		Page 1
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	
2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	
3		
4	APPLE INC.	
5	Petitioner	
6	v.	
7	VIRNETX, INC.	
8	Patent Owner	
9	·	
10	Case No. IPR2015-00810	
11	Patent No. 8,868,705	
12	Case Nos. IPR2015-00811	
13	and IPR-2015-00812	
14	Patent No. 8,850,009	
15		
16		
17		
18	DEPOSITION OF DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA	
19	Volume One	
20	Washington, D.C.	
21	November 12, 2015	
22		
23		
24	Reported by: Mary Ann Payonk	
25	Job No. 99551	

```
Page 2
1
2
3
                  November 12, 2015
5
                      9:00 a.m.
6
7
            Deposition of DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA,
8
     Volume One, held at the offices of Sidley
     Austin, 1501 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.,
10
     pursuant to Notice before Mary Ann Payonk,
11
     Nationally Certified Realtime Reporter and
     Notary Public of the District of Columbia,
12
13
     Commonwealth of Virginia, States of Maryland
14
     and New York.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 3
1
     APPEARANCES:
     ON BEHALF OF VIRNETX INC.:
3
            JOSEPH PALYS, ESQUIRE
            DANIEL ZEILBERGER, ESQUIRE
5
            CHETAN BANSAL, ESQUIRE
6
            PAUL HASTINGS
7
            875 15th Street, N.W.
8
            Washington, D.C. 20005
9
10
     ON BEHALF OF APPLE:
11
            SAMUEL DILLON, ESQUIRE
12
            THOMAS BROUGHAN, ESQUIRE
13
            SIDLEY AUSTIN
14
            1501 K Street, N.W.
15
            Washington, D.C. 20005
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 4 1 DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA, 2 called as a witness, having been duly 3 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. PALYS: Ο. Good morning. Α. Yes, good morning. Can you please state your name and 0. 10 spell it for the record. 11 Yes. Roberto Tamassia. Α. 12 R-O-B-E-R-T-O T-A-M-A-S-S-I-A. 13 Ο. And, Mr. Tamassia -- or Dr. Tamassia? 14 Yeah, Roberto, as you please. 15 Ο. You are here to provide 16 cross-examination with respect to your direct 17 testimony that you provided in some IPR matters 18 involving VirnetX patents. Is that accurate? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Ο. Okay. And you submitted a 21 declaration in a few matters relating to 22 VirnetX patents; correct? 23 Α. Yes, it's correct. 24 Okay. I'm going to MR. PALYS: 25 hand you a copy of a document that's

