

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

* * *

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ARKEMA FRANCE,)
)
 Petitioner,) Case IPR2015-00915
) Patent 8,710,282
 vs.)
)
 HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL,)
 INC.,)
)
 Patent Owner.)
 ARKEMA FRANCE,)
)
 Petitioner,) Case IPR2015-00916
) Patent 8,710,282
 vs.)
)
 HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL,)
 INC.,)
)
 Patent Owner.)
 ARKEMA FRANCE,)
)
 Petitioner,) Case IPR2015-00917
) Patent 8,710,282
 vs.)
)
 HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL,)
 INC.,)
)
 Patent Owner.)

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE BOARD

TAKEN ON APRIL 12, 2016

REPORTED BY:

PATRICIA L. HUBBARD, CSR #3400

Thompson Court Reporters, Inc
(312) 421-3377

Arkema France
IPR2015-00916
Arkema France v. Honeywell
Exhibit 1022-00001

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE BOARD
reported telephonically on behalf
of the Petitioner, commencing at
12:00 P.M. Pacific Daylight Time
on April 12, 2016, before
PATRICIA L. HUBBARD, CSR #3400, a
Certified Shorthand Reporter in
and for the State of California,
located at 58 Dornoch Way, Coto de
Caza, California.

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

For the Petitioner:

BRINKS, GILSON & LIONE
BY: JON BEAUPRE, ESQ.
524 South Main Street
Suite 200
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104
734.302.6000
jbeaupre@brinksgilson

BRINKS, GILSON & LIONE
BY: ALLYN B. ELLIOTT, ESQ.
ALLEN BAUM, ESQ.
4721 Emperor Boulevard
Durham, North Carolina 27703
919.998.5700
abaum@brinksgilson.com
aelliott@brinksgilson.com

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: (Continued)

For the Petitioner:

BRINKS, GILSON & LIONE
BY: RICKARD DeMILLE, ESQ.
455 North Cityfront Plaza Drive
Suite 3600
Chicago, Illinois 60611
312.321.4200
rdemille@brinksgilson.com

For the Patent Owner:

ALSTON & BIRD
BY: BRUCE ROSE, ESQ.
S. BENJAMIN PLEUNE, ESQ.
CHRISTOPHER DOUGLAS, ESQ.
101 South Tryon Street
Suite 4000
Charlotte, North Carolina 28280
704.444.1000
bruce.rose@alston.com
ben.pleune@alston.com
christopher.douglas@alston.com

ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGES:

Jo-Anne M. Kokoski
Jon B. Tornquist
Robert A. Pollack

1 TUESDAY, MARCH 15, 2016

2 12:00 P.M.

3 * * *

4
5 ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE KOKOSKI: Good
6 afternoon.

7 This is Judge Kokoski. I have with me on
8 the line Judges Tornquist and Pollack.

9 This call concerns IPR2015-00915, 916 and
10 917.

11 Who do I have for Petitioner?

12 MR. BEAUPRE: Good afternoon, Your Honors.

13 This is John Beaupre. And along with me
14 is Allen Baum, Allyn Elliott and Rickard DeMille all
15 from Brinks, Gilson and Lione on behalf of Arkema.

16 ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE KOKOSKI: Okay.
17 Thank you.

18 Who do I have for Patent Owner?

19 MR. ROSE: Yes. Good afternoon, Your
20 Honor.

21 This is Bruce Rose of Alston and Bird.
22 And with me are my colleagues Ben Pleune and Chris
23 Douglas.

24 ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE KOKOSKI: Okay.
25 Thank you.

1 Is there a court reporter on the line?

2 THE REPORTER: Yes. My name is Patricia
3 Hubbard.

4 ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE KOKOSKI: Okay.
5 Thank you.

6 Who arranged to have the court reporter
7 today.

8 MR. BEAUPRE: Petitioners did, Your Honor.

9 ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE KOKOSKI: Will you
10 please when it is available upload a copy of the
11 exhibit -- of the transcript as an exhibit into PRPS.

12 MR. BEAUPRE: Absolutely.

13 ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE KOKOSKI: Okay.
14 Thank you very much.

15 I understand that Petitioner requested
16 this call to seek authorization to file a motion to
17 compel Patent Owner to produce a unredacted copy of
18 Patent Owner's white paper.

19 Patent Owner provided us with redacted and
20 unredacted copies of the white paper for in-camera
21 review prior to this call, and we thank Patent Owner
22 for doing so, and we have reviewed those documents.

23 Petitioner before you begin, we ask that
24 you specifically address the first Garmin factor,
25 which is whether the request is based on more than a

1 mere possibility of finding something favorable and
2 substantive value to one of your contentions, and not
3 just that the requested information may be relevant or
4 admissible.

5 Please proceed.

6 MR. BEAUPRE: Thank you, Your Honors.

7 First of all, for -- for orientation
8 purposes, the -- this document was found to be routine
9 discovery, so it -- so it's -- and I'm happy to
10 address the Garmin factors, as well, but our first
11 point is that we don't even need to look at the Garmin
12 factors to find whether or not it should be produced,
13 because it's already been found to be routine
14 discovery.

15 And the reason that we're seeking to have
16 an unredacted version of this -- of this document --
17 and also -- I'm sorry. One more point to orient us.

18 For the record, we at Brinks have not seen
19 an in-camera -- we have not had an in-camera
20 inspection of the unredacted document. I guess that
21 was done only with the judges. We requested the same,
22 but Patent Owner denied -- denied offering us that
23 opportunity.

24 And then so going back to the document
25 itself, Honeywell has offered this document to show

1 diligence. And it's -- it's only relevant to
2 diligence to the extent that the document actually
3 relates to the '282 Patent that -- the process that's
4 cited and claimed in the '282 Patent.

5 And the pages that we have, I guess Your
6 Honors can see that there's 54 pages. Six pages -- or
7 five pages have been redacted partially. And then one
8 page -- and when I give you numbers, I'm talking
9 about -- I kind of set aside the headers and the pages
10 that don't have substantive information.

