1			
2	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		
3			
4			
5	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD		
6			
7			
8	ARKEMA FRANCE,		
9	Petitioner		
10	v.		
11	HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.,		
12	Patent Owner		
13			
14			
15	Case: IPR2015-00915, IPR2015-00916, IPR2015-00917		
16	U.S. Patent No. 8,710,282		
17			
18			
19	Video Deposition of LEO E. MANZER, Ph.D.		
20	(Taken by the Patent Owner)		
21	Durham, North Carolina		
22	Thursday, January 7, 2016		
23			
24	Reported by: Marisa Munoz-Vourakis -		
25	Think, chik and hotary rubite		

1	APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL:
2	
3	For the Petitioner:
4	BRUCE J. ROSE, ESQ
5	MIRANDA M. SOOTER, ESQ.
6	CHRISTOPHER TL DOUGLAS, ESQ.
7	Alston & Bird, LLP
8	101 South Tryon Street, Suite 4000
9	Bank of America Plaza
10	Charlotte, NC 28280-4000
11	704-444-1000
12	bruce.rose@alston.com
13	miranda.sooter@alston.com
14	christopher.douglas@alston.com
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES (Continued)			
2	For the Patent Owner:			
3	JON H. BEAUPRÉ, ESQ.			
4	ALLEN R. BAUM, ESQ.			
5	ALLYN B. ELLIOTT, ESQ.			
б	JOSHUA E. NEY, ESQ.			
7	Brinks, Gilson & Lione			
8	524 South Main Street, Suite 200			
9	Ann Arbor, MI 48104-2921			
10	734-302-6000			
11	jbeaupre@brinksgilson.com			
12	abaum@brinksgilson.com			
13	aelliott@brinksgilson.com			
14	jney@brinksgilson.com			
15	Also Present: ROB HAHN, Videographer			
16	Video Deposition of LEO E. MANZER, taken			
17	by the Petitioner, at Brinks, Gilson & Lione, 4721			
18	Emperor Boulevard, Suite 220, Durham, North Carolina,			
19	on the 7th day of January, 2016 at 9:09 a.m., before			
20	Marisa Munoz-Vourakis, Registered Merit Reporter,			
21	Certified Realtime Reporter and Notary Public.			
22	I N D E X			
23	Examination of: Page			
24	LEO E. MANZER			
25	EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSE 5			

www.huseby.com Huseby, Inc. Regional Centers 800-333-2082 Charlotte ~ Atlanta ~ Washington, DC ~ New York ~ Houston ~ San Francisco

1	PROCEEDINGS			
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the			
3	beginning of volume one and media number one			
4	in the deposition of Dr. Leo E. Manzer, in			
5	the matter of Arkema versus Honeywell			
6	International, Incorporated, case number			
7	IPR201500915.			
8	Today's date is January 7, 2016. The			
9	time on the video monitor is 0909.			
10	My name is Rob Hahn, I'm the			
11	videographer. The court reporter is Marisa			
12	Munoz, and we're with Huseby Global			
13	Litigation.			
14	Counsel, please state your name for			
15	the record and whom you represent.			
16	MR. BEAUPRE: John Beaupré for			
17	petitioner, Arkema France.			
18	MR. ROSE: Yes, good morning, Bruce			
19	Rose; Alston & Bird for the patent owner			
20	Honeywell. With me are my colleagues			
21	Miranda Sooter and Christopher Douglas.			
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court			
23	reporter please swear in the witness and			
24	then we can proceed.			
25	Whereupon, LEO E. MANZER, having			

1	been first duly sworn, was examined		
2	and testified as follows:		
3	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER		
4	BY MR. ROSE:		
5	Q. Good morning, Dr. Manzer.		
6	A. Good morning.		
7	Q. Could you please state and spell your full		
8	name for the record, please.		
9	A. Yes, Leo E Manzer, M-A-N-Z-E-R.		
10	Q. And Dr. Manzer, have you ever had your		
11	deposition taken before?		
12	A. Yes, I have.		
13	Q. Approximately how many times?		
14	A. I believe about three times.		
15	Q. When was the last time?		
16	A. Let's see, I believe it was 2013.		
17	Q. So I expect you are generally familiar with		
18	the process, but before we get started, I'd like to		
19	just sort of go over some ground rules.		
20	Today will be a series of questions and		
21	answers. I'll be asking you questions, and you're here		
22	to give me answers, and you've taken an oath just as		
23	you would in a court of law, you understand that?		
24	A. Yes.		
25	Q. And if I ask a question today that's		

б

unclear or you don't hear it all or you don't
understand it, please just let me know and I will do my
best to rephrase it or clarify it for you. Can you do
that?
A. Okay. Thank you, yes.
Q. If you don't ask me to clarify a question,
we'll understand that you understood the question, fair
enough?
A. Yes, that's fair.
Q. The court reporter has already discussed a
little bit with you answering questions with um-hums or
shakes of the head, that doesn't help as far as keeping
the record.
One other sort of ground rule that I will
try to play by and I'll ask you to try to play by today
is that we don't speak over each other. So I'll ask
that you to try to let me finish asking my questions
before you start your answers, and I'll do my best to
let you finish your answer before I start my next
question, fair enough?
A. That's fair.
Q. If at any point I may think that you're
finished with your answer, and so I'll start a
question, if that happens and you're not finished with
your answer, let me know, and we'll let you finish your

1	answer, okay?		
2	A. Okay.		
3	Q. As you know, your counsel is here with you.		
4	Arkema's counsel is here, and they are here and		
5	permitted to object from time to time if they feel		
6	there's something improper about my question. However,		
7	once they lodge that objection, if you still understand		
8	the question, you're still required to answer it,		
9	understood?		
10	A. Okay.		
11	Q. Unless you get an instruction from counsel		
12	not to answer the question, you're still required to		
13	answer it to the best you can.		
14	A. Okay.		
15	Q. You prepared for this deposition, correct?		
16	A. Yes.		
17	Q. What did you do to prepare?		
18	A. I did a lot of reading, read all of the		
19	declarations, read all of the prior art patents.		
20	Q. Okay. And so we'll be looking at your		
21	declarations here today. You have a computer monitor		
22	with those as well. Do you have paper copies of the		
23	declaration?		
24	A. I do.		
25	Q. Okay. So, and I will be working through		

1	paper copies, but feel free to look at whichever one			
2	you want to.			
3	I'd also like to go over some terminology			
4	we may be using today, just it may help the court			
5	reporter if we use some abbreviations. For example, we			
6	will be talking about hydrofluoroolefins today. If I			
7	refer to HFOs, will you understand that that's what I'm			
8	referring to?			
9	A. Yes.			
10	Q. And we'll be talking about			
11	chlorofluorocarbons. If I refer to those as CFCs, will			
12	you understand that that's what I mean?			
13	A. Yes.			
14	Q. And we'll also discuss			
15	hydrochlorofluorocarbons, will you understand when I			
16	say HCFC, that that's what I mean?			
17	A. Yes.			
18	Q. And we'll be talking about potassium			
19	hydroxide, will you understand that when I say KOH, I'm			
20	referring to potassium hydroxide?			
21	A. Yes.			
22	Q. We will be talking about			
23	dehydrohalogenating agents today. Will you understand			
24	when I say DHA, that that's what I'm referring to?			
25	A. Yes.			

1	Q. And in the patent that we're here for today
2	or regarding today is often referred to as the '282
3	patent, you understand that is U.S. patent number
4	8,710,282?
5	A. Yes. May I also ask make a point?
б	Q. Sure.
7	A. Referring to a haloalkane, such as 236EA,
8	is it okay if we leave off the letters so that 236 I'll
9	use the terms 236 and 245 or 1234 or 1225 without
10	adding on the additional alphabet numbers?
11	Q. Sure. As long as we clarify that up front.
12	So when you say 236, you will mean what?
13	A. 236EA.
14	Q. And 245 will mean?
15	A. That's EB.
16	Q. So when you say 245, you mean 245EB?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. Okay. And when you say 1234, what will you
19	mean for that?
20	A. I will have to look up that one. Let's see
21	here, 1234 I'm referring to HFO1234YF and 1225 will be
22	HF01225YE, okay.
23	Q. Okay, understood. And you said that you
24	also reviewed some prior art references. Do you recall
25	that one of those is referred to as the Smith

reference? 1 2 Α. Yes. Okay. And that is Exhibit number 1003 to 3 0. your declarations. So when we refer to Smith today, 4 5 will you understand that I'm referring to Exhibit 6 number 1003 to your declarations? 7 Α. Yes. And there's another reference known as 8 0. 9 Sedat that's been marked in connection with the 915 10 proceeding as Exhibit numbers 1004, which is the French 11 language document, and 1005, which is the English 12 translation. So when I refer to Sedat today, you'll 13 understand that I'm referring to Exhibits 1004 and 5 in the 915 case? 14 15 Α. Yes. There's also a reference known as Masayuki, 16 Ο. which was identified in connection with the 916 and 917 17 18 proceedings as Exhibit number 1005. Will you 19 understand when I refer to Masayuki today, that that's 20 what I'm referring to? 21 Α. Yes. 22 There's also a reference known as Harrison, 0. 23 which is identified as Exhibit number 1006 in the 916 and 917 cases. So when I refer to Harrison, will you 24 25 understand that that's what I'm referring to?

1 Α. Yes. 2 0. Fair enough. I'd like to open up your 3 declaration, we can use the one in the 915 case, just 4 because that's the first one, and I'd like to turn to 5 your CV, which begins -- and when I refer to page numbers of documents today, I will do my best to refer 6 7 to the number on the bottom right, which is printed in 8 bold, black and white. If you need a copy, let me 9 know, because we have extra copies here, but it looks 10 like you have a copy. 11 Α. It's included in the copy declaration. 12 MR. BEAUPRE: Sorry to interrupt, by 13 the number in the lower right, sometimes 14 there's two page numbers. You mean the one 15 with lots of zeros and then the number? 16 MR. ROSE: Correct, the one with lots of zeros and then the number and the word 17 18 page in front of it. 19 MR. BEAUPRE: Thank you. 20 BY MR. ROSE: 21 0. So your CV begins on page several 0s and then 93?22 23 Α. Yes. On Exhibit 1002, which is your declaration 24 0. 25 in the 915 case, can you take a quick look at that and

1	confirm whether this is your most recent CV?		
2	A. It's recent with the exception that I have		
3	since been issued another patent, so the total patents		
4	are 159 instead of 158, but everything else is the		
5	same. That was a recently-issued patent, and I have		
6	not included it in this copy.		
7	Q. Okay.		
8	A. It's on my web site, however.		
9	Q. Okay. Now, looking through your you		
10	start out with your career highlights, and you list		
11	your various jobs, including your present work at		
12	Catalytic Insights proceeded by your work at DuPont,		
13	correct?		
14	A. That's correct.		
15	Q. Can you describe your career highlights or		
16	jobs that you have had that dealt with HFOs?		
17	A. It would have been the sections labeled		
18	1990 to '95 on fluoro monomers and the section 1986 to		
19	2000, pleural fluorocarbon alternatives.		
20	Q. So it looks like the first one you		
21	identified is, at least timing wise, is subsumed within		
22	the second one you identified. Can you explain that?		
23	It says from 1986 to 2000, you were in the CFC		
24	alternatives. In 1990 to 1995 is fluoro monomers. Is		
25	that a subset of the CFC?		

1	A. It would be a subset.	
2	Q. And going back to the prior page, 93, from	
3	2005 to the present, you identified as president and	
4	founder of Catalytic Insights. What is Catalytic	
5	Insights?	
б	A. Catalytic Insights is a single-member LLC	
7	that I formed when I retired from DuPont in 2005.	
8	Q. What kind of work do you do at Catalytic	
9	Insights?	
10	A. I consult for various companies.	
11	Q. Is Arkema one of those?	
12	A. No.	
13	Q. Is DuPont one of those?	
14	A. No.	
15	Q. You identified in that paragraph under	
16	Catalytic Insights that in 2005, you were an expert	
17	witness in a patent lawsuit. Can you tell me who the	
18	parties were in that case?	
19	A. Where are you reading from?	
20	Q. Yes, under 2005 to present, president and	
21	founder of Catalytic Insights. The third line from the	
22	bottom of that paragraph, it states: In 2008, he was	
23	an expert witness in a patent lawsuit.	
24	MR. BEAUPRE: Page number?	
25		

1		BY MR. ROSE:
2	Q.	I'm sorry, page 93.
3	Α.	Oh, 93.
4	Q.	I'm sorry.
5	Α.	And
б	Q.	Yes, under the paragraph that says that
7	refers to C	atalytic Insights, under your career
8	highlights,	the third line from the bottom refers to an
9	expert witn	ess role you played in a patent lawsuit?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Can you recall who the parties were in that
12	case?	
13	Α.	Cytochem in China and Ineos, I-N-E-O-S.
14	Q.	And you served as an expert for which of
15	those parti	es?
16	Α.	Ineos.
17	Q.	And in that case, was Ineos the patent
18	owner or wa	s Ineos challenging the patent?
19	Α.	Ineos was challenging no, Ineos was the
20	patent owne	r.
21	Q.	Have you done any other expert witness
22	work, other	than the one referenced that we're just
23	talking abo	ut now?
24	Α.	Yes, I was an expert witness in litigation
25	between But	amax, which is a combined company between

1	DuPont and BP, and Gevo, Inc.
2	Q. And you were an expert witness for which
3	party?
4	A. For Gevo.
5	Q. That was a patent case?
6	A. Yes, it involved patents, yes.
7	Q. And was Gevo the patent owner?
8	A. I don't remember. There were many, many
9	patents at issue there. And I don't remember which
10	patent was the main meaning of the deposition or
11	whether it was several patents, quite honestly, because
12	GEVO was suing Butamax. Butamax was suing DuPont, a
13	whole bunch of different ways.
14	Q. And that was during your time with
15	Catalytic Insights when you served as an expert?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. Any other cases in which you've served as
18	an expert?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Do you recall in the Butamax vs. Gevo case,
21	did you opine as to whether a patent was valid or
22	invalid?
23	A. I don't recall whether I opined on
24	anything. Basically they were asking me about areas
25	that I knew nothing about. The patents were related to

1	some serious microbiology, and I kept telling them
2	right from the get go I don't know anything about this
3	stuff, but they decided to depose me anyways, and the
4	judge finally in Wilmington, Delaware finally said
5	quit badgering Dr. Manzer. He's already said he
б	doesn't know this stuff.
7	Q. And in the Ineos case, did you give
8	opinions regarding patent validity or invalidity?
9	A. I don't recall exactly, but I very likely
10	did.
11	Q. So you don't recall whether you opined a
12	patent was valid or invalid, which side of that coin
13	you opined on?
14	A. I'm pretty sure that I was under the was
15	talking about the patent as a valid patent, and as I
16	recall, the other party was infringing on it, so.
17	Q. So you opined on infringement as well?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Still on the first page of your CV, page
20	93, from 2000 to 2005, you worked in the area of
21	biomass conversion. Describe what that is?
22	A. Sure. There is great interest in moving
23	away from petroleum feedstocks and deriving chemicals
24	and fuels from petroleum. It's desirable in this
25	country and many countries to base those products,

1	fuels and chemicals on renewable feedstocks, such as
2	corn or wood chips, et cetera.
3	So that's the term, renewable feedstocks is
4	something that can be replaced every few years instead
5	of every several millions of years.
б	Q. And you began that work in 2000, correct?
7	A. Yes, that's correct, when DuPont decided
8	they would like to begin looking at renewable
9	feedstocks to make materials that they were producing.
10	Q. And so in 2000 is when you ceased doing
11	your work regarding HFOs, correct?
12	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
13	A. No, I wouldn't say that I stopped doing
14	work. At that point in time, I was involved in a large
15	catalysis center. Folks that I had known for many
16	years were doing work in the area of HFOs, for example,
17	and I can't say that I didn't stop by and chat with
18	those guys about how the work was going.
19	Q. What do you mean when you say a large
20	catalysis center? What is that?
21	A. Yeah in 1987, I formed DuPont's corporate
22	catalysis center, and this was at a time when catalysis
23	was perceived as being mature and there was nothing
24	left to do, but for DuPont, it was still an important
25	technology.

1	DuPont operated 169 commercial catalytic
2	processes. So I won't go into the mechanism, but we
3	formed a corporate catalysis center with the objective
4	of having ownership or responsibility for the
5	technologies in those 169 commercial processes and for
6	the more important ones developing new technologies,
7	new more cost efficient and better ways to make the
8	products of interest.
9	So it was recognized around the world as a
10	very important and significant catalysis center.
11	Q. What do you understand or mean by the word
12	catalysis?
13	A. Catalysis is, let's just say it's a process
14	using a catalyst. A catalyst is not consumed in a
15	chemical reaction. So the catalyst is used in a
16	process to facilitate the transformation of starting
17	materials to products by lowering the activation
18	energy, but the catalyst is not consumed in the
19	process.
20	Q. And the name of your current company is
21	Catalytic Insights?
22	A. LLC.
23	Q. LLC. So is that where your work is focused
24	today with that entities?
25	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.

Page	19
------	----

1	A. One hundred percent.
2	Q. I want to talk a little bit about the work
3	that's included in the 1986 to 2000 paragraph on page
4	94. Was that work primarily work done in a laboratory?
5	A. You're talking about the 1986 to 2000
б	section?
7	Q. Correct.
8	A. Yeah, okay. Well, certainly it involved
9	work in a laboratory. I had a number of technicians
10	that worked with me on various fluoro olefin and
11	fluorocarbon processes.
12	In addition, I had other people working
13	with me that had their own laboratories working in this
14	area. So combined, my own work and work of others that
15	I oversight(sic).
16	Q. So the work that you did was primarily in
17	the laboratory, and you also oversaw others working in
18	a laboratory, correct?
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. And was the laboratory work well, strike
21	that.
22	Outside the laboratory work, did you become
23	involved in any work as far as developing a
24	manufacturing process?
25	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.

1	A. Yeah, I'm not sure I understand the
2	question there.
3	Q. Sure. As opposed to, you know, a
4	laboratory experiment, for example, or work in a
5	laboratory, did you also do or not do work as far as
6	implementing on a large scale, for example, in a
7	manufacturing facility?
8	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
9	A. I did attend many, many, meetings related
10	to the laboratory work and how to scale it up, whether
11	a pilot plant should be run before or after a
12	commercial plant was built.
13	So there were many, many meetings with
14	engineers and design folks outside of the laboratory
15	that assist in this commercial development.
16	Q. So the way the process generally worked
17	then was you and the folks working for you would
18	develop a process in the laboratory, and then there
19	would be meetings with engineers and other folks to
20	discuss if and how to scale it up to a manufacturing
21	level?
22	A. Let me just correct that a little bit.
23	In a laboratory, you don't develop a
24	process. You make initial discoveries. You find a
25	catalyst that carries out an effective transformation

1	that carries high yield, high selectivity, and at that	
2	point, if it looks interesting and good, then you start	
3	to say, okay, is this the process that we want to scale	
4	up, and that's when you get into the development	
5	discussions.	
б	Q. And then so who then would be involved	
7	in the, what we've been referring to as the scale up	
8	process once you've discovered a you make an initial	
9	discovery, find a catalyst that carries out an	
10	effective transformation, then who gets involved in the	
11	scaling up, if you will?	
12	A. Sure. Many people; metallurgists,	
13	especially when you're working with corrosive	
14	materials, such as HF or HF and water and so on. So	
15	you have to make sure the final design is not going to	
16	corrode away overnight.	
17	So you have metallurgists involved. You	
18	have separations people involved, that look at how to	
19	make a pure product of what comes out of a reactor.	
20	You have obviously engineers qualified in developing	
21	schematics initially kind of back-of-the-envelope	
22	schematics, which turn into real schematics as the data	
23	comes in, just to make sure that the process is cost	
24	efficient and economically viable.	
25	Q. But that was outside of your role? Your	

1	role was discovering?
2	A. No, my role sorry to interrupt you.
3	Q. Go ahead.
4	A. My role was involved in taking my knowledge
5	of the catalysis and the chemistry and working with
6	those many people in translating what you see in the
7	lab with what might be done with further optimization
8	in the laboratory into a full commercial plant.
9	Q. So at what level did the project get taken
10	out of your control and then handed off to somebody
11	else along that development from laboratory to
12	commercial plant?
13	A. Well, it was never out of our control.
14	There's always there was always an interaction
15	between the chemist or engineer in a laboratory sitting
16	down with the process development folks, making sure
17	that any current results were included in the
18	development process.
19	So it's never really taken out. In fact,
20	in some cases when the commercial plant is built, it's
21	not unusual to move a chemist or an engineer who is
22	doing the early work to the plant during startup and
23	initial operation.
24	Q. And you have, just going back to your
25	education, you have an honors degree, a BS in

1	chemistry, correct, from the University of Waterloo?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. And then you have a Ph.D. in chemistry from
4	the University of Western Ontario, correct?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. You don't hold any degrees in engineering?
7	A. No degrees in engineering.
8	Q. So at some point in the development
9	process, going from your discoveries in the laboratory
10	to scaling up to a manufacturing level, at some point,
11	does an engineer become in charge of that project?
12	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
13	A. It depends. You know, as you spend time
14	working in processes, you learn an awful lot of
15	engineering. I've taken many engineering courses, but
16	it doesn't necessarily mean that a project manager will
17	be a chemist or an engineer.
18	Q. I want to go back to one of your previous
19	answers. Give me just a second.
20	A. Sure. You referred to when we were talking
21	about what takes place in the lab, you said let me
22	correct you a little bit. You said that you come up
23	with ideas, and you said referred to high yield and
24	high selectivity. At what level would you consider
25	starting development of a project once you determine it

Page 2	24
--------	----

1	has high enough yield or high enough selectivity?	
2	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.	
3	A. There's no specific answer to that	
4	question. It depends on the process of interest. Some	
5	commercial processes operate at 75 percent yield.	
б	Other commercial processes operate at 90 plus percent	
7	yield. So it determines overall what the bottom line	
8	is. Can we economically run this process under this	
9	set of conditions.	
10	Q. And what do you mean by yield?	
11	A. Yield is the combined number by taking	
12	conversion times selectivity.	
13	Q. The next question, what do you mean by	
14	conversion?	
15	A. Conversion is the amount of material that	
16	is transformed in the process. Let's see, transformed	
17	in the process yes, the amount of material relative	
18	to the amount fed of that material that was	
19	transformed, converted.	
20	Q. And then you used the term selectivity.	
21	What do you mean by selectivity?	
22	A. Selectivity, so if you talk about	
23	conversion, you're looking at the converted material.	
24	It doesn't necessarily always make the product that	
25	you're interested in.	

So selectivity is the amount of product 1 2 that you're interested in over the total amount 3 converted. So if you had a hundred percent 4 selectivity, it means that everything that was 5 6 converted goes directly to that one product. If you 7 have 50 percent selectivity, it means that 50 percent 8 of the converted material goes to the product of 9 interest, and the other 50 percent could be one or more 10 other products that you're not particularly interested in or some material that could be recovered and 11 12 recycled. 13 And were there any sort of guidelines or Q. 14 parameters that you use as a target when working on a process as far as conversion or selectivity? 15 16 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. 17 Α. I could answer that in saying one always 18 would like to see a hundred percent selectivity, no 19 waste, no byproducts and possibly even a hundred 20 percent conversion, but that's not always the case. 21 One hundred percent is not always the case? 0. 22 One hundred percent conversion is not Α. 23 always the case. One hundred percent selectivity is 24 nearly always the case. 25 But one hundred percent conversion 0.

1	sometimes is the case, just not always?
2	A. Only if it makes the product that you're
3	interested in in high selectivity yield.
4	Q. I'm not sure I understand. Can you
5	explain?
б	A. Yeah, I know, okay. Let me give you an
7	example.
8	If you were converting methane to
9	formaldehyde, that was your objective, okay, if you
10	converted one hundred percent of the methane to carbon
11	dioxide with no formaldehyde, that would be totally
12	undesirable, because you made no product. But if you
13	had a hundred one percent conversion of methane to one
14	hundred percent of formaldehyde, then you have a
15	desirable situation. So conversion is not the whole
16	story at all.
17	Q. Right. But focusing on conversion,
18	sometimes there is one hundred percent conversion and
19	an acceptable amount of selectivity?
20	A. That could be the case.
21	Q. Just not always the case?
22	A. Not always the case, yes.
23	Q. Okay. So going back to your CV at
24	starting at page 95, the next section is awards?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. And just taking a look at those, do any of
2	those awards have to do with your work involving HFOs?
3	A. Well, oftentimes awards are based on one's
4	entire career.
5	Q. Okay.
6	A. Okay. But specifically the 2000 award,
7	which was the National Medal of Technology Award, which
8	means an awful lot to me, was related specifically
9	to was awarded to the DuPont Company, and I was one
10	of three people invited to attend that ceremony for
11	specifically the phase out and the replacement of
12	chlorofluorocarbons.
13	Q. Which award are you referring to?
14	A. The one in 2003 called the National Medal
15	of Technology Award, which is presented at the White
16	House by the president, and the DuPont Company was the
17	recipient of that award. But I was asked to be one of
18	±
ΤŪ	the three attendees, because the three of us would play
19	the three attendees, because the three of us would play a significant role in that process.
19 20	the three attendees, because the three of us would play a significant role in that process. The other award is the Philadelphia
19 20 21	the three attendees, because the three of us would play a significant role in that process. The other award is the Philadelphia catalysis Catalysis Club of Philadelphia Award in
19 20 21 22	the three attendees, because the three of us would play a significant role in that process. The other award is the Philadelphia catalysis Catalysis Club of Philadelphia Award in 1997, that was a significant part of the
19 20 21 22 23	the three attendees, because the three of us would play a significant role in that process. The other award is the Philadelphia catalysis Catalysis Club of Philadelphia Award in 1997, that was a significant part of the contribution recognized by that award was my leadership
19 20 21 22 23 24	the three attendees, because the three of us would play a significant role in that process. The other award is the Philadelphia catalysis Catalysis Club of Philadelphia Award in 1997, that was a significant part of the contribution recognized by that award was my leadership role and personal role in the development of

1	Q. Including HFOs?
2	A. HFOs were intermediates in many of those
3	processes, but the HFOs at the time, as you're well
4	aware, the major products were HCFCs and HFCs not HFCOs
5	but HFOs, as they are today.
6	Another valued award is the Hudree(sic)
7	award in 2001, it's given by the North American
8	Catalysis Society, and that was largely in recognition
9	of my efforts to develop replacements from fluoro
10	chloro carbons, and my speech was entirely around that
11	process and many of these other
12	Q. Again, that was focused on HCFCs as the
13	replacement for CFCs, correct?
14	A. HFCs and HCFCs, both were acceptable at the
15	time.
16	Q. I want to turn next to your issued patents.
17	I believe that list starts on page 97 of your CV.
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Now, you have them grouped by technology
20	area, is that correct?
21	A. Yes, I have them grouped by technology
22	area, and within that group, I have them organized by
23	the year in which they issued.
24	Q. And the earliest patent you have was issued
25	in 1997(sic), is that right?

Page	29
------	----

1	A. Let me see here, the earliest patent was
2	which year did you say?
3	Q. '77, I believe, looking at the bottom.
4	A. '77, at the bottom of page 101?
5	Q. Right.
б	A. Yes, I believe that was the first one.
7	Q. And then the most recent on here is 2015,
8	but you said earlier you had another one?
9	A. But that was still 2015.
10	Q. And these were all based on your work at
11	DuPont?
12	A. No. No some of these patents are based on
13	my consulting efforts with companies in the in
14	various areas, mostly in the area of biomass
15	conversion.
16	Q. With your work that you've done with
17	Catalytic Insights?
18	A. Yes, and obviously the company. I don't do
19	any laboratory work at Catalytic Insights. It's
20	strictly a consulting company.
21	Q. That was my next question.
22	And so the so you continued to apply for
23	patents or the companies you worked for applied for
24	patents based on your work?
25	A. Yes, the companies hire me to make a

1	contribution to their business, their profits, and when
2	I make contributions, they're deemed inventive and so
3	on. They will include me as an inventor on the patent.
4	Q. So it's fair to say you've been filing for
5	patents pretty much throughout your whole career?
б	A. I've been involved in the filing of patents
7	throughout my entire career.
8	Q. Can you describe how the decision is made
9	whether to file for a patent of your inventive work?
10	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
11	A. Yes. Please rephrase that.
12	Q. Yes, so, well, is a patent application
13	filed for everything that you've invented in the
14	laboratory or elsewhere, or is there some selection
15	made?
16	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection and also
17	foundation.
18	A. Not everything that is worked on is filed
19	as a patent application. Some things may be kept as
20	trade secrets. Some things may be inventive or at
21	least may be done to see if a particular reaction will
22	happen. Lots of times that doesn't happen. There's no
23	patent filed. But where there's a justifiable reason
24	to file a patent, certainly at DuPont, that was done.
25	With other companies, it's an expensive process. They

T	have to make a decision whether they file for a patent
2	or not.
3	Q. When you refer to other companies, is that
4	limited to the work you've done at Catalytic Insights?
5	A. Work that I've done through Catalytic
6	Insights, yes.
7	Q. All the patents done before that were with
8	DuPont, correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. How was the decision made at DuPont whether
11	to file a patent application of something that you came
12	up with?
13	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
14	foundation.
15	A. It's not an easy question to answer.
15 16	A. It's not an easy question to answer. Usually done by the group in combination with
15 16 17	A. It's not an easy question to answer.Usually done by the group in combination withdiscussions with attorneys or what we call patent
15 16 17 18	A. It's not an easy question to answer.Usually done by the group in combination withdiscussions with attorneys or what we call patentliaisons to decide whether or not this was a patentable
15 16 17 18 19	A. It's not an easy question to answer.Usually done by the group in combination withdiscussions with attorneys or what we call patentliaisons to decide whether or not this was a patentableinvention.
15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. It's not an easy question to answer. Usually done by the group in combination with discussions with attorneys or what we call patent liaisons to decide whether or not this was a patentable invention. Q. You used the term justifiable reason in a
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. It's not an easy question to answer. Usually done by the group in combination with discussions with attorneys or what we call patent liaisons to decide whether or not this was a patentable invention. Q. You used the term justifiable reason in a prior answer. What would be what do you mean by a
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. It's not an easy question to answer. Usually done by the group in combination with discussions with attorneys or what we call patent liaisons to decide whether or not this was a patentable invention. Q. You used the term justifiable reason in a prior answer. What would be what do you mean by a justifiable reason to file for an application?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. It's not an easy question to answer. Usually done by the group in combination with discussions with attorneys or what we call patent liaisons to decide whether or not this was a patentable invention. Q. You used the term justifiable reason in a prior answer. What would be what do you mean by a justifiable reason to file for an application? A. Oftentimes it means that there is a
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. It's not an easy question to answer. Usually done by the group in combination with discussions with attorneys or what we call patent liaisons to decide whether or not this was a patentable invention. Q. You used the term justifiable reason in a prior answer. What would be what do you mean by a justifiable reason to file for an application? A. Oftentimes it means that there is a business reason for filing the patent.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 A. It's not an easy question to answer. Usually done by the group in combination with discussions with attorneys or what we call patent liaisons to decide whether or not this was a patentable invention. Q. You used the term justifiable reason in a prior answer. What would be what do you mean by a justifiable reason to file for an application? A. Oftentimes it means that there is a business reason for filing the patent. Q. Did you become involved in those decisions,

1	whether there's a business reason?
2	A. Certainly. Certainly.
3	Q. Give me an example of business reasons for
4	filing a patent?
5	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
6	A. We can go to the CFC replacement section
7	and the number of patents there. I would meet with the
8	lead scientist from the full chemicals business and
9	their vice president monthly. We would discuss the
10	progress of work in the laboratory, and the inventions
11	and then the business, the vice president of the
12	business would say yes, this is an important invention,
13	we should file on it, as an example.
14	Q. So the vice president of business would
15	decide whether it's an important invention?
16	A. He would say that this looks like a pretty
17	significant invention, and then they would bring in
18	their own patent people and other folks to look at it
19	in more detail, make that decision.
20	Q. Looking through the list of the various
21	patents that have issued, is it fair to say that
22	significantly a significant majority of your patents
23	have to do with catalysts and catalysis?
24	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
25	A. A significant do, yes.

1	Q. Significantly more than the number of
2	patents for CFC replacements, correct?
3	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
4	A. Sorry, could you ask that in a different
5	way?
б	Q. Yeah. You have a little over three pages
7	of patents listed under the category catalytic
8	conversion of biomass, and then on page 100, after
9	those three pages, you have other categories involving
10	catalysts, enzyme catalysts, fluoro monomer catalysts,
11	environmentally safer phosgene and thionyl chloride
12	catalysts. So that's four pages of patents related to
13	catalysts. And then a little less than a page, page
14	101 related to CFC replacement. So wouldn't it be fair
15	to characterize it as you having more significantly
16	more catalyst-related inventions than CFC replacement
17	patents?
18	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
19	A. Well, if you add up the numbers, you could
20	say that, yes.
21	Q. And looking at the CFC replacement
22	category, can you tell me which, if any, of those have
23	to do with processes for producing HFOs?
24	A. Well, without reading the patents, I don't
25	believe any of the patents under the section

1	chlorofluorocarbon replacements were specifically
2	directed towards the preparation of fluoro olefins,
3	that would have been the earlier let me see, that
4	would have been the section in fluoro monomer
5	catalysis.
6	Q. And there are six patents that name you as
7	an inventor under that category?
8	A. That's correct, where I'm named as an
9	inventor.
10	Q. Do you know whether any of the patents
11	where you were named as an inventor has ever been
12	asserted in any litigation?
13	A. Yes, one of my patents in the
14	chlorofluorocarbon replacements was part of that ITC
15	process.
16	Q. Okay. So that's the one that was
17	referenced in your CV in 2008 with Ineos?
18	A. Yes. Yes, it should have yes, it was
19	during that ITC process. One of my patents was a focal
20	point of that process.
21	Q. So did that case involved Cytochem out of
22	China and Ineos?
23	A. Cytochem, yes, and Ineos, that's correct.
24	Q. DuPont was not a party to that case?
25	A. No.

