5:00 PM - WORK MEETING: (Fort Herriman Conference Room)

1. **Council Business**
   Mayor David Watts called the meeting to order at 5:08 p.m.

   2.1 **Review of this Evening's Agenda**
   The Council and Staff briefly reviewed the agenda.

   2.2 **Future Agenda Items**
   Councilmember Ohrn requested a discussion regarding policies and procedures during a future work meeting. She wanted to review the existing policies and discuss whether any adjustments needed to be made. The Council agreed to discuss this issue during a work session on January 15, 2020 at 5:00 p.m.
Councilmember Shields asked where they were in the process of hiring an Economic Development Director. The Council discussed the cost of hiring a temporary consultant.

Councilmember Henderson expressed a concern about missing follow up reports and losing items from the agenda. He requested that the Council and staff have a running and open list of items they were discussing or that needed follow up. Staff confirmed that the City utilized a spreadsheet for this purpose and would ensure the link would be sent to the Council. The Council relayed their support for the spreadsheet to track items.

Councilmember Ohrn stated that the Day at the Legislature would take place on January 29th, and she encouraged the Council to attend. She also reported that she would have a conflict with the City Council work sessions on the second Wednesday of the month because of her assignment on the Water Board.

2. Administrative Reports
2.1. (Continued from December 11, 2019 meeting) Discussion relating to the Unified Fire Authority Interlocal Cooperative Agreement - Brett Wood, City Manager/Dan Petersen, UFA Chief

City Manager Brett Wood noted that this item was reviewed at the previous meeting, and nothing substantial had changed since that discussion.

Councilmember Smith requested that Fire Chief Dan Petersen address the changes that were being made from the original interlocal agreement and the reasoning behind those changes.

Chief Petersen said that the original interlocal agreement was adopted in 2004, and its primary purpose was to facilitate their separation from Salt Lake County and the creation of the new entity. At the time, they didn’t have many assets or participating communities. The new interlocal agreement would include language regarding weighted voting, new members to the board, withdrawals, and capital assets.

In response to a question from the Council, Chief Petersen explained the process of surpling an engine or other fire equipment. Funds received from the surplus would go back into the capital fund. This was done to avoid a spike in membership fees when new equipment was necessary. The term of the agreement was 25 years, with a requirement to review the agreement every five years.

There was a discussion regarding ISO, a private organization that provides information that affects fire protection insurance rates for residences and businesses. Chief Petersen clarified there was nothing in ISO that addressed response times.

2.2. East Herriman Water Infrastructure Discussion - Justun Edwards, Director of Public Works

Public Works Director Justun Edwards said that as development continued within the East Herriman area, the existing water infrastructure no longer had the capacity to serve future developments within water pressure zones 2, 3 and above Mountain View Corridor, as outlined in the Eastern Water System
Source and Storage Analysis by Hansen Allen & Luce Engineering. When this analysis was received and reviewed by the City, it was determined not to allow further development until additional infrastructure was in place to supply water to the area. Herriman City contracted with Bowen, Collins and Associates to perform a study to determine alternative pump station and tank options that would most effectively serve this area. The City solicited proposals from engineering firms to perform engineering designs of the preferred tank alternatives, and the contract was awarded to Bowen Collins & Associates. They offered a full design package consisting of five components and phases, including Pre-Design phase, Design phase, Bidding Phase, Construction phase and Post Construction phase. The City was currently in the Pre-Design phase. Staff anticipated the construction to begin as early as March 2020, depending on the site and alignment. The project could be completed as early as April 2021.

2.3. City Council Board Assignments/Mayor Pro Tempore Roundtable Discussion
City Manager Brett Wood presented a list of the Council’s current board assignments. The Council discussed the board assignments that needed to be filled. Councilmember Shields said that he had the flexibility to attend any boards he was assigned to. Councilmember Smith said that he would pass on the agenda for the COG Board and see if any positions were available.