11 41 pages are completely redacted,
12 including the entirety of the -- of the process called

13 [REDACTED]

14 And the reason that -- the reason that
15 this document as it's been redacted is insufficient
16 for us to test whether it relates to the '282 Patent
17 or another process within [REDACTED] is because the [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

1 Exhibits 1013, 1014 and 1020 that have been submitted.

2 In short, Honeywell is redacting
3 information that would tell us if this document
4 relates to the '282 patent or another aspect of the

5 [REDACTED]

6 And so looking at Garmin factor number
7 one, slide number six, it shows -- slide number six is
8 offered -- presumably it's partially unredacted to
9 show that this slide deck relates to the '282 Patent.

10 But the reason that we think that it would
11 be -- that unredacting the document would show
12 favorable information is because [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

15 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

19 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

23 And then also, if you look at slide 41,
24 that's one of the few other unredacted slides that has
25 substantive information. It -- first of all, it's a

1 back-up slide, suggesting it's not the main purpose of
2 the presentation. The main part of the presentation
3 that dealt with [REDACTED] was redacted as a whole,

4 But with slide 41 their -- Honeywell has
5 other patents that teach a process that takes us from
6 HFP to 1234yf that involve KOH, but they're not
7 covered by the '282 Patent. These patents are
8 8,766,020 and 8,044,250.

9 So it's Petitioner's belief that if this
10 slide and the slide deck as a whole is unredacted, we
11 will find favorable information that shows that
12 these -- that the slide deck as a whole relates to one
13 of these other processes, one of the many other
14 processes besides the '282 Patent.

15 As I mentioned earlier, this document has
16 already been found to be routine discovery. And under
17 the Baby Trend case, that's IPR2015-842 and paper
18 number 34, the Board looked at this exact issue and
19 said the complete copies of redacted evidence the
20 patent owner submitted in the case are, quote, within
21 the routine discovery that is contemplated in IPR's.
22 That's page five.

23 And then Patent Owner has objected on
24 confidentiality and privilege grounds.

25 With respect to confidentiality, it -- we

1 have a protective order in place. And Honeywell chose
2 to cite to this document in its -- in its response.
3 So it made it part of the case.

4 To make the document a part of the case
5 but then not allow us to look at the document on
6 confidentiality grounds just goes against our ability
7 to test their contention.

8 And then privilege, we believe that
9 privilege has been waived. And also particularly in
10 the fact that Honeywell didn't raise the privilege
11 issue when the party briefed whether or not this exact
12 document should be produced. Privilege never came up
13 in their briefing.

14 And then, Your Honors, we depose
15 Mr. Morris the day after tomorrow. One of the -- this
16 document will be one of the main points that -- of his
17 anticipated testimony.

18 So we respectfully request a ruling before
19 then if it is at all possible.

20 ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE KOKOSKI: Okay.
21 Thank you.

22 Patent owner, do you have a response?

23 MR. ROSE: Yes, Your Honors. Thank you
24 very much.

25 Several points, and I think it might help

1 to sort of take a step back and see where this has
2 started and where it's gone.

3 This started several weeks ago with the
4 Petitioner seeking a -- sort of a laundry list, at
5 least a somewhat lengthy list of documents which
6 follow with an order to brief the motion. In briefing
7 the motion, the Patent Owner did point out in paper 31
8 at page ten the particular concern over this document,
9 that it would need to be redacted due to the sensitive
10 nature of much of what's in here.

11 The Board ordered production of the
12 document on April 1st, and then production was to take
13 place by April 8th.

14 On April 6th, again, wanting to foreshadow
15 this concern, we notified the Petitioner that this is
16 an issue, and it's going to need to be addressed. And
17 therefore, we produced the document in its redacted
18 form early on Friday so that the issue could be -- at
19 least be brought to a head in a timely fashion.

20 The reason that the document is cited or
21 the proposition for which it is cited is in one
22 paragraph in Mr. Morris's declaration, and it is for
23 the proposition that there was a draft of a white
24 paper [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

And so all the paper is cited for is to show that there were ongoing efforts. And what's been produced in the redacted documents stands for that proposition.

And as you can see, in looking at the whole document, there -- it is readily apparent that there is extremely sensitive confidential business information that talks about competition. This is the kind of information that if it were to get out would be extremely damaging to Honeywell. And it's not necessary for it to get out for the limited proposition that it's being cited for in Mr. Morris's declaration.

And certainly counsel will have a chance on Thursday to question Mr. Morris about the ongoing efforts that he refers to in that paragraph of his declaration. And so there's no need for them to have the rest of the document which talks about [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] It's largely financial, but it also has such pages as -- I will refer to page seven where there's discussion of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

1 [REDACTED]
2 And indeed, on page 14, the page is
3 captioned [REDACTED]
4 [REDACTED]

5 So -- so it's not only privileged; it's
6 work product information that is not relevant to what
7 process is going to be pursued. That can be asked of
8 Mr. Morris.

9 And we aren't citing it for the
10 proposition that this particular process was going to
11 be used. It's cited for the proposition that there
12 were ongoing efforts involving 1234yf, not a
13 particular process for 1234yf.

14 And as I said, they'll have a chance to
15 question Mr. Morris about that.

16 On the issue of redacting and on routine
17 discovery, as you may recall, even in our initial
18 submissions with the Patent Owner's response, there
19 were redacted documents based on confidential business
20 information. And counsel was permitted to view
21 those -- those documents and ultimately decided that
22 for the vast majority of them there was no need for
23 the remainder of the document. And we think the same
24 is true here.

25 What is routine discovery is the

1 sufficient information, which we provided, to show
2 that there were ongoing efforts to pursue 1234yf.

3 The redacted information is -- would -- I
4 would say would fall under the category of additional
5 discovery, because it's not relevant to the purpose --
6 the proposition of ongoing efforts. And in that
7 regard, I would cite to the case Atlantic Gas Light
8 Company versus Bennett Regulator Guards, which is
9 IPR2013-453 at page four where the Board concluded
10 that even though there was a protective order in
11 place, it was still satisfactory to produce redacted
12 information.

13 In response to the citation to the Baby
14 Trend case, again in that case it was the specific
15 information that the Patent Owner was citing to such
16 as sales information to show commercial success that
17 was -- that was then required to be produced.

