	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	
3	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
4	
5	
	ALARM.COM, INCORPORATED
6	Petitioner,
7	
8	v.
9	
	VIVINT, INCORPORATED
10	Patent Owner.
11	
12	Cases: IPR2015-02003
	IPR2015-02004
13	IPR2015-01997
	IPR2015-01995
14	
15	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE
16	
17	Before: CHARLES BOUDREAU, PTAB JUDGE
1 0	JAMES ARPIN, PTAB JUDGE
18	MICHAEL ZECHER, PTAB JUDGE
19	Date: Wednesday, January 27, 2016
20	Time: 11:00 a.m.
21	Reported by: Ryan K. Black, RPR
22	Newitert Level Colutions
23	Veritext Legal Solutions
	Mid-Atlantic Region
24	1250 Eye Street NW - Suite 1201 Washington D C 20005
	Washington, D.C. 20005
25	

<pre>1 A P P E A R A N C E S: 2 3 STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN &amp; FOX P.L.L.C. 4 BY: ROBERT G. STERNE, ESQUIRE 5 JASON D. EISENBERG, ESQUIRE 6 JOSEPH E. MUTSCHELKNAUS, ESQUIRE 7 1100 New York Avenue, NW 8 Suite 1600 9 Washington, D.C. 20005 10 202.371.2600 11 rsterne@skgf.com 12 jasone@skgf.com 13 Representing Patent Owner Vivint, Inc. 14 15 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 16 BY: BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQUIRE 17 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW 18 Washington, D.C. 20037 19 202.293.7060 20 bshelton@sughrue.com 21 Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc. 22 23 24 25</pre>		Page 2
<ul> <li>STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN &amp; FOX P.L.L.C.</li> <li>BY: ROBERT G. STERNE, ESQUIRE</li> <li>JASON D. EISENBERG, ESQUIRE</li> <li>JOSEPH E. MUTSCHELKNAUS, ESQUIRE</li> <li>1100 New York Avenue, NW</li> <li>Suite 1600</li> <li>Washington, D.C. 20005</li> <li>202.371.2600</li> <li>rsterne@skgf.com</li> <li>jasone@skgf.com</li> <li>Representing Patent Owner Vivint, Inc.</li> <li>SUGHRUE MION, PLLC</li> <li>BY: BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQUIRE</li> <li>2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW</li> <li>Washington, D.C. 20037</li> <li>202.293.7060</li> <li>bshelton@sughrue.com</li> <li>Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc.</li> </ul>	1	APPEARANCES:
<ul> <li>4 BY: ROBERT G. STERNE, ESQUIRE</li> <li>5 JASON D. EISENBERG, ESQUIRE</li> <li>6 JOSEPH E. MUTSCHELKNAUS, ESQUIRE</li> <li>7 1100 New York Avenue, NW</li> <li>8 Suite 1600</li> <li>9 Washington, D.C. 20005</li> <li>10 202.371.2600</li> <li>11 rsterne@skgf.com</li> <li>12 jasone@skgf.com</li> <li>13 Representing Patent Owner Vivint, Inc.</li> <li>14</li> <li>15 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC</li> <li>16 BY: BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQUIRE</li> <li>17 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW</li> <li>18 Washington, D.C. 20037</li> <li>19 202.293.7060</li> <li>20 bshelton@sughrue.com</li> <li>21 Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc.</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ul>	2	
5JASON D. EISENBERG, ESQUIRE6JOSEPH E. MUTSCHELKNAUS, ESQUIRE71100 New York Avenue, NW8Suite 16009Washington, D.C. 2000510202.371.260011rsterne@skgf.com12jasone@skgf.com13Representing Patent Owner Vivint, Inc.1415SUGHRUE MION, PLLC16BY: BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQUIRE172100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW18Washington, D.C. 2003719202.293.706020bshelton@sughrue.com21Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc.22232324	3	STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.
<ul> <li>JOSEPH E. MUTSCHELKNAUS, ESQUIRE</li> <li>1100 New York Avenue, NW</li> <li>Suite 1600</li> <li>Washington, D.C. 20005</li> <li>202.371.2600</li> <li>rsterne@skgf.com</li> <li>jasone@skgf.com</li> <li>Representing Patent Owner Vivint, Inc.</li> <li>SUGHRUE MION, PLLC</li> <li>BY: BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQUIRE</li> <li>2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW</li> <li>Washington, D.C. 20037</li> <li>202.293.7060</li> <li>bshelton@sughrue.com</li> <li>Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc.</li> <li>23</li> </ul>	4	BY: ROBERT G. STERNE, ESQUIRE
1100 New York Avenue, NW Suite 1600 Washington, D.C. 20005 202.371.2600 rsterne@skgf.com jasone@skgf.com Representing Patent Owner Vivint, Inc. SUGHRUE MION, PLLC SUGHRUE MION, PLLC BY: BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQUIRE 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20037 202.293.7060 bshelton@sughrue.com Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc. 23	5	JASON D. EISENBERG, ESQUIRE
8 Suite 1600 9 Washington, D.C. 20005 10 202.371.2600 11 rsterne@skgf.com 12 jasone@skgf.com 13 Representing Patent Owner Vivint, Inc. 14 15 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 16 BY: BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQUIRE 17 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW 18 Washington, D.C. 20037 19 202.293.7060 20 bshelton@sughrue.com 21 Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc. 22 23 24	6	JOSEPH E. MUTSCHELKNAUS, ESQUIRE
9 Washington, D.C. 20005 10 202.371.2600 11 rsterne@skgf.com 12 jasone@skgf.com 13 Representing Patent Owner Vivint, Inc. 14 15 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 16 BY: BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQUIRE 17 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW 18 Washington, D.C. 20037 19 202.293.7060 20 bshelton@sughrue.com 21 Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc. 22 23 24	7	1100 New York Avenue, NW
<pre>10 202.371.2600 11 rsterne@skgf.com 12 jasone@skgf.com 13 Representing Patent Owner Vivint, Inc. 14 15 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 16 BY: BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQUIRE 17 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW 18 Washington, D.C. 20037 19 202.293.7060 20 bshelton@sughrue.com 21 Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc. 22 23 24</pre>	8	Suite 1600
<pre>11 rsterne@skgf.com 12 jasone@skgf.com 13 Representing Patent Owner Vivint, Inc. 14 15 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 16 BY: BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQUIRE 17 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW 18 Washington, D.C. 20037 19 202.293.7060 20 bshelton@sughrue.com 21 Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc. 22 23 24</pre>	9	Washington, D.C. 20005
<pre>12 jasone@skgf.com 13 Representing Patent Owner Vivint, Inc. 14 15 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 16 BY: BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQUIRE 17 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW 18 Washington, D.C. 20037 19 202.293.7060 20 bshelton@sughrue.com 21 Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc. 22 23 24</pre>	10	202.371.2600
13 Representing Patent Owner Vivint, Inc. 14 15 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 16 BY: BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQUIRE 17 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW 18 Washington, D.C. 20037 19 202.293.7060 20 bshelton@sughrue.com 21 Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc. 22 23 24	11	rsterne@skgf.com
<pre>14 14 15 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 16 BY: BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQUIRE 17 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW 18 Washington, D.C. 20037 19 202.293.7060 20 bshelton@sughrue.com 21 Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc. 22 23 24</pre>	12	jasone@skgf.com
15 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 16 BY: BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQUIRE 17 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW 18 Washington, D.C. 20037 19 202.293.7060 20 bshelton@sughrue.com 21 Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc. 22 23 24	13	Representing Patent Owner Vivint, Inc.
<pre>16 BY: BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQUIRE 17 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW 18 Washington, D.C. 20037 19 202.293.7060 20 bshelton@sughrue.com 21 Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc. 22 23 24</pre>	14	
<pre>17 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW 18 Washington, D.C. 20037 19 202.293.7060 20 bshelton@sughrue.com 21 Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc. 22 23 24</pre>	15	SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
18       Washington, D.C. 20037         19       202.293.7060         20       bshelton@sughrue.com         21       Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc.         22       23         23       24	16	BY: BRIAN K. SHELTON, ESQUIRE
<pre>19 202.293.7060 20 bshelton@sughrue.com 21 Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc. 22 23 24</pre>	17	2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
<pre>20 bshelton@sughrue.com 21 Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc. 22 23 24</pre>	18	Washington, D.C. 20037
21 Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc. 22 23 24	19	202.293.7060
2 2 2 3 2 4	20	bshelton@sughrue.com
2 3 2 4	21	Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc.
2 4	22	
	23	
2 5	24	
	25	

