Page 188 1 DAVID R. KARGER 2 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE 3 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD 5 6 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 7 Petitioner, : Patent Number 8 6,724,403 vs. : CASE IPR2013-00292 SURFCAST, INC., 10 Patent Owner. : 11 12 13 14 15 16 DEPOSITION OF DAVID R. KARGER 17 18 19 Washington, D.C. 20 Thursday, April 24, 2014 21

REPORTED BY: CARMEN SMITH

JOB NO: 73494

22

23

24

25

	rage 107
1	DAVID R. KARGER
2	Deposition of DAVID R. KARGER, called for
3	examination pursuant to notice of deposition, on
4	Thursday, April 24, 2014, in Washington, D.C., at
5	the law offices of Sidley Austin, LLP, 1501 K Street
6	Northwest, at 10:17 a.m., before CARMEN SMITH, a
7	Notary Public within and for the District of
8	Columbia, when were present on behalf of the
9	respective parties:
10	
11	JOSEPH MICALLEF, ESQ.
12	WOOJOO SUH, ESQ.
13	Sidley Austin
14	1501 K Street, Northwest
15	Washington, D.C. 20005
16	On behalf of Petitioner
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	continued

		Page 190
1	DAVID R. KARGER	
2	APPEARANCES (Continued):	
3		
4	JAMES HEINTZ, ESQ.	
5	DLA Piper	
6	500 Eighth Street, Northwest	
7	Washington, D.C. 20004	
8	On behalf of Patent Owner	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- DAVID R. KARGER
- PROCEEDINGS
- Whereupon,
- ⁴ DAVID R. KARGER
- was called as a witness and, having first been duly
- affirmed, was examined and testified as follows:
- 7 EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Good morning, Dr. Karger.
- A Good morning.
- 11 Q Thank you for coming today.
- Sir, I know I've deposed you before, but
- 13 I'll just go over a few ground rules to refresh your
- 14 recollection. So the first and probably most
- important ground rule is that we not talk over each
- other to allow the court reporter to record our
- testimony.
- ¹⁸ A Uh-huh.
- Q Will that be okay with you?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q Second rule is that we have -- you have to
- give verbal answers to my questions, and preferably
- in words rather than sounds such as uh-huh and
- huh-uh because those are hard to record. So can you
- do that?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² A Yes.
- 3 Q Thank you.
- Sir, is there any reason that you wouldn't
- be able to give truthful testimony here today?
- 6 A No.
- Okay. Are you on any medication or is
- 8 there any medical condition that might affect your
- 9 memory?
- ¹⁰ A No.
- 11 Q Okay. Sir, I'm going to ask the reporter
- to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 1110,
- which is the reply declaration of David R. Karger
- regarding U.S. Patent Number 6,724,403.
- Sir, I'll ask you to take a look at that
- document and let me know if you recognize it.
- 17 A Yes, I do.
- 18 Q This is your report, is it not, sir?
- 19 A That's correct.
- Q And I'm also going to ask the reporter to
- pass to you what's -- what constitutes paper number
- 28 in the IPR proceeding, which is patent owner's
- motion for conditional amendment under 37 CFR
- ²⁴ 42.121.
- Okay, sir, I'll ask you if you recognize

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² that document.
- 3 A Yes, I do.
- ⁴ Q Is this one of the documents you reviewed
- in preparing your report, Exhibit 110 -- I'm sorry,
- 6 1110?
- ⁷ A Yes, it is.
- 8 Q Okay. Now, sir, I'll ask you to turn to
- ⁹ the third page, which is page number 2 in the --
- sorry, I'll ask you to turn to page 1, which is the
- second page of the document, under the heading
- "CLAIMS LISTING."
- Do you see that?
- 14 A Yes, I do.
- Okay. And beneath that heading "CLAIMS"
- LISTING," there's a claim 53.
- Do you see that?
- 18 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And when you prepared your report,
- you opined as to various aspects of claim 53; is
- that correct?
- A Yes, it is.
- O Okay. And this is the content of claim 53
- that you opined on, what's shown here in paper
- number 28; correct?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² A Yes.
- Q All right. Now, sir, I'll ask you to
- 4 direct your attention to the limitation of claim 53
- 5 that begins "arrange" of -- sorry, "arrange a
- display into a grid of tiles that are not permitted
- to overlap, said grid of tiles being persistent from
- 8 session to session."
- 9 Do you see that?
- 10 A Yes, I do.
- 11 Q Okay. Now, sir, is it your opinion that
- that limitation includes a negative limitation?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: That is my understanding of
- a definition of a negative limitation, yes.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Okay. And why do you say that that
- includes a negative limitation?
- A I'm going to quickly look through my
- expert report.
- 21 Q Yes, feel free to refer to your report.
- A So as you can see in paragraph 16 of my
- report, my understanding of the negative limitation
- is that it is one that defines a claimed subject
- matter by what it is not rather than by what it is.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- Q Okay. And how do you apply that
- understanding to the limitation that begins "arrange"
- 4 a display"?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: So my understanding of the
- ⁷ way in which this amended claim describes a negative
- 8 limitation is that it describes a set of tiles that
- 9 may not overlap. So the possibility of overlap is
- excluded. It is something that is not part of
- the -- it is something that it is not.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q When you say "may not overlap," do you
- mean they could overlap or they could not, or do you
- understand that to mean that they're not permitted
- to overlap?
- 17 A I understand --
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I understand that to mean
- that they are not permitted to overlap.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Okay. And let me direct your attention to
- paragraph 284 of your report. And that's Exhibit
- 1110 again. Sir, there you say that overlapping
- window -- I'm sorry, "overlapping windowing required

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² additional functionality not required for
- nonoverlapping windowing."
- Do you see that?
- 5 A Yes, I do.
- O Does nonoverlapping windowing include
- ⁷ functionality that is not present in overlapping
- 8 windowing systems?
- 9 MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Functionality that is not
- present in overlapping windowing systems -- there's
- a certain hypothetical nature to that question so
- 13 I'm taking my time to consider the possibilities.
- 14 Can you restate the question, please?
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Sure. Let me try it this way. Let me
- hand you or ask the reporter to hand you what's been
- marked as Exhibit 1067, which is the "Window"
- 19 Interfaces" document by Brad Myers.
- Okay. Sir, and I'll ask you to direct
- your attention to page -- native page 67, which is
- page 3 of Exhibit 1067.
- 23 A Yes.
- Q And I'll ask you to direct your attention
- in particular to the second column and the second

- DAVID R. KARGER
- full paragraph in the second column.
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q All right. And the second-to-last
- sentence reads, "Obviously, a window manager that
- supports covered windows can also allow them to be
- side by side, but not vice versa."
- 8 Do you see that?
- ⁹ A Yes.
- Q And that's what's referred to in paragraph
- 284 of your report, Exhibit 1110; correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- Q Now, in your report, you cite that
- 14 sentence --
- A Yes, I do.
- 16 Q -- in 1067 as support for the notion that
- overlapping windowing required additional
- 18 functionality not required for nonoverlapping
- windowing; correct?
- A Correct.
- Q Okay. Where in either that paragraph or
- 22 anywhere else in Exhibit 1067 is there any mention
- of additional functionality required for non --
- sorry -- additional functionality required for
- overlapping windowing?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: So to be clear, the kind of
- ⁴ functionality, the kind of additional functionality
- that is required, is, for example, functionality
- that determines what should be done when one window
- is placed overlapping another window, what should be
- 8 obscured and what should be revealed in the two
- ⁹ windows that are now overlapping each other.
- And conversely, what to do when a window
- is moved to uncover a window that it was previously
- covering, you need additional functionality to
- 13 reconstruct what was previously obscured in the
- overlapping window.
- So this is the type of functionality that
- is necessary in an overlapping window system, but is
- not necessary in a tiled window system because this
- kind of overlap does not arise.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- O Okay. In the paragraph in which the
- sentence that begins "Obviously, a windows manager"
- 22 appears, there is a definition of a nonoverlapping
- window system; correct? In particular, let me call
- your attention a couple sentences above that,
- there's a sentence that reads, "The other

DAVID R. KARGER

- 2 alternative is called tiled windows, which means
- 3 that windows are not allowed to cover each other."
- Do you see that?
- ⁵ A Yes, I do.
- Q Isn't the sentence that you cited simply a
- ⁷ reflection of that definition, in that if, by
- definition, tiled windows are windows that are not
- ⁹ allowed to cover each other, then would it
- necessarily be true that a window manager that
- supports tiled windows wouldn't be -- wouldn't have
- the functionality to allow windows to cover each
- other?
- In other words, isn't that sentence
- nothing more than a reflection of the definition
- that says the tiled windows means windows are not
- allowed to cover each other?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance.
- THE WITNESS: I'm afraid I kind of lost
- the thread of the question. Which sentence are you
- talking about, then?
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q We'll start with the sentence that says
- The other alternative is called tiled windows,
- which means that windows are not allowed to cover

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² each other."
- 3 A Yes.
- Now, two sentences down, we see the
- 5 sentence that you cited in your report, "Obviously,
- 6 a windows manager that supports covered windows can
- 7 also allow them to be side by side, but not vice
- versa"; right?
- 9 MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 11 Q Do you see that sentence?
- 12 A Yes, I do.
- Q What does the "vice versa" mean to you?
- A It means that a tiled window manager,
- i.e., as in the previous sentence, where windows are
- 16 not allowed to cover each other, cannot support
- 17 covered windows.
- Q Right. So if by definition a tiled window
- manager doesn't allow tiles to overlap, isn't the
- vice versa nothing more than a reflection of that
- definition? All that this sentence is saying, in
- other words, is that because tiled windows are not
- allowed to overlap, it necessarily follows that a
- tiled window manager won't allow windows to overlap?
- It's not an indication that any function is missing,

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² is it?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: So you're asking about this
- 5 specific sentence, which kind of requires me to get
- into the state of the mind of the author when they
- ⁷ were writing it.
- 8 The fact is that it is I would say obvious
- ⁹ to anyone who understands this material that you
- have to implement certain functionality for covering
- and uncovering windows that would simply not be
- necessary if you were dealing with windows that
- never covered one another.
- There's actually a lot of work in computer
- graphics to address this specific problem of dealing
- with covering and uncovering.
- Now, whether the author was referring to
- that explicitly in this sentence or not, it's a
- 19 little harder to judge.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Well, I don't want you to get into the
- head of the author.
- A Okay.
- Q I just want to get your understanding of
- what's going on here. Because in paragraph 284 of

- DAVID R. KARGER
- your report --
- A Yes.
- 4 Q -- you cited that sentence in particular.
- 5 A Good. So if you want --
- MR. MICALLEF: Wait for a question.
- THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 9 Q So you cited that sentence in particular
- as support for the notion that there's got to be
- some missing functionality in a tiled window system.
- My question to you is doesn't the "but not
- vice versa" portion of the sentence you cited flow
- simply from the definition that in a tiled windows
- system, windows are not allowed to cover each other?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: So now you're asking about
- what was in my mind.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Yes.
- A What was in my mind when I read that was
- it certainly came into my mind of course there are
- things you have to do in a covered windows system
- that you do not have to do in a tiled windows system
- because the fact that windows are covering each

- DAVID R. KARGER
- other creates issues that do not arise in a tiled
- ³ windows system.
- Q Now, is the converse true? If you have a
- 5 tiled windows system, are there going to be things
- ⁶ you have to do that you don't have to do in a system
- 7 that allows windows to overlap?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: So I believe that there are
- things that you could do in a tiled windows system
- that you would not do in a covered windows system,
- but I don't think there are things that you would
- have to do in the tiled windows system that you
- would do in a covered windows system.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q So in a tiled windows system -- sorry.
- When you say "tiled windows system," we're talking
- about a system in which tiles are not permitted to
- overlap; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Correct.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q All right. If you don't do anything
- 24 additional in a tiled windows system that is found
- 25 in a tiled --

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² A Covered --
- ${ t Q} ext{ Let me restate it.}$
- If there is nothing additional -- if there
- 5 is nothing additionally done in a tiled windows
- system as compared to a system that permits windows
- ⁷ to overlap, then how does the tiled windows system
- ⁸ accomplish not permitting the tiles to overlap?
- 9 MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance,
- incomplete hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: So, again, we're moving into
- 12 hypothetical territory here. There are several ways
- one could implement a tiled windows system that
- 14 could feel quite different.
- But, for example, in many tiled windows
- systems, the way that you manage your windowing is
- you don't -- is, for example, you have boundaries
- that you can drag, sort of separators, between
- different windows, and you can drag them from side
- to side or up and down. And this has the effect of
- resizing one window and not another window.
- Just by the way you're doing that, you're
- never going to cause windows to overlap. You're
- just moving a boundary and no overlap ever occurs.
- So you're not explicitly preventing -- you're not

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² adding functionality that explicitly prevents the
- windows from overlapping, but simply the
- functionality that you have never creates a
- 5 situation in which those windows are overlapping.
- 6 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Okay. So it -- I'm sorry, is that your
- 8 complete answer?
- ⁹ A Yes.
- Okay. So in that tiled windows system
- that includes this boundary function you're talking
- about, would the same boundary function be filed --
- sorry. Would the same boundary function be found in
- a system that allows windows to overlap?
- MR. MICALLEF: Same objections.
- THE WITNESS: Well, it may be. And as a
- concrete example, and as Brad mentions in his
- article, a covered windows system can also do
- 19 tiling, right. That is tiling is sort of a subset
- of covered windowing.
- And it is indeed the case that in, for
- example, Microsoft Tools, you can take what is a
- covered window system, it certainly allows windows
- to overlap, and at certain times say "tile." When
- you say "tile," it will switch some of those windows

- DAVID R. KARGER
- into a tiled configuration, where they do not
- overlap, and will, I think, give you these
- boundaries for moving things between -- for changing
- the sizes of the tiles without introducing overlap.
- So it's clear that we're working within a
- 7 covered window system, but we have moved into a
- 8 moment of tiling. And so all of that -- all of that
- 9 kind of behavior that I was just describing for a
- tiled window system is now occurring within the
- 11 context of a covered window system.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q And in the example you just gave, would
- the windows that are tiled be prevented from
- overlapping? Or sorry. Would they be permitted not
- to overlap or were they just arranged in a
- nonoverlapping arrangement at that moment?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: It's a definitional problem
- because of course you can at any time choose to
- disable the tiling mode and start overlapping those
- windows again.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q All right. So in the -- I'm sorry, I
- don't mean to cut you off.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- A That's my answer, that's the answer.
- 3 Q So when you're in the tiling mode in your
- ⁴ example, are the windows not permitted to overlap?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: It's more accurate to say
- ⁷ that they are not overlapping.
- 8 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 9 Q Does that mean they are permitted to
- overlap if the user chooses to resize one or move
- one?
- 12 A No, as I just said, the user can choose to
- overlap these windows by -- we'd have to go poke at
- the system to see exactly how they do it.
- But they can perform certain operations
- which lead to those windows overlapping.
- Q Okay. And this functionality you
- described to get to a tiling mode, that would be
- ¹⁹ additional functionality as compared to a covered
- windows system that did not support a tiling mode;
- wouldn't that be correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: In the particular example I
- described of Microsoft Windows, yes, I think that
- they have added functionality to support tiling,

- DAVID R. KARGER
- namely that tile selection, which did not have to be
- ³ present.
- 4 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- O Okay. Let me direct your attention to
- 6 native page 71 of the Myers reference, which is page
- 7 of Exhibit 1067. Sir, do you see the "Tiled"
- versus overlapped" subheading there?
- ⁹ A Yes.
- 10 Q The second paragraph under that subheading
- 11 reads, "Implementation in human factors issues guide
- the choice between tiling and overlapping. In
- tiling systems, the computer is typically in charge
- of managing the window placement and size, limiting
- the user's freedom. In covered window managers, the
- user must usually manage the windows."
- Do you see that?
- 18 A Yes, I do.
- Q Okay. So isn't there some functionality
- associated with the computer managing the window
- 21 placement and size in the tiling systems that's not
- present in the covered window manager systems?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: So I will note -- I'm sorry.
- I will note the use of the word "usually" in the

- DAVID R. KARGER
- description of covered window managers, right.
- So the kind of functionality that -- the
- 4 management of window placement and size, which is
- ⁵ referred to in tiling window managers, is sometimes
- 6 available in covered window managers as well.
- 7 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Doesn't have to be, though; correct?
- 9 A It does not have to be.
- Q So that would be additional functionality,
- 11 correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I'll reiterate that this
- 14 functionality can be present in covered window
- managers as well. So I'm not sure that it fulfills
- the characterization of being additional.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Okay. Let's talk about a covered window
- 19 system that does not prevent tiles from -- I'm
- sorry, prevent windows from overlapping, okay? Or
- in other words, a covered window system in which
- windows are permitted to overlap.
- A Uh-huh.
- Q Okay. If I take such a system as my
- starting point, how would I implement or modify that

- DAVID R. KARGER
- system to make it so that the tiles are not -- or
- sorry, the windows are not permitted to overlap?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance,
- ⁵ incomplete hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: That's a quite broad
- 7 question. Can you -- sorry, I don't know if I can
- 8 ask you to narrow it at all or if you want me to try
- ⁹ to answer it in that full breadth.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 11 Q Let's talk about the Windows operating
- system. Let's use Windows 95. Are you familiar
- with that operating system?
- A I have -- I am familiar with it. It's
- been quite a long time since I've used it.
- Okay. Do you have an understanding as to
- how that system implements a window manager that
- 18 allows covered windows?
- 19 A How it does it?
- Q Yes.
- A I certainly have an understanding that it
- does it. I haven't examined the source code in
- order to understand exactly how it does it.
- Q So when you opined in paragraph 284 that
- there must be some functionality missing in a tiled

- DAVID R. KARGER
- Windows system as compared to a covered Windows
- 3 system, what system did you have in mind? What did
- 4 you base that on?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Sir, I'll reiterate what I
- ⁷ said before, that these issues that arise when
- ⁸ windows cover one another are pervasive. The
- 9 covering and uncovering is a common phenomenon in
- any graphical system, and you have to address what's
- going to happen. There are techniques, frame
- buffering and sort of storing the covered material
- for dealing with the fact that sometimes the
- application is going to be updating that information
- that is not actually on the screen, then you have to
- receive those updates so that when that material is
- uncovered, the updated version of the material is
- ¹⁸ visible.
- That is well understood by anybody with
- ordinary skill in the art to be a necessary aspect
- of implementation of windows systems that have
- ²² covering.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Okay. And then with respect to a tiled
- windows system, you said one way in which that

DAVID R. KARGER

- system could be implemented would be to include some
- boundaries that are enforced by the software; is
- 4 that correct?
- a Yes.
- ⁶ Q And these boundaries would prohibit the
- windows from overlapping; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: So if I step out of this
- window, am I prohibited from staying in the air or
- is it just a fact that I fall? So I'm trying to
- understand exactly what you mean about prohibited in
- this context. So the fact that I drag these
- boundaries in order to resize the windows means that
- they never end up covering one another.
- The same way as the fact that when I walk
- out onto empty space, I fall, means that I do not
- stay up in the air.
- 19 Is that a prohibition that I am not
- allowed to stay up in the air? Or is it just a fact
- that I don't stay up in the air? Because I think
- that's what's going on with these -- with this sort
- of boundary dragging, because I'm dragging these
- boundaries, the windows just never end up covering
- each other.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- O I may have misunderstood your answer
- 4 before. When you said dragging the boundaries, what
- ⁵ are you referring to?
- ⁶ A So, again, this is just one example of an
- interface mechanism for resizing windows in a tiled
- 8 windows system is that you will actually place your
- 9 mouse cursor onto the boundary, click down on the
- mouse and then move the mouse, which the metaphor is
- that you're now dragging the boundary that you're on
- top of in the direction that you're moving the
- 13 mouse.
- Q What boundary? Are you talking about a
- boundary of a window or some other type of boundary?
- A In a tiled window system, I'm talking
- about the boundary between two windows.
- Q Okay. And that boundary functionality,
- and particularly the software that implements that
- boundary functionality, that's not necessarily
- present in a covered window system, is it?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: It is not necessarily
- present, but it can be present.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:

- DAVID R. KARGER
- O I understand. But it's an additional
- requirement? You would agree with that?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- 5 THE WITNESS: It's not a requirement in a
- 6 covered window system, but it's an additional
- option. If you want to permit that functionality to
- 8 provide that function to the user, it can be
- ⁹ provided.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 11 Q You would have to add it to a covered
- window system?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q You've given us one example so far of a
- tiled windows system. Are there any other examples
- that you can think of?
- A Examples of tiled window systems.
- O Uh-huh.
- A So are you looking for names of tiled
- window systems?
- o Sure.
- A Let's see. I'm afraid the names are
- escaping me at present. I can go through my report

- DAVID R. KARGER
- to see if I mentioned any of them. I don't recall.
- There are a number of research systems and
- ⁴ patents that describe tiled window systems, but I
- 5 can't give you the names that have been associated
- ⁶ with them.
- Okay. In the systems you have in mind, do
- 8 they all include this boundary functionality that
- 9 you've discussed?
- 10 A I know that some of them do. I don't know
- if all of them do.
- Q Okay. For the ones that don't include the
- boundary functionality, how generally do those
- systems accomplish windows not being permitted to
- 15 overlap?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: So another approach is it's
- a more restrictive approach, but you can certainly
- design a system where a user can add and remove
- windows, but not -- not have this kind of boundary
- dragging capability. And when the user does
- something which introduces another window, the
- system itself just takes care of re-laying out the
- windows to make room for this additional window.
- Conversely, if the user does something to

DAVID R. KARGER

- remove a window, the system can re-lay out the
- 3 windows to make room for -- to take away the space
- 4 that was previously allocated to that window.
- If I can look at the du Perrouzel or
- Duhault, I can try to tell you whether those systems
- 7 satisfy that characterization. I won't assert it
- ⁸ without taking a look, though.
- 9 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Okay. We'll be talking about those later.
- 11 But I'm not specifically concerned about those
- references now. But that description you gave of a
- system that I'll say re-lays out or changes the
- layout when a window is added and then restores or
- rechanges the layout when a window is deleted, that
- functionality would not necessarily be present in a
- covered window system, would it?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: So, again, it would not
- necessarily be present, but it often is. I think
- it's quite common again in Microsoft, many of the
- tools provide "arrange all" command, which
- essentially takes the windows that currently exist
- and lays them out in an uncovered layout.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:

