

(12) United States Patent Marks

(54) REAL TIME COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

- Inventor: Daniel L Marks, Urbana, IL (US) (76)
- Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this (*) Notice: patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1521 days.
- Appl. No.: 11/836,633 (21)
- (22) Filed: Aug. 9, 2007

Related U.S. Application Data

- Continuation of application No. 09/399,578, filed on (63) Sep. 20, 1999, which is a continuation of application No. 08/617,658, filed on Apr. 1, 1996, now Pat. No. 5,956,491.
- (51) Int. Cl. (2006.01) G06F 15/16
- (52)
- (58)Field of Classification Search 709/204-206,
- 709/230 See application file for complete search history.

(56)**References** Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5.347.632	А		9/1994	Filepp et al.
5,408,470				Rothrock et al.
5,440,624	А		8/1995	Schoof, II et al.
5,452,299	Α		9/1995	Thessin et al.
5,616,876	Α		4/1997	Cluts 84/609
5,689,553	Α	*	11/1997	Ahuja et al 379/202.01
5,771,355	А		6/1998	Kuzma
5,774,668	Α		6/1998	Choquier et al.
5,793,365	Α		8/1998	Tang et al 345/329
5,880,731	Α			Liles et al 345/349
5,941,947	Α	*	8/1999	Brown et al 709/225

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

0 336 552 A2 * 10/1989

EP

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Vinod Anupam et al., Shastra-An Architecture for development for Collaborative applications. Proceedings Second Workshop on

(10) Patent No.: US 8.407.356 B1 Mar. 26, 2013 (45) Date of Patent:

Enabling Technologies: Infrastructure for Collaborative Enterprises, Apr. 1993, pp. 155-163.*

T. Socolofsky et al., Request for Comments (RFC) 1180: A TCP/IP Tutorial, Network Working Group, Jan. 1991, pp. 1-29.* J. Oikarinen et al., Request for Comments (RFC) 1459: Internet

Relay Chat Protocol, Network Working Group, May 1993, pp. 1-66.* "Complaint: Brian Hollander vs. Peter K. Trzyna and PTK Technologies, LLC," Dated Nov. 13, 2007, pp. 1-18. "Preliminary Amendment," for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,351, filed Nov.

30, 2007.

"Response to Notice of Non-Responsive reply and Supplemental Amendment and Response," for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,351, filed Feb. 6, 2009

"Office Action-Non-Final Rejection" for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,351, mailed Jul. 22, 2009. pp. 1-14.

"Amendment and Response" for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,351, filed Jan. 19, 2010. pp. 1-18.

"Preliminary Amendment," for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,463, filed Nov. 30, 2007. pp. 1-12.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner - Patrice Winder

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm - Peter K. Trzyna, Esq.

(57)ABSTRACT

A computerized human communication arbitrating and distributing system, including a controller digital computer and a plurality of participator digital computers, each of the participator computers including an input device for receiving human-input information from a human user and an output device for presenting information to the user, each said user having a user identity. A connection, such as Internet, links the controller computer with each of the participator computers. Controller software runs on the controller computer to arbitrate in accordance with predefined rules including said user identity, which ones of the participator computers can interact in one of a plurality of groups through the controller computer and to distribute real time data to the respective ones of the groups. Participator software runs on each of the participator computers to handle a user interface permitting one said user to send a multimedia information message to the controller computer, which arbitrates which of the participator computers receive the multimedia information message and conveys the multimedia information message to the selected participator computers to present the multimedia information to the respective user.

37 Claims, 22 Drawing Sheets



Facebook Inc.'s Exhibit 1001 001 Petitioner Microsoft Corporation, Ex. 1001, p. 1

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

"Preliminary Amendment," for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,473, filed Nov. 30, 2007. pp. 1-21.

"Office Action" for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,351, mailed on Mar. 18, 2008. pp. 1-23

Office Action-Non-Final Rejection for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,473, mailed on Oct. 5, 2009. pp. 1-49.

Tim Meyer et al., A MOO-Based Collaboration Hypermedia System for WWW, Proceedings for Second International Conference for WWW, Oct. 1994

Paul Kindberg et al., Mushroom: a framework for collaboration and interaction across the Internet, in the Proceedings of ERCIM Workshop on CSCW and the Web, Feb. 1996, 11 pages

"Office Action-Non-Final Rejection" for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,463, mailed on Sep. 22, 2009. pp. 1-27

Pavel Curtis et al., MUDS Grow Up: Social Virtual Reality in the Real World, Xerox PARC, Jan. 1993, 6 pages.

"Amendment and Response" for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,351, filed Sep. 18, 2008. pp. 1-18

"Amendment and Response," for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,473, filed Feb. 5, 2010. pp. 1-26.

"Amendment and Response" for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,463, filed Mar. 22, 2010. pp. 1-16.

"Corrected Amendment and Response" for U.S. Appl. No.

11/510,463, filed Apr. 1, 2010. pp. 1-16. "Preliminary Amendment" Nov. 30, 2007, for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,351, filed Aug. 24, 2006, by inventor Daniel L. Marks.

"Response to Notice of Non-Responsive reply and Supplemental Amendment and Response" filed on Feb. 6, 2009, for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,351, filed Aug. 24, 2006, by inventor Daniel L. Marks.

"Office Action-Non-Final Rejection" mailed Jul. 22, 2009, for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,351, filed Aug. 24, 2006, by inventor Daniel L. Marks.

"Amendment and Response" filed on Jan. 19, 2010, for U.S. Appl No. 11/510,351, filed Aug. 24, 2006, by inventor Daniel L. Marks. "Preliminary Amendment" filed on Nov. 30, 2007, for U.S. Appl. No.

11/510,463, filed Aug. 24, 2006, by inventor Daniel L. Marks.

"Preliminary Amendment" filed on Nov. 30, 2007, for U.S. Appl. No 11/510,473, filed Aug. 24, 2006, by inventor Daniel L. Marks.

"Office Action" mailed on Mar. 18, 2008, for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,351, filed Aug. 24, 2006, by inventor Daniel L. Marks.

"Office Action-Non-Final Rejection" mailed on Oct. 5, 2009, for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,473, filed Aug. 24, 2006, by inventor Daniel L. Marks

"Office Action-Non-Final Rejection" mailed on Sep. 22, 2009, for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,463, filed Aug. 24, 2006, by inventor Daniel L. Marks

"MUDS Grow Up: Social Virtual Reality in the Real World". Curtis P. and Nichols, D.A. Xerox PARC. (Jan. 1993) pp. 1-6.

"Amendment and Response" filed on Sep. 18, 2008, for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,351, filed Aug. 24, 2006, by inventor Daniel L. Marks. "Amendment and Response" filed on Feb. 5, 2010, for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,473, filed Aug. 24, 2006, by inventor Daniel L. Marks.

"Amendment and Response" filed on Mar. 22, 2010, for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,463, filed Aug. 24, 2006, by inventor Daniel L. Marks.

"Corrected Amendment and Response" filed on Apr. 1, 2010, for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,463, filed Aug. 24, 2006, by inventor Daniel L. Marks.

"Office Action-Final Rejection" mailed on Jun. 28, 2010, for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,463, filed Aug. 24, 2006, by inventor Daniel L. Marks.

Bentley et al., Supporting Collaborative Information Sharing with the World Wide Web: The BSCW shared workspace system, Proceedings of the 4th International World Wide Web Conference, Dec. 1995, 12

Atul Prakash et al., DistiVew for Building Efficient Collaborative Applications using Replicated Objects, Proceeding of the 1994 ACM conference on Computer supported cooperative work, 12 pages.

Kankanahalli Srinivas et al., MONET: A Multi-media System for Conferencing and Application Sharing in Distributed Systems, Feb. 1992, CERC Techinical Report Series Research Note, 19 pages

"Office Action-Final Rejection" mailed on May 12, 2010, for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,473, filed Aug. 24, 2006, by inventor Daniel L. Marks.

K.J. Maly et al., Mosaic + XTV = CoReview, Computer Networks and ISDN Systems, vol. 27 Issue 6, Apr. 1995, pp. 849-860, Proceedings of the Thrid International World Wide Web Conference.

"Amendment After Final" filed on Jun. 11, 2010, for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,473, filed Aug. 24, 2006, by inventor Daniel L. Marks

"Office Action-Non-Final Rejection" for U.S. Appl. No. 11/510,473, mailed May 12, 2010, pp. 1-14.

Atul Prakash et al., DistView for Building Efficient Collaborative Applications using Replicated Objects, Proceedings of the 1994 ACM conference on Computer supported cooperative work, pp. 153-164

Meloan, Steve. CU-SeeMe. Tech Toys. 1995 Urban Desires. pp. 1-2 http://desires.com/1.6/Toys/Cuseeme/cuseeme.html

Oikarinen, J. & Reed, D. Internet Relay Chat Protocol. May 1993. pp. 1-69

Andreas Dieberger, Providing Spatial Navigation for the World Wide Web, Spatial Information theory a Theoretical Baisi for GIS, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 988, 1995, pp. 93-106.

Lee Newberg et al., Integrating the World-Wide Web and Multi-User Domains to Support Advanced Network-Based Learning Experiments, Conference Proceedings of ED-MEDIA 1995, pp. 494-499.

TY Hou et al., An active multimedia System for Delayed Conferencing, Proceedings of the SPIE Conference on High-Speed Networking and Multimedia Computing, San Jose CA, 1994, pp. 97-104

Paul Tarau et al., LogiMOO: an Extensible Multi-User Virtual World with Natureal Language Control, The Journal of Logic Programming, 1993, col. 12, pp. 1-23.

