1	IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
3	
4	CASE NO. IPR2015-01167
5	PATENT NO. 8,717,758
6	
7	ACCO BRANDS CORPORATION and
8	ACCO BRANDS USA LLC,
9	Petitioners
10	v.
11	
12	THINK PRODUCTS INC.,
13	Patent Owner.
14	
15	CASE NO. IPR2015-01168
16	PATENT NO. 8,837,144
17	
18	ACCO BRANDS CORPORATION and
19	ACCO BRANDS USA LLC,
20	Petitioners
21	v.
22	
23	THINK PRODUCTS INC., ACCO Brands Exhibit 1023
24	Patent Owner. ACCO Brands v. Think Products IPR2015-01167
25	Ex. 1023 - Page 1 of 139



```
--- This is the deposition of ROBERT MAHAFFEY,
1
    taken before a court reporter at the Renaissance
2
3
    Toronto Downtown Hotel, One Blue Jay Way, Toronto,
4
    Ontario, Canada, M5V 1J4, on the 21st day of March,
5
    2016.
6
7
            REPORTED BY: CONNIE A. HOLTON, CSR
8
9
    APPEARANCES:
10
    ATTORNEYS FOR THE PETITIONER:
11
    Michael R. Weiner, Attorney at Law
12
    Tron Fu, Attorney at Law
13
    Marshal Gerstein Borun LLP
    233 South Wacker Drive, 6300 Willis Tower
14
15
    Chicago, IL 60606-6357
16
    312-474-9560 Email: mweiner@marshalllp.com
17
18
    ATTORNEYS FOR THE PATENT OWNER:
19
    John F. Vodopia, Attorney at Law
20
    Edwin D. Schindler, Attorney at Law
21
    191 New York Avenue
22
    Huntington, NY 11743
23
    631-673-7555 ext 128 Email: jvodopia@gmail.com
24
```



1	ALSO PRESENT: Eric Lazarus	
2	Peter Allen	
3		
4		
5		
6	INDEX	
7	WITNESS: ROBERT MAHAFFEY	
8	PAGE	
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WEINER 4	
10	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR. VODOPIA 113	
11	RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WEINER 116	
12		
13		
14	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
15	NUMBER/DESCRIPTION PAGE NO.	
16	A: Simplified Explanation of Findings 115	
17	Provided in the IPR. Prepared by Rob	
18	Mahaffey in Support of Findings in	
19	IPR. Last updated 03/17/2016.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1	Upon commencing at 8:37 a.m.
2	ROBERT MAHAFFEY, Affirmed
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WEINER:
4	Q. Good morning.
5	A. Good morning.
6	Q. My name is Michael Weiner. I
7	represent ACCO Brands in Inter Partes Review
8	proceedings before the U.S. patent and trademark
9	office. Would you state your name, please?
10	A. Robert Mahaffey, or Rob Mahaffey.
11	Q. And where do you live, Mr.
12	Mahaffey?
13	A. I live in Vancouver, British
14	Columbia.
15	Q. And where are you employed?
16	A. I am employed currently at a
17	company called Douglas Lighting Controls, a
18	subsidiary of Panasonic Lighting Americas.
19	Q. Have you ever had your deposition
20	taken before, Mr. Mahaffey?
21	A. No, sir.
22	Q. You understand that you're
23	testifying under oath today?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	O. And you understand that the court



reporter will be recording all of my questions and all of your answers today?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You also understand that your testimony is being used in connection with proceedings that are held before the U.S. patent and trademark office?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is there anything that would interfere with your ability to testify fully and completely today, and truthfully?
 - A. Not to the best of my knowledge.
- Q. Do you understand that the patent office rules prohibit you from discussing your testimony with counsel until after your cross-examination deposition is completed?
 - A. If that's the case, yes.
 - Q. I hand you that.
- Mr. Mahaffey, I've handed you what's been marked Exhibit No. 5 in Inter Partes

 Proceedings No. IPR 2015-1167. Do you recognize this as the declaration you provided for Think

 Products in connection with the IPR proceeding?
 - A. Let me take a look.

(Witness reads document)



3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Yes, this is correct.

Q. And that's your signature at the bottom of page 16?

- A. That is my signature, correct.
- Q. This declaration was submitted in connection with an Inter Partes Review proceeding in which the patent office is reviewing U.S. patent No. 8,837,144.
 - A. The '114 patent, yes.
- Q. And you refer to that by the '144 patent as --
- 12 A. Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Do you agree that the identical declaration was submitted as Exhibit 6 in an IPR of Think Products patent 8,717,758?
 - A. So I do understand that there's two pieces of IP, and I believe it is the identical declaration used in both proceedings.
- Q. And the other patent you refer to that as the '758.
 - A. '758, yes.
- Q. If I refer to your declaration today, or to a particular paragraph of your declaration you understand that I will be referring to the declarations filed in both IPR proceedings?



1	A. Yes, I understand.
2	Q. That way I won't have to ask you
3	all the same questions two times.
4	A. Makes sense.
5	Q. You were engaged by Think Products
6	to serve as a witness in these IPR matters, right?
7	A. That's correct, yes.
8	Q. And how did that engagement come
9	about?
10	A. So, in particular in providing
11	this declaration, or can you just clarify the
12	question? Is it about how did I come to
13	MR. SCHINDLER: I object. What's the
14	relevance of that?
15	How is that relevant?
16	MR. WEINER: Your objection is noted on
17	the record. I don't need to respond that.
18	BY MR. WEINER:
19	Q. Let me restate the question. I'm
20	asking you about how you got engaged to be an
21	expert witness in connection with the IPR
22	proceedings. Could you briefly explain how that
23	engagement came about?
24	A. I believe it was Peter contacting



me and retaining me for my services to provide a

1 reading on the prior art that was used in the IPR. 2 And you're referring to Peter Allen, the named inventor of the patent? 3 4 Α. That's correct, yes. 5 And when did Mr. Allen contact you Ο. in connection with the IPR proceedings? 6 7 It would have been later in 2015 I Α. believe. So maybe the second or third quarter in 8 the calendar year. More likely in the third. 10 THE REPORTER: I need to stop you. 11 --- Off-the-record discussion 12 BY MR. WEINER: 13 So you were saying that in late 14 2015 you were contacted by Mr. Allen? 15 Α. In particular to provide expert 16 testimony as it relates to this document that I 17 provided, yes. 18 But you knew Mr. Allen before that Q. 19 time, correct? 20 Α. That's correct, yes. 2.1 And then in the time period when Ο. 22 Mr. Allen contacted you in late 2015 concerning the 23 IPR proceedings, did you also talk to counsel for



Think Products?

24

25

MR. SCHINDLER:

Objection to relevancy.

1	THE DEPONENT: Not directly, to the
2	best of my knowledge. Maybe indirectly.
3	BY MR. WEINER:
4	Q. When did you first speak directly
5	to counsel for Think Products?
6	A. I can't recall a specific period
7	of time, but it would be perhaps after that.
8	Q. So probably some time in 2016?
9	A. I mean, end of the calendar year.
10	So some time there was some conversation, but I
11	think it would have been, like I say, probably in a
12	two quarter period. It would be some time again
13	maybe in the third or fourth, maybe early 2016.
14	That's the best I can recall.
15	Q. And then you told Mr. Allen that
16	you would be available to serve as a witness?
17	A. Correct, yes.
18	Q. At the time you were engaged were
19	you advised that you needed to be available for a
20	deposition in the United States?
21	MR. SCHINDLER: Objection to relevancy.
22	It's a continuing objection.
23	THE DEPONENT: No.
24	BY MR. WEINER:
25	O. At the time you were engaged by



Think Products did you advise Think Products that
you would be available for a deposition in the
United States?

A. I understood from the proceedings, the requirements of the proceeding in an IPR that I would have to provide a deposition. My understanding is like in most cases regarding IP experts witnesses may not be available in the United States, and the process allows for foreign depositions to be taken which I was available for.

MR. SCHINDLER: There's also an objection that this issue was raised by the board and the board ruled that the deposition could be taken outside the United States and taken here in Toronto.

BY MR. WEINER:

- Q. When did you learn that you would not be available for a deposition in the United States?
- A. So my status and my ability to travel has been -- not been an issue with relevance to this case. So I have known that I wouldn't be able to travel to the United States. But, again, my understanding of the process itself is that as in all IP cases where expert testimony may be



provided by someone from a foreign country is a process to handle it. So it was never really raised or made an issue of. It was more to do so with in order to provide expert testimony I just happen to reside in Canada and my travel ability right now is such that I cannot travel to the United States.

Q. And you were aware of that for a period of time, that you weren't able to travel into the United States?

MR. SCHINDLER: Same objection.

THE DEPONENT: I mean it's resolvable, but, yes, I'm aware right now currently, right, that I would have to do something in order for me to travel to the United States.

BY MR. WEINER:

- Q. And you were aware of that before you were engaged by Think Products in late 2015, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. How are you being compensated by Think Products for your work on these IPR matters?
 - A. It's a flat rate. Hourly fee.
- Q. And how much is the flat rate that you're being paid?



1 Α. 375 U.S. an hour. 2 375 U.S. dollars? 0. 3 Α. Correct. How much time have you spent 4 Ο. 5 working on these IPR matters? 6 Say in that timeframe that I 7 provided I would estimate it's about 100 hours, 8 more or less. About 100 hours? Ο. 10 Α. Correct. And you're being paid a total of 11 Ο. 12 \$375.00 for the 100 hours worth of work? 13 Α. I'm being paid \$375 an hour. 14 I'm sorry. I misunderstood. 15 thought you said it was a flat rate. 16 Well, the fee's flat rate. 17 There's not a change to the fee based on complexity 18 or anything else, but it's an hourly rate. 19 Hourly rate of \$375.00 an hour? 20 Α. Correct, yes. 2.1 And about 100 hours have been Ο. 22 spent so far? 23 Α. Roughly, yes. 24 So it's been about \$37,500 that Ο. 25 you've been paid so far?



A. Yes.

Q. Can you briefly describe your educational background after high school?

A. Sure. I just want to make sure that I...

Q. Do you need to refer to your declaration to see what you have there?

A. No. I remember the declaration.

It will be consistent with what -- can you just ask the question again, please?

Q. Okay. The question was could you briefly describe your educational background?

A. My educational background. So I'm a mechanical engineer. I just want to check the dates. I graduated from the University of British Columbia with a degree in applied science in 2003.

Q. Now, I see you're referring to some paper materials there? Can you describe what you're referring to?

A. It's my -- basically I've just highlighted for myself just some key takeaways. So just my educational level, experience, etcetera, in preparation for this.

- Q. Are those notes that you prepared?
- A. Correct, yes.



1	Q. Did counsel assist in preparing
2	those notes?
3	A. No, sir.
4	Q. I request from counsel can I get a
5	copy of the notes the witness is referring to?
6	MR. VODOPIA: I guess to the court
7	reporter as well. Give one to each.
8	What's the last exhibit that you're
9	going to introduce? We'll mark this as a further
10	exhibit?
11	MR. WEINER: Let me take
12	No, we'll review this, but we don't
13	want to mark that as an exhibit at this time.
14	MR. VODOPIA: We would like to mark it
15	as an exhibit at this time.
16	MR. WEINER: No. We object to that.
17	Your opportunity to put evidence in the record is
18	not
19	MR. VODOPIA: Give it back then.
20	MR. WEINER: No. We're going to review
21	that. And we're entitled to see that, but we're
22	not going to put that in the record.
23	MR. VODOPIA: You can object, but we're
24	putting it into the record. You got it, it's in
25	the record.



1 MR. WEINER: If you want to mark it as 2 an exhibit you can mark it as an exhibit and we 3 will object to it as untimely. 4 MR. VODOPIA: We want to put it in the 5 record now. Or give it back. We'll give it to you when we put it in the record. 6 7 MR. SCHINDLER: We'll put it in later, how's that? We'll deal with that issue later. 8 MR. WEINER: You can submit it later if you like, and we can object to it if you like. 10 11 MR. SCHINDLER: We'll take it that way. 12 MR. WEINER: Okay. 13 THE DEPONENT: Would you like me to 14 continue answering the question? I got as far as 15 my undergraduate degree in mechanical engineers. 16 BY MR. WEINER: 17 Yes. Please describe what was Ο. 18 next in your education. 19 So in my education I then in 2009 20 I completed a part-time master's of business 21 administration also through the University of 22 British Columbia that I completed in January of 23 2011, specialization in international business. 24 And you also received an award Ο. from the Copenhagen business school? 25



1	A. So as part of my education I
2	completed a term overseas in Denmark in which I
3	completed that international business and business
4	international leadership and business strategy
5	award program.
6	Q. So you studied in Denmark in 2010?
7	A. It would have been in late in
8	the summer of 2010, correct, yes.
9	Q. You began work at ACCO or
10	Kensington in 2005; is that right?
11	A. Correct, yes.
12	Q. And your understanding is that
13	Kensington is a part of ACCO?
14	A. Correct, yes.
15	Q. If I refer to ACCO today would you
16	understand that I'm also referring to Kensington?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. What was your position when you
19	were hired at ACCO in 2005?
20	A. Associate project manager.
21	Q. Associate project manager?
22	A. Correct. And specifically I
23	worked on the power business.
24	Q. And what does the power business
25	include?



1 Α. It would have included laptop 2 power supplies. So the external power supply for a 3 laptop would be an example of the product in that 4 product line. 5 Did your job title change at any 0. time during your employment at ACCO? 6 7 Α. Yes, it did. 8 Ο. And what was the next position you 9 had after associate project manager? 10 Project manager. Α. 11 And when did you receive the title 12 of project manager? 13 I believe it may have been either Α. 14 2006 or 2007. I don't recall exactly. 15 Did your title change at any time Ο. 16 after 2006 or 2007 when you became a project 17 manager? 18 Yes, it did. I believe -- and Α. 19

- A. Yes, it did. I believe -- and there may be intermediary steps there. What I do recall is my final title would have been manager global security business, or something to that extent.
- Q. And the global security business does that refer to the computer locks and related products?



20

21

22

23

24

1	A. Yes, it does.
2	Q. And then you worked at ACCO up
3	until January 2011?
4	A. That's correct, yes.
5	Q. Your study at the Copenhagen
6	business school in Denmark did that occur while you
7	were employed at ACCO?
8	A. Yes, it did.
9	Q. Did you need to take a leave of
10	absence from work to do that?
11	A. Yes, I did.
12	Q. And then after studying in
13	Copenhagen you returned to ACCO?
14	A. That's correct, yes.
15	Q. Did you work with Ryan White at
16	ACCO?
17	A. Yes, I did.
18	Q. What was Mr. White's title at ACCO
19	if you recall?
20	A. Senior designer, I believe, global
21	security business. Or it may have included senior
22	industrial designer, global security business.
23	Q. So you worked with Mr. White when
24	you were working in the global security business?
25	A. That's correct, yes. Ryan



reported to me as a direct report.

Q. Was Ryan working at ACCO before you began to work there?

A. I don't think so. As I recall I may have started slightly before Ryan.

MR. SCHINDLER: Objection to relevancy.

It's also an objection that the witness should not necessarily know what Mr. Ryan's work history is.

BY MR. WEINER:

- Q. At ACCO what products were you responsible for?
- A. During the tenure? The entire period? Or a specific period of time?
- Q. Why don't you focus on while you were working on the global security business?
- A. As the manager for the business unit I would have been responsible for product managing and doing product development. So product managing existing SKUs, stock keeping units, and responsible for the introduction of new products into their product line.
- Q. Were you responsible for developing new products?
 - A. Can you clarify what responsible



for developing would mean in your context?

Q. Well, by developing I meant coming up with designs for new products.

A. So in my role, right, it's not just the design, it's the assessing market opportunity and aligning market need with product need. So there's a nuance there. But, yes, you could describe it as a responsibility to define a roadmap to introduce new products to the market, including executing on the technical capability to meet the requirements that we defined.

- Q. Were you responsible for developing the design of ACCO's ClickSafe product line of computer locks?
- A. Again, I just want to make sure I'm clear. When you say "responsible" can you clarify?

MR. SCHINDLER: Objection to relevancy.

BY MR. WEINER:

- Q. I'll try to help clarify it.

 Could you let me know if there's something in particular that is unclear to you about that word?
- A. So from my perspective product management is complicated. So responsibility could mean a number of different things. Are you



responsible for the technical performance of the product? Are you responsible for the profit and loss of the product on introduction? Are you responsible for the go-to-market planning? I just would like to understand. New product introduction is a very multi-stepped process. So when you say were you responsible, are you implying I had final responsibility, autonomy to say yes or no. That's the way I understand the question. So if you could clarify specifically around if you're asking about my technical involvement or my business involvement that would help.

Q. Okay. I think I understand. I'll try to clarify that. First of all, when I'm asking you if you had responsibility for, I'm not asking if you were ultimately top responsibility in the company necessarily, I'm just asking if that was part of your job responsibilities. And in particular I'm asking if you had responsibilities for coming up with the design of the ClickSafe products?

A. Yes. And, again, let me clarify again, because lock design is complicated, and I oversaw a team of people, one of which Ryan was a member of. It was quite collaborative in terms of



coming up with the final design that worked. But ultimately I had or I had the feeling of responsibility to make sure the product met the technical requirements as set forth during the product requirements definition stage.

So other people may have taken care of the detailed design, like the CAD work, specific radiuses, etcetera, but I ultimately oversaw and made sure that that design was robust, that met the requirements that we had set forth in the product requirement document.

- Q. So you and your team came up with the design of the ClickSafe products; is that right?
- A. So, can I ask the question about the relevance of ClickSafe just to the deposition? Because what I've provided in the deposition or in the testimony myself focused on two pieces of art, McDaid and Chen.

So the questioning around ClickSafe which quite honestly some of that I do feel a responsibility to maintain some privilege especially if you want to talk about the detailed design thereof, I would prefer that that is done in confidence. I don't want to discuss specifics



around that design.

And, again, the questioning around -if you're trying to assess how technical I am and
did I have responsibility for coming up with lock
designs in general, not specifically just
ClickSafe, or quick connect as it was then called,
then the answer to that question is yes.

I'm a mechanical engineer. I'm a lock designer. Although I provided business management for that team, I was technically the person that would do all the design reviews, gated the product and made sure the product met the requirements before it entered production. So, yes, I'm mechanically responsible for the final design performance of the product, not just ClickSafe.

Q. I'm handing you what has previously been marked Exhibit 1014.

And just a clarification about the exhibits, I'm handing you an exhibit that's marked 1014. It's also marked with IPR No. 2015-1167.

MR. VODOPIA: I just want to object.

This is outside the scope of the deposition.

MR. WEINER: Okay. Objection is noted.

THE DEPONENT: And, again, specifically this is a product that is protected by intellectual



property of which I'm a named inventory. And, again, I don't feel comfortable talking about specifics around ClickSafe, the specificity of the lock, the lock performance, how it functions, etcetera.

BY MR. WEINER:

Q. The reason you're raising the issue of confidential information, is that because you have confidentiality obligations to ACCO?

MR. SCHINDLER: Objection. This is beyond the scope of his testimony. It's of no relevance. And there's nothing confidential about ClickSafe because it's out there in the public domain; the knowledge of its operation.

MR. WEINER: Could you read back the question, please?

(Last question read back)

THE DEPONENT: There are some covenants in my employment contract when I signed it such that information that we agreed would be confidential during my tenure I don't feel comfortable sharing that.

And, again, specifically as I mentioned, the testimony provided in this case I looked at McDaid and Chen, it has nothing to do



with ClickSafe. Kensington and the ClickSafe lock.

BY MR. WEINER:

Q. I understand, and I will ask you about that. If at any time a question that I'm asking you think would require confidential information or response please let me know and we'll address how to do that.

A. Sure. And I think that's what I'm trying to do, I'm trying to say if there is something specific about the lock, how it works, etcetera, if I don't understand the question where that might be going I would prefer that that's provided in confidence.

Q. Okay. Understood. And my intention is not to ask you any questions that would require revealing any confidential information in your response, but if you think that's the case please let me know --

A. Sure.

Q. -- and we'll determine how to mark the record as confidential, or I can rephrase the question to avoid that, okay?

A. Thank you.

Q. So you mentioned you have a written confidential agreement with ACCO, right?



Or employment agreement?

A. So part of my employment -typically when you work in technology at time of
employment you're asked and you covenant to say
basically anything shared with you that's deemed
privileged or confidential.

MR. SCHINDLER: Same objection to relevance.

THE DEPONENT: That would have been part of the employment contract.

BY MR. WEINER:

- Q. And that agreement prevents you from disclosing any confidential ACCO information, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Did you review the confidentiality agreement before or during any discussions with Think Products?
- A. I'm aware of my covenants. I'm always aware of my covenants. I understand my covenants extend post employment with Kensington, and I honour and abide by them, which, again, in my industry is typical.
- Q. Did you bring to Think Products' attention your confidentiality obligation?



A. It's understood. But anything they would ask me similar to this conversation if I feel that it's confidential I, again, abide by my covenant, I don't share that information.

- Q. So Think Products was aware of your confidentiality obligations to ACCO?
- A. I think anybody that works in technology, if you engage with them where there's IP at play as a professional mechanical engineer with my obligations it's understood.

MR. SCHINDLER: I didn't know anything about it, I don't think John Vodopia did either, about any confidentiality agreement prior to the time that it was introduced in the Federal District Court case, I guess it was early this month, March 7th I believe. Until that time John and I had not seen any confidentiality agreement, or any confidential information.

BY MR. WEINER:

Q. But your understanding was that
Think Products would be aware that you had these
obligations because they're common in the industry?

MR. VODOPIA: Objection. He can't
answer for Think Products.

THE DEPONENT: I can't answer for



Think. I can answer for myself. Again, as a professional person working in this industry, it's no different than I work today for Panasonic. I'm privy to confidential information. As a person with my reputation in this industry I abide by the covenants of all my employment, post employment with that organization.

BY MR. WEINER:

- Q. In your discussions with Think
 Products did you discuss any information that you
 learned while employed at ACCO?
- A. I shared no confidential information as per that covenant.

MR. SCHINDLER: So is the answer no?

MR. WEINER: Counsel, just make your objections on the record and don't testify for the witness.

MR. SCHINDLER: I'm not testifying for him.

MR. WEINER: The patent office rules strictly limit the scope of your objections on the record, and I can read that into the record if you're not aware of that, but I would ask you to please make brief objections on the record and then be quiet and let the witness answer.