- DAVID R. KARGER
- Q Okay. So again referring to paper 28,
- 3 that's the motion.
- 4 A Yes.
- ⁵ Q So in the limitation that reads "arrange a
- display into a grid of tiles that are not permitted
- to overlap," as compared to a covered window system,
- 8 that limitation would add a requirement for
- ⁹ functionality that is not necessarily present in a
- covered windows system; isn't that correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form, incomplete
- 12 hypothetical. I also object because the question is
- ¹³ irrelevant.
- THE WITNESS: I may have misunderstood the
- question, because I've already said that this is a
- functionality that can be present in a covered
- windowing system.
- 18 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Right. And you've also said that it's not
- necessarily present in a covered windows system;
- 21 correct?
- ²² A Yes.
- Q Okay. What I'm asking you is if you look
- 24 at this limitation, okay, and particularly the part
- that reads "tiles that are not permitted that

DAVID R. KARGER

- overlap, " that adds a requirement for functionality
- that is not necessarily present in a covered windows
- 4 system?
- MR. MICALLEF: Same objection. Is.
- THE WITNESS: It's not clear to me.
- ⁷ Again, certainly in a covered windows system, it's
- 8 possible to arrange those windows so that they are
- 9 not overlapping, right.
- 10 Without any -- the user can do -- there
- are many ways one can take a covered windows system
- and arrange those windows so that they are not
- overlapping. It would be very strange to have a
- covered windows system which requires the windows to
- be overlapping at all times.
- So to say that you are going to execute
- instructions that put the tiles into a
- nonoverlapping configuration, that's something that
- a covered windowing system has to be able to do
- 20 also.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Right. But we're not just saying that
- we're putting them into a nonoverlapping
- configuration. We're saying we're going to arrange
- them into a grid of tiles that are not permitted to

- DAVID R. KARGER
- overlap. That means they cannot overlap.
- And don't you have to add something to a
- 4 covered windows system beyond what is required in
- 5 the simplest sense to implement such a system to
- 6 prevent the tiles from overlapping?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance,
- 8 incomplete hypothetical.
- 9 THE WITNESS: And if I build a covered
- windows system and every time I try to put one tile
- on top of another one, it crashes, wouldn't that be
- a covered windows system in which the tiles are not
- permitted to overlap?
- 14 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q I'm sorry, is that your answer?
- A If I simply failed to implement the
- functionality to handle the case of overlapping
- tiles so that when it happened, the system just
- died, that would seem to me to be a system within
- which the tiles are not permitted to overlap, but
- one where I have certainly not added any
- functionality, I've made a mistake of failing to
- implement some necessary functionality.
- Q Let me understand -- I'm sorry, was that
- your answer?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² A Yes.
- Q Okay. So you are saying that if I build a
- 4 system in which if a user tries to drag one window
- or one tile on top of another and the system reaches
- a program execution fault such that the processor
- ⁷ stops working and the system crashes, that would be
- your example of how you wouldn't have to add any
- 9 functionality; is that correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object -- let him finish
- 11 his question.
- THE WITNESS: Sorry.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 0 Is that correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: So to be more concrete, if I
- took a covered windows system and then removed the
- code that dealt with window overlaps, depending how
- 19 I did it, I would quite likely end up with a system
- that worked fine until I tried to overlap a pair of
- windows.
- When I did try to overlap a pair of
- windows, it would try to invoke some code which no
- longer existed in the system and would therefore
- stop operating.

DAVID R. KARGER

- So by removing the code that handles the
- window overlaps, I have now created a system which
- does not permit overlapping windows anymore.
- 5 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 6 Q Can you think of any other way in which
- you could accomplish a system that has tiles that
- 8 are not permitted to overlap where you are not
- 9 adding something to a covered windows system that
- doesn't prevent tiles from overlapping?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance.
- THE WITNESS: So if I designed a windowing
- system which did not have any functionality for the
- end user to move windows, then as long as my system
- never created any overlapping tiles, it would not be
- possible for the user to move these tiles into an
- overlapping configuration.
- 18 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 19 Q In that example, is that because the
- system could only open one or two windows at a time,
- or is there -- are you saying it's just because the
- user hasn't asked for enough windows to be opened
- where they would overlap?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: We're very far into

DAVID R. KARGER

- hypothetical territory now, but I could design a
- system which creates windows in available space on
- the screen. This is not unusual. And as long as
- there is more space available, it might create them
- ⁶ without overlapping them.
- Again, if the user did not have the
- ⁸ ability to move these windows around, then there
- 9 would be no way to move them into an overlapping
- 10 configuration.
- Now, this entirely hypothetical system
- might have the ability to create windows that
- overlapped as well. It's kind of up to the system
- to decide whether to put the window here where there
- aren't currently any windows or to put the window
- here where there are.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 18 Q In that hypothetical, though, you would
- have to have code in that system that detected where
- the existing windows were and that selected an area
- for the new window that was outside the boundaries
- of those existing windows, wouldn't you?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form, incomplete
- hypothetical, relevance.
- THE WITNESS: I think if you had a system

- DAVID R. KARGER
- which placed windows randomly and happened to get
- into a state where there were no overlapping windows
- and the user was then not able to move them, then
- 5 you would be in a system where the user could not --
- 6 was not able to make the windows overlap.
- 7 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 8 O Right. But the claim doesn't say the user
- 9 is not permitted to make them overlap; right? This
- claim limitation says the tiles are not permitted to
- overlap. And if you're going to make the windows
- come up randomly, then there's also a chance that
- the tiles are going to be permitted to overlap.
- 14 Isn't that the case?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance,
- incomplete hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I need that
- ¹⁸ question again.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 20 Q Sure. You gave an example where the
- 21 system would randomly place the windows. First
- question, if the windows are being randomly placed,
- ²³ are they even in a grid?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form, incomplete
- hypothetical, relevance.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- THE WITNESS: Again, we're certainly in
- 3 hypothetical world right now. But they could end up
- ⁴ in a grid.
- 5 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q But not necessarily so?
- 7 A They could end up not in a grid, yes.
- 8 O And if these windows are being placed
- 9 randomly on the screen, isn't it true that they
- could overlap, they would be permitted to overlap,
- depending on the results of the random number
- generator you happen to use?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance,
- incomplete hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: So there's some ambiguity in
- the text of the amended claim. This is just in
- response to your question. Because the claim says
- that you are going to arrange the display into a
- 19 grid of tiles that are not permitted to overlap, so
- you have to have those sorts of instructions, but it
- doesn't say that you are not permitted to also have
- instructions that arrange the display into a grid of
- tiles that do overlap.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 25 Q Okay. But the claim clearly, as you just

- DAVID R. KARGER
- said, requires instructions that will not permit the
- 3 tiles to overlap.
- A Yes. But the example that I just gave you
- of this random placement of tiles, if it places
- 6 those tiles in a nonoverlapping configuration and
- 7 then does not give the user any functionality for
- 8 moving them, then it has fulfilled this description
- 9 of executing some instructions that arrange the
- tiles into a grid of things that are not overlapping
- and are not permitted to overlap.
- Q When the next random window gets placed,
- if it overlaps an existing window, then what?
- MR. MICALLEF: Then what, okay. Object to
- form; incomplete hypothetical and irrelevant.
- THE WITNESS: So that is performing some
- functionality that is not covered by this claim.
- 18 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 19 Q Because the claim requires the windows not
- be permitted to overlap; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Same objections.
- THE WITNESS: Again, what we've just
- described is a case where it first -- first executes
- instructions fulfilling the claim, and so it has
- ²⁵ fulfilled the claim.

DAVID R. KARGER

- Later on it may go and do something that
- does not fulfill the claim, but it has nonetheless
- ⁴ first fulfilled the claim.
- 5 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 6 O There is a difference between not
- overlapping and not permitted to overlap; is that
- 8 correct?
- ⁹ A Yes.
- 10 Q In your hypothetical example where the
- windows are placed at random locations or randomly
- chosen locations, is that a covered windows system?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance,
- incomplete hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: So are you asking about
- covered windows systems as defined by Myers?
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 0 Yes.
- A So as we saw in the paragraph that we
- began discussing, page 67, column 2, Myers asserts
- that "a window manager is classified as covered if
- it allows windows to overlap."
- In the context of that paragraph, I would
- understand that to be that if it is possible to have
- ²⁵ a circumstance in which the windows overlap in the

```
DAVID R. KARGER
```

- system, then that is a covered windows system.
- 3 Tiled windows system is one in which there is never
- 4 any possibility of having overlapping windows.
- 5 And so -- and again, in this hypothetical
- for randomly placed window situation, since there is a
- 7 possibility that the windows -- since under some
- 8 random choices those windows can end up overlapping,
- ⁹ that would make it a covered windows system.
- Okay. Sir, I'm going to direct your
- attention back to your expert report, Exhibit 1110.
- 12 In particular, paragraph 53. The third sentence in
- paragraph 53 states that "the '403 Patent describes
- several embodiments that permit tiles to overlap,
- including when a tile is enlarged to cover the
- entire screen or a" "portion of it." Then there's a
- citation to Exhibit 1001 at column 9, 43 to 56.
- Do you see that?
- 19 A Yes, I do.
- Q Let me hand you Exhibit 1001.
- 21 (Exhibit 1001 previously
- identified.)
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q And I'll ask you to direct your attention
- to column 9, lines 43 to 56. Do you have that, sir?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- 2 A Yes, I do.
- ³ O So the first sentence in that section
- ⁴ reads, "In a preferred embodiment, double-clicking a
- ⁵ tile causes that tile to occupy a substantial
- fraction of the whole display area"; correct?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 O Okay. Is it necessarily true that if a
- ⁹ tile occupies a substantial fraction of the whole
- display area, it necessarily overlaps other tiles?
- 11 (Witness reviewed document.)
- A All right. Can you restate your question?
- 13 I needed to check a few references.
- Q Sure. We're referring to the first
- sentence, and I asked you if it was necessarily true
- that because a tile occupied a substantial fraction
- of the whole display, it would necessarily overlap
- 18 other tiles.
- A So the reason I read it that way is if you
- look in column 8, line 15, you'll see exactly the
- same text, right. Or sorry, very close match in the
- text, where it describes -- in column 9, it says
- that "double-clicking a tile causes that tile to
- occupy a substantial fraction of the whole display
- ²⁵ area."

DAVID R. KARGER

- Similarly here in column 8, line 15, it's
- 3 asserted that a "window may occupy a substantial"
- ⁴ percentage of available screen space."
- 5 So almost identical text. And so reading
- this text of column 9, the implication is that when
- you double-click in this way, the tile is going to
- 8 act in the way that you've sort of articulated
- ⁹ windows act, which is where they cover each other up
- and this is claimed to be one of the benefits of
- this tiling approach.
- So the way this reads to me is that this
- double clicking causes the tile to behave in the way
- that you've described windows as behaving before and
- covering each other up.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Well, the patent takes pains to
- distinguish tiles from windows, does it not?
- A Yes, it does.
- Q So why would you assume that the behavior
- of the tile is going to mimic a behavior of the
- window, when that particular behavior of the window
- is criticized in the patent?
- A Because of this matched text. And, again,
- this is describing sort of an exceptional aspect,

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² right. This is when you double-click the tile, it
- 3 causes the tile to occupy a substantial fraction of
- 4 the whole display area, right.
- And so, again, a natural way -- the most
- 6 obvious way to read that is that it is blowing up
- and now presenting much more detail, and in order to
- do so, it is overlapping the rest of what's on the
- 9 screen.
- 10 Q That's your assumption, but the patent
- doesn't actually say that, does it?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: It does not.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Okay. And let's go back to column 9, and
- 16 I'll direct your attention to the second sentence,
- starting at line 45, that reads, "In this
- embodiment, double clicking tile 402 or 412 causes
- the image to be expanded to fit the whole display
- ²⁰ area."
- Do you see that?
- A Yes, I do.
- Q That sentence says the image is expanded
- to fit the whole display area and not the tile; is
- that correct?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- 2 A That is what it says.
- 3 Q So this sentence also does not disclose a
- 4 situation where a tile is overlapping with another
- ⁵ tile; isn't that correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: If you look at line 50, it
- 8 refers to an enlarged tile. And that kind of
- 9 provides the indication of what's going on in the
- other examples, right.
- There's an enlarged tile which is going to
- contain a document. It seems obvious that in the
- other cases, you will have enlarged tiles containing
- the image or the e-mail mailbox window.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q So the sentence you referred to at line 50
- reads, "If tile 404 were double-clicked"; correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q That's not one of the tiles in the prior
- sentence that, when double clicked, "file 402 or 412"
- causes the image to be expanded to fit the whole
- display area"; correct?
- A Yes, it is referring to a different tile.
- Q Okay. And that's an enlarged tile, but
- not one that covers the entire screen; correct?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- 3 THE WITNESS: I am not sure -- this
- sentence doesn't really say exactly how enlarged the
- ⁵ tile is. It may be enlarged as was described in the
- first sentence to occupy a substantial portion, or
- it may be enlarged to occupy the whole display.
- 8 It's just silent on that.
- 9 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Right. So if it's the former, that it's
- occupying a substantial portion, as with the first
- sentence, starting at line 43, the sentence starting
- at line 50 also does not say that the tile 404 would
- overlap any other tile; isn't that correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Sorry, restate the question,
- 17 please.
- 18 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 19 Q That sentence that starts at line 50 that
- reads "If tile 404 were double-clicked," et cetera,
- that sentence doesn't explicitly say that tile 404
- would overlap any other tile, does it?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: It does not explicitly say
- 25 so.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q And, in fact, if tile 404 were enlarged to
- occupy, let's say, 80 percent of the screen, what
- 5 could happen is the other tiles in the grid could be
- 6 displayed in the remaining 20 percent. Wouldn't
- ⁷ that be possible?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- ⁹ hypothetical, relevance.
- THE WITNESS: The patent says nothing
- 11 about that.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Just like it says nothing about tiles
- overlapping; correct?
- ¹⁵ A Correct.
- Q Okay. So in paragraph 53 of your report,
- Exhibit 1110, you say that the patent gives several
- embodiments that permit tiles to overlap.
- We've talked about the ones you've cited
- at column 9, lines 43 to 56. Is there anywhere else
- in the '403 patent you say there's an embodiment
- that permits tiles to overlap?
- A Would you like me to reread the whole '403
- patent in order to answer that question?
- Q If that's what you need to do, please do

- DAVID R. KARGER
- 2 so.
- 3 A Okay. Then I'll at least take a skim.
- 4 (Witness reviewed document.)
- Okay. Do you want to restate the
- ⁶ question?
- ⁷ Q Sure. Did you find any other examples
- where the '403 patent describes tiles being
- 9 permitted to overlap?
- ¹⁰ A No.
- 11 Q Thank you.
- We've been going for more than an hour, so
- would you like to take a break?
- ¹⁴ A Sure.
- 15 (Recess.)
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Back on the record. Dr. Karger, I'll ask
- you to direct your attention back to paragraph 49 of
- your report, which is Exhibit 1110 again.
- ²⁰ A Yes.
- Q You say in paragraph 49 that "'tiles' were
- known in the prior art and that none of the prior
- 23 art examples" that you "have been able to identify
- state that they must be persistent." "They" means
- tiles; correct?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² A Correct.
- ³ Q And you cite to Exhibit 1020, which is the
- ⁴ '750 patent; correct?
- ⁵ A Yes.
- 6 Q So I'll hand you Exhibit 1020.
- (Exhibit 1020 previously
- identified.)
- 9 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 10 Q Now, Dr. Karger, I'd ask you whether this
- 11 Exhibit 1020 matches the definition of tiles found
- 12 in Exhibit 1088 that you cite in the same paragraph
- 49. So let me hand you Exhibit 1088 as well.
- 14 (Exhibit 1088 previously
- identified.)
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- O So the exhibit number is down in the lower
- right-hand corner on the first page.
- ²⁰ A 1088.
- Q So again, Dr. Karger, the question is
- you've cited to both Exhibit 1088 and Exhibit 1020
- in paragraph 49. And I'm asking you whether either
- the definition or the description of tiles in those
- two references are consistent.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: What do you mean by
- 4 "consistent"?
- 5 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Well, are the definitions identical?
- 7 A No, they're not.
- 8 O How are they different?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: So the use of tile in the
- Nadan patent, Exhibit 1020, is somewhat similar to
- the way we've been using it in this general
- discussion. It's a region of the screen which is
- 14 fed information, which is used to display
- information which is semantically distinct from
- other parts of the screen.
- Whereas in Weadock's Exhibit 1088, tile
- there is, again, a visual element, but this is used
- to kind of populate a background of a desktop.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Okay. Can I ask you where in Exhibit 1088
- you're referring?
- A It's in the glossary on page -- well, it's
- native page 340. It's labeled as page 41 of the
- exhibit. There's just a glossary definition of

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² tile.
- O Does Exhibit 1088 describe the tile as
- being something that's updated?
- 5 A No.
- ⁶ Q Do you have any understanding or any
- reason to believe that the tile in 1088 is something
- 8 that's going to be updated?
- ⁹ A No.
- O How about in Exhibit 1020? What's the
- definition of tile you're relying on in that
- 12 exhibit?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: So if you look at column 3,
- line 58, "It is another object to provide for
- distributing information in a tile format whereby
- each user can assign a location on that user's video
- screen for display of the tile, and the same display
- information may be displayed on different locations
- on different video screens and simultaneously
- ²¹ updated."
- That's the introduction of the concept of
- the tile as sort of a small display element which
- 24 can be updated.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:

```
DAVID R. KARGER
```

- Q So again, that's different than 1088;
- 3 correct?
- ⁴ A Correct.
- ⁵ Q And the third reference you cite in that
- paragraph is Exhibit 1040. So I'll hand you a copy
- ⁷ of Exhibit 1040.
- 8 (Exhibit 1040 previously
- identified.)
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 11 Q And the first question is, what portion of
- 1040 are you relying on for your understanding of
- what tile means as used in that document?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: So if you look at page --
- 16 I'm sorry, at column 2, line 53, there's a paragraph
- that describes the information being displayed here
- "the programming guide system, presents scheduling
- information for programs via a schedule display
- having three display elements, a category display, a
- subcategory display and a program display.
- "The category display displays an array of
- category tiles representing categories of
- 24 programming information. The subcategory display
- displays an area of subcategory tiles representing

DAVID R. KARGER

- subcategories that are associated." And the
- "program display displays an array of program
- 4 tiles."
- And we can see these presented in many of
- 6 the figures. I think it was 2 and 3, for example.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Is it fair to say that the meaning of
- ⁹ tiles in Exhibit 1040 is different from the meaning
- of tiles in Exhibit 1088?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: It depends what you mean by
- "meaning." It depends what "meaning" means.
- All three of these are using tiles in
- terms of sort of a small display element, right. In
- 1088, that display element is static, is used to
- render repeatedly in the background. In the other
- two it's a dynamic element which is updated and
- 19 displays information -- different information in
- different tiles, instead of repeating the same tile
- over and over again.
- But I would have to read a lot more of
- these patents to answer firmly whether that's
- part -- whether part of that -- whether each of
- these patents intends for the meaning of tile to

DAVID R. KARGER

- cover all of these different aspects, or are just
- referring to tile as a small display element within
- ⁴ the larger display.
- 5 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Let's start with Exhibit 1088. How is the
- 7 use of tile -- of the term "tile" in Exhibit 1088
- 8 relevant to the '403 patent?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: How is it relevant to the
- ¹¹ patent?
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 13 Q Let me withdraw that and start from a
- different direction. The tiles of the '403 patent
- are updatable; correct?
- 16 A The '403 patent certainly describes
- updating the tiles that are being displayed, yes.
- O And in Exhibit 1088, there is no
- description of updating of tiles as that term is
- used; correct?
- 21 A Correct.
- Q Okay. So the meaning of tiles in the
- Exhibit 1088 is not the same as the meaning of tiles
- in the '403 patent; isn't that correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- THE WITNESS: Can you restate your
- 3 question?
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 5 Q Restate it or repeat it?
- ⁶ A Sorry, repeat.
- 7 MR. HEINTZ: I'd ask the reporter to read
- 8 the question back.
- 9 (Record read by the court reporter as
- follows: "Q: So the meaning of tiles in
- the Exhibit 1088 is not the same as the
- meaning of tiles in the '403 patent;
- isn't that correct?")
- MR. MICALLEF: Same objection.
- THE WITNESS: So, again, this comes down
- 16 to what does -- what "meaning" means. I will of
- 17 course note that the board has decided what tile
- 18 means, and that's the construction under which I'm
- 19 generally operating.
- The '403 patent certainly talks about the
- tiles being updated, but it's not clear if that
- becomes part of the meaning of tiles or whether it
- is something you're doing with the tile.
- But the meaning of tile is more about the
- fact that it is just a region of the screen, for

- DAVID R. KARGER
- example. Do you see the distinction I'm trying to
- 3 draw?
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- ⁵ Q I do somewhat. It would be correct, then,
- that one of the properties of a tile in the '403
- ⁷ patent is that it's at least capable of being
- updated; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: That is, I believe, part of
- the construction of the board, yes, that a tile
- is -- that has content -- whose content may be
- 13 refreshed.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- ¹⁵ Q And there is no ability to update the
- content of the tiles as described in Exhibit 1088,
- ¹⁷ is there?
- 18 A That's correct.
- Q Okay. So it's clear to you, then, that
- those two terms -- I'm sorry, the way those terms
- ²¹ are being used in those two references are
- different, is it not?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 25 Q At least on the basis of the ability to

