UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INNOPHARMA LICENSING, INC., INNOPHARMA LICENSING LLC, INNOPHARMA INC. INNOPHARMA LLC, MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., and MYLAN INC., Petitioner,

v.

SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD., BAUSCH & LOMB, INC., and BAUSCH & LOMB PHARMA HOLDINGS CORP., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00902 Patent 8,669,290

Case IPR2015-00903 Patent 8,129,431

Case IPR2016-00089 Patent 8,754,131 B2

Case IPR2016-00090 Patent 8,871,813 B2

Case IPR2016-00091 Patent 8,927,606 B1

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegalservices.com

		2
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	
2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	
3		
4	LUPIN LTD. and LUPIN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,	
5	Petitioner,	
6	V.	
7	SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD., Patent Owner.	
8		
9	Case IPR2015-01097 Patent 8,754,131 B2	
10		
11	Case IPR2015-01099 Patent 8,669,290 B2	
12		
13	Case IPR2015-01100 Patent 8,927,606 B1	
14		
	Case IPR2015-01105	
15	Patent 8,871,813 B2	
16	Case IPR2015-01871	
17	Patent 8,129,431	
18	Telephonic Conference Call Before The Patent Trial And Appeal Board	
19	Washington, D.C. Friday, December 11, 2015, 2:01 p.m.	
20	riiday, December II, 2013, 2.01 p.m.	
21		
22	Reported by: Donna A. Peterson	
II		

		3
1	APPEARANCES	
2		
3	ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGE GRACE KARAFFA	
4	OBERMANN	
5	ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGE ERICA A. FRANKLIN	
6	ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGE FRANCISCO C. PRATS	
7	PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	
8	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	
9	600 Dulaney Street	
10	Alexandria, Virginia 22314-5796	
11		
12	ON BEHALF OF INNOPHARMA LICENSING, INC.,	
13	INNOPHARMA LICENSING LLC, MYLAND	
14	PHARMACEUTICALS INC., and MYLAN INC.,	
15	PETITIONER:	
16	JITENDRA MALIK, Ph.D., ATTORNEY at LAW	
17	HIDETADA JAMES ABE, ATTORNEY at LAW	
18	ALSTON & BIRD, LLP	
19	Suite 400, 4721 Emperor Boulevard	
20	Durham, North Carolina 27703-8580	
21	Telephone: (202) 783-5070	
22		

		4
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED	
2	ON BEHALF OF SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD.,	
3	BAUSCH & LOMB, INC., and BAUSCH & LOMB PHARMA	
4	HOLDINGS CORP., PATENT OWNER:	
5	BRYAN C. DINER, ATTORNEY at LAW	
6	JUSTIN J. HASFORD, ATTORNEY at LAW	
7	JOSHUA L. GOLDBERG, ATTORNEY at LAW	
8	ELIZABETH D. FERRIL, ATTORNEY at LAW	
9	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,	
10	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP	
11	901 New York Avenue, N.W.	
12	Washington, D.C. 20001	
13	Telephone: (202) 408-4000	
14		
15	ON BEHALF OF LUPIN LTD. and LUPIN	
16	PHARMACEUTICALS INC., PETITIONER:	
17	DEBORAH H. YELLIN, ATTORNEY at LAW	
18	JONATHAN LINDSAY, ATTORNEY at LAW	
19	CROWELL & MORING, LLP	
20	1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.	
21	Washington, D.C. 20004-2595	
22	Telephone: (202) 624-2947	

				5
1		CONTENTS		
2			PAGE	
3	Hearing:	Global Schedule	6	
4				
5				
б				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				

6 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 JUDGE OBERMANN: Good afternoon. 3 This is Judge Obermann. I have Judge Franklin and Judge Prats on the phone with me. 5 This is a telephone conference in a series 6 of ten IPR's. I'll read them into the record: IPR2015-00902, IPR2015-00903, IPR2015-01097, IPR2015-01099, IPR2015-01100, IPR2015-01105, 8 9 IPR2015-01871, IPR2016-00089, IPR2016-00090 and IPR2016-00091. 10 11 I understand we have a court reporter. 12 Which party engaged the court reporter, please? 13 MR. DINER: That would be Senju, et al., 14 Your Honor. 15 JUDGE OBERMANN: Thank you. Is that 16 Mr. Diner? 17 MR. DINER: Yes, it is, Your Honor. Good 18 afternoon, by the way. 19 JUDGE OBERMANN: Good afternoon. 20 Mr. Diner, will you please file a copy of 21 the transcript as an exhibit in due course? 22 MR. DINER: Yes, we will, Your Honor.

7 1 JUDGE OBERMANN: Thank you. 2 Mr. Diner, do you have anyone else 3 appearing with you on behalf of Senju today? 4 MR. DINER: I do, Your Honor. 5 JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. 6 MR. DINER: The first person is Justin Hasford. 8 JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. Anyone else? 9 MR. DINER: Yes, Your Honor. Joshua 10 Goldberg. 11 THE JUDGE: All right. 12 MR. DINER: And Elizabeth Ferrill, spelled 13 F-E-double R-I-double L. 14 JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay, thank you, 15 Mr. Diner. 16 Who's appearing today for Petitioner 17 Lupin? MS. YELLIN: Hello, Your Honor. 18 19 This is Deborah Yellin, from Crowell and 20 Moring, here for Lupin, and with me is Jonathan 21 Lindsay. 22 JUDGE OBERMANN: Thank you, Ms. Yellin.

8 1 And do we have someone here from 2 Innopharma? 3 MR. MALIK: Yes, Your Honor. Good 4 afternoon, Your Honor. 5 My name is Jitendra Malik, I'm counsel for 6 Innopharma. With me is James Abe. 7 JUDGE OBERMANN: Thank you very much. 8 Okay, I understand that a conference call 9 was requested regarding a proposed global schedule, 10 and the e-mail came in from Mr. Diner on behalf of 11 Senju, so I'll ask Mr. Diner to please proceed. 12 MR. DINER: Okay, Your Honor, thank you. 13 Well, Your Honor, we received the e-mail 14 from Ms. Bignone on Wednesday, December 9th, and we 15 see how you have things set out here. 16 Would you like us to jump right to 17 paragraphs number four, or is there a particular way 18 that you would like us to -- would you like us to 19 address anything up front, like the global 20 consolidation, or I guess I'll ask you how you would 21 like us to address the issues, based on your e-mail 22 or what?

1 JUDGE OBERMANN: Well, we intentionally 2 sent you the e-mail because we wanted to be able to 3 focus today and, as you can see, we are not inclined 4 to move the schedule in an IPR that is not the 5 subject of a motion to join. We didn't see a reason 6 to move the dates in the IPR 903. You're welcome to address that if all three parties disagree or even if 8 one of you disagree, you can use the time as you 9 like. We're open to hearing what you have to say, 10 but we wanted to let you know sort of where we are, 11 based on the record that's been developed so far. 12 We wanted to let you know that we didn't 13 see a reason to roll those first two filed 14 proceedings into this global schedule. Obviously if 15 you're able to, you know, come up with a compelling 16 reason why we should, we're opening to listening to 17 So I will, you know, sort of let you, having 18 hopefully absorbed where the board is at this stage, 19 let you tell us what you think could be done at this 20 point. 21 The other concern the board has is that

you're asking us to -- it appeared that you seemed to

22

- 1 be asking us to enter a schedule in the last three
- 2 IPR's, actually the last four, which haven't been
- instituted yet. So we'd like you to sort of address
- 4 what you think can be done at this point to help us
- and the parties because we do want to, you know, get
- the parties, to the extent possible, on the same
- ⁷ schedule so that you can work together. But, at the
- 8 same time, we have to work within the constraints of
- 9 the fact that these were, you know, filed in a
- 10 staggered fashion.
- 11 So with that, if that helps at all,
- 12 Mr. Diner, maybe you can proceed.
- MR. DINER: Yeah, that's helpful, I think
- 14 it does. Let me take a shot at the very first issue
- on the global coordination.
- As you know, we did have a meet and confer
- and all the parties did agree on it. We recognize
- that the board was looking for a compelling reason.
- We weren't sure if all parties agreeing on it was
- 20 compelling enough, but I think your e-mail perhaps
- 21 addressed that, certainly if not directly,
- 22 implicitly.

