The following are the minutes of the City Council Meeting of the Herriman City Council. The meeting was held on **Wednesday, September 25, 2019 at 5:00 p.m.** in the Herriman City Hall Council Chambers, 5355 West Herriman Main Street, Herriman, Utah. Adequate notice of this meeting, as required by law, was posted in the City Hall, on the City’s website, and delivered to members of the Council, media, and interested citizens.

**Presiding:** Mayor David Watts

**Councilmembers Present:** Jared Henderson, Sherrie Ohrn, and Clint Smith

**Councilmembers Absent:** Nicole Martin

**Staff Present:** City Manager Brett Wood, Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight, Director of Administration and Communications Tami Moody, Building Official Cathryn Nelson, City Planner Michael Maloy, City Engineer Blake Thomas, Staff Engineer Bryce Terry, Police Chief Troy Carr, Deputy City Recorder Wendy Thorpe, Director of Operations Monte Johnson, Public Works Director Justun Edwards, Finance Director Alan Rae, Director of Parks, Events and Recreation Wendy Thomas, Assistant City Planner Bryn MacDonald, Attorney John Brems, UFA Chief Riley Pilgrim, Economic Development Coordinator Sandra Llewelyn, Herriman Police Officer Jose Lopez, Communications Specialist Mitch Davis

### 5:00 PM - WORK MEETING: (Fort Herriman Conference Room)

1. **City Council Social**
   The City Council will meet for informal discussion and dinner. No action will be taken on any items.

2. **Council Business – 5:15 PM**
   Mayor David Watts called the meeting to order at 5:16 p.m. and excused Councilmember Nicole Martin.

   2.1 **Review of this Evening's Agenda**
   The City Council and staff briefly reviewed the agenda. It was noted that Item 8.2 would be continued to the next City Council meeting.
2.2 Future Agenda Items
There were none.

3. Administrative Reports

3.1. Rio Tinto Dust Mitigation Efforts Discussion - Matt Tobey, Rio Tinto General Manager
Kennecott Manager of Communications Piper Rhodes introduced Matt Tobey as the Rio Tinto General Manager and Tyler Jolley as the supervisor of the copper mine. Today, they would offer an overview of the company and discuss their dust mitigation efforts at the mine.

Supervisor Matt Tobey offered a brief history of the mine and the various procedures that take place throughout the facility. The power plant was shut down over 70 years, and now the company was making efforts to be green. He also spoke about their efforts to mitigate noise, air, and light pollution.

Manager Tyler Jolley gave a brief overview of dust management procedures. They had eight water trucks that could hold 50,000 gallons of water, and those trucks were constantly watering the roadways. The trucks were refilled every few hours. They had trucks in operation beginning at 4:30 a.m., and each operator is given daily priorities and expectations. During the winter months, the trucks would undergo maintenance and repairs. Manager Jolley also addressed some of the road sealant chemicals used.

City Manager Brett Wood stated the company had taken great efforts to improve the air quality of the south valley. Communications Manager Rhodes explained they had a sizable air quality monitoring network through the mine. The Department of Air Quality had a similar network. In High Country above the water tanks, their system continuously reported some of the cleanest air in the valley.

Manager Jolley stated that dust management efforts were logged, and they were continually trying new methods to control the dust.

Communications Manager Rhode stated that the new visitor outlook was open, and they had had 45,000 visitors since it opened. She invited the elected officials and staff to visit the overlook before the visitor center closed on October 31st.

Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight arrived at 5:33 p.m.

3.2. General Plan Update - Gordon Haight, Assistant City Manager
Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight explained the City was updating the General Plan. Historically, the City has included properties in the General Plan that are outside the City limits and in the City’s annexation declaration. This planning was important because these areas would have a direct impact on the City and the City’s infrastructure. The City had met with property owners with significant properties, including Rio Tinto, Last Holdout LLC, and High Country. High Country I and II were already subdivided and had CC&Rs to govern the development. There was approximately 1,000 acres of incorporated property east of U-111 that was owned by Rio Tinto, and they owner had provided a concept plan. Last Holdout, LLC, owned approximately 900 acres of undeveloped property, and it was
currently being considered by Salt Lake County for entitlement. The City had commissioned a study on this property to consider the holding capacity of the property.