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² update the tile?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: It is -- it is clear to me
- 5 that the tiles in the glossary of Exhibit 1088 are
- 6 not described as being updatable tiles, yes.
- 7 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 8 O So then why is it relevant to
- ⁹ understanding the meaning of tiles in the '403
- patent -- sorry, let me refresh that.
- Why is the meaning of tiles in Exhibit
- 1088 relevant to ascertaining the meaning of tiles
- in the '403 patent?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: It's relevant, I guess, in
- the sense of being comprehensive. So I've brought
- forth several examples of tiles which are used quite
- closely to the usage in '403 and pointed out that
- neither of those examples requires persistence.
- Here in the Exhibit 1088, I have a usage
- of tile which is more -- I have a -- which is less
- similar to what's used in the '403 patent, but there
- too there is no requirement of persistence.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q But Exhibit 1088 is using the term

- DAVID R. KARGER
- differently than the term is being used in the '403
- patent; correct?
- 4 MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- 5 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 6 Q Let me ask an even simpler question for
- you. When the '403 patent refers to tiles, it is
- 8 not referring to a small graphic that a browser can
- ⁹ use as a Web page background by repeating it to fill
- 10 a page space, as it's used in Exhibit 1088. That
- would be correct, wouldn't it?
- A Yes, I agree with that.
- 13 Q Thank you, Doctor.
- Are you familiar with the term "tiles" as
- it's used in the context of the Windows 8 operating
- system?
- A No, I don't have a great deal of
- ¹⁸ familiarity with that.
- Q Okay. Am I to understand from paragraph
- 49 of your report, Exhibit 1110, that you don't
- believe that tiles as used in the '403 patent should
- be persistent?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Can I get that repeated?
- BY MR. HEINTZ:

DAVID R. KARGER

- Q Let me restate it. In paragraph 49 you
- 3 point to the use of the word "tile" in different
- 4 references, you note that as used in those
- 5 references, the term "tile" is not persistent. And
- 6 then my question is, am I to understand from that
- 7 that you conclude from that that "tile," as used in
- 8 the '403 patent, should not be understood to mean
- 9 something that's persistent?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; irrelevant.
- 11 (Witness reviewed document.)
- THE WITNESS: I'm seeking the single usage
- of the word "persistent" in the '403 patent. It's
- escaping me.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Column 15. I don't know where exactly you
- want to look, but the sentence starts around line
- ¹⁸ 59. I'm sorry, 62.
- 19 A There we go. Good. I wanted to have that
- in front of me when I answered your question.
- (Record read by the court reporter as
- follows: "Q: In paragraph 49 you point
- to the use of the word "tile" in
- different references, you note that as
- used in those references, the term "tile"

- DAVID R. KARGER
- is not persistent. And then my question
- is, am I to understand from that that you
- deconclude from that that "tile," as used
- ⁵ in
- the '403 patent, should not be understood
- to mean something that's persistent?")
- MR. MICALLEF: Same objections.
- 9 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 11 Q In reaching your conclusion, did you
- examine the specification of the '403 patent?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q Let me direct your attention to column 8,
- 15 lines 29 through 34. I will read that passage to
- ¹⁶ you.
- "In the present invention, a third
- graphical representation of programs and files,
- herein called a tile, is introduced."
- Sir, what do you think the -- what's your
- understanding of what the word "introduced" means as
- used in that sentence of the '403 patent?
- 23 A That they are introducing a -- that they
- are introducing this term of tile to talk about what
- they're going to be making claims about in the

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² patent.
- Q And does "introduced" signify to you that
- 4 they intend this to be a new term?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: A new term. Do you mean a
- ⁷ term that has never been used before?
- 8 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 9 Q Well, a term that is not familiar to the
- reader in the context of the subject matter to which
- the '403 patent is directed.
- 12 A That's not clear. What is introduced is
- this third graphical representation of programs and
- 14 files.
- They're not saying that they're
- introducing the term "tile." They are introducing
- this -- or claiming to introduce this third
- graphical representation of programs and files.
- Q Okay. So there's a new kind of graphical
- representation that this patent is going to be
- 21 referring to as the tile --
- ²² A Yes.
- Q -- that's being introduced; correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And "introduced" means this is

```
DAVID R. KARGER
```

- some -- at least in the mind of the writer, this is
- something new, this is intended to be a new thing
- 4 that's going to be introduced, a new graphical
- ⁵ representation of programs and files; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance.
- THE WITNESS: That is my understanding of
- 8 the -- that the authors of this patent believe that
- ⁹ there is something new here.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Okay. And in particular, the "something"
- new" is this graphical representation of programs
- and files that they are going to call a tile;
- 14 correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Doesn't that signify to you that
- the authors intended this representation to be
- something different from what's described, for
- ¹⁹ example, in 1088?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- ²² O Exhibit 1088.
- A Intended it to be something different. So
- what I can infer from this paragraph is that they
- are describing something which they do not think is

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² an icon or a window.
- That's what this "third" refers to. The
- 4 use of "third" in this sentence is referring to
- their belief that they are describing something that
- is not an icon and is not a window.
- 7 Q Well, it means more than that, doesn't it?
- 8 I mean, a toaster is not a window or icon yet it's
- 9 not being introduced. The authors don't say I'm
- going to introduce you to a toaster; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: That is correct. But they
- are saying they are going to introduce me to
- something which is not an icon and is not a window.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- O And doesn't the word "introduced" connote
- that it's going to be something you haven't seen
- before, at least in their interpretation?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance.
- THE WITNESS: I will agree that they
- believe that there is something new here, and that's
- what they're asserting through this sentence.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Okay. Now, the next sentence of that
- passage at column 8 reads, "tiles permit dynamic

- DAVID R. KARGER
- bookmarking of information in that each tile is a
- viewer of a single information source, including
- 4 streaming data sources, and can be customized with
- 5 the user's choice of content."
- Do you see that sentence?
- 7 A Yes, I do.
- ⁸ Q Do you have an understanding of the
- 9 concept of bookmarking?
- 10 A Yes, I do.
- 11 Q What's your understanding of the concept
- of bookmarking?
- 13 A The concept of bookmarking is to hold
- something -- is to provide rapid access to
- something, often something that you have seen before
- and would like to return to.
- 17 Q In your experience, are bookmarks
- persistent?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: In my experience, they can
- 21 be.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Do you have experience with bookmarks that
- are not persistent?
- 25 A So in preparing this work, I did a lot of

- DAVID R. KARGER
- work with Adobe Acrobat, and I would often mark
- 3 certain spots in the document that I was reading
- ⁴ using a highlighting functionality in order to allow
- 5 me to return to that spot in the document at
- ⁶ previous -- at a later time.
- 7 But if the -- I had several cases where
- the laptop crashed before I had saved that document,
- ⁹ and then those markings vanished.
- Q When the system worked correctly and
- didn't crash, were those mark --
- 12 A If I explicitly saved the document, then
- yes, those bookmarks would be there later.
- Q Are you familiar with icons as used in the
- desktop of the Windows 95 system?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q Were those icons persistent?
- A Yes, I think that they were.
- Q And, again, I'm not talking about
- instances where the system crashes before it gets
- saved. In the normal operation, desktop icons were
- persistent, were they not?
- A I would grant that they fulfill the sort
- of standard notion of persistence.
- Q And what is that standard notion?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² A That they continue to exist for some
- 3 length of time.
- 4 Q Would they continue to exist -- sorry.
- In your understanding of the standard
- 6 notion of persistence, would they continue to exist
- ⁷ from session to session?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: So "session" is one of the
- terms that has not really been carefully defined in
- these proceedings. But they certainly can be seen
- as persisting from session to session for certain
- natural understandings of "session."
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q What's your natural understanding of
- 16 session?
- A Well, one kind of session might be where I
- leave the computer to go get some coffee then I come
- back to the computer and start a new session of
- work.
- Another one might be where I turn off the
- computer and I start it again later to do some
- ²³ additional work.
- Q Any others?
- 25 A There is this notion of a session layer in

- DAVID R. KARGER
- the sort of seven-layer link protocol, which has to
- 3 do with sort of a -- an interaction with a certain
- 4 application, some period of interaction which is all
- ⁵ related in some way. I'd have to go back, digging
- through my networking books, to get a precise
- ⁷ definition of a session layer.
- 8 O But we're talking about a PC communicating
- ⁹ with some remote device?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And just so we're clear, under that
- 12 natural understanding of what session means, would
- it be true that icons -- desktop icons in the
- Windows 95 system were persistent?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, it would.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 18 O Referring to those same icons in the
- win -- desktop icons in the Windows 95 system, what
- would happen if a user double-clicked on one of
- those icons?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: The common behavior with
- icons is to launch either the program indicated by
- that icon or the program responsible for the file

1 DAVID R. KARGER indicated by that icon. BY MR. HEINTZ: What do you mean by the word "launch"? Q 5 I mean transfer execution to. Α 6 Would that be the same as calling an 0 application? Object to form. MR. MICALLEF: THE WITNESS: That would be an example of 10 calling an application, yes. 11 BY MR. HEINTZ: 12 Is it fair to say, then, that a user of 13 the Windows 95 system could use the icons to call an 14 application and again, for clarity, the desktop 15 icons we've been talking about on the Windows 95 16 system? 17 Object to form; relevance. MR. MICALLEF: 18 THE WITNESS: Repeat of question, please? 19 (Record read by the court reporter as 20 follows: Is it fair to say, then, "Q: 21 that a user of the Windows 95 system 22 could use the icons to call an 23 application and again, for clarity, the 24 desktop icons we've been talking about on

the Windows 95 system?")

25

- DAVID R. KARGER
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 4 O Earlier we discussed that those Windows 95
- 5 desktop icons were persistent from session to
- 6 session; correct?
- 7 A Yes.
- ⁸ Q Was it important for a user that they be
- ⁹ persistent from session to session?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance,
- incomplete hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: Important -- it is useful.
- 13 Is that what you mean by "important"?
- 14 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 15 Q That's one meaning, for sure.
- In your experience, did users rely on
- those applications to launch application programs?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance.
- THE WITNESS: I think you need to restate
- that question.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 22 Q In your experience, did users of the
- Windows 95 operating system rely on those desktop
- icons to call application programs?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance,

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² lack of personal knowledge.
- 3 THE WITNESS: I assume that some did.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- ⁵ Q Did you ever use the Windows 95 system?
- ⁶ A Yes, I did.
- Q Did you rely on those desktop icons to
- 8 call application programs?
- ⁹ A At times.
- 10 Q In that Windows 95 system we discussed
- with those desktop icons, those icons weren't the
- only way to call application programs, were they?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 14 hypothetical, irrelevant.
- THE WITNESS: We are talking about a
- 20-year-old operating system, so my memory is not
- perfect. But I do believe that there were other
- ways to call application programs.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q For example, one could press the start
- button and then look under programs and select from
- ²² a menu?
- ²³ A Yes --
- MR. MICALLEF: Same objections.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- ³ Q And an application that can be called from
- that program menu could also be called by an icon
- from the desktop, if the system was so configured;
- 6 correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance,
- incomplete hypothetical.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 11 Q Sir, I'll ask you to direct your attention
- 12 to column 11 of the '403 patent. It's Exhibit 1001.
- 13 In particular, I'll direct your attention to line
- 14 I'll call it 32. It begins "in a preferred
- embodiment, a grid of tiles replaces the
- functionality of the computer desktop utilized by
- many modern computer operating systems."
- Do you see that?
- 19 A Yes, I do.
- 20 Q Do you have an understanding of what that
- sentence means?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I infer an understanding
- from the elaboration provided in the rest of this
- ²⁵ paragraph.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Okay. What is that understanding?
- ⁴ A My understanding is that the grid of tiles
- is replacing the static icons and tool bars that are
- 6 traditionally found on a desktop.
- ⁷ Q And one of the functions of the icons is
- 8 to call application programs, is it not?
- 9 MR. MICALLEF: Object to form, incomplete
- 10 hypothetical, irrelevant.
- THE WITNESS: That is a function of icons,
- yes. But it is not the focus issue of this
- paragraph.
- 14 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q And those icons that are being replaced by
- the grid of tiles were persistent, as we discussed
- earlier; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; irrelevant,
- incomplete hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, correct.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q And just so we're clear, the Windows 95
- system would have been a modern computer operating
- system at the time of the '403 patent; is that
- ²⁵ correct?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² A Yes.
- 3 O Dr. Karger, in 1999, time of the '403 --
- ⁴ I'm sorry, time that the provisional for the '403
- ⁵ patent was filed, do you have a sense for what a --
- 6 how much ram a typical PC had?
- A Not something I can recall off the top of
- 8 my head.
- 9 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 10 Q Do you recall whether a typical PC in that
- timeframe had enough RAM to store the entire Windows
- ¹² 95 operating system?
- A No, I do not.
- Q Sir, I'll direct your attention to column
- 15 8, line 57 to 58 of the '403 patent. Those lines
- read, "a tile is associated with a program, file or
- data stream in the same way that an icon and a
- window are."
- Do you see that?
- 20 A Yes, I do.
- 21 Q Do you have an understanding as to how an
- icon is associated with a program, a file or a data
- 23 stream?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q What's that understanding?
- ⁴ A So an icon, as we discussed earlier, can
- be associated with a program that is launched when
- ⁶ you double-click on that icon or can be associated
- with a particular file that that icon represents.
- 8 O In light of that disclosure in the '403
- 9 patent, would one of ordinary skill in the art
- understand that clicking on a tile will call a
- program in the same way that clicking on an icon
- 12 calls a program?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: It's not clear to me that
- that would follow from this sentence. Sort of the
- way I read this sentence is that icons and windows
- are associated with programs, file or data streams.
- Tiles are also associated with programs, files or
- ¹⁹ data streams.
- This sentence in isolation does not say
- that exactly the same behavior should emerge with a
- tile as you would typically see with an icon. It
- just says that there is an association.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Okay. How about that sentence in

- DAVID R. KARGER
- 2 combination with the sentence at column 11, line 32
- 3 that says that a "grid of tiles replaces the
- functionality of the computer 'desktop'"?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Again, I think that it
- doesn't -- it's not clear from this sentence what --
- one can interpret the functionality of the computer
- 9 desktop to mean many different possible things,
- right. The computer desktop is also just the thing
- that you see when you're not doing anything, right,
- and in a sense, the description, what's discussed in
- this paragraph, really focuses on that issue, that
- this grid of tiles provides something more
- interesting for you to see when you're not doing
- anything than the traditional desktop does.
- 17 It really doesn't get into any of the
- question of calling application programs, launching
- ¹⁹ and so forth.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Earlier, we discussed that the typical
- computer desktop in a modern computer operating
- system, as used in that sentence in column 11,
- includes icons; correct?
- A Typically, but not necessarily.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- Q Right. In the '403 patent, it's
- discussing that those desktops include icons?
- 4 A Yes.
- ⁵ Q And those icons don't give any updating
- information -- updatable information for the user to
- view; isn't that correct? Those were static?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Correct.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 0 Okay. So when that sentence at column 11
- talks about replacing the functionality of the
- desktop, it's not talking about giving the user
- something new to look at; it's talking about
- performing the function performed by, among other
- things, the icons on the desktop, isn't it?
- A Not necessarily. I mean, I can replace
- something with something else and lose some
- ¹⁹ functionality by doing so.
- 20 Q So it's your opinion, then, that one of
- ordinary skill in the art would understand that the
- icons that are being replaced by tiles -- sorry,
- that the replacement of the icons by the tiles, one
- of ordinary skill in the art -- let me rephrase that
- question, sorry. It's getting late in the day.

DAVID R. KARGER

- Would a person of ordinary skill in the
- art understand from reading the '403 patent that the
- tiles are replacing icons on the desktop of a modern
- ⁵ computer operating system?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- ⁷ hypothetical.
- (Witness reviewed document.)
- 9 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
- 10 (Record read by the court reporter as
- follows: "Q: Would a person of ordinary
- skill in the art understand from reading
- the '403 patent that the tiles are
- replacing icons on the desktop of a
- modern computer operating system?")
- MR. MICALLEF: Same objection.
- THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. The patent
- describes a number of embodiments of tiles. I
- believe that one of them is on a page of a Web
- browser. And it's quite possible that you could
- have a system that had a mixture of icons and tiles
- and windows, so there would be no replacement in the
- normal sense of the word.
- MR. HEINTZ: All right. It's about 12:50,
- it's probably a good point to take a break for

```
Page 264
1
                       DAVID R. KARGER
2
     lunch, if that's acceptable.
3
                 (Whereupon, at 12:50 p.m., the
4
     deposition was recessed, to be reconvened at
5
     1:45 p.m. this same day.)
6
7
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- DAVID R. KARGER
- 2 AFTERNOON SESSION (1:54 p.m.)
- Whereupon,
- ⁴ DAVID R. KARGER
- resumed the stand and, having been previously duly
- 6 sworn, was examined and testified further as
- ⁷ follows:
- EXAMINATION (Continued)
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 10 Q Back on the record. Dr. Karger, before
- the break, we were discussing the '403 patent, and I
- wanted to direct your attention to column 11, lines
- 23 through 26 -- 23 through 25, excuse me, of the
- ¹⁴ '403 patent.
- So on those lines, there's a sentence that
- 16 reads, "Therefore, the application resides over
- existing applications without replacing any of them;
- rather it enables them to be called from the grid
- 19 itself."
- So before we get too far into this, I want
- to clarify what some of these pronouns are referring
- 22 to.
- So in the part of the sentence that talks
- about "the application resides over existing
- applications," do you have an understanding that the

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² application in that part of the sentence refers to
- the grid and tiles application?
- 4 A Yes.
- Okay. And the "existing applications"
- 6 would refer to things like existing applications on
- ⁷ a computer such as a word processing program or
- browser or something like that?
- ⁹ A Yes.
- Q Okay. All right. And then in the part of
- the sentence after the semicolon, "rather it enables
- them, does the "it" refer to the grid and tiles
- ¹³ application?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q All right. And the "them" refers to the
- existing applications?
- 17 A That's my understanding.
- Q Okay. All right. With that understanding
- as to the meaning of application and some of these
- pronouns, what is your understanding of what that
- sentence would mean to one of ordinary skill in the
- ²² art?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, so my understanding of
- that is -- I mean, it's pretty much taking it

- DAVID R. KARGER
- literally, right, that the application does not
- itself provide the functionality, so the grid
- ⁴ application does not provide the functionality that
- 5 has been previously provided by these various
- applications, e-mail program, word processor, so on
- and so forth, but instead, continues to make use of
- 8 those applications to provide those types of
- ⁹ functionality.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 11 Q You say that the application, meaning the
- 12 grid and tiles application --
- 13 A Yes.
- Q -- makes use of those applications. But
- the sentence says that the grid and tiles
- applications enables the existing applications to be
- called from the grid itself; correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- Okay. Doesn't that mean that the user is
- enabled by the grid and tiles applications to call
- the existing applications?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: That's a possible
- interpretation. I don't think it's the only one.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:

```
DAVID R. KARGER
```

- Q Okay. Sir, if I say to you that a grid of
- 3 tiles is persistent, doesn't that mean that each of
- 4 the tiles in the grid is persistent?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 6 hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: So I'd like to begin by
- pointing out that the '403 patent doesn't say that
- ⁹ the grid of tiles is persistent. What it says in
- its specification is that items in the metabase are
- persistent.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 0 I understand.
- A But you're asking me about something
- different?
- 16 Q Yes. I'm asking you if I say the words to
- you that a grid of tiles are persistent -- I'm
- sorry, a grid of tiles is persistent, doesn't that
- mean that each of the tiles in the grid is also
- persistent?
- MR. MICALLEF: Same objection.
- THE WITNESS: I --
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Would it help if I define -- I'm sorry, go
- 25 ahead.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- A I don't think that it necessarily follows.
- One could talk about the grid, that is if one had a
- qrid of tiles, one could talk about that grid being
- ⁵ persistent as the individual tiles within the grid
- 6 changed.
- 7 Q I'm sorry, was that your entire answer?
- 8 A Yes. I mean, if you want to ask me to
- 9 elaborate, I can, but --
- Q I would like you to do so, yes.
- 11 A So if we say that the grid is persistent,
- right, it's possible for tiles to come and go within
- that grid without changing the fact that the grid
- itself is persistent. One tile might be replaced by
- another or one tile might change, showing other
- content. Is that making the -- and it seems
- arguable that unless the grid itself is persistent,
- even as those tiles are coming and going.
- Q Can't you consider the grid of tiles to be
- a unified construct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form. Incomplete
- 22 hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: I could consider the grid of
- tiles as a unified construct. But, for example, if
- I tell you that a tree -- things can change while

- DAVID R. KARGER
- they are being persistent, right. So the tree is
- 3 persistent, the leaves on the trees are not
- 4 persistent.
- In a similar way, one could talk about the
- ⁶ grid of tiles being persistent while the tiles
- ⁷ themselves are not persistent.
- 8 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- ⁹ Q So in your example there, the tree would
- be the grid and the leaves would be the tiles; is
- 11 that right?
- 12 A Yeah.
- Q But there's a difference; right? You said
- the tree is persistent, the leaves are not. You
- didn't say that the tree of leaves is persistent?
- A True. If you want, I can look for another
- metaphor if you like that would use that language.
- Q Let me try --
- 19 A This crowd of people is persistent. Some
- people come and go from the crowd, but the crowd of
- people remains persistent.
- Q If I define a grid to be an arrangement of
- tiles -- sorry.
- If I define a grid to be a plurality of
- tiles arranged in a column and row format and I tell

