T. Kodas, M. Hampden-Smith

The Chemistry of Metal CVD



HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 1 IPR2017-00664

The Chemistry of Metal CVD

Edited by Toivo T. Kodas and Mark J. Hampden-Smith



The Chemistry of Metal CVD

Edited by Toivo T. Kodas and Mark J. Hampden-Smith

1994

l Kingdom



Weinheim · New York Basel · Cambridge · Tokyo



Prof. Dr. Toivo T. Kodas Department of Chemical Engineering University of New Mexico Albuquerque, NM 87131 USA Prof. Dr. J. Mark Hampden-Smith Department of Chemistry University of New Mexico Albuquerque, NM 87131 USA

This Book was carefully produced. Nevertheless, author and publisher do not warrant the information contained therein to be free of errors. Readers are advised to keep in mind that statements, data, illustrations, procedural details or other items may inadvertently be inaccurate.

Published jointly by VCH Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Weinheim (Federal Republic of Germany) VCH Publishers Inc., New York, NY (USA)

Editorial Directors: Dr. Peter Gregory and Dr. Ute Anton Technical Editor: Judith Binder Production Manager: Hans Jörg Maier

Library of Congress Card No. applied for.

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Deutsche Bibliothek Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

The chemistry of metal CVD / ed. by Toivo Kodas and Mark Hampden-Smith. – Weinheim ; New York ; Basel ; Cambridge ; Tokyo : VCH, 1994 ISBN 3-527-29071-0 NE: Kodas, Toivo [Hrsg.]

© VCH Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, D-69451 Weinheim (Federal Republic of Germany), 1994

Printed on acid-free and chlorine-free paper

All rights reserved (including those of translation into other languages). No part of this book may be reproduced in any form – by photoprinting, microfilm, or any other means – nor transmitted or translated into a machine language without written permission from the publishers. Registered names, trademarks, etc. used in this book, even when not specifically marked as such are not to be considered unprotected by law. Composition: Richarz Publikations-Service, D-53757 Sankt Augustin. Printing: bek-druck, D-64291 Darmstadt. Bookbinding: IVB, D-64646 Heppenheim.

Printed in the Federal Republic of Germany

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 4 IPR2017-00664

Chapter 8

Chemical Vapor Deposition of Assorted Metals

Mark J. Hampden-Smith^a Toivo T. Kodas^b

Departments of Chemistry^a and Chemical Engineering^b University of New Mexico Albuquerque, NM 87131

Abstract

The CVD of Ti, Zr, Hf, V, Nb, Ta, Cr, Mo, Mn, Tc, Re, Fe, Ru, Os, Co, Rh, Ir, Zn, Cd, Sn, and Pb is discussed. Precursors are grouped as metal-halide, alkyl, alkene, alkyne, allyl, cyclopentadienyl, arene, carbonyl, phosphine, β -diketonate, and alkoxide complexes for discussions of their characteristics, reaction mechanisms, and kinetics. In most cases, these metals have been studied to a limited extent, with the most comprehensive results being available for Nb, Ta, Cr, Mo, Fe, Ru, Co, Rh, and Ir. The precursors most extensively studied are metal halides, cyclopentadienyls, and carbonyls. Much less attention has been paid to developing organometallic precursors for deposition of high-purity films at low temperatures and high rates. Selective CVD has been studied for Mo but rarely for other systems.

Contents

8.1	Introduction	n	360
8.2	Chemical and Physical Properties		
	8.2.1	Introduction	367
	8.2.2	Precursor Characteristics	369
	8.2.2.1	Metal Halides	369
	8.2.2.2	Metal Alkyls	372
	8.2.2.3	Complexes with Unsaturated Organic Ligands:	
		Alkenes, Alkynes, Allyls, η^5 -Cyclopentadienyls, η^6 -Arenes	
		and Related Complexes	374
	8.2.2.4	Metal Carbonyls	378
	8.2.2.5	Metal Trifluorophosphines	380
	8.2.2.6	Metal β-diketonates	
	8.2.2.7	Metal Alkoxides	383
	8.2.3	Vapor Pressures	383
8.3	Syntheses .		385
8.4		apor Deposition	
	8.4.1	Deposition Characteristics	
	8.4.1.1	Introduction	385
	8.4.1.2	Titanium	386
	8.4.1.3	Zirconium	386
	8.4.1.4	Hafnium	386
	8.4.1.5	Vanadium	386
	8.4.1.6	Niobium	387
	8.4.1.7	Tantalum	388
	8.4.1.8	Chromium	388
	8.4.1.9	Molybdenum	390
	8.4.1.10	Manganese	392
	8.4.1.11	Technetium	392
	8.4.1.12	Rhenium	392
	8.4.1.13	Iron	393
	8.4.1.14	Ruthenium	394
	8.4.1.15	Osmium	395
	8.4.1.16	Cobalt	395
	8.4.1.17	Rhodium	397
	8.4.1.18	Iridium	398
	8.4.1.19	Zinc	400
	8.4.1.20	Cadmium	400
	8.4.1.21	Tin	400
	8.4.1.22	Lead	400

