1

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HENKEL CORPORATION, :

Petitioner, :

v. : Case IPR2014-00606

H.B. FULLER CO., : Patent 6,833,404B2

Patent Owner. :

Telephonic Conference Call

Before The Patent Trial And Appeal Board

Washington, D.C.

Wednesday, October 29, 2014

11:02 a.m.

Reported by: Donna Ann Peterson

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

Trial IPR2014-00606

	2
1 APPEARANCES	
2	
3 ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGE CHRISTOPHER L.	
4 CRUMBLEY, Presiding	
5 ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGE DONNA M. PRAISS	
ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGE BRIAN P. MURPHY	
7 PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	
8 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	
9 600 Dulaney Street	
Alexandria, Virginia 22314-5796	
11	
ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER, HENKEL CORPORATION:	:
ANTHONY C. TRIDICO, ATTORNEY at LAW	
14 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,	
15 GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP	
16 Old Bailey	
London EC4M 7EG	
United Kingdom	
Telephone: +44(0)20 7684 2800	
20	
21	
22	

3
1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED
2
ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER, HENKEL CORPORATION:
4 MICHELE BOSCH, ATTORNEY at LAW
5 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
901 New York Avenue, N.W.
8 Washington, D.C. 20001
9 Telephone: (202) 408-4000
10
11
ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER, H.B. FULLER CO.:
WALTER C. LINDER, ATTORNEY at LAW
TIMOTHY E. GRIMSRUD, ATTORNEY at LAW
FAEGRE, BAKER, DANIELS, LLP
2200 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
Telephone: (612) 767-7000
20
21
22

				4
1		C O	N T E N T S	
2				PAGE
3	Hearing:	Initial	Conference Call	5
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				

```
5
1
                    PROCEEDINGS
2
               JUDGE CRUMBLEY: Good morning everyone.
3
               This is Judge Crumbley, and I have with me
    on the line Judges Praiss and Murphy.
5
               This is the initial conference call for
6
    IPR2014-00606, Henkel Corporation versus H.B. Fuller
7
    Company.
8
               Can we, please, get a roll call of who's
9
    on the line, starting with Petitioner's counsel?
10
               MR. TRIDICO: Hello. This is Anthony
11
    Tridico, from Finnegan.
12
               MS. BOSCH: And Michele Bosch, from
13
    Finnegan.
14
               JUDGE CRUMBLEY: Good morning.
15
               MR. TRIDICO: Good morning.
16
               JUDGE CRUMBLEY: Who do we have for the
17
    Patent Owner?
18
               MR. LINDER: We've got Walter Linder, lead
19
    counsel, and, also, Timothy Grimsrud, backup counsel.
20
               JUDGE CRUMBLEY: All right.
21
               And I understand we have a court reporter
22
    with us, is that correct?
```

```
6
1
               THE REPORTER: Yes, it is. I'm Donna
2
    Peterson.
3
               JUDGE CRUMBLEY: Okay. Good morning.
4
               Which party provided the court reporter
5
    this morning?
6
               MR. TRIDICO: It was Henkel.
7
               JUDGE CRUMBLEY: Okay. Just, we ask that
8
    you provide the transcript to us once you receive it,
9
    just file on PRPS, when you get a chance.
10
               MR. TRIDICO: No problem. It should be
11
    early next week.
12
               JUDGE CRUMBLEY: Okay.
13
               All right. Well, It's good to have
14
    everyone here. The reason we have these conferences
15
    early on is to lay the groundwork for the proceeding,
16
    answer any questions that the parties might have
17
    about how this works, in the hopes of sort of
18
    avoiding any issues before they become problems.
19
    That's why we have you file these motions list that I
20
    have before me, not to bind you in any way. You
21
    know, parties like to reserve rights to file things
22
    in these motions. That's not really necessary, and
```