11 1 But we did have another meet and confer, 2 and I think, if anything can be said about the 3 parties, I think we are kind of getting along and trying to work things out and -- and cooperating with 5 one another. And pursuant to that meet and confer 6 that we had this morning, I think my colleague from Innopharma, Mr. Malik, may want to, did you want to 8 take a first shot and talk about --9 MR. MALIK: Yes. 10 MR. DINER: -- global coordination or how, 11 would you like me to proceed and then --12 MR. MALIK: Sure. 13 MR. DINER: -- jump back in afterwards? 14 MR. MALIK: Thank you, Bryan. 15 Your Honor, this is Jitendra Malik, 16 counsel for Innopharma. 17 As Mr. Diner mentioned, we did have a call this morning to meet and confer basically before this 18 19 call so that the parties could try to come to some 20 kind of understanding as to how best to approach the 21 hearing today, in light of the board's guidance in

the e-mail that we received on Wednesday. What we --

- what we talked about is obviously with the board
- deciding with the three seven -- deciding the 902,
- 903 cases, leaving the schedule undisturbed, and then
- 4 there are an additional seven that are still open,
- 5 the time pressures are no longer quite there because
- 6 the reason the parties wanted to bring it to the
- board's attention sooner, rather than later, was
- 8 because the 902 and the 903 cases are on an earlier
- 9 schedule, compared to the others. Being now that
- they are split, we don't have quite the time
- 11 pressures in connection with the other three.
- Some of the points that raised in your
- e-mail in connection with point four are directly
- 14 kind of related to Innopharma's exposition. We did
- 15 inform the other parties that given Innopharma was
- not otherwise time barred in filing its later three
- 17 IPR's, the ones that we filed on, I believe, November
- 18 11th that we were not inclined to -- to not use our
- 19 expert Dr. Laskar and go only with Dr. Lawrence. So
- in connection with point "A," we weren't inclined to
- take a backseat role and take understudy role, based
- on the fact that we weren't time barred.

- When we -- when we told Senju that, one of
- the discussions that we had was that Senju raised,
- 3 perhaps if Innopharma was willing to only proceed on
- 4 ground one and drop grounds two and three that they
- 5 would not oppose using two experts in this case,
- 6 Dr. Laskar and Dr. Lawrence, for Lupin, in connection
- 7 with the -- Innopharma's case 89, 90 and 91. And
- 8 what -- what, where we left it is given the time
- 9 pressure's no longer there that we, in essence, would
- 10 -- that we, that Innopharma would go back to our
- 11 client with that proposal. And, perhaps, if our
- 12 client agrees with just using ground one, maybe that
- would be a way to kind of resolve everything,
- bringing the last three Innopharma IPR's on the same
- 15 schedule as the previously ones -- previous schedule
- proposed by Lupin, and that hopefully should -- and
- if the board obviously goes with that proposal,
- 18 hopefully that should resolve things.
- 19 JUDGE OBERMANN: Mr. Malik, I want to
- interrupt you for a second because I'm not completely
- following what you're proposing.
- You're proposing that in the -- in the

- last three actions, 89, 90 and 91, that Innopharma
- trim its petition down to just one ground and retain
- 3 their expert and do what?
- 4 MR. MALIK: In essence, move forward with
- 5 that one ground with our expert.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: So you would try to join
- 7 the Lupin IPR's?
- MR. MALIK: Yes.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: And you would still want
- to have a separate expert?
- MR. MALIK: Yes. And -- and, as I
- mentioned, the proposal was only put forward this
- morning, so --
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay.
- MR. MALIK: -- I'd have to go back to my
- 16 client, but that's -- and if that, if Innopharma
- 17 agrees, Senju has also agreed not to file a
- 18 preliminary response and hopefully that would move
- 19 things forward.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. So we don't yet
- 21 know Innopharma's ability to propose that because you
- haven't spoken to your client.

- Do we know what Lupin's position is on
- 2 that?
- MS. YELLIN: Your Honor, this is Deborah
- 4 Yellin, for Lupin.
- We're certainly fine with Innopharma
- 6 proceeding in that way, as long as we're, of course,
- 7 allowed to use our original expert which we used in
- 8 our original filing, Dr. Lawrence. If we're able to
- 9 do that and take our leading role in the proceedings,
- we're fine with them joining in that fashion and
- we're fine with them using Dr. Laskar, as well.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. Thank you,
- 13 Ms. Yellin.
- Going back to Mr. Malik. Under your
- proposal, you would basically still want to have
- seven pages additional briefing, or would you be
- taking seven pages of Lupin's briefing?
- MR. MALIK: We haven't discussed logistics
- amongst the party, but if the board has a preference,
- obviously we can, you know, we certainly would defer
- to the board. But I think seven pages additional
- briefing, to the extent the issues are not

- duplicative. Obviously if the issues are
- duplicative, and, you know, Innopharma and Lupin will
- work together. We're not here to give the board more
- 4 briefing just for the sake of additional pages. But
- 5 to the extent there's a unique issue for Innopharma,
- 6 we certainly would be -- brief that on additional
- 7 pages.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. Well, it sounds
- 9 maybe like this is maybe a little premature to
- discuss because we haven't gotten everything ironed
- out in that regard, especially since you haven't run
- 12 it by your client. I'm a little confused about how
- 13 it would look like.
- I guess -- I guess this conference, this
- 15 conference is on the record, so I guess we'll have to
- talk about that because we would need a way to modify
- the filing. You know, the petition has been filed
- and the motion to join has been filed, so we'll need
- to make, if it comes to fruition and you're able to
- get permission from your client to do it this way and
- 21 all three parties agree, I guess the biggest concern
- I would have, I want to make sure Senju is totally on

- board with the abbreviated discovery schedule,
- 2 notwithstanding the fact there would be two extra
- 3 experts and extra briefing. And then we would need
- 4 to, you know, just come up with a mechanism so that
- 5 Innopharma's intention is clear, so that we can rule
- on this sort of modified petition and motion to join
- ⁷ in a way that makes the record clear. Because right
- 8 now, what we have in the file is, you know, a full
- ⁹ petition on everything.
- Okay, unless you have something to add on
- that particular point, Mr. Malik, I will turn to
- 12 Mr. Diner because usually when we -- when we face
- joiner, it's the patent owner who's really crunched.
- 14 It seems here that Mr. Diner is on board with this,
- but I just want to make sure.
- MR. DINER: Thank you for turning to me,
- Your Honor. This is Bryan Diner. Yeah, I'll handle
- 18 it one by one.
- So on the issue of the seven pages
- additional, of course, if they're gonna have seven
- 21 pages, then we would like to have equal seven pages
- to respond to that. And I guess we can work that out

- as to what you're proposing when we submit that to
- 2 you.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay.
- 4 MR. DINER: On the issue of -- of aligning
- 5 the Innopharma's last three petitions with Lupin's
- 6 previous four, as Mr. Malik mentioned, we would like
- ⁷ to see, subject, I guess, to his client's approval of
- 8 that from his standpoint, we think it makes sense
- 9 that their petition get aligned on the same grounds
- that you've instituted both in the previous four
- 11 petitions on.
- 12 And then with regard to their use of
- Dr. Laskar, we're okay with Lupin having Dr. Lawrence
- and Innopharma having Dr. Laskar, just so long as
- 15 it's understood that it will be on the single ground
- that the board has instituted Lupin's petition back
- in October of this year.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: I have to say that I
- 19 share your concern because what usually happens when
- we join, we get the parties into sort of, as we did
- with 1871, into a condition where we can grant the
- later-filed petition and immediately terminate it in

- the same order, so that there's no confusion about
- what -- what constitutes the record at that point.
- 3 The record is constrained to the earlier-filed
- 4 petition.
- 5 What we're describing here is a little bit
- 6 different. It doesn't mean it can't be done, we
- would have to give it some careful thought. But
- 8 I'm -- because Innopharma is not time barred, I think
- 9 what makes sense at this point is to make sure that,
- 10 first of all, everyone's on board with this. But I
- don't think we can do it willy-nilly. I think we're
- going to need, if this comes to fruition, we're gonna
- 13 need Innopharma, perhaps, to file a motion asking to
- 14 file a modified petition. And we would want to see
- 15 exactly what that petition looks like, so that we can
- determine how best, you know, if it's in condition
- for joinder, to actually join it, so that there's no
- 18 confusion because I think that -- I think the
- declaration would need to change, as well, because
- you'd be trimming out -- you'd be trimming out part
- of that testimony that goes to grounds that are
- withdrawn.