Г

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd):
2	
3	CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP
4	BY: TEENA-ANN V. SANKOORIKAL, ESQUIRE
5	825 8th Avenue
6	New York, New York 10019
7	212.474.1000
8	tsankoorikal@cravath.com
9	Representing Petitioner Alarm.com, Inc.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Г

Page 4 JUDGE BOUDREAU: Good morning. 1 2 This is Judge Charles Boudreau, and I have 3 Judges James Arpin and Michael Zecher on the line with me. 4 5 Do we have counsel for Alarm.com? MR. SHELTON: Yes, Your Honor. 6 This 7 is Brian Shelton for petitioner. And I'm also joined by co-counsel Teena Sankoorikal. 8 9 JUDGE BOUDREAU: Thank you. 10 And do we also have counsel for 11 Vivint, Incorporated? 12 MR. STERNE: Yes, Your Honor. This is 13 Robert Sterne for patent owner Vivint. And do you want me to identify who's on the call from 14 our side? 15 16 We have a court reporter, Your Honor. 17 JUDGE BOUDREAU: Yes, please. 18 MR. STERNE: We have Jason 19 Eisenberg, my partner. Also, my partner Joseph 20 Mutschelknaus on the call. And we have the 21 court reporter. And with your consent, we will, of course, provide a transcript of the call for 2.2 the record. 23 2.4 JUDGE BOUDREAU: Yes. Please do. 25 Thank you.

All right. Thank you.

We received Mr. Mandir's message regarding procedures that would be followed by the Patent Office for processing patent owner's request for Certificate of Correction. And we -- at the outset, I'd like to mention that, under 37 CFR 42.3, the Board takes exclusive jurisdiction over any involved application of patent during a proceeding. And so, going forward, patent owner shouldn't file any papers, including any requests, to any department outside of the PTAB while the case is pending before the Patent Trial and Appeal And, indeed, under 37 CFR 1.323, if a Board. request for a Certificate of Correction relates to a patent involved in any trial before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, the request must comply with the requirements of this section and be accompanied by a motion in addition to the request itself. MR. STERNE: Your Honor, this is

Robert Sterne speaking. We will absolutely 23 address why we did what we did and why we did not come to the Board first, because we're very 24 25 aware of what you just cited to us. But I would

like to make clear at the beginning of the call 1 2 that we request that this discussion today be limited to the Certificate of Correction issue 3 before us and not be used as a backdoor to 4 expand the discussion to the preliminary 5 6 responses or to the petitions. Because our 7 position, Your Honor, is the sole issue here is the propriety of the filing of the Certificates 8 9 of Correction, and nothing more or less.

Page 6

10 MR. SHELTON: Your Honor, this is 11 Brian Shelton for the petitioner. I mean, 12 our concern here is that these Certificates 13 of Correction were filed, and there was, previously, an effort by the patent owner 14 15 to notify the Board and request a call. 16 But they withdrew that request, and then 17 they proceeded to file these Certificates of Correction, and the first time we see them is 18 19 in the preliminary response. So our concern is 20 that there has been some change to the claim 21 language and we're not given an opportunity to 2.2 address that. 23 So to that extent, I think it does

24 involve some discussion of the preliminary 25 responses.

JUDGE BOUDREAU: This is Judge Boudreau. Before we go down that road too far, let me just find out from petitioner whether you would agree that these are typographical, clerical or errors of a minor nature, such that we may go ahead and enter the Certificate of Correction?

Page 7

8 MR. SHELTON: Not in all cases, Your 9 Honor. I mean, there are instances where terms 10 are being removed from the claim language, where 11 terms are being materially changed.