1	Q. Was Ineos asserting one of your patents?
2	A. You know, I don't remember the specifics at
3	this point in time. One of my patents was brought in
4	as a reference, and I don't remember which side did it
5	or in what the context was. Something to do with the
6	reactor configuration in the Ineos process.
7	Q. So your patent wasn't being asserted in the
8	case as being infringed, correct?
9	A. No, that's correct.
10	Q. Okay, right. So getting back to my prior
11	question, are you aware of whether any of your patents
12	listed in your CV has ever been asserted in a
13	litigation? What I mean when I say asserted, meaning
14	that there was an assertion of infringement?
15	A. I don't believe there has been.
16	Q. Okay. Have any of your patents listed in
17	your CV been the subject of an assertion of invalidity?
18	A. Not to my knowledge.
19	Q. Not aware of any proceeding in which the
20	validity of one of your patents has been challenged?
21	A. I can't think of any situation.
22	MR. BEAUPRE: Counsel, we've been
23	going for about an hour, can we take a break
24	at a good stopping point?
25	MR. ROSE: That's fine. I'm starting

a new section. 1 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 3 record, the time is 0959. (Recess.) 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 5 6 record, the time is 10:12. 7 MR. ROSE: I want to make one note on 8 the record. When the videographer started 9 the tape, he referred to this being matter 10 IPR2015-00915, but this deposition is being taken in connection with also IPR2015-00916 11 12 and IPR2015-00917. Is that all understood? 13 MR. BEAUPRE: Yes. 14 BY MR. ROSE: Dr. Manzer, welcome back. I want to touch 15 0. 16 briefly on one of your patents under the category 17 chlorofluorocarbon replacements on page 101 of your 18 declaration in the 915 case. It's U.S. patent number 19 5,008,476, and it's titled process for preparation of 20 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane. Do you see that? 21 Α. I do. 22 And 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane is otherwise 0. 23 sometimes known as 134A? 24 Α. That's correct. 25 Can you describe just generally what the 0.
1	process was that was the subject of that patent?
2	A. Not without looking at the patent. You
3	know, there's so many processes to make 134A.
4	Q. So we will just mark this
5	MR. BEAUPRE: Counsel, has this
6	exhibit been submitted to us in advance?
7	MR. ROSE: It was not.
8	MR. BEAUPRE: Then is it being used
9	for impeachment purposes?
10	MR. ROSE: No, I want to get
11	background.
12	MR. BEAUPRE: Then it's not
13	appropriate to introduce for the first time
14	for substantive purposes at the deposition.
15	MR. ROSE: Okay. Well, I won't mark
16	it, but I will certainly let you take a look
17	at it, if it will help you to refresh your
18	recollection.
19	MR. BEAUPRE: No, that's the same
20	thing. I mean, there's no difference
21	between introducing it and then showing it
22	to him and asking him questions about it.
23	If you'd like to ask him questions,
24	that's fine, but I don't think it's
25	appropriate to use it as an exhibit or show

1	it to	him.
2		BY MR. ROSE:
3	Q.	Dr. Manzer, do you recall developing a
4	process for	the manufacture of 134A involving the
5	reaction of	trichloroethylene with hydrogen fluoride?
б	Α.	I remember working on several processes
7	starting wit	h trichloroethylene and HF.
8	Q.	And resulting in 134A?
9	Α.	Eventually resulting in 134A.
10	Q.	Okay. Are there any other products of that
11	reaction?	
12		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
13	releva	nce.
14	Α.	The reaction of tetrachloroethylene and HF.
15	Q.	And HF to result in 134A, in other words,
16	is hydrochlo	ric acid also a result of that reaction?
17		MR. BEAUPRE: Same objections.
18	Α.	I'd have to look at the specific process
19	that you're	interested in. There are many ways over
20	the years.	I gave a number of talks, so I know all the
21	multiple met	hods of making 134A from various starting
22	materials.	Certainly one can start from
23	tetrachloroe	thylene and go quite different pathways to
24	134A, but it	's been a long time since I looked at that
25	roadmap.	

Page 3	89
--------	-----------

1	Q. Are there any other resulting products from
2	that reaction?
3	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
4	relevance, and also I had should note, we're
5	getting we're afar from the declaration.
6	I've been giving some leeway, because I
7	assume this is background information.
8	MR. ROSE: That's what this is.
9	MR. BEAUPRE: But we're not going to
10	go on this long tangent of talking about 134
11	and different processes that are not
12	described in Dr. Manzer's declaration.
13	MR. ROSE: I just want to get his
14	general understanding of how reactions work.
15	Q. Do you recall that the resultant product of
16	a reaction between tetrachloroethylene and HF resulting
17	in 134A also gives other resultant products such as
18	hydrochloric acid?
19	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
20	relevance.
21	A. I wasn't sure whether you said
22	tetrachloroethylene or tetrafluoro. I assume you meant
23	tetrachloro. I didn't understand it totally, but
24	that's fine.
25	Q. If I said tetrafluoro, I apologize. I

meant tetrachloro. 1 2 Either way, it's a complicated pathway to Α. get from two carbons with four chlorines to two carbons 3 with four fluorines in the right location and two 4 5 hydrogens. So there are many intermediates along the 6 way. 7 0. And is hydrochloric acid one of those? 8 MR. BEAUPRE: Same objections. 9 Not be considered an intermediate. Α. 10 But it comes out as a result of the 0. 11 reaction, doesn't it? 12 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection form and 13 relevance. 14 Yes, if you look at the balanced equation, Α. 15 HCL is a byproduct or co-product. 16 0. Chlorine has to come out somewhere, doesn't 17 it? 18 Α. It's got to go somewhere. 19 Same objections. MR. BEAUPRE: 20 BY MR. ROSE: 21 0. You use the terms byproduct and co-product. 22 What do you mean by byproduct? 23 Those terms are often intertwined. A Α. 24 co-product is something that is produced necessarily as 25 a result of making a product.

1	A byproduct I often this is not written
2	anywhere, but a byproduct is usually something that's
3	made that you don't want.
4	Q. Turning back to your CV, you list
5	publications beginning on page 102 of the 915
6	declaration. It looks like you began publishing as
7	early as 1971, right?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And does that coincide with your work on
10	your Ph.D.?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And you published multiple times?
13	A. Yes, I certainly did.
14	Q. Is it fair to say that these publications
15	coincide with your work as you progress through DuPont?
16	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
17	A. Referring to my publications relative to my
18	Ph.D. thesis?
19	Q. No, no, as you progressed through your work
20	at DuPont, these publications coincide generally with
21	the body of work that you were doing at the time at
22	DuPont?
23	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
24	A. Yes, as I was working at DuPont, when it
25	was appropriate to publish a paper, I would write a

paper and publish it. 1 2 And similar to the patenting process, how 0. 3 was the decision made to publish a paper on your work? MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection. 4 5 I would write up an abstract of what I Α. 6 intended to include in that publication. It would get 7 circulated to a publication group within the 8 organization. They would say yes or no. I would write 9 the paper up and recirculate the paper through the 10 organization again to see whether they agreed that I should publish it. 11 12 And, again, there are a lot of these, so I 0. 13 certainly don't expect you to remember all of them, but 14 do you recall whether any of the papers have to do with 15 development of HFOs? 16 Objection, form. MR. BEAUPRE: 17 I don't recall whether HFOs were Α. 18 specifically an objective. They may very well have 19 been mentioned in many of these papers. 20 For example, I did work shown in fluoro 21 monomers, did a lot of work on the preparation of HFPs 22 or fluoro propylene. There's no paper entitled HFP or anything, but it may very well be included in some of 23 24 those papers. 25 Do you recall if any of your publications 0.

1	discuss dehydrohalogenation or dehydrofluorination?
2	A. I don't recall specifically, but they
3	likely do.
4	Q. And you did work while at DuPont in the
5	area of dehydrohalogenation?
б	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
7	A. I don't recall my own laboratory doing any
8	of that work. Certainly there was work done on
9	dehalogenation.
10	Q. On dehalogenation
11	A. Hydrodehalogenation.
12	Q. We got to make sure we got our terminology
13	together.
14	A. I know. I understand.
15	Q. You're using the term hydrodehalogenation?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And is that different than
18	dehydrohalogenation?
19	A. In my mind it is.
20	Q. Can you describe what, in your mind, the
21	difference is?
22	A. Yes. Hydrodehalogenation typically
23	involves the reaction of hydrogen with, for example, a
24	chlorocarbon to replace that chlorine on that carbon
25	with hydrogen.

1	Dehydrohalogenation means that you are
2	removing a haloalkane to produce an olefin.
3	Q. So I understand then, hydrodehalogenation
4	means you're using hydrogen to replace an alkane like
5	chlorine
6	A. Halogen like chlorine.
7	Q. Right, I'm sorry, halogen, you're right.
8	And dehydrohalogenation means you're
9	removing a haloalkane to produce an olefin?
10	A. Removing a hydrohalogen to make the olefin.
11	Q. You're removing the hydro, but are you also
12	adding a halogen?
13	A. No, you're removing a hydrogen and a
14	halogen to make the olefin.
15	Q. And have you in your work developed any
16	processes for dehydrohalogenation?
17	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
18	A. I may have, but I don't recall
19	specifically. I may have to go back and look at some
20	of those fluoro monomer patents where the HFO was
21	prepared.
22	Q. And did your work involve, to the extent
23	that dehydrohalogenation processes were developed
24	involving your work, did your work involve scaling it
25	up to a manufacturing level?

1	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
2	A. I don't believe so.
3	Q. Did your work involve HFC synthesis?
4	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. To the extent that your work involved HFC
7	synthesis, did that involve any projects that included
8	scaling an HFC synthesis up to a manufacturing level?
9	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
10	A. If you're asking did any of my work on the
11	synthesis of HFCs result in a commercial plant, is that
12	your question?
13	Q. I'm asking about your involvement. Were
14	you involved first in developing an HFC synthesis
15	process, but then scaling that process up to the
16	manufacturing level?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. Can you describe, give me some
19	examples of those?
20	A. You're asking me back a long time.
21	Q. I realize it may not be exhaustive, but can
22	you give me some examples?
23	A. Yeah, I was thinking of the reaction of
24	trichloroethylene with HF to make HCFC123 and HFC125
25	and HCFC124.

1	Q. Do you recall approximately how long ago
2	that was?
3	A. It was in the middle, early to middle, the
4	late it was in the 1990s, I believe.
5	Q. Okay. And any others that come to mind?
6	A. There were aspects of the HFC134A process,
7	but I can't remember the specifics of which route and
8	which process eventually that were commercialized
9	that I was involved with.
10	Q. Okay. When you were involved in that
11	commercialization, your involvement in commercializing,
12	describe generally what your involvement is or was?
13	A. Let me say it this way, it's to keep the
14	engineers honest, but it involves discussions with
15	engineers, process development chemists. And one goes
16	from typically at DuPont, one would go from a
17	laboratory operation through a larger scale operation,
18	which we would call a pilot plant to integrate the
19	process fully before and that would be to collect
20	basic data for a full plant design and construction and
21	commercialization.
22	So it would be involvement with many people
23	along the way.
24	Q. And did you oversee or get involved with,
25	for example, the design of a pilot plant in that

1	process?
2	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
3	A. I would have input into the design of the
4	pilot plant.
5	Q. And then scaling up to the full plant, were
б	you still involved at that step as well?
7	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
8	A. To some level.
9	Q. And so in addition to the process for
10	manufacturing HCFC123, 124 and 125, and then the
11	aspects of the 134A process, are there any others that
12	you can recall?
13	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
14	A. I don't recall. There may have been.
15	Q. We talked a bit earlier about conversions
16	and selectivity. Were those aspects that were
17	considered in developing those projects that you worked
18	on?
19	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
20	A. Those are important parameters for any
21	process that's commercialized.
22	Q. How does the, for example, the conversion
23	rate differ or change when moving from a laboratory
24	operation of a process then into a pilot plant? Does
25	it differ?

1	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
2	A. Well, they may or may not change.
3	Q. Do you recall if it did in either of the
4	two instances that you described today?
5	A. I don't recall whether it did or did not.
6	Q. Okay. When taking a process from the
7	laboratory and moving up to the pilot plant, is it
8	anticipated that there would be a change in conversion
9	rate from the laboratory to the pilot plant?
10	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form,
11	foundation.
12	A. You keep talking about conversion rate,
13	which is different from conversion.
14	Q. Okay, I apologize. I'll restate the
15	question.
16	In taking the process from the laboratory
17	to a pilot plant, is there a difference in conversion?
18	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
19	foundation.
20	A. There may or may not be a difference. One
21	would always hope in a pilot plant that you would
22	continue to improve a process.
23	Q. Are there instances where you anticipate
24	that by increasing the size of the process, by going
25	from laboratory to a pilot plant, the conversion would

1	decrease?
2	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
3	A. I can't think of an instance specifically
4	where it increases or decreases. You would hope that
5	it would always improve.
6	Q. And is the same true with regard to
7	selectivity?
8	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
9	A. And the same answer, you would always hope
10	that selectivity would continue to be improved.
11	Q. But the question is would you maybe I
12	didn't state it correctly.
13	Would you anticipate that selectivity could
14	decrease in going from the laboratory to the pilot
15	plant?
16	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
17	foundation.
18	A. I would not anticipate that.
19	Q. And then in going from the pilot plant to a
20	full manufacturing plant, would you expect the
21	conversion would decrease?
22	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection to form
23	and foundation.
24	A. And I have no reason to expect that it
25	would.

1	Q.	You would expect it to stay the same going
2	from	
3	Α.	Or be approved.
4	Q.	And is the same true with regard to
5	selectivity	?
б		MR. BEAUPRE: Same objections.
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	I may have asked this before. If I did, I
9	apologize.	Have you, in your work at Catalytic
10	Insights or	anywhere else, worked before for Arkema?
11	Α.	No.
12	Q.	Have you worked before with the firm Brinks
13	Hofer(sic)?	
14		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
15	Α.	The name is not familiar.
16	Q.	The law firm that's working with you on
17	this matter	, have you done any work with them before?
18	Α.	Oh, Brinks.
19		MR. BEAUPRE: We're Brinks Gilson.
20		MR. ROSE: I'm sorry.
21	Α.	No, I've never worked with them previously.
22	Q.	Have you ever heard of the Daubert
23	challenge?	
24	Α.	Daubert?
25	Q.	In the expert work that you've done before,

have you ever had, have you ever been challenged as an
expert?
A. Well, I think most depositions start off
with trying to disprove you as an expert.
Q. Okay. Are you aware of any papers that
were filed in any of the cases where you were an
expert, where the other side said you're not qualified
as an expert?
MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
BY MR. ROSE:
Q. Or for some reason that your expert opinion
should not be considered?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. When did you first become involved in this
matter?
A. This current patent?
Q. Yes.
A. It was in the fall of 2014.
Q. And who first contacted you?
A. Mr. Dupre(sic).
Q. Have you worked with Mr. Dupre(sic) before?
A. No.
Q. What were you asked to do?
A. At that point, I was only asked if I was
interested in becoming an expert witness in a patent

Page 5

1	case related	d to fluorocarbon chemistry.
2	Q.	Were you given any more detail other than
3	that?	
4	Α.	Not at that point.
5	Q.	What materials were provided to you at that
б	point?	
7	Α.	When I was contacted initially, nothing was
8	provided to	me.
9	Q.	At some point, materials were provided,
10	correct?	
11	Α.	At some point, after I signed a
12	nondisclosu	re agreement, material was provided to me.
13	Q.	Had you seen the patent before you signed
14	that?	
15	Α.	No.
16	Q.	How long was it after Mr. Dupre(sic)
17	contacted ye	ou before you signed the nondisclosure
18	agreement?	
19	Α.	I seem to recall it was a few weeks.
20	Q.	Okay. Had you done any analysis before
21	agreeing to	take on this matter?
22	Α.	No.
23	Q.	Had you seen the '282 patent before
24	agreeing to	take on this matter?
25	Α.	No.

Page	53
I azu	22

1	Q.	After signing your nondisclosure agreement,
2	Mr. Dupre(s	sic) provided you with materials, correct?
3	Α.	That is correct.
4	Q.	Okay. What materials did he provide?
5	Α.	I don't recall exactly, but most likely it
6	was the '28	2 patent and then some of the prior art
7	references.	
8	Q.	Did he provide you with the Smith
9	reference?	
10	Α.	I think so.
11	Q.	Did he provide you with the Sedat
12	reference?	
13	Α.	I think so.
14	Q.	Did he provide you with the Masayuki
15	reference?	
16	Α.	I think so.
17	Q.	Did he provide you with the Harrison
18	reference?	
19	Α.	I think so.
20	Q.	Did he provide you with any other prior art
21	references?	
22	Α.	Did you mention the Masayuki?
23	Q.	I did.
24	Α.	Yes. I don't recall any other references
25	at that poi	nt in time.

Q. Did you do any prior art searching on your
own?
A. Yes. Once I became familiar with the '282
patent and the other patents, I did some literature
searching.
Q. And what did you locate in your literature
searching?
A. Yeah, once again, without my computer, I
don't recall specifically what I located.
Q. Is there anything that resulted from your
literature searching that you reference in your
declaration?
A. I am not sure, but I don't believe so.
Q. So all the references that you discuss in
your declaration were provided to you by
Mr. Dupre(sic)?
MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
BY MR. ROSE:
Q. Or his law firm?
A. Or his law firm, that's correct.
MR. BEAUPRE: Beaupré.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, we're on such
a first name basis, I forget the last names.
MR. BEAUPRE: It's all right. It's
not the easiest one to remember.

1 BY MR. ROSE: 2 0. Did you review the file history for the 3 '282 patent? Objection, form. 4 MR. BEAUPRE: 5 Α. The file history from the patent office? Yes, the --6 0. 7 Α. What they call the wrapper or whatever? 8 0. The file wrapper? 9 No, I did not. Α. 10 Were you instructed not to look at the file 0. 11 wrapper? 12 Objection, form. MR. BEAUPRE: 13 Α. I don't recall. 14 Did you ask for a copy of the file wrapper? 0. 15 I don't recall that either. Α. 16 I want to walk through the preparation of 0. 17 your declarations. 18 Who first created the first draft of your declaration? 19 20 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. 21 Α. I reviewed the '282 patent, provided my interpretations, and then my interpretations were 22 included in the declaration, which is written jointly 23 by myself and me, the law firm. 24 25 So when you say it was written jointly, how 0.

1	did that physically take place? Did somebody create a
2	first draft?
3	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
4	A. Clearly there was a first draft prepared by
5	somebody. I prepared my thoughts on the claims, and
6	then we sat down jointly and then put this together.
7	Q. Jointly, you mean in the same room
8	together?
9	A. I think it was this room actually.
10	Q. So somebody here was typing the document
11	while you were giving your thoughts, is that how it
12	worked?
13	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
14	A. Yes, they were capturing my thoughts, and
15	somebody was typing. I mean, I'm not prepared to put
16	together a legal declaration, so clearly a lawyer has
17	to do that.
18	Q. Sure. I just want to understand the
19	process of exchange of drafts, how that went.
20	So was a first draft handed to you and then
21	you worked through with your thoughts?
22	A. No, I provided my thoughts, a draft was
23	prepared based on that joint meeting. I read through
24	it, corrected anything that I didn't think was
25	accurate.

Page 5'	7
---------	---

1	Q. You said you reviewed the '282 patent.		
2	What else did you review?		
3	A. I reviewed the other prior art references.		
4	Q. You reviewed the materials that were all		
5	provided to you by the Brinks firm?		
6	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.		
7	A. I provided I read those patents, and I,		
8	like I say, I did some searching on my own, which may		
9	or may not have provided any useful information, but I		
10	tried my best to make sure that I had uncovered as much		
11	information as I could.		
12	Q. Okay. And since submitting those		
13	declarations, what has your involvement been in this		
14	matter?		
15	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.		
16	A. It's been very little.		
17	Q. Until it came time for your deposition?		
18	A. Yeah, from April to today, I guess.		
19	Q. Right. So at some point, you were advised		
20	that you would have your deposition taken, correct?		
21	A. That's correct.		
22	Q. What did you do to prepare for the		
23	deposition?		
24	A. I read and reread all of the declarations		
25	and all of the patents, prior art patents.		

And did you have any meetings with counsel? 1 Q. 2 I had one meeting in early December for a Α. 3 couple of days. No other meetings leading up to today? 4 0. No other meetings leading up to this week. 5 Α. Okay. What about meetings this week? 6 0. 7 Α. Yes, I came up, as I told you earlier, on 8 Monday. 9 And how many times did you meet with 0. 10 counsel this week to get ready? 11 Α. Monday afternoon, all day Tuesday and all 12 day Wednesday. And other than the declarations, the '282 13 Q. 14 patent and the prior art cited in the declarations, did you review any other documents in getting ready? 15 16 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. I'm trying to remember. I may have briefly 17 Α. 18 reviewed the petitions, but I didn't study them in any 19 detail. 20 If you will go ahead and turn back to your 0. 21 declaration, and we can use the 915 declaration, which 22 is Exhibit 1002 in that proceeding, and in particular at paragraph 36 on page 17. 23 24 Α. Yes. 25 You say that: Based on my review of the 0.

1	'282 patent, the relevant field of art relates to bulk		
2	chemical synthesis in manufacturing, including		
3	production of fluorinated olefins by		
4	dehydrohalogenation of fluorinated alkanes.		
5	What do you mean by bulk chemical		
6	synthesis?		
7	A. When I use the term bulk chemical		
8	synthesis, it usually refers to a reasonable scale		
9	synthesis.		
10	Q. What does that mean? I don't understand.		
11	A. Well, it means in looking at it as it		
12	states in there, it's something that's attractive		
13	commercially, so that's why it's considered a bulk		
14	chemical.		
15	Q. So would that be something that's different		
16	than, for example, laboratory scale synthesis?		
17	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.		
18	A. No, not necessarily.		
19	Q. So laboratory scale synthesis is		
20	commercially attractive?		
21	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.		
22	A. Not always.		
23	Q. Well, at DuPont, would you consider your		
24	work in the laboratory as a bulk chemical synthesis?		
25	A. Not always.		

1	Q. Sometimes?		
2	A. Sometimes.		
3	Q. In what type of an instance would a		
4	laboratory synthesis be considered bulk?		
5	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.		
6	A. Well, there's no clear definition of that,		
7	but in my mind, it's something that would likely go to		
8	commercialization versus a scientific experiment where		
9	it's only to advance science.		
10	Q. So it would be commercially attractive in		
11	the sense that it might some day be scaled up to a		
12	commercial scale?		
13	A. In industry, that's often the reason you do		
14	research.		
15	Q. Right. That's what I'm just trying to		
16	understand. Is there some difference between a bulk		
17	synthesis and laboratory synthesis?		
18	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.		
19	A. There's no clear answer to that question.		
20	Q. Typically though isn't laboratory synthesis		
21	a precursor that leads to bulk synthesis, if		
22	successful?		
23	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.		
24	A. That would almost always be the case.		
25	Q. In your statement, you also say: Including		

1	production of fluorinated olefins by		
2	dehydrohalogenation of fluorinated alkanes, and you got		
3	that from the '282 patent?		
4	A. You're referring once again to 36?		
5	Q. Yeah, to paragraph 36.		
б	A. Yes.		
7	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.		
8	A. I was referring to the '282 patent when I		
9	was discussing production of fluorinated olefins by		
10	dehydrohalogenation of fluorinated alkanes.		
11	Q. And is that are you referring there to a		
12	liquid phase synthesis for production?		
13	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.		
14	A. Liquid phase process was one consideration.		
15	Q. Then referring to paragraph 43 of your		
16	declaration starts on page 20 and carries over to 21,		
17	you see that?		
18	A. Yes.		
19	Q. On the top of page 21, there's a statement		
20	that reads: A dehydrohalogenating process using DHA,		
21	such as that claimed in the '282 patent, is generally a		
22	liquid phase process where a haloalkane is reacted with		
23	the basic DHA to produce an alkane or olefin and		
24	byproducts such as salts. Do you see that?		
25	A. Yes.		

Page 6	2
--------	---

1	Q. So is that what you're referring to		
2	well, strike that.		
3	Does that mean that what you're referring		
4	to is the field of the invention has to do with a		
5	liquid phase process?		
б	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.		
7	A. Yes, it refers to the dehydrohalogenation		
8	of a haloalkane with a base catalyst in the liquid		
9	phase with the base reagent.		
10	Q. So just so I'm understanding correctly		
11	then, in that sentence, you're referring to a liquid		
12	phase process, correct?		
13	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.		
14	A. Referring to a liquid phase process with a		
15	dehydrohalogenation agent produces the haloalkane and a		
16	salt.		
17	Q. You also define a person of ordinary skill		
18	in the art, and that's at paragraph 37 in the 915		
19	declaration?		
20	A. Yes.		
21	Q. How did you come up with your definition of		
22	what a person of ordinary skill is?		
23	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.		
24	A. It's just my interpretation of what a		
25	POSITA is, as I state in there, a person with a Ph.D.		

Page	63
гаде	UJ.

1	in chemistry or chemical engineering, two years of		
2	practical experience in the area of fluorocarbon		
3	synthesis, or it could be someone with a bachelor's or		
4	master's degree in chemical engineering with at least		
5	five years experience in the field of in the area of		
6	hydrofluorocarbon synthesis.		
7	Q. So does the person of ordinary skill have		
8	to have had experience specifically in		
9	hydrofluorocarbon synthesis, or can it be some other		
10	broader range of experience in the chemical or chemical		
11	engineering field?		
12	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.		
13	A. It was either should have experience in the		
14	area of hydrofluorocarbon synthesis.		
15	Q. So, for example, you started at DuPont		
16	what year did you start at DuPont?		
17	A. 1973.		
18	Q. And what year did you begin your work in		
19	hydrofluorocarbon synthesis?		
20	A. I believe it was 1987.		
21	Q. So you would not consider yourself a person		
22	of ordinary skill in the art until 1989?		
23	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.		
24	A. Well, by 1989, I think I'm more than a		
25	POSITA.		

1	Q. Right. But as of 1988, you had only had
2	the two years or one year of work in hydrofluorocarbon
3	synthesis?
4	A. Right, that's correct.
5	Q. And so you would not meet the definition
б	here of a Ph.D. in chemistry or chemical engineering
7	and two years experience in hydrofluorocarbon
8	synthesis, correct?
9	MR. BEAUPRE: Object to form.
10	A. Well, that's when the first patent issued.
11	I think by 1988, I had been involved in the studying of
12	chemistry and alternative methods to make HFCs and
13	HCFCs for two years.
14	Q. I thought you just testified that your work
15	in hydrofluorocarbon synthesis began in 1987? Did I
16	get wrong?
17	MR. BEAUPRE: Object to form.
18	A. Yeah, well, I'm saying it was in the time
19	frame '86 or '87. I don't recall the exact date. I
20	mean, we were constructing laboratories and bringing
21	people in to do the work, and during that time frame, I
22	would obviously be studying what was known about the
23	synthesis of the HFCs and interest 134A and some of the
24	others, and there had been work done at DuPont and
25	other places in earlier times.

www.huseby.com Huseby, Inc. Regional Centers 800-333-2082 Charlotte ~ Atlanta ~ Washington, DC ~ New York ~ Houston ~ San Francisco

1	Q. All right. So the POSITA wouldn't
2	necessarily have to have two years experience in
3	hydrofluorocarbon synthesis but could have other
4	organic chemistry experience, isn't that correct?
5	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
б	A. No, POSITA needs to have experience in the
7	hydrofluorocarbon area, since it's very different
8	catalysis from hydrocarbon chemistry.
9	Q. Okay. I guess I'm maybe I'm not
10	understanding everything correctly.
11	In your own experience, you had said your
12	experience in hydrofluorocarbon synthesis started more
13	than a decade after you began work at DuPont as a
14	chemist, correct?
15	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
16	A. I'm trying to remember the time frame, but
17	no, in 19 and I may be out a few years here, but it
18	was the middle '70s when there was a first effort to
19	replace CFCs. And one of the people in my group was
20	associated with the fluorochemicals business and the
21	first attempts to make 134A. So, therefore, I was
22	knowledgeable of his work in the laboratory and the
23	work going on in the other businesses in the
24	fluorocarbons business.
25	Q. But you weren't synthesizing

1	hydrofluorocarbons at that time, were you?
2	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
3	A. Personally, I was not running the
4	laboratory, but I had responsibility for the laboratory
5	that was running reactions to make hydrofluorocarbons.
6	Q. Okay. So I guess I'm having a hard time
7	understanding then if your experience in synthesizing
8	hydrofluorocarbons hadn't begun yet, you would
9	certainly satisfy the requirement of a POSITA as having
10	a Ph.D. in chemistry or chemical engineering, but as of
11	your definition, you did not have at that time
12	experience in synthesizing hydrofluorocarbons, correct?
13	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
14	A. Looking at the time frame of 1988, and I
15	include my experience going back to the middle '70s,
16	where we were synthesizing HF, HF134A, if I include
17	that time, plus the time up to the issuance of the
18	patent in 1988, it would be at least two years.
19	Q. So you're basing, when you refer to
20	experience, that person could work at a laboratory
21	where other people are synthesizing hydrofluorocarbons,
22	correct?
23	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
24	A. Depends what you mean by work.
25	Q. I guess I'm trying to understand what you

Page	67
------	----

1	mean, what you're referring to here. Well, strike
2	that.
3	The definition that you've given here, is
4	that something that you came up with on your own, or is
5	that something suggested to you by counsel?
6	A. No, that's something that I came up with on
7	my own.
8	Q. And so at what point in your career would
9	you say that you became a POSITA in this field?
10	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
11	A. It may have been as early as 1975 or '76, I
12	don't recall the details. But as I said, DuPont had a
13	significant effort to make HFC134A in the middle '70s.
14	I led the new group within central research, and,
15	therefore, I don't remember how long it lasted, but I'm
16	sure it was at least two years.
17	So I would have had two years of experience
18	in that area, the fluorocarbon synthesis area.
19	Q. Would experience in the area of CFCs or
20	HCFCs qualify?
21	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
22	A. We're talking about experience in HFCs, and
23	the whole purpose of doing HFC catalysis was to replace
24	chlorofluorocarbons.
25	Q. And that's, I guess, sort of why I'm asking

1	the question. Is if somebody had worked previously in
2	the CFC area but had not worked in HFC area, in the HFC
3	area, could that person nonetheless be considered a
4	POSITA based on their prior work, if they met the
5	educational requirements?
6	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
7	foundation.
8	A. A person working in the hydrofluorocarbon
9	synthesis area may or may not have had experience in
10	making CFCs.
11	Q. But experience in making CFCs would not be
12	relevant to the POSITA regardless, correct?
13	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
14	foundation.
15	A. Well, it's a person making CFCs becomes
16	familiar with handling hazardous materials, such as HF,
17	running reactions at high temperatures, et cetera,
18	using hazardous materials. So that information is very
19	useful and applicable to the synthesis of
20	hydrofluorocarbons.
21	Q. Would the POSITA have had to have had any
22	particular course work in their undergraduate studies
23	or in their graduate studies?
24	MR. ROSE: Objection, form and
25	foundation.

1	A. They may or may not have had course work in
2	hydrofluorocarbon synthesis, but it's really the
3	practical experience that's useful.
4	Q. How about organic chemistry course work?
5	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
б	A. Organal(sic) fluorine chemistry is
7	different from much of simple hydrocarbon chemistry.
8	Q. So organic course work in undergraduate or
9	postgraduate studies would not be relevant, is that
10	your opinion?
11	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
12	A. Yeah, would you rephrase that, please?
13	Q. Yeah, so hydrocarbon or organic chemistry
14	experience in undergraduate course work or postgraduate
15	course work would not be relevant to determining
16	whether somebody is a POSITA?
17	A. It would be helpful.
18	Q. Turning back to your declaration, paragraph
19	57. Paragraph 57 starts on page 32 and carries over to
20	page 33, and looking at the sentence that starts five
21	lines down you say: As Douglas explains, it was a
22	"rule of thumb" in chemical process design that it is
23	desirable to recover more than 99 percent of all
24	valuable materials through recovering and recycling.
25	Do you see that?

1 Α. Yes. 2 First of all, what is meant there by 0. 3 recovering and recycling? MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. 4 Recovering is collecting for further use. 5 Α. 6 Recycling is returning a component of the 7 process to the process. So you're referring back to the claim 8 0. 9 interpretations that you gave in your report, I'm sorry, your declaration? 10 11 Α. I'm referring back to page 22. 12 Yeah, in your declaration? 0. 13 Α. Yes. 14 And the Douglas reference that you're 0. citing there is Exhibit 6. Is that something that was 15 16 provided to you by counsel as well? 17 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. 18 Yeah, the specific document was provided to Α. 19 me by counsel. I don't remember whether I uncovered 20 that or not. 21 0. Okay. 22 What I was looking for was the fact that in Α. 23 industry, it's just common practice to recover and recycle as much as you possibly can, and I was looking 24 25 for a reference to that general rule of thumb, and I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't remember who uncovered the Douglas reference. 0. Douglas is the one that refers to it as a rule of thumb? Α. That's right. He's a professor, yes. Did you ever refer to it as a rule of thumb 0. when you were at DuPont? MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form. Α. I don't think I had to. It was pretty obvious when we were doing any of these process development programs for any chemical to develop the lowest cost process. And one develops the lowest cost process by recovering, recycling anything that makes sense. So you didn't, in your work at DuPont, 0. refer to a rule of thumb as being desirable to recover more than 99 percent of all valuable materials? MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. I don't recall specifically referring to it Α. as a rule of thumb, other than just good practice. Did you refer to specifically the 99 Q. percent figure while you were at DuPont? MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. I would refer to the highest number Α. possible, whether it's 99 or 100 percent. It could be less than 99 percent, right? 0.

