UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RESMED LIMITED, RESMED INC., AND RESMED CORP., Petitioners,

v.

FISHER & PAYKEL HEALTHCARE LIMITED, Patent Owner.

Case Nos.

IPR2016-01716

IPR2016-01717

IPR2016-01719

IPR2016-01725

IPR2016-01727

IPR2016-01729

IPR2016-01730

IPR2016-01731

U.S Patent Nos.

7,111,624

8,091,547

8,550,072

6,398,197

DEPOSITION OF

ALEXANDER VIRR

Wednesday, October 11, 2017

Taken By Christine K. Herman, RPR, CRR

DIGITAL EVIDENCE GROUP 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 812

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 232-0646

FPH EX. 2004

ResMed v. Fisher & Paykel IPR2016-01719

Page 2 1 APPEARANCES: FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 3200 RBC Plaza 2 60 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 3 (612)335-5070 4 kane@fr.com By: Michael J. Kane, Esquire Chris Hoff, Esquire 5 Andrew Drummer, Esquire 6 For the Petitioner. 7 KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP 2040 Main Street 8 14th Floor Irvine, California 92614 9 (949)760-0404 matt.bellinger@kmob.com By: Matt Bellinger, Esquire 10 11 For the Patent Owner. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

		Page 3
1	INDEX:	
		PAGE
2	Examination by Mr. Bellinger	4
	Certificate of Court Reporter	158
3		
	EXHIBITS:	
4	Virr Exhibit Number 2003	
	Marked for Identification	76
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

- THE DEPOSITION OF ALEXANDER VIRR,
- taken on this 11th day of October, 2017, at the law
- offices of Fish & Richardson, PC, 3200 RBC Plaza,
- 4 60 South Sixth Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota,
- 5 commencing at approximately 9:03 a.m.
- 6 Whereupon,
- 7 ALEXANDER VIRR,
- a witness in the above-entitled matter,
- after having been first duly sworn,
- deposes and says as follows:
- 11 EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MR. BELLINGER:
- Q Good morning, Mr. Virr.
- 14 A Good morning.
- 15 Q Have you had your deposition taken before?
- ¹⁶ A Yes.
- Q Approximately how long ago was that?
- 18 A Oh, dear. I had one taken about a year
- ago and one maybe a couple of years ago.
- Q Okay. So you're probably familiar with
- the process, but I'll go over some of the rules just
- 22 as a refresher.

- Do you understand the court reporter will
- be writing down everything we say here today?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Okay. And for that reason, it's important
- 5 that you give verbal answers to my questions, as
- opposed to head nods or things like that. Okay?
- ⁷ A Yes.
- 8 Q And because the court reporter is writing
- 9 down everything that we say, it's important that you
- 10 let me finish my question before you start your
- answer, and I'll let you finish your answer before I
- start my next question so we're not speaking at the
- same time. Is that okay?
- 14 A That's good.
- Okay. If at any time you need a break,
- just let me know. All right?
- 17 A Okay.
- Q Okay. Can you describe your educational
- background for me, please?
- 20 A Yes. I have two degrees. I've got a
- 21 bachelor's in history and political science from the
- 22 Australian National University, and I also have a

- bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from
- New South Wales University.
- Q When did you receive those degrees?
- 4 A The first one in 1987, and the engineering
- ⁵ degree in 1990.
- 6 Q Okay. And after receiving the history
- degree, did you continue directly on to get your
- 8 engineering degree?
- 9 A No. I worked for approximately two years
- in the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet
- in Canberra in Australia.
- 12 Q And after receiving your mechanical
- engineering degree in 1990, what's the first job
- that you took?
- A So my first job was with Telectronics,
- which is like electronics with a T on the front,
- 17 Telectronics Pacing Systems. That was a company
- that made implantable electronic devices for
- 19 treatment of heart conditions and other conditions.
- Q And how long did you work there?
- A Approximately two years.
- Q And what's the next job you had?

- A My next job was with ResMed. I joined
- 2 ResMed in 1996.
- Q And how long were you employed at ResMed?
- ⁴ A I was at ResMed for 13 years.
- O Okay. And what types of devices -- Did
- ⁶ you work on designing devices while at ResMed?
- 7 A I did.
- 8 Q And what types of devices did you work on
- 9 designing?
- 10 A So initially I was working on components
- of devices. I worked on fans in particular and flow
- meters, and then more general types of components,
- cases and that sort of thing. And then eventually I
- worked on whole devices, so either flow generators
- of various sorts or humidifiers.
- Q Did any of the devices you worked on
- 17 result in commercial products?
- 18 A Yes.
- Q And what were those products?
- 20 A So there would have been Sullivan 5
- upgrade, I think it was called. There was the S7
- humidifier, which was called H2i. There was a

- device called CS2, which was a high-end respirator,
- or high-end flow generator, anyway. There was a
- 3 humidifier called H3i. I had some involvement with
- 4 those, tangential, with a device called H4i, which
- is another humidifier. And I was involved with H5i,
- 6 which was a humidifier and heated tube system.
- 7 Q And after you left ResMed in 2009, what's
- 8 the next job that you had?
- 9 A I did two things. I started my own
- 10 consultancy, which is called Virtex, V-I-R-T-E-X,
- and that's a consultancy firm. And simultaneously I
- started with a firm called PAFtec, P-A, F for Fred,
- T-E-C.
- Q And what type of work have you done with
- 15 respect to Virtex?
- A At Virtex I've done consulting. So there
- has been a small amount of expert witness work, like
- this. There's been quite a lot of design work in
- the clean energy space. There's been some in the
- medical space, although not respirators. There's
- been other sort of general design work.
- Q And have you done consulting work for

- 1 ResMed?
- 2 A Yes. I produced a humidifier design
- manual at one point, and I gave one lecture on that
- 4 manual. And I've also provided expert witness work
- 5 as part of my consulting role.
- 6 Q What was the humidifier manual you worked
- on? What product was that for?
- A It wasn't that type of manual. It was
- 9 like a design guide, how to design humidifiers and
- that sort of thing.
- 11 Q And when, approximately, did you do that
- work?
- 13 A Very approximately, three to four years
- 14 ago. I'm guessing there. I'm afraid I'd have to
- 15 check.
- Q And you also mentioned expert witness
- work. Apart from the present matter, have you done
- other expert witness work for ResMed?
- 19 A Yes. So there's this matter for expert
- witness, and there's also an ongoing matter to do
- with masks.
- I should probably clarify. Also for

- 1 Virtex, I've done work as an inventor on ResMed
- patents, so I wasn't appearing as an expert witness.
- ³ I was appearing as an inventor, but I was still
- 4 compensated for my time.
- When you say appearing as an inventor, was
- it for design work you did for ResMed while at
- 7 Virtex?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q What did that patent work relate to?
- 10 A This was patent work relating to early
- 11 patents at ResMed in a matter with BMC.
- Q Okay. When you say patent work, do you
- mean obtaining patents, or enforcement, litigation
- 14 efforts?
- A So the matter with BMC was a legal dispute
- with BMC, and I was -- I was being deposed because I
- was an inventor on one of the patents at issue.
- Q Other than what you've told me, have you
- done any other consultancy work for ResMed since
- 20 leaving in 2009?
- A I don't believe so.
- Q With respect to the other company, PAFtec,

- what's the nature of its business?
- 2 A PAFtec makes what's called a trade
- respirator, so it's a device for people working in
- 4 very dusty or poisonous gas environments: Miners,
- welders, builders, that sort of thing. It's a
- 6 different device from what we're discussing in this
- ⁷ sort of case. It's more a filtration device. It's
- 8 a powered machine which draws air through a HEPA
- ⁹ filter, normally very fine filter, and supplies it
- to a mask. And that's worn while on the job.
- 11 Q I see you brought a couple of documents
- with you today. Are those copies of your two reply
- declarations in these IPR proceedings?
- 14 A Yes, they are.
- Q And in the IPR proceedings, you've offered
- opinions as to the obviousness of certain claims of
- the Fisher & Paykel's patents; is that correct?
- 18 A That's correct.
- Q Okay. And how did you start your
- obviousness analysis for those claims?
- 21 A So I reviewed the various documents, and I
- 22 also reviewed other material which was provided to

- 1 me as being relevant, and I also just thought back
- about my experience during that time.
- Q All right. Well, in forming opinions as
- 4 to specific claims of the Fisher & Paykel patents,
- 5 did you start by looking at the claim language?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And did you then look to see if you could
- find elements of those claims in the prior art?
- ⁹ A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. And how did you decide what
- 11 potential prior art references to review as part of
- 12 your analysis?
- 13 A For the most part, the prior references
- were provided by counsel. I'm broadly familiar with
- other patents from that time, so I could have asked
- for those, but I think in this case I didn't have
- ¹⁷ to.
- Q Do you recall any prior art references you
- relied upon that you located on your own?
- A No. Not for this case.
- Q Did you place any constraints on the type
- of potential prior art that you were willing to

- 1 review and consider?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A Not in this case, no.
- 4 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) So was it your
- ⁵ understanding you were free to consider any prior
- 6 patent or printed publication as prior art in
- 7 connection with your analysis?
- A I don't know the legalities of it. On the
- 9 face of it -- well, no. I'm not sure of the law in
- 10 that case.
- 11 Q So you're not sure what you were allowed
- to look at or not look at in terms of references?
- 13 A The question hasn't arisen, so I haven't
- 14 asked.
- Now, you've defined the person of ordinary
- skill in the art in these proceedings, correct?
- MR. KANE: Counsel, the deposition today
- is with respect to the second declarations, and it
- 19 seems like you're outside of that.
- MR. BELLINGER: I don't believe I am. I'm
- here to address the obviousness of the claims.
- MR. KANE: Well, can you point me in the

- declaration where you define the person of ordinary
- 2 skill?
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Did you offer your
- 4 opinions in your second declaration through the eyes
- of a person of ordinary skill in the art?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. And did you define that to be a
- 8 person with a bachelor's degree in mechanical
- 9 engineering, biomedical engineering, or related
- discipline, and at least five years of relevant
- 11 product design experience in the field of medical
- devices or respiratory therapy, or an equivalent
- 13 advanced education?
- A Which document are you referring to?
- 15 Q The definition you provided you didn't
- repeat in the second declaration, but it was in one
- of your prior ones. Would you like to see it?
- 18 A Yes, please.
- Q Okay. So before you is what's been
- 20 previously marked as Exhibit RMD1003 in IPR
- proceeding 2016-01719. And if you look at paragraph
- 22, which is on -- there's two sets of page numbers,

- but going by the larger page number, it's page 9.
- ² Are you there?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Okay. And does that paragraph set forth
- 5 the level of skill in the art that you applied?
- A Yes, it does. I see it says, in my
- opinion, a person of ordinary skill in the art at
- 8 the time of the effective filing dates of the
- 9 application that led to the '197 patent in this case
- would have had a bachelor's degree in mechanical
- engineering, biomedical engineering, or a related
- discipline, and at least five years of relevant
- 13 product design experience in the field of medical
- devices or respiratory therapy, or an equivalent
- ¹⁵ advanced education.
- O And that's the level of skill you applied
- when preparing your reply declarations; is that
- 18 true?
- ¹⁹ A It is.
- 20 Q All right. And why did you require design
- 21 experience in the field of medical devices or
- respiratory therapy?

- 1 A Although a competent mechanical engineer
- 2 could design one of these devices, they wouldn't
- normally be charged with that in a larger, reputable
- 4 company until they'd had some experience. They
- would have some guidance. You know, it's like any
- other field. There's some level of experience
- ⁷ required.
- 8 Q And when you say medical devices, do you
- 9 mean FDA-approved medical devices?
- 10 A No. Not necessarily.
- 11 Q All right. Well, when you say medical
- devices, do you mean devices such as CPAP devices?
- 13 A That would be an example.
- Q All right. And when you talk about, in
- your definition, relevant product design experience,
- would that include CPAP devices?
- 17 A CPAP devices would be relevant, yes.
- 18 O Humidifiers for use with medical devices?
- 19 Would that be relevant?
- 20 A Experience with design of humidifiers
- would be relevant, certainly.
- Q Anything else that you can think of that

- would constitute relevant product design experience?
- A Not offhand.
- 3 Q I've placed before you what's previously
- been marked as Exhibit 1001, which is a copy of
- U.S. Patent No. 6,398,197. And is this one of the
- 6 patents about which you've opined about in your
- 7 reply declarations?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. And on the
- 11 front page of the patent there's two columns of
- 12 text. Do you see that?
- 13 A I do.
- Q And if you'd look at the left-hand column,
- there's a series of parenthetical numbers on the far
- left. Do you see that?
- 17 A I do.
- 18 Q If you can go down to the one -- the
- parenthetical number 52, do you see that?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q And beside that number it says, U.S. Cl.
- Do you see that?

- 1 A I do.
- Q Do you know what that means?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Are you familiar with the patent office
- 5 classification system for categorizing patents and
- 6 patent applications?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Do you know what class the '197 patent was
- 9 classified in by the patent office?
- 10 A I don't.
- Q All right. Did you consider any
- 12 classification information in forming your opinions
- in this matter?
- 14 A No.
- Q Beneath -- in the line beneath that
- there's a line designated by parenthetical number
- 17 58. Do you see that?
- ¹⁸ A I do.
- 19 Q And to the right of that there's text that
- says, Field of Search. Do you see that?
- A Yes.
- Q Do you know what that means?

- 1 A I don't.
- Q If you turn to column 1 of the patent --
- Before I ask you questions about this, are you a
- 4 named inventor on any patents of your own?
- ⁵ A Yes.
- 6 Q And approximately how many?
- A I don't know offhand.
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 A It's several.
- 10 Q All right. Are you a named inventor on
- any U.S. patents?
- 12 A Yes. I believe so.
- Q Okay. Do you know how many of those?
- 14 A No. Keeping track of the numbers is a bit
- tricky, because they have different filings and
- things, but I think I have several.
- 17 Q And are you generally familiar with the
- process for obtaining a patent in the United States?
- ¹⁹ A Very broadly.
- Q If you look at column 1 of the '197
- patent, at about line 5 there's a heading that says,
- Field of the Invention. Do you see that?

- 1 A I do.
- Q Okay. And do you have an understanding of
- what the purpose of that section of the patent is,
- 4 the Field of the Invention section?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A I don't, beyond reading what it says.
- 7 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Okay. Well,
- 8 continuing on, at around lines 6 through 8, it says,
- ⁹ the present invention relates to water chambers for
- 10 gases humidification and in particular to water
- 11 chambers for "slide-on" humidifiers and CPAP
- machines.
- 13 Is your understanding that is describing
- the field of invention of the '197 patent?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 16 A It's written under a heading that says
- Field of the Invention, so putting any sort of legal
- complexities aside, I imagine that's probably true.
- 19 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And if you look at --
- go to column 3 of the patent, and if you go down to
- the bottom, to claim 1. Do you see that?
- 22 A I do.

- 1 Q And that's one of the claims about which
- you offered opinions, correct?
- 3 A Offhand I don't remember the numbers, but
- 4 I think that's one of them.
- 5 Q And do you agree that claim 1 is claiming
- 6 a water humidification chamber?
- 7 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 8 A I see it says what is claimed is, 1, In a
- 9 water chamber adapted for use in conjunction with a
- 10 heater base and having a horizontally oriented gases
- inlet in a wall thereof the improvement comprising
- 12 an elongate flow tube extending into said water
- chamber from the inner periphery of said gases
- inlet, an inlet end of said elongate flow tube
- covering said inlet and an outlet end of said flow
- tube being spaced from the wall of said chamber,
- said flow tube in use receiving, at said inlet end,
- gases supplied to said gases inlet, said gases
- 19 passing through said flow tube and exiting said flow
- tube at said outlet end distant from wall.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And so having read the
- claim, do you agree that claim 1 is claiming a water

- 1 humidification chamber with the various elements and
- ² features that you just read?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 4 A I wouldn't choose to characterize. I
- 5 think it's there in plain language. It's not really
- for me to interpret it.
- 7 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Okay. Will you agree
- 8 the first part of the claim says, In a water chamber
- ⁹ adapted for use in connection with a heater base?
- Do you see that?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 12 A That's the first -- those are the first
- words of the sentence, yes.
- 14 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. And do you
- agree that claim 1 is claiming, at least in part, a
- water chamber adapted for use in connection with a
- heater base?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A Again, I don't think it's for me to
- characterize. The words are there, plain to read.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) I'll give you a copy
- of Exhibit RMD 1026, which is the second declaration

- of Alexander Virr in IPR2016-01719. If you'd turn
- to paragraph 16 of your declaration, please.
- 3 There's two sets of page numbers on this document.
- 4 I'm going to refer to the larger numbers that are
- ⁵ nearest to the text.
- So if you look on -- near the bottom,
- three lines down on page 14, in paragraph 16, you
- 8 state, Rather, it is clear that a POSITA -- person
- 9 of ordinary skill in the art -- would have
- 10 recognized that the '197 patent is directed to at
- least two problems which are less specific. And you
- say, 1, noise that results from air delivery to the
- ¹³ water chamber.
- Do you see that part of the sentence?
- ¹⁵ A I do.
- Q Okay. And after that you cite to a
- portion of the '197 patent. Is that true?
- 18 A Yes. That's correct.
- Q And you're citing to, in support of your
- statement about noise, to column 1, lines 17 through
- 42. Is that right?
- 22 A That's correct. Yes.