- 1 MR. MALIK: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Alternatively, you could
- file -- I'm just throwing this out here, but perhaps
- 4 Innopharma could file something in the three last,
- 5 the three last proceedings, 89, 90 and 91, a paper
- 6 that withdraws grounds. Maybe that would be a
- 7 cleaner way to do it, if you're simply withdrawing
- grounds.
- 9 MR. DINER: Your Honor, this is Bryan
- 10 Diner. I'm sorry to jump in on you, I just had a
- 11 thought.
- 12 You raised a moment ago, you know, the
- 13 fact that Dr. Laskar's declaration would be
- 14 addressing those multiple grounds. How would you
- 15 handle that? You can handle the petition easily
- enough with the paper that you suggested. Would it
- also work, as well, with Dr. Laskar's declaration
- ¹⁸ that --
- 19 JUDGE OBERMANN: Yeah. I haven't looked
- at the declaration that carefully, but I would want
- to make sure that when we join this, we can do it
- very cleanly. And if we are moving -- this is very

- 1 unusual because usually we demand that the same
- declaration be used, so it's one of the reasons --
- and I'm not saying that we're gonna do it in this
- 4 case. We're not going to rule on that today,
- obviously. I do want to talk this over, to the
- 6 extent we can, so you have some direction going
- ⁷ forward, figuring out what to do.
- I will tell you, it's quite unusual to
- ⁹ join where we're not dropping an expert. So we would
- want to be persuaded in some sort of filing that
- 11 you've trimmed down that declaration in some fashion,
- either by -- I don't know what it looks like now,
- whether you can just file an unopposed motion to
- replace the declaration with something that's much
- more trimmed down. I think the parties need to get
- together and figure this out because what we would be
- doing would be granting the later-filed -- we would
- need to make sure that the petition in the
- 19 later-filed actions warrant institution. And if
- you've put them in condition so that they basically
- 21 repeat grounds, essentially repeat grounds that are
- stated in the earlier ones, it's very easy to do that

- because we've already ruled on those earlier ones.
- But if you're adding much material to
- 3 those later-filed ones and if you're not willing to
- 4 let go of all your nuts, so to speak, if you're a
- 5 squirrel and you don't want to let go of your nuts,
- 6 Innopharma in the second-filed petition, that really
- 7 complicates things because then the board has to look
- 8 more carefully at whether your petition really
- 9 warrants review because it brings forward different
- 10 grounds. And then when we join, it's going to be a
- 11 much more complicated record because we would have a
- 12 second declaration.
- But my concern is, I don't want to blur
- these proceedings, I don't want to just fold
- 15 everything up together if what you're telling me is
- the sum of the material that's been filed in the 89,
- the 90 and the 91 action are now going to be
- basically withdrawn. We need to make that clear in
- 19 the record.
- MR. DINER: Your Honor, this is Bryan
- 21 Diner again.
- One of my colleagues just whispered to me

- that one of the -- they were involved in a situation
- 2 like this in District Court they did a
- 3 strike-through. Maybe that's something we can work
- 4 out with Innopharma and see how that works. We could
- 5 work together and try --
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Yes. Well,
- ⁷ strike-throughs are nice as an exhibit, but when I'm
- 8 reading the briefs, I want to be able to look at
- 9 something that's clean.
- MR. DINER: I hear you. I hear you.
- MR. MALIK: We will submit a clean and a
- 12 strike-through copy, if that were amenable.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Sure, yes. Yes. We'll
- have to, you know, get our heads together. You know,
- if the parties have really reached this agreement,
- and especially if Senju wants to go forward in this
- fashion and doesn't mind complicating their very
- short discovery period with multiple experts, then I
- would like to find a way that we can do this, if
- 20 possible. But I think the parties do need to get
- together and do some work on figuring out how it can
- be done efficiently and in a manner that's not going

- 1 to confuse the record.
- MR. DINER: Okay.
- You mentioned a couple things, Your Honor,
- 4 that the parties still need to address. Can you just
- 5 summarize them, so we're all on the same page going
- 6 forward? Obviously it's what we just discussed in
- 7 terms of the petition and the declaration, but what
- 8 else was there? Was there the -- the page, extra
- 9 pages?
- JUDGE OBERMANN: The extra pages.
- 11 Obviously we prefer not to have extra pages. Lupin
- would have to be willing -- what usually happens and
- 13 what I've seen in the other cases is that the -- the
- second filer reserves, you know, the right to
- disagree with the first filer. So if there's a -- if
- they disagree with particular arguments made in a
- filing, they put in a couple extra pages, just
- pointing out the areas of disagreement.
- 19 If that's going to expand all of the
- filings, because I heard Mr. Diner say that he would
- want extra pages, as well, you know, that's not
- ideal. I really hope that the parties can get

- 1 together and figure out a way to avoid expanding the
- 2 page lengths of the briefs.
- MR. DINER: How about, then, if we took up
- 4 your previous suggestion you mentioned, going the
- other way, which is the -- which would be that
- 6 Innopharma takes seven pages within Lupin's allotted,
- 7 within the 20 pages, 16, whatever it is.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: It depends, it depends.
- 9 If you have a -- it depends. If you have a motion,
- 10 it's a certain page limit, replies have a certain
- 11 page limit.
- MR. DINER: Right, right.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: So you would have to --
- you know, my ideal, if the parties can truly work
- 15 together, if Lupin and Innopharma can work together,
- what would be ideal is if you can figure out a way to
- cough up a couple pages, if there's a disagreement,
- and keep it within the page limit. I understand if
- 19 you can't do that.
- The other thing I heard you mention,
- Mr. Malik, I think, was that there could be a
- 22 circumstance where there would be an issue that

- 1 relates solely to Innopharma, and usually that is
- 2 something that would require pre-authorization, where
- you would come to us and say, you know, something's
- 4 arisen and it affects only us and we would like an
- opportunity to address it. I suppose it could arise
- in the context of -- well, it gets slippery because
- ⁷ if you're not truly taking a backseat and the first
- 8 petitioner comes in and starts talking about their
- 9 own products, you could decide you want to talk about
- 10 your products and you could want a whole bunch of
- 11 pages on that.
- I don't want to get into a situation where
- we're dealing with a great deal more material than we
- would be in the unjoined proceedings. You see what
- 15 I'm saying?
- MR. DINER: I do.
- 17 JUDGE OBERMANN: So we need to define
- exactly what the page limits are gonna be because
- that in itself is going to limit what you can do as
- 20 far as presenting your own arguments. And we do want
- to rein in Innopharma to the extent that's possible
- because we respect that we have a first filer here

- and they're really extending you a courtesy by
- allowing, you know, by not opposing adding you to
- 3 this proceeding.
- 4 The other thing I want to keep in mind for
- 5 Mr. Malik and Innopharma is that you have filed what
- 6 appear to be, you know, three petitions that are
- 7 essentially very much like the first filed petitions.
- 8 And if you -- if you make a strategic decision that
- 9 you are going to not join or not continue to try to
- join, I just want you to be aware that you will face
- the prospect of, perhaps, you know, there will be a
- 12 consideration under Section 325 D, whether it's a
- 13 good use of the board's resources to actually go
- 14 forward on such similar claims, if they're not
- 15 joined. Keep that in your calculus when you decide
- what you want to do at the end of the day.
- I did have a question. Is there a request
- 18 for additional pages in the motions to join that were
- 19 filed in the 090 and 091 cases or was it only in the
- 20 089 case?
- MR. MALIK: There was no -- [inaudible]
- JUDGE OBERMANN: I'm sorry, I can't tell

- who is speaking and whoever it is is breaking up. I
- 2 can't hear you.
- MR. MALIK: This is Mr. Malik, for
- 4 Innopharma --
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Mr. Malik, I'm sorry, I
- 6 cannot hear what you're saying, the phone line is
- ⁷ breaking up on my end.
- 8 MR. MALIK: Sorry. Is this better?
- JUDGE OBERMANN: No, I'm sorry.
- 10 Am I the only one that can't hear
- 11 Mr. Malik?
- MR. DINER: We can't hear him, either.
- MS. YELLIN: Same here, Your Honor.
- MR. MALIK: Let me call back in. Hold on
- one second.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. We'll all go
- 17 silent until you come back.
- MR. MALIK: Okay.
- 19 (Silence.)
- MR. MALIK: Hello, this is Mr. Malik,
- 21 again.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Hello, Mr. Malik? Yes.

- 1 MR. MALIK: Yes.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: I can hear you now.
- 3 That's great, thank you.
- 4 MR. MALIK: I apologize for the phone
- 5 difficulties on my end.
- On IPR2016-0089, can you repeat the
- question? I'm sorry, I may have broken up.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: There was a question. I
- 9 know that you, I know that Innopharma was requesting
- seven extra pages, in the event of joinder, in the
- motion for joinder that was filed in the 089 action.
- My question was, in 090 and 091, did you
- also include a request for seven extra pages?
- MR. MALIK: It should have been similar,
- 15 since the motions were, in effect, duplicate copies
- of each other, so the request should have been in
- there.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay.
- Okay. Well, we'll look again.
- Okay. That said, I think that -- I think
- 21 that what we need at this point, unless -- I'm going
- to go around the room and I'm going to ask each party

- if they have anything to add. But my feeling right
- 2 now is that the parties, all three of you, should
- 3 seek another conference with the board after you all
- 4 have met and conferred regarding the proposal to
- basically redact or withdraw grounds. And if you can
- 6 present the board with, you know, in your e-mail,
- 7 perhaps a proposal for how that could be most
- 8 effectively accomplished, that would help set up the
- 9 next conference call.
- 10 I'll start with Mr. Diner. Do you have
- anything to add for Senju?
- MR. DINER: Yes, Your Honor, just one
- point. It's more of an administrative point.
- In the e-mail on November 4th, towards the
- bottom of the e-mail, there was a request that's
- actually from me. The date right now for the final
- hearing now is June 6th.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Yes.
- MR. DINER: My daughter, my only daughter,
- is getting married June 4th. Since I told the
- 21 parties I'll be paying for that wedding, I would like
- 22 to enjoy it.