Anupam, Vinod "Collaborative Multimedia Environments for Problem Solving." A Thesis Submitted to Purdue University. (Aug. 1994), pp. 1-212, Ann Arbor, MI.

Bajaj, Chandrajit et al. "Collaborative Multimedia in Shastra." 3rd International Conference in Multimedia, San Francisco, CA (1995). pp. 365-366.

Anupam, Vinod et al. "Collaborative Multimedia in Scientific Design." Proceedings: First ACM Multimedia Conference, ACM Multimedia 93, Anaheim, California, ACM Press, (1993). pp. 447-456

Anupam, Vinod et al. "Shastra-An Architecture for Development of Collaborative Applications." Proceedings: Second IEEE Workshop on Enabling Technologies: Infrastructure for Collaborative Enterprises, Morgantown, (1993). pp. 155-166.

Bajaj, Chandrajit et al. "Brokered Collaborative Infrastructure for CSCW." Proceedings: Fourth IEEE Workshop on Enabling Technologies: Infrastructure for Collaborative Enterprises, Berkeley Springs, West Virginia, IEEE Computer Society Press, (1995), pp. 207-213

Anupam, Vinod et al. "Shastra: Multimedia Collaborative Design Environment." IEEE Multimedia, 1, 2, (1994), pp. 39-49.

Anupam, Vinod et al. "Distributed and Collaborative Visualization." IEEE Computer, 27, 7, (Jul. 1994), pp. 37-43. Bajaj, Chandrajit et al. "Web based Collaborative Visualization of

Distributed and Parallel Simulation." In Proceedings of the 1999 IEEE Symposium on Parallel Visualization and Graphics, (Oct. 24-29, 1999), San Francisco, CA, pp. 47-54.

Bajaj, Chandrajit et al. "NLS: Collaborative Virtual Environment to Promote Shared Awareness." Proceedings: Workshop on New Paradigms in Information Visualization and Manipulation NPIV'96, In Conjunction with Fifth ACM International Conference on Informa-

tion and Knowledge Management (CIKM'96), (1996), pp. 41-45. Bajaj, Chandrajit et al. "Web Based Collaboration-Aware Synthetic Environments" Proceedings of the 1997 GVU/NIST TEAMCAD workshop, Atlanta, GA, 1997, 143-150.

* cited by examiner









FIG. 4 CENTRAL CONTROLLER LOOP COMMUNICATIONS





👌 Enter Log	n/Password for goose.ais.net□X
ldentifier:	DMARKS
Password:	*****
	Login to Chat
	Register for Account
Untrusted Java Ap	olet Window

FIG. 8

You are granted access with identifier DMARKS
 Click Here
 Click Here



° C	nannel List goose.als.net	
File	Maintenance	
		Í
[[
1.1	atad lava Amelat 10/2m Jaw	
Untr	sted Java Applet Window	

New Channel	
New Channel Name:	TESTCHANNEL
Untrusted Java Applet V	Vindow

File Moderator		
		DMARKS-MWU D
		······
Untrusted Java Applet Window		

FIG. 12

Č CI	annel TE	TCHAN	NEL		
File	Moderator				
					DMARKS-MWU D
					ME-MWU Me.
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				⊽	
ব					
L				 · ···	
Untru	sted Java Ap	plet Windo	w		

Channel TESTCHANNEL		
File Moderator		
DMARKS: hello there		DMARKS-MWU_D ME-MWU_Me.
	Ľ	
Untrusted Java Applet Window		

FIG. 14

File Moderator DMARKS: hello there	
Me: hi there	ME-MWU Me
	▼

Private Messages to ME
File
this message is seen by only the user ME
Untrusted Java Applet Window

FIG. 16

File	
To ME: this message is seen by only ME	
ME: This is the private message response that is only seen by the user	
DMARKS	Ц
	\square
	Н
	$\left - \right $
	☑
Untrusted Java Applet Window	

C C	nannel TESTCHANN		
File	Moderator		
DMA	Send URL	Δ	DMARKS-MWU D
ME:	Toggle Moderator		ME-MWU Me.
	Toggle Write		
	Toggle URL		
	Toggle Banned		
	Moderator Window		
1			
বা			
Untru	sted Java Applet Window		

FIG. 18

Channel TESTCHANNEL File Moderator	
DMARKS: hello there ME: hi there	△ DMARKS-MWU D ME-MWU Me.
Untrusted Java Applet Window	

🛎 Channel List goose.als.net	
File Maintenance	
TESTCH ANNEL-PJT	
Untrusted Java Applet Window	

FIG. 20

	ී Channel List goose.als.net				
File	Maintenance				
TEST	Property Editor				
	Toggle All Posting				
	Toggle All Joining				
	Toggle Transcript				
	a and a second				
Untrus	ted Java Applet Window				

🌣 Channel List goose als.net	
File Maintenance	
TEST CHANNEL-JT	
	1
	1
	1
	1
	il.
	1
	i i
Untrusted Java Applet Window	

FIG. 22

Moderation of TESTCHANNEL
ME: this will not be written directly to the channel
Untrusted Java Applet Window

ै टा File	Moderate		ANNEL			
ME: t	nis will not	be writte	n directly to	o the channel	(1	DMARKS-MWU D ME-MWU Me.
Untrus	ted Java A	pplet Winc	ow			

FIG. 24

	n el TEST CHANNI oderator		
ME: this	Send URL Toggle Moderator Toggle Write Toggle URL Toggle Banned Moderator Window	y to the channel	DMARKS-MWU D ME-MWU Me.
বাা			
http:/www	/.ais.net		
Untrusted	Java Applet Window		

Channel TESTCHANNEL	
URL DMARKS: http://www.ais.net	DMARKS-MWU D ME-MWU Me.
Untrusted Java Applet Window	

FIG. 26

🖄 Netscap	e - [AME	RICAN INFOR	MATIONS	YSTEMS,	INC.]		
<u>File Edit</u>	<u>V</u> iew <u>G</u>	o <u>B</u> ookmarks	<u>O</u> ptions	<u>Directory</u>	Window	Help	
C Back Forward	Home Rel	oad Images Open	Print Find	O Stop			I
Location:	nttp:/www.	ais.net					7 🕅
	:						Δ
	•						
	:						
AIS HOME							
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
		NET CONNEC		MENTATIO			
L		A					
	ument Do	ne				<u>ਣ</u>	₫ <u>? /µ</u>

S Property Editor				
Identifier:	DMARKS			
Property:	FAX			
Value:	312-255-8501			
New Value:	312-555-1212			
	Put away Property Editor			
Untrusted Java Applet Window				

🗐 Telnet - c	eagle.ais.net	
<u>Connect</u>	t <u>T</u> erminal <u>H</u> elp	
Туре С'	TL-B to register For a Login if you do not have one.	
Login: Password:	ME	L Enter Login and Password here at the prompt or type CTL-A for help.
Name:	•	To sign up for a new account, press Control-B. Press Ctl-Q to quit.

FIG. 29 街 Telnet-eagle.ais.net <u>Connect</u> <u>Edit</u> <u>Terminal</u> Help DMARKS CHANNEL LIST ME TEST CHANNEL-JPT 1 "" | Select the channel you wish to join using the up and down arrow keys and then press ENTER. Type CTL-A for help New Channel:

FIG. 30

a Teine	t - ea	gle.ais.ne	<u>,</u>	
<u>C</u> onnect	<u>E</u> dit	<u>T</u> erminal	<u>H</u> elp	
				MWU DMARKS "Daniel MWU ME "Me." ■
				Type what you wish to say on the
				channel and press ENTER. Press CTL-L
				to change channels. Type TAB, and press
				the arrow keys to see who is on the
				channel. Press
Channe	el: TES	STCHANN	EL	CTL-P for private messages.

Telnet - eagle.ais.net	
<u>Connect Edit Terminal H</u> elp	
	MWU DMARKS "Daniel MWU ME "Me."
	Type what you wish to say on the channel and press ENTER. Press CTL-L to change channels.
DMARKS: hello there	Type TAB, and press the arrow keys to see who is on the channel. Press
Channel: TESTCHANNEL hi there ∎	CTL-P for private messages.

Telnet - eagle.ais.net	
<u>Connect Edit T</u> erminal <u>H</u> elp	
	MWU DMARKS "Daniel MWU ME "Me."
DMARKS: hello there ME: hi there Private message from DMARKS (press CTRL-P to see it) Channel: TESTCHANNEL	Type what you wish to say on the channel and press ENTER. Press CTL-L to change channels. Type TAB, and press the arrow keys to see who is on the channel. Press CTL-P for private messages.

FIG. 33

J Telnet eagle.ais.net	
<u>C</u> onnect <u>E</u> dit <u>T</u> erminal <u>H</u> elp	
	DMARKS ME
	1
	Hit TAB, and use
	the arrow keys to select the person
	you wish to send a private message to, and press ENTER.
DMARKS: this message is seen by only the user ME	Then, type your
Channel: TESTCHANNEL	press enter ENTER.
seen by the user DMARKS	

👌 Telnet - eagle.ais.net	
<u>C</u> onnect <u>E</u> dit <u>T</u> erminal <u>H</u> elp	
	*DMARKS "Daniel Marks ME "Me." ■
DMARKS: hello thereDMARKS: hello there ME: hi there Private message from DMARKS (press CTRL-P to see it) Channel: TESTCHANNEL	Type what you wish to say on the channel and press ENTER. Press CTL-L to change channels. Type TAB, and press the arow keys to see who is on the channel. Press CTL-P for private messages.