1	MR. SCHINDLER: You're well beyond the
2	scope of his deposition testimony. Written
3	testimony.
4	MR. WEINER: Your objection is noted.
5	BY MR. WEINER:
6	Q. Did you discuss ACCO's products
7	with Think Products or their counsel?
8	A. Other than the information that
9	would have been in the public domain, so through
10	the website, through marketing materials, etcetera,
11	no.
12	Q. Did you discuss ACCO's sales
13	figures?
14	A. I would have used information that
15	would have been in the public domain. So ACCO 10K
16	filing for example, right, I would have said
17	reference that. And I would have actually pointed
18	and said if you want to understand Kensington
19	computer products group performance within the ACCO
20	family it's all noted and in the public domain
21	within the 10K filing.
22	Q. Did you discuss with Think
23	Products the research and design of ACCO's
24	products?



25

A.

No.

1	Q. Did Think Products or Think
2	Products' counsel ever ask you about confidential
3	ACCO information?
4	A. No.
5	MR. SCHINDLER: Continuing objection.
6	BY MR. WEINER:
7	Q. Getting back to Exhibit 1014 that
8	I handed you a couple minutes ago. What product is
9	depicted in Exhibit 1014?
10	MR. VODOPIA: Objection. Outside the
11	scope of the deposition.
12	THE DEPONENT: It's a computer lock.
13	BY MR. WEINER:
14	Q. Have you seen Exhibit 1014 before
15	today?
16	A. The exhibit itself?
17	Q. Yes.
18	A. No.
19	Q. Did you review the entire record
20	for the IPR proceedings?
21	A. Can you clarify? I mean we can go
22	back and check document by document what I received
23	and what I did review.
24	Q. I'm just asking about this one
25	document, 1014, you haven't reviewed that?



A. I have not seen 1014 prior to today, no.

- Q. Let me direct your attention to paragraph 5 of your declaration.
 - A. Yes, I understand.
 - Q. Now, in paragraph 5 it states:

 "In support of my testimony
 herein, I reviewed the electronic
 file wrapper of each IPR proceeding,
 including the Petitions of ACCO and
 the Decisions to institute the IPRs,
 issued by the PTAB on October 21,
 2015, as well as all patents
 referenced in this declaration."

 Did I read paragraph 5 correctly?

 A. Correct.
- Q. What did you mean in paragraph 5 when you said you reviewed the electronic file wrapper of each IPR proceeding?
- A. So I would have reviewed the IPR document itself. So whatever was contained within that document I reviewed.
- Q. By the IPR document are you referring to the IPR petition that was filed by ACCO?



A. I'm not a lawyer. I review whatever documents -- and I mean I can read them to you and we can put these into the record if you choose. I'll give you the very specifics around what I reviewed. Would you like to do that?

- Q. Well, why don't you give me a list of the documents you reviewed or you can identify them?
- A. And this would not be the complete -- I can give you a partial list today, but it would be best and, again, I would have to go back through. I only brought a subset of them with me, it's not exhaustive. If you would like me to provide the full list and clarify which documents were reviewed as part of No. 5 I would be happy to do so.
- Q. Well, first, your review did not include Exhibit 1014, right? You didn't review that in connection with preparing your declaration, right?
- A. So I hadn't seen that document, the specific pictures of it until today, that is correct. But, again, specifically, like the way I read the statement is I went through -- and, again, it may be the semantics of IPR electronic wrapper.



I'll be happy to provide a list of all documents that I did review.

- Q. Why don't you identify what you can now for the documents that you reviewed?
- A. Okay. But it would be a very -- again, it would be a shorter list. It will be what's with me today unless I go back through my own electronic records.
- Q. Well, let's start with that, why don't you describe what you have with you today?
- A. Sure. So I have -- and, again, some of these -- I don't know if these are in any specific order. But this is the patent owner Think Products' response to the petition requesting the IPR, proceeding No. IPR 2015-01167.
 - Q. Patent owner response to petition?
- A. Patent owner Think Products Inc.'s response to the petition requesting IPR.
- Okay. Again, not in any specific order. I have the decision. This would have been dated October 2015. Case IPR 2015-01168.
- Q. Okay. That's a decision issued by the patent trial and appeal board; is that right?
- A. Is that what this is? I mean this is the document. I don't want to --



Q. I see. It says paper No. 13 in the upper left-hand corner.

- A. Does that help?
- Q. Yes, thank you. What else did you review?
- A. Also paper 13, and I guess this is the Rice administrative patent judge document. And then this is another decision, also paper 13, with case IPR 2015-01167 Pollock administrative patent judge decision.
- Q. So you reviewed that other decision as well. And what else did you review?
- A. This would be patent owner Think Products Inc.'s preliminary statement pursuant to 37 CFR 42-107, paragraph 42-107. In proceeding IPR 2015-01168.
- Q. Did you assist Think Products in preparing that preliminary statement?
- A. I don't believe. The preliminary was prior to that timeframe that I provided to you.
- Q. That was filed earlier than late 2015 when you were contacted?
- A. Again, my engagement is to provide the expert testimony that was filed I believe in January of 2016. My declaration.



2.1

Q. Okay. And are there additional documents that you reviewed?

- A. I also reviewed my own declaration.
 - Q. Now, my question was really directed to what you reviewed in connection with preparing your declaration.
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. That's your declaration; you reviewed that in preparation for today's deposition?
 - A. Right. I reviewed and, again, this might be a copy, but I'll repeat it again. It's IPR 2015-01168 patent owner Think Products' response to the petition requesting IPR.
 - Q. And for the preliminary statements, you also reviewed the preliminary statements that were filed in both cases; is that right?
 - A. Correct, as well as Ryan White's affidavit. And there may, again, have been other documents that I did look at and I will be happy to provide that full list to you.
 - Q. But that's the complete list of the documents you have with you today?



A. Correct.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And those are the documents you reviewed in preparation for your deposition today as well?

A. Correct, yes.

Q. Other than those documents, are there any other documents you recall that you reviewed in preparation for your declaration?

A. The patents themselves.

Q. Okay. The two Think Products patents you reviewed?

A. Correct. Plus the cited prior art.

Q. And that's the McDaid patent you referred to and the Chen patent?

A. Correct.

Q. Anything else that you recall as you're sitting here that you reviewed?

A. Not that I recall right now. I know there may have been others and, again, I'll being happy to provide that list.

Q. Okay. Getting back to Exhibit 1014. I'm not sure if you answered this already, but just to clarify, what's your understanding of what product is depicted in Exhibit 1014?



1 MR. VODOPIA: Objection. Outside the 2 scope of the deposition, Michael. 3 THE DEPONENT: I can read the title on 4 there, but, again, it's a piece of -- a product 5 that I worked on that I'm being a bit careful about 6 discussing specifics about. So it's another 7 Kensington cable lock solution. BY MR. WEINER: 9 Is that -- and take whatever time you need to review it. I know you have seen the 10 front page --11 12 Α. Sure. 13 -- but if you need to look at 14 everything, please take whatever time you need. 15 Depicted in Exhibit 1014 is that one of the 16 ClickSafe products that you and your team was 17 responsible for designing? 18 It was a product that I worked on Α. during my tenure --19 20 MR. VODOPIA: Objection. Outside the 21 scope of the deposition. 22 MR. SCHINDLER: Also irrelevant. 23 MR. VODOPIA: Do you want to get a 24 ruling? We don't want you to talk about this.



Shall we get a ruling?

MR. WEINER: Your objections are noted on the record. According to the rules we should continue with the deposition.

MR. VODOPIA: Let's take a break.

We're going to call the PTAB and we're going to get a ruling on this. Because we don't want him answering any questions about anything that's not relevant to this deposition to the IPR proceeding.

No relevance to the IPR proceeding. None. Okay?

MR. WEINER: Are you instructing the witness not to answer?

MR. SCHINDLER: Let's put it this way, we've got to object to everything along these lines because it is irrelevant. So I mean you can ask him if he has confidential information he doesn't. If you ask him about the ClickSafe that's in the knowledge of public domain. He worked on it. He testified to that. So where is this going?

MR. WEINER: If you want to contact the board, that's fine. If you want to instruct the witness not to answer, that's fine. I just want to understand how you would like to proceed. Under the rules you should note your objections on the record and you can deal with it later, but if you need to contact the board now then we should go



ahead and do that.

3

4

5

7

9

11

16

25

But I do have some more questions for the witness about Exhibit 1014.

THE DEPONENT: My declaration itself doesn't discuss ClickSafe.

MR. VODOPIA: Let's talk to the board.

May we take a break?

--- Recess at 9:22 a.m.

--- On resuming at 9:45 a.m.

---REPORTER'S NOTE: Call connected to Patent Trial

and Appeal Board and counsel introduced themselves

JUDGE POLLOCK: Good afternoon,

gentlemen. This is Judge Pollock. I have here

with me Judge Rice, and this is about IPR

2015-01167 and 01168. I understand there's some

question regarding the conduct of an expert

deposition?

MR. VODOPIA: There is.

JUDGE POLLOCK: Who do I have on the

20 line for petitioner?

MR. WEINER: This is Michael Weiner,

22 and I'm here with Tron Fu, F-U.

JUDGE POLLOCK: And who's on the line

24 for patent owner?

MR. VODOPIA: John Vodopia and Edwin



1 Schindler for owner. 2 All right. And who JUDGE POLLOCK: 3 requested the conference call? 4 MR. SCHINDLER: The patent owner. 5 What seems to be the JUDGE POLLOCK: 6 problem today? 7 There's an objection to MR. SCHINDLER: 8 the scope of the relevant testimony. petitioner is asking about its product and seems to 10 be suggesting whether there may be an infringement of the product involved in the civil litigation, 11 12 but that's not part of the written testimony of the 13 expert which deals solely with issues of validity, 14 particularly patents -- and really just patents 15 that were made of record with the expert testimony. 16 And we believe that the questions regarding 17 potential infringement, or whether the expert had 18 ever worked for the petitioner are irrelevant to 19 this proceeding. 20 MR. VODOPIA: And outside the scope of 21 the proceeding. 22 JUDGE POLLOCK: All right. 23 petitioner? 24 This is Michael Yes. MR. WEINER: 25 I think Mr. Vodopia may have misstated the



scope of my question. I didn't ask anything about infringement. I'm not intending to get any information about infringement. I asked the witness about a particular exhibit that's in the IPR petition, Exhibit 1014, that's in the IPR record and his declaration states that he reviewed the electronic file record of both IPR proceedings.

The witness also testified that the exhibit depicts a product that he and his team were responsible for developing while he was working for the petitioner ACCO Brands. So I just have a few questions about that particular product. Nothing about infringement. The questions I have are limited, probably 10 minutes at the most.

So we don't think there's a basis for an objection, and even if there's an objection we don't think it would be appropriate to instruct not to answer or for the board to cut off the line of questioning. If they want to object to relevance later on if we wanted to rely on this testimony then they could do that at the appropriate time.

MR. VODOPIA: This is John Vodopia, counsel for owner. And the exhibit is part of the record, but certainly not part of the expert's testimony. The exhibit is a photograph of the only



allegedly infringing product at issue in the stayed 1 2 litigation. And, again, we feel it's just totally 3 irrelevant when the deponent has... 4 MR. SCHINDLER: Has testified -- this 5 is Edwin Schindler. Has testified to issues of 6 validity based on issued patents. There's no 7 discussion in the expert written testimony regarding anything about ClickSafe. It was cited as a reference, but the reference was circumvented based upon the allegation of an earlier filing 10 11 date. 12 JUDGE POLLOCK: I'm sorry. 13 starting to get a little lost here. 14 MR. SCHINDLER: Okay. It's probably 15 too much information. 16 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. 17 MR. SCHINDLER: Can you repeat that for 18 the court reporter, please? 19 JUDGE POLLOCK: I'm sorry. Was the 20 question to me? 2.1 MR. WEINER: Your honour, we couldn't 22 hear what you said. We don't have the greatest 23 phone connection here. If you could please repeat 24 it? 25 JUDGE POLLOCK: I see. I was asking



1 whether Exhibit 1014, the questions are about 2 Exhibit 1014 and the content thereof, is that cited 3 in the expert's report? 4 MR. VODOPIA: No, it's not. 5 MR. WEINER: Your honour, this is 6 Michael Weiner. It is cited in the IPR petition. It's prior art that we rely on. And the expert did 7 say that he reviewed the file history. But I agree that he didn't cite this exhibit in the expert's 10 declaration, the expert that is being deposed 11 today. But the expert did say that he recognized 12 it as a product that he was responsible for 13 developing. 14 MR. VODOPIA: Upon owner's objection, 15 Judge. 16 JUDGE POLLOCK: Gentlemen, do we have 17 anything else before we go off the record and I 18 speak with Judge Rice? 19 MR. VODOPIA: No. 20 MR. WEINER: Nothing else for the 21 petitioner. 22 JUDGE POLLOCK: I believe I understand 23 the problem. 24 Well, I'm going to put you on hold just 25 for a minute. Excuse us.



1	MR. VODOPIA: Thank you.
2	JUDGE POLLOCK: Gentlemen, are you
3	still there?
4	MR. WEINER: Yes, Your Honour.
5	MR. VODOPIA: Yes.
6	JUDGE POLLOCK: Is counsel for patent
7	owner still there?
8	MR. VODOPIA: Yes, we are, Your Honour.
9	JUDGE POLLOCK: Very good. Sorry, the
10	phone connection isn't working very well.
11	The expert has recognized the content
12	of Exhibit 1014 as a product that he worked on in
13	development. To the extent that the questions and
14	answers are not relevant, I believe that the
15	objections that the parties put on the record will
16	be sufficient and we can take up any additional
17	matters regarding that later.
18	So I'm going to allow the questioning
19	with respect to Exhibit 1014.
20	WITNESS RETURNS
21	BY MR. WEINER:
22	Q. Mr. Mahaffey, before we had a
23	break I was asking you about Exhibit 1014, and you
24	said that you recognize the product shown in
25	Exhibit 1014 as one of the ClickSafe products you



were responsible for designing with your team at ACCO; is that correct?

A. I don't think that's what I said.

I think I said that I recognize that as a product.

So, again, I'm being quite careful about it. It says ClickSafe on it. It's labelled ClickSafe. It is a computer lock that I did work on, yes.

Q. Does it look like one of the products that you worked on?

A. Yes.

Q. Why don't you take a few minutes to look at the other photographs in Exhibit 1014. It's 14 pages long. You don't need to study every photograph in detail, but I just want you to review that and see if you can confirm that it does look like one of the products that you were responsible for designing with your team at ACCO.

A. Do you actually have a source for these images? Like what are they from? Were they staged in preparation for the exhibit? Is it for marketing collateral?

Q. I can represent to you they're still images from a video that was publicly available. We have copies of it on our computers, I don't know if it necessary for you to see it.



But these are still images from a video that was used for promotion of the ClickSafe line of products.

A. Okay.

Q. Of course we added the red annotations to the still images.

You're finished reviewing Exhibit 1014?

A. Yes.

- Q. And do you agree that the photographs in Exhibit 1014 do show a ClickSafe product that was one of the products you and your team were responsible for designing?
- A. They show a computer lock that I did work on when I was at Kensington, yes.
- Q. And do you agree that the computer lock shown in Exhibit 1014 is a click-to-lock mechanism that doesn't require turning a key in order to activate the lock?
 - A. Can you repeat the question?
 - Q. Could you read that back, please?
 (Last question read back)

THE DEPONENT: Can we break that question down? I mean there's a lot. You've asked specifics around the mechanism, how it functions.

Can you just break that down a bit? Because if I



2.1

just agree to that that's a lot of complexity. I mean locks are complex mechanisms. What you've described to me as a lock designer you put a bunch of functionality into that one statement. So can you break that down into its specifics?

BY MR. WEINER:

- Q. Well, in particular, let's take a look at page 11 of Exhibit 1014.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. That shows a close-up of the lock mechanism. It's marked as G is the locking device, right?
- A. Okay. I just want to be very careful again. I mean locking mechanism, locking device, right, there are some semantics and nuances there, right. So let's be careful. The mechanism to me describes the inner working, how it actually functions. The device encapsulates what you see in that image, right. It's a locking device. Yes, I agree that it's a locking device.
- Q. And you're saying the mechanism is what you would describe the inner workings that's inside of that what's been labelled G on page 11, right?
 - A. So I'm agreeing that what's shown



on page 11 of this exhibit is a locking device.

Q. Okay. Now, if you weren't familiar with the product you couldn't tell how it works just by looking at the picture, right?

A. Explain.

Q. You're familiar with this product, right?

A. Yes.

Q. So you may have some idea as to what's shown inside as part of the inner workings of the lock mechanism even though it's not shown, right?

A. So if there are specifics around that mechanism, again, I need to make sure we understand that there is some know-how and knowledge that went into building that mechanism. The question you're asking is is someone who's not ordinary skilled in art can they look at this image and understand that that's a computer lock? The answer to that is absolutely. Because we're not making devices that if you don't recognize what their functionality is there wouldn't be a market for them. If we had to educate the market on computer locks or this is a device for locking a computer we wouldn't sell any.



Q. So even someone that wasn't familiar with this product by reviewing the images you would understand that it's a computer lock, right?

A. Everybody that's sitting at this table today should recognize that that's a locking device. And what's shown here, which I assume is a laptop, that's being used to lock down that laptop.

Q. And I have some questions for you that also relate to your knowledge of the product beyond what's shown in the figure, because you're familiar with the product, right? You're familiar with the line of products?

A. Correct.

MR. SCHINDLER: Objection. That goes beyond what the scope of the petition was. Not just the testimony.

THE DEPONENT: My declaration has nothing to do with the workings, the mechanics of a Kensington product. So you're showing me -- I mean you're actually asking me to divulge how does ClickSafe work. That has nothing to do with the signed declaration, my testimony, what I used in coming to the opinion that I provided.

BY MR. WEINER:



Q. Okay. I understand you didn't refer to this in your declaration, right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. You understand that this ClickSafe lock is operated by pushing the locking device onto the anchor that's attached to the laptop housing as shown on pages 11 and 12 of the exhibit?
- A. So is the question -- just for clarification, are you asking me to describe how ClickSafe works? The steps required to operate ClickSafe?
- Q. I'm not asking for you to describe the entire operation but simply the locking. If I were using this product how would I lock the lock onto the laptop?
- A. I think whatever the video and the stills these were taken from would describe that.

 Again, looking at stills from them, there's more to this process, and we aren't talking about any of the preceding steps. You're asking me just to look at page 11 and agree with that statement? That's difficult. We're negating a bunch of steps.

 You're asking me to come to a conclusion that you're sort of leading me to, I would say that's difficult. We should review the whole video.



Let's watch the video, see how it operates and then agree that holistically that's how the product operates. I'm happy to do that.

- Q. We do have a copy of the video. If you'd like to see I'm happy to show it, it takes a couple minutes, but let me just clarify that I'm just trying to save a little time here. So if there's something you feel comfortable answering without seeing the video please go ahead and answer, but if you need to review it that's fine too.
- A. It's a bit of a leading question because I can talk about -- I mean what you're asking me to do is say use your knowledge of ClickSafe, which is not relevant as I said as to the declaration that I provided or in the expert testimony that I'm providing to Think Products, and to conclude that this particular still from a video shows that action? That's a stretch. That's very difficult for me.
- Q. I'm really asking about the product, not so much about exactly what's shown in the video. But looking at page 11 of the document you recognize that product, right?
 - A. This could be a third attempt at



1 putting the lock head onto the knob. This could be 2 the product's come off, the key's been removed. 3 I'm not sure what particular step. You're asking 4 me to identify the action as being this locking 5 device is being pushed onto that? That's very difficult for me to do. I would have to assume a 6 7 whole bunch of other steps. 8 Ο. Have you ever used one of these 9 products to lock a laptop? 10 Α. Have I used a computer lock? 11 MR. SCHINDLER: Objection to relevance. 12 BY MR. WEINER: 13 I'm asking about a ClickSafe lock Ο. 14 as shown in Exhibit 1014. 15 Have I used ClickSafe? Α. 16 Ο. Yes. 17 Α. The answer is yes, I've used 18 ClickSafe. 19 And the ClickSafe product line has Ο. 20 more than one product, right? 2.1 Can you clarify that? I mean what Α. 22 are we calling product? More than one product? 23 More than one SKU? 24 More than one Sku? So do you Α. 25 understand that there could be different SKUs based



on different lengths of cable, or different colours of that tab that's provided on the cable lock? So there's a number of different reasons for creating a new SKU. One could be an English package and one could be in French. So were there multiple SKUs of ClickSafe? Yes, for multiple reasons for multiple markets.

- Q. Okay. And I'm asking about a ClickSafe product similar to what's shown in Exhibit 1014; you recognize that, right?
- A. Again, I'll reiterate what I said when the question was asked previously. I do recognize this as a computer lock. I recognize it as a computer lock that I worked on during my tenure at Kensington.
- Q. And you recognize as shown on page 11 the ClickSafe lock has an anchor that's attached to the laptop housing, right?
- A. So if we want to construe anchor

 I've got to be careful again, right, that's got a

 common colloquial understanding, anchor. You're

 asking me to agree that that is an anchor? Again,

 there are nuances in this business, right, and I

 want to make sure that I don't misstate or

 misrepresent what is shown again on a snapshot from



a two-minute video.

Q. I understand, and I don't want to get too hung up on the particular language in connection with this line of questions.

A. But just so I can also clarify, this is where my or Mr. White's testimony is that expert level of testimony is particular about how the product is used in specific applications. So we are taking some generalities and you're drawing from me specific, can you identify this mechanism? Can you identify this? That's difficult to do, again, without the context of it.

If you're asking me to say that this is specifically a security anchor? Again, anchor. What does that really mean? Did I call it an anchor during my tenure at Kensington? I might have. I might not have. It has different connotations. But I do understand that the word "anchor" can have very specific meaning with regards to this particular action. I want to be careful.

Q. Let me try to simplify the line of questioning a little bit if I can. You see on page 11 there's the part that's referred to by the reference letters C and D? Do you see that?

A. Okay.

Q. That's a part that is attached to the housing of a laptop, do you agree with that?

A. It's a part somehow attached to a housing on a laptop.

Q. Okay. You recognize that part that's labelled C and D, you've seen those before, right?

A. I recognize the part. Without clarification, I don't actually know specifically what C is referencing, or D. D is even missing its arrow. So I recognize the protrusion that is attached to the laptop housing.

