Page 1 1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT AND TRIAL AND APPEAL 2 BOARD 3 -----X GOOGLE, INC., Petitioner, 4 IPR-2015-00343 IPR-2015-00345 5 IPR-2015-00347 vs. IPR-2015-00348 6 NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, 7 Patent Owner. -----x 8 Patent Nos. 8,640,179 8,205,237 9 8,010,988 10 8,056,441 -----X 11 12 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF GEORGE KARYPIS New York, New York 13 Thursday, November 12, 2015 14 9:05 a.m. 15 16 17 18 Reported by: Jennifer Ocampo-Guzman, CRR, CLR 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Job No. CS2183243

	Page 2		Page 4
1	1 450 2	1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):
2		2	
3		3	AMSTER ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN, LLP
4		4	Attorneys for Patent Owner
5		5	90 Park Avenue
6		6	New York, New York 10016
7		7	(212) 336-8074
8	November 11, 2015	8	BY: CHARLES R. MACEDO, ESQ.
9	9:05 a.m.	9	cmacedo@arelaw.com
10		10	COREY HOROWITZ, ESQ.
11	Videotaped Deposition of GEORGE	11	(p.m. session)
12	KARYPIS, held at the offices of Amster	12	(1)
13	Rothstein & Ebenstein LLP, 90 Park	13	
14	Avenue, New York, New York, New York,	14	ALSO PRESENT:
15	pursuant to notice, before Jennifer	15	CHRISTOPHER HANLON, Videographer
16	Ocampo-Guzman, a Certified Real-Time	16	
17	Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of	17	
18	the State of New York.	18	
19	the State of New Tork.	19	
20		20	
21		21	
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22 \end{vmatrix}$		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
		-	
1	Page 3	1	Page 5 INDEX
1		2	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE
2	Page 3 A P P E A R A N C E S:		INDEX
2 3	A P P E A R A N C E S:	2 3 4 5	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 EXHIBITS KARYPIS FOR I.D.
2 3 4	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	2 3 4 5	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 EXHIBITS
2 3 4 5	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner	2 3 4 5	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square	2 3 4 5 6	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 EXHIBITS KARYPIS FOR I.D. Exhibit 1 Declaration of George
2 3 4 5 6 7	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419 BY: DOUGLAS R. NEMEC, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419 BY: DOUGLAS R. NEMEC, ESQ. douglas.nemec@skadden.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419 BY: DOUGLAS R. NEMEC, ESQ. douglas.nemec@skadden.com ANDREW GISH, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419 BY: DOUGLAS R. NEMEC, ESQ. douglas.nemec@skadden.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419 BY: DOUGLAS R. NEMEC, ESQ. douglas.nemec@skadden.com ANDREW GISH, ESQ. Andrew.gish@skadden.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419 BY: DOUGLAS R. NEMEC, ESQ. douglas.nemec@skadden.com ANDREW GISH, ESQ. Andrew.gish@skadden.com DOVEL & LUNER	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419 BY: DOUGLAS R. NEMEC, ESQ. douglas.nemec@skadden.com ANDREW GISH, ESQ. Andrew.gish@skadden.com DOVEL & LUNER Attorneys for Patent Owner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	INDEX WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419 BY: DOUGLAS R. NEMEC, ESQ. douglas.nemec@skadden.com ANDREW GISH, ESQ. Andrew.gish@skadden.com DOVEL & LUNER Attorneys for Patent Owner 201 Santa Monica Boulevard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEX WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419 BY: DOUGLAS R. NEMEC, ESQ. douglas.nemec@skadden.com ANDREW GISH, ESQ. Andrew.gish@skadden.com DOVEL & LUNER Attorneys for Patent Owner 201 Santa Monica Boulevard Santa Monica, California 90401	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	INDEX WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419 BY: DOUGLAS R. NEMEC, ESQ. douglas.nemec@skadden.com ANDREW GISH, ESQ. Andrew.gish@skadden.com DOVEL & LUNER Attorneys for Patent Owner 201 Santa Monica Boulevard Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 656-7066	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEX WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419 BY: DOUGLAS R. NEMEC, ESQ. douglas.nemec@skadden.com ANDREW GISH, ESQ. Andrew.gish@skadden.com DOVEL & LUNER Attorneys for Patent Owner 201 Santa Monica Boulevard Santa Monica, California 90401	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	INDEX WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419 BY: DOUGLAS R. NEMEC, ESQ. douglas.nemec@skadden.com ANDREW GISH, ESQ. Andrew.gish@skadden.com DOVEL & LUNER Attorneys for Patent Owner 201 Santa Monica Boulevard Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 656-7066	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	INDEX WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419 BY: DOUGLAS R. NEMEC, ESQ. douglas.nemec@skadden.com ANDREW GISH, ESQ. Andrew.gish@skadden.com DOVEL & LUNER Attorneys for Patent Owner 201 Santa Monica Boulevard Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 656-7066	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	INDEX WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419 BY: DOUGLAS R. NEMEC, ESQ. douglas.nemec@skadden.com ANDREW GISH, ESQ. Andrew.gish@skadden.com DOVEL & LUNER Attorneys for Patent Owner 201 Santa Monica Boulevard Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 656-7066	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	INDEX WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419 BY: DOUGLAS R. NEMEC, ESQ. douglas.nemec@skadden.com ANDREW GISH, ESQ. Andrew.gish@skadden.com DOVEL & LUNER Attorneys for Patent Owner 201 Santa Monica Boulevard Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 656-7066	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	INDEX WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A P P E A R A N C E S: SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 4 Times Square New York, New York 10038 (212) 735-2419 BY: DOUGLAS R. NEMEC, ESQ. douglas.nemec@skadden.com ANDREW GISH, ESQ. Andrew.gish@skadden.com DOVEL & LUNER Attorneys for Patent Owner 201 Santa Monica Boulevard Santa Monica, California 90401 (310) 656-7066	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INDEX WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE GEORGE KARYPIS MR. NEMEC 7 

2 (Pages 2 - 5)

1	Page 6	1	Page 8
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are now on the record. Please note	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. You understand you've just been sworn to testify under oath in the same
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$		$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	manner you would if you were testifying in a
	that the microphones are sensitive and	4	court of law?
4	may pick up whispering and private		A. Yes.
5	conversations. Please turn off all	5	
6	cellphones or place them away from the	6	Q. And do you feel there's any reason
7	microphones, as they can interfere with	7	that you can't testify fully and accurately
8	the deposition audio. Recording will	8	today?
9	continue until all parties agree to go	9	A. No.
10	off the record.	10	Q. No medical conditions or health
11	My name is Christopher Hanlon	11	issues that would interfere with your ability
12	representing Veritext. The date today	12	to testify?
13	is November 12, 2015. The time is	13	A. No.
14	approximately 9:05 a.m. This deposition	14	Q. Have you ever given a deposition
15	is being held at Amster Rothstein &	15	before?
16	Ebenstein located at 90 Park Avenue, New	16	A. No, I have not.
17	York, New York and is being taken by	17	Q. Have you ever served as an expert
18	counsel for the petitioner.	18	witness in a litigation before?
19	The caption in this case is Google	19	A. No, I have not.
20	Incorporated versus Network-1	20	Q. Just a couple of general background
21	Technologies, being held before The	21 22	comments, then.
22	Patent Trial and Appeal Board, case	22	Jennifer will be taking down
23 24	numbers 343, 345, 347, and 348. The name of the witness today is	23	everything we say today on the record. I'm going to try, against my normal tendency, to
24	Dr. George Karypis. At this time I	24	speak slowly and clearly; but if my questions
23	DI. George Karypis. At this time i	25	speak slowly and clearly, but it my questions
	Page 7		Page 9
1	would ask counsel to please state your		are not clear to you either because you can't
2	appearances for the record.	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	hear them or can't understand them, feel free
3	MR. NEMEC: Douglas Nemec of	3	to ask me to clarify.
4	Skadden Arps for the petitioner, Google.	4	Is that fair?
5	And with me is Andrew Gish, also with	5	A. Yes.
6	Skadden Arps for the petitioner.	6	Q. And likewise so as to avoid talking
7	MR. LUNER: Sean Luner for Patent	7	over each other and making Jennifer's even
8	Owner Network-1 Technologies from Dovel	8	more difficult than it already is, I would
9	& Luner.	9	ask you to wait to answer until I've finished
10	MR. MACEDO: Charles Macedo from	10	my question; and I in turn will wait for your
11	Amster Rothstein & Ebenstein, also for	11	answer before I ask another question. Fair?
12	the Patent Owner, Network-1	12	A. Fair.
13	Technologies.	13	Q. If you would like to take a break
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.	14	during the course of today's proceedings,
15	Our court reporter today is	15	feel free to speak up. I generally break
16	Jennifer Ocampo-Guzman, representing	16	every 90 minutes or so, but this is not a forced march, so if you need to stop out
17 18	Veritext. She will now swear in Dr. Kerwis and we can proceed	17 18	forced march, so if you need to step out, please speak up.
18	Dr. Karypis and we can proceed. GEORGE KARYPIS, called as a	18	A. I will.
		20	
20	witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	20	Q. You understand that you are here to testify today in connection with a
21 22	EXAMINATION BY	21	testify today in connection with a declaration that you submitted on behalf of
22	MR. NEMEC:	22	declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1 Technologies, correct?
23		23	A. Correct.
21			
24 25	<ul><li>Q. Good morning, Dr. Karypis.</li><li>A. Good morning.</li></ul>	25	Q. And that declaration was submitted

3 (Pages 6 - 9)

17	•
Kar	VD1S

1	Page 10	1	Page 12 Cox patents should be interpreted and the
1 2	in connection with four inter partes review proceedings that were instituted at the	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	Cox patents should be interpreted, and the second category being the teachings of the
$\frac{2}{3}$	request of Google?	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	prior art?
	A. Yes.		A. Correct.
4		4	Q. In connection with forming your
5	Q. And there are four U.S. patents at	5	
6	issue in those IPR proceedings, right? A. Yes.	6 7	opinions, what information did you rely upon?
7 8			A. The specific information I rely
0 9	Q. And you've referred to those as the	8 9	upon I believe is listed in my declaration.
10	IPR patents in your declaration? A. I believe so.	10	I can give you the exact list, if you give me a copy of it.
11	Q. And the inventor on each of those	10	
12	patents is a man named Dr. Cox, correct?	11	But on top of my head, it involves what you referred to as the Cox patents, the
12	A. Correct.	12	the patents that was submitted by Google
13	Q. So if I occasionally refer to the	13	as part of the IPR, specifically the Ghias
15	patents today as the Cox patents, will you	14	patent, the Iwamura patent, Conwell patent,
16	understand what I'm talking about?	15	the Dr. Moulin's declaration and deposition
17	A. Yes.	17	and the IPR filings that Google filed.
18	Q. Just a couple of other terminology	18	Q. The last item, I'm sorry, was the
19	points before we move on. I may refer to the	10	actual filings?
20	board or the P tab.	20	A. Correct.
20	Are those terms that you've heard?	20	Q. So the petitions?
22	A. Yes, I have.	$\frac{21}{22}$	And were there also some Wikipedia
23	Q. The P tab is the Patent Trial and	22	pages to which you referred?
23	Appeal Board. You understand that that's the	24	A. Correct. I believe there were two
25	tribunal that will be, in the first instance,	25	or three Wikipedia pages. Everything is
			of three () hilpedia pages. Everything is
1	Page 11	1	Page 13
1	deciding the matters in dispute in this case?	1	fully detailed in my declaration.
2	deciding the matters in dispute in this case? A. Yes.	2	<ul><li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li><li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on</li></ul>
2 3	<ul><li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you</li></ul>	2 3	<ul><li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li><li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you</li></ul>	2 3 4	fully detailed in my declaration. Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions,</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	fully detailed in my declaration. Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	fully detailed in my declaration. Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li><li>A. Correct.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li><li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li><li>A. Correct.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally speaking, did you rely upon Dr. Moulin's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally speaking, did you rely upon Dr. Moulin's declarations in forming your opinions?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally speaking, did you rely upon Dr. Moulin's declarations in forming your opinions?</li> <li>A. I read the declarations. I just</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've expressed the opinion that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally speaking, did you rely upon Dr. Moulin's declarations in forming your opinions?</li> <li>A. I read the declarations. I just tried to understand some of the context, you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally speaking, did you rely upon Dr. Moulin's declarations in forming your opinions?</li> <li>A. I read the declarations. I just tried to understand some of the context, you know, behind the IPR filings, and that's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally speaking, did you rely upon Dr. Moulin's declarations in forming your opinions?</li> <li>A. I read the declarations. I just tried to understand some of the context, you know, behind the IPR filings, and that's about it.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally speaking, did you rely upon Dr. Moulin's declarations in forming your opinions?</li> <li>A. I read the declarations. I just tried to understand some of the context, you know, behind the IPR filings, and that's about it.</li> <li>Q. Did the aside from providing</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've also expressed the opinion</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally speaking, did you rely upon Dr. Moulin's declarations in forming your opinions?</li> <li>A. I read the declarations. I just tried to understand some of the context, you know, behind the IPR filings, and that's about it.</li> <li>Q. Did the aside from providing context for the matters in dispute, did the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've also expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally speaking, did you rely upon Dr. Moulin's declarations in forming your opinions?</li> <li>A. I read the declarations. I just tried to understand some of the context, you know, behind the IPR filings, and that's about it.</li> <li>Q. Did the aside from providing context for the matters in dispute, did the information presented in the Moulin</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've also expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally speaking, did you rely upon Dr. Moulin's declarations in forming your opinions?</li> <li>A. I read the declarations. I just tried to understand some of the context, you know, behind the IPR filings, and that's about it.</li> <li>Q. Did the aside from providing context for the matters in dispute, did the information presented in the Moulin declaration influence your technical opinions</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've also expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've also expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not obvious, in view of the prior art; is that right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally speaking, did you rely upon Dr. Moulin's declarations in forming your opinions?</li> <li>A. I read the declarations. I just tried to understand some of the context, you know, behind the IPR filings, and that's about it.</li> <li>Q. Did the aside from providing context for the matters in dispute, did the information presented in the Moulin declaration influence your technical opinions one way or the other?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've also expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've also expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not obvious, in view of the prior art; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally speaking, did you rely upon Dr. Moulin's declarations in forming your opinions?</li> <li>A. I read the declarations. I just tried to understand some of the context, you know, behind the IPR filings, and that's about it.</li> <li>Q. Did the aside from providing context for the matters in dispute, did the information presented in the Moulin declaration influence your technical opinions one way or the other?</li> <li>A. I do not believe so.</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've also expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've also expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not obvious, in view of the prior art; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Under the umbrella of those</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally speaking, did you rely upon Dr. Moulin's declarations in forming your opinions?</li> <li>A. I read the declarations. I just tried to understand some of the context, you know, behind the IPR filings, and that's about it.</li> <li>Q. Did the aside from providing context for the matters in dispute, did the information presented in the Moulin declaration influence your technical opinions one way or the other?</li> <li>A. I do not believe so.</li> <li>Q. And with regard to the deposition</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've also expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've also expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not obvious, in view of the prior art; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Under the umbrella of those opinions would it be fair to say that the,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally speaking, did you rely upon Dr. Moulin's declarations in forming your opinions?</li> <li>A. I read the declarations. I just tried to understand some of the context, you know, behind the IPR filings, and that's about it.</li> <li>Q. Did the aside from providing context for the matters in dispute, did the information presented in the Moulin declaration influence your technical opinions one way or the other?</li> <li>A. I do not believe so.</li> <li>Q. And with regard to the deposition testimony of Dr. Moulin, in what fashion did</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've also expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not obvious, in view of the prior art; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Under the umbrella of those opinions would it be fair to say that the, the opinions you've expressed fall roughly</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally speaking, did you rely upon Dr. Moulin's declarations in forming your opinions?</li> <li>A. I read the declarations. I just tried to understand some of the context, you know, behind the IPR filings, and that's about it.</li> <li>Q. Did the aside from providing context for the matters in dispute, did the information presented in the Moulin declaration influence your technical opinions one way or the other?</li> <li>A. I do not believe so.</li> <li>Q. And with regard to the deposition testimony of Dr. Moulin, in what fashion did you rely upon that in forming your opinions?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>deciding the matters in dispute in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. In the declaration that you submitted on behalf of Network-1, you expressed certain technical expert opinions, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not unpatentable, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've also expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not anticipated by the prior art, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You've also expressed the opinion that the challenged claims of the Cox patents are not obvious, in view of the prior art; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Under the umbrella of those opinions would it be fair to say that the,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>fully detailed in my declaration.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me focus for a moment on the Moulin declaration. That's a set of declarations submitted by Dr. Pierre Moulin in support of Google's petitions; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In what fashion, generally speaking, did you rely upon Dr. Moulin's declarations in forming your opinions?</li> <li>A. I read the declarations. I just tried to understand some of the context, you know, behind the IPR filings, and that's about it.</li> <li>Q. Did the aside from providing context for the matters in dispute, did the information presented in the Moulin declaration influence your technical opinions one way or the other?</li> <li>A. I do not believe so.</li> <li>Q. And with regard to the deposition testimony of Dr. Moulin, in what fashion did</li> </ul>

4 (Pages 10 - 13)

		<b>J</b> P15	
	Page 14		Page 16
1	Just, you know, fed them.	1	Q. Do you consider yourself to be an
2	Q. So once again, with respect to the	2	expert on patent law?
3	deposition, would it be fair to say that you	3	A. No.
4	relied upon it for contextual purposes?	4	Q. Do you consider yourself to be an
5	A. There are a few places my	5	expert on patent office procedures?
6	declaration which I specifically, you know,	6	A. No.
7	point to certain aspects of documents,	7	Q. So you wouldn't be qualified to
8	declarations, to confirm, you know, some of	8	offer expert opinions on legal issues, then;
9	my beliefs. And I think, you know, to a	9	is that fair to say?
0	large extent that's about it, so.	10	A. I think that's a fair statement.
1	Q. Okay. So for example, in instances	11	Q. For example, independent of
2	where you agreed with what Dr. Moulin had	12	information that may have been conveyed to
3	testified, you might point to his deposition	13	you by counsel, you have no expertise on wha
4	for that purpose, right?	14	the various burdens of proof are in an inter
5	A. That would be correct.	15	partes review petition, correct?
16	Q. If you didn't have Dr. Moulin's	16	A. That is correct.
17	deposition testimony, do you think your	17	Q. And you have no independent
18	opinions in this case would be any different?	18	knowledge of the legal standards for
19	A. I do not think so.	19	determining anticipation of a patent claim,
20	Q. And you indicated that you had also	20	correct?
21	relied upon the actual filings, the IPR	21	A. Not prior to
22	petitions.	22	(Discussion off the record.)
23	In what fashion did you rely upon	23	A. Not prior to
24	those materials?	24	(Discussion off the record.)
25	A. I just looked at, you know, the	25	A. Not prior, I said, the answer to
	· ·		
1	Page 15	1	Page 17 that is yes.
1	claim constructions I believe that's what you		
	call it that the IDD natitions you know		•
2	call it, that the IPR petitions, you know,	2	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking
3	put forth and how alleged the claims of the	2 3	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes."
3 4	put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.	2 3 4	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.)
3 4 5	<ul><li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the</li><li>Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li><li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of
3 4 5 6	<ul><li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li><li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no
3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li><li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li><li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing
3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li><li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li><li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li><li>Q. Is it correct that your</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li> <li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your understanding of the law applicable to these</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the prior art or not, correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li> <li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your understanding of the law applicable to these inter partes review petitions that you have</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the prior art or not, correct? A. I'm familiar with the patent law
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li> <li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your understanding of the law applicable to these inter partes review petitions that you have is derived strictly from your discussions</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the prior art or not, correct? A. I'm familiar with the patent law that has to do with what something is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1	<ul> <li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li> <li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your understanding of the law applicable to these inter partes review petitions that you have is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel in the case?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the prior art or not, correct? A. I'm familiar with the patent law that has to do with what something is obvious; and if the familiarity is what you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3	<ul> <li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li> <li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your understanding of the law applicable to these inter partes review petitions that you have is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel in the case?</li> <li>A. I don't think I follow the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the prior art or not, correct? A. I'm familiar with the patent law that has to do with what something is obvious; and if the familiarity is what you refer as expertise, then, yes, if that's just
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4	<ul> <li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li> <li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your understanding of the law applicable to these inter partes review petitions that you have is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel in the case?</li> <li>A. I don't think I follow the question.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the prior art or not, correct? A. I'm familiar with the patent law that has to do with what something is obvious; and if the familiarity is what you refer as expertise, then, yes, if that's just familiarity, then I'm familiar with the law.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 4 5	<ul> <li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li> <li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your</li> <li>understanding of the law applicable to these inter partes review petitions that you have is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel in the case?</li> <li>A. I don't think I follow the question.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the prior art or not, correct? A. I'm familiar with the patent law that has to do with what something is obvious; and if the familiarity is what you refer as expertise, then, yes, if that's just familiarity, then I'm familiar with the law. Q. My question was limited to the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li> <li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your understanding of the law applicable to these inter partes review petitions that you have is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel in the case?</li> <li>A. I don't think I follow the question.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your understanding of the patent law applicable to the p</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the prior art or not, correct? A. I'm familiar with the patent law that has to do with what something is obvious; and if the familiarity is what you refer as expertise, then, yes, if that's just familiarity, then I'm familiar with the law. Q. My question was limited to the legal standards, and I will ask it a little
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li> <li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your</li> <li>understanding of the law applicable to these inter partes review petitions that you have is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel in the case?</li> <li>A. I don't think I follow the question.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the prior art or not, correct? A. I'm familiar with the patent law that has to do with what something is obvious; and if the familiarity is what you refer as expertise, then, yes, if that's just familiarity, then I'm familiar with the law. Q. My question was limited to the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li> <li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your understanding of the law applicable to these inter partes review petitions that you have is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel in the case?</li> <li>A. I don't think I follow the question.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your understanding of the patent law applicable to the p</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the prior art or not, correct? A. I'm familiar with the patent law that has to do with what something is obvious; and if the familiarity is what you refer as expertise, then, yes, if that's just familiarity, then I'm familiar with the law. Q. My question was limited to the legal standards, and I will ask it a little
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li> <li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your understanding of the law applicable to these inter partes review petitions that you have is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel in the case?</li> <li>A. I don't think I follow the question.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your understanding of the patent law applicable to the decision in the IPR proceedings is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the prior art or not, correct? A. I'm familiar with the patent law that has to do with what something is obvious; and if the familiarity is what you refer as expertise, then, yes, if that's just familiarity, then I'm familiar with the law. Q. My question was limited to the legal standards, and I will ask it a little bit differently.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li> <li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your understanding of the law applicable to these inter partes review petitions that you have is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel in the case?</li> <li>A. I don't think I follow the question.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your understanding of the patent law applicable to the decision in the IPR proceedings is derived strictly from your discussions with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the prior art or not, correct? A. I'm familiar with the patent law that has to do with what something is obvious; and if the familiarity is what you refer as expertise, then, yes, if that's just familiarity, then I'm familiar with the law. Q. My question was limited to the legal standards, and I will ask it a little bit differently. A. Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li> <li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your</li> <li>understanding of the law applicable to these inter partes review petitions that you have is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel in the case?</li> <li>A. I don't think I follow the question.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your</li> <li>understanding of the patent law applicable to the decision in the IPR proceedings is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the prior art or not, correct? A. I'm familiar with the patent law that has to do with what something is obvious; and if the familiarity is what you refer as expertise, then, yes, if that's just familiarity, then I'm familiar with the law. Q. My question was limited to the legal standards, and I will ask it a little bit differently. A. Okay. Q. Do you purport to be an expert in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 11 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 11 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 11 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 1	<ul> <li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li> <li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your</li> <li>understanding of the law applicable to these inter partes review petitions that you have is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel in the case?</li> <li>A. I don't think I follow the question.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your</li> <li>understanding of the patent law applicable to the decision in the IPR proceedings is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel?</li> <li>A. Yes, and also some reading that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the prior art or not, correct? A. I'm familiar with the patent law that has to do with what something is obvious; and if the familiarity is what you refer as expertise, then, yes, if that's just familiarity, then I'm familiar with the law. Q. My question was limited to the legal standards, and I will ask it a little bit differently. A. Okay. Q. Do you purport to be an expert in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious or not?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li> <li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your understanding of the law applicable to these inter partes review petitions that you have is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel in the case?</li> <li>A. I don't think I follow the question.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your understanding of the patent law applicable to the decision in the IPR proceedings is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel?</li> <li>A. Yes, and also some reading that I've done, you know, kind of cursory notes about some of the material.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the prior art or not, correct? A. I'm familiar with the patent law that has to do with what something is obvious; and if the familiarity is what you refer as expertise, then, yes, if that's just familiarity, then I'm familiar with the law. Q. My question was limited to the legal standards, and I will ask it a little bit differently. A. Okay. Q. Do you purport to be an expert in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious or not? A. I will not qualify myself as being
3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>put forth and how alleged the claims of the Cox patents are anticipated by the prior art.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever read any deposition testimony from Dr. Cox?</li> <li>A. No, I do not I have not.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your</li> <li>understanding of the law applicable to these inter partes review petitions that you have is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel in the case?</li> <li>A. I don't think I follow the question.</li> <li>Q. Is it correct that your</li> <li>understanding of the patent law applicable to the decision in the IPR proceedings is derived strictly from your discussions with counsel?</li> <li>A. Yes, and also some reading that I've done, you know, kind of cursory notes</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	MR. MACEDO: "Not prior to talking to the counsel, yes." (Discussion off the record.) Q. And finally, independent of discussions with counsel, you have no expertise in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious over the prior art or not, correct? A. I'm familiar with the patent law that has to do with what something is obvious; and if the familiarity is what you refer as expertise, then, yes, if that's just familiarity, then I'm familiar with the law. Q. My question was limited to the legal standards, and I will ask it a little bit differently. A. Okay. Q. Do you purport to be an expert in the legal standards governing whether a patent claim is obvious or not?

5 (Pages 14 - 17)

T7	•
Kary	/pis

	Page 18		Page 20
1	Cox patents, correct?	1	to develop any kind of system to identify
2	A. By "disclosure," you mean	2	records in a database that are similar or
3	specification?	3	very similar to a particular query?
4	Q. That's a good point, so another	4	A. My recollection from the
5	terminology issue: If I refer to the	5	specification is that the answer to that is
6	specification of prior art or the Cox	6	no. The specification I believe discloses a
7	patents, I'm referring to the text that	7	bunch of methods to solve the problem.
8	precedes the claims in the patents.	8	Q. And generally speaking what is it
9	Is that consistent with your	9	that distinguishes the method for identifying
10	understanding?	10	or system for identifying records that Dr.
11	A. Yes.	11	Cox purports to have invented from those that
12	Q. Okay. So have you excuse me	12	came before?
13	have you studied the specification in the Cox	13	MR. LUNER: Can you repeat the
14	patents in connection with your work on this	14	question?
15	case?	15	MR. NEMEC: Sure. You want it just
16	A. Yes, I have.	16	read back. Why don't you go ahead and
17	Q. How would you characterize the	17	read back.
18	field of the Cox invention?	18	(A portion of the record was read.)
19	A. So the general field of the Cox	19	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
20	invention falls in the general area of, I	20	A. So this is a very broad question.
21	would say information retrieval and from a	21	So, and I believe in my declaration I kind
22	technical point, and, you know, that's about	22	of, you know, tried to summarize what are the
23	it.	23	key distinguishing features of the invention
24	Q. Do you think content recognition	24	that is disclosed.
25	would be an accurate characterization of the	25	Now going, I can read you that
		20	
1	Page 19 field of the Cox patents?	1	Page 21 section, but off the top of my head there are
		-	
2	A No content recognition content	2	
23	A. No content recognition, content retrieval yeah those would be you know	23	a bunch of different components. One has to
3	retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know,	3	a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one
3 4	retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields.	3 4	a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search.
3 4 5	retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields. Q. And based on your review of the Cox	3 4 5	a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search. Another one has to do with a near neighbor
3 4 5 6	retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields. Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you	3 4 5 6	a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search. Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can
3 4 5 6 7	retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields. Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing	3 4 5 6 7	a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search. Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall.
3 4 5 6 7 8	retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields. Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions?	3 4 5 6 7 8	a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search. Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall. Q. And why is it, in the context of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields. Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions? A. So the general problem that, you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall.</li> <li>Q. And why is it, in the context of these inventions, that Dr. Cox was setting</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields.</li> <li>Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions?</li> <li>A. So the general problem that, you know, the invention addresses is, from the</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall.</li> <li>Q. And why is it, in the context of these inventions, that Dr. Cox was setting out to identify similar works as opposed to</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields.</li> <li>Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions?</li> <li>A. So the general problem that, you know, the invention addresses is, from the disclosure, has to do on how to identify</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search. Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall. Q. And why is it, in the context of these inventions, that Dr. Cox was setting out to identify similar works as opposed to exactly matching works?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields.</li> <li>Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions?</li> <li>A. So the general problem that, you know, the invention addresses is, from the disclosure, has to do on how to identify records in a database that are similar or</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search. Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall. Q. And why is it, in the context of these inventions, that Dr. Cox was setting out to identify similar works as opposed to exactly matching works? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields. Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions? A. So the general problem that, you know, the invention addresses is, from the disclosure, has to do on how to identify records in a database that are similar or very similar to a particular query, and how	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall.</li> <li>Q. And why is it, in the context of these inventions, that Dr. Cox was setting out to identify similar works as opposed to exactly matching works?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe the, you know, the</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields. Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions? A. So the general problem that, you know, the invention addresses is, from the disclosure, has to do on how to identify records in a database that are similar or very similar to a particular query, and how to take actions based on that identification.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall.</li> <li>Q. And why is it, in the context of these inventions, that Dr. Cox was setting out to identify similar works as opposed to exactly matching works?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe the, you know, the specification, you know, put forth certain</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields.</li> <li>Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions?</li> <li>A. So the general problem that, you know, the invention addresses is, from the disclosure, has to do on how to identify records in a database that are similar or very similar to a particular query, and how to take actions based on that identification.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand one of Dr. Cox'</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall.</li> <li>Q. And why is it, in the context of these inventions, that Dr. Cox was setting out to identify similar works as opposed to exactly matching works?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe the, you know, the specification, you know, put forth certain scenarios in which things like that would be</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields.</li> <li>Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions?</li> <li>A. So the general problem that, you know, the invention addresses is, from the disclosure, has to do on how to identify records in a database that are similar or very similar to a particular query, and how to take actions based on that identification.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand one of Dr. Cox' goals of his invention to be an efficient</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search. Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall. Q. And why is it, in the context of these inventions, that Dr. Cox was setting out to identify similar works as opposed to exactly matching works? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So I believe the, you know, the specification, you know, put forth certain scenarios in which things like that would be desirable. I don't remember the specific
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields. Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions? A. So the general problem that, you know, the invention addresses is, from the disclosure, has to do on how to identify records in a database that are similar or very similar to a particular query, and how to take actions based on that identification. Q. Do you understand one of Dr. Cox' goals of his invention to be an efficient search process?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search. Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall. Q. And why is it, in the context of these inventions, that Dr. Cox was setting out to identify similar works as opposed to exactly matching works? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So I believe the, you know, the specification, you know, put forth certain scenarios in which things like that would be desirable. I don't remember the specific example that they provided, but I can, you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields. Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions? A. So the general problem that, you know, the invention addresses is, from the disclosure, has to do on how to identify records in a database that are similar or very similar to a particular query, and how to take actions based on that identification. Q. Do you understand one of Dr. Cox' goals of his invention to be an efficient search process? A. I believe I'm recollecting the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall.</li> <li>Q. And why is it, in the context of these inventions, that Dr. Cox was setting out to identify similar works as opposed to exactly matching works?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe the, you know, the specification, you know, put forth certain scenarios in which things like that would be desirable. I don't remember the specific example that they provided, but I can, you know, you know, hypothesize that finding, yo</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields.</li> <li>Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions?</li> <li>A. So the general problem that, you know, the invention addresses is, from the disclosure, has to do on how to identify records in a database that are similar or very similar to a particular query, and how to take actions based on that identification.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand one of Dr. Cox' goals of his invention to be an efficient search process?</li> <li>A. I believe I'm recollecting the claims, and again, if the question has to do</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search. Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall. Q. And why is it, in the context of these inventions, that Dr. Cox was setting out to identify similar works as opposed to exactly matching works? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So I believe the, you know, the specification, you know, put forth certain scenarios in which things like that would be desirable. I don't remember the specific example that they provided, but I can, you know, you know, hypothesize that finding, yo know, similar or not necessarily exact, like,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields.</li> <li>Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions?</li> <li>A. So the general problem that, you know, the invention addresses is, from the disclosure, has to do on how to identify records in a database that are similar or very similar to a particular query, and how to take actions based on that identification.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand one of Dr. Cox' goals of his invention to be an efficient search process?</li> <li>A. I believe I'm recollecting the claims, and again, if the question has to do with the claims, I don't think the specific</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search. Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall. Q. And why is it, in the context of these inventions, that Dr. Cox was setting out to identify similar works as opposed to exactly matching works? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So I believe the, you know, the specification, you know, put forth certain scenarios in which things like that would be desirable. I don't remember the specific example that they provided, but I can, you know, you know, hypothesize that finding, yo know, similar or not necessarily exact, like, would be something that would be tolerant to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields. Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions? A. So the general problem that, you know, the invention addresses is, from the disclosure, has to do on how to identify records in a database that are similar or very similar to a particular query, and how to take actions based on that identification. Q. Do you understand one of Dr. Cox' goals of his invention to be an efficient search process? A. I believe I'm recollecting the claims, and again, if the question has to do with the claims, I don't think the specific claim that talks about a search process.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search. Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall. Q. And why is it, in the context of these inventions, that Dr. Cox was setting out to identify similar works as opposed to exactly matching works? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So I believe the, you know, the specification, you know, put forth certain scenarios in which things like that would be desirable. I don't remember the specific example that they provided, but I can, you know, you know, hypothesize that finding, yo know, similar or not necessarily exact, like, would be something that would be tolerant to some, you know, small changes or some
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields. Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions? A. So the general problem that, you know, the invention addresses is, from the disclosure, has to do on how to identify records in a database that are similar or very similar to a particular query, and how to take actions based on that identification. Q. Do you understand one of Dr. Cox' goals of his invention to be an efficient search process? A. I believe I'm recollecting the claims, and again, if the question has to do with the claims, I don't think the specific claim that talks about a search process. Q. So let me ask a somewhat different	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search. Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall. Q. And why is it, in the context of these inventions, that Dr. Cox was setting out to identify similar works as opposed to exactly matching works? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So I believe the, you know, the specification, you know, put forth certain scenarios in which things like that would be desirable. I don't remember the specific example that they provided, but I can, you know, you know, hypothesize that finding, yo know, similar or not necessarily exact, like, would be something that would be tolerant to some, you know, small changes or some transmission error.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields.</li> <li>Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions?</li> <li>A. So the general problem that, you know, the invention addresses is, from the disclosure, has to do on how to identify records in a database that are similar or very similar to a particular query, and how to take actions based on that identification.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand one of Dr. Cox' goals of his invention to be an efficient search process?</li> <li>A. I believe I'm recollecting the claims, and again, if the question has to do with the claims, I don't think the specific claim that talks about a search process.</li> <li>Q. So let me ask a somewhat different question, then.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search. Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall. Q. And why is it, in the context of these inventions, that Dr. Cox was setting out to identify similar works as opposed to exactly matching works? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So I believe the, you know, the specification, you know, put forth certain scenarios in which things like that would be desirable. I don't remember the specific example that they provided, but I can, you know, you know, hypothesize that finding, yo know, similar or not necessarily exact, like, would be something that would be tolerant to some, you know, small changes or some transmission error. Q. So for example, a distortion in an
3 4 5 6 7 8	retrieval, yeah, those would be, you know, the fields. Q. And based on your review of the Cox patents, what problem or problems do you understand Dr. Cox to have been addressing with his inventions? A. So the general problem that, you know, the invention addresses is, from the disclosure, has to do on how to identify records in a database that are similar or very similar to a particular query, and how to take actions based on that identification. Q. Do you understand one of Dr. Cox' goals of his invention to be an efficient search process? A. I believe I'm recollecting the claims, and again, if the question has to do with the claims, I don't think the specific claim that talks about a search process. Q. So let me ask a somewhat different	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a bunch of different components. One has to do with a nonlinear search. The other one has to do with a non-exhaustive search. Another one has to do with a near neighbor search. So those are the three that I can recall. Q. And why is it, in the context of these inventions, that Dr. Cox was setting out to identify similar works as opposed to exactly matching works? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So I believe the, you know, the specification, you know, put forth certain scenarios in which things like that would be desirable. I don't remember the specific example that they provided, but I can, you know, you know, hypothesize that finding, yo know, similar or not necessarily exact, like, would be something that would be tolerant to some, you know, small changes or some transmission error.

6 (Pages 18 - 21)

1	Page 22	1	Page 24
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. Is it your understanding from the	1 2	A. I cannot find the exact place, but
2 3	disclosure in the Cox patents that Dr. Cox found it undesirable to find an exact match?	2 3	repeat your question and I can answer it from my head.
4		3 4	•
	A. I don't recall if it was explicitly stated it's undesirable or not, but yeah,	4 5	Q. Sure. So the question that I had posed was, what is your understanding of the
5	-		
6 7	actually I don't recall if it's saying it's undesirable to find an exact match.	6	term "nonlinear," separate and apart from the Cox patents?
8		7 8	A. Sure. So the term "nonlinear," you
8 9	Q. A moment ago you used the term "nonlinear," I believe.	8 9	know, first, you usually do, you know, I have
10	Do you mean that to be synonymous	10	a function that is a parameter of a certain
11	with sublinear?	10	variable, let's say N. Like if I increase
12	A. Well, nonlinear is not synonymous	12	that variable by certain fraction, like, so I
12	with sublinear, but in the context of the Cox	12	look at 2N or 4N; if I have an increase in
13	patents the nonlinearity that they're talking	13	the amount of the value of that function,
15	about is sublinearity.	14	right, that is not the same proportion,
16	Q. So you mean in general nonlinearity	16	right. It's not 2, a factor of 2 or a factor
17	is not synonymous with sublinearity, separate	17	of 4. If I have the corresponding increase
18	from the Cox patents?	18	on the integer variable, but then that would
19	A. Yes.	19	be a nonlinear function.
20	Q. What, then separate from the Cox	20	Q. How does the definition that you
21	patents, what do you understand nonlinearity	21	just gave differ from the definition of
22	to mean?	22	sublinear, as you understand it, separate
23	A. Something that is not linear. I	23	from the Cox patents?
24	believe I have a precise definition of	24	A. I think it is exactly the same
25	linearity in my disclosure, but, you know, a	25	definition. The notion of sublinear is a
1	Page 23 function, you know, of, you know, that	1	Page 25 function in which you find increase by let's
2	increases at a rate that is either higher,	2	say a factor of 2 or a factor of 4, right, an
3	greater or smaller than linear is a nonlinear	3	increase in the output of that function would
4	function. For example, a function that is	4	be less than a factor of 2 or a factor of 4.
5	quadratic would be a nonlinear function.	5	Q. Now, in your view is the term
		-	
	-	6	- •
6	Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply	6 7	"sublinear" used differently in the Cox
6 7	Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?	7	"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents?
6 7 8	<ul><li>Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?</li><li>A. So this is very precisely described</li></ul>	7 8	"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents? A. No, I believe this is the use of,
6 7 8 9	<ul><li>Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?</li><li>A. So this is very precisely described in my declaration. I can give you the</li></ul>	7 8 9	"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents? A. No, I believe this is the use of, this is how the term is used.
6 7 8	<ul><li>Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?</li><li>A. So this is very precisely described in my declaration. I can give you the definition.</li></ul>	7 8	<ul><li>"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents?</li><li>A. No, I believe this is the use of, this is how the term is used.</li><li>Q. You mentioned the term</li></ul>
6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?</li><li>A. So this is very precisely described in my declaration. I can give you the</li></ul>	7 8 9 10	"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents? A. No, I believe this is the use of, this is how the term is used.
6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?</li> <li>A. So this is very precisely described in my declaration. I can give you the definition.</li> <li>Actually, can you give me a copy of the declaration?</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents?</li><li>A. No, I believe this is the use of, this is how the term is used.</li><li>Q. You mentioned the term</li><li>"non-exhaustive" a new moments ago as well,</li></ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul><li>Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?</li><li>A. So this is very precisely described in my declaration. I can give you the definition.</li><li>Actually, can you give me a copy of</li></ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12	"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents? A. No, I believe this is the use of, this is how the term is used. Q. You mentioned the term "non-exhaustive" a new moments ago as well, correct?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?</li> <li>A. So this is very precisely described in my declaration. I can give you the definition.</li> <li>Actually, can you give me a copy of the declaration?</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Sure. We can go ahead</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents?</li> <li>A. No, I believe this is the use of, this is how the term is used.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned the term</li> <li>"non-exhaustive" a new moments ago as well, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?</li> <li>A. So this is very precisely described in my declaration. I can give you the definition.</li> <li>Actually, can you give me a copy of the declaration?</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Sure. We can go ahead and mark it. We will mark as Karypis 1</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents?</li> <li>A. No, I believe this is the use of, this is how the term is used.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned the term</li> <li>"non-exhaustive" a new moments ago as well, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Non-exhaustive is one of the terms that appears in the claims of the Cox</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?</li> <li>A. So this is very precisely described in my declaration. I can give you the definition.</li> <li>Actually, can you give me a copy of the declaration?</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Sure. We can go ahead and mark it. We will mark as Karypis 1 the declaration of Dr. George Karypis</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents?</li> <li>A. No, I believe this is the use of, this is how the term is used.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned the term</li> <li>"non-exhaustive" a new moments ago as well, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Non-exhaustive is one of the terms</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?</li> <li>A. So this is very precisely described in my declaration. I can give you the definition.</li> <li>Actually, can you give me a copy of the declaration?</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Sure. We can go ahead and mark it. We will mark as Karypis 1 the declaration of Dr. George Karypis submitted in the four IPR proceedings.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents?</li> <li>A. No, I believe this is the use of, this is how the term is used.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned the term</li> <li>"non-exhaustive" a new moments ago as well, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Non-exhaustive is one of the terms that appears in the claims of the Cox patents, right?</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?</li> <li>A. So this is very precisely described in my declaration. I can give you the definition.</li> <li>Actually, can you give me a copy of the declaration?</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Sure. We can go ahead and mark it. We will mark as Karypis 1 the declaration of Dr. George Karypis submitted in the four IPR proceedings. (Karypis Exhibit 1, Declaration of</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents?</li> <li>A. No, I believe this is the use of, this is how the term is used.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned the term</li> <li>"non-exhaustive" a new moments ago as well, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Non-exhaustive is one of the terms that appears in the claims of the Cox patents, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?</li> <li>A. So this is very precisely described in my declaration. I can give you the definition.</li> <li>Actually, can you give me a copy of the declaration?</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Sure. We can go ahead and mark it. We will mark as Karypis 1 the declaration of Dr. George Karypis submitted in the four IPR proceedings. (Karypis Exhibit 1, Declaration of George Karypis, marked for</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents?</li> <li>A. No, I believe this is the use of, this is how the term is used.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned the term</li> <li>"non-exhaustive" a new moments ago as well, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Non-exhaustive is one of the terms that appears in the claims of the Cox patents, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. The actual term "non-exhaustive" is</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?</li> <li>A. So this is very precisely described in my declaration. I can give you the definition.</li> <li>Actually, can you give me a copy of the declaration?</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Sure. We can go ahead and mark it. We will mark as Karypis 1 the declaration of Dr. George Karypis submitted in the four IPR proceedings. (Karypis Exhibit 1, Declaration of George Karypis, marked for identification, this date.)</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents?</li> <li>A. No, I believe this is the use of, this is how the term is used.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned the term</li> <li>"non-exhaustive" a new moments ago as well, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Non-exhaustive is one of the terms that appears in the claims of the Cox patents, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. The actual term "non-exhaustive" is not used in the specification of the Cox</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?</li> <li>A. So this is very precisely described in my declaration. I can give you the definition.</li> <li>Actually, can you give me a copy of the declaration?</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Sure. We can go ahead and mark it. We will mark as Karypis 1 the declaration of Dr. George Karypis submitted in the four IPR proceedings. (Karypis Exhibit 1, Declaration of George Karypis, marked for identification, this date.) (Discussion off the record.)</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents?</li> <li>A. No, I believe this is the use of, this is how the term is used.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned the term</li> <li>"non-exhaustive" a new moments ago as well, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Non-exhaustive is one of the terms that appears in the claims of the Cox patents, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. The actual term "non-exhaustive" is not used in the specification of the Cox patents, though, right?</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?</li> <li>A. So this is very precisely described in my declaration. I can give you the definition.</li> <li>Actually, can you give me a copy of the declaration?</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Sure. We can go ahead and mark it. We will mark as Karypis 1 the declaration of Dr. George Karypis submitted in the four IPR proceedings. (Karypis Exhibit 1, Declaration of George Karypis, marked for identification, this date.) (Discussion off the record.) THE WITNESS: At some point in time</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents?</li> <li>A. No, I believe this is the use of, this is how the term is used.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned the term</li> <li>"non-exhaustive" a new moments ago as well, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Non-exhaustive is one of the terms that appears in the claims of the Cox patents, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. The actual term "non-exhaustive" is not used in the specification of the Cox patents, though, right?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. By "rate," do you mean to imply speed?</li> <li>A. So this is very precisely described in my declaration. I can give you the definition.</li> <li>Actually, can you give me a copy of the declaration?</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Sure. We can go ahead and mark it. We will mark as Karypis 1 the declaration of Dr. George Karypis submitted in the four IPR proceedings. (Karypis Exhibit 1, Declaration of George Karypis, marked for identification, this date.)</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>THE WITNESS: At some point in time we'll switch to iPad with those?</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>"sublinear" used differently in the Cox patents?</li> <li>A. No, I believe this is the use of, this is how the term is used.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned the term</li> <li>"non-exhaustive" a new moments ago as well, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Non-exhaustive is one of the terms that appears in the claims of the Cox patents, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. The actual term "non-exhaustive" is not used in the specification of the Cox patents, though, right?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Cox, in his disclosure in his</li> </ul>

Karypis

	TXu	ypis	
	Page 26		Page 28
1	Q. You believe he does purport to have	1	point, then you disregard the first half of
2	invented it?	2	the array, and you perform the same search on
3	A. He does not.	3	the second part of the array.
4	Q. He does not. So as of 2000, when	4	You continue that way until you
5	Dr. Cox' patent applications were filed,	5	either find that value in the array, or your
6	non-exhaustive searching was a concept known	6	end result becomes an array, at which point
7	in the art, correct?	7	in time you don't find the value.
8	A. Correct.	8	Q. Now, if the array you are seeking
9	Q. As of 2000, had you had exposure to	9	to search is not sorted, can you still
10	the concept of non-exhaustive searching in	10	perform a binary search on that array?
11	your work?	11	A. You can perform a binary search,
12	A. I believe you mean prior to 2000.	12	not and get a correct result. But if your
13	Q. In or prior to, sure.	13	goal is to get the correct answer, you cannot
14	A. Yes.	14	perform binary search.
15	Q. In what context?	15	Q. And what do you mean by "the
16	A. For example, a fairly widely-used	16	correct result"?
17	algorithm to research a site array is to do a	17	A. In the example that I gave, if the
18	binary search. That would be an example of a	18	number exists in the array, then it will
19	non-exhaustive search.	19	return true. If the number does not, it will
20	Q. And you've personally worked with	20	return false. If the array is not sorted,
20		20	there are no guarantees that the algorithm
	such algorithms in or before 2000?	21	<u> </u>
22	A. Yes.		will explain, will lead to the correct
23	Q. Do you recall other instances in	23	answer.
24	which you had firsthand experience with	24	Q. So it might return the correct
25	non-exhaustive searching in or before 2000?	25	answer, but it also might not?
	Page 27		Page 29
1	A. Another approach by 2000 used for	1	A. A high probability it will not.
2	non-exhaustive search would be hash tables.	2	Q. Can you use a binary search to find
3	Q. Any other examples that you can	3	a near match or only an exact match?
4	recall of	4	A. The standard binary search on the
5	MR. NEMEC: Excuse me, I'll start	5	sorted array can be modified to find a near
6	that over.	6	match.
7	Q. Any other examples you can recall	7	Q. What sort of modification would be
8	of non-exhaustive search techniques that you	8	required?
9	worked with in or before 2000?	9	A. There are a couple of ways to
10	A. Not that I can recall finding	10	implement it, but I would presume a standard
11	techniques that I have worked with.	11	way of doing that is after you do your binary
12	Q. You mentioned binary search.	12	search and you get an Mk array, then, you
13	A binary search is a non-exhaustive	13	know, conceptually you backtrack to your
14	search; is that correct?	14	previous step and, you know, that middle
15	A. That is correct.	15	value on your previous step can be returned
16	Q. Can you explain to me briefly how a	16	plus a, you know, near match.
17	binary search works?	17	Q. You also mentioned hash tables.
18	A. So assume you have an array of	18	Was the use of hash tables a form
10		10	or non-exhausive searching /
19 20	let's assume numbers and solving in	19 20	of non-exhaustive searching?
20	let's assume numbers and solving in increasing order, and the search is trying to	20	A. Yes.
20 21	let's assume numbers and solving in increasing order, and the search is trying to answer the question, is a number in the array	20 21	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Can you explain how a hash table</li></ul>
20 21 22	let's assume numbers and solving in increasing order, and the search is trying to answer the question, is a number in the array or not. And, you know, what do you is you	20 21 22	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Can you explain how a hash table works? In general terms.</li></ul>
20 21 22 23	let's assume numbers and solving in increasing order, and the search is trying to answer the question, is a number in the array or not. And, you know, what do you is you check the middle point therein and compare it	20 21 22 23	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Can you explain how a hash table works? In general terms.</li><li>A. So the two prototypical types of</li></ul>
20 21 22	let's assume numbers and solving in increasing order, and the search is trying to answer the question, is a number in the array or not. And, you know, what do you is you	20 21 22	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Can you explain how a hash table works? In general terms.</li></ul>

8 (Pages 26 - 29)

<ul> <li>16 over here, you know, usually what a key</li> <li>17 represents is some way of describing, you</li> <li>18 know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>19 usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>20 like a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>21 Sometimes the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>22 Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique</li> <li>23 identifier of the data.</li> <li>24 So what you store in the array or</li> <li>16 standpoint of a person skilled in the art?</li> <li>17 A. I think it does make sense, but</li> <li>18 beyond the type of terms that people, we use</li> <li>19 in a normal setting. So in the normal</li> <li>20 setting someone will use a database entry,</li> <li>21 you know, and query.</li> <li>22 Q. I'm not trying to quibble over the</li> <li>23 terminology. I just want to make sure we're</li> <li>24 speaking the same language.</li> </ul>			J F -~	
2associated with it. So the way you store the data there is you have a function that will map the original, let's say keys into some range, you know, that is bonded from one to the length of that array that you use. Then you apply that function on that key. That gives you an index in the array. And then you pupt the data into that link list table, and then when you search, you have a saccitable way the search proceed?3C. Okay. So now when it comes time to search furces proceed?7you pupt the data into that link list table, and then when you search, you have a saccitable way the search proceeds?3A. So in the typical way, if the actually the way the search proceed?1So this is how you populate a hash table, and then when you search, you have a table, and then you, you know, sequential teement of that array which your hash value fascan that link list to see if that key is as earch in this list to see if that key is there.7M. LUNER: Objection to form. actually the way the search proceed? actual way the search proceed the length of the array, and then you go to the length of the array, and then you go to the link list to see if that key is there or not.10get to a link list to see if that key is there or not.15search furchal they you kend the term "key" ow core mether actual key with the key, store the aubits they reidentical you return back the data. sore representation thereo?20. So each entry on the link list is associated with that sorted array.21reference that's served in the array, or it's some representation thereo?23A. The wey fundamental the key represents in reference to or in or link key represents in reference to or in the key represents				
<ul> <li>a data there is yon have a function that will</li> <li>a map the original, let's say keys into some range, you know, this is bonded from one to the length of that array that you use. Then you apply that function on that key. That gives you an index in the array. And then you put the data into that link list</li> <li>a stociated with that element of the array. So this is how you populate a hash table, and then when you search, you have a laready explained, you know, prior to that.</li> <li>a key, you apply exactly the same function, you have a general with the year you would be there with the key trans in the range from one to the length of the array, and then you go to the link list associated with an element of that array which your hash value maps in the range from one to a secan that ink is to see if that key is there or not.</li> <li>b element of that array which your hash value there or not.</li> <li>c lement of that array which your hash value there or not.</li> <li>d lement of that array which your hash value there or not.</li> <li>d lement of that array which your hash value there or not.</li> <li>d lement of that array which your hash value there or not.</li> <li>d lement of that array which your hash value there or not.</li> <li>d lement of that array which your hash value there or not.</li> <li>d lement of that array which your hash value there or not.</li> <li>d lement of that array which your hash value there or not.</li> <li>d lement of that array or the key.</li> <li>d lement of that array or the key.</li> <li>d lement of that array, or it's some representation thereof?</li> <li>A. I don't think 1 - 1 fully - 1</li> <li>d lement of the array, or it's some represents in reference to or in relation to the items that are stored in this array.</li> <li>d nor that, your questing.</li> <li>d point, which is to understand what exactly the key represents in reference to or in relation to the items that are stored in the atray.</li> <li>d matte with parents that we're discussing over here, you kn</li></ul>				
4map the original, let's say keys into some range, you know, that is bounded from one to the length of that array that you use. Then you paply that function on that key. That gives you an index in the array. And then you put the data into that link list associated with that element of the array. At bis is how you populate a hash table, and then when you search, you have a key, you apply exactly the same function, you get to a link list that associated with a table, and then when you search, you have a leement of that array which your hash value aget to a link list to associated with a there or not.No in the typical way, if the actually the way the search proceed? all but you take the key, you apply the hash the link list to associated with a scan of the link list, and duen you go to the link list is associated with a scan of the link list.No in the you apply the hash the link list is associated with a scan of the link list.15element of that array which your hash value maps to; and then you, you know, sequentially scan that link list to see if that key is there or not.It he link list and then you do a sequential the yre identical you return back the data, or the key, it depends on what the values area20No he key - what is a key? Q. So a key is the entirety of the some representation thereof?Q. So each entry on the link list is associated with a single reference works?31reference that's served in the array, or it's some representation thereof?Not hereof?33A. Idon't think 1 - I fully - 1 Q. Sure, sure.Yeag 31 the key represents in reference to or in or relation to the items that are stored in the standpoint of a person skilled in the at??4freference work is wh		•••		
5       range, you know, that is bonded from one to       5       fashion you just described, how does the         6       the length of that array that you use. Then       7       MR. LUNER: Objection to form.         8       gives you an index in the array. And then       9       A. So in the typical way, if the         9       you apply that function on that key.       9       actually the way the search proceeds was         1       So this is how you populate a hash       10       actually the way the search proceed swas         11       So this is how you populate a hash       11       But you take the key. you apply the hash         12       element of that array which your hash value       13       there not.       14         16       element of that array which your hash value       15       scan that link list to see if that key is         17       scan that link list to see if that key is       16       or the key, it depends on what the values         18       there on to.       17       they're identical you return back the data,         19       Q. So by - you used the term "key"       10       or the key, it depends on what the values         19       Page 33       reference that's served in the array, or it's       associated with a singer reference work or         20       D. So a key is the entirety or the <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
6the length of that array that you use. Then you apply that function on that key. That gives you an index in the array. And then you put the data into that link list associated with that element of the array. associated with that element of the array. table, and then when you search, you have a key, you apply exactly the same function, you get to a link list tab associated with a telement of that array which your hash value maps to; and then you, you know, sequentially ts can d then you. you know, sequentially ts can d then you used the term "key" there.6search procees? actually the way the search proceed? actually the way the search proceeds was actually the way the search proceeds was actually the way the search proceeds. That hat is associated with an the search in that is a key? Q. So excley nerve you used the term "key" there.6search in the search and if the search in the search in the search in the search in the search in the store in that, in that sorted array.6search in the search and is the search in the array, or it's some representation thereof? Q. Sure, sure.7Mken speaking in terms of database the day.1reference that's served in the array.Nens preaking in terms of database the day.1The database.2A. I don't think I - 1 fully - I the key represents in reference to in to which is to understand what exactly the key represents in reference to in terms that are stored in this array.1The database.3A. I don't think I - 1 fully - I the actual set are the array or it's soin a very general setting, represen				
7you apply that function on that key. That7MR. LUNER: Objection to form.8gives you an index in the array. And then7MR. LUNER: Objection to form.9you put the data into that link list87A. So in the typical way, if the10associated with that element of tha array.810actualky the way the search proceeds was11So this is how you populate a hash10already explained, you knoy, prior to that.12sex, you apply exactly the same function, you1011But you take the key, you apply the hash13the length of tha array, and then you go to13the length of the array, and then you go to14the link list that is associated with an16actual key with the key stroke there. And if16actual key with the key stroke there. And ifthey're identical you return back the data,17there.10actual key with the systeck there. And if18there.20So each entry on the link list is19actual key with a single reference works?2121Is key what is a key?323reference that's served in the array, or it's336not't think I I fully I337reference that's served in the array, or it's338out, If can you rephrase, repeat it?339Q. Sore, sure.1130reference work, is what is stored in the31reference work, is what is stored on the34don't think you mo				
8       gives you an index in the array. And then       9       you put the data into that link list       9       associated with that element of the array.       astociated with that element of the array.       actually the way the search proceeds was         11       So this is how you populate a hash       already explained, you know, prior to that.         12       table, and then when you search, you have a       associated with that element of the array, ou poly exactly the same function, you         14       get to a link list that is associated with an       15       scan of the link list, and you compare the         15       scan of the link list, and you compare the       actually with the key stroke there. And if         16       maps to; and then you, you know, sequentially       16       actualkey with the key stroke there. And if         17       scan that link list to see if that key is       17       there or not.       18       or the key, it depends on what the values         18       or the key, it depends on what the values       are.       20       So each entry on the link list is         19       Q. So by - you used the term "key"       21       associated with a single reference works?         21       associated with a single reference works?       22       A. The key, the equivalent in my         23       A. I don't think I - I fully - I       3       A. What do you mean b				
9you put the data into that link list9actually the way the search proceeds was already explained, you know, prior to that.1So this is how you populate a hash10already explained, you know, prior to that.12table, and then when you search, you have a teget to link list that is associated with an element of that array which your hash value maps to; and then you, you know, sequentially1016eterment of that array which your hash value maps to; and then you, you know, sequentially1116actual key with the key stroke there. And if there.1217there or not.1018there or not.1019Q. So by - you used the term 'key'' there.1110is key what is a key?1120there.2021So each entry on the link list is array.1222A. The key, the equivalent in my previous example are the numbers that we store in that, in that sorted array.2323reference that's served in the array. or it's some representation thereo?233A. I don't think Your question is fully fleshed to it thich is to understand what exactly point, which is to understand what exactly34point, which is to understand what exactly trepresents is some way of describing, you termes the data.34point, which is to understand what exactly trepresents is some way of describing, you termes the				
10associated with that element of the array. So this is how you populate a hash table, and then when you search, you have a key, you apply exactly the same function, you get to a link list that is associated with an element of that array which your hash value maps to; and then you, you know, sequentially element of that array which your hash value maps to; and then you, you know, sequentially scan that link list to see if that key is there or not.10already explained, you know, prior to that. It was the key, you apply the hash the length of the array, and then you go to the length of the array, and then you go to the length of the array, and then you go to the link list and you compare the actual key with the key stroke there. And if scan that link list to see if that key is there.10Is key what is a key? 2.It is key what is key? 2.It is				
11So this is how you populate a hash table, and then when you search, you have a lable, and then you opply the hash function that maps in the range from one to the length of the array, and then you go to the length of the array, and then you go to the length of the array, and then you go to the length of the array, and then you go to the length of the array, and then you go to the length of the array, and then you go to the length of the array, and then you go to the length of the array, and then you go to the length of the array, and then you go to the length of the array, and then you go to the link list that sascelated with an scan that link list to see if that key is scan that link list to see if that key is there. Q. So by -, you used the term "key" if there or not. Q. So by -, you used the term "key" if there. Q. So by -, you used the term "key" if the key, the equivalent in my previous example are the numbers that we? grey revious example are the numbers that we? Q. So a key is the entirety of the Q. So a key is the entirety of the Q. So a key is an end fully - 1 A. I don't think 1 - 1 fully - 1 So an a very fundamental Rege 31 point, which is to understand what exactly grey results in reference to or in to relation to the items that are stored in this aray.11But you take the key, is you apply the hash the data. So in a very general setting, fright, you know, it's - for all the stuff we the key represents in reference to or in so in a very general setting, fright, you kn				
12table, and then when you search, you have a key, you apply exactly the same function, you get to a link list that is associated with an element of that array which your hash value feement of that array which your hash value feement of that array which you know, sequentially fs scan that link list to see if that key is there.12function that maps in the range from one to the length of the array, and then you do a sequential the link list, and you compare the actual key with the key stroke there. And if they're identical you return back the data, to reference that's served in the array, or it's some representation thereof?12function that maps in the range from one to the link list, and then you go to the link list, and you compare the actual key with the key stroke there. And if they're identical you return back the data, to reference works?12A. The key, the equivalent in my previous example are the numbers that we store in that, in that sorted array.20So each entry on the link list is associated with a single reference works?21reference that's served in the array, or it's some representation thereof?A. What do you mean by "reference works"?Page 3125Q. So key is the entirety of the court. If - can you rephrase, repeat it?1thing, because this may come up throughout the database and "query work" to be you are trying to find in the database.136No in a very general setting, represents is some way of describing, you represents is some way of describing, you sometimes the data can be the key issignt represents is some way of describing, you know, the data they would like to store. So usually you talk about a key value pairs, or is want to make sense, but </td <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>		-		
13key, you apply exactly the same function, you age to a link list that is associated with an element of that array which your hash value maps to; and then you, you know, sequentially tascan that link list to see if that key is scan that link list to see if that key is there or not.13the length of the array, and then you do a sequential the link list and then you do a sequential the maps to; and then you, you know, sequentially the array, and then you do a sequential the hash value scan that link list to see if that key is scan that link list to see if that key is there or not.13the length of the array, and then you do a sequential the hash value scan of the link list; and you compare the actual key with the key stroke there. And if they i depends on what the values actual key with the key stroke there. And if they i depends on what the values actual key with the key stroke there. And if they i depends on what the values actual key with the key stroke there. And if they i depends on what the values actual key with the key stroke there. And if they i depends on what the values ascociated with a single forence works?20O. So by - you used the term "key" atting to that in that sorted array. So a key is the entirety of the20O. So cach entry on the link list is associated with a single forence works? A. What do you mean by "reference works"?21reference that's served in the array, or it's so me representation thereof? C. Sure, sure.7Page 311reference that's served in the array or it's so na very general setting, represents is norme way of describing, you represents is some way of describing, you represents is some way of describing, you represents is some way of describing, you winch te dat				
14get to a link list that is associated with an element of that array which your hash value maps to; and then you, you know, sequentially scan that link list to see if that key is there or not.14the link list and then you do a sequential scan of the link list and you compare the actual key with the key stroke there. And if they're identical you return back the data, or the key, it depends on what the values are.19Q. So by - you used the term "key" there.10Q. So each entry on the link list is are.20Q. So each entry on the link list is associated with a single reference work or multiple reference works?2021The key, the equivalent in my sprevious example are the numbers that we store in that, in that sorted array.2022Q. So a key is the entirety of the2023Page 31Page 3124reference that's served in the array, or it's some representation thereof?213A. I don't think I I fully I sour, sure.114don't think your question is fully fleshed to out. If can you rephrase, repeat it?114don't think your question is neference to or in regresents is reference to or in represents is some way of describing, you represents is some way of describing, you to key you talk about a key value pairs, or represents is some way of describing, you sually you talk about a key value pairs, or represents is some way of describing, you sually you talk about a key value pairs, or represents is some way of describing, you sually you talk about a key value pairs, or represents is some way of describing, you sually you talk about a key value pairs, or represents		• •		
15element of that array which your hash value maps to; and then you, you know, sequentially 1715scan of the link list, and you compare the actual key with the key stroke there. And if they're identical you return back the data, there or not.17So by you used the term "key" 1918there or not.19Q. So by you used the term "key" 1018there.20Leve, what is a key? 2121associated with a single reference work or multiple reference works?21A. The key, the equivalent in my 2222A. What do you mean by "reference works"?23A. The key is the entirety of the23A. What do you mean by "reference works"?24some representation thereo?? 3A. I don't think 1 I fully I 4125O. Sure, sure.1thing, because this may come up throughout the day.26Q. Sure, sure.1thing, because therm "reference work" to refer to what is stored in the database.26Out. If can you rephrase, repeat it?3Nen speaking in terms of database searching. I at least use the term "reference work" to refer to what is stored in the database. A query work is what you use to represents is some way of describing, you the key represents that are stored in this did with the patents that we're discussing over here, you know, usually what a key si sand point of a person skilled in the att? A. I think it does make sense, but beyond the type of terms that people, we use in a normal setting. So in the normal setting someone will use a database entry, you know, and query.27Fight? Sometimes t				
16maps to; and then you, you know, sequentially16actual key with the key stroke there. And if17scan that link list to see if that key is17there. And if18there or not.17they're identical you return back the data,19Q. So by - you used the term "key"1920there.20Q. So ach entry on the link list is21Is key what is a key?2122A. The key, the equivalent in my2223A. The key, the equivalent in my24store in that, in that sorted array.25Q. So a key is the entirety of the26So a key is the entirety of the27reference that's served in the array, or it's28some representation thereof?3A. I don't think I I fully I4don't think your question is fully fleshed4don't think your question is fully fleshed5out, If can you rephrase, repeat it?6Q. Sure, sure.7Tm starting at a very fundamental8point, which is to understand what exactly9the key represents in reference to or in10relation to the items that are stored in this11array.12A. Okay.13so in a very general setting, represents is some way of describing, you14right, you know, usually what a key15did with the patents that we're discussing16over here, you know, usually what a key17represents is some way of d				
17scan that link list to see if that key is there or not.17they're identical you return back the data, or the key, it depends on what the values are.18there or not.0. So by you used the term "key"0. So each entry on the link list is associated with a single reference work or multiple reference works?21A. The key, the equivalent in my previous example are the numbers that we store in that, in that sorted array.0. So each entry on the link list is associated with a single reference works?23Previous example are the numbers that we store in that, in that sorted array.23240. So a key is the entirety of the2425Q. So a key is the entirety of the2526So a key is the entirety of the2427Page 31128reference that's served in the array, or it's some representation thereof?33A. I don't think your question is fully fleshed out. If can you rephrase, repeat it?16Q. Sure, sure.717I'm starting at a very fundamental nrelation to the items that are stored in this at array.810relation to the items that are stored in this did with the patents that were relacussing to over here, you know, usually what a key represents is some way of describing, you tak how, the data they would like to store. So usually you tak about a key value pais, or to like a key is an end file with the data.1128Knew, the data they would like to store. So usually you tak about a key value pais, or to like a key is an end file with the data.21 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>· · ·</td></tr<>				· · ·
18there or not.18or the key, it depends on what the values are.19Q. So by you used the term "key"Q. So by you used the term "key"Q. So by you used the term "key"20there.Is key what is a key?Q. So each entry on the link list is associated with a single reference work or multiple reference works?21Is key what is a key?A. The key, the equivalent in my previous example are the numbers that we store in that, in that sorted array.Q. So each entry on the link list is associated with a single reference work or multiple reference works?23A. The key, is the entirety of thePage 3124reference that's served in the array, or it's some representation thereof?Thing, because this may come up throughout the day.3A. I don't think I I fully I don't think your question is fully fleshed out. If can you rephrase, repeat it?Thing, because this may come up throughout the key represents in reference to or in relation to the items that are stored in this array.The key represents in reference to or in relation to the items that are stored in this array.Think it doause. trying to find in the database. Is now that I know what it is. So a reference work is what you use to query, right?3A. Okay.Q. So that's the terminology I used.4doid with the patents that we're discussing to with the data.Q. So that's the terminology I used.5work what dat they would like to store.Do you feel it's accurate from the standpoint of a person skilled in the art?7represents is some way of describing, you tike a key i				
19Q. So by you used the term "key"19are.20there.Is key what is a key?20Q. So each entry on the link list is21A. The key, the equivalent in my21associated with a single reference work or23multiple reference works?23A. What do you mean by "reference24g. So a key is the entirety of the23A. What do you mean by "reference25Q. So a key is the entirety of the23A. What do you mean by "reference26So a key is the entirety of the24works"?27reference that's served in the array, or it's1thing, because this may come up throughout3A. I don't think Your question is fully fleshed1thing, because this may come up throughout4don't think your question is fully fleshed3When speaking in terms of database5out. If can you rephrase, repeat it?3When speaking in terms of database6Q. Sure, sure.6database and "query work" to be you are7Tm starting at a very fundamental8Is that a fair usage?9A. Itage, and the studif we10is, now that I know what it is. So a11reference work is what is stored on the1312A. Okay.14Q. So that's the terminology I used.13so in a very general setting,1414right, you know, usually what a key1615over here, you know, usually what a key1716over here, you know, usually what a k		-		
20there.20Q. So each entry on the link list is associated with a single reference work or multiple reference works?21A. The key, the equivalent in my previous example are the numbers that we store in that, in that sorted array. Q. So a key is the entirety of the20A. What do you mean by "reference multiple reference works?23A. I don't think sorted array. Q. So a key is the entirety of the23A. What do you mean by "reference works"?24So a key is the entirety of the23A. What do you mean by "reference works"?25Q. So a key is the entirety of the23A. What do you mean by "reference works"?26So a key is the entirety of the23A. What do you mean by "reference works"?27reference that's served in the array, or util fire of the data.1thing, because this may come up throughout the day.3A. I don't think your question is fully fleshed out. If can you rephrase, repeat it? G Q. Sure, sure.3When speaking in terms of database the day.4goint, which is to understand what exactly the key represents in reference to or in trans.8Is that a fair usage?4right, you know, it's for all the stuff we tide with the patents that we're discussing to ver here, you know, usually what a key to represents is some way of describing, you to we, the data can be the key itself.134ke key is an end file with the data.20so that's the terminology I used.15So entimes the data can be the key itself. tike a key is an end file with the data.21<				
1Is key what is a key?21associated with a single reference work or multiple reference works?23A. The key, the equivalent in my previous example are the numbers that we store in that, in that sorted array.21associated with a single reference work or multiple reference works?23A. The key, the equivalent in my previous example are the numbers that we store in that, in that sorted array.23A. What do you mean by "reference works"?24Works"?23A. What do you mean by "reference works"?25Q. So a key is the entirety of the some representation thereof?Page 311reference that's served in the array, or it's some representation thereof?13A. I don't think I I fully I 4 don't think your question is fully fleshed14out. If can you rephrase, repeat it?36Q. Sure, sure.77Th starting at a very fundamental 8 point, which is to understand what exactly 9167The key represents in reference to or in 101011array.1112A. Okay.13So in a very general setting, represents is some way of describing, you like a key is an end file with the data.16over here, you know, usually what a key represents the data can be the key itself. 2016kew is an end file with the data.17Right? Sometimes the kata can be the key is just a unique 3018kew is an end file with the data.19like a key is an end file with the data. <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
<ul> <li>A. The key, the equivalent in my previous example are the numbers that we store in that, in that sorted array.</li> <li>Q. So a key is the entirety of the</li> <li>Q. So a key is the entirety of the</li> <li>Page 31</li> <li>reference that's served in the array, or it's some representation thereof?</li> <li>A. I don't think I I fully I</li> <li>don't think your question is fully fleshed out. If can you rephrase, repeat it?</li> <li>Q. Sure, sure.</li> <li>The starting at a very fundamental</li> <li>point, which is to understand what exactly</li> <li>the key represents in reference to or in relation to the items that are stored in this array.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>So in a very general setting,</li> <li>right, you know, it's for all the stuff we</li> <li>did with the patents that we're discussing</li> <li>over here, you know, usually what a key</li> <li>represents is some way of describing, you</li> <li>know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>Kinght? Sometimes the data.</li> <li>Kinght? Sometimes the key is just a unique</li> <li>identifier of the data.</li> <li>So what you store in the array or</li> </ul> <ul> <li>22 multiple reference works?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by "reference works?</li> <li>A. Haray.</li> <li>B. The array.</li> <li>C. So that's the terminology I used.</li> <li>Do you feel it's accurate from the standpoint of a person skilled in the art?</li> <li>A. I think it does make sense, but</li> <li>beyond the type of terms that people, we use</li> <li>in a normal setting. So in the normal</li> <li>setting someone will use a database entry, you know, and query.</li> <li>Q. I'm not trying to quibble over the</li> <li>terminology. I just want to make sure we're</li> <li>speaking the same language.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>previous example are the numbers that we store in that, in that sorted array.</li> <li>Q. So a key is the entirety of the</li> <li>Page 31</li> <li>reference that's served in the array, or it's some representation thereof?</li> <li>A. I don't think I I fully I</li> <li>don't think your question is fully fleshed</li> <li>don't think your question is fully fleshed</li> <li>out. If can you rephrase, repeat it?</li> <li>Q. Sure, sure.</li> <li>T'm starting at a very fundamental</li> <li>point, which is to understand what exactly</li> <li>the key represents in reference to or in</li> <li>refetence work.</li> <li>a. A. Okay.</li> <li>So in a very general setting,</li> <li>right, you know, it's for all the stuff we</li> <li>di with the patents that we're discussing</li> <li>over here, you know, usually what a key</li> <li>represents is some way of describing, you</li> <li>know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>Kinght? Sometimes the data can be the key its just a unique</li> <li>kinght? Sometimes the data.</li> <li>So what you store in the array or</li> <li>So what you store in the array or</li> </ul>				
24store in that, in that sorted array.24works"?25Q. So a key is the entirety of the25Q. Let's establish another terminology26So a key is the entirety of the25Q. Let's establish another terminology27reference that's served in the array, or it's3A. I don't think I I fully I3A. I don't think your question is fully fleshed1thing, because this may come up throughout4don't think your question is fully fleshed3When speaking in terms of database5out. If can you rephrase, repeat it?3When speaking in terms of database6Q. Sure, sure.3Work" to refer to what is stored in the7I'm starting at a very fundamentalpoint, which is to understand what exactly8Is that a fair usage?9the key represents in reference to or in10is, now that I know what it is. So a11reference work is what is stored on the12A. Okay.1113So in a very general setting,14right, you know, it's for all the stuff we15did with the patents that we're discussing16over here, you know, usually what a key17represents is some way of describing, you18know, the data they would like to store. So19usually you talk about a key value pairs, or10like a key is an end file with the data.12So what you store in the array or13Right? Sometimes the data can be the key is just a unique <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td></t<>				•
25Q. So a key is the entirety of the25Q. Let's establish another terminologyPage 31Page 31Page 331reference that's served in the array, or it's1thing, because this may come up throughout2some representation thereof?2the day.3A. I don't think I I fully I3When speaking in terms of database4don't think your question is fully fleshed3When speaking in terms of database5out. If can you rephrase, repeat it?6database and "query work" to refere to what is stored in the6Q. Sure, sure.7Tm starting at a very fundamental88point, which is to understand what exactly6database and "query work" to be you are9the key represents in reference to or in10is, now that I know what it is. So a11array.11reference work is what is stored on the12A. Okay.11reference work is what is stored on the13go in a very general setting,12Q. So that's the terminology I used.14right, you know, it's for all the stuff we15Do you feel it's accurate from the15did with the patents that we're discussing16standpoint of a person skilled in the art?16wow, the data they would like to store. So18beyond the type of terms that people, we use18know, the data can be the key is just a unique20setting someone will use a database entry,20kk wis is an end file with the data. <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
Page 31Page 31Page 31Page 32Page 33Some representation thereof?2A. I don't think I I fully Idon't think your question is fully flesheddon't think gout a very fundamentalfundamentalfundamentalfundamentalfundamentalfundamentalfundamentalfundamentalfundamentalfundamentalfundamental<				
1reference that's served in the array, or it's some representation thereof?1thing, because this may come up throughout the day.2Some representation thereof?3A. I don't think I I fully I don't think your question is fully fleshed out. If can you rephrase, repeat it? Q. Sure, sure.3When speaking in terms of database searching, I at least use the term "reference work" to refer to what is stored in the database and "query work" to be you are trying to find in the database.7I'm starting at a very fundamental point, which is to understand what exactly the key represents in reference to or in relation to the items that are stored in this array.Is that a fair usage?9A. Okay.Is that a fair usage?11right, you know, it's for all the stuff we did with the patents that we're discussing over here, you know, usually what a key represents is some way of describing, you lk know, the data they would like to store. So usually you talk about a key value pairs, or usually you talk about a key value pairs, or usually you talk about a key is just a unique identifier of the data.124So what you store in the array or21	23	Q. So a key is the entirety of the	23	Q. Let's establish another terminology
<ul> <li>2 some representation thereof?</li> <li>3 A. I don't think I I fully I</li> <li>4 don't think your question is fully fleshed</li> <li>5 out. If can you rephrase, repeat it?</li> <li>6 Q. Sure, sure.</li> <li>7 I'm starting at a very fundamental</li> <li>8 point, which is to understand what exactly</li> <li>9 the key represents in reference to or in</li> <li>10 relation to the items that are stored in this</li> <li>array.</li> <li>12 A. Okay.</li> <li>13 So in a very general setting,</li> <li>14 right, you know, it's for all the stuff we</li> <li>15 did with the patents that we're discussing</li> <li>16 over here, you know, usually what a key</li> <li>17 represents is some way of describing, you</li> <li>18 know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>19 usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>10 like a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>12 Sometimes the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>13 Sometimes the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>14 Sometimes the data.</li> <li>24 So what you store in the array or</li> <li>24 So what you store in the array or</li> <li>24 So what you store in the array or</li> <li>25 the day.</li> <li>26 the day.</li> <li>27 the day.</li> <li>28 the day.</li> <li>30 When speaking in terms of database</li> <li>31 searching, I at least use the term "reference</li> <li>32 work" to refer to what is stored in the</li> <li>34 database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>35 ob in a very general setting,</li> <li>36 right? Sometimes the key is just a unique</li> <li>37 detabase.</li> <li>38 detabase.</li> <li>39 detabase.</li> <li>30 you fael it's accurate from the</li> <li>31 anormal setting. So in the normal</li> <li>32 setting someone will use a database entry,</li> <li>36 you know, and query.</li> <li>37 detabase.</li> <li>39 detabase.</li> <li>30 you know, and query.</li> <li>30 detabase.</li> <li>31 detabase.</li> <li>32 detabase.</li> <li>33 detabase.</li> <li>34 detabase.</li> <li>34 detabase.</li> <li>35 detabase.</li> <li< td=""><td>1</td><td>6</td><td>1</td><td>6</td></li<></ul>	1	6	1	6
<ul> <li>A. I don't think I I fully I</li> <li>don't think your question is fully fleshed</li> <li>out. If can you rephrase, repeat it?</li> <li>Q. Sure, sure.</li> <li>T im starting at a very fundamental</li> <li>point, which is to understand what exactly</li> <li>the key represents in reference to or in</li> <li>relation to the items that are stored in this</li> <li>array.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>So in a very general setting,</li> <li>right, you know, it's for all the stuff we</li> <li>did with the patents that we're discussing</li> <li>over here, you know, usually what a key</li> <li>represents is some way of describing, you</li> <li>know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>like a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>Sometimes the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>Right? Sometimes the kata.</li> <li>You know, atd you store in the array or</li> <li>So what you store in the array or</li> <li>Mathematical state of the data.</li> <li>You know, and query.</li> <li>You know and page.</li> </ul>		-		
4don't think your question is fully fleshed4searching, I at least use the term "reference5out. If can you rephrase, repeat it?6work" to refer to what is stored in the6Q. Sure, sure.6database and "query work" to be you are7I'm starting at a very fundamental7trying to find in the database.8point, which is to understand what exactly8Is that a fair usage?9the key represents in reference to or in9A. I mean, that's your usage, which10relation to the items that are stored in this10is, now that I know what it is. So a11array.11reference work is what is stored on the12A. Okay.12database. A query work is what you use to13goin a very general setting,14Q. So that's the terminology I used.14right, you know, it's for all the stuff we16So that's the terminology I used.15over here, you know, usually what a key16So that's the terminology I used.16over here, you know, usually what a key16Standpoint of a person skilled in the art?17A. I think it does make sense, but18beyond the type of terms that people, we use18beyond the type of terms that people, we use10setting someone will use a database entry,10you know, and query.20I'm not trying to quibble over the12terminology. I just want to make sure we're24So what you store in the array or				•
<ul> <li>5 out. If can you rephrase, repeat it?</li> <li>Q. Sure, sure.</li> <li>I'm starting at a very fundamental</li> <li>point, which is to understand what exactly</li> <li>9 the key represents in reference to or in</li> <li>relation to the items that are stored in this</li> <li>array.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>So in a very general setting,</li> <li>right, you know, it's for all the stuff we</li> <li>did with the patents that we're discussing</li> <li>over here, you know, usually what a key</li> <li>represents is some way of describing, you</li> <li>know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>Kight? Sometimes the data.</li> <li>So what you store in the array or</li> <li>So wat you store in the array or</li> <li>So what you store in the array or</li> <li>So wat you store in the array or</li> </ul>				
6Q. Sure, sure.6database and "query work" to be you are7I'm starting at a very fundamental7trying to find in the database.8point, which is to understand what exactly9the key represents in reference to or in9the key represents in reference to or in10relation to the items that are stored in this11array.9A. Okay.12A. Okay.11reference work is what is stored on the13So in a very general setting,12database. A query work is what you use to14right, you know, it's for all the stuff we14Q. So that's the terminology I used.15did with the patents that we're discussing16So that's the terminology I used.16over here, you know, usually what a key16Standpoint of a person skilled in the art?17A. I think it does make sense, but18beyond the type of terms that people, we use18beyond the type of terms that people, we use19in a normal setting. So in the normal19ia anormal setting. So in the normal20setting someone will use a database entry,20like a key is an end file with the data.21Q. I'm not trying to quibble over the21So what you store in the array or22Q. I'm not trying to quibble over the24So what you store in the array or24speaking the same language.				
<ul> <li>7 I'm starting at a very fundamental</li> <li>8 point, which is to understand what exactly</li> <li>9 the key represents in reference to or in</li> <li>10 relation to the items that are stored in this</li> <li>11 array.</li> <li>12 A. Okay.</li> <li>13 So in a very general setting,</li> <li>14 right, you know, it's for all the stuff we</li> <li>15 did with the patents that we're discussing</li> <li>16 over here, you know, usually what a key</li> <li>17 represents is some way of describing, you</li> <li>18 know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>19 usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>10 like a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>20 like a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>21 Sometimes the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>22 Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique</li> <li>23 identifier of the data.</li> <li>24 So what you store in the array or</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>8 point, which is to understand what exactly</li> <li>9 the key represents in reference to or in</li> <li>10 relation to the items that are stored in this</li> <li>11 array.</li> <li>12 A. Okay.</li> <li>13 So in a very general setting,</li> <li>14 right, you know, it's for all the stuff we</li> <li>15 did with the patents that we're discussing</li> <li>16 over here, you know, usually what a key</li> <li>17 represents is some way of describing, you</li> <li>18 know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>19 usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>10 like a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>20 like a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>21 Sometimes the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>22 Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique</li> <li>23 identifier of the data.</li> <li>24 So what you store in the array or</li> </ul> <ul> <li>8 Is that a fair usage?</li> <li>9 A. I mean, that's your usage, which</li> <li>10 is, now that I know what it is. So a</li> <li>11 reference work is what is stored on the</li> <li>12 database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>13 query, right?</li> <li>14 Q. So that's the terminology I used.</li> <li>15 Do you feel it's accurate from the</li> <li>16 standpoint of a person skilled in the art?</li> <li>17 A. I think it does make sense, but</li> <li>18 beyond the type of terms that people, we use</li> <li>19 in a normal setting. So in the normal</li> <li>20 setting someone will use a database entry,</li> <li>21 you know, and query.</li> <li>22 Q. I'm not trying to quibble over the</li> <li>23 terminology. I just want to make sure we're</li> <li>24 So what you store in the array or</li> </ul>		-		
<ul> <li>9 the key represents in reference to or in</li> <li>9 A. I mean, that's your usage, which</li> <li>10 relation to the items that are stored in this</li> <li>11 array.</li> <li>12 A. Okay.</li> <li>13 So in a very general setting,</li> <li>14 right, you know, it's for all the stuff we</li> <li>15 did with the patents that we're discussing</li> <li>16 over here, you know, usually what a key</li> <li>17 represents is some way of describing, you</li> <li>18 know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>19 usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>10 is, now that I know what it is. So a</li> <li>11 reference work is what is stored on the</li> <li>12 database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>13 query, right?</li> <li>14 Q. So that's the terminology I used.</li> <li>15 Do you feel it's accurate from the</li> <li>16 standpoint of a person skilled in the art?</li> <li>17 A. I think it does make sense, but</li> <li>18 beyond the type of terms that people, we use</li> <li>19 in a normal setting. So in the normal</li> <li>20 setting someone will use a database entry,</li> <li>21 you know, and query.</li> <li>22 Q. I'm not trying to quibble over the</li> <li>23 identifier of the data.</li> <li>24 So what you store in the array or</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>relation to the items that are stored in this</li> <li>array.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>So in a very general setting,</li> <li>right, you know, it's for all the stuff we</li> <li>did with the patents that we're discussing</li> <li>over here, you know, usually what a key</li> <li>represents is some way of describing, you</li> <li>know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>know, the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>Sometimes the data can be the key is just a unique</li> <li>identifier of the data.</li> <li>So what you store in the array or</li> <li>relation to the items that are stored in this</li> <li>is, now that I know what it is. So a</li> <li>is, now that I know what it is. So a</li> <li>is, now that I know what it is. So a</li> <li>reference work is what is stored on the</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>query, right?</li> <li>Q. So that's the terminology I used.</li> <li>Do you feel it's accurate from the</li> <li>standpoint of a person skilled in the art?</li> <li>A. I think it does make sense, but</li> <li>beyond the type of terms that people, we use</li> <li>in a normal setting. So in the normal</li> <li>setting someone will use a database entry,</li> <li>you know, and query.</li> <li>Q. I'm not trying to quibble over the</li> <li>terminology. I just want to make sure we're</li> <li>speaking the same language.</li> </ul>				
11array.11reference work is what is stored on the12A. Okay.11reference work is what is stored on the13So in a very general setting,12database. A query work is what you use to13right, you know, it's for all the stuff we14Q. So that's the terminology I used.15did with the patents that we're discussing16Do you feel it's accurate from the16over here, you know, usually what a key16standpoint of a person skilled in the art?17represents is some way of describing, you18beyond the type of terms that people, we use18know, the data they would like to store. So18beyond the type of terms that people, we use19usually you talk about a key value pairs, or19in a normal setting. So in the normal20like a key is an end file with the data.20setting someone will use a database entry,21Sometimes the data can be the key itself.21you know, and query.22Q. I'm not trying to quibble over the23terminology. I just want to make sure we're24So what you store in the array or24				
<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>So in a very general setting,</li> <li>right, you know, it's for all the stuff we</li> <li>did with the patents that we're discussing</li> <li>over here, you know, usually what a key</li> <li>represents is some way of describing, you</li> <li>know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>like a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>Sometimes the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique</li> <li>So what you store in the array or</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>database. A query work is what you use to</li> <li>duery, right?</li> <li>duery, right?</li> <li>A. I think it does make sense, but</li> <li>beyond the type of terms that people, we use</li> <li>in a normal setting. So in the normal</li> <li>you know, and query.</li> <li>Q. I'</li></ul>				
<ul> <li>So in a very general setting,</li> <li>right, you know, it's for all the stuff we</li> <li>did with the patents that we're discussing</li> <li>over here, you know, usually what a key</li> <li>represents is some way of describing, you</li> <li>know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>like a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>Sometimes the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique</li> <li>dentifier of the data.</li> <li>So what you store in the array or</li> <li>So what you store in the array or</li> </ul>		•		
<ul> <li>right, you know, it's for all the stuff we</li> <li>did with the patents that we're discussing</li> <li>over here, you know, usually what a key</li> <li>represents is some way of describing, you</li> <li>know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>like a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>Sometimes the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique</li> <li>So what you store in the array or</li> <li>Ye representation of a person skilled in the art?</li> <li>Do you feel it's accurate from the</li> <li>the a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>Sometimes the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique</li> <li>So what you store in the array or</li> <li>A. I think it does make sense, but</li> <li>Do you feel it's accurate from the</li> <li>the a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>Sometimes the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>So what you store in the array or</li> <li>A. I think it does make sense, but</li> <li>Beyond the type of terms that people, we use</li> <li>an onormal setting. So in the normal</li> <li>setting someone will use a database entry,</li> <li>you know, and query.</li> <li>I'm not trying to quibble over the</li> <li>terminology. I just want to make sure we're</li> <li>speaking the same language.</li> </ul>		•		
15did with the patents that we're discussing over here, you know, usually what a key represents is some way of describing, you know, the data they would like to store. So usually you talk about a key value pairs, or 2015Do you feel it's accurate from the standpoint of a person skilled in the art?16standpoint of a person skilled in the art?17A. I think it does make sense, but18beyond the type of terms that people, we use19in a normal setting. So in the normal20like a key is an end file with the data.21Sometimes the data can be the key itself.22Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique23identifier of the data.24So what you store in the array or				
<ul> <li>16 over here, you know, usually what a key</li> <li>17 represents is some way of describing, you</li> <li>18 know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>19 usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>20 like a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>21 Sometimes the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>22 Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique</li> <li>23 identifier of the data.</li> <li>24 So what you store in the array or</li> <li>16 standpoint of a person skilled in the art?</li> <li>17 A. I think it does make sense, but</li> <li>18 beyond the type of terms that people, we use</li> <li>19 in a normal setting. So in the normal</li> <li>20 setting someone will use a database entry,</li> <li>21 you know, and query.</li> <li>22 Q. I'm not trying to quibble over the</li> <li>23 terminology. I just want to make sure we're</li> <li>24 speaking the same language.</li> </ul>	14			
<ul> <li>represents is some way of describing, you</li> <li>know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>like a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>Sometimes the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique</li> <li>identifier of the data.</li> <li>So what you store in the array or</li> <li>If A. I think it does make sense, but</li> <li>Beyond the type of terms that people, we use</li> <li>n a normal setting. So in the normal</li> <li>setting someone will use a database entry,</li> <li>you know, and query.</li> <li>I'm not trying to quibble over the</li> <li>terminology. I just want to make sure we're</li> <li>speaking the same language.</li> </ul>	16			•
<ul> <li>know, the data they would like to store. So</li> <li>usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>like a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>Sometimes the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique</li> <li>identifier of the data.</li> <li>So what you store in the array or</li> </ul>	17			
<ul> <li>usually you talk about a key value pairs, or</li> <li>like a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>Sometimes the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique</li> <li>So what you store in the array or</li> <li>in a normal setting. So in the normal</li> <li>setting someone will use a database entry,</li> <li>you know, and query.</li> <li>I'm not trying to quibble over the</li> <li>terminology. I just want to make sure we're</li> <li>speaking the same language.</li> </ul>	18			
<ul> <li>20 like a key is an end file with the data.</li> <li>21 Sometimes the data can be the key itself.</li> <li>22 Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique identifier of the data.</li> <li>23 So what you store in the array or</li> <li>20 setting someone will use a database entry, you know, and query.</li> <li>21 you know, and query.</li> <li>22 Q. I'm not trying to quibble over the terminology. I just want to make sure we're speaking the same language.</li> </ul>	19	•		
21Sometimes the data can be the key itself.21you know, and query.22Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique22Q. I'm not trying to quibble over the23identifier of the data.23terminology. I just want to make sure we're24So what you store in the array or24speaking the same language.	20			-
22Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique identifier of the data.22Q. I'm not trying to quibble over the terminology. I just want to make sure we're 2423So what you store in the array or24So what you store in the array or	20	-		
23 identifier of the data.23 terminology. I just want to make sure we're24 So what you store in the array or24 speaking the same language.		Some million and same can be me hey mould		
24 So what you store in the array or 24 speaking the same language.	22	-	22	O. I m not trying to duitable over the
		Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique		
	22 23 24	Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique identifier of the data.	23	terminology. I just want to make sure we're
	23	Right? Sometimes the key is just a unique identifier of the data. So what you store in the array or	23 24	terminology. I just want to make sure we're speaking the same language.

9 (Pages 30 - 33)

Karypis

1	Page 34 were database entry?	1	Page 36 entries.
	A. Or database record.		Q. So I'm not sure I followed that
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. Or database record, and query?	23	
4	A. And the query.	4	last part. I had understood you to say you
5	Q. Okay. And I will try to stick to	5	compare the query key to each entry on the
6	that terminology throughout today's	6	link list to look for a match.
7	questioning.	7	Do I have that right?
8	A. Okay. So what was your question	8	A. The way the hash table querying
9	again?	9	works, like you apply the hash function, you
10	Q. Okay.	10	get a key; based on that key you go to that
11	So the question I posed, then, was	11	entry in the table, the table of link lists,
12	in the linked list that you were describing,	12	right, so you go to that entry in the table
13	is an individual entry on the linked list	13	that contains that specific link list, and
14	associated with a single database entry or a	14	then you traverse that link list.
15	multiple database entries?	15	Q. Okay. Now how is it that I go
16	A. So in the link list example that I	16	about finding the right entry in the table to
17	gave you usually each entry in the link list	17	then traverse the link list?
18	is associated with a single database entry.	18	A. So I have the hash function that
19	Q. So this hash table search process	19	takes what's called the key or the integer
20	that you're describing, then, the query is	20	that describes that key and maps it into a
21	compared to each entry on the link list to	21	range from one to the length of the hash
22	determine whether there is a match; is that	22	table.
23	correct?	23	Q. Have you personally designed
24	A. In the way I described it, if you	24	software systems that use this kind of hash
25	implement a link list in a standard way, yes.	25	table?
	Page 35		Page 37
1	Q. And if a match is found, what	1	A. Yes, I have.
2	happens at that point?	2	Q. And did you do so in or before
3	A. If a match is found, so you either	3	2000?
4	return to a match is found; or if there is	4	A. Yes, I have.
5	data associated with that record, you know,	5	Q. So we've discussed hash tables and
6	you return the data as well.	6	binary searches.
7	Q. And this is a search process that	7	Are there any other forms of
8	you would characterize as a non-exhaustive	8	non-exhaustive searching you were aware of in
9	process?	9	or before 2000?
10	A. That would be a non-exhaustive	10	A. There are other methods of
11	search.	11	non-exhaustive searches that have been
17		12	developed prior to 2000. I believe the Cox
	Q. What is it about the search that		
13	renders it non-exhaustive?	13	patents describe some of those methods. I
13 14	renders it non-exhaustive? A. So the key element that makes a	14	believe they talk about the k-d trees as an
12 13 14 15	renders it non-exhaustive? A. So the key element that makes a search to be non-exhaustive is if you think	14 15	believe they talk about the k-d trees as an example of a non-exhaustive search. They
13 14 15 16	renders it non-exhaustive? A. So the key element that makes a search to be non-exhaustive is if you think of the hash table in which every row of that	14 15 16	believe they talk about the k-d trees as an example of a non-exhaustive search. They talk about clustering as an example of a
13 14 15 16 17	renders it non-exhaustive? A. So the key element that makes a search to be non-exhaustive is if you think of the hash table in which every row of that table has a link list associated with it, you	14 15 16 17	believe they talk about the k-d trees as an example of a non-exhaustive search. They talk about clustering as an example of a non-exhaustive search. And then they give a
13 14 15 16 17 18	renders it non-exhaustive? A. So the key element that makes a search to be non-exhaustive is if you think of the hash table in which every row of that table has a link list associated with it, you know, as a result of applying the hash	14 15 16 17 18	believe they talk about the k-d trees as an example of a non-exhaustive search. They talk about clustering as an example of a non-exhaustive search. And then they give a bunch of other examples, too.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	renders it non-exhaustive? A. So the key element that makes a search to be non-exhaustive is if you think of the hash table in which every row of that table has a link list associated with it, you know, as a result of applying the hash function right away narrows down to one of	14 15 16 17 18 19	believe they talk about the k-d trees as an example of a non-exhaustive search. They talk about clustering as an example of a non-exhaustive search. And then they give a bunch of other examples, too. Q. So I should have asked, in the hash
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	renders it non-exhaustive? A. So the key element that makes a search to be non-exhaustive is if you think of the hash table in which every row of that table has a link list associated with it, you know, as a result of applying the hash function right away narrows down to one of those link lists, and I would search within	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	believe they talk about the k-d trees as an example of a non-exhaustive search. They talk about clustering as an example of a non-exhaustive search. And then they give a bunch of other examples, too. Q. So I should have asked, in the hash tables
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	renders it non-exhaustive? A. So the key element that makes a search to be non-exhaustive is if you think of the hash table in which every row of that table has a link list associated with it, you know, as a result of applying the hash function right away narrows down to one of those link lists, and I would search within that link list. So I never search the rest	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	believe they talk about the k-d trees as an example of a non-exhaustive search. They talk about clustering as an example of a non-exhaustive search. And then they give a bunch of other examples, too. Q. So I should have asked, in the hash tables MR. NEMEC: Strike that.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	renders it non-exhaustive? A. So the key element that makes a search to be non-exhaustive is if you think of the hash table in which every row of that table has a link list associated with it, you know, as a result of applying the hash function right away narrows down to one of those link lists, and I would search within that link list. So I never search the rest of the video clips in the hash table, that	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	believe they talk about the k-d trees as an example of a non-exhaustive search. They talk about clustering as an example of a non-exhaustive search. And then they give a bunch of other examples, too. Q. So I should have asked, in the hash tables MR. NEMEC: Strike that. Q. In the software systems you
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	renders it non-exhaustive? A. So the key element that makes a search to be non-exhaustive is if you think of the hash table in which every row of that table has a link list associated with it, you know, as a result of applying the hash function right away narrows down to one of those link lists, and I would search within that link list. So I never search the rest of the video clips in the hash table, that are associated with the different entries.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	believe they talk about the k-d trees as an example of a non-exhaustive search. They talk about clustering as an example of a non-exhaustive search. And then they give a bunch of other examples, too. Q. So I should have asked, in the hash tables MR. NEMEC: Strike that. Q. In the software systems you developed in or before 2000 that used hash
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	renders it non-exhaustive? A. So the key element that makes a search to be non-exhaustive is if you think of the hash table in which every row of that table has a link list associated with it, you know, as a result of applying the hash function right away narrows down to one of those link lists, and I would search within that link list. So I never search the rest of the video clips in the hash table, that	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	believe they talk about the k-d trees as an example of a non-exhaustive search. They talk about clustering as an example of a non-exhaustive search. And then they give a bunch of other examples, too. Q. So I should have asked, in the hash tables MR. NEMEC: Strike that. Q. In the software systems you

10 (Pages 34 - 37)

Karypis

		y pro	
	Page 38		Page 40
1	like a textbook data structure, to store key	1	that key that you obtain by applying that
2	value pairs. I believe the hash table that I	2	hash function. So let's call it original key
3	used is just to store the presence or absence	3	and derived key, right?
4	of a particular key, so.	4	Q. Okay.
5	Q. So was it for storing any	5	A. Go ahead.
6	particular type of data?	6	Q. The derived key being the hash?
7	What I mean by that is text as	7	A. Yes.
8	opposed to audio or video or something like	8	Q. And then this, the hash table
9	that.	9	lookup that was generally known as of 2000,
10	A. So the question has to do with the	10	what you were seeking to do was find an exact
11	software system that I designed?	11	match to your hash, correct?
12	Q. Correct.	12	A. No. The way hash tables are
13	A. The answer is they were not really	13	implemented in that link list what you
14	storing any data.	14	actually store is the original key.
15	Q. In or before 2000, did you ever use	15	Q. Okay. So in the lookup process are
16	a hash table to locate near matches to a key?	16	you looking to match identical keys?
17	A. No, I never did.	17	A. You when you compare the query
18	Q. Was it generally known to people	18	key to each of the record in the link list,
19 20	skilled in the art in or before 2000 that you	19 20	so you look to match the identical keys, you
20 21	could use hash tables to find a near match	20	know, in the original, of the original keys.
21 22	between a query key and a database reference? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.	21 22	Q. So in practice, a non-exhaustive
			search would take the form of an algorithm
23 24	A. So the question is about finding a	23 24	implemented in the software, right?
24 25	near match, correct, between the query key and the database key, right.	24 25	<ul><li>A. I would expect so, yes.</li><li>Q. Dr. Cox doesn't disclose any</li></ul>
23		23	Q. DI. Cox doesn't disclose any
1	Page 39	1	Page 41
1	Q. Correct.	1	specific non-exhaustive search algorithms in
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	A. No, prior to 2000 there were some, you know, I would say early work or some work	2 3	his patents, does he? A. You mean he describes a bunch of
3			
4			
4	on using specifically design hash functions	4	non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume
5	on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like	4 5	non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?
5 6	on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that.	4 5 6	non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right? Q. He describes categories of
5 6 7	on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that. Q. But generally speaking, the key	4 5 6 7	non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right? Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?
5 6 7 8	on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that. Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash	4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li><li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li><li>A. I think he describes some specific</li></ul>
5 6 7 8 9	on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that. Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash table would be exact matching, as of 2000?	4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li><li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li><li>A. I think he describes some specific algorithms. I believe he talks about k-d</li></ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that.</li><li>Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash table would be exact matching, as of 2000?</li><li>A. That would be correct.</li></ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li><li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li><li>A. I think he describes some specific algorithms. I believe he talks about k-d trees and vantage point trees. So those are,</li></ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that.</li> <li>Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash table would be exact matching, as of 2000?</li> <li>A. That would be correct.</li> <li>Q. But an exact match between a query</li> </ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li> <li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li> <li>A. I think he describes some specific algorithms. I believe he talks about k-d trees and vantage point trees. So those are, you know, I mean they are specific</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that.</li> <li>Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash table would be exact matching, as of 2000?</li> <li>A. That would be correct.</li> <li>Q. But an exact match between a query key and a key in the database may not</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li> <li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li> <li>A. I think he describes some specific algorithms. I believe he talks about k-d trees and vantage point trees. So those are, you know, I mean they are specific algorithms.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that.</li> <li>Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash table would be exact matching, as of 2000?</li> <li>A. That would be correct.</li> <li>Q. But an exact match between a query key and a key in the database may not correspond to an exact match between the work</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li> <li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li> <li>A. I think he describes some specific algorithms. I believe he talks about k-d trees and vantage point trees. So those are, you know, I mean they are specific algorithms.</li> <li>Q. Understood. And a k-d tree, for</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that.</li> <li>Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash table would be exact matching, as of 2000?</li> <li>A. That would be correct.</li> <li>Q. But an exact match between a query key and a key in the database may not correspond to an exact match between the work from which the key was derived, correct?</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li> <li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li> <li>A. I think he describes some specific algorithms. I believe he talks about k-d trees and vantage point trees. So those are, you know, I mean they are specific algorithms.</li> <li>Q. Understood. And a k-d tree, for example, could be implemented in a variety of</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that.</li> <li>Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash table would be exact matching, as of 2000?</li> <li>A. That would be correct.</li> <li>Q. But an exact match between a query key and a key in the database may not correspond to an exact match between the work from which the key was derived, correct?</li> <li>A. If I understood your question</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li> <li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li> <li>A. I think he describes some specific algorithms. I believe he talks about k-d trees and vantage point trees. So those are, you know, I mean they are specific algorithms.</li> <li>Q. Understood. And a k-d tree, for example, could be implemented in a variety of ways, right?</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that.</li> <li>Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash table would be exact matching, as of 2000?</li> <li>A. That would be correct.</li> <li>Q. But an exact match between a query key and a key in the database may not correspond to an exact match between the work from which the key was derived, correct?</li> <li>A. If I understood your question correctly, what you're asking is a fall line,</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li> <li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li> <li>A. I think he describes some specific algorithms. I believe he talks about k-d trees and vantage point trees. So those are, you know, I mean they are specific algorithms.</li> <li>Q. Understood. And a k-d tree, for example, could be implemented in a variety of ways, right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that.</li> <li>Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash table would be exact matching, as of 2000?</li> <li>A. That would be correct.</li> <li>Q. But an exact match between a query key and a key in the database may not correspond to an exact match between the work from which the key was derived, correct?</li> <li>A. If I understood your question correctly, what you're asking is a fall line, is actually, repeat your question, because</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li> <li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li> <li>A. I think he describes some specific algorithms. I believe he talks about k-d trees and vantage point trees. So those are, you know, I mean they are specific algorithms.</li> <li>Q. Understood. And a k-d tree, for example, could be implemented in a variety of ways, right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Dr. Cox doesn't disclose any</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that. Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash table would be exact matching, as of 2000? A. That would be correct. Q. But an exact match between a query key and a key in the database may not correspond to an exact match between the work from which the key was derived, correct? A. If I understood your question correctly, what you're asking is a fall line, is actually, repeat your question, because I don't think I understood your question.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li> <li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li> <li>A. I think he describes some specific algorithms. I believe he talks about k-d trees and vantage point trees. So those are, you know, I mean they are specific algorithms.</li> <li>Q. Understood. And a k-d tree, for example, could be implemented in a variety of ways, right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Dr. Cox doesn't disclose any specific way of implementing a k-d tree, for</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that.</li> <li>Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash table would be exact matching, as of 2000?</li> <li>A. That would be correct.</li> <li>Q. But an exact match between a query key and a key in the database may not correspond to an exact match between the work from which the key was derived, correct?</li> <li>A. If I understood your question correctly, what you're asking is a fall line, is actually, repeat your question, because I don't think I understood your question.</li> <li>Q. Sure. The key, the key, and I'll</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li> <li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li> <li>A. I think he describes some specific algorithms. I believe he talks about k-d trees and vantage point trees. So those are, you know, I mean they are specific algorithms.</li> <li>Q. Understood. And a k-d tree, for example, could be implemented in a variety of ways, right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Dr. Cox doesn't disclose any specific way of implementing a k-d tree, for example, right?</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that.</li> <li>Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash table would be exact matching, as of 2000?</li> <li>A. That would be correct.</li> <li>Q. But an exact match between a query key and a key in the database may not correspond to an exact match between the work from which the key was derived, correct?</li> <li>A. If I understood your question correctly, what you're asking is a fall line, is actually, repeat your question, because I don't think I understood your question.</li> <li>Q. Sure. The key, the key, and I'll back up a little bit. The key is derived</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li> <li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li> <li>A. I think he describes some specific algorithms. I believe he talks about k-d trees and vantage point trees. So those are, you know, I mean they are specific algorithms.</li> <li>Q. Understood. And a k-d tree, for example, could be implemented in a variety of ways, right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Dr. Cox doesn't disclose any specific way of implementing a k-d tree, for example, right?</li> <li>A. I don't recall if he does.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that. Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash table would be exact matching, as of 2000? A. That would be correct. Q. But an exact match between a query key and a key in the database may not correspond to an exact match between the work from which the key was derived, correct? A. If I understood your question correctly, what you're asking is a fall line, is actually, repeat your question, because I don't think I understood your question. Q. Sure. The key, the key, and I'll back up a little bit. The key is derived from a larger set of data, correct?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li> <li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li> <li>A. I think he describes some specific algorithms. I believe he talks about k-d trees and vantage point trees. So those are, you know, I mean they are specific algorithms.</li> <li>Q. Understood. And a k-d tree, for example, could be implemented in a variety of ways, right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Dr. Cox doesn't disclose any specific way of implementing a k-d tree, for example, right?</li> <li>A. I don't recall if he does.</li> <li>Q. He doesn't disclose a specific way</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that. Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash table would be exact matching, as of 2000? A. That would be correct. Q. But an exact match between a query key and a key in the database may not correspond to an exact match between the work from which the key was derived, correct? A. If I understood your question correctly, what you're asking is a fall line, is actually, repeat your question, because I don't think I understood your question. Q. Sure. The key, the key, and I'll back up a little bit. The key is derived from a larger set of data, correct? A. Again, there are two keys that we	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li> <li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li> <li>A. I think he describes some specific algorithms. I believe he talks about k-d trees and vantage point trees. So those are, you know, I mean they are specific algorithms.</li> <li>Q. Understood. And a k-d tree, for example, could be implemented in a variety of ways, right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Dr. Cox doesn't disclose any specific way of implementing a k-d tree, for example, right?</li> <li>A. I don't recall if he does.</li> <li>Q. He doesn't disclose a specific way of implementing any other non-exhaustive</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that.</li> <li>Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash table would be exact matching, as of 2000?</li> <li>A. That would be correct.</li> <li>Q. But an exact match between a query key and a key in the database may not correspond to an exact match between the work from which the key was derived, correct?</li> <li>A. If I understood your question correctly, what you're asking is a fall line, is actually, repeat your question, because I don't think I understood your question.</li> <li>Q. Sure. The key, the key, and I'll back up a little bit. The key is derived from a larger set of data, correct?</li> <li>A. Again, there are two keys that we are talking about here, right? So one is the</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li> <li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li> <li>A. I think he describes some specific algorithms. I believe he talks about k-d trees and vantage point trees. So those are, you know, I mean they are specific algorithms.</li> <li>Q. Understood. And a k-d tree, for example, could be implemented in a variety of ways, right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Dr. Cox doesn't disclose any specific way of implementing a k-d tree, for example, right?</li> <li>A. I don't recall if he does.</li> <li>Q. He doesn't disclose a specific way of implementing any other non-exhaustive algorithm, right?</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on using specifically design hash functions that would allow you to do something like that. Q. But generally speaking, the key matching that would take place in the hash table would be exact matching, as of 2000? A. That would be correct. Q. But an exact match between a query key and a key in the database may not correspond to an exact match between the work from which the key was derived, correct? A. If I understood your question correctly, what you're asking is a fall line, is actually, repeat your question, because I don't think I understood your question. Q. Sure. The key, the key, and I'll back up a little bit. The key is derived from a larger set of data, correct? A. Again, there are two keys that we	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>non-exhaustive search algorithms. I presume that means discloses right?</li> <li>Q. He describes categories of algorithms, correct?</li> <li>A. I think he describes some specific algorithms. I believe he talks about k-d trees and vantage point trees. So those are, you know, I mean they are specific algorithms.</li> <li>Q. Understood. And a k-d tree, for example, could be implemented in a variety of ways, right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Dr. Cox doesn't disclose any specific way of implementing a k-d tree, for example, right?</li> <li>A. I don't recall if he does.</li> <li>Q. He doesn't disclose a specific way of implementing any other non-exhaustive</li> </ul>

11 (Pages 38 - 41)

		J P 10	
1	Page 42	1	Page 44
1	Q. Do you understand Dr. Cox to have	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	right, within a song that the query matches,
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	disclosed any new type of non-exhaustive	23	right, then, you know, that segment would be
3	search algorithm?	4	the result of the query.
4	A. I do not recall Dr. Cox disclosing	5	Q. In your experience have you ever
5	any new algorithm for a non-exhaustive search.		heard a search that looks at every entry in a
6		6	reference database characterized as a
7	Q. You understand that the Patent	7	non-exhaustive search?
8	Trial and Appeal Board has proposed a	8	MR. LUNER: Can you repeat the
9	construction of the term "non-exhaustive" for	9	question?
10	use in these proceedings, correct?	10	MR. NEMEC: Sure.
11	A. Correct.	11	Q. In your experience, have you ever
12	Q. And the board has stated that "The	12	heard a search that looks at every entry in a
13	non-exhaustive search should be construed as	13	reference database characterized as a
14	a search that locates a match without	14	non-exhaustive search?
15	comparison to all possible matches," right?	15	A. So if the goal of that query was to
16	I think you might be looking for	16	return an entry in the database as a result,
17	the chart of the constructions in your	17	like, what you described is an exhaustive
18	report. It's	18	search.
19	A. It's page 39.	19	Q. So you think a person of ordinary
20	Q. Yes. That's the one you're looking	20	skill in the art would be incorrect to
21	for?	21	characterize a system that looks at every
22	A. Yes.	22	entry in the database as a non-exhaustive
23	So I believe you said a search that	23	search?
24	locates a match without a comparison of all	24	A. So again, if the result of that
25	possible matches, right? Yep.	25	query was to identify an entry in the
1	Page 43	1	Page 45
1 2	Q. What do you understand "possible matches" to mean in that definition?		database, so yes, that would be an incorrect characterization.
2 3		23	
4	A. So by possible matches in the definition my understanding of that would be	4	Q. And what if the search looked at
4 5	definition, my understanding of that would be what is the result of a query. I believe in	5	every entry in the database but only looked
6	both the Cox patents as well as some of the	6	at one byte of data in each entry in the database; would it still be correct to
	-		
7	patents that Ghias and Iwamura, the result of	7	characterize that as a non-exhaustive search?
8 9	the query is, let's say a record, is a malady. Bight So that part right is a	8	A. So a query that looks at every
9 10	melody. Right. So that part, right, is a possible match.	9	entry in the database, right, even if it only
	1	10	looks at a subset of the data in each entry
11 12	Q. So a possible match is something that would it's something in the data set	11 12	in the database, right, will still be an exhaustive search.
12 13	that would, it's something in the data set		
13 14	that would correspond to the query; is that	13	Q. And that's true even in the
14 15	an accurate way of putting?	14	circumstance where the query you are looking
15 16	A. I mean possible match is something	15	for in the database is one byte, and each
16 17	in the database that can potentially be	16	entry in the database is ten megabytes?
17	returned as an answer to a query. $\Omega$	17	A. Again, if the goal of the query was
	Q. So if we're querying a database of	18	to identify one of those records, right, so
19 20	songs, and the system returned a statement	19	the fact that it only compares one byte and still has to go through all the records in
20	that the query matched the last ten seconds	20 21	still has to go through all the records in
		1 / 1	the database, that still will be a
21	of a song in the database, then what would be		
21 22	the match?	22	non-exhaustive search excuse me, will
21 22 23	the match? MR. LUNER: Objection to the form.	22 23	non-exhaustive search excuse me, will still be an exhaustive search.
21 22	the match?	22	non-exhaustive search excuse me, will

12 (Pages 42 - 45)