1	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.	
2	A. It could. It might be. But the simple	
3	fact is you try to recover and reuse as much as you	
4	possibly can.	
5	Q. Right. In looking at the Douglas	
б	reference, which is I believe it's Exhibit 6 to the	
7	915 case. So actually Exhibit 1006.	
8	A. Yes. It's sideways here. I have to rotate	
9	it somehow.	
10	MR. BEAUPRE: Do you need help?	
11	THE WITNESS: No, I got it.	
12	A. Okay.	
13	Q. And the quote that you recite is from	
14	starts on the bottom of page 116 and carries over to	
15	page 117. I'd like you to look at the page before that	
16	in the document, which is page multiple zeros and then	
17	eight.	
18	A. Oh, okay, I'm sorry, we're going to the	
19	declaration, I'm in the Douglas document.	
20	Q. Yes, I am too, in the Douglas document.	
21	Again, that document also has those numbers at the	
22	bottom.	
23	A. Oh, okay. Oh, I see, yes, okay. I had	
24	expanded, because I couldn't read it. All right. What	
25	page are you on now?	
1	Q.	The page before that, page 00, multiple
----	-------------	--
2	zeros, eigh	t.
3	Α.	Eight, okay.
4		MR. BEAUPRE: Can I show him?
5	Α.	Do you have a table of contents there?
6	Q.	Yeah, where it says preface.
7		MR. BEAUPRE: It looks like this,
8	prefa	ce, and then it's got, so it's right
9	after	the first page after the table of
10	conte	nts.
11	Α.	Okay, I'm there.
12	Q.	At the outset of his book, Douglas says in
13	his preface	, the second sentence reads: The goal of a
14	conceptual	design is to find the best process flow
15	sheet, i.e.	, to select the process units and unit
16	connections	among these units and estimate the optimum
17	design cond	itions. The problem is difficult because
18	very many p	rocess alternatives can be considered. In
19	addition, e	xperience indicates that less than one
20	percent of	ideas for new designs ever become
21	commerciali	zed. Thus, there are many possibilities to
22	consider wi	th only a small chance of success.
23		Do you see that?
24	Α.	I do.
25	Q.	Is that a fair characterization of how

well, strike that. 1 Would you -- is that a fair 2 3 characterization of your work at DuPont? MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and 4 foundation. 5 6 Α. I think its estimate of commercial success 7 is low, based on my experience in DuPont. But isn't the goal to find the best process 8 0. 9 flow sheet and estimate the optimum design conditions? Isn't that more of a rule of thumb than specifically 99 10 11 percent being recovered and recycled? 12 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and 13 foundation. 14 That is certainly a goal. Α. 15 And that doesn't necessarily mean 0. 16 recovering and recycling 99 percent of materials, does 17 it? 18 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and foundation. 19 20 Α. It means to recover -- it means to develop 21 a process flow sheet that is the best process flow 22 sheet you can think about. If the amount of material that's retained in the process captured and used is 99 23 24 percent, fine, it may be occasionally less than that. 25 Okay. And, for example, if your conversion 0.

1	is low, aren't there other options than recovering and
2	recycling?
3	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
4	A. I'm not exactly sure how to answer that
5	question. If the conversion is low, then you would
б	have to refer to a specific process. Recovery and
7	recycle is an option.
8	Q. It's just one option though. There are
9	others, aren't there?
10	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form
11	foundation.
12	A. It depends on the process, right.
13	Q. And I want to go back, let's talk about the
14	dehydrohalogenation of fluorinated alkanes we were
15	talking about earlier. A necessary result of that
16	chemical reaction is the production of potassium
17	fluoride, right?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. And if conversion is high, you said
20	there's sometimes one hundred percent conversion, then
21	there would be a high amount of potassium fluoride
22	coming out of that reaction, correct?
23	A. The reaction of a basic agent like
24	potassium hydroxide to dehydrohalogenation, that's
25	stoichiometric chemistry.

1	So the production of KF by
2	dehydrofluorination would correspond to the degree of
3	conversion.
4	Q. Right. You know it's going to come out on
5	the other end?
б	A. It's chemistry, exactly.
7	Q. Right. Stoichiometry requires that?
8	A. Exactly.
9	Q. Okay. So wouldn't there also be options,
10	other than recovering and recycling that potassium
11	fluoride?
12	For example, if the price of potassium
13	fluoride is higher than the price of potassium
14	hydroxide, wouldn't it make sense for the manufacturer
15	to sell the potassium fluoride?
16	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
17	foundation.
18	A. There may be situations where you have
19	value for the potassium fluoride without converting it
20	back to potassium hydroxide with lime, yes.
21	Q. And particularly in commercializing the
22	process, wouldn't the cost to not only to do the actual
23	recycling or conversion of the KF, that would be a
24	consideration, but wouldn't the equipment also be a
25	cost consideration before making an investment?

Objection, form and 1 MR. BEAUPRE: 2 foundation. Those are all considerations when 3 Α. 4 developing a commercial process. Including the capital investment? 5 Q. 6 Α. Absolutely. 7 0. We touched on this earlier, what is 8 dehydrohalogenation? 9 Dehydrohalogenation is the removal of HX. Α. 10 0. Right. MR. BEAUPRE: Counsel, would it make 11 sense to take a break if we could do that? 12 13 MR. ROSE: Yeah, that's fine. 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 15 record, the time is 11:22. 16 (Recess.) 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 18 record, the time is 11:34. 19 BY MR. ROSE: 20 Q. Welcome back, Dr. Manzer. Before the 21 break, we were talking about dehydrohalogenation, and 22 you said you would define that as the removal of HX, and just for clarity, what is the X in the HX? 23 24 Α. It would be a halogen. 25 And turning to your report, I'm sorry, your Ο.

1	declaration	. you did not provide a definition of
2	dehydrohalo	genation in your report, is there a reason
3	for that?	
4	Α.	I guess I didn't, because to me it's a
5	pretty obvi	ous term, dehydrohalogenation is
6	dehydrohalo	genation.
7	Q.	And similarly, you don't have a
8	construction	n for dehydrofluorination, and that would
9	just be the	removal of hydrogen and fluorine?
10	Α.	HF.
11	Q.	HF?
12	Α.	Yes.
13		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
14	Q.	In that reaction, is the HF coming off as
15	HF, or is i	t coming off separately as hydrogen
16	fluorine?	
17		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
18	Α.	Dehydrofluorination, as it relates to the
19	patent, use	s a base and would make KF.
20	Q.	Right. So there's a hydrogen ion being
21	removed and	a fluorine ion being removed, correct?
22	Α.	And reacting with KOH to make water.
23	Q.	To make water?
24	Α.	And KF.
25	Q.	And KF.

1 Α. Right. 2 0. So when you say the removal of HF, it's the removal of an H and an F? 3 4 Α. Removal of H and F. Right. And you didn't -- strike that. 5 Q. 6 That's the plain and ordinary meaning to 7 you of dehydrofluorination, right? 8 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form. 9 Α. One can dehydrofluorinate with an agent, or 10 one can do it catalytically by removal of HF. 11 But the '282 patent doesn't describe it Ο. 12 being done catalytically, does it? 13 Α. No, it's a dehydrohal -- dehydrofluorine is 14 the agent, so that would imply the use of a base, a 15 base-mediated reaction. 16 So as those terms are used in the claims of 0. 17 the '282 patent, will you understand them to have the plain and ordinary meaning of just the removal of the H 18 19 and the halogen, or does that term have a specific 20 meaning, as used in the '282 patent? 21 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. 22 Α. Yeah, please repeat that question for me? 23 MR. ROSE: Can you read it back? 24 (Record read.) 25 And the terms that are referred to? Α.

1	Q. Dehydrohalogenation and
2	dehydrofluorination.
3	A. Dehydrohalogenation and dehydrofluorination
4	are the removal of HX or HF.
5	Q. Right, but it's only described being done
б	in one manner in the '282 patent, correct?
7	A. It's described as being done in the
8	presence of an agent, which is dehydrohalogenation
9	agent.
10	Q. So when you refer to a catalytic removal,
11	that's not what you would understand to be within the
12	meaning of dehydrohalogenation or dehydrofluorination
13	in the '282 patent, is that correct?
14	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
15	A. It's not done by a dehydrohalogenating
16	agent.
17	Q. Right. So I guess my question to you is,
18	does the term dehydrohalogenation, as used in the
19	claims of the '282 patent, do you interpret that as
20	meaning any removal of a hydrogen and a halogen,
21	including removal by a catalyst, or is it used more
22	narrowly in the '282 patent?
23	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
24	A. It's used narrowly in terms of the use of a
25	dehydrohalogenating agent. In other words, a

1	stoichiomet	ric removal presence of an agent or base.
2	Q.	You also strike that.
3		What do you understand the word purifying
4	to mean?	
5		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
6	found	ation.
7	Α.	The word purifying is an ordinary meaning
8	and that is	to purify.
9	Q.	Do you have any understanding of what that
10	means, othe	r than its own word?
11		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
12	Α.	No, to me it has the interpretation I
13	mean, it ha	s the meaning of the word to purify,
14	purification	ns.
15	Q.	Does it require removal of anything?
16		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
17	Α.	A form of purifying could mean removal of
18	something.	
19	Q.	But you don't have any other definition,
20	any definit	ion of purifying, other than the word
21	itself, cor:	rect?
22		MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
23	Α.	No, I don't.
24	Q.	You also provide a definition of the term
25	spent DHA a	nd spent KOH, correct?

1 Α. That's correct. 2 Q. How does one spend KOH? 3 Objection, form and MR. BEAUPRE: 4 foundation. Well, I define the term spent, spent DHA 5 Α. 6 not spend. 7 0. Well, if something is spent, doesn't that 8 mean --9 Α. Well, I haven't defined that, but spent DHA 10 is unreacted DHA or specifically KOH that has been 11 through the dehydrohalogenation reaction unreacted. 12 Couldn't that also include KOH that has 0. 13 been reacted? 14 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. 15 Α. No, spent KOH is spent KOH. Spent KOH is 16 not a byproduct salt. And the reaction of the KOH that results in 17 0. 18 KF, hasn't that potassium hydroxide been spent to create another molecule? 19 20 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form. 21 Α. The KOH has been reacted to make water and 22 byproduct salt. 23 Right. So when it reacts, is it not being 0. 24 spent? 25 MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.

1	A. Not by my definition. My definition was
2	that it goes through the reaction unreacted.
3	Q. That it remains as
4	A. It remains as KOH.
5	Q. As KOH. Could it also include KF?
б	A. No, it's KOH.
7	Q. Do you see anything in the '282 patent that
8	would be contradictory to that?
9	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
10	A. Well, the terms in the '282 patent indicate
11	that spent DHA and byproduct salts are referred to as
12	two separate components, therefore
13	Q. You state in your declaration at paragraph
14	45, seven lines in, you state: In the general context
15	of dehydrohalogenation reactions, spent DHA or KOH
16	could mean DHA or KOH that has been converted to a
17	byproduct salt, such as KF, through reaction, correct?
18	A. Yes, that's what it says.
19	Q. Okay. So it could mean the KF?
20	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
21	BY MR. ROSE:
22	Q. Correct?
23	A. In the general context, in paragraph 45, it
24	says that it could mean DHA that has been converted to
25	a byproduct salt.

1	Q. So it could be reasonable or would be
2	reasonable to include KOH and KF as spent KOH, isn't
3	that right?
4	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
5	objection, foundation.
6	A. I don't consider KF to be spent KOH. I say
7	in 45 there, you know, that's the general context,
8	that's an interpretation, but I consider KF to be KF
9	and spent KOH to be KOH that has gone through the
10	process unreacted.
11	Q. My question is, wouldn't it also be
12	reasonable to interpret it I know you haven't
13	interpreted it this way but it would be reasonable
14	to interpret the term spent KOH to include KF and
15	unreacted KOH?
16	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
17	foundation.
18	A. That is one interpretation.
19	Q. And if you will, look at the examples in
20	the '282 patent, which is Exhibit 1001, and
21	particularly the examples begin in column 15.
22	A. Sorry. Okay, I'm there.
23	Q. And just by way of background, the relative
24	solubilities between KH and KOH, are they similar?
25	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and

foundation. 1 2 Α. They are both soluble in water, but to 3 different degrees. 4 Is there a significant difference in their 0. 5 solubility? 6 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form. 7 Α. I would have to look up the solubilities of each one of those. 8 9 And referring to example one, and read as 0. 10 much of it as you need to, but in particular, after the 11 reaction, going to the paragraph beginning at line 44 12 in column 15, it states: Scrubber product collection 13 cylinder was used to collect spent KOH and the portion 14 of organic that was carried out with the spent KOH. 15 There's no discussion there of removal for 16 separation of KF from KOH in that example, is there? 17 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. 18 There is no discussion of KF in that Α. 19 example, in that section. 20 Q. So in that example, the KF and the KOH 21 would both be collected together, correct? 22 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and 23 foundation. 24 Α. They would be part of the aqueous stream 25 that was collected.

1	Q. So when they collect the spent KOH, that
2	includes unreacted KOH, the KF, which is the result of
3	the reaction, and water, correct?
4	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
5	foundation.
б	A. That's an interpretation, yes.
7	Q. Is that a reasonable interpretation?
8	A. That's a reasonable interpretation.
9	Q. And similarly, looking at exhibit, I'm
10	sorry, example three, which begins at the bottom of
11	column 15, carries over to column 16, similarly there's
12	discussion of the removal, beginning at line 11 of
13	column 16: Afterwards, 290 to 300 grams of spent KOH
14	was taken out, and given the relative solubilities in
15	water and no discussion of any steps taken to separate
16	the KF from the KOH in the water, again, that would be
17	reasonable to conclude that the reference to spent KOH
18	is referring to the aqueous solution of both the KOH
19	and the KF and the water that all resulted from that
20	reaction?
21	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
22	foundation.
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. You gave an interpretation in your
25	declarations to the word withdrawing. I believe you

1	referred to that as taking out or removing?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. And then you refer to recovering spent DHA
4	or recovering spent KOH as collecting those components
5	for further use. You see that?
б	A. Yes, I do.
7	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
8	BY MR. ROSE:
9	Q. What do you mean by collecting?
10	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
11	A. I mean I'm collecting for further use.
12	Q. Is collecting any different than taking out
13	or removing or withdrawing?
14	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
15	A. Taking out is taking out or removing.
16	Recovering is collecting for further use. You can
17	withdraw without collecting.
18	Q. Describe for me how do you withdraw
19	something without collecting it?
20	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
21	A. Take a tank full of water, you open up a
22	spigot on the bottom of the tank and dump the water on
23	the grass, you have withdrawn the water, but you've not
24	collected it for any further use.
25	Q. Then you refer to the term recycling,

returning a component of the process to the process, 1 2 okav. In the case of the '282 patent, what is the 3 component that's being returned? MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. 4 So recovering in the context of the '282 5 Α. patent means collecting spent DHA or KOH for further 6 7 use. 8 0. Let's go back and talk about recovering. 9 We've done recycling. Let's talk about recovering 10 again. 11 You say recovering spent DHA, recovering 12 spent KOH is collecting spent DHA or KOH for further 13 But then you go on to say, may include use. separating, purifying, concentrating and/or 14 regenerating, right? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 0. Where did you come up with those as part of 18 your definition for recovering? 19 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form. 20 Α. The '282 patent on page -- let's see, 21 column two, so, please, can I have the question again? 22 (Record read.) 23 The first instance being column two of the Α. '282 patent, line 49, which says -- 49: Instant 24 25 invention relates, at least in part, to a method for

1	increase in cost efficiency for dehydrohalogenation for
2	production of fluorinated olefin by recovering and
3	recycling spent reagent.
4	And if we go to column three in the '282
5	patent, starting at line 15, actually, starting a
б	little bit before that: Withdrawing a reaction stream
7	comprising spent dehydrohalogenating agent and
8	optionally no fluoride salt byproducts and recovering,
9	purifying and/or regenerating spent dehydrohalogenating
10	agent, that's where I came up with that wording, we go
11	to the patent.
12	Q. Okay. But that doesn't that includes
13	purifying and/or regenerating. That language doesn't
14	mention concentrating or separating, does it?
15	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
16	A. It does not the patent does not say
17	concentrating. The patent says recovering, purifying
18	and/or regenerating spent dehydrohalogenating agent.
19	Q. Right. So recovering, isn't that listed in
20	a series of things? Recovering, purifying and/or
21	regenerating, aren't those three separate activities?
22	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
23	A. Those are examples of recovering for
24	further use.
25	Q. You don't read that as being three separate

1	things, recovering, purifying and/or regenerating?
2	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
3	A. I view those terms separating, purifying,
4	concentrating and regenerating as part of the
5	collection process, collecting for further use.
6	Q. You use the word may in your construction,
7	why is that?
8	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
9	BY MR. ROSE:
10	Q. Are there instances where those activities
11	are not part of the recovering process or the
12	collecting process?
13	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
14	A. I think it means that any one of those
15	could be part of a collecting process, but they don't
16	all have to be included.
17	Q. Do any of them?
18	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
19	A. Well, as stated in the patent, yes, some of
20	them do.
21	Q. And you're referring to purifying and
22	regenerating?
23	A. Referring to by separating.
24	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form to
25	that last one.

1	Q. Is the only one that is required is
2	separating?
3	A. No, I said it may include one of those. It
4	may include separating, may include purifying, it may
5	include concentrating and it may include regeneration.
б	Q. All right. I had asked are there instances
7	where those activities are not part of the recovering
8	process or the collecting process. You said, I think
9	it means that any one of those could be a part of the
10	process, but they don't all have to be included, and I
11	asked do any of them, and you said well, as stated in
12	the patent, yes, some of them do.
13	And so my question is, which ones do have
14	to be required or do have to be included?
15	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
16	BY MR. ROSE:
17	Q. When you said some of them do?
18	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
19	A. Separating, purifying, concentrations and
20	regenerating may be used in the collection process.
21	Q. Right. But my question to you was you said
22	some of them do, some of them are required. My
23	question is, which ones are required?
24	A. Oh.
25	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.

1	A. None of them are required. One or more of
2	them may be used.
3	Q. And when I asked for support where you came
4	up with those, you pointed to column three beginning at
5	line 15 that refers to recovering, purifying and/or
6	regenerating. Where did you come up with separating
7	and concentrating?
8	MR. BEAUPRE: Object to form.
9	A. We go to the '282 patent, column 13, line
10	43.
11	Q. Okay.
12	A. It says: The organic free KOH isolate can
13	be immediately recycled to the reactor or can be
14	concentrated, and the concentrated solution can be
15	returned to the reactor.
16	So if I remember your question, you were
17	asking me about concentration?
18	Q. Yeah, and separation.
19	A. It says right there, the organic free
20	isolate can be immediately recycled through the reactor
21	or can be concentrated, and the concentrated solution
22	can be returned to the reactor.
23	Q. And, again, that's a step that's separate
24	from recovering, isn't that correct?
25	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.

1	A. Well, the recovering definition says
2	recovering for further use, which so that recovering
3	step can involve concentration, collecting the spent
4	KOH concentrating.
5	Q. So identifying those terms that you say may
б	be included in recovering, you identified the language
7	at lines 15 through 18 of column three and then
8	beginning at line 43 of column 13, correct?
9	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
10	A. Please repeat that question?
11	(Record read.)
12	A. Once more again?
13	Q. If you like, I can break it up.
14	A. I think I got it. Let me just maybe
15	I'll ask you to do that.
16	(Record read.)
17	A. Yes, that's correct.
18	Q. And in preparing your report, did you find
19	those references on your own, or is that something that
20	counsel suggested to you should be included in the term
21	recovering?
22	A. No, I found those references on my own.
23	Q. And then moving on to the term recycle, you
24	touched on it briefly earlier, you construe recycling,
25	or to recycle as returning a component of the process

1	to the proc	ess?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	Sorry, let me give you time to get back to
4	your declar	ation.
5	Α.	Returning a component of the process to the
б	process, co	rrect.
7	Q.	My question is, what is the component
8	that's bein	g returned?
9		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
10	Α.	The component that's being returned is in
11	the patent,	line 13, column 33 once again, the organic
12	free KOH is	olate can be immediately recycled to the
13	reactor or	can be concentrated and the concentrated
14	solution re	turned to the reactor.
15	Q.	So is it the KOH that's being recycled or
16	is it the K	?
17		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
18	Α.	It is the spent KOH.
19	Q.	Which you define as just unreacted KOH,
20	correct?	
21	Α.	It's KOH that had been through the
22	dehydrohalo	genation process, unreacted.
23	Q.	Unreacted. But we've already discussed
24	that a reas	onable interpretation could also include for
25	the term sp	ent KOH the KF that comes out as a result of

the reaction, correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Objection, form, MR. BEAUPRE: mischaracterizes. The entire purpose of the patent is to make Α. the process more cost effective and cost efficient. ΚF is present in a stoichiometric amount of the haloalkane that's been dehydrohalogenated. So it would be logical to assume that one would want to convert the KF and the K, as you've indicated, back to potassium hydroxide for recycle. Right. So in that instance, for example, 0. if KF comes out of the reaction that potassium -- the goal was to recycle that potassium back to the process, correct? MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and foundation. Α. That is one option is to recover the potassium from the potassium chloride in a process that regenerates the potassium hydroxide, which can be used in an earlier part of the process or recycled to the process. Right. And so, for example, the potassium 0. fluoride can be reacted with calcium hydroxide to introduce a new hydroxide stoichiometrically, and then

25 it goes back to the system as KOH, is that recycling?

Page 95

1	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
2	foundation.
3	A. That is recovering for further use. That
4	further use is regenerating KOH from the KF.
5	Q. So in that instance, if, for example, if we
6	had, and we talked about instances of conversion, a
7	high instance of conversion, where what comes out of
8	the reaction is just KF, that's then converted to KOH
9	and sent to the reactor, that would not constitute
10	recycling, would it?
11	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form,
12	foundation, incomplete hypothetical.
13	A. That is a hypothetical question. You said,
14	I think, the only thing that came out of the reactor
15	was KF.
16	Q. Right. Yeah, it's hypothetical. You're an
17	expert. I want your opinion on whether that would
18	constitute recycling?
19	A. That wouldn't be the only thing that came
20	out of the reactor.
21	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objections.
22	BY MR. ROSE:
23	Q. The KF that does come out of the reactor
24	that is then reacted with calcium hydroxide, it then is
25	KOH, it then goes back to the reactor, is that

Page 97

٦

1	recycling?
2	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form,
3	foundation and incomplete hypothetical.
4	A. It is recycling the potassium part of
5	the
6	Q. And that's getting back to my original
7	question. What is the component that's being recycled?
8	Is it the potassium that's being recycled, or is it the
9	potassium hydroxide that's being recycled?
10	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objections.
11	A. The potassium fluoride is reacting with
12	lime to generate calcium difluoride, which is removed
13	and regenerates KOH, which is then could be then
14	recycled to the process.
15	Q. So the component being recycled could
16	include regenerated KOH?
17	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objections.
18	A. Recovered potassium.
19	Q. So a component, in using your definition of
20	recycle, the component, when you say returning a
21	component of the process to the process, that could
22	include potassium by itself?
23	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form,
24	foundation and incomplete hypothetical.
25	A. Potassium is present as a salt. There's an

1	annine that goes along with it, and that would, in this
2	case, be hydroxide, which is the useful version of the
3	potassium.
4	Q. Right. But that hydroxide annine is not
5	being recycled, just the potassium cation, is being
6	recycled?
7	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objections.
8	BY MR. ROSE:
9	Q. Is that correct?
10	A. The hydroxide in the potassium hydroxide
11	that is returned to the process after the conversion of
12	KF is coming from the calcium hydroxide lime that is
13	added to the regeneration step.
14	So the potassium has been through the
15	process and is recovered, the OH, not necessarily.
16	Q. Right. So the hydroxide ion is not being
17	recycled. The potassium cation is being recycled?
18	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objections.
19	A. If it comes from KF through a reaction with
20	calcium hydroxide.
21	Q. The next term you discuss is
22	dehydrofluorinated product stream. You construe that
23	to mean a volume of material resulting from
24	dehydrofluorination, including dehydrofluorinated
25	organic products?

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. And first of all, how where did you come
3	up with the term volume?
4	A. For me, it was just if it's a stream, it's
5	a volume, and that's what it meant to me.
6	Q. Does stream connote a continuous or
7	semi-continuous process?
8	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form,
9	foundation.
10	A. Product stream dehydrofluorinated
11	product stream could come from either a continuous,
12	semi-continuous or batch process.
13	Q. So to use your analogy before about a
14	barrel of water and withdrawing it, if I were to take a
15	bucket and scoop a bucket of water out of that barrel,
16	is that a stream?
17	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form,
18	incomplete hypothetical.
19	A. The volume of material, yes.
20	Q. It would be volume, but would it also be a
21	stream?
22	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objections.
23	A. Well, in that analogy, I just presented you
24	with material with a bucket with a container of water,
25	but as it applies to this process, we have a stream

Page	100
------	-----

1	from the reactor, the dehydrofluorinated product
2	stream, and it is from the reactor, and so it's a
3	volume of material resulting from that
4	dehydrofluorination process.
5	Q. Doesn't a stream have to flow?
6	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form,
7	foundation.
8	A. Typically.
9	Q. Right. So that's what I'm saying is
10	starting with your example of a container of water, I
11	stick a bucket in and walk away with it. I now have a
12	volume of water. Do I also have a stream of water?
13	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objections, plus
14	incomplete hypothetical.
15	A. You have a volume of material.
16	Q. Whatever was in the container, I now have a
17	volume of it, but the question is that's different than
18	having a stream of that material, isn't it?
19	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objections.
20	A. I guess I'm not fully understanding where
21	this is going.
22	The dehydrofluorinated product stream is a
23	stream that has been removed, withdrawn from the
24	reactor. And if you segregate that into different
25	sections, you have different volumes in that stream at

1	any given point in time.
2	Q. Okay. And it's not intended to go
3	anywhere. I'm just trying to understand how the term
4	stream equates with volume, because to me, they mean
5	two different things, and I want to get an
б	understanding of how volume is the definition of
7	stream?
8	A. I was simply meaning that the stream, which
9	you're right, implies that it's flowing, and the volume
10	of material is a part of that stream that you're
11	collecting. It could include the entire stream you are
12	collecting for further use. So that stream is flowing,
13	and it's being collected somewhere for further use,
14	otherwise it's being discarded.
15	So it could include, you know, if you ran
16	this in a batch process, it could include everything
17	that flowed out of the reactor and was collected in a
18	bucket, which would then be the volume of material,
19	that's what I meant.
20	Q. And you say in your definition, a volume of
21	material resulting from dehydrofluorination, including
22	dehydrofluorinated organic products. What are the
23	products you're referring to?
24	A. The dehydrofluorinated organic products
25	would be the 1234 and the 1225, and, yes, those would

1	be the dehydrofluorinated organic products.
2	Q. And then the next term is product reaction
3	stream, second product stream or reaction stream, and
4	you refer to that as a volume of material, including
5	spent DHA that may also include byproducts, salts and
6	dissolved organics, correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. And without having to go through the
9	whole discussion again, your rationale for using the
10	word volume instead of stream is the same for this term
11	as it was for the term we just discussed?
12	MR. BEAUPRE: Object to form.
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And then you say including spent DHA,
15	that's using your definition set forth previously,
16	meaning unreacted DHA remaining after the
17	dehydrohalogenation reaction?
18	A. Yes, because I differentiate that from the
19	term that may also include byproduct salts.
20	Q. Right. And as we discussed, one
21	interpretation of spent DHA could include the byproduct
22	salts as well as unreactive KOH, correct?
23	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form,
24	foundation and mischaracterization of
25	previous testimony.

1	A. Yeah, please rephrase that?
2	Q. Yeah, we already discussed before, remember
3	we were looking at the examples where it talks about
4	spent KOH, so if well, strike that.
5	The spent DHA, in one reasonable
6	interpretation, could include unreacted DHA as well as
7	the salt of the reaction?
8	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, foundation,
9	form and mischaracterization of previous
10	testimony.
11	A. The product reaction stream does not
12	include the regenerated KOH from KF. It contains DHA
13	unreacted KOH, for example, and byproduct salts.
14	Byproducts salts meaning KF and not byproduct salts
15	that had been further converted.
16	Q. Right, I understand that. What I'm asking
17	though is if you recall our discussion regarding the
18	examples where the term spent KOH is used and includes
19	unreacted KOH and the KF, if that's the interpretation
20	of spent KOH, then the product reaction stream would be
21	a volume of material including spent KOH and dissolved
22	organics?
23	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form,
24	foundation and mischaracterization of prior
25	testimony and incomplete hypothetical.

1	A. I may be missing it, but I don't see
2	anywhere in the examples where it talks about the KOH
3	regenerating from the byproduct salt being turned to
4	the recycled to the process. If I'm missing
5	something
6	Q. No. Let's move on to the next component of
7	your construction. It says: And dissolved organics
8	being in the product reaction stream. Do you see that?
9	A. Dissolved organics would be organics that
10	are dissolved in the stream.
11	Q. Okay. And that gets to the next term you
12	construe which is dissolved organics?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Okay. And you construe that as organic
15	that is dissolved in a stream. Can you tell me what
16	does dissolved means?
17	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
18	A. Dissolved means it's a homogeneous
19	component of that stream, not a separate phase.
20	Q. Are the organics actually soluble in water?
21	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
22	A. I don't believe the organics are soluble in
23	water.
24	Q. Are they entrained in the water?
25	MR. ROSE: Same objection.

1	A. Coming out of the reactor, there may be
2	organics entrained with the water, not in the water.
3	Q. Okay. Entrained with the water, but they
4	are not actually dissolved as being soluble, correct?
5	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
6	A. I don't believe they are soluble in the
7	water.
8	MR. ROSE: Now is probably a decent
9	time to break for lunch. I'm getting into a
10	new area.
11	MR. BEAUPRE: Sounds good.
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
13	record, the time is 12:33.
14	(Luncheon recess.)
15	(Continued on next page.)
16	
17	
18	
1 0	
Т9	
19 20	
19 20 21	
19 20 21 22	
19 20 21 22 23	
19 20 21 22 23 24	

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
3	record, the time is 1331.
4	BY MR. ROSE:
5	Q. Welcome back, Dr. Manzer. I want to take
6	you back to your declaration, in particular starting in
7	paragraph 45, which in the 915 case is on page 23.
8	A. Yes, I'm there.
9	Q. I'm referring to the line beginning after
10	the chemical equations you have. We talked a little
11	bit about this before. You say in the general context
12	of dehydrohalogenation, reaction spent DHA or KOH could
13	mean DHA or KOH that has been converted to a byproduct
14	salt, which is KF through a reaction. I want to have
15	an understanding of what do you mean by a byproduct?
16	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
17	A. A byproduct is actually byproduct, in my
18	mind, is a minor component of a process. Co-product is
19	something that is produced along with the desired
20	product.
21	Q. So when you say a byproduct is minor, do
22	you mean minor as far as the volume of it gets created,
23	or what do you mean by minor?
24	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
25	A. What I mean is it's usually considered to

1	be less than the stoichiometric amount that one would
2	expect a reaction and not the product of interest.
3	Q. So in the interest of the
4	dehydrohalogenation using KOH that we're talking about
5	here, is the KF that's produced by that reaction is
б	that not in a stoichiometric amount?
7	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
8	A. It is in a stoichiometric amount. It's
9	often called byproduct, but I would refer to it as
10	co-product.
11	Q. So it is a stoichiometric and so more
12	accurately would be characterized as a co-product?
13	A. Yes, in my opinion. Stoichiometric
14	relative to the amount relative to the organic that's
15	converted.
16	Q. Right. And then moving on to paragraph 50,
17	you start that paragraph with a statement: In general,
18	it is desirable to recover valuable reactants. Do you
19	see that?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Is that always the case in the terms of
22	recovery, as you've used it, which includes separating,
23	purifying, concentrating and/or regenerating? What
24	form of recovery are you referring to there?
25	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.

Раде	108	
I agu	100	

1	A. I'm referring to materials that are
2	collected for further use, and that would include
3	unreacted starting material, which is a valuable
4	reactant.
5	Q. Right. Could it also include co-products?
б	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
7	A. Well, the term says recovering valuable
8	reactants, not reagents. But if KF is a valuable
9	product, it could include recovering KF for further
10	use.
11	Q. By the way, in that sentence, it is
12	generally desirable to recover. Is it generally the
13	desire to also purify, concentrate and regenerate?
14	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
15	A. Well, it's desirable to recover for further
16	use, and that could include concentrating and the other
17	terms that you mentioned.
18	Q. One would need to evaluate the overall
19	economics of the process before deciding if you wanted
20	to do those other steps of purifying, concentrating and
21	regenerating, correct?
22	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
23	incomplete hypothetical.
24	A. One can recover various materials from the
25	reaction. Some may be valuable, some may not be
1 valuable. 2 0. Right, so someone --3 Α. But that's an economic -- excuse me -- but 4 that's an economic consideration. 5 Right. That was all I was asking was in 0. order to decide if you want to recover in the sense of 6 7 purifying, concentrating and regenerating, one would need to evaluate the overall economics of the 8 9 situation? 10 That's correct. Α. 11 Direct you to the '282 patent, if I could. 0. 12 If you don't have it --13 Α. I have it right here. 14 And particularly referring to the claims, 0. 15 and I'm looking at column 16 of claim one. 16 Α. I'm there. 17 0. And the first step is hydrogenating 18 starting material stream comprising at least one alkane 19 according to formula one. And so would you refer to 20 that as the hydrogenation step of the overall process? 21 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. 22 Yes, the hydrogenation step would refer to Α. 23 hydrogenating the material of that general formula. And that general formula would include 24 0. 25 what's known as HFP?

