UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Microsoft Corporation Petitioner,

v.

SurfCast, Inc. Patent Owner

Patent No. 6,724,403 Issued: April 20, 2004 Filed: October 30, 2000 Inventor: Ovid Santoro et al.

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SIMULTANEOUS DISPLAY OF

INFORMATION SOURCES

Inter Partes Review Nos. IPR2013-00292, IPR2013-00293, IPR2013-00294, and IPR2013-00295

PETITIONER MICROSOFT CORPORATION'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Petitioner Microsoft Corporation respectfully requests the opportunity to present oral argument. As specified in the Board's April 21, 2014 Order (Paper No. 53), Oral Argument is scheduled for July 10, 2014.

Petitioner requests 2 hours of argument time to address the following issues:

- 1. Grounds for which *inter partes* review was instituted as to claims 1-52 of the '403 patent in IPR2013-00292, Paper No. 19 (Institution Decision)
 - (i) Claims 1-13, 17-28, 30-33, 35-37, 39-43, and 46-50 are anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,456,334 ("Duhault II");
 - (ii) Claims 1, 9-11, 22, 41-43, 46, and 48-50 are anticipated by US Patent No. 5,432,932 ("Chen");
 - (iii) Claim 29 would have been obvious over Chen and *Microsoft Internet Explorer Resource Kit* ("MSIE Kit");
 - (iv) Claims 1-3, 5-8, 11, 12, 14-16, 19, 21, 22, 27, 30, 32, 34, 37-40, 43-47, and 50-52 are anticipated by MSIE Kit;
 - (v) Claims 17, 20, 25, and 28 would have been obvious over MSIE Kit and U.S. Patent No. 6,278,448 ("Brown");
 - (vi) Claims 1-3, 5-8, 12-14, 19, 21, 22, 27, 30, 32, 34, 37-40, 46, and 47 are anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,832,355 ("Duperrouzel");
 - (vii) Claims 17, 20, 25, and 28 would have been obvious over Duperrouzel and Brown; and
- 2. Any issues properly raised by Patent Owner, including in Patent Owner Preliminary Responses in IPR2013-00292, 293, 294, and 295, Patent

Owner's Response (Paper 27), Patent Owner's Conditional Motion to Amend (Paper 28), Patent Owner's Reply to the Opposition to the Motion to Amend (Paper 62), Patent Owner's Motion for Observations on Cross Examination of Reply Witness and Petitioner's response thereto, and Patent Owner's Motion to Exclude and Petitioner's response thereto.

- 3. Any issues addressed by Petitioner, including Petition for *Inter Partes* Review in IPR2013-00292, 293, 294, and 295, Petitioner Reply to Patent Owner Response (Paper 54), Petitioner Opposition to Motion to Amend (Paper 46), Petitioner's Motion for Observations on Cross Examination of Reply Witness and Patent Owner's response thereto, Petitioner's Motion to Exclude and Patent Owner's response thereto.
- 4. Response to Patent Owner's arguments regarding the claim construction standard to be used in these proceedings, and relevance if any to the patentability issues raised by the original and/or amended claims.
 - 5. Issues related to all exhibits filed in this proceeding.
 - 6. Rebuttal to issues raised by the Patent Owner.

Petitioner requests permission to use audio/visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits, including a projector and screen for PowerPoint or PDF slides.

IPR2013-00292, IPR2013-00293, IPR2013-00294, and IPR2013-00295

Dated: May 23, 2014 Respectfully Submitted,

/Jeffrey P. Kushan/ Jeffrey P. Kushan (Reg No. 43,401) Sidley Austin LLP 1501 K Street NW Washington, DC 20005 jkushan@sidley.com Attorney for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 23rd day of May, 2014, a copy of this Petitioner Microsoft Corporation's Request for Oral Argument has been served in its entirety by e-mail on the following counsel of record for Patent Owner, per 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)(4)(ii):

Richard G. A. Bone (Lead Counsel)

RBone@VLPLawGroup.com;

Patents@VLPLawGroup.com

James M. Heintz (Backup Counsel)

292_IPR_DLAteam@dlapiper.com

Dated: May 23, 2014 Respectfully submitted,

/Jeffrey P. Kushan/ Jeffrey P. Kushan Reg. No. 43,401