Contents 359

8.4.1.23	Heterometallic Systems	401
8.4.2	Kinetics and Mechanisms	402
8.4.2.1	Metal Halides	402
8.4.2.2	Metal Alkyls	405
8.4.2.3	Complexes with Unsaturated Organic Ligands:	
	Olefins, Alkynes, Allyls, Metallocenes, and Related Complexes	407
8.4.2.4	Metal Carbonyls	411
8.4.2.5	Trifluorosphosphines	
8.4.2.6	Metal β-diketonates	
8.4.2.7	Bi- and Multi-Nuclear Complexes	414
8.4.2.8	Heteronuclear Complexes	414
8.4.3	Selectivity	415
8.5	Summary and Conclusions	416
Acknowledgme	nts	
References		417

renes

..... 390 398 400 400 400 400

8.1 Introduction

In this Chapter we review the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) of several metals used for electronics and other applications. We include metals of Groups 4-9, 12, and the remaining elements of Group 14 (Sn, Pb) which are illustrated schematically in Figure 8-1. Not relevant to the present discussion include Group 1 alkali metal ions, which are extremely detrimental to the performance of electronic devices,¹ Group 2 alkaline earth, and Group 3 metals, all of which are seldom deposited by themselves. Also outside the scope of this discussion are metals used to form "13-15" or "III-V" materials,²⁻⁵ the remaining metals of Groups 13 and 15, silicon, and germanium which have been reviewed in numerous articles and books elsewhere.⁶⁻²² Earlier chapters focused on the metals that have been studied more extensively.





The properties of the metals we discuss, together with the corresponding data for the metals described in the other chapters, are presented in Table 8-1. For microelectronics applications, the resistivity and work function are probably the most important physical properties of the metal. However, melting point is important for high-temperature applications. Other properties important for a variety of applications include density, thermal expansion coefficient, thermal conductivity, oxidation resistance, and corrosion resistance.

There are many applications for these metals (see Table 8-2), and they can be grouped almost entirely by their properties, i.e., corrosion and oxidation protection, dopants in semiconductors, and electronic applications where the metal is used in elemental form or as a metal nitride, silicide, or oxide. Most applications are found in electronics where the metals we discuss are interesting because of their potential use as contact, gate electrode, diffusion barrier, or interconnect materials in integrated circuits and packaging applications (see Ch. 1). In microelectronics applications, the ability to deposit pure, continuous, dense films with near-bulk physical properties is a critical aspect of CVD, and this aspect will be addressed in the following sections.

Table 8-1 Relevant Properties of Metals for Metallization Applications

Metal	Melting point (°C)	Resistivity (μΩcm)	Work Function (V)	Density (g/cm ³)
Al	660	2.65	4.08	2.7
Ti	1820	42.0	4.33	4.5
Zr	1750	40.0	4.21	6.5
Hf	2230	35.1	3.53	5.9
v	1915	25	4.30	6.1
Nb	2415	12.5	4.01	8.8
Та	2996	12.5	4.19	16.6
Cr	1900	12.9	4.60	7.2
Mo	2625	5.2	4.20	10.2
W	3410	5.7	4.52‡	19.3
Mn	1244	185.0	3.83	7.4
Re	3170	19.3	5.1	20
Fe	1535	9.71	4.04	7.9
Ru	2282	7.6†	4.71	12.4
Os	3045	9.5	4.55	22.6
Со	1495	6.24	4.40	8.9
Rh	1960	4.51	4.8	12.4
Ir	2443	5.3	5.27	22.7
Ni	1455	6.84	5.02	8.2
Pd	1552	10.8	4.98	12.0
Pt	1769	10.6	5.34	21.4
Cu	1083	1.67	4.65	9.0
Ag	961	1.59	4.73	10.5
Au	1064	2.35	4.82	19.3

everal metals used 4-9, 12, and the ically in Figure 8al ions, which are > 2 alkaline earth, Also outside the materials,²⁻⁵ the which have been ers focused on the