12 In our view, these are not the 13 sort of minor typographical changes that are contemplated for a Certification of Correction. 14 That's the issue that we have with this. 15 We 16 looked at the claims as they were written on the 17 face of the patent when we applied our prior 18 art, and now there have been some material 19 changes, in our view. And we're not sure how 20 the Court would like to address that, but we 21 would like the opportunity to do so. 2.2 MR. STERNE: Your Honor, --23 JUDGE BOUDREAU: Granted, these are not just spelling mistakes, for instance, but 24 from our preliminary review of the Certificates 25

> Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

of Correction, it appears that they were 1 2 correcting antecedent basis issues and the like. 3 Are there particular corrections that you are pointing to, Mr. Shelton? 4 MR. SHELTON: Yes, Your Honor. One of 5 them would be in IPR2015-2003, which would be 6 7 the '654 patent, Claims 16 and 24, the claim, as written, was a server message generator and 8

communication, and that has been changed to,

9

10 removed by the generator. And we had 11 interpreted the claim one way, and they've come 12 in with a different interpretation. And so the 13 concern we have is, now that we've filed a petition addressing, again, as the claim was 14 15 written, and then we tried to comprehend what 16 the claim should properly mean, but they've come 17 in with a different interpretation, and so now 18 the record's unclear.

I mean, that's one example. But there are other instances of, for example, on the one '123 patent, which is the IPR2015-1995. They've changed the message where it used to read, a single message profile, has now been changed to, message profile. Again, we applied our understanding of a single message profile in our

### Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

8

Page 8

1 application of prior art. And there are other 2 instances. There's another instance where, for 3 example, the limitation of a table was changed 4 to a memory.

5 So our concern, primarily, is that 6 the record at this point is unclear. And if 7 the Board would allow us to, we would like the 8 opportunity to make a submission stating our 9 position as to how the art we applied meets the 10 language that they're trying to change.

11 JUDGE BOUDREAU: Would patent owner 12 have any objection to Mr. Shelton's request? 13 MR. STERNE: Yes, we do, Your Honor. 14 I mean, that's exactly what I said at the 15 beginning of this conversation. This is 16 backdoor, used to change the petition that 17 they filed.

18 I mean, look, let's start at the beginning. First of all, I would like to 19 20 address the Board's concern, which I think is 21 appropriate. Of course, whether I think it's appropriate or not is irrelevant to the Board. 22 23 But our position, Your Honor, is we were very 24 careful in what we did here. We're only dealing with typographical and clerical changes, of 25

#### Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

which, by the way, petitioner was aware of 1 in their petition, because they raised it. 2 3 Now, I want to bring to the Board's attention why we did not come to you first, 4 5 and --JUDGE BOUDREAU: Wait. Because, 6 7 Mr. Sterne, you opened the door here by failing to follow our procedures. 8 9 MR. STERNE: Well, Your Honor, in all due respect, I would disagree. We carefully 10 11 reviewed other panel decisions here, as well as 12 the statute. And I would like to point out 13 three panel decisions that we think are relevant here. 14 15 The first one is International Flavors & Fragrances versus ZoomEssence, Inc., which is 16 17 IPR2015-01418, and, Your Honors, in Paper Number 8 at Page 3, which is an October 21, 2015, 18 ruling from the Board, guote, therefore, 19 20 the grant and issuance of the Certificate of 21 Correction; i.e., outside of the declared 2.2 interference for instituted trial, does not require a motion to the Board. And there the 23 panel cited, as you did, 37 CFR, Section 1.323, 24 25 in its entirety.

#### Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

1	And in a second case, Your Honors,
2	in Pharmacosmos A/S versus Luitpold
3	Pharmaceuticals, which is IPR2015-01490, Paper
4	Number 8 at Pages 2 to 3, of October 14th of
5	last year, 2015, there is a discussion
6	authorizing for request for a Certificate of
7	Correction and granting a motion for the
8	request, without questioning whether the motion
9	is necessary when trial has not been instituted.
10	And here what is going on is, trial
11	has not been instituted, as these cases point
12	out, and, therefore, the Certificate of
13	Correction is not within the jurisdiction,
14	according to these other panels, of the Board
15	until the trial institution decision is made.
16	I give you another example: ASML
17	Netherlands B.V. versus Energetiq Technology,
18	Inc., which is IPR2015-01375 at Paper 11, Pages
19	1 to 2, on October 14th, 2015. There the Board
20	interpreted an authorization for a request for
21	Certificate of Correction, and, again, it dealt
22	with the issue of the fact that trial had not
23	been instituted.
24	So we did not want to burden this
25	Board with this issue, because, as we said

earlier, these only go to typographical and 1 2 clerical errors. The reality here --3 JUDGE BOUDREAU: In each of those cases, Mr. Sterne, the patent owner did come to 4 the Board first, didn't they? 5 MR. STERNE: Yes, they did, Your 6 7 But in those cases, the Board pointed Honor. out that it was not a trial yet, and, therefore, 8 there was no need for this permission to be 9 granted, because --10 11 JUDGE BOUDREAU: But we do have 12 jurisdiction under Section 42.3, even before any 13 trial is granted. MR. STERNE: So the trial has not been 14 15 instituted here. So, therefore, if you look at 37.323, it specifically -- I mean, excuse me, 16 17 37 CFR 1.322, it says, if a request relates to a patent involved in a trial before the Patent 18 Trial and Appeal Board, the request must comply 19 20 with the requirements of this section and be 21 accompanied by a motion under 42.20 of this 2.2 title. 23 So what we're saying is, under 37 CFR, Section 42.2, it defines a trial to mean 24 a contested case instituted by the Board based 25

Page 12

1	on a petition. So our interpretation of these
2	panel decisions, Your Honor, is that until
3	a trial is instituted, this Certificate of
4	Correction is outside of the Board's concern.
5	Because the Patent Office has the necessary
6	provisions in their rules that specifically say
7	that the Certificate of Correction will not be
8	granted and not be issued if the claim scope is
9	changed by the Certificate of Correction.
10	The last thing we are trying to do
11	here is change claim scope. We just want to
12	remove these typographical and clerical errors
13	from the claim, because these claims are
14	in litigation, and we, for very appropriate
15	reasons, must deal with these issues, but we
16	want to deal with these issues now before the
17	trial is instituted, if it is instituted.
18	So, again, we're not trying to do
19	anything improper. We're not trying to burden
20	the Board with needless work. We were using
21	the provisions in the Office Rules, as we
22	interpreted them and as supported by other
23	panels. So we would submit, Your Honors,
24	that we've done nothing wrong by filing these
25	Certificate of Corrections, and, that, as I said

## Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

before, the protection for the process lies in the fact that if they are not granted and issued, because they have expanded the scope of the claims, then everything has been taken care of.