After some discussion, it was determined that Councilmember Shields would serve on the Wasatch Front Economic Development District board and attend the Legislative Policy Committee with Councilmember Henderson, the Regional Growth Coalition, Salt Lake County ZAP, the Association of Municipal Councils, COG Public Works Committee, and the Environmental Quality Advisory Commission.

The Council then discussed the position of Mayor Pro Tempore, which traditionally rotated between the Councilmembers every year. Councilmember Ohrn suggested that Councilmember Henderson continue to serve as Mayor Pro Tempore because of his assignment to the Southwest Mayors Group. Councilmember Henderson explained that the group had a difficult time accepting him to the group because he was not the Mayor of Herriman. They even asked legal counsel if the title of Mayor Pro Tempore qualified him for the position. He didn’t know if he would be allowed to participate in the group without the title of Mayor Pro Tempore. Councilmember Ohrn said that she would waive her year as Mayor Pro Tempore and let Councilmember Henderson continue in that position.

Councilmember Smith explained the misconception related to the title of Mayor Pro Tempore in Herriman City’s government, and felt like the relationships Councilmember Henderson has built with colleagues should stand on its own merit and not relay on a title that only allows a Council Member to conduct a meeting during the absence of the Mayor. Councilmember Shield indicated he would support Councilmember Henderson’s to serve as the Mayor Pro Tempore for 2020.
2.4. Discussion of a request to rezone 4.53 acres of property located at 13494 South 7530 West for Steven Ohlwiler from A-1 (Agricultural) to A-.25 (Agricultural, 10,000 SqFt minimum) (File No. Z2019-092) - Michael Maloy, City Planner

City Planner Michael Maloy presented a request to rezone 4.53 acres of property from A-1 to A-.25. The A-1 zone required a minimum lot size of 1 acre, while the A-.25 zone allows a minimum lot size of 10,000 square feet. At this time, the applicant was only asking for a rezone and not a subdivision approval. The Planning Commission reviewed the application and recommended approval of the rezone with the condition that the maximum density be set at 1.9 units per acre and that the lots on the west side of the property be half-acre lots.

2.5. Discussion of a proposed rezone of seven (7) acres from MU-2 (Mixed Use) to C-2 (Commercial) and RM (Multi Family Residential) for a proposed development known as Academy Village for John Lindsley located at 4002 West Real Vista Drive including parking structure, site plan, and design guidelines (File No Z2019-100) - Michael Maloy, City Planner

Assistant City Planner Bryn MacDonald presented the proposal to rezone property from MU-2 to C-2 and R-M for 7 acres of property. The applicant had also requested an amendment to the Wasatch South Hills Master Development Agreement to add 48.43 acres of property, including a site plan and design guidelines.

City Attorney John Brems went over the proposed changes to the Master Development Agreement. The applicant was proposing to remove Pods 35 and 39 from the Rosecrest Development Agreement and moved to the Creek Ridge Development. The Creek Ridge Development Agreement would also be amended to include commercial design guidelines, which currently did not exist. The applicant was requesting that a few items be removed from the previous draft, including the stipulation that ownership could not be transferred without the consent of the City, and the site plan would be removed from the agreement. They also asked that the phasing concept be added as an exhibit.

John Lindsley, the applicant, explained their intentions behind removing the site plan from the development agreement. The site plan that was reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission included two parking structures, which may not be possible because of the lack of funds in the CDA.

Councilmember Henderson said that he wasn’t too much concerned about including a phasing plan, but he wasn’t comfortable removing the site plan from the development agreement. The site plan would provide the City a certain level of protection.

Councilmember Ohrn moved that the City Council temporarily adjourn the Work Session at 7:29 p.m. Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion. The motion carried with unanimous consent of the Council.

The City Council reconvened the Work Session at 9:03 p.m.

