

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ADT LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

SCRIPT SECURITY SOLUTIONS LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2016-00740 (Patent 6,542,078 B2)¹
IPR2016-00741 (Patent 7,113,091 B2)
IPR2016-00742 (Patent 6,828,909 B2)

Before PATRICK R. SCANLON, BART A. GERSTENBLITH, and
SHEILA F. McSHANE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

McSHANE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

JUDGMENT
TERMINATION OF THE PROCEEDING AS TO
PETITIONER ADT LLC;
TERMINATION OF THE PROCEEDINGS
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72, 42.73

¹ This Judgment, and related issues, as to the termination of Petitioner ADT and termination of the proceedings is common to each of the referenced cases, therefore, we issue a single Judgment to be filed in each case.

IPR2016-00740 (Patent 6,542,078 B2)
IPR2016-00741 (Patent 7,113,091 B2)
IPR2016-00742 (Patent 6,828,909 B2)

On June 24, 2016, by electronic mail, the Board authorized Petitioner, ADT LLC (“ADT”), and Patent Owner, Script Security Solutions LLC (“Script Security”), to file joint motions to terminate ADT and joint requests to file the settlement agreement as business confidential in each of these cases. On June 24, 2016, ADT and Script Security filed Joint Motions to Terminate the *Inter Partes* Review with Regard to Petitioner ADT LLC (“Joint Motions”), pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.72, and Joint Requests to File the Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information (“Joint Requests”), pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), in each of the respective cases (Papers 15 (“Mot.”), 16 (IPR2016-00740); Papers 10, 11 (IPR2016-00741); Papers 14, 15 (IPR2016-00742)).² Along with the Joint Motions and Joint Requests, the parties also filed copies of written settlement agreements (Ex. 2003), which are represented to be true copies. Mot. 2–3.

ADT and Script Security represent that they have settled the litigations between them and have filed all related settlement agreements. Mot. 2–3. ADT also represents that it will no longer participate in the proceedings before us. *Id.* at 2.

Under these circumstances, the Board determines that it is appropriate to terminate the proceedings as to ADT. 37 C.F.R. § 42.72.

A decision whether to institute a trial has not been entered in these cases. Thus, these cases are in the preliminary stages. Frontpoint Security

² Further citations are to Case IPR2016-00740 as representative, unless otherwise noted.

IPR2016-00740 (Patent 6,542,078 B2)
IPR2016-00741 (Patent 7,113,091 B2)
IPR2016-00742 (Patent 6,828,909 B2)

Solutions, LLC, the only other Petitioner in these proceedings, has been terminated previously. Paper 14.

Under these circumstances, the Board also determines that it is appropriate to enter judgment and terminate the proceedings without rendering a final written decision. 37 C.F.R. § 42.72.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED that the Joint Motions of ADT and Script Security to terminate the cases as to ADT are GRANTED;

FURTHER ORDERED that the proceedings are terminated as to ADT;

FURTHER ORDERED that the proceedings are hereby terminated;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Requests of ADT and Script Security that the filed settlement agreements be treated as business confidential information and be kept separate from the files of the involved patents under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), are GRANTED; and therefore

FURTHER ORDERED that the documents filed as Exhibit 2003 in Cases IPR2016-00740, IPR2016-00741, and IPR2016-00742 be treated as business confidential information.

IPR2016-00740 (Patent 6,542,078 B2)
IPR2016-00741 (Patent 7,113,091 B2)
IPR2016-00742 (Patent 6,828,909 B2)

For PETITIONER, ADT LLC:

Jackson Ho
David A. Simons
K&L GATES LLP
jackson.ho@klgates.com
david.simons@klgates.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Zachariah S. Harrington
Matthew J. Antonelli
Larry D. Thompson, Jr.
Stephen D. Zinda
ANTONELLI, HARRINGTON & THOMPSON LLP
zac@ahtlawfirm.com
matt@ahtlawfirm.com
larry@ahtlawfirm.com
stephen@ahtlawfirm.com