7

- we're not looking to foreclose you from anything just
- because you don't bring it up today, but we just want
- 3 to make sure we're all on the same page from a
- 4 procedural standpoint on how this proceeding is gonna
- ⁵ go.
- So with that in mind, I guess the first
- ⁷ thing to start with is the scheduling order. We
- 8 issued an order, along with the institutional
- 9 decision, to set a number of dates, and I just want
- to find out at this time whether either party has any
- issue with those dates as set?
- MR. LINDER: Your Honor, this is Walter
- 13 Linder, for the Patent Owner. We had a brief
- 14 conference call with the Petitioner's counsel earlier
- this week and have tentatively stipulated to some
- relatively modest extensions to the dates one through
- three on the scheduling order. And if all right with
- you, we would propose to file a joint motion or
- 19 stipulation to -- to clarify that.
- JUDGE CRUMBLEY: Sure. And there's no
- need for a motion. As it says in the scheduling
- order, the parties are free to stipulate to different

8

- 1 dates for dates one through five, so long as they
- ² don't fall after due date six.
- MR. LINDER: I'm sorry, do we do that by
- filing a paper with -- with the PTAB?
- JUDGE CRUMBLEY: That's correct. What you
- 6 would do is you would execute a stipulation and then
- you would just file a notice of that stipulation on
- 8 PRPS with us, so we know the schedule has been
- 9 changed. But that way, there's no need to involve
- us, you know, by asking permission to modify the
- schedule. As long as the final dates don't change,
- the parties are free to, you know, come to a schedule
- that works for everyone.
- MR. LINDER: Okay. Thank you. We will
- confer with Petitioner's counsel, then, and move on
- 16 that action.
- JUDGE CRUMBLEY: All right. So let's take
- up the motions list the parties have filed.
- 19 There's nothing on the Petitioner's list that I see
- we'll need to discuss, so I'll just jump straight to
- the Patent's Owner's list.
- 22 So there's a motion to amend claims on

9

- there. Have you decided whether you'll be filing
- that or not at this point in time?
- MR. LINDER: We have not decided to a high
- 4 degree of certainty yet, but we think it's likely
- 5 that we would likely file a motion with some limited
- 6 number of substitute claims.
- JUDGE CRUMBLEY: Okay. Do you have in
- 8 mind at this time, you know, what the substitute, the
- 9 proposed substitute claims will look like?
- 10 MR. LINDER: Yeah. We -- our current
- 11 anticipation is that they would be substitutes to the
- independent claims, with some additional limitations
- out of the specification.
- JUDGE CRUMBLEY: Okay. Here's what I
- typically advise parties to do. First, I would
- 16 recommend that you familiarize yourself with our
- rules as they pertain to motions to amend, 42.121 in
- particular. I would take a look at the prior
- decisions of the board that have been designated,
- either informative or representative. In particular,
- 21 I would look at the Idle Free decisions. That case
- is IPR 2012-00027. There's an interim order that's

10

- paper 26 and a final decision that's paper 66.
- You may also want to look at Toyota versus
- 3 AVS, which is IPR2013-00423, paper 27. And
- 4 International Flavor versus the United States, which
- is IPR2013-00124, paper 12. So take a look at those,
- 6 come to some sort of finalized idea of what your
- 7 proposed substitute claims will look like, and then
- 8 the rules require that you confer with the board
- 9 prior to filing. That's so we can sort of walk you
- through the requirements of a motion to amend and
- what you have in mind for proposed substitute claims,
- so we can avoid any issues before they -- they become
- a problem for you.
- So what you'll do is just when you reach
- that point, just e-mail the board and they'll set up
- 16 a conference call so we can -- we can all talk
- through the issues.
- 18 Is that understood?
- MR. LINDER: Very clearly, thank you.
- Say, Your Honor, would there be any
- 21 advantage to the procedure and from the board's
- perspective if we were to file such a motion prior to

```
11
    the dates scheduled by the scheduling order?
2
    that enhance the efficiency of the process, from the
3
    board's perspective, at all?
4
                JUDGE CRUMBLEY: I'm trying to think that
    through. Usually it's parties asking for more time,
5
6
    not less time.
7
               MR. LINDER: I understand.
8
                JUDGE CRUMBLEY: You know, I -- I think
9
    you're obviously welcome to file earlier. Whether
10
    that means that then, you know, you can talk with
11
    Petitioner's counsel about whether, you know, that
12
    moves up some of the other dates in the case, you
13
    know, because, like I said, you're welcome to move
14
    dates in the schedule around. But, you know, I doubt
    that we'll end up moving the hearing up or, you know,
15
16
    the final decision up.
17
                So, you know, you're welcome to do it.
                                                         Ι
18
    just, off the top of my head, I'm not sure I see
19
    any -- any efficiency for, you know, the board in
20
    terms of -- of an early motion to amend.
21
                MR. LINDER: Okay, thank you.
22
                JUDGE CRUMBLEY: Okay. The other thing
```