20

REAL TIME COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

I. PRIORITY DATA

The present patent application is a continuation of and ⁵ incorporates by reference U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/399,578 filed by the same inventor on Sep. 20, 1999, and incorporates by reference U.S. patent application Ser. No. 08/617,658, now U.S. Pat. No. 5,956,491, titled Group Communications Multiplexing System that was filed by the same ¹⁰ inventor on Apr. 1, 1996; and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/780,352 filed by the same inventor on Jul. 19, 2007, abandoned. U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/399,578, filed Sep. 20, 1999, is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 08/617,658, filed Apr. 1, 1996, issuing as U.S. Pat. No. 5,956, 491, on Sep. 21, 1999.

II. FIELD OF INVENTION

This invention is directed to an apparatus, a manufacture, and methods for making and using the same, in a field of digital electrical computer systems. More particularly, the present invention is directed to a digital electrical computer system involving a plurality of participator computers linked by a network to at least one of a plurality of participator computers, the participator computers to handle multiplexing operations for communications involving groups of some of the participator computers. ³⁰

III. BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Multiplexing group communications among computers ranges from very simple to very complex communications systems. At a simple level, group communications among computers involves electronic mail sent in a one way transmission to all those in a group or subgroup using, say, a local area network. Arbitrating which computers receive electronic mail is a rather well understood undertaking.

On a more complex level, corporations may link remote offices to have a conference by computer. A central computer can control the multiplexing of what appears as an electronic equivalent to a discussion involving many individuals.

Even more complex is linking of computers to communicate in what has become known as a "chat room." Chat room communications can be mere text, such as that offered locally on a file server, or can involve graphics and certain multimedia capability, as exemplified by such Internet service providers as America On Line. Multiplexing in multimedia is more complex for this electronic environment.

On the Internet, "chat room" communications analogous to America On Line have not been developed, at least in part because Internet was structured for one-way communications 55 analogous to electronic mail, rather than for real time group chat room communications. Further, unlike the an Internet service provider, which has control over both the hardware platform and the computer program running on the platform to create the "chat room", there is no particular control over 60 the platform that would be encountered on the Internet. Therefore, development of multiplexing technology for such an environment has been minimal.

Even with an emergence of the World Wide Web, which does have certain graphical multimedia capability, sophisti-55 cated chat room communication multiplexing has been the domain of the Internet service providers. Users therefore have

a choice between the limited audience of a particular Internet Service provider or the limited chat capability of the Internet.

IV. SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the present invention to overcome such limitations of the prior art and to advance and improve the technology of group computer multiplexing to enable better computerized group communications.

It is another object of the present invention to provide a computerized human communication arbitrating and distributing system.

It is yet another object of the present invention to provide a group communication multiplexing system involving a controller digital computer linked to a plurality of participator computers to organize communications by groups of the participator computers.

It is still another object of the present invention to link the controller computer and the plurality of computers with respective software coordinated to arbitrate multiplexing activities.

It is still a further object of the present invention to provide a chat capability suitable for handling graphical, textual, and multimedia information in a platform independent manner.

These and other objects and utilities of the invention, which apparent from the discussion herein, are addressed by a computerized human communication arbitrating and distributing system. The system includes a controller digital electrical computer and a plurality of participator digital computers, each of the participator computers including an input device for receiving human-input information and an output device for presenting information to a user having a user identity. A connection such as the Internet links the controller computer with each of the participator computers.

Controller software runs on the controller computer, programming the controller computer to arbitrate in accordance with predefined rules including said user identity, which ones of the participator computers can interact in one of a plurality of groups communicating through the controller computer and to distribute real time data to the respective ones of the groups.

Participator software runs on each of the participator computers to program each of the participator computers to operate a user interface. The user interface permits one of the users to send and/or receive a multimedia information message to the controller computer, which arbitrates which of the participator computers receives the multimedia information message. The controller computer also conveys the multimedia information message to the selected participator computers to present the multimedia information to the respective user.

Therefore, for a computer system involving a plurality of programmed participator computers running the participator computer program can interact through a programmed controller computer with the controller computer multiplexing the communications for groups formed from the plurality, as well as arbitrating communications behavior.

V. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. **1** is a depiction of hardware suitable for performing the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a communications overview of the present invention.

FIG. **3** is a data and communications dependency diagram for the controller group channel structure of the present invention. FIG. **4** is a flow chart of the central controller loop communications for the controller computer.

FIG. **5** is a client channel data structure and information flow diagram of the present invention.

FIG. $\mathbf{\tilde{6}}$ is a participator software out-of-band multimedia 5 information flow diagram of the present invention.

FIG. 7 is an illustration of a login/password screen of the present invention.

FIG. 8 is an illustration of a confirmation screen of the present invention.

FIG. 9 is an illustration of a channel list area screen of the present invention.

FIG. **10** is an illustration of a New Channel option pulldown menu screen of the present invention.

FIG. **11** is an illustration of a member on a new channel 15 screen of the present invention.

FIG. **12** is an illustration of a second member on the new channel screen of the present invention.

FIG. **13** is an illustration of a communication on the new channel screen of the present invention. 20

FIG. **14** is an illustration of a private message window on the new channel screen of the present invention.

FIG. **15** is an illustration of a private message displayed on the private message window on the new channel screen of the present invention. 25

FIG. **16** is a further illustration of the private message on the private message window on new channel screen of the present invention.

FIG. **17** is an illustration of an attribute revocation on the new channel screen of the present invention. 30

FIG. **18** is a further illustration of the new channel screen of the present invention.

FIG. **19** is an illustration of the channel list window screen of the present invention.

FIG. **20** is an illustration of the toggle posting option on a 35 screen of the present invention.

FIG. **21** is an illustration of a moderated version of the new channel screen of the present invention.

FIG. **22** is an illustration of a communication on a moderation window screen of the present invention.

FIG. **23** is an illustration of the communication passed on to the moderated version of the new channel screen of the present invention.

FIG. **24** is an illustration of a communication, for sending a graphical multimedia message, on to the moderated version 45 of the new channel screen of the present invention

FIG. **25** is an illustration of a communication, for passing a URL (Uniform Resource Locator) to channel members, on a moderator pull-down menu screen of the present invention.

FIG. **25** is an illustration, showing the name of the URL, on 50 a moderated version of the new channel screen of the present invention.

FIG. **26** is an illustration of data associated with the graphical multimedia message on a moderated version of the new channel screen of the present invention. 55

FIG. **27** is an illustration of a proprietary editor, suitable for a dialog to change tokens, on a screen of the present invention.

FIG. **28** is an illustration of a text-based interface login/password screen of the present invention.

FIG. **29** is an illustration of a text-based interface group 60 screen of the present invention.

FIG. **30** is another illustration of a text-based interface group screen of the present invention.

FIG. **31** is another illustration of a text-based interface group screen of the present invention.

FIG. **32** is an illustration of a text-based interface private message screen of the present invention.

4

FIG. **33** is another illustration of a text-based interface private message screen of the present invention.

FIG. **34** is another illustration of a text-based interface group with moderator screen of the present invention.

VI. DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

In providing a detailed description of a preferred embodiment of the present invention, reference is made to an appendix hereto, including the following items.

Appendix Contents

ALLUSER C ALLUSER H CHANNEL C CHANNEL H CHANNEL HLP CLIST C CLIST H CLIST HLP EDITUSER C EDITUSER H ENTRYFRM C ENTRYFRM H ENTRYFRM HLP HELP C HELP H HELPSCR C HELPSCR H LINEEDIT C LINEEDIT H LIST C LIST H LOGIN HLP MAIN C MAKEFILE MESSAGE C MESSAGE H MODERAT HLP PRIVATE C PRIVATE H PRIVATE HLP SOCKIO C SOCKIO H STR C STR H UCCLIENT USER C USER H WINDOW C

WINDOW H

Note that the appendix includes code for two different embodiments: a Tellnet embodiment and a JAVA embodiment. Documentation and error messages, help files, log files, are also included in the appendix. While platform controlled embodiments are within the scope of the invention, it is particularly advantageous to have a platform independent embodiment, i.e., an embodiment that is byte code compiled.

Referring now to FIG. 1, the overall functioning of a computerized human communication arbitrating and distributing System 1 of the present invention is shown with odd numbers designating hardware or programmed hardware, and even numbers designating computer program logic and data flow. The System 1 includes a digital Controller Computer 3, such

20

as an Internet service provider-type computer. The Controller Computer **3** is operating with an operating system.

System 1 also includes a plurality of digital Participator Computers 5, each of which may be an IBM-compatible personal computer with a processor and a DOS operating system. Each of the Participator Computers 5 includes an Input Device 7 for receiving human-input information from a respective human user. The Input Device 7 can be, for example, a keyboard, mouse or the like. Each of the Participator Computers 5 also includes an Output Device 9 for presenting information to the respective user. The Output Device 9 can be a monitor, printer (such as a dot-matrix or laser printer), or preferably both are used. Each of the Participator Computers 5 also includes a Memory 11, such as a disk storage means.

The System 1 includes a Connection 13 located between, so as to link, the Controller Computer 3 with each of the Participator Computers 5. The Connection 13 can be an Internet or more particularly, a World Wide Web connection.

The Controller Computer **3** is running and under the control of Controller Software **2**, which directs the Controller Computer **3** to arbitrate in accordance with predefined rules including a user identity, which ones of the Participator Computers **5** can interact in one of a plurality of groups through the 25 Controller Computer **3** and to distribute real time data to the respective ones of the groups.

The Participator Computers 5 are each running and under the control of Participator Software 4, which directs each of the Participator Computers 5 to handle a user Interface 6 30 permitting one said user to send a multimedia information Message 8 to the Controller Computer 3, which arbitrates which of the Participator Computers 5 receives the multimedia information Message 8 to the selected participator com-35 puters 5 to present the multimedia information Message 8 to the respective user.

