1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA					
2	SOUTHERN DIVISION					
3	/					
4	CABLZ, INC., Plaintiff/Counterclaim					
5	Defendant,					
6	vs. Civil Action No.: 2:14-CV-00091					
7	CHUMS, INC., Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff.					
9	/					
10	Suite 200 1200 North Federal Highway					
11	Boca Raton, Florida Wednesday, 8:58 a.m 3:11 p.m.					
12	August 20, 2014					
13						
14	DEPOSITION OF MARK J. SOSIN					
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22	Taken on behalf of the Plaintiff/Counterclaim					
23	Defendant before Shari Grimsgaard, CSR(NJ), CRR, RPR,					
24	FPR, Notary Public, State of Florida at Large, pursuant					
25	to Notice of Taking Deposition in the above cause.					

	Г				
				3	
1					
2	INDEX				
3	WITNESS		PAGE		
4					
5	MARK J. SOSIN Direct	Examination by Mr. Hill	4		
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Gigger Redirect Examination by Mr. Hill		181 205		
7		cate of Oath of Witness r's Certificate	209 210		
8		re of Witness	211 212		
9					
10		EXHIBITS			
11	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE		
12	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE		
13	Exhibit 1	Expert Report of Mark J. Sosin, 7/25/14	19		
14	Exhibit 2	CD Entitled "Fishing Knots" (Retained by Counsel for Chums)	59		
15 16	Exhibit 3 (A,B & C)	Video Tapes (3) (Retained by Counsel for Chums)	122		
17	Exhibit 4	"Light Tackle" Fishing Book (Retained by Counsel for Chums)	124		
18	Exhibit 5	New Product Showcase Documents	136		
19	Exhibit 6	ICAST 2009 New Product Showcase	141		
20		Best of Show Awards Official Ball	ot		
21	Exhibit 7	Report of Joe Jernigan, 6/30/14	148		
22	Exhibit 8	Report of Beirne Chisolm, High Mountain Marketing, LLC, 6/30/14	148		
23	Exhibit 9	Re-Notice of Deposition and	173		
24	EVIIIDIC	Subpoena	±, 3		
25					

1 Thereupon: MARK J. SOSIN 2 3 was called as a witness and, having been first duly sworn and responding, "I do," was examined and testified as 4 follows: 5 6 MR. GIGGER: Rudy, just to confirm that 7 we're simply objecting to form and preserving specific objections for later. 8 MR. HILL: Understood and agreed. 9 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HILL: 11 12 Q. Mr. Sosin, my name is Rudy Hill. I 13 represent a company called Cablz, Incorporated who filed a lawsuit against Chums, Incorporated pending in the 14 Northern District of Alabama. 15 If you would, please, sir, state your full 16 name for the record. 17 18 My name is Mark Sosin. Α. 19 Do you have a middle name, sir? Q. 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 Q. What is it? 22 Jessurun, J-E-S-S-U-R-U-N. My grandfather Α. 23 was Mark Jessurun.

Q. Sir, have you ever sat for a deposition

25 before?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Approximately how many times?
 - A. Twice.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

- Q. And when was the last time that you sat for a deposition?
 - A. I would guess 25 to 28 years ago.
- Q. Do you recall the circumstances under which you sat for that deposition?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Can you tell me about those, briefly?
- 11 A. Yes, sir. Both cases were somebody drowned 12 in a pair of fishing waders and I was an expert witness 13 in the case to talk about waders and what they did.
 - Q. And you're saying both of the depositions that you've taken previously related to fishing wader cases?
 - A. Death by drowning in a pair of waders.
- Q. And do you recall, sir, whether you

 testified or -- strike that, whether you were an expert

 witness for the plaintiff in those cases or the

 defendant in those cases?
 - A. For the defendant.
- Q. And do you recall where those cases were pending?
- 25 A. One was in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania

- and the other was in the Southern District of
 Mississippi.
- Q. And do you recall what company engaged you as an expert witness in those cases?
 - A. Well, yeah, the attorneys.
 - Q. Yes, sir. Do you recall the company who --
- 7 A. Oh, I'm sorry.
- 8 Q. Yes.

6

- A. My hearing isn't always the best.
- 10 Q. I'll try to speak up. I apologize, sir.
- 11 A. The first one I believe was K-Mart, and the second one I know was Sears.
- Q. Do you recall the names of any other parties in those cases?
- 15 A. No, sir.
- Q. And which one was the case where K-Mart was a party, the one in Mississippi or the one in Pennsylvania?
- 19 A. The one in Pennsylvania.
- Q. And so in both of those cases you gave a deposition as an expert witness; is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did either of those cases go to trial?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you testify as an expert at either one

of those trials?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Yes, sir. Α.
- Do you recall the areas of testimony --Ο. let's start with the K-Mart case, do you recall areas of testimony upon which you gave -- you testified as an expert?
 - Yes, sir. Α.
 - Can you tell me about those briefly? Ο.
- Umm, the event happened in Canada. A man Α. was about 35 years old. He played semipro baseball. He stood on a damn in a pair of waders, and I went and visited the scene. And they opened up some water up above him that washed him off into deep water and he tried to get the waders off and he drowned.
- And do you recall what aspects of that Ο. instant you gave expert testimony about in that case?
 - Α. Yes, sir.
 - Can you tell me about those a little bit? Ο.
- Yes, sir. I worked with the attorneys and Α. quided them in waders and what waders did in water. we brought somebody in of similar stature, same age, an athlete and we shot video of him doing two things, one swimming out with the waders on, and two, taking the waders off and swimming out.
 - And so did your testimony in that case Ο.

1 relate to whether or not waders were a defective 2 product?

> Α. Ah, not really.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Ο. I'm just trying to kind of understand what your testimony did relate to.
 - Α. Yeah, I'm trying to remember.
 - Q. Okay, sure.
 - Α. You know, we're going --
 - If you don't recall that's --Ο.
- Α. -- we're going back 25, 28 years. My testimony was basically what he could have done to get them off. He had trouble getting them off and he got tired and he drowned.
- And how about the case in, let's see the Sears case where you were an expert on behalf of Sears, was that similar or can you tell me a little bit about what you testified about in this case?
- There was a man who was a colonel in the Air Α. Force and he was duck hunting. He had on a down jacket, waders and they had a little aluminum boat. And the boat drifted off and he started going after it with his waders and finally he got too deep and he had to swim for the boat. And when he tried to get back, he drowned. And it was just a question of him swimming out too far, getting tired and coming back.

- Q. And were those pair of waders the same brand that were at issue in other cases?
 - A. No, these came from Sears.
 - Q. Okay.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

18

19

2.0

21

- A. I don't know what brand they are.
- Q. Do you recall in the, we'll call it the K-Mart case, whether there were any areas of testimony you were planning to testify about at trial but the judge didn't allow you to testify about?
- A. No, sir.
- O. How about in the Sears case?
- 12 A. No, sir.
- Q. And were those cases, were they both about

 25 years ago or so; do you recall whether one was

 earlier and one was later?
 - A. Yes. The first one was the K-Mart case.
- 17 O. So it might have been in the early 1980s?
 - A. I would say -- well, I -- my son, my older son was 14 at the time and I used him to try some theories. He's now 44 years old, so that's 30 years.
- Q. And other than the depositions that you gave

And I'd say the other one was 27, 28 years.

- in those two cases, have you ever sat for a deposition
- of any other kind previously?
- 25 A. Not that I can recall, sir.

Q. Well, since it's been a minute let me just go over some real basic guidelines for today that will help us both communicate better.

If you could, sir, and you're doing a great job so far, please just try to answer with verbal yes or no's instead of shaking your head or nodding your head and that way the record will reflect your answer accurately.

But if you would, just let me finish my question before you begin your answer and I'll do the same for you. I'll allow you to finish your answer before I ask another question and that way we won't be be speaking over each other; do we agree to do that, sir?

A. Yes, sir.

2.0

- Q. If at any point today you need to take a break for whatever reason that's perfectly fine. The only thing I ask is if there is a question pending that you go ahead and answer it; can we agree to that, sir?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And if at any time today you realize anything you've said previously may be incorrect or inaccurate or incomplete, please stop me and let's go back and make sure that whatever it is you testified about is fully accurate; can we agree to do that, sir?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

- Q. And if at any point I ask you a question you don't understand, please ask me to ask that again. I want to make sure you understand my question before you answer it; can we agree to that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And if you do answer my question, is it fair for me to assume that you understood the question?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Do you understand that you're under oath here today, sir, and that your testimony may be used in the trial of this case?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Have you taken any medication that may affect your ability to testify truthfully here today?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Have you ever been diagnosed with any kind of memory loss issues?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- Q. Is there anything else you're aware of that
 may affect your ability to testify truthfully here
 today?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- Q. Do you go by any other names or aliases other than Mark Sosin, sir?

- 1 A. No, sir.
- Q. What is your current address?
- A. 681 Southwest 15th Street, Boca Raton,
- 4 Florida 33486.
- 5 Q. And how long have you resided in Boca Raton?
- 6 A. We are starting our 36th year.
- 7 Q. And prior to that where did you call home,
- 8 sir?
- 9 A. Monmouth County, New Jersey.
- 10 Q. Did you grow up in New Jersey?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Have you ever resided in Alabama?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- Q. When is the last time you traveled to
- 15 Alabama?
- 16 A. Probably a couple of years ago.
- Q. Do you recall why you may have traveled
- 18 there?
- 19 A. No. Probably to get to Mississippi.
- 20 Q. So just been traveling through the state.
- 21 | In our defense. Do you have any family or friends who
- 22 | currently reside in Alabama?
- A. No, sir. Well, let me take that back. I
- 24 probably know some people who reside in Alabama.
- 25 Q. Do you know where they reside in Alabama?

- A. I can't -- I can't. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to step on you.
- Q. Oh, sure, go ahead. I'll tell you the
 reason I ask is if this case goes to trial, I would just
 like to know if there is anybody you have a close
 connection within in the State of Alabama for purposes
 of the jury selection process. I'm trying to figure out
 if you have any family or friends residing in the state?
- 9 A. No. I can't even recall who I know in 10 Alabama.
- 11 Q. Fair enough.
- 12 A. But if push came to shove I'm sure I know some people.
 - Q. Fair enough. Sir, how old are you currently?
- 16 A. 81.

- 17 Q. And what's your date of birth?
- 18 A. July 7th, 1933.
- 19 Q. Are you currently married?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Does your wife have any family or friends
- 22 from Alabama?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- Q. Do you have any children who reside in
- 25 Alabama?

- 1 A. No, sir.
- Q. Cousins, anything like that?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. Are you currently employed, sir?
- 5 A. I am semiretired.
- Q. Okay. You say semi so are you kind of doing something here and there?
- 8 A. Yes.

17

- Q. And what is that?
- 10 A. Well, we just finished a video for the State

 11 of Florida on June 30th. I write two columns a month,

 12 an occasional feature. I give talks. I try and keep

 13 busy, but not at the pace that I used to.
- Q. Sure. And doing those activities are you still receiving a paycheck?
- 16 A. I hope so.
 - Q. Well, do you run those activities through any company or anything like that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. What company is that?
- 21 A. Mark Sosin Communications Incorporated.
- 22 O. Is that company located here in Boca Raton?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Does it have any employees?
- 25 A. Paid employees?

- 1 Q. Yes, sir.
- 2 A. No.
- Q. Did anybody affiliated with Mark Sosin
- 4 Communications assist you in preparing your report in
- 5 this case?
- A. No, sir.
- 7 Q. Sir, have you personally ever been a
- 8 plaintiff or a defendant in a civil lawsuit?
- 9 A. Umm, yes.
- 10 Q. Approximately on how many occasions did that
- 11 happen?
- 12 A. Once.
- Q. Can you tell me generally about the nature
- of the lawsuit?
- 15 A. Yes. We did some video work for a company
- and they refused to pay us, and we filed suit for the
- money and went to mediation and settled.
- 18 Q. And do you recall approximately when that
- 19 was, the lawsuit was filed?
- A. Two years ago.
- 21 Q. Was it filed here in Florida?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I guess in Broward County?
- A. Ah, I thought it was filed in Palm Beach
- 25 County, but I may be wrong.

1 Ο. Okay, fair enough. And it was resolved at mediation? 2 3 Α. I'm sorry? Ο. It was resolved at mediation? Α. Yes. Ο. Have you ever been arrested, sir? 6 7 No, sir. Α. Charged with a crime? 8 Ο. No, sir. 9 Α. Convicted of any crime? 10 Q. Α. No, sir. 11 12 Q. Could you briefly kind of describe for me 13 your educational background? I went to the University of Pennsylvania's 14 15 Wharton School, graduated with a Bachelor of Science in 16 Economics. I eventually went to New York University working on a masters; I never completed it. And that's 17 18 the basis of my education. 19 What were you studying in the masters Q. 20 program? 21 Α. An MBA, sir. 22 And how far along did you get in those Ο. 23 studies? I finished all the courses. I had to write 24 Α. a thesis and at that point I had changed my career and I 25

didn't write the thesis.

2.0

- Q. Other than the bachelor's degree that you told me about just a second ago, do you have any other degrees of any kind?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Any certifications or any other specialized qualifications?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. I believe you served in the military, sir; is that correct?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. First of all, I appreciate your service.

 And could you tell me a little bit about that?
 - A. Yes. I went through Navy ROTC at the University of Pennsylvania. I was commissioned an ensign in the United States Navy one hour after I graduated. I had a job. I went aboard a destroyer called the U.S.S. Stribley DD-867. I was in the gunnery department, worked my way up to gunnery officer. I was a qualified officer on the deck underway, qualified command duty officer, qualified special C detail officer of the deck.
 - Q. And in those -- with those qualifications what did you do kind of on a day-to-day basis in the Navy?

A. Well, you know, the captain doesn't run the ship. He's in charge of the ship, but he doesn't run it. And they have an officer on the bridge who is the officer of the deck. And you start with being officer of the deck in port and then you become officer of the deck underway and you run the ship. You are technically by Navy standards the third ranking officer aboard at that time during your watch.

2.0

And then they have another officer and what they call CIC, combat information center. That's where all your radar scopes are and your status boards and everything else and there is an officer in there. So theoretically there are three officers on watch, an officer on the deck, junior officer of the deck and a CIC officer.

- Q. And during your time in the Navy, sir, did you receive any training in any specific skills or --
- A. Yes. I went to school for antisubmarine warfare.
- Q. Can you tell me a little bit about that; what was involved in that kind of training?
- A. I went to Key West for eight weeks and they trained us to use sonar. Actually they're sonar operators, but there is an officer in charge and they taught us to be in charge. And that was basically it.

- All the rest of the training was onboard. You work your way up.
 - Q. Yes, sir. Mr. Sosin, I'm going to go ahead and mark your report that you prepared in this case as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1. I'm going to hand that to you and just throughout the deposition I'll be asking you some questions about that. If at any time you need to refer to that or what not, please feel free to do so.
- 9 (Exhibit 1 is marked for
- 10 | identification.)

4

5

6

7

8

13

- First of all, sir, would you please just take a look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 and insure that,
- 14 A. It looks like it.

in fact, it is your report.

- Q. It looks like the report you prepared in the case we're here about today, sir?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Did you draft that report, sir?
- 19 A. Yeah, I wrote all this.
- Q. Have you ever prepared a report like that for litigation before?
- 22 A. Not that I can remember.
- Q. In those two cases relating to waders did you prepare a written report?
- 25 A. I can't remember, sir.

- Q. And, sir, if you'll -- towards the back of your report, I believe Exhibit A is a CV, a resume.
 - A. Do you want Exhibit A?
 - Q. Yes, sir. Towards the back I was going to ask you some questions about it.
 - A. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

2.0

21

- Q. First of all, did you prepare this for this case or was this something you just had kind of laying around that you already had prepared?
 - A. The curriculum vitae?
- 11 Q. Yes, sir.
- 12 A. No, I prepared that specifically for this
 13 case.
- Q. And looking at Exhibit A in your report does everything appear accurate on there?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And it looks like, just briefly running down some of the things on your CV, that your military career came to an end in 1957?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Can you tell me a little bit about how that happened or why you left the military at that time?
- A. Umm, I debated about staying in and decided
 finally that I would get out. I went in the reserves
 for another six years and then got a release from

1 military service.

- Q. Can you tell me just briefly about what you did with Triangle Conduit and Cable?
- A. Yes. They were wire and cable manufacturers and I was the assistant to the treasurer and I worked on a number of financial matters, whatever he told me to do.
- Q. So is it fair to say I guess you had a bachelor's degree in economics, right, so is that kind of --
- A. Yeah.
- 12 Q. -- the area you went in to work with that company?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. Would that be fair to say as well about Kurt Salmon and Associates?
 - A. Yes. Kurt Salmon Associates were management consultants and they worked primary in the garment industry, manufacturer of clothing most of which took place in small towns in the south and I got to travel the south quite a bit.
 - Q. And what was the nature of your employment with them, were you doing financial-related things or --
 - A. No. Actually we were doing office systems and procedures, trying to cut back some of the

paperwork --

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

24

- Q. Okay.
 - A. -- that drowns most companies.
 - Q. And let me go back. When you were in the Navy where were you stationed at, sir?
 - A. I was aboard a destroyer. We were out of home port the first year over 300 days. We spent a lot of time in the Mediterranean and then down in the Middle East. I was there in 1956 when they shot up the Gaza Strip and I was in No Man's Land and the War of Nerves and a lot of shooting and I just never want to go back to the Middle East again.
 - Q. And how about Triangle Conduit and Cable, where were they located?
 - A. They were in New Jersey.
 - O. And Kurt Salmon and Associates as well?
 - A. No. They had offices all over. They had an office in Atlanta, an office in Washington, D.C. and an office in New York.
 - Q. Were you in their New York office at that time?
- A. Yeah, but I was on the road most of the time. They would send two of us or more to a client.
 - Q. And then after that your next employer was Revlon; is that correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. How did you go from Kurt Salmon to Revlon; do you recall?
 - A. I got tired of the travel with Kurt Salmon.
 - Q. Tired of going to the south?
 - A. Well --

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. -- they kept me on the road all the time.
- Q. And can you tell me generally about what you did with Revlon?
- A. Other than being a test pilot in the lipstick division?
- Q. Well, I was going to ask you in a minute whether you became an outdoor enthusiast because you were tired of makeup, but that's neither here nor there.
- A. I was being groomed in Revlon by the executive vice-president who was Alex Faberman. And so they sent me to do different things. I started off what I call a firefighter in office systems and procedures. If there was a problem, I was put in there to solve it so that I understood how the paperwork trail went.

And then I became responsible for the manufacture of all our powder products from raw material to finished goods inventory. And then I had promotional distribution and I had 300 people working for me picking

the packing and shipping.

2.0

- Q. So it sounds like you had some experience there in manufacturing and maybe some experience there in logistics. Did you have any experience with Revlon in product design or development?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. And so getting to the question I was talking about, what was it that led you leaving Revlon to pursue I guess your next career?
- A. Very simple. I -- they had promised me certain things financially and otherwise, and when push came to shove they offered me a fraction of what they had promised. And I was single and I had a boat and a convertible and I went fishing.
- Q. And let me ask you this, during your time after you left the Navy with Triangle Conduit, Kurt Salmon and Revlon, were you an outdoorsman during that time as well?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you -- I guess you went fishing during that time and enjoyed outdoor activities at that time as well?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And so on your resume the position from 1963 to present is entitled outdoor educator and journalist.

- Have you been more or less independently employed since
 1 1963?
 - A. Yes, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- Q. And I believe your report mentions that you have 50 plus years of history in the fishing and outdoor industries; is that correct, sir?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Is that based on I guess this 1963 date when you left Revlon and became an outdoor educator and journalist?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What did you do kind of starting out making that leap, what was the first thing you kind of did?

 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- Q. I'm sorry. I'll ask a better question.

 After you left Revlon what was the first thing you did
 as an outdoor educator and journalist to make a living?
- A. I started -- I started writing magazine stories and I wrote for a regional newspaper and then Garcia Tackle hired me to write all the instruction booklets for Mitchell Reels and Beretta Shotguns.
- Q. Do you recall when about that was when Garcia hired you to do that?
 - A. Probably around '64, 1964, '65.
- 25 Q. When did you begin writing articles for

- 1 newspapers?
- Well, I never really wrote for a major 2
- 3 daily. I put articles in newspapers from time to time
- but not on a standard basis. 4
- 5 Ο. Correct.
- Α. Most of my writing was magazines. 6
- 7 Okay. Well, when is kind of the first time Q.
- you began writing articles for magazines? 8
- Α. 1963. 9
- 10 Q. And what was that magazine, sir?
- Saltwater Sportsman. That would be my 11
- 12 guess.
- 13 Q. And just sitting here, do you have any kind
- of idea about an approximate number of magazines that 14
- you've wrote articles for in your career? 15
- 16 No, sir. Α.
- Would it be more than 50? 17 Ο.
- Α. Different magazines? 18
- 19 Q. Yes, sir.
- 2.0 Α. Probably not.
- 21 Q. Okay. When did you author or contribute to
- 22 your first book?
- 23 Α. I don't remember the exact year but I did a
- 24 lot of work with marine biologists and I began to
- 25 realize that they were talking a language that I had

- never heard and things about fish and fishing that I had
 never heard. And I asked them, I said, "Well, where did
 you learn this?"
- And they said, "Ichthyology 101."
- And I said, "Well, why don't I know it as a fisherman?"
- And they said, "Because you lose status if you talk to a layperson."
 - And the first book I did I found a biologist who was willing to give me information and it was called "Through The Fish's Eye" and I wrote that a long time ago. It's long out of print.
 - Q. And do you recall approximately when that was that book was printed?
 - A. I would think around 1970 or the early '70s.
 - Q. And sitting here today can you tell me an approximate number of how many books you've authored throughout your career?
 - A. As well as I can count, and I can't remember all of them, 30 books.
- Q. And at some point in your career as an outdoor educator, journalist did you begin working for a radio?
- 24 A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25 Q. Can you tell me how that came about, sir?

A. Yes. I was at the Marine Lab in Sandy Hook,
New Jersey and WCBS in New York wanted somebody to do
outdoor programming for them. And they said, "We're the
government and we don't do those things, but Mark Sosin
is here and he can do it."

2.0

And they hired me to do that. I did eight broadcasts a weekend each of two-minute length.

- Q. And approximately how long did you do those broadcasts?
 - A. I did them for five years, sir.
- Q. And other than that, those broadcasts, did you have any other radio experience?
- A. Ah, not in my own programming, but I've been interviewed countless times.
- Q. And when you would do that like the radio experience we just talked about, were you -- did you become an employee of the broadcasting company or were you just kind of an independent contractor? I'm just trying to get an idea of whether you kind of went -- you were an employee or not.
 - MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - A. I was an independent contractor.
- Q. And really I would like to ask that same general question for your whole career as an outdoor educator and journalist. During that time were you ever

an employee for another company or has it always been
just you on your own as an independent contractor?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. The only -- I don't know if you consider this employee, I mean my own company Mark Sosin Communications.
 - O. Sure.

