UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

28841 7590 05/11/2011 ConocoPhillips Company IP Services Group Attn: DOCKETING 600 N. Dairy Ashford Bldg. ML-1065

Houston, TX 77079

EXAMINER

BOYLE, ROBERT C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1764

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2011

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
11/615,539	12/22/2006	Stuart N. Milligan	34249US	1057

TITLE OF INVENTION: DRAG REDUCTION OF ASPHALTENIC CRUDE OILS

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1510	\$300	\$0	\$1810	08/11/2011

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.

B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

Baker Hughes Incorporated

BAKER HUGHES v. LUBRIZOL IPR2016-01896

PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail Mail Stop ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or Fax (571)-273-2885

ap in m

appropriate. All further indicated unless correct maintenance fee notifica	ted below or directed oth	ng the Patent, advance on herwise in Block 1, by (a	rders and notification a) specifying a new co	of m	paintenance fees woondence address;	ill be and/or	mailed to the current of (b) indicating a separ	correspondence address as ate "FEE ADDRESS" for
CURRENT CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Block 1 for any change of address) 28841 7590 05/11/2011 ConocoPhillips Company IP Services Group Attn: DOCKETING 600 N. Dairy Ashford				Note: A certificate of mailing can only be used for domestic mailings of the Fee(s) Transmittal. This certificate cannot be used for any other accompanying papers. Each additional paper, such as an assignment or formal drawing, must have its own certificate of mailing or transmission. Certificate of Mailing or Transmission I hereby certify that this Fee(s) Transmittal is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Mail Stop ISSUE FEE address above, or being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO (571) 273-2885, on the date indicated below.				
Bldg. ML-1065 Houston, TX 77	7079						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Depositor's name)
ŕ								(Signature)
								(Date)
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENT	ГOR		ATTO	RNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
11/615,539	12/22/2006	•	Stuart N. Milligan	ı			34249US	1057
APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	OF ASPHALTENIC CRU	PUBLICATION FEE D	I au	PREV. PAID ISSUI	FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1510	\$300	OL	\$0	51155	\$1810	08/11/2011
EXAM		ART UNIT	CLASS-SUBCLASS		\$0 \$1810		00/11/2011	
BOYLE, F	ROBERT C	1764	523-175000					
"Fee Address" ind PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-(Number is required. 3. ASSIGNEE NAME A PLEASE NOTE: Un	AND RESIDENCE DATA lless an assignee is ident th in 37 CFR 3.11. Comp	" Indication form ed. Use of a Customer A TO BE PRINTED ON T	data will appear on th	ingle or ag attor I be p r typo ne pa g an a	ely, firm (having as a gent) and the namneys or agents. If orinted. e) tent. If an assignussignment.	membes of uno name	er a 2 p to le is 3	cument has been filed for
4a. The following fee(s) Issue Fee Publication Fee (N		permitted)	b. Payment of Fee(s): (1) A check is enclose Payment by credit	Pleased.	se first reapply ar	is attage the	iously paid issue fee s	
a. Applicant claim NOTE: The Issue Fee an	ntus (from status indicated as SMALL ENTITY statu and Publication Fee (if requ	ıs. See 37 CFR 1.27.	b. Applicant is no	long	er claiming SMAI	LL EN	ΠΤΥ status. See 37 CF	
· ·		nes I atent and Trademark			Date			
Typed or printed name								
This collection of inform an application. Confiden submitting the complete this form and/or suggest Box 1450, Alexandria, V Alexandria, Virginia 223	ntiality is governed by 35 and application form to the ions for reducing this but Virginia 22313-1450. DO	FR 1.311. The informatic U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR USPTO. Time will vary rden, should be sent to the NOT SEND FEES OR (on is required to obtain 1.14. This collection is depending upon the in the Chief Information Of COMPLETED FORMS	or restindivi	etain a benefit by the mated to take 12 redual case. Any co r, U.S. Patent and THIS ADDRESS	he publ ninutes mment Traden . SENI	ic which is to file (and to complete, including s on the amount of tim ark Office, U.S. Depan D TO: Commissioner for	by the USPTO to process) gathering, preparing, and e you require to complete tment of Commerce, P.O. or Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2011

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
11/615,539 12/22/2006		Stuart N. Milligan	34249US	1057	
28841 75	90 05/11/2011		EXAM	INER	
ConocoPhillips C		BOYLE, ROBERT C			
	Attn: DOCKETING		A DOT LINET	DADED MARKED	
600 N. Dairy Ashfo	ord		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Bldg. ML-1065			1764		
Houston TX 77079)				

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 0 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 0 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 or (571)-272-4200.

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- 1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- 2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- 3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- 9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Nation of Allowahility	11/615,539	MILLIGAN ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	ROBERT C. BOYLE	1764
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to the submission of 4/8.	<u>/2011</u> .	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>113-121,124 and 125</u> .		
 3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority uner a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received in this r	national stage application from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	t be submitted.	
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers		948) attached
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date	<u> </u>	
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date		ffice action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT		
Attachment(s)	5 Nation of Informal D	ataut Angliastian
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal P	• •
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☑ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat	e <u>4/20/2011</u> .
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date <u>4/8/2011</u> 	7. 🛛 Examiner's Amendn	IEUN COMMINEUR
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛛 Examiner's Stateme	nt of Reasons for Allowance
	9.	
/Robert C. Boyle/	/Vasu Jagannathan/	
Examiner, Art Unit 1764	Supervisory Patent Exa	aminer, Art Unit 1764