Q. Okay. I used the term anchor to refer to that and maybe that wasn't the best term. Would you call it a protrusion, or how would you identify that part?

A. So I think -- again, it's been called a protrusion, a knob, a security rod, an anchor. There's a number of different terms. And that, again, is not exhaustive.

Q. If I call it a knob will you understand what I'm referring to?

A. If we call -- let's refer to this particular device attached to this housing as a



knob? Okay. I understand, yes.

Q. So when I say "knob" I'm referring to that. And I see there's a C and a D on that referring to one particular part, just that entire part attached to the housing, okay?

- A. So hang on. So are you familiar with how that part works and all of its mechanism?
- Q. I'm familiar with it, but right now I don't have a specific question for you about exactly how that knob works.
- A. So the physical, the exterior part, the knob, I'm not including in that the actual functionality and how it attaches to that device. I want to look at these as black boxes. We have a knob and we have a locking device.
- Q. So you agree the knob is attached to the laptop housing, right?
- Okay. And you agree that the locking device labelled G in this figure is locked to the knob?
- A. No. I don't agree with that.

 Again, what's happening in this particular image?

 Is it locking to? Is it been pressed on? Is it coming off? I don't see a key, but it's really the angle of the shot. It's like a goal review in a



game of hockey. I'm not particular about this.

Where are we in the steps?

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. In the still image you can't tell what's happening?
 - A. Cannot tell.
 - Q. All you can see is there's a locking device --
 - A. Locking device --

THE REPORTER: One at a time.

THE DEPONENT: I agree that in this image we see a locking device, a knob attached to what appears to be a laptop.

BY MR. WEINER:

- Q. Now I have a couple of questions for you. I know I said I'd ask for 10 minutes or fewer in this line of questioning. It's going a little bit longer than I had intended, but I don't think this should take too long.
- A. And I'm trying to be careful, right. I mean there's what we perceive and then how these things work. Again, as per my covenant I want to make sure that I only share information that I'm most comfortable with.
- Q. And if any of my questions would require confidential information in your response



please let me know and we'll address that. But my intention is not to ask for any confidential information. As I mentioned, these are still images from a video that's publicly available. So there's nothing secret that's actually shown in the document. And of course the document itself wasn't filed in a confidential manner. It's publicly available in the IPR record as well.

- A. Understood.
- Q. I'm using the photograph in the exhibit as a reference point, but my questions are not directed to exactly what is shown in the video or in the photograph. This is more just a reference point so we know what product we're referring to.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. But you do recognize the product that is shown here in Exhibit 1014, right?
 - A. Yes. I agree to that, yes.
- Q. So what I'm asking is if you were going to use this product to lock the laptop using the locking device G, would you agree that the lock is designed to operate by snapping it onto the knob?
 - MR. VODOPIA: Objection. Form.



THE DEPONENT: No, I would not agree necessarily. Again, we've used click, snap, right. What is -- what happens -- can you break that down into again a series of operations, and then I'll agree that if they are correct those are the series of steps taken to lock a locking device to a knob. Just to simply say it snaps together doesn't quite explain the nuance again on how the product operates.

BY MR. WEINER:

- Q. Let me try it this way: Can you describe very generally how one would use this product to lock to the knob on the laptop housing? From your own knowledge and background.
- A. Can you repeat the question, please?
 - Q. Could you read that back, please?
 (Last question read back)

THE DEPONENT: So what I'd, again, recommend, it's a two-minute video, I think the video is produced by Kensington and it explains complete all the steps. Let's watch it and say that particular product in this particular instance the person has used it this way. I'll agree to that's how it's used. Rather than specifics around



1 a step that again negates all the other steps. 2 we watch the video I'll say that's how that 3 particular product could be used to lock down a 4 laptop, if I agree. 5 MR. WEINER: Okay. Let's look at the 6 video. This will just take a couple of minutes to 7 start up my computer. I don't have an extra copy 8 of it, but, counsel, we can all look at the same laptop screen if you like. 10 MR. VODOPIA: Sure. 11 He can agree that the video shows what 12 it shows. 13 MR. WEINER: Okay. I have a video that 14 I'm about to show to the witness. It's on my 15 laptop computer, and I'll turn it around so counsel 16 can see it as well. This video is Exhibit 1013 in 17 the record. 18 I'm going to press start there, and I 19 quess it's 1 minute 44 seconds. It's pretty quick. 20 If you could take a quick look at it. 21 (Counsel plays video) 22 THE DEPONENT: Just hang on a second. 23 Can we just go back? 24 BY MR. WEINER:



Q.

25

Put that on pause if you'd like.

1 So this is a marketing video but Α. 2 there's been an error made. 3 Let's show the whole video first and then I'll ask any questions about it? 4 So that's about -- roughly just 5 Α. 6 note the time. It's about 54 seconds in. 7 (Video played) 8 Α. Okay. 9 After reviewing the video which has been marked Exhibit 1013, do you agree that 10 Exhibit 1014 appears to show still images from that 11 12 video? 13 MR. SCHINDLER: We have the continuing 14 objection to relevancy. 15 THE DEPONENT: It could, right. Again, 16 I mean how do I correlate exactly these? I mean if 17 we correlate it time specifically, yes, some of 18 these look like they came from them. I mean I 19 recognize more page 6 is obviously the same model, 20 page 7, but some of the close-ups it's difficult to 21 tell they specifically came from the video. 22 BY MR. WEINER: 23 For the purposes of the questions 24 that I have now I don't need your detailed



25

explanation as to each image in the video, but just

generally do you agree it looks like they come from the video?

- A. It appears that way, yes.
- Q. And you're familiar with the product that's shown in the video, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you describe briefly how one would lock the locking device to the laptop using this product?
- A. So one possible way to do it is as shown in that video.
- Q. And could you describe that please for the record?
- A. Can we not -- the video is entered as an exhibit. What's the purpose of me providing how I personally would use it? My way may be different from yours, may be different from Peter's. I'm not sure specifically, again, why I need to describe the steps I personally as a person that knows computer locks intimately how I would use it versus a layperson would use it. It doesn't necessarily help. It's not providing any insights into how computer locks are used.
- Q. Did you meet with counsel in advance of your deposition today?



A. Have I met with counsel in advance of the deposition?

Q. Yes.

- A. Can you clarify the question?
- Q. Is there something unclear about the language that I used in my question?

A. Is the question around -- these are my responses. This is how I would respond to the line of questioning. It's based on my background, my education. It's not on coaching from counsel.

So I'm trying my very best to give you as an expert witness enough information that describes how I came to the declaration that I provided. The line of questioning is around generalities and how to use a security product? I just don't understand the relevance. So I'm trying my best to be as general as possible. Unless there are specifics around my design experience, lock design, what's provided in the declaration, I'm going to be quite general about it as well.

So you asked the question, how would you use the product? We all agree, we watched the video, that's how a layperson could use the product to secure a laptop; I agree to that.



Q. I didn't ask you whether counsel coached you today, did I?

A. This is the semantics of the language. You asked me a question if I was prepared by. I can understand as these responses -- you're asking something that's very close to me. I know this product. I'm doing my very best to, again, be an expert in the case. But I'm not going to get caught into -- again, there's privileged information, there's confidential information, and I want to answer the questions as accurately as I can. But I do need specifics around the question for me to give you simple answers.

- Q. I'm sure counsel for Think
 Products told you to answer the questions as
 accurately as you could, right?
 - A. That's understood.
- Q. And do you understand you're here to answer my questions today? You're not here to give your opinions about what's relevant or not relevant in the IPR proceeding.
 - A. You're asking --
 - Q. Do you understand that?
 - A. Can you repeat the question?



1 Do you understand that you're here Ο. 2 to answer my questions today? 3 MR. SCHINDLER: Objection to being 4 argumentative. 5 THE DEPONENT: To the best of my 6 ability I'll ask answer the questions. 7 BY MR. WEINER: 8 And you understand you're not here 9 to give your opinions on what's relevant in the IPR proceedings? 10 11 Α. On the record I'm making a 12 statement about how I feel around the questioning 13 as relates to the particular case, the action. 14 Move to strike the response as 15 non-responsive. 16 You're not here to give your opinions 17 or your feelings about what you think about the questions or about the IPR proceeding. You're here 18 19 to answer my questions, do you understand that? 20 The question has to be specific. Α. 21 I cannot provide you with -- you know, you're

- I cannot provide you with -- you know, you're asking me how I would use the computer lock. The video shows how it would be used. I agree to that. It's an exhibit.
 - Q. It's a product you're very



22

23

24

1 familiar with, right? 2 MR. SCHINDLER: Objection to relevance. 3 THE DEPONENT: It's a product I'm 4 familiar with, yes. 5 BY MR. WEINER: 6 You're very familiar with it? 7 MR. SCHINDLER: Objection. He's 8 familiar with the product. MR. WEINER: Your objection is noted. 10 BY MR. WEINER: 11 You're very familiar with the Ο. 12 product, right? 13 MR. SCHINDLER: Objection to form. 14 What's the difference between familiar and very 15 familiar? 16 BY MR. WEINER: 17 Ο. You're gesturing. Please answer 18 orally so the court reporter can put it on the 19 record. You're very familiar with the product, 20 right? 2.1 MR. VODOPIA: You can't testify, 22 Michael. Ask him a question if you have a 23 question. 24 MR. WEINER: Your objection is noted. 25 I am familiar with the THE DEPONENT:



1 product. 2 MR. SCHINDLER: Objection. What is --3 MR. WEINER: Counsel, will you be quiet 4 and let me ask my questions? 5 MR. SCHINDLER: What does the form of 6 very familiar mean as opposed to familiar? 7 MR. WEINER: Counsel, you're not here 8 to coach the witness and tell him how to answer. MR. SCHINDLER: I'm not coaching. 10 don't understand the question. 11 MR. WEINER: You object concisely on 12 the record. That's what the patent office trial 13 practice quide says. If you continue to make 14 speeches on the record we're going to have to 15 bother the Patent Trial and Appeal Board again to 16 get an instruction to have you be quiet and just 17 make your objections on the record. 18 I'm allowed to raise MR. SCHINDLER: 19 objections. 20 MR. WEINER: I'm just going to put into 21 the record I'm reading from the patent office trial 22 practice quide that's in the federal register, 23 volume 77, page 48772. Testimony guidelines No. 3: 24 An objection must be stated concisely



25

in a non-argumentative and non-suggestive manner.

Unnecessary objections, speaking objections and 1 2 coaching of witnesses in proceedings before the board are strictly prohibited. The defending 3 4 lawyer must not act as an intermediary, interpreting questions, deciding which questions 5 6 the witness should answer and helping the witness 7 formulate answers while testifying. Counsel, I ask you to keep your 9 objections concise as required under the trial practice guide and if we need to bother the Patent 10 Trial and Appeal Board again to get guidance on how 11 12 to proceed then I'll do that. 13 Can you read back the last question, 14 please? 15 (Last question read back) 16 THE DEPONENT: And I said I'm familiar 17 with the product. I don't understand very 18 familiar. 19 BY MR. WEINER: 20 Well, you've used a ClickSafe 21 product as shown in Exhibit 1014; you've used that 22 hundreds of times, right? 23 I didn't count how many times I've Α. 24 used it.



Q.

25

Have you used it more than 10

1 times? 2 Α. Possibly. 3 You're not sure if you've used it Q. 4 more than 10 times? 5 Α. I do not recall how many times I've used the product. 6 7 More than once? Ο. Α. More than once, yes. More than five times? Ο. I really don't know. I did not 10 11 keep count how many times I used the product. 12 But you were head of a team that Ο. 13 designed this product, right? 14 Α. Correct. 15 And you know how the product works 16 as head of the team that designed it? 17 Α. Yes, I am. 18 And you know that it works by 19 clicking the lock onto the knob, right? 20 Again, when we -- back to the line Α. 21 of questioning and the reason I did ask to watch 22 the video is what the video shows is how it was



23

24

25

the product could be used. A possible series of

steps that could be taken to use the product, I

portrayed from a marketing perspective. That's how

agree to that, yes.

Q. So the court reporter can get this on the record, please describe in your own words how the product was shown used in the video.

A. So I would say that, again, one -- how it could be used is as shown in the video.

- Q. And would you describe that please for the record?
- A. In generality I would say that that particular person inserted the knob into a laptop incorrectly, and then attached the locking device to the knob securing the laptop.
- Q. Okay. So you don't think she inserted the knob into the housing correctly; is that what you were saying?
 - A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. How would you describe how the knob should be inserted into the laptop?
- A. So that particular knob required indexing to be inserted into the housing and she failed to do that when she tied in the Allen screw using the Allen key available.
 - Q. What do you mean by indexing?
- A. So, again, nothing in this exhibit actually shows -- so I'll refer to page 4 of



Exhibit 1014. And actually this is about where the error was made in the video.

- Q. And page 4 shows the knob being inserted into the housing; is that right?
- A. It shows what appears to be the installation. Either it being installed or removed, but either/or is fine. It shows that there's actually a pin that is required to be aligned with the slot, right. In this particular video and in this photo it appears to be -- instead of being inserted correctly into the slot it's being turned 90 degrees. So the pin itself will actually crush the housing of that laptop when she tightens the screw. The video then fades out to make a correction. It's shown then in the video correctly inserted.
- Q. So you're saying that the knob wasn't turned to the correct angle when it was inserted --
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. -- into the housing?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. But you agree that the knob is attached to the housing, it's tightened to the housing using an Allen wrench tightening on the



2.1

Rober	t Mahaffrey
1	screw?
2	MR. SCHINDLER: Objection to relevance
3	about anything having to do with anything with his
4	testimony.
5	THE DEPONENT: It shows an Allen key
6	being used to tighten the screw on the knob that's
7	being installed on a laptop housing.
8	BY MR. WEINER:
9	Q. And that's how you understand the
10	product should be used, right?
11	A. It's how the product can be used,
12	yes.
13	Q. It was designed to be used that
14	way, right?
15	A. It shows an instance of how we
16	envision the product to be used, yes.
17	Q. And now please continue describing
18	how the product was used in the video.
19	A. That's how it works.

- 20 How was the lock attached to the Q.
- knob? 21
- How was the lock attached to the 22 Α.
- It's pushed on. knob? 23
- 24 MR. SCHINDLER: Objection. The witness
- is essentially testifying to the infringement 25



1 issue, and I believe the board was told this would take 10 minutes, this line of questioning, I think 3 it's taken close to a half an hour. BY MR. WEINER: 4 5 The lock doesn't require turning a key to activate the lock, right? 6 7 That's incorrect. Can you read Α. back that statement? I'll show you why it's wrong. 8 I said the lock doesn't require 10 turning a key to activate the lock, right? 11 The lock requires a key to Α. 12 activate the lock. 13 To unlock it? Ο. 14 Α. That's different. 15 But not to lock it? 0. 16 Α. So does this particular product 17 require the key to lock the locking device to the knob, securing it to the knob? 18 19 Yes, that's my question. 20 It was designed to operate without 21 the key, that is correct, to lock. 22 And would you agree that the lock 23 mechanism in this product is an axial lock 24 mechanism? 25 So, again, we're back to specifics



Α.

around the mechanism.

Okay. Locks have two distinct portions. You have where the key goes and how the key works, and you have how the engagement goes. So you have a back end and a front end. So if you're asking me to describe is the engagement of the lock head on the knob axial? Yes, it is.

- Q. And would you agree that the lock mechanism is an axial lock mechanism?
- A. Again, we're talking about mechanism. The embodiment of -- we've used locking device. We had that discussion about locking devices. The device itself which is the keyed end plus the engagement end, right, I've described to you that how the engagement side is axial. I haven't discussed anything regarding the keyed side. It's difficult to put those things together and talk about specific functionality, again, without being specific to the portion of the lock.

I do have a very clear and concise explanation of axial versus radial if that helps. In relation to the cited prior art.

- Q. You understand what an axial lock mechanism is, right?
 - A. That's correct, yes.



1	Q. You've used that term in your
2	declaration in your sworn testimony, right?
3	A. That's correct, yes.
4	Q. Is the ClickSafe product as shown
5	in 1014 does that include an axial lock mechanism?
6	A. I've agreed that a portion of that
7	particular lock, that embodiment shows an axial
8	locking engagement mechanism, that is correct.
9	Q. Does it show a different lock
10	mechanism other than a axial lock mechanism?
11	A. That particular lock uses a very
12	different keying mechanism.
13	Q. Could you repeat that, please?
14	A. The lock shown in the video and
15	Exhibit 1014 uses what is commonly called a
16	disk-style keying mechanism.
17	Q. A disk-style keying mechanism?
18	A. Disk style. D-I-S-C or K style
19	locking mechanism.
20	Q. And that mechanism has an axial
21	engagement?
22	A. I need to do an analysis to
23	determine if it actually fits that.
24	Q. Didn't you already say that?
25	A. No. I spoke about the engagement



mechanism being axial. ClickSafe uses an axial engagement mechanism. It uses a disk-style locking mechanism.

- Q. It uses an axial engagement mechanism is that what you said?
 - A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. And the particular type of axial engagement mechanism is a disk-style mechanism?
- A. No. The locking mechanism is disk style. The engagement mechanism we described as axial.
- Q. What's the difference between the locking mechanism and the engagement mechanism?
- A. So the engagement mechanism is how we describe how the lock would lock either to the laptop or to some intermediary adaptor type device. The locking mechanism is how you actually secure so there would only be a unique key to be able to unlock it. They interface through some sort of a mechanism, but in the lock world there's engagement and there's the locking side. They are distinctly different.
- Q. By engagement are you referring to how the parts fit today?
 - A. I'm describing how you would -- to



enable yourself to take a locking device and attach
it to a device you want to secure, that action
between those two devices that's the engagement
side.

- Q. How about the Chen prior art reference that you referred to in your declaration, would you agree that Chen also shows an axial engagement mechanism?
- A. So, yes, we agree that Chen -- I agree that Chen shows an axial engagement mechanism.
- Q. Would you agree that the axial engagement mechanism in Chen is similar to the axial engagement mechanism in the ClickSafe products?
- MR. VODOPIA: Objection. Outside the scope of the deposition.
- THE DEPONENT: To a person not necessarily skilled in the art they would appear to be similar, but there could be some dissimilarities between the two.

BY MR. WEINER:

Q. Well, I'm asking questions to you as a person who is skilled in the art of the invention.



A. Is there similarity in the engagement mechanism of Chen and the ClickSafe patent? Or the ClickSafe embodiment of the lock, the ClickSafe product?

Q. Let me put it this way: Would you use the same description of the Chen mechanism as an axial engagement mechanism as you would use for the ClickSafe product, an axial engagement mechanism?

A. Give me a second. Can you repeat the question?

Q. Could you read that back, please.
(Last question read back)

THE DEPONENT: So only on the engagement side as I've described that there's two distinct different functions. There's similarity in the fact that there's a knob that's used to attach a locking device to the laptop. That is similar, yes, right. In the specifics around how those mechanisms work they are quite different. They are dissimilar.

And, again, I have -- I can specifically show you a view of Chen and why there are dissimilarities.

Q. Would you agree that in the



ClickSafe product after locking the lock mechanism can turn relative to the knob?

- A. Can you repeat the question?
- Q. Would you read that back, please? (Last question read back)

MR. WEINER: Let me restate that.

MR. VODOPIA: Objection. Michael, outside the scope of the deposition. You're asking him about something that he didn't prepare for.

BY MR. WEINER:

- Q. Let me restate the question.

 Would you agree that in the ClickSafe product after the lock head is locked onto the knob that the knock head can turn relative to the knob?
- A. So let's establish a degree of freedom. So when you say turn, you've got a knob, right, attached to the laptop. You're asking the question can ClickSafe rotate 360 degrees around its axis around the knob?
- Q. That's not exactly my question. I didn't say anything about 360 degrees. I asked would you agree that in the ClickSafe product after the lock mechanism is locked onto the knob the lock head can turn relative to the knob?
 - A. So you'll always have some --



there's always going to be some slack, some play.

Q. And you saw that on the video, right? You saw some play between the lock head and

4 the knob?

1

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. So the question is, is there relative freedom between the knob and the lock head on the Kensington product?

O. Yes.

- A. From recollection, I believe there is. It's free to turn. Around that axis that I've described.
- Q. Referring to your declaration Exhibit 5, or Exhibit 6 in the other IPR proceeding, can you briefly describe how you prepared your declaration?
- A. Sorry. Let me just get the document. Exhibit 5. Can you repeat the question, please?
- Q. Referring to your declaration, and of course it's Exhibit 5 in IPR 1167, it's Exhibit 6 in IPR 1168. My question is can you generally describe how your declaration was prepared?
- A. So after being retained I prepared a report that reviewed the cited prior art. The report was made available to my client.



1	Q. You made the report available to
2	counsel for Think Products?
3	A. Yes, I did.
4	Q. And then what happened after you
5	provided your report?
6	A. So the report was used to
7	generate, or portions of the report were used to
8	generate the declaration.
9	Q. And then counsel for Think
10	Products provided that written declaration to you
11	for your review?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. And after they provided that did
14	you make any revisions in the language they had
15	prepared?
16	A. Yes, I did.
17	Q. Did you go through multiple drafts
18	after that point?
19	A. Yes, there were multiple drafts.
20	Q. How many drafts did you go
21	through?
22	A. Recollection, more than two. Two
23	or more.
24	Q. And when you made revisions you
25	sent the revised draft back to counsel for Think



1	Products?
2	A. Correct, yes.
3	Q. And did you also have discussions
4	with counsel for Think Products in preparing the
5	declaration?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. In those discussions were you
8	asked about your role at ACCO?
9	A. Let me think.
10	So I provided my resume. My resume
11	discussed my experience, my achievements at ACCO.
12	So they would have been aware of that, yes.
13	Q. Did they ask you any questions
14	about your work at ACCO?
15	A. Questions relative to what? Lock
16	design? The business of ACCO?
17	Q. My question is were you asked any
18	questions about your work at ACCO?
19	A. So for them to attain that I had
20	the credentials to provide expert testimony they
21	would have asked me questions regarding my lock
22	design experience which would have been during my
23	tenure at Kensington; how many years did I work
24	there, etcetera.



25

Q.

And you provided that information

1 to them?