The present invention comprehends communicating all electrically communicable multimedia information as Message **8**, by such means as pointers, for example, URLs. URLs 40 can point to pre-stored audio and video communications, which the Controller Computer **3** can fetch and communicate to the Participator Computers **5**.

Turning now to FIG. **2**, there is shown a communications overview of the present invention. Beginning with the Controller Computer Software **2**, reference is made to Block **10**, which illustrates demultiplexing and multiplexing operations carried out by message type on API messages of all types. Block **10** links to Block **12**, which is illustrative of channel $A \dots$ Block **10** also links to Block **14**, which illustrates handling private message A. Block **10** also links to Block **16**, illustrative of handling out-of-band media. Block **10** additionally links to Block **18**, which illustrates asynchronous status messages.

Multiple connections between the controller computer 3 s5 and a plurality of participator computers 5 permit communication implemented via the interplay of controller software 2 and participator software 4. With particular regard to the participator software 4 illustrated in FIG. 2, Block 20 is illustrative of demultiplexing and multiplexing operations carried out by message type on API messages of all types. Block 20 links to Block 22, which is illustrative of channel A.... Block 20 also links to Block 24, which illustrates handling private message A. Block 20 also links to Block 26, illustrative of handling out-of-band media via Block 28, 65 which is illustrative of a Web browser or auxiliary computer program. Block 20 also links to Block 30, which illustrates 6

asynchronous status message handling via Block **32**, illustrative of user interface objects windows and screens.

De/multiplexing via API provides a "virtual connection" between Channel, Private Message, and Multimedia objects in the controller computer **3** and each participator computer **5**. An alternate architecture is to allow for a separate connection between each object so that multiplexing/demultiplexing is not necessary and each object handles its own connection. This would influence system performance, however.

Turning now to FIG. **3**, a data and communications dependency diagram controller group channel structure is illustrated. Beginning from what is designated as a portion of Block **10** the logic flows to Block **34** to consider JOIN, LEAVE, STATUS, SETCHAN API instructions. Block **34** examines member list maintenance instructions, accessing Block **36** to check permissions, list users, and change attributes. Note the exploded window **38** shows a display of member information including a user's name, personal information, and attributes/properties/permissions (operations involving the subsequently discussed tokens), i.e., stored per channel attributes under each member. In any case, confirmation or denial of access is communicated via Block **40** for multiplexing return of status messages to a target object.

From the portion of Block 10, the logic flows to Block 42 for MESSAGE and MODMSG API instructions. Block 42 tests which of the two instructions were received, and for MODMSG, the logic flows to Block 44, which tests whether the user is a moderator. If the user is not a moderator, the logic flows to Block 46, which sends a denial message through Block 40. If, however, the in Block 44 the user is a moderator, the logic flows to Block 48 for a repeat to all list members who are permitted to see the message, via Block 40.

Returning to Block **42**, if MESSAGE is detected, the logic flows to Block **50**, which tests whether a user has post permission. If the user has post permission, the logic flows to Block **48**, etc. If the user does not have post permission, the logic flows to Block **52** to forward the message to moderators for approval, via Block **40**.

Additionally, the logic flows from Block 10 to Block 54 for a URL API instruction. Block 54 tests whether the user has graphical multimedia communication privileges, and if not, the logic flows via Block 56, which sends a denial message via Block 40. Otherwise, if the user does have graphical multimedia communications privileges in Block 54, Block 58 sends graphical multimedia information to all approved users via Block 40.

Turning now to FIG. 4, central controller loop communications is illustrated. For the data on central poll point 58 (see Appendix POLL_POINT), a "do" loop begins at Block 60 for each connection. Block 62 tests whether bytes are available on the data stream. If they are, the bytes are added to user space FIFO per connection at Block 64, leading to Block 66, which tests whether there are any more connections. Note that in FIG. 4, if there are no more bytes available in Block 62, the logic skips to Block 66, and if Block 66 is not finished with all connections, the loop returns to Block 62. When all connections have been completed in Block 62, the logic flows to Block 68, which looks for an available complete data instruction for any connection by extracting packets byte-wise from the FIFO. Thereafter, Block 70 tests whether there is a complete response available from the participator computer. If the response is complete, the logic flows to Block 72 which, using a command type, demultiplexes into an appropriate object (output FIFOs may be filled here for any connection). The logic from Block 72 joins the "no" branch from Block 70 at

Block **74**, which enables unblocking for writing connections for only connections with data available to write, looping back to Block **58**.

FIG. 5 shows a client channel data structure and information flow diagram. From a message that is demultiplexed by message type, there are six possibilities: ERROR MES-SAGE. MESSAGE. STATUS. JOINCHANNEL LEAVECHANNEL, and MODMSG. ERROR MESSAGE is communicated to Block 76, where the error message is displayed to the transcript in the transcript area of Block 80. 10 MESSAGE is communicated to Block 78 where the message is immediately added to the transcript in transcript area 78. STATUS is communicated to Block 82 to update user data structure; JOINCHANNEL is communicated to Block 84 to remove a user from the member list and display the change; 13 and LEAVECHANNEL is communicated to Block 86. From Block 82, Block 84, and Block 88, the logic flows to Block 88, which includes a member list, a member identifier, known attributes/permissions/properties, and personal information. From Block 88, the logic proceeds to Block 90, a member list 20 area, and on to Block 92 to compose a request to change a member attribute. This "SETCHAN request is then communicated to Block 94, which is the multiplexer leading to the controller computer connection.

MODMSG is communicated to Block **96**, which sends the 2: message to the moderation area of Block **98**, and then to Block **100** to resubmit a member message as approved, thereby conveying a MODMSG request to Block **94**.

Note that a response is prepared in the response area of Block **102**. If the response is a standard message, it is conveyed to Block **104** to compose the response into a controller message, thereby sending a MESSAGE request to box **94**. If, however, the message is a graphical information submission, the logic flows from Block **102** to Block **106** to compose the graphical information submission into a controller message, 35 thereby sending a URL request to Block **94**.

FIG. **6** is a participator software out-of-band multimedia information flow diagram, which begins with Block **26**, the multimedia type patch point. Block **26** leads to Block **102**, which tests whether there is an internally handlable multimedia type. If not, Block **104** looks up a suitable agent for data type presentation, which leads to Block **106**, which tests whether an agent was found. If not, Block **108** reports location of data to the user for future referencing. If the agent is found in Block **106**, the logic flows to Block **110**, which 45 invokes the agent with a data reference to present the data.

If the multimedia type is internally handlable from Block **102**, the logic flows to Block **112**, which tests whether this is a member associated image. If it is a member associated image, Block **114** displays the image next to member identity 50 information, and if it is not, the logic flows to Block **116**, which tests if this is a member public data reference (e.g., a URL). If a URL is detected at Block **116**, Block **118** invokes an external data type viewer only on demand of the operator of the participator software, and otherwise Block **120** stores 55 the reference for future use by the operator of the participator software, or treats the reference as an externally handled multimedia type (at the user's option).

With further regard to the manner of interaction between the controller computer 3 and the participator computers 5, and their respective computer programs 2 and 4, includes a moderation capability that is controlled, or arbitrated, pursuant to system 1 recognizing user identity. Note that using the user identity for moderation purposes is a use additional to the use of the user identity for security purposes.

One embodiment of the present invention is to bring chat capability to the internet and World Wide Web. However, 8

another embodiment involves non-internet relay chat. In either embodiment, System 1 is state driven such that synchronous and asynchronous messages can be communicated. For an asynchronous notification, each message is sent through the system 1 (API), which updates the information on the output device of the participator computers 5. For a synchronous notification, a participator computer 5 must interrogate the system 1 for a message.

With regard to the arbitrating of the controller computer **3** is directed by the controller computer program **2** to use "identity tokens", which are pieces of information associated with user identity. The pieces of information are stored in memory **11** in a control computer database, along with personal information about the user, such as the user's age. The control computer database serves as a repository of tokens for other programs to access, thereby affording information to otherwise independent computer systems. In the database, the storage of tokens can be by user, group, and content, and distribution controls can also be placed on the user's tokens as well as the database.

Each token is used to control the ability of a user to gain access to other tokens in a token hierarchy arbitration process. The arbitration also includes controlling a user's ability to moderate communications involving a group or subgroup of the participator computers **5**. Once in a group, temporary tokens are assigned for priority to moderate/submoderate groups (a group is sometimes known as a channel in multiplexing terminology).

Accordingly, tokens are used by the controller computer **5** to control a user's group priority and moderation privileges, as well as controlling who joins the group, who leaves the group, and the visibility of members in the group. Visibility refers to whether a user is allowed to know another user is in the chat group.

Tokens are also used to permit a user's control of identity, and in priority contests between 2 users, for example, a challenge as to whether a first user can see a second user.

Censorship, which broadly encompasses control of what is said in a group, is also arbitrated by means of the tokens. Censorship can control of access to system 1 by identity of the user, which is associated with the user's tokens. By checking the tokens, a user's access can be controlled per group, as well as in giving group priority, moderation privileges, etc.

Censorship also can use the tokens for real time control of data (ascii, text, video, audio) from and to users, as well as control over multimedia URLs—quantity, type, and subject.

With regard to controlling communications in a group (which is in essence a collection of user identities), control extends to seeing messages, seeing the user, regulating the size of the communication, as well as the ability to see and write to a specific user. Control further extends to the ability to send multimedia messages.