12

- 1 I'll note on the Patent Owner's list is a potential
- motion to exclude. And, again, I just typically like
- 3 to walk through, the parties through the way,
- 4 objections, and, you know, motions to exclude are
- 5 handled in these cases because they're a little bit
- 6 different than how you would do it in a district
- 7 court.
- 8 So the way exclusion of evidence works
- 9 here is that parties may object to evidence, either
- during a deposition or within a certain period of
- time after service of the evidence, which is Rule
- 12 42.64. It's important to know that you don't file
- those objections with the board, what you do is you
- serve them on the opposing party. And what that does
- is that then gives the non-objecting party the time
- to cure the objection through the service of
- supplemental evidence, under 42.64(B)(2). And,
- 18 again, that supplemental evidence is served but not
- ¹⁹ filed with the board.
- But then if the two of you can't work out
- 21 a resolution, after seeing that supplemental
- evidence, then you may file a motion to exclude

13 evidence. There's a due date, I think it's due date 2 four in the scheduling order by which those may be 3 filed. Again, you can file them early. I think it makes more sense to wait till the close of the 5 evidence, but -- but that's the only time at which 6 the board will be made aware of the objections or the 7 supplemental evidence that has been attempted to cure 8 those objections. 9 And I guess the only other thing to point 10 out is that motions to exclude evidence don't need 11 authorization from us under the rules, so that's just 12 something you can file as of the due dates set in the 13 scheduling order. Other motions do need 14 authorization from us, unless they've been 15 preauthorized, like pro hac vice motions, so just 16 keep that in mind. 17 Any question from the parties on how that 18 system works before the board? 19 MS. BOSCH: No, Your honor. 20 MR. LINDER: No, Your Honor. Not from 21 Patent Owner, Your Honor.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

JUDGE CRUMBLEY: Okay. I think that

22

```
14
    covers everything that the Patent Owner has raised.
2
               Have I missed anything?
3
               MR. LINDER: Nope, not from our
4
    perspective.
5
                JUDGE CRUMBLEY: Okay. I don't see
6
    anything on either party's list about a protective
7
    order.
8
               Do the parties anticipate needing one?
9
               MR. LINDER: We have discussed that and,
10
    at this point, neither party thinks that there's
11
    gonna be the need for a protective order.
12
                JUDGE CRUMBLEY:
                                 Okay. I appreciate you
13
    talking about that before, like I said, before it
14
    becomes an issue. If you do decide to file one, a
15
    motion for protective order at some point, I would
16
    just direct your attention to the Trial Practice
17
    Guide. Appendix B in there has a default protective
18
    order, which, if you want to just use that order,
19
    what you would do is file, you know, your motion to
20
    seal, along with the proposed default protective
21
    order and we'll get that entered.
22
                If you want to depart from the default
```

```
15
    order in any way, you need to file a joint motion for
2
    protective order, setting forth any departures from
3
    the default and justifying why, why you want to
    depart from the language of the default order. So
5
    that's all I have to say about protective orders and
6
    the parties' lists.
7
                Is there anything else we need to discuss
8
    today? And I'll ask the Petitioner's counsel first.
9
               MR. TRIDICO: I don't think so, Your
10
    Honor, not from our end.
11
                JUDGE CRUMBLEY: Okay. Anything from the
12
    Patent Owner?
13
               MR. LINDER: No, there is not.
14
                JUDGE CRUMBLEY: All right. Well, I
15
    appreciate everyone's time, and if there is anything
16
    that arises that you need us to discuss, we're here
17
    to help, so just contact the board and we can set up
18
    a conference call. Until that point, we will stand
19
    adjourned.
20
                Thank you very much.
21
               MS. BOSCH: Thank you.
22
               MR. TRIDICO:
                              Thank you.
```

```
16
1
                 MR. LINDER: Thank you.
2
                 JUDGE CRUMBLEY: Good-bye, everyone.
3
                 (Thereupon, the telephonic conference call
    hearing was concluded at 11:13 a.m., October 29,
5
     2014.)
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

17

	•
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	
3	I, DONNA ANN PETERSON, Notary Public in
4	and for the District of Columbia, the officer before
5	whom the proceedings were taken, do hereby certify
6	that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate
7	record of these proceedings; that said proceedings
8	were taken in Stenotype note by me on the 29th day of
9	October, 2014, commencing at one o'clock p.m., and
10	ending at 1:10 p.m.
11	I further certify that present on behalf
12	of Petitioner, Henkel Corporation, were Anthony C.
13	Tridico, Attorney at Law, and Michele Bosch, Attorney
14	at Law, of Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &
15	Dunner, LLP, and on behalf of Patent Owner, H.B.
16	Fuller Co., were Walter C. Linder, Attorney at Law,
17	and Timothy E. Grimsrud, Attorney at Law, of Faegre,
18	Baker, Daniels, LLP.
19	I further certify that I am not related
20	to, nor associated with any of the parties or their
21	attorneys, nor do I have any disqualifying interest,
22	personal or financial, in the actions within.