```
Page 5
1
          been previously labeled Exhibit 1005,
 2
          and it's entitled "Declaration of
 3
          Roberto Tamassia regarding u.S. Patent
          numbers 8,868,705 and 8,850,009."
 5
          (Exhibit No. 1005, previously marked, was
 6
          referenced and indexed.)
7
     BY MR. PALYS:
8
          Ο.
               I'll just hand this to you.
          Α.
               Thank you.
10
               Do you recognize Exhibit 1005, sir?
          Ο.
11
               So scanning through it, I seem to
          Α.
12
     recognize.
                 I've not check all the pages. I
13
     trust you gave me what this is meant to be.
14
          0.
               Okay. Now, Exhibit 1005, as you can
15
     see on the first page, relates to the two
16
     patent numbers that I identified.
17
     going to refer to those patents today as the
18
     '705 and the '009 patents.
19
               Do you understand?
20
          Α.
               Yes, I do.
21
               Can you turn to page 174 of
          Ο.
22
     Exhibit 1005, please. And is that your
23
     signature on page 174?
24
          Α.
               Yes.
25
                       So this Exhibit 1005 is a
               Okay.
          Q.
```

Page 6 declaration that you provided in -- for 1 IPR2015-00810. Is that accurate? 3 Α. Yes. Okay. And this exhibit is also the 5 same declaration that you submitted for IPR2015-00811. Α. Yes. Ο. And Exhibit 1005 is also the same declaration you submitted for IPR2015-812? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And this exhibit is also the 12 declaration that was submitted -- you submitted 13 for IPR2015-00813; correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Ο. Okay. Now, sir, have you been 16 deposed before? 17 Α. Yes. 18 How many times? Ο. 19 Α. Once. 20 And when was that? Ο. 21 Α. Three years ago. 22 And was that deposition relating to Ο. 23 the matter that's identified on paragraph 8 of 24 your declaration? 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. You mention on paragraph 8 that it
- was a software privacy case. Is that accurate?
- A. This is correct.
- 4 Q. Was that at all a patent-related
- 5 matter?
- A. It was not, to my recollection.
- Q. Okay. I'm sure your lawyers
- 8 instructed you what's going to happen today.
- You went through a deposition before. I won't
- go through all the rules, but if you have any
- questions on how the process is going to take
- 12 place today, just let me know.
- But obviously, I'm going to be asking
- 14 you questions. You do have answer them. The
- court reporter was kind enough to remind you
- and myself to make sure we don't talk over each
- other, so if that happens, you know, we will
- just work with each other to make sure each of
- us finish your response and my answers. Okay?
- <sup>20</sup> A. Okay.
- Q. All right. Is there any reason why
- you cannot testify today truthfully and
- <sup>23</sup> accurately?
- A. I cannot think of any such reason.
- Q. Okay, that's a good start.

Dr. Roberto Tamassia Page 8 So, sir, who retained you to provide 1 an opinion for these proceedings? 3 Well, let me take a step back so we can use the same terminology. I identified a number of IPR numbers, matter numbers, 810, 811, et cetera. 6 Remember? Yes. Α. You got to verbally say it. Α. Yes. 10 So I may refer to those as the 810 Ο. 11 matter or the 811 matter when I'm referring to 12 those IPR proceedings. Okay? 13 Α. Okay. 14 Okay. So if you don't understand at 15 any point, just let me know and I'll clarify. 16 Okay? 17 Α. Okay. 18 All right. And in terms of your 19 Exhibit 1005, I will refer to it as your 20 declaration, and that's what I'm referring to 21 as Exhibit 1005, okay? 22 Α. Sounds good. 23 Okay, all right. Q.

Now, who retained you for these

proceedings? When I say "these proceedings," I

24

25

Dr. Roberto Tamassia Page 9 1 mean matters 810, 811, 812, and 813. I was retained by Sidley Austin. Α. 3 Ο. Okay. And if you turn to page 1, paragraph 1 of your declaration, you state that you've been retained by counsel for Apple Incorporated; correct? Α. Yes. And by "counsel," you mean Sidley Austin there; right? 10 That's what I meant. Α. 11 Okay. Have you done any other work Ο.

 $^{12}\,$  for Apple other than the work you've done with

respect to these IPR matters?

MR. DILLON: Objection to the

extent it seeks privileged information.

To the extent that you do not reveal

privileged information, you may answer.

A. And that other work which is in the

19 public record related to other patents.

20 BY MR. PALYS:

Q. For Apple?

A. For Apple.

Q. So this other work that's in the

public record related to other patents, were

these relating to Apple patents?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Relating to some party other than
- 3 Apple; correct?
- $^4$  A. That is correct.
- $^{5}$  Q. Okay. And when you say "other work,"
- 6 was it one instance or multiple instances?
- A. It was more than one instance.
- 8 O. Okay. And when was the first time
- <sup>9</sup> you did other work for Apple relating to other
- 10 patents?
- 11 A. It was earlier this year.
- 12 Q. This year? 2015?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And how many other instances did you
- do work for Apple related to other patents?
- MR. DILLON: Objection to the
- extent it seeks privileged information.
- Subject to that objection, you may
- answer. And to form.
- A. As I said, I've done other work,
- which appears as declarations in the public
- 22 record.
- 23 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Now I'm asking you how many of those.
- $^{25}$  A. My recollection is two.

- Q. Okay. So the first one, the first
- other work that you did for Apple relating to
- other patents was sometime earlier in 2015, as
- 4 you mentioned.
- When was the second one?
- $^6$  A. It was also this year.
- Q. After the first one, I assume.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Was it recent? Was it -- let
- <sup>10</sup> me strike that.
- Was it within the last six months?
- 12 A. It was in the spring. I don't
- remember the date exactly, but I will be happy
- $^{14}$  to look it up.
- Q. And for each of these matters, I'll
- 16 call them --
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- you mentioned that you submitted
- declarations; is that right?
- A. This is right.
- Q. Okay. What type of declaration was
- 22 submitted?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 24 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question?

- 1 A. Can you explain better what you mean
- by "type"?
- Q. Right. So you submitted -- in the
- first matter, you submitted a declaration;
- 5 correct?
- A. Are you referring to this one here?
- 7 Q. No. You testified earlier -- we're
- 8 talking about these other --
- 9 A. Other.
- 0. -- matters.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. And I believe you said that you
- provided a declaration in those other matters;
- is that accurate?
- 15 A. This is accurate.
- Q. Okay. So what I'm trying to find out
- is what type of declaration did you provide in
- those other matters?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 20 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. If you understand my question now.
- A. I believe I understand better.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- A. I'm not sure if I understand it
- completely, but in terms of form, I analyze

- 1 some previous work that is related to other
- patents, and I expressed what is my opinion
- 3 about that work and about obviousness issues in
- 4 terms of combinations.
- $^{5}$  Q. Okay. So it was -- the declaration
- fer related to the validity of these other patents;
- 7 is that accurate?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- <sup>9</sup> A. I will say that I provided technical
- opinion. I believe that this issue of validity
- and invalidity is a legal issue.
- 12 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Okay. You said these were of public
- 14 record. So let's start with the first other
- <sup>15</sup> matter.
- Was that in a litigation where you
- submitted this, or were you provided this
- 18 declaration?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- Objection to the extent that it seeks
- 21 privileged information. Subject to that
- objection, you may answer.
- A. I provided declaration that is also
- related to a -- what is called IPR,
- inter partes review.

- 1 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Okay, okay. Thank you.
- A. Okay.
- Q. So it was another IPR matter you
- submitted a declaration; is that right?
- $^6$  A. That's correct.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. And was the second matter that you
- 8 worked on also related to an IPR?
- 9 A. I believe it was.
- 0. Okay. And who were the parties
- involved in those IPRs? Let me make it easier
- 12 for you. Sorry.
- 13 A. Okay.
- Q. You provided an opinion regarding
- other patents, right --
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. -- in those other -- or those IPR
- matters. Who was the patent owner of those
- other patents in those IPRs?
- A. I understand those patents were
- 21 assigned to VirnetX --
- O. VirnetX?
- A. -- so VirnetX could be considered the
- $^{24}$  owner.
- Q. Did you provide opinions regarding

- other patents to any other -- regarding -- I'll
- <sup>2</sup> start over.
- Did you provide a declaration
- 4 regarding patents owned by any other company
- 5 other than VirnetX?
- 6 MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- Objection to the extent that the
- guestion seeks privileged information.
- Subject to that objection, you may
- answer the question.
- 11 A. The opinions I have expressed in my
- declarations refer to patents, some of which
- are owned by VirnetX, and others do not appear
- to be owned by VirnetX.
- 15 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Why do you say they don't appear to
- be owned by VirnetX?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 19 A. I'm using the word "appear" because
- VirnetX was not mentioned as the assignee. But
- I don't know, you know, what is behind the --
- so I don't know what is, I should say, beyond
- the original assignment that is recorded in the
- patent.
- 25 BY MR. PALYS:

- Q. Have you done any other work for
- 2 Apple other than the matters that you just
- 3 identified earlier?
- 4 MR. DILLON: Objection to the
- extent it seeks privileged information.
- Subject to that objection, you may
- answer.
- A. I'm happy to confirm the work that is
- 9 related to my declarations for IPRs.
- 10 BY MR. PALYS:
- 11 Q. So my question was: Have you done
- any other work for Apple other than the matters
- that you've just identified to me earlier?
- MR. DILLON: Same objection.
- 15 A. I understand you asked me already the
- question.
- 17 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Right. I don't believe you answered
- it so that's why I'm asking it again.
- MR. DILLON: Same objection.
- 21 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. So the question was: Have you done
- $^{23}$  any other work for Apple other than the matters
- that you identified to me earlier?
- MR. DILLON: Same objection.

- 1 A. Does your question seek to find
- 2 privileged information?
- 3 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. So the question is a yes or no, and I
- don't believe there's a privilege issue here.
- 6 And your counsel will instruct you, so I'll
- <sup>7</sup> repeat the question.
- $^8$  Have you done any other work for
- 9 Apple other than the matters that you
- identified to me earlier?
- MR. DILLON: To the extent that
- this question seeks privileged
- information and that would be the only
- information it seeks, you may say no.
- To the extent that it does not seek
- privileged information, you may explain
- and say yes or no, yeah, or --
- 18 A. So what I can say is that there may
- or may not be work which would be covered by
- 20 confidentiality and privilege. Besides such
- work which may or may not exist, I don't
- remember having done any other work for Apple.
- 23 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. With all respect, that's a pretty
- wishy-washy response so I'll ask the question

- <sup>1</sup> again.
- Have you done any other work for
- 3 Apple other than the matters that you've
- 4 identified to me earlier?
- 5 MR. DILLON: Objection to the
- extent it seeks privileged information.
- 7 To the extent that you have done
- 8 nonprivileged work, you may describe
- 9 that work. You may answer yes or no.
- 10 A. I've not done any nonprivileged work.
- 11 I cannot comment on the work I may or may have
- not done, which is privileged.
- 13 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Right. I'm not asking you for the --
- any content or substance of that work if you
- 16 feel that's privileged.
- 17 I'm just asking you for the fact, the
- nonprivileged fact of whether you've done any
- other work for Apple other than the matters
- that you've identified here today.
- MR. DILLON: Objection to the
- extent the question seeks privileged
- information. To the extent that you
- have done nonprivileged work you may say
- <sup>25</sup> "yes" or "no."

- A. I don't know how to answer with words
- different than what I've used before so I will
- again say that I've been working for Apple, and
- 4 indeed, there are these declarations that I've
- submitted besides the current one.
- 6 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Okay, so -- and I mean this with
- 8 respect. I'm asking, are you refusing to
- 9 answer that question that I -- that is pending?
- 10 Let me repeat the question, then I'll ask you
- 11 that.
- So again, the question is: Have you
- done any other work for Apple other than the
- matters that you just identified earlier? And
- the follow-up to that is I just need to know if
- you're refusing to answer that question.
- 17 A. Well, I --
- MR. DILLON: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I absolutely do not
- want to refuse. I want to cooperate and
- give you the information I'm allowed to
- give you. So I feel a bit in a strange
- spot because I, you know, don't know the
- exact, you know, boundaries of privilege
- or nonprivilege.

- 1 MR. PALYS: Okay. And I appreciate
- that, sir.
- MR. BROUGHAN: Joe, let --
- 4 MR. PALYS: I've got a question
- pending, then I'll get right to you.
- 6 BY MR. PALYS:
- <sup>7</sup> Q. So I appreciate that, sir. So that's
- 8 why I've got to know if you are going to answer
- <sup>9</sup> that question. Your basis for reasoning
- whether you're going to answer that or not is
- entirely up to you. I just need to know if
- you're going to answer that question.
- MR. DILLON: Objection.
- MR. PALYS: Let him answer first.
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 16 A. I believe I answered to the extent I
- believe I can answer. If I were given the
- opportunity of understanding better, you know,
- what I'm allowed to say or not to say, what
- <sup>20</sup> I -- you know, I -- I will be, you know, happy
- to say more.
- MR. BROUGHAN: Joe, let's take a
- break. We can confer about privilege
- and I can explain to him what's
- privileged and nonprivileged.

Page 21 1 MR. PALYS: We will circle back after a break and revisit it. 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, I'll be happy to. BY MR. PALYS: 6 For the other matters that you identified that you did work for Apple, the other IPR matters, did you work with a law firm on those? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And what was the law firm? Ο. 12 Α. Sidley Austin. 13 Ο. And when did you --14 MR. BROUGHAN: I thought you were 15 going to move on to a different topic, 16 Joe. 17 MR. PALYS: I can do my deposition 18 as I want. 19 I mean, if you are MR. BROUGHAN: 20 going to keep asking him questions about 21 this matter, he's not sure how to 22 respond with privileged information. 23 want to make sure he understands the 24 bounds of privilege and doesn't 25 accidentally reveal information he's not

- supposed to.
- MR. PALYS: I appreciate your
- concern, Tom. And I have to say I'm
- 4 going to ask you to stop doing a
- 5 speaking objection at this point. If
- 6 you see my question, it's not the same
- question. So if you have an issue, make
- your objection and move on, but no more
- <sup>9</sup> speaking objections.
- MR. BROUGHAN: It's not a speaking
- objection. I asked to take a break to
- confer with the witness about privilege,
- which is a perfectly permissible thing
- to do. You said no, you didn't want to
- do that.
- MR. PALYS: Can you mark the
- transcript, please? Thank you.
- 18 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Okay. So my question was, sir: For
- the other matters that you had identified that
- you did work for Apple, the IPR matters, did
- you work with a law firm? And you said yes;
- <sup>23</sup> right?
- A. I did say yes.
- Q. And I -- I --

Page 23 1 THE WITNESS: And now it will be --2 sorry. 3 MR. PALYS: Sorry. THE WITNESS: I thought it would be 5 a good time to take a break. 6 MR. PALYS: We have been going 7 about 20 minutes. 8 THE WITNESS: I know, but give an opportunity to ask Tom to review some 10 matters to actually help the next 11 questions. I believe it is in our 12 common interest not to get stuck. 13 MR. PALYS: You can take a break if 14 you want. 15 (Recess taken.) 16 MR. DILLON: During the break, 17 counsel for Apple had a short 18 conversation with Dr. Tamassia where we 19 explained how to answer a question 20 involving privilege without answering or 21 waiving privilege. 22 MR. PALYS: Okay. I appreciate 23 that. 24 BY MR. PALYS: 25 So if I may, I'm going to go back to

- some of my earlier questions and hopefully we
- will get a little more clarification. Thank
- you.
- Okay, sir. Have you done any other
- work for Apple other than the matters that you
- 6 identified earlier to me today?
- 7 MR. DILLON: Objection to the
- 8 extent the question seeks privileged
- information. Subject to that objection,
- you may answer.
- 11 A. I believe not.
- 12 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Why do you say you believe not?
- A. Because I'm speaking out of my
- <sup>15</sup> current recollection.
- Q. So there's a possibility that you
- <sup>17</sup> did?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 19 A. I'm telling you what I remember now.
- 20 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Right.
- A. Yes. So I will say no. I believe it
- is a simpler answer.
- Q. Okay. I mean, it's your response.
- A. Okay. So just let me clarify this.

- 1 No.
- Q. Okay. Sir, by your response, you
- 3 said "no." Do you mean no to nonprivileged
- work, or privileged work?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 6 Objection to the extent this question is
- <sup>7</sup> seeking privileged information. Subject
- 8 to that objection, you may answer the
- <sup>9</sup> question.
- 10 BY MR. PALYS:
- 11 Q. Do you understand my question?
- 12 A. Not exactly.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- A. Could you say it again?
- Q. So your response of no, that you
- didn't do any other work for Apple other than
- the matters that you identified to me earlier,
- what I'd like to know is was that for all types
- of work that -- for Apple? In other words,
- 20 I'll get to the question. I'm setting it up
- $^{21}$  for you.
- You mentioned before that you have
- some nonprivileged public work and then
- possibly privileged work. Do you recall that
- 25 testimony?

- MR. DILLON: Objection, form,
- foundation.
- $^3$  A. I did not say that I possibly had. I
- $^4$  just stated something obvious, which is I may
- or may not have done additional work. It's a
- f statement that, sorry, doesn't add any
- <sup>7</sup> information.
- 8 BY MR. PALYS:
- $^{9}$  Q. Okay. I appreciate that.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. Have you ever heard of a company
- 12 called RPX Corporation?
- 13 A. Can you spell out the name of the
- 14 company?
- 0. R-P-X.
- 16 A. The second letter is P as in Peter?
- 17 O. Yes.
- A. And the third S as in Sam?
- Q. No, sorry, X as in x-ray.
- MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance.
- A. I may. I don't remember right now:
- <sup>22</sup> I can look it up.
- 23 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Have you done any work for RPX
- <sup>25</sup> Corporation?

- MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance,
- 2 privileged, to the extent it seeks
- privileged information. Subject to that
- objection, you may answer the question.
- A. Can you say what "work for" means?
- 6 BY MR. PALYS:
- 7 O. I believe that term's been used
- 8 before. What do you understand the phrase
- 9 "work for" to mean?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 11 A. One meaning is being employed by or
- being a, you know, consultant for.
- 13 BY MR. PALYS:
- 14 O. How about even a broader
- understanding? So any type of work for -- when
- 16 I say "work for," so have you done any type of
- work for RPX Corporation?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance,
- form, privilege to the extent it seeks
- privileged information. Subject to that
- objection, you may answer the question.
- A. I don't remember.
- 23 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Have you heard of a company called
- <sup>25</sup> Mangrove Partners?

- MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance.
- A. Mangrove Partners?
- 3 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Yes.
- A. I may have encountered, but now I
- 6 don't remember. I don't remember precisely.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. Do you remember when you may have
- 8 encountered the name?
- 9 MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance,
- form.
- 11 A. I don't remember right now. I'm --
- 12 I'm happy to look it up.
- 13 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. What would you do to look it up to
- see if you can answer that question?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance,
- form.
- A. I will do two things. First, I will
- see in the context of this deposition whether
- it is mentioned in the exhibits or my
- declaration. And if not, I will look more into
- my files and finally do a search.
- 23 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Have you done any work for Mangrove
- <sup>25</sup> Partners?

- MR. DILLON: Objection, form,
- $^2$  relevance.
- A. As I cannot remember the possible
- $^4$  connection I may have to Mangrove Partners, I
- 5 also cannot think now of any work I may have
- 6 done for them. I can certainly say I was not
- <sup>7</sup> employed by them. And I was not, you know,
- 8 directly paid by them. That, I'm pretty sure.
- 9 BY MR. PALYS:
- 10 Q. Have you heard of a gentleman by the
- 11 name of Nathaniel August?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance.
- A. August, like in the month?
- 14 BY MR. PALYS:
- 15 O. Yes.
- 16 A. I'm afraid I have to give you a
- similar answer as before, which is I don't
- 18 remember right now.
- 19 Q. Okay. How about a gentleman by the
- 20 name of Ward Dietrich?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance.
- 22 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Have you heard of that --
- A. Can you spell the first name?
- Q. I'll just start over with the

- <sup>1</sup> question.
- $^2$  A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know a gentleman by the name
- of Ward Dietrich, first name spelled W-A-R-D?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance.
- A. The last name would be
- $^{7}$  D-E-I-E-T-R-C-H, or --
- 8 BY MR. PALYS:
- $^9$  Q. Last name is spelled D-I-E-T-R-I-C-H.
- 10 A. Ward Dietrich? Don't remember right
- 11 now. May or may not be.
- 12 Q. Have you done any work for -- I'll
- 13 start over.
- 14 Have you done any patent-related work
- for any other entity other than Apple?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance,
- privileged to the extent it seeks
- privileged information. Subject to that
- objection, you may answer the question.
- $^{20}$  A. I will answer by saying that I am
- 21 myself an inventor of multiple patents, and my
- employer, Brown University, has applied for
- 23 several patents where I am an inventor; and
- hence, I would consider my participation in the
- $^{25}$  application as work. I'm not sure. Is that

- the sense that you are using in your question?
- 2 BY MR. PALYS:
- O. Well, I mean, that answered one.
- So how about this: Have you done any
- work as a consultant that related to patents
- for any other entity other than Apple?
- 7 MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance.
- 8 A. So let me qualify my answer. I have
- been a consultant in the past for other
- companies, and the work I've done appeared to
- be unrelated to patents, but I do not know if
- what I was asked to work on was actually
- 13 related.
- You can see in my CV several
- companies like Anderson Consulting or Digital
- 16 Equipment. I don't know if what they asked me
- to work on, you know, for them was related to
- patents.
- 19 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. When were you first retained by
- 21 counsel for Apple Incorporated as an expert
- witness for these IPR matters that we're
- <sup>23</sup> discussing today?
- A. At the beginning of the current year.
- <sup>25</sup> Q. January 2015?

- 1 A. I don't remember the exact date. It
- was early this year.
- Q. When did you first start preparing
- 4 your opinions that are reflected in your
- declaration, Exhibit 1005?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- <sup>7</sup> A. It was early this year.
- 8 BY MR. PALYS:
- 9 Q. So you signed the -- your declaration
- on March 2, 2015, and that's on page 174;
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Yes, correct.
- Q. Okay. So when you say you started
- work with these matters earlier this year,
- would you agree that would have been before
- <sup>16</sup> March 2, 2015?
- A. Absolutely.
- Okay. So when you started work, it
- couldn't have been earlier than January 1 of
- 20 2015 based on your response; is that accurate?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. That is my recollection, but I don't
- remember exactly.
- 24 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. So sometime early this year, before

- 1 March 2, you first started --
- A. I'll say well before, yes.
- Q. -- you first started work on --
- A. That's my --
- <sup>5</sup> Q. -- your opinions --
- 6 A. That's my recollection. Sorry, I
- don't want to interrupt you.
- Q. Okay, I've got to start over. Tell
- 9 me when you're ready. Let me finish and then
- you can go.
- 11 A. Got it.
- 12 Q. And I'll try to do the same for you.
- So sometime between January 1, 2015
- and March of 2015 you first started your work
- as an expert witness for these IPR matters that
- we're discussing today; correct?
- 17 A. I believe so. I can look at my notes
- if you'd like more information.
- 19 O. Thank you.
- Has anyone other than Sidley Austin
- asked you to consult on a VirnetX patent?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance.
- A. Can you clarifier the meaning of
- 24 "asked"?
- 25 BY MR. PALYS:

- Q. What do you understand that to mean?
- A. That's exactly why I don't understand
- 3 it precisely that I asked you.
- Q. You just used the word "ask," so how
- 5 are you using that term in that way?
- A. Exactly. If your question intends to
- <sup>7</sup> find out whether someone offer me a consulting
- 8 engagement, an expert witness engagement, then
- 9 my answer is I don't remember other companies
- offering me such an engagement.
- 11 Q. Okay, let's go to paragraph 1 of your
- declaration, please.
- You mention in paragraph 1 that you
- have been asked to provide an opinion regarding
- the state of the art of the technology
- described in the '705 and '009 patents; is that
- 17 accurate?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 0. Okay. And you also state in
- 20 paragraph 1 that you have been asked to provide
- a description of various references that you
- understand are prior art to these patents; is
- <sup>23</sup> that accurate?
- $^{24}$  A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And in paragraph 1 you also

- 1 mention that you have been asked to provide a
- discussion of the meaning of certain words and
- phrases in the claims of these patents; is that
- 4 accurate?
- <sup>5</sup> A. That is right.
- Q. Regarding that last statement, why
- <sup>7</sup> did you only provide a discussion of certain
- 8 words and phrases in the claims of these
- 9 patents?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- Objection to the extent this question is
- seeking privileged information. Subject
- to that objection, you may answer the
- question.
- A. I believe it is reflected in the
- sense that I've been asked to provide such an
- opinion.
- 18 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Can you expand on that a little bit
- so I can understand your response, please?
- MR. DILLON: Same objection.
- A. I was requested to provide such a
- <sup>23</sup> discussion.
- 24 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Did you select the certain words and

- phrases in the claims of the '705 and '009
- patent that you discuss in your declaration?
- MR. DILLON: Objection to the
- 4 extent this question seeks privileged
- information. Subject to that objection,
- 6 you may answer the question.
- A. I will say that the final selection
- 8 of these certain words and phrases resulted
- <sup>9</sup> from a collaborative process.
- 10 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. A collaborative process with whom?
- 12 A. Discussion with counsel.
- Q. Sidley Austin?
- When you say "counsel," do you mean
- 15 Sidley Austin?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Any other counsel?
- A. Not to my knowledge.
- 0. Did that collaborative process
- involve anyone else other than Sidley Austin?
- MR. DILLON: Objection to the
- extent this question is seeking
- privileged information. Subject to that
- objection, you may answer the question.
- 25 A. No.

- 1 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. So in paragraph 1, is that -- does
- paragraph 1 accurately represent the scope of
- the opinions that you provided in your
- 5 declaration?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. I believe it summarizes the work.
- 8 BY MR. PALYS:
- 9 Q. Did you provide any opinion as to
- whether any of the various references that you
- identify in paragraph 1 discloses any claim
- 12 features recited in the '705 patent?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 14 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question?
- A. I think so.
- Q. Okay. You can respond.
- 18 A. I understand my opinion as written in
- the declaration is relevant to the claims of
- the '705 and the '009 patents.
- Q. Did you provide any opinion in your
- declaration whether any of the various
- references that you identify in paragraph 1
- disclose any claim features recited in the '705
- <sup>25</sup> patent?

- MR. DILLON: Objection to form.
- 2 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question?
- <sup>1</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. Okay.
- A. My opinion focused on technical
- matters and analyzed the previous work,
- 8 analyzed obvious combinations of previous work;
- 9 and hence, my opinion affects whether what is
- disclosed in the claims of these two patents
- was new or nonobvious at the time.
- Q. When you say enhance my opinion of --
- 13 "affects whether what is disclosed in the
- 14 claims of these two patents was new or
- nonobvious at the time, can you explain what
- 16 you meant by that?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. What I mean is that on work of
- technical nature, and I did not provide a legal
- opinion.
- 21 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Okay. So did you review the claims
- of the '705 patent?
- <sup>24</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. In your opinions in your

- declaration did you provide an opinion as to
- whether any of the identified -- any of the
- <sup>3</sup> various references that you mentioned in
- 4 paragraph 1 disclosed features that are recited
- in those claims of the '705 patent?
- 6 MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. I analyzed the previous work and
- 8 combinations of previous work that yield
- 9 methods and systems that are substantially
- similar to those disclosed in the claims of the
- patents.
- 12 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. When you say "that are substantially
- similar to those disclosed in the claims of the
- patents," are you testifying that you analyzed
- the claims of the '705 and '009 patents with
- respect to the previous work, as you mentioned
- in your previous testimony?
- MR. DILLON: Form.
- A. What I did as reflected in the
- declaration is, on one hand, to review the
- claims and come up with an opinion on the
- meaning of those claims. So one of the
- $^{24}$  sections of my declaration describes what I
- $^{25}$  believe is the meaning of various terms.

- 1 That's some of the work I've done.
- Then in the declaration I identify
- and review previous work that is relevant to
- 4 the claims and to the VirnetX inventions in
- $^{5}$  '705 and '009.
- And then in another part of my
- declaration, I analyze combinations of such
- 8 preferences of such prior work, I should say.
- 9 BY MR. PALYS:
- 0. So to make sure we're on the same
- page, sir, when you say "previous work" or
- 12 "prior work," are you referring to the -- what
- 13 I'll refer to as prior art references that are
- identified in your declaration?
- 15 A. Yes. The term is in the declaration,
- 16 "prior art."
- Q. So when you say "previous work," you
- mean the prior art references; is that right?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. From my, you know, technical
- 21 perspective of a scientist and an engineer, I
- look at work that was done at the -- you know,
- during a certain period of time. I understand
- that prior art is a legal concept. Based on
- what was explained to me about the meaning of

Page 41 1 this legal concept, I believe that such previous work that is identified in the 3 declaration is prior art. MR. PALYS: Why don't we take a break? (Recess taken.) 7 MR. PALYS: I'm handing the witness a document labeled Exhibit 1001. It's a copy of U.S. Patent Number 8,868,705. 10 (Exhibit No. 1001, previously marked, was 11 referenced and indexed.) 12 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 13 MR. PALYS: I'm also handing the 14 witness a copy of document labeled 1003, 15 which is a copy of U.S. Patent 16 Number 8,850,009. 17 THE WITNESS: '705 and '009? Okay. 18 (Exhibit No. 1003, previously marked, was 19 referenced and indexed.) 20 BY MR. PALYS: 21 So, sir, Exhibit 1001, do you Ο. 22 recognize that document? 23 Α. Yes. 24 I'm going to refer to that document 25 probably today as the '705 patent. Is that

- 1 okay with you?
- A. Yes.
- Q. You understand when I say the '705
- $^4$  document, we will be referring to Exhibit 1001?
- $^{5}$  A. Yes. We already agreed about it.
- Q. Are you familiar with Exhibit 1003?
- <sup>7</sup> A. The '009 patent.
- Q. Yes. Are you familiar with it?
- $^{9}$  A. I have reviewed it, yes.
- Q. Can you -- let's start with the '705
- 11 patent. Can you turn to the claims, which will
- be on the last page, page 105 of Exhibit 1001,
- second-to-last page.
- 0kay, on page 105, you see there's
- column numbers in the patent? Do you see those
- 16 column numbers 55 and 56?
- Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with how patents are
- structured? So when I say go to column 56,
- you'll know where to go to in the patent?
- A. Yes, I'm familiar with the format
- with two columns, column numbers and the row
- $^{24}$  numbers.
- Q. Okay, perfect.

- So Claim 1, which is on column 55,
- $^2$  line 43 of the '705 patent, do you see that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And that claim goes from line
- 5 43 to line 67 of column 55; right?
- $^6$  A. 43 to 67, Claim 1, yes.
- $^7$  Q. Okay. The element of Claim 1 that
- 8 extends from line 43 to 49, do you see that?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. I'm going to refer to those, that
- claim element, as the preamble of Claim 1 for
- purposes of our discussion today. Okay?
- 13 A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. Did you provide an opinion in
- your declaration as to whether any of these
- prior works, as you say, disclose the preamble
- of Claim 1 of the '705 patent?
- 18 A. Among the prior work that I analyze,
- there are a patent by Nurettin Beser and
- others. There are manuals of product by
- 21 Aventail Corporation. And there is the
- description of the IP SEC standard in RFC2401.
- These are the prior art elements on which I
- $^{24}$  focused the most in my declaration. And I
- include in my declaration technical description

- of how combining such prior art plus additional
- elements as I identified in the declaration
- 3 allows the consideration of a secure
- 4 communication system that is analogous to what
- 5 is described in the preamble.
- Q. So did you -- in your declaration,
- 7 can you point to me where you have an opinion
- 8 of where the prior art references that you
- 9 identified disclose the preamble of Claim 1 of
- $^{10}$  the '705 patent?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 12 A. As I believe I mentioned before, my
- declaration is the technical opinion and so
- 14 I've addressed combinations.
- I can point to you some specific
- 16 portions of my declaration that cover
- combinations which I understand are relevant to
- 18 Claim 1; however, I've not conducted a legal
- analysis of concepts like, you know,
- <sup>20</sup> disclosing.
- 21 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you believe disclosing is a legal
- 23 analysis? Is that your testimony?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. A term like "disclosing" has clearly

- a legal meaning, and if I used it, it's based
- on what will be my own understanding.
- 3 BY MR. PALYS:
- 4 Q. Okay. So you don't have an opinion
- 5 anywhere in your declaration where you give an
- 6 opinion as to whether the prior art references
- <sup>7</sup> that you identify disclose any of the features
- of the preamble of Claim 1 of the '705 patent;
- 9 correct?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 11 A. I did not provide the legal opinion;
- 12 I provided technical analysis and opinion about
- combinations, primarily of the prior work that
- 14 I -- I've identified. And I describe what type
- of combined system will result. And it is my
- understanding that this combined system is
- analogous to what is disclosed in Claim 1.
- 18 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. What is the basis for your
- understanding that the combined system is
- analogous to what is disclosed in Claim 1?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 23 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question?
- A. Yes, I believe I understand it.

- So my basis is that the combinations
- I have analyzed and the prior work I have
- analyzed provides a system whose behavior and
- 4 whose properties are similar to that Claim 1
- $^{5}$  preamble, but I've not opined to that
- 6 similarity in my declaration. I've only opined
- <sup>7</sup> to the, you know, technical aspects.
- Q. On Claim 1 of the '705 patent, do you
- 9 see there's several other elements? I'll refer
- to them as steps. They're numbered 1, 2, and
- 11 3. They extend from line 50 to line 67 of
- 12 column 55.
- Do you see that?
- A. Yes, I see them.
- Q. Okay. Did you provide any opinion as
- to -- well, strike that.
- You did not provide an opinion as to
- whether any of the prior art that you identify
- discloses any of the features of these claim
- elements 1, 2, and 3 of Claim 1; is that
- 21 accurate?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. What I will say is that I have
- 24 analyzed in a similar manner as before
- combinations of previous work and aspects of

- 1 previous work that together result in methods
- 2 and systems whose property I understand --
- whose properties I understand are related to
- 4 the methods disclosed in items 1, 2, 3 of
- <sup>5</sup> Claim 1.
- And one of the reasons for my
- understanding is counsel is petitioning that
- 8 this patent '705 is invalid, and that's an
- 9 additional reason why I understand that the
- work I'd done is related.
- 11 BY MR. PALYS:
- 12 Q. And so to move things along if we
- can, would your answer be the same -- well, let
- $^{14}$  me wrap this up and try to --
- A. Okay, okay.
- Q. So for Claim 1, all the elements of
- 17 Claim 1 --
- <sup>18</sup> A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- you didn't -- in your declaration,
- you didn't provide an opinion as to whether the
- 21 elements of those claims are disclosed in any
- 22 prior art reference --
- MR. DILLON: Objection.
- Q. -- right?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.

- A. Let me try to answer in a way that
- addresses the point that you are trying to
- $^{3}$  make.
- 4 BY MR. PALYS:
- 0. Okay.
- A. And that I hope is going to be
- y useful. My declaration does not indicate
- 8 explicitly specific correspondences between
- 9 claim components, phrases, elements, and the
- previous work at combinations I have
- 11 identified.
- 12 My understanding is that counsel has
- done that type of analysis in their petition.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to try and use a
- phrase that we can -- hopefully, we can share
- 16 as we move forward.
- So when you say your declaration does
- not indicate explicitly specific
- correspondences between claim components, would
- you be comfortable if we refer to that as
- 21 mapping the claim components to the previous
- work combinations that you mentioned?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- $^{24}$  A. I will not characterize it in that
- $^{25}$  way.

- 1 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Okay.
- A. Okay.
- 4 Q. How would you like to characterize
- 5 it? I'm trying to think of a word that we can
- 6 use as we move forward with these questions
- <sup>7</sup> regarding your indication of -- well, you know,
- 8 what? Strike that. Let's just use what you
- 9 said, correspondence between claim elements.
- 10 That's what you testified to.
- So just so we have an understanding,
- when you say "correspondence between claim"
- components," you were referring to claim
- elements when you say "claim components";
- 15 right?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 17 A. I don't know if claim elements
- components have a specific, you know, legal
- meaning.
- 20 BY MR. PALYS:
- 21 Q. Okay.
- A. I think that, informally speaking, we
- are talking about, you know, about parts of the
- claim, sure. Components, elements, okay.
- <sup>25</sup> Q. Okay.

- 1 A. So what I can say -- and I believe I
- mentioned it before -- is that my declaration
- has several components, several parts.
- In one part of the declaration I have
- $^{5}$  analyzed the terms that are used in the claims
- $^{6}$  of the '705 and the '009 patents and I have
- done my best to understand the meaning of those
- 8 terms under the standard I was told I should
- <sup>9</sup> follow, which is the broadest reasonable
- interpretation in view of the specification in
- 11 the patents.
- 12 I also reviewed some of the documents
- as part of the patent prosecution, I guess it's
- called, the file history, the IPR proceedings,
- to try to understand what, for example, the
- patent owner, VirnetX, believes is the meaning,
- what the board believes is the meaning, what is
- my own understanding of the meaning. And so I
- have entered into my declaration paragraphs
- which address what I believe is the meaning.
- 21 So that is one connection that is established
- between the patents we are discussing and my
- <sup>23</sup> declaration.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- $^{25}$  A. And then --

- Q. Sorry.
- A. -- the other connection is that when
- 3 I discuss combinations, I use terms that are
- 4 related to the terms that are used in the
- <sup>5</sup> interpretation.
- But to clarify again, I have not done
- <sup>7</sup> what can be considered correspondence or
- 8 mapping where one would create, let's say, a
- $^{9}$  table, what is on the left and on the right. I
- have not put any such correspondence in my
- declaration so my opinion does not include what
- people sometimes do.
- Q. And that's true for all claims of the
- 14 '705 patent; right?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 16 A. I believe that throughout the
- descriptions of prior work in combinations I
- have not cited claim numbers.
- What I believe is the work I've done
- 20 may be helpful to others in making the case for
- correspondences specific, as you said,
- mappings.
- 23 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. So just to make sure we're clear, you
- 25 haven't done what can be considered

- correspondence or mapping, as you mentioned,
- for any of the claims of the '705 patent in
- your declaration.
- 4 A. I would like to state it in a
- 5 different way and not with your words.
- 6 So what I've done is not an
- 7 explicit -- so I've not included in the
- 8 declaration an explicit table with mappings,
- $^9$  but I provided work that can be used -- but I
- provided opinions that can be used as the basis
- 11 for such mapping.
- 12 Q. And that is true for all the claims
- of the '705 patent; correct?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- $^{15}$  A. So this is the type of work that I
- intended to do, and as you saw me now scanning
- through the declaration to double-check that I
- did not inadvertently added what you will call
- mapping in an explicit way.
- So you asked whether it is about the
- other claims. I believe that I have provided
- technical opinion that can be used as the basis
- for a variety of such claims. So my opinion is
- 24 about combinations that are relevant to these
- claims. I did not provide in the -- in the

- declaration, which is my opinion on the matter,
- such explicit correspondence.
- 3 BY MR. PALYS:
- 4 Q. Would your testimony be the same for
- $^{5}$  the claims of the '009 patent as well?
- A. I've used the same approach for both,
- $^{7}$  yes.
- 8 Q. So yes?
- A. Sorry, sorry. Let me rephrase the
- answer.
- So I've used the same approach and so
- 12 I have also regarding the claims of the '009
- patent performed the steps of understanding the
- meaning of terms in the claims. And then I've
- also examined relevant prior work, and I also
- considered combinations of prior work. And
- also for 709 -- sorry, for '009 is applicable
- what I mention about my approach, which is
- analyze combinations that can be used as the
- basis for someone to make legal arguments.
- Q. You mentioned earlier that you didn't
- 22 provide legal analysis of the claims; is that
- <sup>23</sup> accurate?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- $^{25}$  A. I will rephrase it by saying I

- 1 provided a technical opinion on the meaning of
- terms in the claim. And I understand that my
- 3 technical opinion can be used to make legal
- 4 arguments and determinations.
- 5 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Can you turn to page -- or paragraph
- <sup>7</sup> 19 of your declaration, please. Actually,
- 8 sorry, let's go to paragraph 12. It's a
- 9 section called "Legal Standards Of
- 10 patentability."
- Do you see that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And in that section -- and you're
- 14 free to flip through to confirm -- you have a
- section 4, "Anticipation," that's on page 6;
- 16 right? Is that right, sir?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 O. And --
- A. Anticipation starts on page 6.
- Q. And then you also have a section on
- obviousness on page 7, starting on page 7;
- 22 right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And now looking at paragraph 19, you
- 25 state: "I understand the following standards

- 1 govern determination whether a patent claim
- is, " quote, "anticipated by the prior art"; is
- 3 that right?
- $^4$  A. That's what it is written.
- 5 Q. And in paragraph 24 you mention:
- <sup>6</sup> "I understand that a claimed
- invention is not patentable if it
- 8 would have been obvious to a person of
- ordinary skill in the field of the
- invention at the time the invention
- was made."
- 12 Is that accurate?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, foundation.
- 14 A. It is accurate for what it is
- written, part of 24. It is a different
- section.
- 17 BY MR. PALYS:
- 18 Q. Right. Do you have -- you don't have
- an opinion in your declaration anywhere whether
- the prior art anticipates any of the claims of
- the '705 or '009 patent; right?
- A. My declaration does not make explicit
- 23 statements about claims anticipation but
- provides the foundation on which others may
- wish to make such claims -- such statements.

- 1 Q. Your declaration doesn't provide an
- opinion as to whether any claimed invention
- $^3$  that's recited in the claims of the '705 or
- $^4$  '009 patent would have been obvious to a person
- of ordinary skill in the -- in the field of the
- invention at the time the invention was made;
- 7 correct?
- 8 MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- <sup>9</sup> A. Similar to my previous answer, I
- believe that my declaration provides a
- technical analysis of combinations of previous
- work that I consider obvious to someone of
- ordinary skill in the art at the time.
- And it is my understanding that this
- work that I've done, this technical work, could
- be used as the basis for making arguments of
- obviousness related to the claims in the
- patent.
- 19 BY MR. PALYS:
- 0. Is that one of the reasons why you
- 21 provided your opinions to support a basis for
- an obviousness position?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. My motivation was simply to conduct a
- technical analysis of what I considered

- 1 relevant. I was not motivated to do this
- analysis and write the opinion to address a
- 3 specific objective.
- 4 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. In paragraph 28 of your declaration,
- 6 which extends from pages 7 to 8, you state:
- 7 "Specifically, I understand that
- 8 the obviousness question requires
- 9 consideration of four factors."
- Do you see that?
- 11 A. Page 8, yes.
- 0. And one of the four factors is the
- differences between the prior art and the
- 14 claims at issue.
- Do you see that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you perform such analysis for any
- claim of the '705 or '009 patent?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. So I can say that I analyzed the
- 21 claims and what I consider is their meaning,
- and that is reflected in one part of the
- <sup>23</sup> declaration.
- Then another part, I've analyzed
- prior work, and I understand that my technical

- work can be used as the basis for making a
- legal argument on the matter of obviousness.
- 3 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Okay. When you stated that you
- 5 analyzed the claims and you considered what
- their meaning is, are you saying that you
- analyzed every term in the claims to understand
- 8 their meaning? Or only certain words and
- 9 phrases of the claims?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 11 A. What I did is I reviewed all the
- language in the claims, and I eventually
- provided a specific interpretation for terms
- that I understood were the most important
- 15 related to the matter.
- 16 BY MR. PALYS:
- 17 Q. How did you determine what terms were
- the most important related to the matter?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form,
- privileged to the extent it's seeking
- privileged information. Subject to that
- objection, you may answer the question.
- A. I believe this is a question you
- $^{24}$  already asked, maybe not in the same words, and
- so I can only give the same answer as before,

- which is that the selection was the result of a
- <sup>2</sup> collaborative process.
- 3 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. A collaborative process with Sidley
- 5 Austin?
- A. As I said before, yes, with counsel,
- <sup>7</sup> that is, Sidley Austin.
- Q. Did you perform an analysis of any
- 9 differences between the prior art and the
- claims of the '705 and '009 patent in your
- 11 declaration?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 13 A. I provided technical analysis of
- prior work and combinations, and the resulting
- opinion, as I expressed in the declaration,
- could be used as the basis to identify or show
- the lack of differences between the prior art
- and the claims at issue.
- 19 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Right. So you're saying that your
- technical analysis could be used as the basis
- to identify or show the lack of differences
- between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 24 But you yourself didn't do that analysis; isn't
- 25 that accurate?

- MR. DILLON: Objection to form.
- A. I don't think this is an accurate
- description. The way I would characterize my
- 4 work is provide a technical foundation or a
- 5 technical basis for such analysis. And so I
- 6 was directly involved when you asked about
- myself, about the -- providing this technical
- 8 foundation.
- 9 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. So are you testifying that you did
- 11 perform an analysis regarding the differences
- between the prior art and the claims at issue
- in your declaration?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- THE WITNESS: Can you repeat again
- the question? I'm afraid you're asking
- me the same so I'm trying to understand
- better.
- MR. PALYS: Right.
- 20 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. So the question is: Did you perform
- 22 an analysis regarding the differences between
- the prior art and the claims at issue in your
- 24 declaration?
- A. So I'm happy to provide an answer,

- which is the same as I gave before. I believe
- that in the interest of the truth, this is not
- $^3$  a simple yes/no answer, so I've tried to
- 4 explain -- and you can refer to my previous
- 5 answers -- what has been my role and what kind
- of work I have done.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. Sir, what did you do today to prepare
- 8 for your cross-examination?
- 9 MR. DILLON: Objection to the
- extent this question seeks privileged
- information. So subject to that
- objection, you may answer the question.
- Objection to form.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Are you asking me
- about today or any time previously,
- prior and until today?
- 17 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. I'm sorry, I was reading the text.
- 19 I'm asking, what did you do to prepare for your
- 20 cross-examination today?
- A. Today?
- 0. Yes.
- MR. DILLON: Objection to the
- extent this question seeks privileged
- information. Subject to that objection,

- 1 you may answer the question.
- A. Today, I took a quick look at some of
- 3 the exhibits.
- 4 BY MR. PALYS:
- <sup>5</sup> Q. You misunderstood my question. Okay,
- 6 so that's what you did today.
- What did you do to prepare for
- 8 today's cross-examination? How about that?
- A. Okay. This is a different question.
- 10 Q. It is. I apparently had a different
- interpretation. I just want to know what you
- did to prepare for your deposition.
- MR. DILLON: Same objection.
- 14 A. I conducted a review of documents,
- and I met with counsel.
- 16 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Did you meet with anyone else other
- than counsel?
- 19 A. Not for the purpose of preparing.
- Q. Are you familiar with Michael Fratto?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance.
- <sup>22</sup> A. Yes.
- 23 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. How are you familiar with him?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance.

- 1 A. I understand he provided an expert
- witness declaration.
- 3 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. And you reviewed that declaration;
- 5 isn't that right?
- 6 MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance,
- <sup>7</sup> form.
- 8 A. I remember being provided with the
- 9 declaration and taking a look at it.
- 10 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. And you, in fact, on page --
- 12 appendix A of your declaration, page 2
- identifies Exhibit 1043, which is the
- declaration of Michael Fratto; isn't that
- 15 right?
- A. Exactly.
- Q. And you relied on that declaration
- for your opinions in your declaration?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance,
- 20 form.
- A. I would say I considered it.
- 22 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. And are you familiar with Rogg Gwern
- <sup>24</sup> (ph)?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance.

- A. I think I remember having seen his
- name, but I'm not sure.
- 3 BY MR. PALYS:
- $^4$  O. In what context?
- 5 A. I don't remember right now.
- 6 Q. Had you ever heard of VirnetX prior
- <sup>7</sup> to being retained for these proceedings?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. In what context?
- 10 A. I knew that it is a company with a
- patent portfolio, and I remember news items
- about the company.
- Q. Could you turn to paragraph 45 of
- your declaration, please.
- 15 A. Paragraph or page?
- 16 Q. 45. It's on page 15, sir. Are you
- 17 there?
- A. Page 15, yes, paragraph 45.
- Q. Right above paragraph 45 there's a
- section, "Effective filing date of the '009
- 21 patent." Do you see that? It's right above
- paragraph 45.
- A. So paragraph 44 are you talking
- 24 about?
- Q. There's a heading right above

- 1 paragraph 45 saying "Effective filing date of
- the '009 patent."
- $^3$  A. Oh, yes. I can see it, right.
- Q. And in paragraph 46 under this
- 5 section, you state --
- <sup>6</sup> "Based on my review of these
- $^7$  applications, I believe that the two
- independent claims of the '009 patent
- 9 rely on information that was first
- included in the 783 application."
- 11 Correct?
- 12 A. It is what it is stated.
- Q. Right.
- And when you testified that based on
- your review of these applications, were you
- 16 referring to the applications identified in
- paragraph 45?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. So you reviewed every one of those
- applications; is that right?
- A. As stated in paragraph 46, I did
- 22 conduct a review.
- Q. And did you read every page of those
- <sup>24</sup> applications?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.

- 1 A. My review was not exhaustive. I was
- scanning through them, I'm sorry, to enrich my
- <sup>3</sup> understanding as it is stated in paragraph 46.
- 4 BY MR. PALYS:
- <sup>5</sup> Q. In paragraph 47, you state that --
- 6 you make a reference to Claim 1 of the '009
- 7 patent and then you say:
- 8 "Based on my review of the
- 9 documents, no application filed prior
- to 783 application uses the terms,"
- quote, "domain name," "domain name
- service, and "DNS request."
- 13 Is that right?
- 14 A. Paragraph 46 does reflect what was my
- 15 conclusion at the time.
- Q. Did you mean paragraph 47?
- A. Again, what is written. I thought
- you asked me about paragraph 47.
- Q. Yeah. Your answer said paragraph 46.
- 20 I'm just correcting your -- did you mean
- paragraph 47?
- A. I definitely meant paragraph 47.
- 23 Sorry.
- Q. No, that's all right.
- And in paragraph 47 when you say

- 1 "based on my review of the documents," again,
- <sup>2</sup> "the documents," is that referring to all the
- 3 applications that are in paragraph 45?
- A. "The documents" refers indeed to the
- 5 applications in paragraph 45.
- Q. Is it your opinion because those
- documents don't use the terms "domain name,"
- 8 "domain name service," and "DNS request," that
- 9 is why it is your understanding that the
- effective filing date of the '009 patent claims
- is no earlier than February 15, 2000, as you
- mention in paragraph 49?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 14 A. My opinion is based on two aspects.
- 15 First of all, what is in paragraph 47. And
- then my opinion as written in my conclusion of
- the 49 is based also on what I observe in
- paragraph 48. That doesn't -- did not seem to
- be controversial, what is the effective filing
- date of the '009 patent.
- 21 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Did you review all of the documents
- that you list on paragraph 48?
- $^{24}$  A. From my recollection, it's that I
- 25 reviewed documents that are mentioned in the

- 1 exhibits. And also, as indicated in the
- declaration, I also was given other information
- 3 as it is indicated here. All this type of
- 4 review, as I said, has been cursory, since the
- <sup>5</sup> issue was not controversial.
- Q. Was your review of the applications
- 7 cursory to support your opinion that you make
- in paragraph 47 regarding use of the terms
- 9 "domain name," "domain name service," and "DNS
- 10 request"?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 12 A. I will not use maybe the same words.
- 13 I will certainly say that it was a targeted
- 14 review to find the appearances of certain
- 15 keywords.
- 16 BY MR. PALYS:
- 17 Q. In paragraphs 56 through 58, you seem
- to summarize the prosecution history of the
- '705 and the '009 patents; is that accurate?
- A. I will say that 58, paragraph 58
- $^{21}$  addresses the conclusion of the process,
- doesn't summarize the entire process.
- Q. When you say "process," you mean
- 24 prosecution history?
- $^{25}$  A. Yes.

Page 69 1 Take a break? MR. PALYS: 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 (Recess taken.) BY MR. PALYS: 5 Can you please turn to paragraph 59 Ο. on page 22 of your declaration. There's a section there right above paragraph 59 labeled "Construction of terms used in the '705 and '009 patent claims"; right? 10 Yes. Α. 11 Is this section relating to the Ο. 12 certain words and phrases in the claims of the 13 '705 and '009 patent that you provide a 14 discussion of the meaning of? 15 Section D and its subsections provide Α. 16 such an explanation of my understanding of the 17 meaning. 18 Of certain terms, right, and phrases? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Ο. And those terms include "intercepting 21 a request" identified on page 24; right? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Include "domain name," which is Ο. 24 identified on page 25; right? 25 That's right. Α.

Page 70 "Secure domain name" identified on 1 Ο. page 26; right? 3 Α. Yes. "Provisioning information" identified 5 on page 27; correct? 6 Α. That's right. 7 "Modulated transmission Ο. link/unmodulated transmission link" identified on page 29? 10 Α. Indeed. 11 And "phone" on page 31. Ο. 12 Α. And "phone" on page 31. 13 Ο. That's right. 14 And those were for the '705 patent; 15 correct? 16 Yes. Α. 17 All right. And for the '009 patent, 18 you provide an opinion regarding the term 19 "domain name service" or "DNS" -- I'm sorry, "domain name service (DNS request)" identified 20 21 on page 32; correct? 22 Α. Yes. 23 "Interception of the DNS request" Q. 24 identified on page 33? 25 Α. Yes.

Dr. Roberto Tamassia Page 71 1 The term "encrypted communication link" identified on page 33; correct? 3 Α. Yes. "Provisioning information" identified 5 on page 35; right? 6 Α. Right. 7 And "secure communications service" Ο. identified on page 35? Α. Yes. 10 "Indication" identified on page 36; Ο. 11 correct? 12 Α. Yes. 13 "Virtual private network Ο. 14 communication link" identified on page 38; 15 correct? 16 Right. Α. "Domain name" identified on page 39; 17 Ο. 18 correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. And "modulation" identified on page 21 40; right? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. And are there any other terms Ο. 24 other than the ones we just listed for the '705

and the '009 patent that you provide an opinion

25

- 1 regarding the interpretation of?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 3 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question?
- A. I believe I understand it.
- This is the part of my declaration
- 7 what you went through that contains more formal
- 8 definitions, definitions within quotes, what is
- 9 my understanding of the meaning.
- From other parts of my declaration,
- one may conclude other related meanings, but my
- definition of terms is exactly as it -- my
- 13 formal definition of terms is exactly as in the
- sections we went through in the declaration.
- Q. So are there any other terms in the
- claims of the '705 or -- and '009 patent that
- you provide a definition for in your
- 18 declaration?
- 19 A. I will say that my declaration also
- 20 contains that section which is called
- "Technical Background," section 4.
- In this section, I recall well-known
- terminology about computer networks and
- security, and these definitions that I recall,
- they're not in the -- in the formal style of

- the previous section, are terms that may appear
- in the patents and claims.
- I hope this answers what you are
- 4 looking for.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. Could you turn to paragraph 66,
- 6 please, of your declaration.
- $^{7}$  A. Yes.
- Q. And you have the '705 patent in front
- 9 of you; right?
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. If you could open that up to the
- claim, Claim 1. So Claim 1 of the '705 patent
- recites the phrase "intercepting from the
- client device a request to look up an Internet
- protocol (IP address)"; correct?
- A. This is the beginning of item 1, yes?
- Q. Right. And you identify that on
- paragraph 66 as well; correct?
- A. So what is identified in 66 I
- understand is a subset of the sentence that you
- quoted before starting at "request," and I
- think you continued up to "Internet protocol,
- 23 IP." I don't know if this is how --
- Q. Okay. You construed the phrase
- <sup>25</sup> "intercepting ... a request" --

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- in Claim 1 of the '705 patent to
- mean receiving -- to mean receipt of a message
- $^4$  by a DNS proxy server -- hold on. Let me start
- 5 over.
- 6 You interpreted the term
- <sup>7</sup> "intercepting ... a request" to include a proxy
- 8 computer or device receiving a request
- 9 pertaining to a first entity at another entity;
- isn't that right?
- 11 A. So my interpretation according to the
- standard of broadest reasonable interpretation,
- if you want the specification, is exactly what
- you see in paragraph 69. So as I stated it
- includes a proxy computer or device receiving a
- request pertaining to a first entity at another
- entity.
- Q. If you open up the '009 patent as
- well and go to Claim 1, please. In column 56,
- line 31, you see that Claim 1 includes the
- phrase "interception of the DNS request"?
- Do you see that?
- A. Yes, I can see the phrase at line 31
- "interception of the DNS request."
- Q. And turn to paragraph 86 of your

- declaration, please. In paragraph 86, your
- 2 construction "interception of the DNS request"
- 3 that's in the claims of the '009 patent is or
- 4 includes "a proxy computer or device receiving
- $^{5}$  a DNS request pertaining to a first entity at
- another entity"; correct?
- A. I believe you just quoted what is
- 8 indeed written in 86. Is that right? Did you
- 9 just verbatim quote it?
- Q. The question is: Is that your
- 11 construction of that term?
- 12 A. Can you repeat it so I just can
- double check my answer so that I can --
- Q. Why don't you read it out loud, what
- your construction is for "interception of the
- 16 DNS request"?
- A. Perfect. So what I'm saying is that
- the broadest reasonable interpretation of this
- term includes a proxy computer or device
- 20 receiving a DNS request pertaining to first
- entity at another entity.
- Q. And that's your construction of that
- term, "interception of the DNS request";
- 24 correct?
- <sup>25</sup> A. Yes.

- Q. Now let's use the '705 patent. Go to
- 2 column 40 of that patent.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And in column 40, lines 1 through 24,
- 5 is a paragraph that begins with "According to
- one embodiment, DNS proxies 2610 intercepts all
- 7 DNS lookup functions ... Do you see that?
- A. Can you tell me the specific line you
- <sup>9</sup> are referring to?
- 10 Q. It was lines 1 through 24 at column
- 40. Why don't you review those lines.
- 12 A. Thank you.
- MR. DILLON: Joe, I think he's now
- looking at the '009 patent. We're
- talking about the '705 patent.
- THE WITNESS: Exactly. Thank you
- for that.
- MR. PALYS: It was the '705 patent.
- 19 Sorry.
- THE WITNESS: So you want me to
- look exactly which lines, since I'm now
- looking at this document? Can you
- repeat the lines on column 40?
- 24 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. So you read lines 1 through 24,

- 1 column 40; right?
- A. Column 40, 1 through 24.
- Q. Right.
- A. So you like me to read the, okay, up
- 5 to line or a sentence that started but not
- 6 ended.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. Okay.
- A. Okay. Yes, I have reviewed it now,
- <sup>9</sup> thank you.
- Q. Okay. When this passage -- you know,
- like in line 1 of column 40, it refers to DNS
- proxy intercepts all DNS functions. This
- passage of the specification, when it refers to
- intercepting, you agree that that's referring
- to a scenario where a device receives something
- intended for another?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 18 A. Yes. As I explain in my declaration,
- on paragraph 68, this initial sentence
- describes a scenario where a request is
- intercepted.
- 22 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. In paragraph 68 you say:
- "One of ordinary skill in the art
- reading the patents would understand

- the term 'intercepting' to mean
- receiving a request at a device other
- 3 than the device for which the request
- was intended."
- 5 A. Which paragraph did you say?
- $^{6}$  Q. 68, what you just referred me to. I
- 7 was reading from page 24, 25.
- 8 A. Yes, this is what it is written.
- 9 Q. Okay. So do you agree that the
- broadest reasonable interpretation of this
- term, "intercepting a request," includes
- receiving and acting on a request where the
- request is intended for a recipient at a
- destination other than the destination at which
- the request is intercepted?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 17 A. So that provided me with an alternate
- wording, and my opinion remains exactly what
- 19 you see in the paragraph 69, which is based on
- the discussion in the preceding paragraphs, 66,
- $^{21}$  67, and 68.
- 22 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Okay. So when you used the word
- <sup>24</sup> "pertaining to" in your interpretation on
- paragraph 69, is that based on your discussion

- in paragraph 68?
- Does the "pertaining to" mean that
- $^{3}$  the request -- let me start this over.
- So your construction in paragraph 69
- says that interpreting -- intercepting a
- <sup>6</sup> request includes a proxy computer or device
- 7 receiving a request pertaining to a first
- 8 entity at another entity; right?
- $^{9}$  A. That's the language, yes.
- Q. Right. So what I'm trying to
- understand is you also give an understanding of
- what "intercepting" means in paragraph 68 where
- the term means receive a request at a device
- other than the device for which the request was
- <sup>15</sup> intended.
- Do you see that?
- 17 A. I can see that.
- Q. Right.
- So what I'm trying to understand from
- these two explanations that you give is the
- "pertaining to" in paragraph 69, is does that
- relate to the meaning that something is
- <sup>23</sup> intended for?
- So in other words, receiving a
- <sup>25</sup> request intended for a first entity at another

- 1 entity?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. I picked a slightly different word,
- 4 "pertaining," instead of "intended for." And
- <sup>5</sup> under the broadest reasonable interpretation,
- 6 what I have been doing is to consider this case
- <sup>7</sup> of intended for and also a situation where
- 8 there may be no explicit intention, the request
- 9 is ordinarily processed by another entity or
- received by another entity, will say more
- specifically, ordinarily received by another
- entity, and instead, it is received at the
- 13 first entity.
- 14 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Let me help you out here. Figure 25
- of the '705 patent, please. That's on page 65
- <sup>17</sup> of Exhibit 1001.
- 18 A. Figure 25, page 65, right?
- 19 Q. Yes, sir.
- $^{20}$  A. Yes.
- Q. Make sure you're on the right page.
- A. Actually, you asked for the '705?
- 23 Because they are very similar figures.
- Q. Very similar figures, right.
- So in figure 25, we see that the '705

- patent is disclosing what's labeled here as
- <sup>2</sup> prior art.
- You can see that; right?
- $^4$  A. Yes.
- Q. And you agree that it shows a DNS box
- 6 2502 --
- <sup>7</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. -- in the figure, right? What's
- 9 labeled as a target website, 2503; correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- Q. And a client 2501, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And the corresponding
- disclosure for figure 25, just for your -- if
- you want to refer back to it, is on column 39
- of the '705 patent.
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. So you agree that figure 25's
- describing here a conventional DNS process;
- 20 right?
- A. I will agree that this figure
- describes more specifically accessing a website
- called target website by web browser, and the
- intermediate step of issuing a DNS request,
- receiving a response, and then requesting a

- page from the website and receiving the page.
- O. So column 39 refers to this as a
- 3 conventional scheme; right? That's on line 8
- $^4$  of column 39.
- $^5$  A. Yes. It's repeated on line 19.
- Q. And then line 1, the DNS you see in
- <sup>7</sup> figure 25, that's a conventional DNS; correct?
- 8 A. I'll say that the figure describes
- <sup>9</sup> the behavior of this DNS server as viewed by
- what is referred to as user's computer 2501.
- 11 This figure does not describe the overall
- operation of a DNS server.
- Q. Are you disputing that figure 25, the
- DNS 2502, isn't a conventional DNS?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 16 A. I'm only pointing out that this
- figure describes part of the operation of a DNS
- server. I'm not saying that this server is
- unconventional as intended, I believe, by the
- 20 specification. This specification points to
- this DNS server being a conventional one that
- 22 provides this lookup function so as described
- in line 1, 2, and 3.
- 24 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Right. I think we're saying the same

- <sup>1</sup> thing.
- $^2$  A. We're saying the same thing.
- O. It's a conventional DNS, and 2502 in
- figure 25 is described as a conventional DNS.
- A. Yes, it's referred to as a
- 6 conventional DNS.
- $^{7}$  Q. Exactly.
- 8 A. And the figure only illustrates a
- $^{9}$  portion of what it does. It's a -- it does
- much more than what is shown here.
- 11 Q. Understood.
- So the question is, so we have
- conventional DNS. This figure's describing a
- standard DNS request process; right? The text
- $^{15}$  and the figure -- figure 25 and its
- corresponding text in column 39 is describing a
- standard DNS lookup request with a conventional
- 18 DNS server; correct?
- 19 A. I believe it describe the portion of
- the conventional DNS request as response as
- viewed by the user's computer. Does not
- describe the work that the DNS server does and
- what other resources it may access in order to
- 24 provide the DNS response.
- O. So if this conventional DNS is

- 1 receiving a standard DNS request, would you
- 2 agree that the DNS request has been intercepted
- 3 by the DNS 2502?
- 4 MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 5 A. So can you provide more context?
- Are you asking about interception of
- $^7$  a request in the context of the general
- 8 operation of a browser wishes to access a
- 9 website and using a DNS server, or are you
- 10 asking about the specific meaning of
- "interception" in the claims?
- 12 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. So with your understanding of what
- interception is in the context of the '705
- patent, is the DNS request that's being sent,
- the standard DNS request that's being provided
- to the conventional DNS server, is that request
- intercepted by the DNS?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. So figure 25 describes what is a
- general process for a web browser to access a
- website, and as part of the process requesting
- and obtaining as a response information about
- $^{24}$  how to access the target website. In the
- general context that I understand is exactly