- Okay. So let's look at column 1, line 17.
- Beginning at line 17 of column 1, it reads,
- 3 Humidifier chambers of this type are also now used
- 4 in compact and portable ventilation machines, for
- 5 example machines intended for the home treatment of
- 6 obstructive sleep apnea (CPAP machines). These
- 7 machines pose a particular difficulty as the air
- 8 flow is delivered directly to the humidification
- 9 chamber from the air blower of the CPAP machine and
- this can generate an annoying noise level within the
- 11 humidifier chamber.
- Do you see that?
- 13 A I do.
- Q Okay. And isn't it true, that, within
- column 1, lines 17 through 42, the discussion of
- noise relates to noise within a CPAP humidifier
- 17 chamber?
- 18 A Sorry. Can you repeat the question?
- O Sure. Isn't it true that the discussion
- in the '197 patent of noise that's contained within
- column 1, lines 17 through 42, relates to noise
- within a CPAP humidifier chamber?

- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 2 A So the type of noise that's discussed has
- 3 to do with air flow directly from -- air flowing
- 4 directly to the humidifier chamber from the air
- 5 blower of the CPAP machine.
- 6 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Right. And so this
- 7 part of the patent is discussing noise in a CPAP
- bumidifier chamber, correct?
- 9 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 10 A It's discussing noise created by air
- 11 flowing into the CPAP humidifier chamber.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And then, returning to
- your second declaration, Exhibit 1026, the top of
- page 15, continuing on with the sentence I was
- reading before, you identify a second problem, 2,
- spillage from tipping the water chamber.
- Do you see that?
- ¹⁸ A I do.
- 19 Q And in support of that, you also cite to a
- portion of the '197 patent, correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q And there you're citing to column 1, lines

- 1 24 through 34? Do you see that?
- 2 A I do.
- O Okay. And if you can return back to the
- 4 '197 patent, and at lines 24 through 34 of column 1,
- 5 do you agree that text is addressing spillage in a
- 6 CPAP humidifier?
- 7 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 8 A So I see it says, Furthermore where the
- 9 CPAP machine is adapted for use with slide-on
- 10 humidifier chambers, and the connection of the
- 11 chamber to the machine is accomplished within the
- 12 single sliding movement, the air inlet -- the inlet
- air port is consequently provided horizontally
- through a side of the chamber.
- And how far do we go? Thirty-four.
- Locating the inlet port in the side of the
- chamber significantly increases the likelihood of
- water spillage from the chamber if the chamber is
- tilted with water therein. This can be of
- 20 particular disadvantage where the water may flow out
- through the inlet port and into the air blower of
- the CPAP machine.

- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) So the portion of the
- ² '197 patent that you cite in your declaration,
- 3 column 1, lines 24 through 34, do you agree that's
- describing a spillage issue in a CPAP humidifier?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 6 A Yeah. I think what I wrote in my
- 7 declaration is right. It's spillage from tipping
- 8 the water chamber.
- 9 O (BY MR. BELLINGER) And it's a water
- chamber of a CPAP device as described in the patent,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A It says that it may be particularly
- disadvantageous where the water flows into the
- 14 blower of the CPAP machine.
- Q Correct. And it's discussing a CPAP
- 16 device. True?
- A Well, see, it says at the top, Furthermore
- where the CPAP machine is adapted for use with a
- 19 slide-on humidifier. That appears to be about
- humidifiers, at least primarily, for CPAP machines.
- Q Are you familiar with the term low-profile
- 22 humidifier?

- 1 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A I'm not immediately familiar with having a
- 3 particular meaning beyond the normal meaning of the
- 4 words.
- 5 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) I'm going to show you
- 6 what's been marked as Exhibit 1004 in IPR2016-01719,
- ⁷ which is a copy of the Hebblewhite patent. And are
- 8 you familiar with this patent?
- 9 A I am.
- 10 Q If you go to column 1, if you could read
- 11 for me out loud lines 27 through 33.
- 12 A 27 through 33. When a humidifier is used
- with a CPAP device? Is that where you want me to
- 14 start?
- Q Yes, please.
- A When a humidifier is used with a CPAP
- device, it is convenient to be able to place the
- 18 CPAP device on top of the humidifier. In this case,
- it is desirable that the humidifier is designed so
- 20 as to form a low, generally flat base for supporting
- the CPAP device. Such a humidifier is known as a
- low-profile humidifier.

- Q Okay. Is that consistent with your
- understanding of a low-profile humidifier?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 4 A I don't have any -- I don't believe that
- ⁵ low-profile has any particular meaning in relation
- 6 to humidifiers that it doesn't have in relation to
- ⁷ anything else.
- 8 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Do you agree that
- 9 Hebblewhite is describing and shows in Figure 1 a
- 10 low-profile humidifier?
- 11 A I don't know that there's any indication
- that what he shows in -- I don't think he
- necessarily specifies that what is shown in Figure 1
- is a low-profile device. He's talking, in the
- paragraph I just read, about a low-profile
- 16 humidifier.
- Q Do you believe that the device shown in
- Figure 1 of Hebblewhite is a low-profile device?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 20 A So my understanding of low-profile means
- that it's either generally flat or it's a lower
- 22 profile than other related devices. And what he

- shows is a relatively flat, broad device.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And so would you agree
- that what's shown in Figure 1 is a low-profile
- 4 humidifier?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A As I say, I don't believe there's a
- 7 particular accurate meaning to it. It's certainly a
- 8 device that is significantly larger in the two
- 9 horizontal dimensions than it is in the vertical
- dimension.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And the device, as
- shown in Figure 1, is designed to have the CPAP
- blower be positioned on top of the humidifier. Is
- 14 that right?
- A Well, not necessarily. The way these
- types of humidifiers were used, in my experience,
- the designer liked to believe that the CPAP device
- would be placed on top. And sometimes it was and
- sometimes it wasn't. In the designer's mind, that
- was a neat way of doing things, but the patient just
- did what they wanted, really.
- Q Did you understand the intent of the

- design shown in Figure 1 was to have the CPAP blower
- rest on top of the humidifier?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 4 A No. I think that's overcharacterizing it.
- 5 I think it was felt that it might be convenient.
- 6 There was nothing, really, about the functionality
- of it that required the blower to be in any
- particular place.
- 9 O (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. If you
- turn to your declaration, Exhibit 1026, and if you
- look at paragraph 6, the portion that begins on the
- top of large page number 5, the first sentence there
- 13 reads, Specifically, a person of skill in the art
- would have understood that a tube was not necessary
- at Hebblewhite's inlet due to Hebblewhite disclosing
- a stand-alone humidifier with the blower being above
- the blower. Then it continues on.
- What did you mean by "blower being above
- the blower"?
- 20 A Yes. That's clearly a typographical
- 21 error. It's meant to say, I believe, disclosing a
- stand-alone humidifier with the blower being above

- ¹ the humidifier.
- 2 Q So you're stating in your declaration, the
- design of Hebblewhite was intended to have the
- 4 blower being above, as you've corrected the text,
- 5 the humidifier chamber. True?
- 6 A That's correct.
- 7 Q Now, in Figure 1 of Hebblewhite --
- 8 A Can I just add to that?
- 9 O Sure.
- 10 A Okay. So what is functionally important
- 11 here is that the inlet -- sorry -- the outlet of the
- blower is physically above the inlet of the
- humidifier, the reason being that water doesn't then
- readily travel from the humidifier back to the
- 15 blower.
- What you asked about earlier was whether
- the blower was physically placed on top of the
- humidifier, and the opinion I expressed was that,
- while that was a design intent, sometimes that was
- the case and sometimes it wasn't.
- However, I should point out that the types
- of blowers we're talking about were -- at least with

- the early blowers, they were very tall, and that,
- ² regardless of whether the blower was placed directly
- on top of the humidifier or next to it on the table,
- 4 the relevant portion of the blower, which is the air
- outlet, would be substantially higher than
- 6 Hebblewhite's inlet.
- ⁷ Q Going to paragraph 6 in your declaration,
- back to page 4, about halfway down, you state, I
- ⁹ agree with Dr. Schneider that, quote, any spillage
- of water into the air inlet of Hebblewhite's water
- chamber would be unlikely to reach the CPAP device
- or its blower because of its location on top of the
- 13 humidifier chamber. It continues on.
- 14 Is that your opinion?
- 15 A This is essentially stating the same point
- that I made in my comments a moment ago, my last
- 17 comments. It's a simple point. The point is that
- the -- regardless of where the blower is physically
- 19 placed, because of its greater height, if water were
- to flow into the inlet of Hebblewhite's water
- chamber, it would then be required to flow uphill in
- order to get into the outlet of the blower.

- Q Returning to Hebblewhite, Exhibit 1004, if
- we look at Figure 1, Figure 1 shows a tube extending
- from the air outlet, correct?
- 4 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 5 A I don't really understand. There's an air
- outlet 42, and it has a tube extending outwards from
- ⁷ that 6? Is that the one you mean, or do you mean --
- 8 there's a dotted one that is 50 -- or 40.
- 9 O (BY MR. BELLINGER) Hebblewhite shows a
- tube extending from the air outlet and into the
- 11 water chamber. Correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q All right. Hebblewhite does not show, in
- Figure 1, a tube extending from the air inlet and
- into the water chamber. True?
- 16 A That's correct.
- O And Hebblewhite does not describe
- 18 positioning a tube extending into the water chamber
- 19 from the air inlet. Correct?
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 Q And I believe, as you already testified,
- water entering the air inlet of Hebblewhite would

- 1 not have been problematic due to its design. True?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 3 A So what I've suggested is that it's less
- 4 likely than other devices because of the fact that
- that water would then, typically, have had to flow
- 6 uphill to get into the flow generator.
- 7 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Which is unlikely to
- 8 occur. True?
- 9 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 10 A I don't think I've offered an opinion on
- that, but I think what I've said is clear.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And it's your opinion
- it wasn't necessary to place a tube at the air inlet
- of Hebblewhite in order to prevent damage to the
- 15 CPAP blower from water entering the inlet, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A So prevent is a difficult word in terms of
- humidifier design. You're not eliminating the risks
- 19 here. But in a humidifier, you have a lot of
- different requirements, and they have to be
- balanced, and you have to balance the cost and
- complexity of things against the requirement.

- 1 There would be advantages in any
- humidifier in increasing a spill-back protection.
- But in this particular case, the chance of water
- 4 getting back into the blower is relatively low, and
- 5 so it probably doesn't justify the cost.
- 6 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And it's your opinion
- ⁷ it was not necessary to place a tube extending into
- 8 the chamber at Hebblewhite's inlet, correct?
- 9 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 10 A I don't think I've opined on whether it's
- 11 necessary or not.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Well, if you go back
- to your declaration, to 1026, paragraph 6, the top
- of page 5, you state, in part, Specifically, a
- person of skill in the art would have understood
- that a tube was not necessary at Hebblewhite's
- ¹⁷ inlet.
- Do you see that?
- ¹⁹ A I do.
- Q Is that your opinion?
- 21 A Yes. Specifically, a person of skill in
- the art would have understood that a tube was not

- 1 necessary at Hebblewhite's inlet due to Hebblewhite
- disclosing extend line humidifier with the blower
- being above, as I said, the humidifier.
- 4 Q And for those reasons, it was not
- 5 necessary to have a tube at Hebblewhite's inlet,
- 6 correct?
- 7 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 8 A So as I've indicated, it's not a need.
- 9 It's not necessary, I guess. It's not saying that
- it wouldn't have been helpful in some way, but
- it's -- it's not necessary in that particular case.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) If you look at column
- 3 of Hebblewhite, and if you could read out loud the
- paragraph that's located at lines 34 through 40.
- 15 A When the air flow? That one?
- O Yes, please.
- A When the air flow within the passageway
- 18 creates waves on the surface of the water, if it's
- being found that the water has a tendency to splash
- against the end wall of the passageway, resulting in
- 21 a danger of water spilling into the air outlet, it
- has been found that by, spacing the air outlet

- 1 aperture away from the end wall, the danger of water
- 2 spilling into the air outlet is greatly reduced.
- ³ Q And does that passage accurately describe
- 4 the splashing issue that Hebblewhite is addressing?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A I don't particularly feel I should comment
- 7 what was in Hebblewhite's mind. He describes a
- 8 splashing issue that occurs with flow of water over
- 9 the -- flow of air over the water. But that's the
- only issue he's addressing. I don't know.
- 11 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. Well,
- 12 setting aside what might have been in his mind but
- 13 not put in the patent, with respect to what was
- 14 placed in the patent, do you agree that the passage
- you read describes the splashing issue that the
- patent is addressing?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 18 A No. What I agree is that in this passage
- 19 he is describing a splashing issue.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And it's the splashing
- issue, as described in that paragraph, that the tube
- is intended to address, correct?

- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 2 A What he says about the tube is that it
- ³ addresses this splashing issue. It may well address
- 4 other issues. I don't know.
- O (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. But as far
- as what's described in the patent, are you aware of
- any other issue described in the patent that the
- 8 tube is intended to address, other than the portion
- 9 you just read for me?
- 10 A I don't know. He describes a tube, and he
- 11 says that it has beneficial effects in relation to
- 12 splashing. Offhand, I don't know whether there are
- other aspects -- other functions of it that he finds
- valuable.
- Q And as described in this paragraph, the
- splashing issue is caused by air flow through the
- 17 chamber. True?
- 18 A That's correct.
- 19 Q And the splashing is a potential issue in
- Hebblewhite due to the proximity of the outlet to
- the water surface. Correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.

- A No. No. I don't agree with that.
- The primary reason why splashing is an
- issue for him is the length of the flow path. He
- 4 talks about the elongated passageway.
- 5 You have to recall that Hebblewhite is an
- 6 unpowered humidifier, and unpowered humidifiers
- 7 suffer from the weakness that, as the air passes
- 8 over the water, it cools it, and as the water is
- 9 cooled, it becomes less and less inclined to produce
- water vapor, and so a -- the normal course of
- things, an unpowered humidifier, although it might
- 12 have reasonable output for the first few minutes,
- that output rapidly diminishes.
- So in order to overcome that, I think
- 15 Hebblewhite has created a very long flow path, what
- he calls an elongated flow path, with the hope of
- picking up -- by virtue of a very large water
- surface, picking up more humidity.
- The trouble with a very long water
- surface, a very long passageway like this, is that
- the waves have a long what's called fetch. They
- move a long way across the water, and they can build

- ¹ up over a long distance. And so the reason he's
- 2 particularly concerned with splashing is that he has
- this long -- elongated passageway, and it's
- 4 particularly likely to build up wave action.
- 5 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) So the elongated
- 6 passageway is one of the causes of the waves. True?
- 7 A That's correct.
- 8 Q Okay. And the reason that the waves could
- 9 splash into the outlet opening, if not for the tube,
- was due, in part, to the positioning of the outlet
- 11 relative to the water surface. Correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 13 A No. I don't really agree with that.
- So you can see that, while most of
- 15 Hebblewhite is low profile, as you described it,
- it's a flat structure, not much -- what I imagine is
- 17 not much higher than the water level. At the inlet
- and outlet, he's done his best to raise it up, so --
- and you can see that the outlet is actually placed
- as high above the water as he's been able to.
- 21 And I think what that tells you is that,
- in this particular case, the waves were unusually

- large. So he's done quite well. He's placed it
- high up above the water surface, but, even so, he's
- 3 had trouble with splashing.
- 4 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Right. And if the
- 5 tube wasn't there, water could enter the outlet due
- to the distance between the outlet and the water
- 5 surface, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 9 A So this is the tube. I mentioned it's 48,
- the tube that's on the outlet. Is that what you're
- 11 saying?
- O (BY MR. BELLINGER) Yes.
- A So you're saying, if that tube were
- 14 removed, that there would be more chance of water
- 15 splashing.
- 16 0 Well, is that your opinion or not?
- A Well, I don't think I give an opinion
- about it, but I think Hebblewhite describes it. It
- 19 has been found, he says -- this is at line 38,
- roughly, of column 3 -- It has been found that, by
- spacing the air outlet aperture away from the end
- wall, the danger of water spilling into the air

- outlet is greatly reduced.
- 2 Q Hebblewhite does not describe splashing
- occurring at the air inlet, then, correct?
- 4 A Offhand, I don't know. I'm not aware of
- ⁵ it.
- 6 Q And with respect to the tube extending
- ⁷ into the chamber at the outlet end -- I'm talking
- 8 about 44. Do you see that?
- 9 A Is it 44?
- 0 Or 48. That's fine.
- 11 A Okay.
- Q And that's shown extending generally
- horizontally into the water chamber, correct?
- A I don't know. It's a little, tiny
- isometric view with a dotted line on it. I don't
- know whether it's horizontal or it's in line with
- the surface 40.
- Q Well, if you look at Figure 2, what's your
- understanding of what's shown in Figure 2?
- 20 A I think 2, to me, appears to be a
- 21 slightly -- Figure 2. Figure 2. Without reading
- the text, I don't know what Figure 2 means.