```
18
                 Dated this 31st day of October, 2014, at
1
     Washington, District of Columbia.
2
3
4
5
     Donna Ann Peterson
7
    Notary Public
    My commission expires April 14, 2019
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

			<u> </u>	
A	avoiding 6:18	certificate 17:1	8:5,17 9:7,14	donna 1:17 2:5
accurate 17:6	avs 10:3	certify 17:5,11	11:4,8,22	6:1 17:3 18:6
action 8:16	aware 13:6	17:19	13:22 14:5,12	dont 7:2 8:2,11
actions 17:22		chance 6:9	15:11,14 16:2	12:12 13:10
additional 9:12	<u>B</u>	change 8:11	cure 12:16 13:7	14:5 15:9
adjourned	b 1:6 3:12 5:6	changed 8:9	current 9:10	doubt 11:14
15:19	12:17 14:17	christopher 2:3		due 8:2 13:1,1
administrative	17:15	claims 8:22 9:6	<u>D</u>	13:12
2:3,5,6	backup 5:19	9:9,12 10:7,11	d 1:12 3:1,8 5:1	dulaney 2:9
advantage 10:21	bailey 2:16	clarify 7:19	daniels 3:15	dunner 2:15 3:6
advise 9:15	baker 3:15	clearly 10:19	17:18	17:15
alexandria 2:10	17:18	close 13:4	date 8:2 13:1,1	
amend 8:22	behalf 2:12 3:3	columbia 17:4	dated 18:1	<u>E</u>
9:17 10:10	3:12 17:11,15	18:2	dates 7:9,11,16	e 2:1,1 3:1,1,1
11:20	bind 6:20	come 8:12 10:6	8:1,1,11 11:1	3:14 4:1 5:1,1
ann 1:17 17:3	bit 12:5	commencing	11:12,14 13:12	17:17
18:6	board 1:2,11 2:7	17:9	day 17:8 18:1	earlier 7:14 11:9
answer 6:16	9:19 10:8,15	commission	decide 14:14	early 6:11,15
anthony 2:13	11:19 12:13,19	18:8	decided 9:1,3	11:20 13:3
5:10 17:12	13:6,18 15:17	company 5:7	decision 7:9	ec4m 2:17
anticipate 14:8	boards 10:21	concluded 16:4	10:1 11:16	efficiency 11:2
anticipation	11:3	confer 8:15 10:8	decisions 9:19	11:19
9:11	bosch 3:4 5:12	conference 1:10	9:21	either 7:10 9:20
appeal 1:2,11	5:12 13:19	4:3 5:5 7:14	default 14:17,20	12:9 14:6
2:7	15:21 17:13	10:16 15:18	14:22 15:3,4	email 10:15
appendix 14:17	brian 2:6	16:3	degree 9:4	enhance 11:2
appreciate	brief 7:13	conferences	depart 14:22	entered 14:21
14:12 15:15	bring 7:2	6:14	15:4	everyones 15:15
april 18:8	C	contact 15:17	departures 15:2	evidence 12:8,9
arises 15:16	-	corporation 1:3	deposition	12:11,17,18,22
asking 8:10 11:5	c 1:12 2:1,13 3:1	2:12 3:3 5:6	12:10	13:1,5,7,10
associated 17:20	3:1,8,13 4:1	17:12	designated 9:19	exclude 12:2,4
attempted 13:7	5:1 17:12,16	correct 5:22 8:5	different 7:22	12:22 13:10
attention 14:16	call 1:10 4:3 5:5	counsel 5:9,19	12:6	exclusion 12:8
attorney 2:13	5:8 7:14 10:16	5:19 7:14 8:15	direct 14:16	execute 8:6
3:4,13,14	15:18 16:3	11:11 15:8	discuss 8:20	expires 18:8
17:13,13,16,17	cant 12:20	court 5:21 6:4	15:7,16	extensions 7:16
attorneys 17:21	case 1:5 9:21	12:7	discussed 14:9	F
authorization	11:12	covers 14:1	disqualifying	
13:11,14	cases 12:5	crumbley 2:4	17:21	faegre 3:15 17:17
avenue 3:7	center 3:16 certain 12:10	5:2,3,14,16,20	district 12:6	
avoid 10:12		6:3,7,12 7:20	17:4 18:2	fall 8:2
	certainty 9:4			familiarize 9:16