- A. But other than that, I have been an independent contractor.
- Q. When is the first time that you began doing any kind of television programming, sir?
 - A. Well, let's see. This is 2014 -
 MR. GIGGER: It is.
- A. -- probably 30 years ago.
 - Q. And can you just tell me generally how you came to start doing television programming?
 - A. Yes, sir. I was a print journalist and the Navy taught me to look down the road, always be aware of what's out there. And I began to realize that young people were not reading as much. This is before cell phones and iPads and everything else, but they were watching television. And if I'm a print journalist and they're not reading, I'm making buggy whips when the automobile is putt-putting on the road.

And, therefore, I decided I better learn

television and that's what pushed me into it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

- Q. And what was the first programming that you did for television?
 - A. I started a show -- I had the first saltwater show in the world. I was going to do fresh and saltwater, but nobody was doing saltwater so I did saltwater. And I did it for a company called Sina Sports (phonetical) out of Little Rock, Arkansas. They no longer exist. And I went on ESPN in their third year of operation.
- Q. And how long did that television program run, sir?
- 13 A. Twenty-seven years.
 - Q. And other than that saltwater show, have you done any other kind of television programming?
 - A. I have done a number of videos for clients.
- Q. What kind of clients have you done videos for?
- A. Well, I've done a bunch of them for the

 State of Florida. I did one for the federal government,

 or two for the federal government. I did them for IGFA.
- I did them for various companies. I made commercials.
- 23 I get teased about one all the time.
 - Q. What's that one?
- 25 A. It was for Pflueger. And the last line

- said, "Pflueger, it works for me and I know it will work for you."
- And I hear that now, other companies are using it. But I wrote that line.
- 5 Q. So when you say commercials, you were doing 6 like --
 - A. Thirty second.
 - Q. For sponsors for your saltwater show?
 - A. Yes, sir.

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 10 Q. Fishing products, that sort of thing?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What kind of videos did you do for the State of Florida?
 - A. The State of Florida wanted to promote their research. They have probably one of the finest research operations in the fishing world and they wanted to promote this. The last video we did was the Science Behind Florida's Marine Fisheries. And I've done I think eight or nine of them, maybe ten of them along that ilk, one on Artifical Reefs, one on this, whatever they tell me.
- Q. And how about the federal government, what was that video about?
 - A. About what, sir?
- 25 Q. I believe you testified that you did a video

for the federal government.

2.0

- A. Yeah. It was actually 12 videos, and when you work for the government they tell you what they want and you just produce it.
- Q. And do you recall kind of the subject matter of those videos?
- A. Pretty much their decision-making process and, you know, what they did and trying to justify to fishermen why they did something.
- Q. Can you help me kind of understand what you mean by that, what the government did? I'm having a hard time understanding, that's all.
- A. Well, there are regional government bodies that handle fishing around the country. And the one I did was for the Gulf Council and there were 12 parts to it. It took two years to do. I don't know what else to tell you, but --
- Q. So those videos would have been about the activities of the Gulf Council; is that fair to say?
- A. Yeah, to an extent. You know, what they're doing; why they're doing it.
- Q. Like making conservation measures or things like that?
 - A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And then I you believe you also said you had

done one or more videos for IGFA?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

- Q. And just since that's the first time we talked about that, what does that stand for?
 - A. The International Game Fish Association.
- Q. And what were the nature of those videos, sir?
- A. They wanted a series of how to videos that they could put on YouTube and, you know, how to tie a leader. I'm not sure what they were, but that kind of
- Q. So in television we've got your saltwater show and -- strike that -- I guess video-based things here including television. You've got your saltwater based show, you did some commercials, you did some videos for the State of Florida, some videos for the federal government, some videos for IGFA. Is there any other video-based things you've done as an outdoor educator and journalist?
- 20 A. I'm trying to think.
- 21 Q. Sure.
- A. There could be. I just can't recall them at this time.
 - Q. Fair enough. And going back to radio, was your job, the one you told me about earlier, did you say

1 it was with CBS?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

23

24

- A. Yes. WCBS New York.
- Q. Other than that job in radio, did you do anything else in radio as an outdoor educator and journalist?
 - A. No, other than being interviewed by a bunch of people over the years.
 - Q. I think in your report you also mentioned you've done instructional videos for private organizations.
 - A. IGFA is private.
- Q. So that's what you were referring to when you said that in your report; is that correct, sir?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you mentioned earlier that you had wrote instruction booklets for Garcia Tackle and Beretta

 Shotguns. In your career as an outdoor educator and journalist have you done anything relating to shooting sports other than those instruction booklets for Beretta?
- MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 22 A. Ah, no.
 - Q. Let me just ask you this, sir. You talk in your report about the fishing and outdoor industries, and what I'm just trying to understand here is when you

say fishing and outdoor industries, what the outdoor industries part of that -- what you mean by that?

2.0

- A. Well, for example, when I did the radio I did eight broadcasts a weekend hunting, fishing, boating, camping, conservation. Fishing is one of four.
- Q. Hunting, camping and conservation being the other two?
- A. Hunting, fishing, boating, camping and conservation, that's five.
- Q. Okay. Other than those radio broadcasts relating to hunting, can you tell me about your other experiences as an outdoor educator and journalist in the hunting industry?
- A. I used to do hunting and I'd write about it as a freelance writer. But I was so busy in the fishing business and the fact that when you did television you wanted to have the first quarter start the first quarter, January, February, March, okay. And -- because that's when you had the greatest viewership across the country.

And, therefore, in the fall of the year you were so busy preparing these shows that there is no time to hunt. And eventually it fell by the wayside.

Q. And do you recall approximately when that was that it fell by the wayside?

A. Well, probably within 25 years ago.

2.0

- Q. How about camping, sir, other than the spots you did in radio, have you done anything in your outdoor educator and journalist career related to camping?
- A. It's hard to answer because I've written about all kinds of stuff. I can't recall specifically, but I certainly didn't -- my focus was on fishing and 90 percent of what I did was fishing.
- Q. And you also mentioned boating, and I guess there is some certain overlap between fishing and boating.
- A. Well, I'm in Boating Writers International Organization as a boating writer and I came up with terms that they use today like Saltwater Sportsman uses a term "fishability" on a boat. I used to write about boats and I came up with the term fishability to take it away from the guy who's really writing about a boat and the hull and the whole thing; how does this boat fish.
- Q. And the same thing with conservation, I would assume there is substantial overlap there?
- A. I'm always pushing conservation and on my show I never kept a fish other than bait in 27 years.

 Every one of them got released. And we talked about how to hold them, how to release them and all that and that's conservation.

- Q. And just so I'm clear, when you say fishing and outdoor industries in your report, the outdoor industry portion of that you're talking about the conservation, boating, hunting, camping aspects of your experience?
- A. Would you repeat that so I understand it, please?
- Q. Sure, sure. In your report when you mention fishing and outdoor industries, the outdoor industries portion of that statement is referencing the conservation, boating, hunting and camping aspects of your experience?
- A. I would think most of the outdoor industry is sponsors, various companies in there. Now, I had boat sponsors and I did it with boating. You know, it's hard to explain, but it was a broad thing. When you're freelancing, you're looking for every opportunity you can find, and I had the skill and the knowledge to fill all of that.
- Q. Now, I think Exhibit B to your report, sir, is a list of books and articles. And as I understand it, you have published over 3,000 articles; is that correct?
- A. Yes, sir.

2.0

Q. So certainly the list of articles is not all

inclusive?

- A. And I did 30 books and that's not inclusive either.
 - Q. Fair enough. Is this exhibit, did you prepare it specifically for inclusion with this report?
 - A. I did not prepare Exhibit B.
 - Q. Okay. Do you have any idea as to why the books and articles that are listed were included in Exhibit B here?
 - A. No. It -- to give you an example of things I have done. I can't remember every publication I've written for. I can't remember every book I've written. In fact, somebody called me recently -- oh, they brought a book over to be autographed and I said, "I don't remember writing that." And he opened it up and it says written by Mark Sosin.
 - O. What book was that?
 - A. I don't remember.
 - Q. But so -- okay. For example, I just had one question about the articles here, if you know. It looks like there is a high number here that were published between, listed between 2011 and 2014. And then there is not near as many between 2004 and 2011, and I was just wondering why there aren't as many for those -- between 2004 and 2011?

A. Because three or four years ago when I became semiretired I do a monthly column for Coastal Angler and I do a monthly column for Texas Outdoor Journal and they were the easiest to get and the most obvious without researching and that's why most of them are there.

2.0

- Q. Tell me about the Coastal Angler, the angler magazine; what is that?
- A. It's a publication given away free. It is a great success story. A friend of mine started this. He lived up the coast from here and I always knew it as a small localized publication.

I was at the ICAST show a few years ago and they had a booth and it had been taken over by somebody else and they began franchising it. And at that time he told me that they distribute over 300,000 a month and now they're close to 600,000 a month. They're in Bermuda, they're in Hawaii, they're in Alaska, they're all over. And I just figured it was a great place to put my name and I do a monthly column.

- Q. And can you tell me generally what the subject matter of those monthly columns are?
 - A. All fishing. All saltwater fishing.
- Q. And how about the Texas Outdoor Journal; can you tell me a little bit about that?

- 1 Α. The owner of Texas Outdoor Journal's name is Bill Olson. He came to me one day and he said, "Would 2 you write a column for us?" 3 And I said, "Sure. And what do you want?" And he said, "Saltwater fishing." 5 And I said, "I'll write it." And I've been 6 7 writing it every since. And when was that, do you recall, that you 8 Ο. began writing for Texas Outdoors Journal? 9 10 Α. It's got to be at least four years, four or five years. 11 12 Q. And how about the Saltwater Sportsman, can 13 you tell me a little bit about that publication? I wrote -- that was the first one I sold a 14 15 story to. I was -- I did a monthly column for them for more years than I can count, and a few years ago I just 16 stopped doing it. My name is still on the masthead as 17 18 an editor at large. 19 And I think I saw one article from, relating
 - to a Guy Harvey publication?
 - Yeah, I did one in there. Α.

21

22

2.4

it.

- Can you tell me generally about that? Ο.
- 23 Α. They just asked me to do something and I did
- 25 So is it fair to say that was kind of a Q.

- 1 one-off publication?
- 2 A. Yes. Yes, sir.
- Q. Sitting here today are you still regularly contributing to Coastal Angler?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Still regularly contributing to Texas
 Outdoor Journal?
- A. Yes, sir.

6

7

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. As I understand your testimony, you're not regularly contributing to Saltwater Sportsman?
- 11 A. No. I've been talking to them off and on.

 12 They want me to write for them. They'll buy anything I

 13 write, but I'm getting lazy.
 - Q. And so other than Coastal Angler and Texas
 Outdoors Journal, are there any other magazines or
 periodicals that you're contributing to regularly today?
 - A. Not on a monthly basis.
 - Q. Any on a more sporadic basis?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. And I also saw in your report you mentioned
 Double Haul. Can you tell me a little bit about that
 publication?
- A. That was a publication of the Saltwater Fly
 Rodders of America and no longer exists.
 - Q. Was that -- would your contributions ever

- 1 | lead to saltwater and fly fishing?
- 2 A. I'm sorry.
- Q. Would your contributions to Double Haul have related to saltwater fly fishing?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. How about Sports Afield, did you contribute to --
- 8 A. I wrote -- I wrote a column there for ten
 9 years every month.
 - Q. Do you recall when those ten years were about, sir?
- 12 A. No.

6

7

10

11

16

17

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. And then you also mentioned in your report that you contributed articles to the International Game
 Fish Association?
 - A. Yes, from time to time. And I just did one for Bone Fish and Tarpon Trust.
- 18 Q. How many articles have you offered on eyewear retainers specifically?
 - A. Ah, well, I certainly put it on my website when I had a website. And I've certainly shown it on television. I'm not sure I wrote an article on it.
 - Q. So just to make sure I have your testimony correct today, you just don't recall whether you ever authored an article specifically on eyewear retainers?

1 A. No, I do recall.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Q. Can you tell me what that was, sir?
- A. I never wrote one specifically on eyewear retainers. I wrote or I demonstrated the eyewear retainer that I use.
 - Q. Let me just ask you a few quick questions about some of your other experience. In your report you note that you're a past president of the Outdoor Writers Association of America?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Can you tell me when you served as president 12 for that organization?
 - A. Actually two and a half, almost three years but I was only elected for one year. I believe it was either 1976, '77 or '77, '78, but 1977 is the year I served.
 - Q. Do you recall approximately how many members that organization had at that time?
 - A. Probably 1,800 around the country.
 - Q. And you mention in your report an Excellence in Craft Award?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me little bit about that award?
- A. They give one a year for a long period, I
 like to call it a lifetime of significant writing.

- Q. And when was it that you were awarded the excellence in that award?
- A. I don't remember the year, but it's in -every year they publish a directory and in the back of
 the directory it will tell you the year, who got it and
 what year it was.
- Q. And can you tell me generally how it's decided who gets that award?
 - A. I believe it is decided by past recipients.
- Q. Is it sort of a voting process or nomination process can you tell me?
 - A. It's a nomination. They ask for nominations and then they will take whatever nominations they get and they will put it to a vote. You're voted that. You just can't win it.
 - Q. And who -- and so as I understand, past winners have a vote?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. How about the Ham Brown Award, can you tell
 me a little bit about that one?
- A. The Ham Brown Award likewise, past winners.

 It's for service to the organization over a period of

 time.
- Q. The organization being the Outdoor Writers
 Association of America?

A. Yes.

1

6

7

8

9

10

15

- Q. I'm sorry. Did you say that award is also given by past winners through a vote?
- 4 A. That is correct. I'm pretty sure of that because I vote on both of them.
 - O. Are those votes confidential?
 - A. Yes. In fact, they don't announce the winner until the annual meeting.
 - Q. So you wouldn't know who another past winner voted for, correct?
- 11 A. No. No, sir.
- Q. How about the -- well, are you currently a member of the Southeastern Outdoor Press Association?
- 14 A. Yes, sir, I am.
 - Q. How long have you been a member of that organization?
- A. Oh, God. A long time. More years than I can remember.
- Q. Do you have any idea about what the approximate membership of that organization is?
- A. I would think it's probably seven or 800. I may be wrong.
- Q. And you received the Lifetime Achievement
 Award from that association?
- 25 A. Yes.

46 1 Do you recall about when it was that you received that award? 2 A while back. 3 Α. Any idea sitting here today when that may 4 Ο. have been, sir? 5 Α. No, sir. 6 7 Ο. And who votes on -- strike that. How is it determined who wins that award? 8 Α. I don't know. I've never voted on it having 9 10 received it. But maybe the officers pick it, I don't know. 11 12 Q. And are you still a member of the Florida Outdoor Writers Association? 13 14 Α. Yes, I am. 15 How long have you been a member of that association? 16 It's hard to recall these things. I've been 17 Α. a member for years and years and years. 18 19 And do you know its approximate membership? Q. 2.0 Α. I'm sorry? Do you have an idea about how many members 21 Ο. 22 that organization has? 23 Α. No. I'm only guessing what the membership 24 is, I mean say 400 or something like that.

And you received a Lifetime Achievement

25

Q.

1 | Award from that association?

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- A. I did. I was shocked.
 - Q. Why were you shocked?
- A. Because I don't look at myself in that light. I'm just doing my job.
 - Q. Do you recall when it was that you received that award, sir?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. And do you know how it's determined who receives that award?
 - A. I've never voted on it so it's determined by somebody, but not by the prior recipient. The only ones

 -- the prior recipients is OWAA.
 - Q. And I believe those are the awards that you listed in your report, sir?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Have you received any other awards in your career as an outdoor educator and journalist?
 - A. Probably. I received one last year from IGFA for work I had done in setting up an operation where they recognized legendary captains and mates. They have a hall of fame, but they only take five people a year and I wanted a way that a lot of these captains who will never get into the hall of fame will receive some recognition. And the captains have a committee who

- determines who gets it and I helped found that and I emceed the thing. And as I was walking off the stage they asked me to come back and they gave me an award.
- Q. And other than that award you just told me about, can you, sitting here today, are there any other awards not included in your report that you achieved as an outdoor educator and journalist?
- A. Probably. I can't remember. I don't pay much attention to that. I'm grateful for it.
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$ And are you a member of the IGFA Hall of Fame, sir?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. When were you inducted into that hall of fame?
- 15 A. 2004.

- Q. Can you tell me little bit about how that process works?
- 18 MR. GIGGER: Objection to form.
 - A. It has -- from what I understand, it is done in secret. It is done by a committee of their board of trustees and that's all I know. They call you up and tell you they're going to put you in. They take five people a year from the beginning of time from all over the world. I was the 55th person to go in.
 - Q. And the -- you're also in the Freshwater

- Fishing Hall Of Fame; is that correct?

 A. That is correct.
 - Q. When were you inducted into that hall of
- 4 fame, sir?

- 5 A. Long before IGFA.
- 6 Q. I guess sitting here do you recall
- 7 | approximately when that was?
- A. (No verbal response.)
- 9 Q. And do you have any knowledge as to how that selection process works?
- MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- A. No. No, they just call you one day and tell you they're going to put you in.
- Q. So you hadn't had any say I guess after you were in about who else gets in?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- Q. You're also in the International Fishing
- 18 Hall of Fame?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me a little bit about what that
- 21 is?
- 22 A. It was started by somebody a long time ago
- 23 before any of the others. I'd even forgotten about it
- 24 until I happened to see the award hanging in my house.
- 25 And they just call you one day and tell you you're going

- to go in and they -- it's called the Dolphin Award and they just put you in there.
 - Q. And do you have any knowledge as to how that selection process works?
 - A. No.

2.0

- Q. You also state in your report that you you've served or currently serve as Director Emeritus of The Billfish Association?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me -- first let me ask you what The Billfish Foundation is, sir?
- A. The Billfish Foundation is a conservation organization dedicated to the conservation of billfish which are sailfish, marlin, swordfish.
- Q. And I take it that you previously served as the director?
 - A. I was the director for ten years.
 - Q. And what did you do as director of that foundation?
 - A. For one thing I ran their office. For another, I made a couple of videos for them, brings that to mind. You know, when you have a career for 50 years and you do so many things, it's very hard to remember when or where or what you did, you just do it.
 - Q. You also state in your report that you're a

- 1 former trustee at the University of Florida Whitney Laboratory?
 - Α. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Ο. Can you tell me what University of Florida Whitney Laboratory is?
- To my knowledge they do marine research. And I was on the board of trustees offering guidance and I served a term, and I forget how long the term was, three or four years, and then I let somebody else do it.
- Ο. Do you recall anything specifically you did as a trustee?
 - Α. No. Go to meetings.
- Ο. You also state in your report in paragraph nine that for more than 50 years you've taught outdoor techniques to a series of seminars, lectures and clinics?
- 17 Α. Yes.
- Can you tell me little about what you're 18 Ο. 19 referring to there?
 - Α. Well, it started almost when I started in the outdoor field. One of the ways I made money was to give talks to various clubs and various organizations and get paid for them. At one point in my career, and it's probably 20 years ago, I started a National Seminar Series which is still going today and I spent ten years

running it and then I sold it to a partner and retired from it and he still runs it and it's still active.

2.0

- Q. Does that National Seminar Series have any name?
- A. Well, now it's the Saltwater Sportsman
 National Seminar Series. He worked a deal with
 Saltwater Sportsman after I left.
- Q. What was it called when you were involved with it?
 - A. National Seminar Series.
- Q. And what was the subject matter of the seminars?
- A. What we did was it would last maybe five or six hours and we had have various sessions on different types of fish and fishing, some of it on a broad national basis, others specifically for the region. We would have eight of them a year and we would go from city to city. We could have one in Alabama, Mississippi or Texas, another one in Massachusetts or Connecticut, one in Florida, you know, we just moved around, the Carolinas. And we would get some of the local captains so we would have four people on the stage at one time. One of us and probably three or four captains and we would discuss various things. People loved it. We packed the house.

1 Ο. What was your partner's name that you sold it to? 2 3 Α. George Poveromo. Ο. Other than the National Seminar Series, have 4 you done any other kinds of seminars, lectures or 6 clinics? 7 Α. Not until tomorrow night. Yes, I have. I do them all the time and I can't remember all of them, 8 but I have one tomorrow night. 9 10 Ο. And what is the subject matter of the one you're giving tomorrow night? 11 12 Α. What I'm going to talk -- they're going to 13 show two of my old television shows. And I'm going to talk about outdoor television and a little bit about 14 what I did and why I did it and -- I haven't figured it 15 out yet, but I will by tomorrow night. 16 Mr. Sosin, do you understand that you've 17 Ο. been disclosed by Chums as an expert witness in this 18 19 matter? 2.0 Α. That I have been what? 21 Q. Disclosed by Chums as an expert witness? 22 Α. What does "disclosed" mean? Disclosed to my client, Cablz, as an expert 23 Q. 24 witness in this matter?

25

Α.

Yes.

54 Are you being compensated to serve as an 1 Ο. expert witness, sir? 2 3 Α. Yes. Ο. How are you being compensated? I'm being paid for the time I spend. 5 Α. 6 Q. At what rate, sir? 7 \$250 an hour for the basic work; \$300 an Α. hour when you ask me questions. 8 And other than that hourly compensation, are 9 Ο. 10 you being compensated in any other way for your services as an expert witness in this case? 11 No, sir. 12 Α. 13 Ο. In your career as an outdoor educator and journalist have you ever been compensated on an hourly 14 basis? 15 Α. I can't remember. 16 Do you recall being compensated -- well, 17 strike that. Have you ever been compensated on an 18 19 hourly basis at \$250 an hour or greater? 2.0 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. Not that I can remember. 21 Α. 22 Ο. What did you do to prepare for this 23 deposition today, sir?

What did I do to prepare for it? For one

thing, I looked at this printed testimony.

24

25

Α.

- Q. And I believe you're referring to your report, sir?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Other than looking at your report, did you review any other documents?
- A. Well, I had read the report of Mr. Jernigan and whatever the other man's name was. Other than that, I can't think of much that I did.
- Q. And I don't want to know about any conversations you had with Chums' counsel, but other than that, did you speak with anyone to prepare for your deposition here today?
- A. No. The only one I spoke with is Mr. Gigger over here.
- Q. So other than reviewing your report and the reports of Jernigan and Chisolm, is that all you've done to prepare for your deposition here today?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you prepared here today to testify about your final opinions to be offered in the trial of this matter?
- 22 A. Yes.
- MR. GIGGER: Object to form.
- Q. When was it, sir, that you learned that my client, Cablz, had filed a lawsuit against Chums in

- 1 Alabama?
- A. Umm, I was unaware of it until I was called
- 3 to ask for information on what I had done with
- 4 retainers.
 - Q. And who was it that contacted you?
- 6 A. The law firm of Stoel and Rives.
- 7 Q. And when was it that they contacted you,
- 8 sir?