```
1 meant here, which is describing the operation
```

- of conventional name servers, also known as
- 3 conventional DNS servers, the request that
- 4 it -- that is issued by the user's computer is
- intended to be processed by the DNS resolution
- 6 system.
- Q. So is that request intercepted by the
- 8 DNS system?
- A. I'm using in this general context the
- word "intended to," and the word "intended to"
- in a general context is different from
- "intercepting."
- 13 THE WITNESS: Now can I take other
- quick break, or can we have a longer
- lunch break?
- MR. PALYS: Sure, we can take a
- break. That's fine. Which of the two,
- lunch or quick? What do you prefer,
- sir? You're driving the boat here.
- THE WITNESS: It's almost 12:30.
- MR. PALYS: Lunch?
- THE WITNESS: Lunch break.
- MR. PALYS: Your call.
- THE WITNESS: Okay.
- (Recess taken.)

- 1 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Can you please turn to paragraph 70
- of your declaration, sir.
- $^4$  A. Yes.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. In paragraph 70 it appears that you
- 6 have two different interpretations of the word
- 7 "domain name," and I just want to get
- 8 clarification from you which one you -- is your
- 9 interpretation. So if you could read -- review
- paragraph 70, and then I'll ask my follow-up
- 11 question.
- 12 A. In paragraph 70, I started by
- describing what I would consider the standard
- meaning of the term, providing a short
- description. Then I discuss additional
- information I have considered. In particular,
- $^{17}$  as I address in the paragraph, the fact that I
- have been informed that VirnetX proposed a
- 19 slightly different meaning. And I reflected on
- the matter, and then I concluded that my
- opinion of the broadest reasonable construction
- of "domain name" in view of the specification
- is a name corresponding to an IP address. So I
- 24 accepted the proposed interpretation as
- mentioned here of VirnetX.

- 1 O. Thank you.
- 2 Can you turn to paragraph 126 of your
- declaration? And in that paragraph, you make a
- reference to "The domain name system (DNS)
- translates host names, e.g., www.apple.com";
- 6 right?
- A. Referring to 126 or also other
- 8 paragraphs?
- 9 Q. In paragraph 126, you refer to
- www.apple.com. Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Is apple.com a domain name?
- 13 A. Apple.com is generally considered to
- $^{14}$  be a domain name.
- Okay. And would that domain name,
- apple.com, correspond to an IP address of a
- 17 network device?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form,
- relevance.
- A. I will say that in a general context,
- domain name systems can be used by providing
- them to a DNS resolution system or DNS system,
- which will attempt to provide an IP address or
- other resource associated with the host name.
- I'm trying to summarize what will be

- a more complex subject.
- 2 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. But does that IP address correspond
- 4 to a device? Like the apple.com, it will have
- 5 an IP address; right?
- 6 MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. So in a general context, if I use
- 8 computing device or a network device and ask to
- the DNS system that starts with this computer
- device and we extend to other devices about
- www.apple.com, the device will attempt to find
- one or more IP addresses for this domain name.
- 13 And I believe that apple.com currently does
- provide this type of information. Sorry, it
- does correspond to some IP address. I'm not
- sure if it is the one listed here.
- 17 Q. Is the IP address, say, for the
- domain name in this example, apple.com, does
- that IP address correspond to a device?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form,
- 21 relevance.
- THE WITNESS: So can you actually
- repeat the question so I understand
- 24 better?
- 25 BY MR. PALYS:

- Q. All right. So there's a domain name,
- apple.com, right? Corresponds to an IP
- 3 address; right?
- A. I was asking you to repeat the entire
- <sup>5</sup> question.
- Q. I'm giving you the question now, sir,
- okay? So there's the domain name apple.com.
- $^8$  A. Yes, there is such an example.
- 9 O. There is an IP address that
- corresponds to that domain name; correct?
- 11 A. There might be an IP address that
- corresponds to the domain name.
- Q. And that IP address, does that
- correspond to a device, the IP address?
- Do you understand my question?
- A. Now I understand your question.
- 17 Thanks for splitting it into components.
- Q. No problem.
- A. So what I'll say is that in a general
- context, and omitting a lot of details, as I do
- in paragraph 126 of my declaration, IP
- 22 addresses are generally associated with devices
- or with network interfaces of devices; however,
- the use in the computer networks community of
- 25 IP addresses has also made alternate uses of

- <sup>1</sup> them.
- O. What kind of alternate uses?
- A. Again, omitting details, I will say
- 4 that an IP address could be used to indicate
- 5 that certain circumstances occur, and that's
- speaking in a very general, broad context.
- $^{7}$  Q. In the context of the '705 and the
- 8 '009 patents, is the domain name, for example,
- $^9$  website.com, is that a standard or -- yeah,
- like a standard domain name?
- 11 A. Can you point me to the part of the
- patent you are referring to?
- Q. Sure. We can go to the '705 patent,
- column 52, lines 37 through 40.
- A. Sorry, so column 52 and then which
- 16 line?
- 17 Q. 37 through 40.
- 18 A. 37 through 40? Okay.
- So you were asking me before about IP
- addresses and devices, and now you are pointing
- me to a section of this patent '705 that
- discusses what is indicated here as attempting
- to register secure domain name website .SCOM,
- and so if you could please actually ask me
- <sup>25</sup> again the specific question.

- Q. Does the '705 and the '009 patent
- disclose nonsecure domain names and secure
- $^{3}$  domain names?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form,
- <sup>5</sup> relevance.
- THE WITNESS: Are you asking me a
- 7 question now?
- 8 BY MR. PALYS:
- 9 Q. Sir, yeah, I'm asking a question.
- 10 Can you just listen to the question, please?
- 11 A. Yeah. You have made an assertion, so
- are you asking me to confirm it or not?
- Q. So my question was: Does the '705
- and '009 patent disclose nonsecure domain
- 15 names?
- 16 A. These patents do use the term
- "nonsecure domain name" as indeed, for example,
- on line 40 on that column.
- O. So the example on line 40 is
- website.com you'd agree is an example of a
- 21 nonsecure domain name; correct?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. So here's what I can say. If you can
- turn to paragraph 71 and then 72 and 73 of my
- declaration, you will see what is the opinion I

- 1 have of the broadest reasonable interpretation
- of the term "secure domain name." And I give
- 3 here what is my interpretation at the end of
- <sup>4</sup> paragraph 73.
- Now, you asked me about the sentence
- 6 that uses the language "nonsecure domain name,"
- and the way I view this term is being the
- 8 negation of secure domain name. So the patent
- <sup>9</sup> talking about a domain name website.com and the
- 10 patent specification indicating that this is
- something that is not a secure domain name.
- 12 Q. Okay. In paragraph 73 you
- acknowledge that, for example, .SCOM is a
- nonstandard top-level domain name; correct?
- A. Actually, not making this
- acknowledgment. I am simply quoting the
- specification in the '705 patent, quoting from
- the specification in the '705 patent. Okay?
- Q. So do you agree that .SCOM is a
- 20 nonstandard top-level domain name in the
- context of the '705 and '009 patent?
- A. I do not agree to your exact
- sentence. And I believe this is reflected in
- paragraph 73.
- Q. So it's your opinion that a .SCOM is

- 1 not an example of a nonstandard top-level
- domain name in the context of the '705 and '009
- 3 patent; is that right?
- 4 MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 5 A. So I disagree with the exact form of
- 6 your statement as being my opinion. My opinion
- 7 simply states that what I consider the broadest
- 8 reasonable interpretation of this term, "secure
- 9 domain name," is a name that corresponds to a
- 10 secure computer network address. And I have a
- brief discussion before that further elaborates
- on some of what led me to this conclusion.
- 13 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. All right. So my question was
- $^{15}$  whether you agree that .SCOM is a nonstandard
- top-level domain name in the context of the
- '705 and '009 patents.
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 19 A. So for clarification, are you asking
- 20 me that generally at a certain period in time a
- top-level domain such as dot com -- sorry,
- 22 .SCOM is considered nonstandard?
- 23 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. I can repeat the question. That's my
- question. It's whether you agree that .SCOM is

- a nonstandard top-level domain name in the
- $^2$  context of the '705 and '009 patent. I don't
- believe you answered it yet. That's why I keep
- <sup>4</sup> asking you.
- 5 A. I see. What I can say is when I
- 6 looked at this specific language in the patent,
- <sup>7</sup> I noted that the specification refers to such
- 8 TLDs, top-level domain names, as nonstandard.
- 9 But also what I noted is that the specification
- establishes some sort of equivalence of these
- type of nonstandard TLDs with secure aspects of
- domain names or network addresses.
- And after reflecting on this, I
- opined that security is not in general,
- according to the broadest reasonable
- interpretation, not specific of a name, but
- security is about a computer network, about
- computers there, devices on that network.
- So I have tried to understand the
- 20 language that is used and tried to think how I
- would interpret "secure domain name."
- Q. Could you go to the claims for the
- <sup>23</sup> '705 patent, sir.
- $^{24}$  A. Yes.
- Q. On Claim 1, column 55 --

- <sup>1</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. -- line 50, 51 there's a phrase or
- limitation, "intercepting from the client a
- $^4$  request to look up an Internet protocol (IP)
- 5 address."
- Do you see that?
- $^{7}$  A. Yes.
- Q. What is your interpretation of a
- 9 request to look up an Internet protocol (IP)
- 10 address in Claim 1?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, scope.
- 12 A. I believe that I already indicated
- what is my interpretation of the concept of
- intercepting within this patent.
- 15 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Right.
- 17 A. Okay.
- Q. But my question relates to the part
- of that phrase that starts with "the request to
- look up an Internet protocol (IP) address,"
- that portion.
- Did you provide or do you have a
- 23 broadest reasonable interpretation of that
- $^{24}$  term?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, scope.

- 1 A. So looking back at the claim
- $^2$  construction section of my declaration, I did
- 3 not provide such a formal definition for the
- 4 fragment that you asked me to look at.
- 5 BY MR. PALYS:
- 6 Q. Does your declaration have an opinion
- on any interpretation of that term?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 9 MR. PALYS: Let me withdraw the
- question.
- 11 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Did you perform a claim construction
- analysis on that phrase, that term, "request to
- look up an Internet protocol (IP) address" in
- your opinions for the '705 and '009 patents?
- A. So before, you were asking about
- 17 '705. Now you're asking me about '705 or '009?
- Q. I meant your declaration, which
- addresses both patents.
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. So I'll rephrase it for you.
- So did you perform a claim
- construction analysis for the term "request to
- look up an Internet protocol (IP) address" in
- your declaration?

- 1 A. So what I can say is that in the
- claim construction for the '009 patent, I
- discussed the phrase "domain name service"
- 4 request, " or "DNS request."
- 5 And the DNS request, as I explain, is
- 6 a request for a resource corresponding to a
- <sup>7</sup> domain name. And one of the resources that are
- 8 generally associated with domain names is one
- <sup>9</sup> or multiple IP addresses.
- Q. So you mentioned the '009 Claim 1.
- 11 So do you have that patent there too?
- 12 A. I also -- yes.
- Q. Can you go to Claim 1?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And in that same portion of the claim
- that you just referenced, it will be on line
- starting around line 29 of column 56 --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- right?
- There, we see a request to look up a
- network address of a second network device.
- <sup>22</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And in Claim 1 of the '705
- patent we were referring to there's this
- request to look up an Internet protocol (IP)

- 1 address; right?
- $^2$  A. Right.
- O. And in '009 -- and I know I'm
- bouncing around, but stay with me.
- <sup>5</sup> A. Yes.
- 6 Q. On line 29, the domain name service,
- <sup>7</sup> the DNS request to look up a network address,
- 8 would -- is there a difference between a domain
- 9 name service request to look up a network
- address and a request to look up an Internet
- protocol or an IP address?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 13 A. In general, an IP address is a
- 14 particular type of a network address.
- 15 BY MR. PALYS:
- 16 O. Is there a difference in that context
- for a domain name service request to look up a
- 18 network address as opposed to a -- to a request
- to look up an IP address?
- $^{20}$  A. Are you meaning the '009, the '705,
- or some other context?
- 0. Well, again, in the '009 and '705
- patent we're referring to these limitations to
- request a lookup -- the '705 says "request to
- 25 look up an IP address"; right?

- 1 A. Yes, correct.
- O. And '009 has a domain name service
- request to look up a network address. And you
- 4 mentioned that, in general, an IP address is a
- 5 particular type of network address; right?
- $^6$  A. Yes, that is the general --
- Q. Right.
- 8 A. -- notion.
- 9 O. Is there a difference -- when you
- look at the '705 patent, we notice it doesn't
- have a DNS request; right? It just says "a
- request to look up an IP address" in line 53;
- 13 right?
- A. Which patent again?
- <sup>15</sup> O. '705.
- 16 A. Okay, '705.
- Q. So on line 53, it says determining
- whether the -- I'm sorry, at line 50, yeah,
- 19 "intercepting from the client device a request
- to look up an IP address"; right?
- A. Right, right.
- Q. And in the '009 patent in Claim 1,
- you refer to a domain name service (DNS)
- $^{24}$  request to look up an IP address. So you see
- the distinction in the language of the claims

- between both portions of the '009 and '705
- <sup>2</sup> patent?
- A. What I can say is that scanning again
- 4 through the claims, I cannot see an explicit
- 5 reference in '009 to a specific IP address
- 6 instead of a generic network address.
- On the other hand, other elements of
- $^8$  the claim, including referring to a domain name
- 9 service, referring to telephony devices,
- virtual private network communication links,
- they all suggest that this address could be an
- 12 IP address.
- Q. So my question is: In the '009
- 14 patent it uses the phrase "domain name service
- 15 (DNS) request to look up a network address."
- 16 Okay?
- 17 A. Yes, that's what it says.
- Q. In the '705 it uses the phrase "a
- 19 request to look up an Internet protocol
- 20 address." Okay?
- $^{21}$  A. Yes. This is what it says there,
- $^{22}$  yes.
- Q. Okay. Is there a difference between
- that phrase in the '009 patent that uses
- "domain name (DNS) request to look up a network

- 1 address of a second network device" and the
- phrase in the '705 patent where it says
- 3 "request to look up an IP address" beyond the
- use of a network address and an IP address?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. So I -- I -- if you want, I can
- $^{7}$  answer. So I can refer back in the '009 to a
- 8 discussion about domain name servers and the
- <sup>9</sup> use of what is called DNS proxies and the
- discussion about what kind of operation is
- 11 performed. And here, the discussion in this
- context refers to IP addresses. Maybe I should
- just summarize it going back to the --
- 14 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Let me try it this way.
- A. Okay.
- Q. Try it one more time.
- So the '009 patent uses -- says
- "domain name service (DNS) request." That's on
- line 29 of Claim 1, or column 56; right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. The '705 patent uses on line 50 just
- the term "a request." Okay? Do you see that?
- $^{24}$  A. Yes.
- Q. And what I'd like to know is what's

- the significance of the addition of a DNS or
- domain name service to the phrase -- to the
- request that's in '009 patent as opposed to the
- 4 request that's in the '705 patent, as I just
- 5 pointed out?
- 6 MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. My understanding is that in the '009
- 8 patent, in these lines that we are talking
- 9 about on -- about Claim 1 of '009, the request
- in question is a request that follows the DNS
- protocol for such requests. That is my
- understanding of the meaning of a domain name
- 13 service request.
- 14 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Okay, let's shift gears for a second.
- 16 Can you go to paragraph 148 of your dec?
- 17 A. Paragraph, sorry. Wrong page. Okay.
- <sup>18</sup> Q. Page 58.
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And in this paragraph and the
- following subsequent paragraphs you discuss RFC
- publications; correct? Looking at the title
- 23 right above paragraph 148.
- $^{24}$  A. Yes, I describe RFCs and their
- <sup>25</sup> publication process.

- O. So are you familiar with the RFC
- process?
- A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And what's the basis of your
- familiarity with the RFC process?
- 6 A. My business includes having viewed
- 7 RFCs, having discussed RFCs, understanding for
- 8 a while how the RFC process helps in general
- 9 the developer community and manufacturers and
- 10 researchers reach standards that facilitate the
- use of the Internet and, more generally,
- communications and computing.
- Q. Were you ever personally involved in
- the release of an RFC document?
- 15 A. Can you clarify the meaning of
- 16 "release"?
- Q. Well, previously I asked you if you
- were familiar with the release process for the
- 19 RFC documents and you said you were, so I'm not
- sure where your misunderstanding of the term
- "release" comes from now.
- A. Sorry, I thought you had said of the
- publication process. But -- so I have not been
- personally involved in the actions of
- submitting drafts and proposed RFCs. I've not

- been involved in the IETF organization, so I've
- 2 not been one of their employees or
- 3 collaborators, so I have not participated in
- this type of aspect of the release.
- 5 O. You reviewed the RFC 2026; isn't that
- 6 right?
- <sup>7</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. And is it your understanding or --
- 9 well, just let me ask it this way. Would the
- 10 RFC 2026 have a retroactive effect to earlier
- 11 released RFCs?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form,
- foundation.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Can you clarify
- "retroactive effect"?
- 16 BY MR. PALYS:
- 17 Q. Yeah. Would the release process --
- well, let me take a step back.
- 19 You understand the RFC 2026 describes
- some release processes for the RFC standards;
- <sup>21</sup> right?
- A. So the way I characterize this is the
- development and publication process, and that
- is addressed in RFC 2026, as I point out in
- paragraph 149.

- Q. Okay. Would the RFC development and
- publication process as described in RFC 2026
- apply to earlier released RFC documents?
- 4 MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance,
- scope.
- 6 A. When you say "earlier," you mean
- <sup>7</sup> before the publication of RFC 2026, or some
- 8 other meaning?
- 9 MR. PALYS: So I'm handing the
- witness a copy of Exhibit 1036, which is
- a copy of RFC 2026 provided by Apple.
- 12 (Exhibit No. 1036, previously marked, was
- referenced and indexed.)
- 14 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. So my question, once you've had a
- chance to review that -- I'll give you a
- moment.
- 18 A. Uh-huh. Is it time to ask for a
- break or first you need to ask your question?
- O. I would like to finish this line of
- <sup>21</sup> questioning.
- A. So let me review.
- Q. I'm sorry.
- A. No, that's fine. I have taken a look
- $^{25}$  now. I didn't have time to read it all. I

- already reviewed before.
- Q. You didn't memorize it?
- 3 So the question was the RFC 2026 that
- 4 you have in your hand, would the development
- 5 and publication process that is disclosed in
- 6 RFC 2026 apply to RFCs that were released prior
- to the October 1996 date that you see in the
- 8 top right corner of Exhibit 1036?
- 9 MR. DILLON: Objection, relevance,
- scope.
- 11 A. So like other RFCs, this specific RFC
- 12 as indicated at the top left makes obsolete or
- replaces another RFC numbered 1602. And these
- other RFCs also addresses the Internet
- standards process, and it's not considered on
- that. The current 2026 we are talking about is
- 17 labeled revision 3.
- 18 BY MR. PALYS:
- 19 Q. So the 1602 you just mentioned up in
- $^{20}$  the top left --
- 21 A. Yes.
- $^{22}$  O. -- would that have been the RFC
- development and publication process that would
- $^{24}$  have been in effect before this RFC 2026 came
- 25 into effect?

- MR. DILLON: Objection, scope,
- $^2$  relevance.
- A. RFC 1602 was the previous description
- of the IETF standards process before the
- <sup>5</sup> publication of RFC 2026.
- 6 BY MR. PALYS:
- <sup>7</sup> Q. In paragraph 149 of your dec you
- 8 refer to Section 2.1 of RFC 2026; right?
- <sup>9</sup> A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And in paragraph 149, you state "That
- 11 RFC, " which you're referring to the 2026;
- 12 right? "That RFC explains that that RFC
- publications and, quote, "Internet drafts,"
- end quote, "are widely disseminated on the
- 15 Internet." And then you follow along with
- reference to Section 2.1 of RFC 2026; correct?
- A. That's right.
- Q. All right.
- 19 A. Now can I take a break?
- Q. Just one question on this thing right
- 21 here. How about that?
- A. Last question?
- Q. Last question, just literally.
- A. I just want to make sure.
- Q. I apologize. I forgot about the

- <sup>1</sup> break.
- A. Okay.
- Q. So my question is this: Where you
- 4 cite to in the RFC 2026 doesn't make any
- mention of Internet drafts; correct?
- 6 A. So the sentence that says -- that RFC
- 7 explains refers to RFC publications and drafts.
- 8 And then I give a quote of text that refers
- 9 explicitly to RFC documents, and their series
- being an archival series and other concepts in
- 11 that quote.
- MR. PALYS: Okay, we can take a
- break.
- 14 (Recess taken.)
- MR. PALYS: I'm handing the witness
- a copy of Apple Exhibit 1007. It's a
- copy of U.S. Patent Number 6,496,867 to
- Beser.
- 19 (Exhibit No. 1007, previously marked, was
- referenced and indexed.)
- 21 BY MR. PALYS:
- A. Beser or Beser?
- Q. I was just going to ask you that. I
- really was. So let me ask this first question.
- <sup>25</sup> Are you familiar with Exhibit 1007, sir?

- <sup>1</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. How do you pronounce the inventor
- named on the patent of Exhibit 1007?
- $^4$  A. I have been wondering myself.
- 5 O. I refer to it as Beser. And what do
- 6 you refer to it as?
- A. I have been using Beser, but I can
- 8 adopt your pronunciation.
- 9 O. I don't want you to adopt, I just
- want to make sure that you're not confused.
- So when I say "Beser," I mean
- Exhibit 1007. And I will understand when you
- say "Beser," you're talking about the same
- exhibit. And none of this will matter on the
- transcript because she can't print the
- pronunciations out, so I think we're good.
- A. Sounds good. We're not going to
- discuss if the S is a special S or the Latin S.
- 0. Okay. So you have Beser in front of
- you. Can you also keep your declaration out
- and turn to page -- paragraph 315, please.
- <sup>22</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. And paragraph 315 right above it is
- "Establishing an IP Tunnel" as a title; right?
- $^{25}$  A. Yes.

- 0. And you say in paragraph 315: "The
- 2 Beser tunneling method is illustrated in
- general terms in figure 4 shown below," and you
- provide a copy of figure 4 of Beser; correct?
- 5 A. Exactly.
- 6 Q. Okay. What is the purpose of the
- <sup>7</sup> tunneling method disclosed by Beser?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- <sup>9</sup> A. So the general purpose is the one of
- initiating a tunneling type of communication
- between two devices, referred to as originating
- device and terminating device. The method
- describes the involvement of what are called
- first network device, second network device,
- and the trusted third-party device.
- 16 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. On column 2 of Beser starting at line
- 18 36 to 40, Beser talks about a desire to, quote,
- 19 "establish a tunneling association that hides
- the identity of the originating and terminating
- ends of the tunneling association from the
- other users of a public network"; right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So looking at -- let's look at
- the figure 4 of Beser again if we could. And

- the first step of figure 4 of this tunneling
- method is to receive a request to initiate a
- 3 tunneling association on a first network
- 4 device; correct?
- 5 A. Yes. This is the label inside the
- 6 box.
- 7 O. And that's labeled 102; correct?
- <sup>8</sup> A. 102, yes.
- 9 Q. Would it be fair to say that -- a
- user that sends a tunneling request has a
- desire to establishing a tunneling association
- that hides the identity of the originating and
- terminating ends of the tunneling association
- 14 from the other users of a public network --
- MR. DILLON: Objection.
- Q. -- as disclosed by Beser?
- 17 A. Okay, you --
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 19 THE WITNESS: You spoke quite fast.
- Can you say that again?
- 21 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. So earlier, we pointed to what Beser
- referenced in column 2 about its desire to
- establish a tunneling method --
- <sup>25</sup> A. Yes.

- Q. -- in column 2, lines 36 through 40;
- <sup>2</sup> right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And in figure 4, step 102, there's a
- 5 receiver request initiated tunneling
- 6 association on a first network device; right?
- $^{7}$  A. Yes.
- Q. So my question is: Would it be fair
- 9 to say that a user that sends a tunneling
- request such as described by Beser, wouldn't it
- be fair to say that it's desirable to that user
- to establish a tunneling association in the
- same way that's disclosed in column 2, lines 36
- through 40?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form,
- foundation.
- A. I believe column 2, 36 through 40
- addresses one of the goals of the patent, which
- is to describe certain invention, certain
- $^{20}$  method.
- You asked about the intention of the
- user. I believe this paragraph is the -- talks
- about the intention of the applicant, of the
- $^{24}$  inventor.
- 25 BY MR. PALYS:

- Q. Right. So I'm asking you if -- with
- 2 respect to figure 4 in this tunneling method
- $^3$  that's described by Beser and in step 1 of 2,
- 4 it's receive a request to initiate a tunneling
- 5 association. So something that's sending this
- for request initiating a tunneling association, you
- <sup>7</sup> know, it could be a user using a device; right?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- <sup>9</sup> A. My understanding of this patent is
- that a request is generated, and the request is
- described within the patent specification. For
- example, in figure 6, it's a request that
- 13 starts at the device.
- And I understand that the sending of
- the request may have been triggered by some
- user action, since you asked about a user. May
- or may have not been.
- 18 BY MR. PALYS:
- 0. Any instance where a user may have
- triggered the action to send a request for a
- tunneling association, would it be fair to say,
- given what Beser says in the background of its
- patent, that it would -- that there would be a
- desire for that user to establish a tunneling
- association that hides the identity of the

- originating and terminating ends of the
- 2 tunneling association consistent with how Beser
- discloses in column 2, lines 36 through 40?
- 4 MR. DILLON: Objection, form,
- 5 foundation.
- 6 A. So that it is possible that the user
- may have an interest in this property, the
- 9 property being what is described in these
- 9 lines, hiding the identities.
- 10 BY MR. PALYS:
- 11 Q. Okay. In figure 4, the second step
- of the tunneling method of Beser is identified
- as step 104; correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And that says "inform a
- trusted third-party network device of the
- request on a public network"; correct?
- A. Yes, that's the text.
- Q. Okay. And if you turn to column 8 of
- Beser, column 8, lines 21 through 22, that's --
- in column 8 lines 21 through 22, that's
- referring to step 102 of the tunneling method
- of figure 4; correct?
- $^{24}$  A. Yes.
- Q. In that passage, Beser states that

- 1 "the first network device receives a request to
- initiate the tunneling connection"; correct?
- $^3$  A. Right.
- Q. And you kind of mentioned first
- 5 network device and second network device
- 6 earlier. And here, Beser makes a reference to
- <sup>7</sup> the first network device.
- 8 Do you agree that that first network
- 9 device that's referenced by Beser is referring
- to first network device 14 in, for example,
- figure 6, I think you referred me to?
- 12 A. I agree that in figure 6, it is
- referred to as first network device.
- Q. I believe like even figure 1 used the
- same -- well, it refers to an element 14 also;
- 16 right?
- 17 A. Figure 1 does contain element 14.
- Q. And so my question is: In column 8
- where we just read, lines 21 through 22, when
- Beser references "the first network device,"
- you agree that the first network device he is
- referring to is device 14 as disclosed in these
- 23 figures?
- A. Column 8 starting at line 21, it is a
- description referring to figure 4. Now you're

- asking me whether it also refers to figure 1?
- Q. I'm asking you if "the first network
- device" that's referenced in that line 21 and
- $^4$  22, is that the first network device 14 that we
- 5 see disclosed throughout Beser?
- A. All I can say is it's my
- <sup>7</sup> understanding that in column 8, line 21, there
- is a general description of Beser's method.
- Now, you pointed to figure 1 when
- another device is referred to as a first
- 11 network device. This figure describes an
- example of the application of the general
- method. For example, it shows a phone device,
- you know, as communicating via private network
- to device 14. So it appears to be the more
- specialized description, an example of how it
- could be used in a -- in an application.
- Q. Right.
- And in Beser, there's other figures
- of a first network device than just a black --
- or a, you know, like a black box like figure 6;
- 22 correct?
- Figure 6 shows first network device
- 24 14; correct?
- A. So figure 6 has a box labeled "first

- network device" with a reference with a label
- 2 14 and figure 6 also describes a specific --
- you know, one of the possible uses of the
- 4 method when the originating device and
- 5 terminating device are telephony devices. But
- that's not the only scope of Beser's invention.
- $^{7}$  Q. Right.
- 8 So let me ask you this. Is it your
- <sup>9</sup> opinion that the tunneling method of figure
- 4 -- you agree that that tunneling method --
- does that apply to the system that's shown in
- 12 figure 1 of Beser?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 14 A. What I can say is that the steps of
- figure 4, which is a flow diagram of steps on
- the method, explained in great generality
- without describing variations and details in
- this figure, is a method that Beser discusses
- as could be applied to the specific network
- diagram of figure 1 but can be used in a
- variety of other contexts outside of the
- specific types of devices like phones on this
- 23 figure.
- 24 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Understood.

- So in figure 6, we can see a request
- <sup>2</sup> 112; right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And that request is being
- sent from the originating telephony device 24
- 6 to the first network device; correct?
- A. My understanding of figure 6 is that
- 8 the message is received by the first network
- 9 device; however, my understanding as also
- described in my declaration is that the
- operation of the first network device is to
- intercept and evaluate the request.
- Q. And you're referring to a particular
- paragraph in your declaration?
- A. Yes, paragraph 317.
- Q. In column 8 of, again, of Beser,
- lines 48 through 49, Beser describes that step
- 18 104, method 100, "the trusted third-party
- 19 network device is informed of the request";
- 20 correct?
- $^{21}$  A. Yes, this is the language.
- O. Now, here where Beser makes a
- reference to "the trusted third-party network"
- device, similar to my question regarding the
- <sup>25</sup> first network device.

- 1 The trusted third-party network
- device that Beser describes at 30, you know,
- 3 throughout the patent, would you agree that the
- 4 reference in step 104 to "the trusted
- 5 third-party network device" can refer to the
- 6 trusted third-party network device 30 that
- <sup>7</sup> Beser describes elsewhere in the patent?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 9 A. Sorry, I didn't understand the end of
- your question. You're asking me whether the
- device labeled "trusted third-party network"
- device and now the -- in column 8, line 48,
- whether this refers to which other device?
- 14 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Well, earlier you finally got to the
- point where figure 1, you agreed that the
- method of figure 4 could be implemented in a
- system disclosed in figure 1, albeit there
- 19 could be other differences, but at some level,
- the tunneling method disclosed by Beser in
- figure 4 could be implemented in the system
- shown in figure 1; correct?
- A. Yes, it could be applied to this
- network configuration.
- Q. Okay. So I want to make sure we're

- on the same level so we don't have to keep
- 2 repeating questions.
- So in figure 1, you see an element
- 1 labeled 24; right?
- $^{5}$  A. Yes.
- Q. In figure 1?
- $^7$  A. 24, you're asking me? Okay.
- 8 Q. You agree that's the originating
- 9 telephony device Beser refers to?
- 10 A. So as Beser or Beser says in column
- 4, lines 44 and on, device 24 that you asked me
- about is referred here as an originating device
- 13 for the data flow.
- And then it is also mentioned that 24
- and similarly 26 are referred to as telephony
- devices or multimedia devices.
- When I looked at figure 1, the type
- of icon used for this device looks like
- 19 telephony device.
- 0. All right. You understand when I --
- can we agree that when I refer to the
- originating device for 24, you'll know what I'm
- referring to in figure 1 as 24, element 24?
- A. So if we discuss figure 1 and you
- $^{25}$  mention  $^{24}$  --

- 1 Q. Tell you what. Let me just take a
- step back. Why don't you tell me.
- What is element 24 in figure 1?
- A. As I mentioned in my declaration in
- paragraph 24, 24 in the example illustrated in
- figure 1 refers to an originating device.
- 7 O. What's element 30?
- 8 A. 30 would be the trusted third-party
- 9 network device.
- 10 Q. The trusted third-party network
- device that's referred to in the flow chart of
- figure 4, you believe that's a different type
- of trusted third-party device than element 30
- that you just identified for figure 1?
- A. So figure 1 refers to a trusted
- third-party device. The description of figure
- 17 1 discusses various possible types of such
- third-party device. And the invention
- describes, indeed, in the figure -- so which
- figure do you ask me, 6, or which other --
- which figures? Or 4? Did you ask me whether
- $^{22}$  it is the same as in figure 4?
- Q. I referred to figure 4 and figure 1.
- $^{24}$  A. Yes. So what is referred to as
- trusted third-party network device, for

- example, in 104 would correspond to what is
- defined in figure 1 with item 30. Again,
- figure 1 is meant to be an illustration and so
- 4 there is an icon that looks like a workstation
- 5 desktop or server.
- <sup>6</sup> Q. Right.
- So the trusted third-party device
- 8 that's identified in the method, figure 4,
- 9 could refer to the trusted third-party device
- 30 that's shown in figure -- or that's
- disclosed with reference to figure 1?
- 12 A. I'll say that this is an example of a
- 13 trusted third-party device --
- Q. Right.
- 15 A. -- that's described in the method.
- 16 Q. Good.
- And how about in figure 6, the box
- "trusted third-party network device 30"? Would
- that be another example of a trusted
- third-party device or network device that's
- referred to in the method of figure 4?
- A. So figure 6 is a specific diagram
- illustrating flow of messages in Beser's
- method. It considers the scenario where
- originating and terminating devices are

- 1 telephony devices.
- And when I look at figure 1, you have
- a senior type of scenario where this method
- 4 could be applied within the context of figure 1
- $^{5}$  if figure 1 is meant to indicate both 24 and 26
- 6 as telephony devices to play the role of the
- <sup>7</sup> trusted third party, so device 30 in figure 1
- 8 would play that role.
- 9 Q. In figure 6 you mention that device
- 10 24 sends a request 112 to first network device;
- 11 right?
- 12 A. Are you asking me what I'm stating in
- my declaration or what are the exact words in
- 14 Beser?
- Q. If you look at figure 6 of Beser, I'm
- just asking do you agree that Beser discloses
- device 24 sending a request 112 to first
- 18 network device 14?
- A. What I believe is that in this figure
- and the accompanying description in the
- specification, the request is received by the
- first network device, which does perform a role
- of intercepting data packets, network packets,
- including requests and other packets.
- I don't think that the figure in view

Dr. Roberto Tamassia Page 124 1 of the description says that it was sent by the originating telephony device to the first 3 network device so I'm using a different language in my declaration, which is in 317, paragraph 317. THE WITNESS: And can I have a 7 two-minute break, bathroom break? 8 MR. PALYS: Okay. I'll be back right THE WITNESS: 10 away. 11 MR. PALYS: Thank you. 12 (Recess taken.) 13 BY MR. PALYS: 14 So on column 11 of Beser, lines 13 to Ο. 15 16, okay, Beser says -- and we're talking about 16 step -- or the -- the informed step at 114 on 17 figure 6. You can see that at line 9. That 18 says it's step 114. 19 Yeah, let me make sure I see it. Α. 20 0. So figure 6. 21 Figure 6 starts at column 12? Α. 22 Ο. Right. So -- no, on column 11, 23 right --

-- it's referring to the informed --

Α.

Q.

Yes.

24

25

- wait, the informed step of figure -- that's
- figure 5, right? Yeah. I got it.
- $^3$  A. It appears it's 5.
- Q. Yeah, yeah, yeah. So the informed
- 5 step of figure 5 right says "inform a trusted
- 6 third-party network device of the request on a
- public network"; correct?
- A. Yes, column 11, line 9 talks about
- <sup>9</sup> that trusted third-party device is informed of
- the request and that she received the
- information -- it received the information on a
- 12 public network.
- 0. All right. And then column 13 to
- line 16, Beser says "for each transfer of a
- packet from first network device 14" -- sorry,
- it's column 11. Let me start over.
- 17 Column 11, lines 13 to 16 of Beser
- states "for each transfer of a packet from the
- 19 first network device 14 to the trusted
- third-party network device 30, a first network
- device construction IP 58 packet"; correct?
- A. Yes, this is what it is written.
- O. So the first network device 14's
- 24 constructing an IP packet during the inform
- 25 step; correct?

- A. So this -- this language identifies
- <sup>2</sup> messages being transmitted as being transmitted
- <sup>3</sup> within IP packets.
- Q. Do you agree with the statement in
- 5 lines 13 through 16 of column 11 of Beser?
- 6 MR. DILLON: Objection, foundation.
- A. I'm not sure about the meaning of
- 8 "agreeing" in this context. I am noting what
- 9 Beser says in those lines.
- 10 BY MR. PALYS:
- 11 Q. Do you have any reason to believe
- that Beser's description in lines 13 through 16
- is inaccurate?
- 14 A. I believe it is accurate to transmit
- packets over public IP network. One needs to
- 16 construct IP packets.
- Q. So my question is: Do you believe or
- do you agree with the statement in lines 13
- through 16 of column 11 of Beser?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, foundation,
- 21 form.
- 22 BY MR. PALYS:
- O. Let me strike that.
- $^{24}$  A. I do not understand. We are
- discussing a fragment of a specification, and I

- 1 agree that that is the specification that Beser
- 2 gives of his method --
- Q. All right. Do you have --
- $^4$  A. -- not his coinventors.
- 5 Q. Do you have any reason to believe
- $^{6}$  that Beser's statement in 13 -- column 11,
- 7 lines 13 through 16, is inaccurate?
- 8 A. Can you say inaccurate with respect
- 9 to what other context or evidence?
- 10 Q. To the description of Beser in the
- 11 context of Beser.
- 12 A. So are you asking whether it is
- consistent with Beser's other elements of the
- 14 specification?
- 15 Q. I'm asking the question I asked
- several times now, which was: Do you have any
- reason to believe that Beser's description in
- column 11, lines 13 through 16, is inaccurate?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form,
- foundation.
- A. It appears to me that this is
- consistent with a possible implementation of
- step 114 in figure 5, which is what this text
- $^{24}$  refers to.
- 25 BY MR. PALYS:

- 1 Q. Does Beser disclose any
- implementations that are inconsistent with the
- description in column 11, lines 13 through 16?
- A. You're referring to figure 5, or to
- 5 the entire patent specification?
- 6 Q. Does Beser disclose any
- <sup>7</sup> implementations that are inconsistent with the
- 8 description in column 11, lines 13 through 16?
- <sup>9</sup> A. I'd ask for a clarification of the