Finance Director Alan Rae explained one parking structure would cost the applicant roughly $16 million to construct. Right now, there was a question of whether the structures could be funded with CDA
money or not. He presented the Herriman Business Park CDA Budget Revenue and Expenditures. The concern that had arisen was that there wouldn’t be enough revenue generated from the existing CDA to pay back what had already be done. RSL had requested a 60-percent reduction in valuation for taxes. Director Rae then offered a history of the value of the property prior to being entered into the CDA.

There was a discussion regarding the CDA, impact fees, and what infrastructure had already been installed.

Director Rae said that the important thing was to prioritize the payout. The TIF was triggered roughly one year ahead of the original projection, so whatever action they take now would be a retroactive correction. Manager Wood stated that the Council would be the body to determine this prioritization. Councilmember Ohrn didn’t think they should put themselves in that position. Councilmember Henderson said that the important thing was the infrastructure. Any commercial project would have to generate revenue on its own merits. The Council should not be in the position of picking winners and losers.

Director Rae said that there were three entities in this CDA: Wasatch, Rosecrest, and Herriman City. The Council needed to determine who would be paid first, second, and third. Councilmember Shields said that Herriman City didn’t have the money to invest in commercial developments. Money for projects would have to be fronted by the developer.

Councilmember Henderson stressed the importance of having a site plan as part of the development agreement. The City needed that assurance of what would be constructed. John Lindsley agreed. He stated that there were other funding options to pursue. This issue with the CDA was discovered recently, so they were still pursuing their options. One of the alternatives was to begin by funding one parking structure and part of the development and continue to find funding for the second parking structure.

Director Rae reminded the Council that office buildings would be very little tax benefit to the City. Commercial development would be idea.

Mr. Lindsley said they would be putting together some materials showing how they could generate tax revenue through their own development.

2.6. Discussion of the proposed Amended Creek Ridge/Mountain Ridge Master Development Agreement - John Brems, City Attorney

City Attorney John Brems presented the Council with three development agreements. The applicant was requesting to move 271 units from the Creek Ridge Development to the Mountain Ridge development. The units would be taken from Area A. The applicant was also requesting changes to the Mountain Ridge Master Development Agreement, including a reduction in the maximum number of units and the elimination of condominiums. The limitation of units shall not apply to the commercial parcel if and when it is developed for residential units. The development agreement would also set a limit to the density in the Riverton portion of the project. Regarding the roadway, Herriman would
reimburse Riverton City once they finish their portion. The development agreement includes parks, trails and open space. The developer would construct parks and be reimbursed with impact fee money. Any detention basins would be sodded and irrigated at the cost of the developer. If the City wanted a park that exceeded impact fees, the City would reimburse with City funds. The proposed plan contemplates option 1C to address the drainage issues. There would be a buried gravity pipe for the outfall.

Attorney Brems said that the commercial parcel was increased to over 13 acres, and it would be held until 2025. If the parcel doesn’t develop commercially, the applicant could develop the parcel residentially at eight units per acre. The City didn’t want this parcel to be utilized to construct churches as schools.

Councilmember Smith said that the applicant needed to make their best efforts at finding a commercial developer. Councilmember Shields asked if the timeframe would reset if there was a commercial offer that fell through. Attorney Brems said that this wasn’t included, but they could include language requiring a reset. The Council discussed an appropriate length of time for the reset.

Attorney Brems continued with the presentation by stating that the developer had agreed to improve the park strips in the roadway adjacent to the property. Any future amendments to the development agreement, aside from infrastructure or design guidelines, would need to be approved by the City Council.

Councilmember Shields requested further discussion regarding the held commercial property, the timeframe for development, and the potential residential density of eight units per acre. It was reported that the Planning Commission was split on the proposed density of eight units per acre. The Council had the authority to set a different density limit. Councilmember Smith commented that they were proposing about 100 units less than the previous proposal, and they had tried to address the concerns raised by the City and residents. He was comfortable moving forward with eight units per acre as recommended by the Planning Commission.