That's correct. 1 Α. 2 And then after hydrogenating, if you read 0. 3 down the claim, it says: To produce an intermediate 4 product stream comprising of at least one alkane, 5 according to the formula two. Do you see where that 6 is? 7 Α. This is in section B? 8 0. We're not into B yet. We're still in A. 9 Oh, okay. Hydrogenating. Α. 10 We started with one alkane according to 0. formula one? 11 12 Α. Okay. 13 And then the contact, that starting Q. 14 material with a reducing agent to produce an 15 intermediate product stream comprising at least one alkane according to formula two? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 And would that include under formula two 0. 19 what you've already referred to today as 236 and 245? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And then the dehydrohalogenation step that 0. 22 we've been talking about that takes place down at C, 23 correct? 24 Α. Yes. 25 And that is defluorinating(sic) the 0.

1	product strike that.
2	Defluorinating(sic) in the presence of a
3	dehydrohalogenating agent and there you're
4	defluorinating the product of formula two, correct?
5	A. You're dehydrofluorinating.
б	Q. Correct, thank you.
7	A. Formula two, that's correct.
8	Q. Right. So in the example I just gave you
9	would be dehydrofluorinating the 236 and/or the 245?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. And in that reaction, what
12	conversion percent would you consider to be acceptable?
13	MR. BEAUPRE: Form and foundation.
14	A. Once again, that's an economic
15	consideration.
16	Q. Do you, from your experience at DuPont and
17	in the work that you have done in commercializing
18	processes that you developed or invented in your
19	laboratory, do you have any understanding as to what
20	would be an acceptable on a commercial level conversion
21	percentage?
22	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection, form,
23	foundation and incomplete hypothetical.
24	A. There's no answer to that question without
25	knowing what the results of that dehydrohalogenation

1	step, the conversion is. If the conversion, as you go
2	up, as the conversion gets to some level where you make
3	more byproducts, then that would be unacceptable
4	potentially. So you have to look at the overall
5	selectivity in addition to the conversion and the
6	rates.
7	Q. So for the particular process of
8	dehydrofluorinating 236 or 245, you don't know, as you
9	sit here today, what would be a commercially acceptable
10	conversion percentage?
11	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objections.
12	A. I can't, I can't give you an answer to
13	that.
14	Q. Okay. And in following that reaction, that
15	would produce what we've already talked about today as
16	the 1234 and the 1225?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. Okay. And so when we talk about
19	selectivity, if the desired product is 1234, what does
20	selectivity mean in that context?
21	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
22	A. 1234 is derived from 245, so the conversion
23	of 245 would result from how much of the 245 is fed to
24	the reactor is converted to something. If that
25	something is all 1234, then you would have very high

Daga	11	2
rage	11	J

1	selectivity. If that conversion related in only
2	trivial amounts of the 1234, then that would be low
3	selectivity and undesirable situation to be in.
4	Q. And how is the 1225 made through that
5	reaction? Is that from the 236?
б	A. 1225 is derived from 245.
7	Q. Did you just say that the 1234 is also
8	derived from the 245?
9	THE WITNESS: Repeat that again,
10	please?
11	(Record read.)
12	A. I didn't say it's also maybe I did. I
13	said it's derived from 245.
14	Q. Right. So both 1225 and 1234 are derived
15	from 245?
16	A. 1225 is not directly derived from 245.
17	Q. Okay. Can you explain that?
18	A. Yes. 245 is dehydrofluorinated to 12
19	I'm sorry, 245 236 I'm getting confused, okay.
20	So please ask the question again here.
21	Q. Okay. 1234 is derived from 245?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. What is 1225 derived from?
~ 4	Λ 100E is deviated from 006
24	A. 1225 IS derived from 250.

1	column 15 at line, the end of line 53, when talking
2	about example one, it states: Average conversion was
3	48 percent, selectivity of 1234 was 73 percent. Do you
4	see that?
5	A. Yes.
б	Q. So does that mean that the 48 percent, does
7	that mean that 48 percent of the KOH that was used
8	strike that.
9	Does that mean that 48 percent of the KOH
10	introduced was actually used?
11	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
12	A. I think that term is referring to the
13	organic conversion. The organic conversion could lead
14	to byproducts that don't require KOH and be thermal
15	conversions.
16	So the conversion, I believe we're talking
17	about here is the conversion of the 245.
18	Q. So what you're saying is that what this
19	means is that 48 percent of the 245 that was introduced
20	was converted to 1234?
21	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
22	Q. Is that how you interpret that?
23	A. No, I'm saying 48 percent of the 245 that
24	was introduced was converted.
25	Q. Right. I thought that's what I said.

1	Maybe I misspoke.
2	A. No, I think you said to 1234, if I'm not
3	mistaken.
4	Q. Understood. Thank you for the correction.
5	So what that means is 48 percent of the 245
б	was converted?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. Of the amount that was converted, 73
9	percent was converted to 1234YF?
10	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
11	A. 73 percent of the 245 was converted, was
12	converted to 1234.
13	Q. And do you have any understanding of what
14	the remaining 245 that would be converted would have
15	been converted to?
16	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
17	A. No, it's not specific as to where the other
18	27 percent of the converted material is going to.
19	Q. Would 73 percent selectivity be acceptable
20	in a commercial setting?
21	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, foundation,
22	form, incomplete hypothetical.
23	A. Once again it depends on the economics of
24	the process.
25	Q. So based on your history with DuPont, you

1	don't know in this situation to make this product if
2	that would be commercially viable?
3	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objections.
4	A. In this situation, without additional
5	information, I wouldn't know.
6	Q. Okay. Let's go ahead and take a look at
7	the Smith reference.
8	A. Do you happen to know the exhibit number?
9	Q. I'm sorry, it's Exhibit 1003.
10	A. All right. I have it.
11	Q. And Smith is one of the references that you
12	rely on as prior art in each of the petitions, is that
13	correct? In each of your declarations?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. And turning past to the third page of
16	Smith strike that.
17	Go back to the second page of Smith, the
18	last paragraph, there are descriptions for preparing
19	1234, do you see that, and 1225?
20	MR. BEAUPRE: You mean the page with
21	zeros and the two?
22	Q. Sorry, it will help them in this one
23	because they don't match up. I'm referring to the
24	stamped number in the corner, which is a page with a
25	bunch of zeros. Sorry about that.

1	A. So which page are you talking about?
2	Q. 00002.
3	A. Two, okay.
4	Q. And the discussion begins describing
5	conversion of 245 to 1234. Do you see that?
6	A. Which line are you referring to there?
7	Q. I'm referring to the paragraph that begins
8	at the bottom of page 00002 and carries over to the
9	next page.
10	A. The second invention? Beginning with the
11	second invention?
12	Q. Beginning with the subject invention.
13	A. Subject, I'm sorry, subject, yes.
14	Q. That's a description of the process or a
15	process for converting 245 to 1234, correct?
16	A. Yes, that's one of the processes he
17	identifies, yes.
18	Q. And in the third full paragraph of page
19	00003, it states: In any of the aspects of the process
20	of the invention described herein, the products from
21	step A, B, C and/or D may be subjected to a
22	purifications step. Do you see that?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. What did you understand that purification
25	step to be?

1		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
2	Α.	It's not specific, but I would assume it
3	would be an	y purification step that accomplishes
4	purificatio	ns.
5	Q.	What are the products from steps A, B, C
б	and/or D?	
7		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
8	Α.	Well, okay, so steps A and C are
9	hydrogenati	on steps, and steps D B and D are
10	dehydrofluo	rination steps.
11	Q.	So what would the products of A and C be?
12		MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
13	Α.	The product of A, which starts with
14	specificall	y HFP and hydrogen, would be 236.
15	Q.	Okay.
16	Α.	The product of step C, which is starting
17	material th	ere is 1225 with hydrogen would give 245,
18	and the pro	duct of step B would be dehydrofluorinating
19	236, which	would give 1225, and the product of step D,
20	dehydrofluo	rinating 245, as it says, would give 1234.
21	Q.	And then we talked about the purifications
22	of those pr	oducts. Smith goes on to say in that third
23	full paragr	aph on page 0003: The purification may be
24	achieved, f	or example, by separation of the desired
25	products.	Separation was one of the terms you used in

1	defining recovery, correct?
2	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
3	A. The products of those forward actions are
4	recovered for further use. One of those uses can be
5	separation, could be purification, could be a number of
б	things.
7	Q. Okay. That's where I direct my question,
8	is purification a form of recovery?
9	A. Purification is a use or a follow-up step
10	for a recovered product.
11	Q. Okay. You know what are the well,
12	strike that.
13	Go down two more paragraphs, and there's a
14	statement: Alternatively, the process may be carried
15	out in a semi-batch manner. Do you know what's meant
16	in a semi-batch manner?
17	A. Yes, a semi-batch manner is not a
18	continuous manner.
19	Q. What's the difference then between
20	semi-batch and batch?
21	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
22	A. Batch process is a process where the
23	materials are charged to the reactor. They are reacted
24	and then the products are recovered and recycled.
25	Semi-continuous process would be where

1	materials are charged to the reactor and for a period
2	of time, there's some operation taking place beyond the
3	initial charge to the reactor.
4	Q. So in a batch, the charts that are reacted
5	then are reacted, and then are recovered and recycled.
б	Products are recovered and recycled.
7	A. They are recovered and recovered for
8	further use. One of those uses might be recycle.
9	Q. And then what is semi-batch?
10	A. Well, semi-batch is where you charge
11	reactants to a reactor, run it for a period of time,
12	you may be adding an additional reactant during that
13	period of time, but at some point you stop the
14	reaction. So it's run sort of continuously for a
15	period of time and then stopped, so semi-batch.
16	Q. Now, what's being described as being
17	purified by separation and other activities in that
18	third paragraph on page 003, that's not that doesn't
19	identify byproducts as being purified, correct?
20	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
21	foundation.
22	A. That paragraph does not mention anything
23	about byproducts.
24	Q. Does Smith discuss the recovery of any
25	byproducts?

1	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
2	A. Now we're referring to byproducts or
3	co-products?
4	Q. Well, in your report, you refer to
5	byproducts. So my question is to byproducts.
6	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
7	A. On page three, Smith paragraph three
8	there it says: Alternatively, following optional
9	purification to remove unwanted byproducts such as
10	254EB.
11	Q. You're on page 0004?
12	A. 0004, I'm sorry, yes. So he mentions the
13	removal of unwanted byproducts.
14	Q. Does that fall under the characterization
15	as being recovered?
16	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
17	A. It would have been a step to recover
18	products from the reactor and a follow-up step of
19	purification. And one of those purification steps
20	following the recovery is to remove the unwanted
21	byproducts by well, just to remove the unwanted
22	byproducts.
23	Q. Right. So what you're doing is you're
24	recovering the product, not the byproduct, correct?
25	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.

I AYC 144

1	A. Well, you're recovering the product and the
2	byproduct, and then you're purifying the product by
3	removing the unwanted byproduct.
4	Q. But you don't do any purification or
5	separation or any of those other terms that fall into
6	your definition of recovery? You're not applying those
7	to the byproduct, are you?
8	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
9	A. The byproduct is recovered the byproduct
10	is part of the stream containing the 245 that is
11	recovered for subsequent step, which involves
12	purification of that organic stream.
13	Q. So the recovery includes the product and
14	the byproduct?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. Okay. Can recovery also include a product
17	and a co-product?
18	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
19	A. Recovery could include the byproduct and
20	the desired product and byproducts as well.
21	Q. And co-products?
22	A. Co-products, byproducts and products.
23	Q. Okay. Now, Smith talks about a gas phase
24	reaction, correct?
25	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.

1	A. Which part of Smith are you referring to?
2	Q. Well, let's turn to page 0006, beginning
3	with the first full paragraph, the
4	dehydrofluorinization(sic) may be induced thermally may
5	be base mediated and/or may be catalyzed by any
6	suitable catalyst.
7	A. I'm sorry that was on six, right?
8	Q. 0006?
9	A. 0006.
10	Q. I'm looking at the first full paragraph.
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Dehydrofluorinization(sic) may be induced
13	thermally, may be base mediated or may be catalyzed by
14	any suitable catalyst. Do you see that?
15	A. That's correct.
16	Q. Do you understand the catalyzed process?
17	A. I do.
18	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
19	Q. Well, do you understand what they're
20	referring to as catalyzed by any suitable catalyst?
21	A. I understand that term.
22	Q. Yes. What is that process?
23	A. It is passing reactants over a catalyst to
24	effect the dehydrofluorination.
25	Q. And when you say passing them over, you're

1	passing them over in a gaseous form, correct?
2	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
3	A. It's not specific. It could be a gas form
4	or liquid form, but you got a catalyst in a reactor,
5	and you're passing the starting material over that
б	catalyst to make a product. It could be done either in
7	a gas phase or it could be done in a liquid phase.
8	Q. Right. But in Smith, it's being done in a
9	gas phase, correct?
10	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
11	foundation.
12	A. I don't believe Smith is specific to carry
13	out that catalytic reaction, only in the gas phase.
14	Q. Could you take a look at the examples that
15	Smith provides, they start on page 00018. Is example
16	one, is that the gaseous reaction?
17	A. I don't think you can tell whether it's gas
18	phase in the reactive or liquid phase, because he
19	doesn't say what the pressure in that reactor is. He
20	talks about temperature. He doesn't say what the
21	pressure is.
22	Q. He says inlet temperature is 51 degrees C,
23	correct? I'm looking at table one.
24	A. Okay. Inlet temperature is 51 degrees.
25	Q. Do you know what the boiling point is of

1 236? 2 236, according from memory, I seem to Α. believe it's around, it's around 20 to 30 degrees 3 4 centigrade at atmospheric pressure. And how about for 245? 5 0. Α. I think it's a little higher, but I'm not 6 7 sure. It's in that same ballpark. 8 0. So at atmospheric pressure, they would be 9 in the gas phase if the temperature, the reaction was 10 51 degrees C, correct? 11 Objection, form. MR. BEAUPRE: 12 At 51 degrees, an atmospheric pressure, Α. 13 they should be in the vapor phase, gas phase, but it 14 doesn't say that. 15 And, again, looking at example two, the 0. 16 defluorinization(sic) of 236, that's a gas reactor, 17 correct, a gas phase reaction? 18 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. Can't draw that conclusion from the data 19 Α. 20 that's presented. 21 Again, the temperature here looks to me to 0. 22 be between 240 and 320 degrees C? 23 Α. That's correct. 24 0. Do you know what the pressure would need to 25 be in order to have 236 in a liquid form at those

1	temperature	s?
2	Α.	Not offhand I don't.
3	Q.	Pretty high though, right?
4		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
5	Α.	I don't know what the pressure would be.
б	At some pre	ssure, it would force the reaction to be
7	done in a l	iquid phase.
8	Q.	Do you read in the second paragraph under
9	example two	where we first feed gas flow rates?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Doesn't that suggest this is a gas phase
12	reaction?	
13		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
14	Α.	Not at all.
15	Q.	What does that mean, feed gas flow rates?
16		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
17	Α.	It says what it says. The feed gas flow
18	rate was ch	osen such that at contact time of five
19	seconds was	achieved in the reactor, the feed gas, it
20	doesn't say	where that goes. It could go to a
21	progresser	and be pressurized, but based on the flow
22	rates of th	e gas and the volume of the reactor, you can
23	calculate a	contact time.
24	Q.	Right, but it's gas that's flowing, isn't
25	it? It say	s the flow rate of the gas.

1	A. The gas, that's right, but it doesn't say
2	gas into what. It just says the flow rate of gas was
3	chosen to determine the contact time.
4	Q. So what you're saying is it could be that
5	they used a compressor to compress the gas, such that
6	at 300 degrees Celsius, it was nevertheless in liquid
7	form when it went into the reactor?
8	A. That's certainly one possibility.
9	Q. And if it's being fed into the reactor in
10	the liquid form, wouldn't it say feed liquid flow
11	rates?
12	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
13	A. It doesn't say that.
14	Q. So doesn't that suggest to you that it's
15	being fed in a gas phase or vapor phase?
16	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
17	A. The feed gas flow rates were such to
18	maintain the contact time of five seconds in the
19	reactor between the catalyst and the feed mixture. It
20	doesn't say whether the feed mixture in the reactor is
21	a liquid or gas.
22	Q. It does call it feed gas?
22 23	Q. It does call it feed gas? MR. BEAUPRE: Objection.
22 23 24	Q. It does call it feed gas?MR. BEAUPRE: Objection.A. It calls it feed mixture.

www.huseby.com Huseby, Inc. Regional Centers 800-333-2082 Charlotte ~ Atlanta ~ Washington, DC ~ New York ~ Houston ~ San Francisco

1 correct? 2 Α. He used the term feed gas flow rate, that's 3 right. 4 And, again, at that temperature, 0. 5 approximately how many atmospheres would the pressure 6 need to be to maintain a liquid phase? 7 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and asked and answered. 8 9 I don't know without calculating what the Α. 10 pressure would have to be. 11 0. Would it have to be more than three or four 12 atmospheres? 13 Same objections. MR. BEAUPRE: 14 Α. Once again, I would have to go through a 15 calculation to determine that. 16 0. To know whether it's more than three 17 atmospheres? 18 Same objections. MR. BEAUPRE: 19 Α. I'm not going to answer. I'd have to 20 calculate. 21 0. Turn your attention to page 11 of the 22 The second full paragraph starts with: Another Smith. preferred method effecting dehydrofluorination in steps 23 B and D is by contacting 236E and 245EB and/or 245EB 24 25 with a base--(base-mediated defluorination.)

1	Is that what you were referring to in your
2	report as a liquid phase reaction?
3	A. In which part of my report are you
4	referring to?
5	Q. Hold on just a second. Yeah, at paragraph
6	43.
7	A. On page 21?
8	Q. Yeah. Where you say a dehydrohalogenating
9	process using DHA, such as that claimed in the '282
10	patent, generally is a liquid phase process. That's
11	what I'm referring to when I ask do you understand that
12	what's being described in the second full paragraph on
13	page 00012 of Smith, that's a liquid phase process?
14	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
15	A. Okay. So would you repeat the question?
16	Q. Yes. Does that suggest to you that what's
17	being described in the second paragraph of page
18	0012(sic) of Smith is a liquid phase process?
19	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
20	A. It suggests that the base let me get the
21	wording correct here. That the base mediated the
22	hydrohalogenation reaction is conducted in the liquid
23	phase.
24	Q. And do you know what concentrations of KOH
25	Smith uses? If it will help, you can look to page

1	0013.
2	A. Typically present 50 percent based on the
3	total weight of the components, which make up steps B
4	and D, preferably the base is present in an amount five
5	to 30 weight percent.
б	Q. And what is the effect on concentration of
7	KOH in these reactions?
8	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
9	MR. ROSE: Let me reask the question,
10	because I may have misspoken.
11	Q. What is the effect of concentration of KOH
12	on these reactions?
13	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
14	A. Well, typically a POSITA would expect that
15	higher concentrations would provide a faster reaction
16	rate.
17	Q. And so Smith states that preferably, the
18	base is present in an amount of five to thirty percent
19	weight. Do you see that?
20	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to the form.
21	A. By weight of the total weight of the
22	components, yes.
23	Q. And so the POSITA would know that 30 weight
24	percent would be better than five weight percent, for
25	instance, correct?

Page	131
------	-----

1	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form and
2	mischaracterizes.
3	A. POSITA would expect 30 percent higher
4	concentration of the base would be desirable.
5	Q. So, for example, 15 percent would be more
6	desirable than 5 percent, and 30 percent would be more
7	desirable than 15 percent, correct?
8	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objections.
9	A. As I said, the higher the concentration of
10	base, typically the higher concentration would be
11	preferred, typically.
12	Q. Did you, when reaching your opinions in
13	combining the Smith reference with other prior art
14	references, consider the effect of concentration of KOH
15	that might affect the combination?
16	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
17	A. When I looked at the as a POSITA, when I
18	looked at the Smith patent, I was looking at the time
19	frame of October the 12, 2010, all right. And I was
20	sitting there with the problem of how one makes 234,
21	1234. So I go out and I look at the various
22	references, and I see Smith, and Smith teaches one how
23	to hydrogenate the various olefins to haloalkanes and
24	teaches how to dehydrohalogenate those haloalkanes to
25	olefins.

1	So that provides a starting point. I don't
2	believe at that point a POSITA would have specifically
3	gone in there and looked for details on concentrations.
4	Q. So in deciding and opining on the
5	combinations, you didn't look at and consider the
б	concentrations, correct?
7	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form,
8	mischaracterizes.
9	A. Opining on the combinations of the Smith
10	and Sedat?
11	Q. Well, we'll break those down, because I
12	know right now we're talking about
13	A. Smith?
14	Q. Smith. Smith in combination with either of
15	them, either Sedat or Masayuki, did you consider, so we
16	don't have to go back and reask the question a second
17	time, did you consider the concentrations of KOH in
18	opining as to Sedat in combination with Smith or
19	Masayuki in combination with Smith, with either of
20	them?
21	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
22	A. Not specifically, I was looking at some
23	method of enabling the preparation of the haloalkanes
24	and that comes from Smith, and I was looking at a
25	method of dehydrohalogenating those alkanes to 1234,

133
100

1	and that was the reason for the Sedat and Masayuki
2	references over Smith. And in looking at those
3	references, I had a high degree of confidence that
4	combining those references would enable me to develop a
5	process. One of the steps in that development would
б	have been looking at concentrations.
7	Q. But you just didn't at this time look at
8	the concentrations?
9	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
10	A. Not as a POSITA in 2010. I was just
11	looking for prior art references.
12	Q. Okay. I want to go back to something we
13	were discussing a few moments ago.
14	When I had asked about does Smith describe
15	the recovery of byproducts, and you had directed me to
16	the second full paragraph on page 0004.
17	MR. BEAUPRE: What document? You're
18	still on Smith?
19	MR. ROSE: I'm still on Smith, yeah.
20	MR. BEAUPRE: Okay.
21	BY MR. ROSE:
22	Q. And you had pointed out that following
23	optional purification steps to remove unwanted
24	byproducts, you were pointing me to that language when
25	I asked if Smith taught recovery of byproducts?

Objection, form. 1 MR. BEAUPRE: 2 Α. Yeah, Smith talks about the paragraph 3 starting the product of the reaction. 4 Q. Okay. For example, in steps A and C are conducted 5 Α. 6 simultaneously, the same reactor to those products may 7 be separated by distillation as one example of a 8 separation. 9 And then you get to the next paragraph and 0. it says alternatively, following any optional 10 11 purification steps to remove unwanted byproducts, you 12 see that? 13 Α. Yes. 14 So that's purification of the products to 0. 15 remove unwanted byproducts, correct? 16 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. 17 Α. Smith says that an optional purification 18 can be done to remove byproducts from 236 and 245 19 before being fed to a reactor. So that is a 20 purification step. 21 Right. But that's not purification of the 0. 22 byproducts, is it? 23 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form. 24 Α. It's removal of the byproducts. 25 Right. It's not purification of the 0.

1 byproducts, is it? 2 Same objection to form. MR. BEAUPRE: It could be considered -- it's removal of 3 Α. 4 the byproducts. It does not say what's done with those 5 byproducts. It could be collected for their use. 6 Right. So Smith doesn't teach the recovery 0. 7 of the byproducts? What you're saying is that it could 8 happen, but Smith doesn't teach that, does it? 9 Objection, form and MR. BEAUPRE: 10 mischaracterizing testimony. 11 I'm looking at figure one on page 25, where Α. 12 it shows a hydrogenation stage product still, and 13 showing some material returning back to the 14 hydrogenation step, and then it's showing the hydrogenation reactor recycle purge, so there's a purge 15 16 from that stream. And down at the bottom of that still 17 it says that there are possible heavies or there is a 18 feed to a second stage preheater. Now, it doesn't say 19 what happens to those streams, at least I don't think 20 it does. 21 Right. It doesn't say -- I just want to 0. 22 make sure I'm looking at the same stream as you're 23 looking at. There's a stream leaving the still of 24 possible heavies? 25 Α. Yes.

1	Q. There's a stream of fluoro propane, right?
2	Which stream are you talking about?
3	A. Yes, that's correct. There's a fluoro
4	propane stream. There's a purge stream at the top.
5	Q. Which one of those streams has the
б	byproducts?
7	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
8	A. It's not clear to me.
9	Q. Okay. So, again, going back to my prior
10	question, Smith does not disclose the recovery of
11	byproducts, correct?
12	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
13	mischaracterizes prior testimony.
14	MR. ROSE: I haven't characterized his
15	testimony. It's just a straight up
16	question.
17	A. If you can save me some time maybe by
18	pointing me back to that paragraph that was on page
19	Q. It was on page 0004, yeah, 4.
20	A. 0004, oh, yes, I'm sorry. Yeah, the
21	unwanted byproducts listed as 254EB as being one of
22	other possible byproducts. He does not talk about
23	purifying those byproducts.
24	Q. Or recovering those byproducts?
25	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.

1	A. He's talking about removing them, and in my
2	definition, to its removing further use, he does not
3	talk about collecting those byproducts.
4	Q. Right, and removing is what you had used to
5	describe withdrawing, correct?
6	Remember you gave the example of a
7	container of water, and you let it run into the ground,
8	it's been removed, but it's not been collected?
9	A. It does not say that it was collected.
10	Implication, however, is it was collected. You just
11	don't let this material get into the atmosphere.
12	Q. But he doesn't reference anything about
13	that either, does he?
14	A. No, he doesn't. It's just common sense.
15	MR. BEAUPRE: Is now a good time for a
16	break?
17	MR. ROSE: Yeah, that's fine.
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
19	record, the time is 1440.
20	(Recess.)
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
22	record, the time is 1455.
23	BY MR. ROSE:
24	Q. Welcome back, Dr. Manzer. I want to direct
25	your attention now to back to the Smith reference,

1	which is Ex	hibit 1003 in the proceedings, and we were
2	looking pre	viously at page 0012.
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	And I'm looking at the paragraph, second
5	from the bo	ttom, it starts with the word typically,
б	using the p	printed numbers 0012.
7	Α.	Oh, you said 12, sorry.
8	Q.	Yeah.
9	Α.	Typically, okay.
10	Q.	It says: The base-mediated
11	dehydrofluc	prination process of steps B and D is
12	conducted a	t a temperature of from about minus 50 to
13	about 300 d	legrees C?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	Preferably about 20 to about 250-degree C,
16	for example	e, from 50 to 200-degree C.
17		Would the 236 at those temperatures be in
18	the liquid	form or the gaseous form?
19		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
20	Α.	It depends on the pressure.
21	Q.	And it also says in the next sentence: The
22	base-mediat	ed dehydrofluorination may be conducted at a
23	pressure fr	om about zero to about 30 BarA. Do you see
24	that?	
25	Α.	Yes.

What is BarA? 1 Q. 2 Α. Atmospheric pressure determined by gauge. 3 So does that mean zero to about 30 0. 4 atmospheres? 5 Α. I believe that's what it means, yes. 6 0. At those pressures and those temperatures, 7 can you tell me whether the 236 would be in the gaseous or liquid form? 8 9 Objection to form. MR. BEAUPRE: 10 Α. Which temperature are you specifically 11 talking about? 12 0. Let's look at the narrowest range that they 13 provide, which is from 50 to about 200 and in that 14 pressure range. 15 Yeah, I don't know specifically. I haven't Α. 16 looked at the temperature pressure at the lowest 17 temperature and the highest pressure. It's likely I 18 think they're in the liquid phase, but I don't know 19 both the higher pressure and the higher temperature. 20 Q. Okay. Direct your attention to page 0014 21 of Smith. The first full paragraph starts with the 22 The base-mediated dehydrofluorination of sentence: 23 steps B and D is preferably conducted in the presence 24 of a catalyst. Is a catalyst necessary for that 25 reaction?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. That's a misleading statement. Α. Why is that misleading? 0. Α. The base-mediated reaction is not catalytic. Then why is it stated that it's preferably 0. conducted in the presence of a catalyst? Α. I have no idea. Same objection. MR. BEAUPRE: BY MR. ROSE: Did you notice that when you read 0. Okay. Smith in preparing your declaration? Objection, form. MR. BEAUPRE: Α. I don't know whether I noticed that error or not. I'm sorry, you're saying discussing -going on to the next sentence, discussing catalyst in terms of a phase transfer catalyst. What is a phase transfer catalyst as 0. compared to a catalyst? Α. Yeah, both catalysts, whether it's phase transfer or one of the chrome catalysts they refer to in other cases, either one of those is consumed, but the base-mediated dehydrofluorination, for example, with KOH, the starting materials and products are not

1	soluble in water, and, therefore, it's hard to get the
2	KOH that's in the water to react at any reasonable rate
3	with the organic.
4	So one can use a phase transfer catalyst,
5	which is not consumed, that has an organic and a
6	hydrophilic component to it. So it, in a sense, acts
7	as a way to bring the organic reagent, the KOH into
8	contact with the organic layer. It's typically why one
9	adds a base phase transfer catalyst, and that's because
10	you have two reactive streams that are insoluble of one
11	another.
12	Q. Does it affect the surface tension? Is
13	that how it does it?
14	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
15	A. I don't know whether it affects the surface
16	tension or not, but you've got a component of the phase
17	transfer catalyst that's soluble in the organic phase.
18	You've got a component of the phase transfer catalyst
19	that's in the aqueous phase. So the aqueous part of
20	that phase transfer catalyst is the opportunity to
21	bring the KOH closer to the organic layer for reaction
22	and partially more closer to it at the surface before
23	further reaction.
24	Q. Okay. So I'm just trying to reconcile that
25	with your prior definition of a catalyst. I can't

1	remember exactly what you said, but it's not consumed
2	in the reaction, which is true with the phase transfer
3	catalyst, but it affects the thermodynamics of the
4	reaction?
5	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
6	A. It affects the rate of the reaction.
7	Q. Okay. And that's true for both a catalyst
8	and a phase transfer catalyst?
9	A. Phase transfer catalyst in a heterogenous
10	catalyst. It's not a phase transfer catalyst. It may
11	affect the rate of the reaction.
12	Q. When you read Smith, did you understand
13	Smith to be describing laboratory processes as compared
14	to commercial processes?
15	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
16	A. I'm looking at in the examples, for
17	example, two is two grams of catalyst and so on and so
18	forth. That would suggest a laboratory reaction. What
19	the purpose of that laboratory reaction is, I don't
20	know.
21	Q. So Smith is not talking about bulk
22	manufacture, correct?
23	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
24	A. I don't believe he mentions the term bulk
25	manufacturing. Excuse me just a minute. Let me just

1	add something to that maybe.
2	(Pause.)
3	A. I've got nothing further to add.
4	Q. And Smith also doesn't discuss conversion
5	percentages, is that right?
6	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
7	THE WITNESS: Would you read that
8	question, please?
9	(Record read.)
10	A. No, that's not right.
11	Q. Okay. Where does Smith discuss conversion
12	percentages?
13	A. Table two on page 00019, he's talking about
14	the dehydrofluorination of 236. Now, this is with a
15	chromium catalyst, but he talks about the conversion in
16	table two, and he talks about the selectivity of the
17	product conversion of 236 and the product of the two
18	isomers of 1225.
19	Q. And I think we already discussed this, but
20	the chromium process, you don't know whether that's a
21	gas process or liquid?
22	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
23	A. I don't know specifically if it's a gas or
24	liquid phase process, but it is a catalytic process
25	without the addition of a base.

1	Q. Okay. In Smith's discussion of the	
2	dehydrofluorination process, the base-mediated process,	
3	he doesn't talk about conversion percentages, does he?	
4	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.	
5	A. None of his examples give any details on	
б	the base-mediated dehydrofluorination.	
7	Q. Okay. And right, so he provides no details	
8	on the conversion percentages or(sic) the base-mediated	
9	process, correct?	
10	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection, form.	
11	THE WITNESS: So please repeat that	
12	again, the question?	
13	(Record read.)	
14	BY MR. ROSE:	
15	Q. For the base-mediated process.	
16	A. Yeah, I don't see any specifics for that.	
17	Q. And getting back to page 0012, we were	
18	talking about the temperatures and the pressures	
19	disclosed by Smith at the last full paragraph of page	
20	12, the paragraph beginning with typically.	
21	A. Typically, okay.	
22	Q. We were talking about the temperature	
23	ranges and the pressure ranges. Did you make any	
24	assumptions, when reaching your opinions regarding the	
25	combination of Smith, with any other reference as far	
1	as temperat	ure and/or pressure is concerned?
----	-------------	---
2		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
3	Α.	I didn't reach any specific temperature or
4	pressure ra	nge by combining Smith with Sedat or
5	Masayuki.	
б	Q.	So you made no assumption when you reached
7	your ultima	te opinions, correct?
8		MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
9	Α.	I don't recall making any assumptions.
10	Q.	And Smith does not discuss anything having
11	to do with	spent KOH, correct?
12		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
13	Α.	No, I can't find anywhere where he does.
14	Q.	And so there would be no mention of any
15	desire to s	eparate, purify, concentrate, regenerate or
16	recycle spe	ent KOH mentioned in Smith, correct?
17		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
18	Α.	I don't recall any mention of that
19	specificall	у.
20	Q.	And similarly, Smith also doesn't reference
21	KF as a byp	product, does he?
22		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
23	Α.	I don't recall that.
24	Q.	And, therefore, he doesn't mention
25	regeneratio	on using any KF?