- 10 scope. I understand you repeated the question.
- Q. Any implementations, so not just
- 12 figure 5. Anywhere in the patent.
- 13 A. Thank you. That's what I wanted to
- 14 know.
- 0. Does Beser disclose any
- implementations that are inconsistent with the
- description in column 11, lines 13 through 16?
- 18 A. I don't recall issues of
- inconsistency, meaning contradicting. I
- 20 understand that Beser discusses several
- variations of the steps of the method, and
- that's several details.
- For example, later in the same
- paragraph that you -- where the lines you refer
- to are, Beser discusses how IP packets may

- 1 require encryption or authentication.
- Q. Okay. And right after in the same
- paragraph, since we're going there, lines 16
- 4 through 20 says "the header 82 of the IP 58
- 5 packet includes the public network 12 address
- of the trusted third-party network device 30 in
- <sup>7</sup> the destination address field 90 and the public
- 8 network 12 address of the first network device
- 9 14 in the source address field 88."
- Did I read that right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 0. Okay. Do you have -- does Beser
- disclose any implementations that are
- inconsistent with the description of column 11,
- line 16 through line 20?
- A. I would like to refer to column 9 and
- column 8 of the specification, the discussion
- that refers to various network layers for
- communication between devices. All set.
- 20 O. So you referenced --
- MR. DILLON: Do you want to just
- reask the question?
- MR. PALYS: No, I just want to see
- what his answer is, which I don't see
- $^{25}$  here.

- 1 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. So you said you referred to column 9
- 3 and column 8?
- $^4$  A. Yes.
- 5 O. You said the discussion, it refers to
- 6 various network layers of communications
- <sup>7</sup> between devices.
- 8 A. Yes.
- $^{9}$  Q. That's what you testified.
- 10 A. That's exactly what I said.
- 11 Q. Are you stating in your response that
- those are disclosures of implementations that
- 13 are inconsistent with their description in
- column 11, lines 13 through 16?
- A. What I was referring to is that the
- informing component of the invention may be
- related to information contained as indicated
- in the patent in a different layer than the IP
- 19 layer.
- O. Where does Beser show an inform
- message sent using those other layers that you
- mentioned in your answer?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. I'm referring to the bottom of column
- <sup>25</sup> 8.

- 1 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. What line, sir?
- $^3$  A. Starting at 55.
- Q. And ending where, sir?
- 5 A. Sorry, what did you say?
- 6 Q. It starts at line 55. Where does it
- <sup>7</sup> end?
- A. In this column, that portion I
- $^9$  mentioned that indicates various layers, 65.
- Q. So column 8, lines 55 through 65?
- 11 That's what you're referring to, sir?
- 12 A. That's one of the examples of various
- layers that carry the information.
- Q. Can you identify other areas where
- 15 Beser shows an inform message sent using those
- other layers?
- 17 A. If you look at the lines that receive
- the previous set of lines, starting at 48, we
- 19 have a discussion that says the information may
- be received in the transport layer.
- Q. Does the passages that you just
- mentioned in column 8 describe the source of
- those messages sent using those other layers?
- A. Referring just to the last passage or
- $^{25}$  the two passages that I mentioned in my last

- 1 two answers?
- Q. The two passages, so that would
- include, if I understand it correctly, from
- 4 column 8, line 48 to line 65.
- 5 A. So this passage refers to receiving
- 6 information, so the -- that is the trusted
- third-party network device of being informed of
- 8 a request. It goes on to discuss the various
- 9 layers that make it happen.
- And then starting at line 60, there's
- a discussion on what the message that carries
- the information may include. And it's referred
- to that it may include a distinguished --
- distinctive sequence of bits.
- Q. So I'm not sure your response really
- addressed my question, so I'm going to try it
- again. And I'm not trying to be rude, I just
- want to make sure I understand your response.
- My question was: Those passages that
- you identified in column 8, do they describe
- the source of those messages sent using the
- other layers?
- A. By itself within this passage without
- the context of the remaining specification,
- this passage does not explicitly describe the

- 1 source.
- Q. Is there anywhere in the
- 3 specification, any passage in Beser that
- describes the source of the message sent using
- 5 those other layers?
- 6 A. So as I indicated in my declaration,
- at item 317, the first network device may have
- 8 received a packet that contains an indicator of
- <sup>9</sup> the desired establishment of a tunnel, and this
- type of an indicator is the one that I believe
- is also referred to in the quoted passage.
- 12 Q. So your response when you're
- referring to paragraph 317, were you -- in your
- paragraph 317 you refer to Beser at column 8,
- 15 33 through 43; correct?
- A. Yes, this paragraph refers to that
- other passage.
- Q. And in your response, you stated that
- 19 the first network device may have received a
- 20 packet that contains an indicator of the
- desired establishment of a tunnel; right?
- <sup>22</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. That's not the indicator that the
- third-party device receives, though; right?
- $^{25}$  A. What I was referring to is the

- possible implementation of the intercepting
- action at the first network device, which is
- $^3$  illustrated in the passage 21 through 47, and
- 4 the analogous technique that is described in
- 5 the other passage, which starts at 48 and then
- 6 continues to the end of column 8 and continues
- <sup>7</sup> in column 9 up to line 5, consisting of
- 8 indicator bits.
- <sup>9</sup> Q. These portions that you just
- identified in your response still has nothing
- to do with -- or these portions that you just
- described in Beser in column 8 and column 9
- still do not describe the source of the
- messages using these other layers; right?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. So when there is no explicit
- indication of source in the passage that I
- mentioned starting at 48, page 8, ending at 5,
- page 9, in the portion 1 to 5, at column 9,
- there is a discussion of examining the content
- of the message. And as any message, the
- content may include information such as a
- source.
- 24 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. But Beser doesn't state that in that

- portion you just identified in column 8 and
- 2 column 9, Beser; correct?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. Like I said, it would -- Beser states
- 5 that the informing message received by the
- 6 trusted third-party should be examined for its
- 7 content and should not be ignored.
- 8 BY MR. PALYS:
- 9 Q. Turn to paragraph 322 of your
- declaration, please.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. I think I led you astray. Sorry
- about that. Actually, I would want to talk
- about the third step in Beser's process, figure
- 4. So if you'd have Beser there, look at
- figure 4 and step 3, which is labeled step 106
- in figure 4.
- Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And step 106 in figure 4 states
- "associate a public network address for a
- second network device on the trusted
- third-party network device."
- Do you see that?
- $^{25}$  A. Yes.

- 1 O. Now, in column 8 of Beser, line 65
- where we were just at, lines 65 through line 1
- of Beser, right? We were just there.
- 4 A. Sorry, can you say again which line
- on column 8?
- 6 Q. 65.
- <sup>7</sup> A. 65, yes.
- Q. To column 9, line 1. We were just
- 9 talking about that --
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. -- that portion. This passage
- explains that the packet that's received by the
- third-party network device includes a
- distinctive sequence of bits, right, that
- indicates to the tunneling application that it
- should examine the informing message for its
- content and not ignore the datagram; correct?
- A. That's it.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree that Beser
- does not really explain here what happens if a
- 21 packet for a datagram is ignored by the trusted
- third-party network device?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 24 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question?

- <sup>1</sup> A. Yes, I understand.
- So what I understand is that this
- 3 passage describes whether the tunneling
- application and the trusted third-party device
- 5 should process, examine, inspect, or ignore.
- Q. It does -- sorry. Are you done?
- <sup>7</sup> A. No.
- Q. Okay.
- <sup>9</sup> A. However, the trusted third-party may
- have other applications running and so there
- could be some other processing.
- Q. When you say "trusted third-party may
- have other applications running, what is
- your -- what is your basis for that testimony
- 15 from -- strike that.
- What is your basis for your testimony
- that the trusted third-party may have other
- applications running from Beser?
- A. So I'm referring to paragraph 307 of
- 20 my declaration where I'm saying that Beser
- describes the trusted third-party network
- device as a conventional device which is
- modified to include the tunneling application
- or other type of support for IP tunnels.
- And so I -- I stated that this

- trusted third-party device could be a domain
- 2 name server in the conventional sense of its
- operation, however, modified to include the
- tunneling application described by Beser for
- 5 the additional functionality of Beser's method.
- 6 Q. So your reference to Beser in
- paragraph 307 doesn't describe what happens if
- 8 a datagram is ignored, though; correct?
- 9 Let me rephrase my question.
- So your reference to Beser in
- paragraph 307 doesn't explain where Beser
- discloses what happens if a datagram is
- ignored; correct?
- 14 A. I did refer to 11, page 11, 32, 36.
- <sup>15</sup> And there, there is mention that the trusted
- third-party device could be a domain name
- server, DNS server.
- So the tunneling application will not
- 19 detect special tunneling processing for the
- modified domain name server.
- My understanding is that the trusted
- third-party device would use the default
- conventional functionality for which it was set
- $^{24}$  up, which would be to perform domain name
- 25 services.

- Q. Beser doesn't really equate ignoring
- a datagram with processing a data packet
- normally, though; right?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 5 A. So my understanding from the
- 6 specification is that the behavior I've just
- <sup>7</sup> explained, which is default to the conventional
- 9 purpose of the device, is something that would
- 9 be obvious, whatever occurred or would have
- 10 occurred.
- 11 THE WITNESS: So can I propose a
- break or understand if we're going to
- continue tomorrow or not? And that
- 14 may --
- MR. PALYS: Let's go off the
- record.
- 17 (Recess taken.)
- 18 BY MR. PALYS:
- 19 Q. In Beser, is the operation of a
- third-party network device affected at all by
- the particular originating end device that
- makes the tunneling request?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 24 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question?

- A. I'm trying to think to be sure that I
- <sup>2</sup> understand it.
- Is your question about the
- originating device, or originating and
- 5 terminating as having an impact on the trusted
- 6 third-party?
- <sup>7</sup> Q. Yeah, is the operation of the trusted
- 8 third-party network device affected at all by
- <sup>9</sup> the particular originating end device that
- makes the, you know, the tunneling request?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 12 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question?
- 14 A. By particular -- so can you give an
- 15 example?
- o. Sure.
- 17 A. Are you saying, for example, whether
- it is a phone or whether it is some type of
- 19 device?
- Q. That could be one example. Let's go
- with that one first, okay?
- Does it matter to a trusted
- third-party network device in Beser what type
- of originating device is sending the tunneling
- <sup>25</sup> request?

- 1 A. So I believe my understanding is
- reflected, for example, in paragraph 308 of my
- declaration. So the trusted third-party device
- 4 processes identifiers and then tries to perform
- 5 a mapping of this identifier to an IP address.
- It matters to the extent that this unique
- <sup>7</sup> identifier may come from the originating
- 8 device.
- 9 Q. I'm not sure I understand what you
- mean by "it matters to the extent that this
- unique identifier may come from the originating
- device." I wonder if you could explain that a
- 13 little more for me.
- A. Okay. So I'm referring, for example,
- to paragraph 318 where I say that the request
- from the originating end device includes a
- unique identifier. So this request, it comes
- from the originating end device, possibly
- through the software on the device. The
- tunneling software on the device produces the
- request, and the request includes the unique
- <sup>22</sup> identifier.
- Q. The type of originating device, does
- $^{24}$  it matter to Beser -- does it matter to the
- trusted third-party network device as to the

- type of originating device that is sending the
- 2 tunneling request?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. By "type," you mean like brand of the
- 5 device or color, those kind of aspects? Is
- 6 that what you mean?
- 7 BY MR. PALYS:
- 8 O. It could be all of those, so let me
- 9 give you an example.
- So when the trusted third-party
- 11 network device processes a tunneling request in
- Beser, does it matter who the user is
- associated to the originating device 24?
- A. It's not clear to me the meaning
- "does it matter." Seems very generic.
- Q. Does the trusted third-party network
- device process tunneling requests in the same
- way for -- well, I'll start over.
- Does the trusted third-party network
- device process a tunneling request in the same
- way regardless of the user who is associated
- with device 24?
- A. In 24 in which figure? Like --
- Q. Okay, let me rephrase it.
- Does the trusted third-party network

- device process a tunneling request in the same
- way regardless of the user that's associated
- 3 with the originating device?
- $^4$  A. Okay. I understand.
- 5 So the trusted third-party follows
- 6 uniform method. One of the steps of the
- <sup>7</sup> uniform method is processing, so performing a
- 8 lookup in database or other data structures
- 9 toward the trusted third-party device, and
- this -- and the outcome of the lookup will
- depend on the unique identifier that was
- received and also will depend on the content on
- $^{13}$  the database.
- So to the extent that different
- devices may generate different identifiers, the
- outcome of the operation may be different, but
- the steps performed will be the same.
- Q. All right. And in that same scenario
- the way you've just described it, the user,
- would that have any effect on the identifiers
- and the other components that you just
- described in terms of Beser's process?
- A. So as part of Beser's invention, a
- user may control, may interact with the
- <sup>25</sup> originating device.

- So in a scenario, for example, like
- $^2$  the one of figure 1, where the device is a
- 3 phone, a telephonic device, and a user
- interacts with the device and, for example, may
- 5 enter into the device its specific number which
- 6 could be one of the unique identifiers, then
- <sup>7</sup> the unique identifier, once it reaches the
- 8 trusted third-party device, is going to affect
- 9 together with the content what is the outcome
- of the process.
- But the method would be the same
- irrespective of who is the user, what is the
- type of the device.
- Q. Right.
- So in that scenario, you're looking
- at figure 1 of Beser. So at device 24 in this
- example, let's say you were the user that typed
- in those numbers. Beser would perform the
- 19 process as we just disclosed; right?
- It would perform its tunneling
- 21 process; right?
- Take your same example you just
- disclosed to me, and you are the user. That's
- $^{24}$  the hypothetical. Do you follow me?
- <sup>25</sup> A. So --

- Q. You typed in the numbers on that
- $^2$  phone. That's the hypothetical I'm giving you.
- $^3$  A. Or somebody else --
- Q. No, you.
- 5 A. -- types in the same number? Is that
- 6 the difference --
- 7 O. No.
- A. -- you're trying to make?
- 9 Q. Do you understand my -- I'm sorry.
- 10 A. Yeah, no, I'm not sure I understand
- 11 how different is this question.
- 12 Q. The very same example you just got
- done describing with me --
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- just add to that example that you
- are the user who's typing in that number, okay?
- 17 That's the hypothetical.
- Do you understand the hypothetical
- that I'm proposing to you?
- A. Okay, so you are proposing to discuss
- how Beser's method in a telephony scenario will
- operate if I am operating this phone? Is that
- 23 what you're describing, asking me? That's how
- I understand the question.
- Q. I just -- the hypothetical for figure

- 1 that you see there, sir, the originating
- device 24, let's say there's a user, user 1.
- A. Okay.
- Q. There's a user 1 operating device 24
- 5 in figure 1, okay?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. Beser's going to perform its
- 8 tunneling methods however you described it with
- 9 user 1 being the user operating device 24,
- 10 okay?
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. All right. Now, would Beser operate
- in any different way if a different user, user
- 2, was operating the same exact device 24?
- 15 A. I will say if user 2 causes the
- tunneling software on device -- on the
- originating device 24 in figure 1, so causes
- this software to generate a different unique
- 19 identifier than the one by user 1, then the
- outcome may depend on what is -- so is going to
- depend on what is stored in the database for
- let's call it identifier 1 and identifier 2 --
- 23 Q. Okay.
- $^{24}$  A. -- which could be the same, could be
- different, because the same answer in the

- lookup performed could cause different answers.
- O. What if those two different users
- <sup>3</sup> entered the same unique identifier? Would
- 4 Beser operate the same way?
- 5 A. Can you tell me whether these users
- 6 are performing it, for example, one right after
- 7 the other at different times in different
- 8 periods?
- 9 Q. Different times.
- Say user 1 comes up to the device,
- puts in a unique identifier 1. Some time
- period after that, user 2 goes up to the same
- device and enters identifier 1, the same
- identifier. Would Beser operate the same way
- that -- for user 1 entering in that unique
- identifier as it would for user 2 entering the
- same unique identifier?
- 18 A. Now, are you asking me about the
- trusted third-party, or the entire method?
- Because the previous questions were focused on
- the trusted third-party.
- Q. Let's say the trusted third-party.
- Would the trusted third-party process a
- tunneling request in the same way in that
- 25 scenario I just proposed for different users

- 1 entering the same unique identifier for device
- 2 24?
- A. I understand that these general steps
- would be the same. The outcome of the lookup
- that is performed by the trusted third-party
- 6 would be in the -- its database, so one of the
- $^{7}$  specific steps that I have describe may or may
- 8 not have the same outcome. It depends on the
- 9 configuration of the database, what is stored
- in the database at the time that user 2
- operated the device versus the time when user 1
- operated the device. The database may have
- changed in between.
- 0. Let's assume the database has not
- changed. It's the same database, same unique
- identifier, just different users.
- A. What I can say is in reference to my
- declaration at paragraph 31, 10, trusted
- third-party device performs the same action,
- which is a lookup of an IP address in its
- database containing as search argument the
- <sup>22</sup> identifier.
- There are many ways of configuring
- databases, but in general, if in a database
- that has not changed over time, that is exactly

- the same as before, in a situation where the
- 2 same argument is given at one time and at
- another time, the database will generally
- 4 return the same answer.
- Q. Could you turn to the '705 patent,
- 6 Claim 1, please. In step 3 of Claim 1 starting
- on line 57 of column 55, you see it starts with
- 8 "in response to determining"? Do you see that
- 9 limitation?
- 10 A. Sorry, so we're looking at?
- 11 O. The '705.
- 12 A. I was looking at Beser. Sorry.
- 0. It's late.
- 14 A. '705, okay.
- Q. '705, Claim 1. Column 55, line --
- A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- 57, so step 3.
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. On line 60, you agree that the claim
- requires providing provisioning information
- required to initiate the creation of the
- encrypted communication channel between the
- client device and the target device such that
- the encrypted communication channel supports
- 25 secure data communications transmitted between

- the two devices; correct?
- A. I was not following word by word, but
- 3 I believe that you read a fragment of the
- <sup>4</sup> patent.
- 9. Would you agree that in Claim 1 the
- 6 provisioning information is required to
- <sup>7</sup> initiate the creation of the encrypted
- 8 communication channel?
- 9 Sorry, I said that wrong.
- Would you agree that Claim 1, in
- 11 Claim 1, the provisioning information
- provided -- would you agree that in Claim 1,
- the provisioning information that is provided
- is information required to initiate the
- creation of the encrypted communication
- 16 channel?
- MR. DILLON: Objection to
- foundation.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Sorry?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, foundation.
- A. So with reference to this claim, what
- is being disclosed is that the encrypted
- communication channel uses provisioning
- information that is obtained in a certain step.
- MR. PALYS: Short break, couple

Page 151 1 minutes. (Recess taken.) 3 I would like to make THE WITNESS: a clarification about a previous answer that I've given. BY MR. PALYS: 6 7 Ο. Okay. Α. Can I go ahead? Ο. Sure. 10 Okay. So this was the previous Α. 11 answer I had given regarding whether a database 12 that has the same content and is given the same 13 query argument would not return or not the same 14 answer to the question. 15 And so my response was brief, and I 16 mentioned a general behavior of same query, 17 same content, same answer, but I failed to 18 elaborate on other scenarios where same query, 19 same content may yield a different answer. 20 that is relevant to the question, and so here's 21 what I would like to add as a clarification. 22 It is possible that the -- a database 23 will be configured to give different answers 24 depending on the time of the day. 25 Also, as another example, the

- database may take into account statistics,
- 2 counters about its previous operation. And so
- may decide based on this information that it
- stored, which is information about its
- 5 operation and not about the actual database
- 6 content, may decide to provide different
- <sup>7</sup> answers.
- 8 I thought also about clarifying that
- 9 now with specific reference to Beser, when we
- 10 look at -- let me point you to the specific.
- 11 So when we look at the second network device,
- that depending on how many queries have been
- performed for the same identifier that the IP
- of another second network device would be
- returned, it could be that at a different time
- of the day for the same unique identifier,
- another second network device would be the one
- whose IP address is associated with the unique
- <sup>19</sup> private identifier.
- 20 I'll also like to add another -- and
- 21 again, this is not an exclusive list; it's just
- some examples. So it's yet another example.
- The database may contain algorithms that are
- 24 executed which generate random values or derive
- values from random values.

- 1 And these random values or values
- derived from random values may be used during
- 3 the query execution process to generate the
- $^4$  answer. And so the answer -- so this is yet
- 5 another example of how the answer could be
- 6 different.
- I thought it would be good to give
- you some examples instead of leaving it
- 9 mysteriously as in general, but specifically I
- did not say what -- what other different
- instances there could be.
- 12 Q. So the examples that you just
- discussed, none of those are expressly
- disclosed in Beser; right?
- A. So I believe that given the type of
- applications contemplated, for example, the
- telephony application, that such a behavior
- could be possible. For example, in a telephony
- 19 application, there could be the issue of
- overloading a certain communication path and so
- it is possible that a different second network
- device may be selected.
- I believe it is reasonable within
- this context that someone looking at this
- 25 specification at the time will have concluded

- that this was a possible behavior.
- Q. But none of that is expressly
- disclosed in Beser, what you're describing as
- 4 what could be possible in your opinion based on
- 5 Beser; right?
- 6 MR. DILLON: Objection to form.
- A. So what I will say is it would be
- 8 obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art
- 9 as of the beginning of 2000, as of the date
- we're being referring to, which I think is
- 11 February 15, 2000 -- and let me double check.
- 12 Yes, February 15, 2000 -- that such combination
- would be possible and desirable, so I believe
- this was an obvious case.
- I will need possibly more time to
- look again at the specification and to see
- whether it is explicitly or implicitly or
- implied.
- 19 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. During today when you've had breaks,
- have you had any discussion with your counsel
- regarding the substance of your testimony
- today?
- 24 A. Not.
- <sup>25</sup> Q. Okay.

- A. It was explained to me that this was
- 2 not permissible and so I absolutely --
- Q. Don't tell me what you talked to --
- $^4$  A. I was telling you that I didn't do it
- 5 because I knew that it was not --
- 6 Q. Okay, stop. I take your answer.
- <sup>7</sup> That's fine.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 MR. PALYS: Okay. All right, thank
- you. I'm going to hand to you a copy of
- Exhibit 1009, which is a copy of
- 12 Aventail Connect administrator's guide.
- 13 (Exhibit No. 1009, previously marked, was
- referenced and indexed.)
- 15
- 16 BY MR. PALYS:
- 0. You're familiar with Aventail
- 18 Connect, with Exhibit 1009?
- 19 A. Yes, I'm familiar with it.
- Q. This is the exhibit that you identify
- in your declaration as Exhibit 1009; right?
- A. Yes, this is what I have identified
- in section 5A.
- Q. Oh, 5A, right.
- A. It's page 56.

- Q. Can you turn to page 89 of your
- declaration, please.
- A. Yes.
- Q. There's a flow chart on that page;
- <sup>5</sup> right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you created that flow chart?
- 8 A. This flow chart is my opinion of the
- 9 operation of the Aventail Connect software and
- its interaction with Aventail extranet server.
- 11 Q. Can you turn to page 11 of
- 12 Exhibit 1009, please?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And starting on the bottom of page
- 15 11, there's a section, "How does Aventail
- 16 Connect work?"
- Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And that extends to page 12?
- A. That's right.
- Q. And there's three main steps in
- those -- in that section, step 1, step 2, and
- step 3. Do you see that?
- A. Yes, I see that.
- Q. Okay. Does your flow chart on page

- 1 89 of your declaration reflect steps disclosed
- in pages 11 and 12 of "How does Aventail"
- 3 Connect Work?"
- A. This is the summary of the operations
- $^{5}$  as I understand them of Aventail Connect in a
- flow chart format as opposed to numbered and
- <sup>7</sup> subitem steps. It is informed both by what you
- 8 mentioned in pages 11 and 12, but also my
- <sup>9</sup> understanding of the general operation of the
- 10 Aventail product as I derived it, also from
- other parts of the exhibit.
- 0. Your flow chart?
- 13 A. Yes.
- MR. PALYS: Okay. I'm going to be
- handing you a copy, a marked-up copy
- that I just made, of pages 11 and 12 of
- Aventail. I'm going to mark these as
- VirnetX Exhibit 2012.
- 19 (VirnetX Exhibit No. 2012 was marked for
- identification.)
- MR. PALYS: Exhibit 2012 is a
- two-page exhibit, again, a marked-up
- version of pages 11 and 12 of
- Exhibit 1009. And you can show counsel?
- Because I only have one copy.

- MR. DILLON: We will object to
- Exhibit 2012 on relevance grounds.
- 3 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Okay. So, sir, you see Exhibit 2012
- in front of you. It's a copy -- you agree that
- 6 it's a copy of pages 11 and 12 of Exhibit 1009?
- A. I disagree, because it is more than a
- 8 copy. It is a copy plus your markup.
- 9 Q. Right.
- Other than the markups, do you agree
- that those are copies of 11 and -- pages 11 and
- 12 12? And I'm going to explain the markups in a
- minute. It's either I do that or I'm going to
- have you write each of those, so we can do it
- either way. So I just need you, whether you
- agree or disagree that pages 11 and 12, other
- than the markups that I just made, are copies
- of -- pages 11 and 12 of Exhibit 2012, other
- <sup>19</sup> than --
- $^{20}$  A. What I can say is that --
- Q. -- the markups I made, are copies of
- 22 pages 11 and 12 of Exhibit 1009.
- A. What I will agree is that based on a
- quick look that I've done now, it appears to be
- $^{25}$  a copy plus added markup of those pages 11 and

- 1 12. But in the last 30 seconds, I have looked
- at it. I've not done a word-by-word comparison
- 3 to know it for sure.
- Q. Okay. So is there any reason why you
- $^{5}$  would think that the copies of pages 11 and 12
- 6 that I provided to you in Exhibit 2012, other
- <sup>7</sup> than my markups, are any different than pages
- 8 11 and 12 of Exhibit 1009?
- 9 Tell you what, sir. Give me the
- documents back.
- 11 A. Can I answer, actually?
- 12 O. Sure.
- 13 A. I don't have a reason to believe that
- you have done anything but marking up, just
- that I don't want to make definite statements
- without having done the word-by-word check.
- Q. I will represent to you that the two
- copies, pages 11 and 12 of Exhibit 2012 without
- my markups, are copies of pages 11 and 12 of
- <sup>20</sup> Exhibit 1009.
- A. Thanks. And I can work under this
- 22 assumption.
- Q. Okay. Now, the markup that I
- provided on pages -- on Exhibit 2012 is to help
- move this discussion along, that's all.

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And what I've done -- and you can see
- on pages 11 and 12 of 2012 -- was simply label
- 4 each of the steps under "How does Aventail
- 5 Connect work?" with numbers. Do you see them?
- Now, if you feel more comfortable,
- <sup>7</sup> sir, you can take your version or copy of
- 8 Exhibit 1009 and make the same changes if you
- 9 want on your version and you can go off the
- true copy, or you can work off of Exhibit 2012.
- 11 It's your choice.
- 12 A. So what I can say is that in my
- declaration, I have included a summary flow
- chart and accompanying informing description of
- the steps. I have not reasoned based on this
- style of markup. I notice that this style of
- markup, sometimes it identifies individual
- bulleted items and other times, it identifies a
- group of two bulleted items. And so I believe
- I would like to have time to address an exhibit
- that I was not given before in this way.
- O. Could you hand me that exhibit,
- please? We're going to work off your -- we
- will get back to this.
- <sup>25</sup> A. Okay.

- Q. Okay, so if you could -- this is
- <sup>2</sup> going to take a little longer than two and a
- 3 half hours now.
- So Exhibit 11 or, sorry,
- 5 Exhibit 1009, page 11, do you see where it says
- 6 "step 1, the application does a DNS lookup to
- 7 convert the host name to a DNA address"?
- 8 A. Yes, I can see the sentence.
- $^{9}$  Q. That whole paragraph under step 1,
- not the bullet points, but the first paragraph
- 11 is step 1.
- 12 A. So the one that ends with "does the
- following"? Is that the scope?
- Q. Yes. That's where it ends. Do you
- 15 see that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you take a green highlighter,
- 18 please, and just put a box around that
- paragraph, please? Draw a box around it for
- $^{20}$  me.
- A. You want me to draw a box here?
- Q. Yes, around the paragraph around step
- 23 1.
- A. Can I ask a procedure question?
- Q. Sure.

- A. So I'm prepared to answer questions.
- 2 I -- I wonder if I am expected to perform
- 3 tasks.
- Q. Are you refusing to draw a box around
- 5 paragraph -- or paragraph 1 in Exhibit 1009?
- 6 A. I'm definitely not afraid.
- Q. I didn't ask you if you were afraid.
- 8 Sir. I'm asking if you are refusing to do it.
- <sup>9</sup> A. I'm hearing no objection from
- counsel, so I guess it's -- it could be part of
- a deposition, so I will be happy to draw it for
- <sup>12</sup> you.
- Q. Okay. Would you please do that?
- A. Okay. So you want me to highlight --
- so to draw a box around the part of step 1 that
- starts with "the application does the DNS
- lookup" all the way to the third line that says
- "does the following"? Is that the task?
- Q. Yes, please.
- <sup>20</sup> A. Okay.
- Q. And next to that box -- you can use
- the highlighter -- can you just label that box
- $^{23}$  1A, please? To the left or to the right. You
- choose.
- A. So can I ask you if your goal is to

- $^{1}$  refer to the labeled items in the next
- <sup>2</sup> questions?
- Q. I'm sorry?
- A. Can I ask you if you are asking me to
- 5 perform this task to make it easier later on to
- for refer to these items and to these fragments of
- <sup>7</sup> text.
- Q. That's what this was an attempt to
- 9 do, so we can talk about the right proper areas
- of those sections.
- 11 A. Okay. Now that I understand, you
- want me to write which label?
- Q. Okay. I'm sorry.
- 14 A. So now that I understand what is the
- intent, which label should I write?
- Q. Can you just label it step 1? Just
- 17 1, the number 1.
- 18 A. The same one that is already here
- 19 inside the box?
- 0. Off to the side, sir.
- A. So you want to put 1 here?
- 0. Yes.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay, thank you.
- Now can you draw a box around the

- paragraph for the first bullet point under step
- 2 1 and label that box 1A?
- A. So the first bullet; right?
- 9. Uh-huh.
- 5 A. Not the second? I think this is
- 6 different from the exhibit that you showed me.
- 7 So you still want me to perform this task, just
- 8 the first bulleted item?
- <sup>9</sup> Q. Yes, please.
- 10 A. Okay. So just to confirm, it is the
- paragraph that starts with "if the host name
- matches the local domain string" all the way
- through the fourth line from there that ends
- with "as if Aventail Connect were not running"?
- Q. Yes, please do that.
- A. Okay. So I'm drawing another box.
- MR. BROUGHAN: After you finish
- doing that, can we talk for a second?
- MR. PALYS: Sure.
- 20 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Did you label it?
- A. Which number should I put there?
- Q. 1A, please.
- MR. PALYS: Okay. I'm sorry did
- you want to go off the record or stay

- 1 on?
- MR. BROUGHAN: Just a scheduling
- 3 issue in terms of time.
- 4 MR. PALYS: Go ahead.
- 5 MR. BROUGHAN: If you think it's
- going to be another two, two and a half
- 7 hours --
- MR. PALYS: That's what we talked
- 9 about before we started.
- MR. BROUGHAN: We talked about it
- like an hour ago.
- MR. PALYS: Then your witness came
- in with a follow-up question.
- MR. BROUGHAN: No, I understand
- what happened. I'm just saying that if
- you think it's going to be two, two and
- $^{17}$  a half hours, that's pretty long. I'm
- 18 suggesting that maybe we would want to
- 19 go through this detailed process in the
- <sup>20</sup> morning rather than tonight.
- MR. PALYS: It's your call. But I
- need to know now out of professional
- 23 courtesy because we had this
- 24 conversation earlier.
- MR. BROUGHAN: I understand.

Page 166 1 MR. PALYS: Are we stopping or are we going? 3 (Recess taken.) MR. PALYS: After discussion with 5 counsel, we've decided we're going to break for the day. Sir, I just want to remind you of your obligations under these proceedings. This deposition is still 10 maintained and you're not to talk with 11 counsel about the substance of your 12 testimony, your deposition. Do you 13 understand? 14 Yes, I understand. THE WITNESS: 15 MR. PALYS: All right. Anything? 16 MR. BROUGHAN: No. We agree. 17 MR. PALYS: So we are off the 18 record. 19 20 (Deposition adjourned at 5:58 p.m.) 21 22 23 24 25

	Page 167
1	J U R A T
2	
3	I, , do hereby certify under
4	penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing
5	transcript of my deposition taken on ;
6	that I have made such corrections as appear noted
7	herein in ink, initialed by me; that my testimony as
8	contained herein, as corrected, is true and correct.
9	
10	DATED this, 20 ,
11	at, .
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	SIGNATURE OF WITNESS
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 168 1 CERTIFICATE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: 3 I, MARY ANN PAYONK, shorthand reporter, do hereby certify that the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn, and that such deposition is a true, correct, and full record of the testimony given. I further certify that I am not related 10 to any of the parties to this action by blood 11 or by marriage, and that I am in no way 12 interested in the outcome of this matter. 13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set 14 my hand this 17th day of November, 2015. 15 16 17 MARY ANN PAYONK, Shorthand Reporter 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

			Page 169
1	- INDEX TO WITNESSES -		
2	WITNESS	PAGE	
3	DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA		
4	Examination by Mr. Palys	4	
5	- INDEX TO REFERENCED EXHIBITS -		
6	(Previously marked)		
7	NO.	PAGE	
8	Exhibit No. 1001 U.S. Patent Number	41	
9	8,868,705		
10	Exhibit No. 1003 U.S. Patent Number	41	
11	8,850,009		
12	Exhibit No. 1005 Tamassia declaration	5	
13	Exhibit No. 1007 U.S. Patent Number	108	
14	6,496,867		
15	Exhibit No. 1009 Aventail Connect	155	
16	administrator's guide		
17	Exhibit No. 1036 RFC 2026	105	
18	- INDEX TO EXHIBITS -		
19	NO. DESCRIPTION	MARKED	
20	Exhibit No. 2012 Marked-up version of	157	
21	pages 11 and 12 of Ex. No. 1009		
22			
23	***		
24			
25			

			Page 170
1	NAME OF CASE: Apple v	s. VirnetX	
2	DATE OF DEPOSITION: N	ovember 12, 2015	
3	1. To clarify the record.		
	2. To conform	to the facts.	
4	3. To correct	transcription error.	
5			
	Page Line	Reason	
6	From	to	
7	Page Line	Reason	
	From	to	
8			
	Page Line	Reason	
9	From	to	
10	Page Line	Reason	
	From	to	
11			
	Page Line	Reason	
12	From	to	
13	Page Line	Reason	
	From	to	
14			
	Page Line	Reason	
15	From	to	
16	Page Line	Reason	
	From	to	
17			
18			
19	DR. ROB	ERTO TAMASSIA	
20	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN T	O BEFORE ME	
21	THIS DAY OF	, 2015.	
22			
23	(Notary Public)		
24	My Commission expires:		
25			

1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  2 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD  3 APPLE INC.  5 Petitioner  6 V.  7 VIRNETX, INC.  8 Patent Owner  9 Case No. IPR2015-00810  11 Patent No. 8,868,705  12 Case Nos. IPR2015-00811  13 and IPR-2015-00812  14 Patent No. 8,850,009  15 ———————————————————————————————————			Page 171
APPLE INC.  Petitioner  V.  VIRNETX, INC.  Patent Owner  Case No. IPR2015-00810  Patent No. 8,868,705  Case Nos. IPR2015-00811  and IPR-2015-00812  Patent No. 8,850,009  Deposition Of Dr. ROBERTO TAMASSIA  Volume Two  Washington, D.C.  November 13, 2015	1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	
APPLE INC.  Petitioner  V.  VIRNETX, INC.  Patent Owner  Case No. IPR2015-00810  Patent No. 8,868,705  Case Nos. IPR2015-00811  and IPR-2015-00812  Patent No. 8,850,009  Deposition of Dr. Roberto Tamassia  Volume Two  Washington, D.C.  November 13, 2015	2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	
Petitioner  V.  VIRNETX, INC.  Patent Owner  Case No. IPR2015-00810  Patent No. 8,868,705  Case Nos. IPR2015-00811  and IPR-2015-00812  Patent No. 8,850,009  Deposition of Dr. Roberto Tamassia  Volume Two  Washington, D.C.  November 13, 2015	3		
V.  VIRNETX, INC.  Patent Owner  Case No. IPR2015-00810  Patent No. 8,868,705  Case Nos. IPR2015-00811  and IPR-2015-00812  Patent No. 8,850,009  Patent No. 8,850,009  Deposition Of Dr. Roberto TAMASSIA  Volume Two  Washington, D.C.  November 13, 2015	4	APPLE INC.	
7 VIRNETX, INC.  8 Patent Owner  9	5	Petitioner	
Patent Owner  Patent Owner  Case No. IPR2015-00810  Patent No. 8,868,705  Case Nos. IPR2015-00811  and IPR-2015-00812  Patent No. 8,850,009  Patent No. 8,850,009  Deposition of Dr. Roberto Tamassia  Volume Two  Washington, D.C.  November 13, 2015	6	v.	
9 10	7	VIRNETX, INC.	
Case No. IPR2015-00810  11	8	Patent Owner	
Patent No. 8,868,705  12	9		
Case Nos. IPR2015-00811  and IPR-2015-00812  Patent No. 8,850,009  Deposition Of Dr. Roberto Tamassia  Volume Two  Washington, D.C.  November 13, 2015	10	Case No. IPR2015-00810	
and IPR-2015-00812  14 Patent No. 8,850,009  15	11	Patent No. 8,868,705	
Patent No. 8,850,009  15  16  17  18	12	Case Nos. IPR2015-00811	
15 16 17 18 DEPOSITION OF DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA 19 Volume Two 20 Washington, D.C. 21 November 13, 2015 22 23	13	and IPR-2015-00812	
16 17 18 DEPOSITION OF DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA 19 Volume Two 20 Washington, D.C. 21 November 13, 2015 22 23	14	Patent No. 8,850,009	
DEPOSITION OF DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA  Volume Two  Washington, D.C.  November 13, 2015	15	<del></del>	
DEPOSITION OF DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA  Volume Two  Washington, D.C.  November 13, 2015	16		
Volume Two Washington, D.C. November 13, 2015	17		
20 Washington, D.C. 21 November 13, 2015 22 23	18	DEPOSITION OF DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA	
21 November 13, 2015 22 23	19	Volume Two	
22 23	20	Washington, D.C.	
23	21	November 13, 2015	
	22		
<sup>24</sup> Reported by: Mary Ann Payonk	23		
	24	Reported by: Mary Ann Payonk	
<sup>25</sup> Job No. 99552	25	Job No. 99552	

## DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA

```
Page 172
1
2
3
                          November 13, 2015
5
                           9:00 a.m.
6
7
            Deposition of DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA,
8
     Volume Two, held at the offices of Sidley
9
     Austin, 1501 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.,
10
     pursuant to Notice before Mary Ann Payonk,
11
     Nationally Certified Realtime Reporter and
12
     Notary Public of the District of Columbia,
13
     Commonwealth of Virginia, States of Maryland
14
     and New York.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 173 1 **APPEARANCES:** ON BEHALF OF VIRNETX INC.: 3 JOSEPH PALYS, ESQUIRE DANIEL ZEILBERGER, ESQUIRE 5 CHETAN BANSAL, ESQUIRE 6 PAUL HASTINGS 7 875 15th Street, N.W. 8 Washington, D.C. 20005 9 10 ON BEHALF OF APPLE: 11 SAMUEL DILLON, ESQUIRE 12 THOMAS BROUGHAN, ESQUIRE 13 SIDLEY AUSTIN 14 1501 K Street, N.W. 15 Washington, D.C. 20005 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

- DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA
- recalled as a witness, having been duly
- sworn, was admonished of his former
- oath, examined and testified further as
- 5 follows:
- EXAMINATION (Cont'd.)
- 7 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. You've got your declaration open to
- <sup>9</sup> you. Do you have paragraph 89?
- 10 A. Page 89?
- Q. Yeah, paragraph -- page 89, you're
- right, paragraph 218. You have that in front
- of you; right?
- A. Right in front of me.
- 15 Q. That's that flow chart that you
- 16 created; right?
- 17 A. I believe this is where we left
- 18 yesterday.
- 0. Right.
- And in paragraph 218, you state that
- you're going to provide a flow chart that you
- prepared illustrating these steps. You say
- "these steps"; right?
- $^{24}$  A. That's what it reads, right.
- Q. Right.

