

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

COLLABO INNOVATIONS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00941
Patent 5,952,714

Before DAVID McKONE, GREGG I. ANDERSON, and
JENNIFER MEYER CHAGNON, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ANDERSON, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Petitioner's Motion for Admission
Pro Hac Vice — Jacob Zweig
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

Petitioner, Sony Corporation, filed an Unopposed Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Jacob Zweig (Paper 15, “Motion,” or “Mot.”). The Motion was accompanied by a Declaration of Jacob Zweig (Ex. 1027). The Motion represents that Patent Owner does not oppose the Motion. Mot. 2.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing motions for *pro hac vice*, we require the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for us to recognize counsel *pro hac vice*, and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding.

Upon review of Petitioner’s Motion and supporting evidence, we determine that Petitioner has demonstrated that Jacob Zweig has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner in the above-identified proceeding. We also recognize that there is a need for Petitioner to have him involved in this proceeding.

Accordingly, Petitioner has established that there is good cause for admitting Jacob Zweig.

It is

ORDERED that Petitioner’s Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Jacob Zweig is *granted*; Mr. Zweig is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel in the above-identified proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Zweig is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, and to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R.

§§ 11.101 *et. seq.*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner shall comply with 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(a)(3) and 42.10(b) by adding Mr. Zweig to the required mandatory notices and to Petitioner's power of attorney.

PETITIONER:

Matthew A. Smith
Zhuanjia Gu
TURNER BOYD LLP
smith@turnerboyd.com
gu@turnerboyd.com

PATENT OWNER:

Terry A. Saad
Nicholas C. Kliwer
BRAGALONE CONROY PC
tsaad@bcpc-law.com
nkliwer@bcpc-law.com