1	A. No, but he does mention on page 13, middle
2	of the page there, it says: A preferred base is an
3	alkali metal hydroxide selected from the group
4	consisting of lithium hydroxide, sodium hydroxide and
5	potassium hydroxide; and, therefore, in the
б	dehydrofluorination process, the byproduct using
7	potassium hydroxide would be potassium fluoride from
8	the chemistry.
9	Q. He just doesn't mention potassium fluoride?
10	A. Correct.
11	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
12	A. I don't recall him mentioning it
13	specifically.
14	Q. Okay. And he doesn't reference
15	regeneration, correct?
16	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
17	A. No, I don't believe he references
18	regeneration of KF, if he's using KOH as the
19	base-mediated material.
20	Q. He doesn't reference regeneration of
21	anything regardless of what the base-mediated material
22	is, correct?
23	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
24	A. Yeah, if your question was specific to the
25	base mediated

1 Q. Correct. Yeah, okay, I was just looking to see if 2 Α. 3 there was a reference to regeneration of the chromium catalyst, but if you're specific to the base mediated, 4 he does not reference that, that I can see. 5 6 Let's go ahead and move on to the Sedat 0. 7 reference. We have copies if you guys want them. 8 MR. BEAUPRE: I'm qood. Thank you 9 though. 10 MR. ROSE: And just for the record, Sedat is Exhibit 1004 in the 915 action. 11 12 That's the French language document, and 13 1005, Exhibit 1005 in the 915 action, which 14 is the English translation. 15 You prefer the English? Α. 16 Yes. Do you? 0. 17 Α. I can go either way. 18 Good for you. 0. French is a common language in Canada, as 19 Α. 20 you know, it's up there equal to English. 21 0. Yeah, I quess in some parts of Canada. 22 I think it is an official language. Α. 23 Okay. Sedat is a reference that you 0. 24 combined with the Smith reference in reaching your 25 opinion as to obviousness, correct?

That is correct. 1 Α. 2 Q. And if you would, turn to page 008 of 3 Sedat. Α. 4 Okay. In Sedat on page 008, referring to the 5 0. number in the bottom right, I'd like to direct your 6 7 attention down to the bottom, the last sentence of that 8 single full paragraph on page eight, and certainly feel 9 free to read as much of it as you want. But he refers to the temperature is preferably between 80 and 180 10 11 degrees C, advantageously between 125 and 180 degrees C 12 and very particularly between 145 and 165 degrees C. 13 Do you see that? 14 Α. Yes. 15 0. At those temperatures, would you expect the 16 236 to be in the gas phase or the liquid phase? 17 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. 18 I'm just looking for a pressure. Α. 19 The language of Sedat goes on to discuss 0. 20 the dehydrofluorination reaction of step one may be 21 carried at atmospheric pressure, but is preferred to 22 work at a pressure above atmospheric pressure advantageously. This pressure is between 1.1 and 2.5 23 24 BarA. 25 Okay. So relatively low pressure, I would Α.

1	expect the are you asking me the 236 and 245?
2	Q. 236.
3	A. 236, I would expect 236 to be fairly
4	volatile at that temperature, yes.
5	Q. And the same with 245?
б	A. Yes.
7	Q. And referring to the experimental section
8	in Sedat at page 0011.
9	A. Okay.
10	Q. There's a paragraph beginning: The outlet
11	of the reactor six is connected to a filter eight in
12	order to separate the calcium fluoride from the
13	reaction medium.
14	The reaction medium, that is something
15	other than spent KOH, correct?
16	A. Let me just go back to you're talking about
17	a specific part of the process. Did you say inlet or
18	outlet and which number?
19	Q. I'm sorry, on page 0011, three lines from
20	the bottom. I'm sorry, I thought you were with me.
21	A. I was with you. I was up a paragraph
22	though. Oh, reactor six, okay.
23	Q. Is connected to filter eight in order to
24	separate the calcium chloride from the reaction medium.
25	Do you see where I am?

1 Α. Yes. 2 That medium is something other than spent 0. 3 KOH, is that correct? MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form. 4 At that point, the reaction medium 5 Α. containing spent KOH is separated by filtration and 6 7 then recycled. So the spent KOH is present in vessel 8 number eight. 9 Is that what you consider to be the 0. 10 reaction medium? 11 Same objection. MR. BEAUPRE: 12 BY MR. ROSE: 13 Well, let me take a step back. Q. 14 What does the term reaction medium mean to 15 you? 16 Α. That's what I was working at. Reaction 17 medium to me, and to a POSITA, would likely mean the 18 media in the reactor, vessel number one. Well, which of those -- okay, so the 19 0. 20 reactor, the medium and the reactor is number six, so what is the reaction medium being referred to there? 21 22 Okay, so we're talking about vessel eight. Α. 23 Where there's a filter to remove calcium chloride from the reaction medium, which at that point would be spent 24 25 KOH, water and anything else that would be present in

1	that stream.
2	Q. Isn't the reactor six?
3	A. Well, the reactor six, but you're asking
4	me, I think, about the reaction medium in separation
5	step shown in vessel number eight.
6	Q. Okay. That was because you had said the
7	reaction medium is what's in the reactor?
8	A. Yeah, typically I would think of a reaction
9	medium as being something a medium a media in the
10	reactor, but as described here, he's using it in
11	various terms.
12	So I think the terms there would be the
13	aqueous product from the reactor after it has been
14	reacted with calcium hydroxide precipitate the calcium
15	fluoride, which is separated in vessel number eight by
16	filtration. And then the reaction media from vessel
17	eight then is shown in the schematic to be returned to
18	the concentrator.
19	Q. And that would be aqueous KOH?
20	A. That would be aqueous KOH would be a
21	stream comprising certainly aqueous KOH.
22	Q. And that would include KOH that had been
23	created by the reaction of calcium hydroxide with KF,
24	correct?
25	A. That is correct.

1	Q.	I'd like to turn to your declaration in the
2	916 matter,	and in particular paragraph 60.
3	Α.	Which exhibit number is that?
4	Q.	Sorry, it's 1002 in the 916 matter and also
5	in the 917	matter.
6	Α.	Did you say 916 or 915?
7	Q.	916.
8	Α.	Yeah, I have 915 as Exhibit 102.
9		MR. BEAUPRE: You have to get out of
10	that	folder, probably.
11		THE WITNESS: Oh, I have to get out of
12	the f	older. I was just trying to bring up
13	the e	xhibit, the 916.
14	Α.	Okay. That's 1002 in that folder, I guess.
15	Okay, I'm t	here, yep.
16	Q.	I apologize, I should be looking at 915.
17	Α.	Hey, I understand.
18	Q.	I was looking at the wrong one.
19	Α.	Back on 915.
20	Q.	Sorry about that.
21	Α.	That's okay.
22	Q.	You state at the beginning of paragraph 60
23	on page 35.	
24	Α.	Okay.
25	Q.	There you state: Sedat teaches recovery of

1	spent KOH from the withdrawn dehydrohalogenation
2	reaction medium as well as recovery of KOH regenerated
3	from the metal salt byproduct KF. Do you see that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. So there you're referring to KF as a
6	byproduct of the reaction?
7	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
8	A. I may have listed that from Sedat. I can
9	look for that if you like, if it's important.
10	Q. Well, it's not in quotes, and that's why I
11	just wanted to confirm whether KF is a byproduct of
12	that reaction, in your opinion?
13	A. The terms are interchangeable in these
14	various references.
15	Q. Which terms, which terms are
16	interchangeable?
17	A. Byproduct and co-product. I tend to, and
18	maybe I'm too focused on the real term, which is
19	co-product when it's stoichiometric based, but the
20	byproduct KF the term recovery of KOH regenerated
21	from the metal salt byproduct or co-product is what I
22	was referring to.
23	Q. So you're recovering the spent KOH, which
24	you say is the unreacted KOH, correct?
25	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.

1	A. Yes, Sedat teaches the recovery of the
2	spent KOH as well as the KOH that's generated in the
3	calcium hydroxide reactor.
4	Q. Would the POSITA having read Smith
5	understand that there even is any spent KOH, using your
6	definition of that term?
7	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
8	A. A POSITA reading Smith, in particular the
9	section where he refers to base-mediated agents, such
10	as KOH, would understand that there is a salt
11	generated, which is KF.
12	Q. And that is part of spent KOH?
13	A. The KF that has been converted back to KOH
14	is the stream that Sedat refers to as the KOH that
15	is let's see
16	MR. BEAUPRE: Counsel, you said you
17	referred to Smith a few lines ago. Did you
18	mean Sedat since we're on Sedat now?
19	MR. ROSE: Right, he's combined Sedat
20	with Smith.
21	Q. So I'm asking if the POSITA read Smith,
22	would that POSITA even know that there is an issue with
23	spent KOH or even such a thing as spent KOH?
24	A. Yes, and as I mention within Smith,
25	although there are no details, he does indicate that a

Page	155
- ugu	100

1	dehydrohalogenation can be an alkali metal hydroxide.
2	He mentions KOH, and, therefore, if there's any
3	reaction whatsoever, there will be KF present.
4	Q. And by having KF present, that leads to
5	spent KOH, correct?
6	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
7	A. Not necessarily. Spent KOH, as I've
8	mentioned, is KOH that has gone through the reactor and
9	reacted.
10	Q. Right, and haven't we confirmed that Smith
11	makes no mention of KOH going through the reactor
12	unreacted?
13	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
14	A. He makes no mention of KOH going through
15	unreacted.
16	Q. So the POSITA having read Smith would not
17	know to look to recovering spent KOH, as you've defined
18	that term, as being unreacted KOH?
19	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
20	A. No, a POSITA would look at Smith and see
21	that he says one option is to use a basic hydroxide,
22	such as KOH, and if Smith decides to use KOH and
23	there's any reaction whatsoever, then he's going to
24	generate KF, and there's going to be unconverted,
25	unreacted KOH going through the process. That's what a

1	POSITA would understand.
2	Q. Yeah, but there's no mention in Smith of
3	unreacted KOH going through the process, is there?
4	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
5	A. No, but he does mention that, you know, a
6	viable dehydrohalogenation agent is KOH.
7	Q. Right.
8	A. Therefore, if it's mentioned, I'm assuming
9	that there's a reaction that takes place, otherwise why
10	would he mention it.
11	Q. Right. But what if there's 100 percent
12	conversion? There is no spent KOH involved, is there?
13	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form,
14	incomplete hypothetical and foundation.
15	A. If he uses an excess of KOH, and there's a
16	hundred percent conversion, there could still be
17	unreacted KOH exiting the reactor, which is spent KOH.
18	Q. But that's not described in Smith either,
19	correct?
20	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objections.
21	BY MR. ROSE:
22	Q. The use of excess KOH?
23	A. Yeah, well, I can go back and look, if you
24	like. I don't recall whether he says that there's an
25	excess, what the molar ratio of KOH is to organic.

1	Q.	Well, Smith didn't even provide any
2	examples in	which he uses KOH, correct?
3	Α.	That's correct. So under what conditions
4	he might hav	ve been thinking of.
5	Q.	Right, he doesn't say?
б	Α.	He does not say.
7	Q.	When you stated that Sedat teaches the
8	recovery of	the spent KOH in paragraph 60, you did not
9	mean to say	that Sedat teaches the separation of the
10	spent KOH f	rom dissolved or entrained organics, did
11	you?	
12		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
13	Α.	There is no mention of dissolved organics
14	in Sedat.	
15	Q.	Or entrained organics?
16	Α.	Or entrained organics.
17		MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
18		BY MR. ROSE:
19	Q.	Turning to page 38 of your declaration,
20	paragraph 6	9.
21	Α.	Okay.
22	Q.	Starting with the second sentence of that
23	paragraph,	where you refer to withdrawing the reaction
24	medium, incl	luding KOH, from the dehydrohalogenating
25	reactor occ	urs at outlet five, with the reactor one,

1	where the reaction medium is taken out or removed from
2	the reactor and separated from the gaseous product.
3	Does that mean that the reaction medium is moved and
4	then at outlet five and then the gas is separated?
5	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
б	BY MR. ROSE:
7	Q. After the reaction medium is moved from the
8	reactor?
9	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
10	A. I take that to read that the gas products
11	exit the reactor via orifice four. It says that on the
12	top of page 39. And the reactor media exits via outlet
13	five and goes into vessel six.
14	Q. So when you said the medium is taken out or
15	removed from the reactor and separated, the separation
16	occurs before it leaves the reactor?
17	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
18	A. Separation of the gas products is taken
19	place from reactor one before the aqueous stream is
20	removed via orifice five.
21	Q. So the gaseous phase has already been
22	separated from the aqueous phase before the aqueous
23	phase leaves the reactor through five, correct?
24	A. That's my understanding, yeah.
25	MR. BEAUPRE: Sorry, objection to form

1	to that last one.
2	BY MR. ROSE:
3	Q. You then go on to paragraph 70, you state
4	that: Sedat describes recovering spent KOH, step C of
5	claim 21. And then you say: Sedat describes recovery
б	of KOH remaining after the dehydrohalogenation reaction
7	as well as recovering KOH regenerated from the metal
8	salt byproduct. Do you see that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Isn't recovery done of the metal byproduct
11	by way of regeneration with lime?
12	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
13	A. Regeneration of KOH from KF by reaction
14	with lime is done in vessel number six.
15	Q. Right. So isn't that recovery?
16	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objection.
17	A. Recovery of what?
18	Q. KOH. Isn't regeneration part of recovery?
19	A. Spent KOH passes through reactor six into
20	reactor eight, and there's also the option of taking a
21	sidestream there and recycling back the concentrator.
22	But then the spent KOH along with the precipitated
23	calcium fluoride are filtered, and then it's possible
24	to recycle the filtrate, which contains the spent KOH
25	and the KOH sits generated from the potassium fluoride

1	in that vessel.
2	Q. Okay. But I'm referring back to your
3	interpretation of the recovering of the spent KOH,
4	which is collecting spent KOH for further use, and you
5	say may include separating, purifying and concentrating
6	and/or regenerating.
7	So isn't the regeneration of the KF, isn't
8	that what you would consider recovering spent KOH, the
9	regeneration?
10	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
11	A. Recovery of spent KOH, spent KOH is done
12	after six out of eight as it returns back to the
13	concentrator. There is KOH generated from the reaction
14	of calcium hydroxide with calcium fluoride in reactor
15	six, separated in reactor eight. So there is recovery
16	of spent KOH in reactor eight as well as additional
17	potassium hydroxide is generated in that lime reaction.
18	So there is a separation from the solid
19	calcium difluoride.
20	Q. Right, there's separation of the calcium
21	difluoride from the aqueous KOH in number eight?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Of Sedat?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. There is reaction of calcium hydroxide with

1	KF in react	or six, correct?
2	Α.	That's correct.
3	Q.	In reactor six then, calcium hydroxide is
4	regenerated	from KF, correct?
5		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
б	Α.	Calcium hydroxide is prepared, is generated
7	from the re	action of KF with lime in vessel six.
8	Q.	What does regeneration mean?
9	Α.	This context, I think it means regenerating
10	the base me	dium from the salt, yes.
11	Q.	So converting the KF to KOH?
12	Α.	That's correct.
13	Q.	Right. And so that regenerating takes
14	place in th	e reactor six, correct?
15	Α.	That's correct.
16	Q.	So, therefore, recovery takes place in
17	reactor six	?
18		MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
19	Α.	That's one location.
20	Q.	And then from the KOH, which includes the
21	regenerated	KOH and the unreacted KOH, that is sent to
22	the evapora	tor?
23	Α.	The KOH, after separation from calcium
24	difluoride,	in addition to some KOH that's taken out of
25	the stream	exit reactor six, according to a scheme, is

1	sent around to the concentrator.
2	Q. The concentrator is which
3	A. Vessel number 11.
4	Q. Okay. And how is concentration
5	accomplished?
6	A. By boiling off water.
7	Q. And so what would the temperature be
8	well, strike that.
9	What was the purpose of boiling off water?
10	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
11	A. The water is boiled off to concentrate the
12	KOH. KOH is water is produced in the reaction as a
13	result of the calcium hydroxide reaction with KF, so
14	you'd like to remove the water from that reaction. In
15	addition, you'd like to then concentrate on the
16	potassium hydroxide to the preferred level that is
17	quoted.
18	Q. So then at what temperature is the KOH then
19	fed back into reactor one?
20	A. Let's go back to Sedat. He says: The
21	temperature is kept at 160 in the reactor. So I assume
22	the temperature is, you know, close to that
23	temperature, or let me look at the temperature the
24	temperature is probably listed for the evaporator.
25	(Pause.)

Page 1	63
--------	----

1	A. I'm not finding the temperature outlined
2	for that concentration. It just says it's it says
3	the concentration is adjusted.
4	Q. Is it adjusted to a particular
5	concentration?
б	A. Yeah, the preferred concentration of
7	potassium hydroxide is between 10 and 90 percent, but
8	preferably between 20 and 86 percent and advantageously
9	between 55 and 75 percent.
10	Q. And did you consider those concentrations
11	when you reached your opinions regarding the
12	combination of Smith with Sedat?
13	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
14	A. I did not consider at least specific
15	concentrations of the base entering the
16	dehydrohalogenation reactor.
17	Q. Now, the evaporation step number 11, that's
18	vapor going off at 15, the water boiling off?
19	A. I believe that's correct.
20	Q. Okay. Is that used to adjust the KOH
21	concentration that goes into the reactor?
22	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
23	A. Removing water via stream 15 would allow
24	for concentration of the KOH that goes into the
25	reactor.

1	Q. And 14, that's the raw KOH that's being fed
2	into the reaction?
3	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
4	A. Yes, I believe so.
5	Q. In your experience, is KOH available
6	commercially in any particular concentrations?
7	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form,
8	foundation.
9	A. I have not looked into that at all.
10	Q. You don't have experience with that from
11	your time at DuPont or your time at Catalytic Insights
12	as far as the commercial availability of KOH?
13	MR. BEAUPRE: Same objections.
14	A. Well, KOH is commercially available, but I
15	think you asked available at what concentrations.
16	Q. Right.
17	A. And I don't know what specific
18	concentrations are available. Certainly pelletized or
19	dry KOH is available.
20	Q. I'd like to turn to paragraph 71 of your
21	declaration in the 915 case.
22	A. Okay.
23	Q. And you state: A POSITA would understand
24	recovering to mean collecting for further use, which
25	may include steps that render the component being

1	collected suitable for further use e.g. separating or
2	purifying. Do you see that?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. But isn't it true that Sedat's only
5	disclosure of separating and purifying is with regard
б	to the removal of CAF2 from the solution, correct?
7	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
8	A. That's one form of a separating. The other
9	location for separating would be to gas products coming
10	out of line four.
11	Q. But with regard to the KOH stream, there's
12	no other separating or purifying done or disclosed by
13	Sedat, correct?
14	MR. BEAUPRE: Object to form.
15	A. The purification step of spent KOH happens
16	when the KF is converted to calcium fluoride and
17	removed by filtration. That's a method of purifying.
18	Q. Right. My question is, there's no other
19	form of separating or purifying, other than converting
20	the KF or the CAOH and KF to KF and then separating
21	strike that.
22	The only separating of that stream,
23	separating and purifying happens when the KF and
24	calcium hydroxide react to create calcium fluoride and
25	KOH and the calcium fluoride is separated out, correct?

1	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
2	A. I don't think you were specific enough to
3	refer me only to what happens in vessel six. As I
4	said, there's a separation taking place of the organic
5	products in vessel number one.
б	Q. Okay. But there's no other separation or
7	purifying that's taking place as shown in Sedat,
8	correct?
9	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
10	A. Other than in vessel eight and the line in
11	nine.
12	Q. And there is no teaching of separating
13	entrained or dissolved organics taught by Sedat?
14	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
15	A. There is no mention of entrained or
16	dissolved organics in Sedat.
17	Q. Is there any teaching in Sedat of
18	purifying, other than what is happening in vessel
19	eight, which is the separation of calcium fluoride from
20	the aqueous solution?
21	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
22	A. Once again there is a separation of the
23	organics in line four, which are condensed and shown in
24	line 13, but I'd have to see what happens after the
25	organics are condensed. But I can look, if you like.

1	But I'm quite sure that if he was doing that, he woul	.d
2	send it off for distillation and separation of	
3	components.	
4	Q. I'm referring to what happens to the	
5	aqueous stream coming out of vessel one.	
6	A. Okay.	
7	Q. There's no other act of purification that	
8	happens with that after leaving vessel one?	
9	A. No.	
10	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.	
11	A. Other than the conversion of a KF to the	
12	calcium difluoride.	
13	Q. Right. So would you consider that to be	
14	purification; converting calcium fluoride I'm sorr	ъу,
15	converting potassium fluoride to calcium fluoride and	L
16	settling out a precipitant?	
17	A. I would consider that I'm sorry.	
18	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.	
19	A. I would consider that a purification, yes	•
20	Q. All right. Let's go on to the next	
21	MR. BEAUPRE: Would you want to take a	
22	break now?	
23	MR. ROSE: Now is a good breaking	
24	point.	
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the	

record, the time is 1557. 1 2 (Recess.) 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record, the time is 1614. 4 BY MR. ROSE: 5 Welcome back, Dr. Manzer. I had a couple 6 0. 7 of follow-up questions regarding your testimony 8 concerning Sedat that we were talking about before the 9 break. And we were asking about where in Sedat the 10 steps of recovery take place and including separation 11 and purification. And I understand that in vessel six, 12 your interpretation of Sedat is that there is a 13 reaction of calcium hydroxide with potassium fluoride 14 resulting in calcium fluoride that is a precipitant, 15 correct? 16 Α. That's correct. 17 0. Okay. And so some separation occurs in 18 vessel six, correct? 19 That's correct. Α. 20 Q. Okay. And then that product is then moved 21 to vessel eight where the calcium fluoride is removed 22 from the reaction mixture, correct? 23 MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form. 24 BY MR. ROSE: 25 Does any KF, is there any -- strike that. 0.

1	Is any KF included in what flows from
2	vessel six to vessel eight?
3	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
4	A. There's no statement as to whether it's
5	complete conversion or not. It would make sense to do
6	that, but
7	Q. And it's not uncommon for there to be such
8	thing as complete conversion, correct?
9	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
10	A. That would depend entirely on the amount of
11	lime or calcium hydroxide that was added.
12	Q. And how long it mixed?
13	A. Yeah, there is mixing in there, that's
14	right, but the reaction with any fluoride and calcium
15	is pretty quick.
16	Q. Is it possible to entrain any unreacted
17	calcium fluoride within the precipitant strike that.
18	I misspoke.
19	Is it possible to entrain any of the
20	potassium fluoride in the calcium fluoride precipitant?
21	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection to form.
22	A. There's no discussion of that. I'm
23	assuming that there's sufficient lime added to remove
24	the calcium fluoride yeah the calcium the
25	potassium fluoride, I'm sorry.

1	Q. And then before the break, we were
2	discussing briefly what was taking place in vessel one,
3	and that you had said that the gaseous products of the
4	reaction are removed through line four, correct?
5	A. Correct.
б	Q. Okay. And were you intending to include
7	that when I asked about other instances of separation
8	in the Sedat reference?
9	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form.
10	A. Well, there is a separation, so.
11	Q. Because there's separation, does recovery
12	of spent KOH actually begin in vessel one?
13	MR. BEAUPRE: Objection, form and
14	foundation.
15	A. I wouldn't phrase it that way.
16	MR. ROSE: I think that's all we have
17	for today on the Sedat reference. So we
18	plan to start tomorrow with 916 and 917.
19	MR. BEAUPRE: Okay.
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
21	record, the time is 1618.
22	(Whereupon the deposition was
23	concluded at 4:18 p.m.)
24	(Signature reserved.)
25	

1	SIGNATURE PAGE
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	LEO E. MANZER
9	
10	
11	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this
12	day of, 2016
13	
14	
15	
16	NOTARY PUBLIC
17	
18	My Commission expires:
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 172

1	ERRATA PAGE	
2		MMV
3		
4	CASE NAME: France vs. Honeywell	
5		
6	WITNESS NAME: LEO E. MANZER	
7	DATE: January 7, 2016	
8		
9	PAGE LINE READS SHOULD READ	REASON FOR CHANGE
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
10		
1 0		
10		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	CERTIFICATE				
2	I, Marisa Munoz-Vourakis, RMR, CRR and Notary Public,				
3	the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding was				
4	conducted, do hereby certify that the witness(es) whose				
5	testimony appears in the foregoing proceeding were duly				
б	sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness(es) were				
7	taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter				
8	transcribed under my supervision; and that the foregoing				
9	pages, inclusive, constitute a true and accurate				
10	transcription of the testimony of the witness(es).				
11	I do further certify that I am neither counsel for,				
12	related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this				
13	action in which this proceeding was conducted, and				
14	further, that I am not a relative or employee of any				
15	attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereof, nor				
16	financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the				
17	action.				
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name				
19	this 11th day of January, 2016.				
20	M- M K				
21	1 Jans 1 Jame - Volnakus				
22	MARISA MUNOZ-VOURAKIS				
23					
24	Notary #20032900127				
25					

Index: 00..1614

		163:17	148:11
0	1	116 72:14	12:33 105:13
00 73:1	1,1,1,2- tetrafluoroeth	117 72:15	13 92:9
00002 117:2,	ane 36:20,	11:22 77:15	93:8 94:11 146:1
8	22	11:34 77:18	166:24
00003 117:19	1.1 148:23	12 113:18	1331 106:3
00012 129:13	10 163:7	131:19	134 39:10
00018 124:15	100 33:8	144:20	134a 36:23
00019 143:13	71:24	1225 Q·Q 21	37:3 38:4,
0003 118:23	156:11	101:25	8,9,15,21,
0004	1001 84:20	112:16	24 39:17
121:11,12	1002 11:24	113:4,6,	47.11 64:23
136:19,20	152:4,14	14,16,23, 24 116:19	65:21
0006 123:2,	1003 10:3.6	118:17,19	14 164:1
8,9	116:9	143:18	1440 137:19
0011 149:8,	138:1	1234 9:9,	145 148:12
19	1004 10:10,	18,21	1455 137:22
0012 138:2,	13 147:11	112:16,19,	15 84:21
6 144:17	1005 10:11, 18 147:13	22,25	85:12
0012(sic)	10 14/.13	113:2,7,	86:11 92:5
129.10	72:7	114:3,20	93:7 114:1 131:5.7
0013 130.1	101 29:4	115:2,12	163:18,23
0014 139:20	33:14	116:19	1557 168:1
003 120:18	36:17	118:20	158 12:4
008 148:2,5	102 41:5	131:21	159 12:4
0909 4:9	152:8	132:25	16 86:11,13
0959 36:3	10:12 36:6	1234YF 115:9	109:15
0s 11:21	11 86:12	124 47:10	160 162:21
	162:3	125 47:10	1614 168:4

Index: 1618..320

[
1618 170:21	20 61:16	12 110:19	250-degree
165 148:12	125:3	111:9	138:15
1 CO 10.1 F	138:15	112:8	254EB 121:10
169 18:1,5	163:8	113:5,19,	136:21
17 58:23	200 139:13	24 118:14,	05 11 5 ,10
18 93:7	000 1	19 125:1,	2/ 115.10
	200-degree	2,16,25	282 9:2
180 148:10,	138.10	134:18	52:23 53:6
	2000 12:19,	138:17	55:3,21
19 65:17	23 16:20	139:7	57:1 58:13
1971 41:7	17:6,10	143:14,17	59:1 61:3,
	19:3,5	148:16	8,21
1973 63:1/	27:6	149:1,2,3	79:11,17,
1975 67:11	2001 28:7	236E 128:24	20 80:6, 13 19 22
1986 12:18,	2003 27:14	236EA 9:7,13	83:7,10
23 19.3,5	2005 13:3,	240 125:22	84:20
1987 17:21	7,16,	245 9:9 14	88:2,5,20,
63:20	16:20	16 110:19	24 89:4
64:15	2008 13:22	111:9	92:9
1988 64:1,	34:17	112:8.22.	109:11
11 66:14,	51.11	23 113:6.	129:9
18	2010 131:19	8,13,15,	290 86:13
1090 62.22	133:10	16,18,19,	
24	2013 5:16	21 114:17,	3
21	2014 51:18	19,23	
1990 12:18,		115:5,11,	30 125:3
24	2015 29:7,9	14 117:5,	130:5,23
1990s 46:4	2016 4:8	15 118:17,	131:3,6
1995 12:24	21 61:16,19	20 122:10	138:23
	129:7	125:5	139:3
1997 27:22	159:5	134:18	300 86:13
1997(sic)		149:1,5	127:6
28:25	22 70:11	245FB 9:16	138:13
	23 106:7	128:24	
2	234 131:20	25 135:11	32 69:19
2.5 148:23	236 9:8,9,		320 125:22

ARKEN	MA FRANCE v. HONEY Leo E. Manzer, P	WELL INTERNATION h.D. on 01/07/2016	AL INC. Index: 33achieved
33 69:20	139:13		95 12:18
94:11	51 124:22,	8	26:24
35 152:23	24 125:10,	8,710,282	97 28:17
36 58:23	12	9:4	99 69:23
61:4,5	53 114:1	80 148:10	71:16,20,
37 62:18	55 163:9	86 64:19	24,25 74:10,16,
38 157:19	57 69:19	163:8	23
39 158:12		87 64:19	
	6		A
4	6 70:15		abbreviations
4 136:19	72:6	90 24:6	8:5
43 61:15	60 152:2,22	163:7	Absolutely
92:10 93:8	15/.8	915 10:9,14	77:6
129:6	69 157:20	36:18 41:5	abstract
44 85:11	7	58:21	42:5
45 83:14,23	, 	62:18	acceptable
106:7	7 4:8	106:7	26:19
48 114:3,6,	70 159:3	147:11,13	28:14
7,9,19,23		152:6,8,	110.0
115:5	7 05 05.18	16,19	112:9
49 88:24	66:15 67:13	164:21	115:19
4:18 170:23	71 164:20	916 10:17,	accomplished
	/1 101020	$23 152 \cdot 2$	102.5
F	73 114:3	4,6,/,13	accomplishes
5	115:8,11,	170:18	118:3
5 10:13	19	917 10:17,	accurate
131:6	75 24:5	24 152:5	56:25
	163:9	170:18	_
5,008,476		03 11.00	accurately
36:19	76 67:11	14:2 3	107:12
50 25:7,9	77 29:3,4	16:20	achieved
107:16		TO.70	118:24
130:2		94 19:4	126:19
138:12,16			

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.

Leo E. Manzer, Fli.D. oli 01/0//2010 fliuex: aciualisweis			
acid 38:16	adjust	agreeing	amount
39:18 40:7	163:20	52:21,24	24:15,17,
act 167:7	adjusted	agreement	18 25:1,2
	163:3 4	52:12 18	26:19
action	10303,1	53:1	74:22
147:11,13	advance 37:6	55°±	75:21 95:6
actions	60:9	ahead 22:3	107:1,6,8,
119:3	advantageously	58:20	14 115:8
o at i un t i on	148:11,23	147:6	130:4,18
	163:8	alkali 146:3	169:10
10.1/		155:1	amounta
activities	advised		
89:21	57:19	alkane 44.4	113.2
90:10 91:7	afar 39:5	61:23	analogy
120:17	affect	109:18	99:13,23
acts 141:6	131:15	110:4,10,	analysis
	141.12	16	52:20
actual 76:22	140.11	alkanes 59:4	52.20
add 33:19	142.11	61:2,10	and/or 88:14
143:1,3	affects	75:14	89:9,13,
	141:15	132:25	18,20 90:1
added 98:13	142:3,6		92:5
169:11,23	afternoon		107:23
adding 9:10	58:11	9:10	111:9
120:12		Alston 4:19	117:21
addition	agent 62:15	alternative	118:6
10.10 /7.0	75:23	64:12	123:5
19.12 + 7.9	79:9,14	01012	128:24
110.5	80:8,9,16,	alternatively	145:1
142.05	25 81:1	119:14	160:6
143.25	89:7,10,18	121:8	annine $98:1$
161.24	110:14	134:10	
102:15	111:3	alternatives	т
additional	156:6	12:19.24	answering
9:10 116:4	agents 8:23	73:18	6:11
120:12	154:9		answers
160:16		American	5:21,22
adde $141\cdot 0$	agreed 42:10	28:7	6:18 23:19
auus 171.7			

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.

	Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016		Index: anticipateback
anticipate	160:21	asserting	128:21
48:23	166:20	35:1	137:25
49:13,18	167 : 5	assertion	139:20
anticipated	area 16:20	35:14.17	148:7
48:8	17:16	00.15	attornevs
	19:14	assist 20:15	31:17
apologize	28:20.22	assume 39:7,	
39:25	29:14 43:5	22 95:8	attractive
48:14 50:9	63:2.5.14	118:2	59:12,20
152:16	65:7	162:21	60:10
applicable	67:18.19	assuming	availability
68 : 19	68:2.3.9	156:8	164:12
application	105:10	169:23	Average
30:12 19	15:04	109.23	114:2
31:11 22	areas 15:24	assumption	1112
51,122	29:14	145:6	award 27:6,
applied	Arkema 4:5,	assumptions	7,13,15,
29:23	17 13:11	144:24	17,20,21,
applies	50:10	145:9	23 28:6,7
99 : 25	Arkema's 7:4	atmosphere	awarded 27:9
apply 29:22		137:11	awards 26:24
	art 7:19	197011	27:2 3
applying	9:24 53:6,	atmospheres	27-275
122:6	20 54:1	128:5,12,	aware 28:4
approved	57:3,25	17 139:4	35:11,19
50:3	58:14 59:1	atmospheric	51:5
approvimately	62:18	125:4,8,12	awful 23:14
	63:22	139:2	27:8
172.5	116:12	148:21,22	
120.0	131:13		B
April 57:18	133:11	accempts 65.01	
aqueous	aspects 46:6	03.21	bachelor's
85:24	47:11,16	attend 20:9	63:3
86:18	117:19	27:10	back 13:2
141:19	asserted	attendees	22:24
151:13,19,	34:12	27 : 18	23:18
20,21	35:7.12.13	attontion	26:23
158:19,22	55 112125	attention	35:10
			00 10

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01107/2016ack-of-the-envelope..BEAUPRE

36:5,15	16:5	74:7	43:6 44:17
41:4 44:19	balanced	115:25	45 : 1,4,9
58 : 20	40:14	126:21	47:2,7,13,
66:15	10 1 1	130:2	19 48:1,
69:18	ballpark	153:19	10,18
70:8,11	125:7	bagig 16:20	49:2,8,16,
75 : 13	Bara 138:23	DASIC 40.20	22 50:6,
76:20	139:1	15.25	14,19 51:9
77:17,20	148:24	155.21	54:17,21,
79:23 88:8		Basically	24 55:4,
94:3 95:9,	barrel	15:24	12,20
13, 96:25	99:14,15	basing 66:19	56:3,13
97:6	base 16:25		57:6,15
106:2,5,6	62:8,9	basis 54:23	58:16
116:17	78:19	batch 99:12	59:17,21
132:16	79:14 81:1	101:16	60:5,18,23
133:12	123:5,13	119:20,22	61:7,13
135:13	129:20,21	120:4	62:6,13,23
136:9,18	130:4,18	BEALLORE 4:16	63:12,23
137:21,24,	131:4,10	11:12 19	64:9,17
25 144:17	141:9	13:24	65:5,15
149:16	143:25	17:12	66:2,13,23
150:13	146:2,25	19:25 20:8	67:10,21
152:19	147:4	23:12 24:2	68:6,13
154:13	161:10	25:16	69:5,11
156:23	163:15	30:10.16	70:4,17
159:21	base-mediated	31:13	71:7,17,22
160:2,12	79:15	32:5,24	72:1,10
162:19,20	138:10,	33:3,18	73:4,7
168:3,6	139:22	35:22	74:4,12,18
hadk-of-tho-	140:4,24	36:13	75:3,10
	144:2,6,8,	37:5,8,12,	76:16
21:21	15 146:19,	19 38:12,	77:1,11
	21 154:9	17 39:3,9,	78:13,17
background	h	19 40:8,	79:8,21
37:11 39:7	Dased 2/-3	12,19	80:14,23
84:23	$29 \cdot 10, 12,$	41:16,23	81:5,11,
badgering	24 50.23	42:4,16	16,22
	58.25 68.4	· -	

	Leo E. Manzer, I	Ph.D. on 01/07/2016	Index: Beauprébottom
82:3,14,	119:2,21	154:7,16	144:20
20,25	120:20	155:6,13,	149:10
83:9,20	121:1,6,	19 156:4,	152:22
84:4,16,25	16,25	13,20	begins 11:5.
85:6,17,22	122:8,18,	157:12,17	21 86:10
86:4,21	25 123:18	158:5,9,	117:4.7
87:7,10,	124:2,10	17,25	
14,20	125:11,18	159:12,16	begun 66:8
88:4,19	126:4,13,	160:10	biomass
89:15,22	16 127:12,	161:5,18	16:21
90:2,8,13,	16,23	162:10	29:14 33:8
18,24	128:7,13,	163:13,22	Bird 4:19
91:15,18,	18 129:14,	164:3,7,13	
25 92:8,25	19 130:8,	165:7,14	bit 6:11
94:9,17	13,20	166:1,9,	19:2 23:22
95:2,15	131:1,8,16	14,21	47:15 89:6
96:1,11,21	132:7,21	167:10,18,	106:11
97:2,10,	133:9,17,	21 168:23	black 11:8
17,23	20 134:1,	169:3,9,21	had 11.01
98:7,18	16,23	170:9,13,	body 41.21
99:8,17,22	135:2,9	19	boiled
100:6,13,	136:7,12,	Beaupré 4:16	162:11
19 102:12,	25 137:15	54:21	boiling
23 103:8,	138:19		124:25
23 104:17,	139:9	began 41:6	162:6,9
21 105:5,	140:1,9,13	64:15	163:18
11 106:16,	141:14	65:13	hald 11.0
24 107:7,	142:5,15,	begin 17:8	
25 108:6,	23 143:6,	63:18	book 73:12
14,22	22 144:4,	84:21	bottom 11:7
109:21	10 145:2,	170:12	13:22 14:8
111:13,22	8,12,17,22	beginning	24:7 29:3,
112:11,21	146:11,16,	4:3 41:5	4 72:14,22
114:11,21	23 147:8	85:11	86:10
115:10,16,	148:17	86:12 92:4	87:22
21 116:3,	150:4,11	93:8 106:9	117:8
20 118:1,	152:9	117:10 12	135:16
7,12	153:7,25	123:2	138:5
		12J · 2	

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.
ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.					
Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Index: BFcapturing					
148:6,/	100:11	146:6	159:23		
149:20	101:18	153:3,6,	160:14,19,		
BP 15:1	built 20:12	11, 17, 20,	20,25		
break 35:23	22 : 20	21 159:8,	161:3,6,23		
77:12,21	bulk 59:1,	10	162.13		
93:13	5.7.13.24	byproducts	$105 \cdot 10, 24,$		
105:9	60:4,16,	25:19	25 100.19		
132:11	142:21,24	61:24 89:8	10/.12,14,		
137:16		102:5	14 01		
167:22	bunch $15:13$	103:14	14,21		
168:9	116:25	112:3	109.11,14,		
170:1	business	114:14	1/,20,24		
	30:1 31:24	120:19,23,	calculate		
breaking	32:1,3,8,	25 121:2,	126:23		
167:23	11,12,14	5,9,13,21,	128:20		
briefly	65:20,24	22 122:20,	calculating		
36:16	buginoggog	22 133:15,	128:9		
58:17	65.02	24,25	12000		
93:24	05.25	134:11,15,	calculation		
170:2	Butamax	18,22,24	128:15		
hming 22.17	14:25	135:1,4,5,	call 31:17		
$\frac{\text{Dring}}{1/1 \cdot 7} \frac{5/2 \cdot 1}{21}$	15:12,20	7 136:6,	46:18 55:7		
150.10	byproduct	11,21,22,	127:22		
192.12	40:15,21,	23,24			
bringing	22 41:1,2	137:3	called 2/.14		
64:20	82:16,22		107.9		
Brinks	83:11,17,	C	calls 127:24		
50:12,18,	25 102:19,		Canada		
19 57:5	21 103:13,	CAF2 165:6	147:19,21		
1	14 104:3	calcium			
broader	106:13,15,	95:23	CACH 105.20		
63:10	17,21	96:24	capital 77:5		
brought 35:3	107:9	98:12.20	captured		
Bruce 4:18	121:24	149:12.24	74:23		
	122:2,3,7,	150:23			
BS 22:25	9,14,19	151:14 23	capturing		
bucket	145:21	154:3	56:14		
99:15,24					

	Leo E. Manzer, P	h.D. on 01/07/2016 I	ndex: carboncharacterize
carbon 26:10	106:7	32:23	22 18:3,10
43:24	164:21	33:10,12,	centigrade
carbons	cases 10:24	13 140:21,	125:4
28:10 40:3	15:17	22	
	22:20 51:6	catalvtic	central
career	140:23	12:12	6/:14
12:10,15		13:4.6.8.	ceremony
14:7 27:4	catalysis	16,21 14;7	27:10
30:5,7	17:15,20,	15:15	a = 17:2
67:8	22 18:3,	18:1 21	68·17
carried	10,12,13	29:17 19	00.1/
119:14	22:5 27:21	31:4 5	CFC 12:23,
148:21	28:8 32:23	33.7 50.9	25 32:6
	34:5 65:8	90·10	33:2,14,
carries	67:23	101.10	16,21 68:2
20:25	catalvst	140.5	CFCS 8:11
21:1,9	18:14,15,	140.5	28:13
61:16	18 20:25	$143 \cdot 24$	67:19
69:19	21:9 62:8	104.11	68:10 11
72:14	80:21	catalytically	15
86:11	123:6.14	79:10,12	10
117:8	20 23	catalvzed	challenge
carry 124:12	124:4 6	123:5 13	50:23
dage 4:6	127:19	16 20	challenged
10.11	139:24	10,20	35:20 51:1
11.2 25	140:7 17	categories	-1 - 1 1
12.10	18 19 20	33:9	
11.10 17	141:4 9	category	14.18,19
14.12,17	17 18 20	33:7,22	chance 73:22
15.5,20	17, 10, 20, 25, 142.2	34:7 36:16	change 47:23
	$25 \pm 42 \cdot 5$, 7 0 0 10	00.F	48:2 8
25.20,21,	$1, 0, 2, \pm 0, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17, 17$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Cation} 98.5, \\ 17 \end{array}$	10 2 7 0
23,24	1/143.15	1/	characterizati
$\angle 0 \cdot \bot, \angle U,$	14/•4	ceased 17:10	on /3:25
	catalyst-	Celsius	/4:3
34.21,24	related	127:6	121:14
35:8 36:18	33:16	±27°V	characterize
52:1 60:24	catalysts	center	33:15
72:7 98:2	A	17:15,20,	

by.com Huseby, Inc. Regional Centers 800 Charlotte ~ Atlanta ~ Washington, DC ~ New York ~ Houston ~ San Francisco www.huseby.com 800-333-2082

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Index: characterized..collection

characterized	76:6 146:8	chrome	107:10,12
107:12	chemists	140:22	153:17,19,
136:14	46:15	chromium	21
charge 23:11	China 14:13	143:15,20	co-products
120:3,10	34:22	147:3	108:5
charged	17.0	circulated	121:3
119:23	chips 1/:2	42:7	122:21,22
120:1	chloride	dited 58:14	coin 16:12
charts 120:4	33:11		coincide
	95:18	citing 70:15	41:9.15.20
chat 17:17	149:24	claim 70:8	11 2,10,10
chemical	150:23	109:15	colleagues
18:15	chlorine	110:3	4.20
59:2,5,7,	40:16	159:5	collect
14,24	43:24	claimed	46:19
63:1,4,10	44:5,6	61:21	85:13 86:1
64:6 66:10	chlorines	129:9	collected
69:22	40:3	claims 56:5	85:21,25
71.10	chloro 28:10	79:16	87:24
106:10	ahl or o a rhon	80:19	101:13,17
100.10	43:24	109:14	108:2
chemicals	13.21	clarify 6:3.	L35:5
$\begin{array}{c} 10.23 1/.1 \\ 22.0 \end{array}$	chlorofluoroca	6 9:11	13/·8,9,10
52.0	34:1 14	alomitu	102.1
chemist	36:17	77:23	collecting
22:15,21		11.25	70:5 87:4,
23:17	rbons 8:11	clear 60:6,	9, 11, 12,
05.14	27:12	19 136:8	10,17,19
chemistry	67:24	close 162:22	90:5 12 15
22:5 23:1,	ah e a en	closer	91:8 93:3
3 63:1	126:18	141:21,22	101:11,12
04·0,12 65·1 Q	127:3	Club 27:21	137:3
66:10			160:4
69:4.6.7	Cnristopher A·21	20-product	164:24
13 75:25	4 •∠⊥	40.15,21, 24 106:18	collection
		71 TOO.TO	

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.				
05.10.00.5				
85:12 90:5	115.20	complicated	89:14,17	
91:20	142:14	40:2	90:4 91:5	
column 84:21	164:12	component	92:7 93:4	
85:12	commercializat	70:6 88:1,	107:23	
86:11,13	ion 46:11,	3 93:25	108:16,20	
88:21,23	21 60:8	94:5,7,10	109:7	
92:4,9	commercialized	97:7,15,	160:5	
93:7,8	46:8 73:21	19,20,21	concentration	
94:11		104:6,19	92:17 93:3	
109:15	commercializin	106:18	130:6,11	
114:1	g 46:11	141:6,16,	131:4,9,	
	76:21	18 164:25	10.14	
combination	111:17		162:4	
31:16	commercially	components	163:2 3 5	
131:15	59:13,20	83:12 87:4	6 21 24	
132:14,18,	60:10	130:3,22	0,21,21	
19 144:25	112:9	167:3	concentrations	
163:12	116:2	compress	91:19	
combinations	164:6 14	127:5	129:24	
132:5,9	10100,11	-	130:15	
,	common 70:23	compressor	132:3,6,17	
combined	137:14	127:5	133:6,8	
14:25	147:19	comprising	163:10,15	
19:14	companies	89:7	164:6,15,	
24:11	13:10	109:18	18	
147:24	29:13,23,	110:4,15	gongentrator	
154:19	30:25 31:3	151:21	151:18	
combining		a amout a a	159:21	
131:13	company	$7 \cdot 21 = 7 \cdot 2$	160:13	
145:4	14:25	7.21 54.0	162.1 2	
	18:20	concentrate	102.1,2	
commercial	27:9,16	108:13	conceptual	
18:1,5	29:18,20	145:15	73:14	
20:12,15	compared	162:11,15	concerned	
22:8,12,20	140:20	concontrated	145:1	
24:5,6	142:13			
45:11		שבי⊥4,∠⊥ 01.10	conclude	
60:12 74:6	complete	¥4•⊥3	86:17	
77:4	169:5,8	concentrating		

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Index: concluded..conversion

concluded	consideration	141:5	120:14
170:23	61:14	142:1	contradictory
conclusion	76:24,25 109:4	contact	83:8
122.13	111:15	$110 \cdot 13$	contribution
condensed		$120 \cdot 10, 23$	27:23 30:1
166:23,25	considerations	$1 \angle 1 \cdot 3, 1 \\ 1 \angle 1 \cdot 0$	contributions
conditions	11.3	141.8	30:2
24:9 73:17	considered	contacted	_
74:9 157:3	40:9 47:17	51:19	control
, 1 , 10, 0	51:12	52:7,17	22:10,13
conducted	59:13 60:4	contacting	conversion
129:22	68:3 73:18	128:24	16:21
134:5	106:25	120121	24:12,14,
138:12,22	135:3	container	15,23
139:23	consisting	99:24	25:15,20,
140:7	146:4	100:10,16	22,25
confidence	11011	137:7	26:13,15,
133:3	constitute	contents	17,18
configuration	96:9,18	73:5,10	29:15 33:8
25.6	constructing	25.5	47:22
33.0	64:20	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CONTEXT} & \text{SJ-J} \\ \text{Q2-1} & \text{Q2} \end{array}$	48:8,12,
confirm 12:1	aonatruation	03·14,25 01·7 00·5	13,17,25
153:11	46.20 78.8	106.11	49:21
confirmed	90.6 104.7	112.20	74 : 25
155:10	90.0 101.1	161:9	75:5,19,20
a on furge d	construe	101.0	76:3,23
	93:24	continue	96:6,7
113.19	98:22	48:22	98:11
connected	104:12,14	49 : 10	111:12,20
149:11,23	consult	continued	112:1,2,5,
connection	13:10	29 : 22	10,22
10:9,17	1 +	105:15	113:1
36:11	20.12 20		114:2,13,
	27·13,20	$00 \cdot c$ 11	16,17
connections	consumed	ששיס,⊥⊥ 110,10	117:5
/3:10	18:14,18	ττλ.τΩ	143:4,11,
connote 99:6	140:23	continuously	15,17

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIO	ONAL INC.
Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016	Index: conversionscou

	Leo E. Manzer, Ph	.D. on 01/07/2016	Index: conversionscounsel
144:3,8	corn 17:2	95:1,14	151:24,25
156:12,16	corper	98:9 99:1	153:24
167:11	116:24	102:6,22	155:5
169:5,8	110.21	104:13	156:19
conversions	corporate	105:4	157:2,3
114:15	17:21 18:3	108:21	158:23
±±1,±2	correct 7:15	109:10	161:1,2,4,
convert 95:8	9:17 11:16	110:1,23	12,14,15
converted	12:13,14	111:4,6,7	163:19
24:19,23	15:16	112:17	165:6,13,
25:3,6,8	17:6,7,11	113:22	25 166:8
26:10	19:7,18,19	115:7	168:15,16,
83:16,24	20:22	116:13,14	18,19,22
96:8	23:1,2,4,	117:15	169:8
103:15	5,22	119:1	170:4,5
106:13	28:13,20	120:19	corrected
107:15	31:8 33:2	121:24	56:24
112:24	34:8,23	122:15,24	50.21
114:20,24	35:8,9	123:15	correction
115:6,8,9,	36:24	124:1,9,23	3 115:4
11,12,14,	52:10	125:10,17,	correctly
15,18	53:2,3	23 128:1	49:12
154:13	57:20,21	129:21	65 : 10
165:16	62:12	130:25	correctiond
aonuortina	64:4,8	131:7	76·2
$26\cdot 9$ $76\cdot 10$	65:4,14	132:6	/0•2
117.15	66:12,22	134:15	corrode
161.11	68:12	136:3,11	21:16
165:19	75 : 22	137:5	corrosive
167:14 15	78:21	142:22	21:13
10/011/15	80:6,13	144:9	10·7
copies 7:22	81:21,25	145:7,11,	$00st \pm 0.7$
8:1 11:9	82:1	16 146:10,	∠⊥・∠⊃ 71・11
147:7	83:17,22	15,22	/エ・エエ フゟ・つつ つ⊑
copy 11:8,	85:21 86:3	147:1,25	10.22,20 80.1 05.5
10,11 12:6	87:2 92:24	148:1	09.1 90.0
55:14	93:8,17	149:15	counsel 4:14
	94:6,20	150:3	7:3,4,11

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2015: countries..defluorinization(sic)

35:22 37:5	cylinder	decision	decreases
58·1,10 67:5	85.13	30.8 31.1, 10 32:19	49.4
70:16.19	Cytochem	42:3	deemed 30:2
77:11	14:13	de el el en e	define 62:17
93:20	34.21,23	decisions	77:22 82:5
154:16		51.25	94:19
countries	U	declaration	defined 82:9
16:25	data 21:22	7:23 ⊥⊥:3, 11 24	155:17
country	46:20	36:18	defining
16:25	125:19	39:5,12	119:1
50. 2	date 4:8	41:6	definition
168:6	64:19	54:12,15	60:6 62:21
100.0	Daubert	55:19,23	64:5 66:11
courses	50:22,24	56:16	67:3 78:1
23:15	Jan 50.11	58:21	81:19,20,
court 4:11,	12 60:11	61:16	24 83:1
22 5:23	12 00.11	62:19	88:18 93:1
6:10 8:4	days 58:3	69:18	97:19
create 56:1	dealt 12:16	70:10,12	101:6,20
82:19	decade 65:13	/2:19 /8:1 02:12 0/:/	102:15
165:24	Degember	03·13 94·4 106·6	122:6
created	58:2	140:12	$137 \cdot 2$ $141 \cdot 25$
55:18	50.2	152:1	154:6
106:22	decent 105:8	157:19	1910
151:23	decide 31:18	164:21	defluorinating
current	32:15	doglarationg	$\perp \perp \perp \cdot 4$
18:20	109:6	7:19 21	defluorinating
22:17	decided 16:3	10:4.6	(sic) 110:25
51:16	17:7	55:17	111:2
cv 11:5,21	decides	57:13,24	defluorination
12:1 16:19	155:22	58:13,14	128:25
26:23	deciding	86:25	defluorinizati
34:17	108:19	116:13	on(sic)
35:12,17	132:4	decrease	125:16
41:4		49:1,14,21	

AKKE	Leo E. Manzer, P	h.D. on 01/07/2016	AL INC. Index: degreedesirable
degree 22:25	123:24	77:8,9,21	112:22
63:4 76:2	128:23	78:2,5,6	113:6,8,
133:3	138:11,	80:1,3,8,	13,14,16,
degrees	139:22	12,18	21,23,24
23:6 7	140:24	82:11	deriving
85.3	143:14	83:15 89:1	16.23
101.00 01	144:2,	94:22	10.22
125.2 10	146:6	102:17	describe
123.3, 10,	148:20	106:12	12:15
12,22	debudue£]e.d.u	107:4	16:21 30:8
120.12	aenyaroriuorin a 79:13	110:21	36:25
130.13	e /J·13	111:25	43:20
148.11,12	dehydrofluorin	155:1	45 : 18
dehalogenation	ization(sic)	159:6	46:12
43:9,10	123:4,12	163:16	79 : 11
dehvdrofluorin	dehydrohal		87 : 18
ate 79:9	79 : 13	Delaware	133:14
	debuduehelesen	16 : 4	137:5
dehydrofluorin		depend	describes
ated 90.22,		169:10	159:4 5
100.1	dehydrohalogen	depende	1,5,1,1,5
$100 \cdot 1,$	ated 95:7	$22 \cdot 12 24 \cdot 4$	describing
101.22,24	dehydrohalogen	66.24	117:4
102:1	ating 8:23	75.10	142:13
113:18	61:20	115.00	description
dehydrofluorin	80:15,25	120.20	117:14
ating 111:5,	89:7,9,18	138.20	
9 112:8	111:3	depose 16:3	descriptions
118:18,20	129:8	deposition	110:18
dehvdrofluorin	132:25	4:4 5:11	design 20:14
ation 43:1	157:24	7:15 36:10	21:15
76:2 78:8,	dobydrobalogon	37:14	46:20,25
18 79:7	ation 43:1.	57:17 20	47:3 69:22
80:2,3,12	5.18 44:1.	23 170:22	73:14,17
98:24	8,16,23	20 1/0-22	74:9
100:4	59:4 61:2	depositions	designs
101:21	10 62:7 15	51:3	72.20
118:10	75:14 24	derived	13.40
	, , , , , , , , , ,		desirable

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Index: desired

	Leo E. Manzer, P	h.D. on 01/07/2016	Index: desirediscussion
16:24	133:4	43:21	disclosure
26:15	developed	48:17,20	165:5
69:23	44:15,23	60:16 85:4	discovered
71:15	111:18	119:19	21:8
107:18	darral and na	differentiate	diagonauiag
108:12,15		102:18	
131:4,6,7	$10 \cdot 0 19 \cdot 23$	d; EE;] L	20.24 23.9
desire	ZI·ZU 50·5 AE·1A	72.17	discovering
108:13	4J·14 47·17 77·4	/3•1/	22:1
145:15		difluoride	discovery
dogirod	development	97:12	21:9
112.10	20:15 21:4	160:19,21	d : 0.1/
112.19	22:11,16,	161:24	$aiscuss$ $\delta \cdot 14$
100.24	18 23:8,25	167:12	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
122.20	27:24	dioxide	$43 \cdot 1 54 \cdot 14$
detail 32:19	46:15	26:11	98·21 100·04
52:2 58:19	71:10	dinast	$120 \cdot 24$
details	133:5	100.11	143·4,11 1/E·10
67:12	develops	110.7	143.10
132:3	71:11	127.24	140.19
144:5,7	געם 8.24	139.24	discussed
154:25	61·20 22	1/2.6	6:10 94:23
determine	81:25	140.0	102:11,20
23:25	82:5 9 10	directed	103:2
127:3	83:11 15	34:2	143:19
128:15	16 24 87:3	133:15	discussing
120.12	88:6 11 12	directly	61:9
determined	102:5 14	25:6	133:13
139:2	16.21	113:16	140:16,17
determines	103:5.6.	discarded	170:2
24:7	106:12.13	101:14	discussion
determining	129:9	101.14	85:15 18
69:15	120 0	disclose	86:12 15
09.13	differ	136:10	102:9
develop	47:23,25	disclosed	102:17
20:18,23	difference	144:19	117:4
28:9 71:10	37:20	165:12	144:1
74:20			I

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNA	TIONAL INC.
Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016	Index: discussionsengineers

160.22			120.6 11
109.22	50·Z,4,ZU,		121.14
discussions	22		131:14
21:5 31:17	drafts 56:19	e.g. 165:1	effecting
46:14	draw 125:19	earlier 29:8	128:23
disprove	drv 164:19	34:3 47:15	effective
51:4		58:7 64:25	20:25
dissolved	duly 5:⊥	75:15 77:7	21:10 95:5
102:6	dump 87:22	93:24	efficiency
103:21	Dupont $12:12$	95 : 20	89:1
104:7 9	12.7 12		0,1,1
10 12 15	$15 \cdot 7, 15$	earliest	efficient
16 18	17.7 24	28:24 29:1	18:7 21:24
105.1	1/.7,24	early 22:22	95 : 5
157.10	$18 \cdot 1 \ 27 \cdot 9,$	41:7 46:3	effort 65:18
157.10,	16 29.11	58:2 67:11	67:13
100.13,10	30.24	eagiegt	55 . 00.0
distillation	31:8,10	54:25	efforts 28:9
134:7	34:24	J-1 • 2J	29:13
167:2	41:15,20,	easy 31:15	enable 133:4
document	22,24 43:4	ев 9:15	enabling
10:11	46:16		120.02
56:10	59:23	economic	192.20
70:18	63:15,16	109:3,4	end 76:5
72:16 19	64:24	$\bot \bot \bot \vdots \bot 4$	114:1
20 21	65:13	economically	energy 18:18
133:17	67:12	21:24 24:8	
147:12	71:6,14,21	economics	engineer
11/•12	74:3,7	108:19	22:15,21
documents	111:16	109:8	23:11,1/
11:6 58:15	115:25	115.23	engineering
Douglas	164:11	113.23	23:6,7,15
69:21	Dupont's	education	63:1,4,11
70:14	17:21	22 : 25	64:6 66:10
71:1,2	- / ! \	educational	engineerg
72:5,19,20	Dupre(sic)	68:5	20:14 10
73:12	51:20,21		20·±±,±9 21:20
	52:16 53:2	effect	21·20 46:14 15
draft 55:18	54:16	123:24	IU·II,IJ

	Leo E. Manzer, P	h.D. on 01/07/2016	Index: Englishextent
English	error 140:14	exchange	149:1,3
10:11	estimate	56:19	expensive
147:14,15,	73:16	excuse 109:3	30:25
20	74.6 9	142.25	50.25
antoning	74.0,9	142.23	experience
	evaluate	exhaustive	63:2,5,8,
103:15	108:18	45:21	10,13 64:7
entire 27:4	109:8	evhihit	65:2,4,6,
30:7 95:4	ouzporztion	10.3 5 10	11,12
101:11	162.17	10.3, 3, 10,	66:7,12,
	103.17	11,22	15,20
entities	evaporator		67:17,19,
18:24	161:22	37:6,25	22 68:9.11
entitled	162:24	58:22	69:3 14
42:22	orrontuolly	70:15	73:19 74:7
		72:6,7	111.16
entrain	38.9 40.8	84:20 86:9	164.5 10
169:16,19	exact 64:19	116:8,9	104.5,10
entrained	ͲϒλΜΤΝλͲΤΛΝ	138:1	experiment
104:24	E. · 2	147:11,13	20:4 60:8
105:2,3	5.5	152:3,8,13	ownowimontal
157:10,15,	examined 5:1	Tubibiba	
16 166:13,	examples		149.7
15	45:19 22	10.13	expert
10	8 <i>4</i> ·19 21	exit 158:11	13:16,23
environmentall	04.19,21	161:25	14:9,14,
y 33:11	102.2 10	oviting	21,24
enzyme 33:10	104.0	156.17	15:2,15,18
amual 147.20	104.2	100.17	50:25
	124:14	exits 158:12	51:2,4,7,
equates	142:16	expanded	8.11.25
101:4	144:5	72:24	96:17
equation	157:2	72.21	50 17
40:14	exception	expect 5:17	explain
10.11	12:2	42:13	12:22 26:5
equations		49:20,24	113:17
106:10	excess	50:1 107:2	explains
equipment	156:15,22,	130:14	69:21
76:24	25	131:3	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		148:15	extent 44:22

	Leo E. Manzer, P	h.D. on 01/07/2016	Index: extrafluoro
45:6	128:2	final 21:15	fluoride
extra 11:9	135:18	finally 16:4	38:5
	feedstocks	find 20:24	75:17,21
F	16:23	21:9 73:14	76:11,13,
	17:1,3,9	74:8 93:18	15,19 89:8
facilitate	feel 7:5	145:13	95:23
18:16	8:1 148:8	140.12	97:11
facility	0.1 140.0	finding	146:7,9
20:7	field 59:1	163:1	149:12
2017	62:4 63:5,	fine 35:25	151:15
fact 22:19	11 67:9	74:24	159:23,25
70:22 72:3	figure 71:21	77:13	160:14
fair 6:7,9,	135:11	137:17	165:16,24,
20,21 11:2	51 20 . 0	Stately C:17	25 166:19
30:4 32:21	file 30:9,	tinish $6 \cdot 1/,$	167:14,15
41:14	24 31·1,	19,25	168:13,14,
73:25 74:2	11,22	finished	21 169:14,
fair] 140.2	32:13	6:23,24	17,20,24,
Tairiy 149.5	55:2,5,8,	firm 50:12	25
fall 51:18	$\pm 0, \pm 4$	16 54:19	fluorinated
121:14	filed 30:13,	20 55:24	59:3,4
122:5	18,23 51:6	57:5	61:1,2,9,
familiar	filing 30:4		10 75:14
5:17 50:15	6 31:24	flow 73:14	89:2
54:3 68:16	32:4	74:9,21	6]
	52.1	100:5	fluorine
faster	filter	126:9,15,	69.6 / 8.9,
130:15	149:11,	17,21,25	16,21
fed 24:18	150:23	127:2,10,	fluorines
112:23	filtered	17,25	40:4
127:9,15	159:23	128:2	fluoro
162:19	filtrata	flowed	12:18.24
164:1	150·2/	101:17	19:10 28:9
feed 126:9	139.24	flowing	33:10
15,17 19	filtration	101·0 10	34:2,4
127:10.17	150:6	126:24	42:20,22
19.20.22	151:16	120.24	44:20
24 25	165:17	flows 169:1	136:1.3
~ 1 , 2 J			±30°±±,3

	Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D). on 01/07/2016	Index: fluorocarbonform
fluorocarbon	32:5,24	80:14,23	18,25
12:19	33:18	81:5,11,	123:18
19:11 52:1	38:12	16,17	124:1,2,3,
63:2 67:18	39:3,19	82:3,14,20	4,10
fluorocarbons	40:12	83:9,20	125:11,18,
65:24	41:16,23	84:4,16,25	25 126:4,
00121	42:16 43:6	85:6,17,22	13,16
fluorochemical	44:17	86:4,21	127:7,10,
s 65.20	45:1,4,9	87:7,10,	12 128:7
focal 34:19	47:2,7,13,	14,20	129:14,19
focused	19 48:1,	88:4,19	130:8,13,
18:23	10,18	89:15,22	20 131:1,
28:12	49:16,22	90:2,8,18,	16 132:7,
153:18	50:14 51:9	24 91:15,	21 133:9
100 10	54:17	25 92:8,25	134:1,16,
focusing	55:4,12,20	94:9,17	23 135:2,9
26:17	56:3,13	95:2,15	136:7,12,
folder	57:6,15	96:1,11	25 138:18,
152:10,12,	58:16	97:2,23	19 139:8,9
14	59:17,21	99:8,17	140:1,13
folks 17:15	60:5,18,23	100:6	141:14
20:14.17.	61:7,13	102:12,23	142:5,15,
19 22:16	62:6,13,23	103:9,23	23 143:6,
32:18	63:12,23	104:17,21	22 144:4,
	64:9,17	106:16	10 145:2,
follow-up	65:5,15	107:7,24,	12,17,22
119:9	66:2,13,23	25 108:6,	146:11
121:18	67:10,21	14,22	148:17
168:7	68:6,13,24	109:21	150:4
force 126:6	69:11	111:13,22	153:7,25
forget 54:23	70:4,17	112:21	154:7
	71:7,17,22	114:11,21	155:6,13,
form 17:12	72:1 74:4,	115:10,16,	19 156:13
19:25 20:8	12,18	22 118:1,7	157:12
23:12 24:2	75:3,10	119:2,8,21	158:5,9,
25:16	76:16 77:1	120:20	17,25
30:10	78:13,17	121:1,16,	159:12
31:13	79:8,21	25 122:8,	160:10