- And you're referring to the steps in
- pages 11 and 12 of Aventail Exhibit 1009;
- 3 right?
- 4 A. Yes. So what I meant is providing a
- general illustration of the overall process, a
- 6 chart that shows what kind of mechanisms are
- <sup>7</sup> going to be used by the Aventail Connect
- 8 software and how it will use certain
- 9 configuration parameters and how it will
- interact with the Aventail external server.
- 11 Q. One thing I forgot to ask you. Since
- we broke last night, did you at all review your
- transcript from yesterday? Your testimony from
- 14 yesterday?
- A. I did not have access to it.
- Q. And did you talk to anyone about your
- testimony yesterday or the testimony that
- 18 you're about to give today regarding -- since
- we broke yesterday?
- A. I only mentioned to my girlfriend
- $^{21}$  that I was done for the day and I will continue
- the next day.
- Q. Okay, all right. So no
- communications with counsel at all?
- A. There was no communication.

- 1 0. Nothing about the substance of your
- 2 testimony?
- $^3$  A. Absolutely not, just the time when I
- will show up here.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. I appreciate that. Thank you.
- Okay, back to your flow chart.
- Actually, on page 11 of Aventail, this
- 8 describes those steps that are reflected in
- 9 your flow chart. There's a step labeled "Step
- 10 1." Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And I believe yesterday you
- highlighted the first paragraph of step 1 and
- labeled it 1 in your version of Exhibit 1009;
- 15 right?
- A. Yes, you asked me to do so, yes.
- Q. And step 1 includes all the bullet
- points, the three bullet points that extend
- 19 from page 11 to page 12; correct?
- A. Can you say that again?
- Q. Step 1 includes the items, the three
- bullet points that extend from page 11 to page
- 23 12. Do you see that?
- A. Yes. So the first step consists also
- of these subcomponents which are not numbered

- but are the bulleted parts.
- Q. Right.
- And I'm wondering, depending on how
- 4 you want to proceed, you already labeled one of
- 5 those bullet points as 1A.
- A. Yes, already, from yesterday.
- 7 Q. Did you want to label the second
- 8 bullet point 1B on that version right there?
- 9 If you'd do that.
- 10 A. Yes, I'm happy do that.
- 0. And it looks like 1B extends to the
- 12 next page.
- A. Right.
- Q. And if you could -- sorry. Thank
- you, sir. And if you could label the next, the
- last bullet point 1C. Thank you.
- Now, right after 1C, there's another
- step 2. Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And under step 2, there's a
- subitem A. Do you see that?
- <sup>22</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. I'm going to refer to that as 2A,
- <sup>24</sup> okay?
- A. Sure.

- Q. If you want, you can label that one
- 2 2A or if you can follow along --
- A. It's implied, yes.
- Q. Now, there's three bullet points
- 5 under 2A. Do you see that?
- 6 A. Yes.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. I was wondering if you could label
- $^8$  the first bullet point as 2A1 or 2AI, however
- <sup>9</sup> you want to represent that.
- 10 A. I can write 1, 2, and 3 --
- 0. Perfect.
- $^{12}$  A. -- on the document.
- 0. That'll work.
- And after 2A3, there's a step -- a
- subset B. That's 2B; right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And if you could label the
- three bullet points under that however you
- want, 1, 2, 3, like you did before.
- Perfect.
- 21 And then after that, there's a step
- 22 C. That's 2C; correct?
- $^{23}$  A. Yes, that is 2C.
- Q. And then following that, there's step
- 25 3; right?

- <sup>1</sup> A. Right.
- So on page 11 of Aventail, step 1,
- $^4$  that entire step, step 1, that's an optional
- 5 step; correct? Or --
- A. Just to make sure, when you say "1,"
- 7 do you refer to the entirety including also 1A
- and 1B, 1C, or only the preamble, which is the
- <sup>9</sup> paragraph I have boxed in?
- Q. I would say all of step 1B and -- 1A,
- 11 1B, and 1C, and 1.
- 12 A. Okay.
- Q. And I'll refer to the paragraph we
- labeled 1 where it says "this entire step is
- skipped."
- A. So what happens is that an
- application can try to establish connection
- either using a domain name or host name or an
- 19 IP address. The entire step 1 describes in the
- substeps how host names can be mapped to IP
- 21 addresses.
- 22 If the application already knows the
- 23 IP address, it wants to connect to and issues a
- connection request based on an IP address, then
- as indicated in the preamble, this step,

- 1 referred to as entire step, is skipped.
- Q. So it's optional when the application
- 3 already knows the IP address; right?
- $^4$  A. Yes.
- Q. And once step 1, the entirety of step
- $^6$  1 that includes all the substeps A, B, and C,
- once that's complete, and assuming it's not
- 8 skipped, the Aventail application proceeds to
- 9 step 2; right?
- 10 A. So first of all, in this context of
- this description, the term "application" refers
- to an application which could be, for example,
- a web browser that is running on a client
- machine. And then it also describes how
- Aventail Connect, which is the client component
- of the Aventail platform, how it intercepts
- 17 process and forward what are the requests from
- the application.
- So I wanted to clarify what I
- considered the meaning of "application," since
- you referred to it as the Aventail application.
- I would like to make a distinction between the
- application that is running on the client
- machine versus Aventail Connect.
- Q. Okay. So let me rephrase the

- question a little bit then.
- Once step 1, the entire step A, B,
- and C, is complete, and assuming it's not
- 4 skipped, the Aventail process proceeds to step
- 5 2; correct?
- 6 A. What I will say is that step 2 is
- <sup>7</sup> executed also when step 1 is skipped, so the
- 8 processing done by Aventail Connect on an
- 9 IP-based connection request is still performed.
- 10 So it's a somehow different description than
- the one that you stated.
- Q. Well, right. In my question, I said
- assuming it wasn't skipped, so I think we're
- saying the same thing.
- 15 A. Yeah, yeah. I clarified that even
- outside of your hypothetical --
- Q. Step 2 follows step 1 if step 1 -- if
- step 1 is not skipped, right, step 2's going to
- 19 follow step 1?
- <sup>20</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And if step 1 is skipped, step
- 22 2 is performed as well?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And is step 2 initiated by the
- device that includes the Aventail application;

- 1 right?
- A. So as described, when the client
- application makes a connection, which is now
- based on an IP, then the connection handshake,
- 5 which is the initial establishment of the
- 6 connection, is again intercepted, examined, and
- $^7$  processed by the Aventail Connect module.
- Q. So step 2 is initiated by the client,
- 9 though, that includes Aventail. Yeah, the
- 10 Aventail application.
- 11 A. I'll say that the way they described
- that page 12 in the beginning of step 2 is that
- various processing described in step 2 begins
- once the application makes what I'm referring,
- for example, in my diagram as connection
- 16 request using an IP address.
- Q. So when you say "the application,"
- referring to the client application?
- 19 A. What I'm referring here is the
- client -- when the client application starts
- this request, then the processing in step 2
- done by Aventail Connect is triggered.
- Q. If you could turn back to page 8 of
- Aventail, please. And on page 8 there's a
- 25 section saying "WinSock connection to a remote

- 1 host." See that?
- <sup>2</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. And there, it says "Via WinSock, an
- 4 application goes through the following steps to
- 5 connect to remote hosts on the Internet or
- 6 appropriate action path."
- 7 Do you see that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You see the steps 1, 2, and 3 that's
- 10 listed on page 8?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Those steps -- and on page 11.
- A. Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. The paragraph right above page 11,
- the paragraph right above what you labeled as
- 16 step 1.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. It says:
- 19 "The following three steps are
- identical to the standard WinSock
- communication steps described above."
- That's referring to the steps that
- are on page 8, right, when page 11 says "The
- following 3 steps are identical to the standard
- WinSock communication steps described above"?

- 1 A. My understanding is that this
- 2 reference on page 11 to the standard WinSock
- is -- is about the processing that is outlined
- $^4$  on page 8.
- <sup>5</sup> Q. And then on page 8 when it says "Via
- 6 WinSock, an application goes through the
- following steps," is that application the
- 8 client application you were referring to before
- <sup>9</sup> in your previous testimony?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 11 A. So, yeah, so when pages 11, 12 refers
- to an application, which is also called client
- application, this reference is of behavior
- parallel those that are described in page 8,
- and what is in page 8 is the standard type of
- connection using TCIP in a Windows operating
- system machine. So that's why the reference to
- a specific software called WinSock.
- 19 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. And on page 12, I'm looking at step
- $^{21}$  2. The application sends a connection request;
- <sup>22</sup> right?
- A. Yes, that's the beginning of step 2,
- $^{24}$  page 12.
- Q. Sorry.

- 1 A. Done.
- Q. Okay. Apologize.
- In your flow chart that you have on
- $^4$  page 89, you have a box on the left-hand side
- 5 that says "request connection using IP
- 6 address."
- Do you see that?
- 8 A. Yes, I see.
- 9 Q. Does that correlate to step 2 here on
- $^{10}$  page 12?
- 11 A. Yes, this box is correlated to the
- beginning of step 2.
- Q. I'm going to try to do something to
- make things speed along again, kind of like the
- markup.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. I can do it or have you do it. It's
- up to you. What I want to do is number each of
- your flow charts so I know when I say step 3,
- 20 you'll know what we're talking about. I can
- have you do it or I can do it, whatever you're
- 22 comfortable with.
- A. You would like me to label each box,
- or only some boxes that you're interested in
- <sup>25</sup> now?

- O. I understand. But this way, we will
- $^2$  have numbers next to them and then you'll know
- exactly which box I'm referring to without
- 4 having to repeat the text inside of it.
- $^5$  A. Can I use letters for them?
- 6 Q. However you want to do it. Whatever
- you're comfortable with.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. You can go down the sequence, and
- that way, I know what you're talking about. I
- $^{11}$  can see it.
- 12 A. I'll just check that I have looked at
- all the boxes and have done it.
- Q. Let's let your counsel make a copy so
- they will know exactly where I'm going. I
- believe I have it. Thank you.
- 17 A. We don't have access to a machine?
- Q. I just realized your comment on
- 19 letters makes more sense because we're using
- 20 numbers for this. Good call.
- So what you've labeled as step G
- correlates to step 2 on page 12 of Aventail;
- 23 correct?
- A. I prefer to refer to what is in the
- $^{25}$  square boxes as actions. So again, we have a

- different terminology from what is in the flow
- $^2$  chart and what is in the Aventail, and what is
- $^3$  in the Aventail outline of the steps.
- I also want to add as we're entering
- 5 this discussion that my intention here with
- 6 this flow chart was to provide to the board and
- <sup>7</sup> to anyone what is my general overall
- 8 understanding of the methodology that is
- 9 employed by Aventail.
- 10 My understanding of relevant
- 11 configuration mechanisms and the relevant
- technique, such as DNS interception, IP
- interception, use of local tables, local
- 14 resources, use of proxying DNS lookups and use
- of proxying of connections as in the SOCKS
- standard, which is followed by Aventail, on
- purpose, I did not try to follow exactly, for
- example, the sequence of steps as in Aventail
- so as to illustrate a more general view and
- possibly simplify it over what is in the
- 21 Aventail reference.
- And so in the following discussion,
- it could be that there is no exact one-to-one
- correspondence between step or substep of
- 25 Aventail and a box for action or box for

- decision in my chart.
- Q. Okay. So to the extent you can
- 3 correlate the two, if I ask you to do that, and
- 4 if you can't, just let me know. I will note,
- 5 though, you said in paragraph 218 that you're
- 6 explaining each of those steps in pages 11 and
- <sup>7</sup> 12 in the flow chart below.
- 8 A. So my meaning is an illustration, and
- 9 I hope that I have explained now what I
- intended with this illustration.
- If I wanted to do a mirror of what is
- in the Aventail manual, then I would follow
- exactly the steps and substeps, but I thought
- that it will be more useful to give a higher
- level view and highlighting flows of actions
- and significant decisions instead of mimicking,
- essentially copying what was in the steps.
- Q. Okay. In step 2 of Aventail, is the
- connection request that's disclosed in step 2
- on page 12, that's different from the DNS
- lookup that's mentioned on step 1 of page 11?
- A. The way that the Aventail manual
- describes this connection request mirrors the
- connection request on page 8, item 2.
- And this connection request is an

- 1 IP-based request for the application to access
- a certain remote host and to obtain a resource
- $^3$  from the host, as indicated in the content of
- 4 the request.
- Now, your question seems to be
- ferential related to some details of how the DNS protocol
- 7 works, so the DNS protocol is an
- 8 application-level protocol. And the DNS
- 9 protocol relies on a client machine to either
- 10 have local information about IP addresses
- 11 associated with domain name, or querying or
- requesting the information to a name server
- that will process the query to give the
- information.
- This query itself done in DNS is a
- query that follows the standard TCP/IP protocol
- and so this query, when issued, is generally a
- $^{18}$  query that uses a -- the IP address of a name
- 19 server.
- Q. So my question is: Is the DNS lookup
- that's identified in step 1 of page 11, is that
- different from the connection request that's
- identified in step 2 on page 12 of Aventail?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. What I'll say is that what is on

- pages 11, 12 is a summary for administrators
- <sup>2</sup> that gives a general idea of the operation of
- 3 Aventail.
- 4 To understand more details which
- 5 could be related to the question you have
- 6 asked, it will be useful to read the source
- 7 code or acquire additional information about
- 8 Aventail Connect.
- In general, if one considers the text
- here, and also if one considers the logic that
- is described in this chart, a possible path of
- action, so a possible sequence of events could
- be that the client in decision box B knows the
- 14 IP address of a name server and so one could
- interpret what are the next steps, as the
- request in G is an IP-based DNS access request.
- But this level of detail of whether
- this type of path or sequence of events is
- applicable to the detailed operation is
- something that I've not considered. But I have
- 21 discussed with all my technical understanding
- of the protocol how DNS requests are performed,
- which are application-level requests typically
- issued as a UDP request, knowing the IP address
- of a first DNS server.