		Нe
0	F	Ne
S	CI	Ar
Se	Br	Kr
Тe	Ţ	Хe
Ρo	At	Rn

other chapters.

nding data for the r microelectronics mportant physical high-temperature s include density, ce, and corrosion

ey can be grouped ection, dopants in elemental form or elemental form or

[‡] (001) face [†] at 0 °C

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 9 IPR2017-00664

Table 8-2 Applications of Metals

Ti	Adhesion layers, metal foils, corrosion resistant coatings, titanium aluminides, contacts in ICs, Ti:LiNbO3 waveguides, TiN diffusion barriers.
	TiSi2 contact layers
v	V-Si diodes, nitride diffusion barriers
Nb	Nuclear fuel particle coating, cladding for metal tubes, component of Nb ₃ Ge
	and Nb3Ga superconductors, NbN diffusion barriers, metal alloy diffusion
	barriers, metal silicide contact layers
Та	Capacitors, resistors, corrosion-resistant coatings, TaN and Ta-Si-N diffusion barriers, tantalum silicide contact layers
Cr	Corrosion protection, oxidation protection, possible contact metallization,
	CrN diffusion barriers, silicide contact layers
Mo	IC contact and gate metallization, MoSi2 for microelectronics, Schottky
	contact metallization, wear resistant coatings, infrared reflector, laser mirror
	coating
Re	Heaters for furnaces, free standing shapes, contact layers, diffusion barriers,
	thermocouples, W:Re alloys
Fe	GaAs devices, FeN diffusion barriers, metal alloy and metal silicide
	diffusion barriers
Ru	Electrical contacts, diffusion barriers
Os	Thermionic diodes
Co	CoSi ₂ for microelectronics, catalyst for electroless plating, possible
	interconnect in specialized applications
Rh	Electrical contacts, diffusion barriers
Ir	Corrosion protection, oxidation protection, thermionic cathodes, silicide
	diffusion barriers
Zn	Dopant in GaAs materials
Cd	Photoresist and mask repair
Sn	Dopant in GaAs and other materials, metal nitride diffusion barriers
Pb	Solder

Certain criteria can be established for the use of metals as conductors in integrated circuits, packaging applications, adhesion layers, and circuit boards. The metal films should have low resistivity, high melting points, be easy to etch, be stable in oxidizing environments, and at the same time be capable of oxidation. They should also have good mechanical stability, good adhesion characteristics, low stress, be stable to other processing steps, not contaminate devices, and not react with other materials. Unfortunately, most metals do not satisfy all or even many of these requirements as

itanium liffusion barriers,

nponent of Nb₃Ge l alloy diffusion

1 Ta-Si-N diffusion

t metallization,

nics, Schottky ector, laser mirror

diffusion barriers,

al silicide

, possible

10des, silicide

n barriers

ductors in integrated ds. The metal films be stable in oxidizing hould also have good be stable to other ith other materials. hese requirements as indicated in previous chapters. For example, while silver has the lowest resistivity of all metals, it is unstable on most surfaces with respect to oxidation, adhesion, and diffusion (see Ch. 6). Copper has low resistivity but a high diffusion rate into Si and SiO₂ necessitating the use of diffusion barrier layers if it is to be used in interconnect applications (see Ch.s 1, 4, and 5). Copper, like a number of noble metals, is also difficult to etch. Gold has low resistivity but forms a low melting (370 °C) alloy with silicon (see Ch. 6). For this reason, copper and gold are used in packaging applications where contact with silicon is not necessary.²¹ With existing metallization schemes, aluminum is the metal with which industry has the most experience and which satisfies most of the requirements for integrated circuits (see Ch.s 1 and 2). The major drawbacks of aluminum, however, include its low electromigration resistance which limits critical current densities and its low melting point which is circumvented by deposition towards the end of the metallization scheme after the highest temperature step. Most of the other metals have resistivities which are too high for metallization applications but have certain unique combinations of properties that make them useful for other applications or make them attractive as components of other materials such as metal nitrides, silicides, and oxides.