- 9 MR. GIGGER: To the extent you know. If
- 10 | you don't know --
- 11 A. I don't know exactly. My guess is within
- 12 the last year.
- 13 Q. Have you been contacted by a company by the
- 14 name of Croakies regarding the lawsuit that's been filed
- 15 against them in Alabama, sir?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. When was it that you were engaged by Chums
- 18 to serve as an expert in this case?
- 19 A. July 1st, 2014.
- Q. And, sir, using that date as the date when
- 21 you were engaged, does that bring back any recollections
- of perhaps when you were first contacted by them about
- 23 | the lawsuit?
- 24 A. No. There was very little discussion about
- 25 the lawsuit.

1 Ο. I don't want you to get into discussions that you had with them. I'm just asking about dates of 2 3 contact, sir. MR. GIGGER: Just to make it clear, our conversations are not the subject of this deposition. 5 6 You can tell him to the extent you know the dates 7 that we talked, but --I don't remember when they first contacted 8 Α. me, but I know that it started, the actual expert 9 10 witness bit started July 1st, 2014. And so do you know whether it was a matter 11 12 of weeks or months prior to that official engagement 13 date that you first learned about this lawsuit? 14 Α. No. 15 For what purpose or purposes have you been Ο. engaged as an expert witness in this case, sir? 16 Ah, pretty much to discuss what I've been 17 Α. using for 50 years. 18 19 And you're referring to what you've been Q. 20 using as an eyewear retainer, sir; is that correct? That is correct. 21 Α. 22 MR. GIGGER: Do you need a break? Are 23 you okay? 2.4 THE WITNESS: Whatever you want. 25 MR. GIGGER: I'm fine.

- Q. Sir, what materials did you review in the course of forming your opinions in this matter?
- A. I'm not sure I understand the answer you want or what you're saying.
- Q. Sure. You've been engaged as an expert in this matter and as you said to discuss the eyewear retainers that you've been using; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And in the course of forming opinions about that I'm just asking what materials you reviewed?
 - A. Monofilament.
- Q. And can you, just so the record is clear, can you tell me what you're holding in your hand there?
- A. Yes. I'm holding a pair of my glasses with an old description and it has monofilament on it and a retainer made out of bait rigging floss and it's just attached to it like that and I've been doing that for, well, 40 plus years.

MR. GIGGER: So the record is clear,
maybe we should -- do you want to point out that
there are two pairs of glasses and eyewear retainers
attached to them that were marked as Exhibits 9 and
10 from the Visser deposition?

MR. HILL: Yeah. Let's go ahead and call those Exhibits 2 and 3.

1 MR. GIGGER: I don't think you -- do you 2 need to mark them again? MR. HILL: Well, I'm going to mark them 3 for this deposition. I'll call them two and three. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. HILL: Exhibit 2 being what you're 6 7 holding in your hand. 8 THE WITNESS: Do you want to mark it? BY MR. HILL: 9 10 Ο. Other than these two retainers, sir, is there anything else you've brought with you here today? 11 Three videos I did. A book and a DVD. Do 12 Α. 13 you want me to pass them down to you? MR. HILL: Yes, please. Just so the 14 15 record is clear I will just refer to these two retainers that you brought with you here today as 16 Plaintiff's Exhibits 9 and 10 from Visser. There is 17 no need for me to relabel those. 18 19 I will label the materials that you 20 brought here today, the videos, DVD and the book as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2. 21 22 (Exhibit 2 is marked for 23 identification.) 24 So other than these two retainers, sir, and the DVD, videos and book, are those all the 25

- 1 | materials you brought with you here today?
 - A. Yes.

5

7

9

10

11

17

18

19

24

- Q. Are you okay to keep going or would you like to take a break, sir?
 - A. Do you want a break?

6 MR. GIGGER: It's up to you. I'm okay.

THE WITNESS: Let's go another 15

8 minutes or so.

- Q. All right. How did you get into fishing?
- A. Fishing in general?
- Q. Yes, sir, fishing.
- A. My father fished. He started me when I was three-years old. I'm 81. If you do the math, I've been fishing 78 years.
- Q. And when, in the course of fishing, did you first begin using an eyewear retainer?
 - A. When American Optical came out with their first series of polarized sunglasses and that goes back over 50 years.
- Q. Throughout your career and life as a

 fisherman, how many different kinds of eyewear retainers

 have you worn?
- 23 A. Two.
 - Q. Can you tell me what those are, sir?
- 25 A. Yes. When American Optical came out with

their glasses, the temple bars were much thicker and the back was thicker. We used to drill a hole through here about, oh, I would say a quarter of an inch in from the end of the temple bar. We would take a file and file the back of the temple bar, take a small drill and go in there until we drilled into the hole we made here. Then would take this monofilament, push it through and out, either flare the end with flame or tie a knot and pull the knot back into this hole. And the mono came out of the back of the glasses like that (indicating).

2.0

At some point they got fancy with these glasses and they made the temple bars much thinner and there was no way you could drill through here and through there. And I looked at it and said I've got to come up with a way. Now, I didn't originate the first one. Guys were using that and I learned from them. But the second one when I got my new glasses and I couldn't do what they had done, I came up with this. And I took bait rigging floss and I flared the end of the monofilament, wrapped it as a retainer and that was it. I started with hundred pound. I wanted it to stay off my neck and I started with hundred pound test, that didn't work. Two hundred pound test, that didn't work. Put 300 pound on it, it's perfect. It took all of a half-hour to figure it out.

- Q. So you never used a neoprene retainer?
- A. No.

2.0

- Q. Never used a leather retainer?
- A. No.
 - Q. Can you tell me generally why it is that you use a retainer for your sunglasses, sir?
 - A. Yes. Several things happen when you're fishing. Number one, you want your sunglasses there all the time so that they're polarized and whether you're fishing offshore or inshore, you can see better under water with polarized lenses so I want them there. I don't want to lose them in the heat of battle. When you see a fish, it's now. You can't say, well, let me get my glasses and put them on, it's now. I can pop these things on if I need to. If I want a short thing here so I can put a rod in here (indicating), and if something happens they're not going to pull out, they're not going to fall. They're here. They don't come loose.
 - Q. Do you view retainers as more of a function device or an aesthetic device?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

A. It's a function I believe. If you mean function -- you know, do I wear these just so people say, hey, look at him, he's got this on, no. It's functional. I wear them when I get up in the morning

until night falls at night.

2.0

- Q. When is the first time you recall seeing anyone using a monofilament eyewear retainer?
- A. When American Optical first came out with their glasses 50 plus years ago.
- Q. Do you recall when that was or where it was, any of the circumstances about which you saw?
- A. Well, the first ones I saw were in South Florida. There were a group of fishermen that I called -- I nicknamed the Young Turks and these guys were on top of everything. I learned a tremendous amount from them. Guys like Norman Jansic, Norman Duncan, Al Pflueger, Bob Sterns, the late John Emery (phonetical). Guys like that that not only did they do this, but they modified rods, they modified reels, they developed techniques for catching big fish on light tackle. And those were the guys that started this.

My contribution was, as I said, when the temple bars got too thin and I need a temple bar retainer on there, I came up with this system of just wrapping it on and it works and I never changed it in 50 years.

- Q. And those gentleman you referred to as the Young Turks, were they fishermen in Florida?
 - A. Yes. But also there were guys like Danny

Blanton in California, Bob Edgeley in California, people all over. All the guides in Florida at that time used to use it in South Florida. I mean I didn't check the whole country obviously, but serious fishermen use retainers.

2.0

- Q. Do you still keep in contact with any of those gentleman you've mentioned?
- A. I see Al Pflueger once in awhile. Norm

 Jansic is dead. John Emery is dead. I exchange e-mails

 with Bob Sterns almost every day. I talk to Dan Blanton
 once in awhile. Bob Edgeley gave up fishing.
- Q. And you mentioned, sir, that some of these gentleman were fishing down in South Florida. How was it that you came to know about them being out in South Florida, were you down there in the 1950s fishing at any time?
- A. Well, for one thing, when I got out of the Navy in 1957, I went down to Florida Keys and lived there for a month, five weeks and just fished.

My father loved Florida. He started bringing me here when I was five years old. When I was six, I think I was six, the Overseas Highway to Key West opened July 4th, 1938. You can check that. In January of 1939 he took me to Key West. He taught me to fish the Keys. I used to come down all the time. I walked

the bridges, I waded the flats, I did all kinds of stuff down here and I knew the guys that also did that.

Q. In your report you mention in paragraph 12 in South Florida during the 1950s there were a number of leading shallow water fishermen such as John Emery and Al Pflueger that used monofilament eyewear retainers.

MR. GIGGER: Where did you say you're reading?

- Q. Paragraph 12.
- A. 12.

2.0

2.4

- Q. In paragraph 12 you mentioned monofilament eyewear retainers worn by John Emery and Al Pflueger?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What kind of retainers are you talking about there?
- A. The ones in those days back then, as I mentioned earlier, where the temple bars were thicker was drilling a hole through here and a hole through there, sticking the mono through, putting -- excuse me, either a knot or flare it and pull it back in the hole. There is a picture in -- well, I guess it's in here and it's hard to see, but Lefty Kreh is wearing a pair of glasses with that system.
- Q. That might be Exhibit C, sir. Can you take a look real quick so we'll be on the same page about

what picture you're referring to?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 2 A. C, yeah. It's hard to see in here in a copy, but --
 - Q. By page number of your report can you direct me to what photograph you were just referencing?
 - A. Yes. Page 19, Exhibit C.
 - Q. And this would be the retainer that required the drilling?
 - A. Yes, as I remember. I'm pretty sure.
 - Q. Sir, when you -- the retainer where you drill into the glasses, did that retainer stay off the neck when it was worn?
 - A. Oh, yeah, yeah. It -- the whole thing of staying off the neck is what you use. You can't bend this thing (indicating).
 - Q. And you're referring to --
- A. The monofilament, 300-pound test mono.

 People like different things. Some people don't care if
- 19 it hits their neck, others do. I did. That's why I
- 20 came up with this to make sure it stayed off my neck.
- 21 And 300-pound mono you can't bend it or keep it down
- 22 there, it will pop right back up.
- Q. I understand that, sir. I guess my question relates to the first type of eyewear retainer you were
- 25 telling me about.

A. Same thing.

2.0

- Q. Those stay off the neck when worn, sir?
- A. Sure. Depending on the mono you use.
- Q. Also in paragraph 12 of your report you state, "The concept of using a monofilament eyewear retainers goes back at least 50 or 60 years in the fishing and outdoor industry."
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You give a range of years there, is that because you don't know exactly when the concept was first introduced?
- A. It was somewhere in the late '50s. But to make sure that I didn't stretch it too far back, I put 50 or 60.
- Q. In paragraph 14 you mentioned that the type of monofilament line used by fishermen over the years varied.
- A. Sure.
- Q. Can you tell me generally what you mean by that?
 - A. They kept improving it, the manufacturers.

 DuPont was the first and -- I think they were the first,

 at least in this country, and then Berkley did it and

 they kept improving it. But we all had mono. Mono was

 a common thing and it was the obvious thing to use and

it -- when you look at this --

2.0

2.4

- Q. Let me stop real quick, sir. You're referring to your glasses that you're currently wearing during your testimony. And just so we're clear, I would ask that you feel more free to use one of these exhibits. So we'll know what it is you're referring to.
- A. Yeah, I'll be glad to. There is no difference between these exhibits and what I'm wearing.
- Q. That was my next question is to make sure what you're wearing now there is no difference in that and Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 from the Visser deposition?
 - A. (No verbal response.)
 - Q. And you're showing me those -
 MR. GIGGER: Go ahead.
- Q. You're showing me those to demonstrate there is no difference, correct?
- A. I'm showing you my current glasses against one of these pairs. There may be a quarter of an inch difference in where I put that, but that's just luck of the draw, you know.
 - Q. And also here is Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 from the Visser deposition. Do you know why both of those are exhibits? Is there any difference between Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 and Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 from the Visser deposition?

A. No. No, I just I had these laying around. They're an old prescription. Both of them are old prescriptions and I just brought two of them. I can probably find another one or two laying around.

2.0

Q. Well, sir, if you don't mind, if you need to reference a retainer, just using one of those that's already been marked as an exhibit just so we'll be clear on what you're referring to.

Anyway, you were telling me that different kinds of monofilament line have been used over the years and in your reports you say that it varied. And I was just wondering how --

- A. It varies in breaking strength and what you want to do and it varies -- they kept improving monofilament over the years from the original monofilament. Obviously, like in any industry, as time goes by, they need to come out with new products and they try and make the new products better. So as the years went by -- but if you look at this, I mean if you look at the two exhibits we have, what are they 10 and 11 or --
- Q. I believe they're 9 and 10 from the Visser deposition.
- A. Nine and 10. If you look at 9 and 10, that monofilament came off the same coil. And the one I'm

70 1 wearing came off the same coil. And I've got plenty of it there, enough for a lifetime. So that's all I would 2 3 use. MR. GIGGER: That would be 300-pound monofilament, sir? 5 6 THE WITNESS: Three hundred-pound made 7 by Berkley. Regarding the first kind of retainer that 8 Ο. you were talking about that required drilling into the 9 10 glasses, are you aware of any evidence of that kind of retainer in existence today? 11 No, no. It would be pretty hard to do with 12 Α. 13 the way the temple bars are now. I guess I'm asking you you're not aware of 14 15 any old pair laying around that exemplifies --Oh, I don't know. Somebody may have an old 16 Α. pair laying around like that, but --17 You are not aware of it? 18 Ο. 19 Α. I'm not aware of it. I don't remember 20 seeing it. 21 MR. GIGGER: Just to be clear, you 22 mentioned this picture in Exhibit C as that first 23 type of eyewear retainer? 2.4 THE WITNESS: As what?

MR. GIGGER: He asked if you're aware of

- 1 any evidence.
- THE WITNESS: Well, yeah, the picture in 2
- Exhibit C. 3

7

8

9

- Ο. Photographs? 4
- 5 Α. Photograph.
 - But I guess in terms of an actual example like we have here, we're looking at here, do you know of any actual examples of that?
 - Α. I haven't seen that recently.
- 10 Ο. Going back to Exhibit C of your report, we talked a second ago about a photograph of I believe 11 12 Mr. Kreh --
- 13 Α. Yes.
- -- page 19. Are you there, sir? 14 Q.
- 15 Α. Yes.
- And your testimony is in that picture he's 16 Ο. wearing a retainer that was tied onto his glasses by 17 drilling holes? 18
- It looks like it to me when I looked at the 20 original picture. It's hard to tell, but it looked like
- it. I only know of the two ways. Well, I don't. I saw 21
- 22 a man on an airplane two weeks ago flying from Atlanta
- 23 to Fort Lauderdale and I sat in row 16, he sat in row
- 24 15. And I happened to look, he's got a piece of
- 25 monofilament coming from his glasses.

1 So I asked him. He said, "These are my regular glasses but I don't use them to read, but I want 2 my glasses there all the time and I put this on." 3 I said, "What kind of knot did you use?" 4 He said, "I use a basic clinch knot, 5 17-pound test monofilament." 6 7 And I don't know who the man was but I could tell you he was in seat 15 E. 8 And that was a couple weeks ago, sir? 9 Ο. 10 Α. Yeah. Q. Is Mr. Kreh still alive today, sir? 11 Yes, he is. 12 Α. 13 Ο. Do you know where he resides? He resides in Maryland. 14 Α. 15 Q. And I believe Exhibit C is excerpts from a book authored or involving Mr. Kreh and a gentleman by 16 the name of David DeSilva? 17 That's another picture in the book. 18 Α. 19 DeSilva lives in Bermuda. 2.0 Q. Is he still alive today? I don't know. 21 Α. 22 And you mentioned earlier a gentleman by the 23 name of George Poveromo? 2.4 Α. Yes.

Can you tell me a little bit about him; who

25

Q.

1 is he?

6

7

8

9

13

- 2 A. He has a television show. He's a writer.
- 3 Writes a column in Saltwater Sportsman.
- Q. Did he ever wear a monofilament eyewear retainer?
 - A. I have no idea.
 - Q. Either in your report or perhaps in some of the materials produced in this case a gentleman by the name of Larry Dahlberg has come up.
- 10 A. I know Larry Dahlberg.
- MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- Q. Who is Mr. Dahlberg?
 - A. Well, he's done some outdoor writing and goes around the world trying to catch big fish.
- Q. Do you know whether he ever wore a monofilament eyewear retainer?
- 17 A. No.
- MR. GIGGER: So did you mean, no,
- 19 doesn't or, no, you don't know.
- 20 THE WITNESS: No, I don't know. I
- 21 really don't pay attention to it.
- Q. In paragraph 15 of your report you mention an eyewear retainer attributed to Bing McClellan?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me who Mr. McClellan is?

- A. He's dead, died a long time ago. And I

 can't remember the business he had, but he had something

 in fishing tackle and apparently he felt that instead of

 just having the monofilament stand back out here, that

 if he coiled it it would have spring to it and it would

 just fit across the back of your head. And he tried to

 market that and I don't think he was very successful.
 - Q. Do you remember where it was that his product was sold?
 - A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. And you don't remember his company name or
- 12 --

9

- A. No. I tried to, but --
- 14 Q. How about the name of the product?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of any evidence of those -- of that product?
- 18 A. (No verbal response.)
- 19 Q. Is that a no?
- 20 A. I'm sorry. No, sir.
- Q. Thank you. Are there any other specific
 fishermen who wore monofilament retainers that you can
 tell me about sitting here today?
- A. Well, alive or dead?
- Q. Either one, sir.

- A. Well, I can tell you John Emery. I can tell you Norman Jansic. I can tell you Norman Duncan. I can tell you Al Pflueger. I can tell you a bunch of guides.
- Q. Do you recall what kind of retainers Mr. Emery wore?
- A. John was -- John was an originator of a lot of things. He may have been an originator of the first method of drilling through. I'm not sure of that, but he was certainly one of the early pioneers. He died very young.
- Q. And so he may have worn ones where you drilled through the glasses and tied --
- A. We all did it like that until they changed the temple bars.
 - O. And I believe you said Mr. Nansic?
- 16 A. Jansic.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Jansic. Do you recall what kind of eyewear retainers he wore?
- 19 A. Same thing.
- 20 Q. And Mr. Duncan?
- A. In the early days, the same thing. They all wore the same thing. I mean they talked to each other, or you look at each other, "Gee, how'd you do that?"
- Q. And Mr. Pflueger, same thing?
- 25 A. Yeah.

Q. In paragraph 17 of your report, sir, you reference, "Eyewear retainers that extend rearward from the head while staying off the neck have been in public use for 40 or more years, however, by fishermen and other outdoor enthusiasts."

What eyewear retainers are you referring to

What eyewear retainers are you referring to in that paragraph, sir?

- A. I hold up Exhibit 9 and show you that that stands off the neck. And the earlier ones stood off the neck, too. It's just a matter of how heavy the monofilament was.
- Q. And you're holding up Exhibit 9 from the Visser deposition I believe?
 - A. Exhibit 9, yeah.
- Q. And you said the earlier ones in your testimony and you're referring to the ones that are drilled through the sunglasses?
- A. Yes. I only know two methods at that point, drilling through and what I did.
- Q. And sitting here today I believe you testified earlier that those are the only two methods of tying monofilament to sunglasses that you're aware of?
- A. No.

2.0

- 24 MR. GIGGER: Object to the form.
 - A. I take that back. I take that back after I

saw the guy on the plane.

- Q. Okay. The guy flying, other than him --
- A. Three.

2.0

- Q. Three.
- A. Well, you can either tie a knot or you can do it this way or you can drill the back or come up with your own method.
- Q. I guess what I'm asking is are there any other methods that you're aware of that we haven't talked yet about today?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. I know there is product that you can just stick on the back here and I chose not to use those. I don't mean the product that's on the market, but I don't want removable retainers. I want it on there. It's not coming off in the heat of battle no matter what happens, you yank it, you pull it, whatever you do it's there.
- Q. So your retainer there is different from the one that would be removable, correct?
- A. Yes. I mean you can take it off by cutting it off and then re -- put another one on there, but it's made not to come off.
- MR. HILL: I'm going to stop at this point and take a break. I know we've been going for a while.

1 MR. GIGGER: Great. (Brief recess is taken.) 2 3 BY MR. HILL: Ο. Mr. Sosin, we took a short break, but you 4 understand, sir, that you're still under oath, correct? 5 Α. I do. 6 7 Tell me what you can about the first time Ο. you made an eyewear retainer out of monofilament? 8 I made an eyewear retainer out of 9 Α. 10 monofilament when I got my first pair, excuse me, of American Optical Polarized Sunglasses and that would be 11 12 in the late 1950s. I may have had it, may have gotten 13 them when I went down to the Keys and lived there for a while. 14 15 And do you recall how long it took you to add the monofilament to the sunglasses? 16 It did not take long to add the monofilament 17 Α. because, as I said, I wasn't the first one to do it and 18 19 I knew people who did it and they told me how to do it 2.0 and it took a file and a drill bit. And after you did that for the first time 21 Ο. 22 did you do any kind of testing on that retainer? 23 Α. No. 24 Ο. Did you make any improvements or

modifications to that retainer?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

A. Not until -- not until they changed the glasses.

2.0

- Q. And what did you do at that time?

 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- A. Well, as I said earlier, they had made the temple bars a lot thinner than they were originally and it was very difficult -- you could drill a hole through the side, but to drill a hole at right angles from the back of the temple bar wouldn't work. I couldn't get a drill bit small enough to do that and so I came up with the idea of using a retainer made out of bait rigging floss. You could use dental floss and just wrap it and it stays. And I've used that for 50 years, never changed it.
- Q. You said you never changed it. Once you came up with that retainer you haven't changed it since?
- A. That is correct. You can see that there are two pairs of simple glasses here with the same thing and the one I'm wearing, which is my latest prescription which just came a couple of months ago, has the same thing.
- Q. So referring to Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 from Visser, do you recall when it was that you created that retainer, sir?

- 1 No, sir. I don't even know when I used that prescription. And I don't know which one of the two 2 came first. 3 Ο. And Plaintiff's Exhibit 10 you don't know 5 when --6 Α. No. 7 -- you made that retainer? Q. I just have old glasses pushed aside and I 8 Α. found those two and that was it. 9 Throughout your career in the outdoors and 10 Ο. fishing, did you have sunglass manufacturers give you 11 12 free sunglasses? 13 Α. Yes. Who gave you free sunglasses that you can 14 Ο. recall, sir? 15 16 The only one was Costa. Do you recall when it was that they were 17 Ο.

20 me.

18

19

21 Q. But you don't recall about when that was,

22 sir?

A. (No verbal response.)

providing you with sunglasses?

Α.