- Does Figure 2 purport to be the outlet?
- 2 Q Do you agree that Figure 2 shows the
- ³ pieces fitting generally horizontally or in line
- with one another?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 6 A So those two things are quite different.
- ⁷ It appears that the component, which I suppose you'd
- 3 call 6, maybe, and the component 44 -- there's no
- 9 axis drawn, but on the face of it, they appear to be
- 10 aligned. I don't think there's any indication of
- which direction those are pointing from Figure 2.
- 12 At least I can't see one.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. Well, if
- you look at element 48 in Figure 2 --
- 15 A Yes.
- Q -- do you agree that corresponds to
- element 48 in Figure 1?
- 18 A That seems likely, yeah.
- Q And then in Figure 1, do you agree that
- what I call the roof of the chamber slopes downward
- 21 as it moves away from the air outlet?
- MR. KANE: Object to form.

- A So this is the surface 40 that we're
- ² talking about?
- 3 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Yes.
- 4 A Yes. It appears that 40 is higher at the
- outlet end than it is at the other end.
- 6 Q And the slope of that roof downward would
- 7 limit the distance that tube 48 could extend into
- 8 the chamber. True?
- 9 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 10 A I don't know. So there's two things we'd
- 11 need to know. One is the distance between the
- 12 little tube, 44, I suppose it is, and the roof. And
- that's shown here schematically, I think, so there's
- really no indication of how far that is. So you can
- imagine, if that was -- if that was -- if 44 was no
- higher than 32, which is the bottom corner of the
- sloped surface, then you would only be limited by
- 18 the end wall.
- 19 Alternatively -- I agree that Figure 2
- shows an angle between the tubes and the surface 40,
- but that angle is not specified. So without knowing
- that angle -- and you can't know how far you could

- 1 extend 48. It's broadly the case that you couldn't
- extend 48 infinitely, but I think, from these
- pictures, it would be dangerous to speculate on how
- 4 far you could extend it.
- 5 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) You agree that
- Figure 1 shows roof 40 sloping downward. True?
- 7 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 8 A So roof 40 appears to be higher at the
- 9 device outlet than it is at the other end of third
- 10 point 32.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And you agree that the
- 12 slope of the roof would impact the distance that
- tube 48 could extend horizontally into the chamber.
- 14 True?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A Well, again, that would depend on the
- angle, the actual angle -- not the assumed angle --
- the actual angle between this tube, 48, and the
- 19 roof. What you need to know is whether, given that
- angle, you run into the roof first or you run into
- the end wall first, and without some sort of more
- accurate drawing, I wouldn't want to speculate on

- ¹ that.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) So to quantify the
- exact distance, you would need to know -- that the
- 4 tube could extend, you would need to know the exact
- 5 angle of the roof slope. Is that what you're
- 6 saying?
- 7 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 8 A So it's just a geometry problem. You need
- ⁹ to know the angle between the tube and the roof, and
- then you need to know the distance below the roof
- that the tube starts, and then you can calculate how
- 12 far you can extend the tube.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Okay. And the greater
- the angle of the slope, the less distance the tube
- can extend into the water chamber. Right?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 17 A It's not the slope. It's -- remember,
- it's the angle between the tube and the roof. So it
- would only be the slope if you assumed that the pipe
- is horizontal, and there's really nothing to
- 21 indicate that.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Okay. Well, the

- greater the slope, the less distance the tube could
- 2 extend into the water chamber. True?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A No. That's the same question. So what's
- important here, remember, is the angle between the
- tube and the roof. And I don't accept that the tube
- is specified to be horizontal. So it's the angle
- 8 between the tube and the roof and then the
- 9 distance -- the starting distance from the tube
- 10 below the roof.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Well, if the tube
- 12 extending into the chamber was not horizontal but
- was angled toward the water surface, would that make
- it easier for water to enter the tube?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A I don't believe so. Remember, now you'd
- be asking the water to flow uphill.
- I think what Hebblewhite says is that it's
- the act of moving the aperture away from the wall
- that causes the reduction in wave action. I believe
- that's -- or the reduction of water splashing into
- the tube. He doesn't opine on whether happens in

- the tube after the aperture makes any difference.
- And I would -- in my judgment, that's
- 3 correct. I don't think it makes any difference. I
- 4 don't think that the slope of the tube after the
- 5 aperture would materially affect its tendency to
- 6 keep the water out.
- 7 MR. BELLINGER: We've been going for an
- 8 hour. Take a short break here?
- 9 MR. KANE: Sure.
- 10 (Whereupon, the proceedings were in recess
- at 10:01 a.m. and subsequently reconvened at
- 10:14 a.m., and the following proceedings were
- entered of record:)
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) I've placed before you
- what's been marked as Exhibit 1015, which is the
- 16 HC200 series user manual. And if you could turn --
- at the bottom center, there's a series of
- page numbers, and if you turn, using those
- page numbers, to page 7, to the page titled
- 20 Important Parts of your HC200 System.
- Do you have that page?
- ²² A I do.

- 1 Q All right. And this page shows -- one of
- the components shown is a humidification chamber.
- 3 Correct?
- 4 A That's correct.
- ⁵ Q And it shows an inlet port. Do you see
- 6 that?
- 7 A I didn't.
- ⁸ Q And the inlet port is positioned near the
- ⁹ top of the humidification chamber, as shown in this
- 10 drawing. Correct?
- 11 A Yes. That's correct.
- 12 Q Now, if the water chamber were tipped in a
- direction away from the inlet port --
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q -- would the water level on the side of
- the chamber that the inlet port is located lower?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 18 A I think I understand the question, but let
- me check. So you're saying, if we were to tip the
- 20 chamber so it would be anticlockwise about the point
- where the line that comes from chamber base
- finishes, so on the left-hand side of the bottom of

- the chamber -- if we were to tip it anticlockwise,
- would the water level on the inlet port side of the
- 3 chamber fall? Is that the question?
- 4 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Yes.
- ⁵ A That's correct.
- 6 Q And tipping in that direction would not
- 7 result in water potentially spilling from the inlet
- 8 port. True?
- 9 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 10 A If it was a smooth tip, and say you just
- 11 had one movement of the water, that's correct. The
- trouble with water is, it has a bit of a tendency to
- 13 slop around, so you might even then -- if you create
- a big wave, you might put something out the inlet
- port. But if it was a very smooth action, that's
- 16 correct.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And, similarly, if you
- tipped the chamber in a direction coming out of the
- page -- Are you with me?
- 20 A So are you saying the top of the chamber
- would move out of the page?
- Q Yeah.

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And tipping in that direction would not
- 3 cause water to approach the inlet port. True?
- 4 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 5 A No. That's incorrect.
- So you can see that -- we don't have the
- dimensions of the tub here. And so what you'd need
- 8 to determine whether the water would reach the inlet
- 9 port is the knowledge of the dimension in and out of
- the page, of the tub, and the overall volume, and
- 11 also the water volume.
- So you can imagine it would be entirely
- possible to design a tub in which -- a tub and a
- water level such that, when you tipped out of the
- page, in fact, the water would exit both the inlet
- and outlet ports. It's a matter of the relative --
- the volumes and the relative dimensions, which we
- don't have any information on here.
- Also, you said, if it was tipped that way,
- the water wouldn't approach the inlet port. But my
- understanding of that picture is that, in fact --
- say if you tipped to 90 degrees, the water would be

- 1 substantially closer to the inlet port than it is in
- the picture that we have here.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) What if you turned it
- 4 all the way upside down? Would water flow out the
- ⁵ inlet port?
- 6 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 7 A It would flow out both the inlet port and
- 8 the outlet port, yes.
- 9 O (BY MR. BELLINGER) And if you turned it
- 10 all the way upside down, would the water level be
- above the inlet port?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 13 A I don't have the volumes here. But, most
- likely, the water would be covering the hole of the
- inlet port. It would certainly be covering some of
- the inlet port.
- O (BY MR. BELLINGER) And even if a tube
- 18 extended -- were added that extended into the
- chamber from the inlet port, if one were to turn the
- 20 chamber upside down, water could still flow to the
- inlet port, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.

- 1 A So that is something people have tried.
- And, in fact, if the tube is angled downwards, such
- that it's at -- it finishes somewhere near the
- 4 geometric center of the tub, you can actually
- 5 achieve a situation in which, when the tub's
- inverted, water or substantial amounts of water
- ⁷ don't exit the tub.
- 8 So it's a matter of where that tube
- ⁹ finishes in the tub and how much water is in the
- tubs, because what you need to know is the water
- level, once the tub's inverted, relative to where
- the aperture of the end of the tube is.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Let's focus on if you
- added a tube extending horizontally from the inlet
- port. If the chamber were turned upside down, water
- would still be able to flow out of the inlet port.
- 17 True?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A So if the tube is constrained to be
- horizontal and then you invert the tub, then, mostly
- likely, water will flow out the inlet port.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Now, in paragraph 10

- of your declaration, Exhibit 1026, you go to --
- using the large page numbers, page 9. The third
- line, there's a sentence that begins, Indeed, the
- 4 illustration of the HC200 spilling water at page 28
- of the patent owner response is evidence that even
- the relatively short tube that is shown in that
- ⁷ illustration would protect against tipping, as long
- 8 as the tipping was less severe than the
- 9 approximately 45-degree angle at which the chamber
- is oriented in that illustration. It continues on.
- Do you see that sentence?
- 12 A I see the sentence, yes.
- Q All right. I'll show you a copy of the
- patent owner response referenced in that paragraph
- that's been filed with the board in IPR2016-01719.
- 16 If you can turn to page 28, which is the
- page referenced in page 10 of your declaration.
- 18 Are you there?
- 19 A I am. Yes.
- Q Okay. And even if that tube had not --
- Well, step back.
- Do you understand the red lines to

- indicate a tube that has been hypothetically added
- 2 to the chamber?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q All right. And even if that tube were not
- 5 present, if the chamber were tilted, say, 5 degrees,
- the water level would not reach the inlet, correct?
- 7 MR. KANE: Object to form.
- 8 A Well, the problem I have here is that we
- 9 don't know the dimensions or the water volume.
- 10 As it's shown here, you would think that
- 5 degrees wouldn't cause the water to enter the
- inlet with or without the tube. The problem we're
- going to run into is, in terms of quantifying the
- angle, there's no way we can do that, because you'd
- 15 need to know the volume of the tub and volume of the
- water and the dimensions of the tub.
- O (BY MR. BELLINGER) And the tube doesn't
- really come into play until you hit the tip angle
- that's close to that shown in the schematic on
- ²⁰ page 28.
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Correct?

- 1 A The function of the tube is fairly
- straightforward. The longer the tube, generally,
- the greater the angle at which you can tip before
- 4 the aperture at the end of the tube releases the
- ⁵ water.
- 6 Q With respect to the drawing as shown on
- page 28, until you approach tip angles close to that
- 8 shown in the drawing, water would not exit the
- 9 outlet -- sorry -- the inlet, whether the tube is
- present or not. Correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 12 A Like I've said, this is not -- it's not an
- engineering drawing, so the blue bit, which I take
- to be the water, it is true that, without the tube,
- it would exit at some angle, and then with the tube,
- it would exit at some, in this case, slightly
- ¹⁷ greater angle.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. Go back to
- 19 your declaration, to paragraph 14. And I'm on
- 20 page -- large page number 13. In about the middle
- of the page there's a sentence that reads, Persons
- of ordinary skill in the art knew that the HC200 was

- a powerful humidifier already, at least partially
- because Fisher & Paykel is known for its hospital
- 3 humidifiers, which typically require more
- 4 humidification than consumer devices.
- 5 Do you see that sentence?
- 6 A I do.
- ⁷ Q And was that your personal understanding,
- 8 that the HC200 was a powerful humidifier?
- 9 A That's correct.
- 10 Q And you mentioned persons of ordinary
- skill in the art knew that the HC200 was powerful.
- 12 Which persons of skill in the art are you referring
- ¹³ to?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 15 A You probably understand that manufacturers
- of devices will routinely test most or all of their
- competitors' devices, as well as their own, to
- 18 establish where they are against various measures.
- 19 So I would imagine that all or at least most of the
- 20 companies producing CPAP humidifiers in this period
- would have tested all or most of their competitors'
- devices for performance.

- 1 Certainly at ResMed that was the case. We
- 2 had performance data for F&P humidifiers as well as
- our own, as well as some of the other competitors.
- 4 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And why was the HC200
- 5 a powerful humidifier?
- 6 A Well, I don't remember the exact numbers,
- ⁷ but I do remember the approximate rankings. And in
- 8 the CPAP humidifiers that were available at the
- ⁹ time, the HC200 was very close to the top of that
- 10 ranking.
- 11 Q And do you recall what particular features
- made it a powerful humidifier?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 14 A No.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Was the HC200 known to
- have other positive attributes?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 18 A I don't know. It -- I recall that we --
- 19 No. I don't really know. Sorry. It was one of
- 20 many humidifiers we looked at.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. So beyond
- recalling it was a powerful humidifier, you don't

- 1 recall any other positive attributes of that
- ² product?
- A Nothing else stood out about it.
- 4 Q Was it known for being reliable?
- 5 A Well, I don't -- I wasn't privy to
- 6 reliability data. It's normally kept very close by
- ⁷ the manufacturers.
- Q Was it known to be -- known as being easy
- 9 to use?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 11 A No. I don't know that it was known as
- being especially easy to use. The forces involved
- in removing the tub and placing the tub back in were
- quite high. And there were other issues with it as
- well, I believe.
- 16 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) In the sentence you
- say, it was a powerful humidifier, at least
- 18 partially because Fisher & Paykel was known for its
- 19 hospital humidifiers, which typically require more
- humidification than consumer devices.
- Why do hospital humidifiers typically
- require more humidification than consumer devices?