Page 46Page 461is able to determine that 75 of the 1002A. Yes.3Q. You're confident that in all the4presentations you've given and papers you've4in a different way?7MR. LUNER: Objection, form.8A. It's hard for me to recall every9single apper 1 wrote and every presentation 110gave, but I believe to a large extent that's11my, you know, that's my definition of an12exhaustive search, the one that would search13every record, right.14Q. So it's possible that there may be15other ways in which you've used the term16"non-exhaustive" throughout your career; is17MR. LUNER: Objection, form.18for, right, if 1 applied exactly the same19A. I can be possible, but I don't20Do you believe a person skilled in21A. I can be possible, but I don't22Q. Do you believe a person skilled in23each entry is searched as non-exhaustive?24A. Okay.25Q. So the determination of which26Do you see that?27Q. And then you, say, "A28had been submitted before, vencel??29A. Heals way Indy bin your30declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.31an obe as ubmitted before, would have been32searched durin due comparison proces,"34A. Okay.35Do you see that?<		Ku	ypis	
2       A. Yes.'       2       records need not be searched?         3       Q. You're confident that in all the       4. Well, the algorithm will not         4       presentations you've given and papers you've       5         5       in a different way?       5         6       in a different way?       5         7       M.R. LUNER: Objection, form.       6         8       A. T's hard for me to recall every       5         9       single paper I wrote and every presentation I       7         10       gave, but I believe to a large extent that's       10         11       gave, but I believe to a large extent that's       11         12       exhaustive search, the one that would search       12         13       every record, right.       11       4. So in the bash table example that I         14       Q. So it's possible that there may be       16       7         15       otter ways in which you're used the term       17       8       A. Wel, the algorithm will not         16       onto be searched?       14       A. So in the bash table example that I         16       onto resultany other uses.       16       17       18       18       19       approach to generate the hash key, right, approtach to generate the hash ke		-		*
3Q. You're confident that in all the 4 presentations you've given and papers you've authored you've never defined non-exhaustive in a different way?3. A. Well, the algorithm will not necessarily determine 75 percent, but it will of the data will not need to be searched.6in a different way?67MR. LUNER: Objection, form. 1088A. It's hard for me to recall every 959single paper I wrote and every presentation 1 11910gave, but I belive to a large extent that's 1 11011Q. So its possible that there may be other ways in with you've used the term 161014Q. So its possible that there may be other ways in with you've used the term 171416"non-exhaustive" throughout your career; is 171417K. LUNER: Objection, form. 19A. It can be possible, but I don't 101619A. It can be possible, but I don't 121010Q. Do you believe a person skilled in declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1. A. Okay.1121A. Thelieve that would be incorrect. 2Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in your declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1. A. Okay.1121A. Thelieve that would be incorrect. 2Q. Bo you see that? 4231database.20No the same key that if any other record withich the same key that lowed to incorrect. 22Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in your declaration, if you would. Exhibit 1. A. Neas.1132O to that example to an				
4       presentations you've given and papers you've       4       necessarily determine 75 percent, but it will         5       authored you've never defined non-exhaustive       5       determine that, you know, a, potentially a         6       in a different way?       6       subset of the data will not need to be         7       MR. LUNER: Objection, form.       8       Q. In your example it was 75 of 100.         9       single paper 1 wrote and every presentation 1       0       Na ves.         10       gave, but I believe to a large extent that's       10       A. Yes.         12       exhaustive search, the one that would search       12       O. So how would the algorithm go about         12       exhaustive" throughout your career; is       10       Na trace hoe possible, but 1 don't         15       other ways in which you've used the term       15       other ways in which you've used the term         16       "mon-exhaustive" throughout your career; is       in a choe possible, but 1 don't       14       A. So in the hash table example that 1         17       P. Do you believe a person skilled in       19       approach that I outlined before, would have been         20       Do you believe a paragraph 80 in your       24       14       and tabase.       24         21       A. Teak a look at paragraph 80 in				
5       authored you've never defined non-exhaustive       5       determine that, you know, a, potentially a         6       in a different way?       5       determine that, you know, a, potentially a         7       MR, LUNEE: Objection, form.       8       Q. In your example it was 75 of 100.         9       single paper I wrote and every presentation I       9       That's why I used that.         10       gave, but I believe to a large extent that's       9       That's why I used that.         11       Q. So its possible that there may be       0       A. Yes.         12       other ways in which you've used the term       10       any the record, using the         16       "non-exhaustive" throughout your career; is       11       Q. So its possible, but I don't         13       MR, LUNEE: Objection, form.       14       A. It can be possible, but I don't         14       Q. Do you believe a person skilled in       14       approach to generate the hash key, right,         14       Do you seltieve a person skilled in       14       that would get me to a link list that I know         12       thad theat canon if you would, Ekhibit I.       4       A. Okay.         14       A. Okay.       Q. So the determination of which         15       Do you see that?       A. So the determination of wh		с.		
6in a different way? MR. LUNER: Objection, form.6subset of the data will not need to be searched.7MR. LUNER: Objection, form.9J. In your example it was 75 of 100.9single paper I wrote and every presentation I9That's why I used that.10gave, but I believe to a large extent that's0A. Yes.11q. So it's possible that there may be that which you're used the term inon-exhaustive "throughout your career; is that what you're saying?A. So in the hash table example that I gave you, so if I load the records, using the approach to generate the hash key, right, to recall any other uses.12Q. Do you believe a person skilled in each entry is searched but only a fraction of the data in each entry is searched but only a fraction of the data in each entry is searched as non-exhaustive?11A. I believe that would be incorrect. Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in your declaration, if you would, Exhibit I. A. Yes, I do.12M. A. Yes, I do.1records in a that what where not to look until we know what we're looking for, correct?1A. Yes, I add that you, say, "A 1110A. Yes. I' A. Yes, I read that Yes.2A. Yes, I read that Yes.103Q. In that example you refer to a intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records from the search such that only 25 would be account in deciding which entries to search action, if you would head that would head that in a would head as it aken into account in deciding which entries to search ad which not to search, correct?1A. Yes, I read that. Yes.10 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>•</td></t<>			1	•
7MR. LUNER: Objection, form.7searched.8A. It's hard for me to recall everyQ. In your example it was 75 of 100.10gave, but I believe to a large extent that's1011my, you know, that's my definition of an1112exhaustive search, the one that would search1013every record, right.1014Q. So it's possible that there may be1115other ways in which you've used the term1316'non-exhaustive' throughout your career; is1417that what you're saying?1418MR. LUNER: Objection, form.1719A. It can be possible, but I don't1920recall any other uses.1121Q. Do you believe a person skilled in1922approach to generate the hash tkey, right,23search where every enry in the database is24searche where every enry in the database is25Q. Do you believe a person shilled in26accharatrio, if you would, Exhibit 1.3A. I believe that would be incorrect.2Q. And then you, say, "A7A. Okay.7A. Disen the earch such that only 25 would be8Do you see that?9A. Yes, Irad.10Q. And then you, say, "A11non-exhaustive search could use an12bo you see that?13from the search such that only 25 would be14A. Yes, Irad that. Yes.15 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>		-		
8       A. It's hard for me to recall every       8       Q. In your example it was 75 of 100.         9       single paper I wrote and every presentation I       9       That's why lused that.         11       gave, but I believe to a large extent that's       11       A. It's may definition of an         12       exhaustive search, the one that would search       12       determining what subset of information need         12       exhaustive information of an       12       determining what subset of information need         14       Q. So it's possible that there may be       13       not be searche?         14       Q. So it's possible that there may be       14       A. So in the hash table example that I         15       other ways in which you've used the term       16       approach that I outlined before, then given a         16       "nor.exhaustive" into database is       searched but only a fraction of the data       approach that I outlined before, then given a         20       Do you believe a person skilled in       17       that would be incorrect.       18       for, right, if I applied exactly the same         21       that bear appargarph 80 in you       page 49       put in the same link list, so let me research         24       that would be incorrect.       1       records in the database can be excluded from       1		•	6	subset of the data will not need to be
<ul> <li>9 single paper I wrote and every presentation I</li> <li>10 gave, but I believe to a large extent that's</li> <li>11 mov, vou know, that's my definition of an</li> <li>12 exhaustive search, the one that would search</li> <li>13 or the same lank list is my definition of an</li> <li>14 Q. So it's possible that there may be</li> <li>15 other ways in which you've used the term</li> <li>16 'non-exhaustive' throughout your career; is</li> <li>17 that's why I used that.</li> <li>18 O. So how would the algorithm go about</li> <li>12 determining what subset of information need</li> <li>13 not be searched?</li> <li>14 A. So in the hash table example that I</li> <li>15 gave you, so if I load the records, using the</li> <li>16 approach that I outlined before, then given a</li> <li>19 approach to generate the hash key, right,</li> <li>10 Do you believe a person skilled in</li> <li>12 the art would be incorrect to characterize a</li> <li>14 A. I believe that would be incorrect.</li> <li>14 A. I believe that would be incorrect.</li> <li>15 Q. In that example you refer to a</li> <li>16 declaration, if you would, Exhibit I.</li> <li>16 A. Yes, I cad ther, you, say, "A</li> <li>17 database.</li> <li>18 Do you see that?</li> <li>19 A. Yes, I cad that. Yes.</li> <li>11 non-exhaustive search could use an</li> <li>12 intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records</li> <li>13 from the search such that only 25 would be</li> <li>14 A. Stes. Tim just reading this now.</li> <li>15 A. That is not the case, because the</li> <li>16 A. Yes. Tim just reading this now.</li> <li>17 Yes, I read that. Yes.</li> <li>18 Q. So in this example, how would the</li> <li>19 intelligent algorithm you were referring to</li> <li>19 og about excluding 75 records from the</li> <li>20 So in this example, how would the</li> <li>21 general terms, then, how is it</li> <li>22 Hat is not the case, because the</li> <li>23 you would be an example I just gave</li> <li>24 Q. In general terms, then, how is it</li> </ul>				
10       gave, but I believe to a large extent that's my, you know, that's my definition of an exhaustive search, but one that would search it       10       A. Yes.         11       my, you know, that's my definition of an every record, right.       10       A. Yes.         13       every record, right.       11       Q. So it's possible that there may be other ways in which you've used the term "non-exhaustive" throughout your career; is that what you're saying?       A. So in the hash table example that I gave you, soi I load the records, using the approach that I outlined before, then given a key that I would like to locate the record for, right, if I applied exactly the same approach to generate the hash key, right, that would get me to a link list that I know that would get me to a link list, so let me research that link list.         10       0 you believe a person skilled in each entry is searched as non-exhaustive?       10         11       Page 47       records in the database can be excluded from the satch entry is search dat an each entry is search dat paragraph 80 in your declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.       10         11       A. Okay.       Page 47         12       records in the database can be excluded from the search sud then you, say, "A non-exhaustive search could use an intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records in trelligent algorithm you were referring to go about excluding 75 records from the search?       10       A. Twe hash table example fust gave you, that, you know, I do not take into account the of every entry in the database is search itme to figure out what to search corect?         13<		A. It's hard for me to recall every		Q. In your example it was 75 of 100.
11Q. So how would the algorithm go about12every record, right.14Q. So it's possible that there may be15other ways in which you've used the term16"non-exhaustive" throughout your career; is17key that loudlined before, then given a18MR. LUNER: Objection, form.19A. It can be possible, but I don't20that would be incorrect to characterize a21each entry is searched but only a fraction of the data in22the art would be incorrect.24searched but only a fraction of the data in25Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in your26declaration, if you would, Exhibit I.27A. I believe that would be incorrect.2Q. In that example you refer to a3database.3bo you see that?9A. Yes, I do.10Q. And then you, say, "A11Do you see that?12intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records13from the search such that only 25 would be14A. Yes, I Tadi that. Yes, I'read that. Yes,15Do you see that?16A. Yes. I'read that. Yes,17Yes, I'read that. Yes,18Q. So in this example, how would the19inelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records10go so in this example, how would the11non-exhaustive search such that only 25 would be12inelligent algorithm you were referring to13go son this example, how would				•
12exhaustive search, the one that would search12determining what subset of information need not be searched?13every record, right.13information need not be searched?14A. So in the hash table example that I gave you, so if I load the records, using the approach that I outlined before, then given a approach that I outlined before, then given a approach to generate the hash key, right, that would be incorrect to characterize a searched but only a fraction of the data in 22A. It can be possible, but I don't to po you believe a person skilled in that art would be incorrect to characterize a searched but only a fraction of the data in 2410No <td< td=""><td>10</td><td>gave, but I believe to a large extent that's</td><td>10</td><td>A. Yes.</td></td<>	10	gave, but I believe to a large extent that's	10	A. Yes.
13every record, right.13not be searched?14Q. So it's possible that there may be other ways in which you've used the term 16The ways in which you've used the term 15A. So in the hash table example that I gave you, so if I load the records, using the approach that I outlined before, then given a that what you're saying?A. So in the hash table example that I gave you, so if I load the records, using the approach that I outlined before, then given a key that I would like to locate the record that would be incorrect to characterize a search where every entry in the database is searched but only a fraction of the data in 25The art would be incorrect to characterize a that would per uses.26A. I believe that would be incorrect. Q. In that example you refer to a database.Page 47 the attwe of the query, correct?7A. Okay.Yes, I do.9A. Yes, I do.Yes, I read that. Yes.9A. Yes. I'm just reading this now.N. Wow, I do not take into account the content of every entry in the database at search during the comparison process"N. So the determination, divel heat, Yes.16A. Yes. I'm just reading this now.N. Yes. I'm dust reading this now.N. Wow, I do not take into account the content of every entry in the database at search time to go about excluding 75 records from the search?N. The hash table example I just gave you would be an example of an algorithm.N. But you do take into account the content to the search court in the database at search time to gave out what to search, correct?8Ou you see that?N. The hash table example I just gave you would be an example on to is that ha		my, you know, that's my definition of an	11	Q. So how would the algorithm go about
14Q. So it's possible that there may be other ways in which you've used the term14A. So in the hash table example that I gave you, so if I load the records, using the approach that I outlined before, then given a key that I would like to locate the record16"non-exhaustive" throughout your career; is that what you're saying?17key that I would like to locate the record18MR. LUNER: Objection, form. recall any other uses.18for, right, if I applied exactly the same approach to generate the hash key, right, that would get me to a link list that I know that would get me to a link list that I know that would get me to a link list, so let me research approach to generate the hash key, right, that would get me to a link list, so let me research approach to generate the hash key, right, that would get me to a link list, so let me research approach to generate the hash key, right, that would get me to a link list, so let me research approach that I outlined before, would have been put in the same link list, so let me research approach that I mak list.20Do you believe a person skilled in the art would be incorrect. Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in your declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.174A. Okay.115Q. In that example you refer to a database.66searched during the comparison process."710Q. And then you, say, "A non-exhaustive search could use an tinelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records to must reading this now. Yes, I read that. Yes.1611intelligent algorithm you were referring to go uo would be an example 1 just gave you would be an example for an algorithm. 24<	12	exhaustive search, the one that would search	12	determining what subset of information need
15other ways in which you've used the term "non-exhaustive" throughout your career; is that what you're saying?15gave you, so if I load the records, using the approach that I outlined before, then given a key that I would like to locate the record17that what you're saying?17key that I would like to locate the record18MR. LUNER: Objection, form.18for, right, if I applied exactly the same approach to generate the hash key, right, 2020Do you believe a person skilled in the art would be incorrect to characterize a search where every entry in the database is each entry is searched as non-exhaustive?2121that if any other record with the same key that if any other record with the same key22had been submitted before, would have been 22 that if any other record with the same key23searched but only a fraction of the data in 242524achentry is searched as non-exhaustive?2525Q. So the determination of which t calcaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.254A. Okay.255Q. In that example you refer to a sittion where there are 100 records in a database.77Q. Because we can't know what we're looking for, correct?8Do you see that?9A. Yes, I do.11non-exhaustive search could use an intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records13account in deciding which entries to search a database.14A. See, I'm just reading this now. Yes, I read that. Yes.15Do you see that?16<	13	every record, right.	13	not be searched?
16"non-exhaustive" throughout your career; is that what you're saying?16approach that I outlined before, then given a key that I would like to locate the record18MR. LUNER: Objection, form. 19A. It can be possible, but I don't 2018for, right, if I applied exactly the same approach to generate the hash key, right, that would be incorrect to characterize a search where every entry in the database is searched but only a fraction of the data in 2518for, right, if I applied exactly the same approach to generate the hash key, right, that would get me to a link list that I know 212be and been submitted before, would have been put in the same link list, so let me research that link list.2cach where every entry in the database is searched but only a fraction of the data in each entry is searched as non-exhaustive?207A. I believe that would be incorrect. 27Q. So the determination of which trecords in the database can be excluded from the search is made, at least in part, based on the nature of the query, correct?7A. Okay.7Q. Because we can't know where not to to include, to exclude from the search could use an 1110Q. And then you, say, "A 1110A. I would think so, yeah.1110Q. And then you, say, "A 1113from the search could use an inform the search could use an 1214searched during the comparison process." 1515Do you see that?16A. Yes, Ir ad that. Yes.17Yes, I read that. Yes.18Q. So in this example, how would the	14	Q. So it's possible that there may be	14	A. So in the hash table example that I
17that what you're saying?17key that I would like to locate the record18MR. LUNER: Objection, form.19A. It can be possible, but I don't19for, right, if I applied exactly the same19A. It can be possible, but I don't19approach to generate the hash key, right,20the art would be incorrect to characterize a20that would get me to a link list that I know21Q. Do you believe a person skilled in10that would get me to a link list that I know22the art would be incorrect to characterize a20that would before, would have been23searched but only a fraction of the data21that link list.25each entry is searched as non-exhaustive?25Q. So the determination of which26Take a look at paragraph 80 in your25the same in bat, based3declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.3on the nature of the query, correct?4A. Okay.4So the determination of which5Q. In that example you refer to a5corrects in ta function of the query.6satabase.7Q. Because we can't know where not to7M. Yes, I do.10A. I would think so, yeah.10Q. And then you, say, "A10A. I would think so, yeah.11non-exhaustive search could use an11Q. And in that fashion, the content of12intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records11Q. And in that fashion, the content of13from the search?A. Yes,	15	other ways in which you've used the term	15	gave you, so if I load the records, using the
18MR. LUNER: Objection, form.18for, right, if I applied exactly the same approach to generate the hash key, right, 2019A. It can be possible, but I don't 2019for, right, if I applied exactly the same approach to generate the hash key, right, that would get me to a link list that I know that if any other record with the same key had been submitted before, would have been put in the same link list, so let me research 2121the art would be incorrect to characterize a search where every entry in the database is each entry is searched as non-exhaustive?2123searched but only a fraction of the data in 2525Q. So the determination of which24records in the database can be excluded from the search is made, at least in part, based 30 on the nature of the query, correct?1A. Ibelieve that would be incorrect. Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in your 313declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1. 4A. Okay.45Q. In that example you refer to a situation where there are 100 records in a 736Bo you see that?89A. Yes, I do.910Q. And then you, say, "A1011non-exhaustive search could use an intiligent algorithm to exclude 75 records from the search such that only 25 would be searched during the comparison process."1016A. Yes, I read that. Yes.1517Do you see that?1518Q. So in this example, how would the search?1619in theigent algorithm you were referring to go about exclu	16	"non-exhaustive" throughout your career; is	16	approach that I outlined before, then given a
19A. It can be possible, but I don't recall any other uses.19approach to generate the hash key, right, 2020recall any other uses.21that would get me to a link list that I know21Q. Do you believe a person skilled in 2120that would get me to a link list that I know23search where every entry in the database is searched but only a fraction of the data in 2521that would be fore, would have been 2224searched but only a fraction of the data in 2522had been submitted before, would have been 2425Q. So the determination of which2326Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in porrect.2427Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in your243declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.44A. Okay.45Q. In that example you refer to a stuation where there are 100 records in a database.79A. Yes, I do.710Q. And then you, say, "A1011non-exhaustive search could use an 121112intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records 131313from the searely scample, how would the intelligent algorithm you were referring to 101416A. Yes. I'm just reading this now. 171518Q. So in this example, how would the intelligent algorithm you were referring to 101618of every entry in the database at search icon that is not the case, because the hash table example of an algorithm. 2419<	17		17	
19A. It can be possible, but I don't recall any other uses.19approach to generate the hash key, right, 2020recall any other uses.21that would get me to a link list that I know21Q. Do you believe a person skilled in 2120that would get me to a link list that I know23search where every entry in the database is searched but only a fraction of the data in 2521that would be fore, would have been 2224searched but only a fraction of the data in 2522had been submitted before, would have been 2425Q. So the determination of which2326Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in porrect.2427Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in your243declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.44A. Okay.45Q. In that example you refer to a stuation where there are 100 records in a database.79A. Yes, I do.710Q. And then you, say, "A1011non-exhaustive search could use an 121112intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records 131313from the searely scample, how would the intelligent algorithm you were referring to 101416A. Yes. I'm just reading this now. 171518Q. So in this example, how would the intelligent algorithm you were referring to 101618of every entry in the database at search icon that is not the case, because the hash table example of an algorithm. 2419<	18	MR. LUNER: Objection, form.	18	for, right, if I applied exactly the same
<ul> <li>recall any other uses.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe a person skilled in</li> <li>the art would be incorrect to characterize a</li> <li>search where every entry in the database is</li> <li>searched but only a fraction of the data in</li> <li>each entry is searched as non-exhaustive?</li> <li>A. I believe that would be incorrect.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in your</li> <li>declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>G. In that example you refer to a</li> <li>situation where there are 100 records in a</li> <li>database.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>M. Yes, I do.</li> <li>M. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records</li> <li>from the search such that only 25 would be</li> <li>searched during the comparison process."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>M. Yes, I reading this now.</li> <li>Yes, I read that. Yes.</li> <li>So you see that?</li> <li>M. Yes, I reading this now.</li> <li>Yes, I reading this now.</li> <li>M. The hash table example, how would the</li> <li>intelligent algorithm you were referring to</li> <li>go about excluding 75 records from the</li> <li>search?</li> <li>A. The hash table example I just gave</li> <li>you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>Q. In general terms, then, how is it</li> </ul>	19			
21Q. Do you believe a person skilled in the art would be incorrect to characterize a search where every entry in the database is searched but only a fraction of the data in each entry is searched as non-exhaustive?21that if any other record with the same key had been submitted before, would have been put in the same link list, so let me research that link list.23searched but only a fraction of the data in each entry is searched bas non-exhaustive?21that if any other record with the same key had been submitted before, would have been put in the same link list, so let me research that link list.24searched but only a fraction of the data in each entry is searched but only a fraction of the data in each entry is searched but only a fraction of the data in declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.21that if any other record with the same key had been submitted before, would have been put in the same link list, so let me research 243each entry is searched but only a fraction of the data in declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.21that if any other record with the same key had been submitted before, would have been put in the same link list, so let me research 244A. Okay.1records in the database can be excluded from the search is a function of which5Q. In that example you refer to a database.1records not to include, to exclude in the search is a function of the query.6So the determination of which2Now see mat?7Q. And then you, say, "A 1010A. I would think so, yeah.11non-exhaustive search could use an intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records </td <td>20</td> <td>recall any other uses.</td> <td>20</td> <td></td>	20	recall any other uses.	20	
<ul> <li>the art would be incorrect to characterize a search where every entry in the database is searched but only a fraction of the data in exerched but only a fraction of the data in exerched but only a fraction of the data in exerched but only a fraction of the data in exerched but only a fraction of the data in exerched but only a fraction of the data in exerched but only a fraction of the data in exerched but only a fraction of the data in exerched but only a fraction of the data in exerched but only a fraction of the data in exerched but only a fraction of the data in exerched but only a fraction of the data in exerched but only a fraction of the data in exerched but only a fraction of the data in exerched but only a fraction of the data in the search is searched but only a fraction of the data in the search is searched but only a fraction of the data in the search is a look at paragraph 80 in your detabase.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in your detabase.</li> <li>Q. In that example you refer to a database.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>In one-exhaustive search could use an intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records from the search such that only 25 would be searched during the comparison process."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, Ira dithat. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So in this example, how would the intelligent algorithm you were referring to go about excluding 75 records from the search?</li> <li>A. The hash table example I just gave you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>Q. In general terms, then, how is it</li> </ul>	21	Q. Do you believe a person skilled in	21	-
<ul> <li>23 search where every entry in the database is searched but only a fraction of the data in 25 eached but only a fraction of the data in 26 each entry is searched as non-exhaustive?</li> <li>23 put in the same link list, so let me research that link list.</li> <li>24 Q. So the determination of which</li> <li>25 Q. So the determination of which</li> <li>26 Page 47</li> <li>27 A. I believe that would be incorrect.</li> <li>28 Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in your 30 declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.</li> <li>4 A. Okay.</li> <li>5 Q. In that example you refer to a 6 situation where there are 100 records in a 6 situation where there are 100 records in a 6 situation where there are 100 records in a 6 situation where there are 100 records in a 6 situation where there are 100 records in a 6 situation where there are 100 records in a 6 situation where there are 100 records in a 6 situation where there are 100 records in a 6 situation where there are 100 records in a 10 records not to include, to exclude in the search is a function of the query.</li> <li>7 Q. Because we can't know where not to 18 look until we know what we're looking for, 9 correct?</li> <li>10 Q. And then you, say, "A</li> <li>11 non-exhaustive search could use an 12 intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records.</li> <li>15 Do you see that?</li> <li>16 A. Yes, I read that. Yes.</li> <li>17 Do you see that?</li> <li>18 Q. So in this example, how would the 19 intelligent algorithm you were referring to 20 go about excluding 75 records from the search?</li> <li>29 A. The hash table example I just gave 30 would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>20 A. The hash table example I just gave 30 would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>21 Q. But you do take into account some 22 information about every entry in the database at search and where not to search, correct?</li> </ul>	22		22	
24searched but only a fraction of the data in each entry is searched as non-exhaustive?24that link list. 25281A. I believe that would be incorrect. Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in your 3 declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1. 424that link list. 2526Page 491A. I believe that would be incorrect. Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in your 3 declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1. 41records in the database can be excluded from the search is made, at least in part, based on the nature of the query, correct?4A. Okay.24A. So the determination of which5Q. In that example you refer to a database.3on the nature of the query, correct?6situation where there are 100 records in a database.7Q. Because we can't know where not to look until we know what we're looking for, 99A. Yes, I do.0A. I would think so, yeah.11non-exhaustive search could use an intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records 1311Q. And in that fashion, the content of every entry in the database is taken into account in deciding which entries to search and which not to search, correct?15Do you see that? Do you see that?15A. That is not the case, because the hash table example, how would the intelligent algorithm you were referring to go about excluding 75 records from the search?16A. The hash table example I just gave you would be an example of an algorithm.24Q. In general terms, then, how is it21Q. But you do take into account some information about every entry in th	23	search where every entry in the database is	23	
25each entry is searched as non-exhaustive?25Q. So the determination of whichPage 47Page 47Page 471A. I believe that would be incorrect.records in the database can be excluded from2Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in yourthe search is made, at least in part, based3declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.a4A. Okay.the search is made, at least in part, based5Q. In that example you refer to aon the nature of the query, correct?6situation where there are 100 records in aa So the determination of which7database.7Q. Because we can't know where not to8Do you see that?9A. Yes, I do.9A. Yes, I do.9Correct?10Q. And then you, say, "A10A. I would think so, yeah.11non-exhaustive search could use an11Q. And in that fashion, the content of12intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records12every entry in the database is taken into13from the search such that only 25 would beand which not to search, correct?14A. Yes. I'm just reading this now.15A. Yes. I read that. Yes.15Do you see that?15A. The hash table example, how would the19intelligent algorithm you were referring to2020go about excluding 75 records from the1121Search?2122A. The hash table example I just gave23you would be an example of an algor			1	•
Page 47Page 471A. I believe that would be incorrect.12Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in your3declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.4A. Okay.5Q. In that example you refer to a6situation where there are 100 records in a7database.7database.8Do you see that?9A. Yes, I do.10Q. And then you, say, "A11non-exhaustive search could use an12intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records13from the search such that only 25 would be14searched during the comparison process."15Do you see that?16A. Yes. I rin just reading this now.17Yes. I read that. Yes.18Q. So in this example, how would the19intelligent algorithm you were referring to20go about excluding 75 records from the21search?22A. The hash table example I just gave23you would be an example of an algorithm.24Q. In general terms, then, how is it	25		25	Q. So the determination of which
1A. I believe that would be incorrect.2Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in your3declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.4A. Okay.5Q. In that example you refer to a6situation where there are 100 records in a7database.8Do you see that?9A. Yes, I do.10Q. And then you, say, "A11non-exhaustive search could use an12intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records13from the search such that only 25 would be14searched during the comparison process."15Do you see that?16A. Yes, I'm just reading this now.17Yes, I read that. Yes.18Q. So in this example, how would the19intelligent algorithm you were referring to10go about excluding 75 records from the11search?22A. The hash table example I just gave23you would be an example of an algorithm.24Q. In general terms, then, how is it		Page 47		Dage 40
2Q. Take a look at paragraph 80 in your3declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.4A. Okay.5Q. In that example you refer to a6situation where there are 100 records in a7database.8Do you see that?9A. Yes, I do.10Q. And then you, say, "A11non-exhaustive search could use an12intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records13from the search such that only 25 would be14searched during the comparison process."15Do you see that?16A. Yes. I'm just reading this now.17Yes, I read that. Yes.18Q. So in this example, how would the19intelligent algorithm you were referring to20go about excluding 75 records from the18Q. So in this example of an algorithm.20A. The hash table example of an algorithm.21A. The hash table example of an algorithm.22A. The hash table example of an algorithm.24Q. In general terms, then, how is it	1	÷	1	-
<ul> <li>declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In that example you refer to a</li> <li>situation where there are 100 records in a</li> <li>database.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And then you, say, "A</li> <li>non-exhaustive search could use an</li> <li>intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records</li> <li>from the search such that only 25 would be</li> <li>searched during the comparison process."</li> <li>M. Yes, I read that. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes. I'm just reading this now.</li> <li>Yes, I read that. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So in this example, how would the</li> <li>intelligent algorithm you were referring to</li> <li>go about excluding 75 records from the</li> <li>search?</li> <li>A. The hash table example I just gave</li> <li>you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>Q. In general terms, then, how is it</li> <li>declaration, if you would, Exhibit 1.</li> <li>a claration of the query, correct?</li> <li>A. So the determination of which</li> <li>records not to include, to exclude in the</li> <li>search is a function of the query.</li> <li>Q. But you do take into account some</li> <li>information about every entry in the database</li> <li>in order to determine where to search and</li> <li>where not to search, correct?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In that example you refer to a</li> <li>situation where there are 100 records in a</li> <li>database.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And then you, say, "A</li> <li>non-exhaustive search could use an</li> <li>intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records</li> <li>from the search such that only 25 would be</li> <li>searched during the comparison process."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm just reading this now.</li> <li>Yes, I're ad that. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So in this example, how would the</li> <li>intelligent algorithm you were referring to</li> <li>go about excluding 75 records from the</li> <li>search?</li> <li>A. The hash table example I just gave</li> <li>you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>In general terms, then, how is it</li> <li>A. Natis not search, correct?</li> <li>A. The tash table example I just gave</li> <li>you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>Image and the search is a function of the query.</li> <li>A. So the determination of which records not to include, to exclude in the search is a function of the query.</li> <li>G. Bo you see that?</li> <li>A. The hash table example I just gave</li> <li>you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>Image and the search is a function of the query.</li> <li>A. The is not the case, because the information about every entry in the database in order to determine where to search and where not to search, correct?</li> </ul>				
5Q. In that example you refer to a situation where there are 100 records in a database.5records not to include, to exclude in the search is a function of the query.7database.7Q. Because we can't know where not to look until we know what we're looking for, orrect?9A. Yes, I do.8look until we know what we're looking for, orrect?10Q. And then you, say, "A10A. I would think so, yeah.11non-exhaustive search could use an intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records11Q. And in that fashion, the content of every entry in the database is taken into13from the search such that only 25 would be searched during the comparison process."13account in deciding which entries to search and which not to search, correct?15Do you see that?15A. That is not the case, because the hash table example that I gave you, that, you know, I do not take into account the content18Q. So in this example, how would the intelligent algorithm you were referring to go about excluding 75 records from the search?11Q. But you do take into account some information about every entry in the database in order to determine where to search and where not to search, correct?22A. The hash table example I just gave you would be an example of an algorithm. Q. In general terms, then, how is it24Where not to search, correct?		-		· ·
<ul> <li>6 situation where there are 100 records in a database.</li> <li>7 database.</li> <li>8 Do you see that?</li> <li>9 A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>10 Q. And then you, say, "A</li> <li>11 non-exhaustive search could use an</li> <li>11 intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records</li> <li>13 from the search such that only 25 would be searched during the comparison process."</li> <li>14 searched during the comparison process."</li> <li>15 Do you see that?</li> <li>16 A. Yes. I'm just reading this now.</li> <li>17 Yes, I read that. Yes.</li> <li>18 Q. So in this example, how would the intelligent algorithm you were referring to go about excluding 75 records from the search?</li> <li>2 A. The hash table example I just gave you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>24 Q. In general terms, then, how is it</li> <li>6 search is a function of the query.</li> <li>7 Q. Because we can't know where not to look until we know what we're looking for, correct?</li> <li>10 A. I would think so, yeah.</li> <li>11 Q. And in that fashion, the content of</li> <li>12 every entry in the database is taken into</li> <li>13 account in deciding which entries to search and which not to search, correct?</li> <li>14 and which not to search, correct?</li> <li>15 A. That is not the case, because the</li> <li>16 hash table example that I gave you, that, you</li> <li>17 Know, I do not take into account the content</li> <li>18 of every entry in the database at search time</li> <li>19 to figure out what to search or what not to search.</li> <li>21 Q. But you do take into account some</li> <li>22 in order to determine where to search and</li> <li>24 where not to search, correct?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>database.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And then you, say, "A</li> <li>non-exhaustive search could use an</li> <li>intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records</li> <li>from the search such that only 25 would be</li> <li>searched during the comparison process."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I'm just reading this now.</li> <li>Yes, I read that. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So in this example, how would the</li> <li>intelligent algorithm you were referring to</li> <li>go about excluding 75 records from the</li> <li>search?</li> <li>A. The hash table example I just gave</li> <li>you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>Q. In general terms, then, how is it</li> <li>To by use that?</li> <li>C. So in this example of an algorithm.</li> <li>G. In general terms, then, how is it</li> <li>C. So in this example of an algorithm.</li> <li>C. So in the seample of an algor</li></ul>				
<ul> <li>Boyou see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And then you, say, "A</li> <li>non-exhaustive search could use an</li> <li>intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records</li> <li>from the search such that only 25 would be</li> <li>searched during the comparison process."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I read that. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So in this example, how would the</li> <li>intelligent algorithm you were referring to</li> <li>go about excluding 75 records from the</li> <li>search?</li> <li>A. The hash table example I just gave</li> <li>you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>A. The near terms, then, how is it</li> <li>Book until we know what we're looking for,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I would think so, yeah.</li> <li>A. I would think so, yeah.</li> <li>Q. And in that fashion, the content of</li> <li>every entry in the database is taken into</li> <li>account in deciding which entries to search</li> <li>the database is taken into</li> <li>A. The hash table example I just gave</li> <li>you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>In general terms, then, how is it</li> </ul>				1 1
<ul> <li>9 A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>9 A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>9 Correct?</li> <li>10 Q. And then you, say, "A</li> <li>11 non-exhaustive search could use an</li> <li>12 intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records</li> <li>13 from the search such that only 25 would be</li> <li>14 searched during the comparison process."</li> <li>15 Do you see that?</li> <li>16 A. Yes. I'm just reading this now.</li> <li>17 Yes, I read that. Yes.</li> <li>18 Q. So in this example, how would the</li> <li>19 intelligent algorithm you were referring to</li> <li>20 go about excluding 75 records from the</li> <li>21 search?</li> <li>22 A. The hash table example I just gave</li> <li>23 you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>24 Q. In general terms, then, how is it</li> <li>9 correct?</li> <li>10 A. I would think so, yeah.</li> <li>11 Q. And in that fashion, the content of</li> <li>12 every entry in the database is taken into</li> <li>13 account in deciding which entries to search</li> <li>14 and which not to search, correct?</li> <li>15 A. That is not the case, because the</li> <li>16 hash table example that I gave you, that, you</li> <li>17 Know, I do not take into account the content</li> <li>18 of every entry in the database at search time</li> <li>19 to figure out what to search or what not to</li> <li>20 search.</li> <li>21 Q. But you do take into account some</li> <li>22 in order to determine where to search and</li> <li>24 where not to search, correct?</li> </ul>	1			-
10Q. And then you, say, "A10A. I would think so, yeah.11non-exhaustive search could use an11Q. And in that fashion, the content of12intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records12every entry in the database is taken into13from the search such that only 25 would be13account in deciding which entries to search14searched during the comparison process."13account in deciding which entries to search15Do you see that?15A. That is not the case, because the16A. Yes. I'm just reading this now.16hash table example that I gave you, that, you17Yes, I read that. Yes.17know, I do not take into account the content18Q. So in this example, how would the18of every entry in the database at search time19intelligent algorithm you were referring to19to figure out what to search or what not to20go about excluding 75 records from the21Q. But you do take into account some21Q. In general terms, then, how is it24where not to search, correct?		•		
<ul> <li>non-exhaustive search could use an</li> <li>intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records</li> <li>from the search such that only 25 would be</li> <li>searched during the comparison process."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes. I'm just reading this now.</li> <li>Yes, I read that. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So in this example, how would the</li> <li>intelligent algorithm you were referring to</li> <li>go about excluding 75 records from the</li> <li>search?</li> <li>A. The hash table example I just gave</li> <li>you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>Q. In general terms, then, how is it</li> <li>A. The hash table example of an algorithm.</li> <li>M. In general terms, then, how is it</li> <li>A. The hash table example of an algorithm.</li> <li>M. The hash table example of an</li></ul>				
<ul> <li>12 intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records</li> <li>13 from the search such that only 25 would be</li> <li>14 searched during the comparison process."</li> <li>15 Do you see that?</li> <li>16 A. Yes. I'm just reading this now.</li> <li>17 Yes, I read that. Yes.</li> <li>18 Q. So in this example, how would the</li> <li>19 intelligent algorithm you were referring to</li> <li>20 go about excluding 75 records from the</li> <li>21 every entry in the database is taken into</li> <li>13 account in deciding which entries to search</li> <li>14 and which not to search, correct?</li> <li>15 A. That is not the case, because the</li> <li>16 hash table example that I gave you, that, you</li> <li>17 know, I do not take into account the content</li> <li>18 of every entry in the database at search time</li> <li>19 to figure out what to search or what not to</li> <li>20 search?</li> <li>21 Q. But you do take into account some</li> <li>22 in order to determine where to search and</li> <li>24 Q. In general terms, then, how is it</li> </ul>				•
<ul> <li>from the search such that only 25 would be</li> <li>searched during the comparison process."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes. I'm just reading this now.</li> <li>Yes, I read that. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So in this example, how would the</li> <li>intelligent algorithm you were referring to</li> <li>go about excluding 75 records from the</li> <li>search?</li> <li>A. The hash table example I just gave</li> <li>you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>Q. In general terms, then, how is it</li> <li>account in deciding which entries to search</li> <li>A. That is not the case, because the</li> <li>hash table example that I gave you, that, you</li> <li>know, I do not take into account the content</li> <li>of every entry in the database at search time</li> <li>to figure out what to search or what not to</li> <li>search?</li> <li>Q. But you do take into account some</li> <li>in order to determine where to search and</li> <li>where not to search, correct?</li> </ul>				
14searched during the comparison process."14and which not to search, correct?15Do you see that?15A. That is not the case, because the16A. Yes. I'm just reading this now.15A. That is not the case, because the17Yes, I read that. Yes.16hash table example that I gave you, that, you18Q. So in this example, how would the18of every entry in the database at search time19intelligent algorithm you were referring to19to figure out what to search or what not to20go about excluding 75 records from the20search.21Search?21Q. But you do take into account some22A. The hash table example I just gave23in order to determine where to search and24Q. In general terms, then, how is it24where not to search, correct?				
15Do you see that?15A. That is not the case, because the16A. Yes. I'm just reading this now.16hash table example that I gave you, that, you17Yes, I read that. Yes.16hash table example that I gave you, that, you18Q. So in this example, how would the18of every entry in the database at search time19intelligent algorithm you were referring to19to figure out what to search or what not to20go about excluding 75 records from the20search.21search?21Q. But you do take into account some22A. The hash table example I just gave23in order to determine where to search and24Q. In general terms, then, how is it24where not to search, correct?				
<ul> <li>A. Yes. I'm just reading this now.</li> <li>Yes, I read that. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So in this example, how would the</li> <li>intelligent algorithm you were referring to</li> <li>go about excluding 75 records from the</li> <li>search?</li> <li>A. The hash table example I just gave</li> <li>you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>Q. In general terms, then, how is it</li> <li>A. Yes. I'm just reading this now.</li> <li>hash table example that I gave you, that, you</li> <li>hash table example that I gave you, that, you</li> <li>hash table example that I gave you, that, you</li> <li>hash table example that I gave you, that, you</li> <li>hash table example that I gave you, that, you</li> <li>the hash table example, how would the</li> <li>of every entry in the database at search time</li> <li>to figure out what to search or what not to</li> <li>search.</li> <li>Q. But you do take into account some</li> <li>in order to determine where to search and</li> <li>where not to search, correct?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Yes, I read that. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So in this example, how would the</li> <li>intelligent algorithm you were referring to</li> <li>go about excluding 75 records from the</li> <li>search?</li> <li>A. The hash table example I just gave</li> <li>you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>Q. In general terms, then, how is it</li> <li>Know, I do not take into account the content</li> <li>know, I do not take into account the content</li> <li>the hash table example I just gave</li> <li>in order to determine where to search and</li> <li>where not to search, correct?</li> </ul>		-		
18Q. So in this example, how would the intelligent algorithm you were referring to 20 go about excluding 75 records from the search?18of every entry in the database at search time 1920go about excluding 75 records from the search?19to figure out what to search or what not to 2021search?21Q. But you do take into account some information about every entry in the database23you would be an example of an algorithm. 2423in order to determine where to search and where not to search, correct?		· ·		
<ul> <li>19 intelligent algorithm you were referring to</li> <li>20 go about excluding 75 records from the</li> <li>21 search?</li> <li>22 A. The hash table example I just gave</li> <li>23 you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>24 Q. In general terms, then, how is it</li> <li>19 to figure out what to search or what not to</li> <li>20 search.</li> <li>21 Q. But you do take into account some</li> <li>22 in order to determine where to search and</li> <li>24 where not to search, correct?</li> </ul>				
20go about excluding 75 records from the20search.21search?21Q. But you do take into account some22A. The hash table example I just gave22information about every entry in the database23you would be an example of an algorithm.23in order to determine where to search and24Q. In general terms, then, how is it24where not to search, correct?				
<ul> <li>21 search?</li> <li>22 A. The hash table example I just gave</li> <li>23 you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>24 Q. In general terms, then, how is it</li> <li>21 Q. But you do take into account some</li> <li>22 information about every entry in the database</li> <li>23 in order to determine where to search and</li> <li>24 where not to search, correct?</li> </ul>				-
<ul> <li>A. The hash table example I just gave</li> <li>you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>Q. In general terms, then, how is it</li> <li>24</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>23 you would be an example of an algorithm.</li> <li>24 Q. In general terms, then, how is it</li> <li>23 in order to determine where to search and where not to search, correct?</li> </ul>				-
24 Q. In general terms, then, how is it 24 where not to search, correct?				
25 III unat nash table example that the algorithm 25 MR. LUNER: Objection to form.	04	$\bigcirc$ In compared to mark the set 1 $\cdot$ 't		

13 (Pages 46 - 49)

	Kar	ypis	
	Page 50		Page 52
1	A. Not in the hash table example I	1	something in common with respect to the key,
2	gave you.	2	right. So there has to be some property of
3	Q. What about other examples?	3	the key that, you know, put those guys in the
4	A. I cannot think off the top of my	4	same bucket.
5	head of a method that would take into account	5	Q. That property of the key is in some
6	all the entries in the database during the	6	fashion representative of the records in that
7	query phase, in order to determine what to	7	bucket, correct?
8	search and what not to search.	8	A. Again, if we take the very generic
9	(Discussion off the record.)	9	view of a record consisting of a key and some
10	A. In order to determine what to	10	data, right, you know, and the key is a way
11	search and what not to search.	11	of retrieving the data which may or may not
12	Q. So the hash table is the only	12	be similarly be associated with the data,
13	example that comes to mind?	13	then the answer to your question is no.
14	A. I'm sorry, but I thought your	14	Q. Something about that key that
15	question was for an example of the algorithm,	15	represents the bucket is indicative of
16	right? So your question was what was your	16	whether our search should consider the
17	question?	17	entries in that bucket; isn't that correct?
18	Q. Well, I'll ask it a different way.	18	A. Can you repeat your question again?
19	Aside from a hash table, can you	19	Q. Sure. Something about the key that
20	think of any other intelligent algorithm by	20	represents the bucket of records is
21	which you could exclude 75 records from a	21	indicative of whether, in a given search, the
22	100-record database and focus only on the	22	contents of that bucket should be examined,
23	remaining 25?	23	right?
24	A. A method like a k-d tree or any	24	A. That depends on the data search
25	other space-partitioning method, you know,	25	that you use or the method that you use. For
	Page 51		Page 53
1	form category.	1	instance, in a hash table, each, it's the
2	Q. So how would a space-partitioning	2	hash value of the original key that returns
3	method like this allow us to exclude records	3	the bucket. So that may lead to buckets
4	from the search?	4	containing records which hash key value is
5	A. So a space-partitioning method is,	5	the same, right. So if that's what you mean
6	you know, is to a large extent similar ideas	6	by indicative, yes, a hash table that falls
7	like a hash table; but what you do is you	7	in the same bucket are keys such that the
8	split each of the dimensions of, you know, a	8	hash value hash key values are the same.
9	high-dimensional feature, right, or a	9	Q. So then in the search process, we
10	multidimensional feature, right, so that you	10	would be looking for a correspondence between
11	store the data in the appropriate buckets	11	the query and the key to determine whether to
12	based on the dimensions of the record, of the	12	further consider the contents of a given
13	key of the record, and then you use exactly	13	bucket; is that right?
14	the same approach to select the buckets.	14	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
15	Q. So the decision of what database	15	A. I mean a query consists of, I
16	records go in what bucket is based on the	16	presume, a key, right? So when you say
17	nature of the database records, right?	17	between a query and a key, what do you mean?
18	A. The decision of which database	18	Q. Well, let me step back from this a
19	record goes to which bucket is a function of	19	bit, and let's put aside the hash table for a
20	the, of the key associated with that	20	moment.
21	database, right.	21	A. Okay.
22	Q. So all the database records in a	22	Q. And let's talk about this, the
23	given bucket have something in common with	23	space-partitioning example.
24		04	4 01
24	one another, correct?	24	A. Okay.
24 25	one another, correct? A. They all the records have	24 25	<ul><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. In the space-partitioning example,</li></ul>

14 (Pages 50 - 53)

		y pro	
	Page 54		Page 56
1	and correct me if I'm wrong, but you are	1	assuming my decision tree has X, Y and Z, in
2	essentially creating a decision tree with	2	that order. So go down in X and within that
3	intelligently organized information down the	3	X bucket, as I say, with my range, you know,
4	various branches of the tree, correct?	4	I will branch, take the path associated with
5	A. So the decision tree is a correct	5	the Y bucket, and then within that, I will
6	analogy. The level of intelligence is up	6	take the branch that's in the Z bucket.
7	from the partition, but the decision tree is	7	Q. If you had a reference database
8	a good way of thinking about it.	8	containing a single entry, would it be
9	Q. So it's organized in some fashion	9	possible to non-exhaustively search that
10	such that information with some quality in	10	database?
11	common is down one branch, and information	11	A. I'm sorry. Your question was if I
12	with a different quality in common is down a	12	had a database consisting of one entry, will
13	different branch, right?	13	it be possible to search it in a
14	A. So it's organized such that, you	14	non-exhaustive way?
15	know, keys, right, to then put certain	15	Q. Correct.
16	dimensions for certain characterization would	16	A. The example that I gave you, from
17	fall into one branch of the tree versus the	17	both a hash table and as well as, you know,
18	other.	18	space-partition method, even if I had a
19	Q. Okay. So now when we're looking to	19	single entry, I can still, you know, my
20	search that tree, we start with a query. And	20	search, I'm not even going to examine that
21	our goal is to if there's anything in the	21	area, that entry under certain conditions.
22	tree that matches the query, right?	22	Q. Is that because you are considering
23	A. Correct.	23	there would be empty branches, for example,
24	Q. So we need to decide which branches	24	in the
	-		
25	are worth looking down in this organized	25	A. In the empty branches, there can be
25	are worth looking down in this organized	25	A. In the empty branches, there can be
	Page 55		Page 57
1	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore?	1	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table.
1 2	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning	1 2	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you
1 2 3	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the	1 2 3	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry
1 2 3 4	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree.	1 2 3 4	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would
1 2 3 4 5	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry?	1 2 3 4 5	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree. Q. Oh, I see. So we evaluate whether	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Well, in the example that you gave,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree. Q. Oh, I see. So we evaluate whether the dimensions of the query key correspond to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Well, in the example that you gave, a record over there is not one song. It's
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree. Q. Oh, I see. So we evaluate whether the dimensions of the query key correspond to the dimensions associated with the keys on	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Well, in the example that you gave, a record over there is not one song. It's how many songs you said there were. Right?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree. Q. Oh, I see. So we evaluate whether the dimensions of the query key correspond to the dimensions associated with the keys on one of the branches?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Well, in the example that you gave, a record over there is not one song. It's how many songs you said there were. Right? So all the examples that we talked
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree. Q. Oh, I see. So we evaluate whether the dimensions of the query key correspond to the dimensions associated with the keys on one of the branches? A. Again, there are many ways to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Well, in the example that you gave, a record over there is not one song. It's how many songs you said there were. Right? So all the examples that we talked so far was looking at things like returning
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree. Q. Oh, I see. So we evaluate whether the dimensions of the query key correspond to the dimensions associated with the keys on one of the branches? A. Again, there are many ways to implement space-partitioning methods, but	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Well, in the example that you gave, a record over there is not one song. It's how many songs you said there were. Right? So all the examples that we talked so far was looking at things like returning back a record, right? In the example that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree. Q. Oh, I see. So we evaluate whether the dimensions of the query key correspond to the dimensions associated with the keys on one of the branches? A. Again, there are many ways to implement space-partitioning methods, but let's keep something very simple. Let's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Well, in the example that you gave, a record over there is not one song. It's how many songs you said there were. Right? So all the examples that we talked so far was looking at things like returning back a record, right? In the example that you said, you know, I have one record, right?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree. Q. Oh, I see. So we evaluate whether the dimensions of the query key correspond to the dimensions associated with the keys on one of the branches? A. Again, there are many ways to implement space-partitioning methods, but let's keep something very simple. Let's assume that we have a key that consists of	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\end{array} $	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Well, in the example that you gave, a record over there is not one song. It's how many songs you said there were. Right? So all the examples that we talked so far was looking at things like returning back a record, right? In the example that you said, you know, I have one record, right? And the result of my query would be one
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\end{array} $	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree. Q. Oh, I see. So we evaluate whether the dimensions of the query key correspond to the dimensions associated with the keys on one of the branches? A. Again, there are many ways to implement space-partitioning methods, but let's keep something very simple. Let's assume that we have a key that consists of three dimensions, XYZ. And I split my X	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\end{array} $	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Well, in the example that you gave, a record over there is not one song. It's how many songs you said there were. Right? So all the examples that we talked so far was looking at things like returning back a record, right? In the example that you said, you know, I have one record, right? And the result of my query would be one record.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\end{array} $	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree. Q. Oh, I see. So we evaluate whether the dimensions of the query key correspond to the dimensions associated with the keys on one of the branches? A. Again, there are many ways to implement space-partitioning methods, but let's keep something very simple. Let's assume that we have a key that consists of three dimensions, XYZ. And I split my X dimension into ten things, the Y dimension	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\end{array} $	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Well, in the example that you gave, a record over there is not one song. It's how many songs you said there were. Right? So all the examples that we talked so far was looking at things like returning back a record, right? In the example that you said, you know, I have one record, right? And the result of my query would be one record. Q. So an entry in the database may
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree. Q. Oh, I see. So we evaluate whether the dimensions of the query key correspond to the dimensions associated with the keys on one of the branches? A. Again, there are many ways to implement space-partitioning methods, but let's keep something very simple. Let's assume that we have a key that consists of three dimensions, XYZ. And I split my X dimension into ten things, the Y dimension into ten things, and the Z dimension into ten	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Well, in the example that you gave, a record over there is not one song. It's how many songs you said there were. Right? So all the examples that we talked so far was looking at things like returning back a record, right? In the example that you said, you know, I have one record, right? And the result of my query would be one record. Q. So an entry in the database may constitute multiple records; is that what
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree. Q. Oh, I see. So we evaluate whether the dimensions of the query key correspond to the dimensions associated with the keys on one of the branches? A. Again, there are many ways to implement space-partitioning methods, but let's keep something very simple. Let's assume that we have a key that consists of three dimensions, XYZ. And I split my X dimension into ten things, the Y dimension into ten things, and the Z dimension into ten things. Right.	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Well, in the example that you gave, a record over there is not one song. It's how many songs you said there were. Right? So all the examples that we talked so far was looking at things like returning back a record, right? In the example that you said, you know, I have one record, right? And the result of my query would be one record. Q. So an entry in the database may constitute multiple records; is that what you're saying?
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree. Q. Oh, I see. So we evaluate whether the dimensions of the query key correspond to the dimensions associated with the keys on one of the branches? A. Again, there are many ways to implement space-partitioning methods, but let's keep something very simple. Let's assume that we have a key that consists of three dimensions, XYZ. And I split my X dimension into ten things, the Y dimension into ten things, and the Z dimension into ten things. Right. So given a query, I look at the XYZ	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Well, in the example that you gave, a record over there is not one song. It's how many songs you said there were. Right? So all the examples that we talked so far was looking at things like returning back a record, right? In the example that you said, you know, I have one record, right? And the result of my query would be one record. Q. So an entry in the database may constitute multiple records; is that what you're saying? A. No, that's not what I'm saying.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree. Q. Oh, I see. So we evaluate whether the dimensions of the query key correspond to the dimensions associated with the keys on one of the branches? A. Again, there are many ways to implement space-partitioning methods, but let's keep something very simple. Let's assume that we have a key that consists of three dimensions, XYZ. And I split my X dimension into ten things, the Y dimension into ten things, and the Z dimension into ten things. Right. So given a query, I look at the XYZ values, right. And that would get me to,	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Well, in the example that you gave, a record over there is not one song. It's how many songs you said there were. Right? So all the examples that we talked so far was looking at things like returning back a record, right? In the example that you said, you know, I have one record, right? And the result of my query would be one record. Q. So an entry in the database may constitute multiple records; is that what you're saying? A. No, that's not what I'm saying. Q. I'm not following that.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree. Q. Oh, I see. So we evaluate whether the dimensions of the query key correspond to the dimensions associated with the keys on one of the branches? A. Again, there are many ways to implement space-partitioning methods, but let's keep something very simple. Let's assume that we have a key that consists of three dimensions, XYZ. And I split my X dimension into ten things, the Y dimension into ten things, and the Z dimension into ten things. Right. So given a query, I look at the XYZ values, right. And that would get me to, based on the value of the X value that the	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Well, in the example that you gave, a record over there is not one song. It's how many songs you said there were. Right? So all the examples that we talked so far was looking at things like returning back a record, right? In the example that you said, you know, I have one record, right? And the result of my query would be one record. Q. So an entry in the database may constitute multiple records; is that what you're saying? A. No, that's not what I'm saying.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 55 tree, correct, and which ones we can ignore? A. So the way the space-partitioning methods work, based on the dimensions of the key, the Pk could be one path down the tree. Q. So you said the Pk I'm sorry? A. So it's based on the values along the dimensions of the key, they pick one path down the tree. Q. Oh, I see. So we evaluate whether the dimensions of the query key correspond to the dimensions associated with the keys on one of the branches? A. Again, there are many ways to implement space-partitioning methods, but let's keep something very simple. Let's assume that we have a key that consists of three dimensions, XYZ. And I split my X dimension into ten things, the Y dimension into ten things, and the Z dimension into ten things. Right. So given a query, I look at the XYZ values, right. And that would get me to,	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	Page 57 empty link lists in the hash table. Q. If you had a database with, if you had a music database that contained one entry with 100 songs appended back to back, would it be possible to non-exhaustively search that database determine whether it contained a particular song? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Well, in the example that you gave, a record over there is not one song. It's how many songs you said there were. Right? So all the examples that we talked so far was looking at things like returning back a record, right? In the example that you said, you know, I have one record, right? And the result of my query would be one record. Q. So an entry in the database may constitute multiple records; is that what you're saying? A. No, that's not what I'm saying. Q. I'm not following that.

1	Page 58	1	Page 60
1	Q with a single entry containing a	1	over here, right. But one possibility for
2	file of 100 songs appended back to back.	2	something like that is to create, you know,
3	Do you understand?	3	some sort of a, you know, like some sort of
4	A. Sure.	4	an index or something, you know, that relates
5	Q. And the question is: Is it	5	to it that will extract, you know, from that
6	possible to non-exhaustively search that	6	single record, you know, some signature of
7	database to determine whether a particular	7	those subsets and then, you know, use those
8	song is present?	8	signatures, put them into some sort of a hash
9	A. So what are the keys associated	9	table or space-partitioning structure,
10	with that one	10	generate exactly the same thing for my query;
11	Q. Pardon?	11	and then if I've never seen something like
12	A. What is the key associated with	12	that in the past, then I can answer no
13	that one entry?	13	without searching another single item.
14	Q. What is the key associated with it?	14	Q. Turning back to your example in
15	I'm not specifying any particular structure.	15	paragraph 80 with the 100-record database
16	In general, is it possible to	16	whereby you are able to exclude 75 records,
17	construct an algorithm that would search that	17	the process of excluding those 75 records is
18	database in a non-exhaustive fashion?	18	not a random selection process, correct?
19	A. If I understand your question	19	A. By "random selection," what do you
20	correctly, so what you're asking is the	20	mean?
21	following; if I have a single record	21	Q. You don't just randomly exclude 75
22	containing some data, right, and I would like	22	records from the search, in order to narrow
23	to perform a query that will tell me whether	23	the search set?
24	or not that record contains a certain subset,	24	A. There can be methods that, you
25	that is the query that we are asking over	25	know, they will, can exclude a random subset
123	that is the query that we are asking over	45	Know, they will, can exclude a fandom subset
23		25	•
	Page 59		Page 61
1	Page 59 here?	1	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic
1 2	Page 59 Q. Correct.	1 2	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages.
1 2 3	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database,	1 2 3	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you
1 2 3 4	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a	1 2 3 4	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number
1 2 3 4 5	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right,	1 2 3 4 5	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search?	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top of my head. Either one or the other way, I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive search?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top of my head. Either one or the other way, I mean there can be other methods that will	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. That would be, that would qualify
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top of my head. Either one or the other way, I mean there can be other methods that will allow you to do that thing in a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. That would be, that would qualify as a non-exhaustive search.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top of my head. Either one or the other way, I mean there can be other methods that will allow you to do that thing in a non-exhaustive fashion. Like there can be a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. That would be, that would qualify as a non-exhaustive search. Q. And likewise, if you have an
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top of my head. Either one or the other way, I mean there can be other methods that will allow you to do that thing in a non-exhaustive fashion. Like there can be a method that is non-exhaustive. In this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. That would be, that would qualify as a non-exhaustive search. Q. And likewise, if you have an intelligent process of evaluating which
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top of my head. Either one or the other way, I mean there can be other methods that will allow you to do that thing in a non-exhaustive fashion. Like there can be a method that is non-exhaustive. In this particular case, there may be a method that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. That would be, that would qualify as a non-exhaustive search. Q. And likewise, if you have an intelligent process of evaluating which records to review and which records not to
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\end{array} $	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top of my head. Either one or the other way, I mean there can be other methods that will allow you to do that thing in a non-exhaustive fashion. Like there can be a method that is non-exhaustive. In this particular case, there may be a method that we may have to search that record.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. That would be, that would qualify as a non-exhaustive search. Q. And likewise, if you have an intelligent process of evaluating which records to review and which records not to review, would that be a non-exhaustive
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\end{array} $	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top of my head. Either one or the other way, I mean there can be other methods that will allow you to do that thing in a non-exhaustive fashion. Like there can be a method that is non-exhaustive. In this particular case, there may be a method that we may have to search that record. Q. But it could be done	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\end{array} $	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. That would be, that would qualify as a non-exhaustive search. Q. And likewise, if you have an intelligent process of evaluating which records to review and which records not to review, would that be a non-exhaustive search?
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\end{array} $	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top of my head. Either one or the other way, I mean there can be other methods that will allow you to do that thing in a non-exhaustive fashion. Like there can be a method that is non-exhaustive. In this particular case, there may be a method that we may have to search that record. Q. But it could be done non-exhaustively?	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\end{array} $	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. That would be, that would qualify as a non-exhaustive search. Q. And likewise, if you have an intelligent process of evaluating which records to review and which records not to review, would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. What would make it a non-exhaustive
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\end{array} $	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top of my head. Either one or the other way, I mean there can be other methods that will allow you to do that thing in a non-exhaustive fashion. Like there can be a method that is non-exhaustive. In this particular case, there may be a method that we may have to search that record. Q. But it could be done non-exhaustively? A. If I have a single record in my	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\end{array} $	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. That would be, that would qualify as a non-exhaustive search. Q. And likewise, if you have an intelligent process of evaluating which records to review and which records not to review, would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. What would make it a non-exhaustive search is if I have a mechanism by which to
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top of my head. Either one or the other way, I mean there can be other methods that will allow you to do that thing in a non-exhaustive fashion. Like there can be a method that is non-exhaustive. In this particular case, there may be a method that we may have to search that record. Q. But it could be done non-exhaustively? A. If I have a single record in my database and I want to answer the question,	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. That would be, that would qualify as a non-exhaustive search. Q. And likewise, if you have an intelligent process of evaluating which records to review and which records not to review, would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. What would make it a non-exhaustive search is if I have a mechanism by which to eliminate records without having to review
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top of my head. Either one or the other way, I mean there can be other methods that will allow you to do that thing in a non-exhaustive fashion. Like there can be a method that is non-exhaustive. In this particular case, there may be a method that we may have to search that record. Q. But it could be done non-exhaustively? A. If I have a single record in my database and I want to answer the question, does the record contain a particular subset,	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. That would be, that would qualify as a non-exhaustive search. Q. And likewise, if you have an intelligent process of evaluating which records to review and which records not to review, would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. What would make it a non-exhaustive search is if I have a mechanism by which to eliminate records without having to review each record, right? So if as part of the
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\\end{array} $	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top of my head. Either one or the other way, I mean there can be other methods that will allow you to do that thing in a non-exhaustive fashion. Like there can be a method that is non-exhaustive. In this particular case, there may be a method that we may have to search that record. Q. But it could be done non-exhaustively? A. If I have a single record in my database and I want to answer the question, does the record contain a particular subset, there can be a way to design the system such	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\\end{array} $	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. That would be, that would qualify as a non-exhaustive search. Q. And likewise, if you have an intelligent process of evaluating which records to review and which records not to review, would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. What would make it a non-exhaustive search is if I have a mechanism by which to eliminate records without having to review each record, right? So if as part of the elimination I have to review a record, you
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top of my head. Either one or the other way, I mean there can be other methods that will allow you to do that thing in a non-exhaustive fashion. Like there can be a method that is non-exhaustive. In this particular case, there may be a method that we may have to search that record. Q. But it could be done non-exhaustively? A. If I have a single record in my database and I want to answer the question, does the record contain a particular subset, there can be a way to design the system such that it can answer no, right, without having	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. That would be, that would qualify as a non-exhaustive search. Q. And likewise, if you have an intelligent process of evaluating which records to review and which records not to review, would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. What would make it a non-exhaustive search is if I have a mechanism by which to eliminate records without having to review each record, right? So if as part of the elimination I have to review a record, you know, as part of the query processing, prior
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top of my head. Either one or the other way, I mean there can be other methods that will allow you to do that thing in a non-exhaustive fashion. Like there can be a method that is non-exhaustive. In this particular case, there may be a method that we may have to search that record. Q. But it could be done non-exhaustively? A. If I have a single record in my database and I want to answer the question, does the record contain a particular subset, there can be a way to design the system such that it can answer no, right, without having to visit that one record.	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. That would be, that would qualify as a non-exhaustive search. Q. And likewise, if you have an intelligent process of evaluating which records to review and which records not to review, would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. What would make it a non-exhaustive search is if I have a mechanism by which to eliminate records without having to review each record, right? So if as part of the elimination I have to review a record, you know, as part of the query processing, prior to eliminating, that would be an exhaustive
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	Page 59 here? Q. Correct. A. Can a single record database, right, solve a nonsubset problem using a so the subset identification problem, right, using a non-exhaustive search? Q. Right. A. I can think of nothing on the top of my head. Either one or the other way, I mean there can be other methods that will allow you to do that thing in a non-exhaustive fashion. Like there can be a method that is non-exhaustive. In this particular case, there may be a method that we may have to search that record. Q. But it could be done non-exhaustively? A. If I have a single record in my database and I want to answer the question, does the record contain a particular subset, there can be a way to design the system such that it can answer no, right, without having	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	Page 61 and then can provide some probabilistic recovery damages. Q. So there are approaches where you could just randomly exclude a certain number of records in order to simplify the search process, at the risk of missing a match? A. Yes. Q. Would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. That would be, that would qualify as a non-exhaustive search. Q. And likewise, if you have an intelligent process of evaluating which records to review and which records not to review, would that be a non-exhaustive search? A. What would make it a non-exhaustive search is if I have a mechanism by which to eliminate records without having to review each record, right? So if as part of the elimination I have to review a record, you know, as part of the query processing, prior

16 (Pages 58 - 61)

		<b>J</b> I	
	Page 62		Page 64
1	Q. So what you want is a system where	1	recovery of the records, whether that record
2	you know enough about each record in the	2	exists, without having to look at anything
3	database to make a determination as to	3	else.
4	whether or not to examine that particular	4	MR. LUNER: Is it a time for a
5	record, when presented with a given query,	5	break?
6	correct?	6	MR. NEMEC: Sure. I was just
7	A. Repeat your question again, because	7	noticing it was 10:38.
8	I don't think I follow.	8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
9	Q. Sure. A system, in designing a	9	10:36. We're going off the record.
10	non-exhaustive search system, what you want	10	This will be the end of disk number 1.
11	is an arrangement whereby you know something	11	(A brief recess was taken.)
12	about each record in the database such that	12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
13	when presented with a given query, you can	13	10:48. We're back on the record. This
14	make a determination of whether or not to	14	is the beginning of disk number 2.
15	look at the content of that record?	15	Q. Dr. Karypis, are you familiar with
16	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.	16	the term "linear search"?
17	A. No, that is not how a	17	A. Yes.
18	non-exhaustive method work. I mean in your	18	Q. That's a search that or, pardon
19	example, you still tie the determination of	19	me, that's a term that you used in your
20	not looking something by, you know, making a	20	declaration in this case, right?
21	decision about each record at query time. I	21	A. Yes.
22	mean non-exhaustive search, which at that	22	Q. Is a linear search an exhaustive
23	point in time, you know, the fact that I have	23	search?
24	to make a determination of not to look	24	A. So the term "linear search" is used
25	something by looking at every object, every	25	to characterize the complexity of an
	Page 63		Page 65
1	record, that would still be an exhaustive	1	algorithm and has nothing to do with whether
2	search.	2	an algorithm does or it doesn't
3	A non-exhaustive search is a search	3	Q. I didn't hear the last part, I
4	that it only looks at a subset, right, of	4	apologize.
4 5	that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some	4 5	apologize. A. The term linear search has to do
4 5 6	that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the	4 5 6	<ul><li>apologize.</li><li>A. The term linear search has to do</li><li>with characterizing the complexity of the</li></ul>
4 5 6 7	that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply	4 5 6 7	<ul><li>apologize.</li><li>A. The term linear search has to do</li><li>with characterizing the complexity of the</li><li>algorithm and has nothing to do with whether</li></ul>
4 5 6 7 8	that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider	4 5 6 7 8	apologize. A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.
4 5 6 7 8 9	that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider that.	4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>apologize.</li><li>A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.</li><li>Q. So it's possible to have a linear</li></ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider that. Q. So in the example you just gave,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>apologize.</li><li>A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.</li><li>Q. So it's possible to have a linear search that is non-exhaustive? That wouldn't</li></ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider that.</li><li>Q. So in the example you just gave, the system is completely blind to the content</li></ul>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>apologize.</li><li>A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.</li><li>Q. So it's possible to have a linear search that is non-exhaustive? That wouldn't be a contradiction in terms?</li></ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul><li>that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider that.</li><li>Q. So in the example you just gave, the system is completely blind to the content of the records that you're not searching?</li></ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>apologize.</li> <li>A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible to have a linear search that is non-exhaustive? That wouldn't be a contradiction in terms?</li> <li>A. So if I define the complexity,</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider that.</li> <li>Q. So in the example you just gave, the system is completely blind to the content of the records that you're not searching? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>apologize.</li> <li>A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible to have a linear search that is non-exhaustive? That wouldn't be a contradiction in terms?</li> <li>A. So if I define the complexity, it's so, yes, in the linear search, right,</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider that.</li> <li>Q. So in the example you just gave, the system is completely blind to the content of the records that you're not searching? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So at query time, right, the system</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>apologize.</li> <li>A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible to have a linear search that is non-exhaustive? That wouldn't be a contradiction in terms?</li> <li>A. So if I define the complexity, it's so, yes, in the linear search, right, so when I the parameter that I have of</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider that.</li> <li>Q. So in the example you just gave, the system is completely blind to the content of the records that you're not searching? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So at query time, right, the system only considers the example that it searches.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>apologize.</li> <li>A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible to have a linear search that is non-exhaustive? That wouldn't be a contradiction in terms?</li> <li>A. So if I define the complexity, it's so, yes, in the linear search, right, so when I the parameter that I have of interest in the number of records, I summons</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider that.</li> <li>Q. So in the example you just gave, the system is completely blind to the content of the records that you're not searching? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So at query time, right, the system only considers the example that it searches.</li> <li>Right? That is the query time.</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>apologize.</li> <li>A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible to have a linear search that is non-exhaustive? That wouldn't be a contradiction in terms?</li> <li>A. So if I define the complexity, it's so, yes, in the linear search, right, so when I the parameter that I have of interest in the number of records, I summons a number of records. So if I have a linear</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider that.</li> <li>Q. So in the example you just gave, the system is completely blind to the content of the records that you're not searching? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So at query time, right, the system only considers the example that it searches.</li> <li>Right? That is the query time.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "query time"?</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>apologize.</li> <li>A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible to have a linear search that is non-exhaustive? That wouldn't be a contradiction in terms?</li> <li>A. So if I define the complexity, it's so, yes, in the linear search, right, so when I the parameter that I have of interest in the number of records, I summons a number of records. So if I have a linear time algorithm that is with respect to the</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider that.</li> <li>Q. So in the example you just gave, the system is completely blind to the content of the records that you're not searching? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So at query time, right, the system only considers the example that it searches.</li> <li>Right? That is the query time.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "query time"?</li> <li>A. So again, going back to that very</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>apologize.</li> <li>A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible to have a linear search that is non-exhaustive? That wouldn't be a contradiction in terms?</li> <li>A. So if I define the complexity, it's so, yes, in the linear search, right, so when I the parameter that I have of interest in the number of records, I summons a number of records. So if I have a linear time algorithm that is with respect to the number of records, right, then that will</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider that.</li> <li>Q. So in the example you just gave, the system is completely blind to the content of the records that you're not searching? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So at query time, right, the system only considers the example that it searches.</li> <li>Right? That is the query time.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "query time"?</li> <li>A. So again, going back to that very simple example that I think illustrates many</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>apologize.</li> <li>A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible to have a linear search that is non-exhaustive? That wouldn't be a contradiction in terms?</li> <li>A. So if I define the complexity, it's so, yes, in the linear search, right, so when I the parameter that I have of interest in the number of records, I summons a number of records. So if I have a linear time algorithm that is with respect to the number of records, right, then that will indicate an algorithm I have to search of the</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider that.</li> <li>Q. So in the example you just gave, the system is completely blind to the content of the records that you're not searching? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So at query time, right, the system only considers the example that it searches.</li> <li>Right? That is the query time.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "query time"?</li> <li>A. So again, going back to that very simple example that I think illustrates many of those ideas, you know, a hash table, a</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>apologize.</li> <li>A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible to have a linear search that is non-exhaustive? That wouldn't be a contradiction in terms?</li> <li>A. So if I define the complexity, it's so, yes, in the linear search, right, so when I the parameter that I have of interest in the number of records, I summons a number of records. So if I have a linear time algorithm that is with respect to the number of records, right, then that will indicate an algorithm I have to search of the records, so that would be an exhaustive</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider that.</li> <li>Q. So in the example you just gave, the system is completely blind to the content of the records that you're not searching? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So at query time, right, the system only considers the example that it searches.</li> <li>Right? That is the query time.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "query time"?</li> <li>A. So again, going back to that very simple example that I think illustrates many of those ideas, you know, a hash table, a query time, the algorithms, we never looked</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>apologize.</li> <li>A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible to have a linear search that is non-exhaustive? That wouldn't be a contradiction in terms?</li> <li>A. So if I define the complexity, it's so, yes, in the linear search, right, so when I the parameter that I have of interest in the number of records, I summons a number of records. So if I have a linear time algorithm that is with respect to the number of records, right, then that will indicate an algorithm I have to search of the records, so that would be an exhaustive search.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider that.</li> <li>Q. So in the example you just gave, the system is completely blind to the content of the records that you're not searching? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So at query time, right, the system only considers the example that it searches.</li> <li>Right? That is the query time.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "query time"?</li> <li>A. So again, going back to that very simple example that I think illustrates many of those ideas, you know, a hash table, a query time, the algorithms, we never looked at any of algorithms. Right. The data</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>apologize.</li> <li>A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible to have a linear search that is non-exhaustive? That wouldn't be a contradiction in terms?</li> <li>A. So if I define the complexity, it's so, yes, in the linear search, right, so when I the parameter that I have of interest in the number of records, I summons a number of records. So if I have a linear time algorithm that is with respect to the number of records, right, then that will indicate an algorithm I have to search of the records, so that would be an exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So in the example you just</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider that.</li> <li>Q. So in the example you just gave, the system is completely blind to the content of the records that you're not searching? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So at query time, right, the system only considers the example that it searches.</li> <li>Right? That is the query time.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "query time"?</li> <li>A. So again, going back to that very simple example that I think illustrates many of those ideas, you know, a hash table, a query time, the algorithms, we never looked at any of algorithms. Right. The data structure is designed in such a way so that</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>apologize.</li> <li>A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible to have a linear search that is non-exhaustive? That wouldn't be a contradiction in terms?</li> <li>A. So if I define the complexity, it's so, yes, in the linear search, right, so when I the parameter that I have of interest in the number of records, I summons a number of records. So if I have a linear time algorithm that is with respect to the number of records, right, then that will indicate an algorithm I have to search of the records, so that would be an exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So in the example you just gave, the linear search would be exhaustive,</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>that it only looks at a subset, right, of let's say the records, comes up with some matches; and it doesn't do anything with the rest, not even going through to simply determine whether or not it would consider that.</li> <li>Q. So in the example you just gave, the system is completely blind to the content of the records that you're not searching? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So at query time, right, the system only considers the example that it searches.</li> <li>Right? That is the query time.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "query time"?</li> <li>A. So again, going back to that very simple example that I think illustrates many of those ideas, you know, a hash table, a query time, the algorithms, we never looked at any of algorithms. Right. The data</li> </ul>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>apologize.</li> <li>A. The term linear search has to do with characterizing the complexity of the algorithm and has nothing to do with whether the search is exhaustive or non-exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible to have a linear search that is non-exhaustive? That wouldn't be a contradiction in terms?</li> <li>A. So if I define the complexity, it's so, yes, in the linear search, right, so when I the parameter that I have of interest in the number of records, I summons a number of records. So if I have a linear time algorithm that is with respect to the number of records, right, then that will indicate an algorithm I have to search of the records, so that would be an exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So in the example you just</li> </ul>

17 (Pages 62 - 65)

Karypis

1	Page 66 right, a linear an exhaustive search	1	Page 68 different things.
2	algorithm that has to visit every record will	2	Q. Are different, okay.
3	have a complexity that is going to be in the	$\frac{2}{3}$	Now what about in the context of
4	order of the number of records, so it would		
		4 5	the Cox patents, do you understand those
5	be a linear complexity.		terms to be used the same or different?
6	Q. So is a linear search always	6	A. So in the context of the Cox
7	exhaustive is my question?	7	patents the term "linear" or "sublinear"
8	A. If the goal of the search is	8	refers to linear time or sublinear time. At
9	identify a single record, right, in which the	9	which point in time those are measures of
10	fitness of record is determined entirely by	10	complexity, so they are the same things.
11	the record itself, right, an exhaustive	11	Q. Let's take a moment to look at that
12	search would be a linear search.	12	in the patents. We might as well go ahead
13	Q. I think I understand your question,	13	and mark all four patents at this point so
14	but I'm afraid we may be coming at this from	14	that you have them available as they come up.
15	opposite directions.	15	MR. NEMEC: We will mark as Karypis
16	The question that I'm posing is	16	Deposition Exhibit 2, U.S. Patent
17	whether a linear search is always exhaustive.	17	8,010,988.
18	A. So let me give you an example of a	18	Mark as Karypis Exhibit 3, U.S.
19	linear search that may not necessarily be	19	Patent 8,205,237.
20	exhaustive. Okay.	20	Karypis Exhibit 4 will be U.S.
21	If the part of my search is to find	21	Patent 8,640,179.
22	the best combination of K IDs, of K records	22	And Karypis Exhibit 5 will be U.S.
23	in my database. An exhaustive search of that	23	Patent 8,656,441.
24	will have to enumerate, will have to visit	24	(Karypis Exhibit 2, Photocopy of
25	every, every potential, you know, match,	25	U.S. Patent No. 8,010,988, marked for
			, , , ,
	Page 67		Page 69
1	Page 67 right? So it would be every potential K	1	
	Page 67 right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive	1 2	Page 69 identification, this date.)
1	right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive		Page 69
1 2	right? So it would be every potential K	2	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for
1 2 3	right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be	2 3	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.)
1 2 3 4 5	right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know. Q. Is it typical in the art to	2 3 4	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for
1 2 3 4 5 6	right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.	2 3 4 5 6	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know. Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know. Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database? A. So can you repeat your question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.</li><li>Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li><li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441, marked for
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441, marked for identification, this date.)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441, marked for identification, this date.) Q. Dr. Karypis, you have the four
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441, marked for identification, this date.) Q. Dr. Karypis, you have the four patents in front of you?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in a database?</li> <li>A. So a search that has a linear</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441, marked for identification, this date.) Q. Dr. Karypis, you have the four patents in front of you? A. Uh-huh.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in a database?</li> <li>A. So a search that has a linear complexity, okay, and if its goal is to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441, marked for identification, this date.) Q. Dr. Karypis, you have the four patents in front of you? A. Uh-huh. Q. Take a look at, if you would, at
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in a database?</li> <li>A. So a search that has a linear complexity, okay, and if its goal is to return a single result, right, you know, then</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441, marked for identification, this date.) Q. Dr. Karypis, you have the four patents in front of you? A. Uh-huh. Q. Take a look at, if you would, at Exhibit 2, the '988 patent.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in a database?</li> <li>A. So a search that has a linear complexity, okay, and if its goal is to return a single result, right, you know, then a linear search under those conditions, you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441, marked for identification, this date.) Q. Dr. Karypis, you have the four patents in front of you? A. Uh-huh. Q. Take a look at, if you would, at Exhibit 2, the '988 patent. A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in a database?</li> <li>A. So a search that has a linear complexity, okay, and if its goal is to return a single result, right, you know, then a linear search under those conditions, you know, will lead to a sequential scan.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441, marked for identification, this date.) Q. Dr. Karypis, you have the four patents in front of you? A. Uh-huh. Q. Take a look at, if you would, at Exhibit 2, the '988 patent. A. Yes. Q. And in particular column 9.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\end{array} $	<ul> <li>right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in a database?</li> <li>A. So a search that has a linear complexity, okay, and if its goal is to return a single result, right, you know, then a linear search under those conditions, you know, will lead to a sequential scan.</li> <li>Q. So you've mentioned a couple of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441, marked for identification, this date.) Q. Dr. Karypis, you have the four patents in front of you? A. Uh-huh. Q. Take a look at, if you would, at Exhibit 2, the '988 patent. A. Yes. Q. And in particular column 9. A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in a database?</li> <li>A. So a search that has a linear complexity, okay, and if its goal is to return a single result, right, you know, then a linear search under those conditions, you know, will lead to a sequential scan.</li> <li>Q. So you've mentioned a couple of times the concept of linear complexity, and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441, marked for identification, this date.) Q. Dr. Karypis, you have the four patents in front of you? A. Uh-huh. Q. Take a look at, if you would, at Exhibit 2, the '988 patent. A. Yes. Q. And in particular column 9. A. Yes. Q. And line 25. Do you see a
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	<ul> <li>right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in a database?</li> <li>A. So a search that has a linear complexity, okay, and if its goal is to return a single result, right, you know, then a linear search under those conditions, you know, will lead to a sequential scan.</li> <li>Q. So you've mentioned a couple of times the concept of linear complexity, and my question is simply about the term a linear</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441, marked for identification, this date.) Q. Dr. Karypis, you have the four patents in front of you? A. Uh-huh. Q. Take a look at, if you would, at Exhibit 2, the '988 patent. A. Yes. Q. And in particular column 9. A. Yes. Q. And line 25. Do you see a reference to the term "linear search" there?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in a database?</li> <li>A. So a search that has a linear complexity, okay, and if its goal is to return a single result, right, you know, then a linear search under those conditions, you know, will lead to a sequential scan.</li> <li>Q. So you've mentioned a couple of times the concept of linear complexity, and my question is simply about the term a linear search.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441, marked for identification, this date.) Q. Dr. Karypis, you have the four patents in front of you? A. Uh-huh. Q. Take a look at, if you would, at Exhibit 2, the '988 patent. A. Yes. Q. And in particular column 9. A. Yes. Q. And line 25. Do you see a reference to the term "linear search" there? A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in a database?</li> <li>A. So a search that has a linear complexity, okay, and if its goal is to return a single result, right, you know, then a linear search under those conditions, you know, will lead to a sequential scan.</li> <li>Q. So you've mentioned a couple of times the concept of linear complexity, and my question is simply about the term a linear search.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441, marked for identification, this date.) Q. Dr. Karypis, you have the four patents in front of you? A. Uh-huh. Q. Take a look at, if you would, at Exhibit 2, the '988 patent. A. Yes. Q. And in particular column 9. A. Yes. Q. And line 25. Do you see a reference to the term "linear search" there? A. Yes. Q. What do you understand linear
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in a database?</li> <li>A. So a search that has a linear complexity, okay, and if its goal is to return a single result, right, you know, then a linear search under those conditions, you know, will lead to a sequential scan.</li> <li>Q. So you've mentioned a couple of times the concept of linear complexity, and my question is simply about the term a linear search.</li> <li>Are these two separate concepts, or are they one and the same to you?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441, marked for identification, this date.) Q. Dr. Karypis, you have the four patents in front of you? A. Uh-huh. Q. Take a look at, if you would, at Exhibit 2, the '988 patent. A. Yes. Q. And in particular column 9. A. Yes. Q. And line 25. Do you see a reference to the term "linear search" there? A. Yes. Q. What do you understand linear search to mean in that context?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>right? So it would be every potential K subset, so that would be an exhaustive search, which complexity is now going to be linear than on the records, you know.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to determine a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in the database?</li> <li>A. So can you repeat your question again.</li> <li>Q. Is it typical in the art to define a linear search as a sequential search of all N entries in a database?</li> <li>A. So a search that has a linear complexity, okay, and if its goal is to return a single result, right, you know, then a linear search under those conditions, you know, will lead to a sequential scan.</li> <li>Q. So you've mentioned a couple of times the concept of linear complexity, and my question is simply about the term a linear search.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 69 identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 3, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,237, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 4, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,179, marked for identification, this date.) (Karypis Exhibit 5, Photocopy of U.S. Patent No. 8,656,441, marked for identification, this date.) Q. Dr. Karypis, you have the four patents in front of you? A. Uh-huh. Q. Take a look at, if you would, at Exhibit 2, the '988 patent. A. Yes. Q. And in particular column 9. A. Yes. Q. And line 25. Do you see a reference to the term "linear search" there? A. Yes. Q. What do you understand linear

18 (Pages 66 - 69)

1	Page 70 A. So the meaning of linear search in	1	Page 72 Q. Now, in paragraph 83 you're
2	this context is a sequential search.	2	describing a hypothetical search where the
3	Q. A sequential search, okay.	3	query work is ABC, and you're looking in a
4	That's a different concept from the	4	database for records that would match ABC,
5	linear complexity concept that we were	5	correct?
6	discussing a moment ago?	6	A. Very good.
7	A. So linear, it says over here,	7	Yes, so what was your question
8	describes a way of searching. The linear	8	again?
9	time complexity describes the complexity.	9	Q. Just try me out to make sure I'm
10	Q. And when Dr. Cox uses the term in	10	understanding the example that you've
11	his patent here, he's talking about the way	10	provided here.
12		11	A. Yes.
12	of searching, right?	12	
13	MR. LUNER: Objection, form.	13	Q. Query work is ABC, and the database
	Q. Is that how you interpret him using the term?	14	contains strings of letters, correct? A. Correct.
15			
16	A. So the use of term "linear search"	16	Q. And we're looking for a match to
17	over here has to do with the way he refers to	17	ABC in the database?
18	the the way the algorithm scans	18	A. So the records consists of strings,
19	sequentially the entries of the the N	19	right. We won't have a query. And what
20	entries.	20	we're trying to find out is we're trying to
21	Q. And as described here in the Cox	21	find the record that, you know, you know, has
22	patent, that linear search is an exhaustive	22	ABC, whose string is ABC.
23	search, correct?	23	I believe the setup over here is
24	A. So that would be an example of an	24	very simple. I have records consisting of
25	exhaustive search, yes.	25	three-character words, and my query is a
	Page 71		D 72
1 .	-		Page 73
1	Q. Now, if you take the example of a	1	three-character word, and I want to go and
2	Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a	2	three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.
2 3	Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little	2 3	<ul><li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li><li>Q. And if I look through the database</li></ul>
2 3 4	Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably	2 3 4	<ul><li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li><li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li><li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li><li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li><li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive search, because you have to look at every</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search non-exhaustive?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive search, because you have to look at every entry in every record in the database.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search non-exhaustive?</li> <li>A. So the fact that I have looked at</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive search, because you have to look at every entry in every record in the database.</li> <li>Q. Even if you're not looking at</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search non-exhaustive?</li> <li>A. So the fact that I have looked at every record in the database, you know, makes</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive search, because you have to look at every entry in every record in the database.</li> <li>Q. Even if you're not looking at enough from each entry to really know whether</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search non-exhaustive?</li> <li>A. So the fact that I have looked at every record in the database, you know, makes the search to be exhaustive.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive search, because you have to look at every entry in every record in the database.</li> <li>Q. Even if you're not looking at enough from each entry to really know whether a match exists in that entry or not?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search non-exhaustive?</li> <li>A. So the fact that I have looked at every record in the database, you know, makes the search to be exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So in your example you would not</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive search, because you have to look at every entry in every record in the database.</li> <li>Q. Even if you're not looking at enough from each entry to really know whether a match exists in that entry or not?</li> <li>A. The I believe that's the case.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search non-exhaustive?</li> <li>A. So the fact that I have looked at every record in the database, you know, makes the search to be exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So in your example you would not examine any entry that doesn't begin with A,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive search, because you have to look at every entry in every record in the database.</li> <li>Q. Even if you're not looking at enough from each entry to really know whether a match exists in that entry or not?</li> <li>A. The I believe that's the case.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search non-exhaustive?</li> <li>A. So the fact that I have looked at every record in the database, you know, makes the search to be exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So in your example you would not examine any entry that doesn't begin with A, beyond identifying the fact that that entry</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive search, because you have to look at every entry in every record in the database.</li> <li>Q. Even if you're not looking at enough from each entry to really know whether a match exists in that entry or not?</li> <li>A. The I believe that's the case.</li> <li>Yes, that would be the case. It would be an exhaustive search.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search non-exhaustive?</li> <li>A. So the fact that I have looked at every record in the database, you know, makes the search to be exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So in your example you would not examine any entry that doesn't begin with A, beyond identifying the fact that that entry doesn't begin with A, right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive search, because you have to look at every entry in every record in the database.</li> <li>Q. Even if you're not looking at enough from each entry or not?</li> <li>A. The I believe that's the case.</li> <li>Yes, that would be the case. It would be an exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So even if we look at a single bit</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search non-exhaustive?</li> <li>A. So the fact that I have looked at every record in the database, you know, makes the search to be exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So in your example you would not examine any entry that doesn't begin with A, beyond identifying the fact that that entry doesn't begin with A, right?</li> <li>A. So in my example, we examine every</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive search, because you have to look at every entry in every record in the database.</li> <li>Q. Even if you're not looking at enough from each entry to really know whether a match exists in that entry or not?</li> <li>A. The I believe that's the case.</li> <li>Yes, that would be the case. It would be an exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So even if we look at a single bit of information in every entry in the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search non-exhaustive?</li> <li>A. So the fact that I have looked at every record in the database, you know, makes the search to be exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So in your example you would not examine any entry that doesn't begin with A, beyond identifying the fact that that entry doesn't begin with A, right?</li> <li>A. So in my example, we examine every entry, perform a comparison on the first</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive search, because you have to look at every entry in every record in the database.</li> <li>Q. Even if you're not looking at enough from each entry to really know whether a match exists in that entry or not?</li> <li>A. The I believe that's the case.</li> <li>Yes, that would be the case. It would be an exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So even if we look at a single bit of information in every entry in the database, that's an exhaustive search in your</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search non-exhaustive?</li> <li>A. So the fact that I have looked at every record in the database, you know, makes the search to be exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So in your example you would not examine any entry that doesn't begin with A, beyond identifying the fact that that entry doesn't begin with A, right?</li> <li>A. So in my example, we examine every entry, perform a comparison on the first character and then returns folds of those</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive search, because you have to look at every entry in every record in the database.</li> <li>Q. Even if you're not looking at enough from each entry to really know whether a match exists in that entry or not?</li> <li>A. The I believe that's the case.</li> <li>Yes, that would be the case. It would be an exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So even if we look at a single bit of information in every entry in the</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search non-exhaustive?</li> <li>A. So the fact that I have looked at every record in the database, you know, makes the search to be exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So in your example you would not examine any entry that doesn't begin with A, beyond identifying the fact that that entry doesn't begin with A, right?</li> <li>A. So in my example, we examine every entry, perform a comparison on the first</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive search, because you have to look at every entry in every record in the database.</li> <li>Q. Even if you're not looking at enough from each entry to really know whether a match exists in that entry or not?</li> <li>A. The I believe that's the case.</li> <li>Yes, that would be the case. It would be an exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So even if we look at a single bit of information in every entry in the database, that's an exhaustive search in your</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search non-exhaustive?</li> <li>A. So the fact that I have looked at every record in the database, you know, makes the search to be exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So in your example you would not examine any entry that doesn't begin with A, beyond identifying the fact that that entry doesn't begin with A, right?</li> <li>A. So in my example, we examine every entry, perform a comparison on the first character and then returns folds of those records that did not match.</li> <li>Q. And it will examine further the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive search, because you have to look at every entry in every record in the database.</li> <li>Q. Even if you're not looking at enough from each entry to really know whether a match exists in that entry or not?</li> <li>A. The I believe that's the case.</li> <li>Yes, that would be the case. It would be an exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So even if we look at a single bit of information in every entry in the database, that's an exhaustive search in your view?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search non-exhaustive?</li> <li>A. So the fact that I have looked at every record in the database, you know, makes the search to be exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So in your example you would not examine any entry that doesn't begin with A, beyond identifying the fact that that entry doesn't begin with A, right?</li> <li>A. So in my example, we examine every entry, perform a comparison on the first character and then returns folds of those records that did not match.</li> <li>Q. And it will examine further the entries that do begin with A, correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive search, because you have to look at every entry in every record in the database.</li> <li>Q. Even if you're not looking at enough from each entry or not?</li> <li>A. The I believe that's the case.</li> <li>Yes, that would be the case. It would be an exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So even if we look at a single bit of information in every entry in the database, that's an exhaustive search in your view?</li> <li>A. That's an exhaustive search in my</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search non-exhaustive?</li> <li>A. So the fact that I have looked at every record in the database, you know, makes the search to be exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So in your example you would not examine any entry that doesn't begin with A, beyond identifying the fact that that entry doesn't begin with A, right?</li> <li>A. So in my example, we examine every entry, perform a comparison on the first character and then returns folds of those records that did not match.</li> <li>Q. And it will examine further the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Now, if you take the example of a search that considers every entry in a multi-entry database but looks at so little of each entry that it can't reliably determine whether a match exists in that entry or not, would you still characterize that as an exhaustive search?</li> <li>A. So that would be an exhaustive search, because you have to look at every entry in every record in the database.</li> <li>Q. Even if you're not looking at enough from each entry or not?</li> <li>A. The I believe that's the case.</li> <li>Yes, that would be the case. It would be an exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So even if we look at a single bit of information in every entry in the database, that's an exhaustive search in your view?</li> <li>A. That's an exhaustive search in my view, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>three-character word, and I want to go and find a matching record.</li> <li>Q. And if I look through the database in your example to seek a match to ABC, I look at the first letter in each database entry and exclude those that don't begin with A, to narrow the data set.</li> <li>Does that step render the search non-exhaustive?</li> <li>A. So the fact that I have looked at every record in the database, you know, makes the search to be exhaustive.</li> <li>Q. So in your example you would not examine any entry that doesn't begin with A, beyond identifying the fact that that entry doesn't begin with A, right?</li> <li>A. So in my example, we examine every entry, perform a comparison on the first character and then returns folds of those records that did not match.</li> <li>Q. And it will examine further the entries that do begin with A, correct?</li> </ul>

19 (Pages 70 - 73)

		ypis	
	Page 74		Page 76
1	A. Well, that would be done on a	1	non-exhaustively?
2	record-by-record basis. Given a query, given	2	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
3	a record, first compare the first character	3	A. So the question you're asking is, I
4	of the query and the first character of the	4	have a hypothetical database containing
5	record. If they match, we continue. The	5	strings of length 9, right?
6	second character of the query would be the	6	Q. Right.
7	second character of the record. If there's a	7	A. And my query is a string of length
8	match, we'll continue.	8	3?
9	But if at any given point in the	9	Q. Correct.
10	process there is no match, we terminate, we	10	A. And I want to solve a subset
11	return false, and we move on to the second	11	problem?
12	one.	12	Q. Correct.
13	Q. Without looking further at the	13	A. Substring problem, right? It's a
14	remainder of that reference, correct?	14	substring contained in one of the records.
15	A. You're correct.	15	Q. Right.
16	Q. Now, in your example you're looking	16	A. And your question is, can I think
17	for an exact match to ABC, correct?	17	of a method that would allow me to do that
18	A. In this particular case, I believe	18	thing in a non-exhaustive way?
19	so, yes.	19	Q. Right.
20	Q. What if you were running this	20	A. So the answer to that is yes. This
21	search to look for something that was similar	21	is exactly the same example that, the
22	to ABC?	22	solution approach that I gave you for your
23	A. How will you define "similar"?	23	example of a one single record database.
24	Q. What if we were looking for	24	Q. And how would that, how would it
25	something that had at least two of the	25	work?
	Page 75		Page 77
1			
	letters in sequence in common?	1	A. So if I was to design a system that
2	A. Okay. So in a scenario like that,	2	would allow me to do in that fashion, I will
2 3	A. Okay. So in a scenario like that, in this particular example, I will compare A	2 3	would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from
2 3 4	A. Okay. So in a scenario like that, in this particular example, I will compare A with B, right. So but I can potentially	2 3 4	would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring
2 3 4 5	A. Okay. So in a scenario like that, in this particular example, I will compare A with B, right. So but I can potentially have, you know, B and C matching what comes	2 3 4 5	would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to
2 3 4 5 6	A. Okay. So in a scenario like that, in this particular example, I will compare A with B, right. So but I can potentially have, you know, B and C matching what comes afterwards. So I will continue, you know,	2 3 4 5 6	would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Okay. So in a scenario like that, in this particular example, I will compare A with B, right. So but I can potentially have, you know, B and C matching what comes afterwards. So I will continue, you know, comparing until I can reliably determine that	2 3 4 5 6 7	would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Okay. So in a scenario like that, in this particular example, I will compare A with B, right. So but I can potentially have, you know, B and C matching what comes afterwards. So I will continue, you know, comparing until I can reliably determine that that string cannot qualify as a match, at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Okay. So in a scenario like that, in this particular example, I will compare A with B, right. So but I can potentially have, you know, B and C matching what comes afterwards. So I will continue, you know, comparing until I can reliably determine that that string cannot qualify as a match, at which point in time I will stop examining any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Okay. So in a scenario like that, in this particular example, I will compare A with B, right. So but I can potentially have, you know, B and C matching what comes afterwards. So I will continue, you know, comparing until I can reliably determine that that string cannot qualify as a match, at which point in time I will stop examining any more records.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to a hash table idea; and then given that query
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Okay. So in a scenario like that,</li> <li>in this particular example, I will compare A</li> <li>with B, right. So but I can potentially</li> <li>have, you know, B and C matching what comes</li> <li>afterwards. So I will continue, you know,</li> <li>comparing until I can reliably determine that</li> <li>that string cannot qualify as a match, at</li> <li>which point in time I will stop examining any</li> <li>more records.</li> <li>Q. In that case you may need to get</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to a hash table idea; and then given that query string, I will just then search the records
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Okay. So in a scenario like that,</li> <li>in this particular example, I will compare A</li> <li>with B, right. So but I can potentially</li> <li>have, you know, B and C matching what comes</li> <li>afterwards. So I will continue, you know,</li> <li>comparing until I can reliably determine that</li> <li>that string cannot qualify as a match, at</li> <li>which point in time I will stop examining any</li> <li>more records.</li> <li>Q. In that case you may need to get</li> <li>through every letter in the sequence in every</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to a hash table idea; and then given that query string, I will just then search the records that have that and nothing else.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Okay. So in a scenario like that,</li> <li>in this particular example, I will compare A</li> <li>with B, right. So but I can potentially</li> <li>have, you know, B and C matching what comes</li> <li>afterwards. So I will continue, you know,</li> <li>comparing until I can reliably determine that</li> <li>that string cannot qualify as a match, at</li> <li>which point in time I will stop examining any</li> <li>more records.</li> <li>Q. In that case you may need to get</li> <li>through every letter in the sequence in every</li> <li>entry in the database to determine whether or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to a hash table idea; and then given that query string, I will just then search the records that have that and nothing else. That would be an non-exhaustive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Okay. So in a scenario like that,</li> <li>in this particular example, I will compare A</li> <li>with B, right. So but I can potentially</li> <li>have, you know, B and C matching what comes</li> <li>afterwards. So I will continue, you know,</li> <li>comparing until I can reliably determine that</li> <li>that string cannot qualify as a match, at</li> <li>which point in time I will stop examining any</li> <li>more records.</li> <li>Q. In that case you may need to get</li> <li>through every letter in the sequence in every</li> <li>entry in the database to determine whether or</li> <li>not there is a match, correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to a hash table idea; and then given that query string, I will just then search the records that have that and nothing else. That would be an non-exhaustive search.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Okay. So in a scenario like that,</li> <li>in this particular example, I will compare A</li> <li>with B, right. So but I can potentially</li> <li>have, you know, B and C matching what comes</li> <li>afterwards. So I will continue, you know,</li> <li>comparing until I can reliably determine that</li> <li>that string cannot qualify as a match, at</li> <li>which point in time I will stop examining any</li> <li>more records.</li> <li>Q. In that case you may need to get</li> <li>through every letter in the sequence in every</li> <li>entry in the database to determine whether or</li> <li>not there is a match, correct?</li> <li>A. I would think that depending on the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to a hash table idea; and then given that query string, I will just then search the records that have that and nothing else. That would be an non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So in the process you just</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Okay. So in a scenario like that,</li> <li>in this particular example, I will compare A</li> <li>with B, right. So but I can potentially</li> <li>have, you know, B and C matching what comes</li> <li>afterwards. So I will continue, you know,</li> <li>comparing until I can reliably determine that</li> <li>that string cannot qualify as a match, at</li> <li>which point in time I will stop examining any</li> <li>more records.</li> <li>Q. In that case you may need to get</li> <li>through every letter in the sequence in every</li> <li>entry in the database to determine whether or</li> <li>not there is a match, correct?</li> <li>A. I would think that depending on the</li> <li>query string and the definition of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to a hash table idea; and then given that query string, I will just then search the records that have that and nothing else. That would be an non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So in the process you just described, then, you'd consider all the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Okay. So in a scenario like that, in this particular example, I will compare A with B, right. So but I can potentially have, you know, B and C matching what comes afterwards. So I will continue, you know, comparing until I can reliably determine that that string cannot qualify as a match, at which point in time I will stop examining any more records.</li> <li>Q. In that case you may need to get through every letter in the sequence in every entry in the database to determine whether or not there is a match, correct?</li> <li>A. I would think that depending on the query string and the definition of "similarity" that you have, that would</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to a hash table idea; and then given that query string, I will just then search the records that have that and nothing else. That would be an non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So in the process you just described, then, you'd consider all the extracted index values?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Okay. So in a scenario like that,</li> <li>in this particular example, I will compare A</li> <li>with B, right. So but I can potentially</li> <li>have, you know, B and C matching what comes</li> <li>afterwards. So I will continue, you know,</li> <li>comparing until I can reliably determine that</li> <li>that string cannot qualify as a match, at</li> <li>which point in time I will stop examining any</li> <li>more records.</li> <li>Q. In that case you may need to get</li> <li>through every letter in the sequence in every</li> <li>entry in the database to determine whether or</li> <li>not there is a match, correct?</li> <li>A. I would think that depending on the</li> <li>query string and the definition of</li> <li>"similarity" that you have, that would</li> <li>require to actually compare everything, in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to a hash table idea; and then given that query string, I will just then search the records that have that and nothing else. That would be an non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So in the process you just described, then, you'd consider all the extracted index values?</li> <li>A. Not during the query time, if that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Okay. So in a scenario like that,</li> <li>in this particular example, I will compare A</li> <li>with B, right. So but I can potentially</li> <li>have, you know, B and C matching what comes</li> <li>afterwards. So I will continue, you know,</li> <li>comparing until I can reliably determine that</li> <li>that string cannot qualify as a match, at</li> <li>which point in time I will stop examining any</li> <li>more records.</li> <li>Q. In that case you may need to get</li> <li>through every letter in the sequence in every</li> <li>entry in the database to determine whether or</li> <li>not there is a match, correct?</li> <li>A. I would think that depending on the</li> <li>query string and the definition of</li> <li>"similarity" that you have, that would</li> <li>require to actually compare everything, in</li> <li>other words, to not early terminate a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to a hash table idea; and then given that query string, I will just then search the records that have that and nothing else. That would be an non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So in the process you just described, then, you'd consider all the extracted index values?</li> <li>A. Not during the query time, if that is what your question is. During the query</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Okay. So in a scenario like that, in this particular example, I will compare A with B, right. So but I can potentially have, you know, B and C matching what comes afterwards. So I will continue, you know, comparing until I can reliably determine that that string cannot qualify as a match, at which point in time I will stop examining any more records.</li> <li>Q. In that case you may need to get through every letter in the sequence in every entry in the database to determine whether or not there is a match, correct?</li> <li>A. I would think that depending on the query string and the definition of "similarity" that you have, that would require to actually compare everything, in other words, to not early terminate a comparison.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to a hash table idea; and then given that query string, I will just then search the records that have that and nothing else. That would be an non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So in the process you just described, then, you'd consider all the extracted index values?</li> <li>A. Not during the query time, if that is what your question is. During the query time I only consider the extracted index</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Okay. So in a scenario like that,</li> <li>in this particular example, I will compare A</li> <li>with B, right. So but I can potentially</li> <li>have, you know, B and C matching what comes</li> <li>afterwards. So I will continue, you know,</li> <li>comparing until I can reliably determine that</li> <li>that string cannot qualify as a match, at</li> <li>which point in time I will stop examining any</li> <li>more records.</li> <li>Q. In that case you may need to get</li> <li>through every letter in the sequence in every</li> <li>entry in the database to determine whether or</li> <li>not there is a match, correct?</li> <li>A. I would think that depending on the</li> <li>query string and the definition of</li> <li>"similarity" that you have, that would</li> <li>require to actually compare everything, in</li> <li>other words, to not early terminate a</li> <li>comparison.</li> <li>Q. What about a system in which your</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to a hash table idea; and then given that query string, I will just then search the records that have that and nothing else. That would be an non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So in the process you just described, then, you'd consider all the extracted index values?</li> <li>A. Not during the query time, if that is what your question is. During the query time I only consider the extracted index values that match my query.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Okay. So in a scenario like that, in this particular example, I will compare A with B, right. So but I can potentially have, you know, B and C matching what comes afterwards. So I will continue, you know, comparing until I can reliably determine that that string cannot qualify as a match, at which point in time I will stop examining any more records.</li> <li>Q. In that case you may need to get through every letter in the sequence in every entry in the database to determine whether or not there is a match, correct?</li> <li>A. I would think that depending on the query string and the definition of "similarity" that you have, that would require to actually compare everything, in other words, to not early terminate a comparison.</li> <li>Q. What about a system in which your database contained nine-letter strings, and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to a hash table idea; and then given that query string, I will just then search the records that have that and nothing else. That would be an non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So in the process you just described, then, you'd consider all the extracted index values?</li> <li>A. Not during the query time, if that is what your question is. During the query time I only consider the extracted index values that match my query.</li> <li>Q. So to form the extracted index</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Okay. So in a scenario like that, in this particular example, I will compare A with B, right. So but I can potentially have, you know, B and C matching what comes afterwards. So I will continue, you know, comparing until I can reliably determine that that string cannot qualify as a match, at which point in time I will stop examining any more records.</li> <li>Q. In that case you may need to get through every letter in the sequence in every entry in the database to determine whether or not there is a match, correct?</li> <li>A. I would think that depending on the query string and the definition of "similarity" that you have, that would require to actually compare everything, in other words, to not early terminate a comparison.</li> <li>Q. What about a system in which your database contained nine-letter strings, and your goal was to find the presence of a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to a hash table idea; and then given that query string, I will just then search the records that have that and nothing else. That would be an non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So in the process you just described, then, you'd consider all the extracted index values?</li> <li>A. Not during the query time, if that is what your question is. During the query time I only consider the extracted index values that match my query.</li> <li>Q. So to form the extracted index values, you take into account the content of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Okay. So in a scenario like that, in this particular example, I will compare A with B, right. So but I can potentially have, you know, B and C matching what comes afterwards. So I will continue, you know, comparing until I can reliably determine that that string cannot qualify as a match, at which point in time I will stop examining any more records.</li> <li>Q. In that case you may need to get through every letter in the sequence in every entry in the database to determine whether or not there is a match, correct?</li> <li>A. I would think that depending on the query string and the definition of "similarity" that you have, that would require to actually compare everything, in other words, to not early terminate a comparison.</li> <li>Q. What about a system in which your database contained nine-letter strings, and your goal was to find the presence of a three-letter string in one of the database</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to a hash table idea; and then given that query string, I will just then search the records that have that and nothing else. That would be an non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So in the process you just described, then, you'd consider all the extracted index values?</li> <li>A. Not during the query time, if that is what your question is. During the query time I only consider the extracted index values, you take into account the content of each record, correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Okay. So in a scenario like that, in this particular example, I will compare A with B, right. So but I can potentially have, you know, B and C matching what comes afterwards. So I will continue, you know, comparing until I can reliably determine that that string cannot qualify as a match, at which point in time I will stop examining any more records.</li> <li>Q. In that case you may need to get through every letter in the sequence in every entry in the database to determine whether or not there is a match, correct?</li> <li>A. I would think that depending on the query string and the definition of "similarity" that you have, that would require to actually compare everything, in other words, to not early terminate a comparison.</li> <li>Q. What about a system in which your database contained nine-letter strings, and your goal was to find the presence of a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>would allow me to do in that fashion, I will for each query in the for each string from the database I will extract every substring of length 3, use those substrings to, to create let's say in the context of strings, it would probably make more sense. There's a database structure called an inverted index, which is something similar to a hash table idea; and then given that query string, I will just then search the records that have that and nothing else. That would be an non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>Q. So in the process you just described, then, you'd consider all the extracted index values?</li> <li>A. Not during the query time, if that is what your question is. During the query time I only consider the extracted index values that match my query.</li> <li>Q. So to form the extracted index values, you take into account the content of</li> </ul>

20 (Pages 74 - 77)

Karypis

		J P10	
1	Page 78		Page 80
1	time, you know, I do it, yes.	1	Q. Is it your understanding from the
2	Q. And then at the query time, you	2	disclosure in the Cox patents that Dr. Cox
3	consider only the, only the index values that	3	was not concerned or
4	have something in common with your query?	4	MR. NEMEC: Strike that.
5	A. That is correct.	5	Q was not interested in finding an
6	(Discussion off the record.)	6	exact match in the data sets to be searched?
7	A. That is correct.	7	A. I don't recall that, you know, in
8	Q. I'm going to move on to another	8	the disclosure that it was an explicit
9	term. The term "neighbor" appears in certain	9	statement, saying it's, you know, we do not
10	of the challenge claims of the Cox patents,	10	want the exact match or the closest match.
11	right?	11	Q. Some of the examples Dr. Cox uses
12	A. That is correct.	12	for application of his invention in the
13	Q. As well as "near neighbor"?	13	patents are to identify songs and video
14	A. I believe so.	14	works, correct?
15	Q. And the term "neighbor search" also	15	A. I believe so.
16	appears in some claims?	16	Q. You think it's within the spirit of
17	A. I believe so.	17	that application to ignore exact matches of
18	Q. And "identifying a neighbor"	18	audio or video works in the search process?
10	appears in some claims?	18	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
			6
20	A. I believe so.	20	A. So again, I'm not very familiar
21	Q. As of 2000, is neighbor searching	21	with those applications from an
22	something that you were familiar with?	22	implementation and from a business
23	A. Yes.	23	standpoint, right; but ignoring the exact
24	Q. Dr. Cox doesn't purport to have	24	matches, right, is you know, those things
25	invented neighbor searching in his patents,	25	exist, right. There should not be, no.
	Page 79		Page 81
1	does he?	1	Q. So if the intent of your system is
2	A. I don't believe so.	2	to identify a song in a database, if that
3	Q. And in fact it was a concept well	3	exact work exists in the database, you're
3	Q. And in fact it was a concept well	3	exact work exists in the database, you're
3 4	Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and	3 4	exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right?
3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li><li>A. That is true.</li></ul>	3 4 5	exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. I mean to a large extent that has
3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li><li>A. That is true.</li><li>Q. In general, what was neighbor</li></ul>	3 4 5 6 7	exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I
3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li><li>A. That is true.</li></ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8	exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li> <li>A. That is true.</li> <li>Q. In general, what was neighbor searching used for as of 2000?</li> <li>A. So I can think of two two</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if there is something identical in your</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li> <li>A. That is true.</li> <li>Q. In general, what was neighbor searching used for as of 2000?</li> <li>A. So I can think of two two journal applications for that. One is to</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if there is something identical in your database, you know, that may not necessarily</li> </ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li> <li>A. That is true.</li> <li>Q. In general, what was neighbor searching used for as of 2000?</li> <li>A. So I can think of two two journal applications for that. One is to speed up search, and the second one is to</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if there is something identical in your database, you know, that may not necessarily be what you're after, something that is close</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li> <li>A. That is true.</li> <li>Q. In general, what was neighbor searching used for as of 2000?</li> <li>A. So I can think of two two journal applications for that. One is to speed up search, and the second one is to enable actually, speeding up search is</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if there is something identical in your database, you know, that may not necessarily be what you're after, something that is close to that. And you know, for a typical</li> </ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li> <li>A. That is true.</li> <li>Q. In general, what was neighbor searching used for as of 2000?</li> <li>A. So I can think of two two journal applications for that. One is to speed up search, and the second one is to enable actually, speeding up search is probably the primary application of that. I</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if there is something identical in your database, you know, that may not necessarily be what you're after, something that is close to that. And you know, for a typical example, something like that would be like a</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li> <li>A. That is true.</li> <li>Q. In general, what was neighbor searching used for as of 2000?</li> <li>A. So I can think of two two journal applications for that. One is to speed up search, and the second one is to enable actually, speeding up search is probably the primary application of that. I mean the driver behind it.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if there is something identical in your database, you know, that may not necessarily be what you're after, something that is close to that. And you know, for a typical example, something like that would be like a recommended system.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li> <li>A. That is true.</li> <li>Q. In general, what was neighbor searching used for as of 2000?</li> <li>A. So I can think of two two journal applications for that. One is to speed up search, and the second one is to enable actually, speeding up search is probably the primary application of that. I mean the driver behind it.</li> <li>Q. And how is it that use of neighbor</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if there is something identical in your database, you know, that may not necessarily be what you're after, something that is close to that. And you know, for a typical example, something like that would be like a recommended system.</li> <li>Q. In your work have you ever designed</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li> <li>A. That is true.</li> <li>Q. In general, what was neighbor searching used for as of 2000?</li> <li>A. So I can think of two two journal applications for that. One is to speed up search, and the second one is to enable actually, speeding up search is probably the primary application of that. I mean the driver behind it.</li> <li>Q. And how is it that use of neighbor searching would speed up the search?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if there is something identical in your database, you know, that may not necessarily be what you're after, something that is close to that. And you know, for a typical example, something like that would be like a recommended system.</li> <li>Q. In your work have you ever designed a system for recognition of audio content?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li> <li>A. That is true.</li> <li>Q. In general, what was neighbor searching used for as of 2000?</li> <li>A. So I can think of two two journal applications for that. One is to speed up search, and the second one is to enable actually, speeding up search is probably the primary application of that. I mean the driver behind it.</li> <li>Q. And how is it that use of neighbor searching would speed up the search?</li> <li>A. Well, the general idea of a</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if there is something identical in your database, you know, that may not necessarily be what you're after, something that is close to that. And you know, for a typical example, something like that would be like a recommended system.</li> <li>Q. In your work have you ever designed a system for recognition of audio content?</li> <li>A. No, I haven't.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li> <li>A. That is true.</li> <li>Q. In general, what was neighbor searching used for as of 2000?</li> <li>A. So I can think of two two journal applications for that. One is to speed up search, and the second one is to enable actually, speeding up search is probably the primary application of that. I mean the driver behind it.</li> <li>Q. And how is it that use of neighbor searching would speed up the search?</li> <li>A. Well, the general idea of a neighbor search, right, which is, the goal is</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if there is something identical in your database, you know, that may not necessarily be what you're after, something that is close to that. And you know, for a typical example, something like that would be like a recommended system.</li> <li>Q. In your work have you ever designed a system for recognition of audio content?</li> <li>A. No, I haven't.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever designed a system for</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li> <li>A. That is true.</li> <li>Q. In general, what was neighbor searching used for as of 2000?</li> <li>A. So I can think of two two journal applications for that. One is to speed up search, and the second one is to enable actually, speeding up search is probably the primary application of that. I mean the driver behind it.</li> <li>Q. And how is it that use of neighbor searching would speed up the search?</li> <li>A. Well, the general idea of a neighbor search, right, which is, the goal is to identify a close but not necessarily the</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if there is something identical in your database, you know, that may not necessarily be what you're after, something that is close to that. And you know, for a typical example, something like that would be like a recommended system.</li> <li>Q. In your work have you ever designed a system for recognition of audio content?</li> <li>A. No, I haven't.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever designed a system for recognition of video content?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li> <li>A. That is true.</li> <li>Q. In general, what was neighbor searching used for as of 2000?</li> <li>A. So I can think of two two journal applications for that. One is to speed up search, and the second one is to enable actually, speeding up search is probably the primary application of that. I mean the driver behind it.</li> <li>Q. And how is it that use of neighbor searching would speed up the search?</li> <li>A. Well, the general idea of a neighbor search, right, which is, the goal is to identify a close but not necessarily the closest match, right. It, you know, it makes</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if there is something identical in your database, you know, that may not necessarily be what you're after, something that is close to that. And you know, for a typical example, something like that would be like a recommended system.</li> <li>Q. In your work have you ever designed a system for recognition of audio content?</li> <li>A. No, I haven't.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever designed a system for recognition of video content?</li> <li>A. No, I have not.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li> <li>A. That is true.</li> <li>Q. In general, what was neighbor searching used for as of 2000?</li> <li>A. So I can think of two two journal applications for that. One is to speed up search, and the second one is to enable actually, speeding up search is probably the primary application of that. I mean the driver behind it.</li> <li>Q. And how is it that use of neighbor searching would speed up the search?</li> <li>A. Well, the general idea of a neighbor search, right, which is, the goal is to identify a close but not necessarily the closest match, right. It, you know, it makes it, the logic, it makes it easy to make</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if there is something identical in your database, you know, that may not necessarily be what you're after, something that is close to that. And you know, for a typical example, something like that would be like a recommended system.</li> <li>Q. In your work have you ever designed a system for recognition of audio content?</li> <li>A. No, I haven't.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever designed a system for recognition of video content?</li> <li>A. No, I have not.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever written any papers</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li> <li>A. That is true.</li> <li>Q. In general, what was neighbor searching used for as of 2000?</li> <li>A. So I can think of two two journal applications for that. One is to speed up search, and the second one is to enable actually, speeding up search is probably the primary application of that. I mean the driver behind it.</li> <li>Q. And how is it that use of neighbor searching would speed up the search?</li> <li>A. Well, the general idea of a neighbor search, right. It, you know, it makes it, the logic, it makes it easy to make optimizations through the search process that</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if there is something identical in your database, you know, that may not necessarily be what you're after, something that is close to that. And you know, for a typical example, something like that would be like a recommended system.</li> <li>Q. In your work have you ever designed a system for recognition of audio content?</li> <li>A. No, I haven't.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever designed a system for recognition of video content?</li> <li>A. No, I have not.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever written any papers about audio or video recognition technology?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li> <li>A. That is true.</li> <li>Q. In general, what was neighbor searching used for as of 2000?</li> <li>A. So I can think of two two journal applications for that. One is to speed up search, and the second one is to enable actually, speeding up search is probably the primary application of that. I mean the driver behind it.</li> <li>Q. And how is it that use of neighbor searching would speed up the search?</li> <li>A. Well, the general idea of a neighbor search, right, which is, the goal is to identify a close but not necessarily the closest match, right. It, you know, it makes it, the logic, it makes it easy to make optimizations through the search process that would allow you to get to an algorithm</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if there is something identical in your database, you know, that may not necessarily be what you're after, something that is close to that. And you know, for a typical example, something like that would be like a recommended system.</li> <li>Q. In your work have you ever designed a system for recognition of audio content?</li> <li>A. No, I haven't.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever designed a system for recognition of video content?</li> <li>A. No, I have not.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever written any papers about audio or video recognition technology?</li> <li>A. No, I have not.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And in fact it was a concept well known to people skilled in the art in and before 2000?</li> <li>A. That is true.</li> <li>Q. In general, what was neighbor searching used for as of 2000?</li> <li>A. So I can think of two two journal applications for that. One is to speed up search, and the second one is to enable actually, speeding up search is probably the primary application of that. I mean the driver behind it.</li> <li>Q. And how is it that use of neighbor searching would speed up the search?</li> <li>A. Well, the general idea of a neighbor search, right. It, you know, it makes it, the logic, it makes it easy to make optimizations through the search process that</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>exact work exists in the database, you're going to want to find it, right? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I mean to a large extent that has to do with a specific application, right? I can take scenarios in which given a query, if there is something identical in your database, you know, that may not necessarily be what you're after, something that is close to that. And you know, for a typical example, something like that would be like a recommended system.</li> <li>Q. In your work have you ever designed a system for recognition of audio content?</li> <li>A. No, I haven't.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever designed a system for recognition of video content?</li> <li>A. No, I have not.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever written any papers about audio or video recognition technology?</li> </ul>

21 (Pages 78 - 81)