6 JUDGE BOUDREAU: Well, let us hear 7 from petitioner's counsel.

MR. SHELTON: Your Honor, first I want 8 9 to address that we're not asking to supplement 10 anything in our petition. We're not looking to 11 bring in new prior art or expand the arguments. 12 We simply want the opportunity to address the 13 change in the claim language that patent owner 14 has introduced to explain why the art we have 15 applied would cover that change. And, again, 16 we disagree with the patent owner's 17 characterization of these changes as being minor typographical corrections. They have changed 18 the scope. They've taken terms out of the 19 20 claim. They've changed claim terms from 21 singular to plural. They've made changes. And 2.2 we simply want to preserve the integrity of the 23 record and have the opportunity to have our position on how these changes affect the art 24 being applied. 25

Page 15 JUDGE BOUDREAU: All right. 1 Thank 2 you, Mr. Shelton. I'm going to just put you on hold for a minute. 3 MR. SHELTON: Thanks. 4 JUDGE BOUDREAU: I'm going to confer 5 6 with the panel. 7 (Brief pause in proceeding.) JUDGE BOUDREAU: Okay. This is Judge 8 9 Boudreau. 10 At the outset, Mr. Sterne, we 11 believe that you're incorrect in your reading. 12 Section 42.3 states that the Board may exercise 13 exclusive jurisdiction within the Office over 14 every involved application and patent during the 15 proceeding, as the Board may order. And Section 16 42.3 defines proceedings to include a proceeding 17 meaning a trial or preliminary proceedings, and preliminary proceedings begins with the filing 18 of the petition for instituting trial. 19 20 And so we are going to authorize 21 the petitioner to file a sur-reply, no longer 2.2 than five pages, limited to the issue of consideration of the Certificate of Correction. 23 And, in the meantime, the request for 24 Certificate of Correction will be stayed within 25

the office pending our decision. We conclude 1 2 that if the changes that are proposed are minor, then there should be no effect on petition. 3 If they're not minor, they may affect the 4 consideration of the petition, and, as 5 petitioner here is likely aware, Inter Partes 6 7 Review may be based only on patentability challenges under Sections 102 and 103, not 8 9 Section 112. So to the extent that petitions 10 raise the indefiniteness arguments here, that is 11 not a proper basis for request for Inter Partes 12 Review. And if we determine that we can't 13 construe a particular claim so as to determine 14 what's not anticipated or obvious, then we'll 15 need to consider what that would prevent us from 16 instituting. 17 MR. STERNE: Your Honor, may I make a request of the Board for clarification or for 18 19 understanding? 20 So, again, to go back to your point

about 42.3, again, we are looking at other panel decisions. And, Your Honor, I would suggest that there is ambiguity here on whether the Board assumes jurisdiction over this issue prior to institution of trial. So it would be helpful

### Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

for all of us for there to be some discussion 1 2 at the Board level on this issue, because it's not as clear as, perhaps, you think it is based 3 4 on our research. So, you know, I -- I -- again, I 5 wanted to -- you know, I just wanted to bring 6 7 to your attention one more case in this regard, which is the ZoomEssence case, which is 8

9 IPR2015-01418, and, particularly, Paper Number 10 8, Page 3, on October 21 of this past year. I 11 would like you to consider that after the call.

12 Secondly, with regard to the sur-reply 13 that petitioner has skillfully obtained, again, no problem there, but we would suggest to the 14 15 Board that it only be limited to the language of 16 the Certificate and not be allowed to go beyond 17 that. And, also, the Board should be aware that, in the petition, a lot of these clerical 18 19 error issues were raised by the petitioner in 20 their petition. They're aware of these. And 21 the point is, they've already addressed these in 22 their petitions. It should not -- the sur-reply 23 should not be a vehicle to expand the petition. 24 So those are the cautions that we

25 would like to propose to the Board about the

scope of this sur-reply, not just the issue
 about 112, but also about re-litigating issues
 that have already been presented in the
 petition.

5 We did not, Your Honor, address any of 6 these issues in our POPR. I mean, that was not 7 the basis of our POPR. We were not arguing 8 these claims in the POPR. So, again, we don't 9 think this should be used as a backdoor 10 to expand the record in terms of the second 11 petition.

MR. SHELTON: Your Honor, speaking for petitioner, we do not intend to go beyond the specific corrections when we present our discussion in the sur-reply. That's all we've asked to address, and that's all we intend to.

JUDGE BOUDREAU: Thank you. And we will make that clear in our order that the petitioner isn't to go beyond the scope of the Certificate of Correction.

21 MR. SHELTON: Your Honor, one other 22 question. Now, these four patents that we're 23 talking about today, there are other petitions 24 that we identified in our e-mail to the Board 25 that I believe patent owner has yet to file

#### Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830

Page 19 their preliminary response, but certainly we'll 1 2 have the same issues come up. So our question would be, how would the Board like us to proceed 3 with those? Should we file the same sur-reply 4 in those proceedings, as well? 5 6 That's the question we have for the 7 other patents for which no preliminary response has been filed yet. 8 9 JUDGE BOUDREAU: Yes, that sounds 10 reasonable. 11 MR. SHELTON: Okay. 12 MR. STERNE: Your Honor, 13 clarification: If they are being permitted to file a five-page sur-reply, do we get a 14 15 five-page response to that? 16 JUDGE BOUDREAU: No. 17 MR. STERNE: No? JUDGE BOUDREAU: Nothing is authorized 18 19 at this point, other than the five-page 20 sur-reply. 21 MR. STERNE: Well, how do we defend 2.2 our side, then? JUDGE BOUDREAU: Well, you've already 23 had your opportunity to present your position in 24 25 your preliminary response.