A number of properties of metals have been identified that make them undesirable as conductors for very-large-scale integration (VLSI) and ultra-large-scale integration (ULSI) applications (Table 8-3). Many metals suffer from poor thermal or chemical stability, and this has inspired investigations of alloy materials which have significantly improved properties when compared to the individual elements. Molybdenum disilicide, MoSi₂, is a classic example, and according to Galasso, it exhibits good electrical conductivity (100 $\mu\Omega$ cm), has a high melting point, and is stable against chemical attack and oxidation even at elevated temperatures; cobalt disilicide, CoSi₂, is another example.²² The CVD of silicides, borides, and nitrides has been discussed in detail elsewhere.²²

Purity levels of metals and other materials containing metal atoms may be impaired by several species used in electronics applications. In particular, the presence of alkali metal ions, generally sodium and potassium and radioactive isotopes, cause problems. Alkali metal ions present in the gate insulator are mobile and migrate to the silicon-insulator interface under the influence of an electric field; carrier mobilities are then diminished and the devices are then also unreliable.²³ The source of these impurities, apart from mishandling, is generally metals and reagents used in the fabrication process. The purity of sputtering targets is a concern for physically deposited films; the choice of reagents and the precursor purification processes are also important for CVD-derived metal films. Radioactive isotopes, particularly alpha-particle emitters such as uranium and thorium, are undesirable because they can lead to soft errors such as the accidental switching of transistors. Although there are no current specifications for tolerable levels of impurities, it is desirable to keep their concentration in the low ppb range.

Table 8-3	Undesirable	Properties	of Metals for	Metallization	Applications
-----------	-------------	------------	---------------	---------------	--------------

Undesirable Property	Metal
Low melting point	Al Mg
Low eutectic temperature (<800°C)	Au Pd Al Mg
Medium eutectic temperature (800°C - 1100°C)	Ni Pt Ag Cu
High diffusivity into Si	all
Interaction with SiO ₂	Hf Zr Ti Ta Nb V Mg Al
High oxidation rate or poor oxidation stability	Refractory metals Rare earth metals Mg Fe Cu Ag
Poor chemical stability (especially in etching solutions)	Refractory metals Fe Co Ni Cu Mg Al
Poor etchability (i.e. good chemical stability)	Pt Pd Ni Co Au Cu
Poor electromigration resistance	Al

Some metals, other than those discussed in Chapters 2 through 7, may function quite well in future generations of integrated circuits. Where short distances are involved (e.g., first level metallization) higher resistivities can be tolerated. In these devices, the formation of patterned metal films will be necessary, and CVD is likely to remain an attractive method for metal-film deposition due to low deposition temperatures, conformal coverage, high purity, lack of radiation damage, and the possibility that selective deposition may be achieved.²⁴ The development of etching processes to achieve selective and anisotropic etching is also important. For example, Mo is a suitable metallization material because it has relatively low resistivity, is inert, has high-temperature stability, has a low thermal expansion coefficient, and can be etched.²⁵

These properties make Mo suitable for incorporation into metallization schemes using existing fabrication techniques.

Other than for use as conductors, there are many applications of these metals for which CVD processes are suitable and a number of cases for which they have been used (Table 8-2). Titanium has been used extensively as an adhesion layer between a metal contact such as Pt and a metal oxide surface such as SiO₂.²⁶ It is also used as an adhesion layer between metal oxides and metals in packaging applications. Many metals have been deposited on reactive substrates to impart corrosion or oxidation resistance. Metals which have good corrosion resistance include Cr, Mo, W, Nb, Ta, and Ti.²⁷ Many metals are hard and are used as abrasion resistant coatings. For example, Rh has a higher melting point and is harder than Cu or Au. The noble metals tend to be inert with respect to oxidation.²⁴