2.7. Discussion relating to a General Plan Amendment request to rezone 75 acres of property located at 14000 South Sentinel Ridge Boulevard from Low Density Residential and Commercial to Medium Density Residential for Edge Homes (File No. G2019-074) - Michael Maloy, City Planner
Assistant City Planner Bryn MacDonald went through the Planning Commission’s recommendations for the three applications before the Council for this property. The first application was an General Plan amendment changing the designation of the property from Commercial and Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential. The Planning Commission recommended to amend the General Plan designation for the east side of the property and the bottom piece to the west, leaving the commercial portion with the commercial designation.
The second application was a rezone from A-1 to R-2-20. It was noted that the Rosecrest property was already zoned and designated appropriately, so it was not included in the General Plan amendment and rezone. The Planning Commission recommended approval of the rezone, with the exception of the commercial piece, which they felt should remain A-1.

The third application was for a Planned Unit Development (PUD) for the entire property, including the Rosecrest piece. The Planning Commission recommended approval of the PUD with 546 units.

There was a discussion regarding the School District property. It was noted that the development agreement would be void if the developer couldn’t come to an agreement with the School District.

Steve Maddox, the applicant, said that the School District has stated that they would not build on this property immediately. The concern for them is finding a geographical location that wouldn’t indicate the closure of a public park in order to facilitate a land swap. If the City wanted to control the density of the corner piece and lock in at least a portion of it was commercial. Rather than swapping the property, he wanted to work on finding a commercial use for that property.

Councilmember Shields said that the School District had also brought up the concern that the value of their property would be impaired by not preserving the entire frontage along the street.

Staff asked the Council for direction. Councilmember Shields said that there were a lot of positive aspects of the proposal. However, he was concerned about the commercial parcel and adding a couple hundred units to this area.

Councilmember Smith said that they needed to consider continuity. If they didn’t have a PUD, there was not guarantee that they would get proper connectivity or the amenities proposed in this plan. In the past, the City Council decided to rezone the property to commercial to preserve land for commercial development. It was argued whether this area would be successful for commercial development. Now, the property owner had come to the City with a willingness to work toward making commercial a viable option for the community. The applicant was willing to work with the City, so the City Council should be willing to work with the applicant. Overall, the total number of units in the City would not change with this amendment, and the total density of the City would remain unchanged. He felt that they were very close to where they needed to be with this project.

The Council discussed whether the City should have a conversation with the School District regarding their property. Some Councilmembers felt that it was the responsibility of the developer to work with the School District. Others felt that it would be appropriate to have a formal meeting with the City and the School District. They all agreed that their relationship with the School District was important.

Councilmember Smith relayed his frustrations with delaying the process further. They had been discussing this project and various aspects of it for months, and they needed to make some final decisions.
Planning Commission Chair Chris Berbert said that the Commission’s major concerns were addressed. It was important to note that they couldn’t do anything with 13400 South, as it resided in Riverton City limits.

Councilmember Henderson said that it was important for the Council to come to a consensus. Councilmember Smith said that the potential timing of the commercial piece was already directed from the Planning Commission. The Council could continue to have a conversation about density. Councilmember Ohrn was still uncomfortable with the density being proposed. The residents of Herriman wouldn’t be happy with the proposed development.

2.8. Discussion of a proposed rezone of 75 Acres from A-1 to R-2-10 for a proposed development known as Mountain Ridge for Edge Homes located at 14000 S Sentinel Ridge Boulevard (File No Z2019-072) - Michael Maloy, City Planner
This item was discussed with Item 2.7.

2.9. Discussion of a proposed rezone to apply the Planned Development Overlay Zone for a proposed development known as Mountain Ridge for Edge Homes located at or near 14000 South Sentinel Ridge Boulevard (File No. Z2019-073) - Michael Maloy, City Planner
This item was discussed with Item 2.7.

