Gender and Number. Whenever the context of any provision shall require it, the singular number shall be held to include the plural number, and vice versa, and the use of any gender shall include any other and all genders or other entity or entities or any combination of persons and entities.

K. Waiver or Forbearance. No delay or omission in the exercise of any right or remedy by any party hereto shall impair such right or remedy or be construed as a waiver. Any waiver of any breach must be in writing and shall not be a waiver of any other breach concerning the same or any other provision of this Agreement.

L. No Partnership, Joint Venture, or Third-Party Rights. Except as specifically set forth herein, nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as creating any partnership, joint venture, or business arrangement among the parties hereto, nor any rights or benefits to third-parties.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have signed and executed this SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICER INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT, after resolutions duly and lawfully passed, on the dates listed below.

DATED this ___ day of ____ 2018.

HERRIMAN CITY

By: _________________________________
   Brett geo Wood City Manager

ATTEST:

By: _________________________________
   Jackie Nostrom MMC City Recorder

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

______________________________
Herriman City Attorney

DATED this ___ day of ____ 2018.
BOARD OF EDUCATION OF
JORDAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

By: _____________________________
    Board President

ATTEST:

By: _____________________________
    Business Administrator

APPROVED AS TO FORM

_______________________________
Jordan School District Legal Counsel
DATE: September 6, 2018

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder

SUBJECT: Resolution Accepting a Petition for Annexation Filed by Kennecott Utah Copper. LLC for Further Consideration and Related Matters

RECOMMENDATION:
Approval of a Resolution Accepting a Petition for Annexation Filed by Kennecott Utah Copper. LLC for Further Consideration and Related Matters.

DISCUSSION:
Kennecott Utah Copper LLC filed a petition for annexation on September 4, 2018. Pursuant to the provisions of Utah Code Annotated 10-2-405 the City Council has the opportunity to accept of deny the petition for further consideration. If accepted, Staff will set up the appropriate Public Hearing and mail out the necessary certifications to affected entities.

ALTERNATIVES:
The Council may deny to accept the annexation petition.
A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF HERRIMAN
ACCEPTING A PETITION FOR ANNEXATION FILED BY
KENNECOTT UTAH COPPER LLC FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION AND
RELATED MATTERS

WHILEAS, the Herriman City Council (the “Council”) met in regular session on September __, 2018, to consider, among other things, accepting a Petition for Annexation filed by Kennecott Utah Copper LLC for Further Consideration and Related Matters; and

WHILEAS, on or about ___________________, ________ Kennecott Utah Copper LLC filed a Petition for Annexation (“Petition”) with the City; and

WHILEAS, Utah Code Ann. §10-2-405 provides, among other things, that the legislative body of the municipality may accept an annexation petition for further consideration; and

WHILEAS, the Council has reviewed the Petition and desires to accept the Petition for further consideration.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

SECTION 1. Acceptance of Petition. The City Council does hereby accept the Petition for further consideration, pursuant to the provisions of Utah Code Ann. §10-2-405.

SECTION 2. Further Actions Authorized. The City Recorder, and all other appropriate City personnel, boards and committees are hereby authorized and directed to take all actions required or advisable to be taken preparatory to formal action by the City Council on the proposed annexation.

SECTION 3. Severability. If any section, part or provision of this Resolution is held invalid or unenforceable, such invalidity or unenforceability shall not affect any other portion of this Resolution, and all sections, parts and provisions of this Resolution will be severable.

SECTION 4. Effective Date. This Resolution shall become effective immediately upon its execution.

PASSED AND APPROVED this _____ day of September, 2018.