Interview Symmetry	11/615,539	MILLIGAN ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ROBERT C. BOYLE	1764				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>ROBERT C. BOYLE</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Richard Yuen</u> . (4)						
Date of Interview: 20 April 2011.						
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>113,124 and 125</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) ⋈ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N	J/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant gave permission to replace the phrase "between two pump stations" with "into a pipeline," and "capable of reducing friction loss associated with the turbulent flow through a pipeline" with "such that the friction loss associated with the turbulent flow through the pipeline is reduced". (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Robert C. Boyle/ Examiner, Art Unit 1764						

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

- 1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.
- 2. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Richard Yuen on 4/20/2011. Applicant gave permission to replace the phrase "between two pump stations" with "into a pipeline," and "capable of reducing friction loss associated with the turbulent flow through a pipeline" with "such that the friction loss associated with the turbulent flow through the pipeline is reduced".
- 3. The application has been amended as follows:

4. In **claim 113**:

in the second line, replace the phrase "between two pump stations" with "into a pipeline, such that", and
in the third line, replace the phrase "capable of reducing" with "such that the", in the third line, replace "a pipeline" with "the pipeline", and in the third line, insert "is reduced" between the words "pipeline" and "by suppressing".

5. In **claim 124**:

in the third line, replace the phrase "between two pump stations" with "into a pipeline, such that", and

Art Unit: 1764

in the third line, replace the phrase "capable of reducing" with "such that the", in the fourth line, replace "a pipeline" with "the pipeline", and in the fourth line, insert "is reduced" between the words "pipeline" and "by suppressing".

6. In **claim 125**:

in the second line, replace the phrase "between two pump stations" with "into a pipeline, such that", and
in the second line, replace the phrase "capable of reducing" with "such that the", in the third line, replace "a pipeline" with "the pipeline", and in the third line, insert "is reduced" between the words "pipeline" and "by suppressing".

Claim 113, renumbered as claim 1.

Claim 114, renumbered as claim 2, in the first line, change "claim 113" to read "claim 1".

Claim 115, renumbered as claim 3, in the first line, change "claim 113" to read "claim 1".

Claim 116, renumbered as claim 8, in the first line, change "claim 113" to read "claim 1".

Claim 117, renumbered as claim 9, in the first line, change "claim 116" to read "claim 8".

Claim 118, renumbered as claim 4, in the first line, change "claim 113" to read "claim 1".

Claim 119, renumbered as claim 5, in the first line, change "claim 113" to read "claim 1".

Claim 120, renumbered as claim 6, in the first line, change "claim 113" to read "claim 1".

Claim 121, renumbered as claim 7, in the first line, change "claim 113" to read "claim 1".

Claim 124, renumbered as claim 10.

Application/Control Number: 11/615,539 Page 4

Art Unit: 1764

Claim 125 renumbered as claim 11.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

8. The present claims are allowable over the 'closest' prior art, namely Inaoka (EP 0882739), Castner (US 4,736,005) and Ball (US 5,021,526).

- 9. The limitations that are the basis for the allowance appear in claim 113, a method of introducing a drag reducing polymer into liquid hydrocarbon within a pipeline in amounts of 0.1-500 ppmw where the liquid hydrocarbon has an asphaltene content of at lest 3 wt% and an API gravity of less than 26° to produce a treated liquid hydrocarbon that has a viscosity of not less than the viscosity of the liquid hydrocarbon prior to treatment.
- 10. Independent claims 124 and 125 contain the same limitations as claim 113. Claims 114-121 depend from claim 113 and therefore contain the limitations of claim 113.
- 11. The claims are allowable because Inaoka does not teach the claimed of a liquid hydrocarbon having an asphaltene content of at lest 3 wt% and an API gravity of less than 26°. Rather, Inaoka teaches "crude oil" which has been established to be materially distinct from liquid hydrocarbon claimed. The crude oil of Inaoka fall outside the scope of claim 1. Furthermore, Inaoka does not provide any motivation to use the claimed liquid hydrocarbon, but rather teaches away by providing examples that use kerosene and xylene.
- 12. The claims are allowable because Castner does not teach the treated liquid hydrocarbon having a viscosity of not less than the pre-treated liquid hydrocarbon. Rather, Castner teaches

Art Unit: 1764

reducing the viscosity by treating the hydrocarbon. Additionally, Castner adds the polymer in amounts that fall outside the claimed range. The methods of Castner fall outside the scope of claim 1. Furthermore, Castner does not provide any motivation to not lessen the viscosity.

- 13. The claims are allowable because Ball does not teach the claimed the treated liquid hydrocarbon having a viscosity of not less than the pre-treated liquid hydrocarbon. Rather, Ball teaches addition of a water emulsion to the hydrocarbon, which would necessarily lower the viscosity. Additionally, Ball adds the polymer in amounts that fall outside the claimed range. The methods of Ball fall outside the scope of claim 1. Furthermore, Ball does not provide any motivation to not lessen the viscosity.
- 14. Because a method of introducing a drag reducing polymer into liquid hydrocarbon within a pipeline in amounts of 0.1-500 ppmw where the liquid hydrocarbon has an asphaltene content of at lest 3 wt% and an API gravity of less than 26° to produce a treated liquid hydrocarbon that has a viscosity of not less than the viscosity of the liquid hydrocarbon prior to treatment of claims 113, 124, and 125 is not found in the prior art, it is clear that the rejections of record are untenable and thus the present claims are passed to issue.
- 15. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 11/615,539

Art Unit: 1764

Conclusion

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to ROBERT C. BOYLE whose telephone number is (571)270-7347.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:00AM-5:00PM Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571)272-1119. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert C. Boyle/

Examiner, Art Unit 1764

/Vasu Jagannathan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1764

12