2.10. Discussion of the Herriman Crossroads Master Development Agreement (Porter Rockwell & Redwood Road) - Michael Maloy, City Planner
City Planner Michael Maloy stated that the applicant’s attorney had submitted the revised draft of the Master Development Agreement (MDA) for review, and staff offered redline corrections back to them in December. The Parks Department was currently drafting a preferred open space and trial plan for the property, and the Planning Department was evaluating the property to determine buildable areas. If the draft MDA moves forward, the Planning Department would recommend the Planned Unit Development (PUD) Overlay to ensure 20-percent open space preservation. He believed that they would get a better design standard with a PUD than if they relied on the base zoning, and there would be some kind of density limit included. The PUD would also include a commitment to develop the commercial component in Phase 2 of the project rather than at the end. He asked if the Council was interested in maturing this plan.

Councilmember Henderson agreed that the benefits of a PUD was desirable, but he didn’t want staff to spend more time on this application unless the developer was putting forth the same time and effort. There were other pressing matters for staff to focus on. Councilmember Smith agreed.

Planner Maloy said that the developer had recently contributed time to the project. It was noted that the current zoning would allow for apartments, but the applicant was willing to lower the density with the PUD.
2.11. Follow-up Discussion regarding Operation and Maintenance Costs for the Rose Creek Outfall Project – Blake Thomas, City Engineer

Engineer Jonathan Bowers offered an overview of the Operation and Maintenance costs, and alternatives for the Rose Creek Outfall Project. Staff asked for direction from the Council.

Councilmember Henderson asked about the budget for the outfall project, and staff said that they didn’t anticipate building the entire channel. The cost would depend on whether the City had to pay for any of the right-of-way. If they didn’t have to pay for the right-of-way, they would probably have that money next year just from impact fees and they could construct the entire pipe system. The pump would be expensive. The Council favored Option 1C.

Councilmember Ohrn moved to extend the City Council Meeting into January 9, 2020 at 11:58 p.m. Councilmember Shields seconded the motion. The motion passed with unanimous consent of the Council.

2.12. Discussion of the Water Impact Fee Adjustment – Justun Edwards, Public Works Director

Public Works Director Justun Edwards stated that the City had been approached several times regarding a water impact fee reduction. Water impact fees were based on lot size; therefore, larger lots would require higher impact fees. Following the last adjustment, the City Council requested that a procedure be put in place that allows the fee to be reduced by 50-percent only on the portion of the property that was deemed unbuildable and/or where slopes are greater than 30-percent.

The City had recently been approached by an owner, to request a reduction to the water impact fee for property that was recorded on the plat as unbuildable. The lot was 1.604 acres in size and located at the end of a cul-de-sac. The property owner intended to keep the majority of the property as native vegetation, which would not require the use of water for irrigation. There were slopes on the property with a grade of 30-percent or greater, with a natural drainage course that ran through the property. The full impact fee for the 1.604 acres would be $13,190. The updated impact fee calculation, accounting for the reduction, was $8,921.

2.13. Discussion of an Off Highway Vehicle (OHV) feasibility study – Wendy Thomas, Director of Parks, Recreation and Events

Director of Parks, Recreation and Events Wendy Thomas provided a brief history of the Council decision for an OHV feasibility study and recommended that they move forward. Pursuing the study would require a budget amendment, and noted the study would be funded by park impact fees. Councilmember Henderson believed that the economic benefit of OHV trails warranted the study and the subsequent budget amendment. Councilmember Ohrn agreed. Mayor Watts expressed a desire to wait until there was a greater need, but the Council felt this was a priority.

2.14. Discussion regarding Olympia Hills and communication regarding future meetings for the proposed development - Tami Moody, Director of Administration and Communications

Director of Administration and Communications Tami Moody reported Salt Lake County staff held a neighborhood meeting and they had two public hearings scheduled for January 14th and January 28th.
The County had asked if Herriman City would be willing to host a meeting. If not, the County would use one of the schools.

After some discussion, the Council determined that it was appropriate for the City to advertise the meeting, but they should not promote the informational website for the development. They also agreed to prepare a combined statement from the City to be shared at the meetings.