18 Again, we are only citing the document for
19 the proposition that there were ongoing efforts
20 related to the manufacture of 1234yf, and we believe
21 that, you know, A, what was produced does show that
22 proposition and is relevant to that proposition. And
23 therefore, that's what I would consider routine
24 discovery, what we would consider routine discovery.

25 But the other information, [REDACTED]

1



[REDACTED]

8

And again, counsel will have that page and will be able to ask Mr. Morris about those issues.

9

10

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE KOKOSKI: Okay.

11

Thank you.

12

13

Patent Owner, I have just one -- one question for you.

14

15

16

17

With regard to this document in particular, is it critical enough that you would not consider maybe withdrawing reliance on the document to kind of head off all of this discussion of the past --

18

19

20

MR. ROSE: We would certainly consider that. I would, I think, want to talk to my client briefly about that.

21

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE KOKOSKI: Of course.

22

23

24

MR. ROSE: I think we would consider, certainly given the concerns over privilege. Honeywell does not want to waive privilege.

25

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE KOKOSKI: Understood.

1 Thank you.

2 Petitioner, do you have a very brief
3 response?

4 MR. BEAUPRE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

5 Many of -- actually all of the points
6 relating to [REDACTED]

7 [REDACTED] it's the first time we're hearing about
8 those. It would have been helpful to hear about them
9 during the meet and confer, for example, or to get to
10 see the document in camera.

11 But many of those issues we're not looking
12 for. The thing -- and we're not asking for. The
13 thing we're asking for here is enough of the document
14 to be redacted so we can see which process within the
15 [REDACTED] that the document covers.

16 And the entire five -- I think it's four
17 or five slides that are under the [REDACTED]
18 those are all redacted. So those are presumably
19 technical slides that actually indicate whether or not
20 the processes that are described in the slide deck
21 relate to the '282 Patent. Because only if the
22 processes described in the 28 -- in the slide deck
23 include the '282 Patent, that's the extent to which
24 this document is relevant.

25 And so that's the part we're trying to get

1 to. I think all the other stuff can be set aside.

2 Regarding questioning Mr. Morris, I mean I
3 think we all know how depositions go. If -- when you
4 ask a witness a question without a document in front
5 of him or her, you're -- it's pretty tough to get an
6 answer that replaces the content of the document.
7 When we ask Mr. Morris, "Okay. Does this relate to
8 the '282 patent," I don't think -- first of all, he
9 may not know. I don't -- I don't think he has the
10 technical depth of knowledge or we don't know if he
11 does to go into that. And also, if he did at one
12 point, he likely doesn't recall unless he's seen this
13 document in its unredacted form, in which case if the
14 witness had seen it recently, then I think we -- we
15 have to have the right to ask him about it and be able
16 to -- to be able to ask him about the document that he
17 saw when preparing his declaration.

18 And then finally, the point about the
19 previous inspection and the compromises that we made,
20 yes, we agreed not to pursue much of the redacted
21 material in the litany of other documents that were
22 heavily redacted, because a lot of that information
23 didn't deal with the technical details that we're
24 asking about here.

25 In those cases we had set aside issues

1 that are akin to [REDACTED]

5 This document is the first time that we
6 have had the entire [REDACTED]

8 [REDACTED] redacted from us. So I think it's unfair
to use our past -- past compromises against us.

9 But even setting that aside, it's not
10 relevant to this point.

11 Thank you, Your Honors.

12 MR. ROSE: Your Honors, if I may briefly
13 respond.

14 ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE KOKOSKI: Very
15 briefly, please.

16 MR. ROSE: Yes. And I just wanted to
17 point out that -- and it was good to hear counsel
18 agree that they're just looking for the portions that
19 deal with the process. We believe that those are the
20 only portions -- those are the portions that were
21 produced that were not redacted.

22 I don't believe any other redacted
23 portions deal with processes. You can see they
24 largely deal with [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

1

3

[REDACTED]

I don't think there's any question that multiple processes were being considered. And we don't dispute that. And I think they'll get that testimony out of Mr. Morris.

7

But the document doesn't have any more detail as far as the processes being considered.

9

10

And I'll be happy to go back and check it yet another time to make sure of that, but that's what our intent was to show processes being pursued for 1234yf.

11

12

13

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE KOKOSKI: Okay.

14

Thank you.

15

16

We're going to go ahead and put you and hold while the panel confers.

17

MR. ROSE: Thank you.

18

MR. BEAUPRE: Thank you.

19

(Brief recess.)

20

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE KOKOSKI: Okay.

21

Thank you for holding.

22

23

24

25

The panel has conferred. And in light of Patent Owner's representation, particularly the one made right before we put you on hold, that they believe that they have only redacted portions of the

1 document relating to their confidential business
2 information and other privileged information and have
3 provided unredacted those portions that relate to
4 the -- the process.

5 And based on our own review of the
6 unredacted document, we -- sorry. Excuse me.

7 We agree that Patent Owner has provided
8 everything related to the process that is in the white
9 paper to the Petitioner. And therefore, we will deny
10 Petitioner's request for a motion to compel an
11 unredacted copy of the white paper.

12 We do want to remind Petitioner, however,
13 that in your reply briefing, you are, of course, free
14 to argue any weaknesses that you find -- or that you
15 think are in Patent Owner's arguments. And if the
16 documents and testimony do not support what Patent
17 Owner says they say, that is something that you can
18 argue based on what you -- the documents and testimony
19 that you do have.

20 Are there any questions, Petitioner?

21 MR. BEAUPRE: No. Thank you, Your Honors.
22 And particularly thank you for the prompt call and
23 ruling. We appreciate it.

24 ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE KOKOSKI: You're
25 welcome.

1 Patents owner?

2 MR. ROSE: Nothing further here, Your
3 Honor. Thank you, as well.

4 ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE KOKOSKI: Okay.
5 Thank you.

6 If there are no further issues, this call
7 is adjourned.

8 Thank you.

9 MR. ROSE: Thank you.

10 MR. BEAUPRE: Thank you.

11
12 (Whereupon at 12:29 P.M. the
13 proceedings were concluded.)

14 * * *

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, PATRICIA L. HUBBARD, do hereby certify:


That I am a duly qualified Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of California, holder of Certificate Number 3400, which is in full force and effect;

That the proceedings were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed by me or under my direction and supervision;

That the foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my skill and ability;

I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name this 12th day of April, 2016.