ARKEI	MA FRANCE v. HONEY Leo E. Manzer, Pl	WELL INTERNATIO h.D. on 01/07/2016	NAL INC. Index: formaldehydegive
161:5,18	86:5,22	118:23	gave 38:20
162:10	95 : 16	123:3,10	70:9 86:24
163:13,22	96:2,12	128:22	111:8
164:3,	97:3,24	129:12	137:6
165:7,8,	99:9 100:7	133:16	general
14,19	102:24	139:21	
166:1,9,	103:8,24	144:19	70.25
14,21	111:13,23	148:8	83.14 23
167:10,18	120:21	fully 46:19	84:7
168:23	124:11	100:20	106:11
169:3,9,21	156:14	100.20	107:17
170:9,13	164:8		109:23 24
formaldehvde	170:14	G	-
26:9.11.14	founder	gas 122:23	generally
10 9/11/11	13:4.21	124:3,7,9,	5:17 20:16
formed 13:7		13,17	36:25
17:21 18:3	frame 64:19,	125:9,13,	41:20
formula	21 65:16	16,17	46:12
109:19,23,	66:14 121:10	126:9,11,	61:21
24 110:5,	131:19	15,17,19,	108:12
11,16,18	France 4:17	22,24,25	129:10
111:4,7	free 8:1	127:1,2,5,	generate
forward	92:12,19	15,17,21,	97:12
119:3	94:12	22,25	155:24
	148:9	128:2	generated
found 93:22	- 10.10	143:21,23	154:2,11
foundation	French 10:10	148:16	159:25
30:17	14/•12,19	158:4,10,	160:13,17
31:14	front 9:11	18 165:9	161:6
48:11,19	11:18	gaseous	G arra 15:1
49:17,23	fuels 16:24	124:1.16	$\frac{\text{Gevo}}{471220}$
68:7,14,25	17:1	138:18	4,/,12,20
74:5,13,19	5	139:7	Gilson 50:19
75 : 11	$\begin{array}{c} \text{IUII} 5 \cdot 7 \\ 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 9 4 \in \cdot 2 0 \end{array}$	158:2,21	give 5:22
76:17 77:2	32.0 40.2U 17.5 10.20	170:3	16:7 23:19
81:6 82:4	ユノ・ジ 49・20 87・91	120.0	26:6 32:3
84:5,17	0/•⊿⊥ 117•10	gauge 139:2	45:18,22
85:1,23	$\perp \perp / \cdot \perp 0$		-

	Leo E. Manzer, P	h.D. on 01/07/2016	Index: givingHFP
94:3	guess 57:18	166:18	45 : 24
112:12	65:9 66:6,	hard 66:6	68 : 16
118:17,19,	67:25 78:4	141:1	78:10,11,
20 144:5	80:17	± ± ± ÷ ±	14,15
giving 39:6	100:20	Harrison	79:2,10
56:11	147:21	10:22,24	80:4
50.11	152:14	53:17	HE134A 66:16
Global 4:12	guidelines	hazardous	
goal 73:13	25:13	68:16,18	HFC 45:3,6,
74:8,14		HCEC 8:16	8,14 67:23
95:13	guys 17:18	HCFC 0.10	68:2
good 4:18	147:7	HCFC123	hfC125 45:24
5:5 6 21:2		45:24	HEC1343 46:6
35:24	H	47:10	67:13
71:19		HCFC124	07.13
105:11	Hann 4:10	45:25	HFCOS 28:4
137:15	haloalkane		hfcs 28:4,
147:8 18	9:7 44:2,9	$\begin{array}{c} \text{HCFCS} 20.4, \\ 10.14 \end{array}$	14 45:11
167:23	61:22	12,14 61.10	64:12,23
107.25	62:8,15	67.20	67:22
graduate	95:6	07.20	HEO 44:20
68:23	haloalkanes	HCL 40:15	HFO 44.20
grams 86:13	131:23,24	head 6:12	HFO1225YE
142:17	132:23	heer 6.1	9:22
araa 87.23		near 0.1	HF01234YF
91055 07.25	halogen	heard 50:22	9:21
great 16:22	44:6,/,12, 14 77:04	heavies	
ground 5:19	14 / /:24	135:17,24	12.16
6:14 137:7	79:19	, 	17.11 16
group 28.22	80:20	nelprul	17.11,10
31.16 A2.7	handed 22:10	69·17	27.2 20.1,
65.10 42.7	56:20	heterogenous	2,3,3
67.14	handling	142:9	42.15 17
146:3	68:16	Hey 152:17	74.72,11
10.2	1	- 01.14	HFP 42:22
grouped	nappen 30.22	HF 21.14 20.7 1/17	109:25
28:19,21	132.Q	20·1,⊥4,⊥5 20·16	118:14
	happening	01.46	

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Index: 1

Index: HFPS..I-n-e-o-s

HFPS 42:21	Honeywell	hydrofluorocar	hydrophilic
high 21:1	4:5,20	bon 63:6,9,	141:6
23:23,24	honors 22:25	14,19	hydroxide
24:1 26:3	hama 10.01	64:2,7,15	8:19,20
68:17	nope 48.21	65:3,7,12	75:24
75:19,21	49•4,9	68:8 69:2	76:14,20
96:7	hour 35:23	hydrofluorocar	82:18
112:25	House 27:16	bons 66:1,	95:9,19,
133:3	Hudree(sia)	5,8,12,21	23, 96:24
higher 76:13	28:6	68:20	97:9 98:2,
125:6	20.0	hydrofluoroole	4,10,12,
130:15	hundred 19:1	fins 8:6	16,20
131:3.9.10	25:4,18,	hydrogen	146:3,4,5,
139:19	19,21,22,	38:5	7 151:14,
100 10	23,25	43:23 25	23 154:3
highest	26:10,13,	44:4 13	155:1,21
71:23	14,18	78:9 15	160:14,17,
139:17	75 : 20	80:20	25 161:3,6
highlights	156:16	118:14.17	162:13,16
12:10,15	Huseby 4:12	110 11,1,	163 : 7
14:8	TTV 77.0 22	hydrogenate	165:24
hiro 29.25	$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{X} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdot \\ 1 \\ \cdot \\ 0 \\ 0$	131:23	168:13
	23 80.4	hydrogenating	169:11
history	hydro 44:11	109:17,23	hypothetical
55:2,5	hydrocarbon	110:2,9	96:12,13,
115:25	65:8 69:7,	hydrogenation	16 97:3,24
Hofer(sic)	13	109:20,22	99:18
50:13	hydrochloric	118:9	100:14
hold 23:6	38:16	135:12,14,	103:25
129:5	39:18 40:7	15	111:23
homogonooug	h	hydrogens	115:22
	nydrochloroflu	40:5	156:14
	8:15	10 0	
honest 46:14		hydrohalogen	I
honestly	hydrodehalogen	44·10	
15:11	15,22 44:3	hydrohalogenat ion 129:22	I-n-e-o-s 14:13

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Index: i.eInsights				
i.e. 73:15	improper 7:6	86:2 89:12	60:13	
idea 140:8	improve	103:18	70:23	
ideas 23:2	48:22 49:5	107:22	Ineos 14:13,	
73:20	improved	161.20	16,17,18,	
	49:10	101.20	19 16:7	
1dentified		including	34:17,22,	
10:17,23	include 30:3	12:11 28:1	23 35:1,6	
12:21,22	42:6	59:2 60:25	information	
13:3,15	66:15,16	77:5 80:21	39:7 57:9	
93:6	82:12 83:5	98 : 24	11 68:18	
identifies	84:2,14	101:21	116:5	
117:17	88:13	102:4,14	110.2	
	91:3,4,5	103:21	infringed	
identify	94:24	157:24	35:8	
120:19	97:16,22	168:10	infringement	
identifying	101:11,15,	incomplete	16:17	
93:5	16 102:5,		35:14	
immediately	19,21	96.12		
02.12 20	103:6,12	97:3,24	infringing	
92.13,20	108:2,5,9,	99:18	16:16	
94.12	16 109:24	100:14	initial	
impeachment	110:18	103:25	20:24 21:8	
37:9	122:16,19	111:23	22:23	
implementing	. 151:22	115:22	120:3	
20.6	160:5	156:14	120 5	
20.0	164:25	Incorporated	initially	
Implication	170:6	4:6	21:21 52:7	
137:10	2,00	2 0	inlet	
implies	included	increase	124:22,24	
101:9	11:11 12:6	89:1	149:17	
	19:3 22:17	increases		
<pre>imply 79:1</pre>	4 42:23 45:7	49:4	input 47:3	
important	55:23		Insights	
17:24	90:16	increasing	12:12	
18:6,10	91:10,14	48:24	13:4,5,6,	
32:12.15	93:6,20	induced	9,16,21	
47:20	169:1	123:4,12	14:7 15:15	
153:9	includes	industry	18:21	

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2014 ndex: insoluble..IPR2015-00915

29:17,19	64:23	interrupt	9,11
31:4,6	107:2,3	11:12 22:2	investment
50:10	interested	intertwined	76:25 77:5
164:11	24:25	40:23	
insoluble	25:2,10		invited
141:10	26:3 38:19	introduce	27:10
	51:25	37:13	involve
instance		95:24	44:22,24
60:3 88:23	interesting	introduced	45 : 3,7
95:11	21:2	114:10,19,	93:3
96:5,7	intermediate	24	involved
130:25	40:9	introducing	15:6 17:14
instances	110:3,15	37:21	19:8 23
48:4,23	intermediates	57 21	21:6.10.
90:10 96:6	28:2 40:5	invalid	17 18 22:4
170:7	2012 1015	15:22	30:6 31:25
Instant	International	16:12	34:21
88:24	4:6	invalidity	45:6 14
00.21	interpret	16:8 35:17	46:9 10 24
instructed	80:19		40.5,10,24
55:10	84:12,14		47:0 J1:14 64:11
instruction	114:22	3U•⊥3 111•10	156:12
7:11	intonnotation	111.10	100.12
intomato	for the second s	invention	involvement
integrate	02.24	31:19	45 : 13
40.10	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \perp \cdot \perp \angle \\ 0 \prime \cdot 0 1 \end{array}$	32:12,15,	46:11,12,
intended	04.0,10	17 62:4	22 57:13
42:6 101:2	00.0,7,0,	88:25	involves
intending	102.21	117:10,11,	43:23
170:6	102.21	12,20	46:14
	160.2	inventions	122:11
interaction	160.10	32:10	
22:14	100.12	33:16	$\frac{10010109}{27\cdot 2}$
interchangeabl	interpretation		$\frac{2}{20} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{20} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{20} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{20} \frac{3}{4} $
e 153:13,16	s 55:22	inventive	38.4 44.24
interest	70:9	30:2,9,20	ion 78:20,
16:22 18:8	interpreted	inventor	21 98:16
24:4 25:9	84:13	30:3 34:7,	IPR2015-00915

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Index: IPR2015-00916..KOH

36:10	judge 16:4	23 167:11	13,18,19,
TPR2015-00916	iustifiable	168:25	20,21
36:11	30:23	169:1	104:2
	31:20.22	кн 84:24	106:12,13
IPR2015-00917	0		107:4
36:12	<u>к</u>	kind $13:8$	114:7,9,14
IPR201500915		ZI·ZI	129:24
4:7	keeping 6:12	knew 15:25	130:7,11
isolate	KE 76:1 23	knowing	131:14
92:12,20	78:19.24	111:25	132:17
94:12	25 82:18	Import adap	140:25
iaomora	83:5.17.19	22.1 22.18	141:2,7,21
1/2.10	84:2.6.8.	22.4 33.10	145:11,16
143.10	14 85:16,	knowledgeable	146:18
issuance	18,20	65 : 22	149:15
66:17	86:2,16,19	кон 8:19	150:3,6,7,
issue 15:9	94:25	78:22	25 151:19,
154:22	95:5,8,12	81:25	20,21,22
ingual 12.2	96:4,8,15,	82:2,10,	153:1,2,
$28 \cdot 16 23$	23 98:12,	12,15,17,	20,23,24
$20 \cdot 10, 23,$	19 103:12,	21 83:4,5,	154:2,5,
64.10	14,19	6,15,16	10, 12, 13,
04.10	106:14	84:2,6,9,	14,23 155:0 5 7
ITC 34:14,	107:5	14,15,24	155.2,5,7,
19	108:8,9	85:13,14,	$0, \perp \perp, \perp 4,$ 17 10 22
	145:21,25	16,20	1/, 10, 22,
J	146:18	86:1,2,13,	25 150·S, 6 12 15
- 4 • 0	151:23	16,17,18	17 2, 15,
January 4.8	153:3,5,	87:4 88:6,	157:2 8
jobs 12:11,	11,20	92:12 93:4	10.24
16	154:11,13	94:12,15,	159:4.6.7.
John 4:16	155:3,4,24	18,19,21,	13,18.19.
ioint 56.00	159:13	95:25	22,24.25
	160:7	96:4,8,25	160:3.4.8.
jointly	161:1,4,7,	97:13,16	11,13,16.
55:23,25	11 162:13	102:22	21 161:11,
56:6,7	165:16,20,	103:4,12,	20,21,23,
			-, , , = - ,

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016

Index: lab..locate 24 162:12, language leaves liquid 18 163:20, 158:16,23 61:12,14, 10:11 24 164:1, 22 62:5,8, 89:13 93:6 leaving 5,12,14,19 133:24 11,14 135:23 165:11,15, 124:4,7,18 147:12,19, 167:8 25 170:12 22 148:19 125:25 **led** 67:14 126:7 large 17:14, 127:6,10, 39:6 leeway \mathbf{L} 19 20:6 21 128:6 **left** 17:24 largely 28:8 lab 22:7 129:2,10, **legal** 56:16 23:21 13,18,22 **larger** 46:17 138:18 **Leo** 4:4,25 labeled **lasted** 67:15 139:8,18 5:9 12:17 **late** 46:4 143:21,24 **letters** 9:8 laboratories 148:16 **law** 5:23 19:13 **level** 20:21 50:16 **list** 12:10 64:20 22:9 54:19,20 28:17 23:10,24 laboratory 55:24 32:20 41:4 44:25 19:4,9,17, lawsuit listed 33:7 45:8,16 18,20,22 13:17,23 35:12,16 47:8 20:4,5,10, 14:9 89:19 111:20 14,18,23 136:21 **lawyer** 56:16 112:2 22:8,11,15 153:8 162:16 23:9 29:19 **layer** 141:8, 162:24 30:14 21 liaisons 32:10 43:7 literature 31:18 32:8 lead 46:17 54:4,6,11 114:13 lime 76:20 47:23 lithium 98:12 48:7,9,16, leadership 146:4 159:11,14 27:23 25 49:14 160:17 litigation 59:16,19, leading 161:7 4:13 14:24 24 60:4, 58:4,5 169:11,23 34:12 17,20 **leads** 60:21 35:13 65**:**22 limited 31:4 155**:**4 66:4,20 **LLC** 13:6 **lines** 69:21 111:19 **learn** 23:14 18:22,23 83:14 93:7 142:13,18, **leave** 9:8 149:19 locate 54:6 19 154:17

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Index: locatedmaterial				
located 54:9	lunch 105:9	76:14	44:25	
lesstien	lungheen	77:11	45:8,16	
		78:19,22,	47:10	
40.4	105.14	23 82:21	49:20 59:2	
161.19		95:4 112:2	142:25	
102:9	M	116:1	4.4	
lodge 7:7	M-a-n-z-e-r	124:6	$\begin{array}{cccc} \text{Manzer} & 4 \cdot 4 \\ 25 & 5 \cdot 5 & 0 \end{array}$	
logical 95:7	5:9	130:3	25 5.5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5	
1		135:22	26.15 28.2	
10ng 9.11	made 26:12	144:23	30·13 30·3	
38.24	30:8,15	169:5	106.5	
39:10	31:10 42:3		100.5	
45:20 46:1	113:4	makes 20.2	137.24	
52:16	145:6	/1:12	T08:0	
67:15	main 15:10	131:20	Manzer's	
169:12		155:11,14	39:12	
looked 38:24	maintain	making 22:16	Marisa 4:11	
131:17,18	127:18	38:21		
132:3	128:6	40:25	mark 37:4,	
139:16	major 28:4	68:10,11,	15	
164:9	majority	15 76:25	marked 10:9	
lot 7:18	32:22	145:9	Masavuki	
23:14 27:8		manager	10:16.19	
42:12.21	make 9.5	23:16	53:14.22	
,	1/.9 18.7		132:15,19	
lots $11:15$,	$20 \cdot 24$	110.15 16	133:1	
16 30:22	21·0,10, 10 00	17 10	145:5	
low 74:7	19,23	1/,18	110 0	
75:1,5	24.24	manufacture	master's	
113:2	29:25 30:2	38:4	63:4	
148:25	31:1 32:19	142:22	match 116:23	
lower 11:13	43:12	manufacturer	material	
1	44:10 14	76:14	24:15,17,	
lowering	45:24		18,23	
ΤΩ.Τ.	57:10	10.2/	25:8,11	
lowest 71:11	64:12	エブ・ ム 4 つの・フーつの	52:12	
139:16	07·14 65·21 66·5	2U·/,2U	74:22	
	67·12	23·10	98:23	
	01.12			

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Index: mater

	Leo E. Manzer, P	h.D. on 01/07/2016	Index: materialsminor
99:19,24	35:13	medium	146:12
100:3,15,	79:6,18,20	149:13,14,	mentions
18 101:10,	80:12,20	24 150:2,	121:12
18,21	81:7,13	5,10,14,	142:24
102:4	101:8	17,20,21,	155:2
103:21	102:16	24 151:4,	133.2
108:3	103:14	7,9 153:2	met 68:4
109:18,23	means 25:5 7	157:24	metal 153:3,
110:14	27:8 31:23	158:1,3,7,	21 155:1
115:18	44:1 4 8	14 161:10	159:7,10
118:17	59:11	meet 32:7	matallungigta
124:5	74:20	58:9 64:5	1000000000000000000000000000000000000
135:13	81:10 88:6	50.5 01.5	21·12,17
137:11	90:14 91:9	meeting	methane
146:19,21	104:16 18	56:23 58:2	26:8,10,13
materials	114:19	meetings	method 88:25
17:9 18:17	139:5	20:9,13,19	132:23,25
21:14	161:9	58:1,4,5,6	165:17
38:22	101 9	memory 125:2	mathada
52:5.9	meant 39:22	memory 125.2	38·21
53:2, 57:4	40:1 70:2	mention	50·21 64·12
68:16.18	99:5	53:22	04.17
69:24	101:19	89:14	microbiology
74:16	119:15	120:22	16:1
108:1.24	mechanism	145:14,18,	middle 46:3
119:23	18:2	24 146:1,9	65 : 18
120:1	Medal 27:7.	154:24	66:15
140:25	14	155:11,14	67:13
	4.2	156:2,5,10	146:1
matter 4:5	media 4:3	157:13	milliong
36:9 50:17	150:18	166:15	17:5
51:15	151:9,16	mentioned	11.2
52:21,24	158:12	42:19	mind 43:19,
5/.14	mediated	108:17	20 46:5
152.2,4,5	123:5,13	145:16	60:7
mature 17:23	129:21	156:8	106:18
meaning	146:25	mentioning	minor
15:10	147:4		106:18,21,
-			

ARKEN	MA FRANCE v. HONEY Leo E. Manzer, P	WELL INTERNATION h.D. on 01/07/2016	AL INC. Index: minusobjection
22,23	molecule	11	71:23
minug 138.12	82:19	namog 54.23	116:8,24
	momonta	names J4.25	119:5
minute	122.12	narrowest	148:6
142:25	193.12	139:12	149:18
Miranda 4:21	Monday 58:8,	narrowly	150:8,18,
migghamagtamig	11	80:22,24	20 151:5,
ation 102:24	monitor 4:9	National	15 152:3
103:9.24	7:21	07.7 1/	159:14
100 9721	monomor	27.7,14	160:21
mischaracteriz	$22 \cdot 10 24 \cdot 4$	necessarily	162:3
es 95:3	33.10 34.4	23:16	163:17
131:2	44.20	40:24	166:5
132:8	monomers	59:18 65:2	numborg
136:13	12:18,24	98:15	10.10
mischaracteriz	42:21	155:7	11.6 14
ing 135:10	monthly 32:9	nondisclosure	33:19
misleading	- 4.10	52:12,17	72:21
140:2,3	morning $4 \cdot 18$	53:1	138:6
migging	5.5,0		190.0
	move 22:21	nonetneless	
104.1,4	147:6	00.3	
misspoke	moved 158:3,	North 28:7	oath 5:22
115:1	7 168:20	note 36:7	object 7.5
169:18	moving 16:22	39:4	64:9,17
misspoken	47:23 48:7	notice	92:8
130:10	93:23	140:11	102:12
mistaken	107:16		165:14
115:3			abiastion
mixed 169.12	20.01	140.14	$\frac{\mathbf{OD}\mathbf{J}\mathbf{e}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{O}\mathbf{I}}{7\cdot7}$
	JU•ZI /1•10	number 4:3,6	10.25 20.9
mixing	$72 \cdot 16$ $72 \cdot 1$	10:3,6,18,	19.23 20.0
169:13	12.10 12.1	23 11:7,	23·12 24·2 25:16
mixture	Munoz 4:12	13,15,17	20.10 16
127:19,20,		13:24 19:9	31:12
24 168:22	N	24:11 32:7	32.5 24
molar 156.05		33:1 36:18	33:3 18
	named 34:8,	38:20	55.5,10

	Leo E. Manzer, Ph.	D. on 01/07/2016	Index: objectionsoccurs
38:12	82:3,14,	16,25	161:5,18
39:3,19	20,25	122:8,18,	162:10
40:12	83:9,20	25 123:18	163:13,22
41:16,23	84:4,5,16,	124:2,10	164:3,
42:4,16	25 85:6,	125:11,18	165:7
43:6 44:17	17,22	126:4,13,	166:1,9,
45:1,4,9	86:4,21	16 127:12,	14,21
47:2,7,13,	87:7,10,	16,23	167:10,18
19 48:1,	14,20	128:7	168:23
10,18	88:4,19	129:14,19	169:3,9,21
49:2,8,16,	89:15,22	130:8,13,	170:9,13
22 50:14	90:2,8,13,	20 131:1,	objections
51:9 54:17	18,24	16 132:7,	38:17
55:4,12,20	91:15,18,	21 133:9	40:8 19
56:3,13	92:25	134:1,16,	50:6 96:21
57:6,15	94:9,17	23 135:2,9	97:10 17
58:16	95:2,15	136:7,12,	98:7 18
59:17,21	96:1,11	25 138:19	99:22
60:5,18,23	97:2,23	139:9	100:13 19
61:7,13	99:8,17	140:1,9,13	112:11
62:6,13,23	100:6	141:14	116:3
63:12,23	102:23	142:5,15,	128:13 18
65:5,15	103:8,23	23 143:6,	131:8
66:2,13,23	104:17,21,	22 144:4,	156:20
67:10,21	25 105:5	10 145:2,	164:13
68:6,13,24	106:16,24	8,12,17,22	101.12
69:5,11	107:7,25	146:11,16,	objective
70:4,17	108:6,14,	23 148:17	18:3 26:9
71:7,17,22	22 109:21	150:4,11	42:18
72:1 74:4,	111:22	153:7,25	obvious 71:9
12,18	112:21	154:7	78 : 5
75:3,10	114:11,21	155:6,13,	obviouanoaa
76:16 77:1	115:10,16,	19 156:4,	147.25
78:13,17	21 118:1,	13 157:12,	117.23
79:8,21	7,12	17 158:5,	occasionally
80:14,23	119:2,21	9,17,25	74:24
81:5,11,	120:20	159:12,16	occurs
16,22	121:1,6,	160:10	157:25

ADREMA EDANCE 7 HONEVWELL INTEDNATIONAL INC

	Leo E. Manzer, P	h.D. on 01/07/2016	Index: Octoberownership
158:16	opined 15:23	89:8	157:10,13,
168:17	16:11,13,	options 75:1	15,16
October	17	76:9	166:13,16,
131:19	opining		23,25
	132:4.9.18	or(sic)	organization
offhand		144:8	42:8.10
126:2	opinion	order 109:6	12 0710
office 55:5	51:11	125:25	organized
official	69:10	149:12,23	28:22
147:22	96:17	ordinary	orifice
171.22	107:13	62.17 22	158:11,20
oftentimes	147:25	$62 \cdot 17, 22$	original
27:3 31:23	153:12	70.6 10	07.6
olefin 19:10	opinions	79·0,⊥0 01·7	97.0
44:2,9,10,	16:8	01.1	outlet
14 61:23	131:12	Organal(sic)	149:10,18
89:2	144:24	69:6	157 : 25
	145:7	organic	158:4,12
olefins 34:2	163:11	69:4.8.13	outlined
59:3 61:1,		85:14	163:1
9 131:23,	opportunity	92:12.19	
25	141.20	94:11	outset 73:12
one's 27:3	opposed 20:3	98:25	overnight
Ontario 23:4	optimization	101:22,24	21:16
11.0	22:7	102:1	oversaw
open 11.2		107:14	19:17
87:21		114:13	
operate	/3.10 /4.9	122:12	oversee
24:5,6	option 75:7,	141:3,5,7,	46:24
operated	8 95:17	8,17,21	oversight(sic)
18:1	155:21	156:25	19:15
1011	159:20	166:4	owner $4:19$
operation	optional		14:18 20
22:23	121:8	organics	15:7
46:17	133:23	102.00	±,,
47:24	134:10.17	104.7	ownership
120:2		104:/,9,	18:4
opine 15:21	optionally	105-0	
		105:2	

by.com Huseby, Inc. Regional Centers 800 Charlotte ~ Atlanta ~ Washington, DC ~ New York ~ Houston ~ San Francisco www.huseby.com 800-333-2082

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.			
	Leo E. Manzer, P	II.D. 0II 01/0//2010	index: p.mpenetized
	144:19,20	passes	24 89:5,
P	148:8	159:19	11,16,17
n.m. 170:23	149:10,21	passing	90:19
	152:2,22	123:23,25	91:12 92:9
pages 33:6,	157:8,20,	124:1,5	94:11 95:4
9,12	23 159:3	. 116.15	109:11
paper 7:22	164:20	past 110:15	113:25
8:1 41:25	paragraphs	patent 4:19	129:10
42:1,3,9,	119:13	9:1, 12:3,	131:18
22		5 13:17,23	patentable
	parameters	14:9,17,	31:18
papers	25:14	18,20	
$42 \cdot 14, 19,$	47:20	15:5,7,10,	patenting
24 51.5	part 27:22	21 16:8,	42:2
paragraph	34:14	12,15	patents 7:19
13:15,22	85:24	28:24 29:1	12:3 15:6,
14:6 19:3	88:17,25	30:3,9,12,	9,11,25
58:23	90:4,11,15	19,23,24	28:16
61:5,15	91:7,9	31:1,11,	29:12,23,
69:18,19	95:20 97:4	17,24	24 30:5,6
83:13,23	122:10	32:4,18	32:7,21,22
85:11	123:1	35:7 36:18	33:2,7,12,
106:7	129:3	37:1,2	17,24,25
107:16,17	141:19	51:16,25	34:6,10,
116:18	149:17	52:13,23	13,19
117:7,18	154:12	53:6 54:4	35:1,3,11,
118:23	159:18	55:3,5,21	16,20
120:18,22		57:1 58:14	36:16
121:7		59:1 61:3,	44:20 54:4
123:3,10	141.22	8,21 64:10	57:7,25
126:8	parties	66:18	40.0
128:22	13:18	78:19	pathway 40.2
129:5,12,	14:11,15	79:11,17,	pathways
17 133:16	parts 147:21	20 80:6,	38:23
134:2,9		13,19,22	Pause 143:2
136:18	party 15:3	83:7.10	162:25
138:4	16:16	84:20	102-23
139:21	34:24	88:2,6,20,	pelletized

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.			
	Leo E. Manzer, P	h.D. on 01/07/2016	Index: peoplepoint
164:18	112:10	122:23	156:9
people	percentages	124:7,9,	158:19
21:12 18	143:5 12	13,18	161:14,16
$21 \cdot 12, 10$ $22 \cdot 6, 27 \cdot 10$	1//·2 Q	125:9,13,	166:4,7
$22 \cdot 0 27 \cdot 10$	144.5,0	17 126:7,	168:10
32.10	period	11 127:15	170:2
46:22	120:1,11,	128:6	
64:21	13,15	129:2.10	places 64:25
65:19	normittod	13 18 23	plain 79:6,
66:21		1/0.10 10	18
perceived	7.5	140.10,19,	- 100.10
17:23	person	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	plan 1/0:18
1, 10	62:17,22,	9,16,17,	plant 20:11,
percent 19:1	25 63:7,21	18,19,20	12 22:8,
24:5,6	66:20	142:2,8,9,	12,20,22
25:4,7,9,	68:3.8.15	10 143:24	45:11
18,20,21,	00 0,0,10	148:16	46:18 20
22,23,25	personal	158:21,22,	$25 \ 47:4 \ 5$
26:10,13,	27 : 24	23	$25 \pm 7 \cdot \pm , 5,$
14,18	Personally	Dhiladalahia	24 40.7, 9, 17 01 05
69:23	66:3		17,21,25
71:16.21.		27.20,21	49:15,19,
24 25	petitioner	phosgene	20
73:20	4:17 5:3	33:11	play 6:15
74:11.16.	petitions	phrase	27:18
24 75;20	58:18	170:15	nlaved 14:9
111:12	116:12	1,0 10	prayed 14.7
114.3 6 7		physically	pleural
114.0,0,7,	petroleum	56:1	12:19
9,19,23 11E·E 0	16:23,24	pilot 20:11	point 6:22
115.5,9,	Ph.d. 23:3	46:18,25	9:5 17:14
11,18,19	41:10,18	47:4 24	$21 \cdot 2 23 \cdot 8$
130:2,5,	62:25 64:6	1, 1, 2, 1	$21 \cdot 2 \ 23 \cdot 0,$
18,24	66:10	$\pm 0 \cdot 7, 9, \pm 7,$	10 34.20
131:3,5,6,	00 10	ZI,ZO	35:3,
7 156:11,	phase 27:11	49:14,19	51:24
16 163:7,	61:12,14,	place 23:21	52:4,6,9,
8,9	22 62:5,9,	56:1	11 53:25
porgontago	12,14	110:22	57:19 67:8
111.01	104:19	120:2	101:1

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Index: poin

	Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016		Index: pointedpressure
120:13	69:9,14	precipitated	preparing
124:25	potassium	159 : 22	116:18
132:1,2	8:18 20	prequirgor	140:12
150:5,	75:16 21	60:21	Dresence
167:24	24 76:10	00.71	80:8 81:1
pointed 92:4	12 13 15	preface	111:2
133:22	19 20	73:6,8,13	139:23
100 22	82:18	prefer	140:7
pointing	95:9.12.	147:15	110 /
133:24	13.18.19.	preferably	present
136:18	22 97:4.8	130:4 17	12:11
portion	9 11 18	138.15	13:3,20
85:13	22 25	130.23	95:6 97:25
DOGTTA 63.25	98:3 5 10	140.6	130:2,4,18
65.1 6	$14 \ 17$	140.0	150:7,25
67.0 69.1	146:5 7 9	162.0	155:3,4
12 21	159:25	102.0	presented
12,21 60·16	160:17	preferred	27:15
120.11 22	162:16	128:23	99:23
121.2 17	163:7	131:11	125:20
132.2	167:15	146:2	progident
122.10	168:13	148:21	
150.17	169:20 25	162:16	13·3,20 27·16
154.4 8	109,20,25	163:6	$27 \cdot 10$ $32 \cdot 0$ 11 1 <i>1</i>
$21 22 \cdot 1, 0,$	potentially	preheater	52.9,11,14
155.16 20	112:4	135:18	pressure
156:1	practical		124:19,21
164:23	63:2 69:3	$21\cdot 2 26\cdot 10$	125:4,8,
101.22	practice	12·21	12,24
possibilities	70:23	42·21 55·16	126:5,6
73:21	70:25	122.22	128:5,10
possibility	11.10	132.23	138:20,23
127:8	precipitant	prepare 7:17	139:2,14,
noggible	167:16	57:22	16,17,19
25:19	168:14	prepared	144:23
	169:17,20	7:15 44:21	145:1,4
	precipitate	56:4,5,15,	148:18,21,
postgraduate	151:14	23 161:6	22,23,25

	Leo E. Manzer, Ph.	D. on 01/07/2016	Index: pressuresproduct
pressures	133:11	48:6,16,	13,18
139:6	136:9,13	22,24	133:5
144:18	141:25	56:19	138:11
pressurized	problem	61:14,20,	143:20,21,
126:21	73:17	22 62:5,	24 144:2,
120021	131:20	12,14	9,15 146:6
pretty 16:14	191.20	69:22 70:7	149:17
30:5 32:16	proceed 4:24	71:9,11,12	155:25
71:8 78:5	proceeded	73:14,15,	156:3
126:3	12:12	18 74:8,	prododdod
169:15		21,23	
previous	10.10	75:6,12	10.2,5
23:18	10.10	76:22 77:4	22.14
102:25	35.19	84:10 88:1	23.14
103:9	58.22	90:5,11,	$24 \cdot 5, 0$
	proceedings	12,15	20.3 33.23
previously	10:18	91:8,10,20	37.3 30.0
50:21 68:1	138:1	93:25	39.11
102:15	process 5:18	94:1,5,6,	44.10,23
138:2	18:13.16.	22 95:5,	117.16
price 76:12,	19 19:24	13,18,20,	$1 1 7 \cdot 10$
13	20:16 18	21 97:14,	142.13,14
primarily	24 21:3 8	21 98:11,	produce
19:4 16	23 22:16	15 99:7,	44:2,9
19 1/10	18 23:9	12,25	61:23
printed 11:7	24:4 8 16	100:4	110:3,14
138:6	17 25:15	101:16	112:15
prior 7:19	27:19	104:4	produced
9:24 13:2	28:11	106:18	40:24
31:21	30:25	108:19	106:19
35:10	34:15 19	109:20	107:5
53:6,20	20 35:6	112:7	162:12
54:1 57:3,	36:19 37:1	115:24	
25 58:14	38:4 18	117:14,15,	produces
68:4	42:2 45:15	19 119:14,	62:15
103:24	46:6 8 15	, 22, 25	producing
116:12	19 47:1 9	123:16,22	17:9 33:23
131:13	11 21 24	129:9,10.	product
	11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11,		Produce

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Index: production..purifying

