

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

OPENTV, INC.
Petitioner

v.

CISCO TECHNOLOGY, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2013-00330
Patent No. 7,505,592 B2

Filing Date of This Paper: January 30, 2014

Before KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, JUSTIN T. ARBES, and
PATRICK M. BOUCHER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

5

**PETITIONER OPENTV'S REQUEST FOR REFUND OF
POST-INSTITUTION FEES**

10

Petitioner OpenTV hereby requests a refund of \$16,000 for the *inter partes* post-institution fee and post-institution excess claim fees that were paid with the filing of a petition for *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 7,505,592 B2 on June 5, 2013 (Case IPR2013-00330, Paper No. 3). The
5 reason for this request is the Board denied the petition in its decision of November 29, 2013 (Paper No. 9).

The petition was filed after March 19, 2013, when the current official fee schedule became effective. At the time of filing, Petitioner paid the following fees as required under 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a):

10	The <i>inter partes</i> request fee:	\$9,000
	The <i>inter partes</i> post-institution fee:	\$14,000
	Post-institution excess claim fees for 5 claims in excess of 15:	\$2,000
	Total:	\$25, 000

Under the Board's current practice, Petitioner is entitled to a refund of
15 the *inter partes* post-institution fee and post-institution excess claim fees in the amount of \$16,000. See, E7 of "Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)" Patent Review Processing System (PRPS), at <http://www.uspto.gov/ip/boards/bpai/prps.jsp> .

Petitioner requests that the amount of \$16,000 be deposited to Deposit Account No. 50-5252.

Dated: January 30, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/Bing Ai/

Bing Ai, Reg. No. 43,312
Nicholas T. Bauz , Reg. No. 41,604
Amy E. Simpson, Reg. No. 54,688
Perkins Coie LLP
opentv-cisco-ipr@perkinscoie.com

Attorneys for Petitioner OpenTV