PATRICIA L. HUBBARD, CSR #3400

<p>A</p> <p>abaum@brinksgi... 2:22</p> <p>ability 10:6 22:14</p> <p>able 15:9 17:15,16</p> <p>about 7:9 12:10,17 12:20 13:15 15:1 15:1,3,9,20 16:7,8 17:15,16,18,24</p> <p>Absolutely 5:12</p> <p>accurate 22:13</p> <p>action 22:19</p> <p>actually 7:2 16:5,19</p> <p>additional 14:4</p> <p>address 5:24 6:10</p> <p>addressed 11:16</p> <p>adjoined 21:7</p> <p>ADMINISTRATI... 3:16 4:5,16,24 5:4 5:9,13 10:20 15:10,21,25 18:14 19:13,20 20:24 21:4</p> <p>admissible 6:4</p> <p>aelliott@brinksgil... 2:23</p> <p>after 10:15</p> <p>afternoon 4:6,12,19</p> <p>again 11:14 14:14 14:18 15:8</p> <p>against 10:6 18:8</p> <p>ago 11:3</p> <p>agree 18:18 20:7</p> <p>agreed 17:20</p> <p>ahead 19:15</p> <p>akin 18:1</p> <p>all 4:14 6:7 8:25 10:19 12:3 15:17 16:5,18 17:1,3,8</p> <p>Allen 2:20 4:14</p> <p>allow 10:5</p> <p>Allyn 2:20 4:14</p> <p>along 4:13</p> <p>already 6:13 9:16</p> <p>also 6:17 8:23 10:9 12:22 17:11</p> <p>Alston 3:9 4:21</p> <p>am 22:5,15,17,18</p> <p>an 5:11 6:16,19,19 11:6,16 15:6 17:5 20:10</p> <p>Ann 2:17</p> <p>another 7:17 8:4 19:10</p> <p>answer 17:6</p> <p>anticipated 10:17</p>	<p>any 7:24 18:22 19:3 19:7 20:14,20 22:16</p> <p>Apollo 7:13,17,17 8:5 9:3 16:15,17 18:6</p> <p>apparent 12:8</p> <p>APPEAL 1:3</p> <p>APPEARANCES 2:13 3:1</p> <p>appreciate 20:23</p> <p>approval 11:25</p> <p>April 1:22 2:6 11:12 11:13,14 22:22</p> <p>Arbor 2:17</p> <p>are 4:22 7:11,22 9:7 9:20 14:18 15:2 16:17,18,18,20 18:1,19,20 20:13 20:15,20 21:6</p> <p>aren't 13:9</p> <p>argue 20:14,18</p> <p>arguments 20:15</p> <p>Arkema 1:4,9,14 4:15 12:25</p> <p>arranged 5:6</p> <p>as 5:11 6:10 7:15,20 7:23,25 8:17 9:3 9:10,12,15 12:7 12:23 13:14,17 14:16 19:1,8,8 21:3</p> <p>aside 7:9 17:1,25 18:9</p> <p>ask 5:23 15:9 17:4,7 17:15,16</p> <p>asked 13:7</p> <p>asking 16:12,13 17:24</p> <p>aspect 8:4</p> <p>at 2:4,10 6:11,18 8:6,15,23 9:18 10:5,19 11:4,8,18 12:7 14:9 17:11 21:12</p> <p>Atlantic 14:7</p> <p>attorney 22:16,17</p> <p>authorization 5:16</p> <p>available 5:10</p> <p>B</p> <p>B 2:20 3:18</p> <p>Baby 9:17 14:13</p> <p>back 6:24 11:1 19:9</p> <p>back-up 9:1</p> <p>based 5:25 13:19</p>	<p>20:5,18</p> <p>Baum 2:20 4:14</p> <p>be 6:3,8,12,13 8:11 9:16 10:12,16 11:9,16,18,19 12:12 13:7,7,11 14:17 15:9 16:14 17:1,15,16 19:2,9</p> <p>Beaupre 2:16 4:12 4:13 5:8,12 6:6 16:4 19:18 20:21 21:10</p> <p>because 6:13 7:17 8:12 14:5 16:21 17:22</p> <p>been 6:13 7:7,15 8:1 9:16 10:9 12:4 16:8</p> <p>before 1:3,21 2:2,6 5:23 10:18 19:24</p> <p>begin 5:23</p> <p>behalf 2:3 4:15</p> <p>being 12:14 19:4,8 19:11</p> <p>belief 9:9</p> <p>believe 10:8 14:20 18:19,22 19:25</p> <p>Ben 4:22</p> <p>BENJAMIN 3:10</p> <p>Bennett 14:8</p> <p>ben.pleune@alsto... 3:13</p> <p>besides 9:14</p> <p>best 22:13</p> <p>Bird 3:9 4:21</p> <p>Board 1:3,21 2:2 9:18 11:11 14:9</p> <p>Boulevard 2:21</p> <p>brief 11:6,24,24 16:2 19:19</p> <p>briefed 10:11</p> <p>briefing 10:13 11:6 20:13</p> <p>briefly 15:20 18:12 18:15</p> <p>Brinks 2:15,19 3:3 4:15 6:18</p> <p>brought 11:19</p> <p>Bruce 3:9 4:21</p> <p>bruce.rose@alsto... 3:13</p> <p>business 12:1,9 13:19 20:1</p> <p>but 6:10,22 7:22 8:10 9:4,6 10:5 12:22 14:25 15:6</p>	<p>16:11 18:2,9 19:7 19:10</p> <p>by 1:24 2:16,20 3:3 3:9 9:7 11:13 22:10,11</p> <p>C</p> <p>California 2:9,11 22:6</p> <p>call 4:9 5:16,21 20:22 21:6</p> <p>called 7:12</p> <p>came 10:12</p> <p>camera 16:10</p> <p>can 7:6 12:7 13:7 16:14 17:1 18:23 20:17</p> <p>captioned 13:3</p> <p>Carolina 2:21 3:12</p> <p>case 1:5,10,15 9:17 9:20 10:3,4 14:7 14:14,14 17:13</p> <p>cases 17:25</p> <p>catalytic 7:23 8:13 8:17,21 15:6</p> <p>category 14:4</p> <p>Caza 2:11</p> <p>certainly 12:16 15:18,23</p> <p>Certificate 22:1,7</p> <p>Certified 2:8 22:5</p> <p>certify 22:4,15</p> <p>chance 12:16 13:14</p> <p>Charlotte 3:12</p> <p>check 19:9</p> <p>Chicago 3:5</p> <p>chose 10:1</p> <p>Chris 4:22</p> <p>CHRISTOPHER 3:10</p> <p>christopher.dougl... 3:14</p> <p>citation 14:13 15:4</p> <p>cite 10:2 14:7</p> <p>cited 7:4 8:16 11:20 11:21 12:3,14 13:11</p> <p>citing 13:9 14:15,18</p> <p>Cityfront 3:4</p> <p>claimed 7:4</p> <p>claims 7:23 8:22</p> <p>client 15:19</p> <p>colleagues 4:22</p> <p>commencing 2:4</p> <p>commercial 7:20 14:16</p>	<p>common 7:24</p> <p>Company 14:8</p> <p>compel 5:17 20:10</p> <p>competition 12:10 16:6 18:3</p> <p>competitive 18:3</p> <p>complete 9:19</p> <p>completely 7:11</p> <p>compromises 17:19 18:8</p> <p>concern 11:8,15</p> <p>concerns 4:9 15:23</p> <p>concluded 14:9 21:13</p> <p>confer 16:9</p> <p>conferred 19:22</p> <p>confers 19:16</p> <p>confidential 12:9 13:3,19 20:1</p> <p>confidentiality 9:24 9:25 10:6</p> <p>confirms 8:21</p> <p>consider 14:23,24 15:16,18,22</p> <p>consideration 15:5</p> <p>considered 19:4,8</p> <p>contain 22:12</p> <p>contemplated 9:21</p> <p>content 17:6</p> <p>contention 10:7</p> <p>contentions 6:2</p> <p>Continued 3:1</p> <p>copies 5:20 9:19</p> <p>copy 5:10,17 20:11</p> <p>Coto 2:10</p> <p>could 11:18</p> <p>counsel 2:13 3:1 12:16 13:20 15:8 18:17 22:16,18</p> <p>course 15:21 20:13</p> <p>court 5:1,6</p> <p>covered 9:7</p> <p>covers 16:15</p> <p>critical 15:15</p> <p>CSR 1:25 2:7 22:24</p> <p>D</p> <p>damaging 12:12</p> <p>day 10:15 22:22</p> <p>Daylight 2:5</p> <p>de 2:10</p> <p>deal 17:23 18:19,23 18:24</p> <p>deals 7:18</p> <p>dealt 9:3</p> <p>decided 13:21</p>
---	--	---	---	--