```
DAVID R. KARGER
```

- you that the tiles are persistent, doesn't that mean
- 3 that the grid is persistent as well?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 5 hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: Again, I think there's some
- ⁷ ambiguity there which also -- I mean, it's connected
- 8 to the ambiguity in the '403 patent itself when it
- ⁹ talks about the items in the metabase being
- persistent, but not about tiles being persistent.
- Sometimes when this patent talks about
- tiles, it's talking about the thing that you see.
- 13 And other times it's talking about the data
- structures, the information about those tiles.
- And you could certainly imagine persisting
- certain information about the tiles, while the tile
- itself on the display might not be persistent, it
- might show up somewhere else at some other time.
- So you certainly can define -- I mean, you
- can use language to say that these tiles are
- persistent, these tiles are displayed in a
- persistent fashion, so on and so forth. I'm just
- saying that that language has not been used.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 25 Q I'm not asking -- all right. If a grid is

DAVID R. KARGER

- just nothing more than a plurality of tiles arranged
- in a row and column format and I tell you that each
- of the tiles on the grid are persistent, wouldn't it
- 5 also be true that the grid is persistent?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- ⁷ hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: I don't think so, right. I
- 9 mean, we can ascribe some persistence to each of
- these documents that are in front of me, but I can
- move them around. I can take them out of a grid
- shape. I can -- right. They're still persisting
- individually.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 0 If the tile includes a location and the
- location of the tile is persistent, then wouldn't
- the grid of tiles, each of which having a persistent
- location, wouldn't the grid also be persistent?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 20 hypothetical, irrelevant.
- THE WITNESS: So if by "grid" you mean the
- sort of visual grid, the positioning of tiles on the
- screen, and you tell me that the tiles are
- persistent and their positions are persistent, then
- I would infer that the grid is persistent.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- If you're, as the patent does, talking
- 3 about the grid as a data structure with --
- 4 containing information about the tile, then telling
- me that the positions are persistent is not
- 6 necessarily telling me that the data structure in
- its entirety is persistent.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- ⁹ Q If I tell you that the data structure
- includes the position and that the entire data
- structure for each tile is persistent, then wouldn't
- the grid formed by those persistent tiles also be
- persistent?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- hypothetical, irrelevant.
- THE WITNESS: No. Again, this is a
- consequence of there being not a lot of detail about
- exactly what this patent wants to mean by
- persistence and so forth.
- I could persistently maintain information
- about the tiles, the grid, the layout and so on and
- so forth, without having the visual presentation
- itself be persistent.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Sir, I'm going to ask you to turn your

- DAVID R. KARGER
- attention back to the paper 28, which is the patent
- owner's motion for conditional amendments.
- 4 A All right.
- ⁵ Q Again I'll ask you to direct your
- attention to page 2.
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Near the bottom of the page, there is a
- 9 claim limitation, which reads "wherein the tiles are
- selectable, and wherein selecting a tile calls an
- assigned application program to provide access to
- information, the assigned application program being
- different from a program that arranges the display
- into the grid of tiles that are not permitted to
- overlap."
- Do you see that?
- A Yes, I do.
- Q Do you have an understanding of what the
- words "the assigned application program being
- different from a program that arranges the display
- into the grid of tiles that are not permitted to
- overlap" means?
- A Not entirely. There's ambiguity there.
- Q What's the ambiguity?
- 25 A Well, at the end of the day, we have a

DAVID R. KARGER

- bunch of software, which is -- a bunch of machine
- 3 code, which is all being executed on a computer.
- 4 What does it mean for some of this machine code to
- be different from some of the other machine code?
- Does it mean that it is in a different -- it's
- 7 compiled from a different source code file? Does it
- 8 mean that it is a different function within the same
- ⁹ file? Does it mean that somebody else who wrote it?
- Does it mean it was bundled separately?
- 11 There are sort of many, many possible
- 12 notions of difference, right. There was a great
- deal of -- sorry, never mind that.
- 14 If you bundle a lot of software together,
- is it now -- is it different pieces of software or
- is it one piece of software?
- So I'm just sort of bringing all these out
- as different possible interpretations of
- different -- to explain why I can't say that I have
- 20 a complete understanding of what the meaning is.
- Q Let me direct your attention to paragraph
- 22 221 of your expert declaration, that's Exhibit 1110.
- In paragraph 221, second sentence reads, "MSIE Kit
- 24 also shows the Outlook Express electronic mail
- application was an 'application program being

DAVID R. KARGER

- different from' the portion of the Active Desktop
- 3 that arranges the display into the grid of tiles,
- and also different from" the "Active Desktop"
- ⁵ generally."
- Do you see that?
- 7 A Uh-huh.
- 0 Is that a correct statement?
- ⁹ A Yes.
- 10 Q How were you able to determine that the
- 11 Outlook Express electronic mail application was an
- application program that was different from Active
- 13 Desktop?
- A So what I did was determine that it was
- different under a plausible reading -- under one
- plausible reading of being different.
- 17 Q And what was that plausible meaning?
- A So the difference that I was attending to
- here was drawn from the fact that you don't
- necessarily have to have Outlook Express installed
- on a computer. And so from that sense, it has its
- own identity, right. It's not something that comes
- with every Active Desktop system that you
- ²⁴ automatically must have Outlook Express available
- with that code.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- So there is a difference that can be
- 3 pointed to.
- ⁴ Q Was Active Desktop distributed with some
- ⁵ version of the Microsoft Windows operating system?
- ⁶ A Was it distributed with? I'm not sure,
- off the top of my head, whether it was distributed
- or whether you had to download it separately or get
- 9 hold of it in some other way.
- Q Was Active Desktop a part of Internet
- 11 Explorer?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance.
- THE WITNESS: Was Active Desktop a part of
- 14 Internet Explorer? That goes to what is the
- definition of Internet Explorer.
- So my knowledge of Active Desktop comes
- from the Active Desktop documentation, which is in
- one of these exhibits and not from the software
- which was distributed. Are you asking about it
- as -- in terms of its documentation or in terms of
- the software artifacts, which went through various
- releases and which I haven't really examined?
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Let's go with the documentation. The
- reference you're talking about is MSIE kit; correct?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² A Yes.
- O What does MSIE stand for?
- 4 A Microsoft Internet Explorer.
- 5 Q So does that tell you that Active Desktop
- 6 was a part of Internet Explorer?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Okay. Why not?
- 9 A Because it's just a name. It's a
- marketing device. I also -- I mean, I remember some
- tool called Windows Tune-Up, right, which cleaned
- up -- it got useless applications and things out of
- your Windows environment. It wasn't a part of
- Windows; it was just something that you used with
- 15 Windows.
- Q Well, that tune-up program you're talking
- about wasn't described in documents coming from
- ¹⁸ Microsoft, was it?
- A No. This is just my own experience,
- recollection of this tool, as an example of how you
- can use the word without meaning it's a part of.
- Q Right. But the MSIE kit, the Microsoft
- Internet Explorer resource kit, was a book written
- by Microsoft about Internet Explorer, was it not?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- THE WITNESS: It was a book written about
- 3 the MSIE kit, right. So if you want to give me that
- exhibit, I can look through it in order to respond
- ⁵ more precisely.
- But from the title itself, I don't think
- you can infer one way or the other whether Active
- 8 Desktop was a part of Internet Explorer.
- 9 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 10 Q Do you need to see the entire document or
- if I give you a section of it with the cover page,
- will that be enough?
- 13 A I'm afraid I don't know.
- MR. HEINTZ: I only brought one copy of
- the entire kit. I hope you guys won't object,
- ¹⁶ Mr. Micallef.
- MR. MICALLEF: No. I don't want another
- ¹⁸ copy.
- MR. HEINTZ: Let the record reflect that
- 20 I'm handing Dr. Karger a printout, and I'll
- represent that that's the entirety of the MSIE
- resource kit that is, let's see, Exhibit 1007 in
- this proceeding.
- 24 (Exhibit 1007 previously
- identified.)

- DAVID R. KARGER
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 3 Q So the question I'm asking you again, just
- so you're clear while you're looking through this,
- 5 is whether or not Active Desktop is a part of
- ⁶ Internet Explorer, as those terms are used in that
- 7 documentation?
- 8 MR. MICALLEF: Object to form. It's
- ⁹ irrelevant.
- THE WITNESS: It will take a little while
- 11 to navigate.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 0 I understand.
- A So if you look at chapter 14, which
- discusses Active Desktop, what it says here is that
- 16 -- it actually sort of articulates Internet Explorer
- 4 as part of Active Desktop, as opposed to Active
- Desktop as part of Internet Explorer. It observes
- that they're taking pieces of Internet Explorer in
- order to provide more Web browser-like functionality
- on the Windows desktop itself. So it incorporates a
- lot more than just Internet Explorer, so from that
- perspective, you can't really call Active Desktop a
- part of Internet Explorer.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:

- DAVID R. KARGER
- 2 Q Does that mean that Internet Explorer is a
- part of Active Desktop?
- A Well, what they say here is, to be
- precise, "the Active Desktop doesn't include all of
- the browser's interface elements, but as you will
- 7 see, you can do quite a bit of HTML," this is the
- 8 last three lines of 174.
- What it is saying is that parts of
- 10 Internet Explorer 4 are part of Active Desktop.
- 11 Q Are you familiar with the Microsoft
- 12 Outlook program?
- A I have some familiarity with it.
- Q Are you familiar with the Microsoft Word
- 15 program?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q Are those programs different?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Different from?
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- O Each other.
- A It sort of shows the ambiguity of
- "different" in that they both got released
- eventually as Microsoft Office, and it is reasonable
- to talk about Microsoft Office as a program. It's

- DAVID R. KARGER
- 2 also reasonable to talk about Outlook and Word as
- different programs.
- Q So you couldn't say whether Outlook was
- different from Word, was a different program from
- 6 Word?
- A I would probably in common speaking say
- 8 that they are different, yes.
- ⁹ Q Let me ask you to turn your attention to
- paragraph 235 of Exhibit 1110 again. So paragraph
- 11 235 reads, "A person of ordinary skill in the art
- would understand these disclosures as showing that
- the Active Desktop gridding functionality is a
- different set of software instructions from those
- that are called when the web browser is launched
- when a Desktop item is selected."
- Do you see that?
- 18 A Yes, I do.
- 19 Q What is the Web browser you're referring
- to in this paragraph that is launched when a desktop
- item is selected?
- A I'm sorry, I glitched for a minute. Can
- you repeat the question?
- Q Sure. You referred to a Web browser on
- the third line of paragraph 235.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² A Yes.
- Q Which Web browser are you referring to on
- 4 that line?
- ⁵ A So at this particular moment, I'm
- for referring to the discussion in the previous
- 7 paragraph, which is quoting material from the MSIE
- 8 kit documentation that talks about certain
- 9 dynamically loadable libraries, DLLs, that are used
- to provide some of the layout -- some of the Active
- 11 Desktop layout functionality, and others which are
- used to provide various parts of the -- of Web
- browser functionality, in particular IE in this
- case, and arguing that they're talking about
- different dynamically loaded libraries and these are
- different and have different bits of code in them,
- and that is the sense in which the Active Desktop
- layout functionality is different from the
- 19 Explorer -- from the Web browser functionality.
- But if you turn to the next page, you will
- see that I could also in a sense have been talking
- about the Netscape browser, because the Active
- Desktop is not restricted to making use of Internet
- Explorer as the browser that's launched to handle
- Web content, but instead you can configure to launch

- DAVID R. KARGER
- the Netscape browser in order to display Web
- 3 content.
- 4 Q But in -- I think I understood your answer
- to mean that in paragraph 235, when you refer to the
- Web browser, you're referring to Internet Explorer;
- is that correct?
- ⁸ A Yes.
- 9 Q Okay. And, in fact, in paragraph 236, the
- 10 next line reads, the "Internet Explorer 4 is a
- program that is 'different from' the program that
- implements the grid functionality of Active Desktop,
- or indeed from Active Desktop generally, because
- they are not the same."
- ¹⁵ A Correct.
- Q And you had no problem making that
- conclusion with your understanding of what
- "different from" means; is that correct?
- A Again, this is a kind of difference and,
- therefore, it fulfills the notion of being different
- 21 from.
- Q And you didn't qualify paragraph 236 in
- any way, did you?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: No.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 3 Q Thank you.
- ⁴ A Actually, I did qualify it in the sense
- 5 that I said there may be some overlap, they may
- share certain instructions, but nonetheless, they
- 7 are not the same and, therefore, they are different.
- 8 Q Okay. Thank you.
- All right. I'd like you to refer now,
- Dr. Karger, to paragraph 170 of your report. Have
- 11 you got it, sir?
- 12 A Yes, I do.
- Q Okay. All right. On the first sentence
- in paragraph 170, you quote from the motion to
- amend, which is paper 28, a file system of the
- system's main memory.
- Do you see that?
- 18 A Yes, I do.
- Q Then you say, one of skill "in the art
- would recognize that the term 'main memory' refers
- to non-persistent memory."
- Do you see that?
- 23 A Yes, I do.
- Q Okay. And you include a citation in that
- paragraph 170 that reads, "The main memory 22 is

- DAVID R. KARGER
- typically random access memory (RAM)."
- Do you see that?
- ⁴ A Yes, I do.
- ⁵ O And where does that citation come from?
- 6 Is that from the 1107?
- 7 A Yeah. I mean, unless there's a typo here,
- 8 it should be coming from the EP patent 1107 at 22.
- 9 Q Okay. Did you have an understanding as to
- 10 whether that EP patent was attempting to provide a
- definition of what main memory is in a general
- sense?
- 13 A No.
- Q Okay. And it's your understanding, is it
- not, that patents can redefine the plain and
- ordinary meaning of a term?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: My understanding is that
- they can do so, if they do so explicitly.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Now, the quote you cite here says, "The
- main memory 22 is typically random access memory."
- Do you see that?
- A Yes, I do.
- Q Does that mean that main memory can

DAVID R. KARGER

- include things other than random access memory?
- 3 A So in my own experience, main memory has
- always referred to random access memory. Clearly,
- 5 this particular citation is asserting that it is
- 6 possible for main memory to mean something else, or
- ⁷ imply it.
- I've never seen that happen, and I suspect
- 9 it's just an attempt to not unnecessarily narrow
- this EP patent. But again, my point is that my
- understanding of main memory, every time that I've
- seen it used, it's referring to a RAM.
- Q And upon what do you base the assumption
- that the word "typically" is there to not
- unnecessarily restrict the meaning?
- A You're right, I should not assume because
- I am not a lawyer. So why don't I sort of focus on
- 18 my understanding is that main memory refers to RAM,
- and this is a quote that is consistent with that.
- O And also inconsistent with the fact that
- it might mean something other than RAM?
- ²² A Yes.
- Q So, sir, I'd like you to turn back to the
- '403 patent, Exhibit 1001. Sorry, there's quite a
- few pieces of paper in front of you, I realize.

```
DAVID R. KARGER
```

- Actually, I'm sorry. Before we do that,
- 3 do you have an understanding for what the system
- 4 requirements for Windows 95 were?
- ⁵ A As in amount of RAM and CPU power and
- 6 things like that?
- 7 Q Yes, precisely.
- 8 A No, I do not.
- 9 MR. HEINTZ: I'm going to ask the reporter
- to mark as Exhibit 2078 a single-page document
- entitled "Windows 95 Installation Requirements."
- 12 (Exhibit 2078 identified.)
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Dr. Karger, I'm going to refer your
- attention on Exhibit 2078 to the heading "MORE
- 16 INFORMATION."
- Do you see that?
- 18 A Yes.
- Q Underneath that we see "System"
- requirements for installing Windows 95."
- 21 A Yes.
- MR. MICALLEF: Excuse me, I'm going to
- object to the exhibit on relevance and authenticity
- 24 grounds.
- MR. HEINTZ: Okay. And I'll just state

- DAVID R. KARGER
- for the record that this was downloaded from the
- 3 Microsoft.com Web site as of yesterday, or on the
- ⁴ 23rd. But your objection is noted.
- 5 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- O All right. So under the "MORE
- 7 INFORMATION" and "System requirements for installing
- 8 Windows 95," the second bullet point reads "4"
- 9 megabytes of memory (8 megabytes recommended)."
- Do you see that?
- 11 A Yes, I do.
- Q Do you have an understanding as to what
- that refers to?
- 14 A Yes.
- 0 What does that refer to?
- 16 A That refers to the amount of RAM that
- should be available in the computer.
- Q Okay. And there's a second bullet point
- that reads, "typical hard disk space required to
- upgrade to Windows 95: 35 to 40 megabytes."
- Do you see that?
- ²² A Yes.
- Q Then it reads, "the actual requirement
- varies depending on the features you choose to
- install."

- DAVID R. KARGER
- Do you see that?
- ³ A Yes.
- ⁴ Q Okay. Do you have an understanding of
- ⁵ what a hard disk is?
- ⁶ A Yes.
- ⁷ Q Is that nonvolatile memory?
- ⁸ A It's nonvolatile. I would probably refer
- b to it as storage rather than memory in most common
- discussions.
- 11 Q Okay. In any event, a hard disk is
- 12 nonvolatile?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q And then the next bullet point beneath
- that reads, "typical hard disk space required to
- install Windows 95 on a clean system: 50 to 55
- megabytes."
- Do you see that?
- ¹⁹ A Yes, I do.
- 20 Do you have an understanding for what the
- -- for what a clean system means?
- ²² A Yes.
- O What does that mean?
- A That would be a system that does not have
- some previous software installation that you wish to

DAVID R. KARGER

- ² preserve or a system with a previous software
- installation that includes some content that you
- 4 might wish to preserve.
- ⁵ Q Is it your understanding, then, that some
- 6 amount of hard disk space is required to install the
- Windows 95 operating system?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance,
- 9 incomplete hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: It's my understanding that
- those are the stated requirements. I can't infer
- 12 from this whether they are accurate or not.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Are you aware of any installation of
- Windows 95 in only RAM on any computer system?
- 16 A Am I aware of -- do you mean do I think
- 17 that it is at all possible or do you mean have I
- actually encountered one?
- 19 Q I mean the latter.
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance.
- THE WITNESS: I've seen a variety of
- installations of various operating systems on
- virtual machines, and it's quite plausible for some
- of these virtual machines to be operating entirely
- in RAM. I can spawn a virtual machine, install

- DAVID R. KARGER
- software, install an operating system on that
- virtual machine, do all of this entirely in RAM if I
- 4 choose.
- I don't know if that ever was done with
- any of the virtual machines that I've encountered.
- It certainly could have been.
- 8 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 9 Q At the time of the '403 patent in 1995 --
- sorry, 1999, would it have been possible to run --
- sorry, to install the entire Windows 95 operating
- 12 system in RAM?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance.
- THE WITNESS: So I can't from my own
- volatile memory tell you what the state of virtual
- machine technology was in 1999. The notion of a
- virtual machine certainly predates 1999. The notion
- of a RAM disk that is creating this simulation of a
- disk in RAM certainly existed prior to 1999.
- Whether the way they were particularly
- implemented at that time would have allowed you to
- install Windows on them, I can't say without more
- research.
- MR. HEINTZ: I'm going to ask the reporter
- to mark as Exhibit 2079 a single-page document

- DAVID R. KARGER
- entitled "Minimum Hardware Requirements for a
- Windows 98 Installation."
- 4 (Exhibit 2079 identified.)
- MR. MICALLEF: Excuse me. I'm going to
- 6 object to this exhibit as irrelevant and lack of
- ⁷ authenticity.
- 8 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 9 Q Dr. Karger, have you ever seen this
- document before?
- ¹¹ A No.
- 12 Q Do you have an understanding of what the
- minimum hardware requirements refers to in this
- 14 document?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q What's your understanding?
- A Well, this is an assertion about the
- hardware that Microsoft believes would be required
- in order to successfully perform this installation.
- Q All right. Under "MORE INFORMATION,"
- there is a series of bullet points.
- 22 A Uh-huh.
- 23 Q The third bullet point reads, "a typical
- upgrade from Windows 95 requires approximately 195
- megabytes of free hard disk space."

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² A Yes.
- O Okay. The next part of the sentence
- 4 reads, "but the hard disk space may range" "between
- ⁵ 120 megabytes and 295 megabytes, depending upon
- "your computer configuration and the options" "you
- ⁷ choose to install."
- 8 Do you see that?
- ⁹ A Yes.
- Q Okay. In 1998 or 1999, are you aware of
- any personal computers with 120 megabytes of RAM?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance.
- THE WITNESS: I simply don't know.
- MR. HEINTZ: Nonetheless, Microsoft
- asserts that you need a hard disk with at least 120
- megabytes of hard disk space in order to install the
- Windows 98 operating system; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance,
- incomplete hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: This document does assert
- 21 that claim.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Do you have any reason to believe this
- isn't a Microsoft document?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance.