)

				=
farabow 2:14	good 5:2,14,15	9:20	12:3	modify 8:10
3:5 17:14	6:3,13	initial 4:3 5:5	language 15:4	morning 5:2,14
fargo 3:16	goodbye 16:2	institutional 7:8	law 2:13 3:4,13	5:15 6:3,5
file 6:9,19,21	grimsrud 3:14	interest 17:21	3:14 17:13,14	motion 7:18,21
7:18 8:7 9:5	5:19 17:17	interest 17.21	17:16,17	8:22 9:5 10:10
10:22 11:9	groundwork	international	lay 6:15	10:22 11:20
12:12,22 13:3	6:15	10:4	lead 5:18	12:2,22 14:15
13:12 14:14,19	guess 7:6 13:9	involve 8:9	limitations 9:12	14:19 15:1
15:12 14:14,17	guide 14:17	ipr 9:22	limited 9:5	motions 6:19,22
filed 8:18 12:19	guide 14.17	ipr201300124	linder 3:13 5:18	8:18 9:17 12:4
13:3	H	10:5	5:18 7:12,13	13:10,13,15
filing 8:4 9:1	h 1:6 3:12 5:6	ipr201300423	8:3,14 9:3,10	move 8:15 11:13
10:9	17:15	10:3	10:19 11:7,21	moves 11:12
final 8:11 10:1	hac 13:15	ipr201400606	13:20 14:3,9	moving 11:15
11:16	handled 12:5	1:5 5:6	15:13 16:1	murphy 2:6 5:4
finalized 10:6	head 11:18	issue 7:11 14:14	17:16	murphy 2.0 3.4
financial 17:22	hearing 4:3	issued 7:8	line 5:4,9	N
find 7:10	11:15 16:4	issues 6:18	list 6:19 8:18,19	n 2:1 3:1,1,1,7
finnegan 2:14	hello 5:10	10:12,17	8:21 12:1 14:6	4:1,1 5:1
3:5 5:11,13	help 15:17	10.12,17	lists 15:6	necessary 6:22
17:14	henderson 2:14	J	little 12:5	need 7:21 8:9,20
first 7:6 9:15	3:5 17:14	joint 7:18 15:1	llp 2:15 3:6,15	13:10,13 14:11
15:8	henkel 1:3 2:12	judge 2:3,5,6	17:15,18	15:1,7,16
five 8:1	3:3 5:6 6:6	5:2,3,14,16,20	london 2:17	needing 14:8
flavor 10:4	17:12	6:3,7,12 7:20	long 8:1,11	neither 14:10
foreclose 7:1	heres 9:14	8:5,17 9:7,14	look 9:9,18,21	new 3:7
foregoing 17:6	high 9:3	11:4,8,22	10:2,5,7	nonobjecting
forth 15:2	honor 7:12	13:22 14:5,12	looking 7:1	12:15
four 13:2	10:20 13:19,20	15:11,14 16:2		nope 14:3
free 7:22 8:12	13:21 15:10	judges 5:4	M	notary 17:3 18:7
9:21	hopes 6:17	jump 8:20	m 1:14 2:5 16:4	note 12:1 17:8
fuller 1:6 3:12		justifying 15:3	17:9,10	notice 8:7
5:6 17:16	I		means 11:10	number 7:9 9:6
further 17:11,19	idea 10:6	K	michele 3:4 5:12	
	idle 9:21	keep 13:16	17:13	0
G	ill 8:20 12:1	kingdom 2:18	mind 7:6 9:8	o 3:1 4:1 5:1
g 5:1	15:8	know 6:21 8:8	10:11 13:16	object 12:9
garrett 2:15 3:6	im 6:1 8:3 11:4	8:10,12 9:8	minneapolis	objection 12:16
17:14	11:18	11:8,10,11,13	3:18	objections 12:4
gives 12:15	important 12:12	11:14,15,17,19	minnesota 3:18	12:13 13:6,8
go 7:5	independent	12:4,12 14:19	missed 14:2	obviously 11:9
gonna 7:4 14:11	9:12		modest 7:16	oclock 17:9
	informative	L		october 1:13
		•	•	•