decisions 12:1 deck 8:9 9:10,12 16:20,22 declaration 11:22 12:15,19 17:17 DeMILLE 3:3 4:14 denied 6:22,22 deny 20:9 depose 10:14 depositions 17:3 depth 17:10 described 8:17 16:20,22 description 18:7 detail 19:8 details 17:23 developed 19:2 development 7:19 did 5:8 11:7 17:11 didn't 10:10 17:23 diligence 7:1,2 direction 22:11 discovery 6:9,14 9:16,21 13:17,25 14:5,24,24 discussion 12:24 15:17 dispute 19:5 do 4:11,18 7:24 10:22 16:2 20:12 20:16,19 22:4 documents 5:22 11:5 12:5 13:19 13:21 17:21 20:16 20:18 does 14:21 15:5,24 17:7,11 doesn't 17:12 19:7 doing 5:22 done 6:21 don't 6:11 7:10 17:8 17:9,9,10 18:1,22 19:3,5 Dornoch 2:10 Douglas 3:10 4:23 draft 11:23 Drive 3:4 due 11:9 duly 22:5 DUPJF 8:15 Durham 2:21 during 16:9 <hr/> E <hr/> earlier 9:15 early 11:18	effect 22:8 efforts 12:4,18 13:12 14:2,6,19 Elliott 2:20 4:14 Emperor 2:21 employee 22:16,17 end 15:2 enough 15:15 16:13 entire 16:16 18:6 entirety 7:12 entity 7:18 ESQ 2:16,20,20 3:3 3:9,10,10 even 6:11 13:17 14:10 18:9 everything 20:8 evidence 9:19 exact 9:18 10:11 example 16:9 Excuse 20:6 executives 11:25 exhibit 5:11,11 Exhibits 8:1 extent 7:2 16:23 extremely 12:9,12 <hr/> F <hr/> face 8:19 fact 10:10 factor 5:24 8:6 factors 6:10,12 fall 14:4 far 19:8 fashion 11:19 favorable 6:1 8:12 9:11 few 8:24 file 5:16 finally 17:18 financial 12:22 financially 22:18 find 6:12 9:11 20:14 finding 6:1 first 5:24 6:7,10 8:25 16:7 17:8 18:5 five 7:7 9:22 16:16 16:17 focused 18:4 follow 11:6 force 22:7 foregoing 22:12 foreshadow 11:14 form 11:18 17:13 formation 12:21 found 6:8,13 9:16	four 14:9 16:16 FRANCE 1:4,9,14 free 20:13 Friday 11:18 from 4:15 8:14 9:5 18:7 front 17:4 full 22:7,12 fund 12:21 funding 18:24 further 15:4 21:2,6 22:15 <hr/> G <hr/> Garmin 5:24 6:10 6:11 8:6 gas 7:23 8:13 14:7 get 12:11,13 16:9,25 17:5 19:5 Gilson 2:15,19 3:3 4:15 give 7:8 given 15:23 go 17:3,11 19:9,15 goes 10:6 going 6:24 11:16 12:21 13:7,10 18:25 19:2,15 gone 11:2 good 4:5,12,19 18:17 grounds 9:24 10:6 Guards 14:8 guess 6:20 7:5 <hr/> H <hr/> had 6:19 17:14,25 18:6 happy 6:9 19:9 has 6:25 8:24 9:4,15 9:23 10:9 11:1 12:22 17:9 19:22 20:7 he 12:18 17:8,9,10 17:11,12,16 head 11:19 15:17 headers 7:9 hear 16:8 18:17 hearing 16:7 heavily 17:22 help 10:25 helpful 16:8 her 17:5 here 11:10 13:1,24 16:13 17:24 21:2 hereby 22:4	he's 17:12 HFP 9:6 15:6 him 17:5,15,16 his 10:16 12:18 17:17 hold 19:16,24 holder 22:6 holding 19:21 Honeywell 1:7,12 1:17 6:25 8:2 9:4 10:1,10 11:24,24 12:12 15:24 Honeywell's 7:19 Honor 4:20 5:8 16:4 21:3 Honors 4:12 6:6 7:6 10:14,23 18:11,12 20:21 how 17:3 however 20:12 Hubbard 1:25 2:7 5:3 22:4,24 hydroxide 15:7 <hr/> I <hr/> if 8:3,20,23 9:9 10:19 12:11 15:1 16:21 17:3,10,11 17:13 18:1,12 20:15 21:6 Illinois 3:5 impact 12:24 INC 1:8,13,18 include 16:23 includes 7:21 including 7:12,21 14:25 indeed 13:2 indicate 16:19 information 6:3 7:10 8:3,12,20,25 9:11 12:10,11 13:6,20 14:1,3,12 14:15,16,25 15:1 17:22 18:3,4 20:2 20:2 initial 13:17 inspection 6:20 17:19 insufficient 7:15 intellectual 12:24 intent 19:11 interested 22:18 INTERNATION... 1:7,12,17 into 5:11 17:11	investigation 7:19 involve 9:6 involving 12:25 13:12 in-camera 5:20 6:19 6:19 IP 15:1,3,4 16:6 IPR's 9:21 IPR2013-453 14:9 IPR2015-00915 1:5 4:9 IPR2015-00916 1:10 IPR2015-00917 1:15 IPR2015-842 9:17 issue 9:18 10:11 11:16,18 13:16 issues 12:25 15:9 16:11 17:25 21:6 its 8:19 10:2,2 11:17 17:13 itself 6:25 it's 6:9,13 7:1,1,15 7:18 8:8,21,25 9:1 9:9 11:2,16 12:12 12:14,22 13:5,5 13:11 14:5 16:7 16:16 17:5 18:7,9 I'll 19:9 I'm 6:9,17 7:8 <hr/> J <hr/> jbeaupre@brinks... 2:18 John 4:13 joint 12:21 16:6 18:1,2,24 Jon 2:16 3:18 Jo-Anne 3:17 Judge 4:5,7,16,24 5:4,9,13 10:20 15:10,21,25 18:14 19:13,20 20:24 21:4 judges 3:16 4:8 6:21 just 6:3 10:6 15:12 18:16,18 <hr/> K <hr/> kind 7:9 12:11 15:17 know 14:21 15:3 17:3,9,10 18:1 knowledge 17:10 KOH 9:6
---	---	--	--	---