```
DAVID R. KARGER
```

- THE WITNESS: Do I have a reason to
- ³ believe? I have no strong evidence one way or
- ⁴ another.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- ⁶ Q The URL across the bottom of the page is
- 7 not sufficient for you?
- MR. MICALLEF: Same objections.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I can type a URL on any page
- that I want. I'm not asserting that it's a forgery.
- 11 I'm just saying I don't really have any strong
- indication one way or the other.
- MR. HEINTZ: I see. I'll ask the reporter
- to mark as Exhibit 2080 a two-page document entitled
- 15 "System requirements for Windows XP operating
- systems."
- 17 (Exhibit 2080 identified.)
- THE WITNESS: This is only going to get
- really interesting if you can give me Windows 9.
- MR. MICALLEF: If I may just object on
- relevance and lack of authenticity grounds to
- Exhibit 2080. Thank you.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Okay. So, Dr. Karger, I again presume
- you've never seen this document before?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² A Correct.
- Q All right. The document reads "System"
- 4 requirements for Windows XP operating systems";
- 5 correct?
- ⁶ A Yes.
- ⁷ Q And it's your understanding this defines
- 8 at least the hardware requirements to install the
- 9 Windows XP operating system on a PC; is that
- 10 correct?
- 11 A We need to be careful what you mean by
- "defines." It asserts some hardware requirements.
- 13 In this case I can be pretty sure that it's
- 14 incorrect.
- Okay. Why is that, sir?
- A Well, I really doubt -- I'm pretty
- confident that I would be able to accomplish the
- installation even if I didn't have speakers and
- 19 headphones.
- Q I see, all right. Do you think you'd be
- able to accomplish the installation if you did not
- have at least 1.5 gigabytes of available space on
- the hard disk, as indicated by the third bullet
- point under the "MORE INFORMATION" subheading?
- MR. MICALLEF: Objection; relevance, form

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² and incomplete hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: Indeed, this is quite
- 4 hypothetical. But I'm betting there's some wiggle
- 5 room on these requirements.
- If I have, you know, 1.5 gigabytes minus 1
- 7 byte, it would probably still work. I can't be
- 8 sure, but I can speculate.
- 9 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Would you say 1.4 would also work?
- MR. MICALLEF: Same objection.
- THE WITNESS: Sorry, she can't transcribe
- that. I don't know.
- 14 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Your previous answer mentioned something
- being hypothetical. What was hypothetical?
- 17 A The hypotheticalness of trying to install
- 18 XP on a 1.4 gigabyte hard disk.
- Q Okay. But this document, Exhibit 2080,
- that's not hypothetical, is it? This is, at least
- ²¹ appears to be, documentation coming from Microsoft?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, it does.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q And you would agree that a hard disk is

- DAVID R. KARGER
- required, at least as stated by Microsoft in this
- document; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance.
- THE WITNESS: As I mentioned before, you
- 6 can simulate a hard disk. And I can't say for sure
- whether that would work or not in these -- in these
- 8 installations.
- I know of other installations that do make
- use of RAM disks in part of their installation
- process or that you can install onto a RAM disk.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Do you have an understanding as to whether
- the entire operating system would fit in 64
- megabytes of RAM?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; irrelevant,
- improper hypothetical and outside the scope.
- THE WITNESS: Let's be clear what you mean
- by "entire operating system." Do you mean all of
- the code that is considered part of the operating
- system, or do you mean the operating system as it is
- 22 executing a particular --
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q I mean all of the code that is considered
- part of the operating system.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- MR. MICALLEF: Same objection.
- THE WITNESS: So I would be pretty
- 4 confident that Windows XP is larger than 64
- ⁵ megabytes.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 7 Q So if I were to say to you that I
- installed XP on the memory of a personal computer,
- you would understand memory to mean both hard disk
- and RAM; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; irrelevant,
- outside the scope.
- THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what I would
- understand from that, because it's not the sort of
- thing I would expect somebody to say.
- 16 If I heard somebody say that they
- installed the operating system on the memory of a
- computer, I might actually be led to infer that they
- were doing some simulated hard drive in memory.
- Because again, I don't generally think of the disk
- as memory.
- So if you're going to go to the -- if
- you're going to specially call out that you
- installed in memory, I might -- that might actually
- lead me to specifically infer that you were doing

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² something unusual.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 4 Q You say it might lead you to infer that.
- ⁵ Would it?
- A Well, that's the problem. It's a
- 7 hypothetical, so I can't really say, especially
- since we've been discussing this for an hour and my
- ⁹ thought processes have been biased by what we've
- been discussing.
- Q I see.
- 12 A But as far as I can judge, I would sort of
- pay -- I would take note of your saying that
- specifically you installed it in memory, and I would
- try to assign some meaning to that, that it's not
- the typical scenario.
- Q Sir, I'll direct your attention to figure
- 18 2 of the '403 patent, which again is Exhibit 1001.
- 19 Are you familiar with that figure?
- A Yes, I am.
- Q Do you have an understanding as to what
- the referenced numeral 112 refers to?
- A Cache. It's a little ambiguous, actually.
- If we can find it in the text itself, that would be
- useful, because it could be referring to the cache

```
DAVID R. KARGER
```

- or it could be referring to the whole box.
- 3 Q So if you turn to column 6 of the '403
- ⁴ patent --
- ⁵ A Yes.
- 6 Q -- and starting at line 60, there's a
- 7 description of figure 2. And I think you will see
- 8 that reference numeral 112 refers to the main
- 9 memory.
- ¹⁰ A Yes.
- 11 Q That's at about line 63.
- 12 A Yes.
- Q And so figure 2 indicates that the
- operating system is installed in the main memory
- ¹⁵ 112; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; irrelevant.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, the text asserts that
- the operating system -- well, it doesn't say
- "installed," but the text asserts that the operating
- system resides in the memory.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Okay. And then also something called the
- file system resides in the memory, too. That's 122.
- Do you see that?
- 25 A Yes.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- Q What's a file system?
- 3 A A file system is a data structure. It is
- ⁴ an arrangement of blobs of content along with some
- ⁵ directory -- often some file names, some directory
- 6 structure that indicates which files are within
- which directories and so forth.
- 8 Q Beneath file system figure 2 we see the
- 9 word "cache" as reference numeral 124.
- Do you see that?
- 11 A Yes.
- 0 What's cache?
- A A cache is a tool for providing less
- expensive access to content which is accessed
- 15 frequently.
- O And Beneath "Cache" we see the letters
- "GUI" as reference numeral 126?
- Do you see that?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Do you have an understanding of what that
- ²¹ refers to?
- A Yes, GUI, a graphical user interface.
- Q Is it your understanding that the GUI
- refers to the grid and tiles, at least in part, as
- those grid and tiles are described in the patent?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² The grid and tiles application.
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- 4 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 5 Q Let me restate that, I'm sorry. So do you
- 6 have an understanding as to what GUI refers to in
- ⁷ figure 2?
- ⁸ A Again, a GUI is a graphical user
- ⁹ interface. This is the thing that manages the
- presentation of graphical elements to the user.
- 11 Q Okay. Do you have an understanding as to
- whether that GUI includes what we referred to
- earlier as the grid and tiles application? And that
- was in the context of the passage at column 11
- starting at line 15.
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: So this kind of depends. As
- 18 I mentioned, it will take me some time to find in
- the patent itself, but there is one potential
- implementation of this application as a Web page, so
- to speak.
- 22 And if I were presenting those tiles as a
- Web page, it might be more appropriate for me to put
- it in one of the application program boxes, because
- it's being presented through a Web browser

- DAVID R. KARGER
- application program, as opposed to putting it into
- 3 this GUI box.
- 4 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- ⁵ Q Okay. And do you have an understanding as
- 6 to what the application programs 128-1 and 128-N in
- figure 2 refer to?
- ⁸ A I mean, not which specific applications
- ⁹ they refer to. But yes, this notion that you may
- have several application programs resident executing
- within your system, that's what those -- that's what
- those boxes are referring to.
- Q One example could be Word?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Another example could be Internet
- 16 Explorer?
- 17 A Yes.
- Q And earlier, we discussed that the '403
- 19 patent referred to modern operating systems. Do you
- ²⁰ recall that?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I do recall that phrase, not
- exactly where it appeared.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Okay. Wouldn't one of ordinary skill in

- DAVID R. KARGER
- the art understand that if the operating system
- 3 isn't stored in the main memory, that main memory
- must refer to something more than RAM?
- ⁵ A If the operating system is stored? Isn't
- 6 stored?
- ⁷ Q I'm sorry. Would one of ordinary skill in
- 8 the art understand that if the operating system is
- 9 stored in the main memory, that the main memory must
- be more than just RAM?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: No, I don't -- not
- necessarily. Again, given this predisposition to
- understand memory as RAM, I'll often talk about, you
- know, an operating system being resident in memory,
- right. So there's a boot process for a computer,
- where you pull the operating system off -- the
- operating system code off of disk, you bring it into
- memory, and now it is resident in memory, and it can
- now execute without further access to the disk.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q What you're referring to, though, is
- loading a portion of the operating system into the
- memory, not the entire operating system into the
- memory?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² A That depends. Some operating systems are
- 3 small and can fit entirely into main memory. In --
- even if you have a large operating system, you may
- only need part of it for your everyday use.
- 6 O Again, for -- if we're talking about
- modern operating systems that run on personal
- 8 computers, such as Microsoft Windows 95, wouldn't
- ⁹ that signify to one of ordinary skill in the art
- under the normal understanding of operating
- system -- sorry, under the normal understanding of
- this figure, that the main memory includes more than
- 13 just RAM?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Certainly it can, right.
- But it doesn't have to. You know, I think around
- 1995, I was installing Linux, which was a nice
- modern operating system. And from what I recall,
- there were some nice versions of Linux which had
- very small -- very small kernels that did fit into
- main memory, and this was considered kind of a nice
- ²² feature of them.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Did you only install just the kernel of
- the Linux system?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- A No, no, I would install more. But again,
- if I'm talking about part of the operating system
- being resident in memory, that was guite feasible.
- ⁵ Q What more beyond the kernel would you
- 6 install?
- 7 MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; improper
- 8 hypothetical, irrelevant.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Sorry, this goes back to the
- ambiguity of some of the terms that we're using. In
- general, if I want to use a computer well, in
- addition to the kernel of the operating system,
- which manages scheduling and probably has the file
- system code as well, I'll probably want a graphical
- user interface.
- I think there would be some debate about
- whether that really is part of the operating system
- or whether that is some other code that you execute
- in addition to the operating system.
- So what exactly -- sorry. So I'll come
- back to you to restate the question, if you like.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q No, I think that answers just fine.
- A Okay.
- 25 Q So when you installed the GUI, did you

- DAVID R. KARGER
- install it in RAM or did you install it on a disk?
- 3 A When I --
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form. Excuse me.
- ⁵ Irrelevant, improper hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: So in general, when I
- ⁷ install things, I install -- I would install them
- 8 onto a disk.
- 9 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Why would you do that?
- A Well, for persistence, actually.
- 12 Q It would be sort of silly to install an
- operating system just in RAM, wouldn't it?
- A No, it wouldn't be silly. There are cases
- where it would be useful. But --
- Q I'm sorry.
- A But for the particular purposes that I was
- going to use that operating system, there were
- benefits to my installing it on persistent storage.
- Q If the operating system were only present
- in RAM, what would happen when the computer got shut
- ²² off?
- A It would disappear.
- Q And if that were the only operating system
- on the computer, would you then be forced to

- DAVID R. KARGER
- reinstall an operating system the next time you
- 3 turned it on?
- 4 A There's a timing problem again. I don't
- 5 know exactly when these things happened, but you
- 6 can -- certainly now you can boot off of a network,
- ⁷ right. You can -- you can fetch your operating
- 8 system when you turn on the computer.
- 9 Q How does the computer download
- instructions from the network?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; improper
- 12 hypothetical, irrelevant.
- THE WITNESS: In general, these computers
- 14 come with some sort of small boot loader that
- executes instructions, that tell it how to get the
- operating system that it's going to be using.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 18 Q In 1999, was it customary to install an
- operating system into RAM?
- A Customary?
- 21 Q Yes.
- 22 A Probably not.
- Q Let me ask you to turn your attention to
- column 4 of the '403 patent. In particular, I'll
- ask you to direct your attention to line 37, which

- DAVID R. KARGER
- reads, "The present invention comprises a grid of
- 3 tiles that resides on the user's computer desktop."
- Do you see that?
- 5 A Yes, I do.
- Q Is a computer desktop persistent?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; irrelevant.
- THE WITNESS: Is a computer desktop
- 9 persistent? So I would say that in customary usage,
- parts of a user desktop are persistent and parts are
- 11 not.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Which parts are not?
- A So often, if I restart a computer, many of
- the applications that were running and had Windows
- 16 situated on the desktop will not be there when I
- 17 restart the computer.
- You can design applications that do
- 19 persist so that the next time you start up that
- computer, those applications are again present where
- they were before.
- Q So you're defining the desktop to include
- Windows, let's say Windows 95 system; is that
- 24 correct?
- A Well, I'm not -- am I defining desktop?

```
DAVID R. KARGER
```

- I'm -- I guess I'm defining -- the state of the
- desktop? So again, "desktop" can mean a few
- different things.
- It can mean the desktop application. It
- 6 can mean the persistent part of the desktop, or it
- ould mean sort of present state of the desktop with
- ⁸ whatever the user has launched and rearranged.
- I think any of those could be -- sort of
- 10 fit -- you can use the phrase "desktop" to refer to
- any of those.
- MR. MICALLEF: Jim, we've been going for
- over an hour, so when you get to a good point.
- MR. HEINTZ: Let's take a break.
- 15 (Recess.)
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Back on the record. So, Dr. Karger, again
- referring to figure 2 of the '403 patent, the file
- 19 system that's referenced by numeral 122, is that
- something that typically resides in RAM, in a hard
- disk or in both places?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: So again, I would say that
- it is typically on a hard disk, but that it is well

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² understood that it can be in a RAM.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 4 Q And does the file system refer to files
- 5 that are on a hard disk or in a RAM or both?
- 6 MR. MICALLEF: Same objections.
- THE WITNESS: I couldn't quite parse that.
- 8 I mean, I just said that some file system -- that
- ⁹ typically, file systems are on a hard disk. It is
- possible to use RAM file systems where the files and
- the file system are all in RAM.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Okay. Let me make sure we're speaking
- 14 about the same issue. So a file system, I think as
- you explained it earlier, was something that, among
- other things, identifies the locations of files;
- 17 correct?
- A Locations of. Do you mean the sort of
- user notion of the directories and so forth, or -- I
- guess it's fair either way. So yes, the file system
- identifies the locations of files.
- Q Okay. So the file system itself, does
- that reside in RAM, in a hard disk or both in a
- typical personal computer?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; irrelevant.

DAVID R. KARGER

- THE WITNESS: So in a typical computer, in
- the common case, but not the only case, most of the
- file system information is likely to be on secondary
- storage, and some of it may well be in main memory,
- because it was used for some purpose, because of it
- ⁷ being cached and so forth.
- 8 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- ⁹ Q And in the part that you just referred to
- that's in main memory, would that be a copy of
- what's on the hard disk, or would that be separate
- from -- or in addition to what's on the hard disk?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 14 hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: What distinction are you
- trying to draw between "copy" and "in addition to"?
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Well, is the information that you referred
- to as being stored in the RAM duplicative of what's
- stored on the hard disk?
- A So much of it probably is. If you think
- about the way file systems will -- file systems
- generally work or can work.
- Consider an application that updates a
- file. What may well happen is that that file --

DAVID R. KARGER

- that results in the update of some information in
- 3 the -- in memory portion of the file system. You
- 4 may have a block, a file block, that has been
- 5 updated, but which has not yet been written to disk.
- So in that sense, you could have some
- ⁷ content in main memory which is not yet on disk.
- But the majority of what's in memory will be what
- 9 has been brought in from the disk.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 11 Q And under normal operation, that recently
- modified portion of RAM that includes the new
- information is going to be copied onto the disk,
- correct, so that the disk will have the entire file
- system in relatively short order; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 17 hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: That is the general
- objective, yes.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Okay. Now, the other question I asked you
- was the files that are pointed to by the file
- system, or the files for whose locations are
- included in the file system, are they files on the
- hard disk or in a RAM or both?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- MR. MICALLEF: Same objection.
- 3 THE WITNESS: The answer is roughly the
- same. It's quite possible for these files to --
- 5 again, there's the less typical case of a RAM disk,
- where everything is in memory, but there are also
- ⁷ the circumstances where the file contents have been
- ⁸ updated in RAM, that has not yet been committed to
- 9 secondary storage, so you can have differences.
- But the ultimate goal is to put all of
- those files onto secondary storage.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q And, in fact, if the file system were only
- in RAM and were not stored on a hard disk, then the
- 15 file system would be lost when you turned off the
- computer; isn't that correct?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 O And what would be the result if the file
- 19 system were lost?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Sorry, I'm not sure what
- you're looking for. I mean, the result would be
- that the file system would be lost.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 25 Q Would you be able to access any files on

- DAVID R. KARGER
- the hard disk without the file system?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 4 hypothetical.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Are you now referring to
- file system as the sort of -- you know, the data
- ⁷ structure about where different files are?
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- ⁹ O Yes.
- A Well, if the files are on the disk, but
- the information about where they are is not on the
- disk, there are various recovery programs that you
- can use to reconstruct the information of the files
- themselves on the disk.
- 15 Q But in the absence of such a recovery
- program, the operating system would not be able to
- access the files on the disk the next time the
- operating system were turned on; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: Correct.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q All right. Isn't it true, Doctor, that in
- the vast majority of cases in 1999, the operating
- system was installed on a hard disk?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- A That is my impression, but I have -- I
- 3 mean, I certainly believe that to be the case. I
- 4 haven't seen studies or data that prove it.
- Okay. So doesn't that, then, indicate
- that when the '403 patent says that the operating
- ⁷ system is stored in main memory, that that main
- 8 memory includes a hard disk?
- ⁹ A Where in the '403 patent are you referring
- to that it says that the operating system is stored
- in main memory?
- Q Well, I'm referring to figure 2
- pictorially, where reference numeral 112 includes
- the operating system 120, the file system 122, the
- 15 cache 124, the GUI 126 and those application
- programs 128-1 through 128-N.
- 17 If all those things are in the main
- memory, then doesn't that indicate to one of
- ordinary skill in the art that typically, that main
- memory must include a hard disk?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 22 hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: I think not. Because if we
- look, for example, where we were looking before,
- column 6, rows 60 and on, it talks about the main

- DAVID R. KARGER
- 2 memory 112, and it says that "Residing in the memory"
- 3 112 is an operating system." Okay.
- The most frequent use of the word
- ⁵ "residing" that I can recall from my own work is
- 6 when you talk about things being memory resident,
- 7 and that means in the RAM resident.
- 8 So it's quite plausible to read this and
- 9 say that this is talking about the sort of
- operate -- the working part of the system, and it
- is -- it has done what we just discussed before,
- that it has sort of brought into main memory the
- portion of the operating system that needs to
- execute at this time, or that is needed to execute.
- And the -- you know, yes, you know, it is
- quite -- it is generally the case that one attaches
- some sort of secondary storage device to your
- computer, but it might not be in this picture at
- 19 all.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Now, you mentioned residing in the memory,
- 22 and you talked about memory resident programs. But
- isn't it true that if memory were intended by the
- inventors of the '403 patent to refer to both RAM
- and a hard disk or other nonvolatile storage, that

- DAVID R. KARGER
- the operating system on the hard disk would be
- 3 resident in the memory?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; improper
- ⁵ hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that?
- ⁷ BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 8 O Sure. If memory in the sentence "Residing
- ⁹ in the memory" "is an operating system 120" refers
- to both hard disk storage and RAM storage, then
- wouldn't it be true that a operating system
- installed on the hard disk would be residing in
- memory?
- MR. MICALLEF: Same objections.
- THE WITNESS: So if you -- if you tell me
- to operate under the definition that memory means
- 17 RAM and disk and you then tell me that the operating
- system has been installed on the disk and you then
- ask me whether the operating system is in memory,
- then logic requires that based on your own
- definition, that I say yes, the operating system is
- in memory.
- But it's predicated on your telling me
- that memory means RAM plus disk.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:

```
DAVID R. KARGER
```

- Q Right. And you answered previously that
- in the majority of cases, the operating system isn't
- stored on -- installed on a hard disk?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, but I have also said
- ⁷ several times that memory generally refers to RAM.
- 8 So it's that part of your hypothetical that I would
- 9 consider less likely.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 11 Q And would you say that the operating
- system is installed in a -- withdraw the question.
- Let me hand you or ask the reporter to
- hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 1051.
- 15 (Exhibit 1051 previously
- identified.)
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Sir, I'll ask you if you recognize Exhibit
- ¹⁹ 1051.
- A Yes, I do.
- Q And I'll ask you to turn to figure 5 of
- Exhibit 1051. That's near the back of the document.
- A Thank you. Yes.
- Q Okay. And in answering any of the
- following series of questions, feel free to refer to

- DAVID R. KARGER
- your expert report.
- So figure 5 includes a portion labeled
- 4 "MAIN VIEW."
- 5 Do you see that?
- ⁶ A Yes.
- ⁷ Q And also includes a portion labeled
- 8 "MONTAGE VIEW."
- 9 Do you see that?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. So in your expert report at
- paragraph 269, you refer to panes or subwindows.
- Do you see that?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q Can you identify for me what in figure 5
- corresponds to the panes or subwindows referenced in
- paragraph 269 of your report?
- 18 (Witness reviewed document.)
- 19 A Now that I've got some context back, can
- we repeat the question?
- Q Sure. What in figure 5 is referred to by
- panes or subwindows in paragraph 269 of your report?
- A Good. So at the very least, we have, as
- is discussed on page 13 of Kostes, that users can --
- that -- this is a discussion of the montage view,

DAVID R. KARGER

- which is the bottom portion of the presented figure.
- And Kostes explains how you can divide these into
- 4 rectangular sizes or panes of sizes appropriate to
- ⁵ their particular needs.
- Okay. Is there any other portion of
- ⁷ figure 5 that's included under the reference to
- panes or subwindows in paragraph 269?
- 9 A Well, Kostes uses the term "panes." But
- in fact, if you look at the top part of figure 5,
- there are these two things which he calls net
- channels, which are elements 504A and 504B of the
- 13 figure.
- And these are also nonoverlapping
- 15 rectangular regions. But those are not -- those are
- 16 not what Kostes calls panes.
- Q All right. Well, I want to understand
- what you're referring to in paragraph 269 of your
- report when you use the words "panes or subwindows."
- Are you referring to both the things in
- the montage view represented by elements 508 and the
- net channels, 504?
- A No, in --
- MR. MICALLEF: Let him finish his
- question, Doctor.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- THE WITNESS: Sorry.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Or only one of those two things?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form. Go ahead.
- THE WITNESS: In paragraph 269, I'm
- referring to Kostes' use of the term "panes." Which
- ⁸ are the ones in the montage view.
- 9 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Okay. And in your report, do you use the
- term "panes" or "subwindows" to refer to anything
- other than the portions of the montage view
- represented as reference numerals 508?
- A So it's risky for me to assert
- categorically about all 130 pages of my report
- without skimming it. But I don't recall using those
- terms to talk about something else.
- Q Okay. So I'll direct your attention to
- paragraph 270 of your report. The sentence in
- paragraph 270 includes a portion that reads, "and
- each such information from "information" sources
- "refreshes at a specified interval."
- Do you see that?
- 24 A Yes, I do.
- Q Now, actually, let me read the entire