- 1 A Okay. That's because of the treatment
- that's being provided. A CPAP patient, their airway
- is complete. In other words, nobody has bypassed
- 4 it. So the CPAP treatment is delivered via a mask
- or nasal pillows or something into an existing --
- into the whole airway. So that means that it
- ⁷ includes the upper airway. And in the upper airway,
- 8 air that's being delivered to the patient is
- 9 humidified by the patient. And the body's quite
- 10 good at that. So that the level of humidification
- 11 normally provided to a patient with a CPAP, the
- maximum level of humidification you might provide
- would be, say, in the region of 80 to 90 percent
- relative humidity at room temperature.
- In contrast, a patient in a hospital, on a
- hospital humidifier, particularly on a ventilator,
- is -- frequently has their upper airway bypassed.
- 18 They've had a tube placed in their larynx instead.
- 19 And as a result, the body is unable to humidify that
- ²⁰ air. So, typically, a hospital humidifier has to be
- able to supply air at body temperature, 37 degrees
- ²² Celsius, and fully saturated. And to give you some

- idea of the difference, that is approximately three
- times the maximum amount of water you might need to
- 3 provide -- amount of water per liter of air that you
- 4 might need to provide in a CPAP humidifier.
- ⁵ Q Is it your understanding -- Do you have an
- 6 understanding as to whether the HC200 is intended
- ⁷ for home use or hospital use?
- 8 A I don't know if it was exclusively one or
- ⁹ the other.
- 10 Q Are there any other different design
- considerations you're aware of between respiratory
- devices intended for use in a hospital as opposed to
- intended for home use?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A Sorry. Are there any other design
- considerations between a respirator and a CPAP?
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Well, you've said that
- the HC200 was a powerful humidifier because it was
- 19 used in hospitals.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q You explained your perspective as to why
- you need higher humidification in hospital-use

- devices, correct?
- 2 A Correct.
- Q Are there any other design attributes
- 4 you're aware of that differ between devices --
- ⁵ respiratory devices intended for use in a hospital
- 6 setting versus intended for use by consumers?
- A Many, many differences. Far too many to
- 8 enumerate.
- 9 Q What are some of the most important
- 10 differences?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 12 A So, typically, the treatment in a
- hospital, at least the sort of device we're talking
- about, is actually a respirator. Treatment
- pressures are higher. Often it has -- often that
- sort of device has both an inlet path and an outlet
- path. In other words, it has two tubes and a lot of
- valves to control the movement of air. Often those
- devices are life support, which brings with it
- requirements for greater regulation and more careful
- development.
- So there's a lot of crossover. People in

- 1 hospitals are treated with home devices, and I
- suppose some people, at the time, are ventilated.
- 3 And there certainly was a lot of crossover in the --
- 4 at least there would appear to be crossover in the
- 5 construction of Fisher & Paykel's humidifiers
- 6 between their hospital devices and their home
- devices, particularly in the construction of the
- 8 water tub and the hot plate.
- 9 O (BY MR. BELLINGER) Paragraph 14 of your
- declaration, large page number 12, the second
- sentence reads, in part, I note that independent
- 12 claim 1 does not require any particular length of
- tube and believe that at least some persons of
- ordinary skill in the art seeking to address the
- 15 HC200 water spillage problem would have selected a
- 16 relatively short tube.
- Do you see that?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And it continues on.
- You say "at least some." What do you mean
- 21 by that? How many persons of ordinary skill in the
- 22 art would have selected a short tube?

- 1 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 2 A I'm not seeking to give you an exact
- percentage. I suppose the point I'm making there
- is, a humidifier has a really unusually large number
- of requirements, and it's unusually bound up with
- the capabilities and the strengths and weaknesses of
- ⁷ the organization that's designing it. And when
- you're selecting something like the length of a tube
- ⁹ that's going to be within the water chamber, there
- are a great many requirements that you're balancing.
- 11 You would be balancing water-spillage protection.
- 12 In the case of tipping, you'd be balancing
- water-spillage protection. In the case of high
- 14 airflows and overfilling, you would be balancing
- humidification output. You would be balancing noise
- output. You would be balancing manufacturing
- difficulty and cost. You'd be balancing, to quite a
- 18 large extent, cleaning difficulty.
- And then you would also be having in mind
- the capabilities of your organization. You might
- have an organization that's got tremendous skill in
- tooling, molding, that sort of thing. You might

- 1 have in-house toolmaking, which allows you to reduce
- the costs. You might have none of those things.
- 3 You might be reliant on third-party components, for
- 4 instance.
- 5 And so it's not the case that any design
- team will come up with a particular solution. And
- ⁷ if you were to task three design teams with picking
- 8 the length of the tube, I would suggest that they
- 9 would come up with different lengths, very likely,
- because of the balance of the requirements and the
- balance of their capabilities and the things they
- thought were important.
- So what I'm saying is that, people -- a
- person of skill in the art seeking to address water
- spill-back on the HC200, some would have selected
- longer tubes and some shorter tubes. But at least
- some of them would have felt that a short tube,
- although it didn't entirely solve the problem -- and
- 19 remember, none of those spill-back solutions
- 20 entirely solve the problem -- that the reduction in
- 21 spill-back that it provided was adequate for their
- 22 purposes, and that maybe that gave them some other

- ¹ benefits.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Okay. But you're not
- able to quantify, when you say at least some would
- 4 have selected a relatively short tube. You can't
- ⁵ give me a quantification of that. Correct?
- 6 A No. I can't do that.
- 7 Q All right. It's possible that some may
- 8 have decided not to have a tube at all, correct?
- 9 A Well, here's the problem: The HC200 tub
- 10 already has an offset that's shown and mentioned in
- that, and that works to provide some level of
- 12 spill-back protection. But I think there are a
- number of things that indicate that the spill-back
- 14 protection is not great.
- There is -- there's a warning in the
- Rev. A manual that we've -- that you showed me
- 17 earlier. There's a warning that says you shouldn't
- move the device with the tub full of water, which,
- most likely, refers to issues with getting water
- 20 back into the device.
- There is -- this is not -- it's not rocket
- science with water spilling back. Solving the

- 1 problem can be quite complex, but the problem itself
- is easily stated, and that is: You have a volume of
- water. We know that these devices are routinely
- 4 tipped. Anyone who's been in the industry any
- ⁵ length of time knows that routinely they're turned
- at least 90 degrees, either during transport or
- 7 pulled off the side table or whatever.
- And, essentially, then you're dealing with
- ⁹ a situation that you have water and that there's an
- 10 aperture below the water line, and it does what
- 11 water does; it flows in.
- So, I guess, the second point is that, I
- think someone of skill in the art, looking at this
- device, would see immediately that it's vulnerable
- to water spill-back.
- And then there's also Rev. B of the
- manual. In the normal course of things, Rev. B is
- the issue of the manual you bring out when you've
- 19 learned a little bit from devices in the field, and
- it significantly upgrades its warnings. It says,
- should you spill water back in, this is what you
- should do. It says, to make sure water doesn't get

- into the device. So I think there's a lot of
- indications that spill-back was a problem.
- Now, if you'd elected not to put a tube
- in, then you're essentially admitting defeat.
- 5 You're saying, I can't make this situation any
- 6 better. And I think that -- in my experience, in
- ⁷ these organizations that develop these types of
- 8 devices, management is unlikely to accept that.
- 9 After they've released a device with spill-back
- problems, they are very unwilling to accept that you
- 11 can't fix it in some way.
- Q Well, you say at least some would have
- added a relatively short tube. But it is possible
- that some may have not added a tube at all.
- 15 Correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A So as I was saying, I think if you select
- to not add a tube at all, then you've admitted you
- 19 can't solve what is very likely a significant
- problem. So I don't think that, in the long term,
- 21 anyone could have maintained that position.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Let's look at

- Exhibit 1012, which is one of the manuals you
- ² referenced in your prior answer. And on the first
- page there's a heading that says Troubleshooting.
- 4 Do you see that?
- ⁵ A Yes.
- 6 Q And the first sentence under that reads,
- ⁷ in part, In the unlikely event that you tip water
- 8 into the blower outlet.
- 9 Do you see that?
- 10 A I do.
- Q And F&P chose to include the word unlikely
- in that sentence. True?
- 13 A That is true.
- Q And what does unlikely mean?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A So I've been in exactly the situation with
- devices and writing manuals. This is an attempt to
- calm the horses. So "unlikely" here is meant to
- suggest that this won't happen. But the only reason
- you place such a warning in the manual is because it
- has happened and you think it might happen again.
- So what you're trying to suggest is that, you

- shouldn't panic, but this could happen.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Then it tells you what
- to do in the unlikely event water is tipped into the
- 4 blower. True?
- ⁵ A Yes, it does.
- 6 Q And it doesn't say that the blower is
- ⁷ going to be destroyed, does it?
- 8 A No, it doesn't.
- 9 O It tells the user steps to take. Correct?
- 10 A So this is, again, something that happens
- with manuals. It's like the use of the word
- unlikely. What you're trying to convey to the user
- is that, if water gets back into the device, they
- have to do something. They must pay attention. And
- you give them a step -- a set of things to do, which
- may or may not fix the problem.
- But the difficulty with getting water back
- into a CPAP device is that, regardless of how much
- 19 protection you put in, regardless of how water --
- for instance, if you make the motor, and if you
- over-mold the electronics and all those things,
- there are certain apertures -- in particular, the

- 1 pressure-sensing aperture -- which unavoidably have
- to be in contact with the air path. And even with
- 3 the use of something like a Gortex vent -- a
- 4 waterproof but air-permeable membrane -- you can't
- 5 typically use one of those on these apertures
- 6 because of the speed of response that's required
- ⁷ from the pressure sense -- pressure sensor itself.
- And so I know, from personal experience,
- ⁹ that, regardless of how much effort you put into
- waterproofing of the inside of a CPAP, it is very
- common for the device to be damaged or destroyed.
- 12 However, there's no real value in telling the
- patient that. You might as well tell them some
- steps to follow. And if they follow that and the
- device is dead, then they'll ring up customer
- service and you'll replace the device. There's no
- particular value for the manufacturer in telling
- them that things are going to go wrong.
- Q So you've included no statistics in your
- declarations about the rate at which CPAP devices
- 21 are damaged by users, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.

- 1 A There's no statistics in the declaration,
- 2 no.
- 3 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And you included no
- 4 statistics or references to studies in your
- ⁵ declaration about the rate at which CPAP devices
- 6 become damaged by users, correct?
- 7 A That is correct. I can also tell you, as
- someone who's designed many of these devices, that
- ⁹ the rate is high enough to give even very large
- 10 corporations pause, and that a very large part of
- 11 your time in designing literally any humidifier is
- spent in dealing with water spill-back, how to
- prevent it, how to lessen it, and that the
- 14 numbers -- because of the consequence, which is that
- 15 you -- regardless of what the warranty says, you end
- up having to replace the flow generator.
- Q But you've included no statistics in your
- declarations on that. True?
- A So just to finish that answer, because of
- the fact that, as a manufacturer, you invariably
- 21 have to replace the flow generator -- and that's a
- very substantial expense in comparison to the amount

- of profit you make on the humidifier -- the rate of
- loss is quite enough to perturb even the very large
- 3 corporations.
- 4 Q And you've included no statistics on that
- ⁵ rate in your declarations. True?
- 6 A There are no statistics on that in the
- 7 declaration. That's correct.
- Q And returning to Exhibit 1012, the last
- g step of what to do in the unlikely event you tip
- water into the blower outlet is reassemble and use
- 11 as directed. True?
- 12 A That's correct. And as I pointed out,
- there's no value to the manufacturer in pointing out
- to the user that the device may be unusable
- 15 afterwards. If the device is unusable, then they'll
- 16 ring customer service. And so you don't need to
- prompt them on it. Whereas, if it's usable and that
- does happen, then you've got them through the
- 19 problem.
- 20 Q And the last step in this troubleshooting
- 21 section is, reassemble and use as directed. True?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.

- 1 A Well, it's the last section in relation to
- tipping water into the device. Although you'll
- notice it says after that, if you feel your HC200 is
- 4 not operating correctly, consult your home care
- ⁵ dealer.
- 6 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And that's within a
- 5 spaced-apart section. True?
- 8 A There is a space between "reassemble" and
- 9 "use as directed" and "if you feel your HC200 is not
- operating correctly, consult your home care dealer."
- 11 That's correct.
- 12 Q I'll show you what's marked as
- Exhibit 1006. Are you familiar with Exhibit 1006?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q And in the left-hand column there's a
- series of bracketed numbers. Do you see that on the
- 17 far left?
- ¹⁸ A I do.
- 19 Q And if you go down to bracketed number
- 20 52 -- Do you see that?
- 21 A I do.
- Q It says, U.S. Cl. Do you see that?

- 1 A I do.
- 2 Q Do you have any understanding as to what
- 3 classification the Gouget patent,
- U.S. Patent No. 5,953,763, was placed by the patent
- ⁵ office?
- 6 A No.
- 7 MR. BELLINGER: We can mark this as
- 8 Exhibit 2003 in IPR2016-01719.
- 9 (Virr Exhibit Number 2003 marked for
- identification.)
- 0 (BY MR. BELLINGER) Exhibit 2003 is titled
- 12 Manual of Classification, United States Patent and
- 13 Trademark Office. This is only an excerpt of that
- 14 manual. If you turn to the third page of the
- exhibit, please. And at the top do you see a
- heading, Class 4 Baths, Closets, Sinks and
- 17 Spittoons? Do you see that?
- 18 A I think so. Are we on the page that's
- marked 4-1 at the top?
- Q Yes.
- A Yes.
- Q Were you aware that the Gouget patent was

- 1 classified by the patent office in the class of
- baths, closets, sinks and spittoons?
- A No, I wasn't.
- 4 Q And on the -- returning to the front
- page of Gouget, the U.S. classification on bracketed
- 6 52, do you see where it says 4-144.1?
- ⁷ A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. You can return back to
- 9 Exhibit 2003. And turn to the page that has 4-3 at
- the top. And if you look five lines from the
- bottom, there's a number 144.1. Do you see that?
- 12 A I do.
- Q Do you see it says urinal next to it?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q And were you aware that the Gouget patent
- was classified by the patent office as a urinal?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 O You were aware of that?
- 19 A I believe I've heard it mentioned.
- Q And the title of Gouget is, in fact,
- 21 Safety Urinal. Correct?
- 22 A Yes.

- 1 Q All right. And the abstract on the first
- 2 page of Gouget begins by describing a urinal of
- 3 transparent or translucent plastic material.
- 4 Correct?
- 5 A That's right. It says, A urinal of
- 6 transparent -- sorry -- of transparent or
- ⁷ translucent plastic material that can endure a
- 8 sterilization temperature of 130 degrees C.
- 9 Q And if you turn to the field of invention,
- which is in column 1 -- Do you see that?
- 11 A I do.
- 12 Q And the first line reads, The present
- invention relates to the field of safety urinals.
- Do you see that?
- 15 A Yes.
- O All right. And that's describing the
- ¹⁷ field of the described invention, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 19 A It says, The present invention relates to
- the field of safety urinals.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And that's the field
- of the Gouget patent. True?

- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 2 A I'm not sure of the legal significance of
- field. It certainly says that it's related to the
- field of safety urinals.
- 5 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And, similarly, in the
- 6 background of the invention section, there's a
- 7 discussion of existing art. Correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 O And around line 40, there's a reference to
- 10 U.S. Patent No. 5,358,148. Do you see that?
- 11 A I do.
- 12 Q All right. And that's an example of a
- urine collection container. True?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 15 A I don't know. I haven't seen it, but it's
- called a urine collection container.
- 17 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. Continue
- on to the next reference that's discussed, around
- 19 line 43, U.S. Patent No. 5,331,689. And that's
- titled Portable Urinal. Correct?
- 21 A That is correct.
- Q Going down to around line 57, the next

- reference discussed, U.S. Patent No. 4,091,476,
- that's also described as Portable Male Urinal.
- 3 Correct?
- ⁴ A That is correct.
- 5 O And the next reference discussed at the
- top of column 2, U.S. Patent No. 4,050,103, and
- 7 that's titled Urinating Receiver. Correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 O And then the next reference discussed at
- line 11 of column 2, U.S. Patent No. 2,358,850,
- that's also titled Male Urinal. Correct?
- 12 A It's titled Male Urinal.
- Q And turning to the claims of Gouget, the
- sole independent -- well, it's not the sole --
- independent claim 1 claims a urinal, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A So 1 is long. It starts at urinal,
- 18 certainly.
- 19 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Okay. And, likewise,
- independent claim 20 also begins -- on column 12
- 21 also begins by claiming a urinal comprising.
- 22 Correct?

- 1 A That's how it begins, yes.
- 2 O And the term urinal is used to describe
- the device throughout the patent, correct?
- 4 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 5 A Without checking all of it, I'm not sure
- if it's consistently described that way, but there
- ⁷ is certainly examples of it being described as a
- 8 urinal, yes.
- 9 O (BY MR. BELLINGER) Sitting here, do you
- 10 recall it being described as anything else other
- 11 than a urinal?
- 12 A Sitting here, I wouldn't remember.
- 13 Q You agree that the urinal described in
- Gouget is not a humidifier?
- 15 A That is correct.
- O And it's not intended to be used with a
- 17 blower, correct?
- 18 A That is correct as well.
- Q And it's not a respiratory device?
- A No, it's not a respiratory device.
- Q And it's not concerned with the routing of
- 22 air over urine in the chamber, correct?