Page 20 Mr. Sterne, I'd also ask you, in any 1 2. of those decisions that you cited earlier, were 3 any of those precedential? Were any of those binding on the Board? 4 5 MR. STERNE: No, Your Honor. As we all know, there's very, very few of those to 6 7 date on this. And there's been no precedential decisions on this point of law, to date, that 8 9 we're aware of. And we did some pretty 10 intensive research on this subject. 11 JUDGE BOUDREAU: All right. Thank 12 you. 13 MR. SHELTON: Lastly, one point from petitioner, Your Honor. In terms of timing, 14 15 when would the Board want the petitioner to 16 submit the sur-reply? 17 JUDGE BOUDREAU: Within seven days. 18 One week. 19 MR. SHELTON: Okay. 20 JUDGE BOUDREAU: All right. Thank you 21 all. 2.2 MR. SHELTON: Thank you. 23 (Proceeding concluded -- 11:28 a.m.) 2.4 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I do hereby certify that the aforesaid
4	testimony was taken before me, pursuant to
5	notice, at the time and place indicated; that
6	said deponent was by me duly sworn to tell the
7	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
8	truth; that the testimony of said deponent was
9	correctly recorded in machine shorthand by me
10	and thereafter transcribed under my supervision
11	with computer-aided transcription; that the
12	deposition is a true and correct record of the
13	testimony given by the witness; and that I am
14	neither of counsel nor kin to any party in said
15	action, nor interested in the outcome thereof.
16	
17	WITNESS my hand and official seal this
18	26th day of January 2016.
19	
20	Jean K. Blan.
21	Ryan K. Black
22	
23	
24	
25	

# [& - changed]

	40.0 5 7 10 10 15 10	• 10 7	1 11 0 20 10 2
&	<b>42.3</b> 5:7 12:12 15:12	arguing 18:7	board's 9:20 10:3
<b>&amp;</b> 2:3 3:3 10:16	15:16 16:21	arguments 14:11	13:4
1	6	16:10	<b>boudreau</b> 1:17 4:1,2
1 11:19	<b>654</b> 8:7	arpin 1:17 4:3	4:9,17,24 7:1,2,23
<b>1.322</b> 12:17	8	art 7:18 9:1,9 14:11	9:11 10:6 12:3,11
		14:14,24	14:6 15:1,5,8,9
<b>1.323</b> 5:14 10:24 <b>10019</b> 3:6	<b>8</b> 10:18 11:4 17:10	asked 18:16	18:17 19:9,16,18,23
	<b>825</b> 3:5	asking 14:9	20:11,17,20
<b>102</b> 16:8 <b>103</b> 16:8	8th 3:5	<b>asml</b> 11:16	<b>brian</b> 2:16 4:7 6:11
	a	assumes 16:24	brief 15:7
11 11:18	<b>a.m.</b> 1:20 20:23	atlantic 1:23	bring 10:3 14:11
1100 2:7	absolutely 5:22	<b>attention</b> 10:4 17:7	17:6
<b>112</b> 16:9 18:2	accompanied 5:19	authorization 11:20	bshelton 2:20
<b>11:00</b> 1:20	12:21	authorize 15:20	<b>burden</b> 11:24 13:19
<b>11:28</b> 20:23	action 21:15	authorized 19:18	c
<b>1201</b> 1:23	addition 5:19	authorizing 11:6	<b>c</b> 2:1 3:1 21:1,1
<b>123</b> 8:21	address 5:23 6:22	avenue 2:7,17 3:5	<b>call</b> 4:14,20,22 6:1
<b>1250</b> 1:23	7:20 9:20 14:9,12	aware 5:25 10:1	6:15 17:11
<b>14th</b> 11:4,19	18:5,16	16:6 17:17,20 20:9	care 14:4
<b>16</b> 8:7	addressed 17:21	b	careful 9:24
1600 2:8	addressing 8:14	<b>b.v.</b> 11:17	carefully 10:10
2	<b>affect</b> 14:24 16:4	<b>back</b> 16:20	case 5:12 11:1 12:25
<b>2</b> 11:4,19	aforesaid 21:3	<b>backdoor</b> 6:4 9:16	17:7,8
20005 1:24 2:9	agree 7:4	18:9	cases 1:12 7:8 11:11
20037 2:18	ahead 7:6	<b>based</b> 12:25 16:7	12:4,7
<b>2015</b> 10:18 11:5,19	aided 21:11	17:3	cautions 17:24
2016 1:19 21:18	alarm.com 1:5 2:21	<b>basis</b> 8:2 16:11 18:7	certainly 19:1
<b>202.293.7060</b> 2:19	3:9 4:5	beginning 6:1 9:15	certificate 5:5,15
<b>202.371.2600</b> 2:10	allow 9:7	9:19	6:3 7:6 10:20 11:6
<b>21</b> 10:18 17:10	allowed 17:16	begins 15:18	11:12,21 13:3,7,9
<b>2100</b> 2:17	ambiguity 16:23	believe 15:11 18:25	13:25 15:23,25
<b>212.474.1000</b> 3:7	ann 3:4	beyond 17:16 18:13	17:16 18:20
<b>24</b> 8:7	antecedent 8:2	18:19	certificates 6:8,12
<b>26th</b> 21:18	anticipated 16:14	binding 20:4	6:17 7:25
<b>27</b> 1:19	appeal 1:3 5:13,17	black 1:21 21:21	certification 7:14
3	12:19	board 1:3 5:7,14,17	certify 21:3
	appears 8:1	5:24 6:15 9:7,22	cfr 5:7,14 10:24
<b>3</b> 10:18 11:4 17:10	application 5:8 9:1	10:19,23 11:14,19	12:17,24
<b>37</b> 5:7,14 10:24	15:14	11:25 12:5,7,19,25	challenges 16:8
12:17,24	applied 7:17 8:24	13:20 15:12,15	<b>change</b> 6:20 9:10,16
<b>37.323</b> 12:16	9:9 14:15,25	16:18,24 17:2,15,17	13:11 14:13,15
4	appropriate 9:21,22	17:25 18:24 19:3	<b>changed</b> 7:11 8:9,22
<b>42.2</b> 12:24	13:14	20:4,15	8:23 9:3 13:9 14:18
<b>42.20</b> 12:21	10.11	20.7,13	14:20
		1	14.40