```
DAVID R. KARGER
```

- sentence. 270 reads, "Each rectangular region can
- display content from a different information
- 4 source."
- In that part of the sentence, what does
- ⁶ rectangular region refer to?
- 7 (Witness reviewed document.)
- A All right, I'm sorry. I've got more
- 9 context. Can we repeat the question?
- Q Sure. In paragraph 270 of your report,
- where you say "Each rectangular region can display"
- content from a different information source," what
- are the rectangular regions you are referring to?
- A This is referring to the panes of the
- montage view.
- Q So those are what's indicated by reference
- numerals 508 in figure 5?
- ¹⁸ A Correct.
- 19 Q Then you say, "each such information from
- such information source refreshes at a specified
- ²¹ interval."
- Do you see that?
- A Yes, I do.
- Q And you cite to Exhibit 1051, Kostes, at
- 25 16 and 20.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- Do you see that?
- 3 A Yes.
- Q Can you tell me what in Kostes at 16
- ⁵ indicates that the information from the information
- 6 source refreshes at a specified interval?
- A So in both cases, I'm referring to the
- 8 sort of channel model that Kostes is describing in
- ⁹ their -- is this a patent application, I should call
- ¹⁰ it, or --
- 11 Q You can call it that.
- 12 A So in both cases, Kostes describes a
- channel model, which is something that uses a
- variety of certain push or pull techniques for
- accessing data from a remote source and updating it
- periodically.
- Q So when you say "in both cases," what do
- you mean?
- 19 A Both on page 16 and 20.
- Q I see, okay.
- So where does Kostes tell you that the
- information from the information source refreshes at
- ²³ a specified interval for the rectangular regions
- denoted by 508?
- A And I knew you were going to ask me, and I

DAVID R. KARGER

- found the spot and I went and put it away, so I have
- 3 to find it again.
- What Kostes describes is how the montage
- 5 view is used to display a variety of applications in
- 6 nonoverlapping tiles. And he explains that these --
- ⁷ his intention is that these applications are
- 8 preferably created as JavaBeans, which are a
- 9 particular software component, and the montage is
- intended to be a JavaBean container, so things that
- are Java beans can be put into the montage view.
- And one of the types of JavaBeans that he
- talks about are these channel beans, which are these
- things that have a periodic updating functionality.
- As a particular example, he talks about a
- market minder JavaBean, which I am currently hunting
- 17 for.
- 18 (Witness reviewed document.)
- Good. So on page 30, it talks about a
- market minder application, which is a table-based
- display of securities in a user's portfolio, which
- are updated continuously for each item in the
- portfolio.
- And this is an example of the type of
- application that you would place into the montage

- DAVID R. KARGER
- view and would then be refreshed at intervals.
- Q I'm a little confused. You just pointed
- ⁴ me to something that says that they're updated
- ⁵ continuously.
- A But nothing is truly continuous; right?
- ⁷ There is -- this system describes how it uses pull
- ⁸ and push, right.
- 9 You don't continuously pull -- or sorry.
- 10 You are continuously in the process of pulling, but
- the way you do that is to make a query every once in
- a while in order to update the information that you
- 13 have.
- Q So is it your assertion that the market
- minder -- well, you're saying that this market
- minder application runs in one of the montage view
- panes 508; is that correct?
- 18 A That it can, yes.
- Q Okay. And how does this relate to the
- discussion of the channels on page 16 of Kostes?
- A How does it relate? On 16, or actually
- more precisely, on 20, Kostes talks about pull-type
- channels, right, which retrieve resource on a
- regular basis ranging from every few minutes for a
- rapidly changing data, such as stock quotes, to once

- DAVID R. KARGER
- every few hours for less dynamic data.
- So the market minder is presenting
- information that has been pulled, or that's one way
- 5 to do it, into using one of these pull channels.
- 6 Q So let me ask a question this way. If you
- ⁷ turn to page 15 of Kostes --
- MR. MICALLEF: I'm sorry, did you say 15?
- 9 MR. HEINTZ: 15 of Kostes, yes.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 11 Q Under the heading "Implementation of the
- Preferred Embodiment, five lines down, it says,
- 13 "For this purpose, the netchannels are architected
- in software according to a Model/View paradigm."
- Do you see that?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q All right. Now, with respect to figure 5
- of Kostes, which is also reproduced in your report
- at page 104, the net channels refer to the
- rectangular areas called 504; correct?
- 21 A So those are the -- I believe they're
- actually called the net channel views, right. So
- there's sort of an ambiguity and actually a great
- potential for typos in this Kostes application,
- because he talks about channels, the data -- the

- DAVID R. KARGER
- data object, which is the model in this model view
- ³ controller architecture.
- And he also talks about these two net
- 5 channels, which are things that appear on the top
- ⁶ portion of figure 5.
- 7 (Mr. Bone left deposition.)
- 8 Q Right. And then on page 15, we see that
- ⁹ the net channels are architected in software
- according to a model view paradigm?
- 11 A That's correct. So the net channel has a
- model and a view, or a -- that it uses the model
- 13 view.
- Q Is there a disclosure in Kostes that says
- that the applications running in the 508 rectangular
- areas also use these model view services or the
- model services referred to on page 20?
- 18 (Witness reviewed document.)
- A So the sort of line of reasoning that I
- follow in reading this patent application is that
- there's a substantial amount of energy devoted to
- this sort of notion of a uniform architecture based
- on net beans, on the use of these model view and
- channel classes to appropriately modularize the
- system.

DAVID R. KARGER

- And on page 30, the patent discusses how
- the applications are preferably developed as
- 4 JavaBeans, right, which -- and that the montage view
- 5 can display arbitrary JavaBeans. And then it sort
- of dives into this notion of a market minder
- application, which talks about continuously updating
- information for items in the portfolio.
- Now, after reading the 30 pages of this
- patent application, what is the natural inference
- 11 for how this JavaBean, which is how everything is
- implemented here, is going to update its
- information?
- Well, we've just read pages and pages
- about these channels that provide pull or push
- updating of information. So the obvious inference
- to me is that the way you built one of these market
- minders is that you use the channel architecture to
- pull and then present the information that is coming
- through the channel.
- 21 Q There's no explicit disclosure of that
- 22 anywhere in the Kostes application, though, is
- there?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: That's correct.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Now, with respect to the net channel view
- 4 504A and 504B, are those applications running in
- ⁵ there?
- A Are the net channels applications?
- 7 (Witness reviewed document.)
- 9 You know what, Doctor, that's okay. I'll
- ⁹ withdraw it. Oh, I'm sorry, go ahead.
- A Formally what they assert in this
- application is a net channel is a JavaBean, okay.
- Now, elsewhere in the application, they say that
- applications -- elsewhere in the patent application,
- they assert that applications are preferably
- ¹⁵ JavaBeans.
- All right. Now, is every JavaBean an
- application? It's blurry, right.
- A Java bean is not something, I don't
- think, that you can run by itself. It needs a
- ²⁰ container.
- But in the context of this application,
- what we've said is that well, what I'm talking about
- is applications should be JavaBeans, and when I tell
- you that the net channel is a JavaBean, that -- and
- this is how I read it, that seems to be one of the

- ² applications that you could plausibly run in the
- ³ montage view.
- Q Okay. So I've got to try to keep the
- ⁵ record straight. So we're using the word
- ⁶ "application" quite a bit.
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 O And I think the use of the word
- 9 "application" to refer to Exhibit 1051 or Kostes
- might be confusing.
- ¹¹ A It is confusing.
- Q So I'm going to ask you if we can refer to
- either Exhibit 1051 or Kostes, rather than
- "application."
- ¹⁵ A Sure.
- Q Now, let me sort of reset this discussion
- we've been having. I'm going to refer you back to
- paragraph 270 of your report. And in that
- paragraph, you say that each rectangular region can
- display content from a different information source
- 21 and each such information source -- I'm sorry, "each
- such information from such information source
- refreshes at a specified interval."
- And your support was page 16 and page 20
- of Kostes. Now, I think you earlier said page 20 is

- DAVID R. KARGER
- 2 really where this report comes from, so I want to
- 3 make sure we understand where it is that page 20 of
- Kostes discusses a specified interval.
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: So on the final paragraph of
- page 20, Kostes describes model services -- sorry,
- 8 channels using "Model Services to retrieve a web
- 9 resource on a regular basis, ranging from every few
- minutes for rapidly changing data such as stock
- quotes, to only once every few hours for less
- 12 dynamic data."
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 14 Q Thank you.
- And that retrieval of information at those
- rates is through the model services; correct?
- 17 A Yes.
- Okay. Now, going back to page 30 of
- 19 Kostes. As you pointed out, there is an application
- discussed therein called market minder, and that
- application, is that an application that runs in the
- montage view; is that correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And in the description on page 30,
- it says that the "Prices, bid/ask values, volume and

- DAVID R. KARGER
- other data are updated continuously for each item in
- 3 the portfolio."
- 4 A Yes, it does.
- Okay. Now, "continuously" is quite a bit
- different from the description on page 20, which
- ⁷ says somewhere between "every few minutes for
- 8 rapidly changing data such as stock quotes, to only
- once every few hours for less dynamic data";
- 10 correct?
- A So it seems to me that "continuously"
- matches that notion of every few minutes in much the
- way that in the claims constructions, simultaneously
- updating information from multiple information
- sources is being described.
- That is, it's the process that is ongoing,
- and the way that process is ongoing is that every
- few minutes, you make a pull. Just as in the
- 19 Board's construction of simultaneous updates, the
- Board did not construe this as you have to actually
- get the information from both places at exactly the
- same time, but there is this continuous process of
- getting information, which -- and both of those
- continuous processes are ongoing at the same time.
- Here, too, there is a continuous process

- DAVID R. KARGER
- of updating, which is reflected -- which is
- 3 reflected by periodic pulls of information.
- I'll need to break at some point soon, but
- 5 it doesn't have to be right this point.
- 6 MR. HEINTZ: Now is fine.
- 7 (Recess.)
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 9 Q Dr. Karger, I'd ask you to turn to
- paragraph 286 of your declaration, Exhibit 1110.
- 11 Please feel free to read the paragraph or anything
- around it that you need to, but I'm going to ask you
- in particular about the last sentence of that
- paragraph that reads, "One of ordinary skill in the
- 15 art would understand that in order to interact with
- email a user must have selected the graphical user
- interface element that displays the email."
- And my question is, why is that statement
- 19 true?
- A Well, simple example would be the
- following. Most e-mail programs, most applications,
- have menu accelerators. You can press keys instead
- of using the mouse to open a menu and select an
- operation.
- So I start typing on the keyboard. How

- does the system know which applications menu I am
- trying to accelerate? Where do those keystrokes go?
- So there has to be a selected application
- 5 that is going to receive those keystrokes.
- ⁶ Q Is there anything in Kostes that talks
- ⁷ about those accelerators that you're referring to?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 9 hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: So I brought that up as an
- example. All right. Do you want me to bring up
- some -- to bring up some more that -- so --
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q First I'd like an answer to my question,
- which is, is there any discussion of any accelerator
- accessible by a keyboard in the Kostes application?
- A Not to my knowledge.
- Q Do all e-mail programs have accelerators?
- 19 A I have never seen one -- I have not
- recently seen one that does not.
- 21 Q How about in 1999?
- 22 A I'm pretty sure that I -- that that
- concept was pervasive in 1999 as well.
- Q So is it your testimony, then, that all
- e-mail programs utilized accelerators in 1999?

- A No, my testimony was that I was not aware
- 3 of one that does not.
- 4 Q There's nothing in Kostes that says that
- the e-mail program has an accelerator?
- ⁶ A Not to my knowledge.
- 7 Q Okay. Now, I think you wanted to tell me
- 8 some other reason why it would be true that a user
- 9 must have selected the graphical user interface,
- i.e., the pane of Kostes that displays the e-mail;
- is that right?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q What is it? What's your other reason?
- A Again, selection -- let's take the mouse,
- right. If we're not going to use the keyboard,
- we're going to use the mouse in order to interact
- with an application.
- When I click the mouse, which application
- 19 receives the click of the mouse that I am -- that I
- am performing; right? In order for one application
- to be told the mouse has clicked, you have to decide
- which application should receive that mouse click.
- That is the selected application.
- Q Well, selecting the application is one way
- to make that decision, but there are other ways, are

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² there not?
- 3 A What do you mean by "make that decision"?
- ⁴ Make the decision about which application receives
- 5 the mouse click?
- 6 Q Correct.
- A I can't really think of any others at the
- 8 moment. I mean, to sort of say that your
- ⁹ application is going to receive the mouse click, but
- not be selected is quite counterintuitive.
- 11 Q Well, let's take a look at figure 5 of
- 12 Kostes. Again, that's Exhibit 1051. So what we're
- saying is -- what you're saying in paragraph 286 is
- that in one of these rectangular areas 508, we're
- going to have an e-mail application running; is that
- 16 correct?
- 17 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And you state in your report that
- no rectangular area 508 can overlap any other area
- ²⁰ 508; correct?
- 21 A Correct.
- Q Okay. Now, something is drawing the
- rectangular areas on the screen; is that correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q What is the thing that's drawing the

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² rectangular areas on the screen?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 4 hypothetical.
- 5 THE WITNESS: It's a slightly complicated
- ⁶ question. So this is a type of windowing system or
- ⁷ tiled windowing system that is managing the
- 8 presentation of information from the various
- ⁹ applications.
- In general, one talks about applications
- drawing themselves, right. That is, the e-mail
- application is going to be responsible for drawing
- the contents of its pane within Kostes.
- Now, in order to do that drawing, it's
- going to invoke some sort of application programming
- interface, some sort of API, for saying draw this,
- draw that. That might be a part of the general
- desktop GUI. It might be the Kostes application
- itself that has to take care of, say, cropping, what
- the application wants to draw into the region that
- is being displayed in the montage.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Well, there's some entity, if you will,
- some piece of code somewhere in the Kostes system,
- that understands where each of these rectangular

- DAVID R. KARGER
- areas 508 are located on the screen; correct?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And that same or some entity is preventing
- those things from overlapping; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: This returns to something we
- 8 discussed in the beginning. It's not clear you
- 9 would say it is preventing them from overlapping or
- just that the operations that the user is able to
- perform can never lead to an overlap occurring.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 0 Well, the user is able to resize these
- windows, is he not?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q So let's say, for instance, that the user
- decided to resize window 508E.
- 18 A Yes.
- Okay. Now, if he tried to make it bigger
- by taking the left hand vertical line that forms the
- box of 508E and moving it to the left, he could not
- do so without overlapping rectangular area 508D in
- figure 5; correct?
- A Well, again, common semantics for these
- tiled interfaces is if I grab that border and try

- DAVID R. KARGER
- and move it to the left, then I am simultaneously
- 3 shrinking 508D, while I am growing 508E.
- Q Okay. Some code in Kostes, then, is
- 5 simultaneously moving the boundary of 508E and 508D
- in the discussion we just had; right?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 O All right. Now, if the entity -- if there
- ⁹ is an entity that knows where each of the windows
- is, is there not also an entity that knows the
- location of the cursor on the screen?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 13 hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: I would assume that is the
- case, yes.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q All right. So if I've got -- if an entity
- in the system knows where the cursor on the screen
- is and an entity in the system knows where the
- boundaries of the panes 508 are, that either by
- having those two entities talk to each other or by
- the fact that those two entities might be the same
- entity, you can determine unambiguously which pane
- the cursor is in, can you not?
- A Certainly.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- 2 Q And if you know what pane the cursor is
- 3 in, you can also determine if the cursor is over a
- 4 link in 508E; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 6 hypothetical.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Are we now shifting to
- 8 talking about sort of a Web browser context as
- ⁹ opposed to an e-mail program?
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 11 Q All right. Let's talk about an e-mail
- program. When you talk about interacting with an
- e-mail program, what do you mean?
- 14 A Interacting with an e-mail program would
- be selecting a message within that e-mail program.
- 16 Q Fine. If -- I'm sorry, go ahead.
- A Clicking on an icon to say I want to begin
- composing a new e-mail message, scrolling through a
- 19 list of available messages.
- Q Anything else?
- 21 A There would be a lot of examples. I think
- that's enough.
- 23 Q Okay. Let's use the icon example. If I
- want to interact with the e-mail program by clicking
- on an icon, right, the system -- some entity in the

- system knows where the cursor is, some entity in the
- system knows where the e-mail program pane 508 is,
- and so, again, if those entities are the same
- 5 entity, or whether they talk to each other, it's
- 6 possible for the system to figure out that the
- ⁷ cursor is over the icon I'm intending to interact
- with, regardless of whether the e-mail program is
- 9 selected; isn't that true?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 11 hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: So I'd be careful, because
- it's quite conceivable that the system has no idea
- of there being an icon there, right. So the way
- these sorts of windowing systems work, the windowing
- system is responsible for managing boundaries and
- cropping, but it may have no knowledge at all of
- what is inside of that area. That is, it leaves
- that entirely up to the application to decide how to
- manage the pixels inside of that area.
- So it may not know that the cursor is over
- ²² an icon.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q One possibility is that it does know;
- 25 correct?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² A One possibility.
- Q Let's take your other possibility now.
- You say the windowing system doesn't know that
- there's an icon, but the windowing system knows
- 6 where the window is; correct?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 O And it knows where the cursor is; correct?
- ⁹ A Yes.
- Okay. So the windowing system is going to
- know the location of the cursor, if it's -- if it's
- located in, for instance, an e-mail program running
- in 508E; correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 16 hypothetical.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 18 Q And then the windowing system can tell the
- application where the cursor was when the user
- pressed a button, could it not?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 22 hypothetical, irrelevant.
- THE WITNESS: Sorry, can you repeat the
- ²⁴ question?
- BY MR. HEINTZ:

- DAVID R. KARGER
- 2 Q Sure. The windowing system is going to be
- 3 able to tell the application that's running in box
- ⁴ 508E the location of the cursor in box 508E when the
- user presses a button on the mouse; correct?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q Okay. And then the application program
- 8 could decide that given the location provided to it
- ⁹ by the windowing system, that the cursor was, in
- fact, over an icon; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 12 hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: Correct.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- ¹⁵ Q And in that case, the application having
- that knowledge could do whatever action is
- associated with clicking that icon, could it not?
- MR. MICALLEF: Same objections.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, it could.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 21 Q And in that example, we didn't have to
- select any -- we didn't have to select the e-mail
- program to do that, did we?
- MR. MICALLEF: Same objections.
- THE WITNESS: In fact, we did have to

- DAVID R. KARGER
- select the e-mail program in order to do that.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 4 Q Well --
- ⁵ A Because we -- because there had to be a
- decision by the windowing system to inform that
- 7 e-mail program of the mouse click that just
- 8 happened.
- ⁹ Q We already discussed, though, that the
- e-mail program knows -- sorry, the windowing system
- knows where the cursor is, right, the mouse cursor
- is pointing?
- A Yes, it does.
- Q And it would know that the mouse cursor is
- pointing to a box 508E, regardless of whether 508E
- was selected, would it not?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 18 hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: So, again, my point is that
- the decision to notify the application in 508E of
- 21 the mouse click and to allow the application in 508E
- to deal with that mouse click is a kind of selection
- of that application to receive the information about
- that mouse click.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² Q So just having the cursor in the box 508E
- is a selection regardless of whether I clicked on
- it, right-clicked on it, left-clicked on it,
- ⁵ nothing. Just moving the cursor across into the box
- means I've selected the box. Is that what you're
- ⁷ saying?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 9 hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: That's less clear because --
- 11 I mean, there are -- there are systems, there are
- configurations of windowing systems where that is
- actually true, that is, this is actually how I
- configure my own desktop, is that you select on
- hover. So when I move the mouse over a particular
- window on my desktop, that window becomes selected.
- However, it's not necessarily the case
- that a hover will select a window. You may wait
- until an action takes place which requires that you
- select that window. A mouse click would be such an
- event.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q And, for instance, if I look again at
- figure 5, assuming that these various rectangular
- panes 508 were selectable, I could, in fact, have

- DAVID R. KARGER
- selected pane 508D, in which a first application was
- running, by clicking on that pane, and then move my
- 4 cursor over to 508E while 508D is still selected,
- 5 click on the icon, and the windowing system could,
- if it wanted to, inform the e-mail application of
- 7 the mouse click, could it not?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- ⁹ hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: Inform which application,
- ¹¹ 508E application?
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q The e-mail -- correct.
- A Again, I would say that that decision to
- inform the application in a 508E of the mouse click
- reflects a selection of that application in 508E.
- Whether or not 508D was previously selected.
- Q Okay. So I'm clicking on 508D, so 508D is
- 19 selected. But by moving my mouse cursor over to
- 508E, I've now also selected 508E?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 22 hypothetical, misstates his testimony.
- THE WITNESS: That is not what I said.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Okay. One step further. I realize my

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² mistake, I think. So by sliding my mouse over to
- 508E and then clicking on the link in 508E, then
- 4 I've selected 508E?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 6 hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: I want to make clear what
- you have changed is you're no longer saying I've
- ⁹ also selected 508E. So yes, by moving over and then
- clicking on 508E, I have now selected that
- ¹¹ application in 508E.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q And the word "also" was a problem for you.
- Does that mean that 508D is no longer selected?
- 15 A That would be the common case. There
- are -- there are mechanisms for using multiple
- selection. But in general, a single click leads to
- a single selection.
- Q Okay. Bottom line, Dr. Karger, it's not
- 20 absolutely necessary that I select 508E in order to
- tell the e-mail application that the mouse is
- clicked, unless you define the fact that I tell the
- e-mail program that as being a selection?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 25 hypothetical, irrelevant.