Kokoski 3:17 4:5,7 4:16,24 5:4,9,13 10:20 15:10,21,25 18:14 19:13,20 20:24 21:4 <hr/> L L 1:25 2:7 22:4,24 largely 12:22 18:24 larger 7:18 laundry 11:4 least 11:5,19 lengthy 11:5 light 14:7 19:22 like 8:19 18:2 likely 17:12 limited 12:13 line 4:8 5:1 Lione 2:15,19 3:3 4:15 list 11:4,5 litany 17:21 litigation 13:4 15:1 18:25 located 2:10 look 6:11 8:15,23 10:5 15:2 looked 9:18 looking 8:6 12:7 16:11 18:18 looks 8:19 lot 17:22 <hr/> M M 3:17 made 10:3 17:19 19:24 main 2:16 9:1,2 10:16 majority 13:22 make 10:4 19:10 manufacture 12:1 14:20 many 7:21 9:13 16:5,11 MARCH 4:1 material 17:21 may 6:3 13:17 17:9 18:12 maybe 15:16 me 4:7,13,22 20:6 22:10,11 mean 17:2 meet 16:9 mentioned 9:15 mere 6:1	Michigan 2:17 might 10:25 more 5:25 6:17 8:20 19:7 Morris 10:15 12:17 13:8,15 15:9 17:2 17:7 19:6 Morris's 11:22 12:14 motion 5:16 11:6,7 20:10 much 5:14 10:24 11:10 17:20 multiple 19:4 my 4:22 5:2 15:19 22:11,14,21 <hr/> N name 5:2 22:21 nature 11:10 necessary 12:13 need 6:11 11:9,16 12:19 13:22 never 10:12 no 12:19 13:22 20:21 21:6 nor 22:17,18 North 2:21 3:4,12 Nothing 21:2 notified 11:15 number 8:6,7,7 9:18 22:7 numbers 7:8 <hr/> O objected 9:23 obtain 11:25 off 15:17 offered 6:25 8:8 offering 6:22 OFFICE 1:1 Okay 4:16,24 5:4 5:13 10:20 15:10 17:7 19:13,20 21:4 one 6:2,17 7:7 8:7 8:24 9:12,13 10:15,16 11:21 15:12,12 17:11 19:23 ongoing 12:4,17 13:12 14:2,6,19 only 6:21 7:1 13:5 14:18 15:6 16:21 18:20 19:25 opportunity 6:23	opposed 19:1 or 6:3,12 7:6,17 8:4 10:11 11:20 16:9 16:17,19 17:5,10 18:1 20:14 22:11 22:15,16,16,17,17 order 10:1 11:6 14:10 ordered 11:11 orient 6:17 orientation 6:7 other 7:22,25 8:24 9:5,13,13 14:25 17:1,21 18:22 20:2 our 6:10 10:6 13:17 18:8 19:11 20:5 out 11:7 12:11,13 18:17 19:6 outcome 22:19 over 11:8 15:23 overview 7:13 16:17 own 20:5 owner 1:9,14,19 3:8 4:18 5:17,19,21 6:22 9:20,23 10:22 11:7 14:15 15:12 20:7,17 21:1 Owner's 5:18 13:18 19:23 20:15 <hr/> P Pacific 2:5 page 7:8 8:13 9:22 11:8 12:23 13:2,2 14:9 15:4,8 pages 7:5,6,6,7,9,11 12:23 15:3 22:12 panel 19:16,22 paper 5:18,20 9:17 11:7,24 12:3 20:9 20:11 paragraph 11:22 12:18 part 9:2 10:3,4 16:25 partially 7:7 8:8 particular 11:8 13:10,13 15:15 19:1 particularly 10:9 19:23 20:22 parties 22:16 party 10:11 past 15:17 18:8,8	patents 9:5,7 21:1 Patricia 1:25 2:7 5:2 22:4,24 pay 18:25 permitted 13:20 Petitioner 1:5,10,15 2:4,14 3:2 4:11 5:15,23 11:4,15 13:1 16:2 20:9,12 20:20 Petitioners 5:8 Petitioner's 9:9 20:10 phase 7:23 8:13 place 10:1 11:13 14:11 Plaza 3:4 please 5:10 6:5 18:15 Pleune 3:10 4:22 point 6:11,17 11:7 17:12,18 18:10,17 points 10:16,25 16:5 Pollack 3:19 4:8 portions 18:18,20 18:20,23 19:25 20:3 possibility 6:1 possible 10:19 potassium 15:7 preparing 17:17 presentation 9:2,2 presumably 8:8 16:18 pretty 17:5 previous 17:19 pricing 12:25 prior 5:21 privilege 9:24 10:8 10:9,10,12 15:23 15:24 privileged 13:3,5 20:2 proceed 6:5 proceedings 1:21 2:2 21:13 22:9,13 process 7:3,12,17 7:20 8:12,14,15 8:17 9:5 13:7,10 13:13 15:6,6 16:14 18:6,19 19:1 20:4,8 processes 7:21,22 7:24,25 9:13,14 16:20,22 18:23	19:4,8,11 produce 5:17 14:11 produced 6:12 10:12 11:17 12:5 14:17,21 18:21 product 13:6 production 11:11 11:12 project 7:18 8:5 16:15 prompt 20:22 property 12:24 proposition 11:21 11:23 12:6,14 13:10,11 14:6,19 14:22,22 protective 10:1 14:10 provided 5:19 14:1 20:3,7 PRPS 5:11 purpose 9:1 14:5 purposes 6:8 pursue 14:2 17:20 pursued 13:7 19:11 put 19:15,24 P.M 2:5 4:2 21:12 <hr/> Q qualified 22:5 question 12:17 13:15 15:13 17:4 19:3 questioning 17:2 questions 20:20 quote 9:20 <hr/> R raise 10:10 rather 8:14 rdemille@brinks... 3:6 reactants 7:24 reaction 8:21,22 readily 12:8 reason 6:15 7:14,14 8:10 11:20 recall 13:17 17:12 recently 17:14 recess 19:19 record 6:18 22:13 recorded 22:9 redacted 5:19 7:7 7:11,15 9:3,19 11:9,17 12:5 13:19 14:3,11
--	---	--	--	---