Assistant City Manager Haight explained staff had gone through several neighborhood meetings about the updated General Plan, with two more scheduled. This item would be taken to the Planning Commission for review after the neighborhood meetings were completed. They anticipated that the Planning Commission would make a recommendation to the City Council by the end of the year. However, the General Plan had two areas, Kennecott and Olympia Hills, that were political issues that needed to be addressed by the City Council. Kennecott had submitted a concept plan that the City wasn’t enamored with, and Olympia Hills had submitted a concept plan to the county that wasn’t received well either. The General Plan contained plans for transportation, parks, water, and storm drain facilities, and it was important for the City to know how these properties could be developed without damaging the infrastructure. He requested the City Council discuss the two properties and give some direction.

Councilmember Ohrn commented it was important to preserve the rights of the property owner to develop, but they also needed to consider what Herriman City needed and what kinds of development were amenable in those areas. Whatever vision they have for the City needed to be based on an analysis of the City’s needs.

Councilmember Henderson suggested staff gather some data about the City’s infrastructure and other needs and then present that information at a work session. He felt it was important for the City Council to consider these issues before the General Plan update was reviewed by the Planning Commission. Assistant City Manager Haight felt that this would be a good approach. Councilmember Henderson said any plan they adopt should be supported by data. The research should prove the City infrastructure can support the General Plan, especially in these two undeveloped areas. If the City had adopted a specific plan for these two areas, then they could have a little more control when the developers do come in with applications.

Assistant City Manager Haight said he recently attended a meeting with Jordan School District, and they expressed a need for jobs in this part of the valley. City Planner Michael Maloy confirmed their planning consultant could prepare alternate scenarios for those properties and present them to the Council for consideration.

Councilmember Smith said the City Council needed to have a common vision that focused on the needs of Herriman City. Mayor Watts agreed.

It was noted that there would be a joint meeting with the City Council and Planning Commission on October 30, 2019. This would be a key topic of conversation.
3.3. Discussion regarding a proposed rezone for 23.63 acres located at or near 14831 S Real Vista Drive from C-2 (Commercial) and MU-2 (Mixed Use) to C-2 and R-M (Residential-Multifamily) for Rosecrest Pod 30 (File No. Z2019-057) – Michael Maloy, City Planner

City Planner Michael Maloy informed the Council the applicant was requesting a rezone from MU-2 (Mixed Use) to C-2 and R-M (Residential – Multifamily). The Planning Commission held a public hearing on September 5, 2019, and recommended approval of the rezone. There were no public comments at the meeting, and staff had not received written comments. This item had not been scheduled for action yet, but he wanted to brief the Council in advance.

City Planner Maloy said the purpose of the rezone was to reconfigure how the property was zoned and change a portion of the property from MU-2 to R-M. Both of those zones allow for some multi-family development. The developer was currently working on the commercial masterplan. The development would include a 867-stall parking garage, a 120-room hotel, and 167,000 square feet of office space. This was only half of the project.

Director of Administration and Communication Tami Moody arrived at 5:50 p.m.

Assistant City Manager Haight added the residential portion of the development was specifically for sports housing for the Real Salt Lake Training Facility. When this item would be presented to the City Council for approval, it would accompany all of the other proposals for the property, including a development agreement and site plans.

The Council asked why the rezone was being requested, and City Planner Maloy said they were reshaping where the multi-family housing would be located on the property. The development agreement allows the developer to add additional properties to their agreement area.

Councilmember Henderson was concerned about the changed from mixed use, where residential was a conditional use, to a zone that was strictly multi-family residential. City Planner Maloy stated the number of apartments had not been increased with the rezone. The number of apartment units was fixed in the development agreement.

Councilmember Ohrn requested that the Council be provided a copy of the full development agreement. She was concerned about the density allowed, and the ability for the developer to incorporate other properties into their development agreement.

Assistant City Manager Haight said that the developer was allowed 4.5 units per acre on any property they purchased; however, the properties have to be contiguous with the original project.

Councilmember Ohrn was concerned the development agreement would bind the City into that density. The development agreement would also have requirements that were different that current ordinance for parking and other items. Assistant City Manager Haight briefly explained the history of South Hills
and how it related to the Rosecrest development agreement. He agreed to send the Council a copy of the current development agreement.

Assistant City Manager Haight briefly reported on the Soleil Lofts project, which he visited recently. He was very pleased with the development and commented on how good they were to work with.