Q. About how many pairs of sunglasses has Costa provided you in your career for free?

No. I knew a sales rep and he got them for

- A. Well, I get them free now and every time
 they change a prescription I get two pairs. You have to
 have backup.
 - Q. And is that under any kind of agreement you have with Costa or is that just --
 - A. At this point I do have an agreement with Costa.
- Q. And can you tell me a little bit about what
 kind of agreement that is?
- 10 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 11 A. I do some consulting work for them and they
 12 pay me.
- Q. And as part of that agreement they provide you with sunglasses; is that correct, sir?
- 15 A. Yeah. I get two pairs every time they
 16 change my prescription.
- Q. And how long has that agreement been in place?
 - A. I would think -- I don't know exactly, but I would think three or four years.
- Q. Are there any other sunglass manufacturers that you have agreements with?
- 23 A. No.

5

6

7

19

- Q. Do you have any of those -- strike that.
- 25 Has Chums ever provided you with any products in your

career as a fisherman?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Has Croakies ever provided you with products in your career as a fisherman?
 - A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. Going back to the first eyewear retainer you told me about today that involved the drilling, did you ever break a pair of sunglasses when you're trying to drill?
- A. No.
- Q. Did you ever damage a pair of sunglasses when you were trying to drill through them?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. And it's your testimony that that retainer could stay off the neck when worn by a wearer?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. I would like to direct your to paragraph 19 of your report, sir. You state there, "My ultimate goal in developing a new system for creating monofilament eyewear retainers and attaching the monofilament line to the temple bars of my glasses centered on keeping the monofilament line off my neck."
- Can you tell me, sir, why that was your ultimate goal?
 - A. Because anything on the back of my neck in

- the hot sun irritated it, and therefore, I don't like
 things on the back of my neck and I wanted it to stand
 clear.
 - Q. Well, were the first kind of retainers you were making by drilling, were they not staying off your neck?
 - A. No. Maybe this is not as clear as it should be. What I meant to say was I did that with the first retainers, too, and with the second retainers. It bothers me to have it on my neck and so I made sure it stayed off my neck.
 - Q. And now talking about the, I'll call it the second retainer, the one that we have several exhibits of here.
- MR. GIGGER: Visser Exhibits 9 and 10.
- MR. HILL: Thank you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Do you recall approximately when you developed those for the first time?
 - A. Are you asking me when I developed this type of retainer?
 - Q. I'm sorry. That was a bad question. Yes, sir. I'm just trying to figure out about when it was for the first time you made a retainer as demonstrated like Visser Exhibit 9?
- A. I would have to guess, but my guess is 50

1 years ago.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And if I understand your testimony

 correctly, at least one reason you did that was because

 the temple bars on the sunglasses changed; is that

 correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Are there any other reasons that kind of inspired or caused you to create that retainer?
 - A. No.
 - Q. And I believe you -- strike that. I believe in your report you state that your development of that retainer was simple and straightforward, correct?

 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - A. Yes. It didn't take very long to figure it out.
 - Q. And actually I think you stated before it took only a matter of minutes; is that correct?
 - A. Yeah, half an hour. But what I did -- I don't have to elaborate, but what I did was just -- I tried one, it didn't work, put the second one in, it didn't work, put the third one in, it worked, done.
- Q. When you say tried one, are you referring to the kind of monofilament that you were using?
- A. The breaking strength which affects the diameter of the monofilament.

- Q. I'm sorry. Can you explain that a little bit what you said?
- A. Yes. The greater the diameter of the monofilament, the greater the breaking strength of the monofilament. So I kept moving up in breaking strength so that the diameter would be thicker and it would stand off my neck. It's simple.
- Q. What kind of fish would you try and catch with 300-pound monofilament?
- A. I don't use 300-pound mono very often for anything. They'll use it sometimes for swordfish.

 They'll use it for -- as an abrasion leader. That's basically what it is. It's leader material. It's certainly not fishing line.
- Q. Other than the retainer that required drilling into the sunglasses and the second retainer that you were talking about, have you developed any other kinds of eyewear retainers?
- A. No, sir. When I developed this retainer I was happy with it and I stuck with it.
- Q. Have you participated or assisted in any way with the design of any other eyewear retainer?
- 23 A. No, sir.

2.0

Q. Have you ever designed any other products relating to eyewear retainers?

1 Α. No. 2 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. How about have you ever designed any 3 Q. products relating to glasses? 4 5 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 6 Not that I can remember. 7 Q. Have you ever had any formal training in product design? 8 Α. No. 9 10 Q. Any industrial design classes? Α. 11 Never. 12 Q. Any computer-based simulation design 13 training? They didn't have them when I went to school. 14 Α. Any failure mode analysis training? 15 Ο. What kind? 16 Α. Failure mode analysis? 17 Ο. 18 Α. No. In the course of obtaining your degree in 19 Q. 20 economics did you take any classes relating to product design? 21 22 That's not how you get an economics degree. Α. 23 Q. Any classes relating to product development? 24 Α. No. 25 Any experience in product design or Q.

87 1 development during your time in the military? 2 Α. No. Any experience in product design or 3 Q. development in Triangle Conduit and Cable? 4 5 Α. No. 6 Ο. Any experience in product design or 7 development in Kurt Salmon Associates? 8 Α. No. Any experience in product design or 9 Q. development at Revlon? 10 11 Α. No. 12 Q. Any experience in product design or 13 development affiliated with any consulting that you've done? 14 15 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. I can't remember. I don't want to say no 16 Α. and then find some obscure thing that I did sometime, 17 but basically I don't do that. 18 19 So is it fair to say that your experience in 20 product design and development is limited to that second retainer that is exemplified in Visser Exhibit 9? 21 22 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 23 Α. Pretty much. 24 Ο. Have you ever sought patent protection for 25 any reason?

A. No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

- Q. Have you ever made any efforts to commercialize any eyewear retainer that you've made?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Would you agree with me that one benefit of an eyewear retainer is to prevent your glasses from falling off?
 - MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - A. Say that again.
- Q. Sure. Would you agree with me that one benefit of an eyewear retainer is to prevent your glasses from falling off?
- MR. GIGGER: Same objection.
- 14 A. Yes.
 - Q. And if you're a fisherman that's kind of extra important, right, because if your glasses fall off they may go in the water?
- 18 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Would you also agree with me that another
 benefit of an eyewear retainer is to allow the wearer to
 place it around his neck with the glasses hanging down
 on his chest when he's not using them?
- 24 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 25 A. Yes.

1 And you state in paragraph 13 of your report that one of the reasons fishermen would tie monofilament 2 to their glasses would be to allow them to hang the 3 glasses around their neck in the dark or early morning 4 and easily locate and wear the glasses to shield their 5 eyes when the sun got brighter; is that correct? 6 7 Α. That's one of the uses, yeah. 8 Ο. And can you tell me about some of the other 9 uses? 10 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. Of the eyewear? 11 12 Q. Yes, sir. You just said that's one of the 13 uses. I was just asking you about other uses. Well, in the heat of battle fighting a big 14 Α. 15 fish or something like that and you're sweaty and all that, if the glasses slip off your face it prevents you 16 from losing them. 17 Is it fair to expect that any eyewear 18 19 retainer will spend some time in contact with the 2.0 wearer's neck? 21 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 22 Α. No. 23 Q. It's not fair to assume that? 24 Α. That any type? 25 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

O. Yes.

- 2 A. That any type will -- oh, wait a minute, let
- 3 me rephrase that. Yes.
- 4 MR. GIGGER: Do you want him to
- 5 re-answer the question? I'm not sure what the
- 6 question is at this point.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Well, I look at it that,
- 8 yes, when you're not wearing the glasses it hangs
- 9 around your neck, if that's what you meant.
- 10 Q. Yes, sir. My question was it's fair to say
- 11 | that any eyewear retainer will spend some time in
- 12 | contact with the wearer's neck?
- 13 A. Yes. I'm sorry. I didn't understand it.
- 14 Q. Do you agree with me on that statement?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And it's also to be expected that when a
- 17 retainer is in contact with the wearer's neck, it's
- 18 inevitably going to come in contact with sweat, correct?
- 19 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And possibly suntan lotion or other fluids?
- 22 A. It could.
- 23 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 24 Q. That's not a problem for your retainer, is
- 25 it, sir?

MR. GIGGER: Objection to form.

- A. No, sir. You can look at Exhibits 9 and 10, they have been worn and worn and worn and you cannot tell the difference between the monofilament in that one, in 9, 10 or the one I'm wearing today.
- Q. In fact, you state in your report in paragraph 20 that one of the advantages of your eyewear retainer was that it did not absorb sweat, suntan lotion and other liquids to the same extent as neoprene and other eyewear retainers, correct?
 - A. I would assume that, yes.
- Q. Well feel free, sir, to look at your report if you're uncertain. It's in paragraph 20. And the portion I'm referring to is when you that one of the advantages of your eyewear retainer was that it did not absorb sweat, suntan lotions and other liquids to the same extent as neoprene and other eyewear retainers.
 - A. I would think that's true.
- Q. Let me ask you this, when you say neoprene and other eyewear retainers, what other eyewear retainers are you referring to?
- A. Well, there is eyewear retainers on the market today. You can make it out of different materials. I prefer monofilament because nothing ever happens to it.

- 92 1 Ο. And what are some of those other kinds of materials that you've seen? 2 Well, any kind of metal eventually can have 3 Α. a problem in time with saltwater. There is all degrees 4 of stainless. There is all degrees of metal. 5 I guess I'm referring to you say your 6 7 retainer did not absorb sweat, suntan lotion, other liquids to the same extent as neoprene and other eyewear 8 retainers. 9 10 My question is when you say other eyewear retainers there, which ones are you referring to that 11 12 have those problems with absorbing? 13 Α. I wasn't referring to anything specific, just that -- a general statement that you can't beat 14 15 monofilament in my opinion. In your experience would a leather eyewear 16 Ο. retainer have that problem with absorbing? 17 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 18 19 I never used a leather eyewear retainer. Α. 2.0 Q. And why would it be an advantage to not absorb sweat, suntan lotion and other liquids? 21 22 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - would it be an advantage for an eyewear retainer not to

Why would it be an advantage?

23

24

25

Α.

Ο.

Let me ask that again. I'm sorry. Why

absorb sweat, suntan lotion and other liquids?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

2.0

- A. I want mine as clean and as basic as it possibly can be, and monofilament doesn't do that.
- Q. Would absorbing sweat, suntan lotion or other liquids affect the functionality of those other eyewear retainers?
 - A. I don't know. I've only used monofilament.
- Q. So when you state in your report that yours does not absorb sweat, suntan lotions and other liquids to the same extent that neoprene and other eyewear retainers, you don't have any experience with other eyewear retainers to support that statement?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. Not really. It would just be my assumption knowing, understanding a little bit about metals that anything made, other than monofilament, if it's made of metal that there is a possibility and if it's made of neoprene or rubber or something like that, in time in a saltwater environment it's going to go. Whether it's an eyewear retainer or a thing on a boat and all that, I'm just using my experience of being in saltwater for all these years and the damage that saltwater can do to anything.
 - Q. But the only experience relating to eyewear

retainers that you've had is with the two that we've talked about here today, the one that was tied and drilled and the second one --

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

A. It's the same --

Q. Let me finish. The second one we talked about was the exhibit in Visser Exhibit 9.

MR. GIGGER: Object to form.

- A. Yeah, it's the same monofilament. Whether you drill the hole -- and one of the problems is, if you notice, the temple bar comes down where it used to be straighter. So you would have to come up and then -- and that would push it down. That's another problem with these.
- Q. And so, sir, I guess my question was here today you testified about the first eyewear retainer that was made by drilling the sunglasses, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And the second eyewear retainer that's exhibited by Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 from the Visser deposition?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And other than those two retainers, you don't have any other experience using eyewear retainers?
 - A. No, sir.

1 Do you have any knowledge as to whether any retainers coming into contact with sweat, suntan lotion 2 or other liquids, how that would affect their shape? 3 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 5 Α. No. Ο. And just so I'm clear, since you developed 6 7 the second retainer that's exhibited by Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 to Visser, you haven't worn any other kind of 8 retainer since that time? 9 10 Α. Since I developed the second retainer I have not worn any other you're asking me? 11 Yes, sir. That's my question. 12 Q. 13 Α. No, I haven't worn any other type of 14 retainer. 15 Q. Now, Mr. Sosin, you've taught others how to make that second retainer; have you not, sir? 16 Α. 17 Yes. And just so we're clear, from this point 18 Ο. 19 forward and throughout the deposition when I say the 2.0 second retainer I'm referring to the ones exhibited by Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 to Visser. 21 22 How -- in what circumstances have you taught 23 others how to make that retainer? 24 Α. Well, as I said earlier, I put it on my

website when I had a website. I put it in one of my

- shows. I made a video of it for Costa. I've

 demonstrated it at different places or told people how

 to do it.
 - Q. When you say you've demonstrated it at different places, can you tell me what you mean by that?
 - A. Well, for example, I was up at L.L.Bean signing books and somebody asked me and I told them how you do this. And, you know, if you're a fisherman it's easy to understand.
- Q. So this is in the course of being who you are, people may ask how you make that retainer?
 - A. I get asked a lot of questions.
- Q. Including by me, right?
 - A. I haven't thought about that yet.
- 15 Q. So one of the ways that you've taught people
 16 how to go about making a device is through an
 17 instructional video, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

12

- Q. And that was made in conjunction with Costa; is that right?
- 21 MR. GIGGER: Objection to form.
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Strike that. Let me ask you how it was you came to make that video?
- A. I made it to go in one of my shows. The

best part of my shows was not really catching the fish, although people liked that, it was the instructional material. I'm an outdoor educator. My job is to teach people a lot of things. And I tried to put educational things in every show and in one of them I use this. I then took that footage because Costa wanted to see it, I took it, put it on a DVD and sent it to them.

- Q. So you made the video in the course of your saltwater fishing show?
 - A. Yeah, I didn't make it for Costa.
 - Q. And later you sent it to Costa as well?
- A. Yeah. They wanted to see how you do that and I sent them the video.
- Q. Do you have any idea sitting here today approximately how many people have viewed that video?
 - A. Not a clue.

2.0

- Q. In paragraph 29 of your report you state that you received numerous inquiries about how to make the second retainer. I believe you were just telling me about this, but in what ways do you receive inquiries about it?
- A. Well, people ask me, you know, how do you do that. And I'll bore you with one quick story. I'm walking around a consumer show and I go by this booth and a man comes out of the booth and he looks at my

1 glasses and he says, "I know where you got that idea." I said, "Really, where did I get it?" 2 And he said, "You saw Mark Sosin use it." 3 And I said, "Nah, I knew it long before he 4 did." 5 6 You know, you don't know. You don't know 7 what people know -- let me say this. I was on television for 27 years, sometimes 52 weeks of the year. 8 In the beginning at least on ESPN and the Nashville 9 Network I reached a million households a week. These 10 are always hanging around my neck or on my eyes. Do you 11 12 think a few people saw it? 13 Ο. So you taught the process of making the second retainer on your video and to people who have 14 15 come up to you from time to time inquiring about it. Are there any other ways in which you've taught others 16 how to make that retainer? 17 18 I just respond when I'm asked. 19 Are there any specialized skills that Q. 20 someone has to possess to be able to follow your instructions for make that retainer? 21 22 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 23 Α. Not really. 24 Ο. For example, does one need to possess

detailed knowledge of fishing knots to be able to make

1 that retainer? MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 2 There are no knots in it. You stick the end 3 Α. in a candle. 4 In fact, you state in your report in 5 6 paragraph 21 that you developed it by applying common 7 knowledge, correct? 8 Α. Yeah, common sense. Would you call the process by which you make 9 Q. that retainer simple and straightforward? 10 11 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 12 Α. Could you repeat that for me, please? 13 Ο. Sure. Is it fair to call the process by which one makes that retainer simple and 14 straightforward? 15 16 Α. Yes. It's basically just wrapping a piece of 17 floss around a bar, right? 18 19 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 2.0 Α. I wouldn't call it a piece of cloth. 21 Ο. I'm sorry. It's basically just wrapping a 22 piece of floss around a bar? 23 Α. Piece of floss did you say? 24 MR. GIGGER: Objection to form. 25 Yes, sir. Q.

- 1 Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you said cloth. Yeah, that's a retainer that holds the line in place. 2 3 Q. Is it fair to say that the retainer is a simple device? 4 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 5 Mine certainly is. I don't know about 6 7 others. 8 When you say mine, you're referring to the second retainer? 9 10 Α. Second retainer. Going back to that video, do you recall 11 Ο. 12 about what year it was that you made the video in which 13 you instructed people on how to make the retainer? I don't remember the year. I remember 14 15 shooting it, where we shot it and how. Okay. Can you tell me a little bit about 16 Ο. that? 17 I live on a canal and I have a dock. Yes. 18 Α. 19 We took two cameras and I sat down at a table, put the 2.0 material on the table, rolled the cameras, tied it on, took it off, did it a second time, did it a third time 21
 - Q. So it only took three takes?

23

24

25

video.

A. It could have been some shots two, some

so they could get all the cutaways and we produced a

- shots three, maybe four. I mean I don't think about
 that. I was in the television business for 27 years. I
 mean that's just standard operating procedure of these
 guys. I know how they shoot, I direct them and I know
 the secret is to get the cutaways, what we call the
 cutaways.
 - Q. What do you mean? What's a cutaway, I don't know?
 - A. A cutaway could be, for example, a close-up shot while the process is going on. If you look at a good video, they go to cutaways. It may be you're fighting a fish and they're on the fish and then somebody shows a shot of you with the rod and you're back to the fish, that's a cutaway. You cutaway from the action into something close or different, back. MTV is great at that.
 - Q. Do you recall sitting there on your dock making this video about how long the whole process took --
- 20 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 21 Q. -- to make the video?
- 22 A. How long did it take to make the video?
- 23 Q. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. To shoot the video?
- 25 Q. Yes.

1 MR. GIGGER: Objection to form.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. Because it's got to be edited.
- Q. Let me rephrase my question. Do you recall approximately how long it took to shoot the video wherein you teach people how to make the retainer?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. Well, let me go kind of around with this.

 You're dealing with cameramen and you're dealing with
 they've got to be in position and you've got to do that
 again or turn your hand this way. I don't know how
 long, but I think the whole thing was done in an hour or
 less.
 - Q. And was that video part of your TV show?
- A. I think it was part of one show, the how to part of it.
 - Q. And sitting here today you don't recall when it was that that aired?
 - A. Not a clue.
 - Q. At some point did you provide Chums with a copy of that video?
 - A. No.
- Q. Mr. Sosin, who was the intended audience for that video?
- 24 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 25 A. For the video or the segment?

1 Ο. For the video wherein you taught how to make your eyewear retainer? 2 3 Α. Well, I never marketed a separate video. I only made that for Costa because they wanted to see how 4 to do it. I made -- the actual shooting was made for a television show and that was broadcast. 6 7 Is there anything about the process that you Q. teach in the video that's difficult to understand? 8 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 9 10 Α. I hope not. Is there anything about the retainer, 11 Ο. 12 itself, that is difficult to understand? 13 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. I don't think so. 14 Α. Once properly instructed, anyone could do 15 Ο. that, right? 16 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 17 Α. I would hope so. 18 19 You don't need a college degree to Q. 20 understand the process, do you? 21 Α. No. 22 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 23 Q. You don't need previous experience in the 24 fishing and outdoor industries to understand the

25

process, do you?

1 Α. No. MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 2 You don't need to have extensive personal 3 Q. experience in the use and development of eyewear 4 retainers to understand the process, do you? 5 6 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 7 Would you repeat that, please? Α. Sure. You don't need to have extensive 8 Ο. personal experience in the use and development of 9 10 eyewear retainers to understand the process by which you make that second retainer, do you? 11 12 Α. No, sir. 13 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. You don't need to be a recognized expert and 14 Ο. 15 authority on fishing and other outdoor topics to understand that process, do you? 16 Α. 17 No. MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 18 19 Sir, there was a statement in your report I Q. 20 didn't quite understand. I would like to ask you about 21 it here today and see if you can help me understand it. 22 In paragraph 25 of your report you state 23 that if I ever found the location of the bait rigging

floss uncomfortable, for example, due to variations in

different styles of glasses, it would be a simple task

24

to move it forward or backward to a location that was comfortable. Is that what it says in your report?

A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. Does that mean that from time to time you found the retainer to be uncomfortable after you put it on a pair of glasses?
- A. No. I never found it uncomfortable. Never had to move it.
- Q. Well, you state there it would be a simple task to move it forward or backward?
- A. Yes. If -- depending on the person. That's written for somebody else. But if you look at these glasses, and I take Exhibit 9 here, and I take the glasses off my neck and I lay the two side by side like that (indicating), you can see that there isn't a quarter of an inch difference between where that's wrapped. I just find a comfortable spot on it. When I say comfortable, a place where I can wrap it easily depending on the shape of the temple bar and wrap it. But you don't even feel it. Put one of these on, you won't even feel it especially with all the hair you've got.
- Q. I've got too much hair. I guess my question was you state it would be a simple task to move it forward for backward.

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Can you do that once the retainer is on the glasses or can you slide it up and down the temple bars or are you talking about taking it off and putting another one on in a different spot?
- A. I'm talking about taking it off; putting it in a different spot.
- Q. And in your experience after you make the eyewear retainer, does the monofilament and bait rigging floss ever slip either one way or another down the temple of the sunglasses?
- A. No. But what can eventually happen from just wear is that the floss will loosen up on the back end. And when that happens I just take a new piece of floss and in two minutes it's back.
- Q. And what happens when it loosens up on the back end like you said?
 - A. Nothing. I just don't like it.
 - Q. Have you ever had one come off your glasses?
- 20 A. I've had it --
- 21 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 22 A. I've had it where eventually it could 23 unravel, once you break where it's locked in.
- Q. When you say unravel, you're referring to the bait rigging floss?

1 A. Yes.

- Q. Do you have any knowledge as to how frequently that can occur?
 - MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - A. Not really. It depends what you do with them and what happens.
 - Q. And in your experience how many times has that happened to you where the bait rigging floss came loose?
 - A. It will do it periodically. It's hard to say when or how many times. But I also -- when these really get old and all that, I sometimes personally just replace it. I mean the whole thing is a five-minute process.
 - Q. When you say it happens periodically, do you have any -- what do you mean by periodically?
 - A. Once in awhile this will loosen up or back off. That doesn't mean this -- the monofilament comes out. It just starts loosening. And a lot of times I don't even take the original one off, I just wrap over it and lock it again and it stays.
 - Q. So is it your testimony that the monofilament line can be re-used for another retainer?
 - A. Oh, yeah.
 - Q. And is that true even though as part of the

process you have to burn the ends?

- A. Yes. Burn the end or put a knot in it.
- Q. But the bait rigging floss that you use when you make the retainer, that can't be used again for a second retainer, can it?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GIGGER: Object to the form.