A number of metals have been deposited on substrates with the intention of inducing reactions with the substrate to form new phases which have specific beneficial properties. Applications of this approach exist for both the coatings and the microelectronics industries. For example, the deposition of Nb,²⁸ Zr, Ir and Mo²⁹ onto graphite substrates at high temperatures via CVD routes often results in formation of metal carbides and generally improves the high-temperature oxidation resistance of the substrate. Many metals, including Mo, Co,³⁰⁻³² and Ti,³³ when deposited on bare silicon substrates form metal disilicides at elevated temperatures. Laser CVD routes have also been used to deposit nuclei of various metals for subsequent electroless deposition processes.³⁴

Many metal films have desirable optical properties. For example, Mo films have high infrared (IR) reflectivity (~97%) and are used in mirrors and other optical applications. In some cases, CVD methods produce films superior to those deposited by more conventional methods (e.g., Rh, electrochemically). In addition, metal nitrides and oxides incorporating metals have useful optoelectronic properties.

A number of metals exhibit properties that result in unique applications. For example, ruthenium films are mechanically strong and chemically inert and can exhibit oxidation resistance at temperatures up to 900 °C.³⁵ However, in the event of adventitious oxidation, RuO₂, the most stable oxide formed, exhibits a resistivity close to that of the metal and is generally not detrimental to the process. For this reason ruthenium may be applied as a contact material or diffusion barrier (see Ch. 1). Iron- and cobalt-containing films have been used for applications in high-speed, high-power semiconductor lasers due to their properties as semi-insulating confinement layers.^{36,37} Iron-doped semi-insulating InP layers formed by the CVD of Fe, sometimes epitaxially, onto InP substrates have high resistivity and act as blocking layers in long wavelength lasers.³⁶ Rhenium forms high-strength alloys with tungsten and osmium, has a high melting point (3,000 °C), is exceptionally hard, and has a large work function.²⁴ Applications include high-temperature abrasion resistant coatings.

There are many studies of the catalytic behavior of these metals, 38 and in many cases, this interest has brought about a need to understand the interaction of metal-organic

Mg Al

41

ш

ay function quite re involved (e.g., ese devices, the ely to remain an n temperatures, possibility that cesses to achieve Ao is a suitable inert, has highan be etched.²⁵

ons

molecules with metal surfaces.^{39,40} Molecular information and mechanistic understanding is necessary for insight into ligand-design criteria for metal-organic CVD precursors. There is also extensive interest in the preparation of small metal particles by various techniques, including vapor-phase routes using volatile metal-organic precursors.⁴¹ This interest is often driven by the desire to understand quantum confinement effects in these materials;⁴²⁻⁴⁴ many physical and chemical properties such as electrical conductivity, optical properties, mechanical strength, melting point, catalytic reactivity and catalytic selectivity are size-dependent in the range 1-100 nm.^{45,46} Chemical vapor deposition makes it possible to create thin films and fine particles with dimensions in this range. Furthermore, CVD offers the opportunity to co-deposit more than one metal, either from individual precursors or from a single multimetallic molecule, to prepare alloy films.^{47,48} The reasons for developing CVD methods for the formation of metal films are addressed in Chapters 1 and 9.

It is important to pursue CVD routes as opposed to PVD (physical vapor deposition) or liquid-phase routes to attain conformal coverage, minimize interfacial reactions, avoid changes in stoichiometry during deposition, and reduce dopant redistribution and defects. It will become apparent in subsequent sections that there are many opportunities for the rational design of precursor molecules used to prepare films of these metals. In some cases, existing species are suitable as for Fe(CO)5; however, only in a few examples have the precursors been designed specifically for the deposition of pure films. The greatest problem, again, is to develop precursors that will deposit high-purity material at low temperatures and high rates for thermally sensitive substrates.

In the following sections we will discuss the physical properties and structures of precursors. The CVD of these metals is summarized to include deposition parameters, kinetic data, selectivity where known, and physical properties of the films deposited. This is followed by a discussion of the kinetics and mechanisms of metal deposition from the different classes of precursors. Many precursors are photo-labile, especially metal carbonyls. Photochemically induced deposition of these metals, particularly using lasers, has been reviewed elsewhere^{34,49-51} and is not discussed here. The CVD of other metals, Be,⁵² U,⁵³ B,^{54,55} and Th⁵⁶ not discussed here, has been covered briefly in the literature.