- JUDGE OBERMANN: Sure.
- MR. DINER: I'm wondering if we can push
- 3 the hearing back a few days, just so --
- 4 JUDGE OBERMANN: Yes. Yes, we have no
- 5 problem with that, unless there's an objection from
- 6 any of the other parties.
- 7 That's fine. Just proceed with the
- 8 understanding that the board will not object to a
- 9 couple days' enlargement, under those circumstances.
- MR. DINER: And so do we, we leave that
- open, like a to-be determined for to you fill that in
- based on the schedule and the ability of rooms and
- 13 stuff like that or --
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Well, we can, what we can
- do is, what I can do is just put out a very short
- order today. I was hoping to avoid one, since we
- have the court reporter on the line. But I can just
- 18 put out a very short order changing the hearing date
- 19 from June 6th to June 9th in those cases. So --
- unless we have an objection. I'll ask Mr. Malik --
- well, these are in the cases that were brought by
- Lupin, is that correct?

32 1 MR. MALIK: That's right. 2 JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. So it's really Ms. 3 Yellin. 4 Would Lupin objection? 5 MS. YELLIN: No, Your Honor, Lupin is fine 6 with it. 7 JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. So I'll just 8 change the date of that hearing in those three. I 9 guess it's three, right, or -- yeah, it would be the 10 097, 099. Or there are four of them, 1100 and 1105, is that correct, they're all -- they're all set for 12 the 6th? Do I have that right? 13 My notes have four of them 14 proceeding on the 6th. Oh, I know why I'm confused, 15 because 099 is not the subject of a joinder motion. 16 So we do have that fourth one in there, is that 17 correct? So I will do a little order, just enlarging 18 the hearing date by three days. 19 MR. DINER: Okay. Thank you very much, 20 Your Honor. I have one other question. Since we're 21 22 going back and we're going to try to work together on

- the issues and the questions that you put today, we
- do have that outstanding motion for joinder to
- oppose, and I think we submitted a request for an
- 4 extension. Yeah, I think the idea is that we're
- 5 gonna reach an agreement and make that proposal to
- 6 you.
- What do we do about that outstanding
- 8 opposition? Do we table that for now? How would you
- 9 suggest that we proceed, instead of -- we keep coming
- 10 back to you with e-mails and asking for another week
- because we're talking, so on, so forth. What would
- 12 you suggest that we do at this point because I think
- we are gonna get close to something, but --
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Oh, the December 18th?
- MR. DINER: Yeah, exactly.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Yeah. Well, I guess in
- the same order, I can -- see, I'm not sure, you know,
- depending on how we do it, I'm not sure that we will
- actually be ruling on the global schedule. I would
- 20 say, I particularly didn't say that we were gonna key
- our opposition to our ruling on the global schedule
- because we are not gonna issue a schedule until we

- 1 actually grant -- grant or, you know, if we grant the
- petitions in these later-filed ones. So when do you
- think you'll realistically, given the holidays, be
- 4 able to get back to us on -- after your meet and
- 5 confer?
- 6 MR. DINER: That's a good question. We --
- ye have a lot going on in the federal district court
- 8 proceedings.
- 9 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, this is Josh
- 10 Goldberg, for Senju.
- I was wondering if maybe, if this is, if
- this date is actually something that given that the
- board can kind of adjust it, is it possible to just
- leave the date for opposition open and sort of blank
- 15 right now?
- JUDGE OBERMANN: You know, we've done
- 17 that. I can put that in there. I can make the
- opposition due the same day as your preliminary
- 19 response, if you'd like.
- MR. DINER: Yeah, I think that works for
- us.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: The only thing is do you

- 1 plan to file a preliminary response, if you know?
- MR. DINER: We're not really intending to
- do one at this point, if we can reach an agreement on
- 4 with Innopharma.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: It would be helpful,
- 6 then, if you could waive the preliminary response
- because then we can rule on whether these petitions
- 8 warrant review and get everything, you know, going.
- 9 So why don't we -- why don't I just put out a short
- order today that enlarges the -- it will be two
- 11 little orders because they relate to different cases.
- 12 But in 1097, 1099, 1100 and 1105, I'll put out a
- 13 short order that enlarges the time for the final
- hearing to June 9th. And then in 089, 090 and 091,
- 15 I'll put out a very short order that extends Senju's
- time for filing any opposition to Innopharma's motion
- for joinder to coincide with the date of the
- 18 patent -- that the patent owner's preliminary
- 19 response is due.
- Does that make sense?
- MR. DINER: That works for us, Your Honor.
- 22 This is Senju.

- JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. Any objections
- 2 from Mr. Malik?
- MR. MALIK: None, Your Honor.
- 4 JUDGE OBERMANN: From Ms. Yellin?
- MS. YELLIN: No, Your Honor.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: I'll go back to
- ⁷ Mr. Diner, then.
- Is there anything else to discuss on
- 9 behalf of Senju?
- MR. DINER: Thank you for coming back to
- me, Your Honor, and no further point from Senju.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Mr. Malik, do you have
- anything to add?
- MR. MALIK: Nothing further, Your Honor.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay, thank you.
- Ms. Yellin, for Lupin?
- MS. YELLIN: Yeah, just one more real
- 18 quick point.
- On Lupin's motion to join put in their IPR
- 1871, seeking to join Innopharma's IPR's 903, that
- 21 motion to join is still outstanding because Senju has
- 22 not yet waived its right to file a preliminary

37 1 response or filed their preliminary response. 2 JUDGE OBERMANN: True. 3 MS. YELLIN: It would be useful if Senju was willing to waive it, otherwise -- just so we can 5 get to closure on that issue. 6 JUDGE OBERMANN: That's true. Mr. Diner, would Senju be willing to either waive or file an 8 early preliminary response? 9 MR. DINER: We would be willing to waive 10 the preliminary response. 11 JUDGE OBERMANN: Could you file something? 12 MR. DINER: Yes, Your Honor, we will. 13 JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay, that's fabulous. 14 Okay. When do you think you can file that? 15 MR. DINER: Tuesday? 16 JUDGE OBERMANN: Tuesday. Okay, we'll 17 look for it then. 18 Okay, anything else from anybody? 19 Mr. Diner? 20 MR. DINER: I'm sorry, Your Honor, I 21 didn't hear your question. 22 JUDGE OBERMANN: Is there anything else

38

- 1 from Mr. Diner?
- MR. HASFORD: Your Honor, this is Justin
- 3 Hasford.
- 4 We have -- we have an agreement in place
- 5 with Lupin on the -- one the 09, 7099 100 and 105
- 6 IPR's to extend due dates 1, 2, 4 and 5. We're gonna
- ⁷ get Your Honors that shortly. We have that draft to
- go over to Lupin so they can look at. Just so you
- 9 all know that that's coming along the way.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: I appreciate that.
- Do we have anything else from any party?
- MR. DINER: Nothing more from Senju.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. Anything from
- 14 Innopharma?
- MR. MALIK: No, Your Honor.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. Anything from
- 17 Lupin?
- MS. YELLIN: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
- JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. Well, thank you,
- counsel, have a great day and this telephone call is
- 21 adjourned. Thank you.
- MR. DINER: Thank you.

```
39
1
                 MS. YELLIN: Thank you.
                 (Thereupon, the hearing was concluded at
2
3
     2:35 p.m., December 11, 2015.)
4
5
6
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

40