Note that tokens for members in group can involve multiples formed in real time, say, within the span of a conversation. For example, for private communication, tokens are immediately formed to define a group of 2 users. Hierarchical groups within groups can also be formed, with each inheriting the properties of the group before it. Thus, a subgroup can include up to all members or more by adding any surplus to the former group.

With further regard to the controller computer **3**, e.g., a server, information is controlled for distribution to the user interfaces at selected ones of the participator computers **5**. The controller computer program, in one embodiment, can be a resident program interface (such as a JAVA application). There can be a token editor object (window/tear down, etc.)

per group, private communication, user, channel listings, user listings, etc. Each can link up in a token hierarchy for arbitration control.

The controller computer 5, by means of the controller computer program 2, keeps track of states and asynchronous messages as well as generating a synchronous message as a user logs in or interrogates system 1.

With regard to multimedia information messages **8**, such messages are of independent data types, e.g., audio/video data types. The content of the message (e.g., a URL) permits 10 the System **1** to automatically determine the handling of the message: either the Controller Computer **3** passes the content of Message **8** directly, or the Controller Computer **3** determines from the Message **8** how to find the content, say via Netscape. Accordingly, Message **8** can communicate video 15 and sound (or other multimedia, e.g., a URL) to users, subject only to the server arbitration controls over what can be sent.

Turning now to an illustration of using the invention, the session starts with verifying the user's identity (at FIG. 7). The login/password screen is shown, and the user enters 20 his/her assigned login/password combination and clicks the "Login To Chat" button. If the password was entered correctly, a confirmation box appears on the screen.

Then the channel list area is shown at FIG. **8**. The Channel List area is a window which shows a list of all of the groups 25 currently on the server in active communication. Because no one is yet connected in this example, there are no groups currently available on the screen.

To create a new group, the "New Channel" option is selected from a pull-down menu (at FIG. 9). The name of the 30 channel is entered by the input device 7.

If the user has permission (this one does), a new channel is created for the group (at FIG. **10**). The window that displays the channel area has three regions: the bottom region, where responses are entered; the largest region, where a transcript of 35 the communication is followed; and the rightmost region, which lists the group's current members. This list is continuously updated with asynchronously generated status messages received immediately when a new member joins the group. Only "DMARKS" is currently in this group. The 40 "MWU" is the properties currently associated with DMARKS—the ability to moderate, write to the channel, and send multimedia messages.

A new member has joined the channel, and the member list status area is updated right away (at FIG. **11**). This new 45 member has a login of "ME."

The user DMARKS now types "hello there" into the response area and presses RETURN (at FIG. 12). This message is passed to the controller computer 5, which sends the message to all channel members, i.e., those using participator 50 computers 5, including DMARKS.

The user ME now sends a message to the controller: "hi there" (at FIG. 13). This message is also sent to all members by the controller computer 5. Now user DMARKS clicks (using input device 7, a mouse) on the name of the user "ME" 55 in the member list window. The participator software 4 will now create a private message window, so that the users ME and DMARKS can exchange private messages. Private messages are only sent to the intended recipient by the controller, and no one else. 60

A private message window appears in response to DMARKS's request to open private communications with ME (at FIG. 14). Now DMARKS types a message into the private message window's response area to ME: "this message is seen only by the user ME." When complete, the participator software 4 will forward this message to the controller computer 3.

In response, the user ME has entered "This is the private message response that is only seen by the user DMARKS," which has been forwarded to user DMARKS (at FIG. 15). This message is displayed immediately on DMARKS's window.

DMARKS now returns to the channel window for the group "TESTCHANNEL" (at FIG. **16**). To modify the permission attributes associated with user ME on the channel TEST CHANNEL, DMARKS (who is a moderator of the channel), clicks on the user ME in the member list to select ME, pulls down the Moderator menu, and selects "Toggle Moderator." This removes the moderator privileges from ME.

As a result of the attribute revocation, the "M" has disappeared from next to ME's name in the member list (at FIG. 17), indicating that the property is no longer associated with the user ME.

Now DMARKS returns to the Channel List window (at FIG. 18). DMARKS wishes to fully moderate the contents of the channel TESTCHANNEL, censoring all unwanted communications to the channel. DMARKS returns to the channel list, and selects the channel TESTCHANNEL by clicking on its name in the channel list.

Now DMARKS selects the "Toggle All Posting" option in the Maintenance pull-down menu (at FIG. **19**). This will turn off the channel property "posting," (or sending communications to the channel without moderator approval) which will be indicated by the removal of the letter "P" from next to the name TESTCHANNEL (at FIG. **20**).

Now the letter "P" is removed from after the name TESTCHANNEL in the Channel List window (at FIG. 21), indicating that this channel is now moderated and will only have free posting ability by designated members.

Now, type user ME (who is also on channel TESTCHAN-NEL) wishes to send communications: "this will not be written directly to the channel" (at FIG. **22**). The controller, instead of sending it immediately to the channel to be seen by all members, will instead forward the message to the moderators for approval. The moderator, DMARKS, will then see the message on the Moderation Window, which provides a preview of any messages to be sent. To approve a message for general viewing, DMARKS now clicks on the message.

Now that DMARKS has clicked directly on the message, it is displayed inside the group's Channel window for all members to see (at FIG. 23).

DMARKS now wishes to send a graphical multimedia message. This implementation sends graphical multimedia images by allowing a channel member to specify an Internet URL of a graphical multimedia resource to be presented to the group members. In this example, DMARKS wishes to send the URL "http://www.ais.net" (corresponding to the World Wide Web home page of American Information Systems, Inc.) to the channel members. DMARKS enters the URL into the response window, and selects "Send URL" from the Moderator pull-down menu (at FIG. 24).

The controller computer **5** now passes the URL to the channel members. This participator software **4** performs two actions in response to the graphical multimedia display request. The first is to put the name of the URL onto the transcript of the group's channel, so that it can be read by group members. The second response is to have the participator software show the data associated with the graphical multimedia message in a human interpretable way (at FIG. **25**). To do this, the participator software **6** either uses built in rules to decide how the graphical multimedia data is to be presented, or locates another program suitable to present the data. In this case, the software **6** is utilizing Netscape Navigator, a program for displaying graphical multimedia docu-

ments specified by a URL (at FIG. **26**). Inside the Navigator window, the graphical multimedia content, the home page of AIS, is shown.

Finally, DMARKS wishes to manually modify the attribute tokens associated with the user (at FIG. **27**). The user invokes the Property Editor dialog, which allows the user to view and change the tokens associated with a user. A property of a given user is determined by the Identifier and Property names. An old value of the property is shown, and a token value can be changed in the "New Value" field. With this property editor, a user with sufficient permissions (tokens) can change any of the tokens or security parameters of any user, or a user's ability to change security parameters can be restricted.

To start with an alternate embodiment using a text-based interface, a user is presented by the login/password screen (at FIG. **28**). This screen is where a user enters the information that proves his/her identity. The user must now enter his/her login and password to identify themselves.

After the user has been identified by the controller the ²⁰ Channel List screen appears (at FIG. **29**). The names of channels and their associated properties are shown on this screen. By using the arrow keys and highlighting the desired channel, ME may enter any publicly joinable group. Currently, there is only one group TESTCHANNEL, which ME will join. ²⁵

Now the screen for the channel TESTCHANNEL appears (at FIG. **29**). The screen is split into four regions. The bottom left region is the response line, where messages users wish to enter appear. The upper left region is the transcript area where the communications of the group's channel appear as they 30 occur. The upper right region is the Member List region, where a continuously updated list of members' names appear, with their attributes.

A message appears in the transcript area. The controller has forwarded a message to the group from DMARKS, "hello 35 there" (at FIG. **31**), which is seen by all members of the group, including ME. Now ME will respond, by entering "hi there" into the response area.

When ME is finished entering his response, the participator software forwards the response to the controller, which sends it to the members of the channel. In the transcript area, the participator software notifies the user that it has received a private message from DMARKS, which is waiting inside the private message screen. To see the private message, ME presses the private message screen hot key. 45

A private message screen appears (at FIG. **32**), and the private message from DMARKS is at the bottom of the transcript area. Now to reply, ME types his response into the response area.

Now ME will return to the screen for the channel 50 TESTCHANNEL. The member list area has changed because DMARKS has revoked ME's moderator permission. ME is no longer permitted to see the permissions of other users, so this information has been removed from his display (at FIG. **33**). The only information he can see now is who is moderator 55 (at FIG. **34**). A "*" next to the identifier of a member of the group indicates the member is a moderator of the group. ME is no longer a moderator, and therefore a "*" does not appear the identifier ME.

To further exemplify the use of the present invention, the 60 following is a transcript of communications produced in accordance herewith.

POWERQUALITY JOHNMUNG: unclear about meaning of "first contingency"

POWERQUALITY SAM: mike, that is correct on IEEE 519 of POWERQUALITY SKLEIN: In assessing network security (against outage) the first contingencies are tested to see how the power system should be reconfigured to avoid getting a second contingency and cascading into an outage.

POWERQUALITY MSTEARS: These outages point out the need for reliability as part of the overall customer picture of PQ

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Hi Jennifer, hit crt-p for private messagae

POWERQUALITY SKLEIN: In simpler terms, a single point failure shouldn't crash the system.

POWERQUALITY SKLEIN: Are we all chatted out?

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: brian, johnmung has been banned!!! why?

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: no way, new subject

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: just a sec, andy

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: No banning on this channel, John is back on

POWERQUALITY TKEY: ieee 519 limits the harmonic current a customer can inject back into the pcc and limit the vthd the utility provides at the PCC

POWERQUALITY JOHNMUNG: thanks guys, for unbanning me—i've been thrown out of better places than this! POWERQUALITY BRIAN: New subject . . . now . . .