٦	١	

		1		1
16:4 17:9 18:1	2:8 3:12 5:17	process 11:2	roll 5:8	stipulation 7:19
office 1:1 2:8	7:13 12:1	propose 7:18	rule 12:11	8:6,7
officer 17:4	13:21 14:1	proposed 9:9	rules 9:17 10:8	straight 8:20
okay 6:3,7,12	15:12 17:15	10:7,11 14:20	13:11	street 2:9 3:17
8:14 9:7,14	patents 8:21	protective 14:6		substitute 9:6,8
11:21,22 13:22	period 12:10	14:11,15,17,20	S	9:9 10:7,11
14:5,12 15:11	permission 8:10	15:2,5	s 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	substitutes 9:11
old 2:16	personal 17:22	provide 6:8	says 7:21	supplemental
once 6:8	perspective	provided 6:4	schedule 8:8,11	12:17,18,21
opposing 12:14	10:22 11:3	prps 6:9 8:8	8:12 11:14	13:7
order 7:7,8,17	14:4	ptab 8:4	scheduled 11:1	sure 7:3,20
7:22 9:22 11:1	pertain 9:17	public 17:3 18:7	scheduling 7:7	11:18
13:2,13 14:7	peterson 1:17		7:17,21 11:1	system 13:18
14:11,15,18,18	6:2 17:3 18:6	Q	13:2,13	
14:21 15:1,2,4	petitioner 1:4	question 13:17	seal 14:20	<u>T</u>
orders 15:5	2:12 3:3 17:12	questions 6:16	see 8:19 11:18	t 3:1 4:1,1
owner 1:7 3:12	petitioners 5:9	R	14:5	take 8:17 9:18
5:17 7:13	7:14 8:15,19	r2:1 3:1 5:1	seeing 12:21	10:5
13:21 14:1	11:11 15:8	raised 14:1	sense 13:4	taken 17:5,8
15:12 17:15	please 5:8	raised 14:1 reach 10:14	serve 12:14	talk 10:16 11:10
owners 8:21	point 9:2 10:15	really 6:22	served 12:18	talking 14:13
12:1	13:9 14:10,15	reany 6:22 reason 6:14	service 12:11,16	telephone 2:19
P	15:18	receive 6:8	17:1	3:9,19
	potential 12:1	recommend	set 7:9,11 10:15	telephonic 1:10
p 2:1,1,6 3:1,1	practice 14:16	9:16	13:12 15:17	16:3
5:1 17:9,10	praiss 2:5 5:4	record 17:7	setting 15:2	tentatively 7:15
page 4:2 7:3	preauthorized	related 17:19	seventh 3:17	terms 11:20
paper 8:4 10:1,1	13:15	relatively 7:16	six 8:2	thank 8:14
10:3,5	present 17:11	reported 1:17	sorry 8:3	10:19 11:21
particular 9:18	presiding 2:4	reported 1.17	sort 6:17 10:6,9	15:20,21,22
9:20	prior 9:18 10:9	6:1.4	south 3:17	16:1
parties 6:16,21	10:22	representative	specification	thats 6:19,22 8:5
7:22 8:12,18	pro 13:15	9:20	9:13	9:22 10:1,9
9:15 11:5 12:3	problem 6:10	require 10:8	stand 15:18	13:5,11 15:5
12:9 13:17	10:13	requirements	standpoint 7:4	theres 7:20 8:9
14:8 15:6	problems 6:18	10:10	start 7:7	8:19,22 9:22
17:20	procedural 7:4	reserve 6:21	starting 5:9	13:1 14:10
party 6:4 7:10	procedure 10:21	resolution 12:21	states 1:1 2:8 10:4	theyll 10:15
12:14,15 14:10 partys 14:6	proceeding 6:15	right 5:20 6:13	stenotype 17:8	theyre 12:5 theyve 13:14
*	7:4	7:17 8:17	stipulate 7:22	thing 7:7 11:22
patent 1:1,2,6,7 1:11 2:3,5,6,7	proceedings	15:14	stipulated 7:15	13:9
1.11 2.3,3,0,7	17:5,7,7	rights 6:21	Supulaieu 7.13	13.7
	İ	1.81100.21	l	l