```
1
                    CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2
3
                I, DONNA A. PETERSON, Notary Public in and
4
    for Washington, District of Columbia, the officer
5
    before whom the proceedings were taken, do hereby
6
    certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and
    accurate record of these proceedings; that said
8
    proceedings were taken in stenotype note by me on the
9
    11th day of December, 2015, commencing at 2:01 p.m.,
10
    and ending at 2:35 p.m.
11
12
                I further certify that present on behalf
13
    of Party Innopharma were Jitendra Malik, Attorney at
14
    Law, and Hidetada James Abe, Attorney at Law, of
15
    Alston & Bird, LLP, and on behalf of Party Senju were
16
    Bryan Diner, Attorney at Law, Justin Hasford,
17
    Attorney at Law, Joshua Goldberg, Attorney at Law,
18
    Elizabeth Ferril, Attorney at Law, of Finnegan,
19
    Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP, and on
20
    behalf of Party Lupin were Deborah H. Yellin,
21
    Attorney at Law, and Jonathan Lindsay, Attorney at
22
    Law, of Crowell and Moring, LLP.
```

Dated this 12th day of December, 2015, at Washington, District of Columbia. Donna A. Peterson Notary Public

	6:18,19 8:4	another 11:1,5	B	9:21 10:18
abbreviated	afterwards	30:3 33:10	B1 1:18 2:13	12:1 13:17
	11:13	anybody 37:18		15:19,21
17:1	again 22:21	anyone 7:2,8	B2 1:14,16 2:9	16:3 17:1,14
Abe 3:17 8:6	28:21 29:19	anything 8:19	2:11,15	18:16 19:10
40:14	ago 20:12	11:2 30:1,11	back 11:13	22:7 30:3,6
ability 14:21	agree 10:17	36:8,13	13:10 14:15	31:8 34:13
31:12	16:21	37:18,22	15:14 18:16	board's 11:21
able 9:2,15	_	•	28:14,17	12:7 27:13
15:8 16:19	agreed 14:17	38:11,13,16	31:3 32:22	_
23:8 34:4	agreeing 10:19	apologize 29:4	33:10 34:4	both 18:10
absorbed 9:18		Appeal 1:2 2:2	36:6,10	bottom 30:15
accomplished	agreement	2:18 3:7	backseat	Boulevard
30:8	23:15 33:5	appear 27:6	12:21 26:7	3:19
accurate 40:7	35:3 38:4	appeared 9:22	barred 12:16	breaking 28:1
action 22:17	agrees 13:12	appearing 7:3	12:22 19:8	28:7
29:11	14:17	7:16	based 8:21	brief 16:6
actions 14:1	al 6:13	appreciate	9:11 12:21	briefing 15:16
21:19	Alexandria	38:10	31:12	15:17,22
actually 10:2	3:10	approach	basically	16:4 17:3
19:17 27:13	aligned 18:9	11:20	11:18 15:15	briefs 23:8
30:16 33:19	aligning 18:4	approval 18:7	21:20 22:18	25:2
34:1,12	all 7:11 9:7	areas 24:18	30:5	bring 12:6
add 17:10 30:1	10:11,17,19	arguments	BAUSCH 1:7,8	bringing 13:14
30:11 36:13	16:21 19:10	24:16 26:20	4:3,3	brings 22:9
adding 22:2	22:4 24:5,19	arise 26:5	before 1:2 2:2	broken 29:7
27:2	28:16 30:2,3	arisen 26:4	2:18 11:18	brought 31:21
additional	32:11,11	around 29:22	40:5	Bryan 4:5
12:4 15:16	38:9	ask 8:11,20	behalf 3:12 4:2	11:14 17:17
15:21 16:4,6	allotted 25:6	29:22 31:20	4:15 7:3 8:10	20:9 22:20
17:20 27:18	allowed 15:7	asking 9:22	36:9 40:12	40:16
address 8:19	allowing 27:2	10:1 19:13	40:15,20	bunch 26:10
8:21 9:7 10:3	along 11:3	33:10	Being 12:9	
24:4 26:5	38:9	attention 12:7	believe 12:17	C
addressed	already 22:1	Attorney 3:16	best 11:20	C 3:1,6 4:1,1,5
10:21	also 14:17	3:17 4:5,6,7	19:16	5:1 6:1
addressing	20:17 29:13	4:8,17,18	better 28:8	calculus 27:15
20:14	Alston 3:18	40:13,14,16	biggest 16:21	call 2:18 8:8
adjourned	40:15	40:17,17,18	Bignone 8:14	11:17,19
38:21	Alternatively	40:21,21	Bird 3:18	28:14 30:9
adjust 34:13	20:2	Avenue 4:11	40:15	38:20
administrative	Am 28:10	4:20	bit 19:5	came 8:10
	amenable	avoid 25:1	blank 34:14	careful 19:7
3:3,5,6 30:13	23:12	31:16		carefully 20:20
affects 26:4	amongst	aware 27:10	blur 22:13	22:8
after 30:3 34:4	15:19		board 1:2 2:2	Carolina 3:20
afternoon 6:2	10.10		2:18 3:7 9:18	
		·		•

				2
4:40.40	00:0 00:47	07.40	24.4.4.05:47	different 40:0
case 1:10,12	26:3 28:17	27:12	34:14 35:17	different 19:6
1:14,16,18	comes 16:19	consolidation	Dated 41:1	22:9 35:11
2:8,10,12,14	19:12 26:8	8:20	dates 9:6 38:6	difficulties
2:16 13:5,7	coming 33:9	constitutes	daughter	29:5
21:4 27:20	36:10 38:9	19:2	30:19,19	Diner 4:5 6:13
cases 12:3,8	commencing	constrained	day 27:16	6:16,17,20
24:13 27:19	40:9	19:3	34:18 38:20	6:22 7:2,4,6
31:19,21	compared	constraints	40:9 41:1	7:9,12,15
35:11	12:9	10:8	days 31:3	8:10,11,12
certain 25:10	compelling	context 26:6	32:18	10:12,13
25:10	9:15 10:18	continue 27:9	days' 31:9	11:10,13,17
certainly 10:21	10:20	cooperating	deal 26:13	17:12,14,16
15:5,20 16:6	completely	11:4	dealing 26:13	17:17 18:4
CERTIFICATE	13:20	coordination	Deborah 4:17	20:9,10
40:1	complicated	10:15 11:10	7:19 15:3	22:20,21
certify 40:6,12	22:11	copies 29:15	40:20	23:10 24:2
change 19:19	complicates	copy 6:20	December	24:20 25:3
32:8	22:7	23:12	2:19 8:14	25:12 26:16
changing	complicating	CORP 1:8 4:4	33:14 39:3	28:12 30:10
31:18	23:17	correct 31:22	40:9 41:1	30:12,19
circumstance	concern 9:21	32:11,17	decide 26:9	31:2,10
25:22	16:21 18:19	cough 25:17	27:15	32:19 33:15
circumstanc	22:13	counsel 8:5	deciding 12:2	34:6,20 35:2
31:9	concluded	11:16 38:20	12:2	35:21 36:7
claims 27:14	39:2	couple 24:3,17	decision 27:8	36:10 37:6,9
clean 23:9,11	condition	25:17 31:9	declaration	37:12,15,19
cleaner 20:7	18:21 19:16	course 6:21	19:19 20:13	37:20 38:1
cleanly 20:22	21:20	15:6 17:20	20:17,20	38:12,22
clear 17:5,7	confer 10:16	court 6:11,12	21:2,11,14	40:16
22:18	11:1,5,18	23:2 31:17	22:12 24:7	direction 21:6
client 13:11,12	34:5	34:7	defer 15:20	directly 10:21
14:16,22	conference	courtesy 27:1	define 26:17	12:13
16:12,20	2:18 6:5 8:8	Crowell 4:19	demand 21:1	disagree 9:7,8
client's 18:7	16:14,15	7:19 40:22	depending	24:15,16
close 33:13	30:3,9	crunched	33:18	disagreement
close 33.13	conferred 30:4	17:13	depends 25:8	24:18 25:17
CO 1:7 2:6 4:2	confuse 24:1	17.10	25:8,9	discovery 17:1