16:14,18 17:20,22 18:7,21,22 19:25 redacting 8:2 13:16 refer 12:23 refers 12:18 regard 14:7 15:14 regarding 11:25 17:2 Regulator 14:8 relate 8:13 16:21 17:7 18:3 20:3 related 12:1 14:20 20:8 relates 7:3,16 8:4,9 9:12 relating 16:6 20:1 relative 22:15,17 relevant 6:3 7:1 13:6 14:5,22 16:24 18:10 reliance 15:16 remainder 13:23 remind 20:12 replaces 17:6 reply 20:13 reported 1:24 2:3 reporter 2:8 5:1,2,6 22:6 REPORTER'S 22:1 representation 19:23 request 5:25 10:18 20:10 requested 5:15 6:3 6:21 required 14:17 respect 9:25 respectfully 10:18 respond 18:13 response 10:2,22 13:18 14:13 16:3 rest 12:20 review 5:21 20:5 reviewed 5:22 Rickard 3:3 4:14 right 17:15 19:24 Robert 3:19 Rose 3:9 4:19,21 10:23 15:18,22 18:12,16 19:17 21:2,9 routine 6:8,13 9:16 9:21 13:16,25 14:23,24 ruling 10:18 20:23	<hr/> S S 3:10 said 9:19 13:14 sales 14:16 same 6:21 8:17 13:23 satisfactory 14:11 saw 17:17 say 14:4 20:17 says 20:17 see 7:6 11:1 12:7 16:10,14 18:23 seek 5:16 seeking 6:15 11:4 seems 8:13 seen 6:18 17:12,14 sensitive 11:9 12:9 set 7:9 17:1,25 setting 18:9 seven 12:23 several 10:25 11:3 15:3 short 8:2 Shorthand 2:8 22:5 should 6:12 10:12 show 6:25 8:9,11,20 12:4 14:1,16,21 15:5 19:11 shown 8:12 shows 8:7 9:11 six 7:6 8:7,7,13,19 skill 22:14 slide 8:7,7,9,16,17 8:18,19,23 9:1,4 9:10,10,12 16:20 16:22 slides 8:24 16:17,19 so 5:22 6:9,9,24 7:20 8:6,19 9:9 10:3,18 11:18 12:3,19 13:5,5 16:14,18,25 18:7 some 7:22 something 6:1 20:17 somewhat 11:5 sorry 6:17 20:6 sort 11:1,4 South 2:16 3:11 specific 14:14 specifically 5:24 stands 12:5 started 11:2,3 State 2:9 22:6 STATES 1:1 stenographically	22:10 step 11:1 still 14:11 strategy 13:4 19:1 Street 2:16 3:11 strong 15:3 stuff 17:1 subcaption 13:4 submissions 13:18 submitted 8:1 9:20 subscribed 22:21 substantive 6:2 7:10 8:25 success 14:16 such 7:23,25 12:22 14:15 22:17 sufficient 14:1 suggesting 9:1 Suite 2:17 3:4,11 supervision 22:11 support 20:16 sure 19:10 <hr/> T take 11:1,12 TAKEN 1:22 takes 9:5 talk 15:3,19 talking 7:8 talks 12:10,20 teach 9:5 technical 16:19 17:10,23 18:4,6 telephonically 2:3 tell 8:3 ten 11:8 test 7:16 10:7 testimony 10:17 19:6 20:16,18 than 5:25 8:14 thank 4:17,25 5:5 5:14,21 6:6 10:21 10:23 15:11 16:1 16:4 18:11 19:14 19:17,18,21 20:21 20:22 21:3,5,8,9 21:10 that's 7:3 8:22,24 9:17,22 14:23 16:23,25 19:10 their 9:4 10:7,13 20:1 them 12:19 13:22 16:8 then 6:24 7:7,25 8:16,23 9:23 10:5	10:8,14,19 11:12 13:3 14:17 17:14 17:18 18:25 there 5:1 11:23 12:4 12:8,9 13:4,11,18 13:22 14:2,10,19 15:2,5 20:20 21:6 thereafter 22:10 therefore 11:17 14:23 20:9 there's 7:6 12:19,23 19:3 these 9:7,12,13 they 18:23 19:24,25 20:17 they'll 13:14 19:5 they're 9:6 18:18 thing 16:12,13 things 18:2 think 8:10 10:25 13:23 15:19,22 16:16 17:1,3,8,9 17:14 18:7 19:3,5 20:15 those 5:22 13:21,21 15:9 16:8,11,18 16:18 17:25 18:19 18:20 20:3 though 14:10 Thursday 12:17 time 2:5 16:7 18:5 19:10 timely 11:19 today 5:7 tomorrow 10:15 top 11:24 Tornquist 3:18 4:8 tough 17:5 towards 15:2 TRADEMARK 1:1 transcribed 22:10 transcript 5:11 Trend 9:17 14:14 TRIAL 1:3 true 13:24 22:12 trying 16:25 Tryon 3:11 TUESDAY 4:1 turn 8:16 <hr/> U ultimately 13:21 under 9:16 14:4 16:17 22:11 understand 5:15 Understood 15:25	unfair 18:7 UNITED 1:1 unless 17:12 unredacted 5:17,20 6:16,20 8:8,20,24 9:10 15:5 17:13 20:3,6,11 unredacting 8:11 up 10:12 upload 5:10 us 5:19 6:17,22 7:16 8:3,20 9:5 10:5 18:7,8 use 18:8 used 13:11 utilizing 15:7 <hr/> V valuation 15:1 16:7 value 6:2 vast 13:22 venture 12:21 18:24 ventures 16:6 18:1 18:2 version 6:16 versus 14:8 very 5:14 10:24 16:2 18:14 view 13:20 volume 12:25 vs 1:6,11,16 <hr/> W waive 15:24 waived 10:9 want 15:19,24 20:12 wanted 18:16 wanting 11:14 was 6:8,21 9:3 11:12,23 13:10,20 13:22 14:10,11,14 14:15,17,17,21 15:5,5 18:2,17 19:11 Way 2:10 weak 15:4 weaknesses 20:14 weeks 11:3 welcome 20:25 well 6:10 21:3 were 12:4,11 13:12 13:19 14:2,19 17:21 18:20,21 19:4 21:13 22:9 22:10
--	---	--	--	---