8.2 Chemical and Physical Properties

8.2.1 Introduction

The following sections are organized according to the position of the metal in the Periodic Table (see Fig. 8-1). The metal-organic derivatives that have been used for CVD are shown in Tables 8-4 and 8-5 and are discussed below. The order of discussion is halides, followed by compounds with metal-carbon bonds, M-R where R = alkyl or aryl, metal-carbon π -complexes such as allyls, cyclopentadienyls and other arene complexes, metal carbonyls, metal trifluorophosphines, and derivatives with M-O bonds, e.g., β -diketonates and alkoxides. Representative examples of the structures of each type of precursor are provided in most sections. These figures are intended to give the reader an appreciation of the metal coordination environment and ligand-bonding mode. These data are generally based on single-crystal X-ray diffraction structures obtained in the solid state and may not be an accurate representation of the structure in the gas phase. In general, there is a paucity of gas-phase structural information for CVD precursors.

Table 8-4 Precursors Used for Deposition of Various Metals

Metal	Derivative	Commercial
_		Availability
Ti	TiI4, TiBr4, (n ⁵ -C5H5)2TiCl2	Yes
	"Ti(η^{5} -C5H5)2"	No
Zr	ZrI4, ZrBr4	Yes
	Zr(CH ₂ -t-Bu) ₄	No
Hf	HfI4	Yes
V	VI ₂ , VCl ₄ , (η ⁵ -C ₅ H ₅)V(CO) ₄	Yes
Nb	NbCl5, NbBr5	Yes
Та	TaCl5, TaF5, Ta(CO)5	Yes
Cr	CrF_2 , $CrCl_2$, $Cr(CO)_6$, $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Cr(CO)_3]_2$	Yes
	(η ⁷ -C7H8)Cr(CO)3, Cr(η ⁶ -C6H6)2	Yes
	$Cr(\eta^6-C_6H_5-i-Pr)_2$, $Cr(cumene)_2$	No
	Cr(CH ₂ -t-Bu) ₄ , Cr(N-i-Pr) ₃	No
Мо	MoF5, MoCl5, Mo(CO)6	Yes
	[(η ⁵ -C5H5)Mo(CO)3]2	Yes
		continue

ind mechanistic ital-organic CVD netal particles by e metal-organic id quantum conroperties such as g point, catalytic 1-100 nm.45,46 ine particles with co-deposit more ietallic molecule, for the formation

or deposition) or reactions, avoid ition and defects. ortunities for the metals. In some w examples have ns. The greatest material at low

and structures of ition parameters, films deposited. I deposition from especially metal larly using lasers, e CVD of other covered briefly in

Table 8-4 (continued)

Metal	Derivative	Commercial Availability
	$Mo(\eta^3-allyl)_4$	No
Mn	Mn ₂ (CO) ₁₀	Yes
Re	ReF6, ReCl5, Re2(CO)10, ReOCl5, ReOCl4	Yes
	HRe(CO)5	No
Fe	FeF3, Fe(CO)5, Fe(η^5 -C5H5)2	Yes
	$[(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Fe(CO)_{2}]_{2}$	Yes
Ru	$Ru_3(CO)_{12}, Ru(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2, Ru(acac)_2$	Yes
	Ru(hfb)(CO)4	No
Os	OsCl4	Yes
Co	CoCl ₂ , Co ₂ (CO) ₈ , Co ₄ (CO) ₁₂	Yes
	Co(CO)3(NO), HCo(CO)4	No
	CF3Co(CO)4, (η ⁵ -C5H5)Co(CO)2	No
	Co(acac) ₂ , Co(η ⁵ -C ₅ H ₅) ₂	Yes
Rh	RhCl3, Rh(η ³ -allyl)3, Rh(tfac)3	Yes
	[(PF3)2RhCl]2, (acac)Rh(CO)2	No
	$Rh_2Cl_2(CO)_4$, $Rh(\eta^3$ -allyl)(CO)_2	No
	$Rh(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)(CO)_2$	No
	$Rh(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})(1,5-COD)$	No
	$(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Rh(\eta^{2}-C_{2}H_{4})_{2}$	No
Ir	IrF6, IrCl3, IrBr3, Ir(η ³ -allyl)3	Yes
	(acac)Ir(1,5-COD)	Yes
	{[(CH ₃)O]Ir(1,5-COD)} ₂ , Ir(acac) ₃	No
	$(\eta^{5}\text{-}C_{5}\text{H}_{5})\text{Ir}(1,5\text{-}COD), (1,5\text{-}COD)\text{Ir}(\mu\text{-}OAc)_{2}$	No
	$(\eta^5 - C_5 H_4 CH_3)$ Ir $(1, 5 - COD)$	No
Zn	Zn(CH3)2, ZnEt2	Yes
Cd	Cd(CH ₃) ₂ , CdEt ₂	Yes
Sn	SnCl4, SnCl2, Sn(CH3)4, SnEt4	Yes
	Sn(-n-Bu)4, Sn(-n-Bu)2Cl2	Yes
Pb	PbEt4, PbPh4	Yes