coincide 35:17	confused	D	describing	23:18
colleague 11:6	16:12 32:14	D 4:1,8 6:1	19:5	discuss 16:10
colleagues	confusion	27:12	determine	36:8
22:22	19:1,18	D.C 2:19 4:12	19:16	discussed
Columbia 40:4	connection	4:21	determined	15:18 24:6
41:2		date 30:16	31:11	
	12:11,13,20	31:18 32:8		discussions
come 9:15	13:6	32:18 34:12	developed	13:2
11:19 17:4	consideration	JZ. 10 J4. 12	9:11	district 23:2
	<u> </u>	l 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

04.7.40.4	''' 04.40	1 40 40	1704040	
34:7 40:4	either 21:12	expert 12:19	17:8 19:13	FRANCISCO
41:2	28:12 37:7	14:3,5,10	19:14 20:3,4	3:6
doing 21:17	Elizabeth 4:8	15:7 21:9	21:13 35:1	Franklin 3:5
Donna 2:22	7:12 40:18	experts 13:5	36:22 37:7	6:4
40:3 41:5	else 7:2,8 24:8	17:3 23:18	37:11,14	Friday 2:19
Dr 12:19,19	36:8 37:18	exposition	filed 9:13 10:9	front 8:19
13:6,6 15:8	37:22 38:11	12:14	12:17 16:17	fruition 16:19
15:11 18:13	Emperor 3:19	extend 38:6	16:18 22:16	19:12
18:13,14	end 27:16 28:7	extending	27:5,7,19	full 17:8
20:13,17	29:5	27:1	29:11 37:1	further 36:11
draft 38:7	ending 40:10	extends 35:15	filer 24:14,15	36:14 40:12
drop 13:4	engaged 6:12	extension 33:4	26:22	
dropping 21:9	enjoy 30:22	extent 10:6	filing 12:16	<u> </u>
due 6:21 34:18	enlargement	15:22 16:5	15:8 16:17	G 6:1
35:19 38:6	31:9	21:6 26:21	21:10 24:17	Garrett 4:10
Dulaney 3:9	enlarges 35:10	extra 17:2,3	35:16	40:19
Dunner 4:10	35:13	24:8,10,11	filings 24:20	getting 11:3
40:19	enlarging	24:17,21	fill 31:11	30:20
duplicate	32:17	29:10,13	final 30:16	give 16:3 19:7
29:15	enough 10:20		35:13	given 12:15
duplicative	20:16	<u> </u>	find 23:19	13:8 34:3,12
16:1,2	enter 10:1	F-E-double	fine 15:5,10,11	global 5:3 8:9
Durham 3:20	equal 17:21	7:13	31:7 32:5	8:19 9:14
	ERICA 3:5	fabulous	Finnegan 4:9	10:15 11:10
<u>E</u>	especially	37:13	40:18	33:19,21
E3:1,1 4:1,1,1	16:11 23:16	face 17:12	first 7:6 9:13	go 12:19 13:10
5:1 6:1,1	essence 13:9	27:10	10:14 11:8	14:15 22:4,5
e-mail 8:10,13	14:4	fact 10:9 12:22	19:10 24:15	23:16 27:13
8:21 9:2	essentially	17:2 20:13	26:7,22 27:7	28:16 29:22
10:20 11:22	21:21 27:7	far 9:11 26:20	focus 9:3	36:6 38:8
12:13 30:6	et 6:13	Farabow 4:9	fold 22:14	goes 13:17
30:14,15	event 29:10	40:19	following	19:21
e-mails 33:10	everyone's	fashion 10:10	13:21	going 15:14
earlier 12:8	19:10	15:10 21:11	foregoing 40:6	19:12 21:4,6
21:22 22:1	everything	23:17	forth 33:11	22:10,17
earlier-filed	13:13 16:10	federal 34:7	forward 14:4	23:22 24:5
19:3	17:9 22:15	feeling 30:1	14:12,19	24:19 25:4
early 37:8	35:8	Ferril 4:8	21:7 22:9	26:19 27:9
easily 20:15	exactly 19:15	40:18	23:16 24:6	29:21,22
easy 21:22	26:18 33:15	Ferrill 7:12	27:14	32:22,22
effect 29:15	exhibit 6:21	figure 21:16	four 8:17 10:2	34:7 35:8
effectively	23:7	25:1,16	12:13 18:6	Goldberg 4:7
30:8	expand 24:19	figuring 21:7	18:10 32:10	7:10 34:9,10
efficiently	expanding	23:21	32:13	40:17
23:22	25:1	file 6:20 14:17	fourth 32:16	gonna 17:20
	I	l		l

1

				-
19:12 21:3	16:10,11	36:11,14	Innopharma's	IPR2016-0089
26:18 33:5	20:19	37:12,20	12:14 13:7	29:6
33:13,20,22	having 9:17	38:2,15,18	14:21 17:5	ironed 16:10
38:6	18:13,14	Honors 38:7	18:5 35:16	issue 10:14
good 6:2,17,19	heads 23:14	hope 24:22	36:20	16:5 17:19
8:3 27:13	hear 23:10,10	hopefully 9:18	instead 33:9	18:4 25:22
34:6	28:2,6,10,12	13:16,18	instituted 10:3	33:22 37:5
gotten 16:10	29:2 37:21	14:18	18:10,16	issues 8:21
GRACE 3:3	heard 24:20	hoping 31:16	institution	15:22 16:1
grant 18:21	25:20	noping 31.10	21:19	33:1
34:1,1,1	hearing 5:3		intending 35:2	JJ. I
, ,	9:9 11:21	l'd 14:15	intention 17:5	J
granting 21:17	30:17 31:3	I'll 6:6 8:11,20		J 4:6
great 26:13		17:17 30:10	intentionally 9:1	James 3:17
29:3 38:20	31:18 32:8 32:18 35:14	30:21 31:20		8:6 40:14
ground 13:4 13:12 14:2,5	32:18 35:14	32:7 35:12	interrupt 13:20 into 6:6 9:14	Jitendra 3:16
		35:15 36:6		8:5 11:15
18:15	Hello 7:18	idea 33:4	18:20,21 26:12	40:13
grounds 13:4	28:20,22	ideal 24:22	involved 23:1	join 9:5 14:6
18:9 19:21	help 10:4 30:8	25:14,16		16:18 17:6
20:6,8,14	helpful 10:13	immediately	IPR 9:4,6	18:20 19:17
21:21,21	35:5	18:22	36:19	20:21 21:9
22:10 30:5	helps 10:11	implicitly	IPR's 6:6 10:2	22:10 27:9
guess 8:20	Henderson 4:9	10:22	12:17 13:14	27:10:27:9
16:14,14,15	40:19	inaudible	14:7 36:20	36:19,20,21
16:21 17:22	here 7:20 8:1	27:21	38:6	joinder 19:17
18:7 32:9	8:15 16:3	inclined 9:3	IPR2015-009	29:10,11
33:16	17:14 19:5	12:18,20	1:10 6:7	32:15 33:2
guidance	20:3 26:22	include 29:13	IPR2015-009	35:17
11:21	28:13	inform 12:15	1:12 6:7	
Н Н	hereby 40:5	-	IPR2015-010	joined 27:15
H 4:17 40:20	Hidetada 3:17	Innopharma	2:8 6:7	joiner 17:13
	40:14	1:4,4,4,4	IPR2015-010	joining 15:10
handle 17:17	Hold 28:14	3:12,13 8:2,6	2:10 6:8	Jonathan 4:18
20:15,15	HOLDINGS	11:7,16	IPR2015-011	7:20 40:21
happens 18:19	1:8 4:4	12:15 13:3	2:12 6:8	Josh 34:9
24:12	holidays 34:3	13:10,14	IPR2015-011	Joshua 4:7 7:9
has 9:21 14:17	Honor 6:14,17	14:1,16 15:5	2:14 6:8	40:17
15:19 16:17	6:22 7:4,9,18	16:2,5 18:14	IPR2015-018	Judge 3:3,5,6
16:18 18:16	8:3,4,12,13	19:8,13 20:4	2:16 6:9	6:2,3,3,4,15
22:7 36:21	11:15 15:3	22:6 23:4	IPR2016-000	6:19 7:1,5,8
Hasford 4:6	17:17 20:1,9	25:6,15 26:1	1:14 6:9	7:11,14,22
7:7 38:2,3	22:20 24:3	26:21 27:5	IPR2016-000	8:7 9:1 13:19
40:16	28:13 30:12	28:4 29:9	1:16 6:9	14:6,9,14,20
haven't 10:2	32:5,20 34:9	35:4 38:14	IPR2016-000	15:12 16:8
14:22 15:18	35:21 36:3,5	40:13	1:18 6:10	18:3,18 20:2
			<u> </u>	

Ir-				
00.40.00.0	00.47.04.4	04.44.40	40 40 05 0	
20:19 23:6	33:17 34:1	31:11,13	18:16 25:6	mind 23:17
23:13 24:10	34:16 35:1,8	34:19	36:19	27:4
25:8,13	38:9	limit 25:10,11	M	modified 17:6
26:17 27:22		25:18 26:19		19:14
28:5,9,16,22	<u> </u>	limits 26:18	made 24:16	modify 16:16
29:2,8,18	L 4:7 7:13	Lindsay 4:18	makes 17:7	moment 20:12