1	Page 82 but would also identify an exact match if	1	Page 84
1 2	but would also identify an exact match, if one were present in the data set being	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	alpha neighbor search, you know. You identify everybody, right, within a certain
2 3	searched, do you believe a person skilled in	$\frac{2}{3}$	distance of your query or that came more
4	the art would have been correct to	4	similar things and so forth.
4 5	characterize that as a neighbor search?	5	Q. Okay. Would a nearest neighbor be
6	MR. LUNER: Objection.	6	a form of a neighbor?
0 7	A. So I believe this is an issue that	7	
8	I have not addressed in length in my	8	A. If I understand your question, you're asking me is an object, right, that is
9	declaration, and I believe this is addressed	9	the nearest neighbor, whether or not that is
10	in	10	also a near neighbor?
10	Q. Let me stop you for a second.	11	Q. Or a neighbor.
12	Before you consult your	12	A. Or a neighbor.
12	declaration, are you able to answer that	12	What is your definition of a
13	question from your experience in the field?	14	"neighbor"?
14	A. Yeah. I mean so your question,	15	Q. I would prefer to work from your
16	if just to make sure I understand it is,	16	definition of a neighbor as it was understood
17	if I have a search, right, that will return,	17	in the year 2000.
18	in addition to a close match, always an exact	18	So let's start with that.
19	match, would that be a neighbor search or	10	A. I mean the notion of a neighbor,
20	not	20	right, is someone that is close but not
20	Q. Correct.	20	necessarily the best or the optimal solution
21	A correct?	$\frac{21}{22}$	to a search, right, so that would be a
22	So if that search will always	22	neighbor.
23 24	return the exact match, right, that would be,	23	So the optimal solution to a
24 25	that would not be a neighbor search, that	24	search, you know, will definitely be a subset
23	that would not be a heighbor search, that	25	scaren, you know, will definitely be a subset
	Page 83		Page 85
1	would be a, you know, a nearest neighbor	1	of the neighbor. So to answer your question,
2	search. Actually in this case it would be an	2	yes, the closest would be close as well.
3	exact search.	3	Q. Okay. Now as of 2000, would the
4	Q. I'm asking that based on the	4	search that always returned the closest match
		- I	-
5	knowledge of people skilled in the art as of	5	in the database being searched be accurately
6	2000, so separate from how the term may be	6	in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?
6 7	2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the	6 7	<ul><li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li><li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li></ul>
6 7 8	2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents.	6 7 8	<ul><li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li><li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li><li>If it returned the closest, it will not be</li></ul>
6 7 8 9	<ul><li>2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents.</li><li>A. I mean if I have a search, right,</li></ul>	6 7 8 9	<ul><li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li><li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li><li>If it returned the closest, it will not be characterized as a neighbor search.</li></ul>
6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents.</li><li>A. I mean if I have a search, right, that is always guaranteed to return the</li></ul>	6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li> <li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li> <li>If it returned the closest, it will not be characterized as a neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. What would people skilled in the</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents.</li><li>A. I mean if I have a search, right, that is always guaranteed to return the exact, like somebody skilled in the art, you</li></ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li> <li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li> <li>If it returned the closest, it will not be characterized as a neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. What would people skilled in the art have called that kind of a search as of</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul><li>2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents.</li><li>A. I mean if I have a search, right, that is always guaranteed to return the exact, like somebody skilled in the art, you know, in the 2000 frame that you mentioned,</li></ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li> <li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li> <li>If it returned the closest, it will not be characterized as a neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. What would people skilled in the art have called that kind of a search as of 2000?</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul><li>2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents.</li><li>A. I mean if I have a search, right, that is always guaranteed to return the exact, like somebody skilled in the art, you know, in the 2000 frame that you mentioned, that would not characterize the thing as a</li></ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li> <li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li> <li>If it returned the closest, it will not be characterized as a neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. What would people skilled in the art have called that kind of a search as of 2000?</li> <li>A. We would call that, use the term</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul><li>2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents.</li><li>A. I mean if I have a search, right, that is always guaranteed to return the exact, like somebody skilled in the art, you know, in the 2000 frame that you mentioned, that would not characterize the thing as a near neighbor search, right? I mean that is</li></ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li> <li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li> <li>If it returned the closest, it will not be characterized as a neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. What would people skilled in the art have called that kind of a search as of 2000?</li> <li>A. We would call that, use the term the nearest neighbor search.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents. A. I mean if I have a search, right, that is always guaranteed to return the exact, like somebody skilled in the art, you know, in the 2000 frame that you mentioned, that would not characterize the thing as a near neighbor search, right? I mean that is a	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li> <li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li> <li>If it returned the closest, it will not be characterized as a neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. What would people skilled in the art have called that kind of a search as of 2000?</li> <li>A. We would call that, use the term the nearest neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. And is the nearest neighbor search</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents. A. I mean if I have a search, right, that is always guaranteed to return the exact, like somebody skilled in the art, you know, in the 2000 frame that you mentioned, that would not characterize the thing as a near neighbor search, right? I mean that is a Q. So what would one skilled in the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li> <li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li> <li>If it returned the closest, it will not be characterized as a neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. What would people skilled in the art have called that kind of a search as of 2000?</li> <li>A. We would call that, use the term the nearest neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. And is the nearest neighbor search a form of a neighbor search?</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents.</li> <li>A. I mean if I have a search, right, that is always guaranteed to return the exact, like somebody skilled in the art, you know, in the 2000 frame that you mentioned, that would not characterize the thing as a near neighbor search, right? I mean that is a</li> <li>Q. So what would one skilled in the art call a search that is designed to</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li> <li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li> <li>If it returned the closest, it will not be characterized as a neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. What would people skilled in the art have called that kind of a search as of 2000?</li> <li>A. We would call that, use the term the nearest neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. And is the nearest neighbor search a form of a neighbor search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents.</li> <li>A. I mean if I have a search, right, that is always guaranteed to return the exact, like somebody skilled in the art, you know, in the 2000 frame that you mentioned, that would not characterize the thing as a near neighbor search, right? I mean that is a</li> <li>Q. So what would one skilled in the art call a search that is designed to identify close matches but will also always</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li> <li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li> <li>If it returned the closest, it will not be characterized as a neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. What would people skilled in the art have called that kind of a search as of 2000?</li> <li>A. We would call that, use the term the nearest neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. And is the nearest neighbor search a form of a neighbor search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So the way the neighbor search is,</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents.</li> <li>A. I mean if I have a search, right, that is always guaranteed to return the exact, like somebody skilled in the art, you know, in the 2000 frame that you mentioned, that would not characterize the thing as a near neighbor search, right? I mean that is a</li> <li>Q. So what would one skilled in the art call a search that is designed to identify close matches but will also always identify an exact match, if one is present in</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li> <li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li> <li>If it returned the closest, it will not be characterized as a neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. What would people skilled in the art have called that kind of a search as of 2000?</li> <li>A. We would call that, use the term the nearest neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. And is the nearest neighbor search a form of a neighbor search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So the way the neighbor search is, you know, I have I have defined in my</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents.</li> <li>A. I mean if I have a search, right, that is always guaranteed to return the exact, like somebody skilled in the art, you know, in the 2000 frame that you mentioned, that would not characterize the thing as a near neighbor search, right? I mean that is a</li> <li>Q. So what would one skilled in the art call a search that is designed to identify close matches but will also always identify an exact match, if one is present in a set?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li> <li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li> <li>If it returned the closest, it will not be characterized as a neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. What would people skilled in the art have called that kind of a search as of 2000?</li> <li>A. We would call that, use the term the nearest neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. And is the nearest neighbor search a form of a neighbor search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So the way the neighbor search is, you know, I have I have defined in my declaration, I believe it's an agreement, you</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents.</li> <li>A. I mean if I have a search, right, that is always guaranteed to return the exact, like somebody skilled in the art, you know, in the 2000 frame that you mentioned, that would not characterize the thing as a near neighbor search, right? I mean that is a</li> <li>Q. So what would one skilled in the art call a search that is designed to identify close matches but will also always identify an exact match, if one is present in a set?</li> <li>A. So the term that someone in the art</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li> <li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li> <li>If it returned the closest, it will not be characterized as a neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. What would people skilled in the art have called that kind of a search as of 2000?</li> <li>A. We would call that, use the term the nearest neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. And is the nearest neighbor search a form of a neighbor search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So the way the neighbor search is, you know, I have I have defined in my declaration, I believe it's an agreement, you know, with the board's construction is that a</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents.</li> <li>A. I mean if I have a search, right, that is always guaranteed to return the exact, like somebody skilled in the art, you know, in the 2000 frame that you mentioned, that would not characterize the thing as a near neighbor search, right? I mean that is a</li> <li>Q. So what would one skilled in the art call a search that is designed to identify close matches but will also always identify an exact match, if one is present in a set?</li> <li>A. So the term that someone in the art would have used, it would an exact search or</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li> <li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li> <li>If it returned the closest, it will not be characterized as a neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. What would people skilled in the art have called that kind of a search as of 2000?</li> <li>A. We would call that, use the term the nearest neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. And is the nearest neighbor search a form of a neighbor search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So the way the neighbor search is, you know, I have I have defined in my declaration, I believe it's an agreement, you know, with the board's construction is that a neighbor search, right, is a search, right,</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents.</li> <li>A. I mean if I have a search, right, that is always guaranteed to return the exact, like somebody skilled in the art, you know, in the 2000 frame that you mentioned, that would not characterize the thing as a near neighbor search, right? I mean that is a</li> <li>Q. So what would one skilled in the art call a search that is designed to identify close matches but will also always identify an exact match, if one is present in a set?</li> <li>A. So the term that someone in the art would have used, it would an exact search or a a some sort of a K nearest neighbor</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li> <li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li> <li>If it returned the closest, it will not be characterized as a neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. What would people skilled in the art have called that kind of a search as of 2000?</li> <li>A. We would call that, use the term the nearest neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. And is the nearest neighbor search a form of a neighbor search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So the way the neighbor search is, you know, I have I have defined in my declaration, I believe it's an agreement, you know, with the board's construction is that a neighbor search, right, is a search, right, that is not guaranteed, you know, to return</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>2000, so separate from how the term may be used or defined in the context of the patents.</li> <li>A. I mean if I have a search, right, that is always guaranteed to return the exact, like somebody skilled in the art, you know, in the 2000 frame that you mentioned, that would not characterize the thing as a near neighbor search, right? I mean that is a</li> <li>Q. So what would one skilled in the art call a search that is designed to identify close matches but will also always identify an exact match, if one is present in a set?</li> <li>A. So the term that someone in the art would have used, it would an exact search or</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>in the database being searched be accurately characterized as a neighbor search?</li> <li>A. No, it will not be characterized.</li> <li>If it returned the closest, it will not be characterized as a neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. What would people skilled in the art have called that kind of a search as of 2000?</li> <li>A. We would call that, use the term the nearest neighbor search.</li> <li>Q. And is the nearest neighbor search a form of a neighbor search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So the way the neighbor search is, you know, I have I have defined in my declaration, I believe it's an agreement, you know, with the board's construction is that a neighbor search, right, is a search, right,</li> </ul>

22 (Pages 82 - 85)

	Page 86		Page 88
1	guaranteed to return the best result, so the	1	construction in rendering your opinions in
2	nearest neighbor search is not a type of a	2	this case, you have excluded from the
3	neighbor search.	3	definition of "neighbor search" anything that
4	Q. And you say that because of the	4	guarantees identification of an exact or the
5	guarantee that a nearest neighbor search will	5	closest match, correct?
6	return the best result; is that right?	6	A. I have excluded anything that
7	A. Correct, so the nearest neighbor	7	always guarantees identification of the exact
8	search is, you know, we've guaranteed, you	8	or closest match, yes.
9	know, to return the best result, assuming	9	Q. Likewise, in applying your
10	that, you know, you don't have that	10	understanding of the patent office's
11	threshold. I mean the question of whether or	11	construction of identifying a neighbor, you
12	not the nearest neighbor search is to return	12	have excluded anything that guarantees to
13	a result, it will guarantee to return the	13	always return the exact or the closest match?
14	best result.	14	A. So identifying a neighbor refers to
15	Q. And again, is this your view of the	15	the search process, right, so it's a search
16	meaning of the term, separate and apart from	16	method that identifies, always identifies the
17	patent office construction in this case?	17	closest return, say the closest or the exact
18	A. Which term are you referring to,	18	match, right. You know, it's not what I
19	the nearest neighbor search?	19	refer to as identifying a neighbor.
20	Q. Neighbor search.	20	Q. And if the patent office were to
21	A. The neighbor search.	21	determine that a search is guaranteed to find
22	Yeah, my view of the term "neighbor	22	the closest or an exact match qualifies as a
23	search" is independent of the patent office	23	neighbor search in their construction, would
24	constructions, you know, it's actually	23 24	you want to revisit the opinions rendered in
25	they're in agreement.	25	your declaration?
	they to in agreement.	20	jour deelaration.
1	Page 87	1	Page 89
1	Q. So what you're saying is there's no	1	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
2	Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding	2	MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Can you restate your question?
2 3	Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you	2 3	<ul><li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li><li>A. Can you restate your question?</li><li>Q. Sure. If the patent office were to</li></ul>
2 3 4	Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to	2 3 4	MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Can you restate your question? Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that
2 3 4 5	Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right?	2 3 4 5	MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Can you restate your question? Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. So I believe the patent office</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Can you restate your question? Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Can you restate your question? Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Can you restate your question? Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Can you restate your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will identify a close but not necessarily the best</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Can you restate your question? Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration? A. No. Q. That wouldn't change your view in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will identify a close but not necessarily the best neighbor, right? You know, this is, you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Can you restate your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That wouldn't change your view in any way?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will identify a close but not necessarily the best neighbor, right? You know, this is, you know, consistent with my construction, right,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Can you restate your question? Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration? A. No. Q. That wouldn't change your view in any way? A. No. My view is that a neighbor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will identify a close but not necessarily the best neighbor, right? You know, this is, you know, consistent with my construction, right, and I believe in my declaration I have</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Can you restate your question? Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration? A. No. Q. That wouldn't change your view in any way? A. No. My view is that a neighbor search or identifying a neighbor is a type of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will identify a close but not necessarily the best neighbor, right? You know, this is, you know, consistent with my construction, right, and I believe in my declaration I have further clarified that. And I believe, so</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Can you restate your question? Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration? A. No. Q. That wouldn't change your view in any way? A. No. My view is that a neighbor search or identifying a neighbor is a type of search, but, you know, does not guarantee to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will identify a close but not necessarily the best neighbor, right? You know, this is, you know, consistent with my construction, right, and I believe in my declaration I have further clarified that. And I believe, so when I have a search, right, in which it's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Can you restate your question? Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration? A. No. Q. That wouldn't change your view in any way? A. No. My view is that a neighbor search or identifying a neighbor is a type of search, but, you know, does not guarantee to find the best match. The type of search that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will identify a close but not necessarily the best neighbor, right? You know, this is, you know, consistent with my construction, right, and I believe in my declaration I have further clarified that. And I believe, so when I have a search, right, in which it's guaranteed to return a close but not</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Can you restate your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That wouldn't change your view in any way?</li> <li>A. No. My view is that a neighbor search or identifying a neighbor is a type of search, but, you know, does not guarantee to find the best match. The type of search that always guarantees to find the best match is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will identify a close but not necessarily the best neighbor, right? You know, this is, you know, consistent with my construction, right, and I believe in my declaration I have further clarified that. And I believe, so when I have a search, right, in which it's guaranteed to return a close but not necessarily the closest, all the time, right,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Can you restate your question? Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration? A. No. Q. That wouldn't change your view in any way? A. No. My view is that a neighbor search or identifying a neighbor is a type of search, but, you know, does not guarantee to find the best match. The type of search that always guarantees to find the best match is not the neighbor search or identifying a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will identify a close but not necessarily the best neighbor, right? You know, this is, you know, consistent with my construction, right, and I believe in my declaration I have further clarified that. And I believe, so when I have a search, right, in which it's guaranteed to return a close but not necessarily the closest, all the time, right, so better than neighbor search.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Can you restate your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That wouldn't change your view in any way?</li> <li>A. No. My view is that a neighbor search or identifying a neighbor is a type of search, but, you know, does not guarantee to find the best match. The type of search that always guarantees to find the best match is not the neighbor search or identifying a neighbor.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will identify a close but not necessarily the best neighbor, right? You know, this is, you know, consistent with my construction, right, and I believe in my declaration I have further clarified that. And I believe, so when I have a search, right, in which it's guaranteed to return a close but not necessarily the closest, all the time, right, so better than neighbor search. If I have a search in which, you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Can you restate your question? Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration? A. No. Q. That wouldn't change your view in any way? A. No. My view is that a neighbor search or identifying a neighbor is a type of search, but, you know, does not guarantee to find the best match. The type of search that always guarantees to find the best match is not the neighbor search or identifying a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right? A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will identify a close but not necessarily the best neighbor, right? You know, this is, you know, consistent with my construction, right, and I believe in my declaration I have further clarified that. And I believe, so when I have a search, right, in which it's guaranteed to return a close but not necessarily the closest, all the time, right, so better than neighbor search. If I have a search in which, you know, always guaranteed to return the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Can you restate your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That wouldn't change your view in any way?</li> <li>A. No. My view is that a neighbor search or identifying a neighbor is a type of search, but, you know, does not guarantee to find the best match. The type of search that always guarantees to find the best match is not the neighbor search or identifying a neighbor.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will identify a close but not necessarily the best neighbor, right? You know, this is, you know, consistent with my construction, right, and I believe in my declaration I have further clarified that. And I believe, so when I have a search, right, in which it's guaranteed to return a close but not necessarily the closest, all the time, right, so better than neighbor search. If I have a search in which, you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Can you restate your question? Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration? A. No. Q. That wouldn't change your view in any way? A. No. My view is that a neighbor search or identifying a neighbor is a type of search, but, you know, does not guarantee to find the best match. The type of search that always guarantees to find the best match is not the neighbor search or identifying a neighbor. Q. And what I'm asking you is, if the
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right? A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will identify a close but not necessarily the best neighbor, right? You know, this is, you know, consistent with my construction, right, and I believe in my declaration I have further clarified that. And I believe, so when I have a search, right, in which it's guaranteed to return a close but not necessarily the closest, all the time, right, so better than neighbor search. If I have a search in which, you know, always guaranteed to return the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. Can you restate your question? Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration? A. No. Q. That wouldn't change your view in any way? A. No. My view is that a neighbor search or identifying a neighbor is a type of search, but, you know, does not guarantee to find the best match. The type of search that always guarantees to find the best match is not the neighbor search or identifying a neighbor. Q. And what I'm asking you is, if the patent office were to come to the conclusion
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right? A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will identify a close but not necessarily the best neighbor, right? You know, this is, you know, consistent with my construction, right, and I believe in my declaration I have further clarified that. And I believe, so when I have a search, right, in which it's guaranteed to return a close but not necessarily the closest, all the time, right, so better than neighbor search. If I have a search in which, you know, always guaranteed to return the closest, okay, regardless of whether or not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Can you restate your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That wouldn't change your view in any way?</li> <li>A. No. My view is that a neighbor search or identifying a neighbor is a type of search, but, you know, does not guarantee to find the best match. The type of search that always guarantees to find the best match is not the neighbor search or identifying a neighbor.</li> <li>Q. And what I'm asking you is, if the patent office were to come to the conclusion that a search that does guarantee that it will always find the exact or closest match</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right? A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will identify a close but not necessarily the best neighbor, right? You know, this is, you know, consistent with my construction, right, and I believe in my declaration I have further clarified that. And I believe, so when I have a search, right, in which it's guaranteed to return a close but not necessarily the closest, all the time, right, so better than neighbor search. If I have a search in which, you know, always guaranteed to return the closest, okay, regardless of whether or not it returns some things that they're not the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Can you restate your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That wouldn't change your view in any way?</li> <li>A. No. My view is that a neighbor search or identifying a neighbor is a type of search, but, you know, does not guarantee to find the best match. The type of search that always guarantees to find the best match is not the neighbor search or identifying a neighbor.</li> <li>Q. And what I'm asking you is, if the patent office were to come to the conclusion that a search that does guarantee that it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So what you're saying is there's no difference between your general understanding of the term "neighbor search" and what you understand the patent office construction to be; do I have that right? A. So I believe the patent office construction of the neighbor search is a search, right, that is, you know, is guaranteed it's a search that will identify a close but not necessarily the best neighbor, right? You know, this is, you know, consistent with my construction, right, and I believe in my declaration I have further clarified that. And I believe, so when I have a search, right, in which it's guaranteed to return a close but not necessarily the closest, all the time, right, so better than neighbor search. If I have a search in which, you know, always guaranteed to return the closest, okay, regardless of whether or not it returns some things that they're not the closest, right, that it's not a neighbor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Can you restate your question?</li> <li>Q. Sure. If the patent office were to conclude that searches, a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match in a data set qualifies as a neighbor search, would you want to revisit the opinions you've rendered in your declaration?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. That wouldn't change your view in any way?</li> <li>A. No. My view is that a neighbor search or identifying a neighbor is a type of search, but, you know, does not guarantee to find the best match. The type of search that always guarantees to find the best match is not the neighbor search or identifying a neighbor.</li> <li>Q. And what I'm asking you is, if the patent office were to come to the conclusion that a search that does guarantee that it will always find the exact or closest match is a neighbor search, would you want to</li> </ul>

23 (Pages 86 - 89)

1	Page 90	1	Page 92
1	disclosed in the prior art?	1	A. So if the title of that reference
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.	2 3	is a unique key, right, a unique identifier
3	A. The written statements are there.	3 4	of that reference, that would be a result that I didn't find.
4	So my opinion about what constitutes a	4 5	
5	neighbor search and define a neighbor was		Q. And if a search were to return a
6	reached independent of the patent office, you	6	list of titles and indicate that one of those
7	know, opinion, right. So I don't think I	7 8	titles is a match but not specify which one,
8 9	would be I will be needing to revisit, you	8 9	do you believe that search will have identified a match within the context of the
10	know, my opinion in those terms.	10	
10	Q. You understand that the patent	10	court's claim construction, the board's claim construction?
11	office is the one that ultimately decides how	11	
12	A. I believe so.		A. Can you repeat your question again?
		13	Q. Sure. If a search were to return a
14	Q. So if the patent office were to	14 15	list of titles and indicate that one of the titles in that list is a close match but not
15	reach a conclusion different from yours with		
16 17	regard to whether a search that guarantees finding an exact or the closest match	16 17	specify which one, do you believe that that
17		17	search will have identified a neighbor within
18 19	qualifies as a neighbor search, would you	18 19	the scope of the board's construction?
19 20	want to revisit the opinions in your declaration?	19 20	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
20 21		20	A. So the result of the query is a set
21 22	<ul><li>A. Opinions regarding what?</li><li>Q. Opinions regarding whether the</li></ul>	21	of records, right; and I know within that
22		22	record there is a close match, but I don't know which one it is.
23 24	prior art references you've discussed in your	23 24	Q. Correct.
24 25	declaration disclose a neighbor search. A. So if the patent office defines a	24 25	A. Right? That will not have
23	A. So if the patent office defines a	23	A. Kight: That will not have
1	Page 91	1	Page 93
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	neighbor search as a type of search that always guarantees to return the best result,	1 2	identified the close but not necessarily an exact or close match.
3		3	
3 4	right, then my opinion as far as the validity	4	Q. And if a search is run and it
4 5	of the prior art, in light of the claims as it relates to the neighbor search in light of	4 5	returned the title of the closest matching
6	<b>v</b>	6	reference, will that search have identified a
7	the prior art, right, will have to change.	7	neighbor within the board's construction? A. If that search within the
8	Q. Just for convenience, to have the construction in front of you, if you could		
			construction of the board identified a close
0		8	construction of the board identified a close
9 10	turn to paragraph 60 in your declaration.	9	but not necessarily an exact or closest
10	turn to paragraph 60 in your declaration. A. I have 6 here. You sure?	9 10	but not necessarily an exact or closest match, if it always returned the closest
10 11	<ul><li>turn to paragraph 60 in your declaration.</li><li>A. I have 6 here. You sure?</li><li>Q. Paragraph 60, the grid showing the</li></ul>	9 10 11	but not necessarily an exact or closest match, if it always returned the closest match, right, it's my opinion that that
10 11 12	<ul><li>turn to paragraph 60 in your declaration.</li><li>A. I have 6 here. You sure?</li><li>Q. Paragraph 60, the grid showing the constructions that were applied.</li></ul>	9 10 11 12	but not necessarily an exact or closest match, if it always returned the closest match, right, it's my opinion that that particular board construction with the close
10 11 12 13	<ul><li>turn to paragraph 60 in your declaration.</li><li>A. I have 6 here. You sure?</li><li>Q. Paragraph 60, the grid showing the constructions that were applied.</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	9 10 11 12 13	but not necessarily an exact or closest match, if it always returned the closest match, right, it's my opinion that that particular board construction with the close but not necessarily exact or closest match,
10 11 12 13 14	<ul><li>turn to paragraph 60 in your declaration.</li><li>A. I have 6 here. You sure?</li><li>Q. Paragraph 60, the grid showing the constructions that were applied.</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. So looking at the construction for</li></ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14	but not necessarily an exact or closest match, if it always returned the closest match, right, it's my opinion that that particular board construction with the close but not necessarily exact or closest match, but sort of has two implications, one
10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>turn to paragraph 60 in your declaration.</li> <li>A. I have 6 here. You sure?</li> <li>Q. Paragraph 60, the grid showing the constructions that were applied.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So looking at the construction for neighbor search there, it begins with</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	but not necessarily an exact or closest match, if it always returned the closest match, right, it's my opinion that that particular board construction with the close but not necessarily exact or closest match, but sort of has two implications, one implication is that if the result you
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>turn to paragraph 60 in your declaration.</li> <li>A. I have 6 here. You sure?</li> <li>Q. Paragraph 60, the grid showing the constructions that were applied.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So looking at the construction for neighbor search there, it begins with identifying.</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	but not necessarily an exact or closest match, if it always returned the closest match, right, it's my opinion that that particular board construction with the close but not necessarily exact or closest match, but sort of has two implications, one implication is that if the result you know, I mean if the query, that's one, if the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>turn to paragraph 60 in your declaration.</li> <li>A. I have 6 here. You sure?</li> <li>Q. Paragraph 60, the grid showing the constructions that were applied.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So looking at the construction for neighbor search there, it begins with identifying.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	but not necessarily an exact or closest match, if it always returned the closest match, right, it's my opinion that that particular board construction with the close but not necessarily exact or closest match, but sort of has two implications, one implication is that if the result you know, I mean if the query, that's one, if the query of guarantee to return the closest,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>turn to paragraph 60 in your declaration.</li> <li>A. I have 6 here. You sure?</li> <li>Q. Paragraph 60, the grid showing the constructions that were applied.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So looking at the construction for neighbor search there, it begins with identifying.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but not necessarily an exact or closest match, if it always returned the closest match, right, it's my opinion that that particular board construction with the close but not necessarily exact or closest match, but sort of has two implications, one implication is that if the result you know, I mean if the query, that's one, if the query of guarantee to return the closest, right, it's not a neighbor search.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>turn to paragraph 60 in your declaration.</li> <li>A. I have 6 here. You sure?</li> <li>Q. Paragraph 60, the grid showing the constructions that were applied.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So looking at the construction for neighbor search there, it begins with identifying.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And how do you interpret the term</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	but not necessarily an exact or closest match, if it always returned the closest match, right, it's my opinion that that particular board construction with the close but not necessarily exact or closest match, but sort of has two implications, one implication is that if the result you know, I mean if the query, that's one, if the query of guarantee to return the closest, right, it's not a neighbor search. So given your question, if the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>turn to paragraph 60 in your declaration.</li> <li>A. I have 6 here. You sure?</li> <li>Q. Paragraph 60, the grid showing the constructions that were applied.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So looking at the construction for neighbor search there, it begins with identifying.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And how do you interpret the term "identifying"?</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	but not necessarily an exact or closest match, if it always returned the closest match, right, it's my opinion that that particular board construction with the close but not necessarily exact or closest match, but sort of has two implications, one implication is that if the result you know, I mean if the query, that's one, if the query of guarantee to return the closest, right, it's not a neighbor search. So given your question, if the query always returned the closest match, no,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>turn to paragraph 60 in your declaration.</li> <li>A. I have 6 here. You sure?</li> <li>Q. Paragraph 60, the grid showing the constructions that were applied.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So looking at the construction for neighbor search there, it begins with identifying.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And how do you interpret the term "identifying"?</li> <li>A. Finding.</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but not necessarily an exact or closest match, if it always returned the closest match, right, it's my opinion that that particular board construction with the close but not necessarily exact or closest match, but sort of has two implications, one implication is that if the result you know, I mean if the query, that's one, if the query of guarantee to return the closest, right, it's not a neighbor search. So given your question, if the query always returned the closest match, no, that will not be a neighbor search.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>turn to paragraph 60 in your declaration.</li> <li>A. I have 6 here. You sure?</li> <li>Q. Paragraph 60, the grid showing the constructions that were applied.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So looking at the construction for neighbor search there, it begins with identifying.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And how do you interpret the term "identifying"?</li> <li>A. Finding.</li> <li>Q. So if a search is run and returns</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but not necessarily an exact or closest match, if it always returned the closest match, right, it's my opinion that that particular board construction with the close but not necessarily exact or closest match, but sort of has two implications, one implication is that if the result you know, I mean if the query, that's one, if the query of guarantee to return the closest, right, it's not a neighbor search. So given your question, if the query always returned the closest match, no, that will not be a neighbor search. Q. Let's move on to a different term.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>turn to paragraph 60 in your declaration.</li> <li>A. I have 6 here. You sure?</li> <li>Q. Paragraph 60, the grid showing the constructions that were applied.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So looking at the construction for neighbor search there, it begins with identifying.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And how do you interpret the term "identifying"?</li> <li>A. Finding.</li> <li>Q. So if a search is run and returns the title of a matching reference, is it your</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	but not necessarily an exact or closest match, if it always returned the closest match, right, it's my opinion that that particular board construction with the close but not necessarily exact or closest match, but sort of has two implications, one implication is that if the result you know, I mean if the query, that's one, if the query of guarantee to return the closest, right, it's not a neighbor search. So given your question, if the query always returned the closest match, no, that will not be a neighbor search. Q. Let's move on to a different term. The term "approximate nearest neighbor
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>turn to paragraph 60 in your declaration.</li> <li>A. I have 6 here. You sure?</li> <li>Q. Paragraph 60, the grid showing the constructions that were applied.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So looking at the construction for neighbor search there, it begins with identifying.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. And how do you interpret the term "identifying"?</li> <li>A. Finding.</li> <li>Q. So if a search is run and returns</li> </ul>	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but not necessarily an exact or closest match, if it always returned the closest match, right, it's my opinion that that particular board construction with the close but not necessarily exact or closest match, but sort of has two implications, one implication is that if the result you know, I mean if the query, that's one, if the query of guarantee to return the closest, right, it's not a neighbor search. So given your question, if the query always returned the closest match, no, that will not be a neighbor search. Q. Let's move on to a different term.

20experience with as of 2000?20Q. What sort of actions would fall21A. Yes.21within the scope of the term "action" in22Q. Now, putting aside the Cox patents22claim?23and the board's constructions in this case,23A. I presume, you know, fetching a24as of 2000, was it your understanding that an24record from a database and transmitting25Q. Anything else?1A. I cannot think of any2A. So outside the context of the3A. No.3patents, the term for approximate nearest3A. No.4neighbor search, you know, it's usually a3A. No.5sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to6be similar.6be similar.7Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in77Q. Take a look at column 26.9Q. And you see there that the claim9particular if you look at column 26.9Q. And you see there that the claim10A. Yes.10sesciation with the electronic work??13Tbetermining an Action"?13A. Yep.14A. Of the element do you want me to151615take a look at?19Q. The element B, right?19Q. The element B, right?19Q. So displaying additional19Q. The leement C, I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional20A. Element C.20202021Q. The element C, I'm sorry. <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>				
2       Q. And you understand that the board       3       A. I believe so.         3       has preliminarily construed that term to mean       3       Q. Do you have an understanding of         4       identifying a close match that is not       5       occusitutes an action within the sci         6       A. Yes.       6       A. It's been a while since I looked.         7       Q. Now based on your review of the Cox.       8       see my declaration for that.         9       doesn't purport to have invented the concept       9       Okay, I've had a chance to go ov         10       of the approximate nearest neighbor       10       is, so what was your question?         11       searchas a term, right, has been used, you       14       of the term "determining an action within the sci         11       A. The approximate nearest neighbor       16       A. I believe so.         12       A. That is correct.       12       A. I believe so.         13       What constitutes an action within the sci       9       98 patent claim 15?         14       of the approximate nearest neighbor       15       A. I believe so.         15       A. The approximate nearest neighbor searching something that you had       17       Q. What is your understanding?         14       of the board's constructions in this c	1	-	1	Page 96
3       has preliminarily construed that is not       3       Q. Do you have an understanding of what constitutes an action within the science is constructions in science in the closest match?         6       A. Yes.       5       of this claim term?         7       Q. Now based on your review of the Cox       8       see my declaration for that.         9       doesn't purport to have invented the concept       6       A. It's been a while since I looked:         10       of the approximate nearest neighbor       11       Q. Okay, I've had a chance to go over it, so what was your question?         11       Q. That's a concept that was known to present ing;       11       Q. Okay, I'lask it again.         12       A. That is correct.       11       Do you have an understanding of what constitutes an action within the science?         13       Q. That's a concept that was known to present ing;       12       Do you have an understanding of what constitutes an action within the science?         14       people skilled in the field as of 2000?       15       A. The approximate nearest         15       A. The approximate nearest       15       What is your understanding?         16       people skilled in the field as of 2000?       16       A. I believe so.         17       Q. Now, putting aside the Cox patents       as of 2000, was it your understanding that an approximate nearest neighbor sea				
4       identifying a close match that is not       4       what constitutes an action within the sci         5       necessarily the closest match?       6       A. Irs been a while since I looked.         7       Q. Now based on your review of the Cox       7       6       A. Irs been a while since I looked.         7       Q. Now based on your review of the Cox       8       searching?       6       A. Irs been a while since I looked.         10       of the approximate nearest neighbor       10       Kay, I'H ask it again.       12         12       A. That is correct.       12       Do you have an understanding of         13       Q. That's a concept that was known to       13       what constitutes an action within the sci         14       people skilled in the field as of 2000?       14       O what was your question?         15       A. The approximate nearest neighbor       14       Now, in prior to 2000, yes.         18       O. Pardon me. Is approximate nearest       17       Q. What sort of actions would fail         11       A. Yes.       23       A. I persume, you know, if scientarianting         12       A. So outside the context of the       3       A. I cannot think of any         12       A. So outside the context of the       3       A. No.         <		-		
5       necessarily the closest match?       5       of this claim term?         6       A. Yes.       6       A. It's been a while since I looked.         7       Q. Now based on your review of the Cox.       7       7         8       patents, would you agree with me that Dr. Cox.       8       7         9       doesn't purport to have invented the concept.       9       Okay, I've had a chance to go ov.         10       searching?       11       Q. Okay, I'll ask it again.         12       A. That's a concept that was known to       13       what was your question?         13       Q. That's a concept that was known to       13       what was your question?         14       people skilled in the field as of 2000?       14       Do you have an understanding of         15       A. The approximate nearest       16       A. I believe so.         17       Romor is a term, right, has been used, you       16       A. I believe so.         18       Q. Pardon me. Is approximate nearest       98 patent claim 15?       A. So determining an action or the         20       Now, yuting aside the Cox patents       22       A. I presume, you know, fetching a         23       and the board's constructions in this case,       A. No.       A. No.         24 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
6       A. Yes.       6       A. It's been a while since I looked :         7       Q. Now based on your review of the Cox       8       this particular claim. I need to be able         8       patents, would you agree with me that Dr. Cox       8       see my declaration for that.         9       doesn't purport to have invented the concept       9       Okay, I've had a chance to go ow         10       of the approximate nearest neighbor       10       it, so what was your question?         11       A. That is correct.       12       Do you have an understanding of         13       Q. That's a concept that was known to       13       what constitutes an action within the sc         14       people skilled in the field as of 2000?       14       of the term "determining an action over h         15       A. The approximate nearest neighbor       15       A. I believe so.         17       know, in prior to 2000, yes.       18       A. So determining an action over h         18       Q. Pardon me. Is approximate nearest       19       is, you know, selecting an action to per         20       Now, putting aside the Cox patents       21       with the scope of the term "action" in         23       aptorkin eterm for approximate nearest       22       A. I cannot think of any         2       A.				
7Q. Now based on your review of the Cox B patents, would you agree with me that Dr. Cox doesn't purport to have invented the concept 0 of the approximate nearest neighbor searching?7this particular claim. I need to be able see my declaration for that.10doesn't purport to have invented the concept 10 of the approximate nearest neighbor search as a term, right, has been used, you 15A. That's a concept that was known to 13Q. Okay, Tl'a ski tagain. Do you have an understanding of what constitutes an action within the sc of the term "determining an action" in to 19 ski you nuderstanding?18A. The approximate nearest neighbor searching something that you had a so f 2000, was it your understanding that an a approximate nearest neighbor search, you know, it's usually a sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to b esimilar.7What is your understanding? A. No.2A. So outside the context of the sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to b esimilar.7A. I cannot think of any 2 (Discussion off the record.)3A. Yes.3A. No.4neighbor search, you know, it's usually a sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to b esimilar.4A. Yes.6Q. Take a look at 22 particular if you look at colum 15.987We're looking at claim 15 in the 199910A. Yes.9Q. And you see there that the claim 107Q. So displaying additional a claim 15 in the 199A. Yep.11A. So which element C. 10Yes1012Q. The element C. 1010<				
8       patents, would you agree with me that Dr. Cox       8       see my declaration for that.         9       doesn't purport to have invented the concept       10       CMAay, I've had a chance to go ov         11       searching?       10       it, so what was your question?         12       A. That is correct.       12       Do you have an understanding of         13       Q. That's a concept that was known to       14       of the term 'determining an action' in the sco         15       A. The approximate nearest neighbor       15       988 patent claim 15?         16       search as a term, right, has been used, you       16       A. The is your understanding?         18       Q. Pardon me. Is approximate nearest       17       Q. What sort of actions would fall         21       A. Yes.       20       Q. What sort of actions would fall         23       and the board's constructions in this case,       24         34       as of 2000, was it your understanding that an       25         3       aptents, the term for approximate nearest       3         4       neighbor search, you know, it's usually a       3         5       sto outside the context of the       3         6       be similar.       7         7       Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2,				
9doesn't purport to have invented the concept 109Okay, I've had a chance to go ov it, so what was your question?11searching?11Q. Okay, I'lask it again.12A. That is correct.12Do you have an understanding of what constitutes an action within the sc of the term "determining an action" in the search as a term, right, has been used, you 171316search as a term, right, has been used, you 1716Search as a term, right, has been used, you 171618Q. Pardon me. Is approximate nearest neighbor searching something that you had 2016A. Toelieve so.11Q. Now, putting aside the Cox patents and the board's constructions in this case, as of 2000, was it your understanding that an 2517A. I cannot think of any (Discussion off the record.)11always be sublinear?1A. I cannot think of any (Discussion off the record.)12A. So outside the context of the be similar.2113patents, the term for approximate nearest neighbor search, you know, it's usually a be similar.1A. I cannot think of any (Discussion off the record.)14A. So which element do you want me to 1518A. Yes.1915ake a look at?14Q. Do you understand that to be gi and/or displacing additional informatio association with the electronic work??15Take a look at?14Q. Do you understand that to be gi an ecample of an action within the scop16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the regether with a look at?14<		-		-
10of the approximate nearest neighbor10it, so what was your question?11searching?10it, so what was your question?12A. That is correct.12Do you have an understanding of13Q. That's a concept that was known to13what constitutes an action within the sc14people skilled in the field as of 2000?14of the term "determining an action or in to15A. The approximate nearest neighbor16A. I believe so.17know, in prior to 2000, yes.17A. I believe so.18Q. Pardon me. Is approximate nearest18A. So determining an action over th19neighbor searching something that you had19is, you know, selecting an action over th20Pare so20Q. What sort of actions would fall21A. Yes.23A. I presume, you know, fetching a22A. So outside the context of the24record from a database and transmitting23and the board's constructions in this case,14Q. Let's go down a little bit further3aptents, the term for approximate nearest10A. I cannot think of any2A. So outside the context of the24C. May sepatent and look at claim 31.3papticular if you look at column 26.3A. Yes.10A. Yes.9Q. And you see there that the clain11G. Element C of claim 15 at the top of11and/or displacing additional informatio12the column, do you see the language, <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td></td<>				•
11       searching?       11       Q. Okay, I'll ask it again.         12       A. That is correct.       12       Do you have an understanding of what constitutes an action within the science with as of 2000?         15       A. The approximate nearest neighbor       13       what constitutes an action within the science with as of 2000?         16       search as a term, right, has been used, you       16       A. I believe so.         17       Q. Pardon me. Is approximate nearest       18       A. So determining an action over his, you know, selecting an action to per condition and the board's constructions in this case,         20       experience with as of 2000?       20       Q. What sort of actions would fall within the scope of the term "action" in the scope of the term for approximate nearest         1       always be sublinear?       1				•
12A. That is correct.12Do you have an understanding of13Q. That's a concept that was known to13what constitutes an action within the sc14people skilled in the field as of 2000?14what constitutes an action within the sc15A. The approximate nearest neighbor15%Ratt claim 15?16search as a term, right, has been used, you16A. I believe so.17Now, in prior to 2000, yes.17Q. What is your understanding?18Q. Pardon me. Is approximate nearest18A. So determining an action to per20experience with as of 2000?20Q. What sort of actions would fall21A. Yes.21X. So determining an action or per22Q. Now, putting aside the Cox patents21A. I presume, you know, fetching a23and the board's constructions in this case,21A. I recarnot think of any24as of 2000, was it your understanding that an25Q. Anything else?251A. So outside the context of the21A. No.3adways be sublinear?1A. I cannot think of any2A. So outside the context of the23A. No.3patents, the term for approximate nearest3A. No.4neighbor search, you know, it's usually a5In the '988 patent and look at claim 31.5sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to5In the '988 patent and look at claim 31.6Do you usee that around line 46 in20<				
13Q. That's a concept that was known to people skilled in the field as of 2000?13what constitutes an action within the sc of the term "determining an action" in t '988 patent claim 15?15A. The approximate nearest neighbor search as a term, right, has been used, you know, in prior to 2000, yes.15'988 patent claim 15?18Q. Pardon me. Is approximate nearest experience with as of 2000?16A. I believe so.17Q. Now, putting aside the Cox patents and the board's constructions in this case, as of 2000, was it your understanding that an 2518A. I presume, you know, fetching a record from a database and transmitting Q. Anything else?1always be sublinear?121A. I cannot think of any (Discussion off the record.)2A. So outside the context of the patents, the term for approximate nearest neighbor search, you know, it's usually a 5 sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to b e similar.167Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in particular if you look at column 26.710A. Yes.9Q. And you see there that the claim association with the electronic work"?13"Determining an Action"?1114A. So which element do you want me to 151515take a look at?1516Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the 191617'988 patent, and this is around line 7.1718A. Of the element B, right?1819Q. The element C, I'm sorry.1910A. Element C.1011 <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td>		•		
14people skilled in the field as of 2000?14of the term "determining an action" in the15A. The approximate nearest neighbor15'988 patent claim 15?16search as a term, right, has been used, you16A. I believe so.17know, in prior to 2000, yes.16A. I believe so.18Q. Pardon me. Is approximate nearest18A. So determining an action over h19neighbor searching something that you had19Q. What is your understanding?20Q. Now, putting aside the Cox patents20Q. What sort of actions would fall21A. Yes.21as of 2000, was it your understanding that an2323and the board's constructions in this case,23A. I presume, you know, fetching a24as of 2000, was it your understanding that an242425adways be sublinear?1A. I cannot think of any2A. So outside the context of the2Q. Let's go down a little bit further3neighbor search, you know, it's usually a4Q. Let's go down a little bit further4neighbor search, you know, it's usually a4Q. Let's go down a little bit further5spatent, and toix it a column 26.9Q. And you see there that he claim 31.6be similar.72A. Yes.710A. Yes.9Q. And you see there that he claim 31.11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of11ant/or displacing additional informatio12the				
15A. The approximate nearest neighbor15'988 patent claim 15?16search as a term, right, has been used, you16A. I believe so.17Mow, in prior to 2000, yes.17Q. What is your understanding?18Q. Pardon me. Is approximate nearest18A. So determining an action over h20experience with as of 2000?20Q. What sort of actions would fall21A. Yes.21Within the scope of the term "action" in22Q. Now, putting aside the Cox patents23A. I presume, you know, fetching a23and the board's constructions in this case,23A. I presume, you know, fetching a24as of 2000, was it your understanding that an24record from a database and transmitting25always be sublinear?1A. I cannot think of any2A. So outside the context of the3A. No.3patents, the term for approximate nearest3A. No.4neighbor search, you know, it's usually a4Do you see that around line 46 in5sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to6N. Yes.6be similar.7Q. And you see there that the claim7Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in7C. Let's go down a little bit further10A. Yes.9Q. And you see there that the claim11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of1112take a look at?1513The column, do you see the language,15 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
16search as a term, right, has been used, you know, in prior to 2000, yes.16A. I believe so.17Q. Pardon me. Is approximate nearest neighbor searching something that you had experience with as of 2000?17Q. What is your understanding?20Pardon me. Is approximate nearest neighbor searching something that you had 2118A. So determining an action over h is, you know, selecting an action to per Q. What sort of actions would fall within the scope of the term "action" in 2221A. Yes.2323and the board's constructions in this case, approximate nearest neighbor search must2324as of 2000, was it your understanding that an approximate nearest neighbor search must2325A. So outside the context of the neighbor search, you know, it's usually a sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.13for to f you, the '988 patent. And in 9 particular if you look at column 26.33for to f you, the '988 patent. And in 9 particular if you look at column 26.94A. So which element C of claim 15 at the top of 151116Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the1517'988 patent, and this is around line 7.1618A. Of the element C, 1'm sorry.1920Q. The element C, 1'm sorry.1921Q. The element C, 1'm sorry.1922Q. So displaying additional information2034A. Element C.2045A. Of the element B, right?1946 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
17know, in prior to 2000, yes.17Q. What is your understanding?18Q. Pardon me. Is approximate nearest18A. So determining an action over h19neighbor searching something that you had19is, you know, selecting an action to per20experience with as of 2000?Q. What sort of actions would fall21A. Yes.2122Q. Now, putting aside the Cox patents23and the board's constructions in this case,23as of 2000, was it your understanding that an24as of 2000, was it your understanding that an25as of 2000, was it your understanding that an25as of 2000, was it your understanding that an25as of 2000, was it your understanding that an24as of 2000, was it your understanding that an25as of 2000, was it your understanding that an25as of 2000, was it your understanding that an263A. I cannot think of any2A. So outside the context of the3patents, the term for approximate nearest4neighbor search, you know, it's usually a5sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to6be similar.7Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in8front of you, the '988 patent. And in9particular if you look at column 26.10A. Yes.11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of15take a look at?16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the17'988				•
18Q. Pardon me. Is approximate nearest18A. So determining an action over h19neighbor searching something that you had20Q. What sort of actions would fall20A. Yes.20Q. What sort of actions would fall21A. Yes.21within the scope of the term "action" in22and the board's constructions in this case,23A. I presume, you know, fetching a23and the board's constructions in this case,23A. I presume, you know, fetching a24as of 2000, was it your understanding that an24as of 2000, was it your understanding that an25always be sublinear?1A. I cannot think of any2A. So outside the context of the2(Discussion off the record.)3patents, the term for approximate nearest3A. No.4neighbor search, you know, it's usually a4Q. Let's go down a little bit further5sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to5in the '988 patent and look at claim 31.6be similar.7Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in87Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in8A. Yes.9particular if you look at column 26.9Q. And you see there that the claim10A. Yes.9Q. And you see there that the claim11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of1and/or displacing additional informatio15take a look at?15an example of an action within the scop16Q. We're looki		÷ .		
19neighbor searching something that you had experience with as of 2000?19is, you know, selecting an action to per Q. What sort of actions would fall within the scope of the term "action" in claim?21A. Yes.20Q. What sort of actions would fall within the scope of the term "action" in claim?22Q. Now, putting aside the Cox patents and the board's constructions in this case, as of 2000, was it your understanding that an approximate nearest neighbor search must19is, you know, selecting an action to per Q. What sort of actions would fall within the scope of the term "action" in claim?23and the board's constructions in this case, as of 2000, was it your understanding that an approximate nearest neighbor search must23A. I presume, you know, fetching a claim?24A. So outside the context of the sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to 6 be similar.1A. I cannot think of any (Discussion off the record.)3patents, the term for approximate nearest neighbor search, you know, it's usually a sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to 6 be similar.1A. No.69Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in 9 particular if you look at column 26.7Q. And you see there that the claim 10 specifies "The action comprises provid and/or displacing additional informatio association with the electronic work"?1111Q. Element C of claim 15 in the 1514Q. Do you understand that to be gi an action with the si around line 7.1415A. Of the element C, I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional information in association with the		· ·		
20experience with as of 2000?20Q. What sort of actions would fall21A. Yes.21within the scope of the term "action" in22Q. Now, putting aside the Cox patents23A. I presume, you know, fetching a23as of 2000, was it your understanding that an24record from a database and transmitting24as of 2000, was it your understanding that an25Q. Anything else?2A. So outside the context of the2Q. Let's go down a little bit further3patents, the term for approximate nearest3A. No.4neighbor search, you know, it's usually a4Q. Let's go down a little bit further5sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to5in the '988 patent and look at claim 31.6be similar.7Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in77Q. Take a look at column 26.9Q. And you see there that the claim10A. Yes.9Q. And you see there that the claim11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of11association with the electronic work??13"Determining an Action"?14Q. Do you understand that to be gi16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the15an example of an action within the scop16Q. The element C, I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional19Q. The element C, C201020A. Element C.2021Q. "Electronically Determining an22222223<				
21A. Yes.21within the scope of the term "action" in22Q. Now, putting aside the Cox patents23claim?23and the board's constructions in this case,23A. I presume, you know, fetching a24as of 2000, was it your understanding that an23A. I presume, you know, fetching a25approximate nearest neighbor search must25Q. Anything else?2A. So outside the context of the2A. I cannot think of any2A. So outside the context of the2A. No.3patents, the term for approximate nearest3A. No.4neighbor search, you know, it's usually a4Q. Let's go down a little bit further5sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to5in the '988 patent and look at claim 31.6be similar.7Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in77Q. Take a look at column 26.9Q. And you see that around line 46 in9particular if you look at column 26.9Q. And you see there that the claim10A. Yes.10specifies "The action comprises provid11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of11and/or displacing additional informatio15take a look at?15an example of an action within the scop16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the16Q. Do you understand that to be gi17'988 patent, and this is around line 7.17A. That could be one of the actions18A. Of the element B, right? <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>is, you know, selecting an action to perform.</td>				is, you know, selecting an action to perform.
22Q. Now, putting aside the Cox patents and the board's constructions in this case, as of 2000, was it your understanding that an approximate nearest neighbor search must22claim?23as of 2000, was it your understanding that an approximate nearest neighbor search must23A. I presume, you know, fetching a record from a database and transmitting Q. Anything else?1always be sublinear? always be sublinear?1A. I cannot think of any (Discussion off the record.)2A. So outside the context of the patents, the term for approximate nearest algorithm, but it doesn't have to 6 be similar.3A. No.4neighbor search, you know, it's usually a sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to 6 be similar.4Q. Let's go down a little bit further in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in 77Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.8A. Yes.9Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of 110. Element C of claim 15 at the top of 1218A. Yes.14A. So which element do you want me to 1514Q. Do you understand that to be gi an example of an action within the scop claim 15?17'988 patent, and this is around line 7. 18A. Of the element B, right?1919Q. The element C, I'm sorry. 20A. Element C. 202021Q. Telectronically Determining an 22202022A. Element C. 20202023A. Element C. 20 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-
23and the board's constructions in this case, as of 2000, was it your understanding that an approximate nearest neighbor search must23A. I presume, you know, fetching a record from a database and transmitting Q. Anything else?1always be sublinear?1A. I cannot think of any (Discussion off the record.)2A. So outside the context of the patents, the term for approximate nearest a neighbor search, you know, it's usually a sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.16De syou sea that around line 46 in r27Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.79De termining an Action"?1011Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of take a look at?915take a look at?1516Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the 91617'988 patent, and this is around line 7.1718A. Of the element B, righ?1819Q. The element C, I'm sorry.1920A. Element C.2021Q. "Electronically Determining an action," do you see that?2022action," do you see that?20				within the scope of the term "action" in this
24as of 2000, was it your understanding that an approximate nearest neighbor search must24record from a database and transmitting Q. Anything else?1always be sublinear?1A. I cannot think of any (Discussion off the record.)2A. So outside the context of the patents, the term for approximate nearest neighbor search, you know, it's usually a ts sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.A. I cannot think of any (Discussion off the record.)5sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.A. No.6be similar.67Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in particular if you look at column 26.78front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.810A. Yes.1011Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of the column, do you see the language, "Determining an Action"?1014A. So which element do you want me to 151415take a look at?1516Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the 988 patent, and this is around line 7.1617'988 patent, and this is around line 7.1718A. Of the element B, right?1819Q. The element C, I'm sorry.1920A. Element C.2021Q. Telectronically Determining an action," do you see that?2022action," do you see that?20				
25approximate nearest neighbor search must25Q. Anything else?1always be sublinear?1A. I cannot think of any2A. So outside the context of the2(Discussion off the record.)3patents, the term for approximate nearest3A. No.4neighbor search, you know, it's usually a4Q. Let's go down a little bit further5sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to5in the '988 patent and look at claim 31.6be similar.6Do you see that around line 46 in7Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in8A. Yes.9particular if you look at column 26.9Q. And you see there that the clain9particular if you look at column 26.9Q. And you see there that the clain10A. Yes.10specifies "The action comprises provid11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of11and/or displacing additional informatio12the column, do you see the language,12association with the electronic work"?13"Determining an Action"?13A. Yep.14A. So which element do you want me to16claim 15?15an example of an action within the scop1616Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the161798 patent, and this is around line 7.1718A. Of the element C, I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional19Q. The element C.2020A. Element C.20 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Page 951A. I cannot think of any2A. So outside the context of the2(Discussion off the record.)3patents, the term for approximate nearest3A. No.4neighbor search, you know, it's usually a4Q. Let's go down a little bit further5sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to5in the '988 patent and look at claim 31.6be similar.6Do you see that around line 46 in7Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in8A. Yes.9particular if you look at column 26.9Q. And you see there that the clain10A. Yes.10specifies "The action comprises provid11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of11and/or displacing additional informatio12the column, do you see the language,12association with the electronic work"?13"Determining an Action"?13A. Yep.14A. So which element do you want me to15an example of an action within the scop15take a look at?15an example of an action within the scop16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the16claim 15?17'988 patent, and this is around line 7.17A. That could be one of the actions18A. Of the element C, I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional20A. Element C.20information in association with the21Q. "Electronically Determining an21electronic work would be an action that22 <td< td=""><td></td><td>· · ·</td><td></td><td>-</td></td<>		· · ·		-
1always be sublinear?1A. I cannot think of any2A. So outside the context of the2(Discussion off the record.)3patents, the term for approximate nearest3A. No.4neighbor search, you know, it's usually a4Q. Let's go down a little bit further5sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to5in the '988 patent and look at claim 31.6be similar.6Do you see that around line 46 in7Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in7column 26?8front of you, the '988 patent. And in8A. Yes.9particular if you look at column 26.9Q. And you see there that the clain10A. Yes.10specifies "The action comprises provid11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of11and/or displacing additional informatio12the column, do you see the language,12association with the electronic work"?13"Determining an Action"?13A. Yep.14A. So which element do you want me to14Q. Do you understand that to be gi15take a look at?15an example of an action within the scop16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the162017'988 patent, and this is around line 7.17A. That could be one of the actions18A. Of the element B, right?19Q. So displaying additional19Q. "Electronically Determining an21electronic work would be an action that21 <td>25</td> <td>approximate nearest neighbor search must</td> <td>25</td> <td>Q. Anything else?</td>	25	approximate nearest neighbor search must	25	Q. Anything else?
2A. So outside the context of the patents, the term for approximate nearest 4 neighbor search, you know, it's usually a 5 sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to 6 be similar.3A. No.3action if you know, it's usually a 5 sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to 6 be similar.4Q. Let's go down a little bit further in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in 76be similar.6Do you see that around line 46 in r 77Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in 8 front of you, the '988 patent. And in 9 particular if you look at column 26.89particular if you look at column 26.910A. Yes.1011Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of 12 the column, do you see the language, 13 "Determining an Action"?1314A. So which element do you want me to 15 take a look at?1415action difference 9 Q. The element C, I'm sorry.1718A. Of the element B, right?1819Q. The element C, I'm sorry.1920A. Element C.2021Q. "Electronically Determining an 222222action," do you see that?22		-		Page 97
3patents, the term for approximate nearest3A. No.4neighbor search, you know, it's usually a3Q. Let's go down a little bit further5sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to5in the '988 patent and look at claim 31.6be similar.6Do you see that around line 46 in7Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in7Column 26?8front of you, the '988 patent. And in8A. Yes.9particular if you look at column 26.9Q. And you see there that the claim10A. Yes.10specifies "The action comprises provid11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of11and/or displacing additional informatio12the column, do you see the language,12association with the electronic work"?13"Determining an Action"?13A. Yep.14A. So which element do you want me to14Q. Do you understand that to be gi15take a look at?15an example of an action within the scop16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the16Claim 15?17'988 patent, and this is around line 7.17A. That could be one of the actions18A. Of the element C, I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional20A. Element C.20information in association with the21Q. "Electronically Determining an22the definition of "action" in claim 15,22action," do you see that?22the definition of "action" in claim 15, <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td>		•		
4neighbor search, you know, it's usually a4Q. Let's go down a little bit further5sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to5in the '988 patent and look at claim 31.6be similar.6Do you see that around line 46 in7Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in7column 26?8front of you, the '988 patent. And in8A. Yes.9particular if you look at column 26.9Q. And you see there that the claim10A. Yes.10specifies "The action comprises provid11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of11and/or displacing additional informatio12the column, do you see the language,12association with the electronic work"?13"Determining an Action"?13A. Yep.14A. So which element do you want me to14Q. Do you understand that to be gi15take a look at?15an example of an action within the scop16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the16claim 15?17'988 patent, and this is around line 7.17A. That could be one of the actions18A. Of the element C, I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional20A. Element C.20information in association with the21Q. "Electronically Determining an21electronic work would be an action that22action," do you see that?22the definition of "action" in claim 15,				
5sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to 65in the '988 patent and look at claim 31.6be similar.6Do you see that around line 46 in 77Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in 97column 26?8front of you, the '988 patent. And in 98A. Yes.9particular if you look at column 26.9Q. And you see there that the claim 1010A. Yes.10specifies "The action comprises provid 1111Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of 1211and/or displacing additional informatio association with the electronic work"?13"Determining an Action"?13A. Yep.14A. So which element do you want me to 1514Q. Do you understand that to be gi an example of an action within the scop 1616Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the 1716claim 15?17'988 patent, and this is around line 7.17A. That could be one of the actions18A. Of the element B, right?18the type of action performed.19Q. The element C.20information in association with the electronic ally Determining an 2220A. Element C.20information in association that 2221Q. "Electronically Determining an 2222the definition of "action" in claim 15,			3	A. No.
6be similar.6Do you see that around line 46 in7Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in7column 26?8front of you, the '988 patent. And in8A. Yes.9particular if you look at column 26.9Q. And you see there that the claim10A. Yes.10specifies "The action comprises provid11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of11and/or displacing additional informatio12the column, do you see the language,12association with the electronic work"?13"Determining an Action"?13A. Yep.14A. So which element do you want me to14Q. Do you understand that to be gi15take a look at?15an example of an action within the scop16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the16claim 15?17'988 patent, and this is around line 7.17A. That could be one of the actions18A. Of the element B, right?18the type of action performed.19Q. The element C. I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional20A. Element C.20information in association with the21Q. "Electronically Determining an21electronic work would be an action that22action," do you see that?22the definition of "action" in claim 15,	1			
7Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in 87column 26?8front of you, the '988 patent. And in 99Q. And you see there that the claim9particular if you look at column 26.9Q. And you see there that the claim10A. Yes.10specifies "The action comprises provid11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of 1211and/or displacing additional informatio12the column, do you see the language, 1312association with the electronic work"?13"Determining an Action"?13A. Yep.14A. So which element do you want me to14Q. Do you understand that to be gi15take a look at?15an example of an action within the scop16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the16claim 15?17'988 patent, and this is around line 7.17A. That could be one of the actions18A. Of the element B, right?18the type of action performed.19Q. The element C.20information in association with the21Q. "Electronically Determining an21electronic work would be an action that22action," do you see that?22the definition of "action" in claim 15,				Q. Let's go down a little bit further
8front of you, the '988 patent. And in 98A. Yes.9particular if you look at column 26.9Q. And you see there that the claim 1010A. Yes.10specifies "The action comprises provid and/or displacing additional informatio association with the electronic work"?11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of 1211and/or displacing additional informatio association with the electronic work"?13"Determining an Action"?13A. Yep.14A. So which element do you want me to 1514Q. Do you understand that to be gi an example of an action within the scop 1616Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the 1616claim 15?17'988 patent, and this is around line 7.17A. That could be one of the actions 1818A. Of the element B, right?18the type of action performed.19Q. The element C.20information in association with the 2120A. Element C.20information in association with the 2121Q. "Electronically Determining an 2221electronic work would be an action that 2222the definition of "action" in claim 15,	5	sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to	5	in the '988 patent and look at claim 31.
9particular if you look at column 26.9Q. And you see there that the claim10A. Yes.10specifies "The action comprises provid11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of11and/or displacing additional informatio12the column, do you see the language,12association with the electronic work"?13"Determining an Action"?13A. Yep.14A. So which element do you want me to14Q. Do you understand that to be gi15take a look at?15an example of an action within the scop16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the16claim 15?17'988 patent, and this is around line 7.17A. That could be one of the actions18A. Of the element B, right?18the type of action performed.19Q. The element C.20information in association with the20A. Element C.20information in association with the21Q. "Electronically Determining an21electronic work would be an action that22action," do you see that?22the definition of "action" in claim 15,	5 6	sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.	5 6	in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in
10A. Yes.10specifies "The action comprises provid11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of11and/or displacing additional informatio12the column, do you see the language,12association with the electronic work"?13"Determining an Action"?13A. Yep.14A. So which element do you want me to14Q. Do you understand that to be gi15take a look at?15an example of an action within the scop16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the16claim 15?17'988 patent, and this is around line 7.17A. That could be one of the actions18A. Of the element B, right?18the type of action performed.19Q. The element C, I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional20A. Element C.20information in association with the21Q. "Electronically Determining an21electronic work would be an action that22action," do you see that?22the definition of "action" in claim 15,	5 6 7	sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar. Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in	5 6 7	in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in column 26?
11Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of the column, do you see the language, "Determining an Action"?11and/or displacing additional informatio association with the electronic work"?13"Determining an Action"?13A. Yep.14A. So which element do you want me to 1514Q. Do you understand that to be gi an example of an action within the scop 1616Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the 1715an example of an action within the scop 1617'988 patent, and this is around line 7.17A. That could be one of the actions 1818A. Of the element B, right?18the type of action performed.19Q. The element C, I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional information in association with the20A. Element C.20information in association with the21Q. "Electronically Determining an 2221electronic work would be an action that 2222the definition of "action" in claim 15,	5 6 7 8	<ul><li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li><li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in</li></ul>	5 6 7 8	in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in column 26? A. Yes.
12the column, do you see the language,12association with the electronic work"?13"Determining an Action"?13A. Yep.14A. So which element do you want me to14Q. Do you understand that to be gi15take a look at?14Q. Do you understand that to be gi16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the15an example of an action within the scop17'988 patent, and this is around line 7.17A. That could be one of the actions18A. Of the element B, right?18the type of action performed.19Q. The element C, I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional20A. Element C.20information in association with the21Q. "Electronically Determining an21electronic work would be an action that22action," do you see that?22the definition of "action" in claim 15,	5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li><li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.</li></ul>	5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31.</li><li>Do you see that around line 46 in</li><li>column 26?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li></ul>
13"Determining an Action"?13A. Yep.14A. So which element do you want me to14Q. Do you understand that to be gi15take a look at?14Q. Do you understand that to be gi16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the15an example of an action within the scop17'988 patent, and this is around line 7.17A. That could be one of the actions18A. Of the element B, right?18the type of action performed.19Q. The element C, I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional20A. Element C.20information in association with the21Q. "Electronically Determining an21electronic work would be an action that22action," do you see that?22the definition of "action" in claim 15,	5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li><li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31.</li> <li>Do you see that around line 46 in</li> <li>column 26?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li> <li>specifies "The action comprises providing</li> </ul>
14A. So which element do you want me to14Q. Do you understand that to be gi15take a look at?15an example of an action within the scop16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the15an example of an action within the scop17'988 patent, and this is around line 7.17A. That could be one of the actions18A. Of the element B, right?18the type of action performed.19Q. The element C, I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional20A. Element C.20information in association with the21Q. "Electronically Determining an21electronic work would be an action that22action," do you see that?22the definition of "action" in claim 15,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31.</li> <li>Do you see that around line 46 in</li> <li>column 26?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li> <li>specifies "The action comprises providing and/or displacing additional information in</li> </ul>
15take a look at?15an example of an action within the score16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the16claim 15?17'988 patent, and this is around line 7.16claim 15?18A. Of the element B, right?17A. That could be one of the actions19Q. The element C, I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional20A. Element C.20information in association with the21Q. "Electronically Determining an21electronic work would be an action that22action," do you see that?22the definition of "action" in claim 15,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of the column, do you see the language,</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in</li> <li>column 26?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li> <li>specifies "The action comprises providing and/or displacing additional information in association with the electronic work"?</li> </ul>
16Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the 1716claim 15?17'988 patent, and this is around line 7.17A. That could be one of the actions18A. Of the element B, right?18the type of action performed.19Q. The element C, I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional20A. Element C.20information in association with the21Q. "Electronically Determining an21electronic work would be an action that22action," do you see that?22the definition of "action" in claim 15,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of the column, do you see the language, "Determining an Action"?</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in</li> <li>column 26?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li> <li>specifies "The action comprises providing and/or displacing additional information in association with the electronic work"?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>17 '988 patent, and this is around line 7.</li> <li>18 A. Of the element B, right?</li> <li>19 Q. The element C, I'm sorry.</li> <li>20 A. Element C.</li> <li>21 Q. "Electronically Determining an 22 action," do you see that?</li> <li>17 A. That could be one of the actions 18 the type of action performed.</li> <li>19 Q. So displaying additional 20 information in association with the 21 electronic work would be an action that 22 the definition of "action" in claim 15,</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of the column, do you see the language, "Determining an Action"?</li> <li>A. So which element do you want me to</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31.</li> <li>Do you see that around line 46 in</li> <li>column 26?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li> <li>specifies "The action comprises providing and/or displacing additional information in association with the electronic work"?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand that to be giving</li> </ul>
18A. Of the element B, right?18the type of action performed.19Q. The element C, I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional20A. Element C.20information in association with the21Q. "Electronically Determining an21electronic work would be an action that22action," do you see that?22the definition of "action" in claim 15,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of the column, do you see the language, "Determining an Action"?</li> <li>A. So which element do you want me to take a look at?</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31.</li> <li>Do you see that around line 46 in</li> <li>column 26?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li> <li>specifies "The action comprises providing and/or displacing additional information in association with the electronic work"?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand that to be giving an example of an action within the scope of</li> </ul>
19Q. The element C, I'm sorry.19Q. So displaying additional20A. Element C.20information in association with the21Q. "Electronically Determining an21electronic work would be an action that22action," do you see that?22the definition of "action" in claim 15,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of the column, do you see the language, "Determining an Action"?</li> <li>A. So which element do you want me to take a look at?</li> <li>Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in</li> <li>column 26?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li> <li>specifies "The action comprises providing and/or displacing additional information in association with the electronic work"?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand that to be giving an example of an action within the scope of claim 15?</li> </ul>
20A. Element C.21Q. "Electronically Determining an22action," do you see that?20information in association with the21Electronic work would be an action that222023Electronic work would be an action that242525262627272828292920202020202121222023202421252626272728282929202020202021202220232024212526262727282829292020202020212022202320242125202620272028202920 <t< td=""><td>5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17</td><td><ul> <li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of the column, do you see the language,</li> <li>"Determining an Action"?</li> <li>A. So which element do you want me to take a look at?</li> <li>Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the '988 patent, and this is around line 7.</li> </ul></td><td>5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17</td><td><ul> <li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in</li> <li>column 26?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li> <li>specifies "The action comprises providing and/or displacing additional information in association with the electronic work"?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand that to be giving an example of an action within the scope of claim 15?</li> <li>A. That could be one of the actions,</li> </ul></td></t<>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of the column, do you see the language,</li> <li>"Determining an Action"?</li> <li>A. So which element do you want me to take a look at?</li> <li>Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the '988 patent, and this is around line 7.</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in</li> <li>column 26?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li> <li>specifies "The action comprises providing and/or displacing additional information in association with the electronic work"?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand that to be giving an example of an action within the scope of claim 15?</li> <li>A. That could be one of the actions,</li> </ul>
21Q. "Electronically Determining an action," do you see that?21electronic work would be an action that 2221action," do you see that?21the definition of "action" in claim 15,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of the column, do you see the language,</li> <li>"Determining an Action"?</li> <li>A. So which element do you want me to take a look at?</li> <li>Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the '988 patent, and this is around line 7.</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in</li> <li>column 26?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li> <li>specifies "The action comprises providing and/or displacing additional information in association with the electronic work"?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand that to be giving an example of an action within the scope of claim 15?</li> <li>A. That could be one of the actions, the type of action performed.</li> </ul>
22 action," do you see that? 22 the definition of "action" in claim 15,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of the column, do you see the language, "Determining an Action"?</li> <li>A. So which element do you want me to take a look at?</li> <li>Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the '988 patent, and this is around line 7.</li> <li>A. Of the element B, right?</li> <li>Q. The element C, I'm sorry.</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in</li> <li>column 26?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li> <li>specifies "The action comprises providing and/or displacing additional information in association with the electronic work"?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand that to be giving an example of an action within the scope of claim 15?</li> <li>A. That could be one of the actions, the type of action performed.</li> <li>Q. So displaying additional</li> </ul>
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of the column, do you see the language, "Determining an Action"?</li> <li>A. So which element do you want me to take a look at?</li> <li>Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the '988 patent, and this is around line 7.</li> <li>A. Of the element B, right?</li> <li>Q. The element C, I'm sorry.</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in</li> <li>column 26?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li> <li>specifies "The action comprises providing and/or displacing additional information in association with the electronic work"?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand that to be giving an example of an action within the scope of claim 15?</li> <li>A. That could be one of the actions, the type of action performed.</li> <li>Q. So displaying additional</li> </ul>
22 A V <sub>22</sub> $ight?$	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of the column, do you see the language,</li> <li>"Determining an Action"?</li> <li>A. So which element do you want me to take a look at?</li> <li>Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the '988 patent, and this is around line 7.</li> <li>A. Of the element B, right?</li> <li>Q. The element C. I'm sorry.</li> <li>A. Element C.</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in</li> <li>column 26?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li> <li>specifies "The action comprises providing and/or displacing additional information in association with the electronic work"?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand that to be giving an example of an action within the scope of claim 15?</li> <li>A. That could be one of the actions, the type of action performed.</li> <li>Q. So displaying additional</li> </ul>
25 A. Ies. $25$ light:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of the column, do you see the language,</li> <li>"Determining an Action"?</li> <li>A. So which element do you want me to take a look at?</li> <li>Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the '988 patent, and this is around line 7.</li> <li>A. Of the element B, right?</li> <li>Q. The element C.</li> <li>Q. "Electronically Determining an action," do you see that?</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in</li> <li>column 26?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li> <li>specifies "The action comprises providing and/or displacing additional information in association with the electronic work"?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand that to be giving an example of an action within the scope of claim 15?</li> <li>A. That could be one of the actions, the type of action performed.</li> <li>Q. So displaying additional information in association with the electronic work the type of action performed.</li> </ul>
24 Q. You've expressed some views in your 24 A. Yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of the column, do you see the language,</li> <li>"Determining an Action"?</li> <li>A. So which element do you want me to take a look at?</li> <li>Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the '988 patent, and this is around line 7.</li> <li>A. Of the element B, right?</li> <li>Q. The element C.</li> <li>Q. "Electronically Determining an</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in</li> <li>column 26?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li> <li>specifies "The action comprises providing and/or displacing additional information in association with the electronic work"?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand that to be giving an example of an action within the scope of claim 15?</li> <li>A. That could be one of the actions, the type of action performed.</li> <li>Q. So displaying additional information in association with the electronic work the type of action performed.</li> </ul>
25 declaration regarding the term "Determining 25 Q. And might that additional	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>sublinear algorithm, but it doesn't have to be similar.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at Exhibit 2, that's in front of you, the '988 patent. And in particular if you look at column 26. <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Element C of claim 15 at the top of the column, do you see the language,</li> <li>"Determining an Action"?</li> <li>A. So which element do you want me to take a look at?</li> <li>Q. We're looking at claim 15 in the '988 patent, and this is around line 7.</li> <li>A. Of the element B, right?</li> <li>Q. The element C.</li> <li>Q. "Electronically Determining an action," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You've expressed some views in your</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>in the '988 patent and look at claim 31. Do you see that around line 46 in</li> <li>column 26?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you see there that the claim</li> <li>specifies "The action comprises providing and/or displacing additional information in association with the electronic work"?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. Do you understand that to be giving an example of an action within the scope of claim 15?</li> <li>A. That could be one of the actions, the type of action performed.</li> <li>Q. So displaying additional information in association with the electronic work would be an action that meets the definition of "action" in claim 15, right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>

<sup>25 (</sup>Pages 94 - 97)

Karypis

Page 101information include the title of the song2that you're searching for?3A. That can be the additionalinformation.3that trom paragraph 60 in your declaration.4Yep. Trin agreement with that5Q. Can you think of anything that you6believe would not qualify as an action, as7M.R. LUNER: Objection to form.10A. So your question, if 1 - actually11your question was, can 1 think of any type of12actions that will not fall within the scope13of this thing?14Q. Correct.15A. So an action that is not based on16the identification would not fall within the17g. Okay. Anything else come to mind?18Q. Okay. Anything else come to mind?19A. Well, an action that s not20determining action, right?21A. May ou say that because the claim22Q. And you also say that an action not23Q. And you also say that an action not24A. Yep.25Q. And you also say that an action not26Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"27M. LUNRE: Objection to form.28A. Yep.29There are 00 million entries in the example is that 920the context of the claim?21M. K.LUNRE: Objection to form.22Q. And you also say that an action not23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go24A. Y			-	
2       that you're searching for?       2       double-check what I've read. I was reading that from paragraph 60 in your declaration.         3       A. That can be the additional information.       3       that from paragraph 60 in your declaration.         4       A. Can you think of anything that you believe would not qualify as an action, as that term is used in the 2000 the soar refers to "size" in the patent?       A. Nep. I'm in agreement with that that definition, the size of the data set, you're quated that with the number of actions that will not fall within the scope at actions that will not fall within the scope at actions that will not fall within the scope at actions that will not fall within the scope at actions that.       You 've quated that with the number of the identification would not fall within the scope at the identification would not fall within the scope at the identification would not fall within the scope at the identification would not fall within the scope of that.       You 've quated that with the Cox patents as well?         16       the identification would not fall within the scope at the identification would not fall within the scope at that.       You 've quated that what hat and is it you would, the '237 patent, and in particular column 21. Starting around ine 14, if you could take a look at that at and 21 ler me know if you are familiar with that 22 specifies that it's electronically         23       determining action, right?       A. Neas.       A. Okay. I read the passage. Go 24 ahead.         24       A. Yep.       M. So avout of the claim?       A. So avoy of these example is that 9 million - 90 million. The sory of mastranding that there are a tota	1	e	1	
3       Å. That can be the additional       3       that from paragraph 60 in your declaration.         4       information.       A. Yep. I'm in agreement with that         5       Q. Can you think of anything that you       6         6       believe would not qualify as an action, as       6         7       that term is used in claim 15 in the '988       attempt extent, yes.         9       MR. LUNER: Objection to form.       9         9       MR. LUNER: Objection to form.       9         10       A. So your question, if I - actually       11         11       your question was, can I think of any type of       attis in the reference database; is that         12       actions that will not fall within the scope       13         13       M. Well, an action that's not       10         14       Q. Correct.       14       A. Mell, an action that's not         17       Q. Coday ou say that because the claim       18       Exhibit 3, if your would, the '237 patent, and         18       Q. Andy ou say that because the claim       18       Exhibit 3, if you could take a look at that and         18       specifies that it's electronically.       23       A. Okay. I read the passage. Go         24       A. Yep.       23       A. Okay. I read the passage. Nis <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
4information.4A. Yep. Tm in agreement with that5Q. Can you think of anything that youinterpretation of the sublicar term, to a6believe would not qualify as an action, as77M.R. LUNER: Objection to form.010A. So your question, if 1 actually1011your question was, can 1 think of any type of1112actions that will not all within the scope1313Q. Correct.1414Q. Correct.1415A. So an action that is not based on16the identification would not fall within the17Q. Okay. Anything else come to mind?18Q. Okay. Anything else come to mind?19A. Well, an action that's not20And you say that because the claim21Q. And you also say that an action not22A. Yes.23determining action, right?24A. Yes.25Q. And you also say that an action not26A. Yep.7Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"7G. Focusing just on the word "action,"7G. A. Yep.10the identification of the electronic11there to be in the data set that's being3specifies that the action in with be abaed on14the context of the claim?15A. Yep.6A. Yep.7Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"16the context of the claim?17M. R. LUNE			1	-
5Q. Can you think of anything that you believe would not qualify as an action, as that term is used in claim 15 in the '988 patent?5interpretation of the sublinear term, to a large extent, yes.9MR. LUNER: Objection to form.Q. Where the board refers to "size" in that definition, the size of the data set, you've equated that with the number of entries in the reference database; is that right?10A. So your question, if I actually your question was, can I think of any type of actions that will not fall within the scope of this thing?912actions that will not fall within the scope of that thing?914Q. Correct.1418Q. Okay. Anything else come to mind?1619A. Well, an action that's not based on identification would not fall within that specifies that it's electronically.1720A. Yes.2321A. Mey.2322A. Yes.2323determining action, right?2324A. Yes.2325Q. And you also say that an action not the identification of the electronic work wouldn't qualify, because the claim 3117Q. Focusing just on the word "action," stight?127A. Yep.207A. That's a very broad question.7A. That's a very broad question.7G. A. That				
6       believe would not qualify as an action, as       6       large extent, yes.         7       that term is used in claim 15 in the '988       7       Q. Where the board refers to "size" in that reference database; is that         9       MR. LUNER: Objection to form.       9       what claim 15 in the '988       7         9       MR. LUNER: Objection to form.       9       what claim 15 in the '988       7         10       A. So your question was, can I think of any type of a the identification would not fall within the scope of that.       13       Q. Number of records, yes.         10       the identification would not fall within the scope of that.       15       the term is used in the Cox patents as well?         16       the identification would not fall within the scope of that.       16       Exhibit 3, if you would, the '237 patent, and 19         17       Q. Okay. Anything else come to mind?       18       Exhibit 3, if you would take a look at that and 19         18       specifies that it's electronically.       2       3       A. Okay. I read the passage. Go         24       A. Yes.       2       3       A. Okay. I read the passage. Go         24       A. Yep.       1       there to be in the data set that's being         3       spoetint tagaity, because the claim <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
7       that term is used in claim 15 in the '988       7       Q. Where the board refers to "size" in that definition, the size of the data set, that the set or size in the reference database; that is seed on that is not based on that is not the secone to mind?         10       A. Okay, Anything else come to mind?       14       A. Okay, I read the passage. Go ahead.         23       Q. And you also say that an action not       25       Q. In the example that's described         24       A. Yep.       21       4       A. So arcording to the data set that's being discussed?         3       specifies that the action must be based on identification of the electronic work, right?       5       A. Okay. I read the passage. Si adi				-
8patent?8that definition, the size of the data set, you're equated that with the number of9MR. LUNER: Objection to form.10entries in the reference database; is that11your question was, can I think of any type of actions that will not fall within the scope11right?13of this thing?13Q. Number of records, yes.14Q. Correct.14And is it your view that that's how16the identification would not fall within the scope of that.1618Q. Okay. Anything else come to mind?17Q. Now, let's take a look at19A. Well, an action that's not191020determined electronically.201021determining action, right?23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go24A. Yes.23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go25Q. And you also say that an action not25Q. In the example that's described25Q. And you also say that an action not25Q. So it's your understand3specifies that the action must be based on3discussed?4A. Yep.6A. So ay or of these example is that 97Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"768A. That's a very broad question.11there are a total of 90 million records in11the contart of the claim?1412A. That's a very broad question.1513I cannot really think of anything.1414I mean outside, yo		· ·		•
9MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So your question, if 1 actually your question was, can I think of any type of actions that will not fall within the scope of this thing?9you've equated that with the number of entries in the reference database; is that right?13of this thing?111014Q. Correct.12A. Number of records, yes.15A. So an action that is not based on the identification would not fall within the rscope of that.15A. So an action that is not based on the identification would not fall within the rscope of that.16M. So an action that is not based on the identification would not fall within the rscope of that.16A. So an action that is not based on the identification of the lesc come to mind?19A. Well, an action that's not 2020Now, let's take a look at the identification of the cleatmone specifies that it's electronically 2111Ite term is used in the Cox patents as well?23determining action, right?23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go a head.2425Q. And you also say that an action not the identification of the electronic work wouldn't qualify, because the claim 3 specifies that the action must be based on 4 the identification of the electronic work, 5 right?1A. So any of these example is that 97Q. Focusing just on the word "action," can you think of anything that would fall 92A. So acording to the passage. Ni s9outside the scope of action, as it's used in 101there are a total of 90 million. I'm sorry.7Q. Focusing just on the wor				-
10A. So your question, if I actually your question was, can I think of any type of actions that will not fall within the scope of this thing?10entries in the reference database; is that right?12actions that will not fall within the scope of this thing?13A. Number of records, yes.14Q. Correct.14A. Number of records.15A. So an action that is not based on the identification would not fall within the scope of that.16A. I believe so.17Scope of that.17Q. Now, let's take a look at18Q. Okay. Anything else come to mind?18Exhibit 3, if you would, the '237 patent, and19A. Well, an action that's not19in particular column 21. Starting around20determined electronically.20line 14, if you could take a look at that and21g. And you say that because the claim specifies that it's electronically23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go24A. Yes.23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go24A. Yep.24abead.25Q. And you also say that an action not257gight?23discussed?8A. Yep.6A. So any of these example is that 99specifies that the action must be based on the identification of the electronic110the context of the claim?78can you think of anything that would fall99outside the scope of action, as it's used in1010the context of the claim? <t< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td></t<>		-		
11your question was, can I think of any type of actions that will not fall within the scope11right?12actions that will not fall within the scope12A. Number of records.13of this thing?13Q. Number of records.14Q. Correct.14And is it your view that that's how15A. So an action that is not based on15the term is used in the Cox patents as well?16the identification would not fall within the17Q. Now, let's take a look at19A. Well, an action that's not19A. Well, an action that's not20determined electronically.20Ine 14, if you could take a look at that and21Q. And you asy that because the claim21Ie me know if you are familiar with that22g. And you aso say that an action not25Q. In the example that's described23determining action, right?23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go24A. Yes.25Q. In the example that's described25Q. And you also say that an action not25Q. In the example that's described26Verk wouldn't qualify, because the claim3discussed?3the identification of the electronic work, 5 right?6Q. So it's your understanding that7Q. Focusing just on the word "action," 676Q. So it's your understanding that7Q. Focusing just on the word "action," 776Q. So it's your understanding that8can you think of anything that would				
12       actions that will not fall within the scope       12       A. Number of records, yes.         13       of this thing?       13       Q. Correct.         14       Q. Correct.       14         15       A. So an action that is not based on       15         16       the identification would not fall within the       16         17       scope of that.       17         18       Q. Okay. Anything else come to mind?       18         19       A. Well, an action that's not       19         20       determined electronically.       20         21       Q. And you say that because the claim       11         22       determining action, right?       23         23       determining action of the electronic       24         24       A. Yes.       25         25       Q. And you also say that an action not       25         26       A. Yep.       25         27       A. Yep.       26         3       specifies that the action must be based on       3         4       the identification of the electronic       25         28       A. Yep.       6         3       can you think of anything that would fall       3				
13of this thing?13Q. Number of records.14Q. Correct.14And is it your view that that's how15A. So an action that is not based on15the term is used in the Cox patents as well?16the identification would not fall within the16A. I believe so.17scope of that.17Q. Now, let's take a look at18Q. Okay. Anything else come to mind?18Exhibit 3, if you would, the '237 patent, and19A. Well, an action that's not19in particular column 21. Starting around10determined electronically.201021Q. And you say that because the claim212023determining action, right?23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go24A. Yes.24A. Yes.25Q. And you also say that an action not25Q. In the example that's described26Work wouldn't qualify, because the claim3specifies that the action must be based on3specifies that the action must be based on4there, how many entries do you understand4A. Yep.6A. So any of these example is that 97Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"78can you think of anything that would fall99outside the scope of action, as it's used in910the context of the claim?1111MR. LUNER: Objection to form.1212A. That's a very broad question.1213I cannot really				
14Q. Correct.14And is it your view that that's how15A. So an action that is not based on15the term is used in the Cox patents as well?16the identification would not fall within the15A. I believe so.18Q. Okay. Anything else come to mind?16N. Well, an action that's not19A. Well, an action that's not19I20determined electronically.20Iter Me know if you would, the '237 patent, and21Q. And you say that because the claim21Iter me know if you are familiar with that22specifies that it's electronically22A. Okay. I read the passage. Go23determining action, right?23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go24A. Yes.25Q. In the example that's described25Q. And you also say that an action not25Q. In the example that's described2specifies that the action must be based on3discussed?3specifies that the action must be based on4A. So any of these example is that 95right?6A. Yep.67Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"7Keone according to the passage, N is6A. Yep.717Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"8A. So according to the passage, N is9outside the scope of action, as it's used in9defined to be the number of entries, and the10the context of the claim?11there are atolal of 90 million records in				
15A. So an action that is not based on the identification would not fall within the 1715the term is used in the Cox patents as well?16the identification would not fall within the 17C. Now, let's take a look at 20A. I believe so.19A. Well, an action that's not 20Q. Now, let's take a look at 21Exhibit 3, if you would, the '237 patent, and 22 2320determined electronically. 22 2320Iine 14, if you could take a look at that and 21 22 2323determining action, right? 2423A. Okay. I read the passage.24A. Yes. 2524A. Yes. 2625Q. And you also say that an action not 2725Q. In the example that's described26Work wouldn't qualify, because the claim 3 specifies that the action must be based on 4 4 the identification of the electronic 5 right?1there, how many entries do you understand there, how many entries do you understand there to be in the data set that's being discussed?7Q. Focusing just on the word "action," 6 7 8 7 8 7 107G. So it's your understanding that there are 90 million entries in the database?7M. LUNER: Objection to form. 12 1417N. That's a very broad question. 12 1418 16 1616 16 17M. That's a very broad question. 13 1414 14 14 1414 14 14 1416 16 17M. That's a very broad question. 1512 14 14 14 1417 16Let's move to another term. And <br< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></br<>				-
16the identification would not fall within the scope of that.16A. I believe so.17scope of that.17Q. Now, let's take a look at18Q. Okay. Anything else come to mind?18Exhibit 3, if you would, the '237 patent, and in particular column 21. Starting around20determined electronically.20line 14, if you could take a look at that and let me know if you are familiar with that specifies that it's electronically23determining action, right?23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go24A. Yes.23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go25Q. And you also say that an action not25Q. In the example that's described2work wouldn't qualify, because the claim 3 specifies that the action must be based on 3 specifies that the action must be based on 3 specifies that the action must be based on 4 the identification of the electronic work, 5 right?1there, how many entries do you understand there to be in the data set that's being 3 discussed?3generation of the electronic work, 5 right?6Q. So it's your understanding that there are a follion, I'm sorry.6A. Yep.6Q. So it's your understanding that there are a total of 90 million records in 1110MR. LUNER: Objection to form.111111MR. LUNER: Objection to form.1312A. That's a very broad question.1313I cannot really think of anything.1414the reast of the words in this step.1515the rest of the words in this				-
17scope of that.17Q. Now, let's take a look at18Q. Okay. Anything else come to mind?18Exhibit 3, if you would, the '237 patent, and19A. Well, an action that's not19in particular column 21. Starting around20determined electronically.20line 14, if you could take a look at that and21Q. And you say that because the claim21let me know if you are familiar with that22specifies that it's electronically23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go24A. Yes.23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go25Q. And you also say that an action not25Q. In the example that's described7based on identification of the electronic1there, how many entries do you understand2work wouldn't qualify, because the claim3discussed?3specifies that the action must be based on4there to be in the data set that's being3specifies that fle action of the electronic work,5right?6A. Yep.6A. So any of these example is that 97Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"78can you think of anything that would fall99outside the scope of action, as it's used in1010the context of the claim?1111MR. LUNER: Objection to form.1212A. That's a very broad question.1313I cannot really think of anything.1414I mean outside, you know, the constraints of </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
18Q. Okay. Anything else come to mind?18Exhibit 3, if you would, the '237 patent, and19A. Well, an action that's not19in particular column 21. Starting around20determined electronically.2021Q. And you say that because the claim2123determining action, right?2324A. Yes.2425Q. And you also say that an action not2526Q. And you also say that an action not2527Page 99Page 10128based on identification of the electronic129there, how many entries do you understand20work wouldn't qualify, because the claim3specifies that the action must be based on4th eidentification of the electronic work,5right?6A. Yep.7Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"8can you think of anything that would fall9outside the scope of action, as it's used in10the context of the claim?11MR. LUNER: Objection to form.12A. That's a very broad question.13I cannot really think of anything.14I mean outside, you know, the constraints of15the rest of the word's in this step.16Q. Let's move to another term. And17the dilenge claims issued in the correct?18challenge claims issued in the correct?19A. I believe so.20Q. And the board has indicated that				
19A. Well, an action that's not determined electronically.19in particular column 21. Starting around line 14, if you could take a look at that and let me know if you are familiar with that passage.21Q. And you say that because the claim specifies that it's electronically determining action, right?21let me know if you are familiar with that apssage.22A. Yes. Q. And you also say that an action not22A. Okay. I read the passage. Go ahead.25Q. And you also say that an action not25Q. In the example that's described7based on identification of the electronic work wouldn't qualify, because the claim 3 specifies that the action must be based on 4 the identification of the electronic work, 5 right?1there, how many entries do you understand there to be in the data set that's being discussed?6A. Yep. Q. Focusing just on the word "action," 8 can you think of anything that would fall 9 outside the scope of action, as it's used in 10 the context of the claim?8A. So according to the passage, N is 919A. That's a very broad question. 1213I cannot really think of anything. 141414I mean outside, you know, the constraints of 1514Commercials; is that right?14I mean outside, you know, the constraints of 1815A. That's what it says, yes.16Q. Let's move to another term. And 1716Q. So it's your understanding that the catabase.17the word "sublinear" appears in some of the challenge claims issued in the correct? 191416 <td< td=""><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td></td<>		1		
20determined electronically.20line 14, if you could take a look at that and21Q. And you say that because the claim21let me know if you are familiar with that22getermining action, right?23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go24A. Yes.23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go25Q. And you also say that an action not25Q. In the example that's described26Ves.25Q. In the example that's described27based on identification of the electronic1there, how many entries do you understand28work wouldn't qualify, because the claim3discussed?3specifies that the action must be based on4A. So any of these example is that 94the identification of the electronic work,4A. So any of these example is that 95right?6Q. So it's your understanding that7Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"8A. So according to the passage, N is9outside the scope of action, as it's used in9defined to be the number of entries, and the10the context of the claim?11there are a total of 90 million records in12A. That's a very broad question.13Q. And this database contains 100,00014I mean outside, you know, the constraints of1415the rest of the words in this step.15A. That's what it says, yes.16Q. And the board has indicated that1717the database, but rather the commercials are<				
21Q. And you say that because the claim22specifies that it's electronically23determining action, right?24A. Yes.25Q. And you also say that an action not26Q. And you also say that an action not27Q. And you also say that an action not28Page 9929Page 9920Page 9921based on identification of the electronic21work wouldn't qualify, because the claim3specifies that the action must be based on4the identification of the electronic work,5right?6A. Yep.7Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"8can you think of anything that would fall9outside the scope of action, as it's used in10the context of the claim?11MR. LUNER: Objection to form.12A. That's a very broad question.13I cannot really think of anything.14I mean outside, you know, the constraints of15the rest of the words in this step.16Q. Let's move to another term. And17the lelenge claims issued in the correct?18challenge claims issued in the correct?19A. I believe so.20Q. And the board has indicated that21"sublinear should be construed to mean a			1	
22specifies that it's electronically22passage.23determining action, right?23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go24A. Yes.23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go25Q. And you also say that an action not25Q. In the example that's described26Page 991there, how many entries do you understand27work wouldn't qualify, because the claim2there to be in the data set that's being3specifies that the action must be based on4the identification of the electronic work,5right?6A. Yep.6A. Yep.6Q. So it's your understanding that7Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"78can you think of anything that would fall99utere are 90 million entries in the database?8can you think of anything that would fall9utere are a total of 90 million records in10the context of the claim?11MR. LUNER: Objection to form.12I mean outside, you know, the constraints of13I cannot really think of anything.14I mean outside, you know, the constraints of15the rest of the words in this step.16Q. Let's move to another term. And17the word "sublinear" appears in some of the18challenge claims issued in the correct?19A. I believe so.20Q. And the board has indicated that21"sublinear should be construed to mean a <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>1</td> <td></td>		•	1	
23determining action, right?23A. Okay. I read the passage. Go24A. Yes.24ahead.25Q. And you also say that an action not25Q. In the example that's described2Page 99Page 991based on identification of the electronic12work wouldn't qualify, because the claim23specifies that the action must be based on44the identification of the electronic work,15right?66A. Yep.7Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"8can you think of anything that would fall9outside the scope of action, as it's used in10the context of the claim?11MR. LUNER: Objection to form.12A. That's a very broad question.13I cannot really think of anything.14I mean outside, you know, the constraints of15the rest of the words in this step.16Q. Let's move to another term. And17the word "sublinear" appears in some of the18challenge claims issued in the correct?20Q. And the board has indicated that21"sublinear should be construed to mean a			1	-
24A. Yes.24ahead.25Q. And you also say that an action not24ahead.26Q. In the example that's described27based on identification of the electronic228work wouldn't qualify, because the claim33specifies that the action must be based on44the identification of the electronic work,15right?66A. Yep.7Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"8can you think of anything that would fall9outside the scope of action, as it's used in10the context of the claim?11MR. LUNER: Objection to form.12A. That's a very broad question.13I cannot really think of anything.14I mean outside, you know, the constraints of15the rest of the words in this step.16Q. Let's move to another term. And17the word "sublinear" appears in some of the18challenge claims issued in the correct?20Q. And the board has indicated that21"sublinear should be construed to mean a				
25Q. And you also say that an action not25Q. In the example that's describedPage 99Page 99Page 1011based on identification of the electronic1there, how many entries do you understand2work wouldn't qualify, because the claim2there to be in the data set that's being3specifies that the action must be based on4the identification of the electronic work,4the identification of the electronic work,7A. Yep.6A. Yep.6Q. So it's your understanding that7Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"8A. So according to the passage, N is9outside the scope of action, as it's used in9defined to be the number of entries, and the10the context of the claim?10database stores each frame as a record. So11MR. LUNER: Objection to form.11there are a total of 90 million records in12A. That's a very broad question.13I cannot really think of anything.14I mean outside, you know, the constraints of14Consmercials; is that right?15the rest of the words in this step.15A. That's what it says, yes.16Q. Let's move to another term. And17each commercial doesn't have its own entry in18challenge claims issued in the correct?19A. So, yes, in this particular20Q. And the board has indicated that20A. So, yes, in this particular21"sublinear should be construced to mean a21examp				
Page 10Page 10Page 101Page				
1based on identification of the electronic1there, how many entries do you understand2work wouldn't qualify, because the claim3there to be in the data set that's being3specifies that the action must be based on4the identification of the electronic work,34the identification of the electronic work,4A. So any of these example is that 95right?6A. Yep.66A. Yep.6Q. So it's your understanding that7Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"7there are 90 million entries in the database?8can you think of anything that would fall8A. So according to the passage, N is9outside the scope of action, as it's used in9defined to be the number of entries, and the10the context of the claim?10database stores each frame as a record. So11MR. LUNER: Objection to form.11the eare a total of 90 million records in12A. That's a very broad question.13Q. And this database contains 100,00014I mean outside, you know, the constraints of14Commercials; is that right?15the rest of the words in this step.16Q. Let's move to another term. And17the word "sublinear" appears in some of the1718challenge claims issued in the correct?1819A. I believe so.1920Q. And the board has indicated that2021Want the board has indicated that202	25	Q. And you also say that an action not	25	Q. In the example that's described
2work wouldn't qualify, because the claim2there to be in the data set that's being3specifies that the action must be based on3discussed?4the identification of the electronic work,4A. So any of these example is that 95right?5million 90 million, I'm sorry.6A. Yep.6Q. So it's your understanding that7Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"8A. So according to the passage, N is9outside the scope of action, as it's used in9defined to be the number of entries, and the10the context of the claim?10database stores each frame as a record. So11MR. LUNER: Objection to form.11there are a total of 90 million records in12A. That's a very broad question.12Q. And this database contains 100,00014I mean outside, you know, the constraints of14commercials; is that right?15the rest of the words in this step.15A. That's what it says, yes.16Q. Let's move to another term. And16Q. So it's your understanding that17the word "sublinear" appears in some of the17each commercial doesn't have its own entry in18challenge claims issued in the correct?18the database, but rather the commercials are19A. I believe so.19A. So, yes, in this particular20Q. And the board has indicated that20A. So, yes, in this particular21"sublinear should be construed to mean a21 <td></td> <td>Page 99</td> <td></td> <td>Page 101</td>		Page 99		Page 101
3specifies that the action must be based on 43discussed?4the identification of the electronic work, 53discussed?5right?5million 90 million, I'm sorry.6A. Yep.6Q. So it's your understanding that7Q. Focusing just on the word "action," 87Constructed into entries in the database?8can you think of anything that would fall 98A. So according to the passage, N is9outside the scope of action, as it's used in 109defined to be the number of entries, and the 1010the context of the claim?10database stores each frame as a record. So11MR. LUNER: Objection to form.11there are a total of 90 million records in12A. That's a very broad question.12the database.13I cannot really think of anything.13Q. And this database contains 100,00014I mean outside, you know, the constraints of 1514commercials; is that right?15A. Ibelieve so.15A. That's what it says, yes.16Q. Let's move to another term. And 1716Q. So it's your understanding that17the word "sublinear" appears in some of the 1817each commercial doesn't have its own entry in 1818challenge claims issued in the correct?18the database, but rather the commercials are 1920Q. And the board has indicated that 2120A. So, yes, in this particular21"sublinear should	1	based on identification of the electronic	1	there, how many entries do you understand
<ul> <li>4 the identification of the electronic work,</li> <li>5 right?</li> <li>6 A. Yep.</li> <li>7 Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"</li> <li>8 can you think of anything that would fall</li> <li>9 outside the scope of action, as it's used in</li> <li>10 the context of the claim?</li> <li>11 MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>12 A. That's a very broad question.</li> <li>13 I cannot really think of anything.</li> <li>14 I mean outside, you know, the constraints of</li> <li>15 the rest of the words in this step.</li> <li>16 Q. Let's move to another term. And</li> <li>17 the word "sublinear" appears in some of the</li> <li>18 challenge claims issued in the correct?</li> <li>19 A. I believe so.</li> <li>20 Q. And the board has indicated that</li> <li>21 "sublinear should be construed to mean a</li> </ul>	2	work wouldn't qualify, because the claim	2	there to be in the data set that's being
<ul> <li>5 right?</li> <li>6 A. Yep.</li> <li>7 Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"</li> <li>8 can you think of anything that would fall</li> <li>9 outside the scope of action, as it's used in</li> <li>10 the context of the claim?</li> <li>11 MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>12 A. That's a very broad question.</li> <li>13 I cannot really think of anything.</li> <li>14 I mean outside, you know, the constraints of</li> <li>15 the rest of the words in this step.</li> <li>16 Q. Let's move to another term. And</li> <li>17 the word "sublinear" appears in some of the</li> <li>18 challenge claims issued in the correct?</li> <li>19 A. I believe so.</li> <li>20 Q. And the board has indicated that</li> <li>21 "sublinear should be construed to mean a</li> <li>5 million 90 million, I'm sory.</li> <li>6 Q. So it's your understanding that</li> <li>7 there are 90 million entries in the database?</li> <li>8 A. So according to the passage, N is</li> <li>9 defined to be the number of entries, and the</li> <li>10 database stores each frame as a record. So</li> <li>11 there are a total of 90 million records in</li> <li>12 the database.</li> <li>13 Q. And this database contains 100,000</li> <li>14 the word "sublinear" appears in some of the</li> <li>17 each commercial doesn't have its own entry in</li> <li>18 the database, but rather the commercials are</li> <li>19 defined to be the number of entries, and the</li> <li>10 database stores each frame as a record. So</li> <li>11 there are a total of 90 million records in</li> <li>12 the database.</li> <li>13 Q. And this database contains 100,000</li> <li>14 the database, but rather the commercials are</li> <li>19 deconstructed into their individual frames?</li> <li>20 A. So, yes, in this particular</li> <li>21 "sublinear should be construed to mean a</li> </ul>	3	specifies that the action must be based on	3	discussed?
<ul> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"</li> <li>can you think of anything that would fall</li> <li>outside the scope of action, as it's used in</li> <li>the context of the claim?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. That's a very broad question.</li> <li>I cannot really think of anything.</li> <li>I mean outside, you know, the constraints of</li> <li>I mean outside, you know, the constraints of</li> <li>C. Let's move to another term. And</li> <li>the word "sublinear" appears in some of the</li> <li>challenge claims issued in the correct?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And the board has indicated that</li> <li>"sublinear should be construed to mean a</li> <li>G. And the board has indicated that</li> <li>T. Sublinear should be construed to mean a</li> <li>C. And the board has indicated that</li> <li>C. And the board</li></ul>	4	the identification of the electronic work,	4	A. So any of these example is that 9
7Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"7there are 90 million entries in the database?8can you think of anything that would fall8A. So according to the passage, N is9outside the scope of action, as it's used in9defined to be the number of entries, and the10the context of the claim?9defined to be the number of entries, and the11MR. LUNER: Objection to form.10database stores each frame as a record. So11MR. LUNER: Objection to form.11there are a total of 90 million records in12A. That's a very broad question.12the database.13I cannot really think of anything.13Q. And this database contains 100,00014I mean outside, you know, the constraints of14commercials; is that right?15the rest of the words in this step.15A. That's what it says, yes.16Q. Let's move to another term. And16Q. So it's your understanding that17the word "sublinear" appears in some of the17each commercial doesn't have its own entry in18challenge claims issued in the correct?18the database, but rather the commercials are19A. I believe so.20A. Mot the board has indicated that20A. So, yes, in this particular21"sublinear should be construed to mean a21example, each frame is considered to be an	5	right?	5	million 90 million, I'm sorry.
<ul> <li>8 can you think of anything that would fall</li> <li>9 outside the scope of action, as it's used in</li> <li>10 the context of the claim?</li> <li>11 MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>12 A. That's a very broad question.</li> <li>13 I cannot really think of anything.</li> <li>14 I mean outside, you know, the constraints of</li> <li>15 the rest of the words in this step.</li> <li>16 Q. Let's move to another term. And</li> <li>17 the word "sublinear" appears in some of the</li> <li>18 challenge claims issued in the correct?</li> <li>19 A. I believe so.</li> <li>20 Q. And the board has indicated that</li> <li>21 "sublinear should be construed to mean a</li> </ul>	6	A. Yep.	6	Q. So it's your understanding that
<ul> <li>9 outside the scope of action, as it's used in</li> <li>10 the context of the claim?</li> <li>11 MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>12 A. That's a very broad question.</li> <li>13 I cannot really think of anything.</li> <li>14 I mean outside, you know, the constraints of</li> <li>15 the rest of the words in this step.</li> <li>16 Q. Let's move to another term. And</li> <li>17 the word "sublinear" appears in some of the</li> <li>18 challenge claims issued in the correct?</li> <li>19 A. I believe so.</li> <li>20 Q. And the board has indicated that</li> <li>21 "sublinear should be construed to mean a</li> <li>9 defined to be the number of entries, and the</li> <li>10 database stores each frame as a record. So</li> <li>11 there are a total of 90 million records in</li> <li>12 the database.</li> <li>13 Q. And this database contains 100,000</li> <li>14 I mean outside, you know, the constraints of</li> <li>15 A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>16 Q. So it's your understanding that</li> <li>17 each commercial doesn't have its own entry in</li> <li>18 challenge claims indicated that</li> <li>20 A. And the board has indicated that</li> <li>21 "sublinear should be construed to mean a</li> </ul>	7	Q. Focusing just on the word "action,"	7	there are 90 million entries in the database?
10the context of the claim?10database stores each frame as a record. So11MR. LUNER: Objection to form.11there are a total of 90 million records in12A. That's a very broad question.11there are a total of 90 million records in13I cannot really think of anything.13Q. And this database contains 100,00014I mean outside, you know, the constraints of14commercials; is that right?15the rest of the words in this step.15A. That's what it says, yes.16Q. Let's move to another term. And16Q. So it's your understanding that17the word "sublinear" appears in some of the17each commercial doesn't have its own entry in18challenge claims issued in the correct?18the database, but rather the commercials are19A. I believe so.19deconstructed into their individual frames?20Q. And the board has indicated that20A. So, yes, in this particular21"sublinear should be construed to mean a21example, each frame is considered to be an	8	can you think of anything that would fall	8	A. So according to the passage, N is
10the context of the claim?10database stores each frame as a record. So11MR. LUNER: Objection to form.11there are a total of 90 million records in12A. That's a very broad question.11there are a total of 90 million records in13I cannot really think of anything.13Q. And this database contains 100,00014I mean outside, you know, the constraints of14commercials; is that right?15the rest of the words in this step.15A. That's what it says, yes.16Q. Let's move to another term. And16Q. So it's your understanding that17the word "sublinear" appears in some of the17each commercial doesn't have its own entry in18challenge claims issued in the correct?18the database, but rather the commercials are19A. I believe so.19deconstructed into their individual frames?20Q. And the board has indicated that20A. So, yes, in this particular21"sublinear should be construed to mean a21example, each frame is considered to be an	9	outside the scope of action, as it's used in	9	defined to be the number of entries, and the
11MR. LUNER: Objection to form.11there are a total of 90 million records in12A. That's a very broad question.12the database.13I cannot really think of anything.13Q. And this database contains 100,00014I mean outside, you know, the constraints of14commercials; is that right?15the rest of the words in this step.15A. That's what it says, yes.16Q. Let's move to another term. And16Q. So it's your understanding that17the word "sublinear" appears in some of the17each commercial doesn't have its own entry in18challenge claims issued in the correct?18the database, but rather the commercials are19A. I believe so.19A. So, yes, in this particular20Q. And the board has indicated that20A. So, yes, in this particular21"sublinear should be construed to mean a21example, each frame is considered to be an	10		10	
<ul> <li>A. That's a very broad question.</li> <li>I cannot really think of anything.</li> <li>I mean outside, you know, the constraints of</li> <li>the rest of the words in this step.</li> <li>Q. Let's move to another term. And</li> <li>the word "sublinear" appears in some of the</li> <li>challenge claims issued in the correct?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And the board has indicated that</li> <li>"sublinear should be construed to mean a</li> <li>the database.</li> <li>the database.</li> <li>the database contains 100,000</li> <li>commercials; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>G. So it's your understanding that</li> <li>the database, but rather the commercials are</li> <li>deconstructed into their individual frames?</li> <li>A. So, yes, in this particular</li> <li>example, each frame is considered to be an</li> </ul>	11	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.	11	there are a total of 90 million records in
<ul> <li>I cannot really think of anything.</li> <li>I mean outside, you know, the constraints of</li> <li>the rest of the words in this step.</li> <li>Q. Let's move to another term. And</li> <li>the word "sublinear" appears in some of the</li> <li>challenge claims issued in the correct?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And the board has indicated that</li> <li>"sublinear should be construed to mean a</li> <li>I cannot really think of anything.</li> <li>I cannot really think of anything.</li> <li>Q. And this database contains 100,000</li> <li>Commercials; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. So it's your understanding that</li> <li>each commercial doesn't have its own entry in</li> <li>the database, but rather the commercials are</li> <li>deconstructed into their individual frames?</li> <li>A. So, yes, in this particular</li> <li>example, each frame is considered to be an</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>I mean outside, you know, the constraints of</li> <li>the rest of the words in this step.</li> <li>Q. Let's move to another term. And</li> <li>the word "sublinear" appears in some of the</li> <li>challenge claims issued in the correct?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And the board has indicated that</li> <li>"sublinear should be construed to mean a</li> <li>I commercials; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>G. So it's your understanding that</li> <li>G. So it's your understanding that</li> <li>I each commercial doesn't have its own entry in</li> <li>the database, but rather the commercials are</li> <li>deconstructed into their individual frames?</li> <li>A. So, yes, in this particular</li> <li>example, each frame is considered to be an</li> </ul>				Q. And this database contains 100.000
<ul> <li>15 the rest of the words in this step.</li> <li>16 Q. Let's move to another term. And</li> <li>17 the word "sublinear" appears in some of the</li> <li>18 challenge claims issued in the correct?</li> <li>19 A. I believe so.</li> <li>20 Q. And the board has indicated that</li> <li>21 "sublinear should be construed to mean a</li> <li>15 A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>16 Q. So it's your understanding that</li> <li>17 each commercial doesn't have its own entry in</li> <li>18 the database, but rather the commercials are</li> <li>19 A. I believe so.</li> <li>20 Q. And the board has indicated that</li> <li>21 "sublinear should be construed to mean a</li> </ul>				
16Q. Let's move to another term. And16Q. So it's your understanding that17the word "sublinear" appears in some of the17each commercial doesn't have its own entry in18challenge claims issued in the correct?18the database, but rather the commercials are19A. I believe so.1920Q. And the board has indicated that20A. So, yes, in this particular21"sublinear should be construed to mean a21				
<ul> <li>the word "sublinear" appears in some of the</li> <li>challenge claims issued in the correct?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And the board has indicated that</li> <li>"sublinear should be construed to mean a</li> <li>the database, but rather the commercials are</li> <li>deconstructed into their individual frames?</li> <li>A. So, yes, in this particular</li> <li>example, each frame is considered to be an</li> </ul>		-		
<ul> <li>18 challenge claims issued in the correct?</li> <li>19 A. I believe so.</li> <li>20 Q. And the board has indicated that</li> <li>21 "sublinear should be construed to mean a</li> <li>18 the database, but rather the commercials are deconstructed into their individual frames?</li> <li>20 A. So, yes, in this particular</li> <li>21 example, each frame is considered to be an</li> </ul>				
19A. I believe so.19deconstructed into their individual frames?20Q. And the board has indicated that20A. So, yes, in this particular21"sublinear should be construed to mean a21example, each frame is considered to be an				
20Q. And the board has indicated that20A. So, yes, in this particular21"sublinear should be construed to mean a21example, each frame is considered to be an		6		
21 "sublinear should be construed to mean a 21 example, each frame is considered to be an				
-				
				-
<ul> <li>23 less than linear relationship to the size of</li> <li>23 considered to be an entry in the database.</li> </ul>				-
1		-		-
2412412412412425A. That sounds right.2590 million?	24	The data set to be searched right /		
25 13. That sounds fight. $25$ 70 fillinoit:	24 25			

26 (Pages 98 - 101)

		y pro	
1	Page 102	1	Page 104
1	A. Yes.	1	Q. And if you were to organize the
2	Q. Now if we were to construct the	2	database where each commercial is a database
3	database such that each commercial was an	3	entry, what would be the merit of that
4	individual entry in the database, then N	4	approach?
5	would be 100,000?	5	A. So the merit of that approach is,
6	A. If the record is a commercial, yes,	6	with my goal at the end of the day is to
7	then it would be 100,000.	7	identify a commercial that contains my query
8	Q. Now in your view, in the example	8	frame, then I just need to identify one
9	here regarding the number of comparisons that		matching query frame to a video frame in a
10	would be required to determine whether there	10	record. So that I don't have to identify,
11	is a match among these 100,000 commercials,	11	you know, search every single one video
12	would it make a difference if the database	12	frame, you know, from the record.
13	was constructed with each database entry	13	Q. Now on line 25, there's a reference
14	being one commercial, as opposed to one	14	to a storage requirement of nine gigabytes.
15	frame?	15	What does that refer to?
16	A. Again, the question then becomes	16	A. The nine gigabytes I believe is 9
17	what is the granularity of the results,	17	million times 1,000 bytes.
18	right? If what you want to identify is a	18	Q. So is that the size of the database
19 20	frame, right, then that's the way to do it.	19	that would be required to hold all of these frames?
20	If you want to identify a commercial, right,	20	
21 22	then I would probably store each, each commercial in its own record.	21 22	A. It's not here.
22		22	Q. The proposal a couple lines above
23 24	Q. And what if your goal is to identify a commercial, using a single frame	23 24	is to take every tenth frame to construct the database from the total of 90 million,
24 25	as a query?	24	correct?
23	us u quory.	23	
1	Page 103	1	Page 105
1	A. Okay. And what is the question?	1	A. Correct.
2	A. Okay. And what is the question? My goal is what then?	2	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And the assumption is that each</li></ul>
2 3	<ul><li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li><li>My goal is what then?</li><li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your</li></ul>	2 3	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte,</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li><li>My goal is what then?</li><li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li><li>My goal is what then?</li><li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li><li>A. Okay.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li><li>My goal is what then?</li><li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li><li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the way it was discussed over here, you know,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's a representation of the size</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the way it was discussed over here, you know, 90,000 90 million records, one frame,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's a representation of the size of the database that would be required,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the way it was discussed over here, you know, 90,000 90 million records, one frame, which they're base records, or I can</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's a representation of the size of the database that would be required, right?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the way it was discussed over here, you know, 90,000 90 million records, one frame, which they're base records, or I can construct it as one record for each</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's a representation of the size of the database that would be required, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yeah.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the way it was discussed over here, you know, 90,000 90 million records, one frame, which they're base records, or I can construct it as one record for each commercial.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's a representation of the size of the database that would be required, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yeah.</li> <li>Q. In your declaration at paragraph</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the way it was discussed over here, you know, 90,000 90 million records, one frame, which they're base records, or I can construct it as one record for each commercial.</li> <li>Q. Now, using my example where you are</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's a representation of the size of the database that would be required, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yeah.</li> <li>Q. In your declaration at paragraph 61, you list two possible interpretations of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the way it was discussed over here, you know, 90,000 90 million records, one frame, which they're base records, or I can construct it as one record for each commercial.</li> <li>Q. Now, using my example where you are trying to identify a commercial using one</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's a representation of the size of the database that would be required, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yeah.</li> <li>Q. In your declaration at paragraph 61, you list two possible interpretations of size of the data set within the construction</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the way it was discussed over here, you know, 90,000 90 million records, one frame, which they're base records, or I can construct it as one record for each commercial.</li> <li>Q. Now, using my example where you are trying to identify a commercial using one frame from the commercial, what would be the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's a representation of the size of the database that would be required, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yeah.</li> <li>Q. In your declaration at paragraph 61, you list two possible interpretations of size of the data set within the construction of sublinear, right? One being the number of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the way it was discussed over here, you know, 90,000 90 million records, one frame, which they're base records, or I can construct it as one record for each commercial.</li> <li>Q. Now, using my example where you are trying to identify a commercial using one frame from the commercial, what would be the merit of organizing the database with each</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's a representation of the size of the database that would be required, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yeah.</li> <li>Q. In your declaration at paragraph 61, you list two possible interpretations of size of the data set within the construction of sublinear, right? One being the number of entries in the data set and two being the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the way it was discussed over here, you know, 90,000 90 million records, one frame, which they're base records, or I can construct it as one record for each commercial.</li> <li>Q. Now, using my example where you are trying to identify a commercial using one frame from the commercial, what would be the merit of organizing the database with each database entry being a single frame?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's a representation of the size of the database that would be required, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yeah.</li> <li>Q. In your declaration at paragraph 61, you list two possible interpretations of size of the data set within the construction of sublinear, right? One being the number of entries in the data set and two being the size of an individual entry in the data set;</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the way it was discussed over here, you know, 90,000 90 million records, one frame, which they're base records, or I can construct it as one record for each commercial.</li> <li>Q. Now, using my example where you are trying to identify a commercial using one frame from the commercial, what would be the merit of organizing the database with each database entry being a single frame?</li> <li>A. So the merit of doing something</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's a representation of the size of the database that would be required, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yeah.</li> <li>Q. In your declaration at paragraph 61, you list two possible interpretations of size of the data set within the construction of sublinear, right? One being the number of entries in the data set and two being the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the way it was discussed over here, you know, 90,000 90 million records, one frame, which they're base records, or I can construct it as one record for each commercial.</li> <li>Q. Now, using my example where you are trying to identify a commercial using one frame from the commercial, what would be the merit of organizing the database with each database entry being a single frame?</li> <li>A. So the merit of doing something like that is, you know, going back to the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's a representation of the size of the database that would be required, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yeah.</li> <li>Q. In your declaration at paragraph 61, you list two possible interpretations of size of the data set within the construction of sublinear, right? One being the number of entries in the data set and two being the size of an individual entry in the data set; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the way it was discussed over here, you know, 90,000 90 million records, one frame, which they're base records, or I can construct it as one record for each commercial.</li> <li>Q. Now, using my example where you are trying to identify a commercial using one frame from the commercial, what would be the merit of organizing the database with each database entry being a single frame?</li> <li>A. So the merit of doing something like that is, you know, going back to the whole example that you used before, one</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's a representation of the size of the database that would be required, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yeah.</li> <li>Q. In your declaration at paragraph 61, you list two possible interpretations of size of the data set within the construction of sublinear, right? One being the number of entries in the data set and two being the size of an individual entry in the data set; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Why isn't there a third possible</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the way it was discussed over here, you know, 90,000 90 million records, one frame, which they're base records, or I can construct it as one record for each commercial.</li> <li>Q. Now, using my example where you are trying to identify a commercial using one frame from the commercial, what would be the merit of organizing the database with each database entry being a single frame?</li> <li>A. So the merit of doing something like that is, you know, going back to the whole example that you used before, one record database, right? So if I organize it</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's a representation of the size of the database that would be required, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yeah.</li> <li>Q. In your declaration at paragraph 61, you list two possible interpretations of size of the data set within the construction of sublinear, right? One being the number of entries in the data set and two being the size of an individual entry in the data set; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Why isn't there a third possible interpretation which would be the size on</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the way it was discussed over here, you know, 90,000 90 million records, one frame, which they're base records, or I can construct it as one record for each commercial.</li> <li>Q. Now, using my example where you are trying to identify a commercial using one frame from the commercial, what would be the merit of organizing the database with each database entry being a single frame?</li> <li>A. So the merit of doing something like that is, you know, going back to the whole example that you used before, one record database, right? So if I organize it this way, I could potentially develop some,</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's a representation of the size of the database that would be required, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yeah.</li> <li>Q. In your declaration at paragraph 61, you list two possible interpretations of size of the data set within the construction of sublinear, right? One being the number of entries in the data set and two being the size of an individual entry in the data set; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Why isn't there a third possible interpretation which would be the size on disk required for the database?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Okay. And what is the question?</li> <li>My goal is what then?</li> <li>Q. Yes, I'm sorry. If that was your goal then then how would you construct the data set?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I can see merits for both approaches, so I'm really concerned with the way it was discussed over here, you know, 90,000 90 million records, one frame, which they're base records, or I can construct it as one record for each commercial.</li> <li>Q. Now, using my example where you are trying to identify a commercial using one frame from the commercial, what would be the merit of organizing the database with each database entry being a single frame?</li> <li>A. So the merit of doing something like that is, you know, going back to the whole example that you used before, one record database, right? So if I organize it</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And the assumption is that each vector, meaning each frame, is one kilobyte, right?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So that gets us to the coverage requirement of 9 gigabytes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. That's a representation of the size of the database that would be required, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yeah.</li> <li>Q. In your declaration at paragraph 61, you list two possible interpretations of size of the data set within the construction of sublinear, right? One being the number of entries in the data set and two being the size of an individual entry in the data set; is that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Why isn't there a third possible interpretation which would be the size on disk required for the database?</li> </ul>