2.15. City Manager Updates - Brett Wood, City Manager

Councilmember Ohrn moved to temporarily recess the City Council work meeting to convene in a Closed Session to discuss the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual and the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205 at 12:13 a.m. Councilmember Ohrn seconded the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:

Councilmember Jared Henderson  Aye
Councilmember Sherrie Ohrn  Aye
Councilmember Steven Shields  Aye
Councilmember Clint Smith  Aye
Mayor David Watts  Aye

The motion passed unanimously.

The Council reconvened at 1:16 a.m.

3. Adjournment

Councilmember Smith moved to adjourn the work meeting at 1:16 a.m. Councilmember Ohrn seconded the motion, and all voted aye.

7:30 PM - GENERAL MEETING:

4. Call to Order

Mayor David Watts called the meeting to order at 7:37 p.m.

5.1 Invocation/Thought/Reading and Pledge of Allegiance

A prayer was offered by Ms. Ann Seo and the Pledge of Allegiance was led by Mr. Josh Martingale.

5.2 City Council Comments and Recognitions

Mayor Watts welcomed Steven Shields as a new City Councilmember, representing District 4. He also thanked the snow crews for their efforts in keeping the streets clear of snow.

5. Public Comment

There were no comments offered.
6. **City Council Board and Committee Reports**
   There were no reports offered.

7. **Reports, Presentations and Appointments**
   7.1. **Approval of a Resolution appointing the Mayor Pro Tempore for 2020 and other committee assignments - Brett Wood, City Manager**

   Councilmember Ohrn moved to approve resolution No. R01-2020 nominating Councilmember Jared Henderson as the Mayor Pro Tempore for 2020. Councilmember Shields seconded the motion.

   The vote was recorded as follows:
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Councilmember</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jared Henderson</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherrie Ohrn</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Shields</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clint Smith</td>
<td>Nay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor David Watts</td>
<td>Nay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   The motion passed with a vote 3:2

   Councilmember Smith explained the reason for voting against the appointment. Councilmember Henderson had served well as Mayor Pro Tempore, and he was sure he would continue to do so. However, there was question regarding the interpretation of the title, as discussed during the work session. Mayor Watts agreed.

   7.2. **Presentation of a Youth Council Food Drive Proposal - Hunter Opheikens, Treasurer, Randee Jones, Events and Operations Specialist**

   Mayor of the Herriman City Youth Council Leah Seo presented the proposal for an ice skating charity event. Last year, they hosted a similar charity event and they collected closed to 400 pounds of cans for the Utah Food Bank, and about 50 participants. This event was more successful than the youth dance fundraiser they had done the year before, so they decided to pursue the ice skating event again.

   Youth Council Assistant Events and Operations Josh Martindale said that they were proposing to allow people to bring five cans for the Utah Food Bank in lieu of admissions and skate rentals for the night. The Youth Council had chosen Monday, January 20\textsuperscript{th} for the event because it was one of the slowest days for the Ice Ribbon and there would be less revenue lost. Youth Council Members would be present to take care of the cans. It was noted that people could still pay the normal admission and skate rental fees that night.

   Events and Operations Specialist Randee Jones talked about the benefits of the charity event. Mr. Martindale said that staffing the event would cost $175 for the night, and they believed that much of that cost would be recouped by the regular paying customers.
Councilmember Smith asked about the time of the event, and Ms. Seo said that it would be from 5:30 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. The Ice Ribbon would be open during the day for their normal hours. Councilmember Smith was worried that January 20th would be busy because it was a holiday.

Director Thomas reported that the Ice Ribbon had seen over 7,000 patrons over the past two weeks. The problem they were facing was that they were constantly running out of skates. She suggested allowing the donation to cover the admission fee but not the cost of rentals. They could also consider asking for more than five cans. Councilmember Henderson said that he wasn’t worried about a fiscal loss. He asked the Youth Council if they would be willing to move the event to another night because of the holiday. Ms. Seo said that they could move the event to Thursday, January 23rd.