30:18 31:1,4	Laskar 12:19	7:21 40:21	18:8 19:9	more 16:3
31:14 32:2,7	13:6 15:11	line 28:6 31:17	Malik 3:16 8:3	21:15 22:8
33:14,16	18:13,14	listening 9:16	8:5 11:7,9,12	22:11 26:13
34:16,22	Laskar's 20:13	little 16:9,12	11:14,15	30:13 36:17
35:5 36:1,4,6	20:17	19:5 32:17	13:19 14:4,8	38:12
36:12,15	last 10:1,2	35:11	14:11,15	Moring 4:19
37:2,6,11,13	13:14 14:1	LLC 1:4,4 3:13	15:14,18	7:20 40:22
37:16,22	18:5 20:4,5	LLP 3:18 4:10	17:11 18:6	morning 11:6
38:10,13,16	later 12:7,16	4:19 40:15	20:1 23:11	11:18 14:13
38:19	later-filed	40:19,22	25:21 27:5	most 30:7
jump 8:16	18:22 21:17	logistics 15:18	27:21 28:3,3	motion 9:5
11:13 20:10	21:19 22:3	LOMB 1:7,8	28:5,8,11,14	16:18 17:6
June 30:17,20	34:2	4:3,3	28:18,20,20	19:13 21:13
31:19,19	Law 3:16,17	long 15:6	28:22 29:1,4	25:9 29:11
35:14	4:5,6,7,8,17	18:14	29:14 31:20	32:15 33:2
Justin 4:6 7:6	4:18 40:14	longer 12:5	32:1 36:2,3	35:16 36:19
38:2 40:16	40:14,16,17	13:9	36:12,14	36:21
00.2 40.10	40:17,18,21	look 16:13	38:15 40:13	motions 27:18
K	40:22	22:7 23:8	manner 23:22	29:15
KARAFFA3:3	Lawrence	29:19 37:17	married 30:20	move 9:4,6
keep 25:18	12:19 13:6	38:8	material 22:2	14:4,18
27:4,15 33:9	15:8 18:13	looked 20:19	22:16 26:13	moving 20:22
key 33:20	leading 15:9	looking 10:18	may 11:7 29:7	much 8:7
kind 11:3,20	leave 31:10	looking 10.18	maybe 10:12	21:14 22:2
12:14 13:13	34:14	21:12	13:12 16:9,9	22:11 27:7
34:13	leaving 12:3		20:6 23:3	
know 9:10,12	left 13:8	lot 34:7	34:11	32:19
9:15,17 10:5	lengths 25:2	LTD 1:7 2:4,6	mean 19:6	multiple 20:14
10:9,16	LICENSING	4:2,15	mechanism	23:18
14:21 15:1	1:4,4 3:12,13	Lupin 2:4,4	17:4	MYLAN 1:5,5
		4:15,15 7:17	meet 10:16	3:14
15:20 16:2	light 11:21	7:20 13:6,16		MYLAND 3:13
16:17 17:4,8	like 8:16,18,18	14:7 15:4	11:1,5,18	N
19:16 20:12	8:19,21 9:9	16:2 18:13	34:4	
21:12 23:14	10:3 11:11	24:11 25:15	mention 25:20	N 3:1 4:1,1,1
23:14 24:14	16:9,13	31:22 32:4,5	mentioned	5:1,1 6:1
24:21 25:14	17:21 18:6	36:16 38:5,8	11:17 14:12	N.W 4:11,20
26:3 27:2,6	19:15 21:12	38:17 40:20	18:6 24:3	name 8:5
27:11 29:9,9	23:2,19 26:4	Lupin's 15:1	25:4	need 16:16,18
30:6 32:14	27:7 30:21	15:17 18:5	met 30:4	17:3 19:12
	1	1	1	ı

19:13,19	37:16,22	order 19:1	12:15 16:21	Ph.D 3:16
21:15,18	38:10,13,16	31:16,18	18:20 21:15	PHARMA 1:8
22:18 23:20	38:19	32:17 33:17	23:15.20	4:3
24:4 26:17	object 31:8	35:10,13,15	24:4,22	PHARMACE
29:21		orders 35:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
_	objection 31:5		25:14 30:2	1:7 2:6 4:2
New 4:11	31:20 32:4	original 15:7,8	30:21 31:6	PHARMACE
next 30:9	objections	otherwise	party 6:12	1:5 2:4 3:14
nice 23:7	36:1	12:16 37:4	15:19 29:22	4:16
None 36:3	obviously 9:14	outstanding	38:11 40:13	phone 6:4 28:6
North 3:20	12:1 13:17	33:2,7 36:21	40:15,20	29:4
Notary 40:3	15:20 16:1	over 21:5 38:8	patent 1:1,2,8	place 38:4
41:6	21:5 24:6,11	own 26:9,20	1:10,12,14	plan 35:1
note 40:8	October 18:17	owner 1:8 2:7	1:16,18 2:1,2	please 6:12,20
notes 32:13	OFFICE 1:1	4:4 17:13	2:7,9,11,13	8:11
Nothing 36:14	2:1 3:8	owner's 35:18	2:15,17,18	point 9:20 10:4
38:12	officer 40:4		3:3,5,6,7,8	12:13,20
notwithstan	Oh 32:14	P	4:4 17:13	17:11 19:2,9
17:2	33:14	P 3:1,1 4:1,1	35:18,18	29:21 30:13
November	okay 7:5,8,14	6:1	paying 30:21	30:13 33:12
12:17 30:14	8:8,12 14:14	p.m 2:19 39:3	Pennsylvania	35:3 36:11
number 8:17	14:20 15:12	40:9,10	4:20	36:18
nuts 22:4,5	16:8 17:10	page 5:2 24:5	perhaps 10:20	pointing 24:18
	18:3,13 24:2	24:8 25:2,10	13:3,11	points 12:12
0	28:16,18	25:11,18	19:13 20:3	position 15:1
O 4:1 5:1 6:1	29:18,19,20	26:18	27:11 30:7	possible 10:6
Obermann 3:4	32:2,7,19	pages 15:16	period 23:18	23:20 26:21
6:2,3,15,19	36:1,15	15:17,21	permission	34:13
7:1,5,8,14,22	37:13,14,16	16:4,7 17:19	16:20	Prats 3:6 6:4
8:7 9:1 13:19	37:18 38:13	17:21,21	person 7:6	pre-authoriz
14:6,9,14,20	38:16,19	24:9,10,11	persuaded	26:2
15:12 16:8	ones 12:17	24:17,21	21:10	prefer 24:11
18:3,18 20:2	13:15 21:22	25:6,7,17	Peterson 2:22	preference
20:19 23:6	22:1,3 34:2	26:11 27:18	40:3 41:5	15:19
23:13 24:10	open 9:9 12:4	29:10,13	petition 14:2	preliminary
25:8,13	31:11 34:14	paper 20:5,16	16:17 17:6,9	14:18 34:18
26:17 27:22	opening 9:16	paragraphs	18:9,16,22	35:1,6,18
28:5,9,16,22	opportunity	8:17	19:4,14,15	36:22 37:1,8
29:2,8,18	26:5	part 19:20	20:15 21:18	37:10
30:18 31:1,4	oppose 13:5	particular 8:17	20.15 21.16	
31:14 32:2,7	33:3	17:11 24:16	1	premature 16:9
33:14,16		particularly	petitioner 1:5	
34:16,22	opposing 27:2	33:20	2:4 3:15 4:16	present 30:6
35:5 36:1,4,6	opposition	parties 9:7	7:16 26:8	40:12
11	33:8,21	•	petitions 18:5	presenting
36:12,15	34:14,18	10:5,6,17,19	18:11 27:6,7	26:20
37:2,6,11,13	35:16	11:3,19 12:6	34:2 35:7	pressure's
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	<u>. </u>			

,	_
	•
	,

13:9		18:12	room 29:22	14:17 16:22
pressures	Q	regarding 8:9	rooms 31:12	23:16 30:11
12:5,11	question	30:4	rule 17:5 21:4	34:10 35:22
	27:17 29:7,8	rein 26:21	35:7	
previous 13:15 18:6	29:12 32:21	relate 35:11	ruled 22:1	36:9,11,21 37:3,7 38:12
	34:6 37:21			
18:10 25:4	questions	related 12:14	ruling 33:19	40:15
previously	33:1	relates 26:1	33:21	Senju's 35:15
13:15	quick 36:18	repeat 21:21	run 16:11	sense 18:8
problem 31:5	quite 12:5,10	21:21 29:6	S	19:9 35:20
proceed 8:11	21:8	replace 21:14	S 3:1 4:1 5:1	sent 9:2
10:12 11:11		replies 25:10		separate 14:10
13:3 31:7	R	Reported 2:22	6:1	series 6:5
33:9	R 3:1 4:1 6:1	reporter 6:11	sake 16:4	set 8:15 30:8
proceeding	R-I-double	6:12 31:17	same 10:6,8	32:11
15:6 27:3	7:13	40:1	13:14 18:9	seven 12:2,4
32:14	raised 12:12	request 27:17	19:1 21:1	15:16,17,21
proceedings	13:2 20:12	29:13,16	24:5 28:13	17:19,20,21
9:14 15:9	rather 12:7	30:15 33:3	33:17 34:18	25:6 29:10
20:5 22:14	reach 33:5	requested 8:9	say 9:9 18:18	29:13
26:14 34:8	35:3	requesting	24:20 26:3	share 18:19