2.1

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did they tell you why you were being contacted to provide testimony for Think Products?
- A. I understood that it would be used in this IPR.
- Q. In your discussions with counsel for Think Products did you discuss your involvement in designing the ClickSafe products?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you discuss with counsel for Think Products your involvement in obtaining patents to cover the ClickSafe products?

MR. VODOPIA: Objection. Form.

THE DEPONENT: My resume contained, or even my LinkedIn page would have contained specific references to the intellectual property that I would have been involved in generating. So it's whatever would be in the public domain again.

So if I'm a named inventor and it was on IP that was generated during ACCO it would be -- I use it. It's on my LinkedIn page. It's in the public domain. So that's for issued or pending applications.



BY MR. WEINER:

Q. Other than what you said is publicly available on your LinkedIn page, for example about your being a named inventor, did you provide any other information to counsel for Think Products about your involvement in obtaining patents on ClickSafe products?

A. Nothing that, again, back to -would have been at issue with my covenant, or I
would have considered to be confidential. No, I
did not share information other than what was in
the public record. Public domain.

Q. When you were at ACCO were you -let me strike that. Were you actively involved in
the process for obtaining patents for the ClickSafe
products?

MR. SCHINDLER: Objection to relevance.

THE DEPONENT: What would you consider
to be active in the process of? I was --

BY MR. WEINER:

- Q. Did you have any involvement other than having your name listed as a named inventor on those applications?
- A. So I'm a material contributor to all the patents that I'm the named inventor on.



2.1

And of course there would be with the creation, the writing of the claim language, they would obviously come back and they would review that with us. that iterative process of generating the actual patent application I would have been involved with, yes. And you had to review the final applications before they were filed with the U.S.

patent and trademark office?

Α. Correct.

MR. SCHINDLER: Objection to relevance.

THE DEPONENT: Correct.

BY MR. WEINER:

In your discussions with counsel Ο. for Think Products did you discuss Ryan White?

Other than Ryan's affidavit, my discussing Ryan White had no relevance to the declaration that I provided.

So you didn't provide any information to them?

What he looked like? Where he Α. lived? Unless it was anecdotal, such as Peter has a New York accent, Ryan has a Bostonian accent, but it wasn't anything further than that.

> Now, you've said before you spent O.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

1 about 100 hours on serving as an expert in these 2 IPR proceedings, right? 3 Α. Mm-hmm. 4 Ο. How much of that time was spent 5 preparing your declaration? 6 A significant portion. Α. 7 The majority of that would you Ο. 8 say? Majority, yes. Α. 10 So more than 50 hours to prepare 0. the declaration? 11 12 Α. More than 50 hours, correct. 13 How did you decide what to address Ο. 14 in the declaration? 15 So I would have reviewed the other Α. 16 actions in the IPR, including the preliminary 17 judgments, and I would have used my skill in 18 reviewing the cited prior art to opinion 19 differently when appropriate to do so. 20 So you were asked to reviewed the Ο. 21 cited prior art? 22 Α. That's correct. 23 In the petition and in the institution decision, right? 24 25 Α. Correct.



Q. Okay. But you weren't asked to review Exhibit 1014 relating to the ClickSafe product, right?

A. Quite honestly, it might have just been that whatever documentation I was provided this particular exhibit was missing, right, or I don't recall seeing it, but that's correct. As I said earlier on 1014 is amiss. And I wouldn't have needed to look at that to make the declaration that I provided. It doesn't change my declaration.

Q. And you weren't asked to review that video that we just looked at, Exhibit 1013?

A. I have seen that video before. I just haven't seen it in the last -- I haven't worked at ACCO Kensington since January 2011. It was helpful for me to see. I don't believe that is still in the public domain. I wouldn't have been able to view it other than off your laptop.

Q. But in connection with your work in preparing your declaration you were not asked to review that video, right?

- A. No, I was not asked to review it.
- Q. Okay. How were the annotated patent figures in your declaration prepared?
 - A. So give me an example, please.



Q. For example, if you take a look at paragraph 23.

A. Yes.

Q. And then bottom half of that page, that's page 6, it shows a patent figure that's marked figure 28C, right?

A. Correct, yes.

Q. And you recognize that as a patent figure from the Think Products patents that are being reviewed in the IPR proceedings?

A. That's correct, yes.

Q. And you understand that the text on that page, it says, "opening and locking device" and then there's some other text that says, "Anchoring end of captive security rod or spike." You understand that I'm referring to that as annotations?

A. Understood now, yes.

Q. That language is not part of the actual patent figures in the Think Products patents, right?

A. I believe it's not, that's correct.

Q. And how was this annotated figure prepared that's shown in paragraph 23 of your



declaration?

2.1

A. So through that iterative process, multiple rounds of revision, right, they would take -- we would take my general findings, right, as I would look at that cited prior art and we would use the available material that's within the scope of the IPR and we would use it to clarify some of the statements that I made.

So just in generality I might make a general statement around something that I had found, and through that iterative process we would pare it down into specifics, and then obviously with some understanding of the process themselves, I'm not a lawyer, we would use the agreed-to language to annotate the figures from the cited patents from Think Products.

- Q. You realize this annotated figure was in the preliminary response that was filed by Think Products before you were engaged as an expert, right?
- A. I would have to just take a quick look to make sure that that's the case, but I believe it is.
- Q. So this was actually prepared before you provided any comments to Think Products



about the prior art, right?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. VODOPIA: Objection. It appears

you're pointing to his declaration.

BY MR. WEINER:

- Q. Paragraph 23. The annotated figure we've been discussing. This was prepared before you were engaged as an expert, right?
- A. There could have been some provisioning of the annotation about the time of, around the time of, prior to, I don't recall specifically.
- Q. But in your opinion the annotation accurately describes what's shown in the figure, right?
 - A. As per my declaration, yes.
- Q. For example, this annotated figure shows an anchoring end of the captive security rod or spike, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Is your understanding that that is what reference 286 refers to?
- A. Correct. So we also use the term ferrule, right. So it's quite common, again, when you've got steel rope which is typically what that lanyard is made out of, it's quite common to



terminate it otherwise it would fray out with something called a ferrule. The ferrule could be shaped into something that we would call an anchoring end or a security rod that would be captive when passed through the computer.

- Q. So 286 is described as a ferrule in the patent, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you refer to it as an anchoring end of the captive security rod or spike, right?
- A. Correct. In its broadest terms I believe that it's common to call the end of that steel rope, the lanyard itself, the end of that could be called a ferrule, like it would be on a bicycle cable, to stop that steel rope from fraying, an anchor, a spike, a security rod.
- Q. And in that annotated figure where is the locking end of the captive security rod?
- A. What do you mean by locking end? In your mind what do you envision as the locking end? The locking end.
 - Q. As used in the patent.
- A. So the locking end would be the end of the captive rod that is inserted into the



1 locking device. The locking end.

2 At the end of 286 where the arrow

3 is pointing?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, not a very good arrow or Α. drawing, but generally there is a shape there that is meant to be engaged by the locking device. if we agree we can reference that as a locking end, yes.

Is that the same as the anchoring Ο. end in this case?

Α. So in this particular case because you have the locking end attached by the steel rope cable to another device it is anchoring the locking device, the laptop to an immovable object.

So in this case the locking end is Ο. the same as the anchoring end?

In this particular embodiment it Α. It does not necessarily have to be though. is.

MR. WEINER: Do you want to take a break now? Go off the record for 10 minutes or so? MR. VODOPIA:

--- Recess at 10:56 a.m.

--- On resuming at 11:13 a.m.

Sure

BY MR. WEINER:

Mr. Mahaffey, I wanted to direct Ο.



your attention to your declaration to paragraph 14.

A. Okay.

- Q. Paragraph 14 states your opinion as to the skill level of a person of ordinary skill in the art pertaining to the '144 and '758 patents, correct?
- A. That actual statement is repeated from somewhere else in the IPR, to be honest. I think it was a challenge to Ryan White's experience to be a POSA, and therefore I think it's recited simply just to show that I meet that same level of requirement.
- Q. Okay. So you agree with that statement in paragraph 14?
- A. Let me read it and then I'll answer the question.
- Yeah, I think we agreed through the IPR process. I don't necessarily disagree with it.
- Q. So your declaration was signed on January 15, 2016, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. At the time your declaration was signed would you consider yourself to have had the skill level of a person of ordinary skill in the art?



A. Yes.

2.1

Q. At that time would you consider yourself to have greater than ordinary skill in the art?

- A. What would be greater -- so I understand what ordinary skill in the art is. What is --
- Q. What I mean is greater than the skill level as described at paragraph 14.
- A. So do I have -- I have at least an undergraduate degree in mechanical engineering.

 And it says, "and about two years of experience designing locking devices for portable." So the actual, you know, two years of designing locks for portable electronic equipment. So you could have a duration in a company like I had at Kensington, but in that duration do I actually have two years of lock design experience? I think yes. The duration is longer, but the relevant design experience is POSA, no more, no less.
- Q. At the time your declaration was signed do you think you had more than two years of experience in designing locking devices for portable electronic equipment?

MR. VODOPIA: Objection. Asked and



1 answered.

THE DEPONENT: So I think I stated that I had a longer than two-year duration at Kensington, but my overall skill level is about two years if you boiled it all down in lock design. So I'm ordinarily skilled in the arts. In the art.

BY MR. WEINER:

- Q. Now I would like to direct your attention to paragraph 18 under the heading of Claim Construction. So paragraph 18 addresses your understanding of the step of claim construction, correct?
 - A. Let me just read it.

Yes.

- Q. Can you describe your understanding of the process that should be used for determining how terms on a patent claim should be construed?
- A. Sure. So I do understand that through the process when you actually litigate intellectual property a big portion of that is spent construing terms, right. So I know that, again, and we've run into this issue several times today about what we commonly colloquially call semantics. So I understand that what we're trying



to do here is provide each of the terms as used their broadest most common meaning and that's where I bring in that skill and I try to provide my opinion on what the broadest customary meaning of a particular term is. I do not try to use the term as is being used in any of the prior art as cited. I don't import that term into the specific analysis as provided.

- Q. What evidence should be used to determine the construction of a claim term?
- A. What evidence? So are we talking now about intellectual property in general?
- Q. Well, I'm talking specifically about interpreting patent claims, and I'm asking what's your understanding of what evidence should be considered in order to construe a claim term?

A. A claim term?

- So, again, I'm not a lawyer. So I am seeking some clarification here about the specifics. So can you just help me understand a bit about the question itself?
- Q. Well, let me restate it and maybe that evidence term is kind of confusing the issue.
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. If you're interpreting -- if



you're construing a patent claim term what information do you think should be considered?

A. So I think you need to consider, again, when I look at it I look at the customary meaning. So one way I do that is to use a well-known English reference, such as Webster's. What is the meaning of the word? Right. And then also within the industry itself because we work in technology jargon is quite commonplace. Is there common references to a particular piece of technology, an invention, an apparatus, and is that language -- would it be specific to my own use thereof, or would my other colleagues who would also meet the requirement of POSA would they agree that that term would be commonly used.

- Q. How did you determine that the phrase captive security rod needed to be construed?
- A. How did I determine that the term captive security rod needed to be construed?
 - Q. Right.
 - A. Can you just repeat that to me?
 MR. VODOPIA: Object to form.

THE DEPONENT: So I understand through the IPR that that particular term, right, we needed to -- we needed to discuss the meaning of it,



2.1

because it had relevance to what date we would assign the Think Products intellectual property, the file patents, when was it disclosed by Think Products.

BY MR. WEINER:

- Q. Did you consider whether any other claim language other than captive security rod needed to be construed?
- A. I believe by that preliminary decision that was the term that the judges had looked at and said this is the one that we specifically needed to focus on. So there was no need for me to try and construe others.
- Q. In determining the construction of the term captive security rod what information did you consider?
- A. It would be similar to that process I discussed. I would have looked at the common definition of the term. So we've got three words there: Captive, security, rod. I would start with breaking it down into common meaning of captive, common meaning of security, common meaning of rod. I would take a look at in the design of locks, the world of locks, do we reference, do we use this term? Does it have that technical



commonality amongst my peer group. So those would be the two starting points.

- Q. And beyond the starting points was there anything else that you relied on to determine the construction?
- A. So I would also rely on my own experience, right. Would I as a person ordinarily skilled in the art, if someone said to me this collection of terms, these words, what is it that comes to mind? Is it clear to me what an embodiment could be when those terms are used? So I use my technical expertise there.
- Q. Other than that, anything else you relied on to determine the construction?
- A. The construction of the term itself?
 - O. Yes.
- A. There may be others, but, again, today to the best of my recollection.
 - Q. Nothing you can recall right now?
 - A. Not right now.
- Q. I direct your attention to paragraph 26. Paragraph 26 states:
 - "Spike 285, ferrule 286 and captive security rod 291 all may be



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

1 said to be 'captive security rods', 2 once fixed in a locked state." 3 Did I read that correctly? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Would you agree that before 6 they're fixed in a locked state the spike 285, ferrule 286 and captive security rod 291 are not captive security rods? By the definition of the word "captive" they would just be security rods. 10 11 I have some questions for you Ο. 12 about McDaid. Exhibit 1008. I have a copy of that 13 if you need to look at it. 14 Α. Please. 15 Thank you. 16 You recognize Exhibit 1008? Ο. 17 Α. Yes, I do. 18 And that's McDaid, one of the Ο. 19 prior art references that you considered for 20 preparing your declaration? 2.1 That's correct. Α. 22 Would you agree that there are two Ο. 23 embodiments of a cable lock device disclosed in 24 McDaid? 25 Α. Can you describe how you came up



1 with two or describe the two? 2 Well, let me do this, if you could 3 take a look at your declaration in paragraph 38. 4 So let me just read that. So paragraph 5 38 says: 6 "The second embodiment 7 disclosed in McDaid is a 'fail safe', utilizing the 'peaks and valleys' present on the base of the 10 captive rod or anchor 20 to prevent rotation." 11 12 Did I read that correctly? 13 Correct. So there are two Α. 14 embodiments of the captive security rod anchor 15 disclosed in McDaid. 16 So you agree with that, there are two embodiments disclosed? 17 18 Of? Α. 19 Ο. Of a cable lock device? 20 Α. No. I disagree. 2.1 Can you describe what you meant by Ο. 22 -- what are the two embodiments? 23 Let's reference -- this is Exhibit 24 So the two embodiments that are disclosed 25 that are referenced in that declaration were figure



1 2.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. And you're referring to figure 2

of exhibit --

A. Let's use the language we agreed to early on today. Figure 2 is an embodiment of a knob.

Q. Okay.

A. And then I would say that figure 5 would be the second embodiment of a knob disclosed within the McDaid patent.

Q. Okay. So figure 5 is a cross-section of a knob, right?

A. Correct. But in reference to figure 4. Figure 4 and 5, right. And we've got -- so in general. Figure 2 is a general embodiment of one type of knob, and figure 4 and the cross-section figure 5 would be the second.

Q. So figure 4 and 5 --

A. And I'm not being exhaustive there either, because there's 6 and 7 as well.

Q. Would you agree that figure 4 and 5 both depict different views of the same embodiment of a knob?

A. I believe that's the case. I believe that figure 5 shows a cross-section of a



portion. So figure 4 is also not a complete piece.

I believe it's been sectioned.

Can I show you where?

- Q. Okay. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?
- A. Okay. So figure 4 in clarity in McDaid they've shown the base of a knob, but they've -- for clarification in the description of this functionality that's labelled they've essentially just put a cross-section somewhere through, and it looks like they've just basically made a generalization. So they've taken and drawn a section this way. So that's what you see there. So that would be a view, right. Does it make sense?
- Q. I think it does. Let me see if I can clarify my understanding. Are you saying that figure 5 also shows an additional part of the device that's not shown in figure 4?
- A. I believe figure 5 is the complete installed knob, right, cross-sectioned vertically, and I believe figure 4 to provide some clarification shows the details around the base, right, and the base if, again, reference to figure 5, are drawn in basically a datum plane with



arrows. So if you cut this top part off, like this, and you went back to an isometric view you would see figure 4.

- Q. I see. And just so the record is clear I think what you're indicating is figure 5 also includes the anchoring portion of the knob, the part that attaches it to the housing or the laptop, right?
- A. It's more a nuance than that,
 Michael. So what it is is let's agree that figure
 5 is the installed complete knob, right,
 cross-sectioned vertically. Figure 4 to provide
 some clarification of the second embodiment which
 is the second fail safe with the scallops at the
 base. Essentially just so that you understand that
 this is not a complete knob they've basically taken
 figure 5 where I've drawn this datum plane in and
 they've just removed that from the top.
- Q. Figure 4 you referred to I think the term scallops is what you used?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. Okay. In your declaration I think you referred to that as a peaks and valleys design; is that correct?
 - A. And I believe that is actually



1 some of the language that was referenced in McDaid. 2 Peaks and valleys was used in Ο. 3 McDaid? 4 Α. Correct. 5 And in this embodiment shown in Ο. figure 4 and 5 the peaks and valleys in the base 6 7 mate with the corresponding opening shown in figure 8, for example? 8 Α. So show me in figure 8 where you think the peaks and valleys --10 11 Ο. All the way at the top of figure 12 I think the reference numbers are 134 and 136. 8. 13 Α. That's correct. 14 So would you agree those are the 15 mating surfaces that mate with the peaks and 16 valleys on figure 4? 17 Α. Correct. For that one embodiment, 18 correct. 19 And would you agree those peaks Ο. 20 and valleys are designed to prevent rotation of the 21 locking head relative to the knob? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And you said before the first embodiment is shown in figure 2? 24 25 Α. Correct.



1 And in figure 2 the anchor has a Ο. 2 rounded external surface, right? 3 Α. Correct. 4 Ο. And there are no peaks and valleys 5 in that anchor in figure 2? 6 Α. Correct. 7 And would you agree that the knob Ο. 8 in figure 2 is designed to mate with a round 9 opening and a lock head? 10 From what's shown in figure 2 without that same specific level of detail like we 11 12 see in 4, a cross-section, that would appear to be 13 the design intent, yes. 14 And that's your understanding of 15 the first embodiment with this rounded surface? 16 Α. Correct. 17 But I think then that's -- figure 3, 18 the cross-section of figure 2, is also an important 19 detail. So figure 3 is a cross-section. 20 doesn't have any of the -- it differs quite 21 significantly in the cross-section shown in figure 22 5. 23 Okay. So figure 3 in contrast to Ο. 24 figure 5 also doesn't show any peaks and valleys?



Α.

25

Correct.

1 Now in paragraph 38 that I read Ο. 2 before you refer to the peaks and valleys of the 3 base of the captive security rod, right? 4 Α. For that second embodiment, that's 5 correct, yes. 6 And the words peaks and valleys Ο. 7 are in quotes in that paragraph, right? 8 Α. Correct, yes. 9 And is that because those terms Ο. are quoted from McDaid? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Now in paragraph 38 you state that 13 the second embodiment is fail safe, right? 14 Α. Correct. 15 And the words fail safe are in 0. 16 quotes in paragraph 38? 17 Α. Correct. 18 But McDaid does not use the term Ο. 19 fail safe, does it? 20 Α. It does not, no. 21 By putting fail safe in quotes Ο. were you trying to mislead the patent office to 22 23 think that those words were in the patent? 24 No, that was not the intent. Α. Ιt 25 was to say fail safe. It could have been



1 Again, it may be -- it was just meant italicized. to say in colloquial English fail safe. 3 You were emphasizing it, but you 4 didn't mean that it was quoted from --5 Α. It was meant to be an emphasis, 6 correct. 7 Okay. But peaks and valleys, for Ο. 8 that phrase you use the quotes to indicate that it 9 was quoted from the patent, right? 10 I believe so. Α. Okay. I've got another exhibit to 11 Ο. 12 ask you about. 13 Α. Sure. 14 Murray? 15 Yes. Murray, what's been marked Ο. 16 as Exhibit 1019. 17 Do you recognize Exhibit 1019? 18 I've just seen this today. Α. No. 19 MR. VODOPIA: Objection. Outside the 20 scope of the expert's testimony. 21 BY MR. WEINER: 22 Could you repeat your answer, 23 please? I asked if you recognize Murray? 24 So do I recognize the name Murray? Α. 25 Do I recognize that particular piece of IP by



Murray?

MR. SCHINDLER: It's also an objection it's outside the scope of the grounds that we instituted for IPR proceedings, since Murray was not implied in any potential rejection in validity of claims.

THE DEPONENT: It's not what I was retained to look at.

BY MR. WEINER:

- Q. In connection with preparing your declaration you didn't review Exhibit 1019; is that right?
- A. It's similar to the ClickSafe discussion. It wasn't required to review. It wasn't cited as prior art.
- Q. It wasn't cited as prior art in the IPR?
- A. Correct. And my understanding was that through the IPR process coming down this far in the process I only had to look at the referenced prior art that ACCO Brands or yourself introduced in the process. So all the rest of this from my perspective was out of scope.
- Q. In preparing your declaration did you review the file histories of the '758 and '144



1	patents?				
2	A. The complete file history?				
3	Q. Yes.				
4	A. I'm aware of the file history.				
5	Did I go back and read all of the file history?				
6	Not to the best of my recollection.				
7	Q. Did you read any of the file				
8	history?				
9	A. At some point I must have. I did				
10	review some of it, yes.				
11	Q. You're saying at some point you				
12	reviewed it since you were retained in late 2015?				
13	A. I believe so. I mean, again, I				
14	said that earlier on I'll provide you with a				
15	complete list. We went through what I had				
16	available today and what I could recall. I'm quite				
17	happy to provide a list of all the documents that I				
18	did review.				
19	MR. VODOPIA: I just want to object to				
20	form with respect to the term file history.				
21	BY MR. WEINER:				
22	Q. Do you know if the examiner				
23	reviewing the '758 and '144 patents relied on				
24	Murray in rejecting any of the pending claims?				
25	MR. SCHINDLER: Objection. This is an				



1	improper attempt to introduce new ground for
2	potential validity in the IPRs.
3	THE DEPONENT: Could you repeat the
4	question, please?
5	MR. WEINER: Could you read that back,
6	please?
7	(Last question read back)
8	THE DEPONENT: I cannot recall. I'm
9	not sure what the patent review office statement
10	regarding Murray in the patents in question may
11	have been.
12	BY MR. WEINER:
13	Q. What did you do to prepare for
14	today's deposition?
15	MR. VODOPIA: Objection. Asked and
16	answered.
17	THE DEPONENT: I believe we've covered
18	that.
19	BY MR. WEINER:
20	Q. You're referring to the documents
21	that you referred to before as having been
22	reviewed?
23	A. So I think we spoke about
24	preparing myself by reviewing my report and the
25	documents that were made available to me, those are



the ones that I did review in preparation for the 1 2 deposition, and then the meetings that I had with 3 the attorneys. 4 Okay. And you met with counsel to Ο. 5 prepare for the deposition? 6 Α. Yes. 7 When did you meet with them? Ο. 8 Α. I had breakfast with them today. 9 Prior to today did you meet with Ο. 10 counsel to prepare for today's deposition? 11 Α. Yes, I had. 12 And about how much time did you 13 spend meeting with counsel in advance of today? 14 Roughly two and a half hours, 15 three hours. 16 Let's go off the record. MR. WEINER: 17 --- Off-the-record discussion 18 --- Recess at 11:38 a.m. 19 --- On resuming at 11:45 a.m. 20 MR. WEINER: We have no further 21 questions. Thank you, Mr. Mahaffey. 22 THE DEPONENT: Thank you. 23 MR. SCHINDLER: We'll take a 24 five-minute break. 25 MR. WEINER: Okay.



