

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JIAWEI TECHNOLOGY (HK) LTD., JIAWEI TECHNOLOGY (USA) LTD.,
SHENZHEN JIAWEI PHOTOVOLTAIC LIGHTING CO., LTD., ATICO
INTERNATIONAL (ASIA) LTD., ATICO INTERNATIONAL USA, INC.,
CHIEN LUEN INDUSTRIES CO., LTD., INC. (CHIEN LUEN FLORIDA),
CHIEN LUEN INDUSTRIES CO., LTD., INC. (CHIEN LUEN CHINA),
COLEMAN CABLE, LLC, NATURE'S MARK, RITE AID CORP., SMART
SOLAR, INC., AND TEST RITE PRODUCTS CORP.

Petitioner,

v.

SIMON NICHOLAS RICHMOND

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00580

Patent 7,429,827

REQUEST FOR REFUND OF THE POST-INSTITUTION FEE

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR REFUND OF THE POST-INSTITUTION FEE
for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,429,827
Case IPR2015-00580

On January 16, 2015, Jiawei Technology (HK) Ltd., Jiawei Technology (USA) Ltd., Shenzhen Jiawei Photovoltaic Lighting Co., Ltd., Atico International (Asia) Ltd., Atico International USA, Inc., Chien Luen Industries Co., Ltd., Inc. (Chien Luen Florida), and Chien Luen Industries Co., Ltd., Inc. (Chien Luen China), Coleman Cable, LLC, Nature's Mark, Rite Aid Corp., Smart Solar, Inc., and Test Rite Products Corp. (collectively "Petitioner") filed a Petition for *Inter Partes* Review seeking review of claims 31-34 of U.S. Patent No. 7,429,827 and a Motion for Joinder to Related *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,429,827 (IPR2014-00938). Purported Patent Owner, SIMON NICHOLAS RICHMOND ("Patentee"), submitted its Opposition to Motion for Joinder on February 17, 2015 (Paper No. 20). On March 16, 2015 Petitioner filed a Reply to Opposition to Motion for Joinder. On May 1, 2015, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board issued its Judgment denying Petitioner's petition and Motion for Joinder. Paper No. 22 at p. 7 ("ORDERED that an *inter partes* review is not instituted; and FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Joinder is *denied*.")

The Rules provide for a refund of the institution fee if the Board does not institute trial. Payment of the \$14,000 post-institution fee was processed through PRPS on January 16, 2015, and charged to a Credit Card ending in 1225. Petitioner hereby requests a refund of \$14,000 for the post-institution fee under 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a)(2).

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR REFUND OF THE POST-INSTITUTION FEE
for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,429,827
Case IPR2015-00580

Upon review and approval of this request, Petitioner respectfully requests that the Board credit the post-institution fee to Credit Card ending in 1225.

Respectfully submitted,

DENTONS U.S. LLP

Dated: 6/1/15

233 South Wacker Drive
Suite 7800
Chicago, IL 60606-6306

/Kevin Greenleaf/
Mark C. Nelson
Reg. No. 43,830
Lissi Mojica
Reg. No. 63,421
Kevin Greenleaf
Reg. No. 64,062
Daniel Valenzuela
Reg. No. 69,027

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR REFUND OF THE POST-INSTITUTION FEE
for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,429,827
Case IPR2015-00580

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on June 1, 2015, a copy of Petitioner's REQUEST FOR REFUND OF THE POST INSTITUTION FEE was served on the Counsel for the Patent Owner via email to the following email addresses:

tfshiells@shiellslaw.com

admin@shiellslaw.com

marcusb@tlpmb.com

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 1, 2015

_____/Nona Durham/_____
Nona Durham

233 South Wacker Drive
Suite 7800
Chicago, IL 60606-6306