Q. In fact, as part of the process of making the retainer you have to trim it; is that correct?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. Trim what?
- Q. The bait rigging floss.

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. You trim it where it comes out. That's one snip with a pair of scissors.
- Q. For your retainer, the second retainer, can you have the combination of the bait rigging floss connected to each end of the monofilament without first tying the monofilament to the glasses?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. I'm not sure you understand. The monofilament is not tied to the glasses. The bait rigging floss holds the monofilament against the glasses. That's the retainer.
- Q. And is it possible to connect the bait

- rigging floss to the monofilament before anything is connected to the glasses?
 - MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - A. That's not the way it's done.
 - Q. Have you ever tried that?
 - A. Why would I? No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

21

- Q. Can you connect the bait rigging floss to each end of the monofilament first such as the combination of the bait rigging floss and monofilament can then be connected to sunglasses?
- MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - A. I'm not sure I understand that. Are you asking me do I connect this, the floss to the monofilament first and then put it against the glasses?
- 15 Q. Yes, sir. I'm asking you is that possible to do?
- 17 A. No, not in this system.
 - Q. Have you ever tried to do that?
- 19 A. I wouldn't. It wouldn't work in this
 20 system. That's not the way the system was designed.
 - Q. Has any part of that retainer, the second retainer ever irritated your skin while being worn?
- A. Not that I can remember.
- Q. Has anybody ever complained to you about that being a problem while wearing the second retainer?

1 A. No.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

- Q. So no one has ever mentioned to you any
 discomfort of any kind associated with using your second
 retainer?
 - A. Not that I can remember.
 - Q. Let's say I'm a fisherman and I get tired of having your retainer connected to my sunglasses --

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- Q. -- how would I go about removing the retainer from the sunglasses?
- A. You take a pair of scissors and you just snip this. You don't have to cut the whole thing off, just snip it and unwind it. Pull that off. This comes off and you've got sunglasses with no retainer.
- Q. So to get the monofilament off you have to cut the bait rigging floss?
 - A. Absolutely. I want it to be permanent. I don't want it to come off until I take it off.
 - Q. Is it possible to just until the bait rigging floss without cutting it?
- 21 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - A. Why would you want to do that? I shouldn't ask you the question. Anything is possible. You can slide it off and loosen it up, but unless you can get the wraps out of there, it's just easier to cut it.

If you look at this, there is a little gap in here and in there where this goes through because the floss goes around the 300-pound test mono and the mono holds it off part of the glasses. Stick something in there and clip it once and unwrap it and it's done.

- Q. But you can't just slide it off the glasses can you, sir?
- A. No. I don't want to slide it off the glasses. I don't want anything that slides off.
- Q. In your experience and use of these retainers, how long do you typically leave the retainer on the glasses once you put it on?
- A. Repeat that for me, please. I'm not sure I understood it.
- Q. Let me ask it this way. In your experience once you make your retainer --
- A. Yes.

- Q. -- how long does it last?
- A. Well, I haven't worn these glasses in a long time and it's still there. It lasts a long time. Bait rigging floss is very tough. There is two grades of it that I have, one is 35-pound test and the other is 70-pound test. Usually I use the 35 because it's thinner. And as I say, you can use dental floss. But I used 35-pound test and that's very strong. It's not

- going anywhere until you cut it. This was designed to last. This was designed for hard use in an unfriendly environment.
 - Q. Can you think, just as an example, offhand of a retainer that you used like this one for any specific period of time?
 - A. Say that again.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

Q. I'm just trying to figure out do you have an example of one that you used for X amount of years or anything like that? I'm trying to figure out if you have an example of how long one of these things has lasted?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. I don't know. I would assume that one of these is at least a year old, if not longer. When I get a new prescription for my glasses I just leave it on. I don't even take it off. I just leave it on, push the glasses aside and get a new one. And I got this prescription, oh, within the last six weeks or eight weeks.
- Q. Have you ever reused the same bait rigging floss on a second retainer?
- A. You can't.
- Q. Have you ever reused the same monofilament on the second retainer?

A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. Have you ever had a retainer break off while you were using it?
 - MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - A. What do you mean break off? Where the glasses hang down or fall off?
 - Q. By break off I mean either side of the sunglasses, the monofilament and the bait rigging floss come completely disconnected from the sunglasses?
 - A. No.
 - Q. But you have had some come loose from time to time?
 - A. Yeah. Eventually they wear because they get rough treatment. And eventually the -- nothing is going to happen to 300-pound mono. You're not going to break it, you're not going to bend it, you're not going to do anything to it. But the floss is only 35-pound test and that will fray eventually and it could unravel a little bit and you just put new floss on.
 - Q. And about the first retainer that we talked about today where you drilled the holes in the sunglasses --
- A. Right.
- Q. -- if you got to where you did not want that retainer on your sunglasses anymore, how would you

remove it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Simple. You just push it back through the Α. hole. You take the back here, the knot is in the hole here and it goes through. So you can either push the knot out or push it this way. The knot comes out, you cut the knot off and just pull it out.
- So cutting the line would be required to Ο. remove that retainer, sir?
 - Α. Or untie the knot.
- 10 Ο. In your experience would it be possible to untie the knot? 11
- 12 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 13 Α. I never tried.
- Exhibit D of your report --14 Q.
- 15 Α. Exhibit D.
- -- if I can direct your attention to that. 16 Q.
- I'm on E. That's not my head. I still have 17 Α.
- hair. 18

- 19 Is the retainer depicted in Exhibit D one Q.
- 20 that you made?
- I would think so. 21 Α.
- 22 Is that -- do you know for sure, sir, if
- 23 that's one you made or --
- 24 Α. I would think it's one of these two pairs of glasses, Exhibit 9 and 10.

MR. GIGGER: Visser 9 and 10.

- Q. Do you recall when it was that you made the retainer depicted in Exhibit D of your report?
- A. No, sir. Those are glasses that I actually wore with my prescription in them and when I got them, I put the retainer on. It's easy. I have a whole coil of 300-pound test and I have plenty of bait rigging floss. So I don't even take the old one off, I just cut a new piece. I measure it. I cut a piece off. I measure how long this is. I cut it, stick it in a flame, lay it on there, wrap this thing, zip, zip, zip, done.
- Q. And so did you provide Chums with the retainer depicted in Exhibit D?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Do you recall when it was that you provided that retainer to Chums?
 - A. I would think, if I had to guess, May or June.
 - Q. So that would have been before you were engaged as an expert in this case?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Does that trigger anything that would help you recall when the first time you spoke with anyone affiliated with Chums?
- 25 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. The only one I spoke with was Josh Gigger, an attorney. He never discussed the case. He asked me about --
- Q. I don't -- let me stop you there. I don't want to know about your conversations with him.
 - A. Okay.

2.0

- Q. But let me -- let me say this. What I'm trying to figure out is at some point, you said in May or June you provided Chums with this retainer. And I'm just asking earlier today I tried to figure out kind of the first time you were contacted or you spoke with someone affiliated with Chums about this lawsuit.
- A. And what I'm trying to tell you is I didn't know it was Chums. I didn't know who Chums were. I don't know who anybody is in there. I just don't know.

 Asked me about my system and if I had a sample of it and I found these two pair and I sent it to them.
- Q. Right. And I'm just trying to see if, to the best you can recall, when that occurred, and if that helps you remember kind of when this communication began?
- A. I think it was probably May or June before
 -- before they said I would be an expert witness.
- Q. When did you first become aware -- when did you first become aware of a company by the name of

1 | Cablz?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- 2 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- A. I don't remember the company name, but I remember seeing their product at ICAST the year they unveiled it.
 - Q. Would that have been 2009, sir?
 - A. Yeah, whatever the year they came to ICAST.
 - Q. Can you tell me about what happened?

 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - A. I don't remember much. I remember walking down an aisle and seeing this and my first impression was, gee, they copied me. What took them so long. And I talked to them a little bit and I don't remember what the conversation was and I walked on.
 - Q. So sitting here today you don't recall any specifics of that conversation?
 - A. No, I do not other than my thoughts, which I did not express, I don't believe as to -- they copied what I've been doing for 50 years, what took them so long.
 - Q. Do you recall whether or not during the course of that conversation you showed your retainer to the person you were talking to?
- 24 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 25 A. I do not, but they were certainly around my

1 neck.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

Q. Do you recall whether they were around your neck or whether you were actually wearing the sunglasses at the time of that conversation?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. I don't wear sunglasses inside so they were around my neck.
- Q. Do you recall what clothing you were wearing at the time of this conversation?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. Not a clue.
- Q. Do you recall who it is that you spoke with during this conversation?

14 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. No. Whoever was in the booth at the time.
- Q. Do you recall whether it was one person or more than one person that you spoke with?

18 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- 19 A. I don't remember.
- Q. Do you recall how long the conversation lasted?

22 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- 23 A. No.
- Q. Following that conversation, at any time since, have you spoken with anyone affiliated with

1 | Cablz?

6

7

8

9

- 2 A. No. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Do you recall whether you were wearing a hat or any head gear at the time of the conversation?

5 MR. GIGGER: Object to form.

- A. I don't wear hats inside. My wife doesn't allow it.
- Q. So it was actually you recall or just based on the fact that you generally don't --
- 10 A. No. I never walked around an ICAST show in
 11 53 or 54 years with a hat on my head.
- MR. GIGGER: Give me a chance to object.
- THE WITNESS: What?
- 14 MR. GIGGER: Give me a chance to object
- 15 before you answer it.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- MR. GIGGER: That's okay. I had an
- 18 objection to the last one, but go ahead. Sorry.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Do you want me to say it
- 20 again?
- 21 Q. Please, sir.
- 22 A. I have never walked an ICAST show in the 53
- or 54 years I've been going with a hat on my head.
- 24 Q. Have you told me here today everything about
- 25 | that conversation that you can recall?

A. With Cablz?

- Q. Yes, sir. The conversation we've been talking about at the ICAST show in 2009.
- A. Everything I can recall. I remember my own thoughts but I don't remember what we talked about.
- Q. Sitting here today is it your belief that someone affiliated with Cablz copied your eyewear retainer to develop Cablz' products?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. I never said that. The idea of an eyewear retainer maybe, but I never said they copied what I did.
- Q. Well, sir, just to be clear, I'm not asking anything that you've said. I'm just asking sitting here today is it your belief that someone affiliated with Cablz copied your eyewear retainer to develop Cablz' products?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. I have no idea.
- Q. So it's not your belief that someone affiliated with Cablz copied your eyewear retainer to develop Cablz' products?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. That's not what I said. What I said was I have no idea whether they did or they didn't.
- 25 MR. HILL: This is a decent stopping

- 1 | place if you want to break for lunch.
- 2 MR. GIGGER: Okay. Sounds good. How
- 3 | much time do you think you have left?
- 4 MR. HILL: Less than two hours.
- 5 MR. GIGGER: All right.
- 6 (Luncheon recess is taken.)
- 7 BY MR. HILL:
- 8 Q. Mr. Sosin, we took a break for lunch and
- 9 we're now back. You do understand, sir, that you're
- 10 | still testifying under oath?
- 11 | A. I do.
- 12 Q. You brought with you here today a -- appears
- 13 to be a DVD, three videotapes and a book?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Is that a fair summary of what you brought
- 16 | with you here today?
- 17 A. That's all we brought.
- 18 Q. And so for the record I'm marking the DVD as
- 19 | Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 which is Mark Sosin's Favorite
- 20 | Fishing Knots Fresh and Saltwater.
- 21 (Exhibit 2 is marked for
- 22 | identification.)
- 23 A. Right.
- 24 Q. And on the bottom right of the DVD it looks
- 25 like there is a Mark Sosin Saltwater Journal Logo.

1 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Is that correct, sir?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. Can you tell me why it is you brought this particular DVD with you here today?
 - A. Well, one of the reasons is I'm wearing the glasses on the front cover of that.
 - Q. Okay. And are there any other reasons?
 - A. Not really.
 - Q. Okay. And also for the record the three videotapes that you brought with you here today I marked as Plaintiff's Exhibits 3A, 3B and 3C. And can you just tell me, sir, what these three videotapes are?

(Exhibit 3 is marked for

15 identification.)

- A. Well, for one thing they show pictures of me with the glasses on.
- Q. Okay. Well, let's start with just what the videotapes are, sir.
 - A. We took segments from my show, Mark Sosin's Saltwater Journal and people were always asking about it. And we took segments that we thought were particularly good and we put them in these three videos and offered them for sale either individually or as all three and we sold them on my website and we did pretty

well with them. I may have a couple of them left, but I don't have much.

- Q. And so is it fair to say those are maybe some of the highlights of your Saltwater Journal Show over the years?
 - A. Yes. That would be a fair thing.
- Q. And do you recall what date those videotapes were first available for purchase on your website?
 - A. My recollection is 1999.
- Q. Okay. And now I'll ask you, sir, is there any particular reason why you brought those videotapes with you here today?
- A. Well, yes. If you look, you can see the glasses. You can see the retainer on this picture here.

 Just to show that I have been using that and wearing that for a long time.
- Q. When you say this picture here, can you just state for the record kind of which one you're --
- A. Yes. This is Volume 1 and Volume 2 shows the glasses and Volume 3 -- 1 and 3 you can see the retainer on it.
- Q. And other than the fact that they depict the retainer, is there any other reason that you brought those videotapes with you here today?
- 25 MR. GIGGER: Do you want to look at your

- report? Or is that helpful to have him look at his report what's referred to?
 - Q. Yes, sir. Sure. At any time if you need to look at your report feel free to do so. I'm just asking if there is any other reason than depicting the retainer, that you brought those videotapes with you here today?
 - A. Just pictures of me on there in the videos wearing the glasses, fishing.
 - Q. Okay.

4

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

- A. Doing some how to's.
 - Q. And finally, sir, I marked as Plaintiff's

 Exhibit 4 the book that you brought here with you today

 entitled The Complete Book of Light Tackle Fishing.

 I'll let you take a look at that.
 - Can you tell me generally what that book is about?
- 18 (Exhibit 4 is marked for
- 19 identification.)
- A. It offers -- I'm basically a how to writer.

 That's what I do primarily. Once in awhile a where to,

 but it's known in the trade as how to. How do you do

 this, how do you do that. Because I'm theoretically a

 technical expert on a lot of this stuff. And that's
- what I write today for Coastal Angler and Texas Outdoor

- Journal. And I believe somewhere in this book are the
 pictures -- I guess we have them in my report, but the
 pictures of the people wearing glasses with retainers on
 them. And that's why I brought the book.
 - Q. Okay. Just to make sure I have your testimony correct, the reason you brought that book with you here today is that it depicts some retainers being used --
 - A. Right.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

- Q. -- in it. Is there any other reason that you brought that book with you here today?
- A. No. Not unless you wanted to know how to light tackle fish.
 - Q. You said when you were describing that book that you were a theoretical expert on that stuff --
 - A. Well, considered an expert.
- MR. GIGGER: Let him ask his question before answering.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- 20 MR. GIGGER: That's okay.
 - Q. I was just wondering what you were referring to there when you made that comment.
- 23 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- A. You asked me why I wrote the book and what
 I'm telling you is that I'm extremely knowledgeable on

light tackle fishing and it just seemed like a logical book to write.

2.0

- Q. When you say light tackle fishing, can you generally tell me what you mean by that terminology?
- A. Light tackle is relative. I don't believe in stunt fishing where you use two-pound test line to catch a hundred pound fish, you know, that's stunt. But where -- in moving yourself forward in the fishing game instead of using 20-pound test you start using 17 or 14 or 15 and you go lighter than what you're doing and that's basically what I call light tackle fishing.
 - Q. Thank you. What is ICAST?
- A. ICAST used to be called AFTMA, American Federation of Tackle Manufacturers Association and then they -- I forget what ICAST stands for, but it is the annual fishing tackle show in the United States. It is only for manufacturers, dealers and the press. The public cannot get in.
- Q. To your knowledge, how does it rank amongst fishing trade shows in size?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

A. You can't compare them. And the reason is that this show -- it gets -- I know it gets maybe seven to 9,000 people there, but it is the only major trade show in the country so you can't compare it to consumer

1 shows.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

2.0

21

22

- Q. How about internationally, is there any trade show internationally that would be comparable to ICAST?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Can you tell me what that is?
 - A. I know there is one in Europe, maybe someplace else, but I don't know -- I've never been to that one so I don't know how well attended it is.
 - Q. And so getting back to ICAST, do you have any knowledge about the average attendance at ICAST?

 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- A. Not really. I mean I assume it could be six to 9,000 people and maybe more, maybe less -- they publish it but I don't remember.
- Q. In the fishing industry how important of a trade show is it?
- 18 A. I have --
 - MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - A. I have always said that through these portals the entire industry walks in three days. That's how important it is. Almost everybody is there unless they have a major reason for not being there.
- Q. And you, yourself, have personally attended ICAST shows, trade shows, correct?

1 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. On how many occasions?
- A. I think I am up to 53 consecutive years,
 maybe 54.
 - Q. And why is it that you have such an impressive attendance record at ICAST?
 - A. Because I turned down other opportunities to be there because it's the most important show there is.

 That's where new products are unveiled, that's where the industry passes and you get to talk to a lot of people.

 You want to be there.
 - Q. Is there any other trade show or industry event that you have a similar attendance record at?
 - A. Not even close.
 - Q. So in the course of attending these shows for a number of years, how have the shows changed over the years?

18 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

A. It started in Chicago in a hotel. And those hotels don't even stand anymore. And they grew and grew and grew. And when it was in Chicago it brought primarily people from the midwest, a few of us from other parts of the world, country. And then as they grew and they moved around and all that, it became more national. Now, it's really an international show.

- Q. Has its prestige grown over the years?
- A. Absolutely.

4

5

6

8

9

14

15

16

17

2.0

- 3 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - A. Absolutely.
 - Q. How about its prominence in the fishing industry?
- 7 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - A. It certainly has. It's the place to be every July.
- Q. Now, is ICAST the trade show itself, or is that an organization; can you help me understand that?
- 12 A. ICAST is the show. It is put on by what is
 13 known as ASA or the American Sportfishing Association.
 - Q. And what is your involvement then over your career with the American Sportfishing Association?
 - A. I haven't had any real involvement with them. I mean I know the players.
- 18 Q. How about ICAST, itself, are there any -19 have you ever held any positions relating to ICAST?
 - A. No.
- Q. So is it -- and when you have attended the ICAST shows, what do you do at them?
- A. What do I do?
- 24 Q. Yes.
- 25 A. Well, I do two things. One, I try and get

1 around, and it gets bigger and bigger every year. I try and get around and see every booth, take a look at what 2 3 they're offering, new products so I'm aware of them. And also to see people. You know, like any business, 4 you have to have the contacts. And the fact that even 5 6 if you see somebody once a year, you still maintain that 7 contact and if you need them, they're there for you. 8 You've got to remember, I'm an outdoor journalist. I need to call some of these people from time to time for 9 10 information and if you don't know them, it's hard to get If you're an old friend, it's a lot easier. 11 12 Q. And so is it a fair assumption that a lot of 13 people affiliated with the ICAST show know you personally? 14 15 Α. Yes. And you're constantly --16 Q. (Cell phone rings.) 17 MR. GIGGER: Should we go off the 18 19 record? 2.0 (Discussion is held off the record.) BY MR. HILL: 21 22 Back on the record. We took a brief break 23 there but, sir, you understand you're under oath? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Do you understand you're under oath. Q.

- you. We were talking about what you do generally when you attend ICAST shows.
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- Q. And I believe you told me one thing you did was go around and talk to people?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is there anything else that you traditionally do when you go to ICAST shows?
- A. Not really. Once or twice or a few times they have done stuff before television cameras, put on some kind of thing and I've been part of that a couple of times but, you know, nothing major.
- Q. And when you're going around talking to people I guess you're talking to exhibitors?
 - A. I talk to two types of people, actually three, one is the exhibitors -- four. One is the exhibitors, the buyers, the tackle reps that sell for the exhibitors and other members of the press.
 - Q. You say other members of the press, are you considering yourself as an attendee of ICAST a member of the press?
 - A. I have a working press credential.
- Q. How long have you maintained that press credential?
- 25 A. Probably 52 years. The first year I went

- with somebody else and he got the credentials, and after that I went on my own.
 - Q. And as you're -- when you're at ICAST and going around talking to people, how often is it that you're wearing the retainer that's depicted in Plaintiff's Exhibit 9, Visser?
 - A. From the time I get up in the morning to the time I go back to my hotel room at night.
 - Q. So is it fair to say that a good number of people affiliated with ICAST are also familiar with your retainer?
 - A. Well, it's certainly hanging around my neck.
 - Q. So would you agree with that statement, sir?
- 14 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- Q. Do you recall when you began wearing the retainer at the ICAST shows approximately?
- 19 A. Fifty-three years ago.
- Q. And you've worn it at all of the ICAST shows since then?
- 22 A. I wear it every day, all day.
- Q. Sir, tell me if you can, what you know about the New Product Showcase at ICAST?
- 25 A. Well, they -- it's really a recent thing in

- the last, I don't know how many years. But you know,
 compared to all the years I've been there it's a recent
- 3 thing and I go to it every year.
- Q. Do you recall the first year that you went to it?
 - A. No, I don't.

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- Q. Did you go to it in 2009?
- A. Oh, sure, every year.
- Q. You attended the ICAST show in 2009, correct, sir?
- A. Yes. And every year that they've had this
 I've gone. It is only open, as I'm sure you know, to
 buyers and members of the press.
 - Q. And have you -- what capacity have you participated in the New Product Showcase?
 - A. Just another freeloader getting some hors d'oeuvres.
 - Q. Well, as I understand it, certain members of the press are allowed to vote on certain products as part of the New Product Showcase; is that correct?
 - A. It's my understanding that all members of the press are given a ballot if you want one.
- Q. So you have received those ballots during
 ICAST shows, correct, sir?
 - A. I've seen the ballot, yes.

- O. Did you receive one in 2009?
- 2 A. I can't remember. Usually I don't take them 3 because I'm not interested in voting.
 - Q. And how many times, if you can recall, have you voted as part of the New Product Showcase?
 - A. I can't recall. Some years I have; some years I haven't.
 - Q. Do you recall whether you voted in 2009?
 - A. No. I can't recall.
- 10 Q. Okay. Do you recall voting in it since 2009
- in any show?

4

5

6

7

8

- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. When -- when would that have been?
- 14 A. I don't remember.
- 15 Q. So sitting here today you don't recall
- 16 whether you voted in 2009 or not?
- 17 A. No. I know I didn't vote this year.
- Q. Do you have any gauge on how often or the percentage of years that you voted?
- 20 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 21 A. No.
- 22 O. Can you describe generally for me how the
- 23 New Product Showcase works?
- 24 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Can you tell me a little bit, just how the showcase is put on by ICAST?
- A. I believe it runs -- ICAST these days starts on a Wednesday, Wednesday morning and goes to Friday afternoon. The New Product Showcase is Tuesday evening and I believe it starts at around 5:30 and goes to seven o'clock, something like that. And members of the press, working press and buyers are allowed in there. They have security to keep everybody else out and they have all the products on display and people walk around, members of the press vote, and they offer some drinks and hors d'oeuvres and that's basically it.
 - Q. You said members of the press get a vote?
- 14 A. As far as I know, yes.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Q. Are there any other attendees who are able to vote?
 - A. I don't know if they let buyers vote or not.