### [changes - incorporated]

<b>changes</b> 7:13,19	8:1 10:21 11:7,13	earlier 12:1 20:2	followed 5:3
9:25 14:17,21,24	11:21 13:4,7,9	effect 16:3	forward 5:10
16:2	15:23,25 18:20	effort 6:14	four 18:22
characterization	corrections 8:3	eisenberg 2:5 4:19	fox 2:3
14:17	13:25 14:18 18:14	energetiq 11:17	fragrances 10:16
<b>charles</b> 1:17 4:2	correctly 21:9	enter 7:6	g
<b>cited</b> 5:25 10:24	<b>counsel</b> 4:5,8,10	entirety 10:25	<b>g</b> 2:4
20:2	14:7 21:14	error 17:19	g 2.4 generator 8:8,10
<b>claim</b> 6:20 7:10 8:7	<b>course</b> 4:22 9:21	errors 7:5 12:2	give 11:16
8:11,14,16 13:8,11	<b>court</b> 4:16,21 7:20	13:12	given 6:21 21:13
13:13 14:13,20,20	<b>cover</b> 14:15	esquire 2:4,5,6,16	<b>go</b> 7:2,6 12:1 16:20
16:13	cravath 3:3	3:4	17:16 18:13,19
claims 7:16 8:7	cravath.com 3:8	exactly 9:14	<b>going</b> 5:9 11:10 15:2
13:13 14:4 18:8	d	example 8:19,20 9:3	0 0
clarification 16:18	d 2:5	11:16	15:5,20
19:13		<b>exclusive</b> 5:7 15:13	goldstein 2:3
<b>clear</b> 6:1 17:3 18:18	<b>d.c.</b> 1:24 2:9,18	excuse 12:16	good 4:1
<b>clerical</b> 7:5 9:25	date 1:19 20:7,8	exercise 15:12	grant 10:20
12:2 13:12 17:18	day 21:18	<b>expand</b> 6:5 14:11	granted 7:23 12:10
<b>come</b> 5:24 8:11,16	days 20:17	17:23 18:10	12:13 13:8 14:2
10:4 12:4 19:2	deal 13:15,16	expanded 14:3	granting 11:7
communication 8:9	dealing 9:24	explain 14:14	h
comply 5:18 12:19	dealt 11:21	extent 6:23 16:9	hand 21:17
comprehend 8:15	decision 11:15 16:1	eye 1:23	<b>hear</b> 14:6
computer 21:11	decisions 10:11,13	f	helpful 16:25
<b>concern</b> 6:12,19	13:2 16:22 20:2,8		hold 15:3
8:13 9:5,20 13:4	declared 10:21	<b>f</b> 21:1	honor 4:6,12,16
conclude 16:1	defend 19:21	face 7:17	5:21 6:7,10 7:9,22
concluded 20:23	defines 12:24 15:16	<b>fact</b> 11:22 14:2	8:5 9:13,23 10:9
confer 15:5	department 5:11	failing 10:7	12:7 13:2 14:8
conference 1:15	deponent 21:6,8	<b>far</b> 7:2	16:17,22 18:5,12,21
consent 4:21	deposition 21:12	<b>file</b> 5:10 6:17 15:21	19:12 20:5,14
consider 16:15	<b>determine</b> 16:12,13	18:25 19:4,14	honors 10:17 11:1
17:11	different 8:12,17	<b>filed</b> 6:13 8:13 9:17	13:23
consideration 15:23	disagree 10:10	19:8	i
16:5	14:16	<b>filing</b> 6:8 13:24	
<b>construe</b> 16:13	discussion 6:2,5,24	15:18	<b>i.e.</b> 10:21
<b>cont'd</b> 3:1	11:5 17:1 18:15	find 7:3	identified 18:24
contemplated 7:14	<b>door</b> 10:7	<b>first</b> 5:24 6:18 9:19	identify 4:14
contemplated 7.14 contested 12:25	<b>due</b> 10:10	10:4,15 12:5 14:8	improper 13:19
	<b>duly</b> 21:6	<b>five</b> 15:22 19:14,15	include 15:16
conversation 9:15	e	19:19	including 5:11
correct 21:12	e 2:1,1,6 3:1,1 18:24	flavors 10:15	incorporated 1:5,9
correcting 8:2	21:1,1	<b>follow</b> 10:8	4:11
<b>correction</b> 5:5,15	21.1,1		
6:3,9,13,18 7:7,14			

### [incorrect - partner]

• • • • • • •		1 1 1 7 1 4	
incorrect 15:11	issued 13:8 14:3	looked 7:16	number 10:17 11:4
indefiniteness 16:10	issues 8:2 13:15,16	looking 14:10 16:21	17:9
indicated 21:5	17:19 18:2,6 19:2	lot 17:18	<b>nw</b> 1:23 2:7,17
<b>instance</b> 7:24 9:2	j	luitpold 11:2	0
instances 7:9 8:20	james 1:17 4:3	m	objection 9:12
9:2	<b>january</b> 1:19 21:18	machine 21:9	obtained 17:13
instituted 10:22	jason 2:5 4:18	mail 18:24	<b>obvious</b> 16:14
11:9,11,23 12:15,25	jasone 2:12	mandir's 5:2	october 10:18 11:4
13:3,17,17	joined 4:8	material 7:18	11:19 17:10
instituting 15:19	joseph 2:6 4:19	materially 7:11	office 1:1 5:4 13:5
16:16	judge 1:17,17,18 4:1	<b>mean</b> 6:11 7:9 8:16	13:21 15:13 16:1
institution 11:15	4:2,9,17,24 7:1,1,23	8:19 9:14,18 12:16	official 21:17
16:25	9:11 10:6 12:3,11	12:24 18:6	okay 15:8 19:11
integrity 14:22	14:6 15:1,5,8,8	meaning 15:17	20:19
intend 18:13,16	18:17 19:9,16,18,23	meets 9:9	opened 10:7
intensive 20:10	20:11,17,20	memory 9:4	opportunity 6:21
inter 16:6,11	judges 4:3	mention 5:6	7:21 9:8 14:12,23
interested 21:15	jurisdiction 5:8	message 5:2 8:8,22	19:24
interference 10:22	11:13 12:12 15:13	8:23,24,25	order 15:15 18:18
international 10:15	16:24	michael 1:18 4:3	outcome 21:15
<b>interpretation</b> 8:12 8:17 13:1	k	<b>mid</b> 1:23	outset 5:6 15:10
	<b>k</b> 1:21 2:16 21:21	<b>minor</b> 7:5,13 14:17	outside 5:12 10:21
<b>interpreted</b> 8:11 11:20 13:22	kessler 2:3	16:2,4	13:4
introduced 14:14	kin 21:14	minute 15:3	owner 1:10 2:13
involve 6:24	know 17:5,6 20:6	<b>mion</b> 2:15	4:13 5:10 6:14 9:11
<b>involved</b> 5:8,16	1	mistakes 7:24	12:4 14:13 18:25
12:18 15:14	1	moore 3:3	owner's 5:4 14:16
ipr2015-01375	language 6:21 7:10	morning 4:1	р
11:18	9:10 14:13 17:15	<b>motion</b> 5:19 10:23	<b>p</b> 2:1,1 3:1,1
ipr2015-01418	lastly 20:13	11:7,8 12:21	<b>p.l.l.c.</b> 2:3
10:17 17:9	law 20:8	mutschelknaus 2:6	page 10:18 17:10
ipr2015-01490 11:3	legal 1:23	4:20	19:14,15,19
ipr2015-01995 1:13	level 17:2	n	pages 11:4,18 15:22
ipr2015-01997 1:13	lies 14:1	<b>n</b> 2:1 3:1	panel 10:11,13,24
ipr2015-02003 1:12	limitation 9:3	nature 7:5	13:2 15:6 16:21
ipr2015-02004 1:12	limited 6:3 15:22	necessary 11:9 13:5	panels 11:14 13:23
ipr2015-1995 8:21	17:15	<b>need</b> 12:9 16:15	paper 10:17 11:3,18
ipr2015-2003 8:6	line 4:4	needless 13:20	17:9
irrelevant 9:22	litigating 18:2	neither 21:14	papers 5:10
issuance 10:20	litigation 13:14	netherlands 11:17	<b>partes</b> 16:6,11
issue 6:3,7 7:15	llp 3:3	<b>new</b> 2:7 3:6,6 14:11	particular 8:3 16:13
11:22,25 15:22	longer 15:21	notice 21:5	particularly 17:9
16:24 17:2 18:1	look 9:18 12:15	notify 6:15	partner 4:19,19