- 1 A In the sense of air flow over the urine?
- O Uh-huh. Yes.
- A No. I don't think it contemplates
- 4 significant air flow over the urine.
- 5 Q Have you ever disclosed the Gouget patent
- to the patent office in connection with your own
- 7 patents?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And the urinal of
- Gouget is intended to be used by a patient typically
- in a bed, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 14 A I have no idea. I'm sorry.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) You don't know what
- the purpose of the urinal described -- Do you know
- what the purpose of the urinal described in Gouget
- 18 is?
- 19 A Well, without wanting to characterize the
- purpose, your question was, is it intended to be
- used by who is in bed.
- Q And you said you didn't know. So now I'm

- asking, what is your understanding of what the
- ² urinal in Gouget is intended to do?
- 3 A So the urinal is intended to collect urine
- 4 and then to prevent it from spilling out of the
- ⁵ urinal.
- 6 Q Isn't it fair to say that a person of
- ⁷ skill in the art designing a CPAP humidifier would
- 8 not have looked to a urinal?
- 9 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 10 A I think that's how the process works. So
- we're not talking about a person who's just
- wandering around and one day decides to go looking
- 13 for urinal patents. So the particular individual
- we're describing here has been tasked with
- redesigning HC200 to try and overcome spill-back
- issues. And what we're suggesting is that that
- person has looked at Hebblewhite and has said, here
- is a tube which appears to be useful for preventing
- water leaving from a side opening of a container.
- 20 And under those circumstances, that person would be
- looking for guidance on the optimum length of that
- 22 tube.

- 1 And when -- and that process of seeking
- guidance would have many parts, but usually that
- would include a patent search, and the search would
- 4 not necessarily be limited -- in fact, it really
- 5 shouldn't at all be limited to respiratory devices.
- 6 It's a search about how long to make tubes that are
- ⁷ intended to prevent water leaving a side opening of
- 8 a water chamber or a chamber.
- 9 So under those circumstances, I don't find
- it at all hard to believe that that person would
- 11 have come across Gouget.
- 0 (BY MR. BELLINGER) What other sorts of
- 13 art do you think a person would have looked at?
- A Anything to do with liquid spillage and
- trying to prevent liquid spillage. So most likely
- they would have come across patents for all sorts of
- 17 strange things.
- There's many, many ways to prevent liquid
- 19 spillage. The beauty of using tubes for preventing
- liquid spillage is that they're a tube and don't
- 21 have a lot of moving parts.
- So I don't think there would be any

- 1 particular limits on where you'd look.
- 2 Q So a person of skill in the art would look
- 3 at any device that is intended to prevent spilling
- 4 in some respect? Is that your testimony?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 6 A Yeah. I do. It is. And I think the
- 7 thing about Gouget is, although he's dealing with a
- 8 different liquid, he explains extremely clearly the
- ⁹ function of a tube in order to prevent liquid
- exiting the side wall of a chamber, the opening on
- 11 the side wall.
- 0 (BY MR. BELLINGER) How about a kid's
- sippy cup? That's intended to prevent spillage.
- Would a person skilled in the art designing a CPAP
- humidifier look at children's sippy cups?
- A So I'm a little bit unfamiliar with sippy
- cups, but my understanding is this is a cup with a
- 18 lid that's sealed to it, and it has a small opening.
- 19 And the opening has like a duck bill valve? It has
- a valve, a one-way valve? Is that the type of cup
- you're referring to?
- Q Some do.

- 1 A Okay. I'm not an expert in sippy cups.
- 2 But to the extent that a sippy cup, whatever it is,
- 3 could teach how to prevent water leaving a
- 4 humidifier, yes, as a designer, I'd be interested in
- ⁵ it.
- 6 Q Gouget always teaches locating the end of
- ⁷ the -- Looking at Figure 1 of Gouget, it identifies
- an anti-backflow element 5?
- ⁹ A Is that a question?
- 10 Q Do you see that?
- 11 A Yes. I see 5.
- 12 Q And Gouget always teaches locating the
- tapered end of the anti-backflow element at the
- volumetric center of the urinal, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A I don't know if he always teaches that.
- 17 He says -- Figure 1 certainly shows that it's close
- 18 to the volumetric center.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Well, looking at the
- abstract of Gouget, the first sentence of that
- teaches locating the end at the volumetric center of
- the urinal, correct?

- 1 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A It says, a urinal of transparent or
- 3 translucent plastic material that can endure a
- 4 sterilization temperature of 130 degrees Centigrade,
- with a detachable anti-backflow element having an
- 6 interior end located at the volumetric center of the
- ⁷ urinal.
- 8 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) So it's teaching
- 9 locating the end at the volumetric center of the
- urinal, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 12 A That first sentence, that's what it's
- teaching -- or saying, that there is an
- anti-backflow element having an interior end located
- 15 at the volumetric center.
- 0 (BY MR. BELLINGER) And the figures of the
- patent show the end of the anti-backflow element at
- the volumetric center, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 20 A Well, one thing I would caution is that
- interpreting these figures accurately is something
- you should avoid. But I would certainly agree that

- it's close to the volumetric center. It appears to
- 2 be.
- 3 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And then the field of
- 4 the invention in column 1 --
- ⁵ A Yes.
- 6 Q -- at around line 15, 16, again teaches
- ⁷ locating the end of the anti-backflow element at the
- 8 volumetric center of the urinal, correct?
- 9 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 10 A So it says, in which the interior end of
- the system is located at the volumetric center of
- the urinal, such that the urinal may be tilted in
- any direction without spillage, so long as the
- urinal is not filled beyond the fill indicator
- 15 level.
- 0 (BY MR. BELLINGER) So that's, again,
- teaching locating the end at the volumetric center,
- 18 correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 20 A Yeah. He's describing that. In his
- implementation, he's put it at the volumetric
- center.

- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And, likewise, the
- ² first part of the Summary of the Invention section,
- 3 column 2, about line 34, does that, again, also
- 4 teach locating the end of the anti-backflow element
- 5 at the volumetric center?
- 6 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 7 A So I'm cautious of the exact legal meaning
- of teaching. It's certainly the case that Gouget is
- 9 saying that, in his implementation, at least in the
- ones we've looked at, the anti-backflow element has
- its interior end located at the volumetric center.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And sitting here, are
- you aware of any disclosure in Gouget of locating
- the end of the anti-backflow element in any location
- other than the volumetric center?
- 16 A I haven't checked the patent for that.
- 17 Q Sitting here now, are you aware of any
- teaching in Gouget of locating the end of the
- anti-backflow element at any location other than the
- volumetric center?
- 21 A I'm not aware of it, but that's simply a
- 22 matter of saying I haven't read the patent in full

- 1 for some time.
- Q When's the last time you read Gouget?
- 3 A Gouget in full? Some months ago.
- 4 Q When's the last time you read it in part?
- 5 A In part, I think I looked at it two days
- 6 ago.
- 7 Q What parts did you look at?
- 8 A I don't recall.
- 9 You don't recall any parts you looked at?
- 10 A I certainly looked at Figure 1.
- 11 Q Anything else you remember?
- 12 A Not offhand.
- Q Going back to Exhibit 1015, the HC200
- manual, returning to page 7, labeled Important Parts
- of your HC200 System, where would the volumetric
- center of the humidification chamber of the HC200
- 17 system be?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A So this relates to my caution about
- undimensioned figures in exhibits. You'll notice
- that we don't have the height or the width of the
- chamber. In particular, we don't know the depth in

- and out of the page. So I don't know.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Can you tell me
- 3 approximately where it would be?
- 4 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 5 A I can tell you that, approximately, it
- 6 would be at half height. Is that right? So it
- yould be a little below half height, because the
- 8 chamber appears to be tapered.
- 9 O (BY MR. BELLINGER) So about half way up
- 10 from the base of the chamber to the top?
- A Well, I'm saying it would be a little
- below that, because there's some taper, and also
- 13 because there are features that subtract from the
- volume in the top surface, whereas in the bottom
- 15 there aren't.
- O And you explain in your declaration that,
- if a tube were added to the HC200 and angled to the
- volumetric center, it would create problems. Right?
- 19 A Very likely, yes.
- Q All right. And one of the problems you
- identify is waves. Correct?
- 22 A Can you refer me in my declaration to

- where that is?
- Q Going back to your declaration,
- 3 Exhibit 1026, if you go to paragraph 18.
- 4 A Yes. I see I address this in my
- ⁵ declaration. I wrote, Responsive to at least
- page 41 of the patent owner's response, I disagree
- 7 with statements which suggest that the modification
- 8 to the HC200 that I discuss in my initial
- 9 declaration does not account for Gouget's teachings,
- because the tube configuration that I discuss in my
- initial declaration does not enter the volumetric
- center of the HC200 chamber. In particular, I
- explain in my initial declaration, paragraphs 84 to
- 14 85, that angling the tube so that it ends at the
- volumetric center would promote waves.
- 16 O And why would angling the tube so it ended
- at the volumetric center of the HC200 promote waves?
- A Well, there are two possibilities. One is
- that the tube would end up below the water level, so
- that when the device was filled to maximum level at
- the beginning of the night, the air would exit
- 22 actually into the water, under the water surface.

- 1 In that case, the air would have to exit as bubbles,
- and those bubbles would be probably quite violent
- 3 and would cause waves.
- 4 And then the other possibility is that the
- tube would finish slightly above the maximum water
- 6 level. But in any case, it would be directed down
- as a jet into the water, and would, therefore, most
- 8 likely cause wave action.
- 9 O And then in your paragraph 18 of your
- declaration, you also discuss problems of splashing
- and air flow resistance. Do you see that?
- 12 A I think so. So I think I said, Indeed,
- with an angled tube, filling the tub to
- 14 approximately the water fill line would submerge the
- tip of the tube in water and lead to significant
- splashing and therefore resistance problems.
- O And how would it lead to those problems?
- 18 A So if the tip were under the water
- surface, two things would happen. One is that the
- water -- the air, which is under pressure from the
- 21 CPAP, would enter the water with some force, and it
- would cause large bubbles, and those would cause

- 1 significant splashing.
- 2 And then the second problem is that the
- 3 air enters the water, and the water -- because it's
- 4 below the surface, there is some resistance
- 5 associated with that. And this resistance is
- 6 associated with moving the air from the tip of the
- ⁷ tube through the water back into the airstream.
- 8 Q And in the manner in which you have
- 9 proposed adding a tube to the HC200 chamber, you do
- 10 not extend the end of the tube to the volumetric
- center of the chamber. Correct?
- 12 A That's correct.
- 13 Q In paragraph 19, at the bottom of large
- number 17, you state, As a second point, it would be
- clear to a person of ordinary skill in the art that
- all of the tubes being considered would be moulded
- from plastic and as such would all be tapered to
- some degree. And then you go on to describe
- 19 tapering or draft.
- Do you see that section of your
- 21 declaration?
- A Yes.

- 1 Q You agree that the anti-backflow element
- shown, for example, in Figure 1 of the Gouget has a
- 3 taper that exceeds the amount you would need simply
- for draft purposes, right?
- 5 A Sorry. This is in Gouget?
- 6 Q Yep.
- 7 A The anti-backflow element is 5 in
- 8 Figure 1; is that right?
- 9 O Yes.
- 10 A So it has two tapers. Again, with my
- 11 normal warning about interpreting these figures to
- be accurate, when they may not be, the first part
- appears roughly what you'd need for draft, and then
- there's a more tapered element towards the end.
- Q Right. So the end of the tube that's
- interior to the urinal has a smaller opening
- compared to the opposing end of the tube -- of the
- tube or anti-backflow element, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 20 A So you're saying element 5, the interior
- end opening, is smaller than the exterior end?
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Yeah.

- 1 A That's correct.
- Q All right. And in terms of molding a
- tube, the amount of draft needed to remove a molding
- 4 pin is typically in the order of tenths or
- ⁵ hundredths of an inch. True?
- 6 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 7 A It's not usually expressed that way, at
- 8 least in Australia. It's normally expressed as an
- ⁹ angle.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And what angle would
- 11 you express it as?
- 12 A It's typically said to be around
- ¹³ 3 degrees.
- Q Showing you what's been marked as
- Exhibit 1010, which is a copy of the Netzer
- 16 reference. You agree that Netzer describes a
- drainage system, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 19 A Sorry. Are you asking whether, amongst
- the various things he describes, Gouget describes
- the presence of a drainage system in his water tub?
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Yeah. I believe in

- 1 your answer you said Gouget, but you probably
- intended Netzer?
- 3 A Not Gouget. I meant Netzer. I apologize.
- 4 Q Yeah. You read one of the elements
- ⁵ disclosed in Netzer is a drainage system, correct?
- 6 A I do.
- 7 Q And Netzer describes that the drainage
- 8 system assists in preventing overfilling, correct?
- 9 A Yeah. I believe what he describes is a
- device. It's probably just an overflow -- it may
- just be the edge of the tub, in reality -- that
- 12 prevents or mitigates against the user overfilling
- the tub when it's out of the device. That's right.
- 14 Q And in use, how do you understand that
- would work, that the drain would prevent
- overfilling?
- 17 A Yeah. It's relatively straightforward.
- 18 So the way these devices work is that, when you're
- 19 filling the tub -- in other words, the tub is out of
- the machine -- it's difficult, or they hope
- impossible -- but it's difficult to overfill the
- tub, because the water, when you've reached the fill

- level, then runs out of some opening or over some
- ² edge.
- The thing that's important to realize with
- 4 these types of overfill devices is that, remember
- that you're supplying CPAP treatment. That's the
- 6 main aim here. CPAP treatment involves supplying
- ⁷ air-elevated pressure to the patient's airways. So
- 8 the things important to realize about these sort of
- 9 overflow devices is that, once they're in the
- device, they can't remain open. Otherwise all the
- 11 air just flows out there. So, typically, the way
- 12 you arrange this is that something seals off the
- overfill device when the tub is installed.
- Q Well, the drain of Netzer needs to be
- large enough to drain out excess water, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 17 A That doesn't -- I don't think that has any
- 18 real meaning. So any hole that's big enough that
- 19 surface tension doesn't block it will drain out
- water. It's a question of the rate at which you're
- 21 draining water and the volume of that structure
- before whatever blockage blocks it during use.

- 1 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. And so the
- size needs to be large enough to allow water to
- drain at a meaningful rate to prevent overflowing
- 4 the chamber, correct?
- 5 A No. Normally what happens is that the
- 6 patient is filling these containers at the sink.
- ⁷ They are normally quite strong warnings, as I notice
- 8 there are in at least Rev. B of the manual for the
- 9 HC200 -- strong warnings to not fill them in place.
- And so you can usually assume they're some
- distance from the device. So it doesn't need to
- drain quickly. It just needs to make sure that,
- over some period, the water level isn't too high.
- 14 Q And over what period would that be? While
- the patient's filling it?
- A Well, it's between then and when they
- install it. It's not something that needs to happen
- 18 rapidly. It's going to happen over seconds or half
- a minute or something like that.
- Q While they're at the sink, in your view?
- 21 A Well, ideally. Otherwise it's going to
- leak on the floor.

- 1 Q You characterize Netzer as having a small
- drain in your declaration, right?
- 3 A The drawing of Netzer's device appears to
- 4 show a small drain.
- 5 Q I think you've testified multiple times
- today you need to be leery of scaling drawings.
- ⁷ A That is correct.
- 8 Q And you would agree here, you can't tell
- ⁹ from the size or scale of the drawings that Netzer
- has what you've characterized as a small drain,
- 11 correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 13 A I don't recall characterizing it. In the
- pictures it's shown as a small drain. It's
- difficult to know the actual size of the drain. The
- one thing you can know with certainty is that, when
- the tub is inserted, the drain is not active.
- 18 O (BY MR. BELLINGER) But you can't tell
- 19 from the figures whether the drain of Netzer is, in
- 20 fact, small. Correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 22 A The figures -- I actually have doubts that

- the figure shows the drain as it was constructed.
- 2 And so you can't know very much about it. That's
- 3 true.
- 4 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And Netzer never
- 5 describes the drain as being a small drain. True?
- 6 A I don't know.
- ⁷ Q You don't recall, sitting here, any
- 8 description in Netzer of the drain being small in
- 9 size, correct?
- 10 A I don't.
- 11 O And Netzer doesn't describe that the drain
- is closed when the device is in use. True?
- 13 A It doesn't need to describe that, because
- it's -- firstly, it's obvious to a person of skill
- in the art. And, secondly, if it weren't closed in
- use, then the device wouldn't work as a CPAP device.
- O But Netzer never describes the drain as
- being closed in use, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 20 A I don't know whether he does or not. It's
- 21 not important. The fact is, it can't physically be
- open.

- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) But sitting here now,
- you don't recall any part of Netzer that describes
- 3 the drain being closed in use. True?
- 4 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- ⁵ A That's correct.
- 6 O (BY MR. BELLINGER) Okay. And it's
- 7 possible that Netzer might have a mechanism that
- 8 would prevent air from escaping but allow water,
- ⁹ when it goes over the drain, to pass through. True?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 11 A I'm not aware of any such mechanism. I
- think that's very unlikely.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) But possible. True?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 15 A I don't know. It sounds highly unlikely.
- O (BY MR. BELLINGER) Not something you
- 17 considered before now?
- 18 A I have considered it, because it would be
- a very nice thing to be able to do. I've had no
- success in locating a solution which does the things
- you've suggested, and I am not aware of anyone who
- has. As a CPAP machine, certainly I've never seen

- ¹ such a thing.
- Q If you look at Figure 3 of Netzer, do you
- 3 understand that the top portion of the drawing shows
- 4 a top view of the respiratory device with the water
- 5 chamber inserted?
- 6 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 7 A Yeah. It's either a top or a bottom,
- 8 certainly.
- 9 O (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. And that
- the figure at the -- the bottom of Figure 3 is
- showing a -- I guess what I'll call an end view,
- basically looking at the device as it would be
- sitting on a table, from one end of the water
- 14 chamber?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 16 A Yes. I believe that's correct.
- 17 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And element 20 is the
- drain spout, correct?
- 19 A Yes. I believe so.
- Q And in the bottom figure, there's what I'm
- going to call, going from kind of the center
- outward, an interior dashed line. Do you see that?

- 1 A Sorry. Say again?
- 2 Q Looking at the bottom figure, Figure 3 --
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q -- towards the -- if you start from the
- 5 center of the drawing and just kind of look outward,
- 6 you run into a dashed box. Do you see that?
- 7 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 8 A A dashed box. Yes. I can see -- so this
- 9 is -- Let's Have a look. It surrounds the whole
- interior of the device. Is that the box we're
- 11 talking about?
- 0 (BY MR. BELLINGER) Yeah.
- 13 A Yep.
- Q And then, immediately outward from that,
- there is a solid box. Do you see that?
- ¹⁶ A I do.
- O And is that the exterior of the water
- 18 chamber?
- 19 A I think so.
- Q Okay. And then, beneath that solid box,
- there's a small, dashed rectangle. Do you see that?
- A Yes.

- Q And that's a portion of the housing of
- the -- it's called the blower device that the
- 3 chamber slides into?
- 4 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 5 A So I think it's unclear what that dotted
- 6 section is. It's some part of this overall device.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Okay. And then 21 is
- 8 the discharge line, correct?
- 9 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 10 A Well, I'm seriously doubtful that that's
- 11 how they would construct it, but it would appear
- that 21 is some sort of passage that connects to 20.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And it's shown being
- exterior to the water chamber, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 16 A I think it's very unclear what that shows.
- 17 It could be that it's in the dotted element 21,
- whatever that is, if that's the hot plate or
- 19 something else.
- I think the main thing to draw from this
- is that this is not specifying a real drain. This,
- as shown, would not work, for multiple reasons,

- which I can outline, if you like.
- So I think it's -- the best interpretation
- that can be put on it is, this is a schematic
- intended to show -- intended to show roughly what
- 5 the drain is like. I have the gravest doubts about
- 6 whether what's shown here is practical, would work,
- and is actually anything that's ever been
- 8 implemented.
- 9 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Well, let's focus on
- what's actually shown in the drawings. You agree
- that Figure 3 shows the discharge line being in the
- main device housing and not part of the water
- chamber, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 15 A So the discharge line -- by the discharge
- line you're meaning 21?
- O (BY MR. BELLINGER) Yes.
- 18 A Then it appears to be the main housing and
- 19 not in the water chamber. It communicates with 20
- in the water chamber.
- Q So the discharge line is intended to allow
- water to flow out of the drainage spout when the

- water chamber has been inserted into the main
- 2 housing. True?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A So here's, I think, where the problems
- 5 start: I'm not sure what the intention was in
- 6 placing 21 where it is. There is a difficulty here,
- ⁷ which is that -- there are two possibilities. 21
- 8 can have an opening at its -- at the end, remote
- 9 from 20. If it is open, then that means that the
- 10 interior of the water chamber communicates directly
- with the outside world, which means that whatever
- we've created is not a CPAP machine.
- 13 If we assume we're still with a CPAP
- machine, the other possibility is that the one end
- or other of 21 is blocked. Now, if it's blocked at
- the end proximal to 20, then, no, no water will flow
- in 21. However, if it's blocked at the end that's
- remote from 20, then we have a problem, because 21,
- then, has air in it, and when you try and put water
- in it, that will cause a bubble at the remote end,
- 21 and fairly quickly the water will stop flowing.
- So whatever the intention of the person

- who drew this figure, I don't believe what they show
- is a practical water drainage device.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) However, as shown in
- Figure 3, for example, the drain would operate till
- 5 that water drained out of the water chamber when the
- 6 water chamber is inserted into the main housing.
- 7 True?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 9 A So the thing here is that, it's not good
- to just look at the figure and assume that it will
- do what one would hope it would do. What's
- important is to apply normal engineering knowledge
- 13 to it.
- So there are two possibilities here in
- respect to 21, assuming that 21 is a hole through
- the hot plate or something like that. If 21 is open
- at both ends, then it communicates -- appears to
- communicate with 20, and 20 is presumably somewhere
- near the water level of the device -- and that's the
- interior of the humidifier chamber, which is
- 21 pressurized, remember -- is now open to atmosphere.
- 22 And so that's not a CPAP device. We've got air

- 1 flowing out the side. It's not a device we can
- 2 market for CPAP treatment.
- 3 The other possibility is that 21 is
- 4 blocked somewhere. It's either blocked at the end
- 5 close to 20, in which case no water can flow at all,
- or it's blocked at the end remote from 20, and
- 7 water, as it flows in, will quickly build up
- 8 pressure in 21 and will stop it flowing.
- So as I've expressed before, I think there
- is -- I think this is not a practical device that is
- shown. It won't work to drain water from the tub,
- or any significant amount of water, once the tub is
- 13 installed.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) But as shown, it would
- permit water to drain through drain 20 after the tub
- has been installed, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 18 A Any circumstances in which it would permit
- water to drain through is that the tube 21 is open
- at both ends, and if the tube 21 is open at both
- ends, then this is not a CPAP device, which is
- what's described in the patent.

- So if we assume that 21 is open at both
- ends, it means that we're contradicting one of the
- early statements of the patent, which is that it's
- for a CPAP device. So I don't agree at all that 21
- will drain water while it's in use.
- 6 O (BY MR. BELLINGER) And that's based on an
- 7 assumption not described in Netzer. True?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 9 A It is based on an assumption which is
- 10 natural and obvious to anyone of ordinary skill in
- 11 the art.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) But an assumption not
- described in Netzer. Correct?
- 14 A It's like assuming gravity is present.
- Q But, again, an assumption that's not
- described in Netzer. Correct?
- A So this assumption is not specifically and
- explicitly described in Netzer because it's
- unnecessary, because it is so well known to people
- of skill in the art that it's unnecessary to
- ²¹ describe it.
- 22 Q Paragraph 26 of your declaration, which is

- 1 on large page number 23. I'm referring to your
- declaration, Exhibit 1026.
- About midway down you have a sentence
- 4 which begins, When a blower is destroyed or a
- 5 patient injured by water spillage, it is usually
- 6 because ...
- 7 Do you see that?
- 8 A I do.
- 9 Q And following that you list three
- 10 possibilities. Correct?
- 11 A Three examples of ways in which it can be
- damaged, yeah.
- 13 Q Those are three examples of the usual -- I
- guess what you'd characterize the usual way that a
- blower is destroyed or a patient is injured by water
- 16 spillage. Right?
- 17 A Yes. These are common examples.
- Q All right. And the first is it falls off
- ¹⁹ a bedside table?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q All right. And that would be caused by
- 22 accident, correct?

- 1 MR. KANE: Object to form.
- A It's not really necessary to speculate on
- why it happens, but it would be unusual for a
- 4 patient to do it on purpose.
- 5 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Right. So if it falls
- off the bedside table, unless the patient is trying
- ⁷ to intentionally destroy the machine, it was most
- 8 likely an accident, right?
- 9 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 10 A That seems reasonable.
- 11 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And if the CPAP
- machine is knocked off a bedside table, there may be
- more problems than simply water potentially entering
- the blower, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to form.
- A Actually, that's surprisingly unusual.
- 17 They're quite robust. CPAP machines are tested for
- mechanical impact, which are roughly equivalent to
- dropping from 4 feet onto a concrete or hardwood
- 20 floor. So they're surprisingly robust in shock.
- It's quite possible to drop one from a bedside table
- and not have any real problems happen with it.

- 1 The most likely thing with an integrated
- device, where you've got a humidifier, is that you
- will get water in it, and that will cause problems.
- 4 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Well, do manufacturers
- 5 typically instruct patients steps to take to avoid
- the CPAP device being knocked off a bedside table?
- 7 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 8 A Some do, some don't. It would normally be
- ⁹ advice to attempt not to have the hose positioned
- such that you might trip over it. But it's a very
- common occurrence, in particular because, when a
- patient has to get up during the night to go to the
- toilet, it's not as unusual as you would think for
- them to forget to disconnect the mask altogether and
- just walk off, and then the mask is connected to the
- hose, and the hose is connected to the device, and
- that's how it ends up on the floor. That's one way.
- Partner walking past the bed, tripping
- over the hose is another one. There's many, many
- ways for it to happen.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Do designers take that
- into account in designing the machines, that they

- 1 might be knocked off the bedside table?
- A Yes. That's a major consideration.
- Q Do they try to design the devices in a way
- 4 that they won't be damaged if that happens?
- 5 A Yes. So in addition to impact I was
- talking about, the main activity to try and ensure
- they won't be damaged is to try and minimize
- 8 spill-back.
- 9 Or minimize the damage from spill-back,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A Oh, I see. Effectively, no.
- So what would be very nice is to design a
- 13 CPAP which was immune to water spill-back, and there
- are some obvious ways in which you could try to do
- that. Unfortunately, so far, anyways, as far as I'm
- aware, none of them have been very successful.
- The ways you might do this, you might
- choose to use a completely separate air path, have
- the electronics in some way isolated. That tends to
- be ineffective, because you at least need the
- 21 pressure sensors in the device to communicate with
- the air path. You can't generally put a water -- an

- 1 air-permeable membrane over them because of the
- speed of the response required. So attempts to
- 3 segregate the electronics are typically
- 4 unsuccessful.
- 5 You could put valves in the air path
- 6 between the water and the electronic components,
- but, firstly, those are quite expensive, and,
- 8 secondly, the rate of failure of those valves is
- 9 probably not dissimilar to the rate at which you are
- qoing to receive these devices back for water
- 11 spillage anyway.
- So I guess the way I describe it is, the
- manufacturers have done the best they can, but that
- generally you have to assume that, if water gets
- back into the device, some large proportion of those
- devices will be damaged.
- Q And you also mention that the second way
- lowers are typically damaged or a patient is injured
- is the device has been packed for transport with
- water still in it. Correct?
- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q And with respect to the HC200 or Netzer,

- for example, those devices don't say they're
- intended to be packed full of water. Correct?
- Packed when full of water. Correct?
- 4 A These devices, in the user instructions,
- 5 invariably warn against packing the device with
- 6 water in it.
- 7 Manufacturers experience sense
- 8 introduction of integrated humidifiers, where
- 9 they're all in one part, is that a significant
- proportion of the users, nonetheless, do pack them
- and drive significant distances, and do all sorts of
- things with them, with the water still in.
- 13 Q But, again, neither Netzer or the HC200
- instruct users that the device should be packed when
- ¹⁵ full of water, correct?
- 16 A There's not a positive instruction in any
- of those places to pack them with water, no.
- 18 O So that would be an unintended use of the
- 19 device, right?
- 20 A Oh. Unintended.
- MR. KANE: Form.
- 22 A I don't know what unintended means. It's

- 1 something that manufacturers would very much prefer
- you didn't do. Experience is that patients do do
- 3 it. And not in small numbers, I might add.
- 4 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And then you mention
- being picked up or tipped while still connected to
- the patient is another possibility. Correct?
- ⁷ A Yes.
- Q All right. And, again, is that something
- ⁹ that manufacturers typically warn against?
- 10 A No. And this is another example of where
- the warnings are largely ineffective. I've had this
- happen to a device of mine, and this was off-label
- in use, in the sense that, not only do manufacturers
- typically warn against picking up the device while
- the patient's attached, but they certainly warn
- against -- in this case, they warned against it
- being used with unresponsive patients. And yet the
- device was used in that way and was picked up in
- that way, and the water ended up in the patient's
- ²⁰ upper airway.
- Q Again, this would be an unintended or
- uninstructed use of the device, right?

- 1 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 2 A So unintended or uninstructed. It was
- 3 specifically against instructions. I'm not sure
- 4 what unintended means in this case. It's certainly
- 5 not something that I would have intended to happen.
- 6 But the reality is, it did happen.
- 7 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. And
- 8 neither Netzer nor the HC200 manual instruct or
- 9 encourage users to pick up the entire CPAP device
- when full of water and move it, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 12 A As far as I remember, neither the HC200
- manual nor Netzer instruct patients to move the
- device when full of water.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) In fact, both the
- 16 HC200 and Netzer have water chambers that the user
- could remove, if need be, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 19 A They both have water chambers that are
- 20 removable.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Turn to paragraph 31
- of your declaration. Here you discuss humidifier

- 1 performance, in part. In the bottom of the large
- number page 28, you state, Without knowing the
- dimensions or water temperature of Netzer's
- 4 humidifier, it's possible for patent owner and
- 5 Dr. Schneider to know its performance, but there's
- 6 no reason to suppose it would be so poor to allow
- ⁷ the addition of features to reduce spillage.
- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 A I do.
- 10 Q And, likewise, if it's impossible for
- 11 Fisher & Paykel or Dr. Schneider to know the
- 12 performance of Netzer, you, also, likewise, cannot
- quantify it's performance, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 15 A Just from the patent, that's correct.
- 0 (BY MR. BELLINGER) And there are some
- disadvantages of using higher temperatures to obtain
- 18 humidification, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 20 A There is a disadvantage, I would say.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. Well,
- would one disadvantage be it's more costly to

- 1 operate?
- 2 A Oh, no. Not normally. These devices are
- normally operated off the mains, and the power
- 4 consumption in most markets is not of significance
- 5 to the user. It's too small to worry about.
- 6 Also -- No. That's not clear at all.
- Water temperature -- raising the water temperature
- 8 is a very efficient way of increasing output.
- 9 Roughly speaking, the vapor output of the water
- increases with the square of the temperature
- increase, so little temperature increase gives you a
- 12 big increase in output.
- And I think it's quite unclear whether
- that, in fact, is going to be less or more efficient
- than the alternative for a given output. I don't
- think that's clear at all.
- Q Well, what disadvantages are there of
- using higher heat?
- 19 A It's more of a limit than a disadvantage.
- 20 And it varies from manufacturer to manufacturer.
- There is some temperature at which you start to
- worry that, if the patient stops therapy and

- immediately removes the chamber and sticks their
- finger in it, the water will be too hot and will
- burn them. And that's normally the limit that
- 4 you're worried about.
- 5 What level you set that at depends a bit
- on how conservative you are as a manufacturer. But
- ⁷ it's in the region of 50-something degrees Celsius.
- 8 So there will normally be some sort of test in which
- 9 you run the machine at its maximum temperature, and
- you quickly disconnect it and you measure the water
- temperature. Up to that temperature, the hotter the
- 12 better.
- Q Does patient comfort become a
- consideration when increasing temperature?
- 15 A Typically, patient comfort is improved.
- So I think to understand where a
- 17 humidifier -- its effect on -- by the time the air
- has got to the patient, the effect of the water
- temperature on the air temperature that the patient
- feels is minimal, and the reason is that the air
- delivery tube is a very long structure, with a very
- thin side wall, and it's a really, really good heat