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: good one john . . . :)

POWERQUALITY MSTEARS: For critical facilities dual feeds or other backup capability need to be economically evaluated to keep the facility in operation

POWERQUALITY SAM: John, I remember that club very well

POWERQUALITY JOHNMUNG: question: please comment on frequency of complaints involving spikes, sags or harmonics

POWERQUALITY WARD: Problems caused by sags is the main complaint.

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: What subject does anyone want to see the next chat

POWERQUALITY WARD: Surges is probably next; harmonics really don't cause that many problems, although they are certainly there.

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: what is the solution ward?

POWERQUALITY TKEY: Agree they are the most frequent (sags) and the panel sesion on the cost of voltage sags at PES drew **110** people

POWERQUALITY SAM: harmonics tend to be an interior problem within a facility, rather than on the distribution system

POWERQUALITY WARD: The best solution is making the equipment less susceptible to sags. This requires working with the manufacturers.

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: won't that cost more

POWERQUALITY MSTEARS: The complaint of surges covers many things in the customers eyes sags have become a real problem because they are harder to resolve

POWERQUALITY GRAVELY: John—The latest EPRI results confirms the 90+% of the time SGS are the problem and short term ones.

POWERQUALITY WINDSONG: What is the topic for the 25??

POWERQUALITY WARD: Each problem can be dealt with as it occurs, but the time involved gets very expensive.

POWERQUALITY JOHNMUNG: making equipment less susceptible causes legal problems for manufacturers—as each improvemnt can be cited by compinant as example of malfeasance

POWERQUALITY WARD: AndyV: The cost to the manufacturer increases. The overall cost to everyone involved decreases.

POWERQUALITY TKEY: customer pays any way you cut it, if the eqpt is more immune customers pay only once instead of every time the process fails

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: The topic is regarding Power Quality

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: This chat is available for everyone 24 hours a day

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: ddorr>>will the manufacturer spend more to produce a better product

POWERQUALITY WARD: And as Tom says, the cost to the 10 customer is far less.

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: This chat will be functioning 24 hrs/day

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: please usae it

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: The next panel discussion is 15 Nov 15th

POWERQUALITY WARD: Andy, that's where standards come in.

POWERQUALITY SKLEIN: Is the customer capable of resolving the fingerpointing among the manufacturers and 20 utilities?

POWERQUALITY DDORR: andy, only if the end userss create a market for pq compatible eqpt by demanding better products

POWERQUALITY MSTEARS: The manufacturers prob- 25 lems in including fixes is being competative with some who doesn't provide the fix

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: how will we educate the general consumer?

POWERQUALITY GRAVELY: Is it possible to have a basic 30 theme topic or some core questions for 15 Nov chat?

POWERQUALITY WARD: Stan, the customer cannot be expected to resolve the fingerpointing. The manufacturers and utilities need to work together.

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: about power quality and reli- 35 ability?

POWERQUALITY SKLEIN: If electric power is going to be treated as a fungible commodity, there has to be a definition. Like, everyone knows what number 2 heating oil is.

POWERQUALITY SAM: Ideally a manufacturer would not 40 be able to compete if they don't add the protective function in their products, but alot more public education is required before we get to this point.

POWERQUALITY WARD: Andy, there are many ways to educate the customers, but they require a lot of contact 45 between the utility and the customers. The Western Resources Power Technology Center in Wichita is doing it, just as an example.

POWERQUALITY DDORR: standard power vs premium power is one solution as is std qpt vs Pq compatible eqpt 50 POWERQUALITY SKLEIN: I want to buy number 2 electric power and to be able to check the nameplates of my appliances to be sure they can take it. Just like I buy regular gasoline.

POWERQUALITY MSTEARS: Sam—I agree, that is partly 55 the utilities responsibilitysince we serve the customers

POWERQUALITY BBOYER: What differentiates number 2 from number 1?

POWERQUALITY SKLEIN: I used the analogy of number 2 heating oil. I don't know what number 1 heating oil is. POWERQUALITY DDORR: Number two has cap switching

and all the normal utility operational events while number one is much better

POWERQUALITY SKLEIN: Perhaps we can just say regular vs high test.

POWERQUALITY SAM: mike, yes a joint effort between the utiliy, manufacturer and standards juristictions is a goal 14

for utilicorp as we move forward with offering from our strategic marketing partners, and bring PQ technologies to the public

POWERQUALITY TKEY: We are finding that many mfgrs want to produce pq compatible equipment, but they have no clue as to what to test for

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: Tom>>will the IEC standards help?

POWERQUALITY TKEY: Its up to the utility to help define normal events IEC will take time

POWERQUALITY SKLEIN: You can't have a commodity product with all the variation in specifications we have been discussing. It has to be regular, premium, and super premium or it won't work.

POWERQUALITY JOHNMUNG: Tom as a former manufacturer i sympathize—your work at PEAC is invaluable but anecdotal knowledge from utility people on the firing line is equally important

POWERQUALITY TKEY: Super premium, does that mean a UPS?

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: how do you stop a facility from affecting you super-premium power?

POWERQUALITY TKEY: John, Good Point

POWERQUALITY SAM: Tkey, a ups, local generation or redundant service

POWERQUALITY SKLEIN: This is what I meant earlier by electricity being a non-virtualizable service. You can't make each customer see the power system as though they had their own dedicated generating plant.

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: THE CHAT CHANNEL WILL BE OPEN 24/HRS/DAY 7 DAYS A WEEK

POWERQUALITY TKEY: I must sign out for about 5 minutes but I'll be back

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: OK TOM

POWERQUALITY MSTEARS: PQ for facilities need to be done with a system perspective to to get the right resolution POWERQUALITY BBOYER: Andy's question is still relevant—how do stop a facility from downgrading utility service to other customers?

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: MIKE>>LETS SWITCH BACK TO RETAIL WHEELING

POWERQUALITY WARD: You work with that customer to do whatever is needed to correct their disturbances.

POWERQUALITY BBOYER: Be more specific

POWERQUALITY MSTEARS: Interaction between facilites can be evaluated and designed for

POWERQUALITY JOHNMUNG: as a key to hardening it helps to identify the most sensitive circuits, i.e. microprocessor logic, test for vulnerability under common surges, sags, rfi, and then notify users that their equipment contains these subsystems—for a start

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: hI DOUG

POWERQUALITY GRAVELY: Brian: Are you saving this session as a file? Can we get a list of chat session participants? POWERQUALITY BRIAN: s, we may

POWERQUALITY DMARKS: gravely: hit TAB and use the arrow keys to page through the list of participants

POWERQUALITY SKLEIN: Will the session be available for downloading?

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: yes, Mike we will publish in PQ Magazine

POWERQUALITY WARD: Part of the agreement for high quality power should be that the customer receiving the power will not disturb the utility system.

10

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: if john let's us . . .

POWERQUALITY GRAVELY: I tried that, however, netcruiser has a software problem and I cannot see all of the names

POWERQUALITY SAM: most utilities rules and regulations 5 already require that a customer not put anything back out on the utility system

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: MIKE G.>>WE WILL PUB-LISH THIS IN PQ MAG NEXT MONTH IF ASNDY US

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: HOW ABOUT IT ANDY?

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: ok

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: COOL

POWERQUALITY WARD: Standards will have to be set for what constitutes a disturbance, and then the utility should work with customers, install filters, etc., to be sure they stay 15 within the rules

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: THANKS ANDY

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: a meeting review or a sumary of events

POWEROUALITY GRAVELY: It would be good to take a 20 few minutes to recommend how the 15 Nov session could be more effective

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: A SYNAPSE OF THIS CHAT WILL BE IN NEXT MONTHS PQ MAG

POWERQUALITY WINDSONG:

POWERQUALITY SKLEIN: I don't get PQ mag. Will it be on the Net?

POWEROUALITY BRIAN: STAN SIGN UP FOR IT ON OUR HOME PAGE

POWERQUALITY DOUGC: the transcript of this confer- 30 ence will be available on the EnergyOne pages.

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: YOU CAN SIGN UP ON LINE POWERQUALITY BRIAN: HTTP://WWW.UTILICORP. COM

POWERQUALITY WINDSONG: Good comment Gravely 35 Comments from the users would be greatly appreciated!!

POWERQUALITY SAM: PQ magazine is available online on the UCU Internet bulletin board, http://www.utilicorp. com

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: or link from powerquality.com 40 POWERQUALITY BRIAN: YOU CAN GET A FREE MAG SUBSCRIPTION FROM UTILICORP'S HOME PAGE

POWERQUALITY SKLEIN: Thanks

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: ALSO, THERE IS A PQ FORUM ON OUR HOME PAGE

POWERQUALITY JOHNMUNG: for nov 15 shall we pick five key topics? suggest health care, energy storage rfi/emc as a few topics-also new gas turbine 25 kw generator just

announce today-just some suggestions POWERQUALITY BRIAN: GOOD SUGGESTION JOHN 50

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: lets develop an outline of topics for next time.

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: OK

POWERQUALITY GRAVELY: One suggestion for 15 Nov-Have participants place a list of desired topics on your 55 other chat box and prioritize by interest level.

POWERQUALITY SKLEIN: How about deregulation and retail wheeling.

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: COMMENTS SHOULD BE SENT TO ME BY EMAIL

POWEROUALITY BRIAN: BSPENCER@ UTILICORP.COM

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: 15 minutes remaining POWERQUALITY ANDYZYREK: Let's discuss the new

standard IEEE 1159.