 I'm not a buyer.
 - Q. And the process you just described, is that how it was done in 2009?
- 21 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- A. I -- I can't recall. I mean to me, I go to
 the show every year. Since they've had the New Product
 Showcase, I've gone to it. What they did in 2009, '10
 or '11 I have no idea.

- 1 And so although you may not have voted every year, you have attended the New Product Showcase every 2 3 year; is that correct? That is correct and looked at new products. 4 Α. And you mentioned that they have security to Ο. keep folks other than press and one other group out. 6 7 What was the other group? 8 Α. Buyers. Why is it that they do that, sir, do you 9 Ο. 10 know? I have no idea but those are the rules ASA 11 12 set for it and they enforce them. 13 It's scary when you see a full briefcase like that, isn't it? 14
- THE REPORTER: Yes. Mr. Sosin, I'm marking as Plaintiff's 17 Ο.
- Exhibit 5, four pages of a document produced in response 18

MR. HILL: What exhibit are we on, five?

- 19 to a subpoena in this case by the ASA, it's Bates
- 2.0 labeled ASA 6 through 9. I would like for you, if you
- can, to take a look at that document and tell me if you 21
- 22 recognize it.

15

- 23 (Exhibit 5 is marked for
- 24 identification.)
- 25 MR. GIGGER: If you need to read that,

- 1 Mark. Go ahead.
- 2 MR. HILL: Yes. Please take the time,
- 3 all the time you need to review it.
- 4 A. Well, what do you want me to do, read this?
 5 Okay.
 - Q. Do you recognize that document?
 - A. Sir?
 - Q. Do you recognize that document?
- 9 A. No.

7

- 10 Q. I'll represent to you that it was produced
- 11 by ASA in response to a subpoena in this litigation.
- 12 And as I look at it it appears to be a document
- describing the New Product Showcase in 2009. Would you
- 14 | agree with me there?
- MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 16 A. It --
- MR. GIGGER: He said he didn't recognize
- 18 | it.
- 19 A. If you tell me it does, it has rules on it.
- 20 | I wouldn't get this. I don't have a new product.
- 21 Q. Okay. Well, would you agree with me --
- 22 strike that. Let me ask you do you see where it says on
- the first paragraph on ASA00006, "There's more than one
- 24 way to catch a fish, and the New Product Showcase
- 25 reflects this creative spirit."

1 MR. GIGGER: Where are you reading? 2 THE WITNESS: Top paragraph. 3 MR. HILL: Top paragraph, page ASA00006. Α. Right. Okay. 4 Would you agree with me that the New Product 5 Ο. Showcase put on by ICAST in 2009 reflected a creative 6 7 spirit? 8 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. Creative spirit for whom? 9 Α. 10 Ο. I'm just -- sir, this ASA document states that the New Product Showcase reflects a creative 11 12 spirit. I'm just asking if you agree with that 13 statement? 14 Α. Oh, I'm sorry. Sure. 15 MR. GIGGER: Just to be clear, that's -you're agreeing that's what the document says? 16 THE WITNESS: That's the first sentence 17 in their publication. 18 19 Yes, sir. I'm just asking if you agree with 20 their statement in their publication? 21 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 22 Α. Yeah, their words. 23 Q. Yes, sir. I understand that they're their 24 words. I'm just asking if you agree with their 25 statement?

139 1 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 2 Α. I'm not sure. 3 Q. And why is that, sir? MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. I'm not sure what it means. I'm a writer. 5 Α. 6 Okay. Let me just ask you, apart from this 7 document, would you agree with me that ICAST'S New Product Showcase reflects a creative spirit? 8 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 9 10 Α. Well, it's the same words. It reflects new products. Because the rules say that I just read that 11 12 you handed me, the rules say that the product has to be 13 unveiled that year, cannot be marketed before then. 14 Also in that same paragraph, sir, if you'll read a little bit further it states, "The New Product 15 Showcase, sponsored by ESPN Outdoors/Bass is ICAST's 16 flagship feature." Do you see that, sir? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 Would you agree with me that in 2009 the New Q. 2.0 Product Showcase was ICAST's flagship feature? 21 Α. No. 22 MR. GIGGER: Object to the form. 23 Q. So you disagree with the statement in this 24 document?

I agree with what ASA says.

25

Α.

- Q. You do agree or you disagree?

 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - A. I disagree.

2.0

- Q. Why is that, sir?
- A. Because the feature -- the main reason for ICAST is not the New Product Showcase. I would not consider that the main feature. They're trying to promote it. Mike Nussman or whoever wrote this thing is trying to promote the New Product Showcase and that's the way I read this. But if you want to know what the real reason for ICAST is, the main feature, it's not this, it's the exhibits, the products that they show in all the booths, the people who are there, writers, tournament fishermen, tackle reps, people you need to know, that's what the highlight of that is. If you told me they only had the new products section, I wouldn't even go.
- Q. Do you see down a little bit further, sir, there is a heading entitled, Vie for -- excuse me, "Vie for the Prestigious 'Best of Show' Awards"?
- A. Oh, yeah. Vie for the Prestigious "Best of Show" Awards, yes.
- Q. Would you agree with me that in the fishing industry a Best of Show at ICAST is a prestigious award?

 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

A. Not necessarily.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- Q. And why is that, sir?
- A. Because they award it to weird things. Do you know what won it this year? I'll tell you what won it this year, a kayak. Now, you're going to tell me that a kayak is a new product, that it is innovative, that it is something that came out of somebody's mind, or have kayaks been around for as long as you and I can remember?
- Q. Let me mark as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 another document that was produced in the course of this litigation in response to a subpoena, the ASA. And I would like for you, sir, to take a look at that document and see if you recognize it.

(Exhibit 6 is marked for identification.)

- A. Do you want me to answer?
- Q. Yes, sir. As soon as you're comfortable after reviewing it.
- A. I'm comfortable just looking at it. The answer is I don't remember what this form looked like in 2009. How can I remember what the form looked like?
- Q. So you don't recall receiving this form in 2009?
- A. No, I didn't say that.
- 25 Q. I'm sorry. Can you --

- I said I don't recognize this form, number Whether or not I received it, I can't remember.
- Q. But you have received voting ballots before --
- Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

15

16

17

- Ο. -- for the New Products Showcase in ICAST?
- I'm not sure they're the same though. Α. Yes.
- Do you have any reason to dispute the fact Ο. this is the voting ballot for 2009?
- 10 Α. No.
- Do you see there, sir, on the right side Ο. there is a, lower half there is a section entitled New 13 Product Showcase Voting Rules?
- 14 Α. Yes.
 - Ο. And rule number four states, "Please base your decision on each product's level of innovation, execution, workmanship and practicality." Do you see that, sir?
- 19 Α. Yep.
- 2.0 Ο. Do you have any reason to dispute that that 21 was one of the New Product Showcase Voting Rules in 22 place in 2009 at ICAST?
- 23 Α. No.
- 24 Ο. So you would agree with me, wouldn't you, that innovation was a factor by which new products were 25

to be evaluated for ICAST's 2009 New Product Showcase 1 Best of Show Award? 2 3 MR. GIGGER: Objection, as to form. Α. A qualified yes. 4 And how is that yes qualified, sir? 5 Ο. Α. Innovation in whose mind? How much do you 6 7 know about the sport, how much do you know about different things? If you don't understand it and it 8 looks new to you -- if I were a kayak user, I'm not sure 9 10 I would judge this year's Best of Show as the greatest kayak ever made or an innovative product. It floats. 11 12 Q. But you agree with me, sir, that the ASA at 13 least set forth in their voting ballot one of the rules as basing the voting decision on innovation? 14 15 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. Yes, I did. In 2009. 16 Α. Yes, sir. In fact, in 2009 it was the first 17 Ο. consideration listed in Rule 4; was it not, sir? 18 19 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 To your knowledge, how are these voting Ο. 22 ballots handled by ICAST at the New Product Showcase? 23 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 24 Α. I have no knowledge of how they're handled.

Well, do you know whether the votes are

25

Q.

posted after the show is over, they make them public?

- A. I know they make them public. I know that when you leave the room, they collect the ballots. What they do with them after that, I have no idea.
 - Q. They make the ballots public?

 MR. GIGGER: Objection to the form.
 - A. No, not to my knowledge. The results.
- Q. In 2009 how many ICAST attendees were eligible to vote in the New Product Showcase?

 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - A. I have no idea.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

Q. Have you done anything in preparing your expert report in this case to determine how many attendees of ICAST in 2009 were qualified to vote in the New Product Showcase?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. No, but I would suggest to you that you contact Mike Nussman and he can tell you.
- Q. But you didn't contact Mike Nussman to find out, did you, sir?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you know in 2009 how many ICAST attendees actually cast votes in the Best of Show awards?
 - A. No, sir.
- 25 Q. Did you take any measures in preparing your

- report for this case to determine how many ICAST

 attendees were judges who actually cast votes for the

 Best of Show awards?
 - A. No, sir.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

- Q. Do you know in 2009 how many exhibitors entered products into the New Product Showcase?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Have you taken any measures to determine how many exhibitors entered products in the 2009 New Product Showcase?
- A. No, sir.
- 12 Q. Do you know how many products were entered in the 2009 showcase?
- 14 A. No, sir.
 - Q. Have you taken any measures to determine how many products were entered in the 2009 ICAST --
- 17 A. No, sir.
- Q. -- Showcase? And do you know how many
 products were entered in the apparel category in the
 20 2009 New Product Showcase?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Have you taken any measures to determine how many products were entered in the apparel category?
- 24 | A. No, sir.
- Q. Do you know whether Cablz won an award at

ICAST in 2009?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- A. I was told that they were awarded Best of Show.
- Q. And when did you first learn that Cablz had won that award in 2009?
 - A. Recently.
- Q. You didn't learn it there at the show that year?
 - A. I don't remember.
- Q. Do you recall your reaction when you learned that Cablz had won that award?
 - A. Surprise to me.
 - Q. And why were you surprised, sir?
- A. I was surprised because I didn't think it was innovative. I didn't think that by far it was the Best of Show. There were other tackle items in there that showed a lot more innovation. But people are judging it and the people who are judging don't have an overall view of that industry or fishing in general around the world.
- Q. Do you believe that you're qualified to determine whether a product is innovative?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, as I understand it, you are offering some opinions in this case about the ICAST award that

- 1 | Cablz won in 2009, correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. For example, in paragraph 35 of your report,
- 4 I'll let you get there.
- 5 A. One page off. I'm there.
- 6 MR. GIGGER: Go ahead and read it if you
- 7 need to.
- Q. Yes, sir. Take your time. Let me know when
 you've taken a look at paragraph 35.
- 10 A. Fire way.
- 11 Q. In paragraph 35 you state, "It is my opinion
- 12 | that Cablz' receipt of the Best of Show award and other
- 13 recognitions noted by Chisolm and Jernigan was not in
- 14 recognition of any innovative, new or nonobvious,
- 15 | stay-off-the-neck feature of Cablz' eyewear retainers."
- 16 Did I read that correctly, sir?
- 17 A. You did.
- 18 Q. And is that your opinion here today?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And are you planning to offer that opinion
- 21 in the trial of this matter?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Let me start by asking you what you mean in
- the parenthesis there where you say, "and other
- 25 recognitions noted by Chisolm and Jernigan"?

- A. I don't have the thing in front of me so --
- Q. Well, I've got their reports here. I will
- 3 | -

12

13

14

- A. I don't remember what it is, but I wrote it at the time. As I remember it -- I'm not going to weed through those 90 pages.
- Q. Well, sir, I'm going to go ahead and mark
 them as exhibits and give you the opportunity to do so
 because I do -- I would like an answer to the question
 of what you're referring to in your report about those
 recognitions.
 - I'm marking as Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 the report of Joe Jernigan dated June 30 2014, and Plaintiff's Exhibit 8, report of Beirne Chisolm, High Mountain Marketing, LLC dated June 30th, 2013.
- 16 (Exhibit 7 is marked for
- 17 | identification.)
- 18 (Exhibit 8 is marked for
- 19 identification.)
- MR. GIGGER: Are you going to him read
- 21 through the whole thing or are you going to point him
- 22 to somewhere in there?
- MR. HILL: Well, he just references
- 24 generally in his report other recognitions noted by
- 25 Chisolm and Jernigan, so I would like to know what

- 1 | that language is referring to.
- 2 A. I'll tell you what, rather than read it, 3 strike that parenthesis out.
 - Q. Fair enough.
 - A. And just let it say that --

6 MR. GIGGER: Why don't you give us a

second. Can we take a break?

MR. HILL: Sure.

MR. GIGGER: Off the record.

(Brief recess is taken.)

11 THE WITNESS: All right. I'm back. Do

12 you want to swear me in again?

13 BY MR. HILL:

4

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Mr. Sosin, we took a short break so you could discuss your previous statement of striking the information contained in the parenthetical in paragraph 35 of your report, "And other recognitions noted by Chisolm and Jernigan."

We're back on the record. Do you still maintain your decision to strike that portion of paragraph 35?

A. I can't remember where in that I found it or what it was. And based on that and the fact that I don't want to be here until tomorrow morning trying to read 90 pages, strike it.

Q. Thank you, sir.

A. And let it read, "It is my opinion that Cablz' receipt of the Best of Show is not in recognition of any innovative, new or nonobvious stay-off-the-neck feature of Cablz' eyewear retainers."

Let me just remind you that I did this 50 years ago and it stays off the neck and that's not the first one. There were ones before me.

- Q. Thank you, sir. And the opinion you just stated, upon what facts or data is that opinion based?

 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. You are taking the opinion in this case that Cablz' receipt of the Best of Show award was not in recognition of any innovative, new or nonobvious stay-off-the-neck feature of Cablz' eyewear retainers, correct?
 - A. Right.
- Q. What facts or data support that opinion of yours?
- A. Well, I'll show you Exhibit 9 and Exhibit 10 that were done before, years ago. They stay off the neck. Fifty years ago I had glasses that stayed off the neck. I still have glasses that stay off the neck.
 - Q. And any other facts or data that support

1 | that opinion?

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- A. All you have to do is look at them.
- Q. Well, how was it that Cablz won the Best of Show award, sir?

5 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. I don't know how they won but I can tell you this, that not everybody who votes has an overall knowledge of fishing, the fishing business, what goes on in the world. Many of them are localized or regionalized who vote, and it may impress them, it may look good, may be anything else. But to tell me that it's innovative when I came up with this thing 50 years ago, that's hard for me to swallow.
- Q. Do you have any reason to dispute the fact that Cablz won the Best of Show award in 2009 because it received the most votes?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. I have no way of knowing but I assume they received the most votes.
- Q. A limited number of ICAST attendees cast votes, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And on their ballots, innovation was the first consideration listed, correct?
- 25 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. According to the sheet of 2009.
- Q. And presumably at least some voters voted for Cablz because Cablz won in its category, correct?

 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - A. Correct.

- Q. And it's your opinion that the voters that voted for Cablz did not do so in recognition of any innovative, new or nonobvious stay-off-the-neck future of Cablz' eyewear retainers, correct?
 - A. Say that again.
- Q. It's your opinion that the voters that voted for Cablz in 2009 at ICAST did not do so in recognition of any innovative, new or nonobvious stay-off-the-neck feature of Cablz' eyewear retainers?
- A. I'm not sure I understand what you're saying.
- Q. I'm just trying to understand your opinions in this case, sir. And as I understand --
- A. Well, all I'm saying is that you can say whatever you want about it being innovative, new, stay off the neck and I just pick up Exhibit 9 and 10 and show you that 50 years ago they were staying off the neck. What's so new and innovative about that?
- Q. So is your opinion -- I want to make sure I have your opinion straight, sir. Are you giving the

opinion that you just don't think Cablz was innovative or are you giving the opinion that voters at ICAST in 2009 did not vote Cablz Best of Show because it was innovative?

2.0

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

A. I'm not saying neither. I'm saying that -well, no, let me back that up. I'm not saying what the
voters did. I have no idea what the voters did. I have
no idea that they put innovation first and something
else last. You don't know what a voter did when he
votes.

All I'm saying is that you can't claim that keeping it off the neck was innovative and that what they did was innovative. They may have used a different material than I did, but it's the same thing. And I've had mine for 50 years. And I walked around with it, it was on national television for 27 years, and everything else. So it wasn't a big, deep, dark secret held by some guy in South Florida.

Q. And so you can't sit here today and say whether or not the voters who voted on Cablz' product in 2009 did so because they thought it was innovative?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

A. No, I can't -- I can't say why they voted for it.

1 And it's quite possible that because innovation was listed on the balot that some of them 2 could have voted for it because they thought it was 3 innovative? 4 MR. GIGGER: Objection to form. 5 Absolutely, absolutely. I don't know how 6 7 they voted. That's what I'm trying to tell you. They could have voted anything. When you elect a politician 8 I don't know why people vote for them, for this one and 9 10 that one. And in preparing your opinions for this case 11 12 did you do anything to determine why 2009 voters voted 13 the way they did? MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 14 If you figure out how to do that, you tell 15 No, I did not. 16 Did you conduct a survey of how those voters 17 voted? 18 19 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 2.0 Α. I don't even know who voted. 21 Did you obtain a list of voters from ICAST Q. 22 in 2009? 23 Α. No. 24 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 25 Have you ever obtained a list of voters from Q.

1 ICAST for any year? 2 Α. No. MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 3 Ο. Can you identify who the voters were in 4 2009? 5 6 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 7 Α. No. Can you tell me how many voters there were 8 Ο. in 2009? 9 10 Α. No. Can you tell me how the number of voters in 11 Ο. 2009 compares with the number of voters in any of the 12 13 years surrounding 2009? 14 Α. No. MR. GIGGER: Objection to form. 15 Have you contacted anyone who cast a vote at 16 Q. ICAST in 2009 to discuss their vote? 17 18 Α. No. 19 MR. GIGGER: Objection to form. 20 Q. Have you interviewed any 2009 voter about his her or vote? 21 22 Α. No. 23 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 24 Ο. Can you tell me how many votes were cast in 25 favor of Cablz in 2009?

1 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 2 Α. How many votes what? Were cast in favor of Cablz in 2009? 3 Q. Α. No. 4 Can you give me the name of any person who Q. voted for Cablz in 2009? 6 7 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 8 Α. No. Have you spoken to any person who voted for 9 Ο. Cablz in 2009 about their vote? 10 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 11 12 Α. No. 13 Ο. Can you give me the name of any person who voted in 2009 but chose not to vote for Cablz? 14 15 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 16 Α. No. MR. GIGGER: Is there any difference 17 between these? 18 19 Can you tell me any other products that were 20 competing with Cablz in the apparel category in 2009? 21 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 22 Α. No. 23 Q. Can you tell me how many entrants there were 24 in Cablz' product categories? 25 A. How many what?

1 Ο. Entrants there were in Cablz' product category in 2009? 2 3 Α. No. MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. He's been asked this several times. 5 6 Sitting here today you can't tell me why any 7 particular voter voted the way they did in 2009? MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. He's 8 been asked this several times. 9 10 Α. That is correct. In paragraph 35 of your report you also 11 Ο. 12 state, and I'm going to quote from it so take a look if 13 you would like to. "In my opinion, many of the voters have no 14 particular experience or qualifications to comment on 15 what is innovative, new or nonobvious, and often vote 16 for something that they think is new to the market (even 17 if old in the industry) or because they like its 18 19 appearance." 2.0 Did I read that correctly, sir? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Ο. And is that your opinion here today? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Ο. And are you planning to offer that opinion 25 in the trial of this matter?

A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. Let me ask you this, what do you mean when you say the word "experience" in that opinion?
- A. Well, they don't have -- I could substitute the word "knowledge" for experience. But they just haven't been around in enough places, enough times to know what's happening. Most of them are regionalized in their writing. And in the upper midwest this is not a top priority.
- Q. And in that opinion what do you mean when you say "qualifications"?
 - A. Have the experience and the knowledge.
- Q. To do what, sir, experience and knowledge to do what?
- A. To judge what's new or what's innovative or what matters. I go back to the kayak this year. I mean this is a fishing tackle show and they come up with the idea that the kayak is really innovative, or what they did to the kayak. It's hard to believe when you have rods, reels, lines, lures. At a boat show, yes; fishing tackle show, no.
- Q. In your opinion, sir, what experience and qualifications would an ICAST voter have needed in 2009 to be able to comment on what was innovative, new or nonobvious?

- A. More of a universal fishing experience.
- Q. And what do you mean when you say universal fishing experience?
- A. Different parts of the country, different parts of the world, different species. There is only a handful of writers who can go from trout with a 6x tippet and a fly rod to big game offshore. I once counted them.
 - Q. And how many did you count?
- A. Seven including me because I was trying to get a job at a magazine and I wanted to know who my competition was.
- Q. So how many of the 2009 voters possess the requisite experience and qualifications to enable them to comment on what was innovative, new or nonobvious?
- A. I have no idea. I don't know who voted in 2009, but you already knew that.
- Q. So you can't identify any voters who had the requisite knowledge and experience?
 - A. I can't identify any voters period. I don't know who voted in 2009.
- Q. Have you spoken with any 2009 voter about the experience that he or she possessed at the time of the vote?
- 25 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

1	MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
2	You've asked these again and again.
3	Q. Have you spoken with any 2009 voter about
4	qualifications he or she possessed at the time of the
5	vote?
6	MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
7	A. No.
8	Q. How many resumes or CVs of 2009 voters did
9	you review to support your opinion?
10	MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
11	A. None.
12	Q. How many 2009 voters have you interviewed
13	regarding the experience and qualifications they
14	possessed in 2009?
15	MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
16	A. None.
17	Q. Did you do anything to determine what
18	experience and qualifications the 2009 voters possessed
19	before rendering your opinion in this case?
20	MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
21	A. No.
22	Q. Sitting here today can you identify a single
23	voter that cast a vote at ICAST in 2009 who, in your
24	opinion, lacked the experience and qualifications
25	necessary to comment on what was innovative, new or

1	nonobvious at the time?
2	MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
3	A. No.
4	Q. Can you identify for me here today any 2009
5	ICAST voter who voted for Cablz because they thought
6	Cablz product was new to the market?
7	MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
8	A. No.
9	Q. Can you tell me sitting here today how many
10	voters voted for Cablz on the basis that they thought
11	Cablz' product was new to the market?
12	MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
13	A. No.
14	Q. Can you identify for me here today any 2009
15	ICAST voter who voted for Cablz because they liked the
16	appearance of Cablz' product?
17	A. No.
18	MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
19	Q. Can you tell me how many voters voted for
20	Cablz on the basis that they liked the appearance of
21	Cablz' product?
22	MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
23	A. No.
24	Q. You can't tell me sitting here today why any
25	particular voter in 2009 voted the way they did can

you?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2 Α. No.