27 (Pages 102 - 105)

Karypis

1	Page 106 that the number of entries in the data set is	1	Page 108
1		1	Q. So you think someone with
2	the appropriate interpretation.	2	experience in text information retrieval
3	Why would the size on disk not be	3	would be able to apply that knowledge to more
4	an appropriate interpretation?	4	highly dimensional problems associated with
5	A. I think that can also be an	5	audio and video retrieval?
6	appropriate interpretation.	6	A. I do.
7	MR. NEMEC: Can we go off the	7	Q. At the end of your definition there
8	record for just a moment?	8	is reference to a related area, a graduate
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	9	degree in the same or related area.
10	12:09. We're going off the record.	10	What would be the related areas
1	This will be the end of disk number 2.	11	that you're referring to there?
12	(A brief recess was taken.)	12	A. So related areas would be probably
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	13	electrical engineering, possibly statistics.
4	12:20. We're back on the record. This	14	(Discussion off the record.)
15	is the beginning of disk number 3.	15	A. Statistics.
16	Q. Dr. Karypis, I would like to direct	16	Q. If the level of skill in the art
17	you to paragraph 11 in your declaration.	17	was determined to be higher or lower than
18	A. Yes.	18	what you've described here in your
19	Q. In paragraph 11 you state your	19	declaration, do you believe that would impact
20	opinion on the qualifications of a person of	20	any of the opinions you've expressed?
20	ordinary skill in the art of the inventions	20	A. I do not think so.
22	•	21 22	MR. NEMEC: Mark as Exhibit 6 a
	at issue in this case; is that right?		
23	A. That's correct.	23	document, a 13-page document that says
	Q. Now, is it your view that there is	24	"Big O notation" at the top.
	any material difference between your	24 25	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry
25	any material difference between your Page 107	25	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry Page 109
25 1	any material difference between your Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art	25 1	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry Page 109 entitled, "Big O notation," marked for
25 1 2	any material difference between your Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's?	25 1 2	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry Page 109 entitled, "Big O notation," marked for identification, this date.)
25 1 2 3	any material difference between your Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a	25 1	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry Page 109 entitled, "Big O notation," marked for identification, this date.) Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you?
25 1 2 3 4	any material difference between your Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's?	25 1 2 3 4	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry Page 109 entitled, "Big O notation," marked for identification, this date.)
25 1 2 3	any material difference between your Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a	25 1 2 3	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry Page 109 entitled, "Big O notation," marked for identification, this date.) Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you?
25 1 2 3 4	any material difference between your Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a	25 1 2 3 4	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry Page 109 entitled, "Big O notation," marked for identification, this date.) Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you? A. I do.
25 1 2 3 4 5	Page 107 Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had	25 1 2 3 4 5	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry Page 109 entitled, "Big O notation," marked for identification, this date.) Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you? A. I do. Q. Is this a document that you
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	any material difference between your Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry Page 109 entitled, "Big O notation," marked for identification, this date.) Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you? A. I do. Q. Is this a document that you reference and rely upon in your declaration? A. I believe so.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	any material difference between your Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same.	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry Page 109 entitled, "Big O notation," marked for identification, this date.) Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you? A. I do. Q. Is this a document that you reference and rely upon in your declaration? A. I believe so. Q. And this is a printout from
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 107 Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same. Q. Okay. Now your definition in	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry Page 109 entitled, "Big O notation," marked for identification, this date.) Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you? A. I do. Q. Is this a document that you reference and rely upon in your declaration? A. I believe so. Q. And this is a printout from Wikipedia?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 107 Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same. Q. Okay. Now your definition in paragraph 11 refers to two to three years of	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry Page 109 entitled, "Big O notation," marked for identification, this date.) Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you? A. I do. Q. Is this a document that you reference and rely upon in your declaration? A. I believe so. Q. And this is a printout from Wikipedia? A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 107 Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same. Q. Okay. Now your definition in paragraph 11 refers to two to three years of relevant experience.	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry Page 109 entitled, "Big O notation," marked for identification, this date.) Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you? A. I do. Q. Is this a document that you reference and rely upon in your declaration? A. I believe so. Q. And this is a printout from Wikipedia? A. Yes. Q. Is this something that you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2	Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same. Q. Okay. Now your definition in paragraph 11 refers to two to three years of relevant experience. What, in your view, would be	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry Page 109 entitled, "Big O notation," marked for identification, this date.) Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you? A. I do. Q. Is this a document that you reference and rely upon in your declaration? A. I believe so. Q. And this is a printout from Wikipedia? A. Yes. Q. Is this something that you downloaded?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 107 Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same. Q. Okay. Now your definition in paragraph 11 refers to two to three years of relevant experience. What, in your view, would be relevant experience?	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry Page 109 entitled, "Big O notation," marked for identification, this date.) Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you? A. I do. Q. Is this a document that you reference and rely upon in your declaration? A. I believe so. Q. And this is a printout from Wikipedia? A. Yes. Q. Is this something that you downloaded? A. I don't remember if I downloaded,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 107 Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same. Q. Okay. Now your definition in paragraph 11 refers to two to three years of relevant experience. What, in your view, would be relevant experience? A. So relevant experience in this case	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry</li> <li>Page 109</li> <li>entitled, "Big O notation," marked for</li> <li>identification, this date.)</li> <li>Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you</li> <li>reference and rely upon in your declaration?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And this is a printout from</li> <li>Wikipedia?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this something that you</li> <li>downloaded?</li> <li>A. I don't remember if I downloaded,</li> <li>but it is something that I did find and read,</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Page 107 Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same. Q. Okay. Now your definition in paragraph 11 refers to two to three years of relevant experience. What, in your view, would be relevant experience? A. So relevant experience in this case would be someone working in the area of, you	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry         Page 109         entitled, "Big O notation," marked for         identification, this date.)         Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you?         A. I do.         Q. Is this a document that you         reference and rely upon in your declaration?         A. I believe so.         Q. And this is a printout from         Wikipedia?         A. Yes.         Q. Is this something that you         downloaded?         A. I don't remember if I downloaded,         but it is something that I did find and read,         and read.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	any material difference between your Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same. Q. Okay. Now your definition in paragraph 11 refers to two to three years of relevant experience. What, in your view, would be relevant experience? A. So relevant experience in this case would be someone working in the area of, you know, content retrieval, content comparison,	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry</li> <li>Page 109</li> <li>entitled, "Big O notation," marked for</li> <li>identification, this date.)</li> <li>Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you</li> <li>reference and rely upon in your declaration?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And this is a printout from</li> <li>Wikipedia?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this something that you</li> <li>downloaded?</li> <li>A. I don't remember if I downloaded,</li> <li>but it is something that I did find and read,</li> <li>and read.</li> <li>Q. It is dated at the bottom, it says</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 25 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \end{array}$	Page 107 Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same. Q. Okay. Now your definition in paragraph 11 refers to two to three years of relevant experience. What, in your view, would be relevant experience? A. So relevant experience in this case would be someone working in the area of, you know, content retrieval, content comparison, you know, database systems, information	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry         Page 109         entitled, "Big O notation," marked for         identification, this date.)         Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you?         A. I do.         Q. Is this a document that you         reference and rely upon in your declaration?         A. I believe so.         Q. And this is a printout from         Wikipedia?         A. Yes.         Q. Is this something that you         downloaded?         A. I don't remember if I downloaded,         but it is something that I did find and read,         and read.         Q. It is dated at the bottom, it says         "September 15, 2015." It actually reads
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 4 5 16 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Page 107 Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same. Q. Okay. Now your definition in paragraph 11 refers to two to three years of relevant experience. What, in your view, would be relevant experience? A. So relevant experience in this case would be someone working in the area of, you know, content retrieval, content comparison, you know, database systems, information retrieval.	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entryPage 109entitled, "Big O notation," marked foridentification, this date.)Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you?A. I do.Q. Is this a document that youreference and rely upon in your declaration?A. I believe so.Q. And this is a printout fromWikipedia?A. Yes.Q. Is this something that youdownloaded?A. I don't remember if I downloaded,but it is something that I did find and read,and read.Q. It is dated at the bottom, it says"September 15, 2015." It actually reads"9/15/2015," do you see that?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 107 Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same. Q. Okay. Now your definition in paragraph 11 refers to two to three years of relevant experience. What, in your view, would be relevant experience? A. So relevant experience in this case would be someone working in the area of, you know, content retrieval, content comparison, you know, database systems, information retrieval. Q. And would it matter what sort of	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry</li> <li>Page 109</li> <li>entitled, "Big O notation," marked for</li> <li>identification, this date.)</li> <li>Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you</li> <li>reference and rely upon in your declaration?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And this is a printout from</li> <li>Wikipedia?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this something that you</li> <li>downloaded?</li> <li>A. I don't remember if I downloaded,</li> <li>but it is something that I did find and read,</li> <li>and read.</li> <li>Q. It is dated at the bottom, it says</li> <li>"September 15, 2015." It actually reads</li> <li>"9/15/2015," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 25 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \end{array}$	Page 107 Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same. Q. Okay. Now your definition in paragraph 11 refers to two to three years of relevant experience. What, in your view, would be relevant experience? A. So relevant experience in this case would be someone working in the area of, you know, content retrieval, content comparison, you know, database systems, information retrieval. Q. And would it matter what sort of content or information the person had	$\begin{array}{c} 25 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \end{array}$	<ul> <li>(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry</li> <li>Page 109</li> <li>entitled, "Big O notation," marked for</li> <li>identification, this date.)</li> <li>Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you</li> <li>reference and rely upon in your declaration?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And this is a printout from</li> <li>Wikipedia?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this something that you</li> <li>downloaded?</li> <li>A. I don't remember if I downloaded,</li> <li>but it is something that I did find and read,</li> <li>and read.</li> <li>Q. It is dated at the bottom, it says</li> <li>"September 15, 2015." It actually reads</li> <li>"9/15/2015," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if that was the date on</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 107 Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same. Q. Okay. Now your definition in paragraph 11 refers to two to three years of relevant experience. What, in your view, would be relevant experience? A. So relevant experience in this case would be someone working in the area of, you know, content retrieval, content comparison, you know, database systems, information retrieval. Q. And would it matter what sort of content or information the person had experience with?	$\begin{array}{c} 25 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry</li> <li>Page 109</li> <li>entitled, "Big O notation," marked for</li> <li>identification, this date.)</li> <li>Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you</li> <li>reference and rely upon in your declaration?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And this is a printout from</li> <li>Wikipedia?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this something that you</li> <li>downloaded?</li> <li>A. I don't remember if I downloaded,</li> <li>but it is something that I did find and read,</li> <li>and read.</li> <li>Q. It is dated at the bottom, it says</li> <li>"September 15, 2015." It actually reads</li> <li>"9/15/2015," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if that was the date on which this was printed?</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 25 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 12 \\ 22 \\ 12 \\ 22 \\ 12 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 21 \\ 22 $	Page 107 Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same. Q. Okay. Now your definition in paragraph 11 refers to two to three years of relevant experience. What, in your view, would be relevant experience? A. So relevant experience in this case would be someone working in the area of, you know, content retrieval, content comparison, you know, database systems, information retrieval. Q. And would it matter what sort of content or information the person had experience with? A. I don't think it would have been,	$\begin{array}{c} 25\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry         Page 109         entitled, "Big O notation," marked for         identification, this date.)         Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you?         A. I do.         Q. Is this a document that you         reference and rely upon in your declaration?         A. I believe so.         Q. And this is a printout from         Wikipedia?         A. Yes.         Q. Is this something that you         downloaded?         A. I don't remember if I downloaded,         but it is something that I did find and read,         and read.         Q. It is dated at the bottom, it says         "September 15, 2015." It actually reads         "9/15/2015," do you see that?         A. Uh-huh.         Q. Do you know if that was the date on         which this was printed?         A. I'm not sure what date that thing
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 107 Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same. Q. Okay. Now your definition in paragraph 11 refers to two to three years of relevant experience. What, in your view, would be relevant experience? A. So relevant experience in this case would be someone working in the area of, you know, content retrieval, content comparison, you know, database systems, information retrieval. Q. And would it matter what sort of content or information the person had experience with? A. I don't think it would have been, you know, the type of methods being discussed	$\begin{array}{c} 25\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 123\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry</li> <li>Page 109</li> <li>entitled, "Big O notation," marked for</li> <li>identification, this date.)</li> <li>Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Is this a document that you</li> <li>reference and rely upon in your declaration?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And this is a printout from</li> <li>Wikipedia?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this something that you</li> <li>downloaded?</li> <li>A. I don't remember if I downloaded,</li> <li>but it is something that I did find and read,</li> <li>and read.</li> <li>Q. It is dated at the bottom, it says</li> <li>"September 15, 2015." It actually reads</li> <li>"9/15/2015," do you see that?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if that was the date on which this was printed?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 107 Page 107 definition of the level of skill in the art and Dr. Moulin's? A. In my view, I don't think there's a material difference. I think there is a difference in terms of the degree requirements, but I think once you've had your degree and experience, it all comes out to about the same. Q. Okay. Now your definition in paragraph 11 refers to two to three years of relevant experience. What, in your view, would be relevant experience? A. So relevant experience in this case would be someone working in the area of, you know, content retrieval, content comparison, you know, database systems, information retrieval. Q. And would it matter what sort of content or information the person had experience with? A. I don't think it would have been,	$\begin{array}{c} 25\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	(Karypis Exhibit 6, Wikipedia entry         Page 109         entitled, "Big O notation," marked for         identification, this date.)         Q. Do you have Exhibit 6 before you?         A. I do.         Q. Is this a document that you         reference and rely upon in your declaration?         A. I believe so.         Q. And this is a printout from         Wikipedia?         A. Yes.         Q. Is this something that you         downloaded?         A. I don't remember if I downloaded,         but it is something that I did find and read,         and read.         Q. It is dated at the bottom, it says         "September 15, 2015." It actually reads         "9/15/2015," do you see that?         A. Uh-huh.         Q. Do you know if that was the date on         which this was printed?         A. I'm not sure what date that thing

1A. No.1A FTERNOON SESSION2Q. Did Wikipedia exist in 2000?2(Time noted: 1:17 p.m.)3A. I don't really know the answer to3THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time in4that, but there may have been some earlier41:17. We are back on the record.5version of that. I don't know when Wikipedia5MR. NEMEC: I would like to mark a6came online.6the next exhibit, which I believe is 7,7Q. Do you know one way or the other7Karypis Exhibit 7, a copy of the8whether this discussion of the "Big O86,188,010 to Iwamura.9notation" that we've marked as Exhibit 69(Karypis Exhibit 7, Photocopy of10existed in the year 2000?10U.S. Patent No. 6,188,010, marked for11A. So this particular content that is,11identification, this date.)12this particular document, you know, I'm not12G E O R G EK A R Y P I S, resumed.13sure it was existing in the year 2000 or not.13EXAMINATION (Cont'd.)14Q. And there are a number of other14BY MR. NEMEC:15Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 7?16A. I do.16your declaration, right?16A. I do.17A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,24A. I do.2	IS
2Q. Did Wikipedia exist in 2000?2(Time noted: 1:17 p.m.)3A. I don't really know the answer to3THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time in4that, but there may have been some earlier41:17. We are back on the record.5version of that. I don't know when Wikipedia5MR. NEMEC: I would like to mark a6came online.6the next exhibit, which I believe is 7,7Q. Do you know one way or the other86,188,010 to Iwamura.8whether this discussion of the "Big O86,188,010 to Iwamura.9notation" that we've marked as Exhibit 69(Karypis Exhibit 7, Photocopy of10existed in the year 2000?10U.S. Patent No. 6,188,010, marked for11A. So this particular content that is,11identification, this date.)12G E O R G EK A R Y P I S, resumed.13sure it was existing in the year 2000 or not.13EXAMINATION (Cont'd.)14Q. And there are a number of other14BY MR. NEMEC:15Wikipedia printouts that you reference in15Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 7?16A. Uh-huh.17Q. Is this one of the prior art18Q. Would your answer be the same with18references that you expressed opinions about19respect to those, you don't know one way or20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on23melodies?	IS
3A. I don't really know the answer to that, but there may have been some earlier version of that. I don't know when Wikipedia came online.3THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time in 1:17. We are back on the record.5version of that. I don't know when Wikipedia came online.41:17. We are back on the record.6came online.5MR. NEMEC: I would like to mark a the next exhibit, which I believe is 7, 77Q. Do you know one way or the other 86the next exhibit, which I believe is 7, 78whether this discussion of the "Big O 986,188,010 to Iwamura.9notation" that we've marked as Exhibit 6 99(Karypis Exhibit 7, Photocopy of 1011A. So this particular content that is, 1311identification, this date.)12G E O R G E 9K A R Y P I S, resumed.13sure it was existing in the year 2000 or not. 1413EXAMINATION (Cont'd.)14Q. And there are a number of other 1414BY MR. NEMEC:15Wikipedia printouts that you reference in 19respect to those, you don't know one way or 2016A. I do.17A. Uh-huh.17Q. Is this one of the prior art1818Q. Would your answer be the same with 19references that you expressed opinions abou 191920the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic, 2424A. I do.25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	IS
4that, but there may have been some earlier41:17. We are back on the record.5version of that. I don't know when Wikipedia5MR. NEMEC: I would like to mark a6came online.6the next exhibit, which I believe is 7,7Q. Do you know one way or the other8the next exhibit, which I believe is 7,8whether this discussion of the "Big O86,188,010 to Iwamura.9notation" that we've marked as Exhibit 69(Karypis Exhibit 7, Photocopy of10existed in the year 2000?10U.S. Patent No. 6,188,010, marked for11A. So this particular content that is,11identification, this date.)12G E O R G EK A R Y P I S, resumed.13sure it was existing in the year 2000 or not.13EXAMINATION (Cont'd.)14Q. And there are a number of other14BY MR. NEMEC:15Wikipedia printouts that you reference in15Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 7?16A. Uh-huh.17Q. Is this one of the prior art18Pespect to those, you don't know one way or19in your declaration?20the other whether they existed in 2000?20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on23melodies?23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,24A. I do.24so this is probably a logical point to25Q. And in particular a system whereby <td>IS</td>	IS
5version of that. I don't know when Wikipedia5MR. NEMEC: I would like to mark a6came online.6the next exhibit, which I believe is 7,7Q. Do you know one way or the other8the next exhibit, which I believe is 7,8whether this discussion of the "Big O86,188,010 to Iwamura.9notation" that we've marked as Exhibit 69(Karypis Exhibit 7, Photocopy of10existed in the year 2000?10U.S. Patent No. 6,188,010, marked for11A. So this particular content that is,11identification, this date.)12this particular document, you know, I'm not13EXAMINATION (Cont'd.)14Q. And there are a number of other14BY MR. NEMEC:15Wikipedia printouts that you reference in15Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 7?16your declaration, right?16A. I do.17A. Uh-huh.17Q. Would your answer be the same with19respect to those, you don't know one way or20A. Uh-huh.20the other whether they existed in 2000?20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on23melodies?23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,24A. I do.24so this is probably a logical point to25Q. And in particular a system whereby	
6came online.6the next exhibit, which I believe is 7,7Q. Do you know one way or the other7Karypis Exhibit 7, a copy of the8whether this discussion of the "Big O96,188,010 to Iwamura.9notation" that we've marked as Exhibit 69(Karypis Exhibit 7, Photocopy of10existed in the year 2000?10U.S. Patent No. 6,188,010, marked for11A. So this particular content that is,11identification, this date.)12this particular document, you know, I'm not12G E O R G EK A R Y P I S, resumed.13sure it was existing in the year 2000 or not.13EXAMINATION (Cont'd.)14Q. And there are a number of other14BY MR. NEMEC:15Wikipedia printouts that you reference in15Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 7?16your declaration, right?16A. I do.17A. Uh-huh.17Q. Is this one of the prior art18Q. Would your answer be the same with18references that you expressed opinions about19respect to those, you don't know one way or20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on23melodies?23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,24A. I do.24so this is probably a logical point to25Q. And in particular a system whereby	
7Q. Do you know one way or the other7Karypis Exhibit 7, a copy of the8whether this discussion of the "Big O6,188,010 to Iwamura.9notation" that we've marked as Exhibit 69(Karypis Exhibit 7, Photocopy of10existed in the year 2000?10U.S. Patent No. 6,188,010, marked for11A. So this particular content that is,11identification, this date.)12this particular document, you know, I'm not12G E O R G EK A R Y P I S, resumed.13sure it was existing in the year 2000 or not.14Q. And there are a number of other14BY MR. NEMEC:15Wikipedia printouts that you reference in15Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 7?1616your declaration, right?16A. I do.1717A. Uh-huh.18references that you expressed opinions about19respect to those, you don't know one way or20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on23melodies?23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,24A. I do.24so this is probably a logical point to25Q. And in particular a system whereby	t
8whether this discussion of the "Big O86,188,010 to Iwamura.9notation" that we've marked as Exhibit 69(Karypis Exhibit 7, Photocopy of10existed in the year 2000?10U.S. Patent No. 6,188,010, marked for11A. So this particular content that is,11identification, this date.)12this particular document, you know, I'm not12G E O R G EK A R Y P I S, resumed.13sure it was existing in the year 2000 or not.14Q. And there are a number of other14BY MR. NEMEC:15Wikipedia printouts that you reference in16A. Uh-huh.17Q. Is this one of the prior art18Q. Would your answer be the same with18references that you expressed opinions abou19respect to those, you don't know one way or20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,24A. I do.24so this is probably a logical point to25Q. And in particular a system whereby	t
9notation" that we've marked as Exhibit 69(Karypis Exhibit 7, Photocopy of10existed in the year 2000?10U.S. Patent No. 6,188,010, marked for11A. So this particular content that is,11identification, this date.)12this particular document, you know, I'm not13sure it was existing in the year 2000 or not.13sure it was existing in the year 2000 or not.13EXAMINATION (Cont'd.)14Q. And there are a number of other14BY MR. NEMEC:15Wikipedia printouts that you reference in15Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 7?16your declaration, right?16A. I do.17A. Uh-huh.17Q. Is this one of the prior art18Q. Would your answer be the same with18references that you expressed opinions about19respect to those, you don't know one way or20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,24so this is probably a logical point to25Q. And in particular a system whereby	t
10existed in the year 2000?10U.S. Patent No. 6,188,010, marked for11A. So this particular content that is,11identification, this date.)12this particular document, you know, I'm not12G E O R G EK A R Y P I S, resumed.13sure it was existing in the year 2000 or not.14Q. And there are a number of other13EXAMINATION (Cont'd.)14Q. And there are a number of other14BY MR. NEMEC:15Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 7?16your declaration, right?16A. I do.17Q. Is this one of the prior art17A. Uh-huh.17Q. Is this one of the prior art18references that you expressed opinions about19respect to those, you don't know one way or20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,24A. I do.25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	t
11A. So this particular content that is,11identification, this date.)12this particular document, you know, I'm not13sure it was existing in the year 2000 or not.1413sure it was existing in the year 2000 or not.13EXAMINATION (Cont'd.)14Q. And there are a number of other14BY MR. NEMEC:15Wikipedia printouts that you reference in15Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 7?16your declaration, right?16A. I do.17A. Uh-huh.17Q. Is this one of the prior art18Q. Would your answer be the same with18references that you expressed opinions about19respect to those, you don't know one way or20A. Uh-huh.20the other whether they existed in 2000?20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,24so this is probably a logical point to24A. I do.25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	t
12this particular document, you know, I'm not12G E O R G EK A R Y P I S, resumed.13sure it was existing in the year 2000 or not.13EXAMINATION (Cont'd.)14Q. And there are a number of other14BY MR. NEMEC:15Wikipedia printouts that you reference in15Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 7?16your declaration, right?16A. I do.17A. Uh-huh.17Q. Is this one of the prior art18Q. Would your answer be the same with18references that you expressed opinions about19respect to those, you don't know one way or19in your declaration?20the other whether they existed in 2000?20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,24so this is probably a logical point to24A. I do.25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	t
13sure it was existing in the year 2000 or not.13EXAMINATION (Cont'd.)14Q. And there are a number of other14BY MR. NEMEC:15Wikipedia printouts that you reference in15Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 7?16your declaration, right?16A. I do.17A. Uh-huh.17Q. Is this one of the prior art18Q. Would your answer be the same with18references that you expressed opinions about19respect to those, you don't know one way or19in your declaration?20the other whether they existed in 2000?20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,24so this is probably a logical point to24A. I do.25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	t
14Q. And there are a number of other14BY MR. NEMEC:15Wikipedia printouts that you reference in15Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 7?16your declaration, right?16A. I do.17A. Uh-huh.17Q. Is this one of the prior art18Q. Would your answer be the same with18references that you expressed opinions about19respect to those, you don't know one way or19in your declaration?20the other whether they existed in 2000?20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,24A. I do.25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	t
15Wikipedia printouts that you reference in your declaration, right?15Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 7?16your declaration, right?16A. I do.17A. Uh-huh.17Q. Is this one of the prior art18Q. Would your answer be the same with 1918references that you expressed opinions about 1919respect to those, you don't know one way or 2019in your declaration?20the other whether they existed in 2000?20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on 2323patent describes a system for searching for 2324so this is probably a logical point to 2524A. I do.25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	t
16your declaration, right?16A. I do.17A. Uh-huh.17Q. Is this one of the prior art18Q. Would your answer be the same with18references that you expressed opinions about19respect to those, you don't know one way or19in your declaration?20the other whether they existed in 2000?20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on22patent describes a system for searching for23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,24A. I do.24so this is probably a logical point to24A. I do.25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	t
17A. Uh-huh.17Q. Is this one of the prior art18Q. Would your answer be the same with18references that you expressed opinions about19respect to those, you don't know one way or19in your declaration?20the other whether they existed in 2000?20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on22patent describes a system for searching for23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,24A. I do.25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	t
18Q. Would your answer be the same with 1918references that you expressed opinions about 1919respect to those, you don't know one way or 2018references that you expressed opinions about 1920the other whether they existed in 2000? 2120A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct. 2221Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura 2222MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on 2323the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic, 242424so this is probably a logical point to 2524A. I do.25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	t
19respect to those, you don't know one way or 2019in your declaration?20the other whether they existed in 2000?20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on22patent describes a system for searching for23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,23melodies?24so this is probably a logical point to24A. I do.25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	t
20the other whether they existed in 2000?20A. Uh-huh.21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on22patent describes a system for searching for23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,24so this is probably a logical point to25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	
21A. That would be correct.21Q. Would you agree that the Iwamura22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on22patent describes a system for searching for23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,23melodies?24so this is probably a logical point to24A. I do.25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	
22MR. NEMEC: All right. We're on22patent describes a system for searching for23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic,23melodies?24so this is probably a logical point to24A. I do.25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	
23the cusp of jumping into a deeper topic, 2423melodies?24so this is probably a logical point to 2524A. I do.25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	
24so this is probably a logical point to24A. I do.25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	
25break, to grab some lunch.25Q. And in particular a system whereby	
Page 111	
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Page 113
1 THE WITNESS: Sounds good. 1 use of relative pitch values from a quer	
2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2 compare those to pitch values of known	
3 12:28. We're going off the record. 3 references to look for a match or a near	
4 (Lunch recess taken at 12:28 p.m.) 4 match; is that a fair characterization?	
5 A. Yes. That's one of the ways, ye	
6 Q. One of the things that's describe	
7 in the Iwamura reference is a note-by-n	
8 8 comparison process as between a query	work
9 9 and a reference work, correct?	
10 10 A. Basically, yes.	
11 Q. Would you generally explain yo	
12 understanding of how that note-by-note	
13 13 comparison process works, as described	1n
14 14 Iwamura?	
15 A. My recollection of the particular	
16 16 algorithm or approach, something like t	nat,
17 so I have a query which is for a certain	
18 18 length; and I have a reference melody t	iat's
19 for a certain length. And then I start at	1.7
20 20 the beginning of the reference melody a	
21 21 compute a distance, you know, between	
22 what is called data points of the query t	
23 23 the data point in the reference. That we	
1	
2325and boild in the reference. That we2424give me some score of distance, I believ2525And then I, you know, shift the	ve.

29 (Pages 110 - 113)

		<b>JP</b> <sup>10</sup>	
1	Page 114 query by one to the right, and I repeat the	1	Page 116 purposes, right?
2	process and keep on doing that until the end.	2	A. Yes.
3	And at the end I return the score of the	3	Q. So I would be correct in assuming,
4	match, the best scoring subsegment or the	4	wouldn't I, that you believe these are
5	highest scoring subsegment.	5	accurate representations of what a query work
6	Q. The highest or the lowest scorings?	6	might look like, according to Iwamura?
7	A. I believe they're using distance.	7	A. Uh-huh.
8	That would be the lowest.	8	Q. Or what a reference work might look
9	Q. Now, if we assume hypothetically	9	like?
10	that a query is a string, $5, 4, 3, 2, 1$ , is	10	A. That is correct.
11	that a fair representation of a query that we	11	Q. But you're not certain one way or
12			
	might use in the Iwamura note-by-note search?		the other whether the centering you described
13	A. I believe so, yes. Those would be	13	is required?
14	relative pitches, yes.	14	A. I don't recall the specific method
15	Q. So let's assume our query is 5, 4,	15	that they use, but the fact that they have,
16	3, 2, 1, and let's assume we're going to	16	you know, both positive and negatives that
17	compare that to a reference work that has the $(5, 5, 4, 2, 2, 1)$	17	measures a high and a low relative to a
18	sequence 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1.	18	baseline, so that's where the negative values
19	Is that a reasonable assumption to	19	comes in.
20	make, according to Iwamura?	20	Q. Now, so let's assume for purposes
21	A. Say that again?	21	of this hypothetical that the centering is
22	Q. Can we assume that 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1	22	not required.
23	would be a valid representation of a	23	So in the hypothetical that I'm
24	reference work, according to Iwamura?	24	describing the query is 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?
25	A. You need to check whether or not	25	A. Okay.
	Page 115		Page 117
1	the references are centered, which has to do		
		1	Q. And the reference work that we're
2	with whether or not it will have present	2	comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?
3	with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.		comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay? A. Okay.
3 4	with whether or not it will have present negative values or not. Q. Whether they will have I'm	2 3 4	<ul><li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note</li></ul>
3 4 5	with whether or not it will have present negative values or not. Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?	2 3	<ul><li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this</li></ul>
3 4 5 6	<ul><li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li><li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li><li>A. Whether there would be negative</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or</li></ul>
3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li><li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li><li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li></ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li><li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li><li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or</li></ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li> <li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query and the reference, those are relative pitch</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li></ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li><li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li><li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li><li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li></ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li> <li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query and the reference, those are relative pitch differences, so and I don't recall if they center those things or not.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe Iwamura disclosed a</li> </ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li> <li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query and the reference, those are relative pitch differences, so and I don't recall if they</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe Iwamura disclosed a couple of methods. One is one that does</li> </ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li> <li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query and the reference, those are relative pitch differences, so and I don't recall if they center those things or not.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "center"?</li> <li>A. For example, subtract the mean</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe Iwamura disclosed a couple of methods. One is one that does initial alignments by computing the scores by</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li> <li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query and the reference, those are relative pitch differences, so and I don't recall if they center those things or not.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "center"?</li> <li>A. For example, subtract the mean value out of the values.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe Iwamura disclosed a couple of methods. One is one that does initial alignments by computing the scores by relying on the peaks, and I also believe they disclosed an early, less sophisticated method that, you know, they start from the beginning</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li> <li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query and the reference, those are relative pitch differences, so and I don't recall if they center those things or not.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "center"?</li> <li>A. For example, subtract the mean</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe Iwamura disclosed a couple of methods. One is one that does initial alignments by computing the scores by relying on the peaks, and I also believe they disclosed an early, less sophisticated method</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15	<ul> <li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li> <li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query and the reference, those are relative pitch differences, so and I don't recall if they center those things or not.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "center"?</li> <li>A. For example, subtract the mean value out of the values.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you're not sure whether any given query or reference string would</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe Iwamura disclosed a couple of methods. One is one that does initial alignments by computing the scores by relying on the peaks, and I also believe they disclosed an early, less sophisticated method that, you know, they start from the beginning</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li> <li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query and the reference, those are relative pitch differences, so and I don't recall if they center those things or not.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "center"?</li> <li>A. For example, subtract the mean value out of the values.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you're not sure whether</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe Iwamura disclosed a couple of methods. One is one that does initial alignments by computing the scores by relying on the peaks, and I also believe they disclosed an early, less sophisticated method that, you know, they start from the beginning to align with things.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li> <li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query and the reference, those are relative pitch differences, so and I don't recall if they center those things or not.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "center"?</li> <li>A. For example, subtract the mean value out of the values.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you're not sure whether any given query or reference string would</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe Iwamura disclosed a couple of methods. One is one that does initial alignments by computing the scores by relying on the peaks, and I also believe they disclosed an early, less sophisticated method that, you know, they start from the beginning to align with things.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is the less</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li> <li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query and the reference, those are relative pitch differences, so and I don't recall if they center those things or not.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "center"?</li> <li>A. For example, subtract the mean value out of the values.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you're not sure whether any given query or reference string would have to have some negative numbers in it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe Iwamura disclosed a couple of methods. One is one that does initial alignments by computing the scores by relying on the peaks, and I also believe they disclosed an early, less sophisticated method that, you know, they start from the beginning to align with things.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is the less sophisticated method that you are describing</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li> <li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query and the reference, those are relative pitch differences, so and I don't recall if they center those things or not.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "center"?</li> <li>A. For example, subtract the mean value out of the values.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you're not sure whether any given query or reference string would have to have some negative numbers in it?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, you have a couple of examples</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe Iwamura disclosed a couple of methods. One is one that does initial alignments by computing the scores by relying on the peaks, and I also believe they disclosed an early, less sophisticated method that, you know, they start from the beginning to align with things.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is the less sophisticated method that you are describing the note-by-note comparison?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li> <li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query and the reference, those are relative pitch differences, so and I don't recall if they center those things or not.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "center"?</li> <li>A. For example, subtract the mean value out of the values.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you're not sure whether any given query or reference string would have to have some negative numbers in it?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, you have a couple of examples of strings in your declaration, and we can</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe Iwamura disclosed a couple of methods. One is one that does initial alignments by computing the scores by relying on the peaks, and I also believe they disclosed an early, less sophisticated method that, you know, they start from the beginning to align with things.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is the less sophisticated method that you are describing the note-by-note comparison?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. So that's the one that I'm asking</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li> <li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query and the reference, those are relative pitch differences, so and I don't recall if they center those things or not.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "center"?</li> <li>A. For example, subtract the mean value out of the values.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you're not sure whether any given query or reference string would have to have some negative numbers in it?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, you have a couple of examples of strings in your declaration, and we can check those to get the answer.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe Iwamura disclosed a couple of methods. One is one that does initial alignments by computing the scores by relying on the peaks, and I also believe they disclosed an early, less sophisticated method that, you know, they start from the beginning to align with things.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is the less sophisticated method that you are describing the note-by-note comparison?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. So that's the one that I'm asking about at this point now.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li> <li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query and the reference, those are relative pitch differences, so and I don't recall if they center those things or not.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "center"?</li> <li>A. For example, subtract the mean value out of the values.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you're not sure whether any given query or reference string would have to have some negative numbers in it?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, you have a couple of examples of strings in your declaration, and we can check those to get the answer.</li> <li>Paragraph 160 to 161, I believe.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe Iwamura disclosed a couple of methods. One is one that does initial alignments by computing the scores by relying on the peaks, and I also believe they disclosed an early, less sophisticated method that, you know, they start from the beginning to align with things.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is the less sophisticated method that you are describing the note-by-note comparison?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. So that's the one that I'm asking about at this point now. If we were to be using the</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>with whether or not it will have present negative values or not.</li> <li>Q. Whether they will have I'm sorry?</li> <li>A. Whether there would be negative values present in the sequence or not. I believe, you know, both actually, the query and the reference, those are relative pitch differences, so and I don't recall if they center those things or not.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by "center"?</li> <li>A. For example, subtract the mean value out of the values.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you're not sure whether any given query or reference string would have to have some negative numbers in it?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, you have a couple of examples of strings in your declaration, and we can check those to get the answer.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>comparing it to is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So when we begin the note-by-note comparison between this query and this reference work, how do we align the pieces or the strings for the first comparison?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So I believe Iwamura disclosed a couple of methods. One is one that does initial alignments by computing the scores by relying on the peaks, and I also believe they disclosed an early, less sophisticated method that, you know, they start from the beginning to align with things.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And is the less sophisticated method that you are describing the note-by-note comparison?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. So that's the one that I'm asking about at this point now.</li> </ul>

		J P 10	
	Page 118		Page 120
1	A. So the first position of the query	1	in the Iwamura patent, in particular the text
2	will align against the first position of the	2	that begins around 20 and continues down to
3	reference and so forth.	3	around 45. If you want to take a moment to
4	Q. So for example, the 5 would align	4	look at that and, I will ask my question.
5	with the 6, and 4 would align with 5 and so	5	A. Sure.
6	forth?	6	So you are saying up to line 35,
7	A. Correct.	7	right?
8	Q. And then in the note-by-note	8	Q. Around to 45, after that second
9	process, after that first set of comparisons	9	equation.
10	is made, the query work would be shifted to	10	A. Okay.
11	the right; is that correct?	11	Q. The text you just reviewed in
12	A. The query would be shifted to the	12	column 7 of Iwamura relates to the peak
13	right by one, correct.	13	search process, correct?
14	Q. So in the second position, then,	14	A. That is correct.
15	the 5 would align with the 5?	15	Q. And what we see depicted in the
16	A. I don't think that's, so if the	16	first equation there from about line 26
17	query is 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, right?	17	through 30 is a comparison of a database
18	Q. The query in my hypothetical was 5,	18	reference in the top line, correct?
19	4, 3, 2, 1, and the reference was 6, 5, 4, 3,	19	A. Well, the top line actually has
20	2, 1?	20	part of the database reference.
20	A. Yes, so the first 5 with the query	20	Q. Part of the database reference
$21 \\ 22$	will align with the second location of the	$\frac{21}{22}$	being compared to all or part of a query in
23	reference.	23	the second line, correct?
23	Q. And then the 6 in that instance	23	A. That is correct.
24	wouldn't be considered, because it does not	24	
23	wouldn't be considered, because it does not	23	Q. And in this particular depiction
	Page 119		Page 121
1	align with a note in the query?	1	what we see is the first peak in the query
2	align with a note in the query? A. No, correct. It would not make	2	what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this
2 3	<ul><li>align with a note in the query?</li><li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li></ul>	2 3	what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?
2 3 4	<ul><li>align with a note in the query?</li><li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li><li>Q. Now you just referenced a few</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>what we see is the first peak in the query</li><li>being compared to the first peak in this</li><li>section of the database reference, right?</li><li>A. I believe so, yes.</li></ul>
2 3	<ul><li>align with a note in the query?</li><li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li><li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li><li>A. I believe so, yes.</li><li>Q. And then the text here in the</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>align with a note in the query?</li><li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li><li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li><li>A. I believe so, yes.</li><li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>align with a note in the query?</li><li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li><li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li><li>A. Correct.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li><li>A. I believe so, yes.</li><li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>align with a note in the query?</li><li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li><li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. Now, as compared to the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li><li>A. I believe so, yes.</li><li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li><li>A. I believe so, yes.</li><li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference,</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a given query against a given reference require</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li><li>A. I believe so, yes.</li><li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference, correct?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference, correct?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a given query against a given reference require</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference, correct?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And that's consistent with what you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a given query against a given reference require fewer comparisons?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference, correct?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And that's consistent with what you testified to earlier about the peak</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a given query against a given reference require fewer comparisons?</li> <li>A. The peak-based approach would</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference, correct?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And that's consistent with what you testified to earlier about the peak reference, that the query is shifted with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a given query against a given reference require fewer comparisons?</li> <li>A. The peak-based approach would require fewer comparisons than the note by</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference, correct?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And that's consistent with what you testified to earlier about the peak</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a given query against a given reference require fewer comparisons?</li> <li>A. The peak-based approach would require fewer comparisons than the note by note.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference, correct?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And that's consistent with what you testified to earlier about the peak reference, that the query is shifted with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a given query against a given reference require fewer comparisons?</li> <li>A. The peak-based approach would require fewer comparisons than the note by note.</li> <li>Q. And turning back to the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference, correct?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And that's consistent with what you testified to earlier about the peak reference, that the query is shifted with respect to the reference?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a given query against a given reference require fewer comparisons?</li> <li>A. The peak-based approach would require fewer comparisons than the note by note.</li> <li>Q. And turning back to the note-by-note comparison process, if you had a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference, correct?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And that's consistent with what you testified to earlier about the peak reference, that the query is shifted with respect to the reference?</li> <li>A. So the query shifted with the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a given query against a given reference require fewer comparisons?</li> <li>A. The peak-based approach would require fewer comparisons than the note by note.</li> <li>Q. And turning back to the note-by-note comparison process, if you had a five-note query in the note-by-note</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference, correct?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And that's consistent with what you testified to earlier about the peak reference, that the query is shifted with respect to the reference?</li> <li>A. So the query shifted with the reference, using the peaks as the anchor</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a given query against a given reference require fewer comparisons?</li> <li>A. The peak-based approach would require fewer comparisons than the note by note.</li> <li>Q. And turning back to the note-by-note comparison process, if you had a five-note query in the note-by-note</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference, correct?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And that's consistent with what you testified to earlier about the peak reference, that the query is shifted with respect to the reference?</li> <li>A. So the query shifted with the reference, using the peaks as the anchor points to determine the amount of shift.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a given query against a given reference require fewer comparisons?</li> <li>A. The peak-based approach would require fewer comparisons than the note by note.</li> <li>Q. And turning back to the note-by-note comparison process, if you had a five-note query in the note-by-note</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference, correct?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And that's consistent with what you testified to earlier about the peak reference, that the query is shifted with respect to the reference?</li> <li>A. So the query shifted with the reference, using the peaks as the anchor points to determine the amount of shift.</li> <li>Q. Now, in contrast, in the examples</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a given query against a given reference require fewer comparisons?</li> <li>A. The peak-based approach would require fewer comparisons than the note by note.</li> <li>Q. And turning back to the note-by-note comparison process, if you had a five-note query in the note-by-note comparison process compare that five-note query to every set of five consecutive notes in the reference?</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference, correct?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And that's consistent with what you testified to earlier about the peak reference, that the query is shifted with respect to the reference?</li> <li>A. So the query shifted with the reference, using the peaks as the anchor points to determine the amount of shift.</li> <li>Q. Now, in contrast, in the examples that you use in your declaration, if you take</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a given query against a given reference require fewer comparisons?</li> <li>A. The peak-based approach would require fewer comparisons than the note by note.</li> <li>Q. And turning back to the note-by-note comparison process, if you had a five-note query in the note-by-note comparison process compare that five-note query to every set of five consecutive notes in the reference?</li> <li>A. In the quote/unquote naive note-by-note approach, the query would</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference, correct?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And that's consistent with what you testified to earlier about the peak reference, that the query is shifted with respect to the reference?</li> <li>A. So the query shifted with the reference, using the peaks as the anchor points to determine the amount of shift.</li> <li>Q. Now, in contrast, in the examples that you use in your declaration, if you take a look back to paragraph 162, for example, in these examples that you use, you depict a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a given query against a given reference require fewer comparisons?</li> <li>A. The peak-based approach would require fewer comparisons than the note by note.</li> <li>Q. And turning back to the note-by-note comparison process, if you had a five-note query in the note-by-note comparison process compare that five-note query to every set of five consecutive notes in the reference?</li> <li>A. In the quote/unquote naive</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference, correct?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And that's consistent with what you testified to earlier about the peak reference, that the query is shifted with respect to the reference?</li> <li>A. So the query shifted with the reference, using the peaks as the anchor points to determine the amount of shift.</li> <li>Q. Now, in contrast, in the examples that you use in your declaration, if you take a look back to paragraph 162, for example, in these examples that you use, you depict a record being shifted with respect to the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>align with a note in the query?</li> <li>A. No, correct. It would not make that comparison.</li> <li>Q. Now you just referenced a few moments ago the peak search approach that's described in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, as compared to the note-by-note search, would a peak search of a given query against a given reference require fewer comparisons?</li> <li>A. The peak-based approach would require fewer comparisons than the note by note.</li> <li>Q. And turning back to the note-by-note comparison process, if you had a five-note query in the note-by-note comparison process compare that five-note query to every set of five consecutive notes in the reference?</li> <li>A. In the quote/unquote naive note-by-note approach, the query would compare to every set of five consecutive</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>what we see is the first peak in the query being compared to the first peak in this section of the database reference, right?</li> <li>A. I believe so, yes.</li> <li>Q. And then the text here in the second figure describes that in the next comparison, the query is shifted such that the first peak in the query is compared to the second peak in the database reference, correct?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And that's consistent with what you testified to earlier about the peak reference, that the query is shifted with respect to the reference?</li> <li>A. So the query shifted with the reference, using the peaks as the anchor points to determine the amount of shift.</li> <li>Q. Now, in contrast, in the examples that you use in your declaration, if you take a look back to paragraph 162, for example, in these examples that you use, you depict a</li> </ul>

31 (Pages 118 - 121)

		<i>.</i>	
	Page 122		Page 124
1	I don't think so. I believe the	1	declaration, or 101 of 292, whichever you
2	figure at the bottom of page 98 does, you	2	prefer?
3	know, imply a shift.	3	A. Yes.
4	Right, those two things should be	4	Q. Okay. So in your view that's a
5	named the other way around.	5	proper example of a query that might be run
6	Q. So that was a mistake in these	6	using the Iwamura system?
7	depictions of how Iwamura operates in your	7	A. It's a query.
8	declaration?	8	Q. And below that where it says
9	A. Yeah, it's just the label of the	9	"Reference," do you recognize that string of
10	first row should be that of the second.	10	numbers as the same string of numbers that
11	Q. Do you know if there is any	11	you used as the record in the database, in
12	disclosure in Iwamura of shifting the	12	your example in paragraph 160 of your report?
13	database reference with respect to the query?	13	A. Yes.
14	A. I don't recall.	14	Q. Now take a look at the chart that
15	Q. In paragraph 162 up above the	15	appears down below.
16	figure we were just discussing, the	16	Do you see that reference sequence
17	description of the shifting process is, in	17	in the bottom line of the grid?
18	fact, consistent with the mistakenly placed	18	A. Yes.
19	arrow, is it not?	19	Q. And do you see in comparison number
20	A. Let me read that.	20	11 in that row the query appears?
21	Actually, that statement over	21	A. Yes, I do.
22	there, it's not really clear which one is	22	Q. And do you see that in each of the
23	shifting.	23	comparison numbers 1 through 20 depicted in
24	Q. Did you write this portion of your	24	the grid, that the query is shifted by what,
25	declaration?	25	one note with respect to the reference?
1	Page 123	1	Page 125
1	A. I did.	1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Did you write all of your	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. Based on your understanding of the
3	declaration?	3	note-by-note comparison process described in
4	A. I did the, wrote the first draft of	4	Iwamura, which of the comparisons in this
5	the declaration; then, you know, I got some	5	grid, if any, would take place in the
6	language edits from, from counsel. MR. NEMEC: Mark as the next	6	note-by-note comparison process?
7		7	A. So my recollection of the
8	exhibit, Karypis Deposition Exhibit 8, a	8 9	note-by-note comparison process.
9			So my understanding of the
10	single-page chart.		So my understanding of the
	(Karypis Exhibit 8, Single-page	10	note-by-note process that's described in
11	(Karypis Exhibit 8, Single-page chart, marked for identification, this	10 11	note-by-note process that's described in Iwamura, that would be a comparison 11 to 20
11 12	(Karypis Exhibit 8, Single-page chart, marked for identification, this date.)	10 11 12	note-by-note process that's described in Iwamura, that would be a comparison 11 to 20 but I can easily see that also comparisons 1
11 12 13	<ul><li>(Karypis Exhibit 8, Single-page chart, marked for identification, this date.)</li><li>Q. Dr. Karypis, I've put this together</li></ul>	10 11 12 13	note-by-note process that's described in Iwamura, that would be a comparison 11 to 20 but I can easily see that also comparisons 1 through 10 would also be included here.
11 12 13 14	<ul><li>(Karypis Exhibit 8, Single-page chart, marked for identification, this date.)</li><li>Q. Dr. Karypis, I've put this together to try to make the next set of questions that</li></ul>	10 11 12 13 14	note-by-note process that's described in Iwamura, that would be a comparison 11 to 20 but I can easily see that also comparisons 1 through 10 would also be included here. Q. All right. Now, I ask you the same
11 12 13 14 15	<ul><li>(Karypis Exhibit 8, Single-page chart, marked for identification, this date.)</li><li>Q. Dr. Karypis, I've put this together to try to make the next set of questions that I want to walk through a little easier to</li></ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15	note-by-note process that's described in Iwamura, that would be a comparison 11 to 20 but I can easily see that also comparisons 1 through 10 would also be included here. Q. All right. Now, I ask you the same question but with respect to the peak note
11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul><li>(Karypis Exhibit 8, Single-page chart, marked for identification, this date.)</li><li>Q. Dr. Karypis, I've put this together to try to make the next set of questions that I want to walk through a little easier to understand, rather than asking you to</li></ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	note-by-note process that's described in Iwamura, that would be a comparison 11 to 20 but I can easily see that also comparisons 1 through 10 would also be included here. Q. All right. Now, I ask you the same question but with respect to the peak note search.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul><li>(Karypis Exhibit 8, Single-page chart, marked for identification, this date.)</li><li>Q. Dr. Karypis, I've put this together to try to make the next set of questions that I want to walk through a little easier to understand, rather than asking you to memorize long strings of numbers.</li></ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	note-by-note process that's described in Iwamura, that would be a comparison 11 to 20 but I can easily see that also comparisons 1 through 10 would also be included here. Q. All right. Now, I ask you the same question but with respect to the peak note search. If you were comparing this query
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>(Karypis Exhibit 8, Single-page chart, marked for identification, this date.)</li> <li>Q. Dr. Karypis, I've put this together to try to make the next set of questions that I want to walk through a little easier to understand, rather than asking you to memorize long strings of numbers. Take a look at the top where it</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	note-by-note process that's described in Iwamura, that would be a comparison 11 to 20 but I can easily see that also comparisons 1 through 10 would also be included here. Q. All right. Now, I ask you the same question but with respect to the peak note search. If you were comparing this query work to this reference work using the peak
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>(Karypis Exhibit 8, Single-page chart, marked for identification, this date.)</li> <li>Q. Dr. Karypis, I've put this together to try to make the next set of questions that I want to walk through a little easier to understand, rather than asking you to memorize long strings of numbers. Take a look at the top where it says "Query."</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	note-by-note process that's described in Iwamura, that would be a comparison 11 to 20 but I can easily see that also comparisons 1 through 10 would also be included here. Q. All right. Now, I ask you the same question but with respect to the peak note search. If you were comparing this query work to this reference work using the peak note search of Iwamura, which, if any, of the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>(Karypis Exhibit 8, Single-page chart, marked for identification, this date.)</li> <li>Q. Dr. Karypis, I've put this together to try to make the next set of questions that I want to walk through a little easier to understand, rather than asking you to memorize long strings of numbers. Take a look at the top where it says "Query."</li> <li>Do you see that string of numbers?</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	note-by-note process that's described in Iwamura, that would be a comparison 11 to 20 but I can easily see that also comparisons 1 through 10 would also be included here. Q. All right. Now, I ask you the same question but with respect to the peak note search. If you were comparing this query work to this reference work using the peak note search of Iwamura, which, if any, of the comparisons 1 through 20 would take place?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>(Karypis Exhibit 8, Single-page chart, marked for identification, this date.)</li> <li>Q. Dr. Karypis, I've put this together to try to make the next set of questions that I want to walk through a little easier to understand, rather than asking you to memorize long strings of numbers. Take a look at the top where it says "Query."</li> <li>Do you see that string of numbers?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	note-by-note process that's described in Iwamura, that would be a comparison 11 to 20 but I can easily see that also comparisons 1 through 10 would also be included here. Q. All right. Now, I ask you the same question but with respect to the peak note search. If you were comparing this query work to this reference work using the peak note search of Iwamura, which, if any, of the comparisons 1 through 20 would take place? A. So my understanding of Iwamura, so
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>(Karypis Exhibit 8, Single-page chart, marked for identification, this date.)</li> <li>Q. Dr. Karypis, I've put this together to try to make the next set of questions that I want to walk through a little easier to understand, rather than asking you to memorize long strings of numbers. Take a look at the top where it says "Query." Do you see that string of numbers?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you just take a look and</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	note-by-note process that's described in Iwamura, that would be a comparison 11 to 20 but I can easily see that also comparisons 1 through 10 would also be included here. Q. All right. Now, I ask you the same question but with respect to the peak note search. If you were comparing this query work to this reference work using the peak note search of Iwamura, which, if any, of the comparisons 1 through 20 would take place? A. So my understanding of Iwamura, so the comparison that would take place that
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>(Karypis Exhibit 8, Single-page chart, marked for identification, this date.)</li> <li>Q. Dr. Karypis, I've put this together to try to make the next set of questions that I want to walk through a little easier to understand, rather than asking you to memorize long strings of numbers. Take a look at the top where it says "Query." Do you see that string of numbers?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you just take a look and confirm that that's the same string of</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	note-by-note process that's described in Iwamura, that would be a comparison 11 to 20 but I can easily see that also comparisons 1 through 10 would also be included here. Q. All right. Now, I ask you the same question but with respect to the peak note search. If you were comparing this query work to this reference work using the peak note search of Iwamura, which, if any, of the comparisons 1 through 20 would take place? A. So my understanding of Iwamura, so the comparison that would take place that would be first number 13. And it would be
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>(Karypis Exhibit 8, Single-page chart, marked for identification, this date.)</li> <li>Q. Dr. Karypis, I've put this together to try to make the next set of questions that I want to walk through a little easier to understand, rather than asking you to memorize long strings of numbers. Take a look at the top where it says "Query." Do you see that string of numbers?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you just take a look and</li> </ul>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	note-by-note process that's described in Iwamura, that would be a comparison 11 to 20 but I can easily see that also comparisons 1 through 10 would also be included here. Q. All right. Now, I ask you the same question but with respect to the peak note search. If you were comparing this query work to this reference work using the peak note search of Iwamura, which, if any, of the comparisons 1 through 20 would take place? A. So my understanding of Iwamura, so the comparison that would take place that

32 (Pages 122 - 125)

1	Page 126		Page 128
1	restriction on the distance between peak	1	A. So the way a peak is defined has to
2	notes?	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	be a local minimum or local maximum, which by
3	A. I don't recall off the top of my	3	construction would have a minimum distance
4	head. If there is any restrictions on the	4	required.
5	distance between the peak notes. I can take	5	Q. What would that minimum be?
6	a look.	6	A. I believe it would be at least one
7	Q. Sure, I will have you take a look,	7	or two notes.
8	but before you do that, sir, let me ask	8	MR. NEMEC: Go ahead and mark
9	another question.	9	another chart as Exhibit 9.
10	Would distance between the peak	10	(Karypis Exhibit 9, Single-page
11	notes in either a query or a reference item	11	chart, marked for identification, this
12	in Iwamura would be a function of the music,	12	date.)
13	right?	13	Q. Do you have Exhibit 9, Dr. Karypis?
14	A. The distance between the peak notes	14	A. Yes.
15	in either the query or the reference would be	15	Q. At the top of the page it says,
16 17	a function of the music and a function of how	16	"Query * 5, 4, 3, 2, 1," do you see that?
17	they're, whether or not they're, how they're	17	Can you accept, for purposes of
18	doing the centering.	18	this hypothetical, that that's a query
19	Q. Okay. So let's take that as	19	string, according to Iwamura?
20 21	two pieces, then.	20	A. So the one part that I need to
21 22	First, can you look at Iwamura and	21 22	double check is whether or not their peak identification algorithm will allow a peak at
22 23	confirm whether or not Iwamura requires centering?		- · ·
23 24	A. Sure.	23 24	the beginning of the string or at the end of the string, as a result of their peak
24 25	So I describe both the case in	24	identification approach.
23	50 T deserve both the case in	23	dentification approach.
1	Page 127	1	Page 129
1	which, excuse me, they have both absolute	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. If you look back at paragraph 160
2	peaks as well as relative peaks data, and I	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	in your declaration, page 101 of 292, the
3	don't believe they describe how to get the	4	sample query work that you use there begins
4	relative pitch data, so it's both scenarios.	5	with peak, correct?
5	Q. So they describe scenarios that		A. Yes, it does.
		6	O So at least when you prepared this
6	would involve centering and not involve	6	Q. So at least when you prepared this
7	centering; is that what you're saying?	7	example you believed that a query string,
7 8	centering; is that what you're saying? A. It's a relative versus an absolute,	7 8	example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a
7 8 9	<ul><li>centering; is that what you're saying?</li><li>A. It's a relative versus an absolute, yes.</li></ul>	7 8 9	example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a peak?
7 8 9 10	<ul><li>centering; is that what you're saying?</li><li>A. It's a relative versus an absolute,</li><li>yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. And then the second half of</li></ul>	7 8 9 10	<ul><li>example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a peak?</li><li>A. It would seem that way, so I'm just</li></ul>
7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>centering; is that what you're saying?</li><li>A. It's a relative versus an absolute,</li><li>yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. And then the second half of the question is: Is there anything in the</li></ul>	7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a peak?</li><li>A. It would seem that way, so I'm just trying to verify that.</li></ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul><li>centering; is that what you're saying?</li><li>A. It's a relative versus an absolute,</li><li>yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. And then the second half of the question is: Is there anything in the Iwamura disclosure that would place some</li></ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul><li>example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a peak?</li><li>A. It would seem that way, so I'm just trying to verify that.</li><li>Q. If we assume that that is an</li></ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul><li>centering; is that what you're saying?</li><li>A. It's a relative versus an absolute,</li><li>yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. And then the second half of the question is: Is there anything in the Iwamura disclosure that would place some limit on the number of notes between the</li></ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul><li>example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a peak?</li><li>A. It would seem that way, so I'm just trying to verify that.</li><li>Q. If we assume that that is an admissible way to begin a query, then can you</li></ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul><li>centering; is that what you're saying?</li><li>A. It's a relative versus an absolute,</li><li>yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. And then the second half of</li><li>the question is: Is there anything in the</li><li>Iwamura disclosure that would place some</li><li>limit on the number of notes between the</li><li>peak between the peaks?</li></ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul><li>example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a peak?</li><li>A. It would seem that way, so I'm just trying to verify that.</li><li>Q. If we assume that that is an admissible way to begin a query, then can you accept that this query of * 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 is</li></ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>centering; is that what you're saying?</li> <li>A. It's a relative versus an absolute,</li> <li>yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And then the second half of</li> <li>the question is: Is there anything in the</li> <li>Iwamura disclosure that would place some</li> <li>limit on the number of notes between the</li> <li>peak between the peaks?</li> <li>A. So my understanding of the method</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul><li>example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a peak?</li><li>A. It would seem that way, so I'm just trying to verify that.</li><li>Q. If we assume that that is an admissible way to begin a query, then can you accept that this query of * 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 is an appropriate theory, according to Iwamura?</li></ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>centering; is that what you're saying?</li> <li>A. It's a relative versus an absolute,</li> <li>yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And then the second half of</li> <li>the question is: Is there anything in the</li> <li>Iwamura disclosure that would place some</li> <li>limit on the number of notes between the</li> <li>peak between the peaks?</li> <li>A. So my understanding of the method</li> <li>they used to identify peaks, there is no</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a peak?</li> <li>A. It would seem that way, so I'm just trying to verify that.</li> <li>Q. If we assume that that is an admissible way to begin a query, then can you accept that this query of * 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 is an appropriate theory, according to Iwamura? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>centering; is that what you're saying?</li> <li>A. It's a relative versus an absolute,</li> <li>yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And then the second half of</li> <li>the question is: Is there anything in the</li> <li>Iwamura disclosure that would place some</li> <li>limit on the number of notes between the</li> <li>peak between the peaks?</li> <li>A. So my understanding of the method</li> <li>they used to identify peaks, there is no</li> <li>limitation in terms of what, the distance</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a peak?</li> <li>A. It would seem that way, so I'm just trying to verify that.</li> <li>Q. If we assume that that is an admissible way to begin a query, then can you accept that this query of * 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 is an appropriate theory, according to Iwamura? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Is it an appropriate way to start</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>centering; is that what you're saying?</li> <li>A. It's a relative versus an absolute,</li> <li>yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And then the second half of</li> <li>the question is: Is there anything in the</li> <li>Iwamura disclosure that would place some</li> <li>limit on the number of notes between the</li> <li>peak between the peaks?</li> <li>A. So my understanding of the method</li> <li>they used to identify peaks, there is no</li> <li>limitation in terms of what, the distance</li> <li>between two successful peaks.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a peak?</li> <li>A. It would seem that way, so I'm just trying to verify that.</li> <li>Q. If we assume that that is an admissible way to begin a query, then can you accept that this query of * 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 is an appropriate theory, according to Iwamura? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Is it an appropriate way to start the query, then so with a peak note, yes,</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>centering; is that what you're saying?</li> <li>A. It's a relative versus an absolute,</li> <li>yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And then the second half of the question is: Is there anything in the Iwamura disclosure that would place some limit on the number of notes between the peak between the peaks?</li> <li>A. So my understanding of the method they used to identify peaks, there is no limitation in terms of what, the distance between two successful peaks.</li> <li>Q. And would that be true of both</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a peak?</li> <li>A. It would seem that way, so I'm just trying to verify that.</li> <li>Q. If we assume that that is an admissible way to begin a query, then can you accept that this query of * 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 is an appropriate theory, according to Iwamura? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Is it an appropriate way to start the query, then so with a peak note, yes, that would an appropriate query.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>centering; is that what you're saying?</li> <li>A. It's a relative versus an absolute,</li> <li>yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And then the second half of</li> <li>the question is: Is there anything in the</li> <li>Iwamura disclosure that would place some</li> <li>limit on the number of notes between the</li> <li>peak between the peaks?</li> <li>A. So my understanding of the method</li> <li>they used to identify peaks, there is no</li> <li>limitation in terms of what, the distance</li> <li>between two successful peaks.</li> <li>Q. And would that be true of both</li> <li>query and the reference?</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a peak?</li> <li>A. It would seem that way, so I'm just trying to verify that.</li> <li>Q. If we assume that that is an admissible way to begin a query, then can you accept that this query of * 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 is an appropriate theory, according to Iwamura? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Is it an appropriate way to start the query, then so with a peak note, yes, that would an appropriate query.</li> <li>Q. And if you look down at the</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>centering; is that what you're saying?</li> <li>A. It's a relative versus an absolute,</li> <li>yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And then the second half of the question is: Is there anything in the Iwamura disclosure that would place some limit on the number of notes between the peak between the peaks?</li> <li>A. So my understanding of the method they used to identify peaks, there is no limitation in terms of what, the distance between two successful peaks.</li> <li>Q. And would that be true of both query and the reference?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a peak?</li> <li>A. It would seem that way, so I'm just trying to verify that.</li> <li>Q. If we assume that that is an admissible way to begin a query, then can you accept that this query of * 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 is an appropriate theory, according to Iwamura? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Is it an appropriate way to start the query, then so with a peak note, yes, that would an appropriate query.</li> <li>Q. And if you look down at the reference, do you see the string of numbers?</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>centering; is that what you're saying?</li> <li>A. It's a relative versus an absolute,</li> <li>yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And then the second half of</li> <li>the question is: Is there anything in the</li> <li>Iwamura disclosure that would place some</li> <li>limit on the number of notes between the</li> <li>peak between the peaks?</li> <li>A. So my understanding of the method</li> <li>they used to identify peaks, there is no</li> <li>limitation in terms of what, the distance</li> <li>between two successful peaks.</li> <li>Q. And would that be true of both</li> <li>query and the reference?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And just to make sure we're clear</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a peak?</li> <li>A. It would seem that way, so I'm just trying to verify that.</li> <li>Q. If we assume that that is an admissible way to begin a query, then can you accept that this query of * 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 is an appropriate theory, according to Iwamura? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Is it an appropriate way to start the query, then so with a peak note, yes, that would an appropriate query.</li> <li>Q. And if you look down at the reference, do you see the string of numbers?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>centering; is that what you're saying?</li> <li>A. It's a relative versus an absolute,</li> <li>yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And then the second half of</li> <li>the question is: Is there anything in the</li> <li>Iwamura disclosure that would place some</li> <li>limit on the number of notes between the</li> <li>peak between the peaks?</li> <li>A. So my understanding of the method</li> <li>they used to identify peaks, there is no</li> <li>limitation in terms of what, the distance</li> <li>between two successful peaks.</li> <li>Q. And would that be true of both</li> <li>query and the reference?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And just to make sure we're clear</li> <li>on this, Iwamura also doesn't set a minimum</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a peak?</li> <li>A. It would seem that way, so I'm just trying to verify that.</li> <li>Q. If we assume that that is an admissible way to begin a query, then can you accept that this query of * 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 is an appropriate theory, according to Iwamura? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Is it an appropriate way to start the query, then so with a peak note, yes, that would an appropriate query.</li> <li>Q. And if you look down at the reference, do you see the string of numbers?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And if we again assume that it's</li> </ul>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>centering; is that what you're saying?</li> <li>A. It's a relative versus an absolute,</li> <li>yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And then the second half of</li> <li>the question is: Is there anything in the</li> <li>Iwamura disclosure that would place some</li> <li>limit on the number of notes between the</li> <li>peak between the peaks?</li> <li>A. So my understanding of the method</li> <li>they used to identify peaks, there is no</li> <li>limitation in terms of what, the distance</li> <li>between two successful peaks.</li> <li>Q. And would that be true of both</li> <li>query and the reference?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And just to make sure we're clear</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>example you believed that a query string, according to Iwamura, could begin with a peak?</li> <li>A. It would seem that way, so I'm just trying to verify that.</li> <li>Q. If we assume that that is an admissible way to begin a query, then can you accept that this query of * 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 is an appropriate theory, according to Iwamura? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Is it an appropriate way to start the query, then so with a peak note, yes, that would an appropriate query.</li> <li>Q. And if you look down at the reference, do you see the string of numbers?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> </ul>

33 (Pages 126 - 129)

1	Page 130	1	Page 132 difference between 4 and 2?
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	you accept, for purposes of this hypothetical, using that string as a	2	A. I believe so.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	reference?	3	Q. And so forth until you're at the
4	A. Under all of the above ifs, yes, I	4	end of the query?
5	do.	5	A. Uh-huh.
6	Q. And if our assumption about the	6	Q. Do you agree that if you were to
7	ability to start a string with a peak is	7	perform that comparison and add up the
8	incorrect, then the examples in your	8	numbers it would total 5?
9	declaration are also incorrect, right?	9	A. Yes.
10	A. The particular query that I used in	10	Q. And that's the total absolute
11	my declaration would be incorrect.	11	difference that Iwamura uses to determine
12	Q. And looking at the sample query on	12	whether a reference is a match to a query or
13	Exhibit 9, how many peaks does that query	13	not
14	have?	14	A. Yes.
15	A. Probably one.	15	Q is that correct? Okay.
16	Q. And which one is that?	16	So in the peak-by-peak pardon
17	A. That would be the one marked with a	17	me, in the peak search process, comparisons
18	star or a 5.	18	number 1 through 4 in Exhibit 9 wouldn't take
19	Q. And how many peaks does the	19	place, correct?
20	reference have?	20	A. That would be correct.
21	A. That would be two.	21	Q. And comparisons 6 through 10 would
22	Q. Which ones are those?	22	not take place, correct?
23	A. That would be 6 and 6.	23	A. That would be correct.
24	Q. Okay. Look down at the grid	24	Q. And comparisons 12 through 16 would
25	depicted below. Do you see the reference	25	not take place?
	Page 131		Page 133
1	string in green at the bottom of the grid?	1	A. That would be correct.
2	A. I do.	2	Q. And using this example query and
3	Q. And do you see the query grid	3	reference, would Iwamura make any comparison
4	depicted in various, the comparison number	4	that takes into account the one digit, digits
5	rows up above?	5	in the reference sample?
6	A. I do.	6	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
7	Q. We were using the peak search	7	A. So your question was whether or not
8	comparison process of Iwamura, which, if any,	8	the notes marked with 1 would ever be
9	of the comparisons 1 through 16 would take	9	compared?
10	place?	10	Q. The question is whether the note in
11	A. That would be comparison number 5,	11	the string that reads 622,221,654321, were
12	and that would be comparison number 11.	12	the ones
13	Q. The second one you said was?	13	MR. NEMEC: Strike that.
14	A. 11.	14	Q. In the reference string reading
15	Q. Now, during each comparison, what	15	622,221, will that 1 ever be compared to a
16	computation does Iwamura perform?	16	note in the query?
17	A. It computes the pairwise distance	17	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
18	between the aligned positions, so the	18	A. I'm sorry, the question is whether
19	pairwise difference, absolute difference.	19	or not that one in the query could be
20 21	Q. So using, for example, the	20	compared to a note in the reference?
1 7 1	comparison between line 5 and the reference,	21 22	Q. Correct.
	1 $+$ $-1$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $+$ $-1$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$	111	MR. NEMEC: No, strike that.
22	you would first take the difference between 5		
22 23	and 6; is that right?	23	Q. The question is whether the 1 in
22	-		

34 (Pages 130 - 133)

		J P - 5	
	Page 134		Page 136
1	search according to Iwamura.	1	passing description of those from column 9,
2	A. Uh-huh.	2	lines 48 to 50, that indicate that those are
3	So the 1 in the reference would not	3	geologic send, user defined.
4	be compared to any note in the query.	4	Q. So Iwamura doesn't provide any
5	Q. If you take a look at comparison	5	objective criteria for defining what would be
6	number 12	6	an unimportant portion of the music sample,
7	A. Uh-huh.	7	correct?
8	Q and compare the alignment of the	8	A. My recollection of the disclosure,
9	query to the notes in the reference work, at	9	yes, that's correct.
10	that point, would you agree that the total absolute difference sums to zero?	10 11	Q. That would be determined at the
11 12	A. I do.	11	discretion of the person who is creating the reference database?
		12	
13 14	Q. And is that representative of an		A. It's not actually clear. I mean
14 15	exact match between the query and that portion of reference work?	14 15	what the specifications disclose, it usually identifies such important portions, that's
	A. That is representative of an exact	15	key word. The other unimportant portions
16 17	*	10	cannot be ignored. What is not clear over
17	match, yes.	17	here is who is the user.
18 19	Q. And in the peak-by-peak search process of the Iwamura, this comparison would	10	Q. Does the Iwamura reference require
19 20	not take place, correct?	20	that the query represent an important portion
20	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.	20	of a music work?
21	A. My understanding of how the	$\frac{21}{22}$	A. Can you repeat your question.
22	peak-by-peak approach of Iwamura works, that	23	Q. Do the teachings of Iwamura require
23	comparison will not be performed.	24	that the query represent an important portion
25	(Discussion off the record.)	25	of the musical work?
1	Page 135	1	Page 137
1	A. My recollection or understanding of	1	A. I don't think the Iwamura, you
2	A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in	2	A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or
2 3	A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be	2 3	A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.
2 3 4	A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li><li>Q. So if the reference database in</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li><li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li><li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li><li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li><li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li><li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li><li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura will not find the best matching segment in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the search wouldn't result in a match, correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura will not find the best matching segment in the melody that has the peak notes aligned.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the search wouldn't result in a match, correct?</li> <li>A. So if the database does not have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura will not find the best matching segment in the melody that has the peak notes aligned.</li> <li>Q. And using the peak search approach</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the search wouldn't result in a match, correct?</li> <li>A. So if the database does not have the portions of a melody in which, that the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura will not find the best matching segment in the melody that has the peak notes aligned.</li> <li>Q. And using the peak search approach of Iwamura, not all data in the reference is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the search wouldn't result in a match, correct?</li> <li>A. So if the database does not have the portions of a melody in which, that the user searches, yes, that will not lead to a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura will not find the best matching segment in the melody that has the peak notes aligned.</li> <li>Q. And using the peak search approach of Iwamura, not all data in the reference is considered, correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the search wouldn't result in a match, correct?</li> <li>A. So if the database does not have the portions of a melody in which, that the user searches, yes, that will not lead to a match.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura will not find the best matching segment in the melody that has the peak notes aligned.</li> <li>Q. And using the peak search approach of Iwamura, not all data in the reference is considered, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the search wouldn't result in a match, correct?</li> <li>A. So if the database does not have the portions of a melody in which, that the user searches, yes, that will not lead to a match.</li> <li>Q. And let me actually ask that again,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura will not find the best matching segment in the melody that has the peak notes aligned.</li> <li>Q. And using the peak search approach of Iwamura, not all data in the reference is considered, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Another teaching in the Iwamura</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the search wouldn't result in a match, correct?</li> <li>A. So if the database does not have the portions of a melody in which, that the user searches, yes, that will not lead to a match.</li> <li>Q. And let me actually ask that again, because I think I may have misstated how</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura will not find the best matching segment in the melody that has the peak notes aligned.</li> <li>Q. And using the peak search approach of Iwamura, not all data in the reference is considered, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Another teaching in the Iwamura reference is that the user may elect not to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the search wouldn't result in a match, correct?</li> <li>A. So if the database does not have the portions of a melody in which, that the user searches, yes, that will not lead to a match.</li> <li>Q. And let me actually ask that again,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura will not find the best matching segment in the melody that has the peak notes aligned.</li> <li>Q. And using the peak search approach of Iwamura, not all data in the reference is considered, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Another teaching in the Iwamura reference is that the user may elect not to consider unimportant portions of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the search wouldn't result in a match, correct?</li> <li>A. So if the database does not have the portions of a melody in which, that the user searches, yes, that will not lead to a match.</li> <li>Q. And let me actually ask that again, because I think I may have misstated how Iwamura operates.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura will not find the best matching segment in the melody that has the peak notes aligned.</li> <li>Q. And using the peak search approach of Iwamura, not all data in the reference is considered, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Another teaching in the Iwamura reference is that the user may elect not to consider unimportant portions of the reference melodies, correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the search wouldn't result in a match, correct?</li> <li>A. So if the database does not have the portions of a melody in which, that the user searches, yes, that will not lead to a match.</li> <li>Q. And let me actually ask that again, because I think I may have misstated how Iwamura operates.</li> <li>If the reference database in the Iwamura embodiment is structured such that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura will not find the best matching segment in the melody that has the peak notes aligned.</li> <li>Q. And using the peak search approach of Iwamura, not all data in the reference is considered, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Another teaching in the Iwamura reference is that the user may elect not to consider unimportant portions of the reference melodies, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the search wouldn't result in a match, correct?</li> <li>A. So if the database does not have the portions of a melody in which, that the user searches, yes, that will not lead to a match.</li> <li>Q. And let me actually ask that again, because I think I may have misstated how Iwamura operates.</li> <li>If the reference database in the Iwamura embodiment is structured such that the unimportant portions are to be skipped</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura will not find the best matching segment in the melody that has the peak notes aligned.</li> <li>Q. And using the peak search approach of Iwamura, not all data in the reference is considered, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Another teaching in the Iwamura reference is that the user may elect not to consider unimportant portions of the reference melodies, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there any direction or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the search wouldn't result in a match, correct?</li> <li>A. So if the database does not have the portions of a melody in which, that the user searches, yes, that will not lead to a match.</li> <li>Q. And let me actually ask that again, because I think I may have misstated how Iwamura operates.</li> <li>If the reference database in the Iwamura embodiment is structured such that the unimportant portions are to be skipped and a query is based on one of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura will not find the best matching segment in the melody that has the peak notes aligned.</li> <li>Q. And using the peak search approach of Iwamura, not all data in the reference is considered, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Another teaching in the Iwamura reference is that the user may elect not to consider unimportant portions of the reference melodies, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there any direction or definition provided in Iwamura as to what</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the search wouldn't result in a match, correct?</li> <li>A. So if the database does not have the portions of a melody in which, that the user searches, yes, that will not lead to a match.</li> <li>Q. And let me actually ask that again, because I think I may have misstated how Iwamura operates.</li> <li>If the reference database in the Iwamura embodiment is structured such that the unimportant portions are to be skipped and a query is based on one of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura will not find the best matching segment in the melody that has the peak notes aligned.</li> <li>Q. And using the peak search approach of Iwamura, not all data in the reference is considered, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Another teaching in the Iwamura reference is that the user may elect not to consider unimportant portions of the reference melodies, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there any direction or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the search wouldn't result in a match, correct?</li> <li>A. So if the database does not have the portions of a melody in which, that the user searches, yes, that will not lead to a match.</li> <li>Q. And let me actually ask that again, because I think I may have misstated how Iwamura operates.</li> <li>If the reference database in the Iwamura embodiment is structured such that the unimportant portions of a reference work, no match will be found, correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura will not find the best matching segment in the melody that has the peak notes aligned.</li> <li>Q. And using the peak search approach of Iwamura, not all data in the reference is considered, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Another teaching in the Iwamura reference is that the user may elect not to consider unimportant portions of the reference melodies, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there any direction or definition provided in Iwamura as to what would constitute an unimportant portion of a music reference?</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the search wouldn't result in a match, correct?</li> <li>A. So if the database does not have the portions of a melody in which, that the user searches, yes, that will not lead to a match.</li> <li>Q. And let me actually ask that again, because I think I may have misstated how Iwamura operates.</li> <li>If the reference database in the Iwamura embodiment is structured such that the unimportant portions are to be skipped and a query is based on one of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. My recollection or understanding of how the peak-by-peak search approach works in Iwamura, that comparison will not be performed.</li> <li>Q. So in that case Iwamura will not necessarily find the best matching melody segment in the reference; is that correct?</li> <li>A. So in that case, you know, Iwamura will not find the best matching segment in the melody that has the peak notes aligned.</li> <li>Q. And using the peak search approach of Iwamura, not all data in the reference is considered, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Another teaching in the Iwamura reference is that the user may elect not to consider unimportant portions of the reference melodies, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there any direction or definition provided in Iwamura as to what would constitute an unimportant portion of a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I don't think the Iwamura, you know, have not had a requirement one way or the other.</li> <li>Q. So if the reference database in Iwamura were constructed to omit the unimportant portions of the references, and the user constructed a query based on one of those unimportant portions of the music, the search wouldn't result in a match, correct?</li> <li>A. So if the database does not have the portions of a melody in which, that the user searches, yes, that will not lead to a match.</li> <li>Q. And let me actually ask that again, because I think I may have misstated how Iwamura operates.</li> <li>If the reference database in the Iwamura embodiment is structured such that the unimportant portions of a reference work, no match will be found, correct?</li> </ul>

35 (Pages 134 - 137)

1	Page 138	1	Page 140
1	the important portions from the melody from	1	A. I believe that particular part,
2	the reference work, and the query contains	2	right, it actually refers to both the, there
3	just the unimportant part?	3	are two components in the Iwamura search that
4	Q. Correct.	4	allows you to skip, right? One has to do
5	A. Iwamura will still identify a	5	with skip of, I believe they call them
6	match. It would identify the best match that	6	repeated patterns, so repeating melodies, and
7	the user's query matches against the	7	the other one is skipping the unimportant
8	database	8	parts. So both of those things I believe
9	Q. So in that case	9	they are tied together within the same
10	A and as determined by the peaks.	10	context, and my understanding of this section
11	Q. In that case, it may return a match	11	on column 12, that essentially talks about
12	but not the closest or an exact match?	12	the whole process of unmarking, because I
13	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.	13	believe they use a term unmarking, you know,
14	A. In that case we will return a match	14	when they were describing those, those
15	that is the closest, given the data that the	15	approaches.
16	algorithm searches and how it performs a	16	Q. Look, if you would, at column 8 in
17	search.	17	Iwamura, and I guess this begins on line 13
18		18	or 14, runs down to about line 20.
18	Q. Even though an exact match, in fact, is present in the reference data set?	10 19	A. Okay.
20		20	A. Okay. Yes.
1	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.		
21	A. So the way, my understanding of	21	Q. What do you understand Iwamura to
22	Iwamura, right, so when it goes and	22	be describing here?
23	preprocesses the melodies to skip over the	23	A. So the lines, so there are kind of
24	unimportant portions as part of the search	24	two components there. So the first
25	process, you know, this is really what it	25	component, right, describes instead of
	Page 139		e
1	does, it does to some extent some type of	1	looking at peaks, to look at dips or more
2	does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches,	2	dips in here than peaks, as a way to further
2 3	does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the	2 3	looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make.
2 3 4	does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the	2 3 4	looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make. Q. I may have directed you to the
2 3	does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the	2 3 4 5	looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make. Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8,
2 3 4	does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the	2 3 4	looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make. Q. I may have directed you to the
2 3 4 5	does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its	2 3 4 5	looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make. Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8,
2 3 4 5 6	does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still	2 3 4 5 6	looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make. Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20.
2 3 4 5 6 7	does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make.</li><li>Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20.</li><li>A. Oh, okay.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li><li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make.</li> <li>Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20.</li> <li>A. Oh, okay.</li> <li>So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li> <li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the skipping of unimportant portions takes place</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make.</li> <li>Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20.</li> <li>A. Oh, okay. So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is the following: Given a reference I have the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li> <li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the skipping of unimportant portions takes place in a preprocessing stage?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make.</li> <li>Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20.</li> <li>A. Oh, okay.</li> <li>So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is the following: Given a reference I have the different peaks. I have the highest peak,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li> <li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the skipping of unimportant portions takes place in a preprocessing stage?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make.</li> <li>Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20.</li> <li>A. Oh, okay. So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is the following: Given a reference I have the different peaks. I have the highest peak, second highest peak and third highest peak.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li> <li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the skipping of unimportant portions takes place in a preprocessing stage?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Where is that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make.</li> <li>Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20.</li> <li>A. Oh, okay.</li> <li>So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is the following: Given a reference I have the different peaks. I have the highest peak, second highest peak and third highest peak.</li> <li>So given a query, then I will first align the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li> <li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the skipping of unimportant portions takes place in a preprocessing stage?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Where is that?</li> <li>A. I was reading.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make.</li> <li>Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20.</li> <li>A. Oh, okay.</li> <li>So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is the following: Given a reference I have the different peaks. I have the highest peak, second highest peak and third highest peak.</li> <li>So given a query, then I will first align the highest peak of the query against the highest</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li> <li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the skipping of unimportant portions takes place in a preprocessing stage?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Where is that?</li> <li>A. I was reading. So on column 12, lines 5 through</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make.</li> <li>Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20.</li> <li>A. Oh, okay.</li> <li>So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is the following: Given a reference I have the different peaks. I have the highest peak, second highest peak and third highest peak.</li> <li>So given a query, then I will first align the highest peak of the query against the highest peak of the reference, you know, compute a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li> <li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the skipping of unimportant portions takes place in a preprocessing stage?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Where is that?</li> <li>A. I was reading.</li> <li>So on column 12, lines 5 through 10, roughly that paragraph, it says, "When</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make.</li> <li>Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20.</li> <li>A. Oh, okay.</li> <li>So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is the following: Given a reference I have the different peaks. I have the highest peak, second highest peak and third highest peak.</li> <li>So given a query, then I will first align the highest peak of the reference, you know, compute a score, right? Then align the highest peak of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li> <li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the skipping of unimportant portions takes place in a preprocessing stage?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Where is that?</li> <li>A. I was reading. So on column 12, lines 5 through 10, roughly that paragraph, it says, "When you build the database, by un-marking peaks,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make.</li> <li>Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20.</li> <li>A. Oh, okay.</li> <li>So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is the following: Given a reference I have the different peaks. I have the highest peak, second highest peak and third highest peak.</li> <li>So given a query, then I will first align the highest peak of the reference, you know, compute a score, right? Then align the highest peak of the query against the second highest peak of the query against the peak of the query against the peak of</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li> <li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the skipping of unimportant portions takes place in a preprocessing stage?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Where is that?</li> <li>A. I was reading. So on column 12, lines 5 through 10, roughly that paragraph, it says, "When you build the database, by un-marking peaks, you can select the portion that should not be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make. Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20. A. Oh, okay. So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is the following: Given a reference I have the different peaks. I have the highest peak, second highest peak and third highest peak. So given a query, then I will first align the highest peak of the query against the highest peak of the reference, you know, compute a score, right? Then align the highest peak of the query against the second highest peak of the reference and compute a score and so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li> <li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the skipping of unimportant portions takes place in a preprocessing stage?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Where is that?</li> <li>A. I was reading. So on column 12, lines 5 through 10, roughly that paragraph, it says, "When you build the database, by un-marking peaks, you can select the portion that should not be searched. This avoids searching unnecessary</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make. Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20. A. Oh, okay. So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is the following: Given a reference I have the different peaks. I have the highest peak, second highest peak and third highest peak. So given a query, then I will first align the highest peak of the query against the highest peak of the reference, you know, compute a score, right? Then align the highest peak of the query against the second highest peak of the reference and compute a score and so forth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li> <li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the skipping of unimportant portions takes place in a preprocessing stage?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Where is that?</li> <li>A. I was reading. So on column 12, lines 5 through 10, roughly that paragraph, it says, "When you build the database, by un-marking peaks, you can select the portion that should not be searched. This avoids searching unnecessary portions and accelerates search speed."</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make.</li> <li>Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20.</li> <li>A. Oh, okay.</li> <li>So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is the following: Given a reference I have the different peaks. I have the highest peak, second highest peak and third highest peak.</li> <li>So given a query, then I will first align the highest peak of the reference, you know, compute a score, right? Then align the highest peak of the reference and compute a score and so forth.</li> <li>Q. How is it that that approach would</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li> <li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the skipping of unimportant portions takes place in a preprocessing stage?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Where is that?</li> <li>A. I was reading.</li> <li>So on column 12, lines 5 through 10, roughly that paragraph, it says, "When you build the database, by un-marking peaks, you can select the portion that should not be searched. This avoids searching unnecessary portions and accelerates search speed."</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make.</li> <li>Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20.</li> <li>A. Oh, okay.</li> <li>So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is the following: Given a reference I have the different peaks. I have the highest peak, second highest peak and third highest peak.</li> <li>So given a query, then I will first align the highest peak of the reference, you know, compute a score, right? Then align the highest peak of the reference and compute a score and so forth.</li> <li>Q. How is it that that approach would further accelerate the search?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li> <li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the skipping of unimportant portions takes place in a preprocessing stage?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Where is that?</li> <li>A. I was reading. So on column 12, lines 5 through 10, roughly that paragraph, it says, "When you build the database, by un-marking peaks, you can select the portion that should not be searched. This avoids searching unnecessary portions and accelerates search speed." So this is during database building. That's processing step.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make.</li> <li>Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20.</li> <li>A. Oh, okay.</li> <li>So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is the following: Given a reference I have the different peaks. I have the highest peak, second highest peak and third highest peak.</li> <li>So given a query, then I will first align the highest peak of the reference, you know, compute a score, right? Then align the highest peak of the reference and compute a score and so forth.</li> <li>Q. How is it that that approach would further accelerate the search?</li> <li>A. So that paragraph actually does not</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li> <li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the skipping of unimportant portions takes place in a preprocessing stage?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Where is that?</li> <li>A. I was reading. So on column 12, lines 5 through 10, roughly that paragraph, it says, "When you build the database, by un-marking peaks, you can select the portion that should not be searched. This avoids searching unnecessary portions and accelerates search speed." So this is during database</li> <li>building. That's processing step.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that text in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make.</li> <li>Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20.</li> <li>A. Oh, okay.</li> <li>So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is the following: Given a reference I have the different peaks. I have the highest peak, second highest peak and third highest peak.</li> <li>So given a query, then I will first align the highest peak of the reference, you know, compute a score, right? Then align the highest peak of the reference and compute a score and so forth.</li> <li>Q. How is it that that approach would further accelerate the search?</li> <li>A. So that paragraph actually does not discuss how that can further accelerate the</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li> <li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the skipping of unimportant portions takes place in a preprocessing stage?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Where is that?</li> <li>A. I was reading. So on column 12, lines 5 through 10, roughly that paragraph, it says, "When you build the database, by un-marking peaks, you can select the portion that should not be searched. This avoids searching unnecessary portions and accelerates search speed." So this is during database</li> <li>building. That's processing step.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that text in column 12 to refer to a future where</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make.</li> <li>Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8, lines 13 through 20.</li> <li>A. Oh, okay.</li> <li>So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is the following: Given a reference I have the different peaks. I have the highest peak, second highest peak and third highest peak.</li> <li>So given a query, then I will first align the highest peak of the query against the highest peak of the reference, you know, compute a score, right? Then align the highest peak of the reference and compute a score and so forth.</li> <li>Q. How is it that that approach would further accelerate the search?</li> <li>A. So that paragraph actually does not discuss how that can further accelerate the search. That's it.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>does, it does to some extent some type of future extractions. So when it searches, given that you just query, it identifies the best match, you know, with respect to the future that it has there, based on its searches. So in my opinion it will still return the best match, according to a search order.</li> <li>Q. Now, does Iwamura state that the skipping of unimportant portions takes place in a preprocessing stage?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Where is that?</li> <li>A. I was reading. So on column 12, lines 5 through 10, roughly that paragraph, it says, "When you build the database, by un-marking peaks, you can select the portion that should not be searched. This avoids searching unnecessary portions and accelerates search speed." So this is during database</li> <li>building. That's processing step.</li> <li>Q. And you understand that text in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>looking at peaks, to look at dips or more dips in here than peaks, as a way to further reduce the number of comparisons they make Q. I may have directed you to the wrong portion. I was asking about column 8 lines 13 through 20.</li> <li>A. Oh, okay.</li> <li>So my understanding of that, and again this is a very cryptic description is the following: Given a reference I have the different peaks. I have the highest peak, second highest peak and third highest peak. So given a query, then I will first align the highest peak of the query against the highest peak of the reference, you know, compute a score, right? Then align the highest peak of the reference and compute a score and so forth.</li> <li>Q. How is it that that approach would further accelerate the search?</li> <li>A. So that paragraph actually does not discuss how that can further accelerate the</li> </ul>

36 (Pages 138 - 141)
# Karypis

		J F -~	
	Page 142		Page 144
1	you were to compare the highest peak in the	1	mean there when it says, "Another peak can be
2	query to the highest peak in the reference	2	used for the search"?
3	and find a match, you could discontinue the	3	A. It's an example of what they have
4	comparisons between that query and that	4	over there, they provide a clear example of
5	reference, return that reference as a match?	5	what is the other peak. And in the first
6	A. I don't know how you disclose	6	case, the peak would be the first one, which
7	something like that.	7	in the example it would be that star 5. And
8	Q. If you were to do that, that would	8	the second peak would be the star 5 in
9	accelerate the search, correct?	9	location 7.
10	A. The question now becomes what we	10	Q. So what this indicates is that
11	define by match. If the match over here is	11	Iwamura doesn't always consider all the peaks
12	an exact match, and then, yes, that connects	12	in the query, correct?
13	it. But if the match is not an exact match,	13	A. What do you mean by "consider"?
14	it doesn't mean that there is no other	14	Q. This indicates that if an exact
15	peak-aligned match that would have that lower		match is found by comparing the first peak in
16 17	score, so that by itself is not a select	16 17	the query to the peaks in the reference, the
17	search.	17	search won't continue on to another peaks in
18	Q. What if it's a match within a certain threshold?	18	the query, correct?
20		20	A. So that rate of passage does not indicate that the search was stopped.
20	A. What do you mean by "a match within a certain threshold"?	20	Q. So where it indicates in line 27,
$\frac{21}{22}$	Q. So in other words, if the highest	$\frac{21}{22}$	"In this case no exact result is obtained, so
22	point in the query is in line with the	22	another search will be done with the second
23	highest point in the reference, and the	23	peak."
24	calculation yields at least absolute	25	Do you see that?
45	calculation yields at least absolute		
	-		-
	Page 143		Page 145
1	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as	1	Page 145 A. Yes.
1 2	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match.	1 2	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an
1 2 3	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form.	1 2 3	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to
1 2 3 4	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is	1 2 3 4	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second
1 2 3 4 5	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the	1 2 3 4 5	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place?
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user supply constant, right, then, you know, once	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my understanding of that algorithm is when I use
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user supply constant, right, then, you know, once you find one of those, then we can turn and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my understanding of that algorithm is when I use the first peak, right, I align it with a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user supply constant, right, then, you know, once you find one of those, then we can turn and search and identify that thing as a match.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my understanding of that algorithm is when I use the first peak, right, I align it with a query against a reference, a computer score.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user supply constant, right, then, you know, once you find one of those, then we can turn and search and identify that thing as a match. Q. And by employing that approach you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my understanding of that algorithm is when I use the first peak, right, I align it with a query against a reference, a computer score. If I go through the process by aligning, you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user supply constant, right, then, you know, once you find one of those, then we can turn and search and identify that thing as a match. Q. And by employing that approach you could accelerate the search, correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my understanding of that algorithm is when I use the first peak, right, I align it with a query against a reference, a computer score. If I go through the process by aligning, you know, shifting the first peak to all the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user supply constant, right, then, you know, once you find one of those, then we can turn and search and identify that thing as a match. Q. And by employing that approach you could accelerate the search, correct? A. So by employing that approach, you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my understanding of that algorithm is when I use the first peak, right, I align it with a query against a reference, a computer score. If I go through the process by aligning, you know, shifting the first peak to all the peaks in the reference, if I have a that
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\end{array} $	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user supply constant, right, then, you know, once you find one of those, then we can turn and search and identify that thing as a match. Q. And by employing that approach you could accelerate the search, correct? A. So by employing that approach, you can, you know, you will not need to identify,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my understanding of that algorithm is when I use the first peak, right, I align it with a query against a reference, a computer score. If I go through the process by aligning, you know, shifting the first peak to all the peaks in the reference, if I have a that would give me a set of scores and a set of
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\end{array} $	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user supply constant, right, then, you know, once you find one of those, then we can turn and search and identify that thing as a match. Q. And by employing that approach you could accelerate the search, correct? A. So by employing that approach, you can, you know, you will not need to identify, you know, search any other peaks that you've	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\end{array} $	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my understanding of that algorithm is when I use the first peak, right, I align it with a query against a reference, a computer score. If I go through the process by aligning, you know, shifting the first peak to all the peaks in the reference, if I have a that would give me a set of scores and a set of matches. So there is no exact match of me
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\end{array} $	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user supply constant, right, then, you know, once you find one of those, then we can turn and search and identify that thing as a match. Q. And by employing that approach you could accelerate the search, correct? A. So by employing that approach, you can, you know, you will not need to identify, you know, search any other peaks that you've seen in the regular reference work.	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\end{array} $	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my understanding of that algorithm is when I use the first peak, right, I align it with a query against a reference, a computer score. If I go through the process by aligning, you know, shifting the first peak to all the peaks in the reference, if I have a that would give me a set of scores and a set of matches. So there is no exact match of me doing that. And then in the process of again
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\end{array} $	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user supply constant, right, then, you know, once you find one of those, then we can turn and search and identify that thing as a match. Q. And by employing that approach you could accelerate the search, correct? A. So by employing that approach, you can, you know, you will not need to identify, you know, search any other peaks that you've seen in the regular reference work. Q. And thereby accelerate the search,	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\end{array} $	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my understanding of that algorithm is when I use the first peak, right, I align it with a query against a reference, a computer score. If I go through the process by aligning, you know, shifting the first peak to all the peaks in the reference, if I have a that would give me a set of scores and a set of matches. So there is no exact match of me doing that. And then in the process of again trying to match a melody against that
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user supply constant, right, then, you know, once you find one of those, then we can turn and search and identify that thing as a match. Q. And by employing that approach you could accelerate the search, correct? A. So by employing that approach, you can, you know, you will not need to identify, you know, search any other peaks that you've seen in the regular reference work. Q. And thereby accelerate the search, correct?	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my understanding of that algorithm is when I use the first peak, right, I align it with a query against a reference, a computer score. If I go through the process by aligning, you know, shifting the first peak to all the peaks in the reference, if I have a that would give me a set of scores and a set of matches. So there is no exact match of me doing that. And then in the process of again trying to match a melody against that particular record, I would pick up a second
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user supply constant, right, then, you know, once you find one of those, then we can turn and search and identify that thing as a match. Q. And by employing that approach you could accelerate the search, correct? A. So by employing that approach, you can, you know, you will not need to identify, you know, search any other peaks that you've seen in the regular reference work. Q. And thereby accelerate the search, correct? A. That will speed up the search, yes.	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my understanding of that algorithm is when I use the first peak, right, I align it with a query against a reference, a computer score. If I go through the process by aligning, you know, shifting the first peak to all the peaks in the reference, if I have a that would give me a set of scores and a set of matches. So there is no exact match of me doing that. And then in the process of again trying to match a melody against that particular record, I would pick up a second peak and do the same thing.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\\end{array} $	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user supply constant, right, then, you know, once you find one of those, then we can turn and search and identify that thing as a match. Q. And by employing that approach you could accelerate the search, correct? A. So by employing that approach, you can, you know, you will not need to identify, you know, search any other peaks that you've seen in the regular reference work. Q. And thereby accelerate the search, correct? A. That will speed up the search, yes. Q. Let's turn over to column 9, if you	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my understanding of that algorithm is when I use the first peak, right, I align it with a query against a reference, a computer score. If I go through the process by aligning, you know, shifting the first peak to all the peaks in the reference, if I have a that would give me a set of scores and a set of matches. So there is no exact match of me doing that. And then in the process of again trying to match a melody against that particular record, I would pick up a second peak and do the same thing. Q. And if an exact match is found in
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user supply constant, right, then, you know, once you find one of those, then we can turn and search and identify that thing as a match. Q. And by employing that approach you could accelerate the search, correct? A. So by employing that approach, you can, you know, you will not need to identify, you know, search any other peaks that you've seen in the regular reference work. Q. And thereby accelerate the search, correct? A. That will speed up the search, yes. Q. Let's turn over to column 9, if you would, and take a moment to look over the	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my understanding of that algorithm is when I use the first peak, right, I align it with a query against a reference, a computer score. If I go through the process by aligning, you know, shifting the first peak to all the peaks in the reference, if I have a that would give me a set of scores and a set of matches. So there is no exact match of me doing that. And then in the process of again trying to match a melody against that particular record, I would pick up a second peak and do the same thing. Q. And if an exact match is found in what you just described, you would not move
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user supply constant, right, then, you know, once you find one of those, then we can turn and search and identify that thing as a match. Q. And by employing that approach you could accelerate the search, correct? A. So by employing that approach, you can, you know, you will not need to identify, you know, search any other peaks that you've seen in the regular reference work. Q. And thereby accelerate the search, correct? A. That will speed up the search, yes. Q. Let's turn over to column 9, if you would, and take a moment to look over the text from lines 24 through about 32.	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my understanding of that algorithm is when I use the first peak, right, I align it with a query against a reference, a computer score. If I go through the process by aligning, you know, shifting the first peak to all the peaks in the reference, if I have a that would give me a set of scores and a set of matches. So there is no exact match of me doing that. And then in the process of again trying to match a melody against that particular record, I would pick up a second peak and do the same thing. Q. And if an exact match is found in
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	Page 143 difference of three or less, it's defined as a match. MR. LUNER: Object to the form. A. So what is defined as a match is that of identifying whether or not the reference, you know, contains some melody that is peaked aligned, who is, you know, distance is less than or equal to a user supply constant, right, then, you know, once you find one of those, then we can turn and search and identify that thing as a match. Q. And by employing that approach you could accelerate the search, correct? A. So by employing that approach, you can, you know, you will not need to identify, you know, search any other peaks that you've seen in the regular reference work. Q. And thereby accelerate the search, correct? A. That will speed up the search, yes. Q. Let's turn over to column 9, if you would, and take a moment to look over the	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	Page 145 A. Yes. Q. Does that not indicate that if an exact match were found using comparison to the first peak in the query, that that second search wouldn't take place? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So my identification of the paragraph is the fall line, so my understanding of that algorithm is when I use the first peak, right, I align it with a query against a reference, a computer score. If I go through the process by aligning, you know, shifting the first peak to all the peaks in the reference, if I have a that would give me a set of scores and a set of matches. So there is no exact match of me doing that. And then in the process of again trying to match a melody against that particular record, I would pick up a second peak and do the same thing. Q. And if an exact match is found in what you just described, you would not move on that second search, correct?

37 (Pages 142 - 145)

		J P15	
	Page 146		Page 148
1	Q. Now, in the case using the peak	1	five-digit sequence in the reference,
2	search approach in Iwamura, where you have a		correct?
3	short query and a longer reference melody,	3	A. I believe the match within the
4	it's possible that the query would match the	4	context of the Iwamura is a melody, so the
5	reference in multiple locations, correct?	5	match is really the reference.
6	A. That is possible, yes.	6	Q. And you evaluate whether you have a
7	(Karypis Exhibit 10, Single-page	7	matching melody by virtue of whether the
8	chart, marked for identification, this	8	query matches the melody segments within the
9	date.)	9	reference, correct?
10	Q. I want to take a look at an example	10	A. So you evaluate the quality of the
11	sequence here that we will mark as	11	match by evaluating how well the query
12	Exhibit 10. Exhibit 10, do you see the top	12	matches, you know, the best matching segmen
13	where it says "Query: 5, 4, 3, 2, 1"?	13	in the reference.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. Let's look back at Iwamura in
15	Q. And can you accept hypothetically	15	column 8, please, in particular lines 4
16	that that's a query string for use in a peak	16	through 12 of column 8. And there Iwamura
17	search, according to Iwamura?	17	teaches that in addition to doing a peak
18	A. I do.	18	search, you could also do a dip search,
19	Q. And below that it has a string of	19	right?
20	numbers and a reference, do you see that?	20	A. Uh-huh.
21	A. I do.	21	Q. Now is it possible any given query
22	Q. Can you accept hypothetically that	22	or reference work that the best matching
23	that is a reference melody string for a	23	melody segment within that reference work
24	comparison, according to the peak search	24	would be at a dip?
25	process of Internation	25	
25	process of Iwamura?	25	A. What exactly do you mean by being
25	Page 147	25	A. What exactly do you mean by being Page 149
1	•	1	
1 2	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the	1 2	Page 149
1	Page 147 A. I do.	1	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way.
1 2 3 4	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the	1 2	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip
1 2 3	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted	1 2 3	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip
1 2 3 4	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the	1 2 3 4	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query? A. It is.	1 2 3 4 5	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura?
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query?	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura? A. My understanding of the definition
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query? A. It is.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura? A. My understanding of the definition of a dip is going to be a local minimum and a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query? A. It is. Q. And is the second instance of 5, 4,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura? A. My understanding of the definition of a dip is going to be a local minimum and a peak being a local maximum.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query? A. It is. Q. And is the second instance of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 highlighted in orange also a match?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura? A. My understanding of the definition of a dip is going to be a local minimum and a peak being a local maximum. Q. So in the dip search process you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query? A. It is. Q. And is the second instance of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 highlighted in orange also a match? A. Yes, it is.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura? A. My understanding of the definition of a dip is going to be a local minimum and a peak being a local maximum. Q. So in the dip search process you would align a dip from the query with a dip
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query? A. It is. Q. And is the second instance of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 highlighted in orange also a match? A. Yes, it is. Q. And is the yellow sequence 5, 4, 3,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura? A. My understanding of the definition of a dip is going to be a local minimum and a peak being a local maximum. Q. So in the dip search process you would align a dip from the query with a dip in the reference, right?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query? A. It is. Q. And is the second instance of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 highlighted in orange also a match? A. Yes, it is. Q. And is the yellow sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 also a match for the query?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura? A. My understanding of the definition of a dip is going to be a local minimum and a peak being a local maximum. Q. So in the dip search process you would align a dip from the query with a dip in the reference, right? A. That's my understanding of when it
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query? A. It is. Q. And is the second instance of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 highlighted in orange also a match? A. Yes, it is. Q. And is the yellow sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 also a match for the query? A. Yes, it is.	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\end{array} $	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura? A. My understanding of the definition of a dip is going to be a local minimum and a peak being a local maximum. Q. So in the dip search process you would align a dip from the query with a dip in the reference, right? A. That's my understanding of when it says you can use dips and instead of peaks, yes. Q. And you would compare the notes as
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query? A. It is. Q. And is the second instance of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 highlighted in orange also a match? A. Yes, it is. Q. And is the yellow sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 also a match for the query? A. Yes, it is. Q. And would the blue highlighted	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\end{array} $	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura? A. My understanding of the definition of a dip is going to be a local minimum and a peak being a local maximum. Q. So in the dip search process you would align a dip from the query with a dip in the reference, right? A. That's my understanding of when it says you can use dips and instead of peaks, yes. Q. And you would compare the notes as aligned in the reference pardon me, in the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Page 147</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query?</li> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. And is the second instance of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 highlighted in orange also a match?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. And is the yellow sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 also a match for the query?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. And would the blue highlighted sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 again be a match to</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\end{array} $	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura? A. My understanding of the definition of a dip is going to be a local minimum and a peak being a local maximum. Q. So in the dip search process you would align a dip from the query with a dip in the reference, right? A. That's my understanding of when it says you can use dips and instead of peaks, yes. Q. And you would compare the notes as
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query? A. It is. Q. And is the second instance of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 highlighted in orange also a match? A. Yes, it is. Q. And is the yellow sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 also a match for the query? A. Yes, it is. Q. And would the blue highlighted sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 again be a match to the query?	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\end{array} $	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura? A. My understanding of the definition of a dip is going to be a local minimum and a peak being a local maximum. Q. So in the dip search process you would align a dip from the query with a dip in the reference, right? A. That's my understanding of when it says you can use dips and instead of peaks, yes. Q. And you would compare the notes as aligned in the reference pardon me, in the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query? A. It is. Q. And is the second instance of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 highlighted in orange also a match? A. Yes, it is. Q. And is the yellow sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 also a match for the query? A. Yes, it is. Q. And would the blue highlighted sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 again be a match to the query? A. It is.	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\end{array} $	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura A. My understanding of the definition of a dip is going to be a local minimum and a peak being a local maximum. Q. So in the dip search process you would align a dip from the query with a dip in the reference, right? A. That's my understanding of when it says you can use dips and instead of peaks, yes. Q. And you would compare the notes as aligned in the reference pardon me, in the query to the reference aligned at the dip?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query? A. It is. Q. And is the second instance of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 highlighted in orange also a match? A. Yes, it is. Q. And is the yellow sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 also a match for the query? A. Yes, it is. Q. And would the blue highlighted sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 again be a match to the query? A. It is. Q. So in this example, is it fair to	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\end{array} $	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura A. My understanding of the definition of a dip is going to be a local minimum and a peak being a local maximum. Q. So in the dip search process you would align a dip from the query with a dip in the reference, right? A. That's my understanding of when it says you can use dips and instead of peaks, yes. Q. And you would compare the notes as aligned in the reference pardon me, in the query to the reference aligned at the dip? A. Correct. Q. And is it possible that taking that query aligned at the dip would yield the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Page 147</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query?</li> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. And is the second instance of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 highlighted in orange also a match?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. And is the yellow sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 also a match for the query?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. And would the blue highlighted sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 again be a match to the query?</li> <li>A. It is.</li> <li>Q. So in this example, is it fair to say that there are four exact matches to the</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura? A. My understanding of the definition of a dip is going to be a local minimum and a peak being a local maximum. Q. So in the dip search process you would align a dip from the query with a dip in the reference, right? A. That's my understanding of when it says you can use dips and instead of peaks, yes. Q. And you would compare the notes as aligned in the reference pardon me, in the query to the reference aligned at the dip? A. Correct. Q. And is it possible that taking that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query? A. It is. Q. And is the second instance of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 highlighted in orange also a match? A. Yes, it is. Q. And is the yellow sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 also a match for the query? A. Yes, it is. Q. And would the blue highlighted sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 again be a match to the query? A. It is. Q. So in this example, is it fair to say that there are four exact matches to the query within the reference?	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura? A. My understanding of the definition of a dip is going to be a local minimum and a peak being a local maximum. Q. So in the dip search process you would align a dip from the query with a dip in the reference, right? A. That's my understanding of when it says you can use dips and instead of peaks, yes. Q. And you would compare the notes as aligned in the reference pardon me, in the query to the reference aligned at the dip? A. Correct. Q. And is it possible that taking that query aligned at the dip would yield the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query? A. It is. Q. And is the second instance of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 highlighted in orange also a match? A. Yes, it is. Q. And is the yellow sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 also a match for the query? A. Yes, it is. Q. And would the blue highlighted sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 again be a match to the query? A. It is. Q. So in this example, is it fair to say that there are four exact matches to the query within the reference? A. So within the reference there are	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura? A. My understanding of the definition of a dip is going to be a local minimum and a peak being a local maximum. Q. So in the dip search process you would align a dip from the query with a dip in the reference, right? A. That's my understanding of when it says you can use dips and instead of peaks, yes. Q. And you would compare the notes as aligned in the reference pardon me, in the query to the reference aligned at the dip? A. Correct. Q. And is it possible that taking that query aligned at the dip would yield the lowest absolute difference of any melody
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	Page 147 A. I do. Q. Now, if we were to compare the query 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 to the reference depicted on Exhibit 10, is the first instance of the sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 in the reference a match for the query? A. It is. Q. And is the second instance of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 highlighted in orange also a match? A. Yes, it is. Q. And is the yellow sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 also a match for the query? A. Yes, it is. Q. And would the blue highlighted sequence 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 again be a match to the query? A. It is. Q. So in this example, is it fair to say that there are four exact matches to the query within the reference? A. So within the reference there are four portions that match exactly to the	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	Page 149 at a dip? Q. Let me make sure that we're finding this the same way. How do you understand the dip segments to be defined according to Iwamura? A. My understanding of the definition of a dip is going to be a local minimum and a peak being a local maximum. Q. So in the dip search process you would align a dip from the query with a dip in the reference, right? A. That's my understanding of when it says you can use dips and instead of peaks, yes. Q. And you would compare the notes as aligned in the reference pardon me, in the query to the reference aligned at the dip? A. Correct. Q. And is it possible that taking that query aligned at the dip would yield the lowest absolute difference of any melody segment in the work?