- O. But is the DNS lookup that's
- identified on page 11 different from the
- 3 connection request that's mentioned on step 2
- 4 of page 12?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. Are you asking about the DNS lookup
- <sup>7</sup> in general for client application in a system,
- 8 or specifically as described in the Aventail
- 9 reference?
- 10 BY MR. PALYS:
- 11 Q. As specifically described in the
- 12 Aventail reference, it says the application
- does a DNS lookup in step 1; right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 0. Okay. Is that DNS lookup that's
- 16 referenced in Aventail different from the
- connection request that's disclosed in step 2
- on page 12 of Aventail?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. My answer is that it is different,
- because step 2 identifies a general connection
- request that encompasses a plurality of
- services, while a DNS request is a request for
- <sup>24</sup> a unique specific service.
- 25 BY MR. PALYS:

- Q. Can you turn to paragraph 238 of your
- declaration, please?
- In paragraph 238, you say "once the
- DNS query has been resolved, step 1." Do you
- 5 see that?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. Is that DNS query that you refer to
- in paragraph 238 the DNS lookup that's
- 9 disclosed on step 1 of page 11?
- 10 A. Paragraph 238, I'm referring to the
- 11 DNS query or DNS lookup that you identified in
- 12 step 1.
- Q. If you go back on page 12 of
- Aventail, look at step -- what I believe is
- step 2A1. It says "If the request contains a
- 16 false DNS entry from step 1, it will be
- processed."
- Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Is this item 2A1 reflected in any way
- in your flow chart?
- A. So item 2A1 refers to a false DNS
- entry, so there are several parts in my flow
- $^{24}$  chart that are relevant to this step and so I
- 25 can comment on them.

- So boxes H and K refer to false DNS
- entries that are created by the Aventail
- 3 Connect module. It is possible that the false
- $^4$  DNS entry may be actually part of an IP address
- 5 known by the client and so it could happen that
- it would be a consequence of the decision in
- <sup>7</sup> step B and this IP address going on the link B
- 8 to G in the flow chart.
- Later on, there are other references
- to false DNS entry, to a false address. So
- decision M identifies the general behavior of
- 12 Aventail Connect when such a false address is
- encountered, and this triggers a behavior which
- is proxied DNS resolution that is going to be
- performed now by the Aventail extranet server
- as identified in box N on behalf of the
- original client application.
- And box N identifies also that this
- proxied DNS resolution implies that the host
- 20 name of which Aventail Connect has kept track
- of coming from the client request is going to
- be forwarded to the Aventail extranet server.
- Q. So when box N then, which says "send
- 24 host name to Aventail extranet server for
- resolution," does that correspond to step 2B3

- on page 12 of Aventail?
- $^2$  A. Box N is indeed related to step 2B3.
- 3 That's what you asked me, right?
- O. Yes.
- <sup>5</sup> A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. In step 2B on 12 it says "when
- <sup>7</sup> the connection is completed, Aventail Connect
- 8 begins the SOCKS negotiation."
- 9 Do you see that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. So step B and its subparts occurs
- after the connection is complete; right?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. My understanding of step 3 -- I'm
- sorry, of step 2B, which is what you asked me
- about, so that the phrase when the connection
- is completed refers to when Aventail Connect
- has determined that a SOCKS negotiation is to
- 19 be performed.
- 20 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. And it says that is performed when
- the connection is completed; right?
- A. So that's what it says. As I
- mentioned before, I have given somewhat broader
- 25 interpretation, given the context.

- O. So are you disagreeing with what the
- document says when it says "when the
- 3 connection's completed, Aventail Connect begins
- 4 SOCKS negotiation"?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 6 A. What do you mean by disagreeing in
- <sup>7</sup> this case?
- 8 BY MR. PALYS:
- 9 Q. I'm just trying to understand your
- response. I'm sorry, you said that's what
- it -- so that's what it says. "As I mentioned
- before, I have given somewhat broader
- interpretation, given the context."
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- 0. So my question is: Your broader
- interpretation, is that inconsistent with what
- is mentioned here on step 2B of page 12?
- 18 A. I don't think it is inconsistent. I
- have viewed this phrase at the beginning of B
- as related to the task that is referred to in
- $^{21}$  A, which is checking the connection request.
- 22 So a way of interpreting is when checking the
- connection request is completed.
- Q. So your interpretation of 2B is that
- that's really saying when a connection

- 1 request -- well, I'm sorry, when the -- when
- <sup>2</sup> checking the connection request is completed?
- MR. DILLON: Objection to form.
- 4 A. I have not provided in my declaration
- 5 specific interpretation of when the connection
- <sup>6</sup> is completed. I believe, however, that this
- <sup>7</sup> phrase, as I said before, refers to whether the
- 8 connection request inspection leads to what is
- 9 called SOCKS processing of a request, referred
- to here as SOCKS negotiation.
- And I mention, for example, in
- paragraph 243 that reading this description in
- B, B1, B2 and B3, I have identified how those
- steps corresponds to the standard SOCKS proxy
- processing of requests.
- 16 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. So your -- how you interpreted step
- 2B, that is part of your basis for your
- understanding of how Aventail operates as
- disclosed in the -- in Exhibit 1009; correct?
- A. So I've interpreted the step 2B in
- its entirety as the establishment of a SOCKS
- 23 proxying of the connection.
- O. I'm a little confused and I need to
- <sup>25</sup> clarify something to make sure I understand

- this right. When we're talking about
- 2 connections in Aventail, how it's disclosed
- here, that's the connection between the client
- 4 and the -- I think it's referred to as the
- 5 extranet server; right?
- 6 MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. Can you refer to a specific instance
- 8 of connection?
- 9 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Well, the connection that's -- we
- have been talking about connection with respect
- to the steps that are on pages 11 through 12,
- so when -- or where Aventail discloses the
- connection, I'm just trying to understand.
- This is a connection between the
- 16 client and the -- I believe it's called an
- extranet server in Aventail; right?
- 18 Actually, go to -- if you could go to
- page 72 of Aventail, try it that way. On page
- <sup>20</sup> 72 there's a figure of a system; right?
- A. Right.
- Q. And I believe you referenced this
- figure in your declaration.
- A. Yes, I believe so. I can double
- 25 check, but yeah.

- 0. And paragraph -- or page 64 of your
- declaration, I believe that's the same figure;
- 3 right?
- <sup>4</sup> A. Yes, the same figure.
- 5 Q. Okay. So in this figure, there's a
- 6 server labeled "Aventail VPN Server." Do you
- <sup>7</sup> see that --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- server?
- And right above it on page 72 do you
- see this reference to Aventail extranet server?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And then actually on page 10 it
- 14 refers to an Aventail extranet server as well
- on the first paragraph.
- A. Page 10 of the Aventail exhibit?
- Q. Yes, yes. Sorry, like three lines
- down or two lines down in the first paragraph
- it says "2N, Aventail Extranet Server."
- Do you see that?
- A. Yes, uh-huh.
- Q. And it says -- it even refers to the
- connection in line 2 there.
- $^{24}$  A. I -- I see that it refers to a
- 25 connection.

- O. Right. So what I'm trying to
- <sup>2</sup> understand is this connection that Aventail
- discloses. That's between the client and the
- extranet server; right?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 6 A. So let me clarify what I believe is
- the meaning of "connection" in the various
- 8 parts of this exhibit. So you mentioned page
- 9 10, line 2 after the note, right?
- 10 BY MR. PALYS:
- 11 O. Uh-huh.
- 12 A. I believe that this connection is a
- connection end to end from the client
- 14 application to remote host.
- Similarly, when I look on page 12,
- item 2, again I consider here "connection" as
- referring to an end-to-end connection that the
- 18 client wants to establish with the remote host.
- 0. So when it says "when the connection'
- is completed," you're referring to that as a
- 21 connection between the client and the remote
- host; is that right?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. No, this is not how I interpret it.
- 25 BY MR. PALYS:

- 1 0. How do you interpret it again?
- $^2$  A. I believe that, as I already said, I
- 3 viewed this sentence in the overall context of
- 4 the determination of whether the Aventail
- 5 Connect module should begin establishing a
- 6 SOCKS negotiation or SOCKS negotiation and
- <sup>7</sup> subsequent processing to establish this
- 8 end-to-end connection via the proxy server or
- 9 SOCKS server.
- Q. When you say "SOCKS server," are you
- 11 referring to extranet server?
- 12 A. As I mention in paragraph 174 of my
- declaration, the Aventail extranet server is a
- server that operates according to the SOCKS
- protocol.
- Q. Right. So I just want to make sure
- we're using the same terms.
- So when you say "SOCKS server,"
- that's the same thing as the Aventail extranet
- 20 server; correct?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 22 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question?
- A. Are you referring to which context?
- Q. In your answer, you mentioned a SOCKS

- <sup>1</sup> server.
- $^2$  A. Yes.
- Q. Is that SOCKS server that you
- $^4$  mentioned, is that different from the Aventail
- 5 extranet server that you describe in your
- 6 declaration?
- A. What I've explained is that the
- 8 functionality of the Aventail extranet server
- 9 includes the functionality of the SOCKS
- protocol, and in particular, version 5.
- 11 Q. Previously you testified when we were
- talking about step 2B that you -- this sentence
- in the overall context of the determination of
- whether the Aventail Connect module should
- begin establishing a SOCKS negotiation or SOCKS
- negotiation and subsequent processing to
- establish this end-to-end connection via the
- proxy server or SOCKS server, okay?
- My question is: When you referred to
- a SOCKS server in your answer, is that SOCKS
- 21 server different from the Aventail extranet
- server that you identify in your declaration?
- A. In the previous sentence, I refer to
- $^{24}$  a SOCKS server as a type of proxy server
- because SOCKS provides proxy functionality.

- 1 And this -- my understanding as, for example,
- in paragraph 173 of the declaration, that the
- 3 SOCKS protocol is realized by the Aventail
- 4 Connect module on the client machine and the
- 5 Aventail extranet server proxy SOCKS
- functionality, as I said, working together.
- 7 And I also added that in the Aventail
- 8 scheme there can be multiple clients that could
- 9 connect with the same Aventail server.
- Q. Step 2B, when it says "Aventail
- 11 Connect begins SOCKS negotiation, " what is
- 12 Aventail Connect beginning SOCKS negotiation
- with?
- 14 A. Can you repeat?
- Q. What is Aventail Connect beginning
- the SOCKS negotiation with?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 18 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question, sir?
- $^{20}$  A. I think I -- I understand now.
- Q. Thank you.
- A. I believe that, as I explained in my
- declaration in paragraphs 173 and 174, that the
- negotiation is performed by two parties, one
- 25 being the Aventail Connect module and the other

- being the SOCKS 5 component of the Aventail
- 2 Extranet Center.
- MR. PALYS: Let's take a break. I
- 4 think we have been going about an hour.
- 5 (Recess taken.)
- 6 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. On page 12, sir, step 2A2, if you
- 8 could go there, please.
- <sup>9</sup> A. Yes.
- 10 Q. There, Aventail says:
- 11 "Aventail Connect will call
- WinSock to begin the TCP handshake
- with the server designated in the
- configuration file."
- Do you see that?
- A. Yes, I can see.
- 0. "The server" that's identified in
- that sentence, where it says "TCP handshake
- with the server, " is that the Aventail extranet
- 20 server?
- A. Just to be clear, you asked about
- <sup>22</sup> step 2A2?
- Q. Right. In the sentence that says
- <sup>24</sup> "Aventail Connect will call WinSock to begin
- $^{25}$  the TCP handshake with the server," I just want

- to understand if the "the server" in that
- sentence is referring to the Aventail extranet
- 3 server.
- 4 A. I believe I addressed this point in
- 5 my declaration in items 239, 240, and 241. So
- 6 this refers to the Aventail Connect module,
- which itself makes use of standard operating
- system modules, in this case, WinSock for
- 9 communication. And it refers to rules about
- 10 proxy connections.
- 11 And I believe that this includes the
- 12 SOCKS processing of the connection as
- described, and so a SOCKS processing of a
- connection in Aventail would be typically
- performed with one of their SOCKS servers,
- which can be components of the Aventail
- extranet server.
- 0. And what's involved with the TCP
- 19 handshake that occurs between the Aventail
- 20 Connect and the -- the extranet server in step
- 21 2A2?
- A. So since the sentence refers to
- WinSock for beginning TCP handshake, then this
- 24 fragment refers to standard TCP SYN-ACK
- <sup>25</sup> handshake.

- O. In step 2B1, the Aventail Connect
- sends a list of authentication methods to the
- 3 server; right?
- A. Yes. So step 2B1 refers to the
- 5 component of SOCKS 5 negotiation that is about
- 6 authentication technique that are mutually
- <sup>7</sup> acceptable by the client and server.
- 8 O. These authentication methods
- 9 mentioned in step 2B2 are described in Aventail
- at pages starting at 42 to roughly 52; is that
- 11 accurate?
- 12 A. So authentication methods and how
- they are supported by Aventail Connect
- configuration tool are described in the guide,
- and the description starts at page 42.
- Q. And you provide a list of these
- authentication methods, I believe, in
- paragraphs 199 to 205 of your declaration;
- 19 correct?
- $^{20}$  A. So I believe the -- the two portions
- of the declaration that address authentication
- modules. So starting at 199, I refer to what
- exhibit this manual considers the configuration
- of Aventail Connect to identify authentication
- modules that will be supported.

- So under selection -- so under
- "Select Authentication Modules," which is on
- page 27, it is one of the bullet items on page
- 4 27, so this portion of the manual discusses how
- 5 at the time of installation of Aventail
- 6 Connect, one can specify what the modules are,
- 7 available modules.
- Q. When you say "specify available
- modules, that's for the client; correct?
- 10 A. So this is for the administrator of
- the client machine to configure the operation
- of Aventail Connect.
- 0. On the client?
- 14 A. This manual is for, yes, the
- administration of Aventail Connect, which runs
- on the client.
- 17 Q. In step 2B2 on page 12 of Aventail,
- the server selects one of the authentication
- 19 methods; right?
- $^{20}$  A. Yes, it is stated in this way.
- Q. I believe on paragraph 244 you
- describe the selection of an authentication
- method by the server; correct?
- A. So paragraph 244 refers to a
- <sup>25</sup> different exhibit which addresses the

- 1 configuration of the Aventail Extranet Center
- and its operation in terms of negotiating with
- 3 Aventail Connect an authentication method. And
- $^4$  then I've quoted text from that manual.
- 5 Q. So in Aventail, the server selects an
- 6 authentication method based on the client's
- 7 preferences of the authentication methods that
- 8 was configured for the client?
- 9 MR. DILLON: Objection, foundation,
- form.
- 11 A. So does your question address only
- the operations performed by the server, or how
- the server and the client together end up with
- a selection of authentication method?
- 15 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. I guess what I wanted to know is a
- little more like in step 2B2 of page 12 when it
- 18 says once the server selects an authentication
- method and how it's disclosed in Aventail, the
- 20 process of the server making a selection of an
- 21 authentication method, if you could give me a
- description of that.
- MR. DILLON: Objection to form.
- A. So I can point to what I wrote in
- 25 paragraph 244 and the specific quote from this

- other manual, which is the manual for the
- 2 Aventail Extranet Center. So the Extranet
- 3 Center includes in its processing the
- 4 considerations that are mentioned in this
- <sup>5</sup> quote. So one is information about the client
- 6 machine, so what is referred to as the user
- <sup>7</sup> source in the quoted text.
- $^{8}$  The server has rules about -- based
- on the user source, which could be host name,
- domain name, IP, meaning IP address, range of
- 11 subnet. What are its -- what are its specified
- <sup>12</sup> authentication rules.
- And then something else that is taken
- into consideration is the methods that were
- offered by Aventail Connect, and so based on
- these two types of information and possibly
- other information, then a mutually -- mutually
- agreeable -- agreeable method is identified.
- 19 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. When you say "based on these two
- types of information and possibly other
- information," what other information were you
- <sup>23</sup> referring to?
- A. I was just referring to the fact that
- this text in 244 is out of possibly broader

- 1 context, and I will have to examine more in
- detail the broader context to see whether there
- 3 are other considerations in the selection of
- 4 the modules.
- 5 O. The other context of this other
- 6 document?
- A. Of this manual for the server.
- Q. I think that's Exhibit 1011; right?
- 9 A. Uh-huh, yes.
- 10 Q. If you turn to -- let's go back to
- that system diagram on page 72 -- actually,
- 12 your figure in your declaration's actually a
- little more clear. Let's to go that one. I
- think that was on page --
- $^{15}$  A. So not 72?
- Q. Well, yeah, I mean, keep that open,
- but I'm going to go to your dec. It's a bigger
- 18 picture.
- 19 A. This is my declaration, actually.
- 0. Yes, that's it then.
- A. Because it's bigger.
- Q. Sorry, I couldn't see.
- A. And you have a different page.
- Q. That's fine.
- A. 64 to 72. It's the same figure.

- Q. Okay. Well, I'm on 64. Let's go
- <sup>2</sup> there.
- A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. So that figure which is on
- 5 page 72 of Aventail, again, we talked about how
- the figure had this Aventail VPN server here,
- <sup>7</sup> right, in the middle?
- 8 A. Yes, this figure labels in Aventail
- <sup>9</sup> VPN server.
- 0. And that's the extranet server;
- 11 right? That's what page 72 referred to it as.
- MR. BROUGHAN: Are you looking at
- 13 64 or 712?
- MR. PALYS: Page 64 of his dec,
- page 72 of Aventail. It's the same
- figure.
- MR. BROUGHAN: Okay.
- 18 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. You can see, if I can point you to
- the title again right above the figure.
- 21 A. So the Aventail extranet server
- provides a VPN functionality. And looking at
- page 73, in the second paragraph, it is stated
- that in our example, the Aventail extranet
- 25 server is configured to use internal IP address

- 1 10.1.1.1, and in the figure one can see
- 2 10.1.1.1 next to the private network interface
- of what is labeled Aventail VPN server. And so
- $^4$  my understanding has been that the Aventail VPN
- 5 server in the figure and what is described on
- 6 page 73 as Aventail extranet server are indeed
- 7 the same server.
- 8 O. So the Aventail extranet server, that
- 9 proxies traffic to a plurality of remote hosts;
- 10 correct?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 12 A. I'll say that the functionality of
- the server enables a client machine that is
- equipped with Aventail Connect to establish
- communications or to communicate with not only
- a plurality of servers but also a plurality of
- services that may be available on such servers.
- THE WITNESS: Can I take a quick
- one-minute break? You don't need to
- leave the room, I'm just going to come
- 21 right back.
- MR. PALYS: Okay.
- 23 (Discussion held off the record.)
- 24 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. When choosing which authentication

- 1 method to use, as you describe in paragraph 244
- of your declaration, does the server consider
- 3 its remote hosts?
- 4 A. I don't remember considering this
- 5 aspect of the functionality, but I could look
- 6 it up.
- Q. What would you have to look at to
- 8 look it up?
- 9 A. I think I will want to review again
- the exhibits to refresh my memory.
- 0. Which exhibits?
- 12 A. The exhibits about the functionality
- of Aventail and also look again at my
- declaration just in case I don't remember and I
- mentioned it somewhere.
- Q. When you say "the exhibits," that --
- about the functionality of Aventail, does that
- include Exhibit 1009?
- 19 A. That would include also 1009 to the
- 20 extent that it describes also the server
- functionality you asked me about.
- O. What other exhibits about the
- functionality of Aventail would you have to
- look at to answer that question?
- A. I think I mentioned the Aventail

- 1 manuals that were provided as exhibits.
- Q. Can you help me identify exactly what
- 3 exhibits you're referring to? Would that
- 4 include Exhibit 1011?
- 5 A. I believe I will have to start by
- 6 looking at 1009, 1010, 1011, which are the
- 7 Aventail manuals. And those that I want to
- 8 look again is 1018, which describes the SOCKS
- $^9$  protocol. And this will be the starting point.
- Q. What else would you need to look at?
- 11 A. After reviewing these exhibits, then
- 12 I will make a determination of what else would
- 13 I want to look at.
- Q. So if those exhibits didn't provide
- any information in answering that question,
- what else would you look at?
- 17 A. It really depends on what type of
- other information I find in those exhibits. I
- cannot anticipate what would be my decision
- 20 process at that point.
- 0. You didn't consider this -- you
- didn't consider whether the -- choosing the
- 23 authentication methods to use as you described
- in paragraph 244 -- strike the question.
- 25 A. Okay.

- O. So in paragraph 244, you cite
- Aventail at page 12, the top of page 102. In
- paragraph 24, you say:
- 4 "One of ordinary skill in the art
- 5 reading the Aventail Connect
- 6 administrator's guide would understand
- <sup>7</sup> that the Aventail Connect client sends
- 8 a list of authentication methods to
- the Aventail extranet server, and the
- server is responsible for choosing
- which authentication method to use."
- Do you see that?
- 13 A. On page 101?
- Q. Right.
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. On page 12 that you cite, page 12 of
- Aventail that you cite, that doesn't describe
- whether the server considers its remote host
- when choosing which authentication method to
- use; correct?
- A. I will say that step 2B2 only
- mentions explicitly the selection but does not
- 23 provide additional details on how this
- selection is performed.
- Q. And in paragraph 244, you refer to

- Exhibit 1011 at page 36 to, quote, "confirm
- that this is how Aventail's Extranet Center
- operated"; correct?
- $^4$  A. What I have included in 244 is text
- $^{5}$  that is relevant to what you see in
- Exhibit 1009, page 12, step 2B2.
- 7 This fragment may not reflect the
- 8 entire processing that is done by the server.
- 9 It describes some of the functionality, which
- may or may not be the complete functionality.
- 11 Q. So there could be other portions of
- Exhibit 1011 that you didn't refer to that
- provide an understanding of how the server
- would select an authentication method; right?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 16 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question, sir?
- 18 A. If you could repeat it.
- 19 Q. You said the fragment, which is
- referring to the portion of Exhibit 1011 that
- you identify in paragraph 244, may not reflect
- the entire processing that is done by the
- server. It describes some of the functionality
- which may or may not be the complete
- functionality, which you refer to would be

- 1 relevant to what you see in Exhibit 1009, page
- 2 12, step 2B2. Okay?
- <sup>3</sup> A. Right.
- Q. All right. So my question is there's
- other descriptions -- are there other
- 6 descriptions in Exhibit 1011 that you did not
- point to that would provide further explanation
- 8 and confirmation of how the extranet server
- 9 operated in connection with step 2B2 on page
- 10 12?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 12 A. I believe what I previously stated is
- that I do not remember if there is such
- 14 additional description.
- 15 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. The portion that you cite -- the
- portion of Exhibit 1011 in paragraph 244 that
- 18 you refer to, you would agree that nowhere in
- this portion does it disclose that the extranet
- 20 server considers the remote host when selecting
- $^{21}$  the authentication method.
- A. So I just looked again at pages 70 to
- $^{23}$  73 of Exhibit 1009. So these two pages provide
- what is called an example network
- <sup>25</sup> configuration, and the scenario for using

- 1 Aventail extranet server.
- Q. I don't believe you answered my
- 3 question, sir.
- A. Because I'm not finished.
- Q. I'm sorry. You were looking at me.
- A. Sorry, sorry. Because you were kind
- of going through the pages.
- 8 O. Please continue.
- 9 A. Okay. So I found here references.
- 10 For example, in the paragraph after the figure,
- there is a sentence that says:
- 12 "The Aventail extranet server
- depicted in this example is used to
- provide secure and monitored access."
- Q. If I could interrupt, could you tell
- me what page you're looking at?
- 17 A. 72, the paragraph after the figure.
- Q. Please continue. Sorry.
- 19 A. Then the last paragraph of page 72
- starting at the third line from the bottom,
- 21 there is a sentence:
- "Depending on the security policy
- and the Aventail extranet server
- configuration, Aventail Connect will
- 25 automatically proxy their allowed

- application traffic into the private
- network."
- Then on page 73, starting at the top
- $^4$  on the second line, there's an additional
- 5 reference to "security policy," and the
- 6 sentence continues by saying:
- 7 "Proxy mobile users traffic only
- 8 to those resources allowed."
- 9 So it's conceivable from these
- passages that one of the functionalities of
- 11 Aventail VPN server is the one of performing
- 12 access control to determine which clients can
- access which resources on their private network
- 14 attached to the Aventail extranet server.
- Now, since your question was about
- paragraph 244, I can say again that I'm not
- sure if there is an implicit reference to such
- access control and security policies or not in
- the text that I've quoted.
- Q. When you referred to security policy
- when you were pointing to pages 72 and 73 of
- Aventail, the security policy, is that the
- 23 authentication method for the clients that we
- were talking about earlier?
- A. "Security policies" I believe is

- intended here as a general term. Security
- policies in general include rules such as which
- clients, which of their applications can access
- which servers, which resources on servers, and
- 5 this also may incorporate other considerations,
- 6 including which authentication method allows to
- grant access for which combination of
- 8 authentication methods.
- 9 So as I said before, I've not -- I
- don't believe I've addressed in greater detail
- in my declaration what is this aspect of the
- operation of the extranet server.
- Q. When you said you believe what's
- intended by the security policy on pages 72 and
- 73 of Exhibit 1009 to include in general rules
- such as which clients, which of their
- applications can access which servers, which
- 18 resources on servers, that description is not
- disclosed expressly in Aventail, is it?
- A. As I said, I don't remember. I am
- referring to what is suggested by those
- passages to someone with ordinary understanding
- of the meaning of "security policy" reading at
- $^{24}$  the time it was published the Aventail manual.
- Q. That's your opinion here today;

- 1 right?
- A. My opinion -- so I believe that my
- 3 answer says that I believe that someone at the
- 4 time would have interpreted those passages that
- 5 I quoted on pages 70 to 73 as referring to
- 6 security policies in general, as I described
- $^7$  them.
- But I would want to add again that
- 9 paragraph 244, I did not express any detailed
- opinion about that. I quoted something that is
- 11 relevant to the portion of the negotiation of
- 12 authentication methods performed at the server
- $^{13}$  side.
- Q. SSL is one type of an authentication
- method that the server can select for a client;
- 16 correct? Let me rephrase that.
- A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. In Aventail as it's disclosed, SSL is
- one type of authentication method that the
- server can select for a client; correct?
- A. As I mention in 244, one of the
- authentication modules supported by Aventail is
- 23 SSL.
- Q. You said -- do you mean paragraph
- <sup>25</sup> 245?

