

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MICROSOFT CORPORATION  
Petitioner,

v.

SURFCAST, INC.  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2013-00292<sup>1</sup>  
Patent 6,724,403

---

Before MICHAEL P. TIERNEY, JONI Y. CHANG, and  
MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CLEMENTS, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

REVISED SCHEDULING ORDER

---

<sup>1</sup> Cases IPR2013-00293, IPR2013-00294, and IPR2013-00295 have been consolidated with the instant proceeding.

Cases IPR2013-00292, IPR2013-00293,  
IPR2013-00294, and IPR2013-00295  
Patent 6,724,403

## A. DUE DATES

This order sets due dates for the parties to take action in this trial. The parties may stipulate to different dates for DUE DATES 1 through 3 (earlier or later, but no later than DUE DATE 4). A notice of the stipulation, specifically identifying the changed due dates, must be promptly filed. The parties may not stipulate to an extension of DUE DATES 4-7.

In stipulating to different times, the parties should consider the effect of the stipulation on times to object to evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)), to supplement evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(2)), to conduct cross-examination (37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2)), and to draft papers depending on the evidence and cross-examination testimony (see section B, below).

The parties are reminded that the Testimony Guidelines appended to the *Office Patent Trial Practice Guide*, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48772 (Aug. 14, 2012) (Appendix D), apply to this trial. The Board may impose an appropriate sanction for failure to adhere to the Testimony Guidelines. 37 C.F.R. § 42.12. For example, reasonable expenses and attorneys' fees incurred by any party may be levied on a person who impedes, delays, or frustrates the fair examination of a witness.

### 1. DUE DATE 1

The patent owner may file—

- a. A response to the petition (37 C.F.R. § 42.120), and
- b. A motion to amend the patent (37 C.F.R. § 42.121).

The patent owner must file any such response or motion to amend by DUE

Cases IPR2013-00292, IPR2013-00293,  
IPR2013-00294, and IPR2013-00295  
Patent 6,724,403

DATE 1. If the patent owner elects not to file anything, the patent owner must arrange a conference call with the parties and the Board. The patent owner is cautioned that any arguments for patentability not raised in the response will be deemed waived.

## 2. DUE DATE 2

The petitioner must file any reply to the patent owner's response and opposition to the motion to amend by DUE DATE 2.

## 3. DUE DATE 3

The patent owner must file any reply to the petitioner's opposition to patent owner's motion to amend by DUE DATE 3.

## 4. DUE DATE 4

a. Each party must file any motion for an observation on the cross-examination testimony of a reply witness (see section C, below) by DUE DATE 4.

b. Each party must file any motion to exclude evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c)) and any request for oral argument (37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a)) by DUE DATE 4.

## 5. DUE DATE 5

a. Each party must file any reply to a petitioner observation on cross-examination testimony by DUE DATE 5.

b. Each party must file any opposition to a motion to exclude evidence by DUE DATE 5.

Cases IPR2013-00292, IPR2013-00293,  
IPR2013-00294, and IPR2013-00295  
Patent 6,724,403

## 6. DUE DATE 6

Each party must file any reply in support of a motion to exclude evidence by DUE DATE 6.

## 7. DUE DATE 7

The oral argument (if requested by either party) is set for DUE DATE 7.

## B. CROSS-EXAMINATION

Except as the parties might otherwise agree, for each due date—

1. Cross-examination begins after any supplemental evidence is due. 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.53(d)(2).

2. Cross-examination ends no later than a week before the filing date for any paper in which the cross-examination testimony is expected to be used. *Id.*

## C. MOTION FOR OBSERVATION ON CROSS-EXAMINATION

A motion for observation on cross-examination provides the parties with a mechanism to draw the Board's attention to relevant cross-examination testimony of a reply witness, since no further substantive paper is permitted after the reply. *See Office Patent Trial Practice Guide*, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48768 (Aug. 14, 2012). The observation must be a concise statement of the relevance of precisely identified testimony to a precisely identified argument or portion of an exhibit. Each observation should not exceed a single, short paragraph. The opposing party may respond to the observation. Any response must be equally concise and specific.

Cases IPR2013-00292, IPR2013-00293,  
IPR2013-00294, and IPR2013-00295  
Patent 6,724,403

## DUE DATE APPENDIX

DUE DATE 1 ..... January 24, 2014

Patent owner's response to the petition

Patent owner's motion to amend the patent

DUE DATE 2 ..... March 24, 2014

Petitioner's reply to patent owner response to petition

Petitioner's opposition to motion to amend

DUE DATE 3 ..... May 9, 2014

Patent owner's reply to petitioner opposition to motion to amend

DUE DATE 4 ..... May 23, 2014

Motion for observation regarding  
cross-examination of reply witness

Motion to exclude evidence

Request for oral argument

DUE DATE 5 ..... June 6, 2014

Response to observation

Opposition to motion to exclude

DUE DATE 6 ..... June 13, 2014

Reply to opposition to motion to exclude

DUE DATE 7 ..... July 10, 2014

Oral argument (if requested)

Cases IPR2013-00292, IPR2013-00293,  
IPR2013-00294, and IPR2013-00295  
Patent 6,724,403

For PETITIONER:

Jeffrey P. Kushan, Esq.  
Joseph Micallef, Esq.  
[JKushan@sidley.com](mailto:JKushan@sidley.com)  
[JMicallef@sidley.com](mailto:JMicallef@sidley.com)

For PATENT OWNER:

Richard G. A. Bone, Esq.  
James M. Heintz, Esq.  
[RBone@VLPLawGroup.com](mailto:RBone@VLPLawGroup.com)  
[Patents@VLPLawGroup.com](mailto:Patents@VLPLawGroup.com)  
[292\\_IPR\\_DLAteam@dlapiper.com](mailto:292_IPR_DLAteam@dlapiper.com)