38 (Pages 146 - 149)

	1		
	Page 150		Page 152
1	and compare it to the reference work using	1	peak search approach and a dip search
2	the peak search, you would not find that	2	approach, what you return at the end is the
3	closest matching segment in the reference,	3	best of the two. The best result can be one
4	would you?	4	that is coming from the dip search portion of
5	A. Can you repeat that question?	5	the overall search.
6	MR. NEMEC: Sure. I'll just read	6	Q. All right.
7	it back off the record here.	7	Now in that scenario we take that
8	Q. Continuing with that hypothetical	8	same query and that same reference, and we
9	scenario, if you were then to take the query	9	have found the best match at a dip. But we
10	and compare it to the reference work using	10	now compare that query to that reference
11	the peak search, you would not find that	11	using only the peak search, we're not going
12	closest matching segment in the reference?	12	to find that best matching segment, are we?
13	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.	13	A. Again, that goes back to the whole
14	A. So my notion of what is the closest	14	notion of the definition of a search and what
15	match and what is not is a function of the	15	is the result. But if I have an algorithm
16 17	algorithm that you use to find it. So if I	16 17	that is designed to find the best peak, let's
	do a peak search approach, what I would		call it aligned in the segment, right, the
18	return back as the closest would be the	18	peak search approach will be the best. But
19	closest as a result of the peak search	19	if an algorithm is designed to find the best
20 21	approach.	20	dip aligned segment, you know, that would be
21	So with respect to that particular	21 22	the best.
22	search strategy or algorithm, that's the	22	I mean for their respective
23	best, you know, matching segment.	23 24	algorithm, in terms of what they're designed
24	Q. Now, Iwamura teaches that you could	24 25	to do, you know, what the the result that
25	also do the comparison using both peaks and	23	comes out from the dip search approach may
	Page 151		Page 153
1	dips, right?	1	not necessarily be an allowable result of the
2	A. There is a discussion about that.	2	peak search approach. Because this is not
3	Q. And in that scenario, the closest	3	what the algorithm is designed to do. But
4	matching segment would be the comparison	4	the peak search approach will identify the
_			
5	between either a peak or a dip in the	5	best match.
6	between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute	5 6	best match. Q. So if the algorithm isn't
6 7	between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding	5 6 7	best match. Q. So if the algorithm isn't considered, isn't configured to consider a
6 7 8	between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct?	5 6 7 8	best match. Q. So if the algorithm isn't considered, isn't configured to consider a particular match, then that can't be the
6 7 8 9	<ul><li>between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct?</li><li>A. So if I do a search in which I use</li></ul>	5 6 7 8 9	best match. Q. So if the algorithm isn't considered, isn't configured to consider a particular match, then that can't be the closest match; is that right?
6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct?</li><li>A. So if I do a search in which I use both a peak search approach and also a dip</li></ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>best match.</li><li>Q. So if the algorithm isn't considered, isn't configured to consider a particular match, then that can't be the closest match; is that right?</li><li>A. If an algorithm is designed to find</li></ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct?</li><li>A. So if I do a search in which I use both a peak search approach and also a dip search approach, and I return back the best</li></ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>best match.</li> <li>Q. So if the algorithm isn't considered, isn't configured to consider a particular match, then that can't be the closest match; is that right?</li> <li>A. If an algorithm is designed to find a certain type of matches, right, it will not</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul><li>between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct?</li><li>A. So if I do a search in which I use both a peak search approach and also a dip search approach, and I return back the best match of both of them, right. So that would</li></ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>best match.</li> <li>Q. So if the algorithm isn't considered, isn't configured to consider a particular match, then that can't be the closest match; is that right?</li> <li>A. If an algorithm is designed to find a certain type of matches, right, it will not find a match that it is not designed to find.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul><li>between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct?</li><li>A. So if I do a search in which I use both a peak search approach and also a dip search approach, and I return back the best match of both of them, right. So that would be the best match of the combined approach.</li></ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>best match.</li> <li>Q. So if the algorithm isn't considered, isn't configured to consider a particular match, then that can't be the closest match; is that right?</li> <li>A. If an algorithm is designed to find a certain type of matches, right, it will not find a match that it is not designed to find. So in your example, right, the type</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct?</li> <li>A. So if I do a search in which I use both a peak search approach and also a dip search approach, and I return back the best match of both of them, right. So that would be the best match of the combined approach.</li> <li>Q. And that best match might occur at</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>best match.</li> <li>Q. So if the algorithm isn't considered, isn't configured to consider a particular match, then that can't be the closest match; is that right?</li> <li>A. If an algorithm is designed to find a certain type of matches, right, it will not find a match that it is not designed to find. So in your example, right, the type of match that you consider to be your best is</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct?</li> <li>A. So if I do a search in which I use both a peak search approach and also a dip search approach, and I return back the best match of both of them, right. So that would be the best match of the combined approach.</li> <li>Q. And that best match might occur at a dip as opposed to a peak, right?</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>best match.</li> <li>Q. So if the algorithm isn't</li> <li>considered, isn't configured to consider a</li> <li>particular match, then that can't be the</li> <li>closest match; is that right?</li> <li>A. If an algorithm is designed to find</li> <li>a certain type of matches, right, it will not</li> <li>find a match that it is not designed to find.</li> <li>So in your example, right, the type</li> <li>of match that you consider to be your best is</li> <li>a match in which an algorithm is designed to</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct? A. So if I do a search in which I use both a peak search approach and also a dip search approach, and I return back the best match of both of them, right. So that would be the best match of the combined approach. Q. And that best match might occur at a dip as opposed to a peak, right? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>best match.</li> <li>Q. So if the algorithm isn't considered, isn't configured to consider a particular match, then that can't be the closest match; is that right?</li> <li>A. If an algorithm is designed to find a certain type of matches, right, it will not find a match that it is not designed to find. So in your example, right, the type of match that you consider to be your best is a match in which an algorithm is designed to find. But the peak search approach will find</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct? A. So if I do a search in which I use both a peak search approach and also a dip search approach, and I return back the best match of both of them, right. So that would be the best match of the combined approach. Q. And that best match might occur at a dip as opposed to a peak, right? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So that best match can be obtained	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>best match.</li> <li>Q. So if the algorithm isn't considered, isn't configured to consider a particular match, then that can't be the closest match; is that right?</li> <li>A. If an algorithm is designed to find a certain type of matches, right, it will not find a match that it is not designed to find. So in your example, right, the type of match that you consider to be your best is a match in which an algorithm is designed to find the best match that it's designed to find.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct?</li> <li>A. So if I do a search in which I use both a peak search approach and also a dip search approach, and I return back the best match of both of them, right. So that would be the best match of the combined approach.</li> <li>Q. And that best match might occur at a dip as opposed to a peak, right?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So that best match can be obtained using a peak search approach or a dip search</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>best match.</li> <li>Q. So if the algorithm isn't considered, isn't configured to consider a particular match, then that can't be the closest match; is that right?</li> <li>A. If an algorithm is designed to find a certain type of matches, right, it will not find a match that it is not designed to find. So in your example, right, the type of match that you consider to be your best is a match in which an algorithm is designed to find. But the peak search approach will find the best match that it's designed to find.</li> <li>Q. So if it's a match that you don't</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct? A. So if I do a search in which I use both a peak search approach and also a dip search approach, and I return back the best match of both of them, right. So that would be the best match of the combined approach. Q. And that best match might occur at a dip as opposed to a peak, right? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So that best match can be obtained using a peak search approach or a dip search approach.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>best match.</li> <li>Q. So if the algorithm isn't</li> <li>considered, isn't configured to consider a particular match, then that can't be the closest match; is that right?</li> <li>A. If an algorithm is designed to find a certain type of matches, right, it will not find a match that it is not designed to find. So in your example, right, the type of match that you consider to be your best is a match in which an algorithm is designed to find. But the peak search approach will find the best match that it's designed to find.</li> <li>Q. So if it's a match that you don't consider, it can't be the closest match,</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct?</li> <li>A. So if I do a search in which I use both a peak search approach and also a dip search approach, and I return back the best match of both of them, right. So that would be the best match of the combined approach.</li> <li>Q. And that best match might occur at a dip as opposed to a peak, right?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So that best match can be obtained using a peak search approach or a dip search approach.</li> <li>Q. And what I'm getting at is that in</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>best match.</li> <li>Q. So if the algorithm isn't</li> <li>considered, isn't configured to consider a particular match, then that can't be the closest match; is that right?</li> <li>A. If an algorithm is designed to find a certain type of matches, right, it will not find a match that it is not designed to find. So in your example, right, the type of match that you consider to be your best is a match in which an algorithm is designed to find. But the peak search approach will find the best match that it's designed to find.</li> <li>Q. So if it's a match that you don't consider, it can't be the closest match, simply put, correct?</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct?</li> <li>A. So if I do a search in which I use both a peak search approach and also a dip search approach, and I return back the best match of both of them, right. So that would be the best match of the combined approach.</li> <li>Q. And that best match might occur at a dip as opposed to a peak, right? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So that best match can be obtained using a peak search approach or a dip search approach.</li> <li>Q. And what I'm getting at is that in the approach where you're searching both the</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>best match.</li> <li>Q. So if the algorithm isn't considered, isn't configured to consider a particular match, then that can't be the closest match; is that right?</li> <li>A. If an algorithm is designed to find a certain type of matches, right, it will not find a match that it is not designed to find. So in your example, right, the type of match that you consider to be your best is a match in which an algorithm is designed to find. But the peak search approach will find the best match that it's designed to find.</li> <li>Q. So if it's a match that you don't consider, it can't be the closest match, simply put, correct?</li> <li>A. But this is a question of a</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct?</li> <li>A. So if I do a search in which I use both a peak search approach and also a dip search approach, and I return back the best match of both of them, right. So that would be the best match of the combined approach.</li> <li>Q. And that best match might occur at a dip as opposed to a peak, right?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So that best match can be obtained using a peak search approach or a dip search approach.</li> <li>Q. And what I'm getting at is that in the approach where you're searching both the dips and the peaks, it's possible that the</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>best match.</li> <li>Q. So if the algorithm isn't considered, isn't configured to consider a particular match, then that can't be the closest match; is that right?</li> <li>A. If an algorithm is designed to find a certain type of matches, right, it will not find a match that it is not designed to find. So in your example, right, the type of match that you consider to be your best is a match in which an algorithm is designed to find. But the peak search approach will find the best match that it's designed to find.</li> <li>Q. So if it's a match that you don't consider, it can't be the closest match, simply put, correct?</li> <li>A. But this is a question of a definition of what is a segment that the peak</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct?</li> <li>A. So if I do a search in which I use both a peak search approach and also a dip search approach, and I return back the best match of both of them, right. So that would be the best match of the combined approach.</li> <li>Q. And that best match might occur at a dip as opposed to a peak, right?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So that best match can be obtained using a peak search approach or a dip search approach.</li> <li>Q. And what I'm getting at is that in the approach where you're searching both the dips and the peaks, it's possible that the best match would be found at a dip, right?</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>best match.</li> <li>Q. So if the algorithm isn't considered, isn't configured to consider a particular match, then that can't be the closest match; is that right?</li> <li>A. If an algorithm is designed to find a certain type of matches, right, it will not find a match that it is not designed to find. So in your example, right, the type of match that you consider to be your best is a match in which an algorithm is designed to find. But the peak search approach will find the best match that it's designed to find.</li> <li>Q. So if it's a match that you don't consider, it can't be the closest match, simply put, correct?</li> <li>A. But this is a question of a definition of what is a segment that the peak search approach</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>between either a peak or a dip in the reference that yields the least absolute difference when aligned with a corresponding peak or dip in the reference, correct?</li> <li>A. So if I do a search in which I use both a peak search approach and also a dip search approach, and I return back the best match of both of them, right. So that would be the best match of the combined approach.</li> <li>Q. And that best match might occur at a dip as opposed to a peak, right?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So that best match can be obtained using a peak search approach or a dip search approach.</li> <li>Q. And what I'm getting at is that in the approach where you're searching both the dips and the peaks, it's possible that the</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>best match.</li> <li>Q. So if the algorithm isn't considered, isn't configured to consider a particular match, then that can't be the closest match; is that right?</li> <li>A. If an algorithm is designed to find a certain type of matches, right, it will not find a match that it is not designed to find. So in your example, right, the type of match that you consider to be your best is a match in which an algorithm is designed to find. But the peak search approach will find the best match that it's designed to find.</li> <li>Q. So if it's a match that you don't consider, it can't be the closest match, simply put, correct?</li> <li>A. But this is a question of a definition of what is a segment that the peak</li> </ul>

39 (Pages 150 - 153)

		J PIS	
1	Page 154	1	Page 156
1	among those will return the best.		A. That's my understanding of that.
2	Q. So I'll put it a little bit	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Q. And if every peak segment in that
3	differently then. If, say, a portion of the	3	reference that's compared has an error above
4	reference that you don't consider in the	4	the limit we've defined, then that particular
5	search, then that portion of the reference,	5	song will not be considered a match, right?
6	by definition, cannot be the closest match?	6	A. Would you repeat your question
7	A. What do you mean when you say you	7	again?
8	cannot consider a portion of the reference?	8	Q. If all the peak segments within a
9	Is it something that you choose not to	9	reference, have an error above the limit that
10	consider, or your algorithm, you know,	10	we've defined, then Iwamura won't consider
11	specifically does not consider those things	11	that reference to be a match, correct?
12	in identifying the allowable matches?	12	A. I don't think Iwamura discloses
13	Q. Well, using the example of the peak	13	that.
14	versus the dip search in Iwamura, if you are	14	Q. Which part of what I said?
15	running the peak search, you are not	15	A. That if everything that you just
16	considering the dips, correct?	16	said.
17	A. You may not be considering, not	17	Q. How is it that you understand the
18	necessarily. You would still be considering	18	limit function to operate?
19	some of the dips, if they include it in their	19	A. So my understanding of the limit
20	peak.	20	function is we've done when I called the
21	Q. So that is what I'm trying to	21	alignment of the query against that portion
22	convey by the portion of the reference that's	22	of the reference, as I go down each aligned
23	not considered, one example of it. Do you	23	position and compute the actual difference,
24	follow?	24	if that thing, you know, goes above a certain
25	A. No.	25	threshold, then I can, you know, stop going
	Page 155		Page 157
1	Q. Okay. Sounded like you did.	1	down the path, down note by note to compute
2			
	Why don't we go back to the two	2	the difference, the actual difference, and
3	options that you proposed. In one instance	3	the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.
3 4	options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a	3 4	<ul><li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li><li>Q. And then when you shift to that</li></ul>
3 4 5	options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other	3 4 5	<ul><li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li><li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the</li></ul>
3 4 5 6	options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?	3 4 5 6	<ul><li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li><li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison</li></ul>
3 4 5 6 7	options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right? A. In what context did I say that?	3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li><li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the</li></ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?</li><li>A. In what context did I say that?</li><li>Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you</li></ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li><li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that</li></ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?</li><li>A. In what context did I say that?</li><li>Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you made reference to it being a difference</li></ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak. Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that reference will not begin to match, correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?</li><li>A. In what context did I say that?</li><li>Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you made reference to it being a difference whether the algorithm excludes searching a</li></ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li> <li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that reference will not begin to match, correct?</li> <li>A. Actually Iwamura does not disclose</li> </ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?</li> <li>A. In what context did I say that?</li> <li>Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you made reference to it being a difference whether the algorithm excludes searching a part of a reference or whether the user does.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li> <li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that reference will not begin to match, correct?</li> <li>A. Actually Iwamura does not disclose what happens in that scenario.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?</li> <li>A. In what context did I say that?</li> <li>Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you made reference to it being a difference whether the algorithm excludes searching a part of a reference or whether the user does. Iwamura describes a limit function</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li> <li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that reference will not begin to match, correct?</li> <li>A. Actually Iwamura does not disclose what happens in that scenario.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any understanding,</li> </ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?</li> <li>A. In what context did I say that?</li> <li>Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you made reference to it being a difference whether the algorithm excludes searching a part of a reference or whether the user does. Iwamura describes a limit function that can be employed, correct?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li> <li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that reference will not begin to match, correct?</li> <li>A. Actually Iwamura does not disclose what happens in that scenario.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any understanding, based on the overall teachings, of what would</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right? A. In what context did I say that? Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you made reference to it being a difference whether the algorithm excludes searching a part of a reference or whether the user does. Iwamura describes a limit function that can be employed, correct? A. Yes, I believe so.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li> <li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that reference will not begin to match, correct?</li> <li>A. Actually Iwamura does not disclose what happens in that scenario.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any understanding, based on the overall teachings, of what would happen to that particular reference?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?</li> <li>A. In what context did I say that?</li> <li>Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you made reference to it being a difference whether the algorithm excludes searching a part of a reference or whether the user does. Iwamura describes a limit function that can be employed, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at column 7, lines 56</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li> <li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that reference will not begin to match, correct?</li> <li>A. Actually Iwamura does not disclose what happens in that scenario.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any understanding, based on the overall teachings, of what would happen to that particular reference?</li> <li>A. So one possibility is to not</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?</li> <li>A. In what context did I say that?</li> <li>Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you made reference to it being a difference whether the algorithm excludes searching a part of a reference or whether the user does. Iwamura describes a limit function that can be employed, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at column 7, lines 56 and 57.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li> <li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that reference will not begin to match, correct?</li> <li>A. Actually Iwamura does not disclose what happens in that scenario.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any understanding, based on the overall teachings, of what would happen to that particular reference?</li> <li>A. So one possibility is to not consider that reference as a match.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?</li> <li>A. In what context did I say that?</li> <li>Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you made reference to it being a difference whether the algorithm excludes searching a part of a reference or whether the user does. Iwamura describes a limit function that can be employed, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at column 7, lines 56 and 57.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, what was the line</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li> <li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that reference will not begin to match, correct?</li> <li>A. Actually Iwamura does not disclose what happens in that scenario.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any understanding, based on the overall teachings, of what would happen to that particular reference?</li> <li>A. So one possibility is to not consider that reference as a match.</li> <li>Q. Is there any teaching in Iwamura on</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?</li> <li>A. In what context did I say that?</li> <li>Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you made reference to it being a difference whether the algorithm excludes searching a part of a reference or whether the user does. Iwamura describes a limit function that can be employed, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at column 7, lines 56 and 57.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, what was the line numbers again?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li> <li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that reference will not begin to match, correct?</li> <li>A. Actually Iwamura does not disclose what happens in that scenario.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any understanding, based on the overall teachings, of what would happen to that particular reference?</li> <li>A. So one possibility is to not consider that reference as a match.</li> <li>Q. Is there any teaching in Iwamura on a limit on how strict this error tolerance,</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?</li> <li>A. In what context did I say that?</li> <li>Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you made reference to it being a difference whether the algorithm excludes searching a part of a reference or whether the user does. Iwamura describes a limit function that can be employed, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at column 7, lines 56 and 57.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, what was the line numbers again?</li> <li>Q. Column 7, lines 56 to 57.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li> <li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that reference will not begin to match, correct?</li> <li>A. Actually Iwamura does not disclose what happens in that scenario.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any understanding, based on the overall teachings, of what would happen to that particular reference?</li> <li>A. So one possibility is to not consider that reference as a match.</li> <li>Q. Is there any teaching in Iwamura on a limit on how strict this error tolerance, pardon me, this limit function can be?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?</li> <li>A. In what context did I say that?</li> <li>Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you made reference to it being a difference whether the algorithm excludes searching a part of a reference or whether the user does. Iwamura describes a limit function that can be employed, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at column 7, lines 56 and 57.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, what was the line numbers again?</li> <li>Q. Column 7, lines 56 to 57.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li> <li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that reference will not begin to match, correct?</li> <li>A. Actually Iwamura does not disclose what happens in that scenario.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any understanding, based on the overall teachings, of what would happen to that particular reference?</li> <li>A. So one possibility is to not consider that reference as a match.</li> <li>Q. Is there any teaching in Iwamura on a limit on how strict this error tolerance, pardon me, this limit function can be?</li> <li>A. I don't recall off the top of my</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?</li> <li>A. In what context did I say that?</li> <li>Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you made reference to it being a difference whether the algorithm excludes searching a part of a reference or whether the user does. Iwamura describes a limit function that can be employed, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at column 7, lines 56 and 57.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, what was the line numbers again?</li> <li>Q. Column 7, lines 56 to 57.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So in practice, if we're running a</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li> <li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that reference will not begin to match, correct?</li> <li>A. Actually Iwamura does not disclose what happens in that scenario.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any understanding, based on the overall teachings, of what would happen to that particular reference?</li> <li>A. So one possibility is to not consider that reference as a match.</li> <li>Q. Is there any teaching in Iwamura on a limit on how strict this error tolerance, pardon me, this limit function can be?</li> <li>A. I don't recall off the top of my head whether or not they say anything</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?</li> <li>A. In what context did I say that?</li> <li>Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you made reference to it being a difference whether the algorithm excludes searching a part of a reference or whether the user does. Iwamura describes a limit function that can be employed, correct?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, what was the line numbers again?</li> <li>Q. Column 7, lines 56 to 57.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So in practice, if we're running a peak search and we're using this limit</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li> <li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that reference will not begin to match, correct?</li> <li>A. Actually Iwamura does not disclose what happens in that scenario.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any understanding, based on the overall teachings, of what would happen to that particular reference?</li> <li>A. So one possibility is to not consider that reference as a match.</li> <li>Q. Is there any teaching in Iwamura on a limit on how strict this error tolerance, pardon me, this limit function can be?</li> <li>A. I don't recall off the top of my</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?</li> <li>A. In what context did I say that?</li> <li>Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you made reference to it being a difference whether the algorithm excludes searching a part of a reference or whether the user does. Iwamura describes a limit function that can be employed, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Take a look at column 7, lines 56 and 57.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, what was the line numbers again?</li> <li>Q. Column 7, lines 56 to 57.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So in practice, if we're running a</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li> <li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that reference will not begin to match, correct?</li> <li>A. Actually Iwamura does not disclose what happens in that scenario.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any understanding, based on the overall teachings, of what would happen to that particular reference?</li> <li>A. So one possibility is to not consider that reference as a match.</li> <li>Q. Is there any teaching in Iwamura on a limit on how strict this error tolerance, pardon me, this limit function can be?</li> <li>A. I don't recall off the top of my head whether or not they say anything</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>options that you proposed. In one instance you said the algorithm that's excluding a portion of the reference, and in the other you said the user is excluding, right?</li> <li>A. In what context did I say that?</li> <li>Q. A couple of minutes ago I think you made reference to it being a difference whether the algorithm excludes searching a part of a reference or whether the user does. Iwamura describes a limit function that can be employed, correct?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, what was the line numbers again?</li> <li>Q. Column 7, lines 56 to 57.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So in practice, if we're running a peak search and we're using this limit</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the difference, the actual difference, and just shift to the next peak.</li> <li>Q. And then when you shift to that next peak, if you continue doing the calculation, and with each peak comparison throughout that reference you hit the threshold at the end of that process, that reference will not begin to match, correct?</li> <li>A. Actually Iwamura does not disclose what happens in that scenario.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any understanding, based on the overall teachings, of what would happen to that particular reference?</li> <li>A. So one possibility is to not consider that reference as a match.</li> <li>Q. Is there any teaching in Iwamura on a limit on how strict this error tolerance, pardon me, this limit function can be?</li> <li>A. I don't recall off the top of my head whether or not they say anything specific to that.</li> </ul>

40 (Pages 154 - 157)

		J F	
1	Page 158		Page 160
1	Q. I apologize, I didn't hear you.	1	A. I do not know.
2	You said you do or don't recall seeing	2	MR. NEMEC: We will take our coffee
3	A. I do not recall seeing whether or	3	break.
4	not they provide any guidelines as to what	4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. The time
5	that thing would be.	5	is 2:40 stand by.
6	Q. Do you think it's possible that the	6	The time is 2:48. We're going off
7	limit function could be set at zero?	7	the record. This is the end of disk
8	A. Well, if the limit function is set	8	number 3. Thanks.
9	to zero, then the algorithm that they	9	(A brief recess was taken.)
10	describe would only identify exact matches if	10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back
11	such matches occur, if my understanding is	11	on the record. The time is 3:01. This
12	correct.	12	is the beginning of disk number 4.
13	Q. Would you agree that the search	13	MR. NEMEC: Let's mark as
14	time in the peak note search process of	14	Exhibit 11 U.S. Patent 5,874,686, the
15	Iwamura is proportional to the number of	15	Ghias, please.
16	peaks in the reference work?	16	(Karypis Exhibit 11, Photocopy of
17	A. So the search, the amount of time	17	U.S. Patent No. 5,874,686, marked for
18	it takes to search a particular record in the	18	identification, this date.)
19	peak search approach, right, will depend,	19	Q. Do you have Exhibit 11, Dr.
20	among others, on the number of peaks.	20	Karypis?
21	Q. And you believe it would be roughly	21	A. Yes, I do.
22	a proportional relationship between the	22	Q. And this is the Ghias prior art
23	search time and the number of peaks?	23	reference that you discuss in your
24	A. The more the peaks, the more time,	24	declaration, correct?
25	yes.	25	A. Correct.
	Page 159		Page 161
1	Q. And the less peaks, the less time,	1	Q. Is it fair to say that Ghias
2	correspondingly?	2	discloses a system where you can hum a tune
3	A. Correct.	3	and the system will try to identify the song
4	MR. LUNER: I think we're running	4	that you are humming?
5	low on caffeine.	5	A. Yes, it's identifying melody from a
6	MR. NEMEC: I have a couple more	6	database.
7	questions on this reference and I will	7	Q. And it does that by comparing the
8	be done, so I'll wrap up the line and we	8	relative pitch values from the hummed query
9	will take a coffee break. Excellent.	9	melody to the relative pitch values of known
10	Q. One of the file formats that	10	reference melodies; is that right?
11	Iwamura discusses is the mini file format,	11	A. I believe so.
12	correct?	12	Q. Ghias discloses comparing a text
13	A. Correct.	13	representation of the notes in the query to a
14	A. CONCL.		
		14	text representation of the notes in the
1	Q. Just briefly, how would you	14 15	text representation of the notes in the reference, right?
15	Q. Just briefly, how would you characterize what the mini file format is?	15	reference, right?
15 16	<ul><li>Q. Just briefly, how would you</li><li>characterize what the mini file format is?</li><li>A. I'm not an expert on the mini file</li></ul>	15 16	reference, right? A. That is correct.
15 16 17	<ul><li>Q. Just briefly, how would you</li><li>characterize what the mini file format is?</li><li>A. I'm not an expert on the mini file</li><li>format, but my understanding is this is a</li></ul>	15 16 17	reference, right? A. That is correct. Q. In particular, Ghias represents
15 16 17 18	<ul><li>Q. Just briefly, how would you characterize what the mini file format is?</li><li>A. I'm not an expert on the mini file format, but my understanding is this is a media file format. It predates, you know,</li></ul>	15 16 17 18	<ul><li>reference, right?</li><li>A. That is correct.</li><li>Q. In particular, Ghias represents</li><li>queries and references as strings of the</li></ul>
15 16 17 18 19	<ul><li>Q. Just briefly, how would you characterize what the mini file format is?</li><li>A. I'm not an expert on the mini file format, but my understanding is this is a media file format. It predates, you know, MP3 files.</li></ul>	15 16 17 18 19	<ul><li>reference, right?</li><li>A. That is correct.</li><li>Q. In particular, Ghias represents queries and references as strings of the characters U, S and D, right?</li></ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Just briefly, how would you characterize what the mini file format is?</li> <li>A. I'm not an expert on the mini file format, but my understanding is this is a media file format. It predates, you know, MP3 files.</li> <li>Q. There is also a description or a</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>reference, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In particular, Ghias represents queries and references as strings of the characters U, S and D, right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Just briefly, how would you characterize what the mini file format is?</li> <li>A. I'm not an expert on the mini file format, but my understanding is this is a media file format. It predates, you know, MP3 files.</li> <li>Q. There is also a description or a disclosure of a way file format in Iwamura,</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>reference, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In particular, Ghias represents queries and references as strings of the characters U, S and D, right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U, S and D referring to whether the</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Just briefly, how would you characterize what the mini file format is?</li> <li>A. I'm not an expert on the mini file format, but my understanding is this is a media file format. It predates, you know, MP3 files.</li> <li>Q. There is also a description or a disclosure of a wav file format in Iwamura, correct?</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>reference, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In particular, Ghias represents</li> <li>queries and references as strings of the</li> <li>characters U, S and D, right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U, S and D referring to whether the</li> <li>note is the same, an up note or a down note,</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Just briefly, how would you characterize what the mini file format is?</li> <li>A. I'm not an expert on the mini file format, but my understanding is this is a media file format. It predates, you know, MP3 files.</li> <li>Q. There is also a description or a disclosure of a wav file format in Iwamura, correct?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>reference, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In particular, Ghias represents</li> <li>queries and references as strings of the</li> <li>characters U, S and D, right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U, S and D referring to whether the</li> <li>note is the same, an up note or a down note,</li> <li>as compared to the preceding note?</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Just briefly, how would you characterize what the mini file format is?</li> <li>A. I'm not an expert on the mini file format, but my understanding is this is a media file format. It predates, you know, MP3 files.</li> <li>Q. There is also a description or a disclosure of a wav file format in Iwamura, correct?</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>reference, right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In particular, Ghias represents</li> <li>queries and references as strings of the</li> <li>characters U, S and D, right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. U, S and D referring to whether the</li> <li>note is the same, an up note or a down note,</li> </ul>

41 (Pages 158 - 161)

# Karypis

	Page 162		Page 164
1	how Ghias goes about determining whether a	1	because I'm getting myself tied in knots
2	query matches a reference?	2	here.
3	A. So my understanding of how Ghias	3	If two if a query has the same
4	goes about doing that is it performs a	4	number of mismatched references when compared
5	substring search of the string representing	5	to two different
6	the query, again, the string representing a	6	MR. NEMEC: Strike that.
7	record in the database; and then based on	7	Q. If a query has the same number of
8	that it computes a score, and then it	8	mismatched characters when compared to
9	returns, you know, some of those melodies as	9	reference 1 as it does when compared to
10	a result of that query.	10	reference 2, will Ghias consider reference 1
11	Q. How does Ghias go about determining	11	and reference 2 to be equal quality matches?
12	which reference most closely matches a query?	12	A. So if the best, if a substring with
13	A. So I believe the way that they use	13	the least number of mismatches of the query
14	it is they find a substring that has the	14	in reference 1, which is if the number of
15	least number of character differences when	15	mismatches of the best matching substrate of
16	they, it is aligned in a gapless way.	16	query to reference 1 is the same as the
17	Q. So I'm sorry, go ahead.	17	number of mismatches of the best matching
18	A. Actually, they can potentially	18	substring of query to reference 2, right,
19	allow insertions and deletions as well, but	19	then, you know, I believe Ghias will consider
20	it's really a substring alignment.	20	those two things as equal quality melodies or
21	Q. Okay. So by way of example, if a	21	references, good overall matches.
22	if a query string was SSS and a reference	22	Q. So is Ghias able, in the instance
23	string was SSU, how would how would Ghias	23	of comparing SSS
24	characterize the difference between those	24	MR. NEMEC: Strike that.
25	two?	25	Q. Can Ghias determine whether SSS is
	Page 163		Page 165
1	A. So Ghias would characterize that	1	a closer match to SSU than SSD is?
2	those two strings have a one-character	2	A. So the query is SSS, and the
3	mismatch.	3	reference is SSU and SSD, so both references
4	Q. And how would Ghias go about	4	are one-character mismatch with a query. And
5	determining the difference between a string	5	if they, if the metric is in the number of
6	SSS and SSD?	6	mismatches, those two things will be, those
7	MR. NEMEC: Strike that.	7	two things would be, you know, the same,
8	Q. What would Ghias characterize as the difference between SSS and SSD?	8	equally good matches. Q. If SSU is the query and the two
9	the difference between SSS and SSD?	9	
		10	
10	A. I believe will characterize as 1.	10	references are SSS and SSD, will Ghias rank
11	<ul><li>A. I believe will characterize as 1.</li><li>Q. If two references have the same</li></ul>	11	references are SSS and SSD, will Ghias rank those as equally good matches?
11 12	<ul><li>A. I believe will characterize as 1.</li><li>Q. If two references have the same number of mismatched characters as compared</li></ul>	11 12	references are SSS and SSD, will Ghias rank those as equally good matches? A. I believe so, the one-character
11 12 13	<ul><li>A. I believe will characterize as 1.</li><li>Q. If two references have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider</li></ul>	11 12 13	references are SSS and SSD, will Ghias rank those as equally good matches? A. I believe so, the one-character difference between the query and the two
11 12 13 14	<ul><li>A. I believe will characterize as 1.</li><li>Q. If two references have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider them to be equal quality matches?</li></ul>	11 12 13 14	references are SSS and SSD, will Ghias rank those as equally good matches? A. I believe so, the one-character difference between the query and the two references.
11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I believe will characterize as 1.</li> <li>Q. If two references have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider them to be equal quality matches? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15	references are SSS and SSD, will Ghias rank those as equally good matches? A. I believe so, the one-character difference between the query and the two references. Q. One of the things that Ghias
11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I believe will characterize as 1.</li> <li>Q. If two references have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider them to be equal quality matches?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Let me ask that again,</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16	references are SSS and SSD, will Ghias rank those as equally good matches? A. I believe so, the one-character difference between the query and the two references. Q. One of the things that Ghias teaches is that it can output a rank list of
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I believe will characterize as 1.</li> <li>Q. If two references have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider them to be equal quality matches?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Let me ask that again, I apologize.</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	references are SSS and SSD, will Ghias rank those as equally good matches? A. I believe so, the one-character difference between the query and the two references. Q. One of the things that Ghias teaches is that it can output a rank list of matches, correct?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I believe will characterize as 1.</li> <li>Q. If two references have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider them to be equal quality matches?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Let me ask that again, I apologize.</li> <li>Q. If two queries have the same number</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>references are SSS and SSD, will Ghias rank those as equally good matches?</li> <li>A. I believe so, the one-character difference between the query and the two references.</li> <li>Q. One of the things that Ghias teaches is that it can output a rank list of matches, correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ul>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I believe will characterize as 1.</li> <li>Q. If two references have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider them to be equal quality matches?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Let me ask that again, I apologize.</li> <li>Q. If two queries have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	references are SSS and SSD, will Ghias rank those as equally good matches? A. I believe so, the one-character difference between the query and the two references. Q. One of the things that Ghias teaches is that it can output a rank list of matches, correct? A. That is correct. Q. If the search in Ghias' run has
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I believe will characterize as 1.</li> <li>Q. If two references have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider them to be equal quality matches?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Let me ask that again, I apologize.</li> <li>Q. If two queries have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider them to</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	references are SSS and SSD, will Ghias rank those as equally good matches? A. I believe so, the one-character difference between the query and the two references. Q. One of the things that Ghias teaches is that it can output a rank list of matches, correct? A. That is correct. Q. If the search in Ghias' run has determined that there are ten references that
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I believe will characterize as 1.</li> <li>Q. If two references have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider them to be equal quality matches?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Let me ask that again, I apologize.</li> <li>Q. If two queries have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider them to be equal quality matches?</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	references are SSS and SSD, will Ghias rank those as equally good matches? A. I believe so, the one-character difference between the query and the two references. Q. One of the things that Ghias teaches is that it can output a rank list of matches, correct? A. That is correct. Q. If the search in Ghias' run has determined that there are ten references that differ by only one character, how would Ghias
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I believe will characterize as 1.</li> <li>Q. If two references have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider them to be equal quality matches?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Let me ask that again, I apologize.</li> <li>Q. If two queries have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider them to be equal quality matches?</li> <li>A. As far as I know, you don't match a</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	references are SSS and SSD, will Ghias rank those as equally good matches? A. I believe so, the one-character difference between the query and the two references. Q. One of the things that Ghias teaches is that it can output a rank list of matches, correct? A. That is correct. Q. If the search in Ghias' run has determined that there are ten references that differ by only one character, how would Ghias go about ranking those ten?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I believe will characterize as 1.</li> <li>Q. If two references have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider them to be equal quality matches? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. MR. NEMEC: Let me ask that again, I apologize.</li> <li>Q. If two queries have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider them to be equal quality matches? A. As far as I know, you don't match a query to a reference. It's the other way</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	references are SSS and SSD, will Ghias rank those as equally good matches? A. I believe so, the one-character difference between the query and the two references. Q. One of the things that Ghias teaches is that it can output a rank list of matches, correct? A. That is correct. Q. If the search in Ghias' run has determined that there are ten references that differ by only one character, how would Ghias go about ranking those ten? A. So if they rank least that so if
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I believe will characterize as 1.</li> <li>Q. If two references have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider them to be equal quality matches?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>MR. NEMEC: Let me ask that again, I apologize.</li> <li>Q. If two queries have the same number of mismatched characters as compared to a given reference, will Ghias consider them to be equal quality matches?</li> <li>A. As far as I know, you don't match a</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	references are SSS and SSD, will Ghias rank those as equally good matches? A. I believe so, the one-character difference between the query and the two references. Q. One of the things that Ghias teaches is that it can output a rank list of matches, correct? A. That is correct. Q. If the search in Ghias' run has determined that there are ten references that differ by only one character, how would Ghias go about ranking those ten?

42 (Pages 162 - 165)

# Karypis

1	Page 166	1	Page 168
1	then the, you know, ranking between those	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	equivalent; but you can have what is called a
2	things in terms of which one is the best is	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	weighted mismatch in which certain mismatches
3	both irrelevant and arbitrary. You know, all	3	weighed less or more, but in which case can
4	of them are equally good answers to that	4	create an order is one of those strings.
5	query, given those constraints.	5	But if I use a symmetric of
6	Q. Now, it may be of that list of ten	6	similarity or distance between two strings,
7	that one of the referenced melodies itself	7	the number of mismatched characters, those
8	matches the hummed melody closer than another	8	two would be equally good.
9	of the ten, correct?	9	Q. My question was separate from
10	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.	10	Ghias.
11	A. So can you repeat your question?	11	A. Okay.
12	Q. So notwithstanding the fact that	12	Q. So if we have a piece of music
13	the search in Ghias yields ten references	13	that's represented by SSU, and we have
14	that differ by only one character, and that	14	another piece of music that is represented by
15	those ten references, as far as the Ghias	15	SSS and another represented by SSD, which is
16	search process is concerned, would be equally	16	more similar to SSU, SSS or SSD?
17	similar or equally different, the underlying	17	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
18	songs	18	A. How do you define similarity?
19	MR. NEMEC: Strike that.	19	Q. How different the notes are.
20	Q. The fact that a reference, the fact	20	A. Do you consider the if I look in
21	that a query in Ghias differs by the same	21	the underlying note, that would be a
22	number of characters as compared to two	22	different similarity than what I can compute
23	different references doesn't necessarily mean	23	by just having that another presentation,
24	that the query song is an equal match to both	24	unless you define a distance between the
25	of the reference melodies, correct?	25	characters of their presentation.
	Page 167		Page 169
1	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.	1	Q. Column 2 of Ghias discloses an
2	A. So again, within the method that	2	error tolerance, if you look at lines 2
3	Ghias discloses, right, a query is a string.	3	through 50 to 53?
4	So based on that query, it identifies,	4	Do you see that?
5	computes a matching scroll to the references.	5	A. 50 to 53?
6	And if there are a number of references that	6	Q. I apologize, 52 to 53.
7	have the same scroll, number of mismatched	7	A. 52 to 53. Let me take a minute.
8	characters, right, for the purpose of the	8	Yes, I see that line.
9	search, right now, for the purpose of the	9	Q. What do you understand this error
10	algorithm disclosed in Ghias, those things	10	tolerance to mean?
11	are equally good matches.	11	A. My understanding of an error
		12	tolerance is the maximum number of allowed
12	Q. So putting Ghias aside, if a query		
	Q. So putting Ghias aside, if a query piece of music is represented by SSU, which	13	mismatches between the query and the best
13	piece of music is represented by SSU, which	13	mismatches between the query and the best matching segment or melody.
13 14	piece of music is represented by SSU, which referenced piece of music would be more	13 14	matching segment or melody.
13 14 15	piece of music is represented by SSU, which referenced piece of music would be more similar to it, SSS or SSD?	13 14 15	<ul><li>matching segment or melody.</li><li>Q. So it's not possible that the error</li></ul>
13 14 15 16	piece of music is represented by SSU, which referenced piece of music would be more similar to it, SSS or SSD? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.	13 14 15 16	<ul><li>matching segment or melody.</li><li>Q. So it's not possible that the error tolerance would mean that the output is</li></ul>
13 14 15 16 17	piece of music is represented by SSU, which referenced piece of music would be more similar to it, SSS or SSD? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So if my query is SSS, and the two	13 14 15 16 17	<ul><li>matching segment or melody.</li><li>Q. So it's not possible that the error tolerance would mean that the output is limited to a set number of matches or maximum</li></ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18	piece of music is represented by SSU, which referenced piece of music would be more similar to it, SSS or SSD? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So if my query is SSS, and the two references is SSD and SSU.	13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul><li>matching segment or melody.</li><li>Q. So it's not possible that the error tolerance would mean that the output is limited to a set number of matches or maximum number of matches?</li></ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	piece of music is represented by SSU, which referenced piece of music would be more similar to it, SSS or SSD? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So if my query is SSS, and the two references is SSD and SSU. Q. The query is SSU	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul><li>matching segment or melody.</li><li>Q. So it's not possible that the error tolerance would mean that the output is limited to a set number of matches or maximum number of matches?</li><li>A. What do you mean by that?</li></ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>piece of music is represented by SSU, which referenced piece of music would be more similar to it, SSS or SSD?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So if my query is SSS, and the two references is SSD and SSU.</li> <li>Q. The query is SSU</li> <li>A. The query is SSU.</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>matching segment or melody.</li> <li>Q. So it's not possible that the error tolerance would mean that the output is limited to a set number of matches or maximum number of matches?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>Q. In other words, is it not possible</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>piece of music is represented by SSU, which referenced piece of music would be more similar to it, SSS or SSD?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So if my query is SSS, and the two references is SSD and SSU.</li> <li>Q. The query is SSU</li> <li>A. The query is SSU.</li> <li>Q and the references are SSS and</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>matching segment or melody.</li> <li>Q. So it's not possible that the error tolerance would mean that the output is limited to a set number of matches or maximum number of matches?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>Q. In other words, is it not possible that the error tolerance could mean that the</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>piece of music is represented by SSU, which referenced piece of music would be more similar to it, SSS or SSD?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So if my query is SSS, and the two references is SSD and SSU.</li> <li>Q. The query is SSU</li> <li>A. The query is SSU.</li> <li>Q and the references are SSS and SSD.</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>matching segment or melody.</li> <li>Q. So it's not possible that the error tolerance would mean that the output is limited to a set number of matches or maximum number of matches?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>Q. In other words, is it not possible that the error tolerance could mean that the system only returns the ten best matches?</li> </ul>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>piece of music is represented by SSU, which referenced piece of music would be more similar to it, SSS or SSD?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So if my query is SSS, and the two references is SSD and SSU.</li> <li>Q. The query is SSU</li> <li>A. The query is SSU.</li> <li>Q and the references are SSS and SSD.</li> <li>A. The method that Ghias discloses in</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>matching segment or melody.</li> <li>Q. So it's not possible that the error tolerance would mean that the output is limited to a set number of matches or maximum number of matches?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>Q. In other words, is it not possible that the error tolerance could mean that the system only returns the ten best matches?</li> <li>A. My understanding of the error</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>piece of music is represented by SSU, which referenced piece of music would be more similar to it, SSS or SSD?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So if my query is SSS, and the two references is SSD and SSU.</li> <li>Q. The query is SSU</li> <li>A. The query is SSU.</li> <li>Q and the references are SSS and SSD.</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>matching segment or melody.</li> <li>Q. So it's not possible that the error tolerance would mean that the output is limited to a set number of matches or maximum number of matches?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>Q. In other words, is it not possible that the error tolerance could mean that the system only returns the ten best matches?</li> </ul>

43 (Pages 166 - 169)

		ypis	
1	Page 170		Page 172
1	maximum number of allowed mismatches. I	1	explains that a user can perform a new query
2	don't recall seeing it another way.	2	on a restricted search list consisting of
3	Q. So we discussed a moment ago, and	3	songs just retrieved, correct?
4	let me just reconfirm, that one of the	4	A. Right.
5	possible outputs from Ghias is a ranked list	5	Q. Could the new query that's
6	of matching melodies; is that right?	6	described there be a different portion of the
7	A. Yes, I believe so.	7	same song that was searched in the original
8	Q. Is it an unlimited list, or is	8	query?
9	there some cap that Ghias puts on the number	9	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
10	of entries included on that ranked list?	10	A. That can be a possibility.
11	A. So my recollection in reading	11	Q. Could the new query be a completely
12	Ghias, the size of the ranked list depends on	12	different song?
13	the error tolerance. So if the error	13	A. The segments can perform a new
14	tolerance is said to be high, the ranked list	14	query and puts no restrictions on the type of
15	would be larger, potentially; if it's low,	15	a query.
16	it's going to be smaller.	16	Q. Now, if we were to return, pardon
17	I don't recall seeing a	17	me. If we were to run a first search
18	specification of the fixed number of results.	18	MR. NEMEC: Strike that.
19	Q. So if, for example, the error	19	Q. If we were to run a search
20	tolerance were set at one, the ranked list	20	according to Ghias, using the chorus from a
21	would return all those references that had a	21	song, would that necessarily return the same
22	difference of one as compared to the query?	22	results as if we were to use the guitar solo
23	A. What a search will return is all	23	from the same song as the query run against
24	the references with best matching substring	24	the reference database?
25	that has at most one difference. That means	25	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
	that has at most one difference. That means Page 171	25	MR. LUNER: Objection to form. Page 173
		25 1	Page 173 A. It may or may not.
25	Page 171		Page 173
25 1	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood.	1	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes.
25 1 2	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is	1 2 3 4	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you
25 1 2 3	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with	1 2 3	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Sure.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias A. Yes. Q item 24?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Sure. MR. NEMEC: Let me state it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias A. Yes. Q item 24? A. Uh-huh.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Sure. MR. NEMEC: Let me state it differently.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias A. Yes. Q item 24? A. Uh-huh. Q. So it's the query engine that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Sure. MR. NEMEC: Let me state it differently. Q. The error tolerance would refer to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias A. Yes. Q item 24? A. Uh-huh. Q. So it's the query engine that identifies matches?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Sure. MR. NEMEC: Let me state it differently. Q. The error tolerance would refer to the difference between the query work and a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias A. Yes. Q item 24? A. Uh-huh. Q. So it's the query engine that identifies matches? A. Yes.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Sure. MR. NEMEC: Let me state it differently. Q. The error tolerance would refer to the difference between the query work and a substring within the reference?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias A. Yes. Q item 24? A. Uh-huh. Q. So it's the query engine that identifies matches? A. Yes. Q. And then those matches are output
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Sure. MR. NEMEC: Let me state it differently. Q. The error tolerance would refer to the difference between the query work and a substring within the reference? A. My understanding is the way they	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias A. Yes. Q item 24? A. Uh-huh. Q. So it's the query engine that identifies matches? A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Sure. MR. NEMEC: Let me state it differently. Q. The error tolerance would refer to the difference between the query work and a substring within the reference? A. My understanding is the way they talk about error tolerance is that the error	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\end{array} $	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias A. Yes. Q item 24? A. Uh-huh. Q. So it's the query engine that identifies matches? A. Yes. Q. And then those matches are output as a ranked list of matching melodies at item 26; is that correct?
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Sure. MR. NEMEC: Let me state it differently. Q. The error tolerance would refer to the difference between the query work and a substring within the reference? A. My understanding is the way they talk about error tolerance is that the error tolerance is defined in terms of a best	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\end{array} $	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias A. Yes. Q item 24? A. Uh-huh. Q. So it's the query engine that identifies matches? A. Yes. Q. And then those matches are output as a ranked list of matching melodies at item 26; is that correct? A. Based on the result of those
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Sure. MR. NEMEC: Let me state it differently. Q. The error tolerance would refer to the difference between the query work and a substring within the reference? A. My understanding is the way they talk about error tolerance is that the error tolerance is defined in terms of a best matching, is defined in terms of the best	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\end{array} $	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias A. Yes. Q item 24? A. Uh-huh. Q. So it's the query engine that identifies matches? A. Yes. Q. And then those matches are output as a ranked list of matching melodies at item 26; is that correct? A. Based on the result of those matches, the melodies, you know, that satisfy
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Sure. MR. NEMEC: Let me state it differently. Q. The error tolerance would refer to the difference between the query work and a substring within the reference? A. My understanding is the way they talk about error tolerance is that the error tolerance is defined in terms of a best matching, is defined in terms of the best substring match, a query against the	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\end{array} $	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias A. Yes. Q item 24? A. Uh-huh. Q. So it's the query engine that identifies matches? A. Yes. Q. And then those matches are output as a ranked list of matching melodies at item 26; is that correct? A. Based on the result of those
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Sure. MR. NEMEC: Let me state it differently. Q. The error tolerance would refer to the difference between the query work and a substring within the reference? A. My understanding is the way they talk about error tolerance is that the error tolerance is defined in terms of a best matching, is defined in terms of the best	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias A. Yes. Q item 24? A. Uh-huh. Q. So it's the query engine that identifies matches? A. Yes. Q. And then those matches are output as a ranked list of matching melodies at item 26; is that correct? A. Based on the result of those matches, the melodies, you know, that satisfy
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Sure. MR. NEMEC: Let me state it differently. Q. The error tolerance would refer to the difference between the query work and a substring within the reference? A. My understanding is the way they talk about error tolerance is that the error tolerance is defined in terms of a best matching, is defined in terms of the best substring match, a query against the	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\\end{array} $	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias A. Yes. Q item 24? A. Uh-huh. Q. So it's the query engine that identifies matches? A. Yes. Q. And then those matches are output as a ranked list of matching melodies at item 26; is that correct? A. Based on the result of those matches, the melodies, you know, that satisfy the search criteria are ranked at output,
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Sure. MR. NEMEC: Let me state it differently. Q. The error tolerance would refer to the difference between the query work and a substring within the reference? A. My understanding is the way they talk about error tolerance is that the error tolerance is defined in terms of a best matching, is defined in terms of the best substring match, a query against the reference. If those two things are the same	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	Page 173 A. It may or may not. Q. So the results could be different? A. It could be different, yes. Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would. Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias A. Yes. Q item 24? A. Uh-huh. Q. So it's the query engine that identifies matches? A. Yes. Q. And then those matches are output as a ranked list of matching melodies at item 26; is that correct? A. Based on the result of those matches, the melodies, you know, that satisfy the search criteria are ranked at output, yes.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Sure. MR. NEMEC: Let me state it differently. Q. The error tolerance would refer to the difference between the query work and a substring within the reference? A. My understanding is the way they talk about error tolerance is that the error tolerance is defined in terms of a best matching, is defined in terms of the best substring match, a query against the reference. If those two things are the same length, it would be a, one would be the same.	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	<ul> <li>Page 173</li> <li>A. It may or may not.</li> <li>Q. So the results could be different?</li> <li>A. It could be different, yes.</li> <li>Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would.</li> <li>Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q item 24?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. So it's the query engine that identifies matches?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then those matches are output as a ranked list of matching melodies at item 26; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Based on the result of those matches, the melodies, you know, that satisfy the search criteria are ranked at output, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have an understanding of</li> </ul>
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 171 it will return those that have zero and those that have one, assuming that they are non Q. Okay. Understood. So what we're looking at, then, is the matches with the, the best matches with the substrings as opposed to the overall reference, correct? A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Sure. MR. NEMEC: Let me state it differently. Q. The error tolerance would refer to the difference between the query work and a substring within the reference? A. My understanding is the way they talk about error tolerance is that the error tolerance is defined in terms of a best matching, is defined in terms of the best substring match, a query against the reference. If those two things are the same length, it would be a, one would be the same. It will not be a substring match, but if the	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	<ul> <li>Page 173</li> <li>A. It may or may not.</li> <li>Q. So the results could be different?</li> <li>A. It could be different, yes.</li> <li>Q. Look at figure 1 in Ghias, if you would.</li> <li>Is the query engine that performs the search process in Ghias</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q item 24?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. So it's the query engine that identifies matches?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then those matches are output as a ranked list of matching melodies at item 26; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Based on the result of those matches, the melodies, you know, that satisfy the search criteria are ranked at output, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have an understanding of what form that output would take?</li> </ul>

Karypis

1	Page 174		Page 176
1	Is the user going to get a list of	1	Ghias, do you think it's a desirable feature
2	songs by title? Is that your understanding	2	that search keys could be matched with any
3	of what Ghias is teaching?	3	portion of the melody rather than just the
4	A. I don't recall what is the form of	4	beginning?
5	the output, but, you know, a list of titles	5	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
6	is a potential output.	6	A. I can consider cases in which my
7	Q. We know it's coming in list form,	7	goal is to find a melody based on something
8	right?	8	that was hummed on the radio, into a
9	A. Well, it's a ranked list.	9	microphone, and they start humming the melody
10	Q. If you will look at column 7, lines	10	from an arbitrary point within the melody; so
11	36 through 40 of Ghias, please.	11	doing a substring match, you know, will
12	A. You said column 7, lines	12	increase the chances of identifying the
13	Q. 36 to 40.	13	melody or not.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. Does the fact that the search
15	Q. So he says there, "As a consequence	15	described here in column 7 of Ghias is an
16	of using a fast approximate string matching	16	approximate search mean that it doesn't
17	algorithm, search keys can be matched with	17	consider all the data within each reference?
18	any portion of the melody rather than just	18	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
19	the beginning. As the size of the database	19	A. So I believe the definition of
20	grows larger, however, this may not prove to	20	"approximate" that Ghias the term
21	be an advantage."	21	"approximate string matching" over here
22	What is your understanding of why	22	refers to what would be considered a match,
23	that would not prove to be an advantage?	23	and a match is considered a valid match if it
24	A. I will presume that by enabling a	24	has at most K mismatches. So the term
25	flexibility to locate a substring within a	25	"approximate," right, it used to characterize
	Page 175		Page 177
1	bigger string, you know, that would be	1	what is allowed as part of the answer.
2	somewhat slower than looking at just the	2	Q. So in fact at the top of column 6,
3	beginning.	3	lines 9 through 11, Ghias defines when he
4	Q. How do you believe a person skilled	4	means by "approximate" right?
_		_	means by "approximate," right?
5	in the art would circumvent this	5	A. I believe so.
6	in the art would circumvent this disadvantage?	6	<ul><li>A. I believe so.</li><li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By</li></ul>
6 7	in the art would circumvent this disadvantage? MR. LUNER: Objection to form.	6 7	<ul><li>A. I believe so.</li><li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be</li></ul>
6 7 8	in the art would circumvent this disadvantage? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So if the type of the query that I	6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9	in the art would circumvent this disadvantage? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So if the type of the query that I would like to do is identifying all	6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors."</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10	in the art would circumvent this disadvantage? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So if the type of the query that I would like to do is identifying all substrings within a string that can have	6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>in the art would circumvent this disadvantage?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So if the type of the query that I would like to do is identifying all substrings within a string that can have optic k-mismatches. There are a set of</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>in the art would circumvent this disadvantage?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So if the type of the query that I would like to do is identifying all substrings within a string that can have optic k-mismatches. There are a set of methods that have been developed primarily in</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think, from the standpoint</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>in the art would circumvent this disadvantage?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So if the type of the query that I would like to do is identifying all substrings within a string that can have optic k-mismatches. There are a set of methods that have been developed primarily in searching sequences, DNA sequences that use</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think, from the standpoint of a person skilled in the art in 2000,</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>in the art would circumvent this disadvantage?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So if the type of the query that I would like to do is identifying all substrings within a string that can have optic k-mismatches. There are a set of methods that have been developed primarily in searching sequences, DNA sequences that use efficient methods to index strings that would</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think, from the standpoint of a person skilled in the art in 2000, that's a reasonable definition of</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in the art would circumvent this disadvantage? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So if the type of the query that I would like to do is identifying all substrings within a string that can have optic k-mismatches. There are a set of methods that have been developed primarily in searching sequences, DNA sequences that use efficient methods to index strings that would allow you to do fast approximate substring	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think, from the standpoint of a person skilled in the art in 2000, that's a reasonable definition of "approximate" in this context?</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in the art would circumvent this disadvantage? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So if the type of the query that I would like to do is identifying all substrings within a string that can have optic k-mismatches. There are a set of methods that have been developed primarily in searching sequences, DNA sequences that use efficient methods to index strings that would allow you to do fast approximate substring matches.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think, from the standpoint of a person skilled in the art in 2000, that's a reasonable definition of "approximate" in this context?</li> <li>A. The definition of "approximate"</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in the art would circumvent this disadvantage? MR. LUNER: Objection to form. A. So if the type of the query that I would like to do is identifying all substrings within a string that can have optic k-mismatches. There are a set of methods that have been developed primarily in searching sequences, DNA sequences that use efficient methods to index strings that would allow you to do fast approximate substring matches. I can describe them in detail.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think, from the standpoint of a person skilled in the art in 2000, that's a reasonable definition of "approximate" in this context?</li> <li>A. The definition of "approximate" being that, something that will allow a</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>in the art would circumvent this disadvantage?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So if the type of the query that I would like to do is identifying all substrings within a string that can have optic k-mismatches. There are a set of methods that have been developed primarily in searching sequences, DNA sequences that use efficient methods to index strings that would allow you to do fast approximate substring matches.</li> <li>I can describe them in detail.</li> <li>Q. That's not something that's</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think, from the standpoint of a person skilled in the art in 2000, that's a reasonable definition of "approximate" in this context?</li> <li>A. The definition of "approximate" being that, something that will allow a certain amount of mismatches, I think that's</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>in the art would circumvent this disadvantage?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So if the type of the query that I would like to do is identifying all substrings within a string that can have optic k-mismatches. There are a set of methods that have been developed primarily in searching sequences, DNA sequences that use efficient methods to index strings that would allow you to do fast approximate substring matches.</li> <li>I can describe them in detail.</li> <li>Q. That's not something that's disclosed in Ghias, what you just described?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors."</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think, from the standpoint of a person skilled in the art in 2000, that's a reasonable definition of "approximate" in this context?</li> <li>A. The definition of "approximate" being that, something that will allow a certain amount of mismatches, I think that's a reasonable definition.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>in the art would circumvent this disadvantage?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So if the type of the query that I would like to do is identifying all substrings within a string that can have optic k-mismatches. There are a set of methods that have been developed primarily in searching sequences, DNA sequences that use efficient methods to index strings that would allow you to do fast approximate substring matches.</li> <li>I can describe them in detail.</li> <li>Q. That's not something that's disclosed in Ghias, what you just described?</li> <li>A. I do not think so.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors." <ul> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think, from the standpoint of a person skilled in the art in 2000, that's a reasonable definition of "approximate" in this context?</li> <li>A. The definition of "approximate" being that, something that will allow a certain amount of mismatches, I think that's a reasonable definition. <ul> <li>The definition that Ghias uses is,</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>in the art would circumvent this disadvantage?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So if the type of the query that I would like to do is identifying all substrings within a string that can have optic k-mismatches. There are a set of methods that have been developed primarily in searching sequences, DNA sequences that use efficient methods to index strings that would allow you to do fast approximate substring matches.</li> <li>I can describe them in detail.</li> <li>Q. That's not something that's disclosed in Ghias, what you just described?</li> <li>A. I do not think so.</li> <li>Q. Is that a technique that was known</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors." <ul> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think, from the standpoint of a person skilled in the art in 2000, that's a reasonable definition of "approximate" in this context?</li> <li>A. The definition of "approximate" being that, something that will allow a certain amount of mismatches, I think that's a reasonable definition. <ul> <li>The definition.</li> <li>The definition that Ghias uses is, in terms of the way it formulates the search,</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>in the art would circumvent this disadvantage?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So if the type of the query that I would like to do is identifying all substrings within a string that can have optic k-mismatches. There are a set of methods that have been developed primarily in searching sequences, DNA sequences that use efficient methods to index strings that would allow you to do fast approximate substring matches.</li> <li>I can describe them in detail.</li> <li>Q. That's not something that's disclosed in Ghias, what you just described?</li> <li>A. I do not think so.</li> <li>Q. Is that a technique that was known as of 2000?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors." <ul> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think, from the standpoint of a person skilled in the art in 2000, that's a reasonable definition of "approximate" in this context?</li> <li>A. The definition of "approximate" being that, something that will allow a certain amount of mismatches, I think that's a reasonable definition. <ul> <li>The definition that Ghias uses is, in terms of the way it formulates the search, is actually more precise. So what it calls</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>in the art would circumvent this disadvantage?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So if the type of the query that I would like to do is identifying all substrings within a string that can have optic k-mismatches. There are a set of methods that have been developed primarily in searching sequences, DNA sequences that use efficient methods to index strings that would allow you to do fast approximate substring matches.</li> <li>I can describe them in detail.</li> <li>Q. That's not something that's disclosed in Ghias, what you just described?</li> <li>A. I do not think so.</li> <li>Q. Is that a technique that was known as of 2000?</li> <li>A. I believe those methods were</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors." <ul> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think, from the standpoint of a person skilled in the art in 2000, that's a reasonable definition of "approximate" in this context?</li> <li>A. The definition of "approximate" being that, something that will allow a certain amount of mismatches, I think that's a reasonable definition. The definition. The definition that Ghias uses is, in terms of the way it formulates the search, is actually more precise. So what it calls approximate string matching is the matching </li> </ul></li></ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>in the art would circumvent this disadvantage?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. So if the type of the query that I would like to do is identifying all substrings within a string that can have optic k-mismatches. There are a set of methods that have been developed primarily in searching sequences, DNA sequences that use efficient methods to index strings that would allow you to do fast approximate substring matches.</li> <li>I can describe them in detail.</li> <li>Q. That's not something that's disclosed in Ghias, what you just described?</li> <li>A. I do not think so.</li> <li>Q. Is that a technique that was known as of 2000?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And he says, "Approximate" "By approximate is meant the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors." <ul> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. That's what it says, yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think, from the standpoint of a person skilled in the art in 2000, that's a reasonable definition of "approximate" in this context?</li> <li>A. The definition of "approximate" being that, something that will allow a certain amount of mismatches, I think that's a reasonable definition. <ul> <li>The definition that Ghias uses is, in terms of the way it formulates the search, is actually more precise. So what it calls</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>

45 (Pages 174 - 177)

# Karypis

		-	
	Page 178		Page 180
1	Do you think it's an unusual or	1	exactly the same hash as the query, will
2	unacceptable definition?	2	Conwell locate any match?
3	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.	3	A. So if the database has no record
4	A. I think this is one way of defining	4	that it has the exactly the same hash, I
5	approximate stream matching.	5	believe Conwell will not locate a match.
6	MR. NEMEC: Let's mark as	6	Q. And if the database in Conwell
7	Exhibit 12 US patent 6,970,886.	7	contains a reference that is very close match
8	(Karypis Exhibit 12, Photocopy of	8	to the query but matched to a slightly
9	U.S. Patent No. 6,970,886, marked for	9	different hash, will Conwell determine that
10	identification, this date.)	10	that's a match?
11	Q. Is Exhibit 12 the Conwell prior art	11	A. When you say "a close match to the
12	reference that is discussed in your	12	query," are you talking about in terms of the
13	declaration?	13	hash, or in terms of the actual melody?
14	A. Yes, it is.	14	Q. So both. I'll ask the question
15	Q. Is it fair to say that Conwell	15	again, just so it's clear.
16	describes a system which identifies a query	16	If the database in Conwell contains
17	work by hashing the query work and comparing	17	a reference, it's a very close to match to
18	it to hashes and known reference works?	18	the query but maps to a slightly different
19	A. That's a fair characterization.	19	hash, will Conwell determine that that's a
20	Q. And Conwell can look at a reference	20	match?
21	work that is a near match to a query work, if	21	A. So if the hash of the query is
22	the two map the same hash value, correct?	22	different than any of the hashes in the
23	MR. LUNER: Can you repeat the	23	database, the Conwell will not determine a
24	question?	24	match.
25	MR. NEMEC: Sure.	25	Q. Any difference whatsoever in the
	Page 179		
	Page 1/9		
1	-	1	Page 181 hashes will result in a no match
1	Excuse me.	$\frac{1}{2}$	hashes will result in a no match
2	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference	2	hashes will result in a no match determination in Conwell; is that right?
2 3	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if	2 3	<ul><li>hashes will result in a no match</li><li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li><li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li></ul>
2 3 4	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct?	2 3 4	<ul><li>hashes will result in a no match</li><li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li><li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li><li>in the database that has exactly the same</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>hashes will result in a no match</li><li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li><li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li><li>in the database that has exactly the same</li><li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>hashes will result in a no match</li><li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li><li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li><li>in the database that has exactly the same</li><li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li><li>will have no match.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>hashes will result in a no match</li><li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li><li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li><li>in the database that has exactly the same</li><li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li><li>will have no match.</li><li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>hashes will result in a no match</li><li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li><li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li><li>in the database that has exactly the same</li><li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li><li>will have no match.</li><li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li><li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values	2 3 4 5 6 7 5 8 9	<ul> <li>hashes will result in a no match</li> <li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li> <li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li> <li>in the database that has exactly the same</li> <li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li> <li>will have no match.</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li> <li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li> <li>dictionary, to be a non-exhaustive search?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches?	2 3 4 5 6 7 5 8 9 10	<ul> <li>hashes will result in a no match</li> <li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li> <li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li> <li>in the database that has exactly the same</li> <li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li> <li>will have no match.</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li> <li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li> <li>dictionary, to be a non-exhaustive search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches? A. Can you repeat the question again?	2 3 4 5 6 7 5 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>hashes will result in a no match</li> <li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li> <li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li> <li>in the database that has exactly the same</li> <li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li> <li>will have no match.</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li> <li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li> <li>dictionary, to be a non-exhaustive search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. What do you mean by a search of a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches? A. Can you repeat the question again? Q. Conwell determines whether two	2 3 4 5 6 7 5 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>hashes will result in a no match</li> <li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li> <li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li> <li>in the database that has exactly the same</li> <li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li> <li>will have no match.</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li> <li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li> <li>dictionary, to be a non-exhaustive search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. What do you mean by a search of a</li> <li>dictionary, of a paper dictionary?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches? A. Can you repeat the question again? Q. Conwell determines whether two works match by comparing whether their hash	2 3 4 5 6 7 5 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>hashes will result in a no match</li> <li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li> <li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li> <li>in the database that has exactly the same</li> <li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li> <li>will have no match.</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li> <li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li> <li>dictionary, to be a non-exhaustive search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. What do you mean by a search of a</li> <li>dictionary, of a paper dictionary?</li> <li>Q. Looking up a word in the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches? A. Can you repeat the question again? Q. Conwell determines whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 5 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>hashes will result in a no match</li> <li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li> <li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li> <li>in the database that has exactly the same</li> <li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li> <li>will have no match.</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li> <li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li> <li>dictionary, to be a non-exhaustive search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. What do you mean by a search of a</li> <li>dictionary, of a paper dictionary?</li> <li>Q. Looking up a word in the</li> <li>dictionary.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches? A. Can you repeat the question again? Q. Conwell determines whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches, correct? A. That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>hashes will result in a no match</li> <li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li> <li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li> <li>in the database that has exactly the same</li> <li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li> <li>will have no match.</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li> <li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li> <li>dictionary, to be a non-exhaustive search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. What do you mean by a search of a</li> <li>dictionary, of a paper dictionary?</li> <li>Q. Looking up a word in the</li> <li>dictionary.</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches? A. Can you repeat the question again? Q. Conwell determines whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches, correct? A. That is correct. MR. NEMEC: Can I take a quick	$2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 16 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10$	<ul> <li>hashes will result in a no match</li> <li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li> <li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li> <li>in the database that has exactly the same</li> <li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li> <li>will have no match.</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li> <li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li> <li>dictionary, to be a non-exhaustive search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. What do you mean by a search of a</li> <li>dictionary, of a paper dictionary?</li> <li>Q. Looking up a word in the</li> <li>dictionary.</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. That would depend on how you go</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches? A. Can you repeat the question again? Q. Conwell determines whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches, correct? A. That is correct. MR. NEMEC: Can I take a quick five minutes? I apologize.	$2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10$	<ul> <li>hashes will result in a no match</li> <li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li> <li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li> <li>in the database that has exactly the same</li> <li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li> <li>will have no match.</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li> <li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li> <li>dictionary, to be a non-exhaustive search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. What do you mean by a search of a</li> <li>dictionary, of a paper dictionary?</li> <li>Q. Looking up a word in the</li> <li>dictionary.</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. That would depend on how you go</li> <li>about doing that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches? A. Can you repeat the question again? Q. Conwell determines whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches, correct? A. That is correct. MR. NEMEC: Can I take a quick five minutes? I apologize. MR. LUNER: Sure.	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \end{array}$	<ul> <li>hashes will result in a no match</li> <li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li> <li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li> <li>in the database that has exactly the same</li> <li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li> <li>will have no match.</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li> <li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li> <li>dictionary, to be a non-exhaustive search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. What do you mean by a search of a</li> <li>dictionary, of a paper dictionary?</li> <li>Q. Looking up a word in the</li> <li>dictionary.</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. That would depend on how you go</li> <li>about doing that.</li> <li>Q. So what are the different ways that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches? A. Can you repeat the question again? Q. Conwell determines whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches, correct? A. That is correct. MR. NEMEC: Can I take a quick five minutes? I apologize. MR. LUNER: Sure. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \end{array}$	<ul> <li>hashes will result in a no match</li> <li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li> <li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li> <li>in the database that has exactly the same</li> <li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li> <li>will have no match.</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li> <li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li> <li>dictionary, to be a non-exhaustive search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. What do you mean by a search of a</li> <li>dictionary, of a paper dictionary?</li> <li>Q. Looking up a word in the</li> <li>dictionary.</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. That would depend on how you go</li> <li>about doing that.</li> <li>Q. So what are the different ways that</li> <li>one might look up a word in the dictionary?</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches? A. Can you repeat the question again? Q. Conwell determines whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches, correct? A. That is correct. MR. NEMEC: Can I take a quick five minutes? I apologize. MR. LUNER: Sure. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:43. We're going off the record.	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \end{array}$	<ul> <li>hashes will result in a no match</li> <li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li> <li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li> <li>in the database that has exactly the same</li> <li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li> <li>will have no match.</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li> <li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li> <li>dictionary, to be a non-exhaustive search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. What do you mean by a search of a</li> <li>dictionary, of a paper dictionary?</li> <li>Q. Looking up a word in the</li> <li>dictionary.</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. That would depend on how you go</li> <li>about doing that.</li> <li>Q. So what are the different ways that</li> <li>one might look up a word in the dictionary?</li> <li>A. Well, one way to do that is start</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches? A. Can you repeat the question again? Q. Conwell determines whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches, correct? A. That is correct. MR. NEMEC: Can I take a quick five minutes? I apologize. MR. LUNER: Sure. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:43. We're going off the record. (A brief recess was taken.)	$2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10$	<ul> <li>hashes will result in a no match</li> <li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li> <li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li> <li>in the database that has exactly the same</li> <li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li> <li>will have no match.</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li> <li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li> <li>dictionary, to be a non-exhaustive search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. What do you mean by a search of a</li> <li>dictionary, of a paper dictionary?</li> <li>Q. Looking up a word in the</li> <li>dictionary.</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. That would depend on how you go</li> <li>about doing that.</li> <li>Q. So what are the different ways that</li> <li>one might look up a word in the dictionary?</li> <li>A. Well, one way to do that is start</li> <li>from page 1 and go down until you find the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches? A. Can you repeat the question again? Q. Conwell determines whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches, correct? A. That is correct. MR. NEMEC: Can I take a quick five minutes? I apologize. MR. LUNER: Sure. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:43. We're going off the record. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	$2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 $	<ul> <li>hashes will result in a no match</li> <li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li> <li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li> <li>in the database that has exactly the same</li> <li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li> <li>will have no match.</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li> <li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li> <li>dictionary, to be a non-exhaustive search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. What do you mean by a search of a</li> <li>dictionary, of a paper dictionary?</li> <li>Q. Looking up a word in the</li> <li>dictionary.</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. That would depend on how you go</li> <li>about doing that.</li> <li>Q. So what are the different ways that</li> <li>one might look up a word in the dictionary?</li> <li>A. Well, one way to do that is start</li> <li>from page 1 and go down until you find the</li> <li>page on which that word occurs, or go to the</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches? A. Can you repeat the question again? Q. Conwell determines whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches, correct? A. That is correct. MR. NEMEC: Can I take a quick five minutes? I apologize. MR. LUNER: Sure. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:43. We're going off the record. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:53. We're now back on the record.	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	<ul> <li>hashes will result in a no match</li> <li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li> <li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li> <li>in the database that has exactly the same</li> <li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li> <li>will have no match.</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li> <li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li> <li>dictionary, to be a non-exhaustive search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. What do you mean by a search of a</li> <li>dictionary, of a paper dictionary?</li> <li>Q. Looking up a word in the</li> <li>dictionary.</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. That would depend on how you go</li> <li>about doing that.</li> <li>Q. So what are the different ways that</li> <li>one might look up a word in the dictionary?</li> <li>A. Well, one way to do that is start</li> <li>from page 1 and go down until you find the</li> <li>page on which that word occurs, or go to the</li> <li>end of the dictionary. That would one way to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Excuse me. Q. Conwell can look at a reference work that is a near match to a query work, if the two map to the same hash value, correct? A. By using appropriately designing hash functions, yes. Q. So in the Conwell system, a determination is made as to whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches? A. Can you repeat the question again? Q. Conwell determines whether two works match by comparing whether their hash values are exact matches, correct? A. That is correct. MR. NEMEC: Can I take a quick five minutes? I apologize. MR. LUNER: Sure. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:43. We're going off the record. (A brief recess was taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	$2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 $	<ul> <li>hashes will result in a no match</li> <li>determination in Conwell; is that right?</li> <li>A. If there is no absolutely no entry</li> <li>in the database that has exactly the same</li> <li>hash value as that computed for the query, it</li> <li>will have no match.</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking, would you</li> <li>consider a search of a dictionary, a paper</li> <li>dictionary, to be a non-exhaustive search?</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. What do you mean by a search of a</li> <li>dictionary, of a paper dictionary?</li> <li>Q. Looking up a word in the</li> <li>dictionary.</li> <li>MR. LUNER: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. That would depend on how you go</li> <li>about doing that.</li> <li>Q. So what are the different ways that</li> <li>one might look up a word in the dictionary?</li> <li>A. Well, one way to do that is start</li> <li>from page 1 and go down until you find the</li> <li>page on which that word occurs, or go to the</li> </ul>

46 (Pages 178 - 181)

Page 1821know, if the dictionary is alphabetized, to2go to the set of pages that starts with the3same character as your word and then go down4that list until you find something.5Q. And would either of those searches6be a non-exhaustive search?7A. So the second way in which you8directly go to the pages that, let's say9start with, your word starts with a K, you do10not examine any pages prior to the one that11start with the K, you know, that would be a12non-exhaustive search.13The first way is not.14Q. Is that why15MR. NEMEC: Strike that.16Q. In the paper dictionary example17that we're discussing, the words are arranged18in alphabetical order before your lookup19process begins, correct?20A. Thet incurve the twe why mage he do	er the ould ype of ly create hose ered ning. tte an te is you base that
2go to the set of pages that starts with the 32electronic database, would you ord entries in some fashion?4that list until you find something.3entries in some fashion?4that list until you find something.4A. If I have a database and I w like to be able to perform certain ty queries efficiently, I would probably indices that would allow me to do to efficiently.7A. So the second way in which you 86indices that would allow me to do to efficiently.9start with, your word starts with a K, you do 109Q. So you would create an ord database, in other words?11start with the K, you know, that would be a 1211A. Actually, there is no such th 1213The first way is not.13The first way is not.1414Q. Is that why 1514Q. In the paper dictionary example 1716order that is up to modify the inqui 1717that we're discussing, the words are arranged 18in alphabetical order before your lookup17Q. Okay. In general, the purper oreating such an index would be to 1919process begins, correct?19search more efficient?	er the ould ype of ly create hose ered ning. tte an te is you base that
3same character as your word and then go down3entries in some fashion?4that list until you find something.4A. If I have a database and I w5Q. And would either of those searches5like to be able to perform certain ty6be a non-exhaustive search?6queries efficiently, I would probably7A. So the second way in which you7indices that would allow me to do to8directly go to the pages that, let's say8efficiently.9start with, your word starts with a K, you do9Q. So you would create an ord10not examine any pages prior to the one that10database, in other words?11start with the K, you know, that would be a11A. Actually, there is no such th12non-exhaustive search.12This is you don't necessarily creat13The first way is not.13order database. No, what you creat14Q. Is that why14create an index on top of your data15MR. NEMEC: Strike that.15order that is up to modify the inqui17that we're discussing, the words are arranged17Q. Okay. In general, the purpor18in alphabetical order before your lookup19process begins, correct?1919search more efficient?19search more efficient?	ould ype of ly create hose ered hing. tte an tte an te is you base that
4that list until you find something.4A. If I have a database and I w5Q. And would either of those searches5like to be able to perform certain ty6be a non-exhaustive search?6queries efficiently, I would probable7A. So the second way in which you7indices that would allow me to do to8directly go to the pages that, let's say8efficiently.9start with, your word starts with a K, you do9Q. So you would create an ord10not examine any pages prior to the one that10database, in other words?11start with the K, you know, that would be a11A. Actually, there is no such th12non-exhaustive search.12This is you don't necessarily creation13The first way is not.13order database. No, what you creation14Q. Is that why14create an index on top of your datation15MR. NEMEC: Strike that.15will allow you to, to transverse it in16Q. In the paper dictionary example17Q. Okay. In general, the purport17that we're discussing, the words are arranged17Q. Okay. In general, the purport18in alphabetical order before your lookup18creating such an index would be to19process begins, correct?19search more efficient?	ype of ly create hose ered hing. hte an te is you base that
5Q. And would either of those searches5like to be able to perform certain ty6be a non-exhaustive search?6queries efficiently, I would probable7A. So the second way in which you7indices that would allow me to do to8directly go to the pages that, let's say8efficiently.9start with, your word starts with a K, you do9Q. So you would create an ord10not examine any pages prior to the one that10database, in other words?11start with the K, you know, that would be a11A. Actually, there is no such th12non-exhaustive search.12This is you don't necessarily creater13The first way is not.13order database. No, what you creater14Q. Is that why14create an index on top of your datater15MR. NEMEC: Strike that.15will allow you to, to transverse it in16Q. In the paper dictionary example16order that is up to modify the inquiter17that we're discussing, the words are arranged17Q. Okay. In general, the purport18in alphabetical order before your lookup18creating such an index would be to to search more efficient?	ype of ly create hose ered hing. hte an te is you base that
6be a non-exhaustive search?6queries efficiently, I would probably7A. So the second way in which you7indices that would allow me to do to8directly go to the pages that, let's say9start with, your word starts with a K, you do9start with, your word starts with a K, you do9Q. So you would create an ord10not examine any pages prior to the one that10database, in other words?11start with the K, you know, that would be a11A. Actually, there is no such th12non-exhaustive search.12This is you don't necessarily creat13The first way is not.13order database. No, what you creat14Q. Is that why14create an index on top of your data15MR. NEMEC: Strike that.15will allow you to, to transverse it in16Q. In the paper dictionary example16order that is up to modify the inquit17that we're discussing, the words are arranged17Q. Okay. In general, the purpor18in alphabetical order before your lookup19search more efficient?	ly create hose ered ning. nte an te is you base that
7A. So the second way in which you7indices that would allow me to do to8directly go to the pages that, let's say9start with, your word starts with a K, you do99start with, your word starts with a K, you do9Q. So you would create an ord10not examine any pages prior to the one that10database, in other words?11start with the K, you know, that would be a11A. Actually, there is no such th12non-exhaustive search.12This is you don't necessarily creation order database. No, what you creation order database. No, what you creation order database. No, what you creation in alphabetical order before your lookup19process begins, correct?19	hose ered hing. hte an te is you base that
<ul> <li>8 directly go to the pages that, let's say</li> <li>9 start with, your word starts with a K, you do</li> <li>10 not examine any pages prior to the one that</li> <li>11 start with the K, you know, that would be a</li> <li>12 non-exhaustive search.</li> <li>13 The first way is not.</li> <li>14 Q. Is that why</li> <li>15 MR. NEMEC: Strike that.</li> <li>16 Q. In the paper dictionary example</li> <li>17 that we're discussing, the words are arranged</li> <li>18 in alphabetical order before your lookup</li> <li>19 process begins, correct?</li> <li>8 efficiently.</li> <li>9 Q. So you would create an ord</li> <li>10 database, in other words?</li> <li>11 A. Actually, there is no such the</li> <li>12 This is you don't necessarily creation order database. No, what you creation order database. No, what you creation order database. No, what you creation order that is up to modify the inquition order that is up to modify the</li></ul>	ered hing. hte an te is you base that
9start with, your word starts with a K, you do not examine any pages prior to the one that start with the K, you know, that would be a non-exhaustive search.9Q. So you would create an ord database, in other words?11start with the K, you know, that would be a non-exhaustive search.10A. Actually, there is no such th 1213The first way is not.12This is you don't necessarily creat order database. No, what you creat is order database. No, what you creat is order database. No, what you creat order that is up to modify the inquit 1716Q. In the paper dictionary example in alphabetical order before your lookup process begins, correct?1618in alphabetical order before your lookup process begins, correct?18	hing. hte an te is you base that
10not examine any pages prior to the one that10database, in other words?11start with the K, you know, that would be a11A. Actually, there is no such th12non-exhaustive search.11A. Actually, there is no such th13The first way is not.12This is you don't necessarily creat14Q. Is that why14create an index on top of your data15MR. NEMEC: Strike that.15will allow you to, to transverse it in16Q. In the paper dictionary example16order that is up to modify the inquit17that we're discussing, the words are arranged17Q. Okay. In general, the purpor18in alphabetical order before your lookup18creating such an index would be to19process begins, correct?19search more efficient?	hing. hte an te is you base that
11start with the K, you know, that would be a11A. Actually, there is no such th12non-exhaustive search.12This is you don't necessarily creat13The first way is not.13order database. No, what you creat14Q. Is that why14create an index on top of your data15MR. NEMEC: Strike that.15will allow you to, to transverse it in16Q. In the paper dictionary example16order that is up to modify the inquit17that we're discussing, the words are arranged17Q. Okay. In general, the purport18in alphabetical order before your lookup18creating such an index would be to19process begins, correct?19search more efficient?	te an te is you base that
12non-exhaustive search.12This is you don't necessarily creat13The first way is not.13order database. No, what you creat14Q. Is that why14create an index on top of your data15MR. NEMEC: Strike that.15will allow you to, to transverse it in16Q. In the paper dictionary example16order that is up to modify the inqui17that we're discussing, the words are arranged17Q. Okay. In general, the purpor18in alphabetical order before your lookup18creating such an index would be to19process begins, correct?19search more efficient?	te an te is you base that
13The first way is not.13order database. No, what you creat14Q. Is that why14create an index on top of your data15MR. NEMEC: Strike that.15will allow you to, to transverse it in16Q. In the paper dictionary example16order that is up to modify the inqui17that we're discussing, the words are arranged17Q. Okay. In general, the purpor18in alphabetical order before your lookup18creating such an index would be to19process begins, correct?19search more efficient?	te is you base that
14Q. Is that why14create an index on top of your data15MR. NEMEC: Strike that.15will allow you to, to transverse it in16Q. In the paper dictionary example16order that is up to modify the inqui17that we're discussing, the words are arranged17Q. Okay. In general, the purpor18in alphabetical order before your lookup18creating such an index would be to19process begins, correct?19search more efficient?	base that
15MR. NEMEC: Strike that.15will allow you to, to transverse it in16Q. In the paper dictionary example16order that is up to modify the inqui17that we're discussing, the words are arranged17Q. Okay. In general, the purpor18in alphabetical order before your lookup18creating such an index would be to19process begins, correct?19search more efficient?	
16Q. In the paper dictionary example16order that is up to modify the inqui17that we're discussing, the words are arranged17Q. Okay. In general, the purpor18in alphabetical order before your lookup18creating such an index would be to19process begins, correct?19search more efficient?	n an
<ul> <li>that we're discussing, the words are arranged</li> <li>in alphabetical order before your lookup</li> <li>process begins, correct?</li> <li>Q. Okay. In general, the purport creating such an index would be to search more efficient?</li> </ul>	
18in alphabetical order before your lookup18creating such an index would be to19process begins, correct?19search more efficient?	
19process begins, correct?19search more efficient?	
	make the
20 A. That would be the way why people do 20 A. That is the purpose of most	
21it.21indexes, yes.22Q. So if someone asked you to go look22Q. Now, in Conwell, the reference	
23 up a word in the dictionary, which of the two $23$ table as disclosed has its entries source $24$ hash subrance $24$ hash subrance $24$	ted by
<ul> <li>approaches that you just described would you</li> <li>hash value, correct?</li> <li>use?</li> <li>A. Can you tell me which figure</li> </ul>	"
25use?25A. Can you tell me which figure	e you le
Page 183	Page 185
1MR. LUNER: Objection to form.1referring to?2A. Well, I will do another approach2Q. Sure. I'm referring actually	to
	101.
	intion
	-
6 contain the words that start with the same 6 the present disclosure assumes the	entries
6 contain the words that start with the same 6 the present disclosure assumes the 7 character as my guard by identifier "	n ? I'm
7 character as my query. 7 are sorted by identifier."	11 ( 1 III
7character as my query.7are sorted by identifier."8Q. And that's the approach that you8A. Yep. What was the question	
7character as my query.7are sorted by identifier."8Q. And that's the approach that you8A. Yep. What was the question9characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?9sorry.	
<ul> <li>7 character as my query.</li> <li>8 Q. And that's the approach that you</li> <li>9 characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?</li> <li>10 A. That would be the one I</li> <li>7 are sorted by identifier."</li> <li>8 A. Yep. What was the question</li> <li>9 sorry.</li> <li>10 Q. So do you understand from</li> </ul>	that that
<ul> <li>7 character as my query.</li> <li>8 Q. And that's the approach that you</li> <li>9 characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?</li> <li>10 A. That would be the one I</li> <li>11 characterized, yes.</li> <li>7 are sorted by identifier."</li> <li>8 A. Yep. What was the question</li> <li>9 sorry.</li> <li>10 Q. So do you understand from</li> <li>11 the disclosure here is of a reference</li> </ul>	that that table
<ul> <li>7 character as my query.</li> <li>8 Q. And that's the approach that you</li> <li>9 characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?</li> <li>10 A. That would be the one I</li> <li>11 characterized, yes.</li> <li>12 Q. Now if we're talking about an</li> <li>7 are sorted by identifier."</li> <li>8 A. Yep. What was the question</li> <li>9 sorry.</li> <li>10 Q. So do you understand from</li> <li>11 the disclosure here is of a reference</li> <li>12 with entries sorted by their hash vanta</li> </ul>	that that table lue?
<ul> <li>7 character as my query.</li> <li>8 Q. And that's the approach that you</li> <li>9 characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?</li> <li>10 A. That would be the one I</li> <li>11 characterized, yes.</li> <li>12 Q. Now if we're talking about an</li> <li>13 electronic dictionary, would a search of an</li> <li>7 are sorted by identifier."</li> <li>8 A. Yep. What was the question</li> <li>9 sorry.</li> <li>10 Q. So do you understand from</li> <li>11 the disclosure here is of a reference</li> <li>12 with entries sorted by their hash van</li> <li>13 A. Yes, I believe that's how the</li> </ul>	that that table lue?
7character as my query.7are sorted by identifier."8Q. And that's the approach that you8A. Yep. What was the question9characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?9sorry.10A. That would be the one I10Q. So do you understand from11characterized, yes.11the disclosure here is of a reference12Q. Now if we're talking about an12with entries sorted by their hash va13electronic dictionary, would a search of an13A. Yes, I believe that's how the14electronic dictionary to look up a word use a14them sorted, yes.	that that table lue? ey have
7character as my query.7are sorted by identifier."8Q. And that's the approach that you8A. Yep. What was the questio9characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?9sorry.10A. That would be the one I10Q. So do you understand from11characterized, yes.11the disclosure here is of a reference12Q. Now if we're talking about an12with entries sorted by their hash va13electronic dictionary, would a search of an13A. Yes, I believe that's how the14electronic dictionary to look up a word use a14them sorted, yes.15binary search?15Q. And what is the purpose of	that that table lue? ey have
7character as my query.7are sorted by identifier."8Q. And that's the approach that you8A. Yep. What was the question9characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?9sorry.10A. That would be the one I10Q. So do you understand from11characterized, yes.11the disclosure here is of a reference12Q. Now if we're talking about an12with entries sorted by their hash va13electronic dictionary, would a search of an13A. Yes, I believe that's how the14electronic dictionary to look up a word use a14them sorted, yes.15binary search?15Q. And what is the purpose of16A. What do you mean by "electronic16the hash entries?	that that table lue? ey have sorting
7character as my query.7are sorted by identifier."8Q. And that's the approach that you8A. Yep. What was the question9characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?9sorry.10A. That would be the one I10Q. So do you understand from11characterized, yes.11the disclosure here is of a reference12Q. Now if we're talking about an12with entries sorted by their hash va13electronic dictionary, would a search of an13A. Yes, I believe that's how the14electronic dictionary to look up a word use a14them sorted, yes.15binary search?15Q. And what is the purpose of16A. What do you mean by "electronic16the hash entries?17dictionary"?17A. So one of the reasons that y	that that table lue? ey have sorting ou like
7character as my query.7are sorted by identifier."8Q. And that's the approach that you8A. Yep. What was the question9characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?9sorry.10A. That would be the one I10Q. So do you understand from11characterized, yes.11the disclosure here is of a reference12Q. Now if we're talking about an12with entries sorted by their hash va13electronic dictionary, would a search of an13A. Yes, I believe that's how the14electronic dictionary to look up a word use a14them sorted, yes.15binary search?15Q. And what is the purpose of16A. What do you mean by "electronic16the hash entries?17dictionary"?17A. So one of the reasons that y18Q. So as opposed to a paper18to sort the hash entries is so that it the	that that e table lue? ey have sorting ou like will
7character as my query.7are sorted by identifier."8Q. And that's the approach that you8A. Yep. What was the question9characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?9sorry.10A. That would be the one I10Q. So do you understand from11characterized, yes.11the disclosure here is of a reference12Q. Now if we're talking about an12with entries sorted by their hash va13electronic dictionary, would a search of an13A. Yes, I believe that's how the14electronic dictionary to look up a word use a14them sorted, yes.15binary search?15Q. And what is the purpose of16A. What do you mean by "electronic16the hash entries?17dictionary"?17A. So one of the reasons that y18Q. So as opposed to a paper18to sort the hash entries is so that it for allow you to potentially implement	that that e table lue? ey have sorting ou like will
7character as my query.7are sorted by identifier."8Q. And that's the approach that you8A. Yep. What was the question9characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?9sorry.10A. That would be the one I10Q. So do you understand from11characterized, yes.11the disclosure here is of a reference12Q. Now if we're talking about an12with entries sorted by their hash va13electronic dictionary, would a search of an13A. Yes, I believe that's how the14electronic dictionary to look up a word use a14them sorted, yes.15binary search?15Q. And what is the purpose of16A. What do you mean by "electronic16the hash entries?17dictionary"?17A. So one of the reasons that y18Q. So as opposed to a paper18to sort the hash entries is so that it y19dictionary, a collection of words and their19allow you to potentially implement20associated definitions arranged in a20efficiently.	that that table lue? ey have sorting ou like will a search
7character as my query.7are sorted by identifier."8Q. And that's the approach that you8A. Yep. What was the question9characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?9sorry.10A. That would be the one I10Q. So do you understand from11characterized, yes.11the disclosure here is of a reference12Q. Now if we're talking about an12with entries sorted by their hash va13electronic dictionary, would a search of an13A. Yes, I believe that's how the14electronic dictionary to look up a word use a14them sorted, yes.15binary search?15Q. And what is the purpose of16A. What do you mean by "electronic16the hash entries?17dictionary"?17A. So one of the reasons that y18Q. So as opposed to a paper18to sort the hash entries is so that it y20associated definitions arranged in a20efficiently.21database.21Q. In the fashion that you wou	that that table lue? ey have sorting ou like will a search ld, in a
7character as my query.7are sorted by identifier."8Q. And that's the approach that you8A. Yep. What was the question9characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?9sorry.10A. That would be the one I10Q. So do you understand from11characterized, yes.10Q. So do you understand from12Q. Now if we're talking about an12with entries sorted by their hash va13electronic dictionary, would a search of an13A. Yes, I believe that's how the14electronic dictionary to look up a word use a14them sorted, yes.15binary search?15Q. And what is the purpose of16A. What do you mean by "electronic16the hash entries?17dictionary"?17A. So one of the reasons that y18Q. So as opposed to a paper18to sort the hash entries is so that it to19dictionary, a collection of words and their19allow you to potentially implement20A. Without any more information, I22Q. In the fashion that you wou	that that table lue? ey have sorting ou like will a search ld, in a
7character as my query.7are sorted by identifier."8Q. And that's the approach that you9characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?99characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?9sorry.10A. That would be the one I10Q. So do you understand from11characterized, yes.11the disclosure here is of a reference12Q. Now if we're talking about an12with entries sorted by their hash va13electronic dictionary, would a search of an13A. Yes, I believe that's how that14electronic dictionary to look up a word use a14them sorted, yes.15binary search?15Q. And what is the purpose of16A. What do you mean by "electronic16the hash entries?17dictionary"?17A. So one of the reasons that y18Q. So as opposed to a paper18to sort the hash entries is so that it y20associated definitions arranged in a20efficiently.21database.21Q. In the fashion that you wou22A. Without any more information, I23will just go from record one until I find a23will just go from record one until I find a23letter in the word?	that that e table lue? ey have sorting ou like will a search ld, in a y its first
7character as my query.7are sorted by identifier."8Q. And that's the approach that you8A. Yep. What was the question9characterized as non-exhaustive, correct?9sorry.10A. That would be the one I10Q. So do you understand from11characterized, yes.11the disclosure here is of a reference12Q. Now if we're talking about an12with entries sorted by their hash va13electronic dictionary, would a search of an13A. Yes, I believe that's how the14electronic dictionary to look up a word use a14them sorted, yes.15binary search?15Q. And what is the purpose of16A. What do you mean by "electronic16the hash entries?17dictionary"?17A. So one of the reasons that y18Q. So as opposed to a paper18to sort the hash entries is so that it the19dictionary, a collection of words and their19allow you to potentially implement20associated definitions arranged in a20In the fashion that you wou21A. Without any more information, I22paper dictionary, look up a word by	that that e table lue? ey have sorting ou like will a search ld, in a y its first rm.

47 (Pages 182 - 185)

# Karypis

		J P10	
	Page 186		Page 188
1	increasing or decreasing order, right? Now I	1	which point in time the querying processing
2	would like to match a key, and if I want to	2	engine can make a decision on whether or not
3	leverage the track of how those things are	3	that would be more efficient or not, to use
4	sorted, I will do a, probably a binary	4	the indices or not.
5	search.	5	Q. If you made the decision that it
6	Q. A binary search being an example of	6	would be more efficient to use the indices,
7	a non-exhaustive search, correct?	7	that you would be using a non-exhaustive
8	A. That is correct.	8	search, correct?
9	Q. Now, lines 58 to 59 of Conwell in	9	A. So if the indices were enabled,
10	the same column there, column 5, it says,	10	then during querying processing time, the
11	"Maintenance to the table 12 is well	11	database engine would make a decision whether
12	understood by those skilled in data	12	or not answering that query would be faster
13	structures."	13	relying on the indices or not. And if it's
14	Do you see that?	14	faster, will use the indices; if it's not
15	A. Yes, I do.	15	faster, it will not.
16	Q. And as of about 2000, you think a	16	Q. I see.
17	person skilled in the art of the Cox patents	17	(Discussion off the record.)
18	would have been familiar with database	18	Q. Take a look at Exhibit 4. It's the
19	structures?	19	'179 patent. Take a look at column 21,
20	A. Actually, it talks about data	20	please, lines 37 to 42.
21	structures, not database structures.	21	A. I'm sorry, what were the line
22	Q. Oh, I apologize, okay.	22	numbers again?
23	So I will ask that question again.	23	Q. Lines 37 to 42.
24	As of 2000 do think a person	24	A. Okay.
25	skilled in the art would be familiar with	25	Q. The passage that begins, "While
	Page 187		Page 189
1	data structures?	1	databases of this size"?
2	A. Yes.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And do you think that a person of	3	Q. Would you agree that here this
4	skill in the art implementing the invention	4	specification in the Cox patent is explaining
5	described in the Conwell patent would turn to	5	that databases that were known as of the date
6	some form of commercially available data	6	of invention look up entries without
7	structure software?	7	comparing a query to all reference items?
8	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.	8	MR. LUNER: Objection to form.
9	A. That can be a possibility, they can	9	A. Okay, so what was the question
10	use a commercially available software to	10	again?
11	build it.	11	Q. Would you agree that the
12			
	Q. As of 2000, are you familiar with	12	specification in the Cox patents here in
13	Q. As of 2000, are you familiar with any of the commercially available database	13	column 21 is explaining that the databases
13 14	Q. As of 2000, are you familiar with any of the commercially available database software that was on the market?	13 14	column 21 is explaining that the databases that were known as of the date of invention,
13 14 15	<ul><li>Q. As of 2000, are you familiar with any of the commercially available database software that was on the market?</li><li>A. Both commercial and open source,</li></ul>	13 14 15	column 21 is explaining that the databases that were known as of the date of invention, date of invention look up entries without
13 14 15 16	<ul><li>Q. As of 2000, are you familiar with any of the commercially available database software that was on the market?</li><li>A. Both commercial and open source, yes.</li></ul>	13 14 15 16	column 21 is explaining that the databases that were known as of the date of invention, date of invention look up entries without comparing a query to all reference items?
13 14 15 16 17	<ul><li>Q. As of 2000, are you familiar with any of the commercially available database software that was on the market?</li><li>A. Both commercial and open source, yes.</li><li>Q. And generally speaking, did such</li></ul>	13 14 15 16 17	<ul><li>column 21 is explaining that the databases</li><li>that were known as of the date of invention,</li><li>date of invention look up entries without</li><li>comparing a query to all reference items?</li><li>A. So what it here describes,</li></ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul><li>Q. As of 2000, are you familiar with any of the commercially available database software that was on the market?</li><li>A. Both commercial and open source, yes.</li><li>Q. And generally speaking, did such database software employ exhaustive lookup</li></ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul><li>column 21 is explaining that the databases</li><li>that were known as of the date of invention,</li><li>date of invention look up entries without</li><li>comparing a query to all reference items?</li><li>A. So what it here describes,</li><li>describes that databases have the technology</li></ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul><li>Q. As of 2000, are you familiar with any of the commercially available database software that was on the market?</li><li>A. Both commercial and open source, yes.</li><li>Q. And generally speaking, did such database software employ exhaustive lookup techniques?</li></ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul><li>column 21 is explaining that the databases that were known as of the date of invention, date of invention look up entries without comparing a query to all reference items?</li><li>A. So what it here describes, describes that databases have the technology to do that.</li></ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. As of 2000, are you familiar with any of the commercially available database software that was on the market?</li> <li>A. Both commercial and open source, yes.</li> <li>Q. And generally speaking, did such database software employ exhaustive lookup techniques?</li> <li>A. That would be a direct function on</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul><li>column 21 is explaining that the databases that were known as of the date of invention, date of invention look up entries without comparing a query to all reference items?</li><li>A. So what it here describes, describes that databases have the technology to do that.</li><li>Q. So that was an understood approach</li></ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. As of 2000, are you familiar with any of the commercially available database software that was on the market?</li> <li>A. Both commercial and open source, yes.</li> <li>Q. And generally speaking, did such database software employ exhaustive lookup techniques?</li> <li>A. That would be a direct function on how you choose to configure it. You set up a</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>column 21 is explaining that the databases that were known as of the date of invention, date of invention look up entries without comparing a query to all reference items?</li> <li>A. So what it here describes, describes that databases have the technology to do that.</li> <li>Q. So that was an understood approach to database searching as of 2000 when this</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. As of 2000, are you familiar with any of the commercially available database software that was on the market?</li> <li>A. Both commercial and open source, yes.</li> <li>Q. And generally speaking, did such database software employ exhaustive lookup techniques?</li> <li>A. That would be a direct function on how you choose to configure it. You set up a database, without creating indices. That's</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>column 21 is explaining that the databases that were known as of the date of invention, date of invention look up entries without comparing a query to all reference items?</li> <li>A. So what it here describes, describes that databases have the technology to do that.</li> <li>Q. So that was an understood approach to database searching as of 2000 when this patent was filed, correct?</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. As of 2000, are you familiar with any of the commercially available database software that was on the market?</li> <li>A. Both commercial and open source, yes.</li> <li>Q. And generally speaking, did such database software employ exhaustive lookup techniques?</li> <li>A. That would be a direct function on how you choose to configure it. You set up a database, without creating indices. That's the only way to answer the queries that you</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>column 21 is explaining that the databases that were known as of the date of invention, date of invention look up entries without comparing a query to all reference items?</li> <li>A. So what it here describes, describes that databases have the technology to do that.</li> <li>Q. So that was an understood approach to database searching as of 2000 when this patent was filed, correct?</li> <li>A. So as I said before, if I'm using a</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. As of 2000, are you familiar with any of the commercially available database software that was on the market?</li> <li>A. Both commercial and open source, yes.</li> <li>Q. And generally speaking, did such database software employ exhaustive lookup techniques?</li> <li>A. That would be a direct function on how you choose to configure it. You set up a database, without creating indices. That's the only way to answer the queries that you want would be to add an exhaustive search.</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>column 21 is explaining that the databases that were known as of the date of invention, date of invention look up entries without comparing a query to all reference items?</li> <li>A. So what it here describes, describes that databases have the technology to do that.</li> <li>Q. So that was an understood approach to database searching as of 2000 when this patent was filed, correct?</li> <li>A. So as I said before, if I'm using a database system and I would like to perform</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. As of 2000, are you familiar with any of the commercially available database software that was on the market?</li> <li>A. Both commercial and open source, yes.</li> <li>Q. And generally speaking, did such database software employ exhaustive lookup techniques?</li> <li>A. That would be a direct function on how you choose to configure it. You set up a database, without creating indices. That's the only way to answer the queries that you</li> </ul>	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>column 21 is explaining that the databases that were known as of the date of invention, date of invention look up entries without comparing a query to all reference items?</li> <li>A. So what it here describes, describes that databases have the technology to do that.</li> <li>Q. So that was an understood approach to database searching as of 2000 when this patent was filed, correct?</li> <li>A. So as I said before, if I'm using a</li> </ul>

48 (Pages 186 - 189)

Karypis

	Ka	
	Page 190	Page 192
1	those queries, then the database system is	1 CERTIFICATE
2	intelligent enough to select to use those	2 STATE OF NEW YORK )
3	indices, and that will to lead to a faster	3 : ss.
4	query execution time.	4 COUNTY OF NEW YORK )
5	Q. Thank you.	5
6	MR. NEMEC: Off the record again.	6 I, Jennifer Ocampo-Guzman, a
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Sure.	7 Notary Public within and for the State of New
8	The time is 4:11. We're going off	8 York, do hereby certify:
9	the record.	9 That GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness
10	(A brief recess was taken.)	10 whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth,
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	11 was duly sworn and that such deposition is a
12	4:23. We're now back on the record.	12 true record of the testimony given by the
13	MR. NEMEC: Thank you, Dr. Karypis.	13 witness.
14	No further questions from petitioner.	14 I further certify that I am not
15	MR. LUNER: Patent owner, Network-1	15 related to any of the parties to this action
16	doesn't have any questions.	16 by blood or marriage, and that I am in no
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Time is	17 way interested in the outcome of this
18	4:23. We're going off the record. This	18 matter.
19	is the end of disk number 4, and that	19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
20	concludes this deposition.	20 hereunto set my hand this 13th day of
21	(Time noted: 4:23 p.m.)	21 November 2015.
22	(Thie hoted: 1.20 plini.)	22 22
23		23 JENNIFER OCAMPO-GUZMAN, CRR, CLR
23		24 JENNIFER OCAMIFO-GOZMAN, CRR, CER
24		
23		25
	Page 191	Page 193
1	STATE OF)	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS
2	STATE OF) ) :ss	1         ERRATA SHEET           VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2           800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243
	STATE OF)	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google
2	STATE OF) ) :ss	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS 2 800-567-8658 ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243 3 CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015 4 WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis
2 3	STATE OF) ) :ss	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS 2 800-567-8658 ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243 3 CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015 4 WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis 5 PAGE/LINE(S)/ CHANGE REASON
2 3 4	STATE OF) ) :ss	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5
2 3 4 5	STATE OF) ):ss COUNTY OF)	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS 2 800-567-8658 ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243 3 CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015 4 WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis 5 PAGE/LINE(S)/ CHANGE REASON
2 3 4 5 6	STATE OF) ):ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5         PAGE/LINE(S)       CHANGE         REASON         6      /
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STATE OF) ):ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this	1         ERRATA SHEET           VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS           2         800-567-8658           ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243           3         CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google           DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015           4         WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis           5           PAGE/LINE(S)/ CHANGE         REASON           6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STATE OF) ):ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a	1         ERRATA SHEET           VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2           800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243           3         CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google           DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015           4         WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis           5           PAGE/LINE(S)         CHANGE           REASON           6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	STATE OF) ):ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a true and correct transcript, subject to	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5         PAGE/LINE(S)/ CHANGE       REASON         6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	STATE OF) ):ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a true and correct transcript, subject to the corrections, if any, shown on the	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5         PAGE/LINE(S)/       CHANGE         REASON         6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	STATE OF) ):ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a true and correct transcript, subject to	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION:       11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5       PAGE/LINE(S)         7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	STATE OF) ):ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a true and correct transcript, subject to the corrections, if any, shown on the	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5         PAGE/LINE(S)/       CHANGE         REASON         6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STATE OF) :ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a true and correct transcript, subject to the corrections, if any, shown on the attached page.	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION:       11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5       PAGE/LINE(S)         7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	STATE OF) ):ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a true and correct transcript, subject to the corrections, if any, shown on the	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/1/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5         PAGE/LINE(S)       CHANGE         REASON         6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	STATE OF) :ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a true and correct transcript, subject to the corrections, if any, shown on the attached page. GEORGE KARYPIS	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5         PAGE/LINE(S)/       CHANGE         REASON         6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	STATE OF) :ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a true and correct transcript, subject to the corrections, if any, shown on the attached page. GEORGE KARYPIS Sworn and subscribed to before	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION:       11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5       PAGE/LINE(S)         7       _//
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STATE OF) :ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a true and correct transcript, subject to the corrections, if any, shown on the attached page. GEORGE KARYPIS Sworn and subscribed to before me, this day of	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5         PAGE/LINE(S)/       CHANGE         7       _//
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	STATE OF) :ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a true and correct transcript, subject to the corrections, if any, shown on the attached page. GEORGE KARYPIS Sworn and subscribed to before	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION:       11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5       PAGE/LINE(S)         PAGE/LINE(S)       CHANGE         8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STATE OF) :ss COUNTY OF) :ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a true and correct transcript, subject to the corrections, if any, shown on the attached page. <u>GEORGE KARYPIS</u> Sworn and subscribed to before me, this day of 	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION:       11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5       PAGE/LINE(S)         PAGE/LINE(S)       CHANGE         8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	STATE OF) :ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a true and correct transcript, subject to the corrections, if any, shown on the attached page. GEORGE KARYPIS Sworn and subscribed to before me, this day of	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5         PAGE/LINE(S)       CHANGE         REASON         6      /
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STATE OF) :ss COUNTY OF) :ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a true and correct transcript, subject to the corrections, if any, shown on the attached page. <u>GEORGE KARYPIS</u> Sworn and subscribed to before me, this day of 	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5         PAGE/LINE(S)       CHANGE         REASON         6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	STATE OF) :ss COUNTY OF) :ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a true and correct transcript, subject to the corrections, if any, shown on the attached page. <u>GEORGE KARYPIS</u> Sworn and subscribed to before me, this day of 	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5         PAGE/LINE(S)       CHANGE         7      /
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STATE OF) :ss COUNTY OF) :ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a true and correct transcript, subject to the corrections, if any, shown on the attached page. <u>GEORGE KARYPIS</u> Sworn and subscribed to before me, this day of 	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       3 CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5         PAGE/LINE(S)       CHANGE         REASON         6
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \end{array}$	STATE OF) :ss COUNTY OF) :ss COUNTY OF) I, GEORGE KARYPIS, the witness herein, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hereby certify it to be a true and correct transcript, subject to the corrections, if any, shown on the attached page. <u>GEORGE KARYPIS</u> Sworn and subscribed to before me, this day of 	1       ERRATA SHEET         VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS         2       800-567-8658         ASSIGNMENT NO. CS2183243         3       CASE NAME: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/12/2015         4       WITNESS' NAME: George Karypis         5         PAGE/LINE(S)       CHANGE         7      /

49 (Pages 190 - 193)

<pre>1 Vertical Legis Solutions 2 2 2000 Constrained and Constrained Constrain</pre>		Page 194	
<ul> <li>Livingston, New Jersey 07039 Toll Free: 800-227-8440 Fax: 973-629-1287</li> <li>Toll Free: 800-227-8440 Fax: 973-629-1287</li> <li></li></ul>	1	Veritext Legal Solutions	
Toll Free: 800-227-8440 Fax: 973-629-1287         J			
<ul> <li>3 2015</li> <li>5 To: Charles R. Macedo, Esq.</li> <li>6 Case Nam: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google</li> <li>7 Veritext Reference Number: 2183243</li> <li>8 Witness: George Karypis Deposition Date: 11/12/2015</li> <li>9 Dear Sir/Madam:</li> <li>10 Interastive the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the included errate sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and</li> <li>11 review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the included errate sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and</li> <li>12 the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature at the bottom of the sheet notarized except in California where they are signing</li> <li>13 under penalty of perjury and forward the errata sheet back to us at the address shown above.</li> <li>14</li> <li>15 If the jurat is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of</li> <li>16 this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20 Sincerely,</li> <li>21 Production Department</li> <li>22 Production Department</li> <li>23 Encl.</li> </ul>	2		
4	3	1011 Free: 800-227-8440 Fax: 973-629-1287	
<ul> <li>5 To: Charles R. Macedo, Esq.</li> <li>6 Case Name: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google</li> <li>7 Veritext Reference Number: 2183243</li> <li>8 Witness: George Karypis Deposition Date: 11/12/2015</li> <li>9 Dear Sir/Madam:</li> <li>10 Ear-Closed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness</li> <li>11 review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and</li> <li>12 the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature at the bottom of the sheet notarized except in California where they are signing</li> <li>13 under penalty of perjury and forward the errata sheet back to us at the address shown above.</li> <li>14</li> <li>15 If the jurat is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of</li> <li>16 this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19 Sincerely,</li> <li>20 Sincerely,</li> <li>21 Production Department</li> <li>23 Production Department</li> <li>24 Encl.</li> </ul>		. 2015	
6       Case Name: Network-1 Technologies, Inc. v. Google         7       Veritext Reference Number: 2183243         8       Witness: George Karypis       Deposition Date: 11/12/2015         9       Dear Sir/Madam:         10       Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness         11       review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and         12       the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature at the bottom of the sheet notarized except in California where they are signing         13       under penalty of perjury and forward the errata sheet back to us at the address shown above.         14       Hart is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of         15       If the jurat is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of         16       this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.         17       Production Department         20       Sincerely,         21       Production Department         22       Production Department         23       Hendle         24       Encl.			
<ul> <li>8 Witess: George Karypis Deposition Date: 11/12/2015</li> <li>Dear Sir/Madam:</li> <li>Dear Sir/Madam:</li> <li>a cholosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness</li> <li>a reclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness</li> <li>a reclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness</li> <li>b reclosed please find a note any changes or corrections on the included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and</li> <li>b the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature at the bottom of the sheet notarized except in California where they are signing</li> <li>b under penalty of perjury and forward the errata sheet back to us at the address shown above.</li> <li>b the iter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.</li> <li>b is letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.</li> <li>b is letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.</li> <li>c is locevely,</li> <li>p orduction Department</li> <li>p orduction Department</li> <li>E fucl.</li> </ul>			
9Dear Sir/Madam:001011121314151516171819191010101111121314151516171618191010111213141515161718191010111213141515161717181919101011121314151516171718191910111112131415151617181919191911111213141515161718191919110111111 <td>7</td> <td>Veritext Reference Number: 2183243</td> <td></td>	7	Veritext Reference Number: 2183243	
<ul> <li>bear Sir/Madam:</li> <li>bear Sir/Mad</li></ul>		Witness: George Karypis Deposition Date: 11/12/2015	
10       Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness         11       review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and         12       the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature at the bottom of the sheet notarized except in California where they are signing         13       under penalty of perjury and forward the errata sheet back to us at the address shown above.         14       the address shown above.         15       If the jurat is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.         16       this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.         17       Sincerely,         18       Sincerely,         19       Sincerely,         12       Production Department         13       Encl.	9		
<ul> <li>Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness</li> <li>review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and</li> <li>the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature at the bottom of the sheet notarized except in California where they are signing</li> <li>under penalty of perjury and forward the errata sheet back to us at the address shown above.</li> <li>If the jurat is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of</li> <li>this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.</li> <li>is is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of</li> <li>Sincerely,</li> <li>Production Department</li> <li>Encl.</li> </ul>	10	Dear Sir/Madam:	
<ul> <li>review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and</li> <li>the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature at the bottom of the sheet notarized except in California where they are signing</li> <li>under penalty of perjury and forward the errata sheet back to us at the address shown above.</li> <li>If the jurat is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of</li> <li>this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.</li> <li>is iscrely,</li> <li>production Department</li> <li>Fond.</li> </ul>	10	Enclosed please find a deposition transcript Please have the witness	
<ul> <li>included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and</li> <li>the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature at the bottom of the sheet notarized except in California where they are signing</li> <li>under penalty of perjury and forward the errata sheet back to us at the address shown above.</li> <li>If the jurat is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of</li> <li>this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.</li> <li>Instruction of sincerely,</li> <li>Sincerely,</li> <li>Production Department</li> <li>Encl.</li> </ul>	11		
of the sheet notarized except in California where they are signing13under penalty of perjury and forward the errata sheet back to us at the address shown above.141516171819202021222324242425			
<ul> <li>13 under penalty of perjury and forward the errata sheet back to us at the address shown above.</li> <li>14</li> <li>15 If the jurat is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of</li> <li>16 this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20 Sincerely,</li> <li>21</li> <li>22 Production Department</li> <li>23</li> <li>24 Encl.</li> </ul>	12		
the address shown above.  H  I  I  I  I  I  I  I  I  I  I  I  I			
<ul> <li>14</li> <li>15 If the jurat is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of</li> <li>16 this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20 Sincerely,</li> <li>21</li> <li>22 Production Department</li> <li>23</li> <li>24 Encl.</li> </ul>	13		
<ul> <li>15 If the jurat is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of</li> <li>16 this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20 Sincerely,</li> <li>21</li> <li>22 Production Department</li> <li>23</li> <li>24 Encl.</li> </ul>	14	the address shown above.	
16       this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.         17		If the jurat is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of	
17         18         19         20 Sincerely,         21         22 Production Department         23         24 Encl.			
18       19       20 Sincerely,       21       22 Production Department       23       24 Encl.			
20 Sincerely, 21 22 Production Department 23 24 Encl.			
21 22 Production Department 23 24 Encl.			
22 Production Department       23       24 Encl.		Sincerely,	
23 24 Encl.		De la clim Deserver	
24 Encl.		Production Department	
		Encl	

[& - 5]

&	<b>11/12/2015</b> 193:3	141:6	146:13 147:3,5,9,11
	194:8	2000 26:4,9,12,21,25	
<b>&amp;</b> 2:13 3:4,14 4:3 6:15 7:9,11	<b>112</b> 5:15	27:1,9 37:3,9,12,23	<b>30</b> 120:17
	<b>12</b> 1:13 5:21 6:13	38:15,19 39:2,9	<b>31</b> 97:5
0	132:24 134:6	40:9 78:21 79:5,8	<b>310</b> 3:18
<b>07039</b> 194:2	139:15,24 140:11	81:24 83:6,12 84:17	<b>32</b> 143:23
1	148:16 178:7,8,11	85:3,12 94:14,17,20	<b>3200</b> 194:1
<b>1</b> 1:6 5:6 6:20 7:8,12	186:11	94:24 110:2,10,13	<b>336-8074</b> 4:7
9:23 11:4 23:14,17	<b>123</b> 5:16	110:20 175:22,24	<b>343</b> 6:23
47:3 64:10 71:24	<b>128</b> 5:17	177:13 186:16,24	<b>345</b> 6:23
114:10,16,18,22	<b>12:09</b> 106:10	187:12 189:21	<b>347</b> 6:23
116:24 117:2	<b>12:20</b> 106:14	<b>201</b> 3:16	<b>348</b> 6:23
118:17,19,20	<b>12:28</b> 111:3,4	<b>2015</b> 1:13 2:8 6:13	<b>35</b> 120:6
124:23 125:12,20	<b>13</b> 108:23 125:23	109:17 191:19	<b>36</b> 174:11,13
128:16 129:14	140:17 141:6	192:21 193:22	<b>37</b> 188:20,23
131:9 132:18 133:8	<b>13th</b> 192:20	194:4	<b>39</b> 42:19
133:15,23 134:3	<b>14</b> 100:20 140:18	<b>2015-00343</b> 1:4	<b>3:01</b> 160:11
146:13 147:3,5,9,12	<b>146</b> 5:18	<b>2015-00345</b> 1:4	<b>3:43</b> 179:20
147:15 163:10	<b>15</b> 95:11,16 96:15	<b>2015-00347</b> 1:5	<b>3:53</b> 179:23
164:9,10,14,16	97:16,22 98:7	<b>2015-00348</b> 1:5	4
173:4 181:21	109:17	<b>21</b> 100:19 188:19	<b>4</b> 3:6 5:10 24:17
190:15 193:3 194:6	<b>16</b> 131:9 132:24	189:13	25:2,4 68:20 69:5
<b>1,000</b> 104:17	<b>160</b> 5:20 115:22	<b>212</b> 3:8 4:7	114:10,15,18,22
<b>10</b> 5:18 125:13	124:12 129:1	<b>2183243</b> 194:7	116:24 117:2 118:5
132:21 139:16	<b>161</b> 115:22	<b>23</b> 5:6	118:17,19,19
146:7,12,12 147:4	<b>162</b> 121:21 122:15	<b>237</b> 100:18	128:16 129:14
<b>100</b> 47:6 48:1,8	<b>178</b> 5:21	<b>24</b> 143:23 173:9	132:1,18 146:13
50:22 57:4 58:2	<b>179</b> 188:19	<b>25</b> 47:13 50:23	147:3,5,8,11,15
60:15	<b>18</b> 125:24	69:19 104:13	148:15 160:12
<b>100,000</b> 101:13	<b>1:17</b> 112:2,4	<b>26</b> 95:9 97:7 120:16	188:18 190:19
102:5,7,11	2	173:16	<b>40</b> 174:11,13
<b>10016</b> 4:6	<b>2</b> 5:7 24:16,16 25:2	27 144:21	<b>42</b> 188:20,23
<b>10038</b> 3:7	25:4 64:14 68:16,24	<b>290</b> 194:1	<b>45</b> 120:3,8
<b>101</b> 124:1 129:2	69:15 95:7 106:11	<b>292</b> 124:1 129:2	<b>46</b> 97:6
<b>108</b> 5:14	114:10,16,18,22	<b>2:40</b> 160:5	<b>48</b> 136:2
<b>10:36</b> 64:9	116:24 117:2	<b>2:48</b> 160:6	<b>4:11</b> 190:8
<b>10:38</b> 64:7	118:17,19,20	<b>2n</b> 24:13	<b>4:23</b> 190:12,18,21
<b>10:48</b> 64:13	128:16 129:14	3	<b>4n</b> 24:13
<b>11</b> 2:8 5:19 106:17	132:1 146:13 147:3	<b>3</b> 5:9 68:18 69:2	5
106:19 107:10	147:5,9,12,15	76:8 77:5 100:18	<b>5</b> 5:12 68:22 69:8
124:20 125:11	164:10,11,18 169:1	106:15 114:10,16	114:10,15,18,22
131:12,14 160:14	169:2	114:18,22 116:24	116:24 117:2 118:4
160:16,19 177:3	<b>20</b> 120:2 124:23	117:2 118:17,19,19	118:5,15,15,17,18
	125:11,20 140:18	128:16 129:14	118:19,21 128:16
			110.17,21 120.10

129:14 130:18	0	absence 38:3	<b>agree</b> 6:9 94:8
131:11,21,22 132:8	8	<b>absolute</b> 127:1,8	112:21 132:6
139:15 144:7,8	<b>8</b> 5:16 123:8,10	131:19 132:10	134:10 158:13
	140:16 141:5		
146:13 147:3,5,8,11	148:15,16	134:11 142:25	189:3,11
147:15 185:3,4	<b>8,010,988</b> 1:9 5:8	149:21 151:6	agreed 14:12
186:10	68:17,25	absolutely 181:3	agreement 85:20
<b>5,874,686</b> 5:20	<b>8,056,441</b> 1:10	accelerate 141:21,23	86:25 100:4
160:14,17	<b>8,205,237</b> 1:9 5:9	142:9 143:13,18	ahead 20:16 23:13
<b>50</b> 136:2 169:3,5	68:19 69:3	accelerates 139:20	40:5 68:12 100:24
<b>52</b> 169:6,7	<b>8,640,179</b> 1:8 5:11	accept 128:17	128:8 162:17
<b>53</b> 169:3,5,6,7	68:21 69:6	129:14 130:1	algorithm 26:17
<b>56</b> 155:15,19	<b>8,656,441</b> 5:12	146:15,22	28:21 40:22 41:23
<b>57</b> 155:16,19	68:23 69:9	account 49:13,17,21	42:3,5 47:12,19,23
<b>58</b> 186:9	<b>80</b> 47:2 60:15	50:5 77:23 133:4	47:25 48:3,11 50:15
<b>59</b> 185:3,4 186:9	<b>800-227-8440</b> 194:2	177:8	50:20 58:17 65:1,2
6	<b>800-227-8440</b> 194.2 <b>800-567-8658</b> 193:2	accurate 18:25	65:7,17,19 66:2
_	1	33:15 43:14 116:5	70:18 79:23 95:5
<b>6</b> 5:13 91:10 108:22	<b>83</b> 71:24,25 72:1	accurately 8:7 85:5	113:16 128:22
108:25 109:3 110:9	9	action 95:13,22 96:1	137:25 138:16
114:18,22 117:2	<b>9</b> 5:17 69:17 76:5	96:4,13,14,18,19,21	145:9 150:16,22
118:5,17,19,24	101:4 104:16 105:7	97:10,15,18,21,22	152:15,19,23 153:3
130:23,23 131:23	128:9,10,13 130:13	98:6,15,19,23,25	153:6,10,15 154:10
132:21 177:2	132:18 136:1	99:3,7,9 192:15	155:4,10 158:9
<b>6,188,010</b> 5:15	143:21 177:3	actions 19:14 96:20	167:10 174:17
112:8,10	<b>9/15/2015</b> 109:18	97:17 98:12	177:7
<b>6,970,886</b> 5:21	<b>90</b> 2:13 4:5 6:16	actual 12:19 14:21	algorithms 26:21
178:7,9	9:16 101:5,7,11,25	25:18 32:16 156:23	41:1,4,7,9,12 63:21
<b>60</b> 91:9,11 100:3	103:10 104:24	157:2 180:13	63:22
<b>61</b> 105:14 185:3,4	<b>90,000</b> 103:10	add 131:25 132:7	align 117:6,15 118:2
<b>622,221</b> 133:15	<b>90401</b> 3:17	187:24	118:4,5,15,22 119:1
622,221,654321	<b>97</b> 123:25	addition 82:18	
133:11	<b>973-629-1287</b> 194:2	148:17	141:13,16 145:10 149:10
<b>656-7066</b> 3:18	<b>98</b> 122:2		aligned 131:18
<b>68</b> 5:8	<b>988</b> 69:15 95:8,17	<b>additional</b> 97:11,19 97:25 98:3	0
<b>69</b> 5:9,11,12	96:15 97:5 98:7		135:10 142:15
7	<b>9:05</b> 1:14 2:9 6:14	address 194:13	143:7 149:16,17,20
		addressed 82:8,9	151:7 152:17,20
<b>7</b> 5:3,15 95:17 112:6	a	addresses 19:10	156:22 162:16
112:7,9,15 119:25	<b>a.m.</b> 1:14 2:9 6:14	addressing 19:7	<b>aligning</b> 145:12
120:12 144:9	<b>abc</b> 72:3,4,13,17,22	admissible 129:13	<b>alignment</b> 134:8
155:15,19 171:25	72:22 73:4 74:17,22	advantage 174:21	156:21 162:20
174:10,12 176:15	<b>ability</b> 8:11 130:7	174:23	alignments 117:11
<b>735-2419</b> 3:8	<b>able</b> 48:1 60:16	afraid 66:14	alleged 15:3
75 47:12,20 48:1,4,8	82:13 96:7 108:3	agnostic 107:25	<b>allow</b> 39:5 51:3
50:21 60:16,17,21	164:22 177:8 184:5	<b>ago</b> 22:8 25:11 70:6	59:11 76:17 77:2
		119:5 155:8 170:3	79:23 128:22

