

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MERCEDES-BENZ USA, LLC, and  
MERCEDES-BENZ U.S. INTERNATIONAL, INC.,  
Petitioners,

v.

INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2015-00359  
Case IPR2015-00360  
Case IPR2015-00361  
Case IPR2015-00363  
Case IPR2015-00366  
Case IPR2015-00368  
Case IPR2015-00994  
Case IPR2015-01044  
Case IPR2015-01067  
Case IPR2015-01113  
Case IPR2015-01114  
Case IPR2015-01115

---

Before LORA M. GREEN, THOMAS L. GIANNETTI,  
MIRIAM L. QUINN, and BEVERLY M. BUNTING,  
*Administrative Patent Judges.*

GIANNETTI, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

JUDGMENT  
Termination of Proceedings  
37 C.F.R. § 42.72

IPR2015-00359  
IPR2015-00360  
IPR2015-00361  
IPR2015-00363

IPR2015-00366  
IPR2015-00368  
IPR2015-00994  
IPR2015-01044

IPR2015-01067  
IPR2015-01113  
IPR2015-01114  
IPR2015-01115

On June 30, 2015, the parties filed a joint motion to terminate each of these proceedings pursuant to a settlement agreement. Paper 25.<sup>1</sup> The parties also filed true copies of their written settlement agreement, made in connection with the termination of these proceedings, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b). Exhibit 1025. Additionally, the parties submitted joint requests to have their settlement agreement treated as confidential business information under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c). Paper 26.

These proceedings are in the preliminary stages. The parties submit that termination is appropriate because the parties have settled their dispute and have reached agreement to terminate all of these IPRs. Paper 25, 2. The parties represent that their pending district court litigation has been dismissed and the settlement agreement ends all patent disputes between the parties. Paper 25, 4.

Upon consideration of the requests before us, we determine that terminating these proceedings with respect to both Petitioners and Patent Owner, at this early juncture, promotes efficiency and minimizes unnecessary costs. Based on the facts of these cases, it is appropriate to enter judgment terminating the proceedings without rendering a final written decision. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 317(a); 37 C.F.R. § 42.72.

---

<sup>1</sup> Paper and exhibit numbers refer to Case IPR2015-00359. Corresponding motions and settlement agreements were filed in each of the other cases.

IPR2015-00359  
IPR2015-00360  
IPR2015-00361  
IPR2015-00363

IPR2015-00366  
IPR2015-00368  
IPR2015-00994  
IPR2015-01044

IPR2015-01067  
IPR2015-01113  
IPR2015-01114  
IPR2015-01115

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that the joint motions to terminate the following cases are *granted*: Case IPR2015-00359; Case IPR2015-00360; Case IPR2015-00361; Case IPR2015-00363; Case IPR2015-00366; Case IPR2015-00368; Case IPR2015-00994; Case IPR2015-01044; Case IPR2015-01067; Case IPR2015-01113; Case IPR2015-01114; and Case IPR2015-01115;

FURTHER ORDERED that the above cases are hereby *terminated* as to all parties, including Petitioners and Patent Owner; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties' joint requests that the settlement agreement be treated as business confidential information, kept separate from the patent file, and made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), are *granted*.

IPR2015-00359  
IPR2015-00360  
IPR2015-00361  
IPR2015-00363

IPR2015-00366  
IPR2015-00368  
IPR2015-00994  
IPR2015-01044

IPR2015-01067  
IPR2015-01113  
IPR2015-01114  
IPR2015-01115

PETITIONERS:

Scott W. Doyle  
Jonathan R. DeFoose  
FRIED, FRANK, HARRIS, SHRIVER & JACOBSON LLP  
scott.doyle@friedfrank.com  
jonathan.defosse@friedfrank.com

PATENT OWNER:

Justin B. Kimble  
Jeffrey R. Bragaline  
BRAGALONE CONROY P.C.  
jkimble@bcpc-law.com  
jbragalone@bcpc-law.com

George W. Webb III  
AHMAD, ZAVITSANSOS, ANAIPAKOS, ALAVI  
& MENSING P.C.  
gwebb@azalaw.com