```
DAVID R. KARGER
```

- THE WITNESS: So by my -- or by my
- understanding of the notion of selection, you have
- 4 to select the graphical user interface element in
- 5 order to interact with it. So it is necessary.
- MR. HEINTZ: I'm going to ask the reporter
- ⁷ to hand Dr. Karger what's been marked as Exhibit
- 8 1066.
- 9 (Exhibit 1066 previously
- identified.)
- THE WITNESS: Didn't you already give me
- 12 this one?
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q I don't think so. I gave you 1067.
- Dr. Karger, I'd like you to direct your
- attention to 1066, at page 7 of the exhibit, and in
- particular, column 2.
- 18 A Uh-huh.
- ¹⁹ Q So in the paragraph above the "User
- interface" heading, there was a second sentence that
- reads, then "when a window is deleted, its icon is
- deleted, but the hole is not filled until another
- window is created."
- Do you see that?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q Are the icons in Myers persistent?
- 3 A So in order to answer that question you
- 4 have to qualify or clarify what you mean by
- ⁵ persistence.
- So the icon window is a window. I can
- 7 close the icon window, I think, and I can then
- 8 reopen that icon window. And the same icons that
- ⁹ were there before will be there again.
- So in that sense, you could say that the
- icons are persistent.
- 12 If those icons -- in Sapphire, each of
- these icons corresponds to a window, and if those
- windows are associated with applications that do not
- relaunch when I restart the computer, then the next
- time I restart the computer, I won't see the icons
- that were associated with those applications because
- those applications will not have relaunched.
- And so in that -- in that embodiment, in
- that implementation, those icons would not be
- 21 persistent. Or sorry, under that interpretation,
- under that aspect of persistence, those icons would
- not be persistent.
- Q Let me direct your attention to Exhibit
- 1066 at page 4. Okay. On the right-hand column

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² under the heading "Icons."
- ${ t A} { t Yes.}$
- ⁴ Q Third line from the bottom, there is a
- 5 sentence that reads, "In Sapphire the icon and the
- window exist at the same time, as will be explained
- ⁷ later."
- 8 Do you see that?
- ⁹ A Yes.
- Q So how is it, then, in Sapphire that the
- icons come to be displayed on the screen?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: How is it that they come to
- be displayed on the screen. So as we saw in the
- previous discussion, the icons in Sapphire are
- presented in an icon window, in order to help users
- quickly access various windows that are created by
- executing programs.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 0 I understand that when the icon is
- 21 present, they are displayed in this icon window.
- But I'm asking you what -- what makes the window --
- what makes the icon appear on the display? How does
- the user decide -- or influence the system to
- display the icon?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- 3 (Witness reviewed document.)
- THE WITNESS: I'm trying to make sure --
- ⁵ I'm trying to provide the information you're
- seeking. I'm not sure what you're seeking.
- So icons are created in Sapphire in
- 8 correspondence with windows, so an icon is created
- ⁹ when a window is created.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 11 Q All right. So let's say that I've -- I'm
- working on my Sapphire system, I have three
- applications open, three application windows open,
- that means I'm going to have three of these icons;
- 15 correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q I close application 1, I close application
- 2, I close application 3. Now all the three icons
- are gone; right?
- ²⁰ A Yes.
- Q Okay. Will I ever see an icon again? And
- if so, what do I need to do to see one again?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- ²⁴ hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: I need to launch an

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² application.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 4 Q How do you do that?
- ⁵ A I'm not certain that this document says --
- 6 let me --
- 7 (Witness reviewed document.)
- 8 So you'll notice this document is titled
- ⁹ "The User Interface for Sapphire," so it is
- describing only one portion of the Sapphire system.
- It's also worth mentioning, given the
- discussion that we had before, that it has quite an
- extensive discussion of this notion of a listener
- 14 window, that -- just for supporting the point that I
- made before, that these systems have to know which
- application you're addressing if you start typing or
- something like that.
- And so this discusses extensively the fact
- that you need to be able to specify and in
- 20 particular change the listening -- change the
- listener window so it can properly receive input.
- That's exactly the point that I was making
- before about the need to select a particular element
- in order for it to receive input.
- Now, as far as I can tell, the document

- does not describe in general how applications will
- 3 be launched. And in the question that you asked, if
- I close all of my icons -- I'm sorry, if I close all
- of my applications, it's not clear whether Sapphire
- 6 comes with any kind of launcher interface, anything
- ⁷ that I can use to launch a new application.
- I wouldn't be surprised if it did. You
- 9 don't want to be left with a dark screen.
- There is a mention of the fact that, for
- example, you can get help in Sapphire by pressing a
- prefix key and then some other key. And again, this
- is speculation, but you're presumably going to
- invoke -- you're going to open up a new application,
- a "help" application or a presentation application
- that's going to show the help when you press that
- 17 prefix key and the appropriate accelerator.
- Looking at -- let me see.
- So in figure 6, you can see a pop-up
- window for specifying commands. It's not clear
- whether that's going to open up another window,
- whether it's going to launch another application or
- just replace -- or just do something in the existing
- window.
- So I'm afraid the document -- I'm afraid

- DAVID R. KARGER
- this document is basically moot -- mute on the
- 3 question you asked about how you will start up a new
- ⁴ application.
- 5 Q So you don't know?
- ⁶ A Right.
- ⁷ Q Okay. Thanks, Doctor. In your answer
- 8 there -- and I'm going to move to strike the part of
- ⁹ the answer that wasn't responsive to my question.
- But you said something about this concept of
- 11 listener window; correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q Is Kostes -- I'm sorry. Is Exhibit 1066 a
- covered windows system or tiled windows system?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; relevance.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 17 Q The document we're looking at, sorry.
- A 1066 does permit windows to cover, so it's
- a covered windows system. Actually, let me
- double-check that.
- Q If it helps, page 3 under the heading --
- yeah, okay, figure 1 under the heading --
- MR. MICALLEF: Let him ask the question
- first, all right?
- THE WITNESS: Okay.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- MR. MICALLEF: Go ahead, your question.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- ⁴ Q So does that help?
- ⁵ A Yes. So figure 1 shows that Sapphire is a
- 6 covered windows system.
- Okay. Now, one thing that's clear, and
- 8 particularly from the passage at page 4 we
- ⁹ discussed, which states that the Sapphire icons and
- windows exist at the same time, is that you can't
- use the icons to call an application program; isn't
- 12 that correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 14 hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: That gets to the ambiguity
- of "call." All right. So if I click on an icon in
- order to turn that window into the listener, you can
- 18 certainly see that as a -- as a step towards calling
- that application program, right.
- So again, if I make a particular window a
- listener and now I start typing, that application
- program is now going to be called to receive the
- typing that I'm doing.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q You can't use an icon to launch an

- DAVID R. KARGER
- application, could you? A Sapphire icon?
- 3 A Correct.
- ⁴ Q I'd like you to turn your attention to
- 5 paragraph 341 of your report. In that paragraph,
- 6 you state that to the extent one could conclude that
- 7 the dynamic icons of Myers are not persistent, it
- 8 would have been obvious to modify Myers in order to
- 9 make them so, since persistent user interface
- elements were well known by 1999 such as those
- described by an MSIE kit; correct?
- 12 A Correct.
- Q So where in Myers do the icons, when they
- exist, get their updates from?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form. Incomplete
- 16 hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: So if you look on page 6,
- there is a paragraph on progress bars. And you
- can -- in line 6 or 7, it says that these are kept
- up to date by the application program or by the
- 21 system for certain applications.
- So there are at least two sources of
- information that lead to the updating of these
- icons.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:

- O Okay. Let's talk about the situation
- 3 where the icons are kept up-to-date by the
- 4 application program.
- ⁵ A Okay.
- ⁶ Q If the application program is not running,
- ⁷ then where would you get information to update the
- 8 dynamic icons of Myers?
- 9 A Well, as we said, they can be kept
- up-to-date by the system, as well as being kept
- up-to-date by the application program. But I will
- point out that a very straightforward way to make
- the icons of Myers persistent would be to make the
- ¹⁴ application persistent, using something like the
- session manager tools that I've discussed elsewhere
- in my report.
- Q Okay. So you'd have to make the
- application persistent in order for the icons to
- update from the application?
- 20 A That would be --
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: That would be one way to do
- this.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Would that have been obvious to one of

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ordinary skill in the art to do that?
- A To use a session manager? Certainly.
- Q To use a session manager to keep
- 5 applications constantly running so that the icons
- 6 were persistently updated?
- 7 MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Let's remember that a
- 9 session manager doesn't keep applications constantly
- running. It just runs them when you begin -- when
- 11 you begin a session, it will reestablish the
- applications that were running the last time you
- were in a session. When it reestablishes those
- ¹⁴ applications, and if you were doing this within
- Sapphire, you would also reestablish those icons and
- continue to update them using the application.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 18 O That would have been obvious?
- ¹⁹ A Certainly.
- Q Okay. Now, in the second example
- discussed on page 6 of Exhibit 1066 where the icons
- are kept up-to-date by the system for certain
- operations, what kind of operations are we talking
- about? I'm sorry, is 1066 talking about.
- A So an example would be when a application

- 2 required -- so I should say that these are not
- 3 explicitly listed in this document. It doesn't
- explicitly say this is done by the system, this is
- 5 done by the application and so forth.
- But there are several types of icon
- 7 updates which would be natural for the system to be
- 8 responsible for. One is the case that's described
- ⁹ in figure 5 on page 6, where it talks about three
- dots that show that a window is offscreen. It talks
- about a keyboard that is indicating that an
- application is waiting for user input, and it talks
- about an error signal, a bug being displayed.
- So these three phenomena of an application
- wanting user input or of a window being offscreen or
- of some sort of fault having occurred, these are
- sort of generic phenomena that could happen to a
- broad variety of applications and would sort of be
- natural to have in the vocabulary of the system and
- have the system be responsible for providing this
- kind of information in the icon.
- To contrast, the fourth icon, icon D, has
- a mailbox with mail sticking out, which is
- 24 presumably indicating that there is mail, and you
- couldn't really expect the system to understand

- enough about the mail application to be able to be
- responsible for that. Instead, that is something
- 4 that the application program would be responsible
- ⁵ for updating.
- 6 Q Okay. So in the first three examples --
- ⁷ sorry, in the first example you gave, you say the
- 8 three dots show that the window is offscreen. If
- the window is offscreen, that means that there's an
- application running in the window; correct?
- A Whether or not the window is offscreen,
- there is an application running associated with the
- window.
- Q Okay. So there is an application
- associated with the window, and the window is off
- the screen, and you're -- and your answer is that
- the system will then display -- update the icon to
- show the three dots; is that correct?
- 19 A That's correct.
- Q Okay. That requires the existence of an
- application running in a window that's off the
- screen, though; correct?
- A Again, within Sapphire, there is this
- one-to-one association between applications and
- icons. So whether it's off the screen or not, there

- DAVID R. KARGER
- is -- if there's an icon, there's an application
- 3 associated with the icon's window.
- Q Okay. What I'm trying to get at, though,
- 5 is I asked you for what the source of the updates is
- 6 going to be if it's not the application. You
- identified the system would provide the updates.
- But at least with the three dots, the only time the
- 9 system would do that was when there is an
- application running?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Sorry, I'm missing a connect
- between the beginning and end of that question. So
- yes, there is an icon when there is an application
- running. But that doesn't mean that the source of
- updates to the icon has to be the application,
- 17 right.
- There is an icon, it's there when the
- 19 application is running. But the system can be
- updating that icon which is there because the
- ²¹ application is running.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Again, that's the point. The system is
- updating the icon because the application is
- running; right? The system wouldn't put three

- dots -- wouldn't display an icon with three dots if
- there were no application running, because the three
- dots is telling the user that the application window
- is off the screen?
- 6 A This does not mean that the source of the
- ⁷ update is the application. It does mean that you're
- 8 only going to see an icon when there is an
- ⁹ application running.
- Okay. But my point is you have said that
- it would have been obvious to make those icons
- persistent.
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Now, I asked you why -- where the updates
- from the icons -- sorry, the updates to the icons
- would come from if there's no application running.
- You told me it would come from the system.
- 18 A Yes.
- Q As an example you gave me the three dots,
- update to the icon by the system when the window was
- off the screen; right?
- ²² A Yes.
- Q And now what I'm asking you is it wouldn't
- make sense to update that icon with the three dots
- if there were no application running; correct?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² A If there were no application running,
- there would be no icon.
- 4 Q Okay. But in your -- you've said it would
- 5 have been obvious to make the icons persistent.
- 6 Does that mean that the only way the icons are going
- ⁷ to be persistent in that paragraph of your report is
- ⁸ if the applications are also running and also
- 9 persistent?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: No, what I said was that
- would be one way to do this, right, would be to move
- to a more persistent system, where the applications
- are persistent and therefore the icons are
- persistent.
- Another thing that would have been obvious
- would have been to use the common role of icons in
- addition to Sapphire role of icons.
- And we actually -- we see this in today's
- 20 tools, that the quick launch bar for -- in windows
- is simultaneously something which has icons that you
- can use to launch an application that is not
- running, but also has icons that can be used to
- access and reflect the state of those applications,
- if they have been launched and are running.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Okay. With respect to claim 53,
- substitute claim 53 in the conditional amendment,
- which reference is more relevant, MSIE kit or Myers?
- 6 MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; irrelevant.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I'm really not sure what
- 8 "more relevant" means. I mean, I generally think of
- ⁹ relevance as it is or it isn't.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 11 Q So there can't be -- okay. In your
- report, you indicate that claim 53 is invalid based
- solely on MSIE kit; is that correct? Your report --
- sorry, I apologize. Not your report. Your
- declaration, Exhibit 1110.
- A I'm sorry, do you want to direct me to
- where I make that assertion or do you want me to
- 18 find it?
- Q No, I'll find it for you. Page 74 is
- where that part of your opinion starts. Again,
- that's page 74 of Exhibit 1110.
- 22 A Sorry, on page 74 is where I make the
- general assertion that the claims are not patentable
- over an MSIE kit.
- Q Right. Now let's go to 128, page 128 of

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² Exhibit 1110.
- 3 A Okay.
- ⁴ Q I'm sorry to do this to you, it's late in
- 5 the day. Let's go back to page 74 of Exhibit 1110,
- 6 where you discuss your opinion that the proposed
- substitute claims are not patentable over an MSIE
- ⁸ kit.
- ⁹ A Yes.
- Q So do you rely on anything other than MSIE
- kit in your assertion that claim 53 is not
- patentable?
- A I'm sorry, that question is very broad.
- You're asking if I rely anywhere on anything besides
- MSIE kit to argue that claim 53 is not patentable?
- 16 Q No, it's not quite that broad. What you
- said starting under the heading 2, "The Proposed
- Substitute Claims Are Not Patentable Over MSIE kit;
- 19 right?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q You've asserted that claim 53 is not
- patentable over MSIE kit alone; correct?
- A Correct.
- 24 Q You don't need some other reference or
- some other information to combine. Everything you

- DAVID R. KARGER
- need to form your opinion that claim 53 is not
- patentable can be found in MSIE kit?
- A So this portion of the report does argue
- that based solely on MSIE kit, the claims are not
- ⁶ patentable.
- Q Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you to direct
- your attention to the portion of your report that
- 9 discusses Exhibit 1066, and that starts at page 128.
- 10 A Yes.
- Q Exhibit 1110. Now, is it your opinion
- that claim 53 is not patentable solely based on the
- Myers reference, Exhibit 1066?
- 14 (Witness reviewed document.)
- Dr. Karger, let me try to make it a little
- easier for you. Let's look at paragraph 343 of your
- 17 report.
- 18 A Yes.
- Q First sentence you say that "Myers
- explains that his dynamic icons are kept up to date
- by their assigned application programs." Then you
- say in the next sentence, "Myers does not explain
- exactly how the dynamic icons are updated. However,
- by 1999 it would have been obvious to update the
- dynamic icons of Myers using a retrieval rate

- DAVID R. KARGER
- scheme, such as disclosed in MSIE Kit"; correct?
- 3 A Correct.
- ⁴ Q So you're saying it's the combination of
- ⁵ kit and Myers that renders claim 53 obvious, are you
- 6 not?
- A Well, I'm saying that that's one example,
- 8 right. I -- I consider the use of polling to
- 9 decide, for example, in Myers figure 6, to decide
- when to put up that new mail flag as something that
- would have been obvious generally, that you don't
- need to specifically refer to MSIE kit in regard to
- that polling, because polling is -- polling,
- according -- polling at some regular rate is one of
- the most obvious ways to keep information up to
- 16 date.
- But certainly, it would be obvious in
- combination with the schemes that are discussed in
- ¹⁹ MSIE kit.
- Q And so in any event, your opinion is that
- Myers, Exhibit 1066, renders claim 53 obvious, not
- anticipated; correct?
- 23 A That's correct. My assertion is that the
- claims are obvious, not anticipated.
- Q So isn't it true, then, that MSIE kit is

- DAVID R. KARGER
- more relevant to claim 53 than Myers, or is
- 3 relevance still just a binary concept for you?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form;
- ⁵ argumentative.
- THE WITNESS: More relevant -- it's a
- ⁷ difficult -- I'm sorry, it's difficult to answer. I
- 8 mean, both of these -- both of these pieces of prior
- ⁹ art are clearly relevant, right. More relevant.
- 10 Let's see.
- I mean, with MSIE kit, we're making an
- anticipation argument. With Myers we're making an
- obviousness argument. But I don't see how that
- 14 flows to relevance.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Okay. I'd like you to turn to paragraph
- ¹⁷ 71 of your report. Sorry, I keep saying report.
- Paragraph 71 of your declaration, Exhibit 1110.
- ¹⁹ A All right.
- Q Okay. In the first line of paragraph 71,
- you say, thus -- sorry, "Third, SurfCast's reading
- is inconsistent with the '403 Patent itself."
- Do you see that?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Okay. What's the reading you're referring

