

Filed on behalf of: NVIDIA Corp.

Entered: June 6, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NVIDIA CORP.
Petitioner

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01327
U.S. Patent No. 6,287,902 B1

Before JAMESON LEE, PATRICK R. SCANLON,
and JUSTIN BUSCH, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

**NOTICE OF STIPULATION TO MODIFY
THE SCHEDULING ORDER**

NVIDIA Corp. (“Petitioner”) and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Patent Owner”) (collectively, “the Parties”), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. On December 9, 2015, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board issued a Scheduling Order in the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,287,902 (IPR2015-01327) setting forth “due dates for the parties to take action after institution of the proceeding.” (Paper 10 at 1.)
2. The Scheduling Order further states that the “parties may stipulate to different dates for DUE DATES 1 through 5.” (*Id.*)
3. On January 20, 2016, the Parties entered a Joint Stipulation Modifying DUE DATE 1 and DUE DATE 2 in the Scheduling Order (Paper 13).
4. On February 16, 2016, the Parties entered a Joint Stipulation Modifying DUE DATES 1 through 3 in the Scheduling Order (Paper 14).
5. On March 4, 2016, the Parties entered a Joint Stipulation Modifying DUE DATE 1 and DUE DATE 2 in the Scheduling Order (Paper 16).
6. On May 13, 2016, the Parties entered a Joint Stipulation Modifying DUE DATE 2 in the Scheduling Order (Paper 22).
7. On May 23, 2016, the Parties entered a Joint Stipulation Modifying DUE DATES 2 through 4 in the Scheduling Order (Paper 23).
8. On May 27, 2016, the Parties entered a Joint Stipulation Modifying DUE

DATES 2 through 5 in the Scheduling Order (Paper 24).

9. The newly amended DUE DATE 2 supersedes the previously stipulated DUE DATE 2.

10. This stipulation does not affect or otherwise modify the dates for DUE DATES 6 and 7 in the Amended Scheduling Order.

11. Petitioner and Patent Owner hereby stipulate to modify DUE DATE 2 as follows:

Document	Due Date	Proposed Due Date
DUE DATE 2 (a) Petitioner's reply to patent owner's response to petition (b) Petitioner's opposition to motion to amend	June 6, 2016	June 8, 2016

12. All other DUE DATES in the Scheduling Order and the Joint Stipulation (Paper 24) remain the same.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 6, 2016

By: /Robert Steinberg/

Robert Steinberg (Reg. No. 33144)
Bob.Steinberg@lw.com
Latham & Watkins LLP
355 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
213.485.1234; 213.891.8763 (Fax)

Julie Holloway (Reg. No. 44769)

Case IPR2015-01327
U.S. Patent No. 6,287,902

Julie.Holloway@lw.com
Latham & Watkins LLP
505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94111
415.391.0600; 415.395.8095 (Fax)

Clement Naples (Reg. No. 50663)
Clement.Naples@lw.com
Latham & Watkins LLP
885 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022-4834
212.906.1200; 212.751.4864 (Fax)

Counsel for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e) and the parties' agreement to electronic service on June 22, 2015, I certify that on this 6th day of June, 2016, a copy of **Notice of Stipulation to Modify the Scheduling Order** was served by electronic mail on Patent Owner's lead and backup counsel at the following email addresses:

Naveen Modi (Reg. No. 46,224)
Joseph E. Palys (Reg. No. 46,508)
nVidia-Samsung-IPR@paulhastings.com

By: /Robert Steinberg/

Robert Steinberg (Reg. No. 33144)
Bob.Steinberg@lw.com
Latham & Watkins LLP
355 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
213.485.1234; 213.891.8763 (Fax)

Counsel for Petitioner