1	Recess at 11:45 a.m.			
2	On resuming at 11:51 a.m.			
3	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR. VODOPIA:			
4	Q. So we have just a few questions.			
5	Rob, I just want to bring your			
6	attention back to figure 2 of McDaid. I want to			
7	know is it your understanding that the knob in			
8	figure 2 is intended to rotate after being locked			
9	in place?			
10	A. So I don't believe it is intended			
11	the lock to rotate around a knob of this design.			
12	And I think it's made more explicitly clear by			
13	figure 3.			
14	Q. Can it rotate?			
15	A. I believe that it would have			
16	difficulty rotating. It's not designed. The			
17	McDaid lock itself is what I describe as an axial			
18	clamp. So in figure 3 if you look at it, it's			
19	actually not annotated, but you have these very			
20	square cutouts in the cross-section. I believe by			
21	design and let's refer to figure 8.			
22	So figure 8 you've got three ball			
23	bearings annotated with No. 140, and then you have			
24	figure 9 this cone-shaped piece with a groove. The			



intention of the groove and as this device is drawn

up by the locking mechanism into this lock these

- three ball bearings are actually driven out, or in
- this case they'll clamp radially on that figure 3
- 4 knob. It would have a difficult time to rotate.
- Figure 3 was not designed to be a knob around which
- 6 a locking head should or would rotate.
- 7 Q. Good. In the earlier part of your
- 8 direct testimony by Mr. Weiner do you recall
- 9 handing him a document?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And do you recall whether or not
- 12 Mr. Weiner looked at the document?
- A. Yes, he did.
- 0. And was it after Mr. Weiner
- reviewed the document that he objected to its being
- 16 put into the record?
- A. Yes.
- Q. We would like to put that document
- in the record now.
- MR. WEINER: Objection. Outside the
- scope of the cross-exam.
- MR. VODOPIA: You use the IPR exhibit
- numbers, I guess this is just Exhibit A of today,
- yeah? Please mark it Exhibit A.
- MR. WEINER: We disagree that it should



be in the record, but if you want to label it that way.

MR. VODOPIA: Exhibit A. Does that work? That works. Patent Owner Exhibit A.

EXHIBIT NO. A: Simplified Explanation of Findings Provided in the IPR.

Prepared by Rob Mahaffey in Support of Findings in IPR. Last updated

03/17/2016.

BY MR. VODOPIA:

- Q. Rob, can you tell us for the record what that is, that document?
- A. So this would be the report that I compiled that my own words is the simplification, so more lay terms to describe my declaration, how I came to my findings, my opinion in the declaration itself.
- Q. And did you prepare it in preparation of today for this deposition?
- A. I finalized it in preparation for today, but it captures my method, my process, my analysis of the cited art in order for me to collect my thoughts and be able to present my expert witness testimony today.
 - Q. Has your testimony in your



1 declaration did it concern any product of ACCO 2 Brands or Kensington? 3 No, it did not. 4 Did you provide any opinion as to Ο. 5 infringement of any ACCO or Kensington products? 6 No, I did not. 7 Have you disclosed to either Think 8 Products or counsel for Think Products any confidential information? 10 No, I have not. 11 MR. SCHINDLER: I'd like to ask a 12 question. Did you give an opinion as to the 13 validity or invalidity of any patent or patent 14 applications owned or assigned to ACCO Brands or 15 Kensington? 16 THE DEPONENT: No, I did not. 17 MR. VODOPIA: No more questions. 18 MR. WEINER: I have some re-cross. 19 RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WEINER: 20 Referring to McDaid, in your Ο. 21 re-direct testimony did you say that McDaid has an 22 axial lock mechanism? 23 So McDaid -- and, again, I will 24 reference this new Exhibit A from today, right. 25 I don't have any questions for you O.



about Exhibit A. I have a question for you about McDaid that was of record. Are you saying that McDaid has an axial lock?

- A. McDaid is what we call a radial lock, as described. I described quite clearly how the three ball bearings would actually clamp onto the knob itself.
- Q. Maybe I misunderstood your testimony. I thought you said that McDaid has an axial?
- A. I might have misspoke. That might be on record and that is incorrect. I've spoken about the two portions of the lock. The critical part of McDaid, the engagement lock itself is a radial style clamp as described, the ball bearings being drawn onto that knob itself.
- Q. And your understanding in the first embodiment of McDaid the lock head cannot turn relative to the knob, right?
- A. As stated, that embodiment without the peaks and the valleys it would, from my design perspective it would be difficult for the lock head to rotate around that particular embodiment.
- Q. But McDaid itself doesn't say that, does it?



A. It teaches a way from rotation in the patent itself.

- Q. Well, it teaches two embodiments, right?
- A. It teaches two embodiments, but it teaches a way -- from a lock design perspective, the fact that you have a rotating cable ring is the only way for the cable ring not to get twisted up when trying to turn the lock on, implies that this lock head as shown in figure 1 does not rotate. So either of the embodiments of the knob is used with this design of locking device.

MR. SCHINDLER: Explain what you're pointing to.

THE DEPONENT: Sorry. So on this locking device you've got a free rotating -- this is a cable ring, commonly called a cable ring that attaches to the lanyard. When this is all fixed to an immovable object, if you had to pick that lock head up off the desk to put it into that final step and you try to twist it without any freedom of rotation you wouldn't be able to. The way McDaid enables rotation is always through the cable ring. They show one embodiment of the locking device, two embodiments of the knob, neither of which, in my



opinion, allow rotation of this part of the lock around the knob itself.

BY MR. WEINER:

Q. But your understanding in the McDaid design the inventors wanted the cable to be allowed to turn relative to the knob, right?

A. They have to. The cable has to. If you've got a steel rope attached to a device and you have no degree of freedom, no rotation, it would be very awkward to twist the lock head to attach to a laptop. And if you managed to get it, laptops being light you would now have this tension in the cable that would have a twisting effect on the device you're trying to lock. So you have to have some way in your locking mechanism, some degree of freedom, some rotation, and McDaid says it comes from the cable ring, the attachment of the lanyard to the locking device itself.

MR. WEINER: No further questions.

MR. SCHINDLER: That is it. Thank you.

MR. WEINER: Thank you.

--Whereupon the proceedings adjourned at 12:00 p.m.



1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, CONNIE A. HOLTON, CSR, Certified
4	Shorthand Reporter, certify;
5	That the foregoing proceedings were
6	taken before me at the time and place therein set
7	forth, at which time the witness was put under oath
8	by me;
9	That the testimony of the witness and
10	all objections made at the time of the examination
11	were recorded stenographically by me and were
12	thereafter transcribed;
13	That the foregoing is a true and
14	correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.
15	
16	Dated this 22nd day of March 2016.
17	Som d/00/
18	Charte Se
19	
20	Per: Connie A. Holton, CSR
21	Neeson Court Reporting Inc.
22	
23	
24	
25	



INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS

Read your deposition over carefully.

It is your right to read your deposition and make changes in form or substance. You should assign a reason in the appropriate column on the erratum sheet for any change made.

After making any changes in form or substance, and which have been noted on the following erratum sheet, along with the reason for any change, sign your name on the erratum sheet and date it.

Then sign your deposition at the end of your testimony in the space provided. You are signing it subject to the changes you have made in the erratum sheet, which will be attached to the deposition before filing. You must sign it in front of a witness. The witness need not be a notary public. Any competent adult may witness your signature.

Return the original erratum sheet promptly. Court rules require filing within 30 days after you receive the deposition.



	1		
1		* * :	* ERRATA SHEET ***
2			
3	NAME (OF CASE: ACC	O BRANDS V. Think Products
4	DATE (F DEPOSITION	: MARCH 21, 2016
5	NAME (F WITNESS:	ROBERT MAHAFFEY
6			
7	PAGE	LINE	FROM TO
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			ROBERT MAHAFFEY
25			



1	PROVINCE OF ONTARIO)				
2	TORONTO REGION)				
3					
4					
5					
6	I, the undersigned, declare under				
7	penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing				
8	transcript, and I have made any corrections,				
9	additions or deletions that I was desirous of				
10	making;				
11	That the foregoing is a true and				
12	correct transcript of my testimony contained				
13	therein.				
14					
15					
16	ROBERT MAHAFFEY				
17					
18	Subscribed and sworn to before me this				
19	day of, 2016 at				
20					
21	(City) (Province)				
22					
23					
24	(Notary Public)				
25	My Commission Expires:				



WORD INDEX

<\$> \$37,500 12:24 \$375 12:13 \$375.00 12:12, 19

<0>
01168 39:15
03 3:19 115:9

<1>
1 60:19 118:10

10 41:14 57:15
68:25 69:4 73:2
92:20

10:56 a.m 92:22
100 12:7, 9, 12, 21
86:1
1008 100:12, 16

101:24 **1013** 60:16 61:10 87:12

1014 23:17, 20 30:7, 9, 14, 25 31:1 32:18 36:23, 25 37:15 39:3 41:5 43:1, 2 44:12, 19, 23, 25 45:12 46:7, 10, 16 47:8 52:14 53:10 58:18 61:11 68:21 71:1 75:5, 15 87:2, 8

1019 108:16, 17 109:11

10K 29:*15*, 2*1* **11** 47:*8*, 2*3* 48:*1*

50:7, 21 51:23 53:17 54:24

54:*24*

11:13 a.m 92:*23* **11:38 a.m** 112:*18*

11:45 a.m 112:*19*

113:*1*

11:51 a.m 113:2

113 3:*10*

114 6:9

115 3:16

116 3:*11*

1167 80:20

1168 80:21

11743 2:22

12 50:7

12:00 p.m 119:22

128 2:23

13 34:1, 6, 8

134 105:*12* **136** 105:*12*

14 45:*13* 93:*1*, *3*, *14*

94:9

140 113:23

144 6:*10* 93:*5* 109:*25* 110:*23*

15 93:20

16 6:*3*

17 3:19 115:9

18 95:9, 10

191 2:21

1J4 2:4

< 2 >

2 102:1, 2, 5, 15 105:24 106:1, 5, 8, 10,

18 113:6, 8 **20** 101:10

2003 13:*16*

2005 16:*10*, *19*

2006 17:*14*, *16* **2007** 17:*14*, *16*

2007 17:14, 1 **2009** 15:19

2010 15.19 **2010** 16:6, 8

2011 15:23 18:3

87:15

2015 8:7, 14, 22 11:18 31:13 33:21

34:22 110:*12*

2015-01167 33:*15*

34:9 39:15

2015-01168 33:21

34:16 35:14

2015-1167 5:21 23:20 **2016** 2:5 3:19 9:8,

13 34:25 93:20

115:9 120:*16* 122:*4* 123:*1*9

21 31:*12* 122:*4*

21st 2:4

22nd 120:*16*

23 88:2, 25 90:5

233 2:*14*

26 99:23, 23

285 99:24 100:6

286 90:2*1* 91:6 92:2 99:24 100:7

28C 88:6

291 99:25 100:7

<3>

3 67:23 106:17, 19, 23 113:13, 18 114:3, 5 **30** 121:22

312-474-9560 2:*16* **360** 79:*18*, *21*

37 34:*15*

375 12:*1*, *2*

38 101:3, 5 107:1, 12, 16

<4>

4 3:9 70:25 71:3 102:14, 14, 16, 18, 21 103:1, 6, 19, 22 104:3, 12, 19 105:6, 16

106:12

42-107 34:*15*, *15*

44 60:*19* **48772** 67:*23*

< 5 >

5 5:20 31:4, 6, 15, 17 32:15 80:13, 17, 20 102:8, 11, 14, 17, 18, 22, 25 103:18, 20, 25

104:5, 11, 17 105:6 106:22, 24

50 86:*10*, *12*

54 61:6

< 6 >

6 6:*14* 61:*19* 80:*13*, 2*1* 88:*5* 102:*20*

60606-6357 2:*15* **6300** 2:*14*

631-673-7555 2:23

<7>

7 61:20 102:20 **758** 6:20, 21 93:5

109:25 110:23

77 67:23 **7th** 27:16

< 8 >

8 105:8, 9, 12 113:21,

22 **8,717,758** 1:5 6:*15*

8,837,144 1:16 6:8 8:37 a.m 4:1

<9>

9 113:24

9:22 a.m 39:8

9:45 a.m 39:9

90 71:*12*

< A >

abide 26:22 27:3

28:*5* **ability** 5:*10* 10:*20*

11:5 65:6

absence 18:10 absolutely 48:20

accent 85:23, 23

ACCO 1:7, 8, 18, 19 4:7 16:9, 13, 15, 19

17:6 18:2, 7, 13, 16, 18 19:2, 11 24:9

25:25 26:13 27:6

28:*11* 29:*15*, *19* 30:*3* 31:*10*, 25 41:*11* 45:2,

17 82:8, 11, 14, 16, 18 83:22 84:13 87:15

109:2*1* 116:*1*, *5*, *14* 122:*3*

ACCO's 20:*13* 29:*6*, *12*, *23*

accurately 64:12, 17 90:13

achievements 82:11 act 68:4

action 51:19 52:4

54:20 65:13 77:2 **actions** 86:16

activate 46:18 73:6,

10, 12 active 84:19 actively 84:14

actual 56:13 85:4

88:20 93:7 94:14 **adaptor** 76:16 **added** 46:5 additional 35:1 44:16 103:18 additions 123:9 address 25:7 58:1 86:13 addresses 95:10 adjourned 119:22 administration 15:21 administrative 34:7, 9 **adult** 121:19 advance 62:25 63:1 112:13 **advise** 10:1 advised 9:19 affidavit 35:21 85:16 Affirmed 4:2 **after** 5:15 9:7 13:3 17:9, 16 18:12 61:9 79:1, 12, 22 80:23 81:4, 13, 18 113:8 114:14 121:8, 23 afternoon 39:12 **ago** 30:8 **agree** 6:13 43:8 46:9, 15 47:1, 20 50:21 51:2 53:22 55:3 56:16, 18, 21 57:10 58:19, 22 59:1, 5, 24 60:4, 11 61:10 62:1 63:23, 25 65:23 70:1 71:23 73:22 74:8 77:7, 9, 10, 12 78:25 79:12, 22 92:7 93:13 97:14 100:5, *22* 101:*16* 102:*21* 104:10 105:14, 19 106:7 **agreed** 24:20 75:6 93:17 102:4 agreed-to 89:14 agreeing 47:25 agreement 25:25 26:1, 12, 17 27:13, 17 **ahead** 39:1 51:9 aligned 71:9 aligning 20:6

allegation 42:10 allegedly 42:1 **Allen** 3:2 8:3, 5, 14, 18, 22 9:15 70:21, 22 71:25 72:5 **allow** 44:18 119:1 **allowed** 67:18 119:6 **allows** 10:9 Americas 4:18 **amiss** 87:8 analysis 75:22 96:7 115:22 anchor 50:6 53:17, 19, 21, 22 54:14, 14, 16, 19 55:14, 20 91:17 101:10, 14 106:*1*, *5* Anchoring 88:15 90:17 91:4, 10 92:9, 13, 16 104:6 anecdotal 85:22 **angle** 56:25 71:18 **annotate** 89:15 annotated 87:23 88:24 89:17 90:5, 16 91:18 113:19, 23 **annotation** 90:9, 12 annotations 46:6 88:17 answered 36:23 95:1 111:16 answering 15:14 38:7 51:8 answers 5:2 44:14 64:14 68:7 anybody 27:7 apparatus 97:11 **APPEAL** 1:2 33:23 39:11 67:15 68:11 **appear** 77:19 106:12 **appears** 57:12 61:11 62:3 71:5, 10 90:2 application 85:5 applications 54:8 83:25 84:23 85:8 116:14 **applied** 13:16 **appropriate** 41:17, 21

86:19 121:6

argumentative 65:4 **arrow** 55:12 92:2, 4 **arrows** 104:1 art 8:1 22:18 36:13 43:7 48:18 74:22 77:5, 19, 24 80:24 86:18, 21 89:5 90:1 93:5, 25 94:4, 6 95:6 96:6 99:8 100:19 109:15, 16, 21 115:22 arts 95:6 asked 26:4 41:3 46:23 53:12 63:22 64:4 79:21 82:8, 17, 21 86:20 87:1, 11, 20, 22 94:25 108:23 111:15 asking 7:20 21:10, 14, 15, 17, 19 25:5 30:24 40:9 42:25 44:23 48:17 49:21 50:9, 12, 20, 23 51:14, 21 52:3, 13 53:8, 22 54:13 58:20 64:6, 23 65:22 74:6 77:23 79:8, 17 96:14 assess 23:3 assessing 20:5 assign 98:2 121:5 **assigned** 116:14 assist 14:1 34:17 **Associate** 16:20, 21 17:9 **assume** 49:7 52:6 attach 77:1 78:18 119:11 **attached** 50:6 53:17 55:2, 4, 13, 25 56:5, 16 57:11 70:11 71:24 72:20, 22 79:17 92:12 119:8 121:16 attaches 56:13 104:7 118:18 attachment 119:17 **attain** 82:19 **attempt** 51:25 111:1 attention 26:25 31:3 93:1 95:9 99:22 113:6

Attorney 2:11, 12, 19, 20 ATTORNEYS 2:10. *18* 112:*3* autonomy 21:8 **available** 9:16, 19 10:2, 8, 10, 18 45:24 58:4, 8 70:22 80:25 81:1 84:3 89:6 110:16 111:25 **Avenue** 2:21 avoid 25:22 **award** 15:24 16:5 **aware** 11:8, 13, 17 26:19, 20 27:5, 21 28:23 82:12 110:4 **awkward** 119:10 axial 73:23 74:7, 9, 15, 21, 23 75:5, 7, 10, 20 76:1, 1, 4, 7, 11 77:7, 10, 12, 14 78:7, 8 113:17 116:22 117:3, 10 axis 79:19 80:10

< B > back 14:19 15:5 24:15, 17 30:7, 22 32:12 33:7 36:22 46:20, 21 59:17, 18 60:23 68:13, 15 69:20 73:8, 25 74:5 78:12, 13 79:4, 5 81:25 84:8 85:3 104:2 110:5 111:5, 7 113:6 **background** 13:3, 12, 13 59:14 63:10 **ball** 113:22 114:2 117:6, *15* **base** 101:9 103:7, 23, 24 104:15 105:6 107:3 **based** 12:17 42:6, 10 52:25 63:9 **basically** 13:20 26:5 103:11, 25 104:16 **basis** 41:15 **bearings** 113:23



114:2 117:6, 15 **began** 16:9 19:3 **believe** 6:17 7:24 8:8 17:13, 18 18:20 27:16 34:19, 24 40:16 43:22 44:14 73:1 80:9 87:16 88:22 89:2*3* 91:*13* 98:9 102:24, 25 103:2, 20, 22 104:25 108:10 110:13 111:17 113:10, 15, 20 **best** 5:12 9:2, 14 32:11 55:15 63:12, 18 64:8 65:5 99:19 110:6 **bicycle** 91:16 **big** 95:21 **bit** 37:5 46:25 51:12 54:23 57:17 96:21 black 56:14 **Blue** 2:*3* **BOARD** 1:2 10:12, 13 33:23 38:20, 25 39:6, 11 41:18 67:15 68:3, 11 73:1 **boiled** 95:5 **Borun** 2:13 Bostonian 85:23 **bother** 67:15 68:10 **bottom** 6:3 88:4 boxes 56:14 **BRANDS** 1:7, 8, 18, 19 4:7 41:11 109:21 116:2, *14* 122:*3* break 38:4 39:7 44:23 46:22, 25 47:5 59:3 92:20 112:24 breakfast 112:8 **breaking** 98:21 **brief** 28:24 **briefly** 7:22 13:2, 12 62:7 80:14 bring 26:24 96:3 113:5 **British** 4:13 13:15 15:22 **broadest** 91:12 96:2, 4

brought 32:12 **building** 48:16 **bunch** 47:3 50:22 52:7 business 15:20, 23, 25 16:3, 3, 4, 23, 24 17:21, 23 18:6, 21, 22, 24 19:16, 17 21:11 23:9 53:23 82:16 < C > cable 37:7 53:1, 2 91:16 92:13 100:23 101:19 118:7, 8, 17, *17*, *23* 119:5, *7*, *13*, *17* **CAD** 22:7 **calendar** 8:9 9:9 **call** 38:5 39:10 40:3 54:15 55:16, 22, 24 91:3, 13 95:24 117:4 called 4:17 23:6 55:19 75:15 91:2, 15 118:17 calling 52:22 **Canada** 2:4 11:5 capability 20:10 captive 88:15 90:17 91:5, 10, 19, 25 97:17, 19 98:7, 15, 20, 22 99:25 100:1, 7, 8, 10 101:10, 14 107:3 **captures** 115:21 care 22:6 careful 37:5 45:5 47:14, 16 53:20 54:21 57:19 carefully 121:3 **CASE** 1:4. 15 5:17 10:22 24:24 25:18 27:15 33:21 34:9 64:8 65:13 89:22 92:10, 11, 15 102:24 114:3 122:3

cases 10:7, 25 35:18

CERTIFICATE 120:1

caught 64:9

certainly 41:24

Certified 120:3

certify 120:4

CFR 34:15 challenge 93:9 change 12:17 17:5, *15* 87:*10* 121:*7*, *11* **changes** 121:5, 8, 15 **check** 13:14 30:22 Chen 22:19 24:25 36:15 77:5, 7, 9, 10, 13 78:2, 6, 23 Chicago 2:15 choose 32:4 circumvented 42:9 **cite** 43:9 cited 36:12 42:8 43:2, 6 74:22 80:24 86:18, 21 89:5, 15 96:6 109:15, 16 115:22 City 123:21 **civil** 40:11 **claim** 85:2 95:10, 11, *17* 96:*10*, *16*, *17* 97:*1* 98:7 **claims** 96:14 109:6 110:24 **clamp** 113:18 114:3 117:6, *15* clarification 23:18 50:9 55:10 96:19 103:8, 23 104:13 **clarify** 7:11 19:25 20:17, 20 21:10, 14, 22 30:21 32:14 36:24 51:6 52:21 54:5 63:4 89:7 103:17 **clarity** 103:6 clear 20:16 74:20 99:10 104:5 113:12 **clearly** 117:5 click 59:2 **clicking** 69:19 ClickSafe 20:13 21:20 22:13, 16, 20 23:6, 15 24:3, 13 25:1.1 37:16 38:16 39:5 42:8 44:25 45:6, 6 46:2, 10 49:22 50:4, 10, 11 51:15 52:13, 15, 18,