```
DAVID R. KARGER
```

- 2 to in that paragraph? And I'm not sure this is it,
- 3 but to speed it up, I think you might be referring
- 4 to paragraph 68 of your report.
- 5 A Yes, that's correct.
- 6 Q Okay. So your opinion is that in
- ⁷ understanding the words "when a tile is selected,"
- 8 means that the access is provided at the time the
- 9 tile is selected; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 12 Q All right. Let me do it again. What
- about SurfCast's reading is inconsistent with the
- ¹⁴ '403 patent?
- 15 (Witness reviewed document.)
- A Sorry, I'm slowing down as it gets late.
- Q I think we're almost done, so --
- 18 (Witness reviewed document.)
- A Sorry. Now I've lost the question. Can I
- get it repeated?
- Q Yeah. I'm trying to understand why it is
- you're saying that SurfCast's reading, as described
- in paragraph 68, is inconsistent with the '403
- patent. I just don't understand the logic. I'll
- try in some bite-sized questions. Maybe we can go

- DAVID R. KARGER
- through it this way. I'm sorry, go ahead.
- ⁴ asked. Actually, that would be easier if you gave
- me a copy of Weadock.
- ⁶ Q Which Weadock do you want?
- A Weadock declaration ISO response, Exhibit
- 8 2004.
- 9 Of course that's the one I don't have.
- 10 A Then sorry, I just can't answer your
- 11 question.
- 12 (Laughter.)
- 13 Q I'm sorry, 2004? We did have it, I'm
- sorry. You're not getting off the hook. All right.
- So I'll hand -- I'll ask the court reporter to hand
- the witness what's been marked as Exhibit 2004.
- 17 (Exhibit 2004 previously
- identified.)
- THE WITNESS: So if you look at paragraph
- 92 of Weadock, of Exhibit 2004, it asserts that
- Duhault II's tiles are not tiles. It disagrees with
- my characterization of Duhault II's tiles, because
- he says a tile is selectable to provide access to
- underlying information.
- However, selecting a window in Duhault 2

- does not provide access to underlying information.
- 3 It presents the same information the user is already
- viewing but at a higher level of fidelity.
- 5 So this paragraph is saying that
- ⁶ presenting the same information as before does not
- meet this characterization of providing access to
- 8 underlying information.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q = 0 Okay.
- 11 A Sorry -- right. So the sense in which
- this is inconsistent is that it is trying -- it
- 13 attempts to read things as you have to provide
- access to the information at the time that it is
- 15 selected, as opposed to during the time that it is
- selected.
- And in their own description of these live
- tiles, the sort of -- one of the central benefits
- that they argue is that they are providing
- information all the time.
- So for Weadock to say that it's not a tile
- because it does not provide access -- it is not a
- tile -- sorry, I'm getting tangled up in my double
- negative, so to speak.
- Weadock has argued that Duhault's things

- ² are not tiles because they were providing access to
- 3 information before you selected the tile.
- But the whole point of these live tiles,
- 5 as described in the '403 patent, is that they are
- ⁶ providing access to information before you select
- 7 the tiles. They are providing access all the time.
- 8 So Weadock's assertion would essentially
- be asserting that the tiles described in the '403
- patent are not tiles.
- Okay. So in paragraph 71, when you're
- talking about SurfCast's reading, you're talking
- about the opinion in paragraph 92 of Mr. Weadock's
- declaration; is that right?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Now to answer this well, I
- should probably get SurfCast's claim construction
- document where they want to talk about what's
- 19 selectable -- or what it is about the selection
- language that they want to associate with the tiles.
- So I believe that Weadock's discussion
- here is reflecting of the way that the patent owners
- would like to read in this notion of selectable to
- 24 provide access.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:

- Q All right. In paragraph 68, you say, the
- ³ "Patent Owner appears to misunderstand the Board's
- interpretation of 'tile'"; correct?
- 5 A Correct.
- ⁶ Q The Board's interpretation of tile is
- given in your report at page 11, paragraph 35, is it
- 8 not?
- ⁹ A Yes.
- Q Okay. And so the Board's interpretation
- of tile is a graphical user interface element whose
- content may be refreshed and that, when selected,
- provides access to an information source; correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Now, in paragraph 68, you say that the
- patent owner is "interpreting the phrase 'when
- selected, provide access to'" "'information source'
- to require that the provision of the access" "must
- commence at the time the tile is selected"; correct?
- A Correct.
- Q And you take issue with that in paragraph
- 69, because you say what that really means is could
- be when it's selected or could be at just some time
- ²⁴ after it's selected?
- ²⁵ A No.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² Q No, okay.
- A What I say is that it could be happening
- before it's selected.
- 5 Q Well, let me direct your attention to
- paragraph 69. You say that the word "when" has
- ⁷ several different meanings. "It can mean 'at the
- 8 time that, '" and you cite the American Heritage
- 9 Dictionary; right?
- A Uh-huh.
- 11 Q And that's what you say in paragraph 68
- the patent owner's understanding is; right?
- A Correct.
- 14 Q Then you say back in paragraph 69, "but
- it, meaning when, "can also mean 'during the time
- at which' or 'while'"; correct?
- 17 A Yes.
- ¹⁸ Q So while it's selected. I mean, in other
- words, if this is happening at some time after the
- selection of the tile has occurred?
- A Not after the selection has occurred but
- while the tile is selected.
- O Right. But not before it's selected.
- That's not what you're talking about here in this
- section of your report?

- 2 A What I'm talking about is the assertion
- 3 that if you were -- I am refuting the assertion,
- 4 which we pointed to in Weadock, that having the
- ⁵ information -- that having the access before
- 6 selection would rule this -- would rule out this
- ⁷ scenario.
- 8 That is, Weadock wants to argue that if
- you had access before and then you make a selection
- and you still have access, that doesn't meet the
- definition of when selected provides access.
- Q So under your understanding of the Board's
- construction, if I have access before the tile is
- selected, right, that doesn't preclude the tile from
- providing access when the tile is selected?
- ¹⁶ A Correct.
- MR. HEINTZ: If you give me a quick five
- minutes, I think we may be just about done.
- 19 (Recess.)
- MR. HEINTZ: No further questions.
- MR. MICALLEF: Thank you. I'm going to
- have just a couple questions to clear up a couple
- things, Dr. Karger.
- 24 EXAMINATION
- BY MR. MICALLEF:

- DAVID R. KARGER
- Q Can I get you to go back to paragraph 68
- 3 of Exhibit 1110 that we were just talking about.
- 4 A Yes.
- ⁵ Q So just to be clear I think Mr. Heintz
- asked you whether the opinions in paragraph 71 were
- referring back to the opinions in paragraph 68. Do
- 9 you recall that?
- ⁹ A Yes.
- Q And I just want to make sure the record is
- 11 clear. Is 71 referring back to the opinions in
- paragraph 68?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Now, there's a citation at the end
- of paragraph 68. Can you tell us what you
- understand that citation to refer to?
- A Yeah. So if you look at paragraph 67,
- there is a reference to Exhibit 2004, paragraphs 90
- 19 to 100.
- And here in paragraph 68, we're referring
- 21 to the same reference, but in paragraphs 5 to 6 --
- or pages 37 to 38 and 57. I'm sorry, paragraphs.
- Q I'm going to hand you a document. I'm
- going to hand you the SurfCast, Incorporated's
- patent owner's response under 35 USC section 316A.8,

- DAVID R. KARGER
- 2 37 CFR section 42.120. I'm sorry, I don't know what
- 3 paper number it is in this case. But I'm going to
- 4 hand you that, and I'm going to ask you to turn to
- ⁵ page 37.
- MR. HEINTZ: Can we have a copy?
- 7 MR. MICALLEF: I only have one. You have
- 8 a copy. It's paper number 27. I'm sorry. Do you
- ⁹ want to come over and look over his shoulder?
- MR. HEINTZ: Yeah, I would.
- BY MR. MICALLEF:
- 12 Q Page 37. And this is a discussion of the
- Duhault II reference, correct, on page 37?
- A Correct.
- 15 Q And do you see -- do you see the sentence
- that near the bottom that states, "however, that
- change upon selection does not provide access to the
- underlying information source because the
- information from the underlying information source
- was already being displayed before the image was
- even selected"?
- ²² A Yes.
- Q Okay. So my question is is the citation
- at the end of paragraph 68 -- could that be
- referring to the patent owner's response rather than

- DAVID R. KARGER
- to Mr. Weadock's declaration?
- 3 A So I think it's pretty clear that this is
- ⁴ a typo or an ambiguity in my report, where I just
- ⁵ used "Resp." and should have specified a particular
- 6 exhibit.
- ⁷ This material that we've just referred to
- on page 37 is in correspondence with the material
- that I was describing from Weadock's declaration.
- 10 But this is the actual page where we can see that --
- as I asserted in my testimony, I believe that the
- patent owner was in agreement with Weadock about --
- with this issue, that if the information was already
- being provided, it would not satisfy their desired
- ¹⁵ definition.
- So this reference is -- should be
- correctly pointing into this document and not at
- ¹⁸ Weadock's.
- 19 Q Back to your declaration, Exhibit 1110.
- Mr. Heintz was asking you some questions about
- paragraph 286. In particular, he was asking you
- questions about the last sentence in paragraph 286.
- Do you remember that?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And do you still belief that that

- DAVID R. KARGER
- last sentence is accurate?
- 3 A Yes, I do.
- 4 Q Can you tell us why?
- 5 A So as I said at the time, and as we
- f reiterated looking over Myers, the Sapphire chapter,
- 7 there's a general understanding that you need to
- 8 decide which window is listening to particular input
- ⁹ events in order to hand those events off to the
- appropriate application. And that decision of which
- is the listening window is -- or that -- that
- identification of a listening window, determination
- of a listening window, is a type of selection.
- Q Okay. Thank you.
- 15 I'd like to direct your attention to
- 16 Kostes, which is Exhibit 1051. Just let me know
- when you have it.
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q If you could turn to page 15. And I'd
- like you to tell me what your understanding is of
- the very first sentence on that page.
- 22 A If none is found?
- Q Right. It begins with "If none is found."
- Obviously, if you want to read more of the document,
- you're welcome to.

- 2 A So the sentence reads that "If none is
- found," that is if no prior montage view is found,
- then the user can create "a new montage," either by
- manipulating the existing montage view or by
- enlarging the "data being displayed" in "one of the
- ⁷ netchannels."
- 8 So again, going back to the discussion we
- ⁹ had before about the sort of relationship between
- the net channels and the applications in the montage
- view, this sort of supports my argument that the
- views in the montage view are presenting the same
- sort of data using the same sort of channel -- model
- view channel architecture as the net channels
- 15 themselves.
- So in a sense, the -- one can place into
- the montage area a different view of what is being
- presented previously in a net channel.
- 19 Q Now, you were asked some questions about a
- number of exhibits, 278 -- I'm sorry, 2078 through
- 2080, that purport to describe various Windows
- operating systems such as Windows 95, Windows 98.
- Do you remember that?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Did you ever own a Windows 95 machine?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² A Yes, I did.
- Q When?
- ⁴ A Probably around '95.
- 5 Q Probably around 1995. You bought that
- ⁶ yourself?
- A '95, I was already working as faculty, so
- 8 I bought it but I didn't pay for it. It came out of
- ⁹ my grant money.
- Q Where did you buy it?
- 11 A Every -- every laptop I've ever owned has
- been from -- has been an IBM ThinkPad, so I bought
- it from IBM and I always order online.
- Q Did it have Internet Explorer 4 on it?
- ¹⁵ A I have no recollection.
- Q Did you ever -- do you have any
- 17 recollection of ever having a machine with Internet
- Explorer 4 on it?
- 19 A I certainly recall having machines with
- Internet Explorer on them, but I can't tell you
- when -- when it cycled through various versions. I
- always had the most up-to-date one.
- Q Did you ever own a Windows 98 machine?
- A I think so. Yeah, yeah, I did.
- Q Can you tell me when?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² A Probably around '98. I tend to buy a
- machine every year or two, and that machine tends to
- 4 come with whatever the current operating system is.
- ⁵ Q Okay.
- A So I'm working by inference rather than
- 7 explicit recollection.
- 8 O Do you know if the Windows 98 machine had
- ⁹ Internet Explorer on it?
- 10 A I'm sure it did. That was before the
- whole Justice Department action to make you take
- 12 Internet Explorer off; right? So I don't know, but
- 13 I am inferring that there was some version of IE.
- Q Were there icons in the prior art that
- were not persistent?
- A Sapphire, right, as -- again, ambiguity
- about persistence. But if I turned off a Sapphire
- machine and turned it back on, those icons are
- 19 probably gone.
- Q If I could ask you to take a look at the
- '403 patent, column 9. And in particular, I'll
- direct your attention to the paragraph that begins
- 23 at about line 43.
- Do you see that?
- 25 A Yes.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- 2 Q Do you recall you were asked questions
- about this paragraph?
- ⁴ A Yes, I was.
- 5 Q Now, do you interpret this paragraph to
- disclose tiles that can overlap other tiles?
- 7 A Yes, I do.
- 8 O Why?
- 9 A Well, most directly, because they talk
- about an embodiment where you can double-click a
- tile in order to -- and enlarge it, to occupy the
- entire display. I'll look for the text. Line 3.
- "In this embodiment, double clicking tile 402 or 412
- causes the image to be expanded to fit the whole
- display area."
- So if it's fitting the whole display area,
- then the other tiles are now underneath it.
- 18 Q Is there anything else in the paragraph
- that leads you to the conclusion that this discloses
- tiles that can overlap other tiles?
- A So it also talks about enlarging to occupy
- 22 a substantial fraction of the display. Later on it
- talks about causing the box window of the e-mail
- utility to be sufficiently enlarged to allow new
- messages to be selected and read.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- And the way I read those, what I
- understood was opening up a sort of a modal window
- 4 or modal box that is larger than the original tile
- 5 and therefore will cover up some of the other tiles.
- 6 Q Can I get you to look at column 9, lines 9
- ⁷ to 11.
- 8 Do you see that?
- ⁹ A Yes.
- Now, this is talking about a tile that
- would display a further array of tiles by expanding
- to occupy the full area of the display?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q Do you have an understanding what would
- happen to the tiles -- the tiles that are on this
- screen before tile 406 is expanded?
- A As I said regarding the previous paragraph
- that we were looking at, if you're expanding
- something to occupy the entire display, then it is
- going to end up overlapping whatever was previously
- on that display.
- MR. MICALLEF: No further questions.
- 23 EXAMINATION
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Sir, let me direct your attention back to

- paragraph 286 of your declaration, Exhibit 1110. In
- particular, the last sentence that Mr. Micallef
- ⁴ directed your attention to.
- 5 A Yes.
- ⁶ Q In responding to Mr. Micallef's question
- you mentioned a decision being made as to where to
- 8 send the information, which window to send the
- ⁹ information to; correct?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Who or what makes that decision?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form;
- incorrect -- incomplete hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: So what I was referring to
- 15 there was the window manager or tile manager or
- whatever, which tends to act as a layer between the
- user and the applications. It has to take user
- actions, user input events, and deliver them to the
- ¹⁹ appropriate application.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q And it's your testimony, then, that if the
- windows manager decides to forward a user input
- event to a particular application, that must mean
- that the application to which the user event
- information has been sent has been selected; is that

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² right?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, can you repeat
- 5 the question?
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- ⁷ Q Sure. And I'll try to break it down for
- ⁸ you a little bit.
- ⁹ I understand your answer to mean if an
- application receives a user input event from the
- windows manager, that can only mean that the
- 12 application must have been selected. Is that
- 13 correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I'm simply a little leery of
- the word "must" because one can imagine inventing
- something new. But it is certainly by far the
- common practice that the mechanism for multiplexing
- input to a number of applications is through this
- notion of a selected window.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Well, in paragraph 286, you did use the
- word "must"; right? You said the "user must have
- selected the graphical user interface element."
- Do you want to withdraw that opinion now?

- DAVID R. KARGER
- 2 A Fair enough. No, I -- as I said, I
- have -- at this time of night -- at this late in the
- 4 day, I've started to speculate.
- But to the extent that -- everything I can
- ⁶ presently envision would require selection to have
- 7 taken place as a step towards delivering input to a
- 8 particular application. So yes, I am -- I will
- 9 stand by that "must."
- 10 Q Even though you admitted a few seconds ago
- that it's possible that you could have a system
- where it wasn't necessary for the user to have
- selected the graphical user interface element;
- 14 correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object. Object to form;
- ¹⁶ mischaracterizes the testimony.
- THE WITNESS: What I actually said was
- that I want to be careful about the use of the word
- 19 "must" without taking extensive time to think about
- ²⁰ it.
- But as this document reflects, I have in
- the past thought about it and not come up with
- something that excludes selection from taking place.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q And so is it the user who has to do the

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² selecting?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- ⁵ Q As it says in paragraph 286 of your
- 6 report?
- A Is it the user who has to have done the
- 8 selection? It's probably fair to say that selection
- 9 must have taken place, but that selection may not
- have been done by the user.
- 11 Q Okay. I'll direct your attention to
- 12 Exhibit 1051. That's the Kostes reference. And in
- particular, page 15 at the top to which Mr. Micallef
- directed your attention previously.
- 15 A Yes.
- Q So this paragraph reads in part, "then the
- user creates a new montage, by splitting the montage
- view (if desired), subdividing it as convenient, and
- using any portion of the resulting arrangement for,
- e.g., the enlargement of the "data being displayed
- on one of the netchannels."
- Do you see that?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q If you enlarge the data being displayed on
- one of the net channels, then does that mean that

- DAVID R. KARGER
- 2 that thing that's been enlarged is still a net
- 3 channel or is it a pane?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; irrelevant.
- 5 THE WITNESS: So, again -- so if you look
- at page 5, it talks about the creation of subwindows
- or panes, and it says that the user may drag and
- 8 drop data from a selected data channel or run a
- ⁹ desired application therein.
- So in -- what we were talking about on
- page 15, it seems likely to be -- you know, it's a
- 12 little ambiguous. But it would be -- it would seem
- quite natural to drag information from the net
- channel into a pane, and so that would sort of fall
- into the dragging version of this.
- I need to check -- on the other hand, the
- market minder is described as an application, okay.
- 18 So which of these mechanisms is being described in
- -- on page 5, you know, is the -- is the enlargement
- of data done through dragging and dropping into the
- pane or through running the market minder
- 22 application? That's not clear. It seems like both
- of those would be ways to enlarge the net channel
- 24 data.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:

- DAVID R. KARGER
- Q Okay. And nothing at the top of -- in the
- paragraph at the top of page 15 says anything about
- an application using the model -- the channels,
- ⁵ excuse me, does it?
- 6 (Witness reviewed document.)
- A Sorry, can we repeat the question?
- 8 (Record read by the court reporter as
- follows: "Q: And nothing at the top
- of -- in the paragraph at the top of page
- 15 says anything about an application
- using the model -- the channels, excuse
- me, does it?")
- 14 THE WITNESS: So the entire application is
- focused on this architecture of models, views and
- channels. So you think that the obvious conclusion
- is that you should preferably be using these models,
- use the channels in the design of what he calls
- ¹⁹ applications.
- The document does mention in -- shoot, I
- just had it.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- Q Sir, my question was only at the top of
- paragraph -- page 15. I'm not asking you about
- ²⁵ anything else.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- A All right. So if you're asking
- 3 specifically about the text of paragraph 15, it
- 4 certainly does not mention channels at all.
- ⁵ Q And for clarity, we're talking about the
- text at the top of page 15 of Exhibit 1051; correct?
- 7 MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- 8 THE WITNESS: So I will again emphasize
- ⁹ about what is pretty obvious from context, right.
- 10 This -- this document talks about enlargement of
- data being displayed on one of the net channels.
- Now, we know that the net channels use this
- model/view/channel architecture.
- And so even from this text, it is quite
- obvious that it would be natural for the application
- that is responsible for the enlargement of the data
- to continue to make use of these models, views, and
- channels to the extent possible.
- 19 It does talk later in the document about
- third-party applications and being able to place
- these in the montage view as well. These
- third-party application might choose not to use the
- model/view/channel architecture.
- But again, third party points out the idea
- that you could build well-integrated applications as

- part of this system and it would be extremely
- 3 natural for them to make use of the
- 4 model/view/channel architecture.
- 5 BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 6 O So let's be clear about what this
- paragraph here is saying, then. What the paragraph
- 8 says -- scratch that.
- I want to make sure I understand what you
- think the paragraph says. So let's refer to figure
- ¹¹ 5 in Exhibit 1051.
- 12 A Yes.
- O Okay. So the block 504A is the net
- 14 channel view; correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And then the montage view are all
- of those rectangles, 508, beneath the montage view
- bar, 506; correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q All right. So what this paragraph says,
- the paragraph at the top of page 15 of Exhibit 1051,
- is that "the user creates a new montage, by
- splitting the montage view (if desired), subdividing
- it as convenient, and using any portion of the
- resulting arrangement for, for example, the

- DAVID R. KARGER
- ² enlargement of data being displayed on one of the
- netchannels"; correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q So that would mean, for instance, that
- ⁶ I -- were -- I could subdivide this montage view
- 7 beneath the title bar 506, okay, and then I can move
- 8 those rectangles 508 around so that I had some blank
- ⁹ space in the -- in the montage view area. And then
- in that blank space, I can use it to enlarge one of
- these windows, 504A, the net channels; correct?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: The document isn't entirely
- clear on what happens when you split, right. So you
- could end up with a blank space, you could end up
- with a thing that says put more content here. Or,
- you know, what application do you want to run here.
- You could end up with two copies of the
- same thing, where you might replace one of them.
- There are many different possibilities.
- But, you know, the net channels, according
- to this document, are themselves JavaBeans, and the
- montage view is designed to be a container for
- ²⁴ JavaBeans.
- So one of the things that you can infer

- can be done here is to put the net channels
- themselves -- put copies of the net channels
- themselves into the montage view. But the montage
- 5 view appears to be larger, so you might just make it
- ⁶ bigger. That net channel which was -- that net
- 7 channel object which was previously shown in the
- 8 top, you could show a larger version of it inside of
- ⁹ the montage view itself.
- BY MR. HEINTZ:
- 11 Q Okay. Or drag and expand it so it sort of
- bridges the gap between the -- what's the net
- channel view area and the montage view area? In
- other words, you could just make that channel
- 15 larger?
- MR. MICALLEF: Object to form; incomplete
- 17 hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: That's not clear. In the
- document it talks about the montage view as a
- separate thing from the main view. It has sort of
- window management icons that sort of suggest that
- you have two different windows here.
- So it would be kind of odd in that setting
- to have something which spans from the main view to
- the montage view in another window.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- On the other hand, you could -- it's
- certainly natural to imagine moving something from
- 4 the main view or copying something from the main
- ⁵ view into the montage view.
- MR. HEINTZ: Okay. No further questions.
- 7 EXAMINATION
- BY MR. MICALLEF:
- 9 Q Let me ask you to direct your attention to
- page 14 of exhibit --
- MR. HEINTZ: Excuse me. There's no
- 12 recross.
- MR. MICALLEF: I don't think that's right.
- MR. HEINTZ: I think it is.
- MR. MICALLEF: You can object and move to
- exclude.
- MR. HEINTZ: I object to any further
- questions and move to strike.
- MR. MICALLEF: We're not going off the
- ²⁰ record.
- BY MR. MICALLEF:
- Q I want you to look at page 14 and the
- first full paragraph. I'd like to direct your
- ²⁴ attention to that.
- 25 A Yes.

- DAVID R. KARGER
- Q What I'm going to ask you is does this
- 3 indicate that data retrieved from a network is used
- 4 to display both a main view and the montage view?
- 5 A Certainly.
- 6 MR. HEINTZ: Objection; beyond the scope.
- ⁷ Objection; move to strike.
- 8 BY MR. MICALLEF:
- 9 Q Okay. And I'd like to direct your
- attention to page 20. The paragraph at the very
- bottom which you testified about earlier, can you
- tell me if this is a description of how data is
- acquired over the network?
- MR. HEINTZ: Objection; move to strike,
- beyond the scope. Objection; irrelevant.
- Objection; lack of foundation. Objection;
- incomplete hypothetical.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
- MR. MICALLEF: Okay. No further
- questions.
- MR. HEINTZ: Again for the record, I
- reiterate my objections that all of the prior set of
- questions from Mr. Micallef are not authorized under
- 24 the rules.
- MR. MICALLEF: We disagree, obviously, but

```
Page 399
1
                        DAVID R. KARGER
 2
     the deposition is over.
 3
                  (Whereupon, at 6:02 p.m., the
 4
     deposition was concluded.)
 5
 6
 7
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 400 1 DAVID R. KARGER 2 I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this 3 transcript of my deposition and that this transcript accurately states the testimony given by me, with the changes or corrections, 6 if any, as noted. 10 Dut Magne 11 12 13 Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 14 , 20 15 16 17 18 Χ 19 Notary Public 20 21 22 23 My commission expires:

24

25

		Page 401
1	DAVID R. KARGER	
2	CONTENTS	
3		
4	WITNESS	EXAMINATION
5	DAVID R. KARGER	
6	by Mr. Heintz	191, 386
7	by Mr. Micallef	377, 397
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	EXHIBITS	
14	EXHIBIT NUMBER	IDENTIFIED
15	Exhibit 2078 - single-page document	
16	entitled "Windows 95 Installation	
17	Requirements"	288
18	Exhibit 2079 - single-page document	
19	entitled "Minimum Hardware Requireme	ents
20	for a Windows 98 Installation"	293
21	Exhibit 2080 - two-page document ent	itled
22	"System requirements for Windows XP	
23	operating systems"	295
24		
25		continued

Page 402 DAVID R. KARGER E X H I B I T S (Continued) EXHIBIT NUMBER IDENTIFIED Exhibit 1001 previously identified Exhibit 1020 previously identified Exhibit 1088 previously identified Exhibit 1040 previously identified Exhibit 1007 previously identified Exhibit 1051 previously identified Exhibit 1066 previously identified Exhibit 2004 previously identified

1	DAVID R. KARGER
2	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC & REPORTER
3	
4	I, CARMEN SMITH, the officer before whom the
5	foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify
6	that the witness whose testimony appears in the
7	foregoing deposition was duly sworn; that the
8	testimony of said witness was taken in shorthand and
9	thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my
10	direction; that said deposition is a true record of
11	the testimony given by said witness; that I am
12	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any
13	of the parties to the action in which this
14	deposition was taken; and, further, that I am not a
15	relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
16	employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or
17	otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.
18	Dated: 4/29/14
19	
20	
21	
22	Notary Public in and for the
23	District of Columbia
24	
25	Commission Expires: MARCH 31, 2018

	Page 404
1	DAVID R. KARGER
2	ERRATA SHEET
3	DEPOSITION OF: DAVID R. KARGER
4	DATE OF DEPOSITION: 4/24/14
5	
6	PAGE : LINE : CORRECTION (Type or print):
7	::
8	::
9	::
10	::
11	::
12	::
13	::
14	::
15	::
16	:
17	::
18	:
19	:
20	::
21	:
22	::
23	::
24	::
25	::