21:19	products	23:11,16,	publishing
24:24	16:25	25	41:6
25:1,6,8	18:8,17	projects	pure 21:19
26:2,12	25:10 28:4	45:7 47:17	
39:15	38:10	1307 17017	purge 135:15
40:25	39:1,17	propane	136:4
85 : 12	98 : 25	136:1,4	purification
98 : 22	101:22,23,	propylene	117:24
99:10,11	24 102:1	42:22	118:3,23
100:1,22	117:20	nnoui do	119:5,8,
102:2,3	118:5,11,		121:9,19
103:11,20	22,25	1/1 17 20	122:4,12
104:8	119:3,24	70·1 01·2/	133:23
106:20	120:6	130.15	134:11,14,
107:2	121:18	130.13	17,20,21,
108:9	122:22	157.1	25 165:15
110:4,15	134:6,14	137.1	167:7,14,
111:1,4	140:25	provided	19 168:11
112:19	158:10,18	52:5,8,9,	nurifications
116:1	165:9	12 53:2	
118:13,16,	166:5	54 : 15	117:22
18,19	170:3	55:21	118:4 21
119:10	professor	56:22	110.1,21
121:24	71:4	57:5,7,9	purified
122:1,2,	,	70:16,18	120:17,19
13,16,20	profits 30:1	publication	<pre>purify 81:8,</pre>
124:6	programs	42:6,7	108:13
134:3	71:10	publications	145:15
135:12	progress		
143:17	32:10	17 20	81:3 7 17
151:13	41:15	42:25	20.88:14
158:2	11.10	12.23	20 00:14 80:0 13
168:20	progressed	publish	$17 \ 20$
production	41:19	41:25	90.1 3 21
59:3 61:1.	progresser	42:1,3,11	91:4 19
9,12 75:16	126:21	published	92:5
76:1 89:2	project $22:9$	41:12	107:23
	Project 22.9		108:20
			100.20

ARKE	MA FRANCE v. HONEY Leo E. Manzer, P	WELL INTERNATION h.D. on 01/07/2016	AL INC. Index: purposereaction
109:7	84:11	range 63:10	reactants
122:2	88:21	139:12,14	107:18
136:23	91:13,21,	145:4	108:8
160:5	23 92:16	ranged	120:11
165:2,5,	93:10 94:7	144:23	123:23
12,17,19,	96:13 97:7	111.20	reacted
23 166:7,	100:17	rate 47:23	61·22
18	111:24	48:9,12	$01 \cdot 22$ $92 \cdot 12 \cdot 21$
2002200000	113:20	126:18,25	02.13,21
	119:7	127:2,25	95.25
07.23 95.4	121:5	128:2	90·24 110·22
142.19	129:15	130:16	120.4 5
102.9	130:9	141:2	120.4,5
purposes	132:16	142:6,11	151.14
37:9,14	136:10,16	rates 112:6	122:3
nut 56:615	143:8	126:9 15	reacting
Puc 30 0/13	144:12	22 127:11	78 : 22
	146:24	17	97:11
Q	165:18	± /	reaction
gualified		ratio 156:25	18:15
21:20 51:7	questions	rationale	30:21
	5:20,21	102:9	38:5 11
qualify	6:11,17	161·1	14 16
67:20	37:22,23	raw 104.1	39:2 16
question	168:7	reach 145:3	40:11
5:25 6:6,	quick 11:25	reached	45:23
7,20,24	169:15	145:6	75:16 22
7:6,8,12	mit 16.5	163:11	$73 \cdot 10, 22,$ 23 78 \ 14
20:2 24:4,	quit 10.5		23 70·14 70·15
13 29:21	quote 72:13	reaching	9.11 17
31:15	quoted	131:12	$02 \cdot 11, 17$
35:11	- 162:17	147:24	03·2,1/ 05·11
45 : 12		react 141:2	02.17
48 : 15	quotes	165:24	00.5,20
49 : 11	153:10	reactant	09.095.1,
60:19 68:1		108:4	12 90·0 00·10
75:5 79:22	R	120:12	ンO・Lン 100・2 2 10
80:17		120.12	$\perp \cup \angle \cdot \angle , \exists , \perp /$
	ran 101.15		103.1,11,

Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016			Index: reactionsrecall
20 104:8	169:14	156:17	reads 61:20
106:12,14	170:4	157 : 25	73:13
107:2,5	reactions	158:2,8,	ready 58:10.
108:25	39:14 66:5	11,12,15,	15
111:11	68:17	16,19,23	
112:14	83:15	159:19,20	reagent 62:9
113:5	130:7,12	160:14,15,	89:3 141:7
120:14		16 161:1,	reagents
122:24	10/.10	3,14,17,25	108:8
124:13,16	141.10	162:19,21	real 21:22
125:9,17	141.10	163:16,21,	153:18
126:6,12	reactor	25	
129:2,22	21:19 35:6	reacts 82:23	realize
130:15	92:13,15,	road 7.18	45.21
134:3	20,22	10 56·22	reask 130:9
139:25	94:13,14	57:7	132:16
140:4	96:9,14,	72:24	reason 30:23
141:21,23	20,23,25	79:23 24	31:20,22,
142:2,4,6,	100:1,2,24	85:9 88:22	24 32:1
11,18,19	101:17	89:25	49:24
148:20	105:1	93:11.16	51:11
149.13, 14,	112:24	110:2	60:13 78:2
24 150:5, 10 14 16	119:23	113:11	133:1
10, 14, 10,	120:1,3,11	126:8	reasonable
21,24 151·/ 7 0	121:18	140:11	59:8 84:1
151.4,7,0,	124.4,19	142:12	2.12.13
10,23	$125 \cdot 10$	143:7,9	86:7.8.17
155:2,0,12	120.19,22	144:13	94:24
156:9	127.7,9,	148:9	103:5
157:23	121.6 10	154:4,21	141:2
158:1 3 7	135:15	155:16	20.2
159:6.	149:11 22	158:10	reasons 32:3
160:13.17	150:18 20	reading 7:18	recall 9:24
25 161:7	151:2 3 7	13:19	14:11
162:12.13	10.13	33:24	15:20,23
14 164:2	154:3	154:8	16:9,11,16
168:13,22	155:8.11	±0 ± 0	38:3 39:15
- /	,		

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Index: 1

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.				
Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Index: recentrecycling				
42:14,17,	recollection	recovering	157:8	
25 43:2,7	37:18	69 : 24	159:5,10,	
44:18 46:1	reconcile	70:3,5	15,17,18	
47:12,14	141:24	71:12	160:11,15	
48:3,5	 - / . 1 F	74:16 75:1	161:16	
51:13	record 4:15	76:10	168:10	
52:19	5:8 6:13	87:3,4,16	170:11	
53:5,24	36:3,6,8	88:5,8,9,	recycle	
54:9	77:15,18	11,18	70:24 75:7	
55:13,15	79:24	89:2,8,17,	93:23 25	
64:19	88:22	19,20,23	95:10 13	
67:12	93:11,16	90:1,11	97:20	
71:18	105:13	91:7 92:5,	120.0	
103:17	106:3	24 93:1,2,	125.15	
145:9,18,	113:11	6,21 96:3	145.16	
23 146:12	137:19,22	108:7,9	145.10	
156:24	143:9	121:24	159.24	
. 10.1	144:13	122:1	recycled	
recent $12:1$,	147:10	136:24	25:12	
2 29:7	168:1,4	153:23	74:11	
recently-	170:21	155:17	92:13,20	
issued 12:5	rogouor	159:4 7	94:12,15	
recess 36:4	70·02	160:3 8	95:20	
77:16	70·23 71·15 70·3	164:24	97:7,8,9,	
105:14	11·13 12·3 71·20	TOI.71	14,15	
137:20	/生・ZU 0E・1 7	recovery	98:5,6,17	
168:2	ンン・⊥ / 1 0 7 • 1 0	75 : 6	104:4	
TOO·Z	LU/•L8	107:22,24	119:24	
recipient	108:12,15,	119:1,8	120:5,6	
27:17	24 109:6	120:24	150:7	
recirculate	121:17	121:20	200 /	
42:9	recovered	122:6,13,	recycling	
	74:11	16,19	69:24	
recite 72:13	97 : 18	133:15,25	70:3,6	
recognition	98 : 15	135:6	71:12	
28:8	119:4,10,	136:10	74:16 75:2	
	24 120:5,	152:25	76:10,23	
	6,7 121:15	153:2,20	87:25 88:9	
10.9 21:23	122:9,11	154:1	89:3 93:24	

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Index: reducing..relev

	Leo E. Manzer, P	II.D. 0II 01/07/2010	index: reducingrelevance
95:25	147:3,5,7,	90:21,	regenerates
96:10,18	23,24	101:23	95:19
97:1,4	170:8,17	106:9	97:13
159:21	referenced	107:24	regenerating
reducing	14:22	108:1	88:15
110:14	34:17	109:14	89:9.13.
	/	114:12	18,21
reter 8:/,11	references	116:23	90:1,4,22
10.4, 12,	9:24 53:7,	117:6,7	91:20 92:6
19,24	ZI,Z4 F4:14 F7:2	121:2	96:4 104:3
11.5,0	$54 \cdot 14 5 / \cdot 3$	123:1,20	107:23
$\begin{array}{c} 31 \cdot 5 & 00 \cdot 19 \\ 71 \cdot 5 & 15 \end{array}$	93•19,22 116•11	129:1,4,11	108:21
71.5, 15, 15, 20, 23, 75.6	$131 \cdot 14 22$	148:5	109:7
80.10	$132\cdot 2 2 \Lambda$	149:7	160:6
87.3 25	$133\cdot 2, 3, \pm,$ 11 146.17	153:5,22	161:9,13
102:4	153:14	160:2	regeneration
107:9	199.11	167:4	91:5 98:13
109:19 22	referred	refers 14:7	145:25
121:4	9:2,25	59:8 62:7	146:15.18.
140:22	23:20,23	71:2 92:5	20 147:3
157:23	36:9 /9:25	127:25	159:11,13,
166:3	83:11 8/:1	154:9,14	18 160:7,9
	110.19	refresh	161:8
10.1 0 16	150.21	37:17	malatad
10.1,0,10,	194.1/	magand 10.6	
52.0 12	referring	50·4	27.8
15 18	8:8,20,24	165:5 11	33:12 14
54:11	9:7,21	103.3,11	113:1
70:14 25	10:5,13,	regenerate	
71:1 72:6	20,25 21:7	108:13	relates 59:1
86:17	27:13	145:15	78:18
116:7	41:17	regenerated	88:25
131:13	61:4,8,11,	97 : 16	relative
137:12,	15 62:1,3,	103:12	41:17
144:25	11,14 67:1	153:2,20	84:23
145:20	$/\cup\cdot \eth, \bot \bot$	159:7	107:14
146:14,20	11.18 85.9	161:4,21	relevance
,	80·18		

ARKE	MA FRANCE v. HONEY Leo E. Manzer, P	WELL INTERNATION h.D. on 01/07/2016	AL INC. Index: relevant_resulting
20.12	124.04		
20.1 20	125.24	20.11	22,23 92.1
39.4,20	165.6	50·11 60·12	requirement
40.13	102.0	102.1	66:9
relevant	remove	103.1	requirements
59:1 68:12	121:9,20,	replace	68:5
69:9,15	21 133:23	43:24 44:4	
rely 116:12	134:11,15,	65:19	requires
	18 150:23	67:23	/6:/
remaining	162:14	replaced	reread 57:24
102:16	169:23	17:4	research
115:14	removed	_ .	67:14
159:0	78:21	replacement	0, 11
remains	97:12	27:11,25	reserved
83:3,4	100:23	28:13 32:6	170:24
remember	137:8	33:14,16,	responsibility
15:8.9	158:1,15,	21	66:4
35:2.4	20 165:17	replacements	restate
38:6 42:13	168:21	28:9 33:2	48:14
46:7 54:25	170:4	34:1,14	10.11
58:17		36:17	result
65 : 16	removing	report 70:9	38:15,16
67:15	44.2,9,10,	77:25 78:2	40:10,25
70:19 71:1	$\downarrow \downarrow$, $\downarrow 3$	93:18	45:11
92:16	8/11,13,15	121:4	75:15 86:2
103:2	$122 \cdot 3$	129:2,3	94:25
137:6	$13/\cdot 1, 2, 4$		112:23
142:1	103.23	reporter	162:13
-	render	4:11,23	resultant
removal	164:25	6:10 8:5	39:15,17
77:9,22	renewable	represent	regulted
78:9 79:2,	17:1,3,8	4:15	54:10
3,4,10,18		require	86:19
80:4,10,	repeat /9:22	81:15	00-10
2U,21 01:1 15 15	93:LU 112:0	114:14	resulting
δ⊥•⊥,⊥5,⊥/ 0Γ•1Γ	120.15	· ·	38:8,9
85:15	129:15	required	39:1,16
86:12	⊥44∶⊥⊥	7:8,12	98:23
121:13		91:1,14,	100:3

ARKEI	MA FRANCE v. HONEY Leo E. Manzer, P	WELL INTERNATION h.D. on 01/07/2016	AL INC. Index: resultssearching			
101:21	27:19,24	rotate 72:8	sat 56:6			
168:14	room 56:7,9	route 46:7	satisfy 66:9			
results	Rose 4:18,	rule 6:14	save 136:17			
82:17	19 5:4	69:22	- 00.0			
111:25	11:16,20	70:25	scale 20:6,			
retained	14:1 35:25	71:3,5,15,	10,20			
74:23	36:7,14	19 74:10	21·3,7 AC·17			
retired 13:7	37:7,10,15 38:2 39:8,	rules 5:19	40.17 59:8,16,19			
returned	13 50:20	run 20:11	60·12			
88:3	51:10	24:8	scaled 60:11			
92:15,22	54:18 55:1	120:11,14	scaling			
94:8,10,14	68 : 24	137:7	21:11			
98:11	77:13,19	running	23:10			
151:17	79 : 23	66:3,5	44:24			
returning	83:21 87:8	68 : 17	45:8,15			
70:6 88:1	90:9 91:16		47:5			
93:25 94:5	96:22 98:8	S	achomotia			
97:20	104:25					
135:13	105:8	safer 33:11	101.11			
returns	106:4	salt 82:16.	schematics			
160:12	130:9	22 83:17.	21:21,22			
100.17	133:19,21	25 89:8	scheme			
reuse 72:3	136:14	97:25	161:25			
review 57:2	137:17,23	103:7	science 60:9			
58:15,25	140:10	104:3	Berence 0000			
reviewed	144:14	106:14	scientific			
9:24 55:21	147:10	153:3,21	60:8			
57:1.3.4	150:12	154:10	scientist			
58:18	154:19	159:8	32:8			
	156:21	161:10	SCOOP 99:15			
	157:18	salts 61:24				
30.43	158:6	83:11	Scrubber			
Rob 4:10	167.00	102:5,19,	ŏ⊃•⊥∠			
role 14:9	169·5 21	22 103:13,	searching			
21:25	170·16	14	54:1,5,7,			
22:1,2,4	T / O • T O		11 57:8			
ARKEMA	FRANCE v.	HONEY	WELL	INTERNA	TIONAL	INC.
--------	-----------	----------	--------	------------	--------	------
	Leo F M	lanzar P	h D on	01/07/2016		In

	Leo E. Manzer, P	h.D. on 01/07/2016	Index: secondsset
seconds	Sedat's	sense 60:11	separating
126:19	165:4	71:13	89:14
127:18	segregate	76 : 14	90:3,23
secrets	100:24	77:12	91:2,4,19
30:20	100-21	109:6	92:6
50 20	select 73:15	137:14	107:22
section	selected	141:6	160 : 5
12:18 19:6	146:3	169:5	165:1,5,8,
26:24 32:6	gologtion	sentence	9,12,19,
33:25 34:4	20.11	62:11	20,22,23
36:1 85:19	30.14	69:20	166:12
149:7	selectivity	73:13	generation
154:9	21:1 23:24	108.11	85.16
sections	24:1,12,	120.21	02.10
12:17	20,21,22	120.21	$92 \cdot 10$ 110 · 24 25
100:25	25:1,5,7,	140.17	110.24,25
10.0	15,18,23	140.17	120.17
Sedat 10.9 ,	26:3,19	157·00	120.17
12 53:11	47 : 16	157.22	122.5
132:10,15,	49:7,10,13	separate	154.8
18 133:1	112:5,19,	83:12	151.4
145:4	20 113:1,	86 : 15	157:9
147:6,11,	114:3	89:21,25	158:15,
23 148:3,	115:19	92:23	160:18,20
5,19 149:8	143:16	104:19	161:23
152:25	76. 15	145:15	166:4,6,
153:8	Sell /0.13	149:12,24	19,22
154:1,14,	semi-batch	generated	167:2
18,19	119:15,16,	134:7	168:10,17
157:7,9,14	17,20	150:6	170:7,10,
159:4,5	120:9,10,	151.15	11
160:23	15	158.2 1	separations
162:20	semi-	15 22	21:18
163:12	continuous	160.15	$a_{\text{ortion}} = 89.20$
165:13	99:7,12	165.25	Series 09.20
166:7,13,	119:25	TOJ·ZJ	served 14:14
16,17	and 167.0	separately	15:15,17
168:8,9,12 170:8,17	sena 10/·2	78:15	set 24:9

102:15 85:4 size 48:24	16,20,22
setting significantly skill 62:17,	156:2,18
115:20 32:22 22 63:7,22	157:1
33:1,15 72:22	163:12
settling small $/3 \cdot 22$	Smith's
107.10 signing 53.1 Smith $9:25$	144:1
shakes 6:12 similar 42:2 10:4 53:8	- · ·
sheet 73:15 84:24 116:7,11,	Society 28.8
74:9.21.22 similarly $16,17$	sodium 146:4
78:7 86:9, 118:22	solid 160:18
show 37:25 11 145:20 120:24	
73:4 121:7	solubilities
showing simple 69:7 122:23	84:24 85:7
37:21 72:2 123:1	86:14
135:13,14 simply 101:8 124:8,12,	solubility
15 128:22	85:5
$151 \cdot 5 \cdot 17$ $134 \cdot 6$ $129 \cdot 13, 18,$	g_{0}
151.5,17 154.0 25 $130:17$	$104 \cdot 20 22$
single 148:8 131:13,18,	105.4 6
shows 135:12 single-member 22 132:9,	103.4,0 1/1.1,1,7
side 16:12 13:6 13,14,18,	, <i>_</i> , <i>_</i> ,
35:4 51:7 110.0 19,24	solution
sit 112.9 133:2,14,	86:18
sidestream site 12:8 18,19,25	92:14,21
sits 159:25 134:2,17	94:14
sideways 135:6,8	165:6
72:8 sitting 136:10	166:20
22:15 137:25	Sooter 4:21
170:24 131:20 139:21	E .10
situation 142:12,13,	sort $5 \cdot 19$
signed 26:15 21 143:4,	6:14 25:13
52:11,13, $35:21$ 11 144:19,	67.25
109:9 25 145:4,	120:14
significant 113:3 10,16,20	Sounds
18:10 116:1,4 147:24	105:11
27:19,22 situations 154:4,8,	speak 6:16
32:17,22, 76:18 17,20,21,	
25 67:13 24 155:10,	specific

ADREMA EDANCE 7 HONEVWELL INTEDNATIONAL INC

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.			
	Leo E. Manzer, P	h.D. on 01/07/2016	Index: specificallystep
24:3 70:18	83:11,15	38:22 51:3	state 4:14
75:6 79:19	84:2,6,9,	63:16	5:7 49:12
115:17	14 85:13,	107:17	62 : 25
118:2	14 86:1,	124:15	83:13,14
124:3,12	13,17	170:18	152:22,25
145:3	87:3,4	started 5:18	159:3
146:24	88:6,11,12	36:8 63:15	164:23
147:4	89:3,7,9,	65 : 12	stated 90:19
149:17	18 93:3	110:10	91:11
163:14	94:18,25		140:6
164 : 17	102:5,14,	starting	157:7
166:2	21 103:4,	18:16	10, ,
specifically	5,18,20,21	23:25	statement
27:6 8 11	106:12	26:24	60:25
34:1 42:18	145:11,16	35:25	61:19
43:2 44:19	149:15	38:7,21	107:17
49:3 54:9	150:2,6,7,	89:5	119:14
63:8	24 153:1,	100:10	140:2
71:18 20	23 154:2,	106:6	169:4
82:10	5,12,23	108:3	states 13:22
118.14	155:5,7,17	109:18	85:12
120.7 20	156:12,17	110:13	114:2
120.10 15	157:8,10	118:16	117:19
142.00	159:4,19,	124:5	130:17
143.23	22,24	132:1	
145:19	160:3,4,8,	134:3	stay 50:1
146:13	11,16	140:25	step 47:6
specifics	165:15	157 : 22	92:23 93:3
35:2 46:7	170:12	starts 28:17	98:13
144:16		61:16	109:17,20,
speech 28:10	spigot 8/:22	69:19 20	22 110:21
Speech 20.10	stage	72:14	112:1
spell 5:7	135:12,18	118.13	117:21,22,
spend 23:13	atampod	128.22	25 118:3,
82:2,6	116·94	138.5	16,18,19
 01•0F	110.24	120.01	119:9
spent ŏ⊥·25	start 6:18,		121:17,18
04·3,/,9, 15 10 04	19,23	startup	122:11
15,18,24	12:10 21:2	22:22	

www.huseby.com Huseby, Inc. Regional Centers 800-333-2082 Charlotte ~ Atlanta ~ Washington, DC ~ New York ~ Houston ~ San Francisco

ARKEMA	FRANCE	v. HONI	EYWELL	INTERNATIO	NAL INC.
	Leo E.	Manzer.	Ph.D. on	01/07/2016	Index: s

	Leo E. Manzer, P	h.D. on 01/07/2016	Index: stepssynthesis
134:20	stopping	strike 19:20	subsumed
135:14	35:24	62:2 74:1	12:21
148:20	story 26:16	79:5 81:2	SUCCESS
150:13	BCCI 20110	103:4	73:22 74:6
151:5	straight	111:1	75-22 71-0
159:4	136:15	114:8	successful
163:17	stream 85:24	116:16	60:22
165:15	89:6 98:22	119:12	sufficient
steps 86:15	99:4,6,10,	162:8	169:23
108:20	11,16,21,	165:21	Suggest
118:5.8.9.	25 100:2,	168:25	126:11
10 121:19	5,12,18,	169 : 17	120:11
128:23	22,23,25	studies	129:16
130:3	101:4,7,8,	68:22.23	142:18
133:5.23	10,11,12	69:9	112.10
134:5.	102:3,10		suggested
138:11	103:11,20	study 58:18	67:5 93:20
139:23	104:8,10,	studying	suggests
164:25	15,19	64:11,22	129:20
168:10	109:18	stuff 16:3.6	suing 15:12
	110:4,15		Burng 10,12
Stick 100:11	122:10,12	subject	suitable
stoichiometric	135:16,22,	35:17 37:1	123:6,14,
75:25 81:1	23 136:1,	117:12,13	20 165:1
95:6	2,4 151:1,	subjected	<pre>support 92:3</pre>
107:1,6,8,	21 154:14	117:21	gurfage
11,13	158:19	submitted	141:12 15
153:19	161:25	37:6	22
stoichiometric	163:23		
ally 95:24	165:11,22	submitting	swear 4:23
Stoighiometry	167:5	57:12	sworn 5:1
76:7	streams	subsequent	synthesis
,,,,,	135:19	122:11	45:3 7 8
stop 17:17	136:5	subset 12:25	11.14
120:13	141:10	13:1	59 : 2 6 8
stopped	atriatly		9,16,19,24
17:13	20·20	substantive	60:4,17
120:15	23·20	3/:14	

AKKL	Leo E. Manzer, Pl	h.D. on 01/07/2016	Index: synthesizingterm
20,21	112:18	134:2	138:12
61:12	136:22	143:15,16	139:10,16,
63:3,6,9,	144:3	tangont	17,19
14,19	talked 17.15		144:22
64:3,8,15,	06.6	59.10	145:1,3
23 65:3,12	106.10	tank 87:21,	148:10
67:18	112:15	22	149:4
68:9,19	118:21	tape 36:9	162:7,18,
69 : 2	110.21	target 25.1/	21,22,23,
synthesizing	talking 8:6,	target 25.14	24 163:1
65:25	10,18,22	taught	temperatures
66:7 12	14:23	133:25	68:17
16 21	16:15 19:5	166:13	126:1
10,21	23:20	teach 135:6,	138:17
system 95:25	39:10	8	139:6
	48:12	tooshos	144:18
Т	67:22	121·00 04	148:15
	75:15	152.22,24	110 15
table /3.5,9	77:21	152.25	tend 153:17
$124 \cdot 23$	107:4	157.7 0	tension
143.13,10	110:22	LJ1.1,J	141:12,16
takes 23:21	114:1,16	teaching	term 17:3
110:22	11/:1	166:12,17	31:20
156:9	132:12	technicians	43:15 59:7
161:13,16	136.2	19:9	78:5 79:19
taking 22:4	$13/\cdot 1$	tochnologiog	80:18
24:11 27:1	142.21	18:5 6	81:24 82:5
48:6,16	⊥4∠・∠⊥ 1/2・1 2	10.0,0	84:14
87:1,12,15	$143 \cdot 13$ $1/1 \cdot 10 \cdot 22$	technology	87:25
120:2	144.10,22	17:25	93:20,23
159:20	150.22	27:7,15	94:25
166:4,7	168.8	28:19,21	98:21
170:2	100.0	telling 16:1	101:3
talk 19:2	talks 38:20	temperature	102:2,10,
24:22	103:3	124:20.22	11,19
75:13	104:2	24 125:9	103:18
88:8.9	122:23	21 128:4	104:11
	124:20		108:7

HONEVWELL INTEDNATIONAL INC. JT.

www.huseby.com Huseby, Inc. Regional Centers 800 Charlotte ~ Atlanta ~ Washington, DC ~ New York ~ Houston ~ San Francisco 800-333-2082

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Index: terminology..touched

	·····,		8,
114:12	22	thumb 69:22	14 24:12
123:21	tetrafluoro	70:25	30:22
128:2	39:22 25	71:3,5,15,	41:12 58:9
142:24	55.22,25	19 74:10	64:25
150:14	thermal	time 4:9	timing 12:21
153:18,20	114:14	5:15 7:5	
154:6	thermally	17:14 22	titled 36:19
155:18	123:4,13	23:13	today 5:20,
terminology	thermodynamics	28:3,15	25 6:15
8:3 43:12	142:3	36:3,6	7:21 8:4,
terms 9:9	+hogig /1.19	37:13	6,23 9:1,2
40:21 23		38:24	10:4,12,19
79:16 25	thing 37:20	41:21	11:6 18:24
80:24	96:14,19	45 : 20	28:5 48:4
83:10 90:3	154:23	53 : 25	57:18 58:4
93:5	169:8	57 : 17	110:19
107:21	things	64:18,21	112:9,15
108:17	30:19,	65 : 16	170:17
118:25	89:20 90:1	66:1,6,11,	Today's 4:8
122:5	101:5	14,17	told 58.7
140:18	119:6	77:15,18	
151:11 12	thinlin <i>a</i>	94:3 101:1	tomorrow
153:13 15	LUIUKING VE·22	105:9,13	170:18
100 10,10	45·25 157·1	106:3	top 61:19
testified	107.4	120:2,11,	136:4
5:2 64:14	thionyl	13,15	158:12
testimony	33:11	126:18,23	+o+o] 10·2
102:25	thirty	127:3,	25·2
103:10,25	130:18	131:18	120.2 21
135:10	the such t	132:17	130.3,21
136:13,15	CA:14	133:7	totally
168:7	111.05	136:17	26:11
tetrachloro	140.20	137:15,19,	39:23
39:23 40:1	149・20	22 164:11	touch 36:15
37 23 10 I	thoughts	168:1,4	toughed 77.7
tetrachloroeth	56:5,11,	170:21	
$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{Lene} 38 \\ 22 20 \\ 16 \end{array}$	14,21,22	times 5:13,	ソン・ 24
, ۲۰ ۷۷، ۲۵ د		/	

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.

	Leo E. Manzer, P	h.D. on 01/07/2016	Index: tradeunreacted
trade 30:20	148:2	uncommon	understanding
transfer	152:1	169:7	39:14
140:18,19,	164:20	unconverted	65:10 66:7
22 141:4,	turned 104:3	155:24	81:9
9,17,18,20	turning 11.1	ungouorod	100:20
142:2,8,9,	69:18	57:10	101:6
10	77:25	70:19 71:1	106:15
transformation	116:15	/0/1///1/1	111:19
18:16	157:19	undergraduate	115:13
20:25		68:22	158.24
21:10	type 60:3	69:8,14	understood
	typically	understand	6:7 7:9
21.16 10	43:22	5:23 6:2,	9:23 36:12
24.10,19	46:16	8:7,12,15,	115:4
translating	60:20	19,23 9:3	undesirable
22:6	100:8	10:5,13,	26:12
translation	130:2,14	19,25	113:3
10:12	131:10,11	18:11 20:1	unit 73:15
147:14	138:5,9	26:4 39:23	
trichloroethyl	141:8	43:14 44:3	units 73:15,
ene 38:5,7	144:20,21	56:18	16
45:24	151:8	59:10	University
twinia]	typing	60·10	23:1,4
113:2	56:10,15	00·25 70·17	unreacted
113.2		80:11	82:10,11
true 49:6	U	101:3	83:2
50:4		103:16	84:10,15
142:2,7	0.5. 9.3	117:24	86:2
105.4	30.10	123:16,19,	94:19,22,
Tuesday	ultimate	21 129:11	23 102:16
58:11	145:7	142:12	103:6,13,
turn 11:4	um-hums 6:11	152:17	19 108:3
21:22	unacceptable	154:5,10	153:24
28:16	112:3	156:1	155:12,15,
58:20		164:23	18,25
123:2	unclear 6:⊥	168:11	156:3,17
128:21			101:51

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Index: unreactive..wording

	Leo E. Manzer, P	II.D. 0II 01/0//2010	muex: unreactiveworumg
169:16	15,16	100:11	19,21,23,
unreactive	158:13	wanted	24
102:22	159:14	108:19	Western 23:4
102.22	160:1	153:11	
unusual	161:7	199.11	whatsoever
22:21	166:3,5,	waste 25:19	155:3,23
unwanted	10,18	water 21:14	whichever
121:9,13,	167:5,8	78:22,23	8:1
20,21	168:11,18,	82:21 85:2	white 11.8
122:3	21 170:2,	86:3,15,	27.15
133:23	12	16,19	27.13
134:11,15	\mathbf{w} ishla $21 \cdot 24$	87:21,22,	Wilmington
136:21	116·2	23 99:14,	16:4
	156.6	15,24	wise 12:21
V	100.0	100:10,12	
·	vice 32:9,	104:20,23,	withdraw
valid 15:21	11,14	24 105:2.	87:17,18
16:12,15	video 4:9	3, 137:7	withdrawing
	00.0	141:1.2	86:25
	view 90:3	150:25	87:13 89:6
16:8 35:20	volatile	162:6 9	99 : 14
valuable	149:4	11 12 14	137:5
69:24	1.2	162.1022	157:23
71:16	volume $4 \cdot 3$	103.10,23	
107:18	98:23	Waterloo	withdrawn
108:3,7,8,	99:3,5,19,	23:1	100:23
25 109:1	20 100:3,	wave 15:13	153:1
00.0	12,15,17	18:7 38:19	wood 17:2
valued 28:6	101:4,6,9,	1017 30119	11.17
vapor 125:13	18,20	web 12:8	word $\bot \bot \cdot \bot /$
127:15	102:4,10	Wednesday	
163:18	103:21	58:12	81:3,7,10,
0.000	126:22		13,20
version 90.2	volumes	week 58.5,	86:25 90:6
versus 4:5	100:25	6,10	102:10
60:8	100 10	weeks 52:19	138:5
vessel		weight	wording
150:7,18,		130:3,5,	89:10
22 151:5,	walk 55:16		129:21

www.huseby.com Huseby, Inc. Regional Centers 800-333-2082 Charlotte ~ Atlanta ~ Washington, DC ~ New York ~ Houston ~ San Francisco

ARKEMA FRANCE v. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. Leo E. Manzer, Ph.D. on 01/07/2016 Ind

Index: words..zeros

words 38:15	worked 16:20	years 17:4,	
80:25	19:10	5,16 38:20	
work 12:11.	20:16	63:1,5	
12 13:8	29:23	64:2,7,13	
14:22	30:18	65:2,17	
17:6 11	47 : 17	66:18	
14 16 18	50:10,12,	67:16,17	
18:23	51:21	vield 21:1	
19:2 4 9	56:12,21	23:23	
14 16 20	68:1,2	24:1 5 7	
22 23	working 7:25	10 11 26:3	
20:4 5 10	19:12 13	10,11 20.5	
20:1,5,10	20:17		
29:10 16	20:17	Z	
19 24 30.9	21.13 22.5	zeros 11:15.	
31.4 5	A1·2A	17 72:16	
32.10	50.16 68.8	73:2	
39:14	150:16	116:21.25	
11·9 15	130.10	110 21,20	
19 21	world 18:9		
42:3 20 21	wrapper		
43:4 8	55:7,8,11,		
<i>AA</i> ·15 22	14		
24 45:3 6			
10 50:9	41.25 42.5 0		
17 25	42.5,0		
59:24	written 41:1		
63:18	55:23,25		
64:2 14	wrong 64:16		
21 2, 11, 21 24	152:18		
65:13 22			
23 66:20	v		
24 68:4 22			
69:1 4 8	year 28:23		
14,15	29 : 2		
71:14 74:2	63:16,18		
111:17	64:2		
148:22			
110.22			