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: may be we could generate an online questionaire to see what people are needing discussed. 16

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: but the chat is available for 24 hrs/day 7 days a week

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: what does IEEE1159 address? POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Please send all suggestion to me for our next chat

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Bobbin is not banned now POWERQUALITY BRIAN: my fault

POWERQUALITY ANDYZYREK: New PQ measuring techniques. We have not received our issue yet.

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: You should have it my now.

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Bobbin is not banned anymore POWERQUALITY ANDYV: you can e-mail me or john at: editors@powerquality.com

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: is two hours right fdo rhtis feature

POWERQUALITY JOHNMUNG: do i understand that many programmable logic controllers can be hardened by addition of simple CVT like a sola?

POWERQUALITY ANDYZYREK: Yes, but it is being delivered by snail mail.

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: no 2nd class

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: 15 minutes to go

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: Please e-mail me you complete name and addess and I will mail you one today 1st class . . . now is that serice or what?

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Is two hours long enough for tthis chat?

POWERQUALITY TKEY: Im back

POWERQUALITY WARD: Brian, I think two hours is about right.

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: hi tom

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: good . . .

POWERQUALITY ANDYV: yes I agree 2 hrs

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: anyone else

- POWERQUALITY ANDYV: it the time of day correct? POWERQUALITY BRIAN: questions now . . .
- POWERQUALITY SKLEIN: The topic foremost in my mind
- right now is what to eat for lunch. I enjoyed the discussion, which I understand has been historic in some sense. But I
- think I will sign off now and go eat. POWERQUALITY SAM: 2 hours seems to work very well POWERQUALITY DANIELH: time of day is good

POWERQUALITY BILLMANN: 2 hrs is fine

POWERQUALITY MSTEARS: Two hours work well, the middle of the day allows east and west coast to be involved POWERQUALITY BRIAN: good, Will everyone be back for the next chat

POWERQUALITY GRAVELY: Brian, I will forward my recommendations on email, thanks.

POWERQUALITY BILLMANN: yes i'll be back

POWERQUALITY ANDYZYREK: Brian, would it be possible to have a forum published on your home page prior to Nov 15

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: I would like to do another chat before Nov 15th, any thoughts

POWERQUALITY ANDY: U bet

POWERQUALITY SAM: I believe that this chat may set an attendance record for most participants during a first session POWERQUALITY JOHNMUNG: a parting thought-"harmonics make the music rich, they make the tone insprinng-

harmonics in your power line WILL BLOW THE BUILD-INGS WIRING" tIM MUNGENAST

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Your're all invited to return POWERQUALITY BRIAN: the next chat

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: This chat feature will help set standards of how we view our industry

032

US 8,407,356 B1

	07,	,356 B1
17		18
POWERQUALITY WARD: For me this was two hours very		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: yes swwp, it will be published
well spent, and it was quite enjoyable.		in pq mag and our home page
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Tell a colleague about our chat		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: catch our next session on nov
Nov 15th	5	15th POWERQUALITY BRIAN: 10-12 ct
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Thanks Ward		POWERQUALITY SWPPD: THANKS A BUNCH!!
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: I would like to do this on a		POWERQUALITY SWPPD: GOOD BYE!
weekly basis, any thoughts yet POWERQUALITY GRAVELY: John: talk it up in Ger-		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: no prob
many!!		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: cya
POWERQUALITY ANDY: I would like to thank utilicorp	10	POWERQUALITY DESWETT:
and everyone envolved.		POWERQUALITY TKEY: Good session brian, ddorr and I
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Thanks Andy for your help		will be signing off now, look forward to the next session
POWERQUALITY WARD: Did this notice go out to the		POWERQUALITY DPSWOBO: Thanks for the info on the next session, we will get on next time
Power Globe mailing list?	15	POWERQUALITY DMARKS: I hope everyone enjoyed this
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: No, but could help us Ward with	10	session.
that		POWERQUALITY MSTEARS: I am logging off Thanks
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Lets all get the word out about		POWERQUALITY SAM: This is Tony and I am watching the
this chat		action we made history. Great work guys.
POWERQUALITY WARD: I'm on the list and will be glad to	20	POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Lunch time
forward anything you wish to it.		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Next chat is nov 15th
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Please use it whenver you wish, even schedule your own chats whenver		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: 10-12ct POWERQUALITY BRIAN: please continuie to look at utili-
POWERQUALITY JOHNMUNG: MANY THANKS TO		corp's hp
UTILICORP AND ALL INVOLVED-FROM AN OLD		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: for more info
STEAM BOATER :-)		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: email if you have any questions
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: thanks ward		regarding the chat
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Hi duane		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: bspencer@utilicorp.com
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: This chat is officially over, but		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: later
do stick around for more chatting POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Thanks to all, cya on Nov 15th		SUPPORT BRIAN: hi guys SUPPORT BRIAN: success
POWERQUALITY MSTEARS: Ward, Tom, and John I		SUPPORT BRIAN:
appreciate your participation		yess!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Thanks Guys and		SUPPORT BRIAN: thanks for the help
Ladies!!!!!!!!!		SUPPORT BRIAN: cya
POWERQUALITY SWPPD: WHAT IS HAPPENING ON		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: next chat on Nov 15th
NOV. 15		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: 10-12 ct
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: our next chat with a panel of experts		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: any suggestion on topics please contact me by email
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: topic yet to be decided	40	POWERQUALITY BRIAN: bspencer@utilicorp.com
POWERQUALITY DPSWOBO: Hi Brian, Sorry I was on		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: hi chuck
the phone and could not respond right away. Did I get the time		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: hi randy
incorrectly for the chat?		POWERQUALITY CPREECS: hello brian
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: please send us a suggestions		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: How are you chuck
POWERQUALITY ANDY: good bye;-)		POWERQUALITY CPREECS: how has the participation
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Yeah, but stick around to chat with some friends		been? POWERQUALITY BRIAN: I am sorry you missed the offi-
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: We had a total of 50 people and		cal chat, but do come back at any time for some chatting
avg of 20 people at one time		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: great 20 people avg. 50 total
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Thanks everyone!!!Lunch	50	people
Time		POWERQUALITY CPREECS: ?yes, i got some conflicting
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Next Chat Nov 15th at 10-12 ct		info
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: But this chat line is available 24		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: transcripts will be in PQ mag
hrs/day/7 days a week POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Please use it whenever		next month and on utilicorp's home page
POWERQUALITY GRAVELY: Thanks to the panel and		POWERQUALITY CPREECS: what were the topics discussed?
Utilicorp for the session!		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: how is that chuck
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Talk to your collegues and		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: power quality, standards,
friends about any particular topic		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: retail wheeling
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Come see our home page for	60	POWERQUALITY BRIAN: cya, lunch time
new topics and chats		POWERQUALITY CPREECS: later
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: http://www.utilicorp.com		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: bye all POWERQUALITY BRIAN: amail ma chuck
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Thanks Power Quality Assur- ance Magazine and All our panel members		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: email me chuck POWERQUALITY RB: sorry I missed it. I got 12-2 est off
POWERQUALITY BRIAN: :)	65	the net. bye.
POWERQUALITY SWPPD: MISSED THIS SESSION.		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: sorry RB
ICAN WE GET HARD COPY INFO?		POWERQUALITY BRIAN: miss information
	03	Facebook Inc.'s Exhibit 100

