

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

OPENTV, INC.
Petitioner

v.

SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTIC, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00328
Patent 6,744,892

Mailed: June 6, 2013

Before Amy Kattula, *Trial Paralegal*

NOTICE OF FILING DATE ACCORDED TO PETITION
AND
TIME FOR FILING PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

The petition for *inter partes* review in the above proceeding has been accorded the filing date of June 4, 2013.

Administrative Patent Judge Justin T. Arbes has been designated to manage the proceeding. 37 C.F.R. § 42.5.

A review of the petition identified the following defect(s):

- A petition must be 60 pages or less, including statements of material fact and claim charts. The claim chart, which is 12 pages, was filed as a separate exhibit. The petition is 60 pages. Therefore, the page limit was exceeded. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.24.

Petitioner must correct the defect(s) within FIVE BUSINESS DAYS from this notice. Failure to correct the defect(s) may result in an order to show cause as to why the Board should institute the trial. No substantive changes (e.g., new grounds) may be made to the petition.

Patent Owner may file a preliminary response to the petition no later than three months from the date of this notice. The preliminary response is limited to setting forth the reasons why the requested review should not be instituted. Patent Owner may also file an election to waive the preliminary response to expedite the proceeding. For more information, please consult the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012), which is available on the Board Web site at <http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB>.

Patent Owner is advised of the requirement to submit mandatory notice information under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(2) within 21 days of service of the petition.

The parties are encouraged to use the heading on the first page of this Notice for all future filings in the proceeding.

The parties are advised that under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), recognition of counsel *pro hac vice* requires a showing of good cause. The parties are authorized to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Such motions shall be filed in accordance with the “Order --

Case IPR2013-00328
Patent 6,744,892

Authorizing Motion *for Pro Hac Vice* Admission” in Case IPR2013-00010 (MPT), a copy of which is available on the Board Web site under “Representative Orders, Decisions, and Notices.” Any motion for *pro hac vice* admission filed by the parties shall also indicate that the person sought to be admitted will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct. *See* Changes to Representation of Others Before the United States Patent and Trademark Office; Final Rule, 78 Fed. Reg. 20180 (Apr. 3, 2013) (effective May 3, 2013).

The parties are reminded that unless otherwise permitted by 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)(2), all filings in this proceeding must be made electronically in the Patent Review Processing System (PRPS), accessible from the Board Web site at <http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB>.

If there are any questions pertaining to this notice, please contact Amy Kattula at 571-272-5826 or the Patent Trial and Appeal Board at 571-272-7822.

PETITIONER:

Amy E. Simpson
Bing Ai
Nicholas T. Bauz
Opentv-cisco-ipr@perkinscoie.com

PATENT OWNER:

Scientific-Atlanta, Inc.
Intellectual Property Department
5030 Sugarloaf Parkway
Room 4.3.518
Lawrenceville, GA 30044

Case IPR2013-00328

Patent 6,744,892

Edward R. Reines

Sonal N. Mehta

J. Jason Lang

Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP

Silicon Valley Office

201 Redwood Shores Parkway

Redwood Shores, CA 94065