				1
things 6:21	versus 5:6 10:2	1	612 3:19	
think 9:4 11:4,8	10:4	$\frac{1}{117:10}$	64 12:12,17	
13:1,3,22 15:9	vice 13:15	10 17:10	66 10:1	
thinks 14:10	virginia 2:10	10 17:10 11 1:14 16:4		
three 7:17			7	
till 13:4	W	12 10:5	7677000 3:19	
time 7:10 9:2,8	w 3:7	121 9:17	7684 2:19	
11:5,6 12:11	wait 13:4	13 16:4	7eg 2:17	
12:15 13:5	walk 10:9 12:3	14 18:8		
15:15	walter 3:13 5:18	16 2:16	8	
timothy 3:14	7:12 17:16	2	833 1:6	
5:19 17:17	want 7:2,9 10:2	2 12:17		
today 7:2 15:8	14:18,22 15:3	20 2:19	9	
top 11:18	washington	20001 3:8	90 3:17	
toyota 10:2	1:12 3:8 18:2	201200027 9:22	901 3:7	
trademark 1:1	way 6:20 8:9	2012 000 2 7 5:22 2014 1:13 16:5		
2:8	12:3,8 15:1	17:9 18:1		
transcript 6:8	wednesday 1:13	2019 18:8		
17:6	week 6:11 7:15	202 3:9		
trial 1:2,11 2:7	welcome 11:9	2200 3:16		
14:16	11:13,17	223145796 2:10		
tridico 2:13 5:10	wells 3:16	26 10:1		
5:11,15 6:6,10	weve 5:18	27 10:3		
15:9,22 17:13	whos 5:8	2800 2:19		
true 17:6	work 12:20	29 1:13 16:4		
trying 11:4	works 6:17 8:13	29th 17:8		
two 12:20	12:8 13:18			
typically 9:15		3		
12:2	X	31st 18:1		
	<u> </u>			
U		4		
u 3:1	yeah 9:10	404b2 1:6		
understand	york 3:7	4084000 3:9		
5:21 11:7	youll 9:1 10:14	42 9:17 12:12,17		
understood	youre 11:9,13	44 2:19		
10:18	11:17			
united 1:1 2:8	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	5		
2:18 10:4		5 4:3		
use 14:18	0	55402 3:18		
usually 11:5	0 2:19	6		
	02 1:14	6 1:6		
V		600 2:9		
v 1:5		UUU 2.7 		
<u> </u>	I	I	l	1