we're 6:15 16:7,11 16:12,13,25 17:23 19:15	<hr/> # <hr/>	60611 3:5		
what 12:22 13:6,25 14:21,23,24 18:25 19:10 20:16,18	#3400 1:25 2:7 22:24	<hr/> 7 <hr/>		
what's 11:10 12:4	<hr/> 1 <hr/>	704.444.1000 3:12 734.302.6000 2:18		
when 5:10 7:8 8:15 10:11 17:3,7,17	1st 11:12	<hr/> 8 <hr/>		
where 11:1,2 12:23 14:9	101 3:11	8th 11:13		
WHEREOF 22:21	1013 8:1	8,044,250 9:8		
Whereupon 21:12	1014 8:1	8,710,282 1:6,11,16		
whether 5:25 6:12 7:16 10:11 16:19	1020 8:1	8,766,020 9:8		
which 5:25 8:16 11:5,21 12:20 14:1,8 16:14,23 17:13 19:1 22:7	12 1:22 2:6	<hr/> 9 <hr/>		
while 19:16	12th 22:22	916 4:9		
white 5:18,20 11:23 20:8,11	12:00 2:5 4:2	917 4:10		
Who 4:11,18 5:6	12:29 21:12	919.998.5700 2:22		
whole 7:20 9:3,10 9:12 12:8	1234yf 7:20 9:6 11:25 12:2 13:12 13:13 14:2,20 19:12			
who's 12:21 18:25	14 13:2			
will 5:9 8:20 9:11 10:16 12:16,23 15:8,9 20:9	15 4:1			
with 4:7,13,22 5:19 6:21 7:18,25 9:3,4 9:25 11:3,6 13:18 15:14 17:23 18:19 18:23,24	<hr/> 2 <hr/>			
withdrawing 15:16	200 2:17			
within 7:17,22 8:22 9:20 16:14	2016 1:22 2:6 4:1 22:22			
without 17:4	27703 2:21			
witness 17:4,14 22:21	28 16:22			
work 13:6	282 7:3,4,16,21,23 7:25 8:4,9,14,22 9:7,14 16:21,23 17:8			
would 8:3,10,11 11:9 12:11 14:3,4 14:4,7,23,24 15:15,18,19,22 16:8	28280 3:12			
	<hr/> 3 <hr/>			
	31 11:7			
	312.321.4200 3:5			
	34 9:18			
	3400 22:7			
	3600 3:4			
	<hr/> 4 <hr/>			
	4000 3:11			
	41 7:11 8:17,18,23 9:4 15:4			
	455 3:4			
	4721 2:21			
	48104 2:17			
	<hr/> 5 <hr/>			
	524 2:16			
	54 7:6			
	58 2:10			
	<hr/> 6 <hr/>			
	6 8:16			
	6th 11:14			
<hr/> Y <hr/>				
yes 4:19 5:2 10:23 16:4 17:20 18:16				
yet 19:10				
your 4:12,19 5:8 6:2 6:6 7:5 10:14,23 16:4 18:11,12 20:13,21 21:2				
you're 17:5 20:24				