HERRIMAN COUNCIL

By: ________________________________
    David Watts, Mayor

ATTEST:
Petition for Annexation

TO THE CITY OF HERRIMAN:

We, the undersigned owners of private real property, hereby petition that the area (the “Area”) shown on the accurate and recordable map (prepared by a licensed surveyor) that is attached to this petition (this “petition”) be annexed to the City of Herriman. Each of the undersigned affirms that (a) each has personally signed this petition, (b) each is an owner of real property that is located within the Area, and (c) the current residence address of each is correctly written after the signer’s name. Further, each of the undersigned designates the individuals identified below as sponsors and contact sponsor of this petition:

NOTICE

- There will be no public election on the annexation proposed by this petition because Utah law does not provide for an annexation to be approved by voters at a public election.
- If you sign this petition and later decide that you do not support the petition, you may withdraw your signature by submitting a signed, written withdrawal with the recorder of Herriman. If you choose to withdraw your signature, you shall do so no later than 30 days after Herriman receives notice that the petition has been certified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Mailing Address</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Stephenson</td>
<td>4700 Daybreak Parkway, South Jordan</td>
<td>sponsor/contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print Signer’s Name</td>
<td>Signer’s Residence Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Jan Brennan</td>
<td>4700 Daybreak Parkway</td>
<td>UT 84009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signature

APPROVED AS TO FORM

Rio Tinto/KUC Legal

By: Jeff Armington
Corporate Counsel
Date: 8-24-18
Tax Parcel ID#: portion of 26-28-400-004

Legal Description:

A portion of Section 27 and 28, Township 3 South, Range 2 West, Salt Lake Meridian;

Beginning at the Northwest corner of said Section 27;

thence South 89°30'19" East 1960.94 feet along section line to a point of the existing boundary of Herriman City;

thence along said city boundary through the following twenty-two (22) calls, to-wit:
1- South 00°32'34" West 317.85 feet;
2- thence South 13°53'34" West 1127.69 feet;
3- thence South 17°19'10" West 345.48 feet;
4- thence South 45°00'00" East 291.25 feet;
5- thence East 122.60 feet;
6- thence North 60°00'00" East 367.50 feet;
7- thence East 39.59 feet;
8- thence South 60°00'00" East 94.02 feet;
9- thence East 97.31 feet;
10- thence North 60°00'00" East 207.64 feet;
11- thence East 324.35 feet;
12- thence South 246.82 feet;
13- thence South 60°00'00" East 130.14 feet;
14- thence East 611.03 feet;
15- thence South 104.76 feet;
16- thence East 234.19 feet;
17- thence South 1090.24 feet;
18- thence South 84°42'17" West 199.43 feet;
19- thence South 75°28'26" West 311.68 feet;
20- thence South 63°11'03" West 636.04 feet;
21- thence South 61°18'00" West 314.12 feet;
22- thence South 40°40'58" West 299.39 feet to a point on the South line of the Northeast quarter of the Southwest quarter (NW1/4 SW1/4) of said Section 27;

thence North 89°30'40" West 2420.63 feet along sixteenth line to a point on section line

thence continuing in said Section 28 North 89°34'25" West 200.00 feet;

thence North 00°41'17" East 3973.99 feet along a line parallel with and 200 feet westerly from the section line between Sections 27 and 28 to a point on the north line of said Section 28;

thence South 89°06'26" East 200.00 feet along section line to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Contains 11640592 square feet or 267.231 acres, more or less.
Certificate of Authority
(Limited Liability Company)

The undersigned hereby represents, warrants and certifies for the benefit of HERRIMAN and SALT LAKE COUNTY, and their respective elected officials (including, without limitation, the Herriman City Recorder and the Salt Lake County Clerk), employees, agents and attorneys that the undersigned (a) is (and at all pertinent times has been) a manager or member-manager (a “Manager”) of the limited liability company (the “LLC”) described below; (b) is duly authorized to execute and deliver on behalf of the LLC the attached “Petition For Annexation” (the “Petition”); and (c) has duly executed and delivered the Petition on behalf of, and as a Manager of, the LLC.

DATED this 30 day of 3 August, 2018.

[Signature]
Manager

[Print Name]
Print Manager’s Name

Kemcoff Utah Copper, LLC
Print Name of LLC

APPROVED AS TO FORM
By: [Signature]
Jeff Armington
Corporate Counsel
Date: 5-14-18

[Signature]
Manager's Signature