

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FITBIT, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

ALIPHCOM, INC. D/B/A JAWBONE,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00607
Patent 8,529,811 B2

Before CHRISTOPHER L. CRUMBLEY, JON B. TORNQUIST, and
JEFFREY W. ABRAHAM, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CRUMBLEY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

SCHEDULING ORDER

The Appendix to this Order sets due dates for the parties to take action after institution of the proceedings. The parties may stipulate to different dates for DUE DATES 1 through 5 (earlier or later, but no later than DUE

DATE 6). A notice of the stipulation, specifically identifying the changed due dates, must be promptly filed. The parties may not stipulate to an extension of DUE DATES 6 and 7. In addition, even if the parties stipulate to an extension of DUE DATE 4, any request for oral hearing must still be filed on or before the date set in this Order, to provide sufficient time for the Board to accommodate the hearing.

In stipulating to different times, the parties should consider the effect of the stipulation on times to object to evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)), to supplement evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(2)), to conduct cross-examination (37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2)), and to draft papers depending on the evidence and cross-examination testimony (*see* section B, below).

The parties are reminded that the Testimony Guidelines appended to the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,772 (Aug. 14, 2012) (Appendix D), apply to this proceeding. The Board may impose an appropriate sanction for failure to adhere to the Testimony Guidelines. 37 C.F.R. § 42.12. For example, reasonable expenses and attorneys' fees incurred by any party may be levied on a person who impedes, delays, or frustrates the fair examination of a witness.

A. DUE DATES

1. DUE DATE 1

The patent owner may file—

- a. A response to the petition (37 C.F.R. § 42.120), and
- b. A motion to amend the patent (37 C.F.R. § 42.121).

The patent owner must file any such response or motion to amend by DUE DATE 1. If the patent owner elects not to file anything, the patent owner must arrange a conference call with the parties and the Board. The patent owner is cautioned that any arguments for patentability not raised in the response will be deemed waived.

2. DUE DATE 2

The petitioner must file any reply to the patent owner's response and opposition to the motion to amend by DUE DATE 2.

3. DUE DATE 3

The patent owner must file any reply to the petitioner's opposition to patent owner's motion to amend by DUE DATE 3.

4. DUE DATE 4

a. Each party must file any motion for an observation on the cross-examination testimony of a reply witness (*see* section C, below) by DUE DATE 4.

b. Each party must file any motion to exclude evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c)) and any request for oral argument (37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a)) by DUE DATE 4.

5. DUE DATE 5

a. Each party must file any response to an observation on cross-examination testimony by DUE DATE 5.

b. Each party must file any opposition to a motion to exclude evidence by DUE DATE 5.

6. DUE DATE 6

Each party must file any reply for a motion to exclude evidence by DUE DATE 6.

7. DUE DATE 7

The oral argument (if requested by either party) is set for DUE DATE 7.

B. CROSS-EXAMINATION

Except as the parties might otherwise agree, for each due date—

1. Cross-examination begins after any supplemental evidence is due. 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2).

2. Cross-examination ends no later than a week before the filing date for any paper in which the cross-examination testimony is expected to be used. *Id.*

C. OBSERVATIONS ON CROSS-EXAMINATION

Observations on cross-examination provide the parties with a mechanism to draw the Board's attention to relevant cross-examination testimony of a reply witness because no further substantive paper is permitted after the reply. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,768 (Aug. 14, 2012). The observations must be concise statements of the relevance of precisely identified testimony to a precisely identified argument or portion of an exhibit. Each observation should not exceed a single, short paragraph. The opposing party may respond to the observations. Any response must be equally concise and specific.

D. CONFERENCE CALLS

The parties should contact the Board within two weeks of this Order if there is a need to discuss proposed changes to this Order, or if the parties seek authorization to file any proposed motions. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,765–66 (Aug. 14, 2012) (guidance in preparing for the initial conference call).

Patent Owner is reminded that it must confer with the Board before filing a Motion to Amend. 37 C.F.R. § 42.121(a). Patent Owner should contact the Board to request such a conference, if necessary, at least two weeks before DUE DATE 1.

DUE DATE APPENDIX

DUE DATE 1 November 16, 2016

Patent owner's response to the petition

Patent owner's motion to amend the patent

DUE DATE 2 February 16, 2016

Petitioner's reply to patent owner's response to petition

Petitioner's opposition to motion to amend

DUE DATE 3 March 16, 2016

Patent owner's reply to petitioner's opposition to motion to amend

DUE DATE 4 April 6, 2016

Motion for observation regarding cross-examination of reply witness

Motion to exclude evidence

Request for oral argument

DUE DATE 5 April 20, 2017

Response to observation

Opposition to motion to exclude

DUE DATE 6 April 27, 2017

Reply to opposition to motion to exclude

DUE DATE 7 May 11, 2017

Oral argument (if requested)

IPR2016-00607
Patent 8,529,811 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Naveen Modi
Yar Chaikovsky
David Okano
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
PH-Fitbit-Aliph-IPR@paulhastings.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Michael Rosato
Richard Torczon
Andrew Brown
Jose Villarreal
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
mrosato@wsgr.com
rtorczon@wsgr.com
asbrown@wsgr.com
jvillarreal@wsgr.com