Trials@uspto.gov Paper 11
Tel: 571.272.7822 Date Entered: February 8, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

T-MOBILE US, INC., T-MOBILE USA, INC., TELECOMMUNICATION SYSTEMS, INC., ERICSSON INC., AND TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON, Petitioner,

v.

TRACBEAM, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01712 (Patent 7,298,327 B2) Case IPR2015-01713 (Patent 8,032,153 B2)¹

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, RICHARD E. RICE, DAVID C. MCKONE, and BARBARA A. PARVIS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PARVIS, Administrative Patent Judge.

SCHEDULING ORDER

¹ This Order applies to each of the listed cases. We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be docketed in each case. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this caption for any subsequent papers.

A. DUE DATES

This order sets due dates for the parties to take action after institution of the proceeding. The parties may stipulate to different dates for DUE DATES 1 through 5 (earlier or later, but no later than DUE DATE 6). A notice of the stipulation, specifically identifying the changed due dates, must be promptly filed. The parties may not stipulate to an extension of DUE DATES 6 and 7.

In stipulating to different times, the parties should consider the effect of the stipulation on times to object to evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)), to supplement evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(2)), to conduct cross-examination (37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2)), and to draft papers depending on the evidence and cross-examination testimony (*see* section B, below).

The parties are reminded that the Testimony Guidelines appended to the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,772 (Aug. 14, 2012) (Appendix D), apply to this proceeding. The Board may impose an appropriate sanction for failure to adhere to the Testimony Guidelines. 37 C.F.R. § 42.12. For example, reasonable expenses and attorneys' fees incurred by any party may be levied on a person who impedes, delays, or frustrates the fair examination of a witness.

1. INITIAL CONFERENCE CALL

The parties are directed to contact the Board within a month of this decision if there is a need to discuss proposed changes to this Scheduling Order or proposed motions. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,765–66 (guidance in preparing for the initial conference call).

IPR2015-01712 (Patent 7,298,327 B2) IPR2015-01713 (Patent 8,032,153 B2)

a. Confidential Information

The parties must file confidential information using the appropriate availability indicator in PRPS (e.g., "Board and Parties Only"), regardless of whose confidential information it is. It is the responsibility of the party whose confidential information is at issue, not necessarily the proffering party, to file the motion to seal, unless the party whose confidential information is at issue is not a party to this proceeding.

A protective order does not exist in a case until one is filed in the case and is approved by the Board. If a motion to seal is filed by either party, the proposed protective order should be presented as an exhibit to the motion.

The parties are urged to operate under the Board's default protective order, should that become necessary. *See* Default Protective Order, Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,769–71, App. B.

If the parties choose to propose a protective order deviating from the default protective order, they should submit the proposed protective order jointly. A marked-up comparison of the proposed and default protective orders should be presented as an additional exhibit to the motion to seal, so that difference can be understood readily. The parties should contact the Board if they cannot agree on the terms of the proposed protective order.

b. Redactions

Redactions should be limited strictly to isolated passages consisting entirely of confidential information. The thrust of the underlying argument or evidence must be clearly discernable from the redacted version.

c. Confidential Information in Final Written Decisions
Information subject to a protective order will become public if
identified in a final written decision in this proceeding. A motion to

IPR2015-01712 (Patent 7,298,327 B2) IPR2015-01713 (Patent 8,032,153 B2)

expunge the information will not necessarily prevail over the public interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,761.

2. DUE DATE 1

The patent owner may file—

- a. A response to the petition (37 C.F.R. § 42.120), and
- b. A motion to amend the patent (37 C.F.R. § 42.121).

The patent owner must file any such response or motion to amend by DUE DATE 1. If the patent owner elects not to file anything, the patent owner must arrange a conference call with the parties and the Board. The patent owner is cautioned that any arguments for patentability not raised in the response will be deemed waived.

3. DUE DATE 2

The petitioner must file any reply to the patent owner's response and opposition to the motion to amend by DUE DATE 2.

4. DUE DATE 3

The patent owner must file any reply to the petitioner's opposition to patent owner's motion to amend by DUE DATE 3.

5. DUE DATE 4

a. Each party must file any motion for an observation on the cross-examination testimony of a reply witness (*see* section C, below) by DUE DATE 4.

IPR2015-01712 (Patent 7,298,327 B2) IPR2015-01713 (Patent 8,032,153 B2)

b. Each party must file any motion to exclude evidence (37 C.F.R § 42.64(c)) and any request for oral argument (37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a)) by DUE DATE 4.

6. DUE DATE 5

- a. Each party must file any response to an observation on cross-examination testimony by DUE DATE 5.
- b. Each party must file any opposition to a motion to exclude evidence by DUE DATE 5.

7. DUE DATE 6

Each party must file any reply for a motion to exclude evidence by DUE DATE 6.

8. DUE DATE 7

The oral argument (if requested by either party) is set for DUE DATE 7. If oral argument is requested by a party, an Order will be issued with a specific date and time within the period indicated.

B. CROSS-EXAMINATION

Except as the parties might otherwise agree, for each due date—

- 1. Cross-examination begins after any supplemental evidence is due. 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2).
- 2. Cross-examination ends no later than a week before the filing date for any paper in which the cross-examination testimony is expected to be used. *Id*.

C. MOTION FOR OBSERVATION ON CROSS-EXAMINATION

A motion for observation on cross-examination provides the parties with a mechanism to draw the Board's attention to relevant cross-examination testimony of a reply witness because no further substantive paper is permitted after the reply. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,767–68. The observation must be a concise statement of the relevance of precisely identified testimony to a precisely identified argument or portion of an exhibit. Each observation should not exceed a single, short paragraph. The opposing party may respond to the observation. Any response must be equally concise and specific.

D. MOTION TO AMEND

Although the filing of a Motion to Amend is authorized under our Rules, Patent Owner must confer with us before filing any Motion to Amend. We strongly encourage the parties to arrange for a conference call with us no less than ten (10) business days prior to DUE DATE 1.

IPR2015-01712 (Patent 7,298,327 B2) IPR2015-01713 (Patent 8,032,153 B2)
DUE DATE APPENDIX
INITIAL CONFERENCE CALL
DUE DATE 1 May 9, 2016
Patent owner's response to the petition
Patent owner's motion to amend the patent
DUE DATE 2
Petitioner's reply to patent owner's response to petition
Petitioner's opposition to motion to amend
DUE DATE 3 September 8, 2016
Patent owner's reply to petitioner's opposition to motion to amend
DUE DATE 4 September 29, 2016
Motion for observation regarding cross-examination of reply witness
Motion to exclude evidence
Request for oral argument
DUE DATE 5
Response to observation
Opposition to motion to exclude
DUE DATE 6
Reply to opposition to motion to exclude

Oral argument (if requested)

IPR2015-01712 (Patent 7,298,327 B2) IPR2015-01713 (Patent 8,032,153 B2)

PETITIONER:

Brian W. Oaks
Douglas M. Kubehl
Chad C. Walters
Ross G. Culpepper
BAKER BOTTS LLP
brian.oaks@bakerbotts.com
doug.kubehl@bakerbotts.com
chad.walters@bakerbotts.com
ross.culpepper@bakerbotts.com

PATENT OWNER:

Sean Luner DOVEL AND LUNER, LLP sean@dovellaw.com

Steven C. Sereboff SOCAL IP LAW GROUP LLP ssereboff@socalip.com