3.4. Community Coordinator Program Discussion – Gordon Haight, Assistant City Manager

Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight stated during the last City Council Meeting a resident requested that City Council and Planning Commissioners attend the Community Meetings. To help clarify the City’s policies and procedures for the Community Meetings, the staff prepared a brief message to be read at the beginning of each Community Meeting. A draft of the message was sent to the City Council for review. Two members of the Council have suggested reviewing the program and its related policies and procedures to determine how the program was working, if any modifications were necessary, and to discuss if there was a need for City Council and Planning Commission members to attend.

Councilmember Henderson said that he had received some negative feedback from the residents about these meetings. The perception of the residents was that these developments were already approved and there was nothing they could do about it. This wasn’t the case, so some adjustments to the forum may be necessary. They could have a member of the Council present at each meeting, but this could also change the feel of the meeting entirely. He didn’t want the residents to hold their comments just because a Councilmember was present.

City Administrator Brett Wood said that the program had been quite successful overall. However, a few meetings had gone sideways.

Councilmember Ohrn was willing to sit in on the meetings. She didn’t feel that many residents would recognize her as a member of the Council.

Councilmember Smith said that he had received positive feedback from the residents regarding the meetings. The purpose of the meetings was for a project to be presented to the residents and give them an opportunity to address their concerns with the developer. He agreed that having a council member present would change the feel of the meeting. He wanted the residents to voice their concerns.

There was some discussion about the Council sitting in on the meetings, and they agreed that there should be no more than one council member present, if they decide to pursue that option.

Councilmember Ohrn said that one of the concerns was that notifications were sent very close to the meeting, and residents weren’t given a lot of time to prepare. City Manager Wood said that they had found that the postal service had caused a lot of the delays due to manpower shortages.
Economic Development Coordinator Sandra Llewelyn said that they had increased the noticing requirements so that the notices were sent out 10 days before the meeting. They were posting notices on social media pages as well, but that didn’t reach very many residents. She suggested posting notices on the City website as well. Director of Administrations and Communication Tami Moody was concerned about posting on the City’s page because these weren’t City meetings. She suggested including them on the City calendar. Councilmember Ohrn said that the City had required these meetings, so it wasn’t inappropriate to include them on the website. More communication was always better than less communication.

Director Moody suggested utilizing the text alert program to advertise the meetings. Coordinator Llewellyn added the Council could also advertise the meeting on their own social media pages.

The Council expressed a desire to find a good balance. It was important to get the word out about the meetings, and acknowledged these meetings weren’t hosted by the City.

There was a discussion regarding the success of the program in getting residents and developers to build a relationship. The meetings are being recorded and minutes are taken, so there is transparency there. It was suggested that they distribute language explaining the purpose of the meetings and emphasize that the developments had not been approved by the City yet. They could pass out this information at the meeting and as part of the mailing notice.

Coordinator Llewelyn felt that the needed changes had already been implemented with the 10 day noticing and the recording of the meetings. There was a concern with having meetings for three-lot subdivisions, since those subdivisions were permitted and they essentially were a “done deal”. Those meetings were simply informational. Staff recommended not requiring meetings for small subdivisions. It was suggested to ask the community council facilitators if they had any recommended changes.

Staff agreed to provide the written text to residents and report back on how that was received. The Council determined not to attend the meetings at this time.

3.5. Discussion of the Creek Ridge Development – Gordon Haight, Assistant City Manager
Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight stated he would be discussing Items 3.5 and 3.6 together. The proposed amendments to the Creek Ridge development agreement basically eliminated 270 units, but the developer was requesting that density be added to the Mountain Ridge Development. When this was discussed previously, the Council was in favor of eliminating units in the Creek Ridge development, specifically taken out of Area A. Assistant City Manager Haight presented the original site plan and identified the housing areas, parks, and church. He then presented the second version of the site plan, which had the church and high density moved to another location, and added a commercial component. The developer was continuing to hold neighborhood meetings. This plan went to the Planning Commission, and they questioned whether the City wanted to entertain this kind of density here. He
noted that most of the eliminated units would be coming out of the condos. The current zoning of the property was A-1 and Commercial.

Councilmember Ohrn said the City had promised transparency with the public. The Council specifically rezoned this area to preserve it for commercial development. She asked if the Council’s vision for the area had changed.

Assistant City Manager Haight explained when the City was going over their master plan, there were 11 sites that were brought to the Planning Commission and City Council for consideration. The Planning Commission recommended against this particular property being commercial because the market study suggested that they might be overreaching with any commercial there. The City Council chose to go against these recommendations and preserve the area for commercial development because they weren’t getting commercial elsewhere.