19 53:6, 9, 17 68:20 75:4 76:1 77:14 78:2, 3, 4, 8 79:1, 12, 18, 22 83:10, 14 84:7, *15* 87:2 109:*13* click-to-lock 46:16 **client** 80:25 **close** 64:7 73:3 **close-up** 47:10 **close-ups** 61:20 **coach** 67:8 coached 64:2 **coaching** 63:10 67:9 68:2 collaborative 21:25 collateral 45:21 colleagues 97:13 **collect** 115:23 collection 99:9 colloquial 53:21 108:2 colloquially 95:24 **colours** 53:*1* **Columbia** 4:14 13:16 15:22 **column** 121:6 **come** 7:8, 12 50:23 52:2 62:1 85:3 comes 99:10 119:17 comfortable 24:2, 22 51:8 57:23 coming 20:2 21:20 22:1 23:4 49:24 56:24 109:19 commencing 4:1 comments 89:25 Commission 123:25 **common** 27:22 53:21 90:23, 25 91:13 96:2 97:10 98:19, 21, 22, 22 commonality 99:1 **commonly** 75:15 95:24 97:15 118:17 commonplace 97:9 company 4:17 21:17 94:16 compensated 11:21 **competent** 121:*19* **compiled** 115:*14*



complete 32:10 35:24 59:22 103:1, 20 104:11, 16 110:2, 15 completed 5:16 15:20, 22 16:2, 3 completely 5:11 complex 47:2 complexity 12:17 47:*1* complicated 20:24 21:23 computer 17:24 20:14 29:19 30:12 45:7 46:13, 15 48:19, 24, 25 49:3 52:10 53:13, 14 60:7, 15 62:20, 23 65:22 91:5 computers 45:24 **concern** 116:*1* concerning 8:22 **concise** 68:9 74:20 **concisely** 67:11, 24 conclude 51:18 conclusion 50:23 **conduct** 39:16 cone-shaped 113:24 conference 40:3 confidence 22:25 25:13 confidential 24:8, 12, 21 25:5, 16, 21, 25 26:6, 13 27:3, 18 28:4, 12 30:2 38:15 57:25 58:2, 7 64:10 84:10 116:9 confidentiality 24:9 26:16, 25 27:6, 13, 17 **confirm** 45:15 confusing 96:23 connect 23:6 connected 39:10 **connection** 5:5, 23 6:6 7:21 8:6 32:19 35:6 42:23 44:10 54:4 87:19 109:10 **CONNIE** 2:7 120:3, 20 connotations 54:18

consider 84:18 93:23 94:2 97:3 98:6, 16 considered 84:10 96:16 97:2 100:19 consistent 13:9 Construction 95:10, 11 96:10 98:14 99:5, 14, 15 construe 53:19 96:16 98:13 construed 95:18 97:17, 19 98:8 construing 95:22 97:1 contact 8:5 38:19, 25 **contacted** 8:14, 22 34:22 83:4 contacting 7:24 contained 31:21 83:16, 17 123:12 **content** 43:2 44:11 context 20:1 54:12 **continue** 15:14 38:3 67:13 72:17 **continuing** 9:22 30:5 61:13 contract 24:19 26:10 **contrast** 106:23 contributor 84:24 Controls 4:17 conversation 9:10 27:2 Copenhagen 15:25 18:5, *13* **copies** 45:24 **copy** 14:5 35:13 51:4 60:7 100:12 **corner** 34:2 **CORPORATION** 1:7, 18 **correct** 6:1, 4 7:7 8:4, 19, 20 9:17 11:20 12:3, 10, 20 13:25 16:8, 11, 14, 22 18:4, 14, 25 26:15 31:16 32:23 35:20 36:1, 5, 12, 16 45:2 49:14 50:3 59:5 69:14 70:16 71:18,

20, 22 73:21 74:25

75:3, 8 76:6 81:12 82:2 85:10, 12 86:12, 22, 25 87:7 88:7, 11, 23 90:19, 22 91:8, 12 93:6, 21 95:12 100:21 101:13 102:13 104:24 105:4, 13, 17, 18, 25 106:3, 6, *16*, *25* 107:5, *8*, *14*, *17* 108:6 109:18 120:14 123:12 correction 71:15 corrections 123:8 correctly 31:15 70:14 71:11, 16 100:3 101:12 **correlate** 61:16, 17 corresponding 105:7 **counsel** 5:15 8:23 9:5 14:1, 4 28:15 29:7 30:2 39:11 41:23 44:6 60:8, 15, 21 62:24 63:1, 11 64:1, 15 67:3, 7 68:8 81:2, 9, 25 82:4 83:8, *12* 84:5 85:*14* 112:*4*, 10, 13 116:8 **count** 68:23 69:11 **country** 11:*1* **couple** 30:8 51:6 57:14 60:6 **course** 46:5 58:6 80:20 85:1 court 2:2 4:25 14:6 27:15 42:18 66:18 70:2 120:21 121:22 covenant 26:4 27:4 28:13 57:21 84:9 covenants 24:18 26:19, 20, 21 28:6 cover 83:14 **covered** 111:17 creating 53:3 creation 85:1 credentials 82:20 **critical** 117:13 **cross-exam** 114:21 **CROSS-EXAMINATI ON** 3:9 4:3 5:16

cross-section 102:12, 17, 25 103:10 106:12, 18, 19, 21 113:20 cross-sectioned 103:21 104:12 crush 71:13 CSR 2:7 120:3, 20 currently 4:16 11:13 customary 96:4 97:4 cut 41:18 104:1 cutouts 113:20

< D >

date 42:11 98:1

121:12 122:4 **dated** 33:21 120:16 dates 13:15 datum 103:25 104:17 day 2:4 120:16 123:19 days 121:23 deal 15:8 38:24 **deals** 40:13 **decide** 86:13 deciding 68:5 decision 33:20, 22 34:8, 10, 12 86:24 98:10 Decisions 31:11 declaration 5:22 6:5, 14, 18, 22, 24 7:11 13:7, 8 31:4, 14 32:19 34:25 35:4, 7, 9 36:8 39:4 41:6 43:10 49:18, 23 50:2 51:16 63:14, 20 75:2 77:6 80:12, 15, 19, 22 81:8, 10 82:5 85:18 86:5, 11, 14 87:9, 10, 20, 24 89:1 90:3, 15 93:1, 19, 22 94:21 100:20 101:3, 25 104:22 109:11, 24 115:15, 16 116:1 declarations 6:25 declare 123:6 **deemed** 26:5 defending 68:3 **define** 20:8 **defined** 20:11



definition 22:5 98:19 100:9 **degree** 13:16 15:15 79:15 94:11 119:9, 16 **degrees** 71:12 79:18, 21 deletions 123:9 **Denmark** 16:2, 6 18:6 **depict** 102:22 **depicted** 30:9 36:25 37:15 depicts 41:9 **DEPONENT** 9:1, 23 11:12 15:13 23:24 24:18 26:9 27:25 30:12 37:3 39:4 42:3 46:22 49:18 57:10 59:1, 19 60:22 61:15 65:5 66:3, 25 68:16 72:5 77:18 78:14 83:16 84:18 85:12 95:2 97:23 109:7 111:3, 8, 17 112:22 116:16 118:15 **deposed** 43:10 deposition 2:1 4:195:16 9:20 10:2, 6, 13, 18 22:16, 17 23:22 29:2 30:11 35:11 36:3 37:2, 21 38:3, 8 39:17 62:25 63:2 77:17 79:8 111:14 112:2, 5, 10 115:19 121:3, 4, 13, 17, 23 122:4 depositions 10:10 **describe** 13:2, 12, 18 15:17 20:8 33:10 47:22 50:9, 12, 17 59:12 62:7, 12, 19 70:3, 7, 17 74:6 76:15 80:14, 22 95:*15* 100:*25* 101:*1*. *21* 113:*17* 115:*15* **described** 47:3 74:14 76:10 78:15 80:11

91:6 94:9 117:5, 5, 15 describes 47:17 63:14 90:13 describing 72:17 76:25 **DESCRIPTION** 3:15 78:6 103:8 design 20:5, 13 21:20, 23 22:1, 7, 9, 13, 24 23:1, 11, 14 29:23 63:19, 20 82:16, 22 94:18, 19 95:5 98:23 104:23 106:13 113:11, 21 117:21 118:6, 12 119:5 **designed** 58:23 69:13, 16 72:13 73:20 105:20 106:8 113:16 114:5 designer 18:20, 22 23:9 47:3 **designing** 37:17 45:1, 17 46:12 83:10 94:13, 14, 23 **designs** 20:3 23:5 desirous 123:9 desk 118:20 detail 45:14 106:11, 19 **detailed** 22:7, 23 61:24 **details** 103:23 determine 25:20 75:23 96:10 97:16, 18 99:4, 14 determining 95:17 98:14 developing 19:24 20:1, 2, 13 41:10 43:13 development 19:19 44:13 device 47:11, 15, 18, 19, 20 48:1, 24 49:7 50:5 52:5 55:25 56:14, 15, 19 57:7, 8, 11 58:22 59:6 62:8 70:12 73:17 74:12, 13 76:16 77:1, 2

78:18 88:13 92:1, 6, *13*, *14* 100:23 101:*19* 103:19 113:25 118:12, 16, 24 119:8, 14, 18 devices 48:21 74:13 77:3 94:13, 23 difference 66:14 76:12 **different** 20:25 28:3 52:25 53:1, 1, 3 54:17 55:20 62:17, 17 73:14 75:9, 12 76:22 78:16, 20 102:22 differently 86:19 **differs** 106:20 difficult 50:22, 25 51:20 52:6 54:11 61:20 74:17 114:4 117:22 difficulty 113:16 **direct** 19:1 31:3 92:25 95:8 99:22 114:8 **directed** 35:6 58:12 **directly** 9:1, 4 disagree 93:18 101:20 114:25 **D-I-S-C** 75:18 disclosed 98:3 100:23 101:7, 15, 17, 24 102:9 116:7 disclosing 26:13 discuss 22:25 28:10 29:6, 12, 22 39:5 83:9, 12 85:15 97:25 discussed 74:16 82:11 98:18 **discussing** 5:14 37:6 85:17 90:6 **discussion** 8:11 42:7 74:12 109:14 112:17 discussions 26:17 28:9 82:3, 7 83:8 85:14 Disk 75:18 76:9 disk-style 75:16, 17 76:2, 8 dissimilar 78:21

dissimilarities 77:20 78:24 **distinct** 74:2 78:16 distinctly 76:21 **District** 27:14 **divulge** 49:21 **document** 5:25 8:16 22:11 30:22, 22, 25 31:21, 22, 23 32:21 33:25 34:7 51:23 58:6, 6 80:17 114:9, 12, 15, 18 115:12 documentation 87:5 documents 32:2, 7, 14 33:1, 4 35:2, 22, 25 36:2, 6, 7 110:17 111:20, 25 doing 19:19 64:7 dollars 12:2 domain 24:14 29:9, 15, 20 38:17 83:20, 24 84:12 87:17 Douglas 4:17 Downtown 2:3 **draft** 81:25 **drafts** 81:17, 19, 20 **drawing** 54:9 92:5 drawn 103:12, 25 104:17 113:25 117:16 **Drive** 2:14 **driven** 114:2 **duration** 94:16, 17, 18 95:3 $\langle E \rangle$ earlier 34:21 42:10

earlier 34:21 42:10 87:8 110:14 114:7 early 9:13 27:15 102:5 educate 48:23 education 15:18, 19 16:1 63:10 educational 13:3, 12, 13, 22 Edwin 2:20 39:25 42:5 effect 119:13



electronic 31:8, 18 32:25 33:8 41:7 94:15, 24 **Email** 2:16, 23 embodiment 74:11 75:7 78:3 92:17 99:11 101:6 102:5, 9, *15*, *23* 104:*13* 105:*5*, *17*, *24* 106:*15* 107:*4*, *13* 117:*18*, 20, 23 118:24 embodiments 100:23 101:14, 17, 22, 24 118:3, 5, 11, 25 emphasis 108:5 emphasizing 108:3 **employed** 4:15, 16 18:7 28:11 employment 17:6 24:19 26:1, 2, 4, 10, 21 28:6, 6 **enable** 77:*1* **enables** 118:23 encapsulates 47:18 engage 27:8 engaged 7:5, 20 9:18, 25 11:18 89:19 90:7 92:6 **engagement** 7:8, 23 34:23 74:4, 6, 14, 15 75:8, 21, 25 76:2, 4, 8, 10, 13, 14, 20, 23 77:3, 8, 10, 13, 14 78:2, 7, 8, *15* 117:*14* **engineer** 13:14 23:8 27:9 engineering 94:11 engineers 15:15 **English** 53:4 97:6 108:2 **entered** 23:13 62:14 **entire** 19:13 30:19 50:13 56:4 **entitled** 14:21 **envision** 72:16 91:21 **equipment** 94:15, 24 **Eric** 3:1 **ERRATA** 122:1 **erratum** 121:6, 10, 11,

16, 21 **error** 61:2 71:2 especially 22:23 essentially 72:25 103:10 104:15 establish 79:15 estimate 12:7 etcetera 13:22 22:8 24:5 25:11 29:10 82:24 Everybody 49:5 evidence 14:17 96:9, 11, 15, 23 exactly 17:14 51:22 56:10 58:12 61:16 79:20 examination 120:10 examiner 110:22 **example** 17:3 29:16 84:4 87:25 88:1 90:16 105:8 **Excuse** 43:25 executing 20:10 exhaustive 32:13 55:21 102:19 **Exhibit** 5:20 6:14 14:8, 10, 13, 15 15:2, 2 23:17, 19 30:7, 9, 14, 16 32:18 36:22, 25 37:15 39:3 41:4, 5, 9, 23, 25 43:1, 2, 9 44:12, 19, 23, 25 45:12, 20 46:7, 10, 16 47:8 48:1 50:7 52:14 53:10 58:11, 18 60:16 61:10, 11 62:15 65:24 68:21 70:24 71:1 75:15 80:13, 13, 17, 20, 20 87:2, 6, 12 100:12, 16 101:23 102:3 108:11, 16, 17 109:11 114:22, 23, 24 115:3, 4, 5 116:24 117:1 **EXHIBITS** 3:14 23:19 existing 19:20 experience 13:22 63:19 82:11, 22 93:9 94:12, 18, 19, 23 99:7

expert 7:21 8:15 10:25 11:4 34:24 39:16 40:13, 15, 17 42:7 43:7, 10, 11 44:11 51:16 54:7 63:13 64:8 82:20 86:1 89:20 90:7 115:24 expertise 99:12 experts 10:8 expert's 41:24 43:3, 9 108:20 **Expires** 123:25 **explain** 7:22 48:5 59:8 118:13 explains 59:21 **Explanation** 3:16 61:25 74:21 115:5 explicitly 113:12 ext 2:23 **extend** 26:21 **extent** 17:22 44:13 exterior 56:11 **external** 17:2 106:2 **extra** 60:7 < F > fact 78:17 118:7

fades 71:14 **fail** 101:7 104:14 107:13, 15, 19, 21, 25 108:2 **failed** 70:21 familiar 48:3, 6 49:2, 12, 12 56:6, 8 62:4 66:1, 4, 6, 8, 11, 14, 15, 19, 25 67:6, 6 68:16, 18 **family** 29:20 Federal 27:14 67:22 fee 11:23 12:17 feel 22:21 24:2, 21 27:3 42:2 51:8 65:12 feeling 22:2 feelings 65:17 **fee's** 12:16 **ferrule** 90:23 91:2, 2,

6, *15* 99:24 100:7

fewer 57:16

figure 49:11 56:19 88:5, 6, 9, 24 89:17 90:6, 13, 16 91:18 101:25 102:2, 5, 8, 11, 14, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21, 25 103:1, 6, 18, 19, 20, 22, 24 104:3, 5, 10, 12, 17, 19 105:6, 7, 9, *11*, *16*, *24* 106: *1*, *5*, *8*, 10, 17, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24 113:6, 8, 13, 18, 21, 22, 24 114:3, 5 118:10 **figures** 29:13 87:24 88:20 89:15 **file** 31:9, 18 41:7 43:8 98:3 109:25 110:2, 4, 5, 7, 20 **filed** 6:25 31:24 34:21, 24 35:18 58:7 85:8 89:18 filing 29:16, 21 42:10 121:17, 22 **final** 17:20 21:7 22:1 23:14 85:7 118:20 finalized 115:20 **Findings** 3:16, 18 89:4 115:6, 8, 16 **fine** 38:20, 21 51:10 71:7 finished 46:7 **fit** 76:24 **fits** 75:23 five-minute 112:24 **fixed** 100:2, 6 118:18 **flat** 11:23, 24 12:15, 16 **focus** 19:15 98:12 **focused** 22:18 **following** 121:10 **foregoing** 120:5, *13* 123:7, 11 **foreign** 10:9 11:1 **Form** 58:25 66:13 67:5 83:15 97:22 110:20 121:5, 8 formulate 68:7 **forth** 22:4. 10 120:7 **found** 89:11



fourth 9:13 **fray** 91:1 **fraying** 91:*17* free 80:10 118:16 **freedom** 79:16 80:6 118:21 119:9, 16 **French** 53:5 **front** 37:11 74:5 121:18 Fu 2:12 39:22 **F-U** 39:22 **full** 32:14 35:23 **fully** 5:10 functionality 47:4 48:22 56:13 74:18 103:9 **functions** 24:4 46:24 47:18 78:16

<G> **game** 57:1 **gated** 23:11 general 23:5 63:18, 21 89:4, 10 96:12 102:15, 15 generalities 54:9 63:16 **generality** 70:9 89:9 generalization 103:12 **generally** 59:12 62:1 80:21 92:5 **generate** 81:7, 8 generated 83:22 generating 83:19 85:4 gentlemen 39:13 43:16 44:2 Gerstein 2:13 gesturing 66:17 **Give** 14:7, 19 15:5, 5 32:4, 6, 10 63:12 64:13, 21 65:9, 16 78:10 87:25 116:12 **global** 17:21, 23 18:20, 22, 24 19:16 **goal** 56:25 **Good** 4:4, 5 39:12 44:9 92:4 114:7 go-to-market 21:4

graduated 13:15 greater 94:3, 5, 8 greatest 42:22 groove 113:24, 25 ground 111:1 grounds 109:3 group 29:19 99:1 guess 14:6 27:15 34:6 60:19 114:23 guidance 68:11 guide 67:13, 22 68:10 guidelines 67:23

<H> half 73:3 88:4 112:14 **hand** 5:18 **handed** 5:19 30:8 handing 23:16, 19 114:9 handle 11:2 hang 56:6 60:22 **happen** 11:5 happened 81:4 **happening** 56:22 57:4 happens 59:3 **happy** 32:15 33:1 35:22 36:2*1* 51:3, 5 110:17 head 52:1 69:12, 16 74:7 79:13, 14, 24 80:3, 6 105:21 106:9 114:6 117:18, 22 118:10, 20 119:10 heading 95:9 hear 42:22 **held** 5:6 **help** 20:20 21:12 34:3 62:22 96:20 **helpful** 87:*16* helping 68:6 helps 74:21 **high** 13:3 highlighted 13:21 **hired** 16:19 **histories** 109:25 **history** 19:9 43:8 110:2, 4, 5, 8, 20

hockey 57:1

hold 43:24 holistically 51:2 **HOLTON** 2:7 120:3, 20 **honest** 93:8 honestly 22:21 87:4 honour 26:22 42:21 43:5 44:4, 8 **Hotel** 2:*3* **hour** 12:1, 13, 19 73:3 **Hourly** 11:23 12:18, **hours** 12:7, 9, 12, 21 86:1, 10, 12 112:14, 15 **housing** 50:6 53:18 55:3, 5, 13, 25 56:5, 17 59:13 70:14, 20 71:4, 13, 21, 24, 25 72:7 104:7 how's 15:8 hundreds 68:22 **hung** 54:3 **Huntington** 2:22

