Paper No. 13 Entered: May 25, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., Petitioner,

v.

IMAGE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES LLC, Patent Owner.

IPR2017-00336 (Patent 6,959,293 B2) IPR2017-00347 (Patent 8,805,001 B2) IPR2017-00353 (Patent 8,983,134 B2) IPR2017-00355 (Patent 7,650,015 B2) IPR2017-00357 (Patent 8,989,445 B2)¹

Before JONI Y. CHANG, MICHAEL R. ZECHER, and JESSICA C. KAISER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

KAISER, Administrative Patent Judge.

SCHEDULING ORDER

¹ This Order will be entered in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this caption style.

A. DUE DATES

This Order sets due dates for the parties to take action after institution of the proceeding. The parties may stipulate to different dates for DUE DATES 1 through 5 (earlier or later, but no later than DUE DATE 6).² A notice of the stipulation, specifically identifying the changed due dates, must be promptly filed. The parties may not stipulate to an extension of DUE DATES 6 and 7.

In stipulating to different times, the parties should consider the effect of the stipulation on times to object to evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)), to supplement evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(2)), to conduct cross-examination (37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2)), and to draft papers depending on the evidence and cross-examination testimony (*see* section B, below).

The parties are reminded that the Testimony Guidelines appended to the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,772 (Aug. 14, 2012) (Appendix D), apply to this proceeding. The Board may impose an appropriate sanction for failure to adhere to the Testimony Guidelines. 37 C.F.R. § 42.12. For example, reasonable expenses and attorneys' fees incurred by any party may be levied on a person who impedes, delays, or frustrates the fair examination of a witness.

1. INITIAL CONFERENCE CALL

The parties are directed to contact the Board within a month of this Scheduling Order if there is a need to discuss proposed changes to the dates

² Without express authorization from the panel, the parties may not change DUE DATE 4 with respect to the requirement for requesting oral argument.

```
IPR2017-00336 (Patent 6,959,293 B2)
IPR2017-00347 (Patent 8,805,001 B2)
IPR2017-00353 (Patent 8,983,134 B2)
IPR2017-00355 (Patent 7,650,015 B2)
IPR2017-00357 (Patent 8,989,445 B2)
```

in this Scheduling Order or proposed motions. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,765–66 (Aug. 14, 2012) (setting forth guidance in preparing for the initial conference call).

2. DUE DATE 1

The patent owner may file—

- a. A response to the petition (37 C.F.R. § 42.120), and
- b. A motion to amend the patent (37 C.F.R. § 42.121).

The patent owner must file any such response or motion to amend by DUE DATE 1. If the patent owner elects not to file anything, the patent owner must arrange a conference call with the parties and the Board. The patent owner is cautioned that any arguments for patentability not raised in the response will be deemed waived.

3. DUE DATE 2

The petitioner must file any reply to the patent owner's response and opposition to the motion to amend by DUE DATE 2.

4. DUE DATE 3

The patent owner must file any reply to the petitioner's opposition to patent owner's motion to amend by DUE DATE 3.

5. DUE DATE 4

a. Each party must file any observation on the cross-examination testimony of a reply witness (*see* section C, below) by DUE DATE 4.

b. Each party must file any motion to exclude evidence (37 C.F.R § 42.64(c)) and any request for oral argument (37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a)) by DUE DATE 4.

6. DUE DATE 5

- a. Each party must file any response to an observation on cross-examination testimony by DUE DATE 5.
- b. Each party must file any opposition to a motion to exclude evidence by DUE DATE 5.

7. DUE DATE 6

Each party must file any reply for a motion to exclude evidence by DUE DATE 6.

8. DUE DATE 7

Oral argument (if requested by either party) is set for DUE DATE 7.

B. CROSS-EXAMINATION

Except as the parties might otherwise agree, for each due date—

- 1. Cross-examination begins after any supplemental evidence is due. 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2).
- 2. Cross-examination ends no later than a week before the filing date for any paper in which the cross-examination testimony is expected to be used. *Id*.

C. OBSERVATIONS ON CROSS-EXAMINATION

Observations on cross-examination provide the parties with a mechanism to draw the Board's attention to relevant cross-examination testimony of a reply witness because no further substantive paper is permitted after the reply. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,768 (Aug. 14, 2012). The observation must be a concise statement of the relevance of precisely identified testimony to a precisely identified argument or portion of an exhibit. Each observation should not exceed a single, short paragraph. The opposing party may respond to the observation. Any response must be equally concise and specific.

D. MOTION TO AMEND

Although the filing of a Motion to Amend is authorized under our Rules, Patent Owner must confer with us before filing any Motion to Amend. If Patent Owner elects to file a Motion to Amend, we strongly encourage the parties to arrange for a conference call with us no less than ten business days prior to DUE DATE 1.

IPR2017-00336 (Patent 6,959,293 B2) IPR2017-00347 (Patent 8,805,001 B2) IPR2017-00353 (Patent 8,983,134 B2) IPR2017-00355 (Patent 7,650,015 B2) IPR2017-00357 (Patent 8,989,445 B2)
DUE DATE APPENDIX
INITIAL CONFERENCE CALL Optional
DUE DATE 1
Patent owner's motion to amend the patent
DUE DATE 2
Petitioner's reply to patent owner's response to petition
Petitioner's opposition to motion to amend
DUE DATE 3
Patent owner's reply to petitioner's opposition to motion to amend
DUE DATE 4
Observations regarding cross-examination of reply witness
Motion to exclude evidence
Request for oral argument
DUE DATE 5
Response to observation
Opposition to motion to exclude
DUE DATE 6 February 5, 2018 Reply to opposition to motion to exclude
DUE DATE 7 February 21, 2018 Oral argument (if requested)

For PETITIONER:

John Kappos Nick Whilt Brian M. Cook

iptsamsungomm@omm.com

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

For PATENT OWNER:

Chris Coulson Michael Zachary Mark Chapman Rose Cordero Prey

chriscoulson@andrewskurthkenyon.com michaelzachary@andrewskurthkenyon.com markchapman@andrewskurthkenyon.com roseprey@andrewskurthkenyon.com

ANDREWS KURTH KENYON LLP