Assistant City Manager Haight said the most pressing concern was the drainage problem in the area. There were several detention ponds in the completed developments above the subject property, and they needed to be able to get the water down into the City’s drainage system. It reached a point the City would either need to start condemning and purchasing properties to put in a drainage system, or work with this developer to get that infrastructure through the development.

Councilmember Henderson wanted to be sure that the City considered every option. Assistant City Manager Haight said that the City did have money set aside to purchase property and install the infrastructure, but it would cost the City a lot less if the developer installed the infrastructure as part of their development.

Councilmember Smith asked if the property owners here were willing to sell to the City. Assistant City Manager Haight said that the property was all owned by SLR. If the City allowed the developer to move density from one project to another, the area would remain density neutral. It would not change the overall number of units. Councilmember Ohrn argued that it wouldn't be neutral because the City would lose out on the commercial they had planned for.

Councilmember Henderson commented that the General Plan was recently changed to preserve commercial along Mountain View Corridor, which was to balance out too much density to the south. The subject property needed to be low density residential.

There was continued discussion about the proposed project and the complications with moving the commercial developments along Mountain View Corridor. Assistant City Manager Haight said the landowner and developer of the subject property have said that having commercial development so far off of 13400 South wouldn’t be viable. The Planning Commission also had concerns about this being developed commercially. They were leaning toward single-family housing. The Council didn’t think it would be worth giving up the commercial.
Councilmember Ohrn said that they needed to decide if this was the best way to solve the stormwater issue.

3.6. Discussion of the Mountain Ridge Development - Gordon Haight, Assistant City Manager  
This item was discussed as part of the previous item.

Councilmember Ohrn moved to suspend the work meeting at 6:52 p.m. Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion, and all voted aye.

7:00 PM - GENERAL MEETING:
Mayor David Watts called the meeting to order at 7:05 p.m. and welcomed those in attendance. He noted that Councilmember Nicole Martin was excused.

5. Call to Order  
5.1 Invocation/Thought/Reading and Pledge of Allegiance  
Mr. Ryan Evan led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

5.2 City Council Comments and Recognitions  
Councilmember Smith expressed appreciation to staff for the luncheon earlier that day.

Councilmember Ohrn commented on the successful Miracle Mascot event that was held in Herriman City that week.

Mayor Watts noted that South Valley Chamber of Commerce presentation would be continued to the next City Council meeting.

6. Public Comment  
Steve Shields said there were some comments made about the Community Coordinator Program during the work session, and he also wanted to provide some feedback. He had attended the last two meetings and found that there was room for improvement in the format of the meeting and managing the expectations of those in attendance. It was a good idea to get the neighbors directly involved with the developer, and provide an opportunity for some good discussions. Managing expectations was the most important thing to focus on.

Lorin Smith also commented on the Community Coordinator Program. He thought the purpose of the meetings was good, but it wasn’t currently meeting the residents’ expectations. He had expected a member of the Council to be present, but if that’s not part of the format, the residents need to know. He agreed that the public needed to be educated on what to expect at these meetings. He also felt that
noticing was a concern and thought that sending out a text would be beneficial. He appreciated the Council responded to his emails and took action to resolve the issue.

7. **City Council Board and Committee Reports**

Councilmember Ohrn took a moment to recognize Representative John Knotwell, who had resigned. She wanted to publicly acknowledge him and thank him for his service to the community. She also encouraged the public to reach out to their delegates and voice their opinion about who should be selected to fill his term.

Councilmember Ohrn then reported on the Wasatch Front Waste and Recycling District (WFWRD) and stated that they recently went through an extensive salary evaluation for all of their employees. They were currently short nine drivers, which was about 20-percent of their force. They were struggling to hire and retain employees, so they determined that it was necessary to increase pay across the board. They currently had a decent cash balance from the lack of employees, so they would be able to cover the cost of pay increases.

Councilmember Smith echoed the comments made about Representative John Knotwell. He reported on the recent meeting of the Advisory Committee for UTA. They received their first report from the new director and discussed the budget in terms of their capital plan for the next five years, which included light rail expansion and maintenance. There was also discussion about reissuing bonds for lower interest rates.

Councilmember Henderson said that the Southwest Mayor’s Caucus had their Vision Survey kickoff meeting in August. They would also be meeting with their consultant to launch their new website. He requested that residents complete the survey and be engaged in the process. He emphasized the importance of public input.