<I> idea 48:9 **identical** 6:13, 17 **identify** 32:7 33:3 52:4 54:10, 11 55:17 **IL** 2:15 **image** 47:19 48:18 56:22 57:3, 11 61:25 images 45:19, 23 46:1, 6 49:2 58:4 61:11 immovable 92:14 118:19 **implied** 109:5 **implies** 118:9 implying 21:7 **import** 96:7 **important** 106:18 **improper** 111:*1* Inc.'s 33:17 34:14 include 16:25 32:18 75:5 **included** 17:1 18:21 includes 104:6

including 20:10 31:10 56:12 86:16 **incorrect** 73:7 117:12 incorrectly 70:11 **INDEX** 3:14 **indexing** 70:20, 23 indicate 108:8 indicating 104:5 indirectly 9:2 industrial 18:22 industry 26:23 27:22 28:2, 5 97:8 **information** 24:8, 20 25:6, 17 26:13 27:4, 18 28:4, 10, 13 29:8, *14* 30:*3* 38:*15* 41:*3* 42:15 57:22, 25 58:3 63:13 64:10, 11 82:25 84:5, 11 85:20 97:2 98:15 116:9 infringement 40:10, *17* 41:2, *3*, *13* 72:25 116:5 infringing 42:1 inner 47:17, 22 48:10 inserted 70:10, 14, 18, 20 71:4, 11, 16, 19 91:25 inside 47:23 48:10 insights 62:22 installation 71:6 **installed** 71:6 72:7 103:21 104:11 instance 59:23 72:15 **institute** 31:*11* instituted 109:4 institution 86:24 **instruct** 38:20 41:17 instructing 38:10 instruction 67:16 **INSTRUCTIONS** 121:*1* intellectual 23:25 83:18 95:21 96:12 98:2 intended 57:17 113:8, 10 intending 41:2 **intent** 106:13 107:24



intention 25:15 58:2 113:25 Inter 4:7 5:20 6:6 interface 76:19 interfere 5:10 intermediary 17:19 68:4 76:16 international 15:23 16:3, 4 interpreting 68:5 96:14, 25 intimately 62:20 **introduce** 14:9 20:9 111:*1* introduced 27:14 39:11 109:21 introduction 19:21 21:3, 5 **invalidity** 116:*13* **invention** 77:25 97:11 **inventor** 8:3 83:21 84:4, 22, 25 inventors 119:5 inventory 24:1 **involved** 40:11 83:19 84:14 85:5 **involvement** 21:11, 11 83:9, 13 84:6, 21 **IP** 6:17 10:7, 25 27:9 83:22 108:25 **IPR** 3:17, 19 5:21, 23 6:14, 25 7:6, 21 8:1, 6, 23 10:5 11:22 12:5 23:20 30:20 31:9, 19, 20, 23, 24 32:25 33:15, 15, 18, 21 34:9, 15 35:14, 15 38:8, 9 39:14 41:5, 5, 7 43:6 58:8 64:22 65:9, 18 80:13, 20, 21 83:7 86:2, 16 88:10 89:7 93:8, 17 97:24 109:4, 17, 19 114:22 115:6, 8 **IPR2015-01167** 1:4 **IPR2015-01168** 1:15 **IPRs** 31:11 111:2 irrelevant 37:22 38:14 40:18 42:3 isometric 104:2

issue 10:12, 21 11:3 15:8 24:8 42:1 73:1 84:9 95:23 96:23 issued 31:12 33:22 42:6 83:24 issues 40:13 42:5 italicized 108:1 iterative 85:4 89:2,

 $\langle J \rangle$ **January** 15:22 18:3 34:25 87:15 93:20 **jargon** 97:9 **Jav** 2:3 **job** 17:5 21:18 **John** 2:19 27:12, 16 39:25 41:22 judge 34:7, 10 39:12, 13, 14, 19, 23 40:2, 5, 22 42:12, 19, 25 43:15, 16, 18, 22 44:2, 6,9 judges 98:10 judgments 86:17 jvodopia@gmail.com

2:23

< K > **keeping** 19:20 **Kensington** 16:10, 13, 16 25:1 26:21 29:18 37:7 46:14 49:20 53:15 54:16 59:21 80:7 82:23 87:15 94:16 95:4 116:2, 5, 15 key 13:21 46:17 56:24 70:22 72:5 73:6, 10, 11, 17, 21 74:3, 4 76:18 keyed 74:13, 16 keying 75:12, 16, 17 kev's 52:2 kind 96:23 knew 8:18 **knob** 52:1 55:19, 22 56:1, 2, 10, 12, 15, 16, 20 57:11 58:24 59:6, 13 69:19 70:10, 12,

14, 18, 19 71:3, 17, 23 72:6, 21, 23 73:18, 18 74:7 78:17 79:2, 13, 14, 16, 19, 23, 24 80:4, 6 102:6, 9, 12, 16, 23 103:7, 21 104:6, 11, 16 105:21 106:7 113:7, 11 114:4, 5 117:7, 16, 19 118:11, 25 119:2, 6 knock 79:14 **know-how** 48:15 **knowledge** 5:12 9:2 24:14 38:17 48:16 49:10 51:14 59:14 known 10:22 knows 62:20

< L > **label** 115:1 **labelled** 45:6 47:23 55:7 56:19 103:9 **language** 54:3 63:6 64:4 81:14 85:2 88:19 89:15 97:12 98:7 102:*4* 105:*1* lanyard 90:25 91:14 118:18 119:18 **laptop** 17:1, 3 49:8, 8 50:6, 15 52:9 53:18 55:3, 5, 13 56:17 57:12 58:21 59:13 60:4, 9, 15 62:8 63:25 70:11, 12, 18 71:13 72:7 76:16 78:18 79:17 87:18 92:14 104:8 119:11 **laptops** 119:12 **late** 8:13, 22 11:18 16:7 34:21 110:12 **Law** 2:11, 12, 19, 20 lawyer 32:1 68:4 89:14 96:18 **lav** 115:15 layperson 62:21 63:24 Lazarus 3:1 leadership 16:4 leading 50:24 51:12

learn 10:17 **learned** 28:11 **leave** 18:9 left-hand 34:2 lengths 53:1 **letters** 54:25 **level** 13:22 54:7 93:4, 11, 24 94:9 95:4 106:11 **light** 119:12 **Lighting** 4:17, 18 limit 28:21 **limited** 41:14 lines 38:13 **LinkedIn** 83:17, 23 84:3 **listed** 84:22 litigate 95:20 **litigation** 40:11 42:2 live 4:11, 13 lived 85:22 **LLC** 1:8, 19 **LLP** 2:13 lock 21:23 23:4, 8 24:4, 4 25:1, 10 30:12 37:7 45:7 46:13, 16, 18 47:3, 10 48:11, 19 49:3, 8 50:5, 14, 14 52:1, 9, 10, 13 53:2, 13, 14, 17 58:21, 22 59:6, 13 60:3 62:8 63:19 65:22 69:19 72:20. 22 73:5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 17, 21, 22, 23 74:7, 8, 9, 19, 23 75:5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 14 76:15, 15, 20 78:3 79:1, 13, 23, 23 80:3, 6 82:15, 21 94:18 95:5 100:23 101:19 106:9 113:11, 17 114:1 116:22 117:3, 5, 13, *14*, *18*, *22* 118:*6*, *9*, *10*, *19* 119:1, *10*, *14* **locked** 56:19 79:13. 23 100:2, 6 113:8 locking 47:11, 14, 14, 19, 20 48:1, 24 49:6 50:5, 13 52:4 56:15,



18, 23 57:7, 8, 11 58:22 59:6 62:8 70:11 73:17 74:11, 12 75:8, 19 76:2, 9, 13, 17, 21 77:1 78:18 79:1 88:13 91:19, 20, 21, 22, 24 92:1, 1, 6, 7, *12, 13, 15* 94:*13, 23* 105:21 114:1, 6 118:12, 16, 24 119:15, 18 locks 17:24 20:14 47:2 48:24 62:20, 23 74:2 94:14 98:24, 24 **long** 45:13 57:18 longer 57:17 94:19 95:3 looked 24:25 85:21 87:12 98:11, 18 114:12 **looking** 48:4 50:18 51:23 looks 62:1 103:11 loss 21:3 **lost** 42:13 **lot** 46:23 47:1

M5V 2:4 **made** 11:3 22:9 23:12 40:15 61:2 71:2 80:25 81:1, 24 89:8 90:25 103:12 111:25 113:12 120:10 121:7, 15 123:8 **MAHAFFEY** 2:1 3:7, 18 4:2, 10, 10, 12, 20 5:19 44:22 92:25 112:21 115:7 122:5, 24 123:16 maintain 22:22 **majority** 86:7, 9 making 48:21 65:11 121:8 123:10 managed 119:11 management 20:24 23:9

< M >

manager 16:20, 21 17:9, 10, 12, 17, 20 19:17 managing 19:19, 20 manner 58:7 67:25 March 2:4 27:15 120:16 122:4 mark 14:9, 13, 14 15:1, 2 25:20 114:24 marked 5:20 23:17, 19, 20 47:11 61:10 88:6 108:15 market 20:5, 6, 9 48:22, 23 marketing 29:10 45:21 61:1 69:23 markets 53:7 Marshal 2:13 master's 15:20 **mate** 105:7, 15 106:8 material 84:24 89:6 materials 13:18 29:10 mating 105:15 matters 7:6 11:22 12:5 44:17 McDaid 22:19 24:25 36:14 100:12, 18, 24 101:7, 15 102:10 103:7 105:1, 3 107:10, 18 113:6, 17 116:20, 21, 23 117:2, 3, 4, 9, 14, 18, 24 118:22 119:5, 16 meaning 54:19 96:2, 4 97:5, 7, 25 98:21, 22, 22 meant 20:2 92:6 101:21 108:1.5 mechanical 13:14 15:15 23:8 27:9 94:11 mechanically 23:14 mechanics 49:19 mechanism 46:17, 24 47:11, 14, 16, 21 48:11, 14, 16 54:10 56:7 73:23, 24 74:1, 9, 9, 11, 24 75:5, 8, 10, 10, 12, 16, 17, 19, 20

76:1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 8, 9, 10,

13, 13, 14, 17, 20 77:8, 11, 13, 14 78:2, 6, 7, 9 79:1. 23 114:1 116:22 119:15 mechanisms 47:2 78:20 meet 20:11 62:24 93:11 97:14 112:7, 9 meeting 112:13 meetings 112:2 member 21:25 mentioned 24:24 25:24 58:3 **met** 22:3, 9 23:12 63:1 112:4 method 115:21 Michael 2:11 4:6 37:2 39:21 40:24 43:6 66:22 79:7 104:10 mind 91:21 99:10 minute 43:25 60:19 minutes 30:8 41:14 45:11 51:6 57:15 60:6 73:2 92:20 **mislead** 107:22 misrepresent 53:25 missing 55:11 87:6 **misspoke** 117:11 misstate 53:24 misstated 40:25 misunderstood 12:14 117:8 **Mm-hmm** 86:*3* **model** 61:19 month 27:15 morning 4:4, 5 Move 65:14 **multiple** 53:5, 6, 6 81:17, 19 89:3 multi-stepped 21:6 Murray 108:14, 15, 23, 24 109:1, 4 110:24 111:10 mweiner@marshalllp.c om 2:16

<N>
named 8:3 24:1
83:21 84:4, 22, 25

necessarily 19:8 21:17 59:2 62:22 77:19 92:18 93:18 necessary 45:25 needed 9:19 87:9 97:17, 19, 24, 25 98:8, Neeson 120:21 negates 60:1 negating 50:22 **neither** 118:25 New 2:21 19:21, 24 20:3, 9 21:5 53:4 85:2*3* 111:*1* 116:2*4* non-argumentative 67:25 non-responsive 65:15 non-suggestive 67:25 **notary** 121:19 123:24 **note** 38:23 39:10 61:6 **noted** 7:16 23:23 29:4, 20 38:1 66:9, 24 121:9 **notes** 13:24 14:2, 5 120:14 nuance 20:7 59:8 104:9 nuances 47:15 53:23 **NUMBER** 3:15 20:25 53:3 55:20 **numbers** 105:12 114:23 **NY** 2:22

object 7:13 14:16, 23
15:3, 10 23:21 38:13
41:19 67:11 92:14
97:22 110:19 118:19
objected 114:15
objection 7:16 8:25
9:21, 22 10:12 11:11
19:6, 7 20:18 23:23
24:10 26:7 27:23
29:4 30:5, 10 37:1,
20 40:7 41:16, 16
43:14 49:15 52:11
58:25 61:14 65:3
66:2, 7, 9, 13, 24 67:2,



24 72:2, 24 77:16 79:7 83:15 84:17 85:11 90:2 94:25 108:19 109:2 110:25 111:15 114:20 **objections** 28:16, 21, 24 38:1, 23 44:15 67:17, 19 68:1, 1, 9 120:10 obligation 26:25 obligations 24:9 27:6, 10, 22 **obtaining** 83:13 84:6, 15 **occur** 18:6 October 31:12 33:21 **OFFICE** 1:*1* 4:9 5:7, 14 6:7 28:20 67:12, 21 85:9 107:22 111:9 Off-the-record 8:11 112:17 ones 112:1 **Ontario** 2:4 123:1 **opening** 88:*13* 105:7 106:9 **operate** 50:10 58:23 73:20 operated 50:5 **operates** 51:1, 3 59:9 operation 24:14 50:13 operations 59:4 **opinion** 49:24 86:18 90:12 93:3 96:4 115:16 116:4, 12 119:*1* opinions 64:21 65:9, 16 opportunity 14:17 20:6 opposed 67:6 **orally** 66:18 order 11:4, 14 33:13, 20 46:18 96:16 115:22 **ordinarily** 95:6 99:7 ordinary 48:18 93:4, 24 94:3, 6

organization 28:7 original 121:21 outside 10:14 23:22 30:10 37:1, 20 40:20 77:16 79:8 108:19 109:3 114:20 overall 95:4 oversaw 21:24 22:8 overseas 16:2 owned 116:14 Owner 1:13, 24 2:18 33:13, 16, 17 34:13 35:14 39:24 40:1, 4 41:23 44:7 115:4 owner's 43:14

< P > package 53:4 pages 45:13 50:7 paid 11:25 12:11, 13, 2.5 **Panasonic** 4:18 28:3 paper 13:18 34:1, 6, 8 paragraph 6:23 31:4, 6, 15, 17 34:15 88:2, 25 90:5 93:1, 3, 14 94:9 95:9, 10 99:23, 23 101:3, 4 107:1, 7, 12, 16 pare 89:12 part 16:1, 13 21:18 26:2, 10 32:15 40:12 41:23, 24 48:10 54:24 55:2, 4, 6, 9, 17 56:4, 5, 7, 12 88:19 103:18 104:1, 7 114:7 117:*14* 119:*1* Partes 4:7 5:20 6:6 **partial** 32:10 **particular** 6:23 7:10 8:15 20:22 21:19 41:4, 12 47:7 51:18 52:3 54:3, 7, 20 55:25 56:4, 22 57:1 59:23, 23 60:3 65:13 70:10.19 71:9 73:16 75:7, 11 76:7 87:6 92:11, 17 96:5 97:10,

24 108:25 117:23

particularly 40:14 **parties** 44:15 parts 76:24 **part-time** 15:20 **passed** 91:5 **PATENT** 1:1, 2, 5, 13, 16, 24 2:18 4:8 5:6, 13 6:7, 7, 9, 11, 15, 19 8:3 28:20 33:13, 16, 17, 23 34:7, 9, 13 35:14 36:14, 15 39:10, 24 40:4 44:6 67:12, 15, 21 68:10 78:*3* 85:*5*, *9* 87:*24* 88:5, 8, 20 91:7, 23 95:17 96:14 97:1 102:10 107:22, 23 108:9 111:9 115:4 116:13, 13 118:2 patents 31:13 36:9, 11 40:14, 14 42:6 83:14 84:7, 15, 25 88:9, 21 89:16 93:5 98:3 110:1, 23 111:10 **pause** 60:25 **peaks** 101:8 104:23 105:2, 6, 10, 15, 19 106:4, 24 107:2, 6 108:7 117:2*1* **peer** 99:1 penalty 123:7 **pending** 83:24 110:24 **people** 21:24 22:6 perceive 57:20 performance 21:1 23:15 24:4 29:19 **period** 8:21 9:6, 12 11:9 19:14, 14 **perjury** 123:7 **person** 23:10 28:2, 4 59:24 62:19 70:10 77:18, 24 93:4, 24 99:7 **personally** 62:16, 19 perspective 20:23 69:23 109:23 117:22 118:6

85:22 **Peter's** 62:18 **petition** 31:24 33:14, 16, 18 35:15 41:5 43:6 49:16 86:23 **PETITIONER** 2:10 39:20 40:9, 18, 23 41:11 43:21 Petitioners 1:9, 20 Petitions 31:10 **phone** 42:23 44:10 **photo** 71:10 photograph 41:25 45:14 58:10, 13 photographs 45:12 46:10 **phrase** 97:17 108:8 physical 56:11 pick 118:19 picture 48:4 pictures 32:22 **piece** 37:4 97:10 103:1 108:25 113:24 **pieces** 6:17 22:18 **pin** 71:8, 12 **place** 113:9 120:6 **plane** 103:25 104:17 planning 21:4 **play** 27:9 80:1, 3 played 61:7 **plays** 60:21 Plus 36:12 74:14 **point** 58:11, 14 81:18 110:9, 11 **pointed** 29:17 **pointing** 90:3 92:3 118:14 **points** 99:2, 3 **Pollock** 34:9 39:12, 13, 19, 23 40:2, 5, 22 42:12, 19, 25 43:16, 22 44:2, 6, 9 **portable** 94:13, 15, 24 **portion** 74:19 75:6 86:6 95:21 103:1 104:6 **portions** 74:*3* 81:7 117:13

Peter 3:2 7:24 8:2



pertaining 93:5

portrayed 69:23

POSA 93:10 94:20 97:14 **position** 16:18 17:8 **possible** 62:10 63:18 69:24 Possibly 69:2 post 26:21 28:6 potential 40:17 109:5 111:2 power 16:23, 24 17:2, 2 **practice** 67:13, 22 68:10 preceding 50:20 **prefer** 22:24 25:12 **preliminary** 34:14, 18, 19 35:16, 17 86:16 89:18 98:9 preparation 13:23 35:10 36:3, 8 45:20 112:1 115:19, 20 **prepare** 79:9 86:10 111:13 112:5, 10 115:18 **Prepared** 3:17 13:24 64:5 80:15, 22, 23 81:15 87:24 88:25 89:24 90:6 115:7 preparing 14:1 32:19 34:18 35:7 82:4 86:5 87:20 100:20 109:10, 24 111:24 **PRESENT** 3:1 101:9 115:23 **press** 60:18 **pressed** 56:23 **pretty** 60:19 **prevent** 101:10 105:20 prevents 26:12 previously 23:17 53:12 **prior** 8:1 27:13 31:1 34:20 36:12 43:7 74:22 77:5 80:24 86:18, 21 89:5 90:1, 10 96:6 100:19 109:15, 16, 21 112:9

privilege 22:22 **privileged** 26:6 64:10 **privy** 28:4 **problem** 40:6 43:23 **proceed** 38:22 68:12 **proceeding** 5:23 6:6 10:5 31:9, 19 33:15 34:15 38:8, 9 40:19, 21 64:22 65:18 80:14 proceedings 4:8 5:6, 21 6:18, 25 7:22 8:6, 23 10:4 30:20 41:7 65:10 68:2 86:2 88:10 109:4 119:22 120:5 process 10:9, 24 11:2 21:6 50:19 84:15, 19 85:4 89:2, 11, 13 93:18 95:16, 20 98:18 109:19, 20, 22 115:2*1* **produced** 59:21 **product** 17:3, 4 19:18, 19, 19, 22 20:6, 13, 23 21:2, 3, 5 22:3, 5, 10 23:11, 12, 15, 25 30:8 36:25 37:4, 18 40:9, 11 41:9, 12 42:1 43:12 44:12, 24 45:4 46:11 48:3, 6 49:2, 10, 12, 20 50:14 51:2, 22, 24 52:19, 20, 22, 22 53:9 54:8 58:14, 17, 21 59:8, 13, 23 60:3 62:5, 9 63:16, 23, 24 64:7 65:25 66:3, 8, 12, 19 67:1 68:17, 21 69:6, 11, 13, 15, 24, 25 70:4 72:10, 11, 16, 18 73:16, 23 75:4 78:4, 8 79:1, 12, 22 80:7 87:*3* 116:*1* production 23:13 **PRODUCTS** 1:12, 23 5:23 6:15 7:5 8:24 9:5 10:1, 1 11:18, 22 17:25 19:11, 21, 24 20:3, 9 21:21 22:13

26:18, 24 27:5, 21, 24 28:10 29:6, 7, 19, 23, 24 30:1, 2 33:14, 17 34:14, 17 35:14 36:10 37:16 44:25 45:9, 16 46:3, 11 49:13 51:17 52:9 64:16 77:15 81:2, 10 82:1, 4 83:5, 9, 10, 13, *14* 84:6, 7, *16* 85:*15* 88:9, 20 89:16, 19, 25 98:2, 4 116:5, 8, 8 122:3 product's 52:2 professional 27:9 28:2 **profit** 21:2 program 16:5 prohibit 5:14 prohibited 68:3 **project** 16:20, 21 17:9, 10, 12, 16 promotion 46:2 **promptly** 121:22 **property** 24:1 83:18 95:21 96:12 98:2 protected 23:25 **protrusion** 55:12, 16, 19 **provide** 7:25 8:15 10:6 11:4 32:14 33:1 34:23 35:23 36:21 65:21 82:20 83:4 84:5 85:19 96:1, 3 103:22 104:12 110:14, 17 116:4 **Provided** 3:17 5:22 8:17 11:1 12:7 22:17 23:9 24:24 25:13 34:20 49:24 51:16 53:2 63:15, 20 81:5, 10, 13 82:10, 25 85:18 87:5, 10 89:25 96:8 115:6 121:*14* **providing** 7:10 51:17 62:15, 22 **PROVINCE** 123:1, 21 **provisioning** 90:9

public 24:13 29:9, 15, 20 38:17 83:20, 24 84:12, 12 87:17 121:19 123:24 publicly 45:23 58:4, 7 84:3 **purpose** 62:15 purposes 61:23 **pursuant** 34:14 **pushed** 52:5 72:23 pushing 50:5 **put** 14:17, 22 15:4, 6, 7 32:3 38:12 43:24 44:15 47:3 60:25 66:18 67:20 74:17 78:5 103:10 114:16, *18* 118:20 120:7 **putting** 14:24 52:1 107:21

< Q > quarter 8:8 9:12 **question** 7:12, 19 13:10, 11 15:14 21:9 22:15 23:7 24:16, 17 25:4, 11, 22 35:5 39:16 41:1 42:20 46:19, 21, 23 48:17 50:8 51:12 53:12 56:9 59:15, 18 63:4, 6, 7, 22 64:4, 13, 25 65:20 66:22, 23 67:10 68:13, 15 73:19 78:11, 13 79:3, 5, 11, 18, 20 80:5, 17, 21 82:17 93:16 96:21 111:4, 7, 10 116:*12* 117:*1* questioning 22:20 23:2 41:19 44:18 54:23 57:16 63:9, 15 65:12 69:21 73:2 questions 5:1 7:325:15 38:7 39:2 40:16 41:12, 13 43:1 44:13 49:9 54:4 57:14, 24 58:11 61:4, 23 64:11, 16, 20 65:2, 6, 18, 19 67:4 68:5, 5 77:23 82:13, 15, 18,



