Paper No. 21 Date Filed: February 1, 2016

Filed on behalf of: Google Inc.

By: James J. Elacqua james.elacqua@skadden.com (650) 470-4510

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Google Inc. Petitioner, v. Network-1 Technologies, Inc., Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2015-00348 U.S. Patent 8,656,441

GOOGLE'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Petitioner Google Inc. ("Google") requests oral argument under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a) and the Board's June 23, 2015 Scheduling Order (Paper 7). Oral argument is scheduled for March 9, 2016. (Paper 7, at 5.)

Google specifies the following issues to be argued based on all admissible evidence of record (including expert testimony):

- The proper interpretation of the Board's claim construction of "neighbor search";
- Whether claims 1-3, 6, 8-14, 19, 21-26, and 30 of U.S. Patent
 No. 8,656,441 (the "'441 patent") are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as anticipated by Conwell (Ex. 1009, U.S. Patent No. 6,970,886);
- Whether claims 1-3, 8, 10-14, 18-19, 21-27, and 29-30 of the '441 patent are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious over Ghias (Ex. 1010, U.S. Patent No. 5,874,686) and Philyaw (Ex. 1014, U.S. Patent No. 6,098,106); and
- All other issues briefed with respect to the instituted grounds of unpatentability of the '441 patent.

In light of the substantial overlap of prior art references and issues between proceedings IPR2015-00343, IPR2015-00345, IPR2015-00347, and IPR2015-00348, Google requests a single consolidated hearing for the four proceedings. The parties have met and conferred on this issue, and Google understands that Patent

Owner Network-1 Technologies, Inc. agrees to a single consolidated hearing. However, the parties do not agree on the time that should be allotted for argument. Based on the limited number of issues and references that remain in dispute between the parties, Google requests that the Board allot each party 30 minutes of argument covering all four proceedings.

The parties have also met and conferred regarding the exchange of demonstratives, and have agreed to exchange such materials on February 24. The parties will file demonstratives and objections with the Board pursuant to the Board's instructions in its order setting the oral argument.

Google requests the use of a projector capable of displaying PowerPoint slides.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: February 1, 2016

/s/ James J. Elacqua James J. Elacqua (Lead Counsel) USPTO Reg. No. 28,412 Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP 525 University Avenue Suite 1400 Palo Alto, California 94301 Tel: (650) 470-4510 Fax: (650) 798-6564 Email: James.Elacqua@skadden.com Douglas R. Nemec (Back-Up Counsel) USPTO Reg. No. 41,219 Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP Four Times Square New York, NY 10036 Tel: (212) 735-3000 Fax: (917) 777-2419 Email: Douglas.Nemec@skadden.com

§ 42.6(e) - CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies service pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e) and

42.105 on the Patent Owner of a copy of this GOOGLE'S REQUEST FOR ORAL

ARGUMENT by email at the corresponding address of record for Patent Owner's

counsel in IPR2015-00348:

Charles R. Macedo (Reg. No. 32,781) Brian A. Comack (Reg. No. 45,343) Amster, Rothstein & Ebenstein LLP 90 Park Avenue New York, NY 10016 Telephone: (212) 336-8074 Facsimile: (212) 336-8001 E-mail: cmacedo@arelaw.com N1-Google-IPR@arelaw.com Greg Dovel (*pro hac vice*) Dovel & Luner LLP 201 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 600 Santa Monica, CA 90941 Telephone: (310) 656-7066 E-mail: greg@dovellaw.com

Marc A. Fenster Brian D. Ledahl Russ, August & Kabat LLP 12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025 Telephone: (310) 826-7474 Email: mfenster@raklaw.com bledahl@raklaw.com

Dated: February 1, 2016

/James J. Elacqua/ James J. Elacqua (Reg. # 28,412)

ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER GOOGLE INC.