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

Ο. Other than the opinions that we've discussed, are you offering any other opinions relating to the ICAST award that Cablz won in this case?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- Am I offering any other opinions to what? Α.
- Other than the opinions that we've just Q. discussed, are you referring any other opinions relating to the ICAST award that Cablz won --

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- Q. -- in this case?
- Not that I can think of. Α.
- Q. And specifically just to be clear, I'm referring to paragraph 35 of your report where you state, "It is my opinion that Cablz' receipt of the Best of Show award was not in recognition of any innovative, new or nonobvious stay-off-the-neck feature of Cablz' eyewear retainers," and also you state in paragraph 35, "In my opinion, many of the voters have no particular experience or qualifications to comment on what is innovative, new or nonobvious, and often vote for something that they think is new to the market (even if old in the industry) or because they like its

1 appearance."

2

3

4

5

6

7

17

18

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And my question is other than those two opinions, are you offering any opinions relating to any other --

- A. Yeah, I would ask you to strike "even if old in the industry." Since you can't enter anything that wasn't --
- 8 MR. GIGGER: No.
- 9 THE WITNESS: You don't want to do that?
- MR. GIGGER: We don't want to be
- 11 striking your report.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 13 | O. So --
- 14 A. I'll answer that --
- 15 Q. Do you want to strike that or not?
- 16 A. No.
 - Q. All right. Sir, I would like to ask you about paragraph 36 of your report.
- 19 A. Thirty-six.
 - Q. Paragraph 36 you offer the opinion that

 Chums, Croakies and other eyewear retainer companies did

 not offer a stay-off-the-neck retainer prior to Cablz

 due to the fact that Chums, Croakies and other eyewear

 retainer companies are interested in selling eyewear

 retainers, and as acknowledged by Chisolm, there was not

1 general consumer demand for this type of product which may be partly due to the fact that many of us in the 2 fishing industry simply and cheaply made our own 3 stay-off-the-neck eyewear retainers for decades. 4 5 Is that a fair statement of your opinion? MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 6 7 Ah, pretty much. Α. And is that your opinion here today? 8 Q. MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form. 9 10 Α. Yes. 11

Q. And are you planning to offer that opinion in the trial of this case?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

A. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you believe that you're qualified to opine about the business decisions of eyewear retainer companies relating to what products they offer?
 - A. Say that again.
- Q. Do you believe that you're qualified to opine, to give an opinion about the business decisions of eyewear retainer companies relating to what products they offer?
- A. No, I'm not -- I don't deal in that.
- Q. Did you interview anyone in management at any eyewear retainer company, including Chums and

- Croakies, prior to forming the opinion you express in paragraph 36 about why they did not offer certain product?
 - A. No, and as I told you before, I didn't talk to anybody in either company.
 - Q. Have you spoken with anyone at any eyewear retainer company, including Chums and Croakies, about why they did or did not offer a stay-off-the-neck eyewear retainer at any period in time?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Do you have any experience in eyewear retainer sales?
 - A. No.

- MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- Q. Do you have any experience in gauging consumer demand for eyewear retainers?
- 17 A. No.
 - Q. What facts or data support your conclusion that the lack of consumer demand for a stay-off-the-neck eyewear retainer may be partly due to the fact that some in the fishing industry had made their own retainers?
 - A. For years and years and years we never thought of anybody making this thing commercially, and if they did, would they make it as good as we did. And so far I haven't seen one as good as mine.

1	Q. Have you ever done any market research to
2	gauge consumer demand for eyewear containers?
3	MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
4	A. No, I don't sell eyewear retainers.
5	Q. In preparing your opinion for the trial in
6	this matter did you review any market research relating
7	to eyewear retainers?
8	MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
9	A. No.
10	Q. In preparing your opinions for this matter
11	did you conduct any customer surveys?
12	A. No.
13	MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
14	Q. Did you review any customer surveys?
15	MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
16	A. No.
17	Q. In preparing your opinions for this matter
18	did you talk to any individuals employed by eyewear
19	retainer companies?
20	MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
21	A. No.
22	Q. Sitting here today do you have any numbers
23	you can give me about the number of people in the
24	fishing industry who have made their own retainers?
25	MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Do you have any numbers you can give me
- about the number of these retainers in use at any given
- 4 time?
- 5 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 6 A. No.
- Q. Other than the opinions that we have discussed here today, will you be offering any other opinions at the trial of this matter?
- 10 A. As of today probably not. If I come up with
 11 other thoughts after today, possibly.
- Q. So it's possible that you may supplement your report with additional opinions?
 - A. If I come up with other thoughts.
- Q. With that we'll reserve the right to
- 16 redepose him.

- Sir, I would like to ask you a couple of questions about Exhibit E to your report.
- 19 A. Exhibit E?
- 20 Q. Yes, sir.
- 21 A. That comes before G, right?
- 22 O. Yes, sir.
- A. I'm sorry.
- Q. Are you there?
- A. Oh, yeah, yeah.

1 Q. My apologies.

3

4

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- 2 A. I think I'm there. Wait a minute.
 - Q. I'm looking at Exhibit E on page 23, sir.
 - A. I'm a page off. I'm sorry. Yeah.
- Q. Can you generally just tell me what's depicted in Exhibit E?
 - A. What what?
 - Q. What these photographs depict I'm just asking?
- 10 A. What these photographs show?
- 11 Q. Yes, sir.
 - A. Well, if you look at the top one on the right you can see the bait rigging floss, you can see the line is standing clear of my head, not touching my neck. You can see the bait rigging floss on the second and the third pictures the way we -- that's the retainer we used and the way we secured it.
 - Q. It looks like on the left of those pictures you just described for me there is also pictures of your videotapes --
 - A. Yes.
- 22 | 0. -- is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And does the picture on the right come from the videotape on the left?

1 MR. GIGGER: I think it tells you in the 2 report.

2.0

MR. HILL: What paragraph is that, do you know?

MR. GIGGER: I don't know. I think you're asking whether the specific picture came from the specific video cassette?

MR. HILL: Right.

- Q. Yeah, I'm just asking if the picture on the right is -- came from the video on the left, if you know?
 - A. I would think so, but I can't remember.
- Q. And on the second page of Exhibit E, page 24 of your report, can you tell me what that is?
- A. Yeah, that offers these videos for sale. If you look at the numbers on the bottom it's got different prices on there. It says Mark Sosin's Sales Center.
- Q. All right. Exhibit F, sir, can you tell me what that depicts?
- A. Yeah. This, as far as I can remember, shows questions that I was asked on my website. I tried to make myself available to the public. I think you watch somebody on television, wouldn't it be nice if you can contact them and communicate directly. And these are examples of questions I got and answers I took the time

1 to write.

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. And do you still maintain that website, sir?
- A. No, sir. I'm semiretired, let me remind you.
 - Q. Is that one of the obligations you gave up?
 - A. It's one of the perks, yeah. And I don't have the television show anymore.
 - Q. And Exhibit G, sir, can you tell me -- looks like paragraph 37 of your report, you state that it's a picture that IGFA used when they inducted you into the Hall of Fame?
 - A. Yeah, they doctored it up some but they -- I didn't do it. They took it and they put the glasses -- made sure the glasses were around my neck.
 - Q. And is that a photograph or a painting?
 - A. You know, I'm trying to figure what it is.

 The actual one in the thing is kind of like a painting or they doctor it up or they do something to all of these. They look like photographs but they also -- they take the color out, most of them are black and white.
 - Q. And so is this framed somewhere?
 - A. I don't know where they got it. They never asked. You'd be surprised how few people ask you for things.
 - Q. And then Exhibit H, sir, appears to be --

- 1 Α. When -- when I went on television I told you I went with Sina Sport in Little Rock, Arkansas. 2 3 company was owned by a man named Frank Vestel (phonetical) who is deceased and 30 years ago when I 4 started Frank gave me this painting. He kind of 5 6 surprised me with it and the light isn't in there, 7 that's the light in my office. And I put it in there because you can see the glasses hanging around my neck. 8
 - Q. And is that --
 - A. And I didn't tell him.
- Q. And is that the painting -- is that painting hanging in your office today?
- 13 A. Yes.

10

14

- Q. Has it ever been publicly displayed anywhere?
- A. No. And the little picture down there is my older son.
- Q. And going back to Exhibit G quickly, do you recall when it was that you were inducted into the
- 21 A. IGFA.
- 22 Q. -- Hall of Fame?
- A. Do I recall what?
- Q. I believe you testified to this earlier but do you recall the time when you were inducted?

A. Yes, 2004.

2.0

- Q. So that's the year this would have been done?
 - A. Yeah, I was just a kid back then.
 - Q. And you testified Exhibit H was painted approximately 30 years ago?
 - A. Yes, still had chubby cheeks.
 - Q. How about Exhibit I, sir?
 - A. Exhibit I was painted, I believe, in 2001. I had helped Dave Macose (phonetic) who is an artist with various things, lending of tackle or posing for him. And then one day he surprised me and he sent me this tarpon. He knew I loved tarpon fishing, sent me the tarpon thing and he put my face just kind of in the background in the upper, right-hand corner and I didn't know it was coming. And if you turn the page to page 29, you can see what he did with my glasses.

What we're trying to show is that I've had these for a long time. People associate me with them. Twenty-seven years with them on national television, in magazine articles, in books and everything else. That hat and those glasses are trademarks.

- Q. And since the time you received this painting where has it been displayed, sir?
 - A. It's in my family room.

- Q. Has it ever been displayed publicly?
- A. No. You've got more? Pick some good ones.
- MR. HILL: We can go off the record a
- 4 | couple of minutes if you need to.
- 5 (Discussion is held off the record.)
- 6 BY MR. HILL:

- 7 Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. This one we'll mark
- 8 as Plaintiff's Exhibit 9. It's a document entitled
- 9 Re-Notice of Deposition. I'm going to include with that
- 10 a subpoena.
- 11 (Exhibit 9 is marked for
- 12 identification.)
- 13 A. I'm sorry you don't have video. I don't
- 14 | wear this jacket very often.
- Q. Relating to your deposition here today, I
- 16 would ask for you to take a look at those documents and
- 17 | see if you recognize them.
- 18 A. What do I do now? Oh, you're waiting for
- 19 me?
- 20 Q. Certainly. Once you're done reviewing those
- 21 documents.
- 22 A. I don't know what you want so go ahead.
- Q. Well, I direct your attention to page eight
- 24 of your Deposition Notice.
- A. Which one, this?

- Q. A document, list of document requests starting on page eight.
 - A. List of document requests.
- Q. For the record, I'll note that you have -Chums' counsel has raised, I believe, attorney/client
 privilege and work product objections to to 2, 4, and
 11.
- 8 MR. GIGGER: I haven't seen it, so I 9 can't speak to it.
- MR. HILL: Well, I'll ask my questions
 with that, with those objections?
- MR. GIGGER: We received this when I was
 traveling so I don't know what objections were
 raised.
- 15 BY MR. HILL:

2

3

4

5

6

7

21

22

23

24

- Q. Mr. Sosin, I would like to step through
 these with you real quick and make sure there is not
 anything responsive that you haven't provided to Chums'
 counsel. Question one we've got your resume with the
 report, correct?
 - A. You have my professional background, yes.
 - Q. Question two asked for all correspondence, memoranda, field notes, investigations, experiments, demonstrations, photographs, maps, charts, diagrams, video tapes or any other item gathered, tested, provided

- 175 1 to or obtained by you (or anyone working on your behalf), reviewed by you (or anyone working on your 2 behalf), or created in the course of your investigation 3 of and in rendering your opinions in this case. 4 Have you provided anything responsive to 5 request number two to Chums' counsel? 6 7 MR. GIGGER: That would be obviously subject to the objections, work product raised. 8 MR. HILL: Right. Sure. 9 10 Α. Just Exhibit 9 and 10 and whatever these exhibits are. 11 12 Q. Right. I'm just -- I would like for you to 13 look at these and make sure there is nothing else out there that you haven't provided --14 15 Α. No. -- Chums' counsel. And how about item 16 Ο. number three, sir, anything responsive to number three? 17 Number seven. I don't have a list of all 18 Α. 19 the books, treatises or articles, you know, and I'm not 2.0 going to give you a list of 3,000 plus articles, 5,000
 - Q. All right. Are there any other, of the requests here that ask for information that you're aware exist, that you haven't provided to Chums' counsel?

22

23

24

25

scripts.

A. No. You know more about it than I do.

1 Ο. And we've already received all the documents that you brought with you here today, correct? 2 3 Α. Yes. Mr. Sosin, have you told me here today about 4 Ο. all of the opinions that you plan to offer at trial in 5 this case? 6 7 MR. GIGGER: Including what's in his 8 report? Is that what you're asking? MR. HILL: I'm just asking today have we 9 10 discussed all of the opinions that you are planning to offer --11 12 MR. GIGGER: And I just want to make 13 sure it's clear that not everything in his report was discussed today. So if we're clear that that's 14 15 referring to what was discussed, plus what's in the report, I just want to make sure he understands 16 that's what you're talking about. 17 Well, I'll ask are there any opinions in 18 19 your report that we haven't discussed today? 2.0 MR. GIGGER: I think the report kind of 21 speaks for itself. We don't need to have him go 22 through his report and see what we have and haven't 23 talked about, do we? 24 Ο. Other than paragraphs 35 and 36 in your

report, are there any other opinions that you plan to

offer at the trial in this matter?

2.0

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. Not that I know of at this time.
- Q. Are there any opinions contained within your report that we have not discussed here today?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

- A. I don't know. I didn't look -- count all of them, but whatever I know is in that report.
- Q. Well, sir, I would like for you to take the time here to review your report and let me know if there are any opinions you plan to offer --
- MR. GIGGER: That's unreasonable to have him go through his whole report and pick out every line that we haven't talked about today. I mean that would take hours to compare with the transcript that he doesn't have in front of him.
- Q. Okay. Well, I'll ask it this way, sir, what opinions are you planning to offer at trial in this matter?
 - MR. GIGGER: Objection to form.
- A. Let me say I haven't thought about the trial, whether there is going to be one or there isn't or anything else. I thought about this. And the major thing is something that stands off the back of your neck is not new, it's 50 years old. There are other ways of

doing this. I don't consider the modern things a great innovation. It's just another way of doing what we did here. That's basically it. I'm a fisherman.

Q. And I'll ask you this again, sir. Other than paragraphs 35 and 36 of your report, are there any opinions that you plan to offer testimony as an expert on in the trial of this case?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

Q. And please take all the time you need to look back over your report.

MR. GIGGER: To make the record clear, I think it's unreasonable to ask him to pick out every line from his report that has or hasn't been discussed today.

MR. HILL: I'm not asking him that,

Josh. I'm just asking to identify the opinions he's

going to testify about as an expert at trial.

MR. GIGGER: Yeah. And we'll identify things in accordance with the trial schedule, but you have his expert report. It says what it says. You have his testimony here today. I mean you have what he is planning, as of today, to testify to. I don't think you need him to go line by line because it's just not possible for him to tell you every line that was or wasn't discussed.

MR. HILL: And I think it's perfectly reasonable for an expert to disclose the opinions they're planning to offer at trial.

2.0

MR. GIGGER: And that's why you have the report with his opinions and you are allowed to ask him about those opinions. So I think you have his opinions.

MR. HILL: And I'm just asking if there are any opinions he's planning to give at trial that we have not discussed here today.

MR. GIGGER: How about you ask him if there any opinions that are not in his report or were not discussed today. I think that's the comprehensive question you're trying to get at.

Q. I'll be glad to ask him that as well. But I will ask first whether there are any opinions that you plan to offer at trial that we have not discussed here today?

MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.

A. I can't answer that because I don't know what I'll think of tomorrow or the day after. But up to now, I thought we pretty well covered my thoughts and feelings on the whole thing about the glasses, how the concept that Cablz used was done 50 years ago. My thoughts on Best of Show Award, those are the basic

1 things.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

- Q. And other than the opinions we discussed today, anything contained within your report, are there any additional opinions that you plan to offer testimony about at trial as an expert?
 - A. Not that I know of today.
 - Q. Have we talked about today all of the work that you've done in this case to develop and support your opinions as an expert?
- 10 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
- 11 A. All of the work I've done to support my
 12 opinion?
- 13 | Q. Yes, sir.
 - A. Yeah. We've talked about everything I've done.
 - Q. Sitting here today do you have plans to do any further work on this case prior to trial?
 - A. I can't answer that.
- 19 Q. Why not, sir?
- MR. GIGGER: He's just asking as of
 today do you have any plans to do anything else, I
 mean may be related or something that comes up but as
 of now do you have any plans?
- 24 A. No, no. I didn't understand the question.
- Q. Do you have any plans to submit any -- a

```
181
 1
      supplemental report?
            Α.
                  Not right now.
 2
 3
            Q.
                  A revised report?
            Α.
                  No.
 4
                  Any additional report?
 5
            Q.
 6
            Α.
                  No.
 7
                   MR. HILL: Take just a couple of
      minutes. I think I'm --
 8
                   MR. GIGGER: Off the record.
 9
10
                    (Brief recess is taken.)
11
                         CROSS-EXAMINATION
      BY MR. GIGGER:
12
13
            Ο.
                  Mr. Sosin, you understand that you're still
      under oath?
14
15
            Α.
                  I do.
                  We've had a chance to talk today about
16
            Q.
      Visser Exhibits 9 and 10. Do you see those in front of
17
      you?
18
19
                  Oh, yes.
            A.
20
            Q.
                  And just to make sure the record is clear
      since it's -- this is not being video-taped, I just want
21
22
      to ask you a couple of questions about those exhibits.
23
      So, why don't you gap Visser Exhibit 9, please, and do
24
      you see that?
```

Α.

Yes.

1 Ο. And do you recognize what that is? Well, what what is? 2 Α. What Visser Exhibit 9 is? 3 Q. Yes. It's a pair of my glasses with 4 Α. retainers attached to them. 5 Okay. And what are the retainers doing on 6 7 your device? 8 Α. Well, the retainers cause the monofilament that I use here as a retainer to stand straight out the 9 10 back of my head. Okay. And what are your retainers made out 11 0. 12 of? 13 Α. Well, the retainers are made out of bait rigging floss, 35-pound test bait rigging floss. 14 15 MR. HILL: I'm going to object to the use of the word "retainer" to the extent it's an 16 issue in any counterclaim instruction in this matter. 17 THE WITNESS: What can't I use? 18 19 MR. GIGGER: Do you have a preferable 20 term to retainer? What would you like me to call it?

24 THE WITNESS: Well, it keeps the glasses

want to, but I'm just going to object to that

terminology in the questioning.

21

22

23

MR. HILL: You can call it whatever you

- Q. Let me do this, Mark, we've used the term
 "retainer" referring to the bait rigging floss; is that
 correct?
 - A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Do you think that's an appropriate term for the bait rigging floss?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Can you tell me why?
 - A. Because it keeps the monofilament where it is. It retains the glasses, it keeps them on my neck. That's what a retainer does. Whether you stick it on the back, you put it here or like the guy on the plane did, just tie a clinch knot right here in the middle.
- 14 They're all retainers of some sort or another.
- 15 Q. Okay. The monofilament that you referred to, how many ends does it have?
- A. How many what?
- 18 Q. Ends?
- 19 A. Ends.
- Q. E-N-D-S?
- 21 A. It has two ends.
- Q. Okay. And can you tell me where each of those ends are located on your device?
- A. One on each temple bar underneath either the retainer or whatever we call it these days.

- Q. I'm sorry. Under the temple retainer?
- 2 A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- Q. Okay. And I believe you testified earlier

 as to your choice of the monofilament, can you tell us a

 little bit more about that, why you chose 300-pound

 test?
 - A. First of all, it was readily available.

 Everybody had monofilament and this was nothing more

 than leader material and I had it and it's easy to use.

 All you have to do is stick the end in a candle and it

 flares, it won't pull out. It's a logical choice.
 - Q. So you've testified that you've used this type of an eyewear retention device for approximately the last 50 years or so; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And is there any material variation between what you're looking at Exhibit 9, Visser Exhibit 9 and what you've used over the last 50 years?
 - A. Well, I think that the monofilament has improved.
 - Q. But did that improvement in the monofilament change the stiffness or ability of the --
- 23 A. No.
 - Q. -- monofilament to stay off your neck?
- 25 A. No. My main purpose was to keep this off my

- neck and also to secure it. I didn't want it to come off. I mean in the heat of battle sometimes your glasses come off, things happen, and I didn't want to lose them. I want this permanent and that's what I did.
- Q. So if you didn't want it permanent you might have chosen a different temple retainer?
 - A. Could do that.

2.0

- Q. Let me ask you this, Mark, over the last 50 years that you've used this eyewear retainer, what different types of weather conditions has it been exposed to?
- A. Everything from brutally hot sunlight with no breeze and a lot of sweat and sunblock all the way to in a small boat off of Yucatan with a hurricane bearing down on us and one of my guys wanting to swim ashore because he was afraid we wouldn't make it. And that was a forerunner of a hurricane and I still had the glasses and the retainer and they still look the same.
- Q. And in your experience how did the eyewear retainer perform under those different weather conditions?
 - A. You can't tell the difference.
- Q. In those different weather conditions did the eyewear retainer maintain its shape?
 - A. Did it what?

- Q. Maintain its shape?
- A. Oh, yeah. You can't -- if you take 300-pound test mono you can bend it and it snaps right back to where it goes.
 - Q. And in your 50 years of using this eyewear retainer, still referring to Visser Exhibit 9 and those that were similar to it over the last few years, have you ever used it while wearing suntan lotion?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did it ever get soiled with suntan lotion?
- 12 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- MR. HILL: Object to form.
- Q. And in your 50 years of using this eyewear retainer did you ever sweat while using the eyewear retainer?
 - A. Surely you gest. Yes.
 - Q. And did your eyewear retainer, in particular the monofilament, ever get soiled with sweat?
- 20 MR. HILL: Object to form.
 - A. No. I mean you look at these, these have been worn under all kinds of conditions and they look as good as the ones on my neck and all three look the same.
 - Q. Mark, I'm going to ask you a couple of questions about the videos that were marked as Exhibits

1 | --

- A. Three.
- Q. -- 3A, B and C. Do you recognize those?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And I think it was stated earlier that these videos were a collection of your television show Mark
- 7 | Sosin's --

8

9

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- A. Saltwater Journal.
 - Q. -- saltwater Journal, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And when -- do you know when those videos
 that are in front of you as Exhibits 3A, B and C, when
 those videos were put together?
- 14 A. Yes. They're copy written in 1999.
- Q. Okay. So it's your understanding that those videos were made in 1999?
 - A. We always copyright the year that they were edited, not necessarily when they were shot, but when they are edited.
 - Q. Okay. So, Mark, is it your understanding that the -- each of the Mark Sosin's Saltwater Journal episodes that were shown on those videos were all made prior to 1999?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And are these the same Mark Sosin's

- 1 Saltwater Journal episodes that were shown on ESPN and other channels? 2
 - Α. Yes.