PTAB 31:12 38:5

21 100:11 112:21 113:4 116:17, 25 119:19 quick 23:6 60:19, 20 89:21 quiet 28:25 67:3, 16 quite 21:25 22:21 45:5 59:7 63:21 78:20 87:4 90:23, 25 97:9 106:20 110:16 117:5 quoted 107:10 108:4, 9 quotes 107:7, 16, 21

108:8

< R > radial 74:21 117:4, 15 radially 114:3 radiuses 22:8 raise 67:18 raised 10:12 11:3 raising 24:7 rate 11:23, 24 12:15, 16, 18, 19 **read** 24:15, 17 28:22 31:15 32:2, 24 37:3 46:20, 21 59:17, 18 68:13, 15 73:7 78:12, 13 79:4, 5 93:15 95:13 100:3 101:4, *12* 107:*1* 110:*5*, *7* 111:5, 7 121:3, 4 123:7 **reading** 8:1 67:21 **reads** 5:25 **realize** 89:*17* really 11:2 35:5 40:14 51:21 54:15 56:24 69:10 reason 24:7 69:21 121:6, 10 reasons 53:3, 6 **recall** 9:6, 14 17:14, 20 18:19 19:4 36:7. 17, 19 69:5 87:7 90:10 99:20 110:16 111:8 114:8, 11

receive 17:11 121:23 received 15:24 30:22 **Recess** 39:8 92:22 112:18 113:1 **recited** 93:10 recognize 5:21 44:24 45:4 48:21 49:6 51:24 53:10, 13, 13, 16 55:6, 9, 12 58:17 61:19 88:8 100:16 108:17, 23, 24, 25 recognized 43:11 44:11 recollection 80:9 81:22 99:19 110:6 recommend 59:20 **record** 7:17 14:17, 22, 24, 25 15:5, 6 25:21 28:16, 22, 22, 24 30:19 32:3 38:2, 24 40:15 41:6, 7, 24 43:17 44:15 58:8 60:17 62:13 65:11 66:19 67:12, 14, 17, 21 70:3, 8 84:12 92:20 104:4 112:16 114:16, 19 115:1, 12 117:2, 12 **recorded** 120:*11* recording 5:1 records 33:8 **re-cross** 116:18 **RE-CROSS-EXAMIN ATION** 3:11 116:19 **red** 46:5 re-direct 116:21 **RE-EXAMINATION** 3:10 113:3 **refer** 6:10, 19, 22 13:6 16:15 17:24 50:2 55:15, 24 70:25 91:9 107:2 113:21 **reference** 29:17 42:9, 9 54:25 58:11, 14 77:6 90:21 92:7 97:6 98:24 101:23 102:13 103:24 105:12 116:24 referenced 31:14

101:25 105:1 109:20

references 83:18 97:10 100:19 referencing 55:11 **referred** 36:15 54:24 77:6 104:19, 23 111:21 **referring** 6:24 8:2 13:17, 19 14:5 16:16 31:24 55:23 56:2, 4 58:15 76:23 80:12, 19 88:16 102:2 111:20 116:20 **refers** 90:21 **regarding** 10:7 39:16 40:16 42:8 44:17 74:16 82:21 111:10 regards 54:20 **REGION** 123:2 register 67:22 reiterate 53:11 rejecting 110:24 rejection 109:5 **relate** 49:10 **related** 17:24 relates 8:16 65:13 relating 87:2 relation 74:22 relative 79:2, 14, 24 80:6 82:15 105:21 117:19 119:6 **relevance** 7:14 10:21 22:16 24:12 26:8 38:9 41:19 52:11 63:17 66:2 72:2 84:17 85:11, 17 98:1 relevancy 8:25 9:21 19:6 20:18 61:14 **relevant** 7:15 38:8 40:8 44:14 51:15 64:21, 22 65:9 94:19 **relied** 99:4, 14 110:23 rely 41:20 43:7 99:6 remember 13:8 **removed** 52:2 71:7 104:18 Renaissance 2:2 repeat 35:13 42:17, 23 46:19 59:15 64:25 75:13 78:10

79:3 80:17 97:21 103:5 108:22 111:3 repeated 93:7 rephrase 25:21 **report** 19:*1* 43:*3* 80:24, 25 81:1, 5, 6, 7 111:24 115:13 **REPORTED** 2:7 19:*1* reporter 2:2 5:1 8:10 14:7 42:16, 18 57:9 66:18 70:2 120:4 **REPORTER'S** 39:10 120:1 **Reporting** 120:21 **represent** 4:7 45:22 reputation 28:5 request 14:4 requested 40:3 **requesting** 33:14, 18 35:15 require 25:5, 16 46:17 57:25 73:5, 9, *17* 121:22 **required** 50:10 68:9 70:19 71:8 109:14 requirement 22:11 93:12 97:14 requirements 10:5 20:11 22:4, 5, 10 23:12 requires 73:11 research 29:23 reside 11:5 resolvable 11:12 **respect** 44:19 110:20 **respond** 7:17 63:8 response 25:6, 17 33:14, 16, 18 35:15 57:25 65:14 89:18 **responses** 63:8 64:6 responsibilities 21:18, 19 responsibility 20:8, 24 21:8, 15, 16 22:3, 22 23:4 **responsible** 19:12, 18, 21, 23, 25 20:12, 16 21:1, 2, 4, 7 23:14



37:17 41:10 43:12 45:1, 16 46:12 rest 109:22 restate 7:19 79:6, 11 96:22 resume 82:10, 10 83:16 **resuming** 39:9 92:23 112:19 113:2 **retained** 80:23 109:8 110:12 retaining 7:25 **Return** 121:21 **returned** 18:13 **RETURNS** 44:20 revealing 25:16 **Review** 4:7 6:6 14:12, 20 26:16 30:19, 23 32:1, 17, 18 33:2 34:5, 12 37:10 45:14 50:25 51:10 56:25 81:11 85:3, 7 87:2, 11, 21, 22 109:11, 14, 25 110:10, 18 111:9 112:1 reviewed 30:25 31:8, 18, 20, 22 32:5, 7, 15 33:4 34:11 35:2, 3, 6, 10, 12, 17 36:3, 8, 11, 18 41:6 43:8 80:24 86:15, 20 88:10 110:12 111:22 114:15 **reviewing** 6:7 46:7 49:2 61:9 86:18 110:23 111:24 reviews 23:11 revised 81:25 revision 89:3 revisions 81:14, 24 Rice 34:7 39:14 43:18 **ring** 118:7, 8, 17, 17, 23 119:17 roadmap 20:9 **Rob** 3:17 4:10 113:5 115:7, 11 **ROBERT** 2:1 3:7 4:2, 10 122:5, 24

123:16 robust 22:9 **rod** 55:19 88:15 90:17 91:4, 10, 17, 19, 25 97:17, 19 98:7, 15, 20, 23 99:25 100:7 101:10, 14 107:3 **rods** 100:1, 8, 10 **role** 20:4 82:8 **rope** 90:24 91:14, 16 92:12 119:8 rotate 79:18 113:8, 11, 14 114:4, 6 117:23 118:10 **rotating** 113:16 118:7, 16 **rotation** 101:11 105:20 118:1, 22, 23 119:1, 9, 16 **Roughly** 12:23 61:5 112:14 **round** 106:8 rounded 106:2, 15 **rounds** 89:3 **ruled** 10:13 rules 5:14 28:20 38:2, 23 121:22 ruling 37:24, 25 38:6 run 95:23 **Rvan** 18:15, 25 19:2, 5 21:24 35:20 85:15, 17, 23 93:9 **Ryan's** 19:8 85:16 $\langle S \rangle$ **safe** 101:8 104:14 107:13, 15, 19, 21, 25 108:2 sales 29:12 save 51:7 **scallops** 104:14, 20 **Schindler** 2:20 7:13 8:25 9:21 10:11 11:11 15:7, 11 19:6 20:18 24:10 26:7 27:11 28:14, 18 29:1 30:5 37:22 38:12

40:1, 4, 7 42:4, 5, 14,

61:13 65:3 66:2, 7,

17 49:15 52:11

13 67:2, 5, 9, 18 72:2, 24 84:17 85:11 109:2 110:25 112:23 116:11 118:13 119:20 **school** 13:3 15:25 18:6 **science** 13:16 scope 23:22 24:11 28:21 29:2 30:11 37:2, 21 40:8, 20 41:1 49:16 77:17 79:8 89:7 108:20 109:3, 23 114:21 **screen** 60:9 screw 70:21 71:14 72:1, 6 seconds 60:19 61:6 **secret** 58:5 **section** 103:*13* sectioned 103:2 **secure** 63:25 76:17 77:2 **securing** 70:12 73:18 security 17:21, 23 18:21, 22, 24 19:16 54:14 55:19 63:16 88:15 90:17 91:4, 10, 17, 19 97:17, 19 98:7, 15, 20, 22 99:25 100:1, 7, 8, 10 101:14 107:3 **seeking** 96:19 sell 48:25 semantics 32:25 47:15 64:3 95:25 **Senior** 18:20, 21 sense 7:4 103:15 **series** 59:4, 5 69:24 serve 7:6 9:16 services 7:25 **serving** 86:*1* set 22:4, 10 120:6 **shape** 92:5 **shaped** 91:*3* **share** 27:4 57:22 84:11 **shared** 26:5 28:12 sharing 24:22

sheet 121:7, 10, 11, 16, *21* 122:*1* shorter 33:6 **Shorthand** 120:4, 14 **shot** 56:25 **show** 46:10, 13 51:5 60:14 61:3, 11 73:8 75:9 78:23 93:11 103:3 105:9 106:24 118:24 **showing** 49:20 **shown** 44:24 46:16 47:25 48:10, 11 49:7, 11 50:7 51:22 52:14 53:9, 16, 25 58:5, 12, 18 62:5, 11 68:21 70:4, 6 71:15 75:4, 14 88:25 90:13 103:7, 19 105:5, 7, 24 106:10, 21 118:10 **shows** 47:10 51:19 60:11, 12 65:23 69:22 70:25 71:3, 5, 7 72:5, 15 75:7 77:7, 10 88:5 90:17 102:25 103:18, 23 **side** 74:15, 17 76:21 77:4 78:15 **sign** 121:11, 13, 17 signature 6:2, 4 121:20 **signed** 24:19 49:23 93:19, 23 94:22 significant 86:6 significantly 106:21 **signing** 121:*15* similar 27:2 53:9 77:13, 20 78:19 98:17 109:13 **similarity** 78:1, 16 **simple** 64:*13* simplification 115:14 **Simplified** 3:16 115:5 simplify 54:22 **simply** 50:13 59:7 93:11 sir 4:21, 24 5:3, 8 14:3 **sitting** 36:18 49:5



skill 86:17 93:4, 4, 24, 24 94:3, 6, 9 95:4 96:3 **skilled** 48:18 77:19, 24 95:6 99:8 **SKU** 52:23, 24 53:4 **SKUs** 19:20 52:25 53:5 **slack** 80:1 slightly 19:5 **slot** 71:9, 11 **snap** 59:2 **snapping** 58:23 **snaps** 59:7 snapshot 53:25 **solely** 40:13 solution 37:7 **sorry** 12:14 42:12, 16, 19 44:9 80:16 103:4 118:*15* **sort** 50:24 76:19 **source** 45:18 **South** 2:14 **space** 121:14 **speak** 9:4 43:18 speaking 68:1 specialization 15:23 **specific** 9:6 19:14 22:7 25:10 32:22 33:13, 19 54:8, 10, 19 56:9 65:20 74:18, 19 83:17 96:7 97:12 106:11 specifically 16:22 21:10 23:5, 24 24:23 32:23 54:14 55:10 61:17, 21 62:18 78:23 90:11 96:13 98:12 specificity 24:3 **specifics** 22:25 24:3 32:4 37:6 46:24 47:5 48:13 59:25 63:19 64:12 73:25 78:19 89:12 96:20 speeches 67:14 **spend** 112:*13* **spent** 12:4, 22 85:25 86:4 95:22

spike 88:*15* 90:*18* 91:10, 17 99:24 100:6 **spoke** 75:25 111:23 **spoken** 117:12 **square** 113:20 **stage** 22:5 **staged** 45:20 start 33:9 60:7, 18 98:21 started 19:5 **starting** 42:13 99:2, 3 state 4:9 100:2, 6 107:12 **stated** 67:24 95:2 117:20 statement 32:24 34:14, 18 47:4 50:21 65:12 73:8 89:10 93:7, 14 111:9 **statements** 35:17, 18 89:8 **STATES** 1:1 9:20 10:3, 9, 14, 19, 23 11:7, 10, 15 31:6 41:6 93:3 99:23 **status** 10:20 **stayed** 42:*1* steel 90:24 91:14, 16 92:12 119:8 stenographically 120:11 **step** 52:3 60:1 95:11 118:20 steps 17:19 50:10, 20, 22 52:7 57:2 59:6, 22 60:1 62:19 69:25 stills 50:17, 18 **stock** 19:20 stop 8:10 91:16 strategy 16:4 **stretch** 51:19 **strictly** 28:21 68:3 **strike** 65:14 84:14 studied 16:6 **study** 18:5 45:13 **studying** 18:*12* style 75:18, 18 76:10

subject 121:15 **submit** 15:9 **submitted** 6:5. 14 Subscribed 123:18 **subset** 32:12 subsidiary 4:18 **substance** 121:5, 9 sufficient 44:16 suggesting 40:10 **summer** 16:8 supplies 17:2 **supply** 17:2 **Support** 3:18 31:7 115:7 **surface** 106:2, 15 **surfaces** 105:15 sworn 75:2 123:18 < T > **tab** 53:2 **table** 49:6 takeaways 13:21 takes 51:5 talk 8:23 22:23 37:24 39:6 51:*13* 74:18

talking 24:2 50:19 74:10 96:11, 13 **teaches** 118:1, 3, 5, 6 **team** 21:24 22:12 23:10 37:16 41:9 45:1, 17 46:12 69:12, 16 technical 20:10 21:1, *11* 22:4 23:3 98:25 99:12 technically 23:10 97:9, 11 tension 119:12 tenure 19:13 24:21 37:19 53:15 54:16 82:23 **term** 16:2 55:14, 15 18, 24 98:10, 15, 19, 25 99:15 104:20 107:18 110:20 terminate 91:1

technology 26:3 27:8 75:1 90:22 96:5, 5, 7, 10, 16, 17, 23 97:1, 15, terms 21:25 55:20 91:12 95:17, 22 96:1 99:9, 11 107:9 115:15 **testified** 38:18 41:8 42:4.5 testify 5:10 28:16 66:21 testifying 4:23 28:18 68:7 72:25 **testimony** 5:5, 15 8:16 10:25 11:4 22:18 24:11, 24 29:2, 3 31:7 34:24 40:8, 12, 15 41:20, 25 42:7 49:17, 23 51:17 54:6, 7 67:23 72:4 75:2 82:20 83:4 108:20 114:8 115:24, 25 116:21 117:9 120:9 121:14 123:12 text 88:12, 14 **thereof** 22:24 43:2 97:13 things 20:25 57:21 74:17 **third** 8:8, 9 9:13 51:25 **thought** 12:15 117:9 **thoughts** 115:23 tied 70:21 tighten 72:6 tightened 71:24 tightening 71:25 **tightens** 71:*14* time 8:19, 21 9:7, 8, 10, 12, 18, 25 11:9 12:4 14:13, 15 17:6, *15* 19:*14* 25:*4* 26:*3* 27:14, 16 37:9, 14 41:21 51:7 57:9 61:6, 17 86:4 90:9, 10 93:22 94:2, 21 112:12 114:4 120:6, 7, 10 **timeframe** 12:6 34:20 times 7:3 68:22, 23 69:1, 4, 5, 9, 11 95:23 title 17:5, 11, 15, 20



117:15

18:18 37:3

today 4:23 5:2, 11 6:23 16:15 28:3 30:15 31:2 32:10, 22 33:7, 10 35:25 36:3 40:6 43:11 49:6 62:25 64:2, 20 65:2 76:24 95:24 99:19 102:5 108:18 110:16 112:8, 9, 13 114:23 115:19, 21, 24 116:24 today's 35:10 111:14 112:10 **told** 9:15 64:16 73:1 **top** 21:16 104:1, 18 105:11 **Toronto** 2:3, 3 10:15 123:2 total 12:11 totally 42:2 **Tower** 2:14 TRADEMARK 1:1 4:8 5:7 85:9 transcribed 120:12 transcript 120:14 123:8, 12 travel 10:21, 23 11:5, 6, 9, 15 **TRIAL** 1:2 33:23 39:10 67:12, 15, 21 68:9, 11 **Tron** 2:12 39:22 **true** 120:13 123:11 truthfully 5:11 trying 23:3 25:9, 9 51:7 57:19 63:12, 17 95:25 107:22 118:9 119:14 turn 60:15 79:2, 14, *16*, *24* 80:*10* 117:*19* 118:9 119:6 turned 71:12, 18 turning 46:17 73:5, 10 twist 118:21 119:10 twisted 118:8 **twisting** 119:*13* two-minute 54:1 59:20 **two-year** 95:*3*

type 76:7, 16 102:16 **typical** 26:23 typically 26:3 90:24 < U > **U.S** 4:8 5:6 6:7 12:1, 2 85:8 ultimately 21:16 22:2, 8 **unclear** 20:22 63:5 undergraduate 15:15 undersigned 123:6 **understand** 4:22, 25 5:4, 13 6:16, 24 7:1 16:16 21:5, 9, 13 25:3, 11 26:20 29:18 31:5 38:22 39:15 43:22 48:15, 19 49:3 50:1, 4 52:25 54:2, *18* 55:23 56:1 63:17 64:5, 19, 24 65:1, 8, 19 67:10 68:17 72:9 74:23 88:12, 16 94:6 95:19, 25 96:20 97:23 104:15 understanding 10:7, 24 16:12 27:20 36:24 53:21 89:13 90:20 95:11, 16 96:15 103:17 106:14 109:18 113:7 117:17 119:4 understood 10:4 25:14 27:1, 10 58:9 64:18 83:6 88:18 **unique** 76:18 **unit** 19:18 **UNITED** 1:1 9:20 10:3, 9, 14, 18, 23 11:7, 10, 15 units 19:20 University 13:15 15:21 unlock 73:13 76:19 Unnecessary 68:1 untimely 15:3

updated 3:19 115:8

upper 34:2

USA 1:8, 19

uses 75:11, 15 76:1, 2, 4 utilizing 101:8 < V > validity 40:13 42:6 109:5 111:2 116:13 **valleys** 101:9 104:23 105:2, 6, 10, 16, 20 106:4, 24 107:2, 6 108:7 117:21 Vancouver 4:13 versus 62:21 74:21 vertically 103:21 104:12 video 45:23 46:1 50:16, 25 51:1, 4, 9, *18*, *23* 54:*1* 58:*4*, *12* 59:20, 21 60:2, 6, 11, 13, 16, 21 61:1, 3, 7, 9, 12, 21, 25 62:2, 5, 11, 14 63:24 65:23 69:22, 22 70:4, 6 71:2, 10, 14, 15 72:18 75:14 80:2 87:12, 13, 21 view 78:23 87:18 103:14 104:2 views 102:22 **Vodopia** 2:19 3:10 14:6, 14, 19, 23 15:4 23:21 27:12, 23 30:10 37:1, 20, 23 38:4 39:6, 18, 25, 25 40:20, 25 41:22, 22 43:4, 14, 19 44:1, 5, 8 58:25 60:10 66:21 77:16 79:7 83:15 90:2 92:21 94:25 97:22 108:19 110:19 111:15 113:3 114:22 115:3, 10 116:17 **volume** 67:23 < W > **Wacker** 2:14 wanted 41:20 92:25 119:5

60:2 69:21 **watched** 63:23 **website** 29:10 Webster's 97:6 Weiner 2:11 3:9, 11 4:3, 6 7:16, 18 8:12 9:3, 24 10:16 11:16 14:11, 16, 20 15:1, 9, *12, 16* 19:*10* 20:*19* 23:23 24:6, 15 25:2 26:11 27:19 28:8, 15, 20 29:4, 5 30:6, 13 37:8 38:1, 10, 19 39:21, 21 40:24, 25 42:21 43:5, 6, 20 44:4, 21 47:6 49:25 52:12 57:13 59:10 60:5, 13, 24 61:22 65:7 66:5, 9, 10, 16, 24 67:3, 7, 11, 20 68:19 72:8 73:4 77:22 79:6, 10 84:1, 20 85:13 90:4 92:19, 24 95:7 98:5 108:21 109:9 110:2*1* 111:5, 12, 19 112:16, 20, 25 114:8, 12, 14, 20, 25 116:18, 19 119:3, 19, 21 well-known 97:6 White 18:15, 23 85:15, 17 **White's** 18:18 35:20 54:6 93:9 Willis 2:14 **WITNESS** 3:7 5:25 7:6, 21 9:16 14:5 19:7 28:17, 25 38:11, 21 39:3 41:4, 8 44:20 60:14 63:13 67:8 68:6, 6 72:24 115:24 120:7, 9 121:1, 18, 18, 19 122:5 witnesses 10:8 68:2 **won't** 7:2 word 20:22 54:18 97:7 100:9 words 70:3 98:20 99:9 107:6, 15, 23 115:14

watch 51:1 59:22

work 11:22 12:12 16:9 18:*10*, *15* 19:*3*, 8 22:7 26:3 28:3 45:7 46:14 49:22 57:21 78:20 82:14, 18, 23 87:19 97:8 115:4 worked 16:23 18:2, 23 22:1 37:5, 18 38:17 40:18 44:12 45:9 53:14 87:15 working 12:5 18:24 19:2, 16 28:2 41:10 44:10 47:17 workings 47:22 48:10 49:19 works 25:10 27:7 48:4 50:10 56:7, 10 69:15, 18 72:19 74:4 115:4 world 76:20 98:24 worth 12:12 wrapper 31:9, 19 32:25 wrench 71:25 writing 85:2 written 25:25 29:2 40:12 42:7 81:10 **wrong** 73:8 < Y > **Yeah** 93:17 114:24

< Y > Yeah 93:17 114:24 year 8:9 9:9 years 82:23 94:12, 14, 17, 22 95:5 York 2:21 85:23