8. **Reports, Presentations and Appointments**

8.1. **Jordan School District Superintendent Introduction - Darrell Robinson, Board Member**

Jordan School District Board Member Darrell Robinson introduced Dr. Anthony Godfrey as the new Superintendent. He spoke of his experience with the Jordan School District in the past and said that he was a great innovator and communicator.

Dr. Anthony Godfrey said it had been a pleasure to work with Herriman City over the years, and he appreciated their relationship. He would continue that connection with the City as they work to improve the school district.

8.2. **South Valley Chamber of Commerce Presentation - Greg Summerhays**

This discussion was continued to the October 9, 2019 meeting.
8.3. Traffic Committee Report - Blake Thomas, City Engineer
City Engineer Blake Thomas explained the City had created a Traffic Committee to examine traffic concerns around the City. The Committee met monthly, and it consisted of City staff members from the Planning, GIS, and Engineering departments. They respond to concerns submitted through the Police Department website and concerns sent via email to Council and staff members. They examined concerns including speeding, congestion, and traffic calming measures near school zones.

Staff Engineer Bryce Terry said that he was assigned with putting together the agendas for the Traffic Committee meetings and following up on items discussed at previous meetings. One of their main duties was to gather traffic counts for the City, as well as information on traffic congestion and speeds. The Committee worked closely with the Police Department to address speeding concerns. Engineer Terry then presented several examples of some of the traffic issues in the City, and how those were mitigated. They were currently working to resolve concerns around crosswalks near Silver Crest Elementary.

9. Consent Agenda
9.1. Approval of the Monthly Financial Summary
Councilmember Ohrn moved to approve the Consent Agenda as written. Councilmember Smith seconded the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:
Councilmember Jared Henderson  Aye
Councilmember Nicole Martin    Absent
Councilmember Sherrie Ohrn     Aye
Councilmember Clint Smith      Aye
Mayor David Watts               Aye

The motion carried unanimously with Councilmember Martin being absent.

10. Future Meetings
10.1. October 3 - Planning Commission Meeting 7:00 p.m.

10.2. October 9 - Council Work Meeting 5:00 p.m.; City Council Meeting 7:00 p.m.

11. Events
11.1. October 5 - Healthy Herriman Health Fair; Herriman City Hall 8:30 a.m.

11.2. October 14 - Herriman Howl; Crane Park 6:00 p.m.
12. **Adjournment**

Councilmember Smith moved to adjourn the City Council meeting at 7:53 p.m. Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion, and all voted aye.

13. **Recommence to Work Meeting (If Needed)**

**3.7. Update of McCuiston Road Project - Blake Thomas, City Engineer**

City Engineer Blake Thomas explained McCuiston Avenue was an unpaved road in west Herriman. The area of Herriman where this roadway was located was annexed into Herriman in 2009. There had been a large amount of residential development in the area west of McCuiston Avenue since annexation, which had impacted the existing unpaved section of McCuiston Avenue. Many of the residents had expressed a desire for the City to construct roadway improvements including curb, gutter, sidewalk, and asphalt along the section of unpaved road. The City Council had directed staff to work with the property owners along the roadway design and construct the roadway improvements.

Engineer Thomas reported that staff had written a letter to the property owner that wanted his driveway redone in lieu of purchasing the right-of-way, which staff felt was agreeable. The City would be replacing 70-percent of his driveway anyway, so it wouldn’t increase the cost too much to do the entire driveway. That letter had been sent, but staff hadn’t received a response yet. Another property owner asked for a secondary water stub to his property in lieu of purchasing the right-of-way, and the City had agreed to that as well. The third property owner had not expressed a willingness to work with the City, so the City was looking to initiate the process of condemnation. The letter had been drafted with the intent to send it first thing in the morning with Council approval. The letter would comply with state-mandated informational material regarding the condemnation process.

**3.8. Discussion regarding Encroachment on Open Space - Michael Maloy, City Planner**

City Planner Michael Maloy explained that there were several instances in the City where private property owners had encroached onto public open space. He presented a summary of their findings including maps and photographs of specific encroachments.

The Council discussed specific encroachment issues. They were concerned that taking action on all of these issues at once was a large task, but they also didn’t want property owners to be singled out. They decided to continue enforcing when the issues were brought to the City’s attention.

