

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., and
SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR LLC,
Petitioner¹,

v.

HOME SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Cases IPR2015-00459 (Patent 6,150,244)
IPR2015-00460 (Patent 6,146,997)
IPR2015-00466 and IPR2015-00467 (Patent 6,030,893)²

Before JONI Y. CHANG, JON B. TORNQUIST, and BETH Z. SHAW,
Administrative Patent Judges.

CHANG, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER
Oral Hearing
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

¹ Samsung Telecommunications America LLC, originally a real party-in-interest at the time of filing the Petition, no longer exists as a separate corporate entity, because it has merged with and into Samsung Electronics America, Inc. Paper 9 of IPR2015-00459.

² This order addresses issues that are the same in the above-identified cases. We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each case.

IPR2015-00459 (Patent 6,150,244)
IPR2015-00460 (Patent 6,146,997)
IPR2015-00466 and IPR2015-00467 (Patent 6,030,893)

We instituted the above-identified *inter partes* reviews. Paper 11.³ Both parties request an oral hearing pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70. Papers 23 and 34. The parties request a total of five hours of oral argument time. *Id.* We, however, believe a total of three hours of oral argument time is sufficient given the number of issues involved in these trials are not numerous. For instance, Case IPR2015-00460 involves 14 claims and one instituted ground of unpatentability. Case IPR2015-00460, Paper 11, 20. Accordingly, the parties' requests are *granted-in-part*.

The hearing will commence at 1:00 PM Eastern Time, on **January 26, 2016**, and will be conducted at the USPTO central headquarter located in Alexandria, Virginia. The above-identified proceedings involve the same parties and similar issues. The trial schedules for all four proceedings have been synchronized, and the oral hearings have been scheduled on the same day. Paper 12. The oral arguments for the proceedings will be merged and conducted at the same time.

Each party will have one and a half hour of total time to present its arguments. At the oral hearing, Petitioner will proceed first to present its case as to the challenged claims and instituted grounds of unpatentability. Thereafter, Patent Owner will respond to Petitioner's case. After that, Petitioner will make use of the rest of its time responding to Patent Owner's presentation on all matters.

³ For the purpose of clarity and expediency, all citations are to IPR2015-000459, as representative, unless otherwise noted.

IPR2015-00459 (Patent 6,150,244)
IPR2015-00460 (Patent 6,146,997)
IPR2015-00466 and IPR2015-00467 (Patent 6,030,893)

The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance at the USPTO central headquarter, the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia, 22314. In-person attendance will be accommodated on a first-come, first-serve basis. The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing, and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing.

Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits must be served no later than five business days before the hearing date. They shall be filed with the Board no later than three business days prior to the hearing date. The parties must initiate a conference call with the Board at least two business days prior to the hearing to resolve any dispute over the propriety of each party's demonstrative exhibits. The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, Case IPR2013-00041 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2014) (Paper 65), for guidance regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits. *See also CBS Interactive Inc. v. Helferich Patent Licensing, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00033 (PTAB Oct. 23, 2013) (Paper 118) (The Board has the discretion to limit the parties' demonstratives to pages in the record should there be no easy resolution to objections over demonstratives.).

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present at oral hearing, although any backup counsel may make the actual presentation, in whole or in part. If lead counsel for either party is unable to attend the oral argument, the Board should be notified via a joint telephone conference call

IPR2015-00459 (Patent 6,150,244)
IPR2015-00460 (Patent 6,146,997)
IPR2015-00466 and IPR2015-00467 (Patent 6,030,893)

no later than two business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.

Requests for audio-visual equipment are to be made at least five business days in advance of the hearing date by sending the request to Trials@uspto.gov. If the request is not received timely, the equipment may not be available on the day of the hearing. The parties are reminded that the presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript. If the parties have questions as to whether demonstrative exhibits would be sufficiently visible and available to all of the judges, the parties are invited to contact the Board at (571) 272-9797.

IPR2015-00459 (Patent 6,150,244)
IPR2015-00460 (Patent 6,146,997)
IPR2015-00466 and IPR2015-00467 (Patent 6,030,893)

For PETITIONER:

W. Karl Renner
Roberto Devoto
Indranil Mukerji
David L. Holt
Joseph Colaianni
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
axf@fr.com
ipr39843-0009ip1@fr.com
mukerji@fr.com
dth@fr.com
PTABInbound@fr.com
IPR39843-0011IP1@fr.com
IPR39843-0012IP1@fr.com
IPR39843-0012IP2@fr.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Craig Kaufman
Kevin Jones
TECHKNOWLEDGE LAW GROUP LLP
ckaufman@tklg-llp.com
kjones@tklg-llp.com