There was a discussion regarding an increase to the number of cans to cover the admissions and rental fees. Director Thomas noted that if someone donated for skate rentals, but there were no skates available, they could offer a voucher for a later visit.

8. **Public Hearing**

8.1. **(Continued from December 11, 2019 meeting) Public Hearing and consideration of an Ordinance approving the 2019 Water Conservation Plan - Justun Edwards, Public Works Director**

Public Works Director Justun Edwards did not show the presentation, which was made at the previous meeting. Since that time, staff had not received any comments and not major changes were made to the proposal. Staff recommended approval.

Councilmember Shields asked if the reduction in water use was due to cooler weather or the City’s conservation efforts. Director Edwards believed that a large part of it was conservation. There were many residents taking advantage of available conservation programs.

Mayor Watts opened the public hearing. No public comments were offered.

*Councilmember Henderson moved to close the public hearing. Councilmember Smith seconded the motion. The motion carried with unanimous consent of the Council.*

*Councilmember Ohrn moved to approve Ordinance No. 01-2020 adopting the “2019 Water Conservation Plan” by reference; providing for repeal of conflicting ordinances; and providing for an effective date. Councilmember Shields seconded the motion.*

The vote was recorded as follows:

- Councilmember Jared Henderson: Aye
- Councilmember Sherrie Ohrn: Aye
- Councilmember Steven Shields: Aye
- Councilmember Clint Smith: Aye
Mayor David Watts

Aye

The motion passed unanimously.

8.2.  (Continued from December 11, 2019 meeting) Public Hearing and consideration of an Ordinance to approve the Parks Master Plan - Wendy Thomas, Director of Parks, Recreation and Events

Director of Parks, Recreation and Events Wendy Thomas explained this item was a continuation from the previous meeting. Two updates were recommended to the proposed ordinance, including the increase of open space and a property closure.

Mayor Watts opened the public hearing.

Dwayne Boring, said that he was speaking as a resident of Herriman and the Vice President of UDV Utah. He requested that any decision be delayed until such time as any OHV trail issues in these hills were decided upon in a final manner. The Parks Master Plan was not consistent with the Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan. The proposed master plan should address OHV trails, shooting ranges, snow tubing areas, recreation on the flat top area of the south mountain, and other uses as outlined in the Herriman Hills Open Space Master Plan.

Councilmember Smith moved to close the public hearing. Councilmember Ohrn seconded the motion. The motion passed with unanimous consent of the Council.

Councilmember Ohrn asked staff to address Mr. Boring's concerns. Director Thomas said that OHV trails were identified in the proposed plan. There would also be a discussion regarding the OHV feasibility study when the work session reconvened later that night. She confirmed that the proposed plan also included plans for a disc golf course, shooting range, and tubing hills. The purpose of the ordinance was to update the Master Plan that was adopted in 2014. The City had experienced a lot of growth since that time, and the new plan needed to reflect that. She reminded the Council that the ideas in the Master Plan were conceptual.

Councilmember Smith moved to approve Ordinance No. 02-2020 adopting the parks Master Plan with the following amendments: replace “Nicole Martin” with “Steven Shields” for District 4 on the acknowledgements page and increase the acres of open space on page 56 to 2,417. Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:

Councilmember Jared Henderson  Aye
Councilmember Sherrie Ohrn  Aye
Councilmember Steven Shields  Aye
Councilmember Clint Smith  Aye
Mayor David Watts  Aye
The motion passed unanimously.

8.3. Public Hearing to discuss the petition to vacate right-of-way parcels located along McCuiston Ave - Blake Thomas, City Engineer
City Engineer Blake Thomas offered a background of the vacations along McCuiston Ave.

Mayor Watts opened the public hearing.

Robert Newton, asked for clarification on how the properties were acquired. He asked if any of the property had been taken from the property owners.