[	1		]
162:19 175:15	<b>appended</b> 57:4 58:2	<b>arps</b> 3:4 7:4,6	assumes 185:6
177:17 184:7,15	applicable 15:9,16	arranged 182:17	<b>assuming</b> 56:1 86:9
185:19	application 79:13	183:20	116:3 171:2
allowable 153:1	80:12,17 81:7	arrangement 62:11	assumption 105:2
154:12	applications 26:5	<b>array</b> 26:17 27:18	114:19 130:6
<b>allowed</b> 169:12	79:10 80:21	27:21 28:2,3,5,6,8	attached 191:12
170:1 177:1	<b>applied</b> 48:18 91:12	28:10,18,20 29:5,12	attention 119:25
allowing 103:24	<b>apply</b> 30:7,13 32:11	29:25 30:1,6,8,10	<b>attorneys</b> 3:5,15 4:4
<b>allows</b> 140:4 177:24	36:9 108:3	30:15,24 31:1,11,24	<b>audio</b> 6:8 21:24 38:8
alpha 84:1	<b>applying</b> 35:18 40:1	32:13	80:18 81:16,22
alphabetical 182:18	87:25 88:9	arrow 122:19	108:5
alphabetized 182:1	approach 27:1	art 11:14,18 12:3	authored 46:5
<b>amount</b> 24:14	48:16,19 51:14	15:4 17:9 18:6 26:7	<b>available</b> 32:1 68:14
121:18 158:17	76:22 104:4,5	33:16 38:19 44:20	187:6,10,13
177:18	113:16 119:5,12,22	46:22 67:5,10 79:4	<b>ave</b> 194:1
<b>amster</b> 2:12 4:3 6:15	128:25 134:23	82:4 83:5,11,17,21	<b>avenue</b> 2:14 4:5
7:11	135:2,11 141:20	85:11 90:1,23 91:4	6:16
analogy 54:6	143:12,14 146:2	91:6 106:21 107:1	avoid 9:6
anchor 121:17	150:17,20 151:10	108:16 112:17	avoids 139:19
andrew 3:11 7:5	151:11,13,18,19,21	160:22 175:5	aware 37:8
andrew.gish 3:12	152:1,2,18,25 153:2	177:13 178:11	b
<b>answer</b> 9:9,11 16:25	153:4,16,23,23	186:17,25 187:4	<b>b</b> 75:4,5 95:18
20:5 24:2 27:21	158:19 183:2,8	aside 13:15 50:19	<b>back</b> 20:16,17 32:17
28:13,23,25 38:13	189:20	53:19 94:22 167:12	39:20 53:18 57:4,4
43:17 52:13 59:19	approaches 61:3	<b>asked</b> 37:19 182:22	57:14 58:2,2 60:14
59:22 60:12 76:20	103:8 140:15	<b>asking</b> 39:16 58:20	63:18 64:13 71:23
82:13 85:1 110:3,18	182:24	58:25 76:3 83:4	103:20 106:14
115:21 177:1	appropriate 51:11	84:8 89:19 117:20	112:4 119:15
187:23	55:24 106:2,4,6	123:16 141:5	121:21 129:1
answering 188:12	129:15,17,19,24	aspects 14:7	148:14 150:7,18
answers 166:4	appropriately 179:5		151:11 152:13
anticipated 11:14	approximate 93:23	assignment 193:2	155:2 160:10
15:4	94:10,15,18,25 95:3	associated 30:2,10	175:25 179:23
antigination 16.10			
anticipation 16:19	174:16 175:15	30:14 32:2,21 34:14	190:12 194:13
<b>apart</b> 24:6 86:16	176:16,20,21,25	34:18 35:5,17,23,25	190:12 194:13 background 8:20
<b>apart</b> 24:6 86:16 <b>apologize</b> 65:4	176:16,20,21,25 177:4,6,7,15,16,23	34:18 35:5,17,23,25 39:24 51:20 52:12	background 8:20
<b>apart</b> 24:6 86:16 <b>apologize</b> 65:4 158:1 163:17 169:6	176:16,20,21,25 177:4,6,7,15,16,23 178:5	34:18 35:5,17,23,25 39:24 51:20 52:12 55:11 56:4 58:9,12	background 8:20 backtrack 29:13
<b>apart</b> 24:6 86:16 <b>apologize</b> 65:4 158:1 163:17 169:6 179:17 186:22	176:16,20,21,25 177:4,6,7,15,16,23 178:5 <b>approximately</b> 6:14	34:18 35:5,17,23,25 39:24 51:20 52:12 55:11 56:4 58:9,12 58:14 63:24 67:25	<b>background</b> 8:20 <b>backtrack</b> 29:13 <b>base</b> 103:11
apart 24:6 86:16 apologize 65:4 158:1 163:17 169:6 179:17 186:22 appeal 1:1 6:22	176:16,20,21,25 177:4,6,7,15,16,23 178:5 approximately 6:14 arbitrary 166:3	34:18 35:5,17,23,25 39:24 51:20 52:12 55:11 56:4 58:9,12 58:14 63:24 67:25 108:4 183:20	<b>background</b> 8:20 <b>backtrack</b> 29:13 <b>base</b> 103:11 <b>based</b> 19:5,14 36:10
<b>apart</b> 24:6 86:16 <b>apologize</b> 65:4 158:1 163:17 169:6 179:17 186:22 <b>appeal</b> 1:1 6:22 10:24 42:8	176:16,20,21,25 177:4,6,7,15,16,23 178:5 <b>approximately</b> 6:14 <b>arbitrary</b> 166:3 176:10	34:18 35:5,17,23,25 39:24 51:20 52:12 55:11 56:4 58:9,12 58:14 63:24 67:25 108:4 183:20 association 97:12,20	background 8:20 backtrack 29:13 base 103:11 based 19:5,14 36:10 49:2 51:12,16 55:3
apart 24:6 86:16 apologize 65:4 158:1 163:17 169:6 179:17 186:22 appeal 1:1 6:22 10:24 42:8 appear 145:25	176:16,20,21,25 177:4,6,7,15,16,23 178:5 <b>approximately</b> 6:14 <b>arbitrary</b> 166:3 176:10 <b>area</b> 18:20 56:21	34:18 35:5,17,23,25 39:24 51:20 52:12 55:11 56:4 58:9,12 58:14 63:24 67:25 108:4 183:20 <b>association</b> 97:12,20 <b>assume</b> 27:18,19	background 8:20 backtrack 29:13 base 103:11 based 19:5,14 36:10 49:2 51:12,16 55:3 55:6,23 83:4 94:7
apart 24:6 86:16 apologize 65:4 158:1 163:17 169:6 179:17 186:22 appeal 1:1 6:22 10:24 42:8 appear 145:25 appearances 4:1 7:2	176:16,20,21,25 177:4,6,7,15,16,23 178:5 <b>approximately</b> 6:14 <b>arbitrary</b> 166:3 176:10 <b>area</b> 18:20 56:21 107:15 108:8,9	34:18 35:5,17,23,25 39:24 51:20 52:12 55:11 56:4 58:9,12 58:14 63:24 67:25 108:4 183:20 <b>association</b> 97:12,20 <b>assume</b> 27:18,19 55:16 114:9,15,16	background 8:20 backtrack 29:13 base 103:11 based 19:5,14 36:10 49:2 51:12,16 55:3
apart 24:6 86:16 apologize 65:4 158:1 163:17 169:6 179:17 186:22 appeal 1:1 6:22 10:24 42:8 appear 145:25 appearances 4:1 7:2 appears 25:15 78:9	176:16,20,21,25 177:4,6,7,15,16,23 178:5 <b>approximately</b> 6:14 <b>arbitrary</b> 166:3 176:10 <b>area</b> 18:20 56:21 107:15 108:8,9 <b>areas</b> 108:10,12	34:18 35:5,17,23,25 39:24 51:20 52:12 55:11 56:4 58:9,12 58:14 63:24 67:25 108:4 183:20 <b>association</b> 97:12,20 <b>assume</b> 27:18,19 55:16 114:9,15,16 114:22 116:20	background 8:20 backtrack 29:13 base 103:11 based 19:5,14 36:10 49:2 51:12,16 55:3 55:6,23 83:4 94:7 98:15 99:1,3 119:12
apart 24:6 86:16 apologize 65:4 158:1 163:17 169:6 179:17 186:22 appeal 1:1 6:22 10:24 42:8 appear 145:25 appearances 4:1 7:2	176:16,20,21,25 177:4,6,7,15,16,23 178:5 <b>approximately</b> 6:14 <b>arbitrary</b> 166:3 176:10 <b>area</b> 18:20 56:21 107:15 108:8,9	34:18 35:5,17,23,25 39:24 51:20 52:12 55:11 56:4 58:9,12 58:14 63:24 67:25 108:4 183:20 <b>association</b> 97:12,20 <b>assume</b> 27:18,19 55:16 114:9,15,16	background 8:20 backtrack 29:13 base 103:11 based 19:5,14 36:10 49:2 51:12,16 55:3 55:6,23 83:4 94:7 98:15 99:1,3 119:12 125:2 137:7,20

			_
baseline 116:18	135:6,9 138:6 139:4	<b>briefly</b> 27:16 159:14	<b>cases</b> 176:6
basically 113:10	139:7 148:12,22	broad 20:20 99:12	categories 11:24
basis 74:2 103:24	150:23 151:11,13	<b>bucket</b> 51:16,19,23	41:6
beginning 64:14	151:14,17,23 152:3	52:4,7,15,17,20,22	category 12:2 51:1
106:15 113:20	152:3,9,12,16,18,19	53:3,7,13 55:25	<b>cc</b> 194:25
117:14 128:23	152:21 153:5,14,17	56:3,5,6	cellphones 6:6
160:12 174:19	154:1 164:12,15,17	<b>buckets</b> 51:11,14	<b>center</b> 115:11,12
175:3 176:4	166:2 169:13,22	53:3	centered 115:1
begins 91:15 120:2	170:24 171:5,17,18	<b>build</b> 139:17 187:11	<b>centering</b> 116:12,21
129:3 140:17	<b>better</b> 87:18	building 139:22	126:18,23 127:6,7
182:19 188:25	<b>beyond</b> 33:18 73:15	<b>bunch</b> 20:7 21:2	certain 11:5 14:7
<b>behalf</b> 9:22 11:4	<b>big</b> 5:14 108:24	37:18 41:3	21:14 24:10,12
beliefs 14:9	109:1 110:8	burdens 16:14	54:15,16 56:21
<b>believe</b> 10:10 12:8	<b>bigger</b> 175:1	business 80:22	58:24 61:4 78:9
12:24 13:20 15:1	<b>binary</b> 26:18 27:12	<b>byte</b> 45:5,15,19	84:2 113:17,19
19:18 20:6,21 21:13	27:13,17 28:10,11	<b>bytes</b> 104:17	116:11 142:19,21
22:9,24 25:8,17,25	28:14 29:2,4,11	С	153:11 156:24
26:1,12 37:12,14	37:6 183:15 186:4,6	<b>c</b> 3:2 75:5 95:11,19	168:2 177:18 184:5
38:2 41:9 42:23	<b>bit</b> 17:17 39:20	95:20 192:1,1	189:25
43:5 46:10,21 47:1	53:19 71:17 97:4	<b>caffeine</b> 159:5	certified 2:16
71:14 72:23 74:18	154:2	calculation 142:25	certify 191:9 192:8
78:14,17,20 79:2	<b>blind</b> 63:11	157:6	192:14
80:15 82:3,7,9	<b>blood</b> 192:16	california 3:17	challenge 78:10
85:20 87:6,13,14	<b>blue</b> 147:14	193:21 194:12	99:18
90:13 92:8,16 96:2	<b>board</b> 1:2 6:22	call 15:2 40:2 83:17	challenged 11:9,13
96:16 98:6 99:19	10:20,24 42:8,12	85:13 140:5 152:17	11:17
100:16 104:16	93:8,12 94:2 99:20	<b>called</b> 7:19 36:19	chance 96:9
105:12 108:19	100:7	77:8 85:11 113:22	<b>chances</b> 176:12
109:7 112:6 113:24	<b>board's</b> 85:21 92:10	156:20 168:1	<b>change</b> 89:10 91:6
114:7,13 115:8,22	92:18 93:6 94:23	calls 177:22	193:5 194:11,12
116:4 117:9,12,19	bonded 30:5	cap 170:9	changes 21:21
121:4 122:1 127:3	<b>bottom</b> 109:16	<b>caption</b> 6:19	194:11
127:21 128:6 132:2	122:2 124:17 131:1	<b>career</b> 46:1,16	character 72:25
139:12 140:1,5,8,13	194:12	case 6:19,22 11:1	73:1,19 74:3,4,6,7
148:3 155:14	boulevard 3:16	14:18 15:12 18:15	162:15 163:2 165:4
158:21 161:11,24	branch 54:11,13,17	49:15 59:14 64:20	165:12,21 166:14
162:13 163:10	55:25 56:4,6	71:14,15 74:18	182:3 183:7
164:19 165:12	branches 54:4,24	75:11 83:2 86:17	characterization
170:7 175:4,23	55:12 56:23,25	88:2 94:23 106:22	18:25 45:2 54:16
176:19 177:5 180:5	<b>break</b> 9:13,15 64:5	107:14 126:25	113:4 178:19
185:13	110:25 159:9 160:3	135:5,8 138:9,11,14	characterize 18:17
believed 129:7	<b>brief</b> 64:11 106:12	144:6,22 146:1	35:8 44:21 45:7
<b>best</b> 66:22 84:21	160:9 179:21	147:24 168:3 193:3	46:22 64:25 71:6
86:1,6,9,14 87:10	190:10	194:6	82:5 83:13 159:15
89:15,16 91:2 114:4			162:24 163:1,8,10

15405	04 4 400 5 44 45	• • • • • • • • • •	1 1 1 1 2 1 2 7
176:25	94:4 180:7,11,17	commercials 101:14	complexity 64:25
characterized 44:6	<b>closely</b> 162:12	101:18 102:11	65:6,12 66:3,5 67:3
44:13 85:6,7,9	closer 165:1 166:8	commission 193:25	67:14,19 68:10 70:5
183:9,11	closest 79:20 80:10	common 51:23 52:1	70:9,9
characterizing 65:6	85:2,4,8 87:17,21	54:11,12 75:1 78:4	component 140:25
characters 161:19	87:23 88:5,8,13,17	compare 27:23	components 21:2
163:12,19 164:8	88:17,22 89:5,22	32:15 36:5 40:17	140:3,24
166:22 167:8 168:7	90:17 93:4,9,10,13	74:3 75:3,18 113:2	comprises 97:10
168:25	93:17,20 94:5	114:17 119:18,23	computation 131:16
<b>charles</b> 4:8 7:10	138:12,15 150:3,12	134:8 142:1 147:2	<b>compute</b> 113:21
194:5	150:14,18,19 151:3	149:15 150:1,10	141:15,18 156:23
<b>chart</b> 5:16,17,18	153:9,19 154:6	152:10	157:1 168:22
42:17 123:9,11	<b>clr</b> 1:18 192:23	compared 34:21	computed 181:5
124:14 128:9,11	clustering 37:16	119:8 120:22 121:2	computer 145:11
146:8	cmacedo 4:9	121:8 133:9,15,20	computes 131:17
<b>check</b> 27:23 100:2	<b>coffee</b> 159:9 160:2	133:24 134:4 156:3	162:8 167:5
114:25 115:21	collection 183:19	161:23 163:12,19	computing 117:11
128:21	<b>column</b> 69:17 95:9	164:4,8,9 166:22	<b>concept</b> 25:24 26:6
<b>choose</b> 154:9 187:21	95:12 97:7 100:19	170:22	26:10 67:19 70:4,5
<b>chorus</b> 172:20	119:25 120:12	compares 45:19	79:3 94:9,13
christopher 4:15	136:1 139:15,24	comparing 75:7	<b>concepts</b> 67:22,24
6:11	140:11,16 141:5	117:2 125:17	conceptually 29:13
circumstance 45:14	143:21 148:15,16	144:15 161:7,12	concerned 80:3
circumvent 175:5	155:15,19 169:1	164:23 178:17	103:8 166:16
<b>claim</b> 11:25 15:1	171:25 174:10,12	179:9,13 189:7,16	conclude 89:4
16:19 17:8,21 19:21	176:15 177:2 185:3	comparison 42:15	concludes 190:20
92:10,10 95:11,16	185:4 186:10,10	42:24 47:14 73:18	conclusion 89:20
96:5,7,15,22 97:5,9	188:19 189:13	75:20 107:16 113:8	90:15
97:16,22 98:7,21	combination 66:22	113:13 117:5,7,18	conditions 8:10
99:2,10	combined 151:13	117:23,25 119:3,16	56:21 67:16
<b>claims</b> 11:9,13,17	<b>come</b> 33:1 68:14	119:18 120:17	confident 46:3
15:3 18:8 19:19,20	89:20 98:18	121:7 124:19,23	configure 187:21
25:15 78:10,16,19	<b>comes</b> 32:3 50:13	125:3,6,8,11,22,24	configured 81:25
91:4 93:25 99:18	63:5 75:5 107:7	131:4,8,11,12,15,21	153:7
clarified 87:14	116:19 152:25	132:7 133:3 134:5	<b>confirm</b> 14:8 123:23
clarify 9:3 173:23	<b>coming</b> 66:14 152:4	134:19,24 135:3	126:22
<b>clear</b> 9:1 122:22	174:7	145:3 146:24	connection 9:21
127:22 136:13,17	comments 8:21	150:25 151:4 157:6	10:1 12:5 15:23
144:4 180:15	commercial 101:17	comparisons 102:9	18:14
clearly 8:25	101:22 102:3,6,14	118:9 119:11,13	connects 142:12
<b>clips</b> 35:22	102:20,22,24	125:4,12,20 131:9	consecutive 119:20
close 79:19 81:11,25	103:13,15,16 104:2	132:17,21,24 141:3	119:23
82:18 83:18 84:20	104:7 187:15	142:4	consequence 174:15
85:2 87:10,16 92:15	commercially 187:6	completely 63:11	<b>consider</b> 16:1,4
92:22 93:1,2,8,12	187:10,13	172:11	52:16 53:12 61:24

63:8 77:16,20 78:3	94:23	conveyed 16:12	138:4 142:9 143:13
135:17 144:11,13	construed 42:13	conwell 12:15	143:19 144:12,18
153:7,14,19,24	90:12 94:3 99:21	178:11,15,20 179:2	145:23 146:5 148:2
154:4,8,10,11	consult 82:12	179:7,12,25 180:2,5	148:9 149:18 151:8
156:10 157:16	cont'd 112:13	180:6,9,16,19,23	153:20 154:16
163:13,20 164:10	contain 59:20	181:2 184:22 186:9	155:13,25 156:11
164:19 168:20	179:25 183:6	187:5	157:9 158:12 159:3
176:6,17 181:8	contained 57:3,6	<b>copy</b> 12:10 23:11	159:12,13,22
considered 101:21	75:22 76:14	112:7	160:24,25 161:16
101:23 118:25	containing 53:4	<b>corey</b> 4:10	165:17,18 166:9,25
135:13 153:7	56:8 58:1,22 76:4	<b>correct</b> 9:23,24	171:7 172:3 173:16
154:23 156:5	183:5	10:12,13 11:6,7,10	178:22 179:4,14,15
176:22,23	contains 36:13	11:11,14,15,20 12:4	182:19 183:9
considering 56:22	58:24 72:14 101:13	12:20,24 13:7 14:15	184:24 185:5 186:7
154:16,17,18	104:7 138:2 143:6	15:8,15 16:15,16,20	186:8 188:8 189:22
considers 63:15	180:7,16	17:9 18:1 25:12,13	191:10
71:2	<b>content</b> 18:24 19:2,2	26:7,8 27:14,15	corrections 191:11
consistent 18:9	49:11,17 62:15	28:12,13,16,22,24	194:11
87:12 121:12	63:11 77:23 81:16	34:23 38:12,24 39:1	correctly 39:16
122:18	81:19 107:16,16,20	39:10,14,21 40:11	58:20
consisting 52:9	107:25 110:11	41:7 42:10,11 45:6	correspond 39:13
56:12 72:24 172:2	contents 52:22	49:3,9,14,24 51:24	43:13 55:10
<b>consists</b> 29:25 53:15	53:12	52:7,17 54:1,4,5,23	correspondence
55:16 72:18	contested 93:24	55:1 56:15 59:2	53:10
constant 143:9	<b>context</b> 13:12,16	60:18 62:6 65:24	corresponding
constitute 57:19	21:8 22:13 23:25	70:23 72:5,14,15	24:17 147:25 151:7
135:22	26:15 67:25 68:3,6	73:22,23 74:14,15	correspondingly
constitutes 90:4	69:23,24 70:2 77:6	74:17 75:14 76:9,12	159:2
96:4,13	83:7 92:9 95:2	77:24 78:5,7,12	<b>counsel</b> 6:18 7:1
constraints 99:14	99:10 140:10 148:4	80:14 82:4,21,22	15:12,19 16:13 17:3
166:5	155:7 177:15	86:7 88:5 90:12	17:6 123:6
<b>construct</b> 58:17	contextual 14:4	92:24 93:25 94:12	county 191:3 192:4
102:2 103:4,12	<b>continue</b> 6:9 28:4	96:1 98:14 99:18	<b>couple</b> 8:20 10:18
104:23	74:5,8 75:6 144:17	104:25 105:1,20	29:9 67:18 104:22
constructed 102:13	157:5	106:23 110:21	115:19 117:10
137:5,7	continued 4:1	113:9 115:18 116:3	155:8 159:6
constructing 184:1	continues 120:2	116:10 118:7,11,13	<b>course</b> 9:14
<b>construction</b> 42:9	<b>continuing</b> 149:24	119:2,6,7 120:13,14	<b>court</b> 7:15 8:4
85:21 86:17 87:4,7	150:8	120:18,23,24 121:10,24 127:25	<b>court's</b> 92:10 <b>coverage</b> 105:6
87:12 88:1,11,23 91:8,14,25 92:10,11	contradiction 65:11 contrast 121:19	121:10,24 127:25 129:4 131:24	<b>coverage</b> 105:6 <b>cox</b> 10:12,15 11:9,13
91:8,14,25 92:10,11 92:18 93:6,8,12	contrast 121:19 convenience 91:7	129:4 131:24 132:15,19,20,22,23	<b>COX</b> 10:12,13 11:9,13 11:17 12:1,12 15:4
105:15 128:3	convenience 91:7 conversations 6:5	132:15,19,20,22,25	15:6 18:1,6,13,18
<b>constructions</b> 15:1	convey 154:22	135:7,13,14,18,19	18:19 19:1,5,7,15
42:17 86:24 91:12	COHVCy 134.22	136:7,9 137:9,22	19:24 20:11 21:9
42.17 00.24 91:12		130.7,9 137.9,22	17.24 20.11 21.9

22.2 2 12 19 20	<b>database</b> 19:12 20:2	178:10 189:5,14,15	<b>defines</b> 90:25 177:3
22:2,2,13,18,20		178:10 189:3,14,13	
24:7,23 25:6,15,19 25:22 26:5 37:12	33:3,6,7,12,20 34:1		<b>defining</b> 136:5 178:4
	34:2,3,14,15,18	<b>dated</b> 109:16	
40:25 41:17 42:1,4	38:21,25 39:12	day 33:2 104:6	definitely 84:25
43:6 68:4,6 70:10	43:16,18,21 44:6,13	191:18 192:20	<b>definition</b> 22:24
70:21 78:10,24 80:2	44:16,22 45:1,4,6,9	193:22	23:10 24:20,21,25
80:2,11 94:7,8,22	45:11,15,16,21	days 194:15	43:2,4 46:11 75:16
100:15 186:17	46:23 47:7 49:1,12	<b>dear</b> 194:9	84:13,16 88:3 97:22
189:4,12	49:18,22 50:6,22	decide 54:24	100:8 107:1,9 108:7
<b>create</b> 60:2 77:6	51:15,17,18,21,22	decides 90:11	135:21 149:6
168:4 184:6,9,12,13	56:7,10,12 57:2,3,6	<b>deciding</b> 11:1 49:13	152:14 153:22,25
184:14 189:25	57:18,23 58:7,18	decision 15:17 51:15	154:6 176:19
<b>created</b> 109:25	59:3,19 60:15 62:3	51:18 54:2,5,7 56:1	177:14,16,19,20
creating 54:2	62:12 66:23 67:7,12	62:21 188:2,5,11	178:2
136:11 184:18	71:3,10,19 72:4,13	declaration 5:6 9:22	definitions 183:20
187:22,25	72:17 73:3,5,11	9:25 10:9 11:3 12:8	<b>degree</b> 107:5,7
criteria 136:5	75:13,22,24 76:4,23	12:16 13:1,3,18	108:9
173:19	77:4,8 81:2,3,10	14:6 20:21 23:9,12	deletions 162:19
<b>crr</b> 1:18 192:23	85:5 96:24 100:10	23:15,17 47:3 64:20	department 194:22
<b>cryptic</b> 141:9	101:7,10,12,13,18	71:24 82:9,13 85:20	depend 158:19
cs2183243 1:25	101:23 102:3,4,12	87:13 88:25 89:8,24	181:16
191:25 193:2	102:13 103:17,18	90:20,24 91:9 95:25	depending 75:15
cursory 15:21	103:22 104:2,2,18	96:8 100:3 105:13	depends 32:18
<b>cusp</b> 110:23	104:24 105:10,23	106:17 108:19	52:24 170:12
d	107:17 120:17,20	109:6 110:16	<b>depict</b> 121:22
<b>d</b> 5:1 37:14 41:9,13	120:21 121:3,9	112:19 115:20	depicted 120:15
41:18 50:24 161:19	122:13 124:11	121:20 122:8,25	124:23 130:25
161:21	136:12 137:4,10,17	123:3,5 124:1 129:2	131:4 147:3
damages 61:2	137:24 138:8	130:9,11 160:24	depiction 120:25
data 30:3,9 31:18,20	139:17,21 161:6	178:13	depictions 122:7
31:21,23 32:2,17	162:7 172:24	declarations 13:4,10	<b>deposition</b> 1:12 2:11
35:5,6 38:1,6,14	174:19 179:24	13:11 14:8	6:8,14 8:14 12:16
39:21,24 43:12 45:5	180:3,6,16,23 181:4	deconstructed	13:21,24 14:3,13,17
45:10 46:24 48:6	183:21 184:2,4,10	101:19	15:5 23:24 68:16
51:11 52:10,11,12	184:13,14 186:18	decreasing 186:1	123:8 190:20 191:9
52:24 58:22 63:22	186:21 187:13,18	<b>deemed</b> 194:16	192:10,11 193:3
73:7 80:6 82:2 89:6	187:22,25 188:11	<b>deeper</b> 110:23	194:8,10
	189:21,24 190:1	<b>define</b> 65:12 67:10	derived 15:11,18
99:24 100:8 101:2 103:5 105:15,17,18	databases 189:1,5	74:23 90:5 142:11	39:14,20 40:3,6
	189:13,18	168:18,24	describe 29:24
106:1 113:22,23	<b>date</b> 6:12 23:19 69:1	<b>defined</b> 46:5 83:7	37:13 126:25 127:3
127:2,4 135:12	69:4,7,10 109:2,20	85:19 101:9 128:1	127:5 157:24
138:15,19 176:17	109:22 112:11	136:3 143:1,4 149:5	158:10 175:17
186:12,20 187:1,6	123:12 128:12	156:4,10 169:25	<b>described</b> 23:8 32:5
	146:9 160:18	171:17,18	34:24 44:17 70:21

## [described - douglas]

77:16 100:25	determined 66:10	<b>digit</b> 133:4 147:24	discussed 37:5
108:18 113:6,13	98:20 108:17	148:1	90:23 101:3 103:9
116:12 119:6 125:3	136:10 138:10	<b>digits</b> 133:4	107:23 170:3
125:10 145:22	165:20	dimension 55:18,18	178:12
172:6 175:19	determines 179:12	55:19	discusses 159:11
176:15 182:24	determining 16:19	dimensional 51:9	discussing 31:15
187:5	48:12 95:13,21,25	108:4	70:6 122:16 182:17
<b>describes</b> 36:20 41:3	96:14,18 98:23	dimensions 51:8,12	discussion 16:22,24
41:6,8,24 70:8,9	162:1,11 163:5	54:16 55:3,7,10,11	17:4 23:20 35:24
112:22 121:6	<b>develop</b> 20:1 103:23	55:17	50:9 78:6 97:2
140:25 155:12	developed 37:12,23	<b>dip</b> 148:18,24 149:1	108:14 110:8
178:16 189:17,18	175:12,24	149:4,7,9,10,10,17	134:25 151:2
describing 31:17	dictionary 181:8,9	149:20 151:5,8,10	188:17
34:12,20 72:2	181:12,12,14,19,23	151:15,18,23 152:1	discussions 15:11,18
116:24 117:17	182:1,16,23 183:13	152:4,9,20,25	17:6
140:14,22	183:14,17,19	154:14	<b>disk</b> 64:10,14
description 122:17	185:22	<b>dips</b> 141:1,2 149:13	105:23 106:3,11,15
135:25 136:1 141:9	differ 24:21 159:24	151:1,22 154:16,19	160:7,12 190:19
159:20 175:25	165:21 166:14	<b>direct</b> 106:16	displacing 97:11
185:5	difference 87:2	119:25 187:20	displaying 97:19
<b>design</b> 39:4 59:21	102:12 106:25	directed 141:4	<b>dispute</b> 11:1 13:16
77:1	107:4,5 131:19,19	direction 135:20	disregard 28:1
designed 36:23	131:22 132:1,11	directions 66:15	distance 84:3
38:11 43:24 63:23	134:11 143:1	directly 182:8	113:21,24 114:7
81:15,18 83:17	149:21 151:7 155:9	disadvantage 175:6	126:1,5,10,14
152:16,19,23 153:3	156:23 157:2,2	<b>disclose</b> 40:25 41:17	127:17 128:3
153:10,12,15,17	162:24 163:5,9	41:21 90:24 136:14	131:17 143:8 168:6
designing 62:9	165:13 170:22,25	142:6 157:10	168:24
179:5	171:13 180:25	<b>disclosed</b> 20:24 42:2	distinguishes 20:9
desirable 21:16	differences 115:10	90:1 117:9,13	distinguishing
176:1	162:15	167:10 175:19	20:23
<b>detail</b> 175:17	different 14:18	184:23	distortion 21:23
detailed 13:1	19:22 21:2 35:23,25	<b>discloses</b> 20:6 41:5	<b>dna</b> 175:13
determination	46:6 50:18 54:12,13	156:12 161:2,12	<b>document</b> 108:23,23
48:25 49:4 62:3,14	68:1,2,5 70:4 90:15	167:3,23 169:1	109:5,25 110:12
62:19,24 179:8	93:22 141:11 164:5	disclosing 42:4	documents 14:7
181:2	166:17,23 168:19	disclosure 17:25	doing 29:11 103:19
determine 34:22	168:22 172:6,12	18:2 19:11 22:2,25	114:2 126:18
48:1,4,5 49:23 50:7	173:2,3 180:9,18,22	25:22 80:2,8 122:12	145:17 148:17
50:10 53:11 57:6	181:18	127:12 136:8	151:25 157:5 162:4
58:7 63:8 67:6 71:5	differently 17:17	159:21 185:6,11	176:11 181:17
75:7,13 88:21	25:6 154:3 171:11	discontinue 142:3	double 100:2 128:21
102:10 121:18	differs 166:21	discretion 136:11	<b>douglas</b> 3:9 7:3
132:11 164:25	<b>difficult</b> 9:8 23:24	discuss 141:23	194:25
180:9,19,23		160:23	

		I	
douglas.nemec 3:10	electronic 97:12,21	58:1,13 71:2,3,4,6	93:13 134:14,16
<b>dovel</b> 3:14 7:8	99:1,4 183:13,14,16	71:10,12,13,18 73:6	138:12,18 142:12
downloaded 109:12	184:2	73:14,15,18 75:13	142:13 144:14,22
109:13	electronically 95:21	101:17,22,23 102:4	145:3,16,21 147:19
<b>dr</b> 6:25 7:18,24	98:20,22	102:13 103:18	158:10 179:10,14
10:12 12:16 13:4,9	element 30:1,10,15	104:3 105:18	exactly 21:11 24:24
13:22 14:12,16 15:6	35:14 95:11,14,18	108:25 181:3	30:13 31:8 48:18
19:7,15,24 20:10	95:19,20	enumerate 66:24	51:13 60:10 76:21
21:9 22:2 23:15	eliminate 61:19	equal 143:8 163:14	147:22 148:25
25:22 26:5 40:25	eliminating 61:23	163:21 164:11,20	180:1,4 181:4
41:17 42:1,4 64:15	elimination 61:21	166:24	examination 5:2
69:11 70:10 78:24	embodiment 137:18	equally 165:8,11	7:22 112:13
80:2,11 94:8 106:16	employ 187:18	166:4,16,17 167:11	<b>examine</b> 56:20 62:4
107:2 123:13	employed 155:13	168:8	73:14,17,21 182:10
128:13 160:19	employing 143:12	equals 101:24	examined 7:20
179:24 190:13	143:14	equated 100:9	52:22
draft 123:4	empty 56:23,25 57:1	equation 120:9,16	examining 75:9
<b>driver</b> 79:14	enable 79:12	equivalent 30:22	example 14:11
duly 7:20 192:11	enabled 188:9	168:1	16:11 21:17,23,25
e	enabling 174:24	errata 193:1 194:11	23:4 26:16,18 28:17
e 3:2,2 5:1 7:19,19	<b>encl</b> 194:24	194:13	30:23 34:16 37:15
112:1,1,12,12 192:1	<b>enclosed</b> 194:10	error 21:22 155:24	37:16 41:14,19 47:5
192:1	engine 173:6,11	156:3,9 157:18	47:18,22,23,25 48:8
earlier 110:4 121:13	188:2,11	169:2,9,11,15,21,23	48:14 49:16 50:1,13
early 39:3 75:19	engineering 108:13	170:13,13,19	50:15 53:23,25
117:13	entirely 66:10	171:12,16,16	56:16,23 57:9,14,23
ease 185:5	entirety 30:25	<b>errors</b> 177:9	60:14 62:19 63:10
easier 123:15	<b>entitled</b> 5:13 109:1	<b>esq</b> 3:9,11,19 4:8,10	63:15,19 65:22,25
easily 125:12	<b>entries</b> 34:15 35:23	194:5,25	66:18 70:24 71:1
easy 79:21	36:1 49:13 50:6	essentially 54:2	72:10 73:4,13,17
<b>ebenstein</b> 2:13 4:3	52:17 67:7,12 70:19	140:11	74:16 75:3 76:21,23
6:16 7:11	70:20 73:22 75:25	establish 32:25	79:24 81:13 97:15
edits 123:6	100:10 101:1,7,9	evaluate 55:9 148:6	100:25 101:4,21
efficient 19:16	105:17 106:1	148:10	102:8 103:14,21
175:14 183:3	170:10 184:3,23	evaluating 61:13	115:13 118:4
184:19 188:3,6	185:6,12,16,18	148:11	121:21 123:24
efficiently 184:6,8	189:6,15	everybody 84:2	124:5,12 129:7
185:20	<b>entry</b> 5:13 32:20	exact 12:9 21:19	131:20 133:2 144:3
either 9:1 23:2 28:5	33:20 34:1,13,14,17	22:3,7 24:1 29:3	144:4,7 146:10
35:3 59:9 126:11,15	34:18,21 36:5,11,12	39:9,11,13 40:10	147:18 153:13
151:5 182:5	36:16 44:5,12,16,22	74:17 80:6,10,17,23	154:13,23 162:21
elect 135:16	44:25 45:4,5,9,10	81:3 82:1,18,24	170:19 182:16
electrical 108:13	45:16 46:23,25	83:3,11,19,22 88:4	186:6
	49:12,18,22 56:8,12	88:7,13,17,22 89:5	examples 27:3,7
	56:19,21 57:3,18	89:22 90:17 93:2,9	37:18 50:3 57:12

	I		
80:11 115:19	69:2,5,8,15 71:24	extractions 139:2	fetching 96:23
121:19,22 130:8	95:7 100:18 108:22	f	fewer 119:11,13
excellent 159:9	108:25 109:3 110:9	<b>f</b> 112:1 192:1	<b>field</b> 18:18,19 19:1
<b>exclude</b> 47:12 49:5	112:6,7,9,15 123:8	<b>fact</b> 45:19 62:23	82:14 94:14
50:21 51:3 60:16,21	123:8,10 128:9,10	73:10,15 79:3	fields 19:4
60:25 61:4 73:6	128:13 130:13	116:15 122:18	figure 49:19 121:6
<b>excluded</b> 49:1 88:2	132:18 146:7,12,12	138:19 166:12,20	122:2,16 173:4
88:6,12	147:4 160:14,16,19	166:20 176:14	184:25
<b>excludes</b> 155:10	178:7,8,11 188:18	177:2	<b>file</b> 21:24 31:20 58:2
excluding 47:20	exhibits 5:4	<b>factor</b> 24:16,16 25:2	159:10,11,15,16,18
60:17 155:4,6	exist 80:25 110:2	25:2,4,4	159:21,24
excuse 18:12 27:5	existed 110:10,20	<b>fair</b> 9:4,11,12 11:22	<b>filed</b> 12:17 26:5
45:22 127:1 155:23	existing 110:13	14:3 16:9,10 33:8	189:22
179:1	exists 28:18 64:2	113:4 114:11	files 159:19
execution 99:22	71:5,13 81:3	147:18 161:1	filings 12:17,19
190:4	<b>expect</b> 40:24	178:15,19	13:13 14:21
exhaustive 21:4	experience 26:24	<b>fairly</b> 26:16	finally 17:5
25:11,14,18,24 26:6	44:4,11 82:14 94:20	<b>fall</b> 11:23 39:16	<b>find</b> 22:3,7 23:24
26:10,19,25 27:2,8	107:7,11,13,14,21	54:17 96:20 98:12	24:1 25:1 28:5,7
27:13 29:19 35:8,10	108:2	98:16 99:8 145:8	29:2,5 33:7 38:20
35:13,15 37:8,11,15	<b>expert</b> 8:17 11:5	falls 18:20 53:6	40:10 66:21 72:20
37:17 40:21 41:1,4	16:2,5,8 17:19,23	false 28:20 74:11	72:21 73:2 75:23
41:22 42:2,5,9,13	159:16	familiar 17:10,14	81:4 88:21 89:15,16
44:7,14,17,22 45:7	<b>expertise</b> 16:13 17:7	64:15 78:22 80:20	89:22 92:4 109:14
45:12,22,23,25 46:5	17:13	100:21 186:18,25	135:6,9 142:3
46:12,16,25 47:11	<b>expires</b> 193:25	187:12	143:10 150:2,11,16
56:14 58:18 59:6,12	<b>explain</b> 27:16 28:22	<b>familiarity</b> 17:12,14	152:12,16,19
59:13 61:8,11,15,17	29:21 113:11	far 57:13 91:3	153:10,12,12,16,16
61:23 62:10,18,22	explained 32:10	163:22 166:15	153:17 162:14
63:1,3 64:22 65:8,8	explaining 189:4,13	fashion 13:8,22	176:7 181:21 182:4
65:10,20,23 66:1,7	explains 172:1	14:23 32:5 49:11	183:23,24 194:10
66:11,17,20,23 67:2	explicit 80:8	52:6 54:9 58:18	<b>finding</b> 21:18 27:10
70:22,25 71:7,8,16	explicitly 22:4	59:12 77:2 103:25	36:16 38:23 80:5
71:19,21 73:9,12	exposure 26:9	184:3 185:21	89:5 90:17 91:21
76:18 77:13 103:25	<b>expressed</b> 11:5,8,12	<b>fast</b> 174:16 175:15	149:2
181:9 182:6,12	11:16,23 95:24	<b>faster</b> 79:24 188:12	<b>finds</b> 153:23
183:9 186:7 187:18	105:25 108:20	188:14,15 190:3	finished 9:9
187:24 188:7	112:18	fax 194:2	first 10:25 11:24
exhaustively 56:9	<b>extent</b> 14:10 46:10	<b>feature</b> 51:9,10	19:25 24:9 28:1
57:5 58:6 59:17	51:6 81:6 100:6	176:1	73:5,18 74:3,3,4
76:1	107:25 139:1	features 20:23	117:7,25 118:1,2,9
exhibit 5:6,7,9,10,12	extract 60:5 77:4	<b>fed</b> 14:1	118:21 120:16
5:13,15,16,17,18,19	<b>extracted</b> 77:17,20	<b>feel</b> 8:6 9:2,15 33:15	121:1,2,8 122:10
5:21 23:17 47:3	77:22		123:4 125:23
68:16,18,20,22,24			126:21 131:22

140:24 141:13	187:8 189:8	141:2,21,23 190:14	145:15
144:5,6,15 145:4,10	format 159:11,15,17	192:14	given 8:14 32:2 46:4
145:13 147:4	159:18,21,24	<b>future</b> 139:2,5,24	48:16 51:23 52:21
172:17 182:13	formats 159:10		53:12 55:21 62:5,13
185:22	forming 12:5 13:10	g	74:2,2,9 77:10 81:8
firsthand 26:24	13:23	<b>g</b> 7:19,19 112:12,12	93:19 115:16
fitness 66:10	forms 37:7 177:8	gapless 162:16	119:10,10 138:15
<b>five</b> 119:17,19,19,23	formula 157:24	general 8:20 15:25	139:3 141:10,13
147:24 148:1	formulates 177:21	18:19,20 19:9 22:16	148:21 163:13,20
179:17	<b>forth</b> 15:3 21:14	29:22 31:13 47:24	166:5 192:12
<b>fixed</b> 170:18	84:4 118:3,6 132:3	58:16 79:7,17 87:2	gives 30:8
fleshed 31:4	141:19 192:10	184:17	<b>giving</b> 97:14
flexibility 174:25	<b>forward</b> 194:13	generally 9:15 13:8	<b>go</b> 6:9 20:16 23:13
<b>flom</b> 3:4	<b>found</b> 22:3 35:1,3,4	20:8 38:18 39:7	32:13 36:10,12,15
focus 13:2 50:22	137:22 144:15	40:9 113:11 181:7	40:5 45:20 47:20
focusing 63:24 99:7	145:3,21 151:23	187:17	48:11 51:16 56:2
<b>folds</b> 73:19	152:9	<b>generate</b> 48:19	68:12 73:1 96:9
follow 15:13 62:8	<b>four</b> 10:1,5 23:16	60:10	97:4 100:23 106:7
154:24	68:13 69:11 147:19	generic 37:25 52:8	128:8 145:12 155:2
followed 36:2	147:22	<b>geologic</b> 136:3	156:22 162:11,17
following 57:22	fraction 24:12 46:24	george 1:12 2:11 5:3	163:4 165:22
58:21 141:10	<b>frame</b> 83:12 101:10	5:6 6:25 23:15,18 191:6,15 192:9	181:16,21,22 182:2
follows 7:21	101:21,22 102:15	191:0,13 192:9	182:3,8,22 183:4,5
forced 9:17	102:19,24 103:10	getting 151:20 164:1	183:23,24
foregoing 191:7	103:16,18 104:8,9,9	getting 131.20104.1 ghias 12:14 43:7	goal 28:13 44:15
form 20:19 21:12	104:12,23 105:3	160:15,22 161:1,12	45:17 54:21 66:8
29:18 32:7 38:22	<b>frames</b> 101:19	161:17 162:1,3,11	67:14 75:23 79:18
40:22 43:23 46:7,18	104:20	162:23 163:1,4,8,13	102:23 103:2,4
49:25 51:1 53:14	<b>free</b> 9:2,15 194:2	163:20 164:10,19	104:6 176:7
57:8 62:16 63:13	<b>front</b> 69:12 91:8	164:22,25 165:10	goals 19:16
70:13 76:2 77:22	95:8	165:15,19,21	goes 51:19 138:22
80:19 81:5 84:6	<b>fully</b> 8:7 13:1 31:3,4	166:13,15,21 167:3	152:13 156:24
85:16,17 89:1 90:2	<b>function</b> 23:1,4,4,5	167:10,12,23	162:1,4
92:19 98:9 99:11	24:10,14,19 25:1,3	168:10 169:1,24	going 8:24 20:25
103:6 117:8 129:16	30:3,7,13 32:12	170:5,9,12 171:25	56:20 59:25 63:7,18
133:6,17 134:21	35:19 36:9,18 40:2	172:20 173:4,7	64:9 66:3 67:3 78:8
137:23 138:13,20	49:6 51:19 126:12	174:3,11 175:19	81:4 103:20 106:10
143:3 145:6 150:13	126:16,16 150:15	176:1,15,20 177:3	111:3 114:16 149:7
151:16,24 163:15	155:12,23 156:18	177:20	152:11 156:25
166:10 167:1,16	156:20 157:19	<b>gigabytes</b> 104:14,16	160:6 170:16 174:1
168:17 172:9,25	158:7,8 187:20	105:7	179:20 190:8,18
173:22 174:4,7	functions 39:4 179:6	<b>gish</b> 3:11 7:5	good 6:17:24,25
175:7 176:5,18	fundamental 31:7	give 12:9,9 23:9,11	18:4 54:8 72:6
178:3 181:10,15	<b>further</b> 53:12 73:21	37:17 66:18 113:24	111:1 164:21 165:8
183:1 185:24 187:6	74:13 87:14 97:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	165:11 166:4

			1
167:11 168:8	38:20 39:4,8,25	humming 161:4	176:12
<b>google</b> 1:3 6:19 7:4	40:2,6,8,11,12	176:9	ids 66:22
10:3 12:13,17 193:3	47:22,25 48:14,19	hypothesize 21:18	<b>ifs</b> 130:4
194:6	49:16 50:1,12,19	hypothetical 72:2	<b>ignore</b> 55:1 80:17
google's 13:5	51:7 53:1,2,4,6,8,8	76:4 116:21,23	<b>ignored</b> 136:17
governing 17:7,20	53:19 56:17 57:1	118:18 128:18	ignoring 80:23
grab 110:25	60:8 63:20 77:10	130:2 149:24 150:8	illustrates 63:19
graduate 108:8	178:22 179:4,6,9,13	hypothetically	illustrative 115:25
granularity 102:17	180:1,4,9,13,19,21	114:9 146:15,22	<b>impact</b> 108:19
greater 23:3	181:5 184:24	i	implement 29:10
green 131:1	185:12,16,18	<b>i.d.</b> 5:5	34:25 55:14 185:19
grid 91:11 124:17	hashes 178:18	idea 77:10 79:17	implementation
124:24 125:5	180:22 181:1	ideas 51:6 63:20	80:22
130:24 131:1,3	hashing 178:17	identical 32:17	implemented 40:13
grows 174:20	head 12:11 21:1	40:16,19 81:9	40:23 41:14
guarantee 63:25	24:3 50:5 59:9,25	<b>identification</b> 19:14	implementing 41:18
86:5,13 89:14,21	126:4 157:21	23:19 59:5 69:1,4,7	41:22,25 187:4
93:17	health 8:10	69:10 88:4,7 98:16	implication 93:15
guaranteed 83:10	hear 9:2 65:3 158:1	99:1,4 109:2 112:11	implications 93:14
85:23 86:1,8 87:9	heard 10:21 44:5,12	123:11 128:11,22	<b>imply</b> 23:6 122:3
87:16,20 88:21	<b>held</b> 2:12 6:15,21	128:25 145:7 146:8	important 136:15
guarantees 28:21	hereinbefore 192:10	160:18 178:10	136:20,24 138:1
88:4,7,12 89:5,16	hereunto 192:20	identified 91:24	<b>include</b> 49:5 98:1
90:16 91:2	high 29:1 51:9	92:9,17 93:1,5,8	154:19 155:23
guess 140:17	116:17 170:14	identifier 31:23 92:2	included 125:13
guidelines 158:4	higher 23:2 108:17	185:7	170:10 194:11
guitar 172:22	highest 114:5,6	<b>identifies</b> 88:16,16	incorporated 6:20
<b>guys</b> 52:3	141:11,12,12,14,14	136:15 139:3 167:4	<b>incorrect</b> 44:20 45:1
guzman 1:18 2:16	141:16,17 142:1,2	173:12 178:16	46:22 47:1 130:8,9
7:16 192:6,23	142:22,24	identify 19:11 20:1	130:11
h	highlighted 147:9	21:10 43:25 44:25	<b>increase</b> 24:11,13,17
half 28:1 127:10	147:14	45:18 66:9 79:19	25:1,3 176:12
hand 192:20	<b>highly</b> 108:4	80:13 81:2,25 82:1	increases 23:2
hanlon 4:15 6:11	<b>hit</b> 157:7	83:18,19,25 84:2	increasing 27:20
happen 157:14	hold 104:19	87:10 102:18,20,24	186:1
happens 35:2	horowitz 4:10	103:15 104:7,8,10	independent 16:11
157:11	<b>huh</b> 69:13 91:18	127:16 138:5,6	16:17 17:5 86:23
hard 46:8	109:19 110:17	143:11,15 153:4	90:6
hash 27:2 29:17,18	112:20 116:7 132:5	158:10 161:3	<b>index</b> 30:8 60:4 77:9
29:21,24 30:11,15	134:2,7 148:20	identifying 20:9,10	77:17,20,22 78:3
31:25 32:4,11 34:19	159:23 173:10	73:15 78:18 88:11	175:14 184:14,18
35:16,18,22 36:8,9	<b>hum</b> 161:2	88:14,19 89:13,17	<b>indexes</b> 184:21
36:18,21,24 37:5,19	hummed 161:8	91:16,20 94:4 143:5	187:25
37:23,24,25 38:2,16	166:8 176:8	154:12 161:5 175:9	indexing 77:25

indicate 65:19 92:6	interfere 6:7 8:11	133:3 134:1,19,23	<b>key</b> 20:23 30:7,13
92:14 136:2 144:20	interpret 70:14	135:3,5,8,12,15,21	30:17,19,21,21,22
145:2	91:19	136:4,19,23 137:1,5	30:25 31:9,16,19,20
indicated 14:20	interpretation 100:5	137:16,18 138:5,22	31:21,22,25 32:11
99:20	105:22,24 106:2,4,6	139:9 140:3,17,21	32:16,16,18 35:14
<b>indicates</b> 144:10,14	interpretations	141:25 143:25	36:5,10,10,19,20
144:21	105:14	144:11 146:2,17,25	38:1,4,16,21,24,25
indicating 194:11	interpreted 12:1	148:4,14,16 149:5	39:7,12,12,14,19,19
<b>indicative</b> 52:15,21	invented 20:11	150:24 154:14	39:20,24 40:1,2,3,6
53:6	25:23 26:2 78:25	155:12 156:10,12	40:14,18 48:17,19
<b>indices</b> 184:7 187:22	94:9	157:10,17 158:15	48:21 51:13,20 52:1
188:4,6,9,13,14	<b>invention</b> 18:18,20	159:11,21	52:3,5,9,10,14,19
189:25 190:3	19:10,16 20:23	i	53:2,4,8,11,16,17
individual 34:13	80:12 187:4 189:6	<b>jennifer</b> 1:18 2:15	55:4,7,10,16,24
101:19 102:4	189:14,15	v	58:12,14 92:2
105:18	<b>inventions</b> 19:8 21:9	7:16 8:22 192:6,23 jennifer's 9:7	136:16 186:2
influence 13:18	106:21	v	<b>keys</b> 30:4 32:2 39:22
information 12:6,7	<b>inventor</b> 10:11	<b>jersey</b> 194:2 <b>job</b> 1:25 191:25	40:16,19,20 53:7
13:17 16:12 18:21	inverted 77:9	0	54:15 55:11 58:9
48:12 49:22 54:3,10	<b>involve</b> 127:6,6	<b>journal</b> 79:10	174:17 176:2
54:11 71:18 97:11	involves 12:11	jumping 110:23	185:25
97:20 98:1,4 107:17	ipad 23:22	<b>jurat</b> 194:15	kilobyte 105:3
107:20 108:2	<b>ipr</b> 1:4,4,5,5 10:6,9	k	<b>kind</b> 15:21 20:1,21
183:22	12:14,17 13:13	<b>k</b> 7:19 37:14 41:9,13	36:24 85:11 140:23
<b>initial</b> 117:11	14:21 15:2,17 23:16	41:18 50:24 66:22	<b>knots</b> 164:1
<b>inquiry</b> 184:16	irrelevant 166:3	66:22 67:1 83:23	<b>know</b> 13:13,25 14:1
insertions 162:19	<b>issue</b> 10:6 18:5 82:7	112:12 175:11	14:6,8,9,25 15:2,21
instance 10:25 53:1	106:22	176:24 177:24	18:22 19:3,10 20:22
118:24 147:4,8	<b>issued</b> 99:18	182:9,11	21:13,14,18,18,19
155:3 164:22	issues 8:11 16:8	karypis 1:12 2:12	21:21 22:25 23:1,1
instances 14:11	item 12:18 60:13	5:3,5,6 6:25 7:18,24	24:9,9 27:22 29:13
26:23	126:11 173:9,15	23:14,15,17,18	29:14,16 30:5,16
instituted 10:2	items 31:10 189:7	64:15 68:15,18,20	31:14,16,18 32:10
integer 24:18 36:19	189:16	68:22,24 69:2,5,8	33:10,21 35:5,18
intelligence 54:6	<b>iwamura</b> 12:15 43:7	69:11 106:16	39:3 40:20 41:11
intelligent 47:12,19	112:8,21 113:7,14	108:25 112:7,9	43:25 44:2 46:11
50:20 61:13 190:2	114:12,20,24 116:6	123:8,10,13 128:10	48:5,20 49:7,8,17
intelligently 54:3	117:9 119:6,18	128:13 146:7	50:25 51:6,8 52:3
intent 81:1	120:1,12 122:7,12	160:16,20 178:8	52:10 54:15 56:3,17
inter 10:1 15:10	123:25 124:6 125:4	179:24 190:13	56:19 57:15 60:2,3
16:14	125:11,19,21,25	191:6,15 192:9	60:4,5,6,7,25 61:22
interest 65:15	126:12,21,22	193:4,20 194:8	62:2,11,20,23 63:20
interested 80:5	127:12,23 128:19	<b>keep</b> 55:15 114:2	66:25 67:4,15,17
192:17	129:8,15,25 131:8	137:25	71:12 72:21,21
	131:16 132:11		73:11 75:5,6 78:1

## [know - low]

79:20 80:7,9,24	larger 39:21 170:15	68:7,8 69:20,22	located 6:16
81:10,12 83:1,12,24	174:20	70:1,5,7,8,16,22	locates 42:14,24
83:25 84:1,25 85:19	law 8:4 15:9,16 16:2	99:23	location 118:22
85:21,23 86:8,9,10	17:10,14	linearity 22:25	144:9
86:24 87:8,11,12,20	lead 28:22 53:3	<b>lines</b> 104:22 136:2	locations 146:5
88:18 89:14 90:7,9	67:17 137:12 190:3	139:15 140:23	<b>logic</b> 79:21
92:21,23 93:16	legal 16:8,18 17:7	141:6 143:23	<b>logical</b> 110:24
94:17 95:4 96:19,23	17:16,20,23 193:1	148:15 155:15,19	long 123:17
99:14 100:21 103:9	194:1	169:2 174:10,12	longer 146:3 171:23
103:20,24 104:11	<b>length</b> 30:6 32:13	177:3 185:3 186:9	look 24:13 36:6
104:12 107:16,17	36:21 76:5,7 77:5	188:20,23	40:19 47:2 49:8
107:23,25 109:20	82:8 113:18,19	<b>link</b> 30:1,9,14,17	55:21 62:15,24 64:2
109:24 110:3,5,7,12	171:21	32:14,15,20 34:16	68:11 69:14 71:9,17
110:19 113:21,25	letter 73:5,25 75:12	34:17,21,25 35:17	73:3,5 74:21 95:7,9
115:8 116:16	75:22,24 185:23	35:20,21 36:6,11,13	95:15 97:5 100:17
117:14 122:3,11	194:16	36:14,17 40:13,18	100:20 113:3 116:6
123:5 135:8 137:2	letters 72:14 75:1	48:20,23,24 57:1	116:8 120:4 121:21
138:25 139:4	<b>level</b> 54:6 107:1	63:25	123:18,22 124:14
140:13 141:15	108:16	<b>linked</b> 34:12,13	126:6,7,21 129:1,20
142:6 143:6,7,9,15	leverage 186:3	<b>list</b> 12:9 30:1,9,14	130:24 134:5
143:16 145:13	<b>light</b> 91:4,5	30:17 32:14,15,20	140:16 141:1
148:12 150:23	<b>likewise</b> 9:6 61:12	34:12,13,16,17,21	143:22 146:10
152:20,24 154:10	88:9	34:25 35:17,21 36:6	148:14 155:15
156:24,25 159:18	limit 79:25 127:13	36:13,14,17 40:13	168:20 169:2 173:4
159:25 160:1 162:9	127:24 155:12,22	40:18 48:20,23,24	174:10 178:20
163:22 164:19	156:4,9,18,19	63:25 92:6,14,15	179:2 181:19
165:7 166:1,3	157:18,19 158:7,8	105:14 165:16	182:22 183:14
173:18 174:5,7	limitation 127:17	166:6 170:5,8,10,12	185:22 188:18,19
175:1 176:11 182:1	limited 17:15	170:14,20 172:2	189:6,15
182:11 183:4	169:17	173:15 174:1,5,7,9	looked 14:25 45:3,4
knowledge 16:18	<b>line</b> 39:16 69:19	182:4	63:21 73:10 96:6
83:5 108:3	95:17 97:6 100:20	listed 12:8 145:25	<b>looking</b> 40:16 42:16
known 26:6 38:18	104:13 117:23	lists 35:20 36:11	42:20 45:14 49:8
40:9 79:4 94:13	120:6,16,18,19,23	57:1	53:10 54:19,25
113:2 161:9 175:21	124:17 131:21	litigation 8:18	57:13 62:20,25
178:18 189:5,14	140:17,18 142:23	little 17:16 39:20	71:11 72:3,16 74:13
1	144:21 145:8	71:3 97:4 123:15	74:16,24 91:14
label 122:9	155:17 159:8 169:8	154:2	95:16 130:12 141:1
language 11:25	188:21 193:5	livingston 194:2	171:4 175:2 181:13
33:24 95:12 123:6	194:11	<b>llp</b> 2:13 3:4 4:3	looks 44:5,12,21
large 14:10 46:10	linear 22:23 23:3	load 48:15	45:8,10 63:4 71:3
51:6 81:6 100:6	64:16,22,24 65:5,9	local 128:2,2 149:7	lookup 40:9,15
107:24	65:13,16,23 66:1,5	149:8	182:18 187:18
107.27	66:6,12,17,19 67:4	locate 38:16 48:17	low 116:17 159:5
	67:6,11,13,16,19,20	174:25 180:2,5	170:15

## [lower - mentioned]

lower 108:17 142:15	marked 23:18 68:25	176:11,22,23,23	28:15 32:23 33:9
<b>lowest</b> 114:6,8	69:3,6,9 109:1	178:21 179:3,9,13	38:7 41:3,11 43:2
149:21	110:9 112:10	180:2,5,7,10,11,17	43:15 53:5,15,17
<b>lunch</b> 110:25 111:4	123:11 128:11	180:20,24 181:1,6	59:10 60:20 62:18
<b>luner</b> 3:14,19 7:7,7	130:17 133:8 146:8	183:24 186:2	62:22 63:17 69:23
7:9 20:13,19 21:12	160:17 178:9	matched 43:20	79:14 81:6 82:15
32:7 38:22 43:23	<b>market</b> 187:14	174:17 176:2 180:8	83:9,14 84:19 86:11
44:8 46:7,18 49:25	marking 139:17	matches 38:16	93:16 94:3 99:14,21
53:14 57:8 62:16	marriage 192:16	42:15,25 43:2,3	115:12,13 136:13
63:13 64:4 70:13	match 22:3,7 29:3,3	44:1 54:22 63:6	142:14,20 144:1,13
76:2 80:19 81:5	29:6,16 34:22 35:1	80:17,24 81:25	148:25 152:22
82:6 85:17 89:1	35:3,4 36:6 38:20	83:18 138:7 145:16	154:7 166:23
90:2 92:19 98:9	38:24 39:11,13	147:19 148:8,12	169:10,16,19,21
99:11 103:6 117:8	40:11,16,19 42:14	153:11,24 154:12	173:23 176:16
129:16 133:6,17	42:24 43:10,11,15	158:10,11 162:2,12	181:11 183:16
134:21 137:23	43:22 61:6 66:25	163:14,21 164:11	meaning 70:1 86:16
138:13,20 143:3	71:5,13 72:4,16	164:21 165:8,11,17	105:3
145:6 150:13	73:4,20 74:5,8,10	165:24 166:8	means 41:5 170:25
151:16,24 159:4	74:17 75:8,14 77:21	167:11 169:17,18	177:4
163:15 166:10	79:20 80:6,10,10	169:22 171:5,5	<b>meant</b> 177:7
167:1,16 168:17	82:1,18,19,24 83:19	173:12,14,18	measures 68:9
172:9,25 175:7	85:4 88:5,8,13,18	175:16 179:10,14	116:17 167:24
176:5,18 178:3,23	88:22 89:6,15,16,22	matching 21:11	mechanism 61:18
179:18 181:10,15	90:17 91:24 92:7,9	39:8,9 73:2 75:5	<b>media</b> 159:18
183:1 185:24 187:8	92:15,22 93:2,10,11	91:23 93:4 104:9	medical 8:10
189:8 190:15	93:13,20 94:4,5	135:6,9 148:7,12,22	<b>meets</b> 97:21
m	102:11 113:3,4	150:3,12,23 151:4	megabytes 45:16
<b>macedo</b> 4:8 7:10,10	114:4 132:12	152:12 164:15,17	<b>melodies</b> 112:23
17:2 194:5	134:14,17 137:9,13	167:5 169:14 170:6	135:18 138:23
<b>madam</b> 194:9	137:22 138:6,6,11	170:24 171:18	140:6 161:10 162:9
maintenance 186:11	138:12,14,18 139:4	173:15 174:16	164:20 166:7,25
making 9:7 62:20	139:7 142:3,5,11,11	176:21 177:23,23	170:6 173:15,18
man 10:12	142:12,13,13,15,18	178:5	melody 43:9 113:18
manner 8:3 45:24	142:20 143:2,4,11	material 15:22	113:20 135:6,10
<b>map</b> 30:4 178:22	144:15 145:3,16,18	106:25 107:4	137:11 138:1 143:6
179:4	145:21 146:4 147:6	materials 14:24	145:18 146:3,23
maps 30:16 32:12	147:9,12,15,22,25	<b>matter</b> 107:19	148:4,7,8,23 149:21
36:20 180:18	148:3,5,11 150:15	192:18	161:5,9 166:8
50.20 100.10		<b>matters</b> 11:1 13:16	169:14 174:18
march 9:17	151:12,13,14,17,23		
	152:9 153:5,8,9,12	maximum 128:2	176:3,7,9,10,13
<b>march</b> 9:17	152:9 153:5,8,9,12 153:14,15,17,18,19	<b>maximum</b> 128:2 149:8 169:12,17	176:3,7,9,10,13 180:13
march 9:17 mark 23:14,14	152:9 153:5,8,9,12 153:14,15,17,18,19 154:6 156:5,11	<b>maximum</b> 128:2 149:8 169:12,17 170:1	176:3,7,9,10,13 180:13 <b>memorize</b> 123:17
<b>march</b> 9:17 <b>mark</b> 23:14,14 68:13,15,18 108:22	152:9 153:5,8,9,12 153:14,15,17,18,19 154:6 156:5,11 157:9,16 163:22	<b>maximum</b> 128:2 149:8 169:12,17 170:1 <b>meagher</b> 3:4	176:3,7,9,10,13 180:13 memorize 123:17 mentioned 25:10
<b>march</b> 9:17 <b>mark</b> 23:14,14 68:13,15,18 108:22 112:5 123:7 128:8	152:9 153:5,8,9,12 153:14,15,17,18,19 154:6 156:5,11	<b>maximum</b> 128:2 149:8 169:12,17 170:1	176:3,7,9,10,13 180:13 <b>memorize</b> 123:17

<b>merit</b> 103:17,19	mistakenly 122:18	narrows 35:19	27:5 37:21 44:10
104:3,5	mistakeniy 122.18 mk 29:12	nature 49:3 51:17	64:6 68:15 80:4
<b>merits</b> 103:7	modification 29:7	near 21:5 29:3,5,16	106:7 108:22
method 20:9 50:5,24	modified 29:5	38:16,20,24 78:13	110:22 112:5,14
50:25 51:3,5 52:25	<b>modify</b> 184:16	83:14 84:10 113:3	123:7 128:8 133:13
56:18 59:13,14	moment 13:2 22:8	178:21 179:3	133:22 150:6 159:6
62:18 76:17 88:16	53:20 68:11 70:6	nearest 83:1,23 84:5	160:2,13 163:7,16
116:14 117:13,17	106:8 120:3 143:22	84:9 85:14,15,24,25	164:6,24 166:19
127:15 167:2,23	170:3	86:2,5,7,12,19	171:10 172:18
methods 20:7 37:10	moments 25:11	87:24 93:23 94:10	178:6,25 179:16
37:13 55:3,14 59:10	119:5	94:15,18,25 95:3	182:15 190:6,13
60:24 107:23	<b>monica</b> 3:16,17	necessarily 21:19	194:25
117:10 175:12,14	morning 6:1 7:24,25	48:4 66:19 79:19	network 1:6 6:20
175:23	moulin 13:3,4,17,22	81:10 84:21 87:10	7:8,12 9:23 11:4
<b>metric</b> 165:5	14:12	87:17 93:1,9,13	190:15 193:3 194:6
microphone 176:9	<b>moulin's</b> 12:16 13:9	94:5 135:6 153:1	<b>never</b> 35:21 38:17
microphones 6:3,7	14:16 107:2	154:18 166:23	46:5 60:11 63:21
middle 27:23,25	<b>move</b> 10:19 73:24	172:21 184:12	<b>new</b> 1:13,13 2:14,14
29:14	74:11 78:8 93:22	need 9:17 48:2,6,12	2:14,18 3:7,7 4:6,6
<b>million</b> 101:5,5,7,11	99:16 145:22	54:24 75:11 96:7	6:16,17 25:11 42:2
101:25 103:10	mp3 159:19	104:8 114:25	42:5 172:1,5,11,13
104:17,24	<b>mt</b> 194:1	128:20 143:15	192:2,4,7 194:2
mind 50:13 98:18	<b>multi</b> 71:3	needing 90:8	<b>nine</b> 75:22 104:14
<b>mini</b> 159:11,15,16	multidimensional	negative 115:3,6,17	104:16
159:25	51:10	116:18	<b>non</b> 21:4 25:11,14
<b>minimum</b> 127:23	multiple 32:22	negatives 116:16	25:18,24 26:6,10,19
128:2,3,5 149:7	34:15 57:19 146:5	neighbor 21:5 78:9	26:25 27:2,8,13
<b>minute</b> 169:7	<b>music</b> 57:3 126:12	78:13,15,18,21,25	29:19 35:8,10,13,15
minutes 9:16 155:8	126:16 135:23	79:7,15,18 82:5,19	37:8,11,15,17 40:21
179:17	136:6,21 137:8	82:25 83:1,14,23	41:1,4,22 42:2,5,9
mismatch 163:3	167:13,14 168:12	84:1,5,6,9,10,11,12	42:13 44:7,14,22
165:4 168:2	168:14	84:14,16,19,23 85:1	45:7,22,25 46:5,16
mismatched 163:12	<b>musical</b> 136:25	85:6,9,14,15,16,18	46:25 47:11 56:9,14
163:19 164:4,8	n	85:22,24,25 86:2,3	57:5 58:6,18 59:6
167:7 168:7	<b>n</b> 3:2 5:1 24:11 67:7	86:5,7,12,19,20,21	59:12,13,17 61:8,11
mismatches 164:13	67:12 70:19 101:8	86:22 87:3,7,11,18	61:15,17 62:10,18
164:15,17 165:6,25	101:24 102:4 112:1	87:23,24 88:3,11,14	62:22 63:3 65:8,10
167:25 168:2	112:1,1	88:19,23 89:6,12,13	73:9 76:1,18 77:13
169:13 170:1	naive 119:21	89:17,18,23,25 90:5	103:25 171:2 181:9
175:11 176:24	name 6:11,24 193:3	90:5,18,24 91:1,5	182:6,12 183:9
		91:15 92:17 93:6,18	186:7 188:7
177:18,24	193:4 194:6	,	
missing 61:6	193:4 194:6 named 10:12 122:5	93:21,23 94:10,15	nonlinear 21:3 22:9
missing 61:6 misstated 137:15		93:21,23 94:10,15 94:19,25 95:4	22:12 23:3,5 24:6,8
missing 61:6	named 10:12 122:5	93:21,23 94:10,15	

nonlinearity 22:14	110:14 124:19	183:1 185:24 187:8	<b>once</b> 14:2 107:6
22:16,21	125:23,24 127:13	189:8	143:9
nonsubset 59:4	127:24 131:4,11,12	objective 136:5	<b>ones</b> 55:1 130:22
normal 8:24 33:19	132:18 134:6 141:3	obtain 40:1	133:12
33:19	158:15,20,23 160:8	obtained 144:22	<b>online</b> 110:6
<b>nos</b> 1:8	160:12 162:15	151:17	open 187:15
notarized 194:12	163:12,18 164:4,7	<b>obvious</b> 11:18 17:8	<b>operate</b> 156:18
notary 2:17 191:21	164:13,14,17 165:5	17:12,21	operates 122:7
192:7 193:21,24	165:25 166:22	ocampo 1:18 2:16	137:16
notation 5:14	167:6,7 168:7	7:16 192:6,23	operation 123:25
108:24 109:1 110:9	169:12,17,18 170:1	occasionally 10:14	opinion 11:8,12,16
<b>note</b> 6:2 113:7,7,12	170:9,18 190:19	occur 151:14 158:11	90:4,7,9 91:3 93:11
113:12 114:12,12	194:7,11	occurs 181:22	105:25 106:20
117:4,4,18,18,23,23	numbers 6:23 27:19	<b>offer</b> 16:8	139:6
118:8,8 119:1,9,9	30:23 115:17	office 1:1 16:5 86:17	opinions 11:5,22,23
119:13,14,16,16,17	123:17,20,24	86:23 87:4,6 88:20	11:24 12:6 13:10,18
119:17,17,19,22,22	124:10,10,23	89:3,20 90:6,11,14	13:23,25 14:18 16:8
124:25 125:3,3,6,6	129:21 132:8	90:25	88:1,24 89:8,24
125:8,8,10,10,15,19	146:20 155:18	office's 87:25 88:10	90:19,21,22 108:20
129:18 133:10,16	188:22	offices 2:12	112:18
133:20,25 134:4	0	<b>oh</b> 55:9 141:7	<b>opposed</b> 21:10 38:8
157:1,1 158:14	<b>o</b> 5:14 7:19 108:24	186:22	102:14 151:15
161:22,22,22,23	109:1 110:8 112:1,1	okay 11:3 13:2	171:6 183:18
168:21 194:11	112:1,12	14:11 17:18 18:12	opposite 66:15
noted 112:2 190:21	oath 8:2	31:12 32:3 34:5,8	optic 175:11
notes 15:21 119:20	object 62:25 84:8	34:10 36:15 40:4,15	<b>optimal</b> 84:21,24
119:24 126:2,5,11	143:3	47:4 53:21,24 54:19	optimizations 79:22
126:14 127:13,24	objection 20:19	65:22 66:20 67:14	options 155:3
128:7 133:8 134:9	21:12 32:7 38:22	68:2 70:3 75:2 84:5	<b>orange</b> 147:9
135:10 149:15	43:23 46:7,18 49:25	85:3 87:21 96:9,11	order 27:20 49:23
161:13,14 168:19	53:14 57:8 62:16	98:18 100:23 103:1	50:7,10 56:2 60:22
notice 2:15	63:13 70:13 76:2	105:5 107:9 115:15	61:5 66:4 117:24
noticing 64:7	80:19 81:5 82:6	116:24,25 117:2,3	139:8 168:4 182:18
notion 24:25 84:19	85:17 89:1 90:2	117:16 120:10	184:2,13,16 186:1
150:14 152:14	92:19 98:9 99:11	124:4 126:19	ordered 184:9
notwithstanding	103:6 117:8 129:16	127:10 130:24	ordinary 44:19
166:12	133:6,17 134:21	132:15 140:19	106:21
<b>november</b> 1:13 2:8	137:23 138:13,20	141:7 155:1 160:4	organize 103:22
6:13 192:21	145:6 150:13	162:21 163:25	104:1
<b>number</b> 27:21,24,24	151:16,24 163:15	168:11 171:3	<b>organized</b> 54:3,9,14
27:25 28:18,19 61:4	166:10 167:1,16	184:17 186:22	54:25
64:10,14 65:15,16	168:17 172:9,25	188:24 189:9	organizing 103:17
65:18 66:4 100:9,12	175:7 176:5,18	190:17	original 30:4 40:2
100:13 101:9 102:9	178:3 181:10,15	<b>omit</b> 137:5	40:14,20,20 53:2
105:16 106:1,11,15			172:7

102.15	1 40 4 6 4 55 4 0		155 00 04 156 0 0
outcome 192:17	149:16 157:19	89:3,20 90:6,10,14	155:22,24 156:2,8
outlined 48:16	172:16	90:25 95:8,17 96:15	157:3,5,6 158:14,19
output 25:3 165:16	park 2:13 4:5 6:16	97:5 98:8 100:18	peaked 143:7
169:16 173:14,19	<b>part</b> 12:14 28:3 36:3	112:10,22 120:1	peaks 117:12 121:17
173:22 174:5,6	43:9 49:2 61:20,22	160:14,17 178:7,9	127:2,2,14,16,18,24
outputs 170:5	65:3 66:21 120:20	187:5 188:19 189:4	130:13,19 138:10
<b>outside</b> 95:2 99:9,14	120:21,22 128:20	189:22 190:15	139:17 141:1,2,11
overall 152:5 157:13	138:3,24 140:1	patents 10:5,9,12,15	143:16 144:11,16
164:21 171:6	155:11 156:14	10:15 11:9,13,17	144:17 145:14
<b>owner</b> 1:7 3:15 4:4	177:1	12:1,12,13 15:4	149:13 150:25
7:8,12 190:15	partes 10:1 15:10	18:1,7,8,14 19:1,6	151:22 158:16,20
р	16:15	19:25 22:2,14,18,21	158:23,24 159:1
	particular 19:13	24:7,23 25:7,16,20	penalty 194:13
<b>p</b> 3:2,2 7:19 10:20	20:3 38:4,6 57:7	25:23 31:15 37:13	people 23:25 33:18
10:23 112:12	58:7,15 59:14,20	41:2 43:6,7 68:4,7	38:18 79:4 83:5
<b>p.m.</b> 4:11 111:4	62:4 69:17 74:18	68:12,13 69:12	85:10 94:14 182:20
112:2 190:21	75:3 93:12 95:9	78:10,25 80:2,13	percent 48:4
page 5:2,16,17,18	96:7 100:19 101:20	83:8 94:8,22 95:3	<b>perform</b> 28:2,10,11
42:19 108:23 122:2	110:11,12 112:25	100:15 107:24	28:14 58:23 73:18
123:9,10,25 128:10	113:15 120:1,25	186:17 189:12	75:25 96:19 131:16
128:15 129:2 146:7	130:10 140:1	path 55:4,7 56:4	132:7 172:1,13
181:21,22 191:12	145:19 148:15	157:1	184:5 189:24
193:5 194:11	150:21 153:8 156:4	patterns 140:6	performed 97:18
pages 12:23,25		-	-
182:2,8,10 183:4,5	157:14 158:18	<b>peak</b> 119:5,9,12	134:24 135:4
182:2,8,10 183:4,5 191:8	157:14 158:18 161:17	<b>peak</b> 119:5,9,12 120:12 121:1,2,8,9	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16
182:2,8,10 183:4,5 191:8 pairs 31:19 38:2	157:14 158:18 161:17 <b>parties</b> 6:9 192:15	<b>peak</b> 119:5,9,12 120:12 121:1,2,8,9 121:13 125:15,18	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6
182:2,8,10 183:4,5 191:8 pairs 31:19 38:2 pairwise 131:17,19	157:14 158:18 161:17 <b>parties</b> 6:9 192:15 <b>partition</b> 54:7 56:18	<b>peak</b> 119:5,9,12 120:12 121:1,2,8,9 121:13 125:15,18 126:1,5,10,14	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13
182:2,8,10 183:4,5 191:8 pairs 31:19 38:2 pairwise 131:17,19 paper 46:9 181:8,12	157:14 158:18 161:17 parties 6:9 192:15 partition 54:7 56:18 partitioning 50:25	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           127:14         128:1,21,22	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13 <b>person</b> 33:16 44:19
182:2,8,10 183:4,5 191:8 pairs 31:19 38:2 pairwise 131:17,19 paper 46:9 181:8,12 182:16 183:18	157:14 158:18 161:17 parties 6:9 192:15 partition 54:7 56:18 partitioning 50:25 51:2,5 53:23,25	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           128:24         129:4,9,18	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13 <b>person</b> 33:16 44:19 46:21 82:3 106:20
182:2,8,10 183:4,5 191:8 pairs 31:19 38:2 pairwise 131:17,19 paper 46:9 181:8,12 182:16 183:18 185:22	157:14 158:18 161:17 <b>parties</b> 6:9 192:15 <b>partition</b> 54:7 56:18 <b>partitioning</b> 50:25 51:2,5 53:23,25 55:2,14 60:9	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           128:24         129:4,9,18           129:25         130:7	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13 <b>person</b> 33:16 44:19 46:21 82:3 106:20 107:20 136:11
182:2,8,10       183:4,5         191:8       pairs         31:19       38:2         pairwise       131:17,19         paper       46:9         182:16       183:18         185:22       papers         46:4       81:21	157:14 158:18 161:17 <b>parties</b> 6:9 192:15 <b>partition</b> 54:7 56:18 <b>partitioning</b> 50:25 51:2,5 53:23,25 55:2,14 60:9 <b>parts</b> 140:8	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           127:14         128:1,21,22           128:24         129:4,9,18           129:25         130:7           132:16,16,17	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13 <b>person</b> 33:16 44:19 46:21 82:3 106:20 107:20 136:11 175:4 177:13
182:2,8,10 183:4,5 191:8 pairs 31:19 38:2 pairwise 131:17,19 paper 46:9 181:8,12 182:16 183:18 185:22 papers 46:4 81:21 paragraph 47:2	157:14 158:18 161:17 parties 6:9 192:15 partition 54:7 56:18 partitioning 50:25 51:2,5 53:23,25 55:2,14 60:9 parts 140:8 passage 100:22,23	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           127:14         128:1,21,22           128:24         129:4,9,18           129:25         130:7           132:16,16,17         133:25           133:25         134:18,18	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13 <b>person</b> 33:16 44:19 46:21 82:3 106:20 107:20 136:11 175:4 177:13 186:17,24 187:3
182:2,8,10       183:4,5         191:8       pairs         31:19       38:2         pairwise       131:17,19         paper       46:9         182:16       183:18         185:22       papers         46:4       81:21	157:14 158:18 161:17 <b>parties</b> 6:9 192:15 <b>partition</b> 54:7 56:18 <b>partitioning</b> 50:25 51:2,5 53:23,25 55:2,14 60:9 <b>parts</b> 140:8 <b>passage</b> 100:22,23 101:8 144:19	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           127:14         128:1,21,22           128:24         129:4,9,18           129:25         130:7           132:16,16,17         133:25           134:23,23         135:2,2	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13 <b>person</b> 33:16 44:19 46:21 82:3 106:20 107:20 136:11 175:4 177:13 186:17,24 187:3 <b>personally</b> 26:20
182:2,8,10 183:4,5 191:8 pairs 31:19 38:2 pairwise 131:17,19 paper 46:9 181:8,12 182:16 183:18 185:22 papers 46:4 81:21 paragraph 47:2	157:14 158:18 161:17 parties 6:9 192:15 partition 54:7 56:18 partitioning 50:25 51:2,5 53:23,25 55:2,14 60:9 parts 140:8 passage 100:22,23 101:8 144:19 188:25	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           127:14         128:1,21,22           128:24         129:4,9,18           129:25         130:7           132:16,16,17         133:25           134:23,23         135:2,2           135:10,11         141:11	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13 <b>person</b> 33:16 44:19 46:21 82:3 106:20 107:20 136:11 175:4 177:13 186:17,24 187:3 <b>personally</b> 26:20 36:23
182:2,8,10 183:4,5 191:8 pairs 31:19 38:2 pairwise 131:17,19 paper 46:9 181:8,12 182:16 183:18 185:22 papers 46:4 81:21 paragraph 47:2 60:15 71:24,25 72:1	157:14 158:18 161:17 parties 6:9 192:15 partition 54:7 56:18 partitioning 50:25 51:2,5 53:23,25 55:2,14 60:9 parts 140:8 passage 100:22,23 101:8 144:19 188:25 passing 136:1	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           127:14         128:1,21,22           128:24         129:4,9,18           129:25         130:7           132:16,16,17         133:25           134:23,23         135:2,2           135:10,11         141:11           141:12,12,14,15,16	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13 <b>person</b> 33:16 44:19 46:21 82:3 106:20 107:20 136:11 175:4 177:13 186:17,24 187:3 <b>personally</b> 26:20 36:23 <b>petition</b> 16:15
182:2,8,10 183:4,5 191:8 pairs 31:19 38:2 pairwise 131:17,19 paper 46:9 181:8,12 182:16 183:18 185:22 papers 46:4 81:21 paragraph 47:2 60:15 71:24,25 72:1 91:9,11 100:3	157:14 158:18 161:17 parties 6:9 192:15 partition 54:7 56:18 partitioning 50:25 51:2,5 53:23,25 55:2,14 60:9 parts 140:8 passage 100:22,23 101:8 144:19 188:25 passing 136:1 patent 1:1,1,7,8 3:15	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           127:14         128:1,21,22           128:24         129:4,9,18           129:25         130:7           132:16,16,17         133:25           134:23,23         135:2,2           135:10,11         141:11           141:12,12,14,15,16         141:17	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13 <b>person</b> 33:16 44:19 46:21 82:3 106:20 107:20 136:11 175:4 177:13 186:17,24 187:3 <b>personally</b> 26:20 36:23 <b>petition</b> 16:15 <b>petitioner</b> 1:4 3:5
182:2,8,10 183:4,5 191:8 pairs 31:19 38:2 pairwise 131:17,19 paper 46:9 181:8,12 182:16 183:18 185:22 papers 46:4 81:21 paragraph 47:2 60:15 71:24,25 72:1 91:9,11 100:3 105:13 106:17,19	157:14 158:18 161:17 parties 6:9 192:15 partition 54:7 56:18 partitioning 50:25 51:2,5 53:23,25 55:2,14 60:9 parts 140:8 passage 100:22,23 101:8 144:19 188:25 passing 136:1 patent 1:1,1,7,8 3:15 4:4 5:7,9,10,12,15	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           127:14         128:1,21,22           128:24         129:4,9,18           129:25         130:7           132:16,16,17         133:25           134:23,23         135:2,2           135:10,11         141:11           141:12,12,14,15,16         141:17           142:1,5,6,8,15,24         144:1,5,6,8,15,24	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13 <b>person</b> 33:16 44:19 46:21 82:3 106:20 107:20 136:11 175:4 177:13 186:17,24 187:3 <b>personally</b> 26:20 36:23 <b>petition</b> 16:15 <b>petitioner</b> 1:4 3:5 6:18 7:4,6 190:14
182:2,8,10 183:4,5 191:8 pairs 31:19 38:2 pairwise 131:17,19 paper 46:9 181:8,12 182:16 183:18 185:22 papers 46:4 81:21 paragraph 47:2 60:15 71:24,25 72:1 91:9,11 100:3 105:13 106:17,19 107:10 115:22	157:14 158:18 161:17 parties 6:9 192:15 partition 54:7 56:18 partitioning 50:25 51:2,5 53:23,25 55:2,14 60:9 parts 140:8 passage 100:22,23 101:8 144:19 188:25 passing 136:1 patent 1:1,1,7,8 3:15 4:4 5:7,9,10,12,15 5:19,21 6:22 7:7,12	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           128:24         129:4,9,18           129:25         130:7           132:16,16,17         133:25           134:23,23         135:2,2           135:10,11         141:11           141:12,12,14,15,16         141:17           142:1,5,6,8,15,24         145:4,10,13,20	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13 <b>person</b> 33:16 44:19 46:21 82:3 106:20 107:20 136:11 175:4 177:13 186:17,24 187:3 <b>personally</b> 26:20 36:23 <b>petition</b> 16:15 <b>petitioner</b> 1:4 3:5 6:18 7:4,6 190:14 <b>petitions</b> 12:21 13:5
182:2,8,10       183:4,5         191:8       pairs       31:19       38:2         pairwise       131:17,19       paper       46:9       181:8,12         182:16       183:18       185:22       papers       46:4       81:21         paragraph       47:2       60:15       71:24,25       72:1         91:9,11       100:3       105:13       106:17,19         107:10       115:22       121:21,25       122:15	157:14 158:18 161:17 parties 6:9 192:15 partition 54:7 56:18 partitioning 50:25 51:2,5 53:23,25 55:2,14 60:9 parts 140:8 passage 100:22,23 101:8 144:19 188:25 passing 136:1 patent 1:1,1,7,8 3:15 4:4 5:7,9,10,12,15 5:19,21 6:22 7:7,12 10:23 12:15,15,15	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           127:14         128:1,21,22           128:24         129:4,9,18           129:25         130:7           132:16,16,17         133:25           134:23,23         135:2,2           135:10,11         141:11           141:17         142:1,2,15           144:1,5,6,8,15,24         145:4,10,13,20           146:1,16,24         148:17	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13 <b>person</b> 33:16 44:19 46:21 82:3 106:20 107:20 136:11 175:4 177:13 186:17,24 187:3 <b>personally</b> 26:20 36:23 <b>petition</b> 16:15 <b>petitioner</b> 1:4 3:5 6:18 7:4,6 190:14 <b>petitions</b> 12:21 13:5 14:22 15:2,10
182:2,8,10       183:4,5         191:8       pairs       31:19       38:2         pairwise       131:17,19       paper       46:9       181:8,12         182:16       183:18       185:22       papers       46:4       81:21         paragraph       47:2       60:15       71:24,25       72:1         91:9,11       100:3       105:13       106:17,19         107:10       115:22       121:21,25       122:15         124:12       129:1	157:14 158:18 161:17 parties 6:9 192:15 partition 54:7 56:18 partitioning 50:25 51:2,5 53:23,25 55:2,14 60:9 parts 140:8 passage 100:22,23 101:8 144:19 188:25 passing 136:1 patent 1:1,1,7,8 3:15 4:4 5:7,9,10,12,15 5:19,21 6:22 7:7,12 10:23 12:15,15,15 15:16 16:2,5,19	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           127:14         128:1,21,22           128:24         129:4,9,18           129:25         130:7           132:16,16,17           133:25         134:18,18           134:23,23         135:2,2           135:10,11         141:11           141:12,12,14,15,16           141:17         142:1,2,15           144:1,5,6,8,15,24           145:4,10,13,20           146:1,16,24         148:17           149:8         150:2,11,17	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13 <b>person</b> 33:16 44:19 46:21 82:3 106:20 107:20 136:11 175:4 177:13 186:17,24 187:3 <b>personally</b> 26:20 36:23 <b>petition</b> 16:15 <b>petitioner</b> 1:4 3:5 6:18 7:4,6 190:14 <b>petitions</b> 12:21 13:5 14:22 15:2,10 <b>phase</b> 50:7
182:2,8,10       183:4,5         191:8       pairs       31:19       38:2         pairwise       131:17,19       paper       46:9       181:8,12         182:16       183:18       185:22       papers       46:4       81:21         paragraph       47:2       60:15       71:24,25       72:1         91:9,11       100:3       105:13       106:17,19         107:10       115:22       121:21,25       122:15         124:12       129:1       139:16       141:22	157:14 158:18 161:17 parties 6:9 192:15 partition 54:7 56:18 partitioning 50:25 51:2,5 53:23,25 55:2,14 60:9 parts 140:8 passage 100:22,23 101:8 144:19 188:25 passing 136:1 patent 1:1,1,7,8 3:15 4:4 5:7,9,10,12,15 5:19,21 6:22 7:7,12 10:23 12:15,15,15 15:16 16:2,5,19 17:8,10,21 26:5	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           128:24         129:4,9,18           129:25         130:7           132:16,16,17         133:25           135:10,11         141:11           141:12,12,14,15,16         141:17           144:1,5,6,8,15,24         145:4,10,13,20           146:1,16,24         148:17           149:8         150:2,11,17           150:19         151:5,8,10	134:24 135:4 performs 138:16 162:4 173:6 perjury 194:13 person 33:16 44:19 46:21 82:3 106:20 107:20 136:11 175:4 177:13 186:17,24 187:3 personally 26:20 36:23 petition 16:15 petitioner 1:4 3:5 6:18 7:4,6 190:14 petitions 12:21 13:5 14:22 15:2,10 phase 50:7 photocopy 5:7,9,10
182:2,8,10       183:4,5         191:8       pairs       31:19       38:2         pairwise       131:17,19       paper       46:9       181:8,12         182:16       183:18       185:22       papers       46:4       81:21         paragraph       47:2       60:15       71:24,25       72:1         91:9,11       100:3       105:13       106:17,19         107:10       115:22       121:21,25       122:15         124:12       129:1       139:16       141:22         145:8       141:22       145:8	157:14 158:18 161:17 parties 6:9 192:15 partition 54:7 56:18 partitioning 50:25 51:2,5 53:23,25 55:2,14 60:9 parts 140:8 passage 100:22,23 101:8 144:19 188:25 passing 136:1 patent 1:1,1,7,8 3:15 4:4 5:7,9,10,12,15 5:19,21 6:22 7:7,12 10:23 12:15,15,15 15:16 16:2,5,19 17:8,10,21 26:5 42:7 68:16,19,21,23	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           127:14         128:1,21,22           128:24         129:4,9,18           129:25         130:7           132:16,16,17         133:25           134:23,23         135:2,2           135:10,11         141:11           141:12,12,14,15,16         141:17           144:1,5,6,8,15,24         145:4,10,13,20           146:1,16,24         148:17           149:8         150:2,11,17           150:19         151:5,8,10           151:15,18         152:1,11	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13 <b>person</b> 33:16 44:19 46:21 82:3 106:20 107:20 136:11 175:4 177:13 186:17,24 187:3 <b>personally</b> 26:20 36:23 <b>petition</b> 16:15 <b>petitioner</b> 1:4 3:5 6:18 7:4,6 190:14 <b>petitions</b> 12:21 13:5 14:22 15:2,10 <b>phase</b> 50:7 <b>photocopy</b> 5:7,9,10 5:12,15,19,21 68:24
182:2,8,10       183:4,5         191:8       pairs       31:19       38:2         pairwise       131:17,19       paper       46:9       181:8,12         182:16       183:18       185:22       papers       46:4       81:21         paragraph       47:2       60:15       71:24,25       72:1         91:9,11       100:3       105:13       106:17,19         107:10       115:22       121:21,25       122:15         124:12       129:1       139:16       141:22         145:8       parameter       24:10	157:14 158:18 161:17 parties 6:9 192:15 partition 54:7 56:18 partitioning 50:25 51:2,5 53:23,25 55:2,14 60:9 parts 140:8 passage 100:22,23 101:8 144:19 188:25 passing 136:1 patent 1:1,1,7,8 3:15 4:4 5:7,9,10,12,15 5:19,21 6:22 7:7,12 10:23 12:15,15,15 15:16 16:2,5,19 17:8,10,21 26:5 42:7 68:16,19,21,23 68:25 69:3,6,9,15	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           128:24         129:4,9,18           129:25         130:7           132:16,16,17         133:25           135:10,11         141:11           141:12,12,14,15,16         141:17           144:1,5,6,8,15,24         145:4,10,13,20           146:1,16,24         148:17           149:8         150:2,11,17           150:19         151:5,8,10           151:15,18         152:1,11           152:16,18         153:2,4	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13 <b>person</b> 33:16 44:19 46:21 82:3 106:20 107:20 136:11 175:4 177:13 186:17,24 187:3 <b>personally</b> 26:20 36:23 <b>petition</b> 16:15 <b>petitioner</b> 1:4 3:5 6:18 7:4,6 190:14 <b>petitions</b> 12:21 13:5 14:22 15:2,10 <b>phase</b> 50:7 <b>photocopy</b> 5:7,9,10 5:12,15,19,21 68:24 69:2,5,8 112:9
182:2,8,10       183:4,5         191:8       pairs       31:19       38:2         pairwise       131:17,19       paper       46:9       181:8,12         182:16       183:18       185:22       papers       46:4       81:21         paragraph       47:2       60:15       71:24,25       72:1         91:9,11       100:3       105:13       106:17,19         107:10       115:22       121:21,25       122:15         124:12       129:1       139:16       141:22         145:8       parameter       24:10       65:14	157:14 158:18 161:17 parties 6:9 192:15 partition 54:7 56:18 partitioning 50:25 51:2,5 53:23,25 55:2,14 60:9 parts 140:8 passage 100:22,23 101:8 144:19 188:25 passing 136:1 patent 1:1,1,7,8 3:15 4:4 5:7,9,10,12,15 5:19,21 6:22 7:7,12 10:23 12:15,15,15 15:16 16:2,5,19 17:8,10,21 26:5 42:7 68:16,19,21,23	peak         119:5,9,12           120:12         121:1,2,8,9           121:13         125:15,18           126:1,5,10,14         127:14           127:14         128:1,21,22           128:24         129:4,9,18           129:25         130:7           132:16,16,17         133:25           134:23,23         135:2,2           135:10,11         141:11           141:12,12,14,15,16         141:17           144:1,5,6,8,15,24         145:4,10,13,20           146:1,16,24         148:17           149:8         150:2,11,17           150:19         151:5,8,10           151:15,18         152:1,11	134:24 135:4 <b>performs</b> 138:16 162:4 173:6 <b>perjury</b> 194:13 <b>person</b> 33:16 44:19 46:21 82:3 106:20 107:20 136:11 175:4 177:13 186:17,24 187:3 <b>personally</b> 26:20 36:23 <b>petition</b> 16:15 <b>petitioner</b> 1:4 3:5 6:18 7:4,6 190:14 <b>petitions</b> 12:21 13:5 14:22 15:2,10 <b>phase</b> 50:7 <b>photocopy</b> 5:7,9,10 5:12,15,19,21 68:24

<b>pick</b> 6:4 55:7,24	137:11,19,21 138:1	presentation 46:9	79:22 80:18 88:15
145:19	138:24 139:10,20	168:23,25	113:8,13 114:2
<b>piece</b> 167:13,14	139:25 147:22	presentations 46:4	118:9 119:16,18
168:12,14	<b>posed</b> 24:5 34:11	presented 13:17	120:13 122:17
<b>pieces</b> 117:6 126:20	<b>posing</b> 66:16	62:5,13	125:3,6,8,10 131:8
pierre 13:4	<b>position</b> 118:1,2,14	<b>presume</b> 29:10 41:4	132:17 134:19
<b>pitch</b> 113:1,2 115:9	156:23	53:16 96:23 174:24	138:25 140:12
127:4 161:8,9	positions 131:18	<b>previous</b> 29:14,15	145:12,17 146:25
<b>pitches</b> 114:14	<b>positive</b> 116:16	30:23	149:9 157:8 158:14
<b>pk</b> 55:4,5	possibility 60:1	primarily 175:12	166:16 173:7
<b>place</b> 6:6 24:1 39:8	149:23 157:15	primary 79:13	182:19
125:5,20,22,25	172:10 187:9	<b>printed</b> 109:21	processing 61:22
127:12 131:10	<b>possible</b> 42:15,25	printout 109:8	139:22 188:1,10
132:19,22,25	43:1,3,10,11,15	printouts 110:15	production 194:22
134:20 139:10	46:14,19 56:9,13	<b>prior</b> 11:14,18 12:3	<b>proof</b> 16:14
145:5	57:5 58:6,16 65:9	15:4 16:21,23,25	<b>proper</b> 124:5
placed 122:18	105:14,21 146:4,6	17:2,9 18:6 26:12	property 52:2,5
places 14:5	148:21 149:19	26:13 32:10 37:12	proportion 24:15
pleasant 194:1	151:22 158:6	39:2 61:22 90:1,23	proportional 158:15
please 6:2,5 7:1 9:18	169:15,20 170:5	91:4,6 94:17 112:17	158:22
148:15 160:15	<b>possibly</b> 108:13	160:22 175:24	proposal 104:22
174:11 188:20	potential 66:25 67:1	178:11 182:10	<b>proposed</b> 42:8 155:3
194:10,10	174:6	private 6:4	prototypical 29:23
<b>plus</b> 29:16	potentially 43:16	probabilistic 61:1	<b>prove</b> 174:20,23
point 14:7,13 18:4	48:5 75:4 103:23	probability 29:1	<b>provide</b> 61:1 136:4
18:22 23:21 27:23	162:18 170:15	<b>probably</b> 77:7 79:13	144:4 158:4
28:1,6 31:8 35:2	185:19	102:21 108:12	provided 21:17
41:10 62:23 68:9,13	practice 40:21	110:24 130:15	72:11 135:21
74:9 75:9 110:24	155:21	184:6 186:4	providing 13:15
113:23 117:21	precedes 18:8	<b>problem</b> 19:6,9 20:7	97:10
134:10 142:23,24	preceding 161:23	59:4,5 76:11,13	<b>public</b> 2:17 191:21
176:10 188:1	precise 22:24	problems 19:6	192:7 193:24
<b>points</b> 10:19 113:22	177:22,25	108:4	<b>purport</b> 17:19 25:23
121:18	precisely 23:8	procedures 16:5	26:1 78:24 94:9
populate 30:11	predates 159:18	<b>proceed</b> 7:18 32:6	purports 20:11
populated 32:4	<b>prefer</b> 84:15 124:2	proceeding 15:23	<b>purpose</b> 14:14 167:8
portion 20:18	preliminarily 94:3	proceedings 9:14	167:9 184:17,20
122:24 134:15	prepared 129:6	10:2,6 15:17 23:16	185:15
135:22 136:6,20,24	preprocesses 138:23	42:10	<b>purposes</b> 14:4 116:1
139:18 141:5 152:4	preprocessing	proceeds 32:9	116:20 128:17
154:3,5,8,22 155:5	139:11	process 17:24 19:17	130:1
156:21 172:6	<b>presence</b> 38:3 75:23	19:21 32:6 34:19	pursuant 2:15
174:18 176:3	<b>present</b> 4:14 58:8	35:7,9 40:15 47:14	<b>put</b> 15:3 21:14 30:9
<b>portions</b> 135:17	82:2 83:19 115:2,7	53:9 60:17,18 61:6	48:23 52:3 53:19
136:15,16 137:6,8	138:19 185:6	61:13 74:10 77:15	54:15 60:8 123:13

# [put - reconfirm]

153:20 154:2	120:22 121:1,7,8,14	59:19 62:7 66:7,13	47:17 69:24 100:2
<b>puts</b> 170:9 172:14	121:16,24 122:13	66:16 67:8,20 72:7	100:23 109:14,15
<b>putting</b> 43:14 94:22	123:19 124:5,7,20	76:3,16 77:19 82:14	121:25 122:20
167:12	124:24 125:17	82:15 84:7 85:1	150:6 191:7
q	126:11,15 127:20	86:11 89:2 92:12	reading 15:20 47:16
quadratic 23:5	128:16,18 129:3,7	93:19 96:10 98:10	100:2 133:14
qualifications	129:13,14,18,19	98:11 99:12 102:16	139:14 170:11
106:20	130:10,12,13 131:3	103:1 120:4 125:15	194:16
qualified 16:7	132:4,12 133:2,16	126:9 127:11 133:7	reads 109:17 133:11
qualifies 88:22 89:6	133:19,25 134:4,9	133:10,18,23	ready 143:24
90:18	134:14 136:20,24	136:22 142:10	<b>real</b> 2:16
qualify 17:22 61:10	137:7,20 138:2,7	150:5 153:21 156:6	really 38:13 71:12
75:8 98:6 99:2	139:3 141:13,14,17	166:11 168:9 171:8	99:13 103:8 110:3
quality 54:10,12	142:2,4,23 144:12	173:24 178:24	122:22 138:25
148:10 163:14,21	144:16,18 145:4,11	179:11 180:14	148:5 162:20
148.10 105.14,21	146:3,4,13,16 147:3	185:8 186:23 189:9	reason 8:6 193:5
<b>queries</b> 115:24	147:6,12,16,20,23	questioning 34:7	194:12
161:18 163:18	147:25 148:8,11,21	questions 8:25	reasonable 114:19
184:6 187:23	149:10,17,20,25	123:14 159:7	177:14,19
189:25 190:1	150:9 152:8,10	190:14,16	reasons 185:17
query 19:13 20:3	156:21 161:8,13	<b>quibble</b> 33:22	<b>recall</b> 21:7 22:4,6
33:6,12,13,21 34:3	162:2,6,10,12,22	quick 179:16	25:21 26:23 27:4,7
34:4,20 36:5 38:21	163:23 164:3,7,13	<b>quote</b> 119:21	27:10 41:20,24 42:4
38:24 39:11 40:17	164:16,18 165:2,4,9	r	46:8,20 80:7 115:10
43:5,8,13,17,20	165:13,24 166:5,21	<b>r</b> 3:2,9 4:8 7:19,19	116:14 122:14
44:1,3,15,25 45:8	166:24 167:3,4,12	112:1,12,12 192:1	126:3 157:20,23
45:14,17 49:3,6	167:17,19,20	194:5	158:2,3 170:2,17
50:7 53:11,15,17	169:13 170:22	radio 176:8	174:4
54:20,22 55:10,21	171:13,19,23 172:1	random 60:18,19,25	<b>receipt</b> 194:15
57:16 58:23,25	172:5,8,11,14,15,23	randomly 60:21	recess 64:11 106:12
60:10 61:22 62:5,13	173:6,11 175:8	61:4	111:4 160:9 179:21
62:21 63:14,16,17	178:16,17,21 179:3	range 30:5 32:12	190:10
63:21 72:3,13,19,25	180:1,8,12,18,21	36:21 56:3	recognition 18:24
74:2,4,6 75:16 76:7	181:5 183:7 188:12	<b>rank</b> 165:10,16,23	19:2 81:16,19,22
77:3,10,18,19,21	189:7,16 190:4	ranked 170:5,10,12	recognize 112:15
78:2,4 81:8 84:3	<b>querying</b> 36:8 43:18	170:14,20 173:15	124:9
92:20 93:16,17,20	188:1,10	173:19 174:9	recollecting 19:18
102:25 104:7,9	<b>question</b> 9:10,11	ranking 165:22	recollection 20:4
113:1,8,17,22 114:1	15:14 17:15 19:19	166:1	113:15 125:7 135:1
114:10,11,15 115:8	19:23 20:14,20 24:2	rate 23:2,6 144:19	135:24 136:8
115:16 116:5,24	24:4 27:21 31:4	reach 90:15	170:11
117:5,24 118:1,10	34:8,11 38:10,23	reached 90:6	recommended
118:12,17,18,21	39:15,17,18 44:9	read 13:11,24 15:5	81:14
119:1,10,17,19,22	50:15,16,17 52:13	20:16,17,18,25	reconfirm 170:4
	52:18 56:11 58:5,19		

	1 1 1 2		1051110
record 6:2,10 7:2	reduce 141:3	164:11,14,16,18	relevant 107:11,13
8:23 16:22,24 17:4	refer 10:14,19 17:13	165:3,24 166:20,25	107:14
20:18 23:20 34:2,3	18:5 33:5 47:5	171:7,14,20,23	<b>reliably</b> 71:4 75:7
35:5,24 40:18 43:8	88:19 104:15	172:24 176:17	<b>relied</b> 14:4,21
46:13 48:17,21 50:9	139:24 171:12	178:12,18,20 179:2	rely 12:6,7 13:9,23
50:22 51:12,13,19	reference 31:1,9	179:25 180:7,17	14:23 109:6
52:9 57:10,14,15,17	32:21,22,23 33:4,11	184:22 185:11	<b>relying</b> 117:12
58:21,24 59:3,15,18	38:21 44:6,13 56:7	189:7,16 194:7	188:13
59:20,23 60:6,15	69:20 74:14 91:23	referenced 119:4	remainder 74:14
61:20,21,25 62:2,5	92:1,3 93:5 100:10	166:7 167:14	remaining 50:23
62:12,15,21 63:1	104:13 108:8 109:6	references 90:23	remember 21:16
64:1,9,13 66:2,9,10	110:15 113:7,9,18	112:18 113:3 115:1	109:13
66:11 71:10 72:21	113:20,23 114:17	115:25 137:6	render 73:8
73:2,11 74:2,2,3,5,7	114:24 115:9,16	161:18 163:11	<b>rendered</b> 88:24 89:8
76:23 77:24 78:6	116:8 117:1,6,24	164:4,21 165:3,10	rendering 88:1
92:22 96:24 97:2	118:3,19,23 119:10	165:14,20 166:13	renders 35:13
101:10 102:6,22	119:20,24 120:18	166:15,23 167:5,6	<b>repeat</b> 20:13 24:2
103:12,22 104:10	120:20,21 121:3,9	167:18,21 170:21	31:5 39:17 44:8
104:12 106:8,10,14	121:14,15,17	170:24	52:18 62:7 67:8
108:14 111:3 112:4	122:13 124:9,16,25	referred 10:8 12:12	92:12 114:1 136:22
121:23 124:11	125:18 126:11,15	12:23	150:5 156:6 166:11
134:25 145:19	127:20 129:21	referring 18:7 47:19	171:8 178:23
150:7 158:18 160:7	130:3,20,25 131:21	86:18 108:11	179:11
160:11 162:7	132:12 133:3,5,14	161:21 185:1,2	repeated 140:6
179:20,23 180:3	133:20,24 134:3,9	refers 68:8 70:17	repeating 140:6
183:23,25 188:17	134:15 135:7,12,16	88:14 100:7 107:10	rephrase 31:5
190:6,9,12,18	135:18,23 136:12	140:2 176:22	report 42:18 124:12
192:12	136:19 137:4,17,21	regard 11:25 13:21	reported 1:18
recording 6:8	138:2,19 141:10,15	89:25 90:16	reporter 2:17 7:15
records 19:12 20:2	141:18 142:2,5,5,24	regarding 90:21,22	<b>represent</b> 136:20,24
20:10 45:18,20 47:6	143:6,17 144:16	95:25 102:9	representation 31:2
47:12,20 48:2,15	145:11,14,24 146:3	regardless 87:21	105:9 114:11,23
49:1,5 50:21 51:3	146:5,20,23 147:3,5	<b>regular</b> 143:17	161:13,14
51:16,17,22,25 52:6	147:20,21 148:1,5,9	<b>related</b> 108:8,9,10	representations
52:20 53:4 57:19	148:13,22,23	108:12 192:15	116:5
60:16,17,22 61:5,14	149:11,16,17 150:1	<b>relates</b> 60:4 91:5	representative 52:6
61:14,19 63:5,12	150:3,10,12 151:6,8	120:12	134:13,16
64:1 65:15,16,18,20	152:8,10 154:4,5,8	relation 31:10	represented 167:13
66:4,22 67:4 72:4	154:22 155:5,9,11	relationship 99:23	168:13,14,15
72:18,24 73:20	156:3,9,11,22 157:7	158:22	representing 6:12
75:10 76:14 77:11	157:9,14,16 158:16	relative 113:1	7:16 162:5,6
92:21 100:12,13	159:7 160:23	114:14 115:9	represents 31:9,17
101:11 103:10,11	161:10,15 162:2,12	116:17 127:2,4,8	52:15,20 161:17
recovery 61:2 64:1	162:22 163:13,20	161:8,9	request 10:3
	163:23 164:9,10,10		

## [require - scores]

Page 22

require 75:18	retrieving 52:11	66:9,11 67:1,15	172:17,19,23	
119:10,13 136:19	return 28:19,20,24	70:12 72:19 73:16	running 74:20	
136:23	32:17 35:4,6 44:16	75:4 76:5,6,13,15	154:15 155:21	
required 29:8	67:15 74:11 82:17	76:19 78:11 79:18	159:4	
102:10 104:19	82:24 83:10 85:23	79:20 80:23,24,25	<b>runs</b> 140:18	
105:10,23 116:13	86:1,6,9,12,13	81:4,7 82:17,24	S	
116:22 128:4	87:16,20 88:13,17	83:9,14,24 84:2,8	s 3:27:19112:1,1,1	
193:21	91:2 92:5,13 93:17	84:20,22 85:22,22	112:12 161:19,21	
requirement 104:14	114:3 138:11,14	86:6 87:5,8,11,12	193:5	
105:7 137:2	139:7 142:5 150:18	87:15,17,23 88:15	sample 115:24	
requirements 107:6	151:11 152:2 154:1	88:18 90:7 91:3,6	129:3 130:12 133:5	
<b>requires</b> 126:22	170:21,23 171:1	92:2,21,25 93:11,18	136:6	
research 26:17	172:16,21	94:16 95:18 97:23	<b>santa</b> 3:16,17	
48:23	returned 29:15	98:23 99:5,24,25	satisfy 153:24	
respect 14:2 52:1	43:17,19 85:4,8	100:11 101:14	173:18	
65:17 110:19	93:4,10,20 194:15	102:18,19,20	saying 22:6 46:17	
121:15,23 122:13	returning 57:13	103:22 105:4,11,16	57:20,21 80:9 87:1	
124:25 125:15	returns 53:2 73:19	105:19 106:22	120:6 127:7	
139:4 150:21	87:22 91:22 162:9	110:16,22 114:1	says 70:7 101:15	
respective 152:22	169:22	116:1 118:11,13,17	108:23 109:16	
rest 35:21 63:7	<b>review</b> 10:1 15:10	120:7 121:3,11	123:19 124:8	
99:15	16:15 19:5 61:14,15	122:4 125:14	128:15 139:16	
restate 89:2	61:19,21 94:7	126:13 130:9	144:1 146:13	
restricted 172:2	194:11	131:23 138:22	149:13 157:23	
restriction 126:1	<b>reviewed</b> 120:11	140:2,4,25 141:16	174:15 177:6,11	
restrictions 126:4	revisit 88:24 89:7,24	143:9 145:10	186:10	
172:14	90:8,19	148:19 149:11	scales 99:22	
result 28:6,12,16	<b>right</b> 10:6 11:19	151:1,12,15,23	scan 30:17 32:15	
35:18 43:5,7 44:3	13:6 14:14 24:15,16	152:6,17 153:9,11	67:17	
44:16,24 57:16	25:2,16,20,24 29:25	153:13 155:6 156:5	scans 70:18	
67:15 86:1,6,9,13	31:14,22 33:13	158:19 161:10,15	scenario 75:2	
86:14 91:2 92:3,20	35:19 36:7,12,16	161:19 164:18	149:25 150:9 151:3	
93:15 128:24 137:9	38:25 39:23,24 40:3	167:3,8,9 170:6	152:7 157:11	
144:22 150:19	40:23 41:5,15,19,23	172:4 174:8 176:25	scenarios 21:15 81:8	
152:3,15,24 153:1	42:15,25 43:9,9	177:4 181:2 186:1	127:4,5	
162:10 173:17	44:1,2 45:9,11,18	<b>risk</b> 61:6	scope 91:25 92:18	
181:1	46:13 48:18,19	rothstein 2:13 4:3	96:4,13,21 97:15	
results 102:17	50:16 51:9,10,17,21	6:15 7:11	98:12,17 99:9	
170:18 172:22	52:2,10,23 53:5,13	roughly 11:23	score 113:24 114:3	
173:2	53:16 54:13,15,22	139:16 158:21	141:16,18 142:16	
resumed 112:12	55:20,22 57:11,14	row 35:16 122:10	145:11 162:8	
retrieval 18:21 19:3	57:15 58:22 59:4,5	124:20	scores 117:11	
107:16,18 108:2,5	59:7,22 60:1 61:20	rows 131:5	145:15	
retrieved 172:3	63:4,14,16,22,25	run 91:22 93:3		
	64:20 65:13,18 66:1	124:5 165:19		
	96.02.07.27.0.0.15	120.16 120.2 6	152.00 156.0	
----------------------	--------------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	--
scoring 114:4,5	86:23 87:3,7,8,9,15	138:16 139:2,6	153:22 156:2	
scorings 114:6	87:18,19,24,24 88:3	182:5	169:14	
scroll 167:5,7	88:15,15,21,23 89:4	searching 25:24	segments 43:25	
sean 3:19 7:7	89:7,13,14,15,17,21	26:6,10,25 29:19	148:8 149:5 155:24	
search 19:17,21	89:23,25 90:5,16,18	33:4 37:8 60:13	156:8 172:13	
21:3,4,6 26:18,19	90:24 91:1,1,5,15	63:12 70:8,12 78:21	select 51:14 139:18	
27:2,8,12,13,14,17	91:22,24 92:5,8,13	78:25 79:8,16 94:11	142:16 155:25	
27:20 28:2,9,10,11	92:17 93:3,5,7,18	94:19 98:2 112:22	190:2	
28:14 29:2,4,12	93:21,24 94:16,25	139:19 151:21	selected 115:25	
30:12 32:4,6,9	95:4 99:22 104:11	155:10 175:13	selecting 96:19	
34:19 35:7,11,12,15	114:12 119:5,9,9	189:21	<b>selection</b> 60:18,19	
35:20,21 37:15,17	120:13 125:16,19	second 12:2 28:3	<b>send</b> 136:3	
40:22 41:1,4 42:3,6	131:7 132:17 134:1	73:24 74:6,7,11	sense 33:17 77:7	
42:13,14,23 44:5,7	134:18 135:2,11	79:11 82:11 118:14	sensitive 6:3	
44:12,14,18,23 45:3	137:9,25 138:17,24	118:22 120:8,23	<b>separate</b> 22:17,20	
45:7,12,22,23 46:12	139:7,20 140:3	121:6,9 122:10	24:6,22 67:22 83:6	
46:12,23 47:11,13	141:21,24 142:9,17	127:10 131:13	86:16 168:9	
47:21 49:2,6,13,14	143:11,13,16,18,20	141:12,17 144:8,23	separately 15:24	
49:18,19,20,23,24	144:2,17,20,23	145:4,19,23 147:8	september 109:17	
50:8,8,11,11 51:4	145:5,23 146:2,17	182:7	<b>sequence</b> 75:1,12	
52:16,21,24 53:9	146:24 148:18,18	seconds 43:20	114:18 115:7	
54:20 56:9,13,20	149:9 150:2,11,17	section 21:1 121:3	124:16 146:11	
57:5 58:6,17 59:6	150:19,22 151:9,10	140:10	147:5,11,15 148:1	
59:15 60:22,23 61:5	151:11,18,18,25	see 30:17 47:8,15	sequences 175:13,13	
61:9,11,16,18,24	152:1,1,4,5,11,14,18	55:9 69:19 91:17	sequential 32:14	
62:10,22 63:2,3,3	152:25 153:2,4,16	95:12,22 96:8 97:6	67:6,11,17 70:2,3	
64:16,18,22,23,24	153:23,23 154:5,14	97:9 103:7 109:18	sequentially 30:16	
65:5,8,10,13,19,21	154:15 155:22,23	115:23 120:15	70:19	
65:23 66:1,6,8,12	158:13,14,17,18,19	121:1 123:20	served 8:17 31:1	
66:12,17,19,21,23	158:23 162:5	124:16,19,22	session 4:11	
67:3,6,7,11,11,13,16	165:19 166:13,16	125:12 128:16	set 13:3 39:21 43:12	
67:21 69:20,23 70:1	167:9 170:23 172:2	129:21 130:25	60:23 73:7 82:2	
70:2,3,16,22,23,25	172:17,19 173:7,19	131:3 144:25	83:20 89:6 92:20	
71:2,7,9,16,19,21	174:17 176:2,14,16	146:12,20 169:4,8	99:24 100:8 101:2	
72:2 73:8,12 74:21	177:21 181:8,9,11	177:10 186:14	103:5 105:15,17,18	
75:25 77:11,14	182:6,12 183:13,15	188:16	106:1 118:9 119:19	
78:15 79:11,12,16	184:19 185:19	seeing 158:2,3 170:2	119:23 123:14	
79:18,22 80:18	186:5,6,7 187:24	170:17	127:23 138:19	
81:24 82:5,17,19,23	188:8	<b>seek</b> 73:4	145:15,15 158:7,8	
82:25 83:2,3,9,14	<b>searched</b> 46:24,25	seeking 28:8 40:10	169:17 170:20	
83:17,22,24 84:1,22	47:14 48:2,7,13	seen 60:11 143:17	175:11 182:2	
84:25 85:4,6,9,11	80:6 82:3 85:5	segment 44:2 135:7	187:21,25 192:10	
85:14,15,16,18,22	99:24 139:19 172:7	135:9 148:12,23	192:20	
85:22,24,25 86:2,3	searches 37:6,11	149:22 150:3,12,23	sets 80:6	
86:5,8,12,19,20,21	63:15 89:4 137:12	151:4 152:12,17,20		

setting 21:9 31:13	sir 126:8 194:9	song 43:21 44:1	specifications	
33:19,20	site 26:17	57:7,10 58:8 81:2	136:14	
setup 72:23	situation 47:6	98:1 156:5 161:3	specifies 97:10	
<b>sheet</b> 193:1 194:11	size 99:23 100:7,8	166:24 172:7,12,21	98:22 99:3	
194:12,13	104:18 105:9,15,18	172:23	<b>specify</b> 92:7,16	
<b>shift</b> 113:25 121:18	105:22 106:3	<b>songs</b> 43:19 57:4,11	specifying 58:15	
122:3 157:3,4	170:12 174:19	58:2 80:13 166:18	<b>speed</b> 23:7 79:11,16	
<b>shifted</b> 118:10,12	189:1	172:3 174:2	139:20 143:20	
121:7,14,16,23	<b>skadden</b> 3:4 7:4,6	sophisticated	speeding 79:12	
124:24	skadden.com 3:10	103:24 117:13,17	<b>spirit</b> 80:16	
<b>shifting</b> 122:12,17	3:12	sorry 12:18 50:14	<b>split</b> 51:8 55:17	
122:23 145:13				
short         146:3         107:1         108:16         187:4         101:5         103:3         115:5         ss         191:2         192:3				
shorthand 2:17	<b>skilled</b> 33:16 38:19	133:18 155:17	ssd 163:6,9 165:1,3	
showing 91:11	46:21 79:4 82:3	162:17 185:9	165:10 167:15,18	
<b>shown</b> 191:11	83:5,11,16 85:10	188:21	167:22 168:15,16	
194:13	94:14 175:4 177:13	sort 29:7 60:3,3,8	sss 162:22 163:6,9	
signature 60:6	186:12,17,25	83:23 93:14 96:20	164:23,25 165:2,10	
194:12	skip 138:23 140:4,5	107:19 185:18	167:15,17,21	
signatures 60:8	<b>skipped</b> 137:19	sorted 28:9,20 29:5	168:15,16	
signing 194:12,16	139:25	30:24 184:23 185:7	<b>ssu</b> 162:23 165:1,3,9	
similar 19:12,13	<b>skipping</b> 139:10	185:12,14,25 186:4	167:13,18,19,20	
20:2,3 21:10,19	140:7	sorting 185:15	168:13,16	
51:6 74:21,23 77:9	slate 3:4	sounded 155:1	stage 139:11	
84:4 95:6 166:17	<b>slightly</b> 180:8,18	sounds 99:25 111:1	stand 160:5	
167:15 168:16	<b>slower</b> 175:2	source 187:15	<b>standard</b> 29:4,10	
similarity 75:17	slowly 8:25	<b>space</b> 50:25 51:2,5	34:25	
167:24 168:6,18,22	small 21:21	53:23,25 55:2,14	standards 16:18	
similarly 52:12	smaller 23:3 27:25	56:18 60:9	17:7,16,20,23	
simple 55:15 63:19	170:16	speak 8:25 9:15,18	standpoint 33:16	
72:24	software 36:24	speaking 13:9 20:8	80:23 177:12	
simplify 61:5	37:22 38:11 40:23	33:3,24 39:7 181:7	star 130:18 144:7,8	
simply 63:7 67:20	187:7,10,14,18	187:17	start 27:5 54:20	
153:20	solo 172:22	<b>specific</b> 12:7 19:20	84:18 113:19	
sincerely 194:20	solution 76:22 84:21	21:16 36:13 41:1,8	117:14 129:17	
single 5:16,17,18	84:24	41:11,18,21 43:25	130:7 176:9 181:20	
32:21 34:14,18 46:9	solutions 193:1	81:7 116:14 157:22	182:9,11 183:6	
56:8,19 58:1,21	194:1	specifically 12:14	starting 31:7 100:19	
59:3,18 60:6,13	solve 20:7 59:4	14:6 39:4 41:25	starts 182:2,9	
66:9 67:15 71:17	76:10	154:11	state 2:18 7:1	
76:23 102:24	solving 27:19	<b>specification</b> 18:3,6	106:19 139:9	
103:18 104:11	somebody 83:11	18:13 20:5,6 21:14	171:10 191:1 192:2	
123:9,10 128:10 somewhat 19:22		25:19 170:18 189:4	192:7	
146:7	175:2	189:12	<b>stated</b> 22:5 42:12	
1	1	1	1	