### [party - states]

Page 4

<b>party</b> 21:14	present 18:14 19:24	reasonable 19:10	<b>ryan</b> 1:21 21:21
patent 1:1,3,10 2:13	presented 18:3	reasons 13:15	
	preserve 14:22	received 5:2	S
4:13 5:4,4,9,10,13 5:16,17 6:14 7:17	1	record 4:23 9:6	s 2:1 3:1 11:2
-	pretty 20:9		sankoorikal 3:4 4:8
8:7,21 9:11 12:4,18	prevent 16:15	14:23 18:10 21:12	saying 12:23
12:18 13:5 14:13,16	previously 6:14	record's 8:18	says 12:17
15:14 18:25	primarily 9:5	recorded 21:9	scope 13:8,11 14:3
patentability 16:7	prior 7:17 9:1 14:11	regard 17:7,12	14:19 18:1,19
patents 18:22 19:7	16:24	regarding 5:3	seal 21:17
pause 15:7	<b>problem</b> 17:14	region 1:23	second 11:1 18:10
pending 5:13 16:1	procedures 5:3 10:8	relates 5:15 12:17	secondly 17:12
pennsylvania 2:17	proceed 19:3	relevant 10:13	section 5:18 10:24
permission 12:9	proceeded 6:17	remove 13:12	12:12,20,24 15:12
permitted 19:13	proceeding 5:9 15:7	removed 7:10 8:10	15:15 16:9
<b>petition</b> 8:14 9:16	15:15,16 20:23	reply 15:21 17:12,22	sections 16:8
10:2 13:1 14:10	proceedings 15:16	18:1,15 19:4,14,20	see 6:18
15:19 16:3,5 17:18	15:17,18 19:5	20:16	server 8:8
17:20,23 18:4,11	process 14:1	reported 1:21	seven 20:17
petitioner 1:6 2:21	processing 5:4	<b>reporter</b> 4:16,21	<b>shelton</b> 2:16 4:6,7
3:9 4:7 6:11 7:3	profile 8:23,24,25	representing 2:13	6:10,11 7:8 8:4,5
10:1 15:21 16:6	<b>proper</b> 16:11	2:21 3:9	14:8 15:2,4 18:12
17:13,19 18:13,19	properly 8:16	request 5:5,15,17,20	18:21 19:11 20:13
20:14,15	<b>propose</b> 17:25	6:2,15,16 9:12 11:6	20:19,22
petitioner's 14:7	proposed 16:2	11:8,20 12:17,19	shelton's 9:12
petitions 6:6 16:9	propriety 6:8	15:24 16:11,18	shorthand 21:9
17:22 18:23	protection 14:1	requests 5:11	side 4:15 19:22
pharmaceuticals	provide 4:22	require 10:23	signature 21:20
11:3	provisions 13:6,21	requirements 5:18	simply 14:12,22
pharmacosmos 11:2	<b>ptab</b> 1:17,17,18 5:12	12:20	single 8:23,25
place 21:5	pursuant 21:4	research 17:4 20:10	singular 14:21
please 4:17,24	<b>put</b> 15:2	respect 10:10	skgf.com 2:11,12
<b>pllc</b> 2:15	q	response 6:19 19:1,7	skillfully 17:13
<b>plural</b> 14:21	question 18:22 19:2	19:15,25	sole 6:7
<b>point</b> 9:6 10:12	19:6	responses 6:6,25	solutions 1:23
11:11 16:20 17:21	questioning 11:8	review 7:25 16:7,12	sort 7:13
19:19 20:8,13	<b>quote</b> 10:19	reviewed 10:11	sounds 19:9
pointed 12:7		<b>right</b> 5:1 15:1 20:11	speaking 5:22 18:12
pointing 8:4	r	20:20	specific 18:14
<b>popr</b> 18:6,7,8	r 2:1 3:1 21:1	road 7:2	specifically 12:16
<b>position</b> 6:7 9:9,23	raise 16:10	robert 2:4 4:13 5:22	13:6
14:24 19:24	raised 10:2 17:19	<b>rpr</b> 1:21	spelling 7:24
precedential 20:3,7	read 8:22	rsterne 2:11	start 9:18
preliminary 6:5,19	reading 15:11	<b>rules</b> 13:6,21	states 1:1 15:12
6:24 7:25 15:17,18	reality 12:2	<b>ruling</b> 10:19	500005 1.1 10.12
19:1,7,25			
L	1	l	1