**3.9. Discussion of an Ordinance adopting a Development Agreement for Game Pointe Development - John Brems, City Attorney**

Assistant City Administrator Gordon Haight expressed appreciation for the Planning Commission for recommending approval on this development agreement. New copies of the paperwork would be sent to the City Council in their next packet. He displayed the newest elevations submitted by the applicant and identified areas that had changed. He also presented the lighting plan and site plan.
3.10. Discussion pertaining to the Wasatch Front Waste and Recycling District Resolution of support - Justun Edwards, Public Works Director

Public Works Director Justun Edwards explained that the WFWRD was considering changing to a local district rather than being associated with the County. This change would benefit annexations and taxing, which currently both go through the County.

Councilmember Ohrn said that the change would require unanimous support from the board members, and there were a few members that didn’t seem to be in support. There were 13 cities involved in the District. The Council discussed the makeup of the board, and the benefits of making the change.

3.11. Discussion of the City Council Board Assignments - Brett Wood, City Manager

City Manager Brett Wood stated that Councilmember Martin was not running for reelection, and someone would need to replace her on the boards that she served on. Most of the board reassignments could wait until the new council member was elected, but it was important to fill her position on the UFA Board more quickly.

Councilmember Smith stated that he was an alternate on the WFWRD board. He had attended every meeting, and he committed to continuing his attendance.

The Council discussed the UFA Board appointment. The UFA Board met every third Tuesday at 7:30 p.m. Councilmember Henderson said that he was willing to serve on the board, but he wasn’t sure that would be received well. He had been on the board when the City pulled away and created their own police department, and it might be perceived that he would push for the same thing with UFA, but that wasn’t true. Councilmember Ohrn offered to serve on the board if Councilmember Henderson didn’t think he could.

3.12. Discussion of an Ordinance relating to hunting on City Property and within City Limits - Justun Edwards, Public Works Director

Public Works Director Justun Edwards said this discussion was follow up from a discussion during the August 28th work session regarding hunting within City limits. The City Council had directed staff to provide further research. Director Edwards stated there were some limitation on hunting set by state law, including a 600 foot buffer between a hunter and any development. There was also a require buffer of 300 feet on each side of a trail. Because these are in state law, all hunters in Herriman City should abide by these rules. When individuals hunt on private property, they are required to have written permission from that property owner to hunt. Currently, Herriman City Code doesn’t require permission to hunt on City property. Staff suggested creating a weapons ordinance that would regulate the types of weapons used in hunting. They provided a copy of the weapons ordinance for Salt Lake City for the Council’s review.

Police Chief Troy Carr opined that the Salt Lake City weapons code was very good.
The Council discussed the issue and it was noted that the City had eliminated discharge permits some time ago. They agreed that there was no reason to discharge a rifle in the City. The Council agreed that archery didn’t present as much of a concern as firearms. They were in favor of allowing archery on City property.

Councilmember Smith felt that it would be rushed to change the ordinance before this upcoming hunting season. He suggested they follow the state regulations for this year and revisit this next year. Chief Carr offered to add patrols on the hillside this year to regulate and gather information.

3.13. City Manager Updates – Brett Wood, City Manager
City Manager Brett Wood said that the Citizen’s Academy was a success. The participating residents seemed engaged and there was a large waiting list for future Academies. The Miracle Mascot event was a great event for the community, but it was much larger than they anticipated. It will take place in Herriman again next year, and staff would be making preparations. He also reported that sales tax was up 16-percent, and the City had received their distribution from the County.

Parks, Recreation and Events Director Wendy Thomas said she had been approached by a company that wanted to sell concessions at Blackridge. Previously, the Council has not been in favor of having concessions sold at the reservoir. She asked for their direction. After some discussion, the Council decided that they were not interested in having concessions there.

Councilmember Smith moved to temporarily recess the City Council work meeting to convene in a Closed Session to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation and the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205 at 9:40 p.m. Councilmember Ohrn seconded the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:
Councilmember Jared Henderson  Aye
Councilmember Nicole Martin  Absent
Councilmember Sherrie Ohrn  Aye
Councilmember Clint Smith  Aye
Mayor David Watts  Aye

The motion passed unanimously with Councilmember Martin being absent.

Councilmember Smith moved to adjourn Closed session and return to work meeting at 10:44 pm. Councilmember Ohrn seconded the motion and all voted aye.

14. Adjournment
Councilmember Henderson moved to adjourn the work meeting at 10:44 p.m. Councilmember Ohrn seconded the motion, and all voted aye.
I, Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder for Herriman City, hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true, accurate and complete record of the meeting held on September 25, 2019. This document constitutes the official minutes for the Herriman City Council Meeting.

Jackie Nostrom, MMC