· <b>S</b>		UNITED STATES DEPARTM United States Patent and Tr Address: COMMISSIONER OF PA Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov	ademark Office		
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/345,222	06/30/1999	GLENN W. HUTTON	N0003/7000D3	9970
	7590 06/20/2002 Jeffrey S. Ginsberg, Esq Kenyon & Kenyon One Broadway New York, NY 10004			EXAMINER KANG, PAUL H ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2152 DATE MAILED: 06/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

.

١

Petitioner Vonage Holdings Corp. et al. - Exhibit 1023 - Page 001

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Action Cummon	09/345,222	HUTTON ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Paul H Kang	2152
۔ Period fo		nunication appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHC THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU sions of time may be available under the provis SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c period for reply specified above is less than thin period for reply is specified above, the maximur e to reply within the set or extended period for r	ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi m statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI eply will, by statute, cause the application to become A ths after the mailing date of this communication, even if	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s	) filed on 19 April 2002	
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) This action is non-final.	
2a)⊡ 3)□		tion for allowance except for formal ma	attors prospection as to the morite is
·		ractice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	
4)	Claim(s) 21-23 is/are pending in	the application.	
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) i	s/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)🖂	Claim(s) 21-23 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to	).	
8)	Claim(s) are subject to res	striction and/or election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
9)🗌 T	The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.	
10)🗌 T	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a) accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
		objection to the drawing(s) be held in abey	
ד 🗍 (11	The proposed drawing correction t	filed on is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
_		e required in reply to this Office action.	
12)🗌 T	The oath or declaration is objected	d to by the Examiner.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	All b) Some * c) None c	of:	
	1. Certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been received in A	Application No
	application from the Int	es of the priority documents have beer ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ction for a list of the certified copies not	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
		m for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	
a)	The translation of the foreign	language provisional application has to m for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	been received.
Attachment	-	······	•••
1) 🛛 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie∖		/ Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1445		

## Petitioner Vonage Holdings Corp. et al. - Exhibit 1023 - Page 002

Application/Control Number: 09/345,222 Art Unit: 2152

1

. ..

**.** ./.

1. The drawings are objected to because of the informalities noted in the attached form

PTO-948. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the

Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objections to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perkins, US Pat. No. 5,159,592 in view of Higgins, US Pat. No. 5,953,350.

3. As to claims 21-23, Perkins teaches a computer program product, computer data signal

embodied in a carrier wave and a method comprising the steps of:

a. receiving the current network protocol address of a process coupled to the

network (col. 2, line 62 – col.3, line 38 and col. 4, line 49 – col. 5, line 49);

b. receiving an identifier associated with said one process (col. 5, lines 52-65);

c. receiving a query for one of the network protocol address and the associated

identifier of said one process from another of the processes over the computer network

(col. 4, line 49 – col. 6, line 57); and

Application/Control Number: 09/345,222 Art Unit: 2152

-

6.4.

d. providing one of the network protocol address and the associated identifier of said one process to a said another process over the computer network, if the said one process is connected to the computer network (col. 6, line 20 - col. 7, line 2 and col. 8, line 30 - col. 9, line 26).

However, Perkins does not explicitly teach a point-to-point connection. In the same field of endeavor, Higgins teaches establishing a point-to-point. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the pointto-point connection as taught by Higgins into the system of Perkins in order to increase reliability and efficiency of the system.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/345,222 Art Unit: 2152

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul H Kang whose telephone number is (703) 308-6123. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour flex. First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-9731 for regular communications and (703) 305-3900 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

aminer Art Unit 2152

, . . . . . .

MARK H. RINEHART

June 17, 2002

MARK H. RINEHART SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100