9

- Ο. And those would have all been shown prior to 4 1999? 5
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 Q. Mark, previously we looked at some printouts from your website; do you recall those? 8
 - Α. Yes.
- 10 Ο. I believe that's in Exhibit 1 of your expert report in Exhibit E; do you recall that? 11
- 12 Α. Yes.
- Q. And is it your understanding that those pictures next to the -- strike that. 14
- Mark, do you see the pictures on the right 15 column of your expert report? 16
- Α. 17 Yes.
- Q. And how many pictures are there there? 18
- 19 Α. Three.
- 2.0 Q. And is it your understanding that those pictures are from the videos that we just spoke of that 21 22 are in Exhibits 3A, B and C?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Ο. And it's your understanding that these pictures are from episodes of Mark Sosin's Saltwater 25

Journal that were aired prior to 1999?

A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. Mark, earlier you were asked some questions about the filming of a video on your dock; do you recall that discussion?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I believe there was a discussion as to how many takes or how many shots, how many times the video was shot; do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And can you explain why so many shots were taken?
- A. Sure. You've got to understand the video business. Everybody thinks that you just go out there and shoot and it's edited and put together. That's not the way you do quality work. What you do is one camera is going to shoot the basic scene and then the second camera or third, if you have it, is going to go and get what we call cutaways. And he can't do everything at one time, he's got to move and focus and do it so that even though they came out correctly each time and were easy to do, I did it more than once because they could then shoot various stages of that and you would have a complete video.
 - Q. And so is it your understanding that the

video wasn't shot several times because of any problems in putting your eyewear retainer on the glasses?

A. No, no, no. They went on each time.

They're very simple to put on. I mean once I show you, anybody can do it. It's just wrapping the dental floss or the bait rigging floss around like that, you get to the end, you put a loop of line here, you wrap back over it four, five, six times, stick the end in the loop, pull the loop through, trim it and it's done.

So if you can wrap bait rigging floss around like this (indicating), that's all you've got to do.

- Q. Mark, earlier there were some questions about Costa and Costa providing you with eyeglasses; do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. Has Costa provided you with any glasses in connection with this lawsuit?
- A. I don't think Costa knows that I'm even involved in this lawsuit.
- Q. So you've had no discussions with Costa about this lawsuit?
- A. No. They don't talk to me that much. They want me to wear the glasses because I'm well-known. I have glasses hanging around my neck every day of my life and they want their glasses hanging there. Now, I've

- done some talks for them, I've done some appearances for them, but basically they want Mark Sosin, he's got Costa hanging on his neck.
 - Q. So you've had no correspondence or communications with Costa about this lawsuit?
 - A. No, no.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2.0

21

- Q. And as far as you know, they don't even know that you're involved with this lawsuit?
- A. No. They have know knowledge. If they know it, they didn't find out from me.
- Q. Mark, earlier there was some questions about your appearances at ICAST over the last 53 years or so; do you recall that?
 - A. Yeah, I can't forget it. Yes.
- Q. One line of questions talked about your appearance at ICAST in 1999; do you recall that?
- 17 A. 1999?
- 18 Q. I'm sorry, excuse me. Go ahead and strike
 19 that.
 - Your appearance -- do you recall some questions about your attendance at ICAST in 2009?
 - A. Vaquely.
- Q. And did you testify at that time that you saw Cablz or at least -- strike that again.
- 25 Mark, can you tell me about the first time

that you saw Cablz' eyewear retainer?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. It was at an ICAST show I assume since they had just -- in order to enter the Best of Show, it has to be a new product. So -- and that was done in 2009 so I assume the year was 2009 that I saw them there. And I was just walking down the aisle and I saw this thing and the first impulse in my head was, gee, they copied what I've been doing for 50 years.
- Q. And why was that your first thought that they copied you?
- A. Well, they had something that held -- held the line off the back of your neck. That's what I saw. I mean and I've been doing that for 50 years.
- Q. So you're referring to Visser Exhibit 9, you held that up as you were answering the question?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you're referring to the fact that the eyewear retainer extends backward from the glasses and straight back?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 MR. HILL: Object to the form.
- Q. And can you explain why you thought that that was -- that Cablz had copied that?
- A. Well, I've been doing it for 50 years and,
 you know, it's not like I just live in a little house

- someplace. I mean we would reach a million households a

 week on television. And I had 27 years on television,

 plus all kinds of other things and I figured they saw it

 and came up with a different way of doing it.
 - Q. And so is it fair to say that when you saw Cablz' product which extended backward from the glasses --
 - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- in sort of that arch shape, you saw the similarities between that and what you're holding, Visser Exhibit 9?
- MR. HILL: Object to the form.
 - A. Oh, yeah, yeah. To me I mean it was the same concept.
 - Q. Okay. Mark, at that time is it your understanding that you approached the Cablz' booth?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And -- but you don't recall the conversation you had at that time?
 - A. No. I know I said something but I don't know what I said. You know, it's hard for you guys to remember, but I didn't know that there was going to be a trial in 2014 or I would have prepared better.
 - Q. Taken some pictures. Mark, I'm handing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1, a copy of

- 1 your expert report.
- 2 Would you turn to paragraph --
- A. What page?
 - Q. Thirty-five on page 13.
 - A. I'm only a page off. Go.
- 6 Q. So do you recall being questioned about the
- 7 | ICAST Best of Show Awards earlier today?
- 8 A. Yes.

- 9 Q. And do you recall being asked about ICAST's
- 10 Best of Show requiring a new product?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And what -- what's your understanding of a
- new product as far as ICAST is concerned?
- MR. HILL: Object to the form.
- 15 A. To me a new product is not a variation on a
- 16 | theme. What do you need?
- 17 O. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to distract you.
- 18 Why don't I re-ask the question. I cut you off.
- 19 Mark, I'm going to hand you what's been
- 20 marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 and there is a
- 21 definition of a new product under What Qualifies as a
- 22 | New Product; do you see that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you mind reading that?
- 25 A. "A product qualifies as "new" if it has not

- appeared in national distribution in the North American
 market, at any level of distribution, prior to January
 1, 2009, or appeared in any 2008 (or earlier) product
 catalog."
 - Q. So, Mark, was your eyewear retention device, again Visser Exhibit 9, was that ever in national distribution?
 - A. No.

Q. And so based on that is it your understanding that new product -- strike that. Could Cablz' product be a new product for ICAST without considering your eyewear retention device?

MR. HILL: Object to form.

- A. I'm sure it could be.
- Q. Is it your understanding that new product as used by ICAST in that exhibit is referring to a commercially sold product?

MR. HILL: Object to form.

- A. Oh, yeah. It specifically says has not appeared in national distribution in the North American market.
- Q. Okay. So it's your understanding that a new product for ICAST means a product that was not commercially sold prior to the applicable date?
 - A. Correct.

MR. HILL: Object to form.

Q. Mark, is it your opinion that Cablz' product is a new product?

MR. HILL: Object to form.

A. No.

2.0

- Q. Why is that?
- A. It's just a different way of doing what we've been doing for 50 years.
- Q. Okay. And when you say that, is it your understanding that -- strike that. In your experience attending ICAST in the New Product Showcase, were you ever given samples of products that weren't sold to determine whether the new products are innovative over those products?
- A. No. Only -- the only products that can go in there, you have to be an exhibitor, you have to have a booth and then you can submit a new product provided it meets the guidelines in the New Product Showcase. I believe it's Exhibit 5.
- Q. So in your experience at ICAST in attending the New Product Showcase you were never given other products to make a determination on whether the new products were innovative over the old products?

MR. HILL: Object to form.

A. No, no. What it says here, and once again

- what qualifies, it's new if it has not appeared in
 national distribution in the North American market at
 any level of distribution prior to 1, January, 2009.
 - Q. When you attended ICAST in 2009, were any of the voters, to your knowledge, provided with a sample of your eyewear retention device --
 - A. No.
 - O. -- similar to Visser Exhibit 9?
 - A. No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Mark, do you have any reason to believe that a majority of the voters at ICAST were aware of your eyewear retainer device when they were voting in the 2009 New Product Showcase?

MR. HILL: Object to form.

- A. I have no way of knowing whether the majority does or doesn't, no.
- Q. To your knowledge, the voters weren't given a sample of your product before voting?
- A. You can't. It's a -- you've got to understand what the New Product Showcase is, it is very well controlled continuously. You can't even walk in the room, no matter who you are, until they open it at 5:30 Tuesday night.
- Q. Mark, you talked earlier about the basis for your opinion that the -- strike that. Let me go back.

Referring now to Exhibit 1 of your deposition, your expert report. In paragraph 35 you gave an opinion in the second line it says, "As a member of the fishing industry media and ICAST participant for more than 40 years, it is my opinion that Cablz' receipt of the Best of Show award and other --

- A. We struck that.
- Q. -- was not in recognition of any innovative, new or nonobvious stay-off-the-neck feature of Cablz' eyewear retainers." Is that your opinion?
 - A. That's my opinion.
- Q. And is that opinion based on your prior eyewear retention device?
 - A. Yes.

2.0

MR. HILL: Object to form.

- Q. And would you please explain your basis for that opinion?
- A. Well, I wasn't the first one. This is my device but there was one before mine that I knew of and that also kept the line off the back of your neck and that was developed by a group of young fishermen in Miami who I nicknamed the Young Turks. And I used their system until they made the temple bars thinner and more curved and the original temple bars came out -- and I'm holding Exhibit 9. The original temple bars came out

straighter so the monofilament came in straight. And once they changed that you had to come up with a new system and I came up with this system.

Q. So it's your opinion that because Cablz' product had similarities to your product, Visser Exhibit 9, the -- strike that.

It's your opinion that the Cablz' product was not innovative because of the similarities to your prior eyewear retainer?

MR. HILL: Object to form.

- A. Not just mine but other people using similar ones. I mean it's been in use a long time by a number of people, guides, fishermen, whatever that I've come in contact with. So you can't say that stay-off-the-neck feature is really innovative.
- Q. And this is the same -- so referring to your eyewear retainer, this is the same eyewear retainer that you used on cable television for 27 years?
 - A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. And this is the same eyewear retainer that was discussed on your website?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And both of those -- strike that. Your website was publicly available prior to 2007, correct?
 - A. MarkSosin.com.

1 Ο. And your videos were on television prior to 2007, correct? 2 3 Α. Yes. Ο. Okay. And so it's your opinion based on 4 that that the Cablz' product is not innovative? 5 MR. HILL: Object to form. 6 7 Α. Yes. And -- and so do you believe that the 8 Ο. voters' intent at the ICAST 2009 New Product Showcase is 9 10 relevant to whether the product is, in fact, innovative? MR. HILL: Object to form. 11 12 Α. Whether the voters --13 Ο. Let me ask it a different way. Your opinion that the Cablz' product was not innovative is based on 14 15 your prior eyewear retention device, correct? 16 Α. Yes. And your opinion that the Cablz' product is 17 not new or novel is based on your prior eyewear retainer 18 19 product, correct? 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 Q. And your opinion that the Cablz' product is 22 not nonobvious is based on the -- your prior eyewear 23 retainer device, correct? 24 MR. HILL: Object to form. 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. Mark, you testified earlier about the experience and qualifications of those that vote in the ICAST New Product Showcase.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Have you had experience with people that do, in fact, vote at the New Product Showcase?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And do those people include members of the press?
- A. Yes.

2.0

Q. And why, in your opinion, do those individuals lack the experience or qualifications to determine whether a product is innovative or new?

MR. HILL: Object to form.

A. A lot of them focus their interests either locally or regionally. They do not have an overview of the country as a whole or other countries, so that they're limited in their thinking to what they see and what they do.

There is stuff in here where one writer wrote that he noticed them and wrote about them, I believe in 1991. But most of the writers -- you know, they give you the form, they don't tell you at ICAST, they give you the form. They don't tell you is it innovative, is it this, is it that, is it the other

thing. Here is a form, pick the product you like best and vote for it, okay, in all these categories. That's what happens. It's a mad house.

One of the reasons I don't vote is I don't look at all the products, I talk to my friends.

Q. So when you get the form at ICAST you generally read the entire form to figure out what the rules are?

MR. HILL: Object to the form.

- A. No, and neither do most people in my judgment.
 - Q. And why do you have that opinion?
- A. Because the women that hand it out tell them to just put down the product you think is best in each category. I don't know how they pick Best of Show.
- Q. Mark, when you mention that people have regional or local experience, can you tell me a little bit more about what you mean by that?
- A. Well, take the guy in the upper midwest or Chicago where the show used to be and he fishes Lake Michigan. He doesn't have in his mind the same requirements as the guy who fishes the tropical flats in South Florida. The writer who covers that, who lives there and covers that may go to Florida once every two or three years but doesn't have an overall thing. He's

- not there all the time. He's not focussing on that.

 He's not writing books on that.
 - Q. So is it your opinion that the voters from other parts of the country may not be familiar with your eyewear retention device?

MR. HILL: Object to the form.

- A. I would think so. I mean they know that I hang them and know I have an eyewear retention device, but what it is or how it works, I don't think the world knows, the whole world. But enough people do know.
 - Q. Just a few more questions, Mark.
- A. We're not going into tomorrow?
- Q. Mark, can you refer to Exhibit D in Exhibit
 14 1, that's your expert report, Exhibit D.
- 15 A. One more page. I'm there.
 - Q. I apologize if this was already asked and answered but the -- do you recognize that picture?
- 18 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

19

2.0

21

- Q. And what's shown in that picture?
 - A. A pair of my polarized glasses showing the temple bar retainer and the monofilament standing clear of my head and neck.
- Q. And is it your understanding that that's the same eyewear retainer system that you've used for 50 years?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Mark, do you see Exhibit E, do you see eyewear retainers in those pictures?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Is it your understanding that those were the same eyewear retainer systems that you've worn for the last 50 years?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Mark, do you see page 24 of your expert report?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Exhibit 1. And this is a -- what is this,
- 13 | Mark?

5

6

7

8

9

10

2.0

- A. Well, it says Mark Sosin Sales Center, and the top line says videos, so I assume it is sales of exhibits 3A, B and C.
- Q. So when you talked earlier about about your videos being for sale on your website, this is the website that you were referring to?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And this website was selling the videos
 marked as Exhibit 3 prior to 2007?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Flipping the page to Exhibit F of your expert report.

1 A. Yes.

- Q. And you spoke earlier about answering questions that people submitted to you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And does Exhibit F show representative questions and answers that you received?
- 7 A. I can't read it well, but I would think it 8 does.
- 9 Q. Okay. And were these questions posted on your website prior to 2007?
- 11 A. Oh, yeah.
- MR. GIGGER: Thank you. I don't have any more questions.
- MR. HILL: I've just got a couple of
- 15 followups.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Somehow I knew.
- 17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MR. HILL:
- Q. Mr. Sosin, you testified today a couple of times about when you first happened upon Cablz' booth at the ICAST trade show.
- 22 A. Right.
- Q. Sitting here today do you recall whether
 when you spoke to the individual at the Cablz' booth,
 whether he knew who you were?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Is that a no, you didn't or no, you don't
- 3 | recall?

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- A. No, I don't recall whether he knew who I was or not.
- 6 Q. And at the 2009 ICAST trade show --
 - A. After 2009?
 - Q. No, no. I'm just asking you a question. At the 2009 --
- 10 A. Oh, at the --
- 11 Q. -- 2009 ICAST trade show did you hear the
 12 individuals passing out the ballots telling the voters
 13 to pick the product that's best in each category?
 - A. I don't remember what they said in 2009. I only remember this year.
 - Q. And you also testified, sir, relating to voters' experience and qualifications about regional voters; do you recall your testimony about that, sir?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Can you identify a single voter who cast a vote in 2009 Best of Show ICAST who lacked the experience and qualifications to determine whether a product was innovative because of their limited regional scope?
- 25 A. As I noted earlier, I don't know who voted

- in 2009 so I couldn't begin to tell you.
- Q. Sir, are you planning to offer in the trial
 of this matter any opinion relating to whether Cablz'
 products were obvious or not obvious?
 - A. Say that -- I'm not sure I understand that.

 MR. GIGGER: Objection as to form.
 - Q. At the trial of this matter are you planning to offer any opinion relating to whether Cablz' products are obvious or nonobvious in the light of other products?
- 11 A. I still don't understand what it means. I
 12 understand the question.
- 13 MR. GIGGER: I think I can cut this

 14 short. We're not putting up Mr. Sosin as an expert

 15 to testify as to the legal standard of obviousness

 16 and whether his device renders the claims obvious.
- MR. HILL: That does clear it up. Thank
 you.
- Mr. Sosin, that's all the questions I
- 20 have.

6

7

8

9

- 21 THE WITNESS: We're done. Does Shari
- 22 know that?
- MR. GIGGER: I just want to make sure I reserve the right on the record to review the
- 25 transcript or to have Mark review it and mark it and

1	signed as appropriate.
2	MR. HILL: Mark, thank you. Very nice
3	talking to you today.
4	MR. GIGGER: Let the record show that
5	Chums' counsel, Josh Gigger, is going to maintain
6	possession of Exhibit, Visser Exhibit 10, Visser
7	Exhibit 9, Exhibit No. 2 to the Sosin declaration,
8	Exhibits 3A, B and C to the Sosin declaration
9	deposition, and Exhibit 4 to the Sosin deposition.
10	(Deposition of MARK J. SOSIN is
11	concluded at 3:11 P.M. with reading and signing
12	having been reserved.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2 CERTIFICATE OF OATH

I, the undersigned, for the State of Florida, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were placed under oath; that a verbatim record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand, which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; further, that the foregoing is an accurate transcription thereof.

I further certify that I am neither financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney of any of the parties.

In witness thereof, I have this date subscribed my name this 24th day of August, 2014.

2.4

Shari Grimsgaard Notary Public, State of Florida My Commission #EE70087 Expires March 3, 2015.

REPORTER'S DEPOSITION CERTIFICATE

STATE OF FLORIDA: COUNTY OF PALM BEACH:

I, Shari Grimsgaard, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did report the deposition of MARK J. SOSIN, in the above styled cause; that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to 212, inclusive, constitute a true and complete record of my notes.

I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor financially interested in the action.

Dated this 24th day of August, 2014.

Notary Public, State of Florida

Shari Grimsgaard

My Commission #EE70087

Expires March 3, 2015

Sosin, Mark J.

1 August 24, 2014 DATE: TO: Mark J. Sosin RE: Cablz versus Chums 2 3 Please take notice that on August 20, 2014 you gave your deposition in the above-referenced matter. 4 At that time you did not waive signature. It is now necessary that you sign your deposition. Please contact 5 the office of New Wave Depo at (561) 368-8587 to make arrangements to come in and read your deposition. 6 If you do not read and sign the deposition within 7 30 days, we shall conclude that you have waived the reading and signing of the deposition transcript. 8 Please sign your name in the blank at the bottom of this page and return it to us upon completion. 9 10 Very truly yours, 11 12 13 Shari Grimsgaard 14 15 I do hereby waive my signature: 16 17 18 19 Witness 20 21 22 23 24 25

IN RE: Cablz versus Chums COURT REPORTER: Shari Grimsgaard DEPOSITION OF: Mark J. Sosin TAKEN: August 20, 2014 DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANGES HERE PAGE# LINE# CHANGE REASON Please forward the original signed errata sheet to this office so that copies may be distributed to all parties. Under penalty of perjury, I declare that I have read my deposition and that it is true and correct subject to any changes in form or substance entered here. DATE: DATE: SIGNATURE OF DEPONENT:			
COURT REPORTER: Shari Grimsgaard DEPOSITION OF: Mark J. Sosin TAKEN: August 20, 2014 DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANGES HERE PAGE# LINE# CHANGE REASON Please forward the original signed errata sheet to this office so that copies may be distributed to all parties. Under penalty of perjury, I declare that I have read my deposition and that it is true and correct subject to any changes in form or substance entered here. DATE:		ERRATA SHEET	
TAKEN: August 20, 2014 DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANGES HERE PAGE# LINE# CHANGE REASON Please forward the original signed errata sheet to this office so that copies may be distributed to all parties. Under penalty of perjury, I declare that I have read my deposition and that it is true and correct subject to any changes in form or substance entered here. DATE:	COURT REPORTE	R: Shari Grimsgaard	
Please forward the original signed errata sheet to this office so that copies may be distributed to all parties. Under penalty of perjury, I declare that I have read my deposition and that it is true and correct subject to any changes in form or substance entered here. DATE:			
Please forward the original signed errata sheet to this office so that copies may be distributed to all parties. Under penalty of perjury, I declare that I have read my deposition and that it is true and correct subject to any changes in form or substance entered here. DATE:	PAGE# LINE#	CHANGE REASON	
Please forward the original signed errata sheet to this office so that copies may be distributed to all parties. Under penalty of perjury, I declare that I have read my deposition and that it is true and correct subject to any changes in form or substance entered here. DATE:			
Please forward the original signed errata sheet to this office so that copies may be distributed to all parties. Under penalty of perjury, I declare that I have read my deposition and that it is true and correct subject to any changes in form or substance entered here. DATE:			
Please forward the original signed errata sheet to this office so that copies may be distributed to all parties. Under penalty of perjury, I declare that I have read my deposition and that it is true and correct subject to any changes in form or substance entered here. DATE: SIGNATURE OF			
Office so that copies may be distributed to all parties. Under penalty of perjury, I declare that I have read my deposition and that it is true and correct subject to any changes in form or substance entered here. DATE: SIGNATURE OF			
deposition and that it is true and correct subject to any changes in form or substance entered here. DATE: SIGNATURE OF			
SIGNATURE OF	TT 1		
SIGNATURE OF	deposition an	d that it is true and correct subject to a	
SIGNATURE OF	deposition an	d that it is true and correct subject to a	
	deposition an	nd that it is true and correct subject to and orm or substance entered here.	
	deposition an	nd that it is true and correct subject to and orm or substance entered here.	
	deposition and changes in fo	nd that it is true and correct subject to an or substance entered here. DATE:	
	deposition and changes in fo	nd that it is true and correct subject to an or substance entered here. DATE:	
	deposition and changes in fo	nd that it is true and correct subject to an or substance entered here. DATE:	
	deposition and changes in fo	nd that it is true and correct subject to an or substance entered here. DATE:	
	deposition and changes in fo	nd that it is true and correct subject to an or substance entered here. DATE:	
	deposition and changes in fo	nd that it is true and correct subject to an or substance entered here. DATE:	
	deposition and changes in fo	nd that it is true and correct subject to an or substance entered here. DATE:	