20 POWERQUALITY BRIAN: next chat is 10-12 POWERQUALITY ANDY: We can'nt get enough! ! ! POWERQUALITY BRIAN: ct POWERQUALITY DAVE: when we can get it fixed, It looks POWERQUALITY BRIAN: nov 15th like we have a problem with input filtering on a couple of POWERQUALITY BRIAN: bye LIPS s POWERQUALITY ANDY: input fro the utility or a genera-POWERQUALITY RB: thanks POWERQUALITY BRIAN: no prob, tell all tor? POWERQUALITY DAVE: utility POWERQUALITY ANDY: Is anyone still here talking about POWERQUALITY MSTONEHAM: I understand there was power quality? POWERQUALITY DAVE: Just signed on that is what I was a chat session earlier today with some guest "chatters". Is trying to find out 10 there an archive of the discussion since I missed it? POWERQUALITY ANDY: the PQ chat was running from POWERQUALITY DAVE: we have 66 kv to 12 kv then to 11:00-1:00est 480 v by 4 trans on property POWERQUALITY ANDY: Were you involved then? POWERQUALITY ANDY: What are you leaning towards in POWERQUALITY DAVE: No I just got a chance to sign on a solution dave 15 POWERQUALITY ANDY: MTONEHAM>>yes but I don't now POWERQUALITY ANDY: there were some great discusknow when. contact BSPENCER@utilicorp.com POWERQUALITY DAVE: the computer seem to have no sions POWERQUALITY ANDY: The transcripts will be available problem, but we have alot of motor heating/bad PF to down load at utilicorp.com Brian Spencer says. POWERQUALITY MSTONEHAM: Thanks! POWEROUALITY DAVE: we currently are working with a POWERQUALITY ANDY: What is your experience in PQ 20 POWERQUALITY DAVE: That is what I was looking for, consultant but I am looking for more info are they available to down load now, I work in a data center POWERQUALITY ANDY: will capacitors solve your proband have worked with UPS systems for about 12 years 1em POWERQUALITY ANDY: POWERQUALITY DAVE: I did field service for Exide POWERQUALITY ANDY: there also is a forum under utili-POWERQUALITY ANDY: Brian just went to Lunch in KS I 25 don/t know when it will available. corp.com where you can post you questions. POWERQUALITY DAVE: Thanks for the Info on the down-POWERQUALITY DAVE: Each 600 kw UPS has Input filloads, I hope they do this again tering/may need trap for 5th POWERQUALITY ANDY: or you can access it form POWERQUALITY ANDY: so do I. POWERQUALITY DAVE: What is your experience on PQ 30 powerquality.com POWERQUALITY DAVE: thanks POWERQUALITY ANDY: Talk to ya later dave POWERQUALITY ANDY: I am the editor or Power quality mag POWERQUALITY DAVE: Good mag., I pick up alot in it POWERQUALITY DAVE: is PQ.com your Mag POWERQUALITY ANDY: bye POWERQUALITY ANDY: do your receive power quality assurance magazine? POWERQUALITY DAVE: bye POWEROUALITY ANDY: ves POWEROUALITY ANDY: great glad to hear it. POWERQUALITY DAVE: We get it at work but I have asked POWERQUALITY DAVE: thanks to have it sent to my home POWERQUALITY ANDY: :-) POWERQUALITY MSTONEHAM: POWERQUALITY ANDY: did you get the latest issue witht POWERQUALITY MSTONEHAM: Is anyone else hear? the lighting on the cover? POWERQUALITY DAVE: Not yet, have seen it on line There doesn't seem to be much traffic. POWERQUALITY MSTONEHAM: though POWERQUALITY ANDY: great. POWERQUALITY CILCOJRG: Hello-is the conference POWERQUALITY ANDY: any suggestion for editorial? over? POWERQUALITY DAVE: 45 POWERQUALITY CILCOJRG: POWERQUALITY DAVE: no it is good POWERQUALITY CILCOJRG: hello POWERQUALITY ANDY: ok. POWERQUALITY BRIAN: yes POWERQUALITY ANDY: I am currently editing an article POWERQUALITY BRIAN: the conference was from 10-12 about VRLA battery charging. ct POWERQUALITY DAVE: I am working on a resonant prob- 50 POWERQUALITY BRIAN: someone gave out the wrong lem with Utility and was looking for info information POWERQUALITY ANDY: explain POWERQUALITY BRIAN: hello cilco POWERQUALITY ANDY: by the way my e-mail is POWERQUALITY BRIAN: anyone still there andy@powerquality.com SUPPORT BRIAN: hi all POWERQUALITY DAVE: we are running a lot of 5th har. 55 SUPPORT BRIAN: anyone there POWERQUALITY BRIAN: jenny>>are you there across our system in a large data center POWERQUALITY ANDY: I see POWERQUALITY CJBOUTCHER: is anyone here a utility POWERQUALITY ANDY: I will try to address this in an employee? POWERQUALITY BRIAN: Hi chris upcomming issue. may be march/april or even sooner. POWERQUALITY DAVE: we have 4800 kw of UPS cap on 60 POWERQUALITY BRIAN: how are you? POWERQUALITY CJBOUTCHER: hi brian it is quiet in two transformers and we have alot of 5th on our other boards POWERQUALITY ANDY: If you are interested in writing up here POWERQUALITY BRIAN: the conference was at 10:00ct a case history including you solutions I would like to review POWERQUALITY CJBOUTCHER: ah I see it and poss. publish POWERQUALITY MSTONEHAM: Is this chat session still 65 POWERQUALITY CJBOUTCHER: when is the next one? POWERQUALITY BRIAN: nov 15th active? POWERQUALITY ANDY: YES POWERQUALITY BRIAN: 10-12

15

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: ct POWERQUALITY CJBOUTCHER: is the channel open at other times?

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: yes 24 hours a day

POWERQUALITY CJBOUTCHER: but not much discus- ⁵ sion?

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: not right now,

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: cya

POWERQUALITY CJBOUTCHER: bye

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: hi jenny

POWERQUALITY JOSH: hello?

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: hi dan

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: hi dan

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: are you awake yet?

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: just giving present this a.m.

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: :)

POWERQUALITY BRIAN: who is guest96

POWEROUALITY GUEST96: test

While a particular embodiment of the present invention has 20 been disclosed, it is to be understood that various different modifications are possible and are within the true spirit of the invention, the scope of which is to be determined with reference to the claims set forth below. There is no intention, therefore, to limit the invention to the exact disclosure presented herein as a teaching of one embodiment of the invention.

The invention claimed is:

1. A method of communicating content among users using 30 of a computer system including a controller computer and a database which serves as a repository of tokens for other programs to access, thereby affording information to each of a plurality of participator computers which are otherwise independent of each other, the method comprising: 35

- authenticating a first user identity and a second user identity according to permissions retrieved from the repository of tokens of the database;
- affording some of the information to a first of the participator computers via the Internet network, responsive to 40 an authenticated first user identity;
- affording some of the information to a second of the participator computers via the Internet network, responsive to an authenticated second user identity;
- running controller software on the controller computer, in 45 accordance with predefined rules, to direct arbitration of which ones of the participator computers interactively connect within a group of the participator computers;
- providing an API on the controller computer, the API multiplexing and demultiplexing API messages by type, 50 creating a virtual connection and providing the virtual connection between channels, private messages, and multimedia objects in the controller computer and the participator computers; and
- communicating real-time messages within the group of the 55 interactively connected said participator computers.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the communicating content includes communicating at least one of sound, video, graphic, pointer, and multimedia content.

3. The method of claim **2**, wherein said at least one comprises at least two.

4. The method of claim 2, wherein said at least one comprises at least three.

5. The method of claim 2, wherein said at least one comprises at least four.

6. The method of claim 2, wherein said at least one comprises at least five.

22

7. The method of claim 1, wherein the communicating content includes communicating a pointer that allows the content to be produced on demand.

8. The method of claim **1**, wherein the API includes API messages.

9. The method of claim **1**, wherein communications among the controller computer and the participator computers are mediated via API messages.

10. The method of claim **9**, wherein the API messages include JOIN, LEAVE, STATUS, SETCHAN, and MODMSG instructions.

11. The method of claim **9**, wherein the API messages include MESSAGE and MODMSG instructions.

12. The method of claim **1**, wherein the controller software includes multiplexing and de-multiplexing operations carried out as a message type on API messages.

13. The method of claim **12**, wherein the message type includes ERROR MESSAGE, MESSAGE, STATUS, JOIN-CHANNEL, LEAVECHANNEL, and MODMSG.

14. The method of claim 1, further including determining censorship of the content.

15. The method of claim **1**, wherein the controller computer determines censorship.

16. The method of claim **1**, wherein the communicating is conducted over the network, including the Internet.

17. The method of claim 1, wherein the communicating content includes communicating content invoked with a URL.

18. The method of claim **1**, wherein the controller software comprises a JAVATM application.

19. An apparatus to communicate content among users of a computer system, the computer system comprising:

a controller computer system, including a controller computer and a database which serves as a repository of tokens for other programs to access, thereby affording information to each of a plurality of participator computers which are otherwise independent of each other, in communication with each of the participator computers by authenticating a first user identity and a second user identity according to permissions retrieved from the repository of tokens of the database, wherein the controller computer is running controller software, in accordance with predefined rules, to direct arbitration of which ones of the participator computers interactively connect within a group of the participator computers, to provide an API on the controller computer, whereby the API multiplexes and demultiplexes API messages by type, to create a virtual connection and provide the virtual connection between channels, private messages, and multimedia objects in the controller computer and the participator computers, and to allow communication of real-time messages within the group of the interactively connected said participator computers.

20. The apparatus of claim 19, wherein the content includes at least one of sound, video, graphic, pointer, and multimedia content.

21. The apparatus of claim 20, wherein said at least one comprises at least two.

22. The apparatus of claim 20, wherein said at least one comprises at least three.

23. The apparatus of claim 20, wherein said at least one comprises at least three.

24. The apparatus of claim 20, wherein said at least one comprises at least four.

25. The apparatus of claim **19**, wherein the controller software comprises a JAVATM application.

26. The apparatus of claim **19**, wherein the content includes a pointer which allows the content to be produced on demand.

27. The apparatus of claim **19**, wherein the API includes API messages.

28. The apparatus of claim **19**, wherein communications ⁵ among the controller computer and the participator computers are mediated via API messages.

29. The apparatus of claim **28**, wherein the API messages include at least one of JOIN, LEAVE, STATUS, SETCHAN, and MODMSG instructions.

30. The apparatus of claim **28**, wherein the message type includes at least one of ERROR MESSAGE, MESSAGE, STATUS, JOINCHANNEL, LEAVECHANNEL, and MODMSG.

¹⁵
 31. The apparatus of claim 19, wherein the controller software includes multiplexing and de-multiplexing operations carried out as a message type on API messages.

32. The apparatus of claim **31**, wherein the API messages include at least one of MESSAGE and MODMSG instructions. $_{20}$

33. The apparatus of claim **19**, wherein the computer system determines censorship of the content.

34. The apparatus of claim 19, wherein the controller computer determines censorship.

35. The apparatus of claim **19**, wherein the content is communicated over a network, including the Internet.

36. The apparatus of claim 19, wherein the content is communicated by invoking a URL.

37. An apparatus comprising:

- a computer system, the computer system including a controller computer and a database which serves as a repository of tokens for other programs to access, thereby affording information to each of a plurality of independent participator computers which are otherwise independent of each other, via the Internet network, communicating with the participator computers by authenticating a first user identity and a second user identity according to permissions retrieved from the repository of tokens of the database, the
- controller computer running controller software, in accordance with predefined rules, directing arbitration of which ones of the participator computers interact within a group of the participator computers, providing an API on the controller computer, whereby the API is multiplexing and demultiplexing API messages by type, creating a virtual connection and providing the virtual connection between channels, private messages, and multimedia objects in the controller computer and the participator computers, and providing communication of real-time messages within the group of the interactively connected said participator computers.

* * * * *