

Filed on behalf of: NVIDIA Corp.

Entered: May 23, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NVIDIA CORP.

Petitioner

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.

Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01327
U.S. Patent No. 6,287,902 B1

Before JAMESON LEE, PATRICK R. SCANLON,
and JUSTIN BUSCH, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

**NOTICE OF STIPULATION TO MODIFY
THE SCHEDULING ORDER**

NVIDIA Corp. (“Petitioner”) and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Patent Owner”) (collectively, “the Parties”), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. On December 9, 2015, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board issued a Scheduling Order in the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,287,902 (IPR2015-01327) setting forth “due dates for the parties to take action after institution of the proceeding.” (Paper 10 at 1.)
2. The Scheduling Order further states that the “parties may stipulate to different dates for DUE DATES 1 through 5.” (*Id.*)
3. On January 20, 2016, the Parties entered a Joint Stipulation Modifying DUE DATE 1 and DUE DATE 2 in the Scheduling Order (Paper 13).
4. On February 16, 2016, the Parties entered a Joint Stipulation Modifying DUE DATES 1 through 3 in the Scheduling Order (Paper 14).
5. On March 4, 2016, the Parties entered a Joint Stipulation Modifying DUE DATE 1 and DUE DATE 2 in the Scheduling Order (Paper 16).
6. On May 13, 2016, the Parties entered a Joint Stipulation Modifying DUE DATE 2 in the Scheduling Order (Paper 22).
7. The newly amended DUE DATES 2 through 4 supersede the previously stipulated DUE DATES.
8. This stipulation does not affect or otherwise modify the dates for DUE

DATES 6 and 7 in the Amended Scheduling Order.

9. Petitioner and Patent Owner hereby stipulate to modify DUE DATES 2 through 4 as follows:

Document	Due Date	Proposed Due Date
DUE DATE 2 (a) Petitioner's reply to patent owner's response to petition (b) Petitioner's opposition to motion to amend	May 23, 2016	May 30, 2016
DUE DATE 3 Patent owner's reply to petitioner's opposition to motion to amend	May 30, 2016	June 10, 2016
DUE DATE 4 (a) Motion for observation regarding cross-examination of reply witness (b) Motion to exclude evidence (c) Request for oral argument	June 10, 2016	June 17, 2016

10. All other DUE DATES in the Scheduling Order remain the same.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 23, 2016

By: /Robert Steinberg/

Robert Steinberg (Reg. No. 33144)
Bob.Steinberg@lw.com
Latham & Watkins LLP
355 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
213.485.1234; 213.891.8763 (Fax)

Julie Holloway (Reg. No. 44769)

Case IPR2015-01327
U.S. Patent No. 6,287,902

Julie.Holloway@lw.com
Latham & Watkins LLP
505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94111
415.391.0600; 415.395.8095 (Fax)

Clement Naples (Reg. No. 50663)
Clement.Naples@lw.com
Latham & Watkins LLP
885 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022-4834
212.906.1200; 212.751.4864 (Fax)

Counsel for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e) and the parties' agreement to electronic service on June 22, 2015, I certify that on this 23rd day of May, 2016, a copy of **Notice of Stipulation to Modify the Scheduling Order** was served by electronic mail on Patent Owner's lead and backup counsel at the following email addresses:

Naveen Modi (Reg. No. 46,224)
Joseph E. Palys (Reg. No. 46,508)
nVidia-Samsung-IPR@paulhastings.com

By: /Robert Steinberg/

Robert Steinberg (Reg. No. 33144)
Bob.Steinberg@lw.com
Latham & Watkins LLP
355 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
213.485.1234; 213.891.8763 (Fax)

Counsel for Petitioner