		10111	
statement 16:10	stroke 32:16	sums 134:11	49:16 50:1,12,19
43:19 80:9 122:21	structure 38:1 58:15	<b>supply</b> 143:9	51:7 53:1,6,19
statements 90:3	60:9 63:23 77:8	support 13:5	56:17 57:1 60:9
states 1:1	187:7	sure 20:15 23:13	63:20 77:10 184:23
statistics 108:13,15	structured 137:18	24:4,8 26:13 31:6,6	185:11 186:11
<b>step</b> 9:17 29:14,15	137:24,25	33:23 36:2 39:19	tables 27:2 29:17,18
53:18 73:8 99:15	structures 186:13	44:10 52:19 58:4	29:24 37:5,20,24,24
139:22	186:19,21,21 187:1	62:9 64:6 69:25	37:25 38:20 40:12
<b>stick</b> 34:5	<b>studied</b> 17:25 18:13	72:9 82:16 89:3	take 9:13 17:24
<b>stop</b> 75:9 82:11	<b>stuff</b> 31:14 63:24	91:10 92:13 109:22	19:14 32:11 39:8
156:25	<b>subject</b> 191:10	110:13 115:15	40:22 47:2 49:17,21
<b>stopped</b> 144:20	<b>sublinear</b> 22:11,13	120:5 126:7,24	50:5 52:8 56:4,6
<b>storage</b> 104:14	24:22,25 25:6 68:7	127:22 149:2 150:6	68:11 69:14 71:1
store 30:2,24 31:18	68:8 79:25 95:1,5	171:9 173:25	77:23 81:8 95:7,15
31:24,25 38:1,3	99:17,21 100:5	178:25 179:18	100:17,20 104:23
40:14 51:11 102:21	105:16	185:2 190:7	120:3 121:20
<b>stored</b> 31:10 33:5,11	sublinearity 22:15	swear 7:17	123:18,22 124:14
stores 101:10	22:17	switch 23:22	125:5,20,22 126:5,7
storing 38:5,14	submitted 9:22,25	sworn 7:20 8:2	126:19 131:9,22
strategy 150:22	11:4 12:13 13:4	191:17 192:11	132:18,22,25 134:5
<b>stream</b> 178:5	23:16 48:22	193:21	134:20 143:22
strict 157:18	subscribed 191:17	symmetric 168:5	145:5 146:10
strictly 15:11,18	193:21	synonymous 22:10	149:25 150:9 152:7
strike 37:21 80:4	subsegment 114:4,5	22:12,17	155:15 159:9 160:2
133:13,22 163:7	<b>subset</b> 45:10 48:6,12	system 20:1,10	169:7 173:22 177:8
164:6,24 166:19	58:24 59:5,20 60:25	38:11 43:19,24	179:16 188:18,19
172:18 182:15	63:4 67:2 76:10	44:21 59:21 62:1,9	taken 6:17 49:12
<b>string</b> 72:22 75:8,16	84:25	62:10 63:11,14	64:11 106:12 111:4
75:24 76:7 77:3,11	subsets 60:7	75:21 77:1 81:1,14	160:9 179:21
114:10 115:16	substrate 164:15	81:16,18 112:22,25	190:10
123:20,23 124:9,10	<b>substring</b> 76:13,14	124:6 161:2,3	takes 36:19 133:4
128:19,23,24 129:7	77:4 162:5,14,20	169:22 178:16	139:10 158:18
129:21,25 130:2,7	164:12,18 170:24	179:7 189:24 190:1	talk 31:19 37:14,16
131:1 133:11,14	171:14,19,22,24	systems 36:24 37:22	53:22 171:16
146:16,19,23 162:5	174:25 175:15	107:17	talked 57:12
162:6,22,23 163:5	176:11	t	talking 9:6 10:16
167:3 174:16 175:1	substrings 77:5	<b>t</b> 112:1 192:1,1	17:2 22:14 39:23
175:10 176:21	171:6 175:10	tab 10:20,23	70:11 180:12
177:23	subtract 115:13	table 29:21 30:12	183:12
strings 72:14,18	successful 127:18	31:25 32:4 34:19	talks 19:21 41:9
75:22 76:5 77:7	suggesting 141:25	35:16,17,22 36:8,11	140:11 169:25
115:20 117:7	suite 194:1	36:11,12,16,22,25	186:20
123:17 161:18	summarize 20:22	38:2,16 39:9 40:8	teaches 148:17
163:2 168:4,6	summons 65:15	47:22,25 48:14	150:24 165:16
175:14			

[	1	1	1	
teaching 135:15	166:2 167:24	107:6,22,24 108:1	169:24 170:13,14	
157:17 174:3	169:25 171:17,18	108:21 118:16	170:20 171:12,16	
teachings 12:2	177:21 180:12,13	122:1 137:1,15	171:17	
129:24 136:23	testified 7:21 14:13	155:8 156:12 158:6	tolerant 21:20	
157:13	121:13	159:4 175:20 176:1	toll 194:2	
technical 11:5 13:18	testify 8:2,7,12 9:21	177:12,18 178:1,4	top 12:11 21:1 50:4	
18:22	testifying 8:3	186:16,24 187:3	59:8,25 95:11	
technique 175:21	testimony 13:22	thinking 54:8	108:24 120:18,19	
techniques 27:8,11	14:17 15:6 191:8	third 105:21 141:12	123:18 126:3	
187:19	192:12	thirty 194:15	128:15 146:12	
technologies 1:6	<b>text</b> 18:7 38:7 108:2	thought 50:14	157:20 171:25	
6:21 7:8,13 9:23	120:1,11 121:5	<b>three</b> 12:25 21:6	177:2 184:14	
193:3 194:6	139:23 143:23	55:17 72:25 73:1	topic 110:23	
technology 81:22	161:12,14 185:3	75:24 107:10 143:1	total 101:11 104:24	
189:18	textbook 38:1	threshold 86:11	132:8,10 134:10	
tell 58:23 184:25	thank 7:14 190:5,13	142:19,21 155:25	track 186:3	
<b>ten</b> 43:20 45:16	thanks 160:8	156:25 157:8	trademark 1:1	
55:18,19,19 165:20	theory 129:15	thursday 1:13	transcript 191:10	
165:22 166:6,9,13	thereof 31:2	tie 62:19	194:10,11	
166:15 169:22	thing 33:1 59:11	tied 140:9 164:1	transmission 21:22	
tendency 8:24	60:10 76:18 83:13	time 2:16 6:13,25	transmitting 96:24	
tenth 104:23	98:13 109:22	23:21 28:7 32:3	transverse 184:15	
term 22:8 24:6,8	143:11 145:20	49:18 62:21,23	traverse 36:14,17	
25:5,9,10,18 30:19	156:24 157:25	63:14,16,17,21 64:4	<b>tree</b> 41:13,18 50:24	
33:4 42:9 45:25	158:5 184:11	64:8,12 65:17 68:8	54:2,4,5,7,17,20,22	
46:15 64:16,19,24	things 21:15 55:18	68:8,9 70:9 75:9	55:1,4,8 56:1	
65:5 67:20 68:7	55:19,20 57:13 68:1	77:18,20 78:1,2	<b>trees</b> 37:14 41:10,10	
69:20 70:10,15,16	68:10 80:24 84:4	79:25 87:17 99:22	trial 1:1 6:22 10:23	
78:9,9,15 83:6,21	87:22 113:6 115:11	106:9,13 111:2	transmission 21:22 transmitting 96:24 transverse 184:15 traverse 36:14,17 tree 41:13,18 50:24 54:2,4,5,7,17,20,22 55:1,4,8 56:1 trees 37:14 41:10,10 trial 1:1 6:22 10:23 42:8	
85:13 86:16,18,22	117:15 122:4 140:8	112:2,3 158:14,17	194:10,11         transmission 21:22         transmitting 96:24         transverse 184:15         traverse 36:14,17         64:4         tree 41:13,18 50:24         8:8         54:2,4,5,7,17,20,22         55:1,4,8 56:1         trees 37:14 41:10,10         trial 1:1 6:22 10:23         42:8         17         tribunal 10:25         tried 13:12 20:22	
87:3 91:19 93:22,23	154:11 164:20	158:23,24 159:1	tried 13:12 20:22	
94:3,16 95:3,25	165:6,7,15 166:2	160:4,6,11 179:19	23:23	
96:5,14,21 98:7	167:10,25 171:20	179:22 188:1,10	<b>true</b> 28:19 45:13	
99:16 100:5,15	186:3	190:4,8,11,17,21	79:6 127:19 191:10	
140:13 176:20,24	think 13:25 14:9,17	<b>times</b> 3:6 67:19	192:12	
terminate 74:10	14:19 15:13 16:10	104:17	<b>try</b> 8:24 34:5 72:9	
75:19	18:24 19:20 24:24	<b>title</b> 91:23 92:1 93:4	123:14 161:3	
terminology 10:18	31:3,4 33:17 35:15	98:1 174:2	163:25	
18:5 32:25 33:14,23	39:18 41:8 42:16	<b>titles</b> 92:6,7,14,15	trying 27:20 33:7,22	
34:6	44:19 49:10 50:4,20	174:5	72:20,20 103:15	
terms 10:21 25:14	59:8 62:8 63:19	today 6:12,24 7:15	129:11 145:18	
29:22 33:3,18,25	66:13 75:15 76:16	8:8,23 9:21 10:15	154:21	
47:24 65:11 68:5	79:9 80:16 90:7	today's 9:14 34:6	<b>tune</b> 161:2	
90:9,12 107:5	97:1 98:5,11 99:8	tolerance 157:18	<b>turn</b> 6:5 9:10 71:23	
127:17 152:23	99:13 106:5 107:3,4	169:2,10,12,16,21	91:9 143:10,21	

107 5		100.10	1 20 1 10 72 0	
187:5	understand 8:1 9:2	unnecessary 139:19	values 32:1,18 53:8	
turning 60:14	9:20 10:16,24 13:12	unpatentable 11:10	55:6,22 77:17,21,23	
119:15 175:25	19:7,15,24 22:21	unquote 119:21	78:3 113:1,2 115:3	
<b>two</b> 11:24 12:24	24:22 31:8 42:1,7	unusual 178:1	115:7,14 116:18	
29:23 33:25 39:22	43:1 58:3,19 66:13	usage 33:8,9	161:8,9 179:9,14	
67:22,24 74:25 79:9	68:4 69:22 82:16	<b>use</b> 25:8 29:2,18	vantage 41:10	
79:9 93:14 105:14	84:7 87:4 90:10	30:6 33:4,12,18,20	variable 24:11,12,18	
105:17 107:10	94:2 97:14 101:1	36:24 38:15,20	variety 41:14	
122:4 126:20	123:16 139:23	42:10 47:11 51:13	various 16:14 54:4	
127:18 128:7	140:21 143:25	52:25,25 60:7 70:16	131:4 177:8	
130:21 140:3,24	149:4 156:17 169:9	77:5 79:15 85:13	<b>vector</b> 105:3	
152:3 155:2 162:25	185:10	113:1 114:12	<b>verify</b> 129:11	
163:2,11,18 164:3,5	understanding 15:9	116:15 121:20,22	<b>veritext</b> 6:12 7:17	
164:20 165:6,7,9,13	15:16 18:10 22:1	129:3 140:13 145:9	193:1 194:1,7	
166:22 167:17	24:5 43:4 72:10	146:16 149:13	version 110:5	
168:6,8 171:20				
178:22 179:4,8,12	94:24 96:3,12,17	162:13 168:5	127:8 154:14	
182:23	101:6,16 113:12	172:22 175:13	video 35:22 38:8	
<b>type</b> 33:18 38:6 42:2	125:2,9,21 127:15	182:25 183:14	80:13,18 81:19,22	
86:2 89:13,15 91:1	134:22 135:1	187:10 188:3,6,14	104:9,11 108:5	
97:18 98:11 107:23	138:21 140:10	190:2	videographer 4:15	
139:1 153:11,13	141:8 145:9 149:6	user 135:16 136:3	6:1 7:14 64:8,12	
172:14 175:8 184:5	149:12 156:1,19	136:18 137:7,12	106:9,13 111:2	
types 29:23	157:12 158:11	143:8 155:6,11	112:3 160:4,10	
<b>typical</b> 32:8 67:5,10	159:17 161:25	172:1 174:1	179:19,22 190:7,11	
81:12	162:3 169:11,23	user's 138:7	190:17	
u	171:15 173:21	<b>uses</b> 46:20 70:10	videotaped 1:12	
<b>u</b> 161:19,21	174:2,22	80:11 132:11	2:11	
<b>u.s.</b> 5:7,9,10,12,15	understood 36:4	177:20	<b>view</b> 11:18 25:5	
5:19,21 10:5 68:16	39:15,18 41:13	usually 24:9 31:16	52:9 71:20,22 86:15	
68:18,20,22,25 69:3	45:25 84:16 171:3	31:19 34:17 95:4	86:22 89:10,12	
69:6,9 112:10	186:12 189:20	136:14	91:24 100:14 102:8	
160:14,17 178:9	undesirable 22:3,5	v	106:24 107:3,12	
<b>uh</b> 69:13 91:18	22:7	<b>v</b> 193:3 194:6	124:4	
109:19 110:17	unimportant 135:17	vague 135:25	<b>views</b> 95:24	
112:20 116:7 132:5	135:22 136:6,16	valid 105:24 114:23	<b>virtue</b> 148:7	
134:2,7 148:20	137:6,8,19,21 138:3	176:23	visit 59:23 66:2,24	
159:23 173:10	138:24 139:10,25	validity 91:3	<b>vs</b> 1:5	
ultimately 90:11	140:7	value 24:14 28:5,7	W	
umbrella 11:21	<b>unique</b> 31:22 92:2,2	29:15 30:15 31:19	<b>w</b> 194:1	
un 139:17	united 1:1	38:2 53:2,4,8 55:23	wait 9:9,10	
unacceptable 178:2	unlimited 170:8	55:23 115:14	waived 194:16	
underlying 166:17	unmarking 140:12	178:22 179:4 181:5	walk 123:15	
168:21	140:13	184:24 185:12	14411X 120.10	
100.21		101.27102.12		

Page 28

want20:15 33:23111:1 191:6 192:9x $59:19 62:1,10 73:1$ $192:13,19 193:4$ $194:8,10$ x $8:24 89:7,23 90:19$ witness' $194:12$ x $8:24 89:7,23 90:19$ witness' $194:12$ y $95:14 102:18,20$ word $73:1 99:7,17$ $120:3 123:15$ $136:16 181:13,19$ y $18:22 182:3,9,23$ $18:22 182:3,9,23$ y $18:724$ $18:12,21 82:3,9,23$ $112:12$ wav $159:21,24$ words $72:25 75:19$ $99:15 142:22$ $99:15 142:22$ year $29:11 30:2 31:17$ $99:15 142:22$ year $31:14 46:6 50:18$ words $71:25 18:14$ $52:10 54:8 55:2$ $26:11 32:21 33:5,6$ year $50:14 59:9,21 63:23$ $33:11,12 39:3,3,13$ $99:6 100:4 185:8$ $51:8 89:11 10:19$ $76:25 81:3,15 84:15$ yield $103:9,23 110:7,19$ $77:12,21 99:2,4$ yield $16:13,16,21$ $125:13,16$ $129:3 134:9,15$ $103:9,23 170:2$ $136:21,25 137:21$ $17:13 78:17,17$ $17:14 178:12,121 179:3,3$ $46:15 55:13 113:5$ $77:17 29:22 32:22$ $18:1827:17 29:22 32:2222:24 36:9 80:14,1810:9 156:4,10,20work 21:10,1127:17 29:22 32:2210:9:156:4,02,2079:13witneed 168:327:17 29:22 32:22wighed 168:327:17 29:22 $	[		1
76:1080:1081:4194:8,10 $x$ 153,1755:23,2556:1,2,388:2489:7,2390:19witness'194:12 $xyz$ 55:17,21120:3123:15136:16181:13,19146:10186:2183:14,24185:22187:24183:14,24185:22wav159:21,24words72:25y7:1995:1856:1229:1130:231:1799:15142:22105:12120:313:1799:15142:2213:1928:4words72:25y7:1955:1856:22105:12122:9year29:1130:333:11,1236:840:1241:8,2143:1446:650:18ywork17:2518:1218:3:5,6,1918:1219:33,13year107:10year107:10year107:10year99:6103:9,23110:7,1997:12,2199:2,4116:11122:12:113:8:1118:1012:31,16,21129:313:4:2,12139:17year14:12.149:316:2:13,16,21129:317:15177:2113:2:14138:214:12149:20yield149:20yield149:20yield149:20yield149:2016:2:3,16,21129:317:15177:21			X
76:10 80:10 81:4194:8,1055:23,25 56:1,2,3 $88:24 89:7,23 90:19$ witness' 194:12word 73:1 99:7,17 $95:14 102:18,20$ word 73:1 99:7,17 $136:16 181:13,19$ $136:16 181:13,19$ $146:10 186:2$ $181:22 182:3,9,23$ $187:24$ $183:14,24 185:22$ wav 159:21,24words 72:25 75:19 $99:15 142:22$ $99:15 142:22$ $29:11 30:2 31:17$ $99:15 142:22$ $32:8,9 34:24,25$ $169:20 182:17$ $36:8 40:12 41:18,21$ $183:5,6,19 184:10$ $43:14 46:6 50:18$ work 17:25 18:14 $52:10 54:8 55:2$ $26:11 32:21 33:5,6$ $56:14 59:9,21 63:23$ $33:11,12 39:3,3,13$ $70:8,11,17,18 76:18$ $55:3 62:18 72:3,13$ $56:14 59:9,21 63:23$ $33:11,12 39:3,3,13$ $99:6 100:4 185:8$ $yield$ $149:20$ $yield$ $142:25 151:6$ $162:13,16,21$ $129:3 134:9,15$ $162:13,16,21$ $129:3 134:9,15$ $163:23 170:2$ $136:21,25 137:21$ $171:15 177:21$ $138:2 143:17$ $78:4 181:20,23,25$ $148:22,23 149:22$ $182:7,13,20 187:23$ $150:1,10 158:16$ $192:17$ $711:13 178:17,17$ $178:4 181:20,23,25$ $148:22,23 149:22$ $181:18$ $27:17 29:22 32:22$ weighed 168:3 $27:17 29:22 32:22$ weighed 168:3 $27:17 29:22 32:22$ widely 26:16worth 54:25widely 26:16wrap 159:8widely 26:16wrap 159:8wikeever 124:1 $179:13$ whispering 6:4worth 5	59:19 62:1,10 73:1	192:13,19 193:4	<b>x</b> 1:3.8.10.5:1.55:17
88:24 89:7,23 90:19       witness' 194:12         95:14 102:18,20       word 73:1 99:7,17         120:3 123:15       136:16 181:13,19         146:10 186:2       181:22 182:3,9,23         187:24       183:14,24 185:22         wav 159:21,24       185:23         wav 159:21,24       185:23         wav 159:21,24       185:23         word 72:25 75:19       99:10 82:15 86:22         29:11 30:2 31:17       99:15 142:22         yeah 19:3 22:5       49:10 82:15 86:22         36:8 40:12 41:18,21       183:5,6,19 184:10         43:14 46:6 50:18       work 17:25 18:14         years 107:10       years 107:10         years 107:10       yellow 147:11         years 101:219       76:25 81:3,15 84:15         103:9,23 110:7,19       97:12,21 99:2,4         116:11 122:5 128:1       113:8,9 114:17,24         163:23 170:2       136:21,25 137:21     <			
95:14 102:18,20word73:1 99:7,17120:3 123:15136:16 181:13,19146:10 186:2181:22 182:3,9,23187:24183:14,24 185:22wav159:21,24183:14,24 185:23way13:19 28:4words72:25 75:1929:11 30:2 31:1799:15 142:22yeah19:3 22:549:10 82:15 86:2232:8,9 34:24,25169:20 182:1736:8 40:12 41:18,21183:5,6,19 184:1043:14 46:6 50:18workyeas107:10yeas107:10yeas107:10yeas102:1976:25 81:3,15 84:15103:9,23 110:7,1997:12,21 99:2,4116:11 122:5 128:1113:8,9 114:17,24129:10,13,17 137:2116:5,8 117:1,6138:21 141:2 149:3118:10 125:18,18162:13,16,21129:3 134:9,15171:15 177:21136:21,25 137:21171:15 177:21178:4 181:20,23,25148:22,23 149:22181:1827:11we've37:5 86:8workg 166:232:24 36:9 80:14,18yeighed 168:327:17 29:22 3:22weighed 168:327:17 29:22 3:22weighed 168:327:17 29:22 3:22widely 26:16wrap 159:8wikipedia 5:13write 122:17 13:13 134:23wikipedia 5:13write 122:19135:2 178:18 179:8write 122:24 123:2write 122:24 123:2 <td< td=""><td>,</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	,		
120:13120:13130:10130:113:19146:10186:2181:22182:23,9,23187:24183:14,24185:23wav159:21,24185:23way13:1928:4words72:2575:1929:1130:231:1799:15142:22105:1229:1330:2169:2032:8,934:24,25169:2036:840:1241:18,21183:5,6,19184:1043:1446:650:18work70:8,11,17,1876:2581:31,1239:3,3,1370:8,11,17,1876:2581:31,1239:3,3,1399:6100:4185:1889:11102:1976:2581:1889:11102:19,1776:25113:10125:18,18129:10,13,17116:5,8117:15177:21138:2143:17178:4181:20,23,25148:22,23148:22,23149:21178:21,21171:15177:21138:2143:17178:4181:20,23,25148:22,23149:22181:1827:11weiye37:586:8working100:9156:4,10,20weikped168:327:17135:2171:15178:21181:1827:11weighed168:327:17135:2113:13134:23whereof192:19135:2	,		
187:24       183:14.24 185:22       112:12         wav 159:21,24       183:14.24 185:22       112:12         wav 159:21,24       185:23       112:12         wav 159:21,32       111:19       112:12         29:11 30:2 31:17       99:15 142:22       105:12 122:9         year 84:17 110:10       110:13       year 84:17 110:10         36:8 40:12 41:18,21       183:5,6,19 184:10       110:13         year 84:17 110:10       110:13       year 84:17 110:10         13:14 46:6 50:18       work 17:25 18:14       year 84:17 110:10         52:10 54:8 55:2       26:11 32:21 33:5,6       yelow 147:11         yea 9:11:102:19       76:25 81:3,15 84:15       yeld 149:20         yield 149:20       yield 149:20       yield 149:20         yield 149:21       113:8:112:518,18       yeld 142:25 151:6         162:13,16,21       129:3 134:9,15       166:13         162:13,16,21       129:3 134:9,15       171:13 178:17,17         171:15 177:21       138:2 143:17       z <td< td=""><td>120:3 123:15</td><td>136:16 181:13,19</td><td></td></td<>	120:3 123:15	136:16 181:13,19	
1000000000000000000000000000000000000	146:10 186:2	181:22 182:3,9,23	
way 13:19 28:4       words 72:25 75:19       99:15 142:22         32:8,9 34:24,25       169:20 182:17       105:12 122:9         32:8,9 34:24,25       169:20 182:17       105:12 122:9         33:14 46:6 50:18       work 17:25 18:14       year 84:17 110:10         43:14 46:6 50:18       work 17:25 18:14       year 84:17 110:10         56:14 59:9,21 63:23       33:11,12 39:3,3,13       yep 42:25 97:13         70:8,11,17,18 76:18       55:3 62:18 72:3,13       gy:e1 100:19         85:18 89:11 102:19       76:25 81:3,15 84:15       yield 149:20         yield 149:20       yield 149:20       yield 149:20         yield 149:21       113:8,9 114:17,24       166:13         16:11 122:5 128:1       113:8,9 114:17,24       166:13         16:21,3,16,21       129:3 134:9,15       166:13         171:15 177:21       138:2 143:17       z         178:4 181:20,23,25       148:22,23 149:22       z         181:18       27:11       z       55:19 56:1,6         192:17       171:13 178:17,17       171:1         weighed 168:3       27:17 29:22 32:22       z       55:19 56:1,6         10:9 156:4,10,20       works 21:10,11       yeights 2:14:1158:7,9       171:1         weighted 168:3       27:17	187:24	183:14,24 185:22	
and y10.10 ± 0.1710.10 ± 0.1710.10 ± 0.17 $29:11 30:2 31:17$ $99:15 142:22$ $105:12 122:9$ $32:8,9 34:24,25$ $169:20 182:17$ $105:12 122:9$ $36:8 40:12 41:18,21$ $183:5,6,19 184:10$ $110:13$ $43:14 46:6 50:18$ work $17:25 18:14$ $year 84:17 110:10$ $52:10 54:8 55:2$ $26:11 32:21 33:5,6$ $yellow 147:11$ $52:10 54:8 55:2$ $26:11 32:21 33:5,6$ $yellow 147:11$ $55:3 62:18 72:3,13$ $yep 42:25 97:13$ $70:8,11,17,18 76:18$ $55:3 62:18 72:3,13$ $99:6 100:4 185:8$ $yield 149:20$ $yield 149:219$ $76:25 81:3,15 84:15$ $103:9,23 110:7,19$ $97:12,21 99:2,4$ $106:11 122:5 128:1$ $113:8,9 114:17,24$ $129:10,13,17 137:2$ $116:5,8 117:1,6$ $162:13,16,21$ $129:3 134:9,15$ $162:13,16,21$ $129:3 134:9,15$ $163:23 170:2$ $136:21,25 137:21$ $171:15 177:21$ $138:2 143:17$ $178:4 181:20,23,25$ $148:22,23 149:22$ $182:7,13,20 187:23$ $150:1,10 158:16$ $192:17$ $171:13 178:17,17$ $yay 29:9 41:15$ $178:21,21 179:3,3$ $46:15 55:13 113:5$ $27:17 29:22 32:22$ $weighed 168:3$ $27:17 29:22 32:22$ $weighted 168:3$ $27:17 29:23 3:21$ $whispering$	wav 159:21,24	185:23	
32:8,9 34:24,25       169:20 182:17         36:8 40:12 41:18,21       183:5,6,19 184:10         43:14 46:6 50:18       work 17:25 18:14         52:10 54:8 55:2       26:11 32:21 33:5,6         56:14 59:9,21 63:23       33:11,12 39:3,3,13         70:8,11,17,18 76:18       55:3 62:18 72:3,13         70:8,11,17,18 76:18       55:3 62:18 72:3,13         70:8,11,17,18 76:18       55:3 62:18 72:3,13         70:8,11,17,18 76:18       55:3 62:18 72:3,13         70:8,11,17,18 76:18       55:3 62:18 72:3,13         99:6 100:4 185:8       yield 149:20         yield 149:20       yields 142:25 151:6         116:11 122:5 128:1       113:8,9 114:17,24         138:21 141:2 149:3       116:5,8 117:1,6         163:23 170:2       136:21,25 137:21         171:5 177:21       138:2 143:17         178:4 181:20,23,25       148:22,23 149:22         182:17       178:4 181:20,23,25         148:22,23 149:22       136:1,10 158:16         192:17       171:13 178:17,17         ways 29:9 41:15       178:21,21 179:3,3         46:15 55:13 113:5       working 107:15         10:9 156:4,10,20       works 21:10,11         weighed 168:3       27:17 29:22 32:22         whispering 6:4       <	way 13:19 28:4	words 72:25 75:19	
36:8 40:12 41:18,21       183:5,6,19 184:10       110:13         43:14 46:6 50:18       work 17:25 18:14       26:11 32:21 33:5,6         52:10 54:8 55:2       26:11 32:21 33:5,6       33:11,12 39:3,3,13         70:8,11,17,18 76:18       55:3 62:18 72:3,13       99:6 100:4 185:8         85:18 89:11 102:19       76:25 81:3,15 84:15       99:6 100:4 185:8         103:9,23 110:7,19       97:12,21 99:2,4       166:13         116:11 122:5 128:1       113:8,9 114:17,24       166:13         138:21 141:2 149:3       118:10 125:18,18       166:13         16:213,16,21       129:3 134:9,15       166:17,17 192:2,4         171:15 177:21       138:2 143:17       148:22,23 149:22         178:4 181:20,23,25       148:22,23 149:22       136:21,25 137:21         178:4 181:20,23,25       148:22,23 149:22       136:1,6         192:17       171:13 178:17,17       178:21,21 179:3,3         46:15 55:13 113:5       worka 26:20 27:9       171:1         18:18       27:17 29:22 32:22       135:2 178:18 179:8         whispering 6:4       worth 54:25       173:13 134:23         whereof 192:19       135:2 178:18 179:8         whispering 6:4       worth 54:25       write 122:24 123:2         wikipedia 5:13       write 122:24 123:2 <td>29:11 30:2 31:17</td> <td>99:15 142:22</td> <td></td>	29:11 30:2 31:17	99:15 142:22	
Total (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	32:8,9 34:24,25	169:20 182:17	•
52:1054:855:226:1132:2133:5.652:1054:855:233:11,1239:3,3,13 $yellow$ 147:1156:1459:9,2163:2333:11,1239:3,3,13 $99:6$ 100:4185:885:1889:11102:1976:2581:3,1584:15 $99:6$ 100:4185:8103:9,23110:7,1997:12,2199:2,4 $99:6$ 100:4185:8 $99:6$ 100:4185:8116:11122:5128:1113:8,9114:17,24 $99:6$ 106:13 $99:6$ 106:13 $99:6$ 106:13129:10,13,17137:2116:5,8117:1,6166:13 $9ork$ 1:13,132:142:14,14,183:7,74:64:66:17,17192:2,4192:8113:1,3192:8113:1,3192:8192:8113:1,13192:8192:8113:1,13192:8192:8113:1,13192:8192:8113:1,13192:8113:1,13192:8113:1,13192:8113:1,13192:8113:1,13192:8113:1,13192:8113:1,13192:8113:1,13192:8113:1,13192:8113:1,13	36:8 40:12 41:18,21	183:5,6,19 184:10	
56:14 59:9,21 63:23       33:11,12 39:3,3,13       yep 42:25 97:13         70:8,11,17,18 76:18       55:3 62:18 72:3,13       99:6 100:4 185:8         85:18 89:11 102:19       76:25 81:3,15 84:15       yield 149:20         103:9,23 110:7,19       97:12,21 99:2,4       yields 142:25 151:6         116:11 122:5 128:1       113:8,9 114:17,24       166:13         129:10,13,17 137:2       116:5,8 117:1,6       york 1:13,13 2:14         138:21 141:2 149:3       118:10 125:18,18       2:14,14,18 3:7,7 4:6         162:13,16,21       129:3 134:9,15       136:21,25 137:21         138:2 170:2       136:21,25 137:21       192:8         171:15 177:21       138:2 143:17       z         178:4 181:20,23,25       148:22,23 149:22       z       55:19 56:1,6         182:7,13,20 187:23       150:1,10 158:16       171:1       178:21,21 179:3,3         46:15 55:13 113:5       worked 26:20 27:9       171:1       171:1         weiyee 37:5 86:8       working 107:15       171:1       171:1         weighed 168:3       27:17 29:22 32:22       22:22       22:24 36:9 80:14,18         whatsoever 180:25       113:13 134:23       13:13 134:23       149:21 90:3         whichever 124:1       179:13       write 122:24 123:2       12:22,25 108:25 </td <td>43:14 46:6 50:18</td> <td>work 17:25 18:14</td> <td></td>	43:14 46:6 50:18	work 17:25 18:14	
70:8,11,17,18 76:18       55:3 62:18 72:3,13       99:6 100:4 185:8         85:18 89:11 102:19       76:25 81:3,15 84:15       yield 149:20         103:9,23 110:7,19       97:12,21 99:2,4       yields 142:25 151:6         116:11 122:5 128:1       113:8,9 114:17,24       166:13         129:10,13,17 137:2       116:5,8 117:1,6       york 1:13,13 2:14         138:21 141:2 149:3       118:10 125:18,18       2:14,14,18 3:7,7 4:6         162:13,16,21       129:3 134:9,15       192:8         171:15 177:21       138:2 143:17       z         178:4 181:20,23,25       148:22,23 149:22       171:13 178:17,17         178:4 181:20,23,25       148:22,23 149:22       z       55:19 56:1,6         182:7,13,20 187:23       150:1,10 158:16       27:17       171:13 178:17,17         192:17       171:13 178:17,17       178:21,21 179:3,3       46:15 55:13 113:5       worked 26:20 27:9         181:18       27:11       works 21:10,11       171:1         weiyhed 168:3       27:17 29:22 32:22       22:22       i35:2 178:18 179:8         whichever 124:1       179:13       135:2 178:18 179:8       i41:14:5         widy 26:16       wrap 159:8       write 122:24 123:2       i2:22,25 108:25         withees 5:2 6:24       wrote 46:9 123:4	52:10 54:8 55:2	26:11 32:21 33:5,6	
85:18       89:11       102:19       76:25       81:3,15       84:15         103:9,23       110:7,19       97:12,21       99:2,4       166:13         116:11       122:5       128:1       113:8,9       114:17,24         129:10,13,17       137:2       116:5,8       177:1,6         138:21       141:2       149:3       118:10       125:18,18         162:13,16,21       129:3       134:9,15       166:13         163:23       170:2       136:21,25       137:21         178:4       181:20,23,25       148:22,23       149:22         171:15       177:21       138:2       143:17       2         182:7,13,20       187:23       150:1,10       158:16       4:6       6:17,17       192:2,4         192:17       171:13       178:21,21       179:3,3       46:15       55:13       113:5 <b>z</b> 55:19       56:1,6         192:17       171:13       178:21,21       179:3,3       46:15       55:13       113:5 <b>z</b> 76:17       192:22       171:1       171:1       171:1         weiyed       168:3       27:17       29:22       32:24       36:9       80:14,18 <b>z</b> 171:1	56:14 59:9,21 63:23	33:11,12 39:3,3,13	
103:9,23 110:7,19       97:12,21 99:2,4       yields 142:25 151:6         116:11 122:5 128:1       113:8,9 114:17,24       166:13         129:10,13,17 137:2       116:5,8 117:1,6       166:13         138:21 141:2 149:3       118:10 125:18,18       2:14,14,18 3:7,7 4:6         162:13,16,21       129:3 134:9,15       4:6 6:17,17 192:2,4         163:23 170:2       136:21,25 137:21       192:8         171:15 177:21       138:2 143:17       129:3         178:4 181:20,23,25       148:22,23 149:22       150:1,10 158:16         192:17       171:13 178:17,17       178:21,21 179:3,3         46:15 55:13 113:5       worked 26:20 27:9       171:1         181:18       27:11       works 21:10,11         weighed 168:3       27:17 29:22 32:22       135:2 178:18 179:8         whatsoever 180:25       113:13 134:23         whispering 6:4       worth 54:25         widely 26:16       wrap 159:8         wikipedia 5:13       write 122:24 123:2         109:9 110:2,5,15       wrotg 46:9 123:4	70:8,11,17,18 76:18	55:3 62:18 72:3,13	
116:11 122:5 128:1       113:8,9 114:17,24         129:10,13,17 137:2       113:8,9 114:17,24         138:21 141:2 149:3       116:5,8 117:1,6         162:13,16,21       129:3 134:9,15         163:23 170:2       136:21,25 137:21         171:15 177:21       138:2 143:17         178:4 181:20,23,25       148:22,23 149:22         182:7,13,20 187:23       150:1,10 158:16         192:17       171:13 178:17,17         ways 29:9 41:15       178:21,21 179:3,3         worked 26:20 27:9       134:11 158:7,9         113:13 134:23       113:13 134:23         weighed 168:2       32:24 36:9 80:14,18         whatsoever 180:25       113:13 134:23         whispering 6:4       worth 54:25         wikipedia 5:13       worth 54:25         wikipedia 5:13       write 122:24 123:2         109:9 110:2,5,15       wrotg 54:1 141:5         wrote 46:9 123:4       wrote 46:9 123:4	85:18 89:11 102:19	76:25 81:3,15 84:15	
110:11 12:13 12:11110:13,17 137:2116:5,8 117:1,6129:10,13,17 137:2116:5,8 117:1,6129:10,13,17 137:2138:21 141:2 149:3118:10 125:18,182:14,14,18 3:7,7 4:6162:13,16,21129:3 134:9,15136:21,25 137:21163:23 170:2136:21,25 137:21138:2 143:17178:4 181:20,23,25148:22,23 149:22192:8192:17171:13 178:17,17178:21,21 179:3,3weys 29:9 41:15178:21,21 179:3,3screo 134:11 158:7,9110:9 156:4,10,20worked 26:20 27:9171:13weighed 168:327:17 29:22 32:2232:24 36:9 80:14,18whatsoever 180:25113:13 134:23whichever 124:1179:13whispering 6:4worth 54:25wikipedia 5:13write 122:24 123:212:22,25 108:25write 81:21 90:3109:9 110:2,5,15wrote 46:9 123:4	103:9,23 110:7,19	97:12,21 99:2,4	
138:21       141:2       149:3       118:10       125:18,18       2:14,14,18       3:7,7       4:6       4:6       6:17,17       192:2,4       192:8         171:15       177:21       138:2       143:2,23       143:22       192:8       192:8       192:8         171:15       177:21       138:2       143:17       2       55:19       56:1,6         182:7,13,20       187:23       150:1,10       158:16       129:3       134:11       158:7,9         192:17       171:13       178:21,21       179:3,3       worked       26:20       27:9       171:1         181:18       working       107:15       works       21:10,11       171:1       171:1         weighed       168:3       27:17       29:22       32:22       22       22       135:2       178:18       179:13         whatsoever       180:25       113:13       134:23       135:2       178:18       179:8         whichever       124:1       179:13       worth       54:25       54:25       132:24       123:2         wikipedia       5:13       write       122:24       123:2       129:3       109:9       110:2,5,15         wrote       46:9	116:11 122:5 128:1	113:8,9 114:17,24	
100:121 1112 119:5110:10 120:10,10162:13,16,21129:3 134:9,15163:23 170:2136:21,25 137:21171:15 177:21138:2 143:17178:4 181:20,23,25148:22,23 149:22182:7,13,20 187:23150:1,10 158:16192:17171:13 178:17,17ways 29:9 41:15178:21,21 179:3,346:15 55:13 113:5worked 26:20 27:9181:1827:11we've 37:5 86:8working 107:15110:9 156:4,10,20works 21:10,11weighed 168:327:17 29:22 32:22weighted 168:232:24 36:9 80:14,18113:13 134:23135:2 178:18 179:8whereof 192:19135:2 178:18 179:8wikipedia 5:13worth 54:25wikipedia 5:13write 122:24 123:212:22,25 108:25written 81:21 90:3109:9 110:2,5,15wrote 46:9 123:4	129:10,13,17 137:2	116:5,8 117:1,6	
163:23 170:2       136:21,25 137:21       192:8         171:15 177:21       138:2 143:17       2         178:4 181:20,23,25       148:22,23 149:22       2         182:7,13,20 187:23       150:1,10 158:16       2         192:17       171:13 178:17,17       178:21,21 179:3,3       2         46:15 55:13 113:5       worked 26:20 27:9       171:1         181:18       27:11       works 21:10,11         weighed 168:3       27:17 29:22 32:22         weighted 168:2       32:24 36:9 80:14,18         hhaspering 6:4       worth 54:25         wikipedia 5:13       write 122:24 123:2         write 122:24 123:2       write 122:24 123:2         109:9 110:2,5,15       wrote 46:9 123:4	138:21 141:2 149:3	118:10 125:18,18	
171:15       177:21       138:2       143:17         178:4       181:20,23,25       148:22,23       149:22         182:7,13,20       187:23       150:1,10       158:16         192:17       171:13       178:17,17       178:21,21       179:3,3         46:15       55:13       113:5       worked       26:20       27:9         181:18       27:11       works       21:10,11         weighed       168:2       27:17       29:22       32:22         weighted       168:3       27:17       29:22       32:22         weighted       168:2       32:24       36:9       80:14,18         whatsoever       180:25       113:13       134:23         whereof       192:19       135:2       178:18       179:8         wikipedia       5:13       write       122:24       123:2         109:9       110:2,5,15       wrong       54:1       141:5         witness       5:2	162:13,16,21	129:3 134:9,15	
178:4 181:20,23,25 182:7,13,20 187:23 192:17148:22,23 149:22 150:1,10 158:16 171:13 178:17,17 178:21,21 179:3,3 46:15 55:13 113:5 46:15 55:13 113:5148:22,23 149:22 150:1,10 158:16 171:13 178:17,17 178:21,21 179:3,3 worked 26:20 27:9 27:11z55:19 56:1,6 zero 134:11 158:7,9 171:1ways 29:9 41:15 46:15 55:13 113:5 181:1807:15 worked 26:20 27:9 27:11171:1171:1we've 37:5 86:8 110:9 156:4,10,20 weighed 168:3 weighted 168:3 whatsoever 180:25working 107:15 works 21:10,11 27:17 29:22 32:22 32:24 36:9 80:14,18 113:13 134:23 whereof 192:19 whichever 124:1 179:13 worth 54:25 wikipedia 5:13 12:22,25 108:25 109:9 110:2,5,15 wrong 54:1 141:5 wrote 46:9 123:4z	163:23 170:2	136:21,25 137:21	192:8
182:7,13,20 187:23       150:1,10 158:16       zero 134:11 158:7,9         192:17       171:13 178:17,17       zero 134:11 158:7,9         192:17       178:21,21 179:3,3       zero 134:11 158:7,9         46:15 55:13 113:5       worked 26:20 27:9       171:1         181:18       27:11       works 21:10,11         weighed 168:3       27:17 29:22 32:22         weighted 168:3       27:17 29:22 32:22         weighted 168:2       32:24 36:9 80:14,18         whatsoever 180:25       113:13 134:23         whereof 192:19       135:2 178:18 179:8         whichever 124:1       179:13         whispering 6:4       worth 54:25         wikipedia 5:13       write 122:24 123:2         109:9 110:2,5,15       wrong 54:1 141:5         witness 5:2 6:24       wrote 46:9 123:4	171:15 177:21	138:2 143:17	Z
182:7,13,20 187:23       150:1,10 158:16       zero 134:11 158:7,9         192:17       171:13 178:17,17       171:1         ways 29:9 41:15       178:21,21 179:3,3       171:1         46:15 55:13 113:5       worked 26:20 27:9       181:18       27:11         we've 37:5 86:8       working 107:15       110:9 156:4,10,20       works 21:10,11         weighed 168:3       27:17 29:22 32:22       32:24 36:9 80:14,18       131:13 134:23         whatsoever 180:25       113:13 134:23       135:2 178:18 179:8       179:13         whispering 6:4       worth 54:25       worth 54:25       wite 122:24 123:2         wikipedia 5:13       write 122:24 123:2       write 122:24 123:2       109:9 110:2,5,15         winees 5:2 6:24       wrote 46:9 123:4       141:5	178:4 181:20,23,25	148:22,23 149:22	<b>z</b> 55·19 56·1 6
192:17171:13 178:17,17171:1ways 29:9 41:15178:21,21 179:3,3171:146:15 55:13 113:5worked 26:20 27:9181:18181:1827:1127:11we've 37:5 86:8working 107:15110:9 156:4,10,20works 21:10,11weighed 168:327:17 29:22 32:22weighted 168:232:24 36:9 80:14,18whatsoever 180:25113:13 134:23whereof 192:19135:2 178:18 179:8whispering 6:4worth 54:25widely 26:16wrap 159:8wikipedia 5:13write 122:24 123:212:22,25 108:25written 81:21 90:3109:9 110:2,5,15wrote 46:9 123:4	182:7,13,20 187:23	150:1,10 158:16	
ways29:9 41:15178:21,21 179:3,346:15 55:13 113:5worked26:20 27:9181:1827:11we've37:5 86:8working110:9 156:4,10,20worksweighed168:327:17 29:22 32:22weighted168:232:24 36:9 80:14,18whatsoever180:25113:13 134:23whereof192:19135:2 178:18 179:8whispering6:4widely26:16wrap159:8wikipedia5:13109:9 110:2,5,15wrote46:9 123:4	192:17	171:13 178:17,17	
181:1827:11we've37:5 86:8working110:9 156:4,10,20worksweighed168:327:17 29:22 32:22weighted168:232:24 36:9 80:14,18whatsoever180:25113:13 134:23whereof192:19135:2 178:18 179:8whichever124:1179:13whispering6:4worth54:25widely26:16write122:24 123:212:22,25 108:25written109:9 110:2,5,15wrong52:2 6:24wrote46:9 123:4	ways 29:9 41:15	178:21,21 179:3,3	1/1.1
we've37:5 86:8working107:15110:9 156:4,10,20works21:10,11weighed168:327:17 29:22 32:22weighted168:232:24 36:9 80:14,18whatsoever180:25113:13 134:23whereof192:19135:2 178:18 179:8whichever124:1179:13whispering6:4worth54:25write122:24 123:2wikipedia5:13write12:22,25 108:25written81:21 90:3109:9 110:2,5,15wrong54:1 141:5witness5:2 6:24wrote	46:15 55:13 113:5	worked 26:20 27:9	
110:9 156:4,10,20works21:10,11weighed168:327:17 29:22 32:22weighted168:232:24 36:9 80:14,18whatsoever180:25113:13 134:23whereof192:19135:2 178:18 179:8whichever124:1179:13whispering6:4worthstyle="text-align: center;">widely26:16wrapwikipedia5:13write12:22,25 108:25written81:21 90:3109:9 110:2,5,15wrong54:1 141:5witness5:2 6:24wrote46:9 123:4	181:18	27:11	
weighed168:327:17 29:22 32:22weighted168:232:24 36:9 80:14,18whatsoever180:25113:13 134:23whereof192:19135:2 178:18 179:8whichever124:1179:13whispering6:4worth54:25widely26:16wikipedia5:13write12:22,25 108:25write109:9 110:2,5,15wrong54:2654:27witness5:2 6:24	we've 37:5 86:8	working 107:15	
weighted168:232:24 36:9 80:14,18whatsoever180:25113:13 134:23whereof192:19135:2 178:18 179:8whichever124:1179:13whispering6:4worth54:25widely26:16wikipedia5:13write12:22,25 108:25written81:21 90:3109:9 110:2,5,15witness5:2 6:24	110:9 156:4,10,20	works 21:10,11	
whatsoever180:25113:13 134:23whereof192:19135:2 178:18 179:8whichever124:1179:13whispering6:4worth54:25widely26:16wikipedia5:13write12:22,25 108:25written109:9 110:2,5,15wrong54:21 90:3witness5:2 6:24	weighed 168:3	27:17 29:22 32:22	
whereof192:19135:2 178:18 179:8whichever124:1179:13whispering6:4worth54:25widely26:16wrap159:8wikipedia5:13write122:24 123:212:22,25 108:25written81:21 90:3109:9 110:2,5,15wrong54:1 141:5witness5:2 6:24wrote46:9 123:4	weighted 168:2	32:24 36:9 80:14,18	
whichever124:1179:13whispering6:4worth54:25widely26:16wrap159:8wikipedia5:13write122:2412:22,25108:25written81:21109:9110:2,5,15wrong54:1witness5:26:24wrote	0	113:13 134:23	
whispering6:4worth54:25widely26:16wrap159:8wikipedia5:13write122:2412:22,25108:25written81:21109:9110:2,5,15wrong54:1witness5:26:24wrote	whereof 192:19	135:2 178:18 179:8	
widely26:16wrap159:8wikipedia5:13write122:2412:22,25108:25written81:21109:9110:2,5,15wrong54:1witness5:26:24wrote46:9123:4	whichever 124:1	179:13	
widely26:16wrap159:8wikipedia5:13write122:2412:22,25108:25written81:21109:9110:2,5,15wrong54:1witness5:26:24wrote46:9123:4	whispering 6:4	worth 54:25	
wikipedia5:13write122:24123:212:22,25108:25written81:2190:3109:9110:2,5,15wrong54:1141:5witness5:26:24wrote46:9123:4		wrap 159:8	
12:22,25 108:25       written 81:21 90:3         109:9 110:2,5,15       wrong 54:1 141:5         witness 5:2 6:24       wrote 46:9 123:4	v	_	
109:9 110:2,5,15wrong54:1 141:5witness5:2 6:24wrote46:9 123:4	-	written 81:21 90:3	
witness 5:2 6:24 wrote 46:9 123:4			
7 20 9 19 22 21		0	
/:20 8:18 23:21	7:20 8:18 23:21		

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

Rule 30

(e) Review By the Witness; Changes.

(1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
(A) to review the transcript or recording; and
(B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.

(2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF SEPTEMBER 1, 2014. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION. Filed on Behalf of NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

By: Charles R. Macedo (Reg. No. 32,781) Brian A. Comack (Reg. No. 45,343) Amster, Rothstein & Ebenstein LLP 90 Park Avenue New York, NY 10016 Telephone: (212) 336–8074 <u>cmacedo@arelaw.com</u> <u>N1-Google-IPR@arelaw.com</u>

> Gregory Dovel (admitted *pro hac vice*) Dovel & Luner, LLP 201 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 600 Santa Monica, CA 90401 Telephone: (310) 656-7066 greg@dovellaw.com

> > UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC. Petitioner

V.,

NETWORK-1 TECHNOLOGIES Patent Owner

Cases IPR2015-00343, IPR2015-00345, IPR2015-00347, and IPR2015-00348 Patents 8,640,179, 8,205,237, 8,010,988, and 8,656,441

## DECLARATION OF DR. GEORGE KARYPIS



NETWORK-1 EXHIBIT 2005 Google Inc. v. Network-1 Technologies, Inc. IPR2015-00343

Page 1 of 292

Google Ex. 1020

## Table of Contents

1.	Bac	kgrou	nd to my opinions in this Declaration
	A.	Exp	ertise
	В.	Ass	ignment
	C.	App	proach
	D.	Unc	lerstanding of the law
П.	Sun	imary	of the IPR Patents and asserted art
	Α.		IPR Patents
		1.	237 patent (Ex. 1001 237 IPR)
		2.	'988 patent (Ex. 1001 '988 IPR)10
		3.	'179 patent (Ex. 1001 '179 IPR)11
		4.	441 patent (Ex. 1001 441 IPR)
	Β.	The	asserted art
		1.	Overview of Ghias – Ex. 1010 (addressed in the '237, '988, '179, and '441 IPRs)
		2.	Overview of Iwamura—Ex. 1012 (addressed in the '237 and '988 IPRs)19
		3.	Overview of Conwell—Ex. 1009 (addressed in the '179 and '441 IPRs)
		4.	Overview of Philyaw—Ex. 1014 (addressed in the '179 and '441 IPRs as a secondary reference)
		5.	Overview of Chen—Ex. 1008 (addressed in the '237 IPR as a secondary reference)
Ш.	Gen	eral Fi	ndings
IV.	Gen	eral co	ncerns with the IPR Petitions and Dr. Moulin's ns (Exs. 1004 in each IPR)
V.			structions
	Α.		linear ('237 patent)
		1.	the words used in the construction: "size of the dataset"
		2.	*237 specification
		3.	Petitioner's Declarant
	B.	non-	exhaustive search ('237, '988, '179, and '441 patents)54

		The Board's preliminary construction of "non-exhaustive search" is consistent with the understanding of one of ordinary skill in the art: "a search that locates a match without a comparison of all possible matches."
		2. The Board properly rejected Petitioner's assertion that a "non- exhaustive search" should be construed as "a search that locates a match without conducting a brute force comparison of all possible matches, and all data within all possible matches."56
	C.	heighbor search / identifying a neighbor / neighbor / near neighbor (*237, *988, *179, and *441 patents)
	D.	approximate nearest neighbor search ('237 patent)60
		<ol> <li>"identifying a close match that is not necessarily the closest match"</li></ol>
		2. "sublinear"
VI.	·237	atent
	Α.	237 Ground 1: The instituted claims of the 237 patent are not anticipated by Iwamura
		1. sub-linear time search (claims elements 1(b) and 5(b.2))
		<ol> <li>approximate nearest neighbor search (claim elements 9(b) and 13(b.2))</li></ol>
		<ol> <li>nonexhaustive search (claim element 25(b))</li></ol>
		<ol> <li>identify a neighbor / near neighbor (claims elements 1(b), 5(b), and 25(b))</li></ol>
		<ol> <li>sublinear approximate nearest neighbor search (claim element 33(b))</li></ol>
	В.	*237 Ground 2: The instituted claims of the *237 patent are not anticipated by Ghias
		1. sublinear time search (claim elements 1(b) and 5(b.2))134
		<ol> <li>approximate nearest neighbor search (claim elements 9(b) and 13(b.2))</li></ol>
	C.	'237 Ground 3: The instituted claims of the '237 patent are not obvious over Iwamura and Chen
VII.	•98	atent
	Α.	'998 Ground 1: The instituted claims of the '988 Patent are not anticipated by Ghias

		1. non-exhaustive search (claim element 15(b))	169
		2. search identifying a neighbor (claim element 15(b))	186
		<ol> <li>determining an action based on the identification (claim element 15(c)).</li> </ol>	
	В.	'988 Ground 2: The instituted claims of the '998 patent are not obvious over Ghias	193
	Ċ.	*988 Ground 3: The instituted claims of the *998 patent are not anticipated by Iwamura.	194
		1. non-exhaustive search (claim 15(b))	
		2. identifying a neighbor (claim 15(b))	
VIII.	-175	) patent	
	Α.	'179 Ground 1: The instituted claims of the '179 patent are not anticipated by Conwell.	
		1. neighbor search (claims 1, 13, 25).	
		2. non-exhaustive search (claims 1, 13, 25)	
	В.	*179 Ground 2: The instituted claims of the *179 Patent are not obvious in view of Ghias and Philyaw	
		1. non-exhaustive search (claims 1, 13, 25)	
		2. neighbor search (claims 1, 13, 25).	
IX.	•441	Patent.	
	Α.	'441 Ground 1: The instituted claims of the '441 Patent are not anticipated by Conwell.	
		1. neighbor search (claims 1, 13, 25)	
		2. non-exhaustive search (claims 1, 13, 25)	
	B.	'441 Ground 2: The instituted claims of the '441 Patent are not	
		obvious over Ghias and Philyaw.	
		1. non-exhaustive search (claims 1, 13, 25).	
		2. neighbor search (claims 1, 13, 25)	264

I, George Karypis, declare:

I am making this Declaration at the request of Patent Owner Network-1 Technologies, Inc. in the following *Inter Partes* Reviews of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,205,237 ('237 patent), 8,010,988 ('988 patent), 8,640,179 ('179 patent), and 8,656,441 ('441 patent) (collectively the "IPR Patents"):

- IPR2015-00345 (\*237 patent),
- IPR2015-00347 (\*988 patent),
- IPR2015-00343 (\*179 patent), and
- IPR2015-00348 ('441 patent),

(collectively the "IPRs"), all initiated by petitioner Google Inc. ("Petitioner").

## 1. Background to my opinions in this Declaration.

#### A. Expertise.

1. I am a Professor in the Department of Computer Science and

Engineering at the University of Minnesota. I hold a Ph.D. in Computer Science from the University of Minnesota, granted in 1996. I began my post-graduate school career as a Research Associate in my current department. I became an Assistant Professor in 1999, an Associate Professor in 2004, and a Professor in 2009. I teach courses in Algorithms and Data Structures, Parallel Programming, and Data Mining, among other subjects.

2. I am a member of the Editorial Board of a number of academic journals, and I have chaired a number of academic conferences.<sup>1</sup> I am a co-author of the books *Introduction to Parallel Computing*, and *Introduction to Parallel Computing: Design and Analysis of Algorithms*. I am an author of more than 80 published journal papers, and more than 115 published conference papers.<sup>2</sup>

Representative academic conferences include:

- Program Committee co-Chair of the ACM Recommender Systems Conference (RecSys'13), Hong Kong, China (2013);
- Program Committee co-Chair of the 13<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM), Dallas, Texas (December 2013); and
- Program Committee Co-Chair of the International Conference on Data Science and Advanced Analytics (DSAA 2014), Shanghai, China, (November 2014).
- Representative papers include:

2

 "L2Knng: Fast Exact K-Nearest Neighbor Graph Construction with L2-Norm Pruning" David C. Anastasiu and George Karypis, 24<sup>th</sup> ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM), Melbourne, Australia (2015).

3. I have also developed a number of software systems for a variety of functions, including software for analyzing high-dimensional data sets. A copy of my curriculum vitae is attached as <u>Exhibit A</u> to this Declaration. It contains a more complete listing of my professional activities and background.

#### B. Assignment.

4. I have been retained by Patent Owner Network-1 Technologies, Inc. as a technical consultant. I am being compensated for my time at my standard consulting rate of \$350 per hour. I am not receiving any compensation that depends on the outcome of the IPRs.

5. This declaration addresses the validity of:

- "L2AP: Fast Cosine Similarity Search with Prefix L-2 Norm Bounds" David Anastasiu and George Karypis, 30<sup>th</sup> IEEE International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE), pp. 784—795 (2014).
- "Comparison of Descriptor Spaces for Chemical Compound Retrieval and Classification" Nikil Wale and George Karypis, IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM), pp. 678—689 (2006).
- "Empirical and Theoretical Comparisons of Selected Criterion Functions for Document Clustering" Ying Zhao and George Karypis, Machine Learning, 55, pp. 311-331 (2004).

- claims 1, 3-5, 7-9, 11-13, 15, 16, 21-27, 29, 30, 33, 34, 35, 37, and 38 of the '237 patent;
- claims 15-17, 21-28, 31-33, 51, and 52 of the '998 patent;
- claims 1-3, 6, 8–14, 18, 19, 21–27, 29–31, and 34–37 of the '179 patent; and
- claims 1-3, 6, 8-14, 18, 19, 21-27, 29, and 30 of the '441 patent.

## C. Approach.

- To develop my opinions, I have read:
- the four IPR Patents (the '237, '988, '179, and '441 patents);
- · the four Petitions for Inter Partes Reviews;
- the exhibits accompanying the Petitions, including the four Declarations of Dr. Pierre Moulin (Exs. 1004 in each IPR);
- · the four Decisions Instituting the IPRs; and
- the testimony of Dr. Pierre Moulin, dated August 19-20, 2015 (Ex. 2006).<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In this Declaration, I identify the specific Petition, Declaration, and Decision that I am citing by including the corresponding patent abbreviation in a parenthetical. For example, I refer to the Petition addressing the '237 patent as Pet. ('237) at X; and the Moulin Declaration addressing the '179 patent as Moulin Decl. ('179) ¶X. Because there is only one Dr. Moulin Deposition transcript for all

7. In addition, I relied on my personal knowledge and experience with both research and development in the technology underlying the IPR Patents and the art asserted against the IPR Patents.

#### D. Understanding of the law.

8. My understanding regarding the law as applicable to this Declaration is based on my discussions with counsel. I have included in the text of my Declaration quotations from or references to certain legal cases or statutes that were provided to me by counsel to provide me with an understanding of the relevant law.

## E. Person of ordinary skill in the art.

9. Through my education, experience and training, in academia and industry, and my analysis of the IPR Patents, I am familiar with the knowledge of a person of ordinary skill in the field of the IPR Patents at the time of invention in 2000.

10. For the purposes of this Declaration, I am of the opinion that a person of ordinary skill in the art with respect to the IPR Patents is a person with a Bachelor's degree in computer science, mathematics, or a similar discipline and two to three years of relevant experience, or a graduate degree in the same area. four IPRs (Ex. 2006), I simply refer to Dr. Moulin's deposition testimony as Moulin Depo. Z.

In determining what would be the level of ordinary skill in the field as of the 2000 time frame, I considered the following:

- (a) the educational level of the inventor, Ingemar J. Cox (it is my understanding that Dr. Cox has a bachelor's degree in electronics and computer science from University College London (1980) and a Ph.D. from Oxford (1983));
- (b) the type of problems encountered in the art—*i.e.*, how to identify a digital work without modifying the work (*see e.g.*, '237, 1:30-36);
- (c) the prior art solutions to those problems (see e.g., '237, 1:37-4:4, and the prior art asserted by the Petitioner in the IPRs addressing related problems involving searching, matching, and identifying melodies, audio files, and other digital files within databases—Conwell, Ghias, Iwamura, Chen, and Philyaw);
- (d) the rapidity with which innovations are made (based on my observations over the past 20 plus years, major innovations in content identification occur about every 5 to 10 years);
- (e) the sophistication of the technology (developing content identification solutions is a moderately sophisticated technology); and

(f) the educational level of workers in the field (workers in the field generally had have at least a bachelor's degree in computer science, mathematics or a similar discipline and at least two to three years of relevant experience).

11. Based on these factors, it is my conclusion that a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time would have been a person with a Bachelor's degree in computer science, mathematics, or a similar discipline and two to three years of relevant experience, or a graduate degree in the same or related area.

12. I note that Dr. Moulin suggests that the person of ordinary skill in the art "would have been highly skilled, and typically would have possessed at least an M.S. in computer science, electrical engineering, or mathematics; knowledge of video and audio processing techniques; and 1-2 years of experience in audio, video, or image processing." *See e.g.*, Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶7; Pet. (\*237) at 4. Dr. Moulin's opinion as to the person of ordinary skill in the art is similar to mine with respect to the degrees and years of experience, but I note that: (1) Dr. Moulin does not provide any rational underpinnings for his opinion; and (2) the phrase "highly skilled" used by Dr. Moulin in his description is a relative term and Dr. Moulin does not provide the context for this phrase.

- II. Summary of the IPR Patents and asserted art.
  - 13. In this Declaration:
  - I use the term "work" to mean the item (e.g., a digital audio or image file) to be identified using the search (see e.g., '237, 6:51-56; '988, 7:17-20; '179, 6:18-21; '441, 6:49-52);
  - I use the term "record" to mean one of the units in the reference database that the extracted features of the work may be compared to (*see e.g.*, '237, 6:16-20; '988, 6:46-50; '179, 6:21-24; '441, 6:15-18); and
  - I use the term "database," "data set," or "library" to mean the collection of all records to be searched (*see e.g.*, \*237, 6:23-30; \*988, 6:50-60; \*179, 6:30-36; \*441, 6:24-30).

## A. The IPR Patents.

14. Each IPR Patent (the \*237, \*179, \*988, and \*441 patents) involves a search that compares features from a given work to records in a reference database of potential matches to identify an action to be taken.

## 237 patent (Ex. 1001 '237 IPR).

15. The independent claims of the '237 patent include the following elements:

[1] receiving or obtaining features extracted from a work;

8 Page 12 of 292

[2] identifying the work using the extracted features to perform a search of

the database, where the search is:

- a sub-linear time search to identify a neighbor (claims 1 and 5);
- an approximate nearest neighbor search (claims 9 and 13);
- · a non-exhaustive search ... to identify a near neighbor (claim 25); or
- · a sublinear approximate nearest neighbor search (claim 33); and

[3] either (i) transmitting information about the identified work to the client

device, or (ii) determining an action based on the identity of the work.

16. The invention claimed in the '237 patent includes two key features:

17. Feature 1: Although the language varies among the claims, each

claim requires that the "identifying" be performed based on a search that has two properties:

- a sub-linear or non-exhaustive property (reflected in the underlined language):
  - <u>sub-linear</u> time search ... to identify a neighbor (claims 1 and 5);
  - approximate nearest neighbor search (claims 9 and 13);
  - non-exhaustive search ... to identify a near neighbor (claim 25); and
  - sublinear approximate nearest neighbor search (claim 33).

(2) a neighbor property (reflected in the underlined language):

- identify a <u>neighbor</u> (claims 1 and 5):
- approximate nearest <u>neighbor</u> search (claims 9 and 13);
- non-exhaustive search ... to identify a near neighbor (claim 25); and
- sublinear approximate nearest neighbor search (claim 33).

18. <u>Feature 2</u>: The system must either determine an "action" based on the identification (claims 25 and 33); or transmit information about the identified media work to a "client device" (claims 1, 5, 9, and 13). It is not sufficient to simply identify a match. Rather, an action must also be identified or information about the identified work must be transmitted to the client device.

## 2. '988 patent (Ex. 1001 '988 IPR).

The independent claims of the '988 patent include the following elements:

- [1] extracting features from a work;
- [2] identifying the work based on the extracted features by performing "a non-exhaustive search identifying a neighbor;"
- [3] determining an action based on the identity of the work; and
- [4] performing the action.

20. The invention claimed in the '988 patent includes two relevant distinguishing features:

 the "identifying" must be performed using a "non-exhaustive search identifying a neighbor;" and

(2) the system must "determin[e] an action" and "perform[] the action" based on the identity of the work. It is not sufficient to identify a match. Rather, "an action" associated with the match must be "determin[ed]" and "perform[ed]." '988, claim 15.

21. I note that the Board did not institute trial for independent claim 1 of the '988 patent and any claims dependent on claim 1. Accordingly, I do not address these claims in this Declaration.

## 3. '179 patent (Ex. 1001 '179 IPR).

22. The independent claims of the '179 patent (claims 1, 13, and 25) include the following five elements for identifying a work and performing a corresponding action:

- a database comprising: (a) electronic representations of works; and (b) electronic data related to an action corresponding to works;
- [2] obtaining extracted features of an unknown work;
- [3] identifying the unknown work by comparing the extracted features and electronic representations using a "non-exhaustive neighbor search;"

[4] determining an appropriate action based on the electronic data related to

an action; and

[5] associating the determined action with the identified work.

'179, claims 1, 13, and 25.

23. The claimed steps are illustrated in Figure 1:



Figure 1 illustrates ("for work @t2"):

• "feature (vector) extraction operation(s)" (140) that extract features from the

work (\*179, 6:45-47);

- "feature (vector) lookup operation(s)" (150) that identify the work by searching for a matching feature vector (\*179, 6:50-52);
- "work-associated information lookup operation(s)" (160) that retrieve(s) associated information, such as an action ('179, 6:55-58); and
- "action initiation operation(s)" (170) that perform(s) some action based on the associated information ('179, 6:58-60).
- 24. The invention claimed in the '179 patent includes two relevant distinguishing features:
  - (1) the "identifying" must be performed by comparing the extracted features to the electronic representations using a "non-exhaustive neighbor search:" and
  - (2) the system must determine or associate an "action" based on the identified work. It is not sufficient to simply identify a match. Rather, "an action" associated with the match must be "determined" or "associated."

'179, claims 1, 13, and 25.

## 4. '441 patent (Ex. 1001 '441 IPR).

25. The independent claims of the '441 patent (claims 1, 13, and 25) include the following five elements for identifying a work and performing a

corresponding action:

[1] a database with (a) first data related to records, and (b) second data

related to action information corresponding to the records;

- [2] extracting features from a work;
- [3] identifying the work by comparing the extracted features and the data related to the records using "a non-exhaustive neighbor search;"
- [4] determining an action based on the identity of the electronic work; and
- [5] performing the action.

'441, claims 1, 13, and 25.

26. The claimed steps are illustrated in Figure 1:



Figure 1 illustrates ("for work @t2"):

- "feature (vector) extraction operation(s)" (140) that extract(s) features from the work ('441, 6:39-41);
- "feature (vector) lookup operation(s)" (150) that identify the work by searching for a matching feature vector ('441, 6:44-48);
- "work-associated information lookup operation(s)" (160) that retrieve(s) associated information, such as an action ('441, 6:49-51); and
- "action initiation operation(s)" (170) that perform(s) some action based on the associated information ('441, 6:52-54).

27. The invention claimed in the '441 patent includes two relevant distinguishing features:

- the "identifying" must be performed by comparing the extracted features to the electronic representations using a "non-exhaustive neighbor search;" and
- (2) the system must determine or associate an "action" based on the identified work. It is not sufficient to simply identify a match. Rather, "an action" associated with the match must be "determined" or "associated."

'441, claims 1, 13, and 25.

## B. The asserted art.

28. The four IPRs address three primary references and two secondary references. I address each reference in turn, starting with the primary references and then turning to the secondary references.

## Overview of Ghias—Ex. 1010 (addressed in the '237, '988, '179, and '441 IPRs).

29. Ghias (Patent No. 5,874,686) discloses "an apparatus [for] searching melodies." Ghias, Abstract. As illustrated in Figure 1 of Ghias, a "tune 12 is hummed by a person 18 into a microphone 20." Ghias, 2:41-42.



The data from the microphone is fed into "a pitch tracking module 22 in computer 16" which extracts "a contour representation" of the melody (23). Ghias, 2:41-50. The computer uses a "query engine 24" which "searches the melody database 14." Ghias, 2:50-52. The disclosed search can produce a ranked list of matching melodies—"ranked by how well they matched the query" (Ghias, 6:60-63) as illustrated at 26.

30. As I explain below in detail, all searches disclosed in Ghias are linear (not sub-linear) with respect to the size of the data set being searched. In addressing "the problem of approximate string matching," Ghias identifies the running times of several algorithms:

17 Page 21 of 292

Several Algorithms have been developed that address the problem of approximate string matching. Running times 25 have ranged from 0(mm) for the brute force algorithm to 0(km) or 0(nlog(m), where "0" means "on the order of," m is the number of pitch differences in the query, and n is the size of the string (song).

Ghias, 6:23-28. In each identified instance, the running time of the search is not sub-linear with respect to the data set. As clarified in this passage from Ghias (and as I address in detail below):

- "m is the number of pitch differences in the query" corresponding to the length of the query (highlighted in green in the passage above); and
- "n is the size of the string (song)" corresponding to the size of a record being searched (highlighted in orange in the passage above).

31. The disclosed searches may be sub-linear with respect to the length of the query being searched "m ... the number of pitch differences in the query." Specifically, the referenced search with a running time of O(nlog(m)) is sublinear with respect to "m" because it is a function of log(m)). The disclosed searches, however, are never sub-linear with respect to "n...the size of the string (song)" or the size of the data set (N) (*i.e.*, the number of songs to be compared). Rather, the search time will grow linearly with each additional song to be searched and the length of the song.

32. Also as I describe in detail below, the searches disclosed in Ghias are exhaustive rather than "nonexhaustive." The "query engine 24" compares the

work (user input 23) to "all the songs" in the melody database 14 (the library). Ghias, 5:66-6:2. After searching all possible matches, the system "output[s] a ranked list of approximately matching melodies." Ghias, 2:50-53.

33. Finally, as I describe in detail below, the searches disclosed in Ghias are not "neighbor" searches because the searches always necessarily identify the exact or closest match—they are guaranteed to identify an exact match or the closest match. Ghias does not identify any search in which an exact or the closest match is not guaranteed to be identified.

# 2. Overview of Iwamura—Ex. 1012 (addressed in the '237 and '988 IPRs).

34. Iwamura (Patent No. 6,188,010) discloses a "method to enable one to search for a song title when only its melody is known." Iwamura, Abstract. "A remote music database with melody information is searched for the melody entered by the user, using for example, a peak or differential matching algorithm." Iwamura, Abstract. Figure 1 illustrates "an example of a search interface" (Iwamura, 2:45-46):



35. Iwamura discloses a searching algorithm that is designed to be more efficient than alternatives by matching up peak notes from the work to be identified with the peak notes of the records in the database when comparing the notes from the work to be identified with the notes in the records. "Peak notes are also detected and marked when the data base is built." Iwamura, 6:59-60. "A fast search is performed by using a peak or differential matching algorithm." Iwamura, 12:1-2.

36. As I explain in detail below, the search disclosed in Iwamura is exhaustive rather than the claimed "non-exhaustive," "sublinear," or "approximate nearest neighbor" search. While the individual comparisons of a work and a record in the library can be more efficient using the "peak note" approach

> 20 Page 24 of 292

disclosed in Iwamura (search speed can be increased), in doing so each record in the library is searched as part of the disclosed algorithm and "[t]he reference melody that gives the least difference is returned as a search result." Iwamura, 7:53-55.

37. Moreover, the Boyer-Moore algorithm referenced in Iwamura searches "word by word from the beginning of the database to the end." Iwamura, 9:51-55. As a result, as I explain in detail below, while the Boyer-Moore algorithm may be sublinear with respect to the length of the query (the work to be identified),<sup>4</sup> it is not sub-linear with respect to the relevant size of the dataset being searched.

<sup>4</sup> If the query pre-processing step of the Boyer-Moore algorithm is included as part of the execution time, then the algorithm may be linear in terms of the length of the query. If the query is used repeatedly, however, the pre-processing execution time will only be incurred once. One can think of concatenating all the database strings to give as an aggregate length of n. If "m" is the query length, then the worse-case complexity is Theta(m) O(n), which is linear with respect to both the database n and the query length m.

## Overview of Conwell—Ex. 1009 (addressed in the '179 and '441 IPRs).

38. Conwell (Patent No. 6,970,866) discloses associating media content,

such as MP3 files, with identifiers and URLs. Conwell, Abstract. As illustrated in Figure 3, the identifiers (*e.g.*, "034") are associated with corresponding URLs (*e.g.*, "<u>www.sonymusic.com/catalog/05634.html</u>"):

	www.sonymusic.com/catalog/05634.html
112	www.sonymusic.com/catalog/00014.html
198	www.supertracks.com/index/artists/taylor.htm
376	www.emusic.com/0555353x.pdf
597	www.cdw.com/music/featured_CDs/index.html
512	www.sonymusic.com/catalog/00231.html
850	www.polygram.com/franklin/adf_234 htm
921	www.loudeye.com/rap/1999/46755645.html
IG. 3	

\_\_\_\_\_\_

39. Conwell discloses two approaches to identifying a work: (1)

assigning identifiers, or (2) implicitly generating identifiers derived from the data using a hashing algorithm. Conwell, Abstract. The implicit approach (rather than explicitly assigning identifiers) is the approach relevant to the IPR Petitions. *See* Pet. (\*179) at 22-23.

40. Conwell relies on hashing algorithms to extract features from the work to be identified:

"The identifiers can be assigned, or can be implicit (*e.g.*, derived from other data in the content object, as by hashing)."

Conwell, Abstract; 1:65-67 ("some or all of the content data is processed by a hashing algorithm to yield a 128 bit identifier corresponding to that content.") As I explain in detail below, Conwell compares the hashed extracted features of the work to be identified to the features of the records in the database exclusively using an exact match comparison.

41. When implementing the search in Conwell to identify a match, comparing the hashed extracted features from a work with a record in the library using the disclosed lookup table (Conwell, 3:43-45) produces a binary result: either (1) there is an exact match; or (2) there is no exact match. *See* Conwell, Figure 3. Whether the hash of the extracted features of the work and the hashed extracted features of the record being compared are close (similar) or distant (dissimilar) is not considered, is not relevant, and cannot be determined using a nearness comparison of the hashes extracted in Conwell.

42. In addition, as I explain below in detail, while Conwell discloses using a sorted lookup table to store the hashed extracted features (*see* Conwell Figure 3, 3:43-45), Conwell does not identify any specific algorithm for performing the exact match comparison using the lookup-table and therefore does not identify either an exhaustive search or a non-exhaustive search. Either

> 23 Page 27 of 292

approach could theoretically be used; however, neither approach is expressly or inherently disclosed.

## Overview of Philyaw—Ex. 1014 (addressed in the '179 and '441 IPRs as a secondary reference).

Philyaw (Patent No. 6,098,106) discloses a system that uses

identifying information embedded into either the sound or video portion of a broadcast signal to view corresponding information. Philyaw, Abstract; 1:66-2:8. "Figure 3 illustrates the system interactions over a global network" (Philyaw, 2:20-21):



44. Rather than using the searches claimed in the IPR patents to identify a work by comparing extracted features to a database of potential matches, the system in Philyaw embeds a "routing signal having routing information contained therein" into a broadcast program to identify what is being broadcast:

24 Page 28 of 292

"A program is broadcasted having embedded therein a routing signal having routing information contained therein. The routing signal is then extracted from the broadcast. Thereafter, a personal computer is controlled to allow a user to retrieve the information from a storage region at the defined location, which defined location is located with the extracted routing information, providing it at the personal computer for use by the user."

Philyaw, 2:1-9. Accordingly, Philyaw does not disclose any searching algorithm.5

## Overview of Chen—Ex. 1008 (addressed in the '237 IPR as a secondary reference).

45. Chen (Patent No. 7,444,353) discloses a system for identifying music in a "music and information delivery" system. Chen, Abstract. Figure 1 "illustrates one embodiment of a music and information delivery system according to the teachings" of Chen. Chen, 4:7-9.

<sup>5</sup> I note that the '179 and '441 IPR Petitions do not assert that Philyaw discloses the claimed "non-exhaustive neighbor search" (from the '179 and '441 claims) but instead exclusively relies on Ghias for this element in Ground 2 of the '179 and '441 IPRs (the only grounds in which Philyaw is asserted). *See* Pet. ('179) 47-48, 51; Pet. ('441) 47-48; 51.


A user can identify music that they can then access, for example, by downloading to a laptop or home computer. Chen, 1:58-66.

46. The system in Chen can "search a storage medium to identify and access the piece of music from the storage medium." Chen, Abstract. But Chen does not provide any details as to how any search is performed. Accordingly, Chen does not disclose a(n):

- sub-linear time search to identify a neighbor (\*237, claims 1 and 5);
- approximate nearest neighbor search ('237, claims 9 and 13);
- non-exhaustive search ... to identify a near neighbor ('237, claim 25); or

26 Page 30 of 292

• sublinear approximate nearest neighbor search (claim 33).

I note that neither the Petition nor the corresponding Declaration relies on Chen for these claimed elements but instead relies on Iwamura in Ground 3 of the '237 IPR, the only ground in which Chen is at issue. *See* Pet. ('237) at 54-57.

#### III. General Findings.

- 47. Based on my analysis of:
- (a) the IPR Patents (the '237, '988, '179, and '441 patents);
- (b) the art asserted against the IPR Patents in the four IPRs;
- (c) the IPR Petitions;
- (d) Dr. Moulin's Declarations (Exs. 1004 in each IPR) and deposition testimony (Ex. 2006);
- (e) the documents cited in the IPR Petitions;
- (f) the IPR Decisions; and
- (g) the other documents referenced in this Declaration,

I am of the opinion that the instituted claims of the IPR Patents<sup>6</sup> are not unpatentable based on the grounds at issue in the IPRs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> I understand that the instituted claims are claims 1, 3–5, 7–9, 11–13, 15, 16,

<sup>21-27, 29, 30, 33, 34, 35, 37,</sup> and 38 of the '237 patent; claims 15-17, 21-28, 31-

48. Specifically, for the reasons and based on the analysis that I set forth below, I am of the opinion that:

#### '237 patent:

- <u>Ground 1</u>: Claims 1, 3–5, 7–9, 11–13, 15, 16, 21–25, 29, 30, 33, 37, and 38 of the '237 patent are not anticipated by Iwamura under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e);
- <u>Ground 2</u>: Claims 1–3, 5–7, 9–11, 13–15, and 21–24 of the '237 patent are not anticipated by Ghias under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b);
- Ground 3: Claims 26, 27, 34, and 35 of the '237 patent are not obvious over Iwamura and Chen under 35 U.S.C. § 103;

#### '998 patent:

- Ground 1: Claims 15–17, 21–23, 28, 31, and 51 of the '998 patent are not anticipated by Ghias under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b);
- Ground 2: Claims 22, 24–26, and 52 of the '998 patent are not obvious over Ghias under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a);

33, 51, and 52 of the '998 patent; claims 1-3, 6, 8-14, 18, 19, 21-27, 29-31, and

34-37 of the '179 patent; and claims 1-3, 6, 8-14, 18, 19, 21-27, 29, and 30 of the '441 patent.

 Ground 3: Claims 15–17, 21, 23, 27, 28, 31–33, 38, and 51 of the '998 patent are not anticipated by Iwamura under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e);

#### \*179 patent:

- Ground 1: Claims 1–3, 6, 8–14, 19, 21–26, 30, 31, and 34–37 of the '179 patent are not anticipated by Conwell under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e);
- <u>Ground 2</u>: Claims 1–3, 8, 10–14, 18, 19, 21–27, 29, 31, and 34–37 of the
   '179 patent are not obvious over Ghias and Philyaw under 35 U.S.C. § 103;

'441 patent:

- Ground 1: Claims 1–3, 6, 8–14, 19, 21–26, and 30 of the '441 patent are not anticipated by Conwell under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e); and
- Ground 2: Claims 1–3, 8, 10–14, 18, 19, 21–27, 29, and 30 of the '441
  patent are not obvious over Ghias and Philyaw under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

49. The information below presents the basis for my opinions that the challenged claims of the IPR Patents are not unpatentable based on the grounds at issue in the IPRs.

## IV. General concerns with the IPR Petitions and Dr. Moulin's Declarations (Exs. 1004 in each IPR).

50. It is my understanding that a Petition must set forth how a challenged claim is to be construed. In addition, it is my understanding that a Petition and corresponding declaration must then map the properly construed claim language to the teachings of the asserted art. Based on my review of the Petitions and corresponding Declarations, one skilled in the art would understand that the Petitions and corresponding Declarations fail to comply with these requirements.

51. First, the Petitions (and in particular, the '237 Petition) and corresponding Declarations fail to identify any construction of the phrase "approximate nearest neighbor search." *See* Pet. ('237) at 1-53. Claims 9 and 13 of the '237 patent include an "approximate nearest neighbor search." *See* '237 patent claims 9 and 13. Because the Petition and Declarant do not identify any construction of "approximate nearest neighbor search," they fail to map the properly construed language to the teaching of the prior art (*i.e.*, Iwamura, Ghias, and Chen).

52. Second, although Petitioner and Dr. Moulin identified constructions for certain terms,<sup>7</sup> neither the Petition nor the corresponding Declaration maps the construed claim language to the teachings of the asserted art.

53. Moreover, one skilled in the art would understand that Petitioner's failure to map the properly construed claim language to the teachings of the prior art results in critical mistakes in the IPR Petitions and Declarations, as I illustrate using the following two examples:

54. <u>Example 1</u>: In his Declaration with respect to the '237 patent, Petitioner's Declarant, Dr. Moulin, confirmed (consistent with my understanding and the understanding of one of ordinary skill in the art) that a "sublinear search" is a search that has a sublinear relationship to the database size:

53. I understand and agree with Petitioner's position that the term "sublinear search" means "a search whose execution time has a sublinear relationship to database size." For instance, a linear search of a 200-item database would take twice as long as a linear search of a 100-item database. By contrast, a sublinear search of a 200-item database would take less than twice as long as a sublinear search of a 100-item database, perhaps, for instance, 1.5 times as long.

Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶48 (addressing identify a neighbor / identify a near neighbor / nearest neighbor search); Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶53 (addressing sub-linear).

See, e.g., Moulin Decl. ('237) ¶43 (addressing non-exhaustive search);

Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶53. In that same Declaration, Dr. Moulin also asserted that Ghias discloses a search that is sublinear based on the disclosure in Ghias of algorithms that have running times of "O(kn) or O(nlog(m)):"

In particular, Ghias

discloses searches whose execution times are proportional to the logarithm of the size of the data set (*id*) at 6/24-28 ("O(kn) or O(nlog(m)")), which, as explained above in Section V(D), are sublinear (Ex-1001 at 8:54-63).

Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶123.

55. In asserting that Ghias discloses a search that is sublinear, Dr. Moulin did not apply the construction of a sublinear search which requires that the search be sublinear with respect to the "size of the database" and not the number of pitch differences in the query which is the length of the query. Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶53. However, as stated in Ghias (and confirmed by Dr. Moulin at his deposition, *see* the deposition citations that I reference below), (1) "m" refers to the number of pitch differences in the query while "n" refers to the size of the string (song), and (2) each search algorithm identified in Ghias is linear with respect to the size of the data set. Accordingly, one skilled in the art would understand that Ghias does not disclose a sublinear search under Petitioner's (and Dr. Moulin's) own construction of sublinear.

56. Dr. Moulin's deposition transcript addressing the paragraph from his Declaration that I presented above (Moulin Decl. ('237) ¶123) demonstrates to one skilled in the art the problems that result from the Petitioner failing to apply Dr.

Moulin's own construction to the art:



Moulin Depo. 154:14-155:2

	$ \  \   \alpha \   , \  \   \alpha \   , \  \   , \  \   \alpha \   , \   , \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \   , \   , \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \ \    , \ \    , \  \   , \  \   , \  \    , \  \   , \  \   , \  \   , \    , \     , \     $
	to an art for the other double to at other or the state of a second
14	and the state of the
	Stratic Art in the permatant
1	1 - 9 - 2 1 - 1) .
	1999 WITHERS'S INTER-REMARKS with 1 we teach
	$\label{eq:alpha} (e^{i\omega} + e^{i\omega} + e$
	more presides way. It should have been the dog of
	the goery databate The word " pery" it old have
	difented from the
	F. MF. DOGEL:
	$(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+1}$
. 1	and that to the contract
	MR. ELAN, MAR Adding the destrict of themet.
	THE WITNESS: "Yes and it, contre word
	"riery" should be included before "istated" in the
	t x if line 4.
÷	BY MR. DOVEL:
	0. Why do you object to writing it in there?
	A Why should i write it? I cust bail it.

Moulin Depo. 155:12-156:6.

1		A	Be.	tause	11'5 %	ery clear.	I'm saying the
2	weizid	"que	ry"	shoul	ld have	been incorp	porated before
З	"data	set.	**				

Moulin Depo. 156:22-157:3.

> Well, one possible reason you wanted to give the Board this information is so that they would misread it and be misled. 5 That's a possibility: right? 10 A It's not at all the -- the reason. So it alone == there are four documents. Some, they 1 I are, like, 90 pages each. Some of the words could 12 have been better chosen. In particular, the word 13 14 "guery" should have been there. I have acknowledged 15 that. ④ 특별한 초등등일법은 \*\*\* 10 A Again, I have acknowledged that this was 18 not written the best way.

Moulin Depo. 157:6-18.

57. Example 2: As I noted above, in his Declaration with respect to the

\*237 Patent, Dr. Moulin confirmed that a sublinear search is a search that has a sublinear relationship to the database size:

53. 1 understand and agree with Petitioner's position that the term

"sublinear search" means "a search whose execution time has a sublinear

relationship to database size." For instance, a linear search of a 200-item database

Moulin Decl. ('237) ¶53. Just a few pages later in that same Declaration, Dr.

Moulin asserted:

72 — It is my opinion that Iwamira further teaches how this search can be sublinear. For example, Iwamira discloses that different "search algorithms may be applied to perform melody searches," (*id*: at 10.2-3), such as the "Boyer-Moore algorithm," (*id*: at 9.63). "On the average the [Boyer-Moore] algorithm has a sublinear behaviour," Ex: 1017 at 1

Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶72. In asserting that Iwamura discloses a search that is sublinear, Dr. Moulin did not apply his construction of a sublinear to the referenced Boyer-Moore algorithm.

58. As I explain in detail below and confirmed by Dr. Moulin, one skilled in the art would understand that the Boyer-Moore algorithm disclosed in Ghias does not disclose sublinear behaviors with respect to the size of the data set but only with respect to the query (or pattern)<sup>8</sup> to be matched. Again, Dr. Moulin's deposition transcript addressing the paragraph from his Declaration that I presented above (Moulin Decl. ('237) ¶72) demonstrates to one skilled in the art the problems that result from Petitioner failing to apply Dr. Moulin's own construction to the art:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Dr. Moulin testified that "query," "pattern," and "probe" are "all synonymous in this context." Moulin Depo. 21:24-22:1. I agree with Dr. Moulin's testimony.



Moulin Depo. 74:20-24.

9	Q When we read paragraph 72, are you
10	conveying to the reader that the Boyer-Moore
11	algorithm is sublinear with respect to the size of
12	the dataset being searched?
13	A No. All I do is quote a part of a paper
14	that shows why that algorithm is much faster than
15	brute force. That's all I'm doing. You're
16	inferring things I'm not saying or writing.

Moulin Depo. 69:9-16.

9	Q When we read paragraph 72, are you
10	conveying to the reader that the Boyer-Moore
11	algorithm is sublinear with respect to the size of
12	the dataset being searched?
13	A No. All I do is quote a part of a paper
14	that shows why that algorithm is much faster than
15	brute force. That's all I'm doing. You're
16	inferring things I'm not saying or writing.

Moulin Depo. 66:9-18.



Moulin Depo. 75:23-76:3.

1~	4	And when y	yis siste	s this, were y	tu trying
$\tilde{g} =$	*	t, the Boa	a:::•),a*	the Boyez-Mos	)I=
1.0	algerithm	is the the	at, if y	24 498 1°, 1°	s sublinear
	With resp	ect to the	size of	the database:	
-1	A.	No. No.			

Moulin Depo. 67:17-21.

#### V. Claim Constructions.

59. In construing the claims of the IPR Patents, I understand that in this proceeding, the claims are interpreted using the broadest reasonable construction in light of the patent in which they appear. I also understand that there is a presumption that a claim term carries its ordinary and customary meaning to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. In conducting my analysis of the claim elements below, I apply this understanding.

60. It is also my understanding that, for purposes of evaluating whether the IPR Petitions satisfied an initial threshold, the Board identified certain claim

> 38 Page 42 of 292

constructions in its Decisions. It is my understanding that the preliminary

constructions identified by the Board are:

"sub-linear"	"a search whose execution time scales with a less than linear relationship to the size of the data set to be searched"
	Decision (*237) at 7.
"non-exhaustive search" / "non- exhaustivesearch"	"a search that locates a match without a comparison of all possible matches"
	Decision ('237) at 7;
	Decision ('988) at 7;
	Decision (179) at 7; and
	Decision ('441) at 7.
"neighbor search" / "identifying a neighbor"	"identifying a close, but not necessarily exact or closest, match"
	Decision ('988) at 7;
	Decision (*179) at 8; and
	Decision ('441) at 7.
"neighbor" / "near neighbor"	"a close, but not necessarily exact or closest, match"
	Decision ('237) at 8.
"approximate nearest neighbor search"	"identifying a close match that is not necessarily the closest match"
	Decision ('237) at 9.

I address each construction in turn.

#### A. sub-linear (\*237 patent).

61. The Board's preliminary construction of "sub-linear time search" is "a search whose execution time scales with a less than linear relationship to the size of the data set to be searched." Decision (\*237) at 7. While the Board's preliminary construction is a correct construction of "sub-linear time search," there are apparently two possible interpretations of the Board's construction:

Interpretation 1: consistent with the meaning of the phrase "size of the data set," the "size of the data set" refers to the number of records in the data set being searched (such that the relevant linear relationship is with respect to the size of the data set, *i.e.*, the number of records in the data set), or Interpretation 2: the "size of the data set" refers to the length of an individual record in the database (such that the linear relationship is with respect to the length of an individual record to be searched rather than the size of the data set).

62. As I explain below, the first interpretation is correct. Also, as I demonstrate below, the asserted art for this element (Iwamura and Ghias) does not disclose a sub-linear search under either interpretation. The (1) words actually used in the Board's construction, the (2) specification of the '237 patent, and (3) the Petitioner's Declarant, confirm that the proper interpretation of a sub-linear search, in the context of the '237 patent, is a search that is sublinear with the size

40 Page 44 of 292

of the data set where the data set is the number of records in the database, not the length of an individual record in the data set.

## the words used in the construction: "size of the dataset"

63. A data set, in the context of the '237, is a database (i.e., set of

records), not an individual record in a dataset or database. The Board incorporated the phrase "size of the dataset" in its preliminary construction based on the fact that "database" and "dataset" are "largely consistent" such that "database size" and "size of the data set" are "largely consistent." Decision ('237) at 7. As Petitioner's Declarant confirmed, "dataset" and "database" are the same in the context of the IPR Patents:

O When you say "database," is that the same 14 15 thing as "dataset" in this context? 16 A Ves.

Moulin Depo. 22:14-16.

	Q When you wrote "sublinear" here, you're
	referring to sublinear with respect to the size of
3	the dataset being searched?
4	A Yes. Okay. Just to be clear, the dataset
53	is the whole database, ckay, the database of songs.

Moulin Depo. 110:11-15. Consistent with the understanding of one skilled in the art, I agree with Petitioner's Declarant—that "database" and "dataset" are the same in the context of the IPR Patents.

64. A database comprises all records in the database: a single record in a database is not a database. My understanding is consistent with the testimony of Petitioner's Declarant:

11 St tust to make it clear, N is not the 11 size of the database: N is the size of a single 18 song.

Moulin Depo. 89:4-13.

65. Moreover, I observed that the origin of the phrase "data set" in the Board's construction of sub-liner is the Patent Owner's Preliminary Response for the '237 patent in which Patent Owner specifically clarified that the "size of the data set" is the number of potential matches in the data set (*i.e.*, the 'number of entries in the search database." Preliminary Response ('237) at 9-10 (*quoting* Moulin Decl. ('237) ¶54). I note that neither the Patent Owner (in its Preliminary Response) nor the Petitioner (in its Petition or Declaration) stated or suggested that sublinear should be based on the length of an individual query in the dataset.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> This is the natural growth mechanism for such a problem. For example, if there are X songs in a database and X additional songs are added, in one dimension the database has doubled (2X). In general, there is no reason to think that the distribution of record sizes changes as the size of the database (number of record in the database) increases. If each record is a fixed length vector, the database size

#### 2. '237 specification.

66. As I noted above, it is my understanding that a claim in an unexpired patent is given its broadest reasonable construction in light of the specification of the patent in which it appears. It is also my understanding that the best source for discerning the proper context of claim terms is the patent specification.

67. "Sub-linear" indicates a relationship between two quantities which is less than linear. Linearity describes "[t]he relationship existing between two quantities when a change in a second quantity is directly proportionate to a change in the first quantity." Ex. 2007 (Modern Dictionary of Electronics) at 425 (1999). "Sub-" is a prefix indicating "under" or "below."

68. The claim language identifies "time" as one of the quantities being related. In the expression "a sub-linear time search," "sub-linear time" is an adjective phrase modifying "search." The '237 specification identifies the number of records in the data set ("N") as the variable that is sub-linear with respect to time.

will double when the number of records doubles. Even if each record is a digital representation of an entire song, there is no reason to think that the new songs have a time / length statistical distribution that is significantly different from the songs that already exist in the database.

69. First, the specification identifies a problem with prior art searches that the searches are "linear" with respect to the number of records in the data set ("N")<sup>10</sup>—not with respect to the length of an individual record in the database being searched:

"If binary search was possible, then a <u>database containing N vectors</u> would require at most log(N) comparisons. .... In previous work, it was not uncommon to perform <u>a linear search of all N entries</u>, perhaps halting the search when the first match is found. On average, this will r<u>equire N/2</u> <u>comparisons</u>.<sup>11</sup> If N is large, this search can be computationally very expensive."

·237, 8:54-63.12

<sup>10</sup> In the '237 specification, the variable "N" indicates the number of entries in the database being searched—"a <u>database containing N vectors</u>." '237, 8:54–55.

<sup>11</sup> N/2 is the expected average result for an exhaustive or linear search of a dataset with one match.

<sup>12</sup> A search algorithm that requires N/2 comparisons has a running time that scales linearly with respect to N. As N increases by one, the search's running time increases by, on average, one half of the running time of a single comparison. Thus, as N increases, the running time of a search requiring N/2 comparisons increases by a directly proportionate amount, *i.e.*, linearly.

"Consider a situation in which one out of 100,000 possible commercials is to be identified. Each 30-second commercial consists of 900 video frames. If all 900 frames are stored in the database, then N=90,000,000. Even if only every 10th video frame is stored in the database, <u>its size is still nine million</u>. While databases of this size are now common, they rely of [sic] efficient search to access entries, i.e., they <u>do not perform a linear search</u>. A binary search of a <u>90,000,000-item database</u> requires less than 20 comparisons. <u>In</u> <u>contrast, a linear search</u> will require an average of 45,000,000!"

.237, 21:14-23.

70. In both of the instances from the '237 specification that I presented above, the '237 specification describes prior art search techniques as "linear" with respect to "N"—the number of records in the database being searched—not with respect to the length of an individual record in the database.

71. Second, the '237 specification identifies search techniques that achieve a sub-linear search time with respect to the number of records in the database being searched (not with respect to the length of an individual record being searched):

"Other forms of matching include those based on <u>clustering</u>, <u>kd-trees</u>, <u>vantage point trees and excluded middle vantage point forests</u> are possible and will be discussed in more detail later. . . . Thus, for example, a <u>sub-linear search time</u> can be achieved."

237, 8:64-9:7.

"A number of possible data structures are applicable including <u>kd-</u> <u>trees and vantage point trees</u>. These data structures and associated search algorithms organize <u>a N-point dataset</u> (N=90,000,000 in out previous example) so that <u>sub-linear time searches can be performed</u> on average."

\*237, 21:56–60. Clustering, kd-trees, vantage point trees and excluded middle vantage point all achieve sub-linear behavior by reducing the number of records being search, *e.g.*, by discarding clusters (buckets) of potential matches, not by reducing the length of an individual record being searched. These methods prune parts of the search space (*i.e.*, data records to be searched) and this is why they are efficient.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The Yianilos paper incorporated by reference into the '237 patent ('237, 8:65-9:6) explains: "We introduce the idea of aggressive pruning and give a family of practical algorithms, an idealized analysis, and describe experiments. Our main result is that search complexity measured in terms of *d*-dimensional inner product operations, is i) strongly sublinear with respect to the data set size *n* for moderate *R*, ii) asymptotically, and as a practical matter, independent of dimension." See Ex. 2010 (P. N. Yianilos) at 1.

72. Again, in all instances, the '237 specification describes techniques as "linear" with respect to "N"—the number of records in the database being searched—not with respect to the length of an individual record in the database.

### 3. Petitioner's Declarant.

73. According to Petitioner (consistent with the understanding of one skilled in the art), a "sublinear" search is "a search whose execution time has a sublinear relationship to database size"—where the database size is the number of records in the database, not the length of an individual record in the data set.

74. A "database" consists of all records in the data set; a "database" is not one individual record in the database—an individual record is not a "database." Dr. Moulin confirmed that sublinear in the context of the '237 patent is based on the size of database (a "concept that's common in [his] field" Moulin 8:10-14), not the length of an individual record in the database:

53. I understand and agree with Petitioner's position that the term "sublinear search" means "a search whose execution time has a sublinear relationship to database size." For instance, a linear search of a 200-item database would take twice as long as a linear search of a 100-item database. By contrast, a sublinear search of a 200-item database would take less than twice as long as a sublinear search of a 100-item database, perhaps, for instance, 1.5 times as long.

Moulin Decl. ('237) ¶53. As Petitioner's Declarant-Dr. Moulin-confirmed:

î. H	<pre>c And what it infers t</pre>	. to be more piellse.
28	is that if we have a sublinear	search, that reach
-	that the search time is 7 ind .	• 11 – 4• 1495 • 141 4
-	linear relationship compared t	the size of the
1	istalase as we increase the si	ze of the database?
÷	A Tost is correct.	

Moulin Depo. 13:24-14:4.



Moulin Depo. 103:16-22; 16:4-12; 24:1-12.

75. The Petition and corresponding Declaration interpreted "database size" as the number of records in the database (not the length of an individual record to be searched):

"For instance, a linear search of a 200-item database would take twice as long as a linear search of a 100-item database. By contrast, a sublinear search of a 200-item database would take less than twice as long as a sublinear search of a 100-item database, perhaps, for instance, 1.5 times as long."

Pet. (\*237) at 6; Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶ 53.



Moulin Decl. ('237) ¶54 (showing that the execution time of a sub-linear time search increases with a less than linear relationship to the "number of entries in the search database").

"[I]t is my opinion that a search whose execution time is proportional to the logarithm of the size of the data set (e.g., a search with execution time proportional to A log (BN), where A and B are constants, and N is the number of entries in the database) is sublinear."

Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶56.

76. One skilled in the art would understand that each explanation of "sublinear" in the Petition and Declaration demonstrates that the sub-linearity of a search depends on the number of entries in a database, not on other factors, such as the length of an individual record in the database. Nowhere does Petitioner or the

Declarant suggest that the relevant sublinear search is with respect to the length of an individual record to be searched.<sup>14</sup>

77. I note that in its Decision, the Board did not present any analysis or reasoning for interpreting sub-linear relative to the length of an individual record being searched rather than the number of records in the dataset. *See* Pet. (\*237) at 7. And the example presented by the Board in its Decision equates "data set" with the number of records in the database ("N"), not the length of an individual record:

One example of such a sub-linear search would be a search with an execution time proportional to the logarithm of the size of the data set ("N"), where a doubling of N would lead to an execution time proportional to log(2N).

<sup>14</sup> In Petitioner's analysis, the length of individual records is not a factor in evaluating whether an algorithm is a sub-linear time search or a linear time search. If the length of individual records were a factor in evaluating the sub-linearity of a search, then such lengths would have to be addressed in determining whether a given algorithm is sub-linear and Petitioner's examples would have to account for those lengths. The Petition does not rely upon any variable other than the number of items in the database to be searched to determine whether a search is sub-linear. The Petition determines whether a search has a sub-linear running time exclusively with respect to the number of entries in the database to be searched.

#### Decision ('237) at 7.

78. Importantly, one skilled in the art would understand that under any possible interpretation of "sublinear" in the context of the '237 patent (as well as the general context of search algorithms), a search algorithm is sublinear only with respect to the size of the dataset (the size of the reference database), not the size of the query (pattern) of the work to be identified using the search. As Petitioner's expert confirmed, consistent with my understanding, whether a prior art search "scales based upon the size of the query or pattern" would not "be accurately assessing the '237 patent claims." Moulin Depo. 25:22-26:8.

11	Is it the case that for a search to be
12 3	ublinear as it's used in the '137 patent, it's not
13 e	mough for it to have execution time that is
14 5	sublinear in relationship to the size of the
15 F	mattern; it must also be sublinear in relationship
1 <i>6</i> t	to the size of the database?
17	A When I read "sublinear" in, say, Claim 5
18 0	of the patent, as we just did, I understand
19 :	sublinear to mean in relation with the size of the
20 3	iatabase. It does not say anything about in
21 1	elation with the size of the query.

Moulin Depo. 26:11-21.

```
Will it be wring, then, th assess whether
a search is sufficient by applying a definition that
said, "It's sufficient if this execution time bas a
sufficient relationship to the site of the query of
the pattern"?
A - It would not be very relevant, Again,
mathematically, it can be done. Everything can be
done. But it would not be relevant, from an
engineering viewpoint, for an application like this.
```

Moulin Depo. 25:4-12.

```
Let's assume that the Fatent Trial and
1
    Appeal Board was presented with prior art that
    presented a search that was linear with respect to
         size of the database, but it was sublinear with
    respect to the size of the pattern.
5
              Would that prior art demonstrate a
    sublinear search as it's used in Claim 257
÷
          A Secole say it's a linear search, again,
    because it's in relation with the size of the
    database. And as you just said, that complexity is
    still linears so people would say it is a linear
          0
              It is not a sublinear search?
          A lt's only sublinear in terms of the size
    of the query, which is generally not the
   parameter of -- the relevant parameter.
15
```

Moulin Depo. 26:25-27:15.

16	Q Is it the case that if we had a piece of
17	prior art that was linear with respect to the size
18	of the database but sublinear with respect to the
19	size of the query or the pattern, that that prior
2.0	art would not teach a sublinear search as it's used
21	in Claim 25?
22	A Again, if one Understands sublinear to be
23	in terms in relation to the size of the database,
24	that would be a a linear search.

Moulin Depo. 27:16-24.

```
Let's assume we've got a piece of prior
á
    art that scales at a sublinear relationship with the
5
    size of the pattern or query but it scales at a
ř
    linear relationship with the size of the database
 77
    that's being searched.
55
              Would that prior art demonstrate or
9
   disclose a sublinear search as it's used in the
10
   claims of the '137 patent?
11
          A No. Again, because my understanding is
12
   the claims of the '237 patent, whenever there's
13
   mention of "sublinear," it means in terms of the
14
   database size. It does not say it explicitly; it's
15
     my inference based on my knowledge and my expertise.
16
```

Moulin Depo. 28:4-16. I agree with the Petitioner's Declarant—that "sublinear" in the context of the IPR Patents is with respect to the size of the database, not the size of the query or pattern.

- B. non-exhaustive search ('237, '988, '179, and '441 patents).
  - The Board's preliminary construction of "non-exhaustive search" is consistent with the understanding of one of ordinary skill in the art: "a search that locates a match without a comparison of all possible matches."

79. A "non-exhaustive" search is a search that uses an algorithm designed to locate a match without comparing the work to all records in the database. A "non-exhaustive" search uses an intelligent algorithm to narrow the database to only a subset of potential matches. *See e.g.* Moulin Decl. (\*988) ¶12 ("algorithms that increased efficiency by intelligently searching only a subset of potential matches (*i.e.*, 'non-exhaustive' algorithms)"); Pet. (\*237) at 3 ("search algorithms that increased efficiency by intelligently searching only a subset of potential matches (*i.e.*, 'non-exhaustive' algorithms)").

80. For example, if there are 100 records in a database, a non-exhaustive search could use an intelligent algorithm to exclude 75 records from the search such that only 25 would be searched during the comparison process. As the specifications of the IPR Patents observe, these non-exhaustive "forms of matching include[ing] those based on clustering, kd-trees, vantage point trees and excluded middle vantage point forests" do not systematically compare the work to be identified to each record. *See e.g.*, '179, 9:14-17. Each of these examples uses an intelligent algorithm to narrow the database to only a subset of potential matches.

81. A "non-exhaustive" search can be contrasted with an "exhaustive" search. An exhaustive search systematically checks whether each potential match matches the work to be identified until a match is found, "perhaps halting the search when the first match is found." '237, 8:59-61. An exhaustive search is "a very general problem-solving technique that consists of systematically enumerating all possible candidates for the solution and checking whether each candidate satisfies the problem's statement." Ex. 2001 (the "solution" here refers to a record and not a section within that record).

82. If there are 100 records in the database, an "exhaustive" search does not narrow the potential matches but instead systematically compares the work with each record to determine a match (if there is one). Systematically comparing the work to be identified with each potential match until a match is identified rather than using intelligence to narrow the search candidates is also referred to as using "brute force." Moulin Decl. ('988) ¶44 ("a brute force search conducts a comparison of every item in a search database"); Ex. 2001.

Google Ex. 1020

2. The Board properly rejected Petitioner's assertion that a "non-exhaustive search" should be construed as "a search that locates a match without conducting a brute force comparison of all possible matches, <u>and all data within all</u> possible matches."

83. The "all data" clause (that I underlined above) in Petitioner's proposed construction (Pet. ('237) at 5; Decision ('237) at 5-7) would improperly include as a "non-exhaustive" search any search that did not compare "all data" in each record, even if the search were a brute force comparison of each record in the database. As an illustrative example, assume the work to be identified "ABC" is compared with all records in a library, including record "DEF." When comparing "ABC" with "DEF," the algorithm determines that there is no match between "ABC" and "DEF" after just comparing the first letter of the work "A" with the first letter of the record "D." If the algorithm does not unnecessarily compare the second and third letters, then according to Petitioner, the search is not "exhaustive" even though every record is compared.

84. Petitioner's Declarant states that a non-exhaustive search is any search that is not a brute force search, and a "brute force' search, in turn, is a search wherein a query is compared to every single portion of every single item in a database." Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶43. Petitioner's Declarant, however, provides no

NETWORK-1 EXHIBIT 2005 Google Inc. v. Network-1 Technologies, Inc. IPR2015-00343

Page 60 of 292

56

analysis or support for this conclusory assertion which, I understand, is insufficient to satisfy Petitioner's burden in these IPR proceedings.

85. One skilled in the art would understand that the "all data" clause is improper because it is:

- inconsistent with how the non-exhaustive search concept is used in the IPR Patents which describes a linear exhaustive search as one where the search compares the work to all "N entries," not all data within all "N entries" (*see e.g.*, '179, 21:10-42; 8:59-9:54); and
- not part of the ordinary meaning of "non-exhaustive search" (see Ex. 2001).
  - 86. Moreover, objective sources confirm my understanding that an

"exhaustive" or "brute-force" search systematically compares the work with each record in a database, not all data within each record, for example:

"In computer science, brute-force search or <u>exhaustive search</u>, also known as generate and test, is a very general problem-solving technique that consists of systematically enumerating all possible candidates for the solution and <u>checking whether each candidate</u> <u>satisfies the problem's statement</u>."

Ex. 2001-each "candidate" is checked, not "all data" within each candidate.

87. Petitioner's own Declarant twice confirmed my understanding-that a "non-exhaustive" search searches a subset of "potential matches," not a subset of "all data within all potential matches":

 (1) "Because neighbor searching is computationally intensive, content recognition schemes typically employed search algorithms that increased efficiency by intelligently searching only a subset of potential matches (*i.e.*, 'non-exhaustive' algorithms)." Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶12;

(2) "to maximize search efficiency, persons skilled in the art routinely employed more efficient searches that did not conduct a comparison of every single item in a database, sometimes referred to as non-exhaustive searches." Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶43.

88. For the reasons that I presented above, one skilled in the art would understand that the Board properly rejected Petitioner's "all data" clause.

Decision ('237) at 6.

# C. neighbor search / identifying a neighbor / neighbor / near neighbor ('237, '988, '179, and '441 patents).

89. One skilled in the art would understand that the Board properly construed a "neighbor search" and "identifying a neighbor" as "identifying a close, but not necessarily exact or closest, match" and "neighbor" and "near neighbor" as

"a close, but not necessarily exact or closest, match." Decision ('237) at 8: Decision ('988) at 7-8; Decision ('179) at 8; Decision ('441) at 7.

90. Petitioner and its Declarant agree with the Board's construction of "neighbor search." *See e.g.*, Petition (\*179) at 6 ("The term 'neighbor search' ... should be construed to mean 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""neighbor search' means 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""neighbor search' means 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""neighbor search' means 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""neighbor search' means 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""neighbor search' means 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""neighbor search' means 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""neighbor search' means 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""neighbor search' means 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""neighbor search' means 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""neighbor search' means 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""neighbor search' means 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""neighbor search' means 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""neighbor search' means 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""neighbor search' means 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""neighbor search' means 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""neighbor search' means 'identifying a close, but not necessarily exact, match.""); Moulin Decl. (\*179) ¶45 (""necessarily e

91. One skilled in the art would understand that there are two relevant features of a neighbor search under this construction:

92. <u>Feature 1</u>: If a search necessarily identifies an exact or the closest match (*i.e.*, the search is designed to guarantee that an exact or the closest march is identified each time the search is performed), it is not a neighbor or near neighbor search because it is not a search that "identif[ies] a close, but not necessarily exact or closest, match." Rather, such a search necessarily identifies an exact or the closest match.

93. <u>Feature 2</u>: If a search that necessarily identifies an exact or the closest match (*e.g.*, Match 1) but also identifies other matches that, by definition, are not the closest match (Match 2, Match 3, Match 4), the search still necessarily identifies an exact or the closest match (Match 1) and therefore cannot be the claimed neighbor or near neighbor search.

#### D. approximate nearest neighbor search ('237 patent).

94. As I noted above, the Petitioner did not identify a construction of "approximate nearest neighbor search."

95. The Board preliminary determined that an "approximate nearest neighbor search" is a search "identifying a close match that is not necessarily the closest match." Decision ('237) at 9. One skilled in the art would understand that this construction is correct, but incomplete, as demonstrated by the '237 specification. The '237 specification states that the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search" is [1] a sub-linear neighbor search that [2] does not always find the closest point to the query—*i.e.*, does not always find the closest match:

"[1] One example of a sub-linear time search is an approximate nearest neighbor search. [2] A nearest neighbor search always finds the closest point to the query. An approximate nearest neighbor search does not always find the closest point to the query. For example, it might do so with some probability, or it might provide any point within some small distance of the closest point."

#### 237, 9:12-19.

96. The first feature—that a "approximate nearest neighbor search" is a sub-linear time search—is not reflected in the Board's preliminary construction and, as demonstrated below, should be included in the construction. The second

60 Page 64 of 292
feature of the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search" is reflected in the Board's preliminary construction—"identifying a close match that is not necessarily the closest match." I address these two features in reversed order.

#### "identifying a close match that is not necessarily the closest match"

97. This feature of "approximate nearest neighbor search" was properly adopted by the Board. A search that is guaranteed to return the actual closet match is not an "approximate nearest neighbor search." The '237 specification states that an "approximate nearest neighbor search does not always find the closest point to the query." '237, 9:15–16. Accordingly, a search that "always finds" (*i.e.*, is guaranteed to find) the closest match is not an "approximate nearest neighbor search" while a search that is not guaranteed to find the closest match can be an "approximate nearest neighbor search" if it identifies a close match. *See* Pet. ('237) at 19 (stating that a reference discloses an "approximate nearest neighbor search" because the search "identifies a neighbor, but not necessarily the nearest neighbor.").

98. This understanding of "approximate nearest neighbor search" is consistent with the ordinary meaning of the phrase

"<u>Approximate nearest neighbor</u> In some applications it may be acceptable to retrieve a 'good guess' of the nearest neighbor. In those

cases, we can use an algorithm which doesn't guarantee to return the actual nearest neighbor in every case, in return for improved speed or memory savings."

Ex. 2008

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nearest\_neighbor\_search#Approximate\_nearest\_neighbor.) at 5.

99. Similar to the neighbor and near neighbor searches addressed above, one skilled in the art would understand that a search that necessarily identifies both: (1) an exact match or the closest match, and, in addition, (2) "a close match that is not necessarily the closest match" is not an "approximate nearest neighbor search" because it is always guaranteed to identify the closest match.

### 2. "sublinear"

100. It is my understanding that an inventor may act as his or her own lexicographer in defining terms used in a patent's claims. One skilled in the art would understand that the '237 patent defines "approximate nearest neighbor search" as a type of sub-linear search.

101. <u>Title</u>: In the title of the '237 patent, the patentee identified an "approximate nearest neighbor search" as a type of sub-linear search: "Identifying works, using a sub-linear time search, such as an approximate nearest neighbor search, for initiating a work-based action, such as an action on the internet." '237, Title.

102. <u>Abstract</u>: It is my understanding that the abstract of a patent may be used to determine the scope of the invention. In its Abstract, the '237 patent also describes an "approximate nearest neighbor search" as a "sub-linear time search": "determining an identification of the media work . . . using a sub-linear time search, such as an approximate nearest neighbor search for example." '237, Abstract.

103. <u>Specification</u>: In describing methods for carrying out a sub-linear search of the reference data set, the '237 specification also describes an "approximate nearest neighbor search" as a type of sub-linear search: "One example of a sub-linear time search is an approximate nearest neighbor search." '237, 9:12–14.

104. In its preliminary construction, the Board did not include the sublinear feature of the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search" based on what appears to be faulty logic. The Board preliminarily found:

We largely agree with Patent Owner's construction, but note that the Specification refers to "[o]ne example of a sub-linear time search is an approximate nearest neighbor search" (Ex. 1001, 9:12–14), such that we are not persuaded that an "approximate nearest neighbor search," must be a sub-linear search, as that term has been construed above. As such, we are persuaded that the proper construction of "approximate nearest neighbor search" is "identifying a close match that is not necessarily the closest match."

Decision ('237) at 9. The logic underlying the Board's reasoning appears to be as follows: If A is "one example" of B, A is not always B. In my opinion, this logic is faulty.

105. If A is "one example" of B, A is always B even though there may be examples other than A that fall within the scope of B. If A is "one example" of B, the scope of B is not limited to just A (*i.e.*, the scope of B can include C, D, and E) but A is always B. For example, a poodle is "one example" of a dog; a poodle is always a dog (there is no scenario where a poodle is not a dog) but there are other examples that fall within the scope of dog beyond poodles, *i.e.*, terriers, Dalmatians, etc. Just like a "poodle" being "one example" of a dog must be a dog (*e.g.*, a dog bred with a curly coat that is usually clipped ...) an "approximate nearest neighbor search" being "one example" of a "sublinear search that ....."

must be a sublinear search (*i.e.*, a "sublinear search identifying a close match that is not necessarily the closest match.")

#### VI. '237 patent.

106. I understand that the Board instituted the '237 IPR based on three Grounds:

- Ground 1: Claims 1, 3–5, 7–9, 11–13, 15, 16, 21–25, 29, 30, 33, 37, and 38 as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Iwamura;
- Ground 2: Claims 1–3, 5–7, 9–11, 13–15, and 21–24 as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Ghias; and
- Ground 3: Claims 26, 27, 34, and 35 as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Iwamura and Chen.

Decision (\*237) at 21-22. I address each Ground in turn.

## A. <u>'237 Ground 1</u>: The instituted claims of the '237 patent are not anticipated by Iwamura.

107. The Board instituted Ground 1 based on the following: Claims 1, 3-5,

7-9, 11-13, 15, 16, 21-25, 29, 30, 33, 37, and 38 as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C.

§ 102(e) as anticipated by Iwamura. Decision (\*237) at 21 (I underlined the

independent claims). Ground 1 fails because Iwamura does not disclose the following key elements from each instituted independent claim:

sub-linear time search (claims 1, 5);

approximate nearest neighbor (claims 9 and 13);

- nonexhaustive search ... to identify a near neighbor (claim 25); and
- sublinear approximate nearest neighbor search (claim 33).

l address each in turn.

1. sub-linear time search (claims elements 1(b) and 5(b.2)).

108. Claims elements 1(b) and 5(b.2) require a "sub-linear time search."

109. As I explained above, a "sub-linear time search" is "a search whose execution time scales with a less than linear relationship to the size of the data set to be searched." Decision (\*237) at 7.

