From: Bruce Cannon

Sent: Bruce Cannon

Monday, November 21, 2016 11:41 AM

To: Hotze, Melissa; LSPI BH FC

Cc: Bob McAughan; Jeff Andrews; Chris Lonvick; Jill Senn

Subject: RE: Hearing

Melissa,

Thank you for your email.

First, regarding the requested highlighted copy of the '118 Patent, we believe any submission should include all references to the identified terms. For "pipeline", references to "pipe-line" are missing. *See, e.g,* col. 17, lines 11-12. For "solubility parameter", there are many missing references to "solubility parameter" including for example references to both solubility parameter alone and the solubility parameter of the drag reducing polymer. We also note that we did not understand that the Court was asking for "solubility parameter" to be highlighted at all, but we do not object to adding it as long as all citations are included.

Second, regarding the designation of the slide at BH013806, Baker Hughes designated the entire document Highly Confidential subject to the Protective Order because the document has a whole contains Highly Confidential information. However, Baker Hughes does not contend that BH013806 contains confidential information and therefore will de-designate that particular slide (BH013806). Baker Hughes does not agree that this sets a precedent that requires the parties to designate on a page-by-page basis as opposed to a document-by-document basis.

Third, please let us know when we can expect your proposed order and modified protective order.

Finally, in an attempt to avoid any future disputes, we propose that Lubrizol provide us with its proposed descriptions that it intends to provide to the IPR Board of any documents from its Exhibit A and its proposed motion so that the parties may attempt to work toward an agreed description for submission to the Board and work to protect the confidentiality of Baker Hughes' information.

Best regards, Bruce



NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this communication in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

From: Hotze, Melissa [mailto:melissa.hotze@weil.com]

Sent: Monday, November 21, 2016 8:13 AM

To: Jeff Andrews; Bob McAughan; Bruce Cannon; Chris Lonvick; Jill Senn

Cc: LSPI BH FC Subject: Hearing

Counsel,

Judge Atlas requested a highlighted copy of the Patents-in-Suit. We plan to provide her a paper copy of the attached highlighted version. Please let us know if you have any comments.

Also, please confirm that Baker is no longer designating the slide at BH013806 that states "Traditional DRA doesn't work in heavy crude" as confidential or highly confidential.

We will be sending over the proposed order and modified protective order later.

Thanks,

Melissa



Melissa Hotze

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 700 Louisiana, Suite 1700 Houston, TX 77002-2755 melissa.hotze@weil.com +1 713 546 5033 Direct +1 713 224 9511 Fax

The information contained in this email message is intended only for use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by email, postmaster@weil.com, and destroy the original message. Thank you.