stating 9:8	thing 13:10	14:12,22 20:15
statute 10:12	think 6:23 9:20,21	wanted 17:6,6
stayed 15:25	10:13 17:3 18:9	washington 1:24 2:9
sterne 2:3,4 4:12,13	three 10:13	2:18
4:18 5:21,22 7:22	time 1:20 6:18 21:5	way 8:11 10:1
9:13 10:7,9 12:4,6	timing 20:14	we've 8:13 13:24
12:14 15:10 16:17	title 12:22	18:15
19:12,17,21 20:1,5	today 6:2 18:23	wednesday 1:19
street 1:23	trademark 1:1	week 20:18
subject 20:10	transcribed 21:10	withdrew 6:16
submission 9:8	transcript 4:22	witness 21:13,17
submit 13:23 20:16	transcription 21:11	work 13:20
suggest 16:22 17:14	<b>trial</b> 1:3 5:13,16,17	written 7:16 8:8,15
sughrue 2:15	10:22 11:9,10,15,22	wrong 13:24
sughrue.com 2:20	12:8,13,14,18,19,24	
suite 1:23 2:8	13:3,17 15:17,19	y
supervision 21:10	16:25	year 11:5 17:10
supplement 14:9	tried 8:15	<b>york</b> 2:7 3:6,6
supported 13:22	true 21:12	Z
supported 15:22 sur 15:21 17:12,22	truth 21:7,7,8	<b>zecher</b> 1:18 4:3
18:1,15 19:4,14,20	trying 9:10 13:10,18	zoomessence 10:16
20:16	13:19	17:8
sure 7:19	tsankoorikal 3:8	
swaine 3:3	typographical 7:4	
sworn 21:6	7:13 9:25 12:1	
t	13:12 14:18	
	u	
t 21:1,1	-	
table 9:3		
	unclear 8:18 9:6	
taken 14:4,19 21:4	understanding 8:25	
takes 5:7	understanding 8:25 16:19	
takes 5:7 talking 18:23	understanding 8:25	
takes 5:7 talking 18:23 technology 11:17	understanding 8:25 16:19	
takes 5:7 talking 18:23 technology 11:17 teena 3:44:8	<b>understanding</b> 8:25 16:19 <b>united</b> 1:1 <b>v</b>	
takes 5:7 talking 18:23 technology 11:17 teena 3:4 4:8 telephone 1:15	understanding 8:25 16:19 united 1:1	
takes 5:7 talking 18:23 technology 11:17 teena 3:4 4:8 telephone 1:15 tell 21:6	<b>understanding</b> 8:25 16:19 <b>united</b> 1:1 <b>v</b> <b>v</b> 1:8 3:4	
takes 5:7 talking 18:23 technology 11:17 teena 3:4 4:8 telephone 1:15 tell 21:6 terms 7:9,11 14:19	understanding 8:25 16:19 united 1:1 v v 1:8 3:4 vehicle 17:23 veritext 1:23	
takes 5:7 talking 18:23 technology 11:17 teena 3:4 4:8 telephone 1:15 tell 21:6 terms 7:9,11 14:19 14:20 18:10 20:14	understanding 8:25 16:19 united 1:1 v v 1:8 3:4 vehicle 17:23 veritext 1:23 versus 10:16 11:2,17	
takes 5:7 talking 18:23 technology 11:17 teena 3:4 4:8 telephone 1:15 tell 21:6 terms 7:9,11 14:19 14:20 18:10 20:14 testimony 21:4,8,13	understanding 8:25 16:19 united 1:1 v v 1:8 3:4 vehicle 17:23 veritext 1:23 versus 10:16 11:2,17 view 7:12,19	
takes 5:7 talking 18:23 technology 11:17 teena 3:4 4:8 telephone 1:15 tell 21:6 terms 7:9,11 14:19 14:20 18:10 20:14 testimony 21:4,8,13 thank 4:9,25 5:1	understanding 8:25 16:19 united 1:1 v v 1:8 3:4 vehicle 17:23 veritext 1:23 versus 10:16 11:2,17	
takes 5:7 talking 18:23 technology 11:17 teena 3:4 4:8 telephone 1:15 tell 21:6 terms 7:9,11 14:19 14:20 18:10 20:14 testimony 21:4,8,13 thank 4:9,25 5:1 15:1 18:17 20:11,20	understanding 8:25 16:19 united 1:1 v v 1:8 3:4 vehicle 17:23 veritext 1:23 versus 10:16 11:2,17 view 7:12,19 vivint 1:9 2:13 4:11 4:13	
takes 5:7 talking 18:23 technology 11:17 teena 3:4 4:8 telephone 1:15 tell 21:6 terms 7:9,11 14:19 14:20 18:10 20:14 testimony 21:4,8,13 thank 4:9,25 5:1 15:1 18:17 20:11,20 20:22	understanding 8:25 16:19 united 1:1 v v 1:8 3:4 vehicle 17:23 veritext 1:23 versus 10:16 11:2,17 view 7:12,19 vivint 1:9 2:13 4:11 4:13 w	
takes 5:7 talking 18:23 technology 11:17 teena 3:4 4:8 telephone 1:15 tell 21:6 terms 7:9,11 14:19 14:20 18:10 20:14 testimony 21:4,8,13 thank 4:9,25 5:1 15:1 18:17 20:11,20 20:22 thanks 15:4	understanding 8:25 16:19 united 1:1 v v 1:8 3:4 vehicle 17:23 veritext 1:23 versus 10:16 11:2,17 view 7:12,19 vivint 1:9 2:13 4:11 4:13 w wait 10:6	
takes 5:7 talking 18:23 technology 11:17 teena 3:4 4:8 telephone 1:15 tell 21:6 terms 7:9,11 14:19 14:20 18:10 20:14 testimony 21:4,8,13 thank 4:9,25 5:1 15:1 18:17 20:11,20 20:22	understanding 8:25 16:19 united 1:1 v v 1:8 3:4 vehicle 17:23 veritext 1:23 versus 10:16 11:2,17 view 7:12,19 vivint 1:9 2:13 4:11 4:13 w wait 10:6 want 4:14 10:3	
takes 5:7 talking 18:23 technology 11:17 teena 3:4 4:8 telephone 1:15 tell 21:6 terms 7:9,11 14:19 14:20 18:10 20:14 testimony 21:4,8,13 thank 4:9,25 5:1 15:1 18:17 20:11,20 20:22 thanks 15:4	understanding 8:25 16:19 united 1:1 v v 1:8 3:4 vehicle 17:23 veritext 1:23 versus 10:16 11:2,17 view 7:12,19 vivint 1:9 2:13 4:11 4:13 w wait 10:6	

26

Page 5