Councilmember Ohrn moved to close the public hearing. Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion. The motion passed with unanimous consent of the Council.

Engineer Thomas explained that the majority of the property was acquired by Salt Lake County via plat. He was not aware of any compensation from the County, but some of them were probably given to the County as part of the development process. When the property was annexed into Herriman City, it was a jurisdictional transfer. Herriman City did not receive any compensation for the road.

9. Consent Agenda
9.1. Approval of an Ordinance vacating a right-of-way located at approximately 12100 South 5700 West

9.2. Approval of an Ordinance amending Title 1; Chapter 6 of the Herriman City Code regarding Meetings; Procedure and Conduct and Minutes Approval Procedure
Councilmember Smith moved to approve the Consent Agenda as written. Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:
Councilmember Jared Henderson       Aye
Councilmember Sherrie Ohrn           Aye
Councilmember Steven Shields         Aye
Councilmember Clint Smith             Aye
Mayor David Watts                     Aye

The motion passed unanimously.
10. Discussion and Action Items

10.1. (Continued from December 11, 2019 Meeting) Discussion and consideration of an Ordinance to amend the Anthem Commercial Development Master Development Agreement that affects the site plan and placement of commercial signage - Michael Maloy, City Planner

City Planner Michael Maloy explained that staff had been working with WinCo to amend the Master Development Agreement to change the anchor tenant of the development. He presented the original site plan and explained the proposed changes. He confirmed that there were no architectural changes being requested.

Councilmember Henderson moved to approve Ordinance No. 03-2020 approving the first amendment to the Master Development Agreement for Anthem Commercial Project. Councilmember Smith seconded the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:

- Councilmember Jared Henderson: Aye
- Councilmember Sherrie Ohrn: Aye
- Councilmember Steven Shields: Aye
- Councilmember Clint Smith: Aye
- Mayor David Watts: Aye

The motion passed unanimously.

10.2. (Continued from December 11, 2019 Meeting) Discussion and consideration of a Resolution approving and authorizing the execution of an Interlocal Cooperative Agreement to revise and restate the creation and governance of the Unified Fire Authority - John Brems, City Attorney

City Attorney John Brems presented the amended and restated Interlocal Agreement with UFA. There was a presentation made during the work session, and residents were encouraged to listen to it. Staff recommended approval of the agreement.

Chief Petersen briefly explained the reason for revisiting the interlocal agreement, and the major changes that were made.

The Council thanked Chief Petersen for his time and effort in creating this document.

Councilmember Smith moved to approve Resolution No R02-2020 approving and authorizing the execution of an Interlocal Cooperative Agreement with the Unified Fire Authority to revise and restate the creation and governance of the Unified Fire Authority with the inclusion to authorize the authority to the City Manager and City Attorney to make minor, non-substantive, changes to the document. Councilmember Ohrn seconded the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:

- Councilmember Jared Henderson: Aye
- Councilmember Sherrie Ohrn: Aye
The motion passed unanimously.

11. **Future Meetings**
   11.1. January 16 - Planning Commission Meeting 7:00 p.m.
   11.2. January 22 - City Council work meeting 5:00 p.m.; City Council meeting 7:30 p.m.

12. **Events**
   12.1. January 20 - Martin Luther King Day - City Offices Closed

13. **Closed Session**
The Herriman City Council may temporarily recess the City Council meeting to convene in a closed session to discuss the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual, pending or reasonable imminent litigation, and the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205

14. **Adjournment**
   Councilmember Ohrn moved to adjourn the regular City Council meeting at 8:53 p.m. Councilmember Smith seconded the motion. The motion passed with unanimous consent of the Council.

15. **Recommence to Work Meeting (If Needed)**

I, Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder for Herriman City, hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true, accurate and complete record of the meeting held on January 8, 2020. This document constitutes the official minutes for the Herriman City Council Meeting.

Jackie Nostrom, MMC  
City Recorder