- 1 exchanger. So unless there's some form of heating
- or insulation in the tube, the temperature of the
- air, when it arrives at the patient, is very close
- 4 to ambient. So raising the water temperature does
- 5 not affect the temperature of the air significantly
- 6 at all as it reaches the patient.
- So then it's a question of, what else does
- 8 raising the water temperature do? And what it does
- 9 is, it allows you higher performance for a given
- surface area and a given flow rate. And to the
- 11 extent that you can then tune down from that, the
- patient can turn it down or whatever, no, in
- general, higher water temperature is going to be
- beneficial to patient comfort.
- Q All right. With respect to Netzer's
- design, if you look at paragraph 30 of your
- declaration, at the bottom of page 27, you state,
- 18 First, Netzer's unmodified design shows the inlet
- and the outlet on the same wall of the
- humidification chamber, and a person of ordinary
- skill in the art would recognize that air might flow
- directly from the inlet to the outlet without

- 1 sufficiently circulating through the chamber in this
- ² configuration.
- Do you see that?
- 4 A I do.
- 5 Q And that's a potential issue with Netzer's
- 6 design as shown, correct? The airway not
- ⁷ sufficiently circulating through the chamber?
- A It's an issue with any humidification
- 9 chamber, before you've started testing it, that
- you're never sure to what extent the air will choose
- to just go directly from the inlet to the outlet
- without passing most of the water.
- O And it's an issue with Netzer in
- particular because the inlet and outlet are located
- on the same side of the chamber, next to each other,
- 16 correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 18 A No, not necessarily. So the situations
- that are particularly problematic are where you have
- air that, for instance, enters on one side of a
- 21 chamber and then passes straight along one wall,
- from the inlet attached to the wall, and then passes

- straight out an opposite -- an opening that's
- opposite but -- so that it doesn't pass over much of
- 3 the water.
- The air, when it enters Netzer, is going
- to have some momentum, and so it won't immediately
- turn left and then immediately turn left again and
- head into the outlet tube. But what you don't know,
- 8 a priori, is how far it will go into the chamber,
- 9 how completely it will cover the water surface
- before it turns around and heads for the outlet.
- 11 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And as you describe in
- 12 your declaration, because the inlet and outlet are
- on the same wall, air might flow directly from the
- inlet to the outlet without sufficiently circulating
- through the chamber, right?
- A So maybe I should read some of the
- ¹⁷ declaration.
- Firstly, Netzer's unmodified design shows
- the inlet and the outlet on the same wall of the
- humidification chamber. And the person of the
- 21 art -- sorry -- person of ordinary skill in the art
- would have recognized that the air might flow

- directly from the inlet to the outlet without
- ² sufficiently circulating through the chamber in this
- 3 configuration.
- 4 Then I go on: This is because there is no
- 5 baffle forcing the air to take an indirect path, as
- 6 Rose teaches.
- ⁷ Q But with respect to Netzer's configuration
- 8 as shown in the patent, as you explain, because the
- 9 inlet and outlet are on the same wall, air might
- 10 flow directly from the inlet valve without
- sufficiently circulating through the chamber,
- 12 correct?
- A What I'm trying to point out in the
- declaration is that there are multiple
- configurations in which short-circuiting can be a
- problem, and one of them is a situation where the
- wall -- the inlet and outlet are placed close to
- 18 each other on the same wall.
- There are multiple other problems or
- situations which can create the same problem.
- 21 Q But with respect to the inlet and outlet
- on the same wall, that's, with respect to Netzer,

- the configuration that's shown, correct?
- 2 A Netzer has the inlet and outlet on the
- 3 same wall, yes.
- 4 MR. BELLINGER: Why don't we take a break.
- 5 (Whereupon, the proceedings were in recess
- at 11:46 a.m. and subsequently reconvened at
- ⁷ 11:56 a.m., and the following proceedings were
- 8 entered of record:)
- 9 O (BY MR. BELLINGER) With respect to the
- 10 reply declaration that was filed as Exhibit 1028 in
- 11 IPR2016-01716, 1717, 1725, 1727, 1729, 1730 and
- 12 1731 -- I'll give you a copy of that.
- Now, within this declaration you offer
- some opinions on the meaning of claim term including
- the word urging, such as that you're urging adjacent
- and in contact with.
- Do you generally recall that?
- 18 A Yes.
- Q All right. I'll give you a copy of what
- was marked as Exhibit 1003, which is a copy of U.S.
- 21 Patent No. 8,091,547.
- Now, if you go to claim 1 of the '547

- patent, if you go to the limitation of claim 1
- that's in column 10, beginning around line 8, it's,
- 3 said single motion of engagement urging the base of
- 4 said humidification chamber adjacent and in contact
- ⁵ with said chamber heater.
- 6 Do you see that?
- ⁷ A I do.
- 8 Q And what is your understanding as to what
- 9 that claim limitation requires?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 11 A So it says to me that the single motion,
- which is, as I understand, the patient moving the
- water chamber into its user position -- that single
- motion of engagement brings the base of said
- humidification chamber adjacent and in contact with
- said chamber heater. So it says that the patient
- moves the water chamber from some other positioning
- to a position where, in this case, it is adjacent
- and in contact with the chamber heater.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. If you
- turn to claim 6 of the '547 patent, and if you go to
- the limitation that's at the top of claim 11.

- 1 A Sorry. Page 6?
- Q Sorry. Claim 6 -- I may have misspoke.
- 3 Let me start again.
- If you look at claim 6 of the '547 patent
- 5 and look at the limitation that begins at the top of
- 6 column 11 --
- ⁷ A Oh. Okay.
- 8 Q -- and it states, wherein said single
- 9 motion disposes said heat conductive base adjacent a
- 10 heater in said humidified gases delivery apparatus.
- What's your understanding as to what that
- 12 claim limitation requires?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A So I think the difference here is that it
- says adjacent, which I take to mean near to, as
- opposed to in contact with, which I've just given
- the natural meaning, meaning they're in contact. So
- the patient here moves the water chamber from some
- other position until the heat conductive base is
- adjacent, meaning close to a heater, and said
- 21 humidifier gets its delivery apparatus.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) So if I'm

- understanding correctly, you're reading the
- limitation we looked at in claim 1 to require that
- the base be brought adjacent and in contact, whereas
- 4 claim 6 merely requires the chamber base be brought
- 5 adjacent to the heater? Is that right?
- 6 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 7 A That seems to me to be the difference
- 8 here. One requires adjacent and in contact. The
- ⁹ other requires adjacent only.
- 10 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) So in your view, does
- the word urges in claim 1 -- or urging, I should
- say, in claim 1 have the same meaning as the word
- disposes in claim 6?
- 14 A Yes. To my mind, both of them just mean
- ¹⁵ moving here.
- Q Does that mean the same thing to you?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 18 A Yes. I was saying that they mean the same
- thing to me, and they mean its movement.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And that's the
- interpretation you've provided in forming your
- opinions in this case, that disposes and urging mean

- 1 the same thing?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) You've also offered
- 5 some opinions as to the meaning of auxilliary. Do
- 6 you recall that, generally?
- ⁷ A I do. Yes.
- 8 Q All right. I believe, in your
- 9 declaration, you've generally referred to disclosure
- in the '624 patent, so let me give you copy of that,
- which has previously been marked as Exhibit 1001.
- 12 It's U.S. Patent No. 7,111,624.
- If you turn to column 7 -- sorry -- column
- 5 of the '624 patent, beginning at line 6 of column
- 5, it reads, In the present invention the connector
- which includes an additional electrical and/or
- pneumatic connection 54 with the conduit is integral
- to the connection manifold of the CPAP machine 8 and
- therefore allows the disposable water chamber to
- remain as simple as possible.
- Do you see that?
- ²² A I do.

- Q Okay. And within that sentence there's a
- 2 reference to the additional electrical and/or
- pneumatic connection 54. Do you see that in
- 4 particular?
- 5 A I do.
- 6 Q And do you understand the text that I just
- read in column 5 to be within the part of the patent
- 8 that's discussing Figures 1 and 2?
- 9 A I believe so.
- 10 Q So if you start with Figure 1, do you see
- there's an element 54?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q And that's pointing to the additional
- electrical and/or pneumatic connection 54 that we
- just read in column 5, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 17 A I believe it's meant to be representing
- 18 that extra connection.
- 19 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And, similarly, if we
- look at Figure 2, do you see element 54 is labeled
- there as well?
- 22 A It is.

- 1 Q And that is also referring to the
- 2 additional electrical and/or pneumatic connection 54
- that was referred to in column 5, correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And both Figures 1 and 2 show a connection
- 6 port to which the breathing mask is connected,
- 7 right?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 9 A This is item 9 that we're discussing?
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Yeah. Well, what do
- 11 you understand item 9 to be?
- 12 A It describes what item 9 is. It says,
- item 9 -- I think it's variously described. It's
- called -- if you look at column 4, it's called the
- collection manifold of a CPAP machine 9.
- 0 What line are you referring to?
- 17 A Line 57.
- Q And if you go up to line 5 -- actually,
- line -- about line 7, it says, The connection
- 20 manifold also provides an auxiliary outlet
- 21 connection port 9 suitable for receiving a flexible
- respiratory conduit to deliver humidified air to a

- ¹ patient.
- Do you see that?
- ³ A Yes. I think 9 is variously described.
- 4 Above that it says, Connection of the gases inlet
- 5 and gases outlet ports are made to the connection
- 6 manifold 8 of the CPAP machine in the same slide-on
- 7 motion. The connection manifold also provides an
- 8 auxiliary outlet connection port 9 suitable for
- 9 receiving a flexible respiratory conduit to deliver
- 10 humidified air to a patient.
- So I think it's variously referred to as
- 12 the manifold or as the connection, as the -- what's
- the other connection -- the other description? --
- outlet port 9.
- Q All right. Then going back to Figures 1
- and 2, element 9 would be the port to which the --
- one end of the breathing hose would connect, right?
- 18 A I believe so.
- O And then element 54 would be another
- connector, either an electrical or pneumatic
- 21 connector, right?
- A That's what it's meant to indicate, I

- ¹ think, yes.
- 2 O And those are the two connectors that are
- 3 shown in Figures 1 and 2, right?
- 4 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 5 A I don't really understand that. I mean,
- there are other connections shown in Figures 1 and
- ⁷ 2, but those are some of the connections.
- 8 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. Well, as
- ⁹ far as connecting the patient mask to the device,
- the connection of the port 9 and the electrical or
- pneumatic connector 54, those are the two
- 12 connections shown, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 14 A Yeah. I believe it describes that in the
- sentence we read from, column 5. In the present
- invention -- So this is at line 6. In the present
- invention, the connector which includes an
- additional electrical and/or pneumatic connection 54
- 19 for the conduit is integral to the connection
- 20 manifold of the CPAP machine 8 and therefore allows
- a disposable water chamber to remain as simple as
- possible.

- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) So within Figures 1
- and 2, it's showing 9 and 54 as the connectors,
- 3 right?
- 4 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A As I said, there are multiple connectors.
- 6 It shows 9 and 54 as two parts of a connector or two
- ⁷ connectors.
- 8 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. Well, it
- 9 shows 9 and 54 as being connectors for receiving a
- breathing hose, right?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 12 A So it's for receiving a breathing hose and
- 13 receiving other things that might be attached to the
- breathing hose. I think it's actually described in
- the patent. So it says that there's going to be a
- simultaneous connection of the main pneumatic line,
- the air hose to the patient, and also some second
- connection of some electrical/pneumatic sort.
- 19 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) I just want to make
- ²⁰ sure I understand your testimony, though.
- But for Figures 1 and 2, do you agree
- that, with respect to connectors for receiving the

- breathing hose or associated connectors, that 9 and
- ² 54 are the two connectors that are shown in those
- 3 figures?
- 4 MR. KANE: I object to the form.
- 5 A I don't think it's clear. 9 and 54 are
- 6 connectors or parts of a connector that allow you to
- 7 connect different features of the patient breathing
- 8 hose. And we understand what those fixtures can be.
- 9 So those parts 9 and 54 are both involved in the
- connection to the patient breathing hose, whatever
- 11 that is.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. And you
- mentioned there's other connectors in Figure 1,
- maybe for receiving a water chamber. But with
- respect to the breathing hose, the only two
- connectors shown for receiving that are 9 and 54.
- 17 Is that right?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 19 A In Figures 1 and 2?
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Yes.
- 21 A In Figures 1 and 2, the diagram only shows
- two connections to labeled items, which are involved

- in the connection to the patient breathing hose.
- Q Let me show you what's been marked
- previously as Exhibit 1011 in various IPRs, and this
- 4 is U.S. Patent publication number 2001-0017134 to
- 5 Bahr.
- Are you familiar with the Bahr reference?
- 7 A I am.
- 8 Q When's the last time you reviewed this
- 9 reference?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 11 A The last time I reviewed the reference,
- possibly two days ago.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) If we turn to Figure 3
- of Bahr, there's an element shown at the top part of
- the figure, and it's labeled 40. Do you see that?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q And do you understand that 40 is
- identifying the ventilator part of the device?
- 19 A Offhand, I don't know, but that seems
- ²⁰ quite possible.
- 21 Q You see there's another component towards
- the bottom of the page, and there's a number 2. Do

- 1 you see that?
- 2 A Oh. Yes.
- ³ Q Do you understand that to be describing a
- 4 conduit?
- ⁵ A Yes.
- Q If we go to paragraph 22 of Bahr, the
- first sentence reads, in part, The ventilator 40
- 8 includes a gas port 44 which has, on an external
- 9 mating surface 46, two electrical contacts, 48a and
- ¹⁰ 48b.
- Do you see that?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q All right. So returning back to Figure 3,
- do you understand element 46 to be corresponding to
- the external mating surface?
- A Sorry. Where is the reference? Where is
- the section we were reading from of Bahr?
- 18 O Paragraph 22.
- 19 A 22. Ventilator 40 includes a gas port 44.
- Sorry. Could you repeat the question?
- Q Yeah. So do you understand that, in
- Figure 3, where it says element 46, that is pointing

- to an external mating surface, as we read in
- ² paragraph 22?
- A 46, yes, is described as an external
- 4 mating surface of the gas port 44.
- ⁵ Q All right. And then continuing on in
- 6 paragraph 22, the second sentence there, it says,
- 7 The contacts 48a and 48b, and 18a and 18b, are
- 8 disposed on their respective surfaces 46, 20 such
- ⁹ that when the female interface 8 is push fit over
- the port 44 to thereby form a gas tight connection,
- the contacts 48a and 48b and 18a and 18b will
- 12 establish an electrical connection.
- Do you see that?
- ¹⁴ A I do.
- ¹⁵ Q So do you understand that, in reference to
- Figure 3, to describe that element 8 is push fit
- over the length of gas port 44?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And there would be a contact formed along
- the length of gas port 44 with the female interface
- 21 8?
- A No. It's unlikely that the contact is

- along the length. The contact is likely to be at
- the distal end -- roughly where the number 8 points
- 3 to on the cuff of that tube. So I don't think there
- 4 will be continuous contact. It's likely there's a
- 5 seal at 8. That's certainly how I would do it.
- 6 O Is there a seal described in 8 in the text
- 7 we just read?
- 8 A So there is not a seal described, but it
- 9 describes that a leak tight -- let's have a look --
- a gas tight connection is established.
- 11 Q And that's all that's described, right, a
- 12 gas tight connection?
- A Well, it describes a range of things, but
- it doesn't tell you how to make the gas tight
- 15 connection.
- O All right. And contact is also formed
- between the electrical contacts 48a and 48b on the
- gas port and 18a and 18b on the female interface,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A Yes. That's how it's described.
- 21 O And it describes that that connection is
- maintained at the end of paragraph 22 regardless of

- the rotational orientation of conduit 2, correct?
- A Okay. So it says, from a little bit
- further back, Preferably one or both sets of
- 4 contacts 48a and 48b and 18a and 18b are provided as
- ⁵ rings around the periphery of the corresponding
- 6 mating surface 46. This enables signal
- 7 communication to be established between the sets of
- 8 contacts 48a and 48b and 18a and 18b regardless of
- ⁹ the rotational orientation of the conduit 2.
- 10 Q So it's possible to push fit over
- 11 female -- push fit female interface 8 over gas port
- 12 44 in different orientations and still form a
- contact between the two. Right?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A Okay. You might need to be a bit more
- precise than that. So it's possible to fit 8 to --
- female part 8 to male part 44. And it says that
- that will establish a gas tight seal. And it also
- 19 says that, regardless of the rotation of 8 relative
- to 44, if 48a and b are provided as rings, then
- electrical contact can be maintained between 48a,
- 48b, 18a and 18b, regardless of the rotational

- orientation of 8 relative to 44.
- O (BY MR. BELLINGER) And it describes that
- the female interface 8 is push fit over the entirety
- of gas port 44, correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A I don't believe it says entirety in any
- 7 way, no.
- 8 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Well, it says push fit
- 9 over port 44. Correct?
- 10 A Okay. So it says, The contacts 48a and
- 48b, and 18a and 18b, are disposed on their
- 12 respective surfaces 46, 20 such that when the female
- interface 8 is push fit over port 44 to thereby form
- a gas tight connection, the contacts 48a and 48b and
- 15 18a and 18b will establish an electrical connection.
- O Correct. So it talks about female
- interface 8 is push fit over the port 44, correct?
- 18 A Yes. It says female interface 8 is push
- 19 fit over the port 44.
- MR. BELLINGER: I'm going to move on to
- something else. Do you guys want to do lunch for a
- ²² bit?