- 1 A. 245, yes.
- O. If the server selects SSL as one of
- 3 the authentication methods, is that
- selection -- that selection is not based on any
- remote host, though; correct?
- 6 MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 7 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. You understand my question, sir?
- 9 A. I believe so, yes. And I believe you
- are going back to a similar topic as the
- previous question, so I'm going to try to think
- 12 and answer.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. I guess my answer similar to the
- previous one. Again, I don't remember the
- detail of the operation.
- 17 Q. In paragraph 245 of your declaration,
- 18 you -- are you there, sir?
- 19 A. Yes.
- O. Okay. Just wanted to make sure. You
- 21 state:
- "One of the authentication
- modules that Aventail supports is SSL,
- and if this module's enabled for a
- source, then an encrypted channel will

- be created, " et cetera, et cetera.
- Where you say "if this module is
- enabled for a source," is the source the
- 4 client?
- A. Paragraph 245 referring to a source,
- 6 I believe that the source should be interpreted
- <sup>7</sup> in the context of this other quoted passage
- 8 within 245 so the source does refer to the
- <sup>9</sup> client.
- 0. So this means for certain client
- devices, encryption may not be an option that
- the Aventail server can select; correct?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. So far, we have discussed SSL, and
- now you asked me a different -- so you asked me
- about a different term, "encryption." And I
- was wondering if are you using "encryption" as
- equivalent to "SSL" or if you mean a different
- 19 concept.
- 20 BY MR. PALYS:
- O. SSL is one of the authentication
- methods disclosed in Aventail; correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Is it your opinion that SSL
- doesn't involve encryption as disclosed in

- 1 Aventail?
- $^2$  A. I did not say that.
- Q. I know. I'm asking the question.
- A. So say it -- can you repeat the
- 5 question?
- 6 Q. Is it your opinion that SSL doesn't
- <sup>7</sup> involve encryption as disclosed in Aventail?
- $^8$  A. No, it is not my opinion.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. Because SSL, it is a known protocol
- that involves encryption. I think we're on the
- same page.
- Q. Okay. So my question was, or is, for
- 14 certain client devices, SSL may not be an
- option that the Aventail server can select;
- 16 correct?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 18 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question?
- A. I believe I understand the question.
- 21 And what I understand is that it is possible
- that the outcome of a negotiation could be that
- the SSL authentication module is not selected
- $^{24}$  at the end of the negotiation.
- Q. Is it possible that at the outcome of

- the negotiation that the SSL authentication
- 2 module is not enabled for a client?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 4 BY MR. PALYS:
- 5 Q. Do you understand my question?
- $^{6}$  A. As I'm seeing it, yes.
- Q. I noticed you're looking at --
- $^8$  A. Can I use that or I cannot?
- 9 Actually, I should not, right?
- 10 Q. Yeah. I actually want to make a note
- that you've been looking at the transcript.
- 12 You were doing some of that yesterday and
- you're doing it today on the live notes.
- A. Is that a behavior that is not -- I'm
- 15 not sure how I was reading it.
- Q. That's fine.
- 17 A. Okay.
- Q. So let's get back to the question.
- 19 A. Okay, let's get back to the question.
- Q. Is it possible that the outcome of
- the negotiation that the SSL authentication
- module is not enabled for a client?
- MR. DILLON: Object to form.
- 24 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question?

- A. I think I understand the question.
- I believe that a better way of
- 3 phrasing the outcome is that the SSL
- 4 authentication module is not selected and thus,
- 5 it's not enabled for the communication between
- 6 the client and server.
- 7 MR. PALYS: Let's take a break.
- 8 (Recess taken.)
- 9 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Can you turn to page 11 of Aventail,
- 11 please.
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. On step 1B.
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. This is reference to HOSTENT. Do you
- 16 see that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And HOSTENT as disclosed by Aventail,
- that's the false IP address that you referred
- to in your flow chart on page 89 of your
- 21 declaration?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 23 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question?
- $^{25}$  A. I understand what you asked.

- Q. So, for example, let me point you to
- step H. There's a false DNS entry there in
- 3 that step. Do you see that?
- $^4$  A. So box H in my chart is related to
- $^{5}$  step 1B.
- 6 Q. So the false DNS entry in step H, is
- <sup>7</sup> that the HOSTENT that's referenced in step 1B
- 8 of Aventail?
- 9 A. So the false DNS entry, box H, is
- related to the false DNS entry in 1B.
- 11 Q. Right. And the false DNS entry in 1B
- 12 refers to HOSTENT; correct?
- 13 A. There is the word "HOSTENT" after
- "DNS entry" in parentheses.
- Q. And you agree that that HOSTENT is
- referring to the false DNS entry in step 1B?
- A. I've not looked at that detail. I
- don't remember looking at that -- the exact
- meaning of the parentheses. It didn't seem to
- be relevant to understanding the global
- operation of Aventail Connect.
- Q. Can you tell me what HOSTENT means in
- <sup>23</sup> step 1B?
- A. I can say that it could refer to the
- 25 false DNS entry, the phrase that just precedes

- it. It appears to be a term obtained by
- combining the word "host" and "ENT," which
- 3 could be the prefix of "entry."
- Q. Can you imagine a scenario in
- 5 Aventail where a false DNS entry is not sent by
- 6 Aventail Connect and still an encrypted
- 7 connection is established between the client
- 8 and the server based on an SSL authentication
- 9 method?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form,
- foundation.
- 12 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question?
- 14 A. So you have asked me a question with
- 15 several hypotheticals and I wonder if we can
- 16 clarify each of them one at a time.
- 17 O. Sure.
- So what I'm asking you, if you can
- 19 tell me whether Aventail discloses a scenario
- where a false DNS entry would not be sent by
- the Aventail Connect application and still an
- encrypted connection is established between the
- 23 client and the server based on the SSL
- <sup>24</sup> authentication method.
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form,

- <sup>1</sup> foundation.
- A. So can you clarify what you intend
- with -- I believe you asked me about an
- encrypted connection or an SSL connection
- $^{5}$  between the client and the server.
- 6 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Right. We've already talked about
- 8 that SSL includes encryption; right?
- 9 A. But I was asking you whether your
- question specified encrypted or SSL, because
- the two terms are not equivalent. SSL using
- encryption, but encryption is a general
- technique, and encryption could use other forms
- $^{14}$  that are not SSL.
- Q. Right. But you agree that the SSL
- authentication method as disclosed in Aventail
- uses encryption; right?
- MR. DILLON: Objection to form.
- 19 A. Can you refer to which step in
- 20 particular of the protocol you are referring to
- now, or portions of?
- 22 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. I think my question is just what it
- $^{24}$  is. It says: You agree that the SSL
- <sup>25</sup> authentication method as disclosed in Aventail

- uses encryption; right?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- A. SSL authentication indeed is based on
- 4 the SSL protocol, which is a protocol that
- 5 makes use of encryption.
- 6 BY MR. PALYS:
- <sup>7</sup> Q. Okay. Can you refer to your flow
- 8 chart on page 89 of your --
- <sup>9</sup> A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- of your declaration. And step I,
- that's part of -- well, at least relates to
- step 1B of page 11 of Aventail; correct?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 14 A. That part which is about matching
- 15 redirection rules for a host name is related to
- 18, indeed.
- 17 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. So I'm following your flow chart here
- 19 starting at step I. So in a scenario where the
- host name does not match a redirection rule,
- right, we would follow the flow up top to step
- F, right, following the "No" arrow; correct?
- A. You're referring the arrow between I
- $^{24}$  and F?
- Q. Yes, sir.

- 1 A. So in my flow chart, the arrow from I
- to F can be followed only if previously we
- followed the arrow from J to I.
- Q. I understand. But just follow my
- <sup>5</sup> question. All I'm asking is the flow from I to
- <sup>6</sup> F, right, in your flow chart relates to when
- <sup>7</sup> the host name does not match a redirection
- 8 rule; correct?
- A. No, I will not say that, because
- it -- that flow refers to when in my chart all
- the previous steps have been executed. So it's
- 12 not that the matching of the redirection rule
- is considered by itself. It's considered only
- if the other conditions and the appropriate
- outcome has been evaluated.
- 0. Then let's do that.
- 17 A. Okay.
- Q. So let's go from I to J and let's
- assume that the DNS proxy was not enabled,
- <sup>20</sup> right?
- So you agree that you would go from J
- to I; correct?
- A. Right.
- Q. And before that, if the host name was
- not on a local lookup table, you'd go from D to

- <sup>1</sup> J; correct?
- A. Right, yeah. That's how the flow
- 3 chart discusses it.
- Q. So assuming that flow D to J to I,
- $^5$  now we're going from I to F in your flow. Do
- 6 you follow me?
- 7 A. Right, yes.
- $^{8}$  O. Okay. So in that scenario --
- <sup>9</sup> A. Yes.
- 0. -- we have a host name that does not
- match the redirection rule, okay? That's how
- we got from I to F, okay?
- 13 A. Sorry, but I have to disagree that we
- qot with just that condition. That we got with
- all the conditions on the part of --
- Q. Follow along here. I'm not trying to
- <sup>17</sup> fight with you.
- 18 All the conditions that get you to I
- apply. Can we stay in that world?
- $^{20}$  A. Yes.
- 0. That scenario?
- A. Yes. This is the right way I will
- 23 state it. Thank you.
- Q. So now we're at step I of your flow
- chart, and all the conditions were met to get

- 1 to you step I.
- A. Good.
- Q. Now, when we go from I to F, that's a
- 4 host name that's not matching a redirection
- 5 rule that gets you from I to F in your flow;
- 6 correct?
- $^7$  A. At that point, yes.
- 8 Q. Now, like in step 1B of page 11 of
- 9 Aventail again says if -- here it says "if the
- 10 host name matches a redirection rule."
- Here, we're saying in this scenario
- that we just described the host name is not
- matching a redirection rule, okay? So that's
- our scenario.
- A. I believe the scenario, we got all
- the way to F in my flow chart, right?
- And you are comparing this scenario
- with -- in the way it is explained on page 11
- and 12, we are considering step 1B; right? I
- believe, we are looking, at these two
- 21 scenarios.
- Q. So just let me rephrase my question
- <sup>23</sup> again.
- $^{24}$  A. Okay.
- Q. Same situation that we were talking

- about before. So in step D where we have a
- host name that's not on the local lookup table,
- okay? So we're following the flow from D to J;
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Sure. We have reached D and we go to
- б J.
- <sup>7</sup> Q. Okay.
- A. And then we go to I and then we go to
- <sup>9</sup> F.
- Q. All right. So you don't have --
- 11 A. This is the area.
- 0. Okay, good. So if the DNS proxy's
- not enabled and the host name does not match
- the redirection rule, you're going to perform a
- conventional DNS lookup, right, as you
- reference in step F?
- 17 A. And this happens -- again, sorry to
- say it -- if in B the client knows the host
- name and not the IP address. So we are
- following all the part A, B, C, D, right, J, I,
- 21 F. And at this point, following this
- determination in I, when we are in F, the
- action in F is what is called here a
- $^{24}$  conventional DNS lookup that provides the IP
- <sup>25</sup> address.

- O. Okay. Then we flow from F to step G,
- $^2$  which I believe earlier we related to that step
- 3 2 on page 12 of Aventail; correct?
- 4 MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 5 BY MR. PALYS:
- 6 Q. Do you understand my question?
- A. I understand your question.
- 8 G is the beginning of step 2. Step 2
- 9 consists of various actions.
- Q. Okay. So in that scenario we were
- following in your flow chart where we performed
- 12 a conventional DNS lookup in step F and we went
- to request a connection in step G and, as you
- mentioned, it's -- step 2 has several parts.
- 15 Let's say step 2A and 2B, for example, on page
- 16 12.
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Following that process, is it true
- that a connection can still be made using the
- 20 SSL authentication method for that client?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 22 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question, sir?
- A. Yes, I understand.
- And I would like to point out to the

- part that leads from L to M to P to Q and the
- fact that in step P, redirection rules for
- addresses are going to be examined. And if
- 4 there is a match for that address that we
- 5 obtained in F, then we go to Q. And Q is about
- 6 proxying of performing the VPN connection, and
- <sup>7</sup> so -- it's about performing the VPN connection
- 8 as mentioned in the box, and that these could
- 9 include SSL authentication.
- 0. Okay, same scenario where we were
- following from D to -- to step D to J to I to F
- to G in your flow chart. Do you follow me so
- 13 far?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 0. Okay. So same scenario, but
- $^{16}$  following the flow from step L to M to step P
- to step O, okay? Did you follow me?
- <sup>18</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. In that scenario, can a TCP
- 20 connection be established between a client and
- 21 a server? Let me rephrase that.
- In that scenario, can a TCP
- connection be established between a client and
- 24 a server where the SSL authentication method
- was selected?

- MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 2 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand my question?
- A. So the scenario you have described
- 5 results in the client connecting conventionally
- to the destination. And in this scenario, it
- <sup>7</sup> is possible that SSL authentication be used
- 8 because SSL authentication is a standard
- 9 protocol that can be employed by a client
- connecting to a destination server.
- 11 Q. Do you still have the '009 patent
- 12 there, sir?
- 13 A. I have it.
- Q. Okay. I've got to find mine. Can
- you turn to the claims, please.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. In Claim 1 of the '009 patent there
- is a limitation that starts with "receive
- 19 following interception of the DNS request." I
- believe it starts on column 56, line 31.
- Do you see that?
- <sup>22</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. Can you read -- you can do this to
- yourself -- that limitation that goes from 31
- $^{25}$  to 38 and then let me know when you're done.

- 1 I'll ask you a question.
- A. Yes, I've read it.
- Q. Okay. This claim element says:
- 4 "Following interception of the
- 5 DNS request and a determination that
- the second network device is available
- <sup>7</sup> for the secure communication
- 8 service" --
- 9 Oh, I'm sorry, it's "received"
- 10 following all the things that I just mentioned.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Do you agree that this limitation is
- requiring three -- that three separate things
- 14 are to be received?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, foundation,
- form, scope.
- 17 A. What do you mean by "separate
- things"?
- 19 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. So you reviewed the claims of the
- operation 1009 patent; right?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And in this received limitation, do
- you agree that Claim 1 is -- requires to
- receive following interception of the DNS

- 1 request and a determination that the second
- network device is available for the secured
- 3 communication service, one -- oh, a first
- 4 thing, which is an indication that the second
- 5 network device is available for the secure
- 6 communication service?
- Do you agree with that?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, foundation.
- 9 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you understand what I'm asking
- 11 you, sir?
- 12 A. I understand that this -- you call it
- limitation of Claim 1, right? That this
- contains an itemized list, a numbered list,
- number 1, number 2, and number 3.
- And going back to your previous
- question so we can make progress, the
- understanding that I formed is that these three
- 19 items are related.
- And so, for example, if item 3,
- 21 "provisioning information for encrypted
- communication leak" is sent, this could
- possibly imply that 1 also holds, because
- otherwise, there will be no reason for sending
- 25 provisioning information if no secure

- 1 communication service can be provided.
- 2 And likewise, when we're talking
- about provisioning, provisioning could be
- interpreted as including also, for example, a
- 5 network address as part of provisioning. So
- 6 I've not interpreted this as strictly referring
- <sup>7</sup> to items that should be viewed separate as some
- 8 of the items. So could be included in the
- 9 other items.
- Q. Would you agree that that limitation,
- the receive limitation, requires that an -- an
- indication, it requests a network address, and
- the provisioning information as recited in that
- 14 claim to be received?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, foundation.
- 16 A. I'd like to point to paragraph 76 of
- my declaration. And there, I have given my
- 18 broadest reasonable interpretation of
- 19 "provisioning information." I think relation
- that enables communication in a virtual private
- $^{21}$  network where the virtual private network uses
- encryption.
- 23 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. All right. My question wasn't what
- 25 your understanding of "provisioning

- information" was, it was whether -- what's your
- understanding of that limitation, starting with
- 3 "receive" in column 56, line 31, to line 38,
- 4 whether you agree that that limitation requires
- 5 three these -- the three things that are
- 6 enumerated in the claim: One, the indication;
- two, the request to network address; then
- 8 three, the provisioning information as recited
- <sup>9</sup> in the claim to be received?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form,
- 11 foundation.
- 12 A. As I mentioned before, my
- interpretation's that although they are listed
- as three separate items in an enumeration, that
- the actual implementation of these items could
- be such that one item includes another one or
- both of the others.
- 18 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. But to receive, you still have to
- receive all three of them; right?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form,
- foundation.
- A. I will say that to receive several
- items, you may actually just receive one,
- inspect it, and see that this item in turn

- 1 contains the other items.
- THE WITNESS: Is this a good time
- 3 to estimate how long to take or shall we
- wait some more for the estimation?
- MR. PALYS: If we can just keep
- going with the questions when we take a
- break I'll answer that question, okay?
- 8 So we're almost done, just to let you
- 9 know.
- THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you.
- 11 That's what I wanted to know. Thank
- 12 you.
- 13 BY MR. PALYS:
- 0. Earlier you mentioned when we were
- talking about this limitation that -- in
- 16 Claim 1 that your interpretation was that
- 17 although those three items were listed as three
- separate items in an enumeration, that the
- actual implementation of these items could be
- 20 such that one item includes another one or both
- $^{21}$  of the others.
- A. Yes, that's what I believe I said.
- Q. Sorry.
- $^{24}$  A. That's what I believe I said. You
- <sup>25</sup> are reading it, so --

- 1 Q. I don't want to misrepresent you.
- What do you mean by "one item includes another
- 3 one"?
- <sup>4</sup> A. I will say that it means that the
- other item comprises the first item.
- Q. What do you mean by that?
- 7 MR. DILLON: Objection, form.
- 8 A. I'm not using any special meaning of
- <sup>9</sup> these words.
- 10 BY MR. PALYS:
- 11 Q. Can you give me an example of how one
- of those items could include another one?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form,
- foundation.
- 15 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Do you know what I'm talking about in
- terms of the items in Claim 1?
- Sir, do you understand my question?
- 19 A. Yes. Yes, yes, yes. I was thinking
- 20 before answering.
- Q. I just want to make sure you
- understood my question.
- A. I believe I already mentioned that
- item numbered 3, provisioning information,
- <sup>25</sup> could include as part of itself the item that

- here is separately listed as network address.
- Q. When you -- in your response when you
- said the provisioning information could include
- as part of itself that item that's separately
- <sup>5</sup> listed as network address, do you mean that the
- 6 provisioning information can include the
- 7 network address and something else?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form,
- 9 foundation.
- 10 A. So I'm again referring to my
- interpretation in paragraph 76 of the
- declaration where I interpreted provisioning
- information and -- as information that enables
- 14 communication in virtual private network where
- the virtual private network uses encryption;
- hence, my interpretation is that this provision
- information will include also information
- 18 related to encryption.
- 19 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. All right. But in your example when
- you said that the provisioning information in
- the claim could include as part of itself the
- items separately listed as a network address,
- does that mean it could include the network
- <sup>25</sup> address and something else?

- MR. DILLON: Objection, foundation.
- A. Yes, I meant that in the context of
- patent '705 that references to provisioning
- 4 information are references to a variety of
- $^{5}$  information that enables this type of
- 6 communication. And so besides what I just
- $^{7}$  indicated that it may include, that is, the
- 8 network address of the second device, it may
- 9 include also address type of information for
- the purpose of enabling a VPN communication.
- 11 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. The provisioning information in
- 13 Claim 1 of the '009 patent can not only be a
- 14 network address; correct?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, foundation.
- 16 A. One could envision a scenario where
- the type of information that is related to
- encryption within provisioning information is
- 19 already available to the device. And in such a
- scenario, it may be possible that the only
- 21 additional provisioning information could be a
- <sup>22</sup> network address.
- THE WITNESS: Can I go for a longer
- break now?
- MR. PALYS: Almost done.

DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA Page 245 1 THE WITNESS: Can you tell me the 2 number of questions? Because I'm afraid 3 if it's more than one, I would prefer to take a longer break. MR. PALYS: If you want to take a 6 break, I can't stop you, sir. 7 THE WITNESS: I was asking you if 8 you just have one question or --MR. PALYS: I can't tell you if I 10 have one question because it depends on 11 your answer. If you want to take a 12 break now, you're free to take a break. 13 MR. DILLON: How about if we stay a 14 little bit longer, then we'll see? 15 THE WITNESS: Give me a one-minute 16 break and then go a little bit longer, 17 as some has suggested. 18 MR. PALYS: I will never stop a 19 witness from taking a break, so if you 20 want to, go ahead. 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 22 (Recess taken.)

23 BY MR. PALYS:

Q. In your scenario where the type of

information that is related to encryption

- within the provisioning information is already
- 2 available to the device, and you say there's a
- 3 possibility that you can get additional
- 4 provisioning information that could be the
- 5 network address, in that scenario, is the --
- 6 the provisioning information that's already
- 7 available to the -- available in the device,
- 8 that would be the provisioning information for
- 9 an encrypted communication link; right?
- MR. DILLON: Objection, form,
- foundation.
- 12 A. My scenario meant any provisioning
- information besides the network address. So
- all of the provisioning information is already
- available to this device except the address and
- so it could go beyond encryption, what is
- available to the device.
- MR. PALYS: Okay. Let's take a --
- you guys stay here. Let's take a
- 30-second break.
- THE WITNESS: Of course.
- (Recess taken.)
- 23 BY MR. PALYS:
- Q. Can you hand me your declaration that
- you marked up in your -- the Aventail document?

- 1 Α. Yes. Ο. Thank you. In the Aventail document 3 in Exhibit 1009 on pages 11 and 12 you recall you made some markups on pages 11 and 12? Α. Yes. 6 Do me a favor and just initial --Ο. well, I'm going to mark this page first as Exhibit 2013. (VirnetX Exhibit No. 2013 was marked for 10 identification.) 11 MR. PALYS: I took pages 11 and 12 from the Exhibit 1009 that you were 12 13 looking at. Let's go off the record. 14 (Discussion held off the record.) 15 MR. PALYS: Okay, so I marked pages 16 11 and 12 that you marked up in green 17 highlighter from Exhibit 1009 as VirnetX 18 Exhibit 2013. Can you do me a favor and 19 just initial somewhere -- here, I'll 20 give you a pen -- on the top of page 11. 21 THE WITNESS: Sorry, what should I 22 initial? 23 MR. PALYS: Top right's fine.
  - TSG Reporting Worldwide 877-702-9580

Yeah.

THE WITNESS:

MR. PALYS:

Top right?

24

25

DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA Page 248 1 THE WITNESS: Okav. MR. PALYS: You see that's the 3 document we were talking about? THE WITNESS: You want me now to initial something else? MR. PALYS: Yes, I do. I'm going to hand you now the flow chart on page 8 89 that you marked up from Exhibit 1005. So page 89 that you marked up in green 10 with letters for your flow chart I am 11 marking as VirnetX Exhibit 2014. 12 (VirnetX Exhibit No. VirnetX was marked 13 for identification.) 14 BY MR. PALYS: 15 Ο. So Exhibit 2014 is a copy -- is your 16 marked-up copy on page 89 that happens to be a 17 two-sided exhibit. So on the other side is 18 page 88, as you can see. 19 Α. Yes. 20 I would appreciate it if you could 21 just initial on the top right that this is the 22 document you were -- you had marked up. 23 Thank you.

no further questions for this witness.

MR. PALYS: And after that, we have

24

25

## DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA

```
Page 249
 1
           MR. DILLON: Petitioner has no
     questions on redirect. Thank you.
           MR. PALYS: I believe we're done.
 3
 5
     (Deposition adjourned at 1:05 p.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 250 1 CERTIFICATE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: 3 I, MARY ANN PAYONK, shorthand reporter, do hereby certify that the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn, and that such deposition is a true, correct, and full record of the testimony given. I further certify that I am not related 10 to any of the parties to this action by blood 11 or by marriage, and that I am in no way 12 interested in the outcome of this matter. 13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set 14 my hand this 18th day of November, 2015. 15 16 MARY ANN PAYONK, Shorthand Reporter 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

## DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA

		Page 251
1	- INDEX TO WITNESSES -	
2	WITNESS PAGE	
3	DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA	
4	Examination by Mr. Palys 173	
5	- INDEX TO EXHIBITS -	
6	NO. DESCRIPTION MARKED	
7	Exhibit No. 2013 Pages 11 and 12 of Ex. 246	
8	1009 with hand markings	
9	Exhibit No. 2014 Page 89 of Ex. 1005 247	
10	with hand markings	
11		
12	* * *	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

				Page 252			
1	NAME	OF CASE: Apple v	vs. VirnetX				
2	DATE	OF DEPOSITION: November 13, 2015					
3		1. To clarify the record.					
	2. To conform to the facts.						
4		3. To correct transcription error.					
5			-				
	Page	Line	Reason				
6	From		to	_			
7	Page	Line	Reason				
	From		to	_			
8							
	Page	Line	Reason				
9	From		to	_			
10	Page	Line	Reason				
	From		to	_			
11							
	Page	Line	Reason				
12	From		to	-			
13	Page	Line	Reason				
	From		to	-			
14							
	Page	Line	Reason				
15	From		to	-			
16	Page	Line	Reason				
	From		to	-			
17							
18							
19		DR. ROBERTO TAMASSIA					
20	SUBSC	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME					
21	THIS, DAY OF, 2015.						
22							
23	(Notary Public)						
24	My Commission expires:						
25							