110. One skilled in the art would understand that Iwamura does not disclose a "sub-linear time search." Iwamura discloses a searching algorithm that

is designed to be more efficient than alternatives by comparing peak notes from the

work to be identified with the peak notes in the database. Iwamura, 6:59-60; 12:1-

2. While the individual comparisons of a work to a record in the library can be more efficient using this peak note approach, lwamura does not teach an algorithm that "scales with a less than linear relationship to the size of the data set to be searched" where the data set is either (a) the number of records in the database, or

> 66 Page 70 of 292

(b) even the length of an individual record. Instead, each melody in the melody database is processed as part of the disclosed comparison and "[t]he reference melody that gives the least difference is returned as a search result." Iwamura, 7:53-55.

111. Specifically, Iwamura confirms that the referenced Boyer-Moore algorithm (the basis for alleged disclosure of a sub-linear search in the Petition, Declaration, and Decision) searches all items in the database and even searches "word by word from the beginning of the database to the end" and therefore cannot scale with a less than linear relationship to the size of the data set being search *i.e.*, it is not sublinear:

"Boyer Moore (discussed below) or other string-matching algorithms do not have this kind of flexibility. They only search word by word from the beginning of the database to the end."

Iwamura, 9:52-55.15

112. The search algorithms disclosed in Iwamura do not reduce the number of records to be searched during a search (or even the data to be searched within a record) as the dataset increases. Rather, the disclosed algorithms speed up the comparison of the work to each record by matching peaks. Iwamura, 9:9-11. Accordingly, the disclosed algorithms in Iwamura search all records in the library,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> The word-by-word comparison is valid for the worst case.

and the computational time that the disclosed search takes to make such comparisons grows linearly with the number of records in the database (the relevant analysis) and even linearly with the data in each record. Iwamura therefore teaches a linear search rather than the claimed "sublinear" search as the term is used in the IPR Patents, because the computational time that it takes to perform a search grows linearly as new data is added to the database.

113. The Petition fails to satisfy its burden of demonstrating that Iwamura teaches a "sub-linear time search." As support for the "sub-linear" elements, Petitioner (and corresponding Declaration) exclusively relies on the Boyer-Moore algorithm referenced in Iwamura:

114. <u>Petition</u>: The text of the Petition does not address the sub-linear elements or state that Iwamura discloses a "sub-linear time search." Pet. (\*237) at 7-10. Neither the word sublinear nor the concept appears in the text of the Petition.

115. <u>Petition Chart</u>: In its chart, Petitioner exclusively relies on the referenced Boyer-Moore algorithm as support for the sub-linear search elements (highlighted in yellow in the passages below):

Claim 1(b):

b) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work media work using the received "find(ing) features extracted from the media work to perform a sub-linear time search of extracted features of the "Boys identified media works to identify a 10/1-31 v neighbor, and Ex 1004

Iwamura determines an identification of the media work using the extracted features by "find[ing] the closest melody from the database," which is a neighbor 9/25-38, 12/1-2. Iwamura discloses searching using the "Boyer-Moore algorithm" (9.63-64, 10/1-31, which is sublinear (Ex/1017 at 1) Ex/1004 at \$72.

#### Pet. (\*237) at 10-11.

Claim 5(b.2) (Petitioner references Claim 1):

2) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the features extracted from the media work to perform a sub-linear time search of extracted features of identified media works to identify a neighbor, and	Petitioner incorporates the above discussion of Iwamura regarding Claim 1b.
---	--

Pet. (\*237) at 12.

116. Declaration: The Declaration also exclusively relies on the Boyer-

Moore algorithm as support for the sublinear search elements:

72. It is my opinion that Iwamira further teaches how this search can be sublinear. For example, Iwamira discloses that different "search algorithms may be applied to perform melody searches," (*id.* at 10.2-3), such as the "Boyer-Moore algorithm," (*id.* at 9.63), "On the average the [Boyer-Moore] algorithm has a sublinear behaviour," Fx. 1017 at 4.

Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶72.

117. Declaration Chart: The chart in the Declaration also exclusively relies

on the Boyer-Moore algorithm:

Claim 1(b):

b) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the received features extracted from the media work to perform a sub-linear time search of extracted features of neighbor; and

Iwamura discloses the use of a "search engine" to determine an identification of the media work using the extracted features by "find[ing] the closest melody from the database," which is a neighbor. 9:25-38. 12:1-2 Iwamura discloses searching using identified media works to identify a the "Boyer-Moore algorithm" (9:63-64, 10.1-3), which is sublinear (Ex. 1017 at 1).

Moulin Decl. ('237) ¶75.

Claim 5(b.2) (the Declarant references Claim 1):

<ol> <li>determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the features extracted from the media work to</li> </ol>	I incorporate my above discussion of Iwamura regarding Claim 1b.
perform a sub-linear time search of extracted features of identified media works to identify a neighbor, and	

Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶75.

118. Neither the Petition nor Declaration identifies any basis for asserting

that Iwamura discloses the sub-linear search elements other than the referenced

Boyer-Moore algorithm. Pet. ('237) at 10-12; Moulin Decl. ('237) ¶72. My

understanding is confirmed by Petitioner's Declarant:

23	Q The only thing you identify in your
24	Declaration about Iwamura that could disclose a
25	sublinear time search is the Boyer-Moore algorithm;
1	correct?
2	A As far as I remember, yes. In that
3	Declaration at that time, yes.



Moulin Depo. 82:23-83:3.

119. One skilled in the art would understand that the referenced Boyer-Moore algorithm, however, does not disclose or even address a sublinear search that is "a search whose execution time scales with a less than linear relationship to the size of the data set to be searched." Decision ('237) at 7. Because Iwamura itself does not state that Boyer-Moore algorithm is sublinear, the entire basis in the Petition and corresponding Declaration for the claimed sublinear elements is the single statement in the Petitioner's Declaration:

"On the average the [Boyer-Moore] algorithm has a sub-liner behavior." Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶72 (quoting Ex. 1017 at 1). One skilled in the art would understand that this statement is not accurate with respect to the relevant sub-linear behavior, *i.e.*, with respect to the size of the database. My understanding was confirmed by Petitioner's Declarant who testified that:

- he understood that "sub-linear" in the context of the '237 patent is based on the size of the data set searched, not the size of the query or pattern to be matched (from the work to be identified):
- (2) the Boyer-Moore algorithm does not disclose a search that is sublinear with respect to the dataset or database or even the length of a record to be search (it does not even address a database or dataset); and

(3) that when he wrote "which is sublinear" in his Declaration, he did not intend the Board to interpret "sublinear" in the context of the '237 patent but instead in a different context unrelated to '237 patent.

120. (1) As I noted above, Petitioner's Declarant understood that

"sublinear" in the context of the '237 patent is based on the size of the searched dataset, not the size of the query or pattern of the work to be matched (which is the correct understanding):

53. I understand and agree with Petitioner's position that the term "sublinear search" means "a search whose execution time has a sublinear relationship to database size." For instance, a linear search of a 200-item database would take twice as long as a linear search of a 100-item database. By contrast, a sublinear search of a 200-item database would take less than twice as long as a sublinear search of a 100-item database, perhaps, for instance, 1.5 times as long.

Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶53.



Moulin Depo. 24:1-12.

1 E	is it the case that for a search to be
1.2	sublinear as it's used in the '13" patent, it's not
13	enough for it to have execution time that is
14	sublinear in relationship to the size of the
15	pattern; it must also be sublinear in relationship
⊥€	to the size of the database?
17	A When I read "sublinear" in, say, Claim 5
16	of the patent, as we just did, I understand
19	sublinear to mean in relation with the size of the
20	database. It does not say anything about in
	relation with the size of the query.

Moulin Depo. 26:11-21.

4	Q Would it be wrong, then, to assess whether
5	a search is sublinear by applying a definition that
6	said, "It's sublinear if this execution time has a
7	sublinear relationship to the size of the query or
8	the pattern"?
ç	A It would not be very relevant. Again,
0	mathematically, it can be done. Everything can be
1	done. But it would not be relevant, from an
12	engineering viewpoint, for an application like this.

Moulin Depo. 25:4-12.

25	Let's assume that the Patent Trial and
1	Appeal Board was presented with prior art that
-	presented a search that was linear with respect to
з	the size of the database, but it was sublinear with
4	respect to the size of the pattern.
103	Would that prior art demonstrate a
é	sublinear search as it's used in Claim 25?
7	A People say it's a linear search, again.
(1)	hecause it's in relation with the size of the
524	database. And as you just said, that complexity is
10	still linear; so people would say it is a linear
11	search.
12	Q It is not a sublinear search?
13	A It's only sublinear in terms of the size
14	of the query, which is generally not the
15	parameter of the relevant parameter.

Moulin Depo. 26:25-27:15.

16	*	18	-5	the c	dse ti	hat if we	had a piece of
1 7	priit a	th th	at w	as 11	near s	with respe	est to the size
14	of the	satab	354 j	at - s	urline	ear with r	espect to the
19	size of	the	quer	e de	the pa	attern, th	at that price
26	att wed	14 :	t te	alla a	s.111	inear sear	ch as it's used
21	1: 141	n 153					
	44. 1-1-1	Ag	ain,	11 C	ne und	ierstands	sublinear to be
23	in terms	s in	relat	ion	to the	e size of	the database,
÷4	that wor	ild b	e a ·	- ă	linea:	r search.	

Moulin Depo. 27:16-24,

4 let's assume we've got a piece of prior ast that scales at a sublinear relationship with the size of the pattern or query but it scales at a linear relationship with the size of the database that's being searched. Would that prior art demonstrate or disclose a sublinear search as it's used in the claims of the '237 patent? 11 A No. Again, because my understanding is the claims of the '137 patent, whenever there's 14 cention of "sublinear," it means in terms of the 19 database size. It does not say it explicitly; it's 16 my inference based on my knowledge and my expertise.

Moulin Depo. 28:4-16.

14	Q When you wrote your Declaration, you had
15	in mind that sublinear meant having execution time
16	that increased at a less-than-linear relationship
17	compared to the size of the dataset being searched;
18	right7
19	A That's in the context of database search,
20	yes.
21	Q And then you wrote this claim chart in
1. N. 44 44	order to indicate where in Iwamura it disclosed each
23	part of the claim; right?
24	A That's correct, yes.

Moulin Depo. 77:14-24.

121. (2) Petitioner's Declarant confirmed my understanding—that the Boyer-Moore algorithm referenced in Iwamura does not disclose a search that is sublinear with respect to the database size (*i.e.*, the size of the data set to be searched)—it does not even address a database (Moulin Depo. 53:19-22 ("There's no database in Boyer-Moore."))—but instead has a relationship to the size of the guery pattern from the work to be identified:



76 Page 80 of 292

Moulin Depo. 61:18-24; 44:20-46:6; 59:6-9; 61:25-62:9; 68:25-69:4.

122. (3) Petitioner's Declarant confirmed my understanding—that the statement in his Declaration—Petitioner's only support for the sub-linear elements—was wrong. He testified that when he wrote:

"On the average the [Boyer-Moore] algorithm has a

sublinear behaviour." Ex. 1017 at 1.

(Moulin Decl. ('237) ¶72) and wrote just a few pages earlier:

53 I understand and agree with Petitioner's position that the term "sublinear search" means "a search whose execution time has a sublinear relationship to database size." For instance, a linear search of a 200-item database

(Moulin Decl. ('237) ¶53), he was not trying to convey that the Boyer-Moore algorithm was sublinear or "has a sublinear behavior" in the context of the '237 patent -i.e., "has a sublinear relationship to the database size":

20 Q When you wrote this, were you trying to 21 convey that searching using the Boyer-Moore 20 algorithm would be sublinear with respect to the 23 size of the dataset being searched? 24 A No. I did not -- no.

Moulin Depo. 74:20-24; 74:8-12.

9	Q When we read paragraph 72, are you
10	conveying to the reader that the Boyer-Moore
11	algorithm is sublinear with respect to the size of
12	the dataset being searched?
13	A No. All I do is quote a part of a paper
14	that shows why that algorithm is much faster than
15	brute force. That's all I'm doing. You're
16	inferring things I'm not saying or writing.

Moulin Depo. 69:9-16.

9	In paragraph 72, are you representing to
10	the Board that it's your understanding that the
11	Boyer-Moore algorithm has a sublinear behavior with
12	respect to the size of the dataset?
13	A No. This is just a quote of another paper
14	discussing Boyer-Moore. This Boyer-Moore algorithm
15	has been used in a variety of contexts, including,
31	of course, content recognition. I'm simply quoting
17	from another paper here. I'm not presenting
18	anything about what you asked.

Moulin Depo. 66:9-18.



Moulin Depo. 75:23-76:3.

) = 	<ol> <li>And when you write this, were you trylt</li> </ol>	17
1÷	to invey to the Board that the Boyer-Monte	
. 9	algorithm is one that, if you use it, it's wublin	ear
	with respect to the size of the database?	
21	A No. No.	

Moulin Depo. 67:17-21.

123. Consistent with my understanding, Petitioner's Declarant clarified that he was not claiming that the Boyer-Moore algorithm referenced in Iwamura discloses a sub-linear search in the context of the '237 patent, *i.e.*, with respect to the size of the dataset:

2.5	And next to the phrase "a sublinear time
i.	seaion" in the claim, you wrote, "The Boyer-Mosse
÷	algorithm, which is sublinear"; right?
3	A ls's not me who wrote it. I'm quoting
4	from a reference.
5	Q Meli, you wrote the words "which is
é	sublinear"; tight]
	A - I guotes from a reference, Again, showing
9	ony there are much faster alternatives to trute
÷.	forte.
11	Q Let me rephrase it
11	A life not again, to make it very clear,
1.4	its not claiming that using Boyer-Moore simply alone
13	is doing to yield a sublinear search for that
14	natabase problem. I'm not claining that. Just to
15	ie to make it clear.

Moulin Depo. 77:25-78:15.

16	Q Okay. Nould you agree, sir, that if
17	that one way to read this would be that you were
1.9	claiming that the claim language, "perform a
19	sublinear time search," was satisfied by searching
20	using the Boyer-Moore algorithm?
21	A That might be one way of reading it. It's
22	not the way I'm reading this now.
23	As I said, the way I'm reading this is I'm
24	quoting language from a reference. And, again, to
2.5	make the record clear, I'm not claiming that
1	Boyer-Moore, as this alone, is going to give us a
2	sublinear time search in a database search problem.
3	I'm not claiming that. And I did not claim it in
4	this document.

Moulin Depo. 78:16-79:6.

ţ.	Q Would it be reasonable for the Board to
10	have read this as you opining, you asserting, that
L L	Iwamura discloses a sublinear time search because it
12	discloses searching using the Boyer-Moore algorithm.
13	which is sublinear?
14	A Again, I don't know how different people
15	can read it. If there's any ambiguity, I hope I
16	just cleared it up. I'm not claiming that
17	Boyer-Moore alone is going to give us a sublinear
18	time search for the database search problem.

Moulin Depo. 79:9-18

```
A Cletter, there are many words that I -- I'm
     sure i contra cave inches petter words. . . . adree
     with you, there is pullarly setted as in this
     this. I are't suspute that.
         . Do you agree that it would have neen
     Batter to tall the Risto. Mithe Degenetions algorithm
    is linear, not soblinear"?
       A - 1 don't -- I'm not saying it's linear
    either. I is I dot't know. All I's saying is I's
      of representing that the Boyer-Moore algorithm
             going to give us a sublinear time search.
   for database searching. That's all I'm saying.
          Q Mould the Boyer-Moore algorithm alone give
    you a sublinear time search for searching a string?
11
          A Again, are you looking at worst case? The
   answer is no.
```

Moulin Depo. 79:19-80:12; 80:15-83:3.

124. Accordingly, one skilled in the art would understand that the support in the Petition and Declaration for the sublinear search elements fails to disclose the sublinear search elements.

125. <u>Board's concerns</u>: I now address the Board's specific concerns (identified in its Decision) with respect to whether Iwamura discloses the claimed "sub-linear time search." In instituting Ground 1, I note that the Board preliminary found that Iwamura disclosed the "sub-linear time search because (a) a sub-linear

search of the data within the records can be sublinear even if every record in the database is searched, and (b) Patent Owner's argument that Boyer-Moore searches all items in the database therefore does not demonstrate that the Boyer-Moore algorithm is not sub-linear:

In addition, we note that no claim in the '237 Patent requires the searching, in the determining aspect of the claims, to be both nonexhaustive and sub-linear, such that a sub-linear search of the data within the records, even if every record is searched, can potentially teach the aspect of independent claims 1 and 5 which recite "perform[ing] a sub-linear time search of extracted features." Although the Specification of the '237 Patent discloses that a sub-linear search is performed on the records of the database and not information within the records, the claims do not specify that the sub-linear search must be performed on a subset of all of the records, and not information within individual records.

Decision ('237) at 11.

Patent Owner also argues that Iwamura's use of the "Boyer-Moore algorithm' searches all items in the database and therefore is not sublinear." Prelim Resp 18–19 As discussed above, we are not persuaded that this is a deficiency with respect to the instant claims.

Decision ('237) at 12. It is my opinion that the Board's preliminary analysis is flawed on multiple levels for the reasons I explain below.

126. First, the Board's preliminary analysis is based on an incorrect

interpretation of the construction of sub-linear as it would be understood by one skilled in the relevant art at the time of the inventions. The Board construed a

82

Page 86 of 292

"sublinear" search as "a search whose execution time scales with a less than linear relationship to the size of the data set to be searched." not the length of any specific record in the database. As I explained above in detail above and reflected in in the Board's analysis of the construction of sub-linear, the data set is the number of records in the database to be searched—"the size of the data set ("N")." Decision ('237) at 7.

127. In addition, as I explained above in detail, those skilled in the art understand that the size of the data set in the context of the '237 patent refers to the number of records in the database to be searched (N) and not the length of any particular record in the database. This understanding is consistent with Dr. Moulin's explanation in his Declaration. *See* Moulin Decl. ('237) ¶53. Accordingly, the Board's preliminary analysis is based on an improper interpretation of the construction of "sublinear."

128. Second, it is my understanding that the Board's preliminary analysis has the relevant burden backwards—it is not the Patent Owner's burden to demonstrate that the referenced Boyer-Moore algorithm does not disclose a sublinear search. Rather it is my understanding that it was the Petitioner's burden to demonstrate that referenced Boyer-Moore algorithm discloses a sublinear search. As I showed above, Petitioner failed to satisfy this burden. As I explained

above, in my opinion, one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that Boyer-Moore algorithm is not a sublinear search in the context of the '237 patent.

129. Third, one skilled in the art would understand that there is no evidence under any interpretation of sublinear in the context of the '237 patent that the referenced Boyer-Moore algorithm discloses a search that is sublinear with respect to either (a) the "size of the dataset" (Decision ('237) at 7); or (b) the length of an individual record being searched. In my opinion, one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that it is not.

130. The two references to the Boyer-Moore algorithm in Iwamura are:

Moore (discussed below) or other string-matching algorithms do not have this kind of flexibility. They only search word by word from the beginning of the database to the end.

Iwamura, 9:52-55.

There are many studies for fast and efficient string search techniques. For example, the Boyer-Moore algorithm is wellknown as one of the best solutions. See

Iwamura, 9:61-64. While the Boyer-Moore algorithm is described as being "efficient," one skilled in the art would understand that neither passage states that the algorithm is sublinear with respect to either the number of references in the database or the length of an individual record to be searched.

131. Fourth, as I explained above, Petitioner's Declarant confirmed my understanding—that the referenced Boyer-Moore algorithm does not disclose a search that is sublinear in the context of the '237 patent.

#### approximate nearest neighbor search (claim elements 9(b) and 13(b.2)).

132. As I presented above, one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that, in the context of the '237 patent, an "approximate nearest neighbor search" is a sub-linear search identifying a close match that is not necessarily the closest match. Also, as I explained above, a search that necessarily identifies the closest match is not an "approximate nearest neighbor search" even if it also identifies other near matches.

133. One skilled in the art would understand that Iwamura does not disclose the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search" for two independent reasons.

134. <u>Reason 1</u>: One skilled in the art would understand that Iwamura does not disclose an "approximate nearest neighbor search" because Iwamura does not disclose "identifying a close match that is not necessarily the closest match." Iwamura discloses a search that always identifies an exact or the closest match. Consistent with my understanding, Petitioner's Declarant likewise confirmed that

Iwamura will either produce an "exact match" if it finds one, or the "best match it finds using that approximate criterion." Moulin Depo. 271:22-272:12.

135. The system in Iwamura will always find the closest match, even if unimportant peaks are skipped or repeated patterns are avoided. My understanding is consistent with the understanding of Petitioner's Declarant:

- "[W]'re still going to be identifying the closest match" even when "the unimportant peaks are skipped.... Dropping an unimportant part is not going to affect the ability to find the best match." Moulin Depo. 317:14-23.
- "If we implement that feature of Iwamura... skipping a repeated pattern....
   It will not affect the ability to find the best match." Moulin Depo. 318:11-18.

136. Petitioner asserts that Iwamura identifies a neighbor because: "the 'search engine will find the closest melody from the database." Pet. ('237) at 8 (*quoting* Iwamura, 9:24-25)); Moulin Decl. ('237) ¶69. A person of ordinary skill in the art would understand that these statements do not disclose an "approximate nearest neighbor search" which is a search identifying a close match that is not necessarily the closest match. Instead, these statements confirm that Iwamura always identifies the closest match—necessarily the closest match—rather than a match that is not necessarily the closest match as required by the claimed

"appropriate nearest neighbor search." See '237, 9:15–16 (an "approximate nearest neighbor search does not always find the closest point to the query.").

137. Because the searches disclosed in Iwamura necessarily return the closest match, they are not search algorithms that identify a match that is not necessarily the closest match, as the properly construed claim element requires. Accordingly, in my opinion, Iwamura neither expressly nor inherently (necessarily) discloses an "approximate nearest neighbor search"—a search that does not necessarily find the closest match.

138. <u>Reason 2</u>: One skilled in the art would understand that Iwamura does not disclose an "approximate nearest neighbor search" because Iwamura does not disclose a sublinear search. As I demonstrated above, an "approximate nearest neighbor search" is "one example" of a sublinear search. Also, as I demonstrated above, Iwamura does not disclose a sublinear search. Accordingly, Iwamura does not disclose the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search."

139. One skilled in the art would understand that the Petition, Declaration, and corresponding charts fail to demonstrate that Iwamura discloses the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search." As support for the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search," the Petition and corresponding Declaration rely on (1) the fault tolerance feature, and (2) skipped portions feature, described in Iwamura.

140. Petition: The text of the Petition does not address the claimed

"approximate nearest neighbor search"-I note that the words "approximate

nearest neighbor search" do not appear in the text of the Petition.

141. Petition Chart: Petitioner provides the following in its claim chart:

Claim 9(b):

b) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the received features extracted from the media work to perform an approximate nearest neighbor search of extracted features of identified media works, and Petitioner incorporates the above discussion of Iwamura regarding Claim 1b. Furthermore, Iwamura uses an approximate nearest neighbor "search engine [that] has . ...imput fault tolerance capability" (10.17-18), and skips "portions that should not be searched" (12:6-7), such as "repeated patterns" (9:36-44), and "unimportant portion[s]" of the melody (9:44-45).

Pet. (\*237) 12.

Claim 13(b.2) (referencing claim element 9(b)):

2) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the received features extracted from the media work to perform an approximate nearest neighbor search of extracted features of identified media works,	Petitioner incorporates the above discussion of Iwamura regarding Claim 9b.
and	

Pet. (\*237) 13.

142. Declaration: The text of the Declaration also does not address the

claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search."

143. Declaration Chart: Petitioner' Declarant provides the following in its

claim chart:

#### Claim 9(b):

b) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the received features extracted from the media work to perform an approximate nearest neighbor search of extracted features of identified media works, and 1 incorporate my above discussion of Iwamura regarding Claim 1b. Furthermore, Iwamura discloses using an approximate nearest neighbor "search engine [that] has imput fault tolerance capability" (10-17-18), and skips "portions that should not be searched" (12:6-7), such as "repeated patterns" (9:36-44), and "unimportant portion[s]" of the melody (9:44-45)

Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶75.

Claim 13(b.2) (referencing claim element 9(b)):

2) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the received features extracted from the media work to perform an approximate nearest neighbor search of extracted features of identified media works, and

1 incorporate my above discussion of Iwamura regarding Claim 9b

Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶75.

144. I note that these statements in the Petition (and Declaration) and

corresponding passages from Iwamura do not:

(a) provide a construction of "approximate nearest neighbor search,"

- (b) explain how Iwamura discloses the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search."
- (c) explain why the fault tolerance capability and skipped portion are relevant to

or disclose an "approximate nearest neighbor search," and

(d) establish that Iwamura discloses an "approximate nearest neighbor search."

89

Page 93 of 292

145. One skilled in the art would understand that the quoted passages do not disclose an "approximate nearest neighbor search" because the quoted passages do not disclose a search that (a) is not guaranteed to identify the closest match, and (b) is sublinear.

146. First, as I noted above, the passage from element 1(b) crossreferenced in Petitioner's chart ("Petitioner incorporates the above discussion of Iwamura regarding Claim 1b") does not disclose an "approximate nearest neighbor search." As I explained above, one skilled in the art would understand that an "approximate nearest neighbor search" identifies a close match that is not necessarily the closest match. *See* Decision (\*237) at 9. The passage cited in the Petition (and corresponding Declaration) confirms that the search disclosed in Iwamura finds "the closest melody from the database." Pet. (\*237) at 8 (*quoting* Iwamura, 9:24-35).

147. Second, one skilled in the art would understand that Petitioner's references to searches that have (a) an "input fault tolerance" (Pet. ('237) at 12, *quoting* Iwamura, 10:17-18), or (b) skipped "portions that should not be searched" (Pet. ('237) at 12 *quoting* Iwamura, 12:6-7, 9:36-44, and 9:44-45) do not expressly or inherently (necessarily) disclose a search that does not necessarily identify the closest match and is sublinear. A key issue in addressing whether a search is an "approximate nearest neighbor search" is whether the search is designed to and

90

Page 94 of 292

will necessarily identify an exact match or the closest match, or whether the search could identify search results that do not include an exact or the closest match. If a sublinear search can return a "close match that is not necessarily the closest match," it is an "approximate nearest neighbor search." But if a search cannot return a "close match that is not necessarily the closest match" (because it is designed to only find the closest match), then it is not an "approximate nearest neighbor search," irrespective of how the search is performed.

148. The input fault tolerance and skipped sections search features describe how a peak note search may be performed. Neither enables a peak note search to return a result other than the closest match. While the Petition identifies these two search features—the way the search is conducted—the Petition does not address the output of the searches much less identify a search that does not necessarily identify the closest match. As demonstrated above, the output from any disclosed lwamura search always identifies the closest match and therefore is not an "approximate nearest neighbor search"—a search "identifying a close match that is not necessarily the closest match." Iwamura therefore does not disclose an approximate nearest neighbor search. I will specifically address each of the two search features identified by Petitioner is addressed in turn.

input fault tolerance

149. Iwamura discloses that its peak note search can include an "input fault tolerance." Iwamura, 9:20-24. Input fault tolerance allows a user to identify the closest match, even when the melody entered by a user has some errors. Iwamura, 9:33-39 (input fault tolerance enables "a correct search . . . notwithstanding inaccurate input from the user."). Using the fault tolerance feature, the peak note search first performs a search based on a tolerance of no errors, then a tolerance of one error, then a tolerance two errors, *etc*. The search will continue to search based on additional errors only if the search has not identified a match.

150. Accordingly, using the fault tolerance feature, the Iwamura search always produces an exact match or the closest match—it does not produce a result that is not necessarily the closest match. *See e.g.*, Iwamura, 11:43-45 ("The invented input fault tolerance function allows the user to obtain an exact result even when an entered melody has some errors."). Because the record identified using the fault tolerance search is necessarily the closest match, it is not a search that returns a "close match that is not necessarily the closest match," and, as a result, the feature does not disclose the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search."

#### skipped sections

151. Iwamura also teaches that the disclosed search has "flexibility on search area" within a record in the reference database. Iwamura, 9:35. For

92

Page 96 of 292

example, a user can identify the "important" portions of a melody, thereby enabling the search to skip the remaining "unimportant" portions. *See* Iwamura, 9:45-50 ("In a long music selection, there are some important portions that are indispensable to identify the melody. These portions are well recognized and remembered by the user. The user identifies such important portions as a keyword (key-melody). The other unimportant portions [in a long reference melody] can often be ignored."). The skipped sections feature is a pre-processing component, and what remains to be searched can be viewed as the "extracted" features over which an exhaustive search is performed until a match is found.

152. Iwamura does not disclose that flexibility on search area enables the Iwamura search to return a result other than the closest match. *See* Iwamura, 9:35-55. Because the record identified using the skipped portion search feature is still necessarily the closest match, it is not a search that returns a "close match that is not necessarily the closest match" and the feature does not disclose the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search."

153. Moreover, as I explained above, an "approximate nearest neighbor search" is a sub-linear search, and each of the passages cited by Petitioner does not disclose a sub-linear search.

#### Board's concerns:

154. I now address the Board's specific concerns (identified in its Decision) with respect to whether Iwamura discloses the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search." In instituting Ground I, the Board preliminary found that Iwamura disclosed the "approximate nearest neighbor search" because the "approximate nearest neighbor search" "does not require that all of the records in the library are not used":

With respect to "approximate nearest neighbor search." Patent Owner argues that the input fault tolerance capability of Iwamura cannot teach the same because it does not state or imply "that all records in the music library are not used in the comparison as required in an 'approximate nearest neighbor search.'" *Id.* at 19–20. Our construction of "approximate nearest neighbor search" to be "identifying a close match that is not necessarily the closest match" does not require that all of the records in the library are not used, so we also do not find this to be a deficiency of the ground.

Decision ('237) at 12. It is my opinion that the Board's preliminary analysis is flawed at multiple levels.

155. First, it is my understanding that the Board's preliminary analysis has the relevant burden backwards—it is not the Patent Owner's burden to demonstrate that the referenced "fault tolerance capability of Iwamura" does not disclose an "approximate nearest neighbor search." Rather it was the Petitioner's burden to demonstrate that Iwamura (and the "fault tolerance capability") discloses an

"approximate nearest neighbor search." As I demonstrated above, Petitioner did not satisfy this burden.

156. Second, as I demonstrated above, one skilled in the art would understand that there is no evidence that the referenced "fault tolerance capacity of Iwamura" teaches a search that identifies a close match that is not necessarily (*i.e.*, not guaranteed to be) the closest match rather than search that is guaranteed to identify the closest match. As I demonstrated above, the evidence confirms the opposite—that Iwamura finds "the closest melody from the database." Pet. (\*237) at 8 (*quoting* Iwamura, 9:24-25).

157. Third, as I demonstrated above, an "approximate nearest neighbor search" is a sublinear search, and there is no evidence that the referenced "fault tolerance capability of Iwamura" teaches a sublinear search as the phrase is used in the context of the '237 Patent.

3. nonexhaustive search (claim element 25(b)).

158. As I explained above, a "nonexhaustive search" is "a search that
locates a match without a comparison of all possible matches." Decision ('237) at
7.

159. One skilled in the art would understand that Iwamura does not disclose a non-exhaustive search as the phrase is used in the context of the '237

95 Page 99 of 292

Patent. As I described above, Iwamura discloses a searching algorithm that is designed to be more efficient than alternatives by lining up peak notes from the music work to be identified with the peak notes in each record in the music database when comparing the work to each record. Iwamura, 12:1-2. Instead of comparing the work to be identified with a record in the database by (a) preforming a first comparison of the notes in the work and the record, and then (b) shifting the comparison between the work and the record "note by note" to see if there is a match, Iwamura teaches that the shifting can be done peak-note-to-peaknote, thereby reducing the number of comparisons made between the work and a specific record, thus making the comparison more efficient.

"Peak notes are approximately 20% of the total number of notes in a typical melody. That means search speed using peak notes is 20% of a brute force search which shifts the entered melody, note by note."

Iwamura, 9:9-11; *see* Iwamura, 5:9-13 ("The peaks in all the melodies stored in the databases are marked in advance. For melody matching, the entered melody is time-shifted . . . so that its peak matches each peak in the reference melody.").

160. This peak note search process can be illustrated using the example notes from Iwamura (Iwamura, 7:11-45). The following illustrates a first comparison between the notes from the work to be identified and the notes in a single record in the database:
|                                     |   |   |    |         | Co | mp | ari | son | 1   |   |    |         |         |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|----|---------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|---------|---------|
| Work to be identified               |   |   | 0  | 0<br>-1 |    |    |     |     |     |   |    | ſ<br>-2 | ľ<br>.2 |
|                                     | ٦ | 5 | 0  | 55      | 10 | ۴  | ٢   | 1   | p   | P |    |         |         |
| Record in the database              | 4 | 3 | *5 | 0       | -1 | -2 | -2  | *5  | -10 | 2 |    |         |         |
| Computation<br>(absolute ofference) |   |   | 0  | 1       | 2  | 6  | 5   | 0   | 10  | 3 | Te | otal    | 27      |

The top row represents the notes in the work to be identified; the middle row (highlighted in green) represents the notes of the record in the database being searched; and the bottom row (text in red) represents the absolute difference between the compared notes. The "peak notes" in the work to be identified and the record being searched are identified by "\*". In this first comparison, the first peak note from the work to be identified (\*5) and the record (\*5) are aligned (as illustrated by the dashed red outline). Note that the computation (the absolute difference between the work to be identified and the record) results in a total value of 27 (0+1+2+6+5+0+10+3).

161. In a second comparison between the work to be identified and this same record in the reference database, the record in the database is shifted to the right by a single note (this is the "note by note" approach referenced in Iwamura (Iwamura, 9:9-11)):

			- A-						e by				
Work to be identified		0	0	5.	-	1	0 -	10	0	0	1	1	
AADER ID DE KOEUTINED	NAMES AND ADDRESS OF T	3	-1	1	*6	5	2		. A.	. T	- 6-	-	
	٦	3	0	55	Ja	٢	٢	0	P	P	-		1
Record in the database	4	3	*5	0	-1	-2	-2	*5	-10	2	5	hifted 1	note
Computation (appointe ofference)		2	6	1	5	5	7	5	9	3	To	tal: 43	har

The peak notes are not aligned in this comparison (as illustrated by the dashed red outline). The computation (the absolute difference between the work to be identified and the record) results in a total value of 43 (2+6+1+5+5+7+5+9+3).

162. An alternative to the second comparison presented above is to use the peak note approach taught in Iwamura. Using this peak note approach, the second comparison between the notes of the work to be identified and the notes in the record in the database is not just shifted one note to the right but is shifted to the right to align the next peek note (*i.e.*, five notes to the right), thereby skipping what would have been four intermediate comparisons using the alternative note by note approach:

C	ompar	150	18 4 1	201	ant t	O II	GVI	hes	BP5 81	ore	8		
Work to be identified	-5	0 -1	1	4	1	1.0	17.	-1	0 -1	- ~ ~	1-2		
Record in the database			4- <u>0-0-0</u> -014-01	1 4	3	0 15	П. 0	0 -1	ſ -2	ſ -2	0 *5	-10 2	shifted to next peak rote
Computation (absours difference)			an y connel	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	Total: 8	L

As a result, the peak note approach taught in Iwamura avoided four unnecessary comparisons between the work to be identified and this reference work, making this peak note search more efficient. Note that the computation now results in a total absolute difference of 8. The number of comparisons that are avoided is 4 \* (length of the query) as computing the individual distances between the notes requires a comparison.

163. Each melody in the melody database is compared using this peak note approach and "[t]he reference melody that gives the least difference is returned as a search result." Iwamura, 7:53-55. Because the peak note search algorithm disclosed in Iwamura does not reduce the number of records to be searched or even the notes in each record to be searched but rather speeds up the individual comparison of the work to be identified to each record (by shifting the comparisons by peak notes rather than note by note), the disclosed algorithm searches all records in the library and is therefore an exhaustive search rather than the claimed "non-exhaustive" search. This approach does not reduce the number of records being searched *e.g.*, by discarding clusters of potential matches, like the sub-linear searches addressed in the IPR Patents. *See e.g.*, '237, 8:64-9:7 ("Other forms of matching include those based on <u>clustering, kd-trees, vantage point trees and</u> **excluded middle vantage point forests** are possible and will be discussed in more detail later.... Thus, for example, a <u>sub-linear search time can be achieved.</u>")

> 99 Page 103 of 292

While the individual comparisons of a work and a record in the library can be more efficient using the peak note approach disclosed in Iwamura ("search speed can be increased"), in doing so each record in the library is searched as part of the disclosed algorithm and "[t]he reference melody that gives the least difference is returned as a search result." Iwamura, 7:53-55.

164. Accordingly, one skilled in the art would understand that Iwamura teaches an exhaustive search rather than the claimed "non-exhaustive" search, because it searches all records in the database using the peak note approach.

165. I note that Petitioner's Declarant, Dr. Moulin, confirmed that "for all the Iwamura searches...[i]t's understood that you search through every musical work in the database"—*i.e.*, all potential matches (Moulin Depo. 269:19-270:2):

(*). NG	Q You would agree that in Iwamura, the
3	search that's identified there does make a
4	comparison to each of the possible musical works
5	that could be returned as a match?
ć	MR. ELACQUA: Objection.
- I	THE WITNESS: To each of the musical works,
(D)	yes.

Moulin Depo. 223:2-8.



Moulin Depo. 247:18-20.



Moulin Depo. 271:19-21.

	libertified and described in Iwardra, what is thes to
10	it does a comparison of the unknown melody to <mark>each</mark>
1	of the melody patterns that are in the nelody
	database; iight:
2.3	A Yes.

Moulin Depo. 207:18-23. As a result, consistent with my understanding and the understanding of one skilled in the art, Petitioner's Declarant confirmed that, based on the proper construction of a non-exhaustive search (adopted by the Board), lwamura does not disclose a non-exhaustive search:

24	Q By "nonexhaustive search," we mean a
25	search that does a comparison but doesn't look at
1	each of the melodies in our reference database.
12	It's going to skip over some of them.
3	By "exhaustive search," we're going to go
a fr	to each one of them. We might not use all the data
5	for that melody, but we're going to do some
6	comparison of the data to each of the melodies.
7	Do you understand?
8	A Yes.
9	Q With that definition, does Iwamura
10	disclose a an exhaustive search or a
<u>1</u>	nonexhaustive search?
12	A Well, with your, again, incorrect
13	definition, that would be an exhaustive search.
14	But, again, I disagree with your definition.

Moulin Depo. 233:24-234:14.



Moulin Depo. 225:16-226:7.

> Q Before that search is run, each of those 1 works is a possible match; right? A Yes. ( WI O Would you agree that the Iwamura search, 1 when it's run, it does a comparison of the unknown  $\overline{a_1}$ work to each of those possible matches? 6 A To each of those possible music works, yes. An approximate comparison, just to be clear. R Q And by "approximate," you mean that it 0 doesn't necessarily look at every bit of data in 10 every musical work? A It does not necessarily -- exactly -- use 12 all the data, and then it uses only approximations 14 to the matching criterion. Q But it does examine each of the possible 15 musical works -- or each of the musical works that 15 could be returned as a possible match? 17 A Yes. 18

Moulin Depo. 217:1-18.

166. I note that Petitioner's Declarant also confirmed that (as illustrated in the examples presented above) "all the notes" from each record in the database are compared. As a result, the searches disclosed in Iwamura would not be non-exhaustive even based on Petitioner's construction that includes the improper "and all data within all possible matches" clause (Pet. (\*237) at 6):

```
    I understand. But if we've got in if
    Database a += it's a fectual one peak. We've got a
    set in tes += it dress't say emiliate gust one
    peak, dres it!
    A 001.
    We're evaluating all the notes in that
    poraser right?
    A Bight, Bight, Bight.
```

Moulin Depo. 280:6-13.

```
it says, "In this manner, the entered
relody is shifted to each peak in each reference
relody and compared."
is you see that:
A. Yes.
Q. Ices this constate to you that Iwamura is
teaching a peak search method in which it's going to
compare the unknown melody with each peak in the --
each reference melody?
A. In this case -- I'm just reading the
context. Okay?
So all the notes are used. Thay. We are
notes are used in this example. So all the
notes are used in this example, and therefore, ne
context the -- you be a, the least aresput error
```

Moulin Depo. 277:6-21.

167. The Petition, Declaration, and corresponding charts fail to

demonstrate that Iwamura discloses a "nonexhaustive search." Petitioner and its

105 Page 109 of 292

Declarant identify three features of the Iwamura search as teaching non-exhaustive searching:

- (a) <u>peak notes</u>: a search that shifts the comparison of the notes in the work to be identified with the notes in a records by peak notes rather than noteby-note;
- (b) <u>limit function</u>: comparing the work to be identified with a specific record in the database can be stopped and shifted to the next peak notes when the computation of the total absolute difference between the notes in the work to be identified and the specific record exceeds a certain limit;
- (c) <u>unsearched portions</u>: a search that skips portions that should not be searched, such as "repeated patterns" and "unimportant melodies."

Pet. ('237) at 9-10. Petitioner identifies these three features from Iwamura (labeled

• ,• , and • below) as disclosing the non-exhaustive search in its Petition, Declaration, and corresponding charts, addressing either all three features or two of the features:

168. Petition:

106 Page 110 of 292

Cham 25 of the '237 patent further requires that the search is

Pet. ('237) 9-10.

## 169. Petition chart:

b) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the media work extracted features to perform a nonexhaustive search of reference extracted features of reference media works to identify a near neighbor, and	Petitioner incorporates the above discussion of Iwanura regarding Chim 9b. Iwanura further discloses non-exhaustive search algorithms using "peak notes" (6:31- 7:55), which "are approximately 20% of the total number of notes in a typical melody," meaning "search speed using peak notes is 20% of a brute force search" (9:9-10). The search is further non- exhaustive because it can be accelerated by stopping the search when computations "exceed] a certain limit." 7:56-57
--	--

## Pet. (\*237) 15.

170. Declaration:

> 73. It is my opinion that Iwamura's disclosure that the search can be accelerated by stopping the search when computations "exceed[] a certain limit" is another example of non-exhaustive searching. Ex. 1012 at 7:56-57.

74. It is my opinion that Iwamura's disclosure of skipping "portions that should not be searched" (Ex. 1012 at 12:6-7) wherein these skipped portions include "repeated patterns" (*id.* at 9:36-44) and "unimportant portion[s]" of the melody (*id.* at 9:44-5) constitutes another example of non-exhaustive searching.

Moulin (\*237) Decl. ¶71, 73-74.16

## 171. Declaration Chart:

I incorporate my above discussion of Iwamura regarding Claim 9b. Iwamura b) determining by the computer further discloses using non-exhaustive system, an identification of the search algorithms using "peak notes" (6:3) media work using the media work 7.55), which "are approximately 20% of 🖤 extracted features to perform a the total number of notes in a typical nonexhaustive search of reference melody," meaning "search speed using extracted features of reference peak notes is 20% of a brute force search" media works to identify a near (9:9-10). The search is further nonneighbor; and exhaustive because it can be accelerated by stopping the search when computations 🥝 "exceed[] a certain limit." 7:56-57

<sup>16</sup> Paragraph 72 of Dr. Moulin's Declaration addresses the "sublinear" rather than the "non-exhaustive" element.

Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶75.

172. One skilled it the art would understand that none of these three Iwamura search features disclose the claimed "non-exhaustive search." Each feature accelerates search speed within a single comparison of a work to be identified with a record in the reference database. No feature, however, enables the disclosed search to locate a match without comparing the work to be identified with each record in the reference database. I address each feature in turn.<sup>17</sup>

173. <u>peak notes</u>: A person of ordinary skill in the art would understand that the Iwamura "peak note" approach does not disclose a search that can locate a match without a comparison of all possible matches. As I explained above, a feature of the Iwamura search is that the search speed can be increased if the peaks of a melody input by a user are matched to the peaks of each reference melody, *i.e.*, each record in the reference database and the comparison between the work

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> I observed that Petitioner's Declarant also confirmed that another search feature disclosed in Iwamura—fault tolerance (that was not identified by Petitioner as support for the "non-exhaustive" search element)—also "does a comparison of the unknown work to each of the melodies in our reference database ...it compares with every musical work, yes, in the database" and is therefore an exhaustive rather than non-exhaustive search. Moulin Depo. 268:15-20.

and the record is shifted by peak notes rather than note by note. See Iwamura 5:9-13 ("The peaks in all the melodies stored in the databases are marked in advance. For melody matching, the entered melody is time-shifted . . . so that its peak matches each peak in the reference melody.").

174. Peak note searching accelerates a search within a single comparison of the work to be identified with an individual record because, when comparing the notes of the work with the notes of the record, it shifts the notes to be compared by peak notes rather than note by note:

"Peak notes are approximately 20% of the total number of notes in a typical melody. That means search speed using peak notes is 20% of a brute force search which shifts the entered melody, note by note."

Iwamura, 9:8-11.

175. While this search technique may be efficient, the peak note searching disclosed in Iwamura still requires exhaustively searching every reference melody. Iwamura, 9:11-13 (discussing a faster comparison of "each reference melody" with respect to peak note searching); *see also* Iwamura, 7:52-54 (noting that in the search process, "the entered melody is shifted to each reference melody and compared"). As Petitioner's Declarant repeatedly confirmed (consistent with my understanding) "you search through every musical work in the database" for "all

110 Page 114 of 292

the Iwamura searches" (including the "peak note" approach) (Moulin Depo.

269:19-270:2):

. Would grow sizes that in Deamura's pass
if the melody database
A ves, it does. It's gring to compare it
ith every song in the database, typically.

Moulin Depo. 213:23-214:2; 223:2-8; 247:18-20; 271:19-21.

176. Under the proper construction of "non-exhaustive," the "peak note" approach and the corresponding passages from Iwamura cited in the Petition and Declaration do not disclose a non-exhaustive search because they do not state or suggest that all references in the music library are not compared. Rather, all reference melodies are compared and "[t]he reference melody that gives the least difference is returned as a search result." Iwamura, 7:52-55. Accordingly, a search using "peak notes" is not a non-exhaustive search.

177. Moreover, even applying the "all data" clause in Petitioner's improper construction—a non-exhaustive "search ... locates a match without conducting a brute force comparison of ... all data within all possible matches"—the peak note search disclosed in Iwamura is still an exhaustive (rather than non-exhaustive) search because it compares "all data within all possible matches." When comparing a work to be identified with each potential match, the peaks of the song

> 111 Page 115 of 292

to be identified are lined up with the peaks of the reference work to expedite the comparison: "In this manner, the entered melody is shifted to each peak in each reference melody and compared." Iwamura, 7:52-55. But in doing so, this does not mean that only the peaks from the work to be identified are compared to the peaks of the reference work. Rather, once the peaks are lined up, both the peaks and valleys (all data) are compared in the computation. Dr. Moulin, at his deposition, agreed with this understanding of the peak note search:

E. Q It says, "In this manner, the entered 7 melody is shifted to each peak in each reference melody and compared." 8 Do you see that? 9 A Yes. O Does this indicate to you that Iwamura is 11 12 teaching a peak search method in which it's going to 13 compare the unknown melody with each peak in the --14 each reference melody? A in this case -- I'm just reading the 15 16 context; Okay? So all the notes are used. Okay. We are 17 16 back to this same numerical example. So all the notes are used in this example, and therefore, he 19 evaluates the -- you know, the least absolute error 21 criterion.

Moulin Depo. 277:6-21.

178. While the Petition (Pet. ('237) at 5) quotes a passage from Iwamura that suggests Iwamura avoids a "brute force" search, one skilled in the art would

112 Page 116 of 292

understand that the "brute force" being avoided (and what makes the algorithm efficient) is that peaks are not compared to valleys and valleys are not compared with peaks. Instead, by lining up the peaks when comparing the data, peaks are compared with peaks and valleys are compared with valleys. Therefore, when Iwamura states that its approach is 20% more efficient than a brute force search, one skilled in the art would understand that this does not mean that the peak note approach disclosed in Iwamura does not consider "all possible matches" or even "all data in all possible matches." Rather, it means that by lining up the peaks when doing the comparison, it will save time over comparing the music to be identified with the referenced song without first lining up the peaks; shifting the comparisons by peak notes is more efficient than simply shifting the comparisons "note by note." Iwamura, 9:8-11.

179. <u>limit function</u>: One skilled in the art would understand that the limit function approach addressed in Iwamura does not disclose non-exhaustive search under either the proper construction or under the Petitioner's flawed construction. Under the proper construction, a non-exhaustive search locates a match without comparing the work to be identified with all possible records in the reference database. The Iwamura limit function is not a search that locates a match without comparing the work to be identified with all possible matches. The Iwamura limit function accelerates the process of comparing the work to be identified to a single

> 113 Page 117 of 292

record in the reference database. The limit function describes the ability of a user to input a "limit" whereby a computation based on comparing the notes of the work to be identified with the notes of an individual record for a particular peak will be stopped and shifted to the next peak for that record when the total absolute difference between the compared notes exceeds a certain value. Iwamura, 7:56-58.<sup>18</sup> Nothing in Iwamura talks about absolute distance calculated for a record (*i.e.*, across all peaks in the record), only for each peak.

180. For example (using the examples provide in Iwamura, 7:11-45), assume a user inputs a limit where the computation comparing the notes of the work to be identified and a single record in the database would be stopped when the total absolute difference in the computation exceeds 5:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Computation refers to the process of comparing the absolute difference between the integer values assigned to the notes in the work to be identified (the melody input by the user to be identified) and a single melody record in the reference database for a specific peak comparison.



This comparison would be stopped before all notes have been compared for this specific alignment because comparing the first four notes results in a computation of an absolute difference that exceeds the limit of 5: 0 + 1 + 2 + 6 exceeds the set limit of 5.

181. Once a peak range search is stopped by the limit function (*i.e.*, the total absolute difference exceeds a certain limit so that the computation is stopped), the search shifts to the next peak range comparison within the same record, and continues the search process until each peak in each record is compared against the melody input by the user. A search that uses the limit function disclosed in Iwamura will still compare every record in the reference database: "In this manner, the entered melody is shifted to each peak in each reference melody and compared. The reference melody that gives the least different is returned as a search result." Iwamura, 6:31-7:55. My understanding of how the limit function of Iwamura works was confirmed by Petitioner's Declarant:

23	Q Now, if we and then, as you understand
24	it, what the search algorithm would do, it would
25	then shift this peak over to the next peak and start
1	another calculation; is that right?
1.2	A Yes. Yes.

Moulin Depo. 241:24-242:2.

182. Petitioner's Declarant confirmed that "you search through every musical work in the database" for "all the Iwamura searches" (including the limit function approach). Moulin Depo. 269:19-270:2.

17	Q when we throw in this limit on the
19	computation, we are going to still do a comparison
15	to each of the works that are in our database;
20	right?
21	A We do a comparison of some data within
22	each musical work, yes.
23	Q You don't read this as saying that if
24	we're doing a computation, and for one of them it
25	exceeds a certain limit, then we stop the search
I	altogether? It doesn't say that, does it?
1.1	A No. We move to the next one.
(15)	Q By "the next one," you mean the next
4	computation?
5	A The next possible match. Like after the
Ę	first line in your example, we move to the second
7	one.

Moulin Depo. 243:17-244:7.

116 Page 120 of 292

1	C If we use this parameter in doing out
	real in learning is in the lase that after we
21	definition that the calculation control for ${\rm P}^{+}$ Field
	then that we stop the search altogether. If it we
- 3	keep altulation
.54	A No. We move to the next one. On live
-5	were, if the garameter value is 1., buce we teach
1	$\mathbb C$ , we abanding this and move on to the next spec
	2. Then after we complete the peak search
÷	analysis for a given work, then we go to the next
4	work: is that right?
5	A Yes.

## Moulin Depo. 242:19-243:5.

183. One skilled in the art would understand that the limit function search disclosed in Iwamura is therefore exhaustive.

184. Moreover, even using the "all data" clause from Petitioner's improper construction, one skilled in the art would understand that the limit function algorithm disclosed in Iwamura is still exhaustive rather than non-exhaustive because it compares "all data within all possible matches." While the search comparing a particular peak pattern of a work against a record can be stopped if the difference exceeds a certain limit, this does not mean that the comparison of the work with the record stops. Rather, as I described above, this means that the data in the work will be shifted against the record to match up with the next peak and the comparison of all the data will continue. Nothing in Iwamura expressly states

> 117 Page 121 of 292

that all data will not be searched and a search that does not compare all data is also not inherent (*i.e.*, necessarily present).

185. <u>unsearched portions</u>: One skilled in the art would understand that this unsearched portion approach disclosed in Iwamura does not disclose a nonexhaustive search. If a search compares the work to be identified to each reference in a database, it is not the claimed non-exhaustive search. Even if certain portions of a reference are skipped, the unsearched portions approach of Iwamura still compares the work to be identified with all potential matches. Consistent with my understanding, Petitioner's Declarant confirmed that all musical records in the reference database are searched under all Iwamura searches (including the unsearched portions approach):

> 19 Q Is it true that for all the Iwamura 20 searches, all the variations that Iwamura teaches, 21 it always teaches doing a comparison to each of the 22 musical works that's a possible match in our 23 database? 24 A I don't think it says it explicitly every 25 time. It's -- it's often implicit. It's understood 1 that you search through every musical work in the 2 database.

Moulin Depo. 269:19-270:2.

186. Petitioner's Declarant specifically confirmed, consistent with my understanding, that all potential matches in the database are searched using Imamura's unsearched portions approach:

All right. So let's assume we've got our
iatarase up. We've identified the unimportant
sections, and we're not going to assess those when
we're doing out Iwanuia search.
Does that make sense?
A Yes.
In that case, failing to test a relody
against an Unimportant part will not result in us
ignoring a match. Would you agree?
A That is correct, assuming it's truly an
unimportant part, yes.

Moulin Depo. 317:2-12.

Q Now, one of the features of Iwamura is an alternative in which the database can be set up where you're going to not -- you're going to ship portions of the reference melodies. You're only going to use what he identifies as the important parts; right?
A lest
In that -- when that version of Iwamura is used, is it the case that the Iwamura search will do a corparison to each of the musical works that are in the database?

Moulin Depo. 267:13-24.

119 Page 123 of 292

187. Iwamura does not expressly state (nor is it inherent, *i.e.*, necessarily present) that flexibility on search area enables the disclosed search to entirely skip a record in the reference database. Each and every record in the reference database will be searched; therefore, the search is an exhaustive search rather than the claimed non-exhaustive search. Moreover, when a repeated pattern (*e.g.*, "second measure") is skipped, it is a "reasonable engineering assumption" that the search has "already tested" the repeated pattern and, as a result, all data is considered in the search. Moulin Depo. 279:7-14. Moreover, Iwamura states that each repeated portion can be pre-processed and is marked as such in the database. Iwamura, 9:39-42. Accordingly, the unsearched portion process constitutes extracting the features of the melodies to be compared and the resulting search searches all pre-processed data.

188. <u>Board's concerns</u>: I now address the Board's specific concerns (identified in its Decision) with respect to whether Iwamura discloses the claimed nonexhaustive search. In instituting Ground 1 of the '237 Petition, the Board determined that one feature of Iwamura identified by Petitioner the "computational limits" feature—discloses a non-exhaustive search because if the computation limit (comparing the notes in the work to be identified with a single record in the database) is reached, the entire search is stopped, independent of how many records in the database have actually been searched:

> 120 Page 124 of 292

Patent Owner also argues that Iwamura's computational limit does not create a nonexhaustive search because "it does not state or suggest that all records in the music library are not use[d] in the comparison." Prelim. Resp 18. We do not agree. If, in Iwamura, the computational limit is reached, the search is stopped, even if not all of the records have been searched. Per our construction of "nonexhaustive search," i.e., "a search that locates a match without a comparison of all possible matches," we are persuaded on this record that the process of Iwamura, with the computational limit, would prevent all of the records of the remote music database from being searched, but would ultimately provide a match because of the input fault tolerance process, discussed above. *See* Ex. 1012, 7:56–57, 9:20–34.

Decision (\*237) at 11-12. In making this preliminary finding, it appears that the Board apparently confused:

(a) stopping an individual computation of the absolute difference between the notes in the work to be identified with a specific record in the database for a specific alignment of peak notes and then shifting the peaks to perform another peak comparison with that record, with

(b) stopping the entire search process altogether.

In my opinion, there are at least two reasons why the Board's preliminary interpretation of Iwamura is not correct.

189. <u>Reason 1</u>: Iwamura does not state (or even suggest or imply) that when a given computation (the absolute difference between the compared notes) based on comparing a work to be identified with a specific record in the database

> 121 Page 125 of 292

exceeds a certain limit (demonstrating that the particular alignment of work to be identified with the specific record being searched is not a match) the entire search stops. Neither the Petition, Petitioner's Declarant, nor the Board points to such a statement in Iwamura, because one skilled in the art would understand that there is none. Rather Iwamura states that to accelerate comparing the peaks of the work to be identified with a single record in the database, the "computation of the total absolute difference" between the melody and a specific reference work based on that search can be stopped and shifted to the next comparison:

To accelerate the search, computation of the total absolute difference can be stopped when it exceeds a certain limit.

Iwamura, 7:56-57.

190. The individual computation based on that particular alignment between the peak notes of the work to be identified and the record "can be stopped" when that individual computation exceeds a certain limit. The search process itself is not stopped but rather accelerated: "[t]o accelerate the search." "In this manner, the entered melody is shifted to each peak in each reference melody and compared. The reference melody that gives the least difference is returned as a search result. Iwamura, 6:31-7:55. The specific computation is stopped, not the search: "it would then shift this peak over to the next peak and start another calculation." Moulin Depo. 240:24-242:2.

> 122 Page 126 of 292

191. Again, I note that, consistent with my understanding. Petitioner's Declarant confirmed that under the computation limits approach disclosed in Iwamura (as well as all other approaches in Iwamura), all potential matches are searched:

1.9 Q is it true that for all the Iwamura searches, all the Variations that Examuna teaches, it always teaches doing a comparison to each of the musical wirks that's a possible match in our 13 database? A I don't think it says it explicitly every 18 time. It's -- it's often implicit. It's understood 1 that you search through every musical work in the database.

Moulin Depo. 269:19-270:2.

Q when we throw in this limit on the
computation, we are going to still do a comparison
to each of the works that are in our database;
right?
A We do a comparison of some data within
each musical work, yes.
Q You don't read this as saying that if
we're doing a computation, and for one of them it
exceeds a certain limit, then we stop the search
altogether? It doesn't say that, does it?
A No. We move to the next one.
Q By "the next one," you mean the next
computation?
A The next possible match. Like after the
first line in your example, we move to the second
ose.

Moulin Depo. 243:17-244:7.

```
19
        Q If we use this parameter in doing our
20 search in Iwamura, is it the case that after we
21 determine that one calculation should be stopped,
   then that we stop the search altogether, or do we
22
   keep calculating --
23
         A No. We move to the next one. So like
24
25
   here, if the parameter value is 20, once we reach
    20, we abandon this and move on to the next one.
1
          Q Then after we complete the peak search
2
    analysis for a given work, then we go to the next
 3
   work; is that right?
4
         A Yes.
 5
```

124 Page 128 of 292

Moulin Depo. 242:19-243:5.

192. <u>Reason 2</u>: One skilled in the art would understand that the alternative (which is not disclosed in Iwamura)—that the entire search process stops when one peak search comparison between the work to be identified and one record in the database reaches a certain limit—would make the search process inoperable. The purpose of Iwamura is to find a match. Stopping the search when an individual computation exceeds a certain limit would prevent the search from finding a match. For example, assume that:

- there are 10 records in the dataset to be search;
- the computation based on the first peek note alignment between the work to be identified and the first record in the database exceeds the set limit.

Stopping the search at that point—after comparing the work to be identified with just the first alignment of the first record—would identify no match even if records 4, 7, and 8 were close matches and record 9 was an exact match. The system would be inoperable and would fail to identify matches if the search is stopped completely when a computational limit is reached rather than, as disclosed in Iwamura, the search moves on to (a) the next alignment of peak notes between the work to be identified and that same record in the database, or (b) the next potential record in the database to identify a match. Stopping the search when a given computation exceeds a certain limit will speed up comparing the work to be

125 Page 129 of 292

identified with a given record in the database but it does not stop the search process.

193. I note that the Board also noted that if Iwamura disclosed a search that is not a nonexhaustive search, this "does not end the inquiry"—Iwamura could still teach a nonexhaustive search as long as, in addition to disclosing other searches, Iwamura actually disclosed the claimed nonexhaustive search:

We note that all of the independent claims of the '237 Patent utilize "comprising" language, such that those claimed methods and apparatuses do not exclude additional, unrecited elements or method steps. *See Mars Inc. v. H.J. Heinz Co.*, 377 F.3d 1369, 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2004). Thus, the scope of independent claim 25 can include an exhaustive search, as long as it performs a nonexhaustive search as well. Thus, even if Patent Owner is correct and a particular search in Iwamura is exhaustive, that does not end the inquiry.

Decision ('237) at 11. As I demonstrated above, one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that Iwamura does not disclose any nonexhaustive searches.

## identify a neighbor / near neighbor (claims elements 1(b), 5(b), and 25(b)).

194. In instituting Ground 1, the Board did not specifically address whether Iwamura disclosed the neighbor or near neighbor properties of the claimed search. Decision (\*237) at 11-12. As I demonstrated below, one skilled in the art would understand that Iwamura does not disclose such properties.

195. As I explained above, identifying "a neighbor" or "near neighbor" means identifying "a close, but not necessarily exact or closest, match." Decision (\*237) at 8.

196. Iwamura does not disclose a search to identify a neighbor or near neighbor because, as I explained above, the disclosed search always identifies an exact or the closest match. Iwamura confirms that the disclosed search engine will find the "closest" match—the melody that gives the least difference. Iwamura, 9:54-55. Petitioner's Declarant also confirmed that Iwamura will either produce an "exact match" if it finds one, or the "best match it finds using that approximate criterion." Moulin Depo. 271:22-272:12.

197. One skilled in the art would understand that the system in Iwamura will always find the closest match, even if unimportant peaks are skipped or repeated patterns are avoided. At his deposition, Dr. Moulin agreed to my understanding:

 "[W]'re still going to be identifying the closest match" even when "the unimportant peaks are skipped.... Dropping an unimportant part is not going to affect the ability to find the best match." Moulin Depo. 317:14-22.

"If we implement that feature of Iwamura... skipping a repeated pattern...
 It will not affect the ability to find the best match." Moulin Depo. 318:11-

18.

Because the search algorithms disclosed in Iwamura necessarily return the closest match, they do not identify a match that is not necessarily the closest match, as the neighbor and near neighbor claim elements require. Iwamura does not disclose identifying a neighbor or near neighbor because the disclosed search always identifies an exact or the closest match.

198. The Petition, Declaration, and corresponding charts fail to demonstrate that Iwamura discloses the claimed neighbor or near neighbor searches.

199. The Petition does not address the "neighbor" concepts in the text of the Petition. In its Charts, to establish the claimed search "to identify a neighbor" (elements 1(b) and 5(B.2)) and search "to identify a near neighbor" (element 25(b)), Petitioner asserts:

#### Claim 1(b):

b) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the received features extracted from the media work to perform a sub-linear time search of extracted features of identified media works to identify a neighbor, and Iwamura determines an identification of the media work using the extracted features by "find[ing] the closest melody from the database," which is a neighbor 9:25-38, 12:1-2. Iwamura discloses searching using the "Boyer-Moore algorithm" (9:63-64, 10:1-3), which is sublinear (Ex. 1017 at 1). Ex. 1004 at ¶ 72.

128 Page 132 of 292

Pet. ('237) at 10-11.

Claim 5(b.2):

2) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the features extracted from the media work to perform a sub-linear time search of extracted features of identified media works to identify a neighbor, and	Petitioner incorporates the above discussion of Iwamura regarding Chim 1b
---	--

Pet. (\*237) at 12.

Claim 25(b): Petitioner incorporates its discussions regarding element 9(b)

(the remaining discussion addresses the non-exhaustive component of the claim

element):



Pet. (\*237) at 15.19

For claim element 9(b), Petitioner asserts:

<sup>19</sup> The referenced claim element 9(b) does not include a search "to identify a

near neighbor" but instead includes "an approximate nearest neighbor search."

129

Page 133 of 292

b) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the received features extracted from the media work to perform an approximate nearest neighbor search of extracted features of identified media works, and	Petitioner incorporates the above discussion of Iwamura regarding Chim 1b. Furthermore, Iwamura uses an approximate nearest neighbor "search engine [that] has input fault tolerance capability" (10:17- 18), and skips "portions that should not be searched" (12:6-7), such as "repeated patterns" (9:36-44), and "unimportant portion[s]" of the melody (9:44-45).
---	---

## Pet. ("237) at 12.

200. The Declaration is essentially the same.

## Claim element 1(b):

b) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the received	Iwamura discloses the use of a "search engine" to determine an identification of the media work using the extracted features
features extracted from the media work to perform a sub-linear time	by "find[ing] the closest melody from the database," which is a neighbor, 9:25-38,
search of extracted features of identified media works to identify a	12-1-2. Iwamura discloses searching using the "Boyer-Moore algorithm" (9:63-64.
neighbor, and	10.1-3), which is sublinear (Ex. 1017 at 1).

## Moulin Decl. ('277) ¶75.

## Claim element 25(b) cross references claim element 9(b):

b) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the media work extracted features to perform a nonexhaustive search of reference extracted features of reference media works to identify a near neighbor, and	I incorporate my above discussion of Iwamura regarding Claim 9b. Iwamura further discloses using non-exhaustive search algorithms using "peak notes" (6:31- 7:55), which "are approximately 20% of the total number of notes in a typical melody," meaning "search speed using peak notes is 20% of a brute force search" (9:9-10). The search is further non- exhaustive because it can be accelerated by stopping the search when computations "exceed[] a certain limit." 7:56-57.
--	--

Claim element 9(b):

b) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the received features extracted from the media work to perform an approximate nearest neighbor search of extracted features of identified media works, and Encorporate my above discussion of Jwamura regarding Claim 1b. Furthermore, Iwamura discloses using an approximate nearest neighbor "search engine [that] has input fault tolerance capability" (10.17-18), and skips "portions that should not be searched" (12:6-7), such as "repeated patterns" (9:36-44), and "unimportant portion[s]" of the melody (9:44-45)

201. One skilled in the art would understand that these discussions and the cited passages from Iwamura do not demonstrate that Iwamura teaches a search that identifies a neighbor or near neighbor for the reasons that I set forth above.

202. First, the cited passage from element 1(b) does not disclose a search that identifies a neighbor or near neighbor. As I explained above, a search that identifies a neighbor or near neighbor is a search that identifies "a close, but not necessarily exact or closest, match." Decision (\*237) at 8. The passage cited in the Petition and corresponding declaration confirms that the Iwamura searches find "the closest melody from the database." Pet. (\*237) at 8 *(quoting* Iwamura, 9:24-35).

203. Second, the references to searches that have an "input fault tolerance" or skip "portions that should not be searched" (Pet. (\*237) 13 quoting Iwamura 10:13-18, 12:6-7, 9:36-44, and 9:44-45) do not expressly or inherently disclose a search that does not necessarily identify the closest match. As I demonstrated above, the output from any disclosed Iwamura search always identifies the closest match and therefore is not a search that identifies a neighbor or near neighbor—"a

131 Page 135 of 292

close, but not necessarily exact or closest, match." *See e.g.*, Iwamura, 11:43-45 ("The invented input fault tolerance function allows the user to obtain an exact result even when an entered melody has some errors.").

# sublinear approximate nearest neighbor search (claim element 33(b)).

204. Claim 33 requires a search that is both (a) a sublinear, and (b) an approximate nearest neighbor search.

205. One skilled in the art would understand that Iwamura does not disclose a "sublinear approximate nearest neighbor search" for at least two independent reasons.

206. <u>Reason 1</u>: As I demonstrated above (with respect to claim elements 1(b) and 5(b.2)), Iwamura does not disclose a "sublinear" search.

207. <u>Reason 2</u>: Also as I demonstrated above (with respect to claim elements 9(b) and 13(b.2)), Iwamura does not disclose an "approximate nearest neighbor search."

208. The Petition, Declaration, and corresponding charts fail to demonstrate that Iwamura discloses the claimed "sublinear approximate nearest neighbor search." For claim 33, the Petition and corresponding Declaration do not address the "sublinear approximate nearest neighbor search" in their respective

> 132 Page 136 of 292
texts but instead exclusively cross-reference their respective charts for Claims 1(b)

and 9(b).

### Petition:

b) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the media work extracted features to perform a sublinear approximate nearest neighbor search of reference extracted features of reference identified media works, and	Petitioner incorporates the above discussion of Iwamura regarding Claims Ib and 9b.
--	---

Pet. (\*237) at 16.

### Declaration:

<ul> <li>b) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the media work extracted features to perform a</li> </ul>	I incorporate my above discussion of Iwamura regarding Claims 1b and 9b
sublinear approximate nearest neighbor search of reference	
extracted features of reference identified media works; and	

Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶75. As I demonstrated above, the cross-referenced

"discussions" and citations to Iwamura fail to demonstrate that Iwamura discloses

either a "sublinear" search or an "approximate nearest neighbor search."

Accordingly, the Petition fails to satisfy its burden for these two independent

reasons.

209. Board's concerns: I addressed the Board's concerns with respect to

the "sublinear" component above in Section VI(A)(1). I addressed the Board's

133 Page 137 of 292

concerns with respect to the "approximate nearest neighbor component" above in Section VI(A)(2).

## B. '237 Ground 2: The instituted claims of the '237 patent are not anticipated by Ghias.

210. The Board instituted Ground 2 based on the following: Claims 1-3,

<u>5</u>-7, 9-11, <u>13</u>-15, and 21-24 as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Ghias. Decision ('237) at 21 (I underlined the independent claims). Ground 2 fails because Ghias does not disclose the following key elements from each instituted independent claim:

- sub-linear time search (claim elements 1(b) and 5(b.2)); and
- approximate nearest neighbor search (claim elements 9(b) and 13(b.2)).

I address each in turn below.

## sublinear time search (claim elements 1(b) and 5(b.2)).

211. Claims elements 1(b) and 5(b.2) require a "sub-linear time search."

212. As I explained above, one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that a "sub-linear time search" is "a search whose execution time scales with a less than linear relationship to the size of the data set to be searched." Decision ('237) at 7.

213. Ghias does not disclose a "sub-linear time search" search but instead teaches a linear search in which the search time grows linearly in relationship to the size of the data set. The searches disclosed in Ghias compare the work (user input 23) with "all the songs" in the library (*i.e.*, what the Petition calls "all possible matches," Pet. 6):

In order to search the database, songs in the database 14 are preprocessed to convert the melody into a stream of the previously discussed U,D,S characters, and the converted user input (the key 23) is compared with all the songs.

Ghias, 5:66-6:2.<sup>20</sup> If an increase in a given variable increases the execution time of a given algorithm by an amount that is only a constant multiple of the amount by which that variable was increased, irrespective of the initial value of that variable, then that algorithm scales linearly with regard to that variable.<sup>21</sup> More specifically,

<sup>20</sup> To compare the "user input" with "all the songs," Ghias must compare the user input with every song in the data set. Ghias does not disclose a search algorithm that does not compare the work to be identified with every record in the data set.

As I explained above, linearity describes "[t]he relationship existing between two quantities when a change in a second quantity is directly proportionate to a change in the first quantity." Ex. 2007 (Modern Dictionary of Electronics) at 425 (1999).

if f(n1, ..., ni, ..., nk) is a function that describes the execution time of an algorithm where variables n1, ..., ni, ..., nk are the sizes of the different types of data on which the algorithm operates, then if f(n1, ..., ni+q, ..., nk) = f(n1, ..., ni,..., nk) + f(n1, ..., q, ..., nk), then that algorithm scales linearly with regards to variable ni. Because a constant increase in the size of the data set (*i.e.*, number of records in the reference data set) increases the execution time of the Ghias search algorithm by a constant amount that does not depend on the initial size of the data set, Ghias discloses a linear time search, not a sub-linear time search.

214. In addressing "the problem of approximate string matching," Ghias identifies "the running times of several algorithms:

> Several Algorithms have been developed that address the problem of approximate string matching. Running times 25 have ranged from 0(mn) for the brute force algorithm to 0(kn) or 0(nlog(m), where "0" means "on the order of," m is the number of pitch differences in the query, and n is the size of the string (song).

Ghias, 6:23-28. In each instance, the running time of the identified search is linear (not sub-linear) with respect to the size of the data set.

215. As clarified in this passage from Ghias:

 "m is the number of pitch differences in the query" corresponding to the length of the query of the work to be identified (highlighted in green); and

- "n is the size of the string (song)" (highlighted in orange); Moulin Depo. 88:13-15.<sup>22</sup>
- "k" refers to the number of mismatched characters permitted in the search results returned by the search: "The problem consists of finding all instances of a pattern string P = p1, p2, p3 ... pm in a text string T t1, t2, t3 ... tn such that there are at most k mismatches (characters that are not the same) for each instance of P in T." Ghias, 6:37–41; Moulin Depo. 96:2-15.
- In the field of computer software, "O" indicates big O notation. Big O notation describes the relationship between an algorithm's execution time and other variables. In computer science, big O notation is used to describe how algorithms respond (*e.g.*, in their processing time or working space requirements) in the worst-case to changes in input size. Ex. 2009 (<u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Big\_O\_notation.</u>); Moulin Depo. 16:13-24 (in the field, there is "a common system of notation that's used ... when we're taking about how the search time or execution time scales with respect to the size of the database it's the so-called order of notation ... sometimes referred to as the 'big O notation.'")

216. As I explained above, the disclosed searches may be sublinear with respect to "m ... the number of pitch differences in the query." O((nlog(m))) is

<sup>22</sup> Referring to "n" as part of the dataset to be searched (rather than the query of the work to be identified) is standard in the field. Moulin Depo. 18:2-10.

sublinear with respect to "m" (corresponding to the length of the query) because the running time is a function of log(m)). *See* Moulin Depo. 102:9-13.<sup>23</sup>

217. The disclosed searches are never sublinear with respect to "n"—"the size of the string (song)" or the number of records in the data set ("N").<sup>24</sup> As I explained above, if a constant increase in a given variable increases the execution time of a given algorithm by a constant amount, then that algorithm scales linearly with regard to that variable. An incremental increase in the number of records in the data set, or even in the length of a given reference record ("n") in the data set, increases the execution time of every search disclosed by Ghias by a constant amount.

218. O(mn), O(kn), and O(nlog(m)) all describe algorithms whose execution times increase by a constant amount as the length of the record being searched is incrementally increased. The first to run times—O(mn) and O(kn) are linear with respect to the size of the data set being searched. My

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> "log" stands for taking the logarithm of the following variable; so log(m) means the logarithm of m.

As I noted above, in the IPR Patents, consistent with the literature, the size of the dataset is referred to as "N" where "N" is the number of records in the dataset.

understanding, consistent with the understanding of one skilled in the art, is

confirmed by Petitioner's Declarant, see, e.g.

1-	Let's assume we have a search that's
19	execution time is $O(mn)$ , where N means the size of
15	the query, N teams the size of the database of
	dataset that we're searching over.
11	What does that tell del
	A Mell, it means that the search time.
	fores, grous at most linearly.
24	1 Is it the case that this diplike a
25	sublinear search as it's used in the '13" patent?
1	A No. It says, again, it's at most linear
-	in terms of M times N.

Moulin Depo. 28:17-29:2.<sup>25</sup> The third run time—O(nlog(m))—may be sub-linear with respect to the number of pitch differences in the query "m" but is always linear with respect to "n," the size of the string (song) being searched, or the number of records in the dataset being searched. Again, my understanding, consistent with the understanding of one skilled in the art, is confirmed by Petitioner's Declarant *see*, *e.g.*:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Petitioner's Declarant uses "data set" and "database" interchangeable in this context. Moulin Depo. 22:14-16.

```
If we have a search that is described as
20
21 set forth in Formula 6, where N refers to the size
    of the database, what does that tell us about
23 whether it's linear or sublinear?
          A Same answer as previously. You have
24
    replaced f(m) with log(m). It's just a particular
15
    function, f(m). So that would tell me that search
1
    time is at most linear in terms of N.
              If you're presented with that, does that
 2
          2
    tell you that we have a sublinear search?
 3
          à.
             In terms of N. yes.
         0
             In terms of --
          A Sorry. Let me rephrase this.
             It's at most linear.
          Q Does that tell us that we have a sublinear
    search?
10
          A it does not tell re that, because of the
    meaning of the order of notation. It says, at most,
13
   linearly.
```

Moulin Depo. 36:20-37:13.26

219. Accordingly, Ghias exclusively disclose searches that are linear-not sublinear-in relationship to the data set to be searched. My understanding is again confirmed by Petitioner's Declarant:

<sup>26</sup> These "running times" are the times it takes to run a query of length "m" against one record of the length "n" in a dataset including N records. The search time for running the same query against the full dataset would take on average N time longer, since each record in the dataset will need to be searched.

```
2 Ites the information that's presented here
is suggest to you that these to that these algorithms
are sublinear with respect to the size of the
dataset being searched!
A It dies not say that. First, there's no
database, ikay? Again, this refers to a single
song.
```

Moulin Depo. 88:22-89:3.

14	. Feea	ing this, at y	is interpret this to
18	suggest that t	nese algorithm	s are sublinear with
н. н.	respect to the	size of the d	ataset being searches?
	A No.	This, again,	reads "of the order of."
3	So it means at	most linear.	

Moulin Depo. 89:14-18.

15		Ş.	My	que	stic	$D_{\mathcal{F}}$	SII,	15 0	iti y	ou ze	ad this to
17	1531Ca	te d	oi s	1994 1994	est	tha	t the	Se 3	aiger	1 tinns	would
18	sould	be l	sec	t.:	per	fér	n <u>a s</u>	ubli	near	time	search
19	with r	espe	ect	to 1	the	512	e of	the	data	set b	e tra
24	search	ed?									
21		Ā	20.								

Moulin Depo. 90:16-21; 93:24-94:5; 98:20-25; 100:8-11; 142:5-10.

220. Petitioner's Declarant confirmed my understanding-that:

- (a) any sub linearity referenced in Ghias is with respect to "m"—the number of pitch differences in the query, not "n" the size of the string (song) or the size of the data set (N);
- (b) Ghias does not state or suggest that the size of the query is dependent on the size of the data set;
- (c) any sub linearity with respect to the query "is not relevant" to the '237 patent, and
- (d) as a result, Ghias does not disclose a search that is sublinear with respect to the size of the data set—the relevant sub-linearity inquiry for the '237 patent.

Moulin Depo. 152:20-154:2 (any sub-linearity with respect to the query "is not relevant.")

221. In reviewing Dr. Moulin's deposition, I observed that Petitioner's Declarant, Dr. Moulin, testified that:

- he clearly understood that sub-linear in the context of the '237 patent is based on the size of the data set searched, not the size of the query or the pitch differences in the query;
- (2) Ghias does not identify a search that is sub-linear with respect to the data set; and

(3) when he wrote in his Declaration that "Ghias discloses searches ... which ... are sublinear," he did not intend the Board to interpret
"sublinear" to be in the context of the '237 patent but rather in a different context irrelevant to the '237 patent.

222. As I noted above, Petitioner's Declarant understood that, consistent with my understanding, "sublinear" in the context of the '237 patent ("a concept that's common in [his] field" (Moulin Depo. 8:10-14)) is based on the size of the data set searched (N), not the size of the query or pattern to be matched ("m"):

53. I understand and agree with Petitioner's position that the term "sublinear search" means "a search whose execution time has a sublinear relationship to database size." For instance, a linear search of a 200-item database would take twice as long as a linear search of a 100-item database. By contrast, a sublinear search of a 200-item database would take less than twice as long as a sublinear search of a 100-item database, perhaps, for instance, 1.5 times as long.

Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶53.

11	Is it the case that for a search to be
12	sublinear as it's used in the '237 patent, it's not
13	enough for it to have execution time that is
14	sublinear in relationship to the size of the
15	pattern; it must also be sublinear in relationship
26	to the size of the database?
17	A When I read "sublinear" in, say, Claim 5
10	of the patent, as we just did, I understand
19	sublinear to mean in relation with the size of the
20	database. It does not say anything about in
21	relation with the size of the query.

Moulin Depo. 26:11-21.

```
1
          Q Is it the case that in doing your analysis
 -
   to determine whether or not prior art anticipated,
 3 you applied the definition that a sublinear search
 4 or a sublinear time search was one whose execution
   time has a sublinear relationship to the database
 5
   size?
 ÷
 7
        A That is correct, yes.
         Q is that the correct definition that should
 30
 9
   be applied, or are you applying the wrong
10 definition?
11
        A In my opinion, this is the correct
   definition to be used.
17
```

Moulin Depo. 24:1-12.

	1	16-1-12-1	Deserve is	the contraction	**
. ÷	setermine	-nether if	1.1° a 41°	en seatin	is sublinear
. E	with respe	at to the	size of th	e database	e, that reals
14	that we're	e going to	determine	execution	time
-	compared t	to the grow	th of the	database,	Na right?
.÷	2.	Yes.			

Moulin Depo. 31:13-18.

$1 \in$	Q.	Well, as you understood the term
1 ~	"sublinear	" as it's used in the patent, it's actot
18	the execut	ion time as we increase the side of the
19	database;	is that right?
20	A	In the patent, yes.
21	ų.	Yes.
	â.	Yes.

Moulin Depo. 103:16-22.

223. Petitioner's Declarant agreed with my understanding that the algorithms disclosed in Ghias do not disclose a search that is sublinear with respect to the size of the data set but instead has a sub-linear relationship to "m" the pitch differences in the query pattern:



Moulin Depo. 158:14-159:4.

18	Ş	Did y	you ever r	ead this and say	te
91	yourself,	"What	t it says	here about these	algorithms,
20	in this d	lescriț	stion of t	hese algorithms,	tells me
21	that we h	ave a	sublinear	time search"?	
22	10. 20. 20.	No.	I don't r	epresent that, no	

Moulin Depo. 91:18-22.

5	Q were you trying to convey that these
10	searches here in Ghias were sublinear with respect
1	to the size of the dataset?
12	A No. No. The discussion there was
13	relative to brute-force search. And it is only
14	sublinear it is known to be sublinear in that
15	sense. I do not know whether it would be sublinear
16	in the size of the database for that particular
17	algorithm.

146 Page 150 of 292

Moulin Depo. 108:9-17

224. Petitioner's Declarant testified that when he wrote the following

paragraph in his Declaration (Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶123):



he really meant sublinear with respect to the query, not the database or data set being searched:



Moulin 103:1-15.

147 Page 151 of 292

14	Q And by "logarithm of the dataset," you
15	mean logarithm of the size of the query, not the
16	dataset to be searched; is that true?
17	A I believe we discussed that earlier.
18	Okay. Should have here it is the log, indeed, of
19	the query dataset. So log(m).
20	Q So it should this should be
21	interpreted when you write "dataset," you're
14. 14. 	what you mean is the guery dataset, not the dataset
23	to be searched?
24	A That's correct. So the logarithm of the
25	dataset is log(m), which is written below. Yes.
1	Q Which is the query dataset?
2	A That's right.

Moulin 154:14-155:2



Moulin Depo. 155:12-156:6.

A Because it's very clear. I'm saying the word "query" should have been incorporated before dataset."

Moulin Depo. 156:22-157:3.

> Well, one possible reason you wanted to give the Board this information is so that they would missead it and be misled. 8 4 That's a possibility; right? A It's not at all the -- the reason. So 10 11 it alone -- there are four documents. Some, they 12 are, like, 90 pages each. Some of the words could 13 have been better chosen. In particular, the word "query" should have been there. I have acknowledged 14 15 that. Q But assume --16 A Again, I have acknowledged that this was 17 18 not written the best way.

Moulin Depo. 157:6-18. I agree with Dr. Moulin-that the referenced passages in Ghias do not disclose a sublinear search with respect to the size of the dataset.

225. Petitioner fails to satisfy its burden of demonstrating that Ghias teaches a "sub-linear time search." As support for the "sub-linear" elements, Petitioner (and corresponding Declaration) exclusively rely on the statement addressed above—that Ghias discloses "searches whose execution times are proportional to the logarithm of the size of the data set" based on the disclosed running times of O(kn) or O(nlog(m)). Pet. (\*237) at 41 (*quoting* Iwamura 6:23-35 and 6:24-28):

226. Petition:

Independent claims 1 and 5 of the '23" patent further require that the search be "sublinear" Ex. 1001 at Claims 1, 5. Ghias discloses search algorithms, that are substantially faster than "brute force" searches Ex. 1010 at 6.23-35. In particular Ghias discloses searches whose execution times are proportional to the logarithm of the size of the data set (*id* at 6.24-28 ("O(kn) or O(nlog(m)")), which, as explained above in Section V(D), are sublinear (Ex. 1001 at 8.54-63); Ex. 1004 at (123)

Pet. (237) at 41.

### 227. Chart in Petition:

### Claim 1(b):

Ghus determines the identification of a media work by "search[ing] the b) determining, by the computer system, melody database" (2:50-59) to locate an identification of the media work using matching "sequence[s] of digitized the received features extracted from the representations of relative pitch media work to perform a sub-linear time differences," i.e., extracted features (Abstract) This is sublinear because search of extracted features of identified media works to identify a neighbor, and execution time is proportional to the logarithm of the data set, 6:24-28 ("O(nlog(m)") Ex. 1004 at # 123. This identifies a list of neighbors. i.e., "a ranked list of approximately matching melodies, as illustrated at 26" or "the single most approximate matching melody " 2:50-59, 6:60-63

### Pet. (\*237) at 42-43.

#### Claim 5(b.2):

2) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the features extracted from the media work to perform a sub-linear time search of extracted features of identified media works to identify a neighbor, and	Petitioner incorporates the above discussion of Ghias regarding Claim 1b.
---	---

Pet. ('237) at 44.

### 228. Declaration:

123. It is my opinion that Ghias further discloses the elements of claims 1 and 5 of the '237 patent that require that the search be "sublinear." Ex. 1001 at Claims 1, 5. In particular, Ghias discloses search algorithms that are substantially faster than "brute force" searches. Ex. 1010 at 6:23-35. In particular, Ghias discloses searches whose execution times are proportional to the logarithm of the size of the data set (*id.* at 6:24-28 ("O(kn) or O(nlog(m)")), which, as explained above in Section V(D), are sublinear (Ex. 1001 at 8:54-63).

Moulin Decl. ('237) ¶123 (the paragraph addressed above).

### 229. Declaration Charts:

#### Claim 1(b):



Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶127

Claim 5(b.2):

2) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the features extracted from the media work to perform a sub-linear time search of extracted from the media work to perform a sub-linear time search of extracted	I incorporate my above discussion of Ghias regarding Claim 1b
features of identified media works to	
identify a neighbor, and	

# Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶127.27

230. As I explained above in detail, this discussion and the passage from Ghias quoted in the Petition and Declaration exclusively address sub-linearity with respect the number of pitch differences in the query ("m"), not the "size of the string (song)" ("n") (Ghias, 6:23-28), much less the size of the data set being searched ("N"), as required by a sub-linear search in the context of the '237 patent. Accordingly, although each individual comparison can be more efficient using the searches disclosed in Ghias, the computational time it takes to search the database always grows linearly with the size of the dataset. As a result, the disclosed searches in Ghias are linear, not sublinear.

231: <u>Board's concerns</u>: I now addresses the Board's specific concerns (identified in its Decision in the '237 IPR) with respect to whether Ghias discloses the claimed "sub-linear time search." In instituting Ground 1, the Board

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Petitioner's expert confirmed that the other passages that he cites relating to other claim elements do not disclose a search that is sublinear. *See, e.g.*, Moulin Depo. 151:1-5; 151:6-12; 152:3-9 (addressing Ghias 2:50-59).

preliminary found that Ghias disclosed the claimed "sublinear time search" based on the disclosed "sub-linear approximate string matching" disclosed in Ghias:

> Additionally, as we found above, the claims do not specify that the sublinear search must be performed on a subset of all of the records, and not information within individual records. As such, we are persuaded that the sub-linear approximate string matching, in Ghias, satisfies the claimed recitation of "using the received features extracted from the media work to perform a sub-linear time search of extracted features of identified media works to identify a neighbor."

Decision (\*237) at 18-19.

232. As I demonstrated above, however, the "approximate string matching" algorithms disclosed in Ghias are only sub-linear with respect to the "m ... the number of pitch differences in the query" not "n... the size of the string (song))" or with respect to N, the size of the dataset:

Several Algorithms have been developed that address the problem of approximate string matching. Running times 25 have ranged from 0(mm) for the brute force algorithm to 0(kn) or 0(nlog(m), where "0" means "on the order of," m is the number of pitch differences in the query, and n is the size of the string (song).

Ghias, 6:23-28.

233. As I noted above, Petitioner's Declarant confirmed that Ghias, and the approximate string matching algorithms disclosed in Ghias, do not disclose a sublinear search with respect to the size of the data set—the relevant inquiry in the

> 154 Page 158 of 292

context of the '237 patent. Accordingly, the premise underlying the Board's preliminary finding – that the approximate string matching algorithms disclosed in Ghias have sub-linear properties with respect to the dataset—is wrong.<sup>28</sup>

## approximate nearest neighbor search (claim elements 9(b) and 13(b.2)).

234. As I explained above, an "approximate nearest neighbor search" is a sublinear search identifying a close match that is not necessarily the closest match." Section V(D); Decision (\*237) at 9.

235. One skilled in the art would understand that Ghias does not disclose the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search" for at least two independent reasons.

236. <u>Reason 1</u>: One skilled in the art would understand that Ghias does not disclose an "approximate nearest neighbor search" because Ghias does not disclose "identifying a close match that is not necessarily the closest match."

237. To disclose an approximate nearest neighbor search, Ghias must disclose a search that does not necessarily find the closest match. See Section

I note that Petitioner's Declarant also confirmed that searching a subset of information within individual records (e.g., not looking at "every single character in the dataset") does not establish a sub-linear search. Moulin Depo. 37:18-38:5.

V(D). "A nearest neighbor search always finds the closest point to the query. An approximate nearest neighbor search does not always find the closest point to the query. For example, it might do so with some probability, or it might provide any point within some small distance of the closest point." '237, 9:12–19. A search that always (necessarily) identifies an exact or the closest match is not an approximate nearest neighbor search because a neighbor search identifies a "close, but not necessarily exact or closest, match." Section V(D); Decision ('237) at 8.

238. Ghias discloses a search algorithm that necessarily finds the closest match. Ghias does not expressly disclose a search that does not necessarily identify an exact or closest match. And one skilled in the art would understand that such a search is not inherent (necessarily present) in Ghias.

239. Ghias teaches a search that generates three possible outputs:

(1) an exact match (Ghias 2:53-59 ("exact matching melody"));

(2) a "ranked list of approximately matching melodies" (Ghias, 2:50-59; Ghias, 6:60-63 ("a list of songs ranked by how well they matched the query"); Moulin Depo. 118:9-22); or

(3) "the single most approximate matching melody" (Ghias, 2:50-59).

Petitioner's Declarant confirmed, consistent with my understanding, that Ghias teaches these three potential outputs:

156 Page 160 of 292

4. <sup>17</sup>	Gruin you agree that phias teaches sort of
	three options? Me've git - it's doing to produce
1.5	the exact ration it's gring to produce the rost
19	approximate matching; or it's going to produce this
	ranked list?
21	A Yes.

Moulin Depo. 341:16-21.

240. For all three outputs, Ghias always identifies an exact or the closest match:

241. (1) <u>exact match</u>: If the search produces an exact match, it necessary produces an "exact or closest, match" and therefore does not disclose an "approximate nearest neighbor search." Petitioner's Declarant agreed with my understanding:

If it produces the exact matching melody, 24 would you agree that Shias teaches providing or 15 identifying the closest ratch? 1 A Yes.

Moulin Depo. 341:23-342:1.

242. (2) <u>ranked list</u>: If the search produces a ranked list, it necessarily identifies as part of the ranked list either an exact match (if there is one) or the closest match—*i.e.*, the top ranked match—and therefore does not disclose an "approximate nearest neighbor search" that does not necessarily identify an exact or the closest match. At the top of the ranked list (*i.e.*, the number 1 ranked match

157 Page 161 of 292

in the list) is an exact or the closest match. For example, assume for illustrative purposes that the work to be identified is 500. Assume that the list outputs in ranked order:

Ist closest: 502 2nd closest: 510 3rd closest: 530 and 4th closest: 570.

The ranked list identified the closest match as 502. The closest match will never be excluded from the list of matches returned. As another example, assume that the list outputs in ranked order:

> 1st closest: 500 2nd closest: 510 3rd closest: 530 and 4th closest: 570.

In this example, the ranked list identified an exact match as 500. The exact match will never be excluded from the list of matches returned.<sup>29</sup> Accordingly, this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> The list of matches within a given error-tolerance includes the full list of matches except those matches outside a given error-tolerance. Because the closest match is among the matches retrieved from the database, and the closest match is

approach necessarily identifies the closest match and therefore is not an

"approximate nearest neighbor search."

243. Petitioner's Declarant confirmed, consistent with my understanding,

that the ranked list approach identifies the closest match:

```
W (1) ; - agree that the the emalliment in
     inter that produces a tablet livet, that are then
   1 107 for identity the mat hothat bas seen generate.
 4
          of it contractely by "mapping are a
    Datches that are closed; it's doing to identify the
    te that it is seemed to be the lower match
        A 2 if the end simelt where it of it a
        A Stat wall output the list of what it
14 Indiates I deeps are the closest matches.
         3 And 5 that list, it's also going t
   Lightify the the that is the charget wat
11
         A That is weened by the algorithm to be
    is the appl Ximate algorithm to be the close t
    matet.
```

Moulin Depo. 356: 2-21.

not subsequently excluded from that list, the closest match will always be among the list of matches returned.

244. (3) <u>single most approximate matching melody</u>: If the search identifies the single most approximate matching melody, it necessarily identifies the closet match and is therefore not the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search." Petitioner's Declarant agreed with my understanding:

Q If it returns -- if it identifies anything 9 as a -- as a match, it's going to be identifying the 10 closest possible match; right? A Yes. 11

### Moulin Depo. 345:16-346:11.

245. Petitioner's expert confirmed that for all three outputs, Ghias teaches a system that will always (necessarily) identify the closest match. Moulin Depo. 352:22-353:2. Accordingly, for all three potential outputs, Ghias necessarily identifies an exact or the closest match. Ghias does not disclose an "approximate nearest neighbor search" which identifies "a close, but not necessarily exact or closest, match."

246. <u>Reason 2</u>: Ghias does not disclose an "approximate nearest neighbor search" because Ghias does not disclose a sublinear search. As I explained above, an "approximate nearest neighbor search" is "one example" of a "sublinear search." Section V(D). Also, as I demonstrated above, Ghias does not disclose a "sublinear search." Section VI(B)(1). Accordingly, Ghias does not disclose the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search."

> 160 Page 164 of 292

Google Ex. 1020

247. The Petition, Declaration, and corresponding charts fail to demonstrate that Ghias discloses the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search." The Petition and corresponding declaration assert that Ghias discloses the "approximate nearest neighbor search" because it produces:

(1) "a ranked list of approximately matching melodies" (labeled **0**); or

(2) "the single most approximate matching melody" (labeled ):

248. Petition:



Pet. ('237) at 42.

249. Petition Charts:

Claim 9(b):



Pet. ('237) at 45.

NETWORK-1 EXHIBIT 2005 Google Inc. v. Network-1 Technologies, Inc. IPR2015-00343

161

Page 165 of 292

### Claim 13(b.2) (referencing claim element 9(b)):

2) determining, by the computer system, an identification of the media work using the received features extracted from the media work to perform an approximate nearest neighbor search of extracted features of identified media works, and	Petitioner incorporates the above discussion of Ghias regarding Claim 9b.
---	---

Pet. at 46.

### 250. Declaration:

124 It is my opinion that Ghias further discloses the elements of claims 9
and 13 of the '237 patent that require that the search locate an "approximate nearest
neighbor " Ex 1001 at Claims 9, 13. In particular, Ghias discloses that the search
locates a near or nearest neighbor by determining "a ranked list of approximately
matching melodies, as illustrated at 26" or "the single most approximate matching
melody " Ex. 1010 at 2:50-59, 6:60-63.

### Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶124.

### 251. Declaration Charts:

Claim 9(b):



I incorporate my above discussion of Ghias regarding Claim 1b. Ghias further discloses that this may be an approximate neighbor search that generates "a ranked list of approximately matching melodies, as illustrated at 26" or "the single most approximate matching melody " 2:50-59, 6:60-63

Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶127.

Claim 13(b.2) referencing claim element 9(b)):

2) determining, by the computer	1 incorporate my above discussion of
system, an identification of the media work using the received features extracted from the media work to perform an approximate nearest	Ghias regarding Claim 9b.
neighbor search of extracted features of identified media works, and	

Moulin Decl. (\*237) ¶127.

252. One skilled in the art would understand that neither of the cited passages discloses the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search" because, as described above, both the ranked list and single most approximate matching melody always identify the closest match. I address each passage in turn:

253. Passage 1:

The query engine 24 searches the melody database 14 and outputs a ranked list of approximately matching melodies, as illustrated at 26. A preselected error tolerance may be applied to the search. The query engine 24 may of course alternatively be programmed to output the single most approximate matching melody or, if desired, to output an exact matching melody. However, by searching for an approximate matching melody, as hereinafter discussed, various forms of anticipated errors may be taken into account.

Ghias, 2:50-59. As noted in the Petition and Declaration, this passage states that the search "outputs a ranked list of approximately matching melodies, as illustrated at 26" or "the single most approximate matching melody." As I explained above, neither approach discloses the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search." An "approximate nearest neighbor search" must identify "a close, but not necessarily exact or closest, match" Section V(D); Decision ('237) at 8. Both outputs

> 163 Page 167 of 292

disclosed in this passage necessarily disclose an exact or the closest match and, therefore, are not an "approximate nearest neighbor search."

254. Passage 2:

The computer 16 may desirably be programmed so that, for a given query, the database 14 returns a list of songs ranked by how well they matched the query, not just one best match.

Ghias, 6:60-63. This passage also does not disclose a neighbor search. As I explained above, a "list of songs ranked by how well they matched the query" necessarily identifies an exact or the closest match, and specifically identifies such a song as the top-ranked song.

255. Moreover, under the proper construction of "approximate nearest neighbor search," the search must be a sub-linear search. '237, 9:12-19 (an approximate nearest neighbor search is an "example of a sub-linear time search"); Section V(D). As demonstrated above, these passages disclose a linear (rather than sublinear) search.

256. <u>Board's concerns</u>: I now address the Board's specific concerns (identified in its Decision) with respect to whether Ghias discloses the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search." In instituting Ground 2 of the '237 IPR, the Board found that Ghias disclosed the "approximate nearest neighbor search"

because the error-tolerance search disclosed in Ghias "allows the user to identify sets of songs that contain similar melodies:"

Ghias provides that "[1]he number of matches that the database 14 should retrieve depends upon the *error-tolerance* used during the keysearch," and "the user can perform a *new query on a restricted search list consisting of songs just retrieved.* This allows the user to identify sets of songs that contain similar melodies." Ex. 1010, 6:63–65, 7:5–8 (emphases added). Thus, Ghias makes clear that the search need not be exhaustive, as Patent Owner has argued, and will act to "identify[] a close, but not necessarily exact or closest, match," per our claim construction.

Decision ('237) at 18-19. The Board did not explain, however, how "Ghias makes clear" that the search in Ghias will "identify[] a close, but not necessarily exact or closest, match" as required by an "approximate nearest neighbor search."

257. The Board noted that using an "error-tolerance," the user can adjust the number of output matches ("The number of matches that the database 14 should retrieve depends upon the error-tolerance used during the key search." Ghias, 6:63-65); and a new query can be performed on the restricted list ("If the list is too large, the user can perform a new query on a restricted search list consisting of songs just retrieved." Ghias, 7:5-8). But nothing in these passages or anywhere else in Ghias states or even suggests that the output of the initial list or the output of the restricted search will "identify a close, but not necessarily exact or closest, match." As I explained above, no such search is expressed in Ghias or is

> 165 Page 169 of 292

inherent (*i.e.*, necessarily present). Rather, the search will always ("necessarily") identify an exact or closest match. Accordingly, Ghias does not disclose the claimed "approximate nearest neighbor search."<sup>30</sup>

# C. '237 Ground 3: The instituted claims of the '237 patent are not obvious over Iwamura and Chen.

258. It is my understanding that if a combination of two references fails to teach an important claimed element, it is not possible for that combination to render the claim obvious. That is, assuming one of ordinary skill would have thought to combine prior art references, those references would still be missing an important element and therefore, even with the combination, one of ordinary skill would still not possess the invention.

259. Any combination of Iwamura with Chen would still be missing the same elements addressed above in Ground 1.

260. Ground 3 is directed to only dependent claims 26 and 27 which depend either directly or indirectly on independent claim 25; and claims 34 and 35 which depend either directly or indirectly on independent claim 33. Pet. (\*237) at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> An approximate nearest neighbor search could miss one or more of the closest matches in the returned search results. The searches disclosed in Ghias never purport to miss one or more of the closest matches in the returned results.

53-56; Decision (\*237) at 22. Ground 3 presents two alternative grounds—that the dependent claims "are obvious over Iwamura alone, or alternatively, over Iwamura in view of Chen." Pet. (\*237) at 53.

261. As I demonstrated above, Iwamura does not disclose key elements from the independent claims upon which Ground 3 is based (claims 25 and 33) including:

- "non-exhaustive search ... to identify a near neighbor" (claim 25(b.2); and
- "approximate nearest neighbor search" (claim 33(b.2)).

I note that Petitioner does not rely on Chen for these elements. Pet. (\*237) at 53-56; Moulin Depo. 371:17-20 (addressing sublinear); Moulin Depo. 372:2-4 (addressing non-exhaustive); Moulin Depo. 372:5-7 (addressing approximate nearest neighbor search).

262. Moreover, I note that Petitioner does not assert that these missing elements are obvious in light of Iwamura but rather continues to assert that they are expressly disclosed in Iwamura. *See e.g.*, Pet. ('237) 54 ("For the reasons expressed in Ground 1 [anticipation based on Iwamura], Iwamura discloses all elements of claims 25 and 33."). Accordingly, Ground 3 fails at least because the elements from the independent claims addressed above are missing from Iwamura and the Petition does not identify any basis for correcting these deficiencies based on either Iwamura or Chen.

167 Page 171 of 292
#### VII. '988 patent.

263. The Board instituted the '988 IPR based on three Grounds:

- <u>Ground 1</u>: Claims 15 17, 21-23, 28, 31, and 51 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Ghias;
- Ground 2. Claims 22, 24–26, and 52 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Ghias; and
- Ground 3: Claims 15–17, 21, 23, 27, 28, 31–33, 38, and 51 under 35 U.S.C.
   § 102(e) as anticipated by Iwamura;

Decision ('988) at 22. 1 note that the only instituted independent claim is claim 15. I address each Ground in turn.

# A. '998 Ground 1: The instituted claims of the '988 Patent are not anticipated by Ghias.

264. The single independent claim of the '988 patent instituted for trial requires a "non-exhaustive search identifying a neighbor." '988, claim 15. Ghias does not disclose (1) a non-exhaustive search, (2) a search identifying a neighbor, or (3) determining an action based on the identification. I address each deficiency in turn.

#### 1. non-exhaustive search (claim element 15(b)).

265. As I explained above in detail (Section V(B)), a "non-exhaustive search" is "a search that locates a match without a comparison of all possible matches."

266. One skilled in the art would understand that Ghias teaches an exhaustive search that compares the work to be identified (user input 23) with "all the songs" in the database—*i.e.*, "all possible matches." One skilled in the art would understand that all "possible matches" in the system disclosed in Ghias are all of the songs in the database. My understanding is confirmed by Petitioner's Declarant:

19 Q Is it the case that the set of all 20 possible matches for Ghias are the set of the 21 musical works in the database? 22 A Yes.

Moulin Depo. 325:19-22. Ghias discloses a search that compares the work to be identified ("user input") with all possible matches—"all the songs" in the database:

In order to search the database, songs in the database 14 are preprocessed to convert the melody into a stream of the previously discussed U,D,S characters, and the converted user input (the key 23) is compared with all the songs.

Ghias, 5:66-6:2. As Petitioner's Declarant acknowledged when addressing the paragraph from Ghias quoted above:

2 - Weil, it says, "In true: to sealch the database, sings are preprioressed to convert the 14 relidy into a stream of characters"; right) A Fight. . And it says, "The indiverted user input is . "organed with all the songs"; signt? 4 A Yeany That past then makes it clear it's

Moulin Depo. 339:23:-340:5.

Ê	2	Ices Shias teach doing a search by	
1	performin	g a comparison with all the possible song	
11	that are	possible matches in the database?	
ÿ	Ĩ4	Yes, it does say all the songs.	

Moulin Depo. 340:6-9.

4	Q But what you've identified in Ghias, in
5	each case. Shias is going to be searching each of
é	the records in the database; right?
-7	a Ves.
30	Q Would it be the case that the Ghias search
9	is going to be performing a comparison to each of
10	the melodies that are possible matches in the
11	database?
	A It does a comparison, yes, to each of
- 2	them.

Moulin Depo. 323:4-13.

267. The user input (23) is not compared with some songs in the melody database (14); rather, it "is compared with all the songs." Ghias does not disclose a

170 Page 174 of 292

search algorithm that does not compare the query to every record in the reference data set. Petitioner's Declarant confirmed my understanding—that the search disclosed in Ghias compares the song to be identified with each record in the database and is therefore not "non-exhaustive"—"a search that locates a match without a comparison of all possible matches" (Section V(B)); Decision (\*998) at

7):

115	Let's assume we define "exhaustive search"
4	as a search that does a comparison to each of the
5	possible matches in the database that we're
6	searching over.
77	In that instance, if we have that
8	definition, would you agree that Ghias does perform
9	an exhaustive search?
10	MR. ELACQUA: Objection.
11	THE NITNESS: Under your flawed definition,
12	yes, it would.

Moulin Depo. 327:3-12.



Moulin Depo. 327:14-328:4.

268. The Petition and corresponding Declaration fail to demonstrate that Ghias discloses a non-exhaustive search.

269. <u>Petition</u>: As support for the claimed "non-exhaustive search," the Petition relies on the following assertions (and corresponding references to Ghias) labeled **1** and **2**:



Pet. (\*988) 9-10.

270. <u>Petition Charts</u>: The charts in the Petition rely on the same assertions and passages from Ghias: Petitioner's chart for claim 15, element [c] incorporates the chart for claim 1, element [c]:

<ul> <li>b) electronically determining an identification of the electronic work based on the extracted features, wherein the identification is based on a non-exhaustive search identifying a neighbor;</li> </ul>	Petitioner incorporates the above discussion of Ghias regarding Claim 1c.
--	--

Pet. ('988) at 14. The chart for claim 1, element [c], in turn, provides:

Ghus receives and outputs at the computer. which is a portable chent device (Ex-1004 at (73), a list of identifications of electronic works 2 50-52, 6 60-63, 7 4-5, 8 26-28. c) recening at the portable chent. 8.61-63 Such identifications are determined device from the one or more by "searching the melody database 14" to servers an identification of the locate a matching melody 2 50-59, 6 60-63, electronic work based on the 7.4-5. Abstract, 8.26-28. 8.61-63. This extracted features, wherein the search may employ a non-exhaustive – 🌒 identification is based on a non-"approximate pattern matching algorithm" or exhaustive search identifying a another algorithm that operates faster than a 🚱 neighbor. brute force search 67-11, 623-35. This non-exhaustive search identifies a neighbor. i.e., "a ranked list of approximately matching melodies " 2.50-59, 660-63

Pet. (\*988) at 12.

271. <u>Declaration</u>: Petitioner's Declaration relies on the same assertions and passages from Ghias:

relative pitch differences between successive notes of the melody."). Ghias further discloses that this search may be non-exhaustive. Specifically, Ghias teaches that "it is considered desirable to use an efficient approximate pattern matching algorithm" rather than an algorithm that is guaranteed to yield a match Id at 6:7-11. Moreover, Ghias teaches that "Several Algorithms have been developed that address [this] problem" ranging from "brute force" to substantially faster algorithms. Id at 23-35 ("Several Algorithms have been developed that address the problem of approximate string matching. Running times have ranged from 0(mn) for the brute force algorithm to O(kn) or O(nlog(m), where 'O' means 'on the order of,' in its the number of pitch differences in the query, and n its the size of the string (song) ") <sup>1</sup> Id at 6:23-35. Because these algorithms are faster than brute force searches, they are non-exhaustive under Petitioner's construction.

Moulin Decl. (\*988) \*69-70.

174 Page 178 of 292

272. Declaration Charts: Finally, the charts in the Declaration also rely on

the same assertions and passages from Ghias:



Moulin Decl. ('988) ¶75.

273. These are the only passages from Ghias cited by the Petitioner and Declarant to support the sub-linear claim elements. Moulin Depo. 113:15-21. The assertions relating to these passages fails to: (a) apply Petitioner's construction (or any other construction) of non-exhaustive to Ghias; or (b) explain how an "approximate string matching algorithm" is expressly or inherently a nonexhaustive search. One skilled in the art would understand that neither the assertions nor the passages from Ghias disclose the claimed non-exhaustive search. I address each in turn.

274. Passage 1:

For performing the key-search within the database 14, it is considered desirable to use an efficient approximate pattern matching algorithm. By "approximate" is meant that the algorithm should be able to take into account various forms of errors.

Ghias, 6:7-11. First, this passage does not state that the algorithm is not guaranteed to yield a match (as interpreted by Petitioner). Second, and more importantly, the described algorithm does not state (or even suggest) that all possible matches in the database are not searched. The passage does not state that all matches are not considered, or even that all data in all possible matches is not considered. My understanding is confirmed by Petitioner's Declarant:

	1	ois inc	ula	40000	that :	the res	r "approximate
met chit	ात्र सह	1945*	45	estit e	Xpres:	12 342	that we're
gaing t	te be	uein	a =	seator	±5.1 €	a t. wh	ere ve leave
out all		some	05 1	the dat	a; :1:	25.5.D	
7	1	agre	÷.				

Moulin Depo. 347:13-17.

275. Passage 2:

Several Algorithms have been developed that address the problem of approximate string matching. Running times have ranged from 0(mn) for the brute force algorithm to 0(kn) or 0(nlog(m), where "0" means "on the order of," m is the number of pitch differences in the query, and n is the size of the string (song). See Ricardo Baeza-Yates and G. H. Gonnet, "Fast String Matching with Mismatches," *Information and Computation*, 1992. A preferred algorithm which is considered to offer better performance in general for this purpose is that described in Ricardo A. Baesa-Yates and Chris II. Perieberg, "Fast and Practical Approximate String Matching, "*Combinatorial Pattern Matching, Third Annual Symposium*," pages 185–192, 1992.

Ghias, 6:23-35. One skilled in the art would understand that the "approximate string matching" algorithms discussed in this passages involve matching a work with a record in the database, where the work to be identified includes an "error" so that "various forms of errors" would not prevent a proper match from being identified. The "approximate string matching" algorithm is applied when the work melody "is compared with all the songs" in the database and all of the data within each record. Ghias, 5:66-6:2; Moulin Depo. 347:13-17. This passage discusses comparing the work with a single record in the database.

276. Accordingly, Ghias does not disclose a search that would even meet Petitioner's improper construction of "non-exhaustive search," because Ghias does not search less than "all possible matches" or even less than "all data within all possible matches."

277. I observed that Petitioner only cited the two passages quoted above as support that Ghias discloses the claimed non-exhaustive search. Petitioner's expert confirmed my observation:



Moulin Depo. 332:17-333:2. As I demonstrated above, these two passages fail to disclose the claimed non-exhaustive search. Accordingly, Petitioner failed to satisfy its burden of establishing that Ghias discloses the claimed non-exhaustive search.

278. Moreover, Petitioner's expert confirmed that other passages from Ghias cited in his Declaration—in an attempt to establish other claimed elements also do not establish the claimed non-exhaustive search. Moulin Depo. 330:19-331:24; 239:22-25 (2:50-52 "does not teach excluding a portion of the database from our search"); Moulin Depo. 330:15-18 (2:50-52 ("Q. Does Ghias have any portion in where it teaches affirmatively searching only part of the database. A. Not in that sentence, no."); Moulin Depo. 330:1-14 (2:50-52 (the "natural inference" from the statement that the "query engine 24 searches the melody database 14" is that "it's going to search the entire database"); Moulin Depo.

> 178 Page 182 of 292

334:2-21 (Q. "[D]oes Ghias teach looking at only a portion of the database? ... A. It does not do that in this paragraph."); Moulin Depo. 337:7-338:17.

279. <u>Board's concerns</u>: I now address the Board's specific concerns (identified in its Decision in the '988 IPR) with respect to whether Ghias discloses the claimed non-exhaustive search. I note that in instituting Ground 2, the Board did not rely on the arguments presented by Petitioner and its Declarant or the passages from Ghias quoted by Petitioner and its Declarant in an attempt to establish the claimed non-exhaustive search. Instead, the Board initially found that Ghias disclosed the "non-exhaustive" search because the search disclosed in Ghias could produce a list of matches based on an error-tolerance and the user can perform a "new query on a restricted search list consisting of songs just retrieved:"

On the present record, we are not persuaded by Patent Owner's arguments. Ghias provides that "[1]he number of matches that the database 14 should retrieve depends upon the *error-tolerance* used during the key-search." Ex. 1010, 6:63–65 (emphasis added). Ghias further provides that "the user can perform a *new query on a restricted search list consisting of songs just retrieved*. This allows the user to identify sets of songs that contain similar melodies." *Id.* at 7:5–8 (emphasis added). Thus, Ghias makes clear that the search need not be exhaustive, as Patent Owner argues, and will act to "identify[] a close, but not necessarily exact or closest, match." per our claim construction. Additionally, given the

Decision ('988) at 12. There are two reasons why the Board's reliance on the "new query on a restricted search list" does not satisfy Petitioner's burden of demonstrating that the instituted clams are unpatentable based on Ghias.

280. First, had the concept of a new second search based on the restricted list (and these passages from Ghias cited by the Board) disclosed the claimed "nonexhaustive search" (as I demonstrated below, they do not), it is my understanding that it could be improper for the Board to rely on these passages in finding the challenged claims unpatentable because these passages were not identified by the Petitioner as support for the non-exhaustive search.

281. I note that Petitioner never asserted (in the Petition, charts, or Declaration) that Ghias discloses a non-exhaustive search because the "user can perform a new query on a restricted search list consisting of songs." The Petition does not even mention the words or concepts emphasized by the Board in its Decision and that form the basis for the Board's preliminary finding that Ghias discloses a non-exhaustive search: "error-tolerance" and "restricted search list consisting of songs just receive." The only references to Ghias presented by the Petitioner for the claimed non-exhaustive search are Ghias, 6:7-11 and 6:23-35 addressing approximate string matching, not performing a "new query on a restricted search list consisting of songs just retrieved" based on an error tolerance. Petitioner's Declarant did not "cite anything in [his] Declaration that teaches, in

> 180 Page 184 of 292

Ghias, performing a search that returns a list of ranked matching songs and then performing a second search on that list." Moulin Depo. 146:21-147:21.

282. 1 note that the Board, however, relied exclusively on two completely different passages from Ghias not cited by Petitioner—Ghias, 6:63-65 and 7:5-8. Decision ('988) at 12. One skilled in the art would understand that these passages address a different concept than the approximate pattern matching concept identified by Petitioner as support for the nonexhaustive search element.

283. Second, using a "new query" on the "restricted search list consisting of songs just received" does not disclose the claimed "non-exhaustive search." A "non-exhaustive search" is "a search that locates a match without a comparison of all possible matches." *See* Section V(B), ¶¶X. The restricted search can be viewed in one of two ways.<sup>31</sup> Under either view, Ghias does not disclose a non-exhaustive search. I address each view in turn.

284. <u>First view</u>: Under the first view, the search to identify the record that matches the song being hummed is viewed as a single search with two stages. Under this view, the second search on the "restricted list" is not an independent search—the two stages of the search are not independent. Rather, the search on the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Ghias provides no details or information about the search on the restricted search list.

"restricted list" is the second stage of a two-stage search, dependent on the first stage. *See* Moulin Depo. 336:9-15. The second search depends on the first to generate a candidate set. A single work, *i.e.*, the song being hummed (not two or more works), is being identified in the two-stage search. The two stages refine the identified matches; the second stage does not identify any new matches.

285. To constitute a "non-exhaustive search" under this view, the twostage search process disclosed in Ghias would have to conduct the search without comparing the work to be identified with all possible matches in the dataset. One skilled in the art would understand that the two-stage search disclosed in Ghias is exhaustive because the first stage compares the query to all possible matches in the dataset —"all the songs." Ghias, 5:66-6:2. My understanding is confirmed by Petitioner's Declarant:

3 Q And the user of the user search has
4 already done a comparison to the other songs in the
5 database; right?
6 A In the first stage, you know, the one that
7 produced the list, the search was on the entire
9 database presumably, yes.
9 A To we have a two-stage search. The first
9 stage, we so a comparison of all possible matches in
9 the database; right?
10 A Yes.

Moulin 336:3-336:12.

```
Q Does it teach doing a search without doing
é
7 a comparison of all the possible matches?
         A You mean all the possible entries in the
$
   original database?
9
10
        Q Yes.
         A No, it does not teach that.
11
          Q The entries in the original database,
12
13 those are the possible matches?
          A Yes.
14
```

Moulin Depo. 338:6-14.

286. Under this view, the query on a restricted search list is part of a broader search of every record in the database, which compares the work to be identified with all possible matches—all records in the data set.

287. <u>Second view</u>: Alternatively, the second search could be viewed as an independent second search. As disclosed in Ghias, the second search is based on a "new query"—"the user can perform a <u>new query</u> on a restricted search list." Ghias, 7:4-8. The two searches, the first based on an initial query, and the second, based on a second "new query," is reflected in this illustration:



To refine the list of potential matches, the "new query" (2) disclosed in Ghias must be different from the original query (1). This is because Ghias does not teach an alternative search algorithm for searching the restricted list. Rather, Ghias teaches that the same search algorithm is applied to the "new query" (2) that was previously applied to the initial query (1). If the initial query (1) is applied to the restricted list using the same algorithm, the search would produce the same restricted list rather than refine the search as intended by Ghias.<sup>32</sup> Although the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> If the query remains constant—the query is not changed—but a different algorithm is applied to the restricted list, this would constitute a single search with