40:5,7,8	reached 23:15	29:9	33:20,20	short 23:18
products 26:9	read 6:6	require 26:2	saying 21:3	31:15,18
26:10	reading 23:8	reserves 24:14	26:15 28:6	35:9,13,15
proposal	real 36:17	resolve 13:13	schedule 5:3	shortly 38:7
13:11,17	realistically	13:18	8:9 9:4,14	shot 10:14
14:12 15:15	34:3	resources	10:1,7 12:3,9	11:8
30:4,7 33:5	really 17:13	27:13	13:15,15	should 9:16
propose 14:21	22:6,8 23:15	respect 26:22	17:1 31:12	13:16,18
proposed 8:9	24:22 27:1	respond 17:22	33:19,21,22	29:14,16
13:16	32:2 35:2	response	second 13:20	30:2
proposing	reason 9:5,13	14:18 34:19	22:12 24:14	Silence 28:19
13:21,22	9:16 10:18	35:1,6,19	28:15	silent 28:17
18:1	12:6	37:1,1,8,10	second-filed	similar 27:14
prospect	reasons 21:2	retain 14:2	22:6	29:14
27:11	received 8:13	review 22:9	Section 27:12	simply 20:7
Public 40:3	11:22	35:8	see 8:15 9:3,5	since 16:11
41:6	recognize	right 7:11 8:16	9:13 18:7	29:15 30:20
pursuant 11:5	10:17	17:7 24:14	19:14 23:4	31:16 32:21
push 31:2	record 6:6	25:12,12	26:14 33:17	single 18:15
put 14:12	9:11 16:15	30:1,16 32:1	seek 30:3	situation 23:1
21:20 24:17	17:7 19:2,3	32:9,12	seeking 36:20	26:12
31:15,18	22:11,19	34:15 36:22	seen 24:13	slippery 26:6
33:1 34:17	24:1 40:7	role 12:21,21	Senju 1:7 2:6	solely 26:1
35:9,12,15	redact 30:5	15:9	4:2 6:13 7:3	some 11:19
36:19	regard 16:11	roll 9:13	8:11 13:1,2	12:12 19:7
335		3 3 3	<u> </u>	
	•		•	•

21:6,10,11	23:7	Telephonic	16:21 18:5	37:16
23:21	stuff 31:13	2:18	20:4,5 27:6	turn 17:11
someone 8:1	subject 9:5	tell 9:19 21:8	30:2 32:8,9	turning 17:16
something	18:7 32:15	27:22	32:18	two 9:13 13:4
17:10 20:4	submit 18:1	telling 22:15	throwing 20:3	13:5 17:2
21:14 23:3,9	23:11	ten 6:6	time 9:8 10:8	35:10
26:2 33:13	submitted	terminate	12:5,10,16	
34:12 37:11	33:3	18:22	12:22 13:8	U
something's	such 27:14	terms 24:7	19:8 35:13	U 4:1
26:3	suggest 33:9	testimony	35:16	understand
sooner 12:7	33:12	19:21	to-be 31:11	6:11 8:8
sorry 20:10	suggested	than 12:7	today 7:3,16	25:18
27:22 28:5,8	20:16	26:13	9:3 11:21	understandi
28:9 29:7	suggestion	thank 6:15 7:1	21:4 31:16	11:20 31:8
37:20	25:4	7:14,22 8:7	33:1 35:10	understood
sort 9:10,17	Suite 3:19	8:12 11:14	together 10:7	18:15
10:3 17:6	sum 22:16	15:12 17:16	16:3 21:16	understudy
18:20 21:10	summarize	29:3 32:19	22:15 23:5	12:21
34:14	24:5	36:10,15	23:14,21	undisturbed
sounds 16:8	suppose 26:5	38:18,19,21	25:1,15,15	12:3
speak 22:4	sure 10:19	38:22 39:1	32:22	unique 16:5
speaking 28:1	11:12 16:22	Thereupon	told 13:1 30:20	UNITED 1:1
spelled 7:12	17:15 19:9	39:2	took 25:3	2:1 3:8
split 12:10	20:21 21:18	thing 25:20	totally 16:22	unjoined
spoken 14:22	23:13 31:1	27:4 34:22	towards 30:14	26:14
squirrel 22:5	33:17,18	things 8:15	TRADEMARK	unless 17:10
stage 9:18		11:4 13:18	1:1 2:1 3:8	29:21 31:5
staggered	T	14:19 22:7	transcript 6:21	31:20
10:10	T 4:1 5:1,1	24:3	40:6	unopposed
standpoint	table 33:8	think 9:19 10:4	Trial 1:2 2:2,18	21:13
18:8	take 10:14	10:13,20	3:7	unusual 21:1,8
start 30:10	11:8 12:21	11:2,3,6	trim 14:2	use 9:8 12:18
starts 26:8	12:21 15:9	15:21 18:8	trimmed 21:11	15:7 18:12
stated 21:22	taken 40:5,8	19:8,11,11	21:15	27:13
STATES 1:1	takes 25:6	19:18,18	trimming	useful 37:3
2:1 3:8	taking 15:17	21:15 23:20	19:20,20	usually 17:12
stenotype 40:8	26:7	25:21 29:20	true 37:2,6	18:19 21:1
still 12:4 14:9	talk 11:8 16:16	29:20 33:3,4	40:6	24:12 26:1
15:15 24:4	21:5 26:9	33:12 34:3	truly 25:14	V
36:21	talked 12:1	34:20 37:14	26:7	
strategic 27:8	talking 26:8	thought 19:7	try 11:19 14:6	v 1:6 2:5
Street 3:9	33:11	20:11	23:5 27:9	Virginia 3:10
strike-through	telephone	three 9:7 10:1	32:22	W
23:3,12	3:21 4:13,22	12:2,11,16	trying 11:4	waive 35:6
strike-throu	6:5 38:20	13:4,14 14:1	Tuesday 37:15	waive 33.0
		l	l	

37:4,7,9	welcome 9:6	33:16 34:20	18th 33:14	8,129,431 1:12
waived 36:22	where 9:10,18	36:17		2:17
want 10:5 11:7	13:8 18:21	year 18:17	2	8,669,290 1:10
11:7 13:19	21:9 25:22	Yellin 4:17	2 38:6	2:11
14:9 15:15	26:2,12	7:18,19,22	2:01 2:19 40:9	8,754,131 1:14
16:22 17:15	whether 21:13	15:3,4,13	2:35 39:3	2:9
19:14 20:20	22:8 27:12	28:13 32:3,5	40:10	8,871,813 1:16
21:5,10 22:5	35:7	36:4,5,16,17	20 25:7	2:15
22:13,14	whispered	37:3 38:18	20001 4:12	8,927,606 1:18
23:8 24:21	22:22	39:1 40:20	20004-2595	2:13
26:9,10,12	who's 7:16	yet 10:3 14:20	4:21	89 13:7 14:1
26:20 27:4	17:13	36:22	2015 2:19 39:3	20:5 22:16
27:10,16	whole 26:10	York 4:11	40:9 41:1	20.5 22.10
•		10164.11	202 3:21 4:13	9
wanted 9:2,10 9:12 12:6	whom 40:5	Z	4:22	90 13:7 14:1
	willing 13:3 22:3 24:12	-	22314-5796	20:5 22:17
wants 23:16	_	0	3:10	901 4:11
warrant 21:19 35:8	37:4,7,9	089 27:20	27703-8580	902 12:2,8
	willy-nilly 19:11	29:11 35:14	3:20	903 9:6 12:3,8
warrants 22:9		09 38:5	3.20	36:20
Washington	withdraw 30:5	090 27:19	3	91 13:7 14:1
2:19 4:12,21	withdrawing	29:12 35:14	325 27:12	20:5 22:17
40:4 41:2	20:7	091 27:19		9th 8:14 31:19
way 6:18 8:17	withdrawn	29:12 35:14	4	35:14
13:13 15:6	19:22 22:18	097 32:10	4 38:6	33.14
16:16,20	withdraws	099 32:10,15	400 3:19	
17:7 20:7	20:6		408-4000 4:13	
23:19 25:1,5	within 10:8	1	4721 3:19	
25:16 38:9	25:6,7,18	1 38:6	4th 30:14,20	
we'd 10:3	wondering	100 38:5	·	
we're 9:9,16	31:2 34:11	1001 4:20	5	
15:5,6,8,10	work 10:7,8	105 38:5	5 38:6	
15:11 16:3	11:4 16:3	1097 35:12		
18:13 19:5	17:22 20:17	1099 35:12	6	
19:11,12	23:3,5,21	11 2:19 39:3	6 5:3	
21:3,4,9 24:5	25:14,15	1100 32:10	600 3:9	
26:13 32:21	32:22	35:12	624-2947 4:22	
32:22 33:4	works 23:4	1105 32:10	6th 30:17	
33:11 35:2	34:20 35:21	35:12	31:19 32:12	
38:6	X	11th 12:18	32:14	
we've 22:1		40:9		
34:16	Υ Υ	12th 41:1	7	
wedding 30:21	yeah 10:13	16 25:7	7099 38:5	
Wednesday	17:17 20:19	1871 18:21	783-5070 3:21	
8:14 11:22	32:9 33:4,15	36:20		
week 33:10	JZ.8 JJ.4, 10	00.20	8	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>