- MR. KANE: Sure.
- 2 (Whereupon, the proceedings were in recess
- at 12:23 p.m. and subsequently reconvened at
- 1:03 p.m., and the following proceedings were
- 5 entered of record:)
- 6 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Let me show you what's
- been marked as Exhibit 1010, which is PC publication
- 9 number 98-57691 to Kenyon. Are you familiar with
- ⁹ the Kenyon reference?
- 10 A Yes, I am.
- 11 Q If you turn to paragraph 23 of your
- declaration, which discusses Kenyon, referring to
- your declaration, Exhibit 1028, near the bottom, you
- state, In another example, Kenyon describes that the
- 15 flow generator includes a differently shaped/size
- inlet 22 and outlet 24 that are designed to ensure
- that both the duct member and the humidifier inlet
- and outlet are provided with corresponding engaging
- 19 formations to avoid incorrect installation.
- Do you see that?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay. Keep that page open and go back to

- 1 Kenyon, Exhibit 1010, and let's turn to, for
- example, Figure 6. Now, in paragraph 23, you've
- 3 used the term duct member.
- 4 A Yes.
- ⁵ Q Are you presuming that refers to element
- 6 30 in Figure 6?
- 7 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 8 A Well, it could be -- it depends on what
- ⁹ the study refers to. I think the duct member there
- is the assembly, which includes the two elbows,
- which I think is 77 and -- 77, and a sort of
- box-like structure marked 84, and another
- box-like -- well -- and then various connecting
- elements. So it's that entire block, which I think
- is probably either 30 or 84. I'm not clear from the
- picture.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Well, the portion of
- 18 Kenyon you quoted from your declaration talking
- about incorrect installation of duct member, are you
- understanding that refers to potential incorrect
- installation of member 30?
- 22 A So certainly I was aware that 30 includes

- all the various parts. The duct member, for me, is
- the two tubes, the various connectors and the
- O-rings that go with it, and the two enclosing parts
- 4 of a box.
- ⁵ Q All right. Well, if you look at Figure 2,
- 6 you see 30 there is referring to, generally, a
- ⁷ U-shaped tube?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Similarly, if you go to Figure 8, 30,
- again, is referring to that U-shaped tube. Do you
- 11 see that?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q All right. And is that piece shown as
- being relatively -- for example, Figure 8 --
- 15 symmetrical?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- A So that component is, but that's part of a
- subassembly -- or assembly, rather, 84, which is
- 19 not.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. Well, in
- your reference to potential incorrect installation
- of the duct member to the blower housing, in order

- 1 for the duct member to be incorrectly installed, it
- would need to be flipped upside down.
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 4 A Yes. So the idea is that the duct is in
- one orientation, and it could potentially be
- 6 inserted the other way up.
- 7 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And that's the way it
- 8 may be potentially incorrectly installed, if it was
- ⁹ turned 180 degrees?
- 10 A To the extent that what we are trying to
- do is prevent incorrect installation by having
- differently shaped openings, connections, then the
- incorrect installation we're talking about is, yes,
- swapping the two ends, having one end -- having the
- two ends connected to the wrong coupling members.
- O Which would require flipping the
- element 30 180 degrees, right?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 19 A Well, once again, I've got questions about
- 20 exactly -- I think element 30 is never available to
- the patient. I think what's available to the
- 22 patient is what I mentioned is called 48, which is

- 1 element 30 inside the box.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Well, is it your
- understanding that element 30 would, for example,
- 4 connect to the connection inlet and connection
- outlet in Figures 9 and 10?
- 6 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 7 A So the idea is that something -- the duct
- 8 member connects between, say, 22 and 24 of Figure 9
- 9 and 22 and 24 in Figure 10. And the duct member --
- one example of that is shown in Figure 8. And that
- duct member includes 30. It includes a variety of
- other components as well.
- And what I've been saying is that I don't
- think it was ever contemplated that 30, by itself,
- was available to the public. You'd have to buy 30
- in its box, with its O-rings and so on.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. So 30,
- with the O-rings, is it your understanding that's
- what would connect to the inlet and outlet --
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) -- Figures 9 and 10?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.

- A 30, with its O-rings and with the top and
- bottom housings there, that's my understanding, is
- 3 that's the duct that we're talking about.
- 4 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Okay. And in order
- for that to be installed incorrectly, it would need
- to be potentially rotated 180 degrees; is that
- 7 right?
- 8 A That's the incorrect installation that you
- ⁹ are protecting against with these different-shaped
- openings, yes.
- 11 Q Let me show you what's previously marked
- as Exhibit 1029 in IPR2016-01717, which is a copy of
- U.S. Patent No. 6,302,105, D. Wickham.
- 14 And are you familiar with the Wickham
- 15 reference?
- 16 A Yes, I am.
- 17 Q If you go to Figure 2, do you understand
- that to be showing a sub-housing 14 that can be
- 19 removed from the device?
- ²⁰ A Yes.
- Q And is that sub-housing 14 also shown in
- Figure 1?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And do you understand that the -- to the
- left of Figure 1 there's an element 28? Do you see
- 4 that, with an arrow below it?
- 5 A I do.
- O Do you understand that to be referring to
- ⁷ air flow into the housing?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 9 A I don't know for sure. It looks possible,
- 10 but I don't know.
- 11 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) All right. Well, do
- 12 you understand that the arrows -- there's an arrow
- to the left of Figure 1, some arrows in the center,
- and then an arrow to the right that exits the
- sub-housing. Do you see that?
- ¹⁶ A I do.
- 17 Q And do you understand that to be referring
- to the flow of air through the sub-housing?
- 19 A That seems likely, yeah.
- 20 Q And does that show the path that air would
- take when flowing through the sub-housing?
- 22 A Well, it seems to describe elements of it.

- 1 It shows that it comes in at one end. It shows that
- it flows into the fan. It shows it flows out of the
- ³ fan, and then it exits at 28.
- 4 Q And if you go to column 4 of Wickham,
- 5 starting at line 1, it says, The inlet 16 and the
- outlet 18 represent the beginning and end
- 7 respectively of a gas flow path through the
- 8 sub-housing 14.
- 9 Do you see that?
- 10 A I do.
- 11 Q Does the sub-housing, as shown in
- 12 Figure 1, have an inlet at one side of the housing
- and an outlet at the other side of the sub-housing?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q And then, returning to column 4, line 3,
- it says, The passage of gas along the flow path is
- represented by arrows 28.
- Do you see that?
- ¹⁹ A I do.
- Q And those arrows are represented in
- Figure 1, right?
- 22 A Yes.

- Q And the arrows are within the sub-housing,
- ² correct, 14?
- Most of them, yes. The two end ones --
- 4 most of the arrows are the ends of the two -- the
- beginning and ending arrows, if you like, are
- 6 outside the sub-housing.
- ⁷ Q So air enters the sub-housing at gas inlet
- 8 16, correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- O Circulates within sub-housing 14, correct?
- 11 A That's correct.
- Q And then exits sub-housing 14 at gas
- outlet 18, correct?
- 14 A That's correct.
- Q And Wickham is not described as containing
- a humidifier, correct?
- 17 A I don't recall whether it makes reference
- 18 to a humidifier.
- 19 Q You don't recall reading any reference to
- a humidifier in Wickham, do you?
- 21 A I don't recall reading any reference to a
- humidifier in Wickham. That doesn't mean it's not

- there, but I don't recall reading it.
- Q When's the last time you reviewed Wickham?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 4 A Last week.
- 5 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) In your declaration,
- if you turn to paragraph 30, for example, and at
- ⁷ line 3, the sentence reads, in part, Particularly, a
- 8 person of ordinary skill in the art would have
- 9 understood that Wickham's teaching of a hinged
- 10 housing permitting access to a removable tube within
- the housing could have readily been applied to
- 12 Kenyon.
- Do you see that?
- ¹⁴ A I do.
- Now, Kenyon describes a removable
- sub-housing 14, correct, as shown in Figure 2?
- 17 A The sub-housing is 14, yes.
- 18 O Yeah. And Kenyon doesn't describe that
- sub-housing as being a tube, does it?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 21 A I don't know whether it describes it any
- other way. It certainly describes it as a

- sub-housing, but it may describe it in other ways
- ² elsewhere.
- O (BY MR. BELLINGER) And do you recall any
- reference in Kenyon to a removable tube? Sorry.
- ⁵ Strike that.
- Do you recall any reference in Wickham to
- ⁷ a removable tube?
- 8 A The removable tube --
- 9 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 10 A I don't know whether it uses the word
- 11 tube. The point is that it discloses a device in
- which -- in which some or all of the air path is
- removable. And any air path, by its nature, is its
- 14 nature of a tube. And then it's got an inlet and
- outlet, and it's sealed in between.
- So I don't know whether he uses a
- particular word tube, but, functionally, it seems to
- me to behave in the same way as a tube.
- 19 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) But you don't recall
- 20 Kenyon ever describing a sub-housing assembly as
- 21 being a tube, do you?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.

- 1 A Is this Wickham or Kenyon?
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) I'm sorry. Let me
- 3 reask my question.
- 4 You don't recall Wickham describing a
- ⁵ removable tube, right?
- 6 A So as I've said, I was focused on the
- ⁷ functional aspects of Wickham. And Wickham
- describes an air path with an opening and another
- 9 opening at the other end and a sealed portion in
- between. So I wasn't focused on exactly what he
- 11 named that. But as it happens, I don't remember
- 12 reading him describe it as a tube.
- Q And when you were referring to a, quote,
- removable tube in paragraph 30 of your declaration,
- you were referring to sub-housing 14, correct?
- 16 A I'm describing to all or part of the air
- path in Wickham.
- Q And you're referring to sub-housing 14,
- 19 correct?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 21 A Sub-housing 14 is an example of what he
- makes removable by hinging the lid.

- 1 Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Now, in the sentence
- from your declaration we read, paragraph 30, you
- 3 state that the person of ordinary skill in the art
- 4 would have understood that Wickham's teaching of a
- 5 hinged housing, permitting access to a removable
- tube within the housing, could have readily been
- ⁷ applied to Kenyon.
- In assessing obviousness, is it your
- ⁹ understanding that the analysis is whether something
- 10 could have or a person of ordinary skill in the art
- 11 could have done something?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 13 A I'm probably not as clear on the exact law
- 14 as I should be. I don't think it indicates -- I
- think it requires more than that, that it simply
- could have happened.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) And what's your
- understanding as to what it requires?
- MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 20 A I think I'd have to refer back to my first
- declaration for that, in which there was some
- 22 comment on the requirement.

- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) Well, why did you
- choose to use the words "could have readily been
- 3 applied" in paragraph 30?
- 4 MR. KANE: Object to the form.
- 5 A Well, the reason -- the reason that it
- 6 seems to me that Wickham's teaching would have been
- ⁷ easily applied to Kenyon is that Kenyon, to some
- 8 extent, anticipates this requirement and talks about
- 9 portions of the air path.
- Specifically, if we look at Kenyon,
- Figure 7, Kenyon talks about portions of the air
- path and specifically item 66 in Figure 7, which is
- a tube within the air path. It talks about that
- being made of a disposable material.
- And what's maybe sloppy, honestly, from
- 16 Kenyon is how you access that piece in use. And
- that is something which Wickham bears on quite
- directly by suggesting that you house the upper lid
- of the device so that you can readily open it.
- So the reason I said it could have readily
- been applied to Kenyon is that Kenyon seems to
- 22 almost but not quite explain what you should do, and

- the missing piece is quite neatly supplied by
- Wickham.
- Q (BY MR. BELLINGER) At any point after you
- 4 began testifying this morning did you discuss or
- 5 communicate with your counsel about your testimony?
- 6 A Sorry. Are you talking about today have I
- ⁷ talked to counsel about my testimony?
- 8 Q After this deposition began. Yeah.
- 9 A No.
- MR. BELLINGER: Okay. I have no further
- 11 questions.
- MR. KANE: Why don't you give us maybe
- 13 five minutes.
- 14 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
- MR. KANE: We have no questions at this
- time. The witness will read and sign.
- (Whereupon, at 1:26 p.m., Wednesday,
- October 11, 2017, the taking of the Deposition of
- 19 ALEXANDER VIRR was adjourned.)

20

21

22

	Page 158
1	STATE OF MINNESOTA:)
2) ss. CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF ANOKA:)
4	Be it known that I took the deposition of
5	ALEXANDER VIRR on the 11th day of October, 2017, at
6	Minneapolis, Minnesota;
7	That I was then and there a Notary Public
8	in and for the County of Anoka, State of
9	Minnesota, and that by virtue thereof, I was duly
	authorized to administer an oath;
10	That the witness, before testifying, was
	by me first duly sworn to testify the whole truth
11	and nothing but the truth relative to said cause;
	That the testimony of said witness was
12	recorded in shorthand by me and was reduced to
	typewriting under my direction;
13	That the cost of the original transcript
	has been charged to the party noticing the
14	deposition, unless otherwise agreed upon by Counsel,
	and that copies have been made available to all
15	parties at the same cost, unless otherwise agreed
	upon by Counsel;
16	That I am not related to any of the parties
17	hereto nor interested in the outcome of the action;
17	That the reading and signing of the
18	deposition by the witness and the Notice of Filing
10	were reserved.
19	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL this 20th day of October, 2017.
	October, 2017.
20	·
	0.2
21	
	(Sundth Doller
22	Christine K. Herman, RPR, CRR

```
Page 159
1
          Alexander Virr c/o
          FISH & RICHARDSON, PC
          3200 RBC Plaza
          60 South Sixth Street
          Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
5
          Case: Resmed Limited, et al., v. Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited
          Date of deposition: October 11, 2017
9
          Deponent: Alexander Virr
10
11
          Please be advised that the transcript in the above
12
          referenced matter is now complete and ready for signature.
13
          The deponent may come to this office to sign the transcript,
          a copy may be purchased for the witness to review and sign,
14
15
          or the deponent and/or counsel may waive the option of
16
          signing. Please advise us of the option selected.
17
          Please forward the errata sheet and the original signed
          signature page to counsel noticing the deposition, noting the
18
          applicable time period allowed for such by the governing
          Rules of Procedure. If you have any questions, please do
19
          not hesitate to call our office at (202)-232-0646.
20
          Sincerely,
          Digital Evidence Group
21
          Copyright 2017 Digital Evidence Group
          Copying is forbidden, including electronically, absent
22
          express written consent.
```

			Page 160	
1	Digital Evidence Group	, L.L.C.		
2	1730 M Street, NW, Suit	ce 812		
3	Washington, D.C. 20036			
4	(202) 232-0646			
5				
6	SIGNATURE PAGE			
7	Case: Resmed Limited,	et al., v. Fisher & Paykel	Healthcare Limited	
8	Witness Name: Alexander	c Virr		
9	Deposition Date: Octobe	er 11, 2017		
10				
11	I do hereby acknowledge	e that I have read		
12	and examined the forego	oing pages		
13	of the transcript of my	of the transcript of my deposition and that:		
14	(Check appropriate box	(Check appropriate box):		
15	() The same is a true	() The same is a true, correct and		
16	complete transcription of the answers given by			
	me to the questions the	erein recorded.		
17	() Except for the cha	anges noted in the		
	attached Errata Sheet,	the same is a true,		
18	correct and complete to	ranscription of the		
	answers given by me to	the questions therein		
19	recorded.			
20	DATE	WITNESS SIGNATURE		
21				
			-	
22	DATE	NOTARY		

	Page 161
1	Digital Evidence Group, LLC
2	1730 M Street, NW, Suite 812
3	Washington, D.C. 20036
4	(202)232-0646
5	
6	ERRATA SHEET
7	
8	Case: Resmed Limited, et al., v. Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited
9	Witness Name: Alexander Virr
10	Deposition Date: October 11, 2017
11	Page No. Line No. Change
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Signature Date