Source of data: Chem Sources - USA, Directors Publishing Company, Clemson, South Carolina 29633-1824, 1992.

8.2 Chemical and Physical Properties 369

Table 8-5Heterometallic PrecursorsUsed for Deposition of Various Metals*

Metals	Derivative
Mn/Ge	CH ₂ CR=CRCH ₂ Ge
	$[Mn(CO)_5]_2$
Mn/Si	H ₃ SiMn(CO) ₅
Fe/Si	(H ₃ Si) ₂ Fe(CO) ₄
Fe/Co	(η ⁵ -C ₅ H ₅)FeCo(CO) ₆
	HFeCo ₃ (CO) ₁₂
	$(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5)Fe_2Co(CO)_9$
Fe/Ni	(η ⁵ -C ₅ H ₅) ₂ FeNi(CO) ₃
Co/Si	(H ₃ Si)Co(CO) ₄
Co/Ga	(CO) ₄ CoGaCl ₂ (THF)
M/E	$L(CO)_n M$ - $ER^1 R^2(D)$
	where
	$L = CO, (\eta^5 - C_5 H_5)$
	n = 1-4
	M = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni
	E = Al, Ga, In
	R = H, alkyl
	D = O or N donor ligand.

* Not commercially available

8.2.2 Precursor Characteristics

There are several rationales for the choice of ligands that have been used to satisfy the metal coordination environment and electroneutrality in CVD precursors. In many cases, commercial availability has been the major criterion. In fewer cases, the ligands have been chosen to provide suitable precursor deposition characteristics. Some comments on the suitability of the species identified in Tables 8-4 and 8-5 that have been used for CVD or, in many cases, the attempted CVD of metals are warranted. In most sections, a table is given to provide information about properties.

8.2.2.1 Metal Halides

Metal halides are generally unsuitable for CVD for three reasons: (i) generally, they do not thermally decompose below 500 °C, (ii) they can give halide-contaminated films,

and (iii) they often have low volatility (see also Ch. 3). The temperature of thermal decomposition and the purity of the film can be improved in many cases by the addition of a reducing agent during the deposition. Typical reducing agents include H₂ and SiH₄. Changing to a halide substituent lower in Group 17 (e.g., Br or I as opposed to F or Cl) will tend to lower the thermal decomposition temperature due to a weakening of the M-X bond as a result of the lower electronegativity of the Group 17 element upon descending the group and the larger size of the halide relative to the size of M.⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰ Table 8-6 gives some relevant properties of metal halides, most of which are available commercially.

Table 8-6 Properties of Selected Metal Halides

Halides	Melting	Boiling
	Point °C	Point °C
SiCl ₄	-70	58
VCl4	-28	149
TiCl ₄	-25	136
MoF ₆	18	35
ReF ₆	19	48
MoCl5	194	268
NbCl5	205	254
TaCl5	216	242
TaBr5	265	349
HfCl ₄	319	319(s)
ZrCl ₄	437	331(s)
ZrBr ₄	450	357(s)
HfI4		400(s)
CrCl ₂	824	1300(s)

(s) = sublimes

Low volatility is a major problem because it makes transport of the precursor to the CVD reactor difficult and leads to low deposition rates (see Ch. 1). The reason for the general lack of volatility is that in many cases the preferred coordination number of the ion exceeds its oxidation state. This frequently results in oligomerization where the halide ligands bridge metal centers by using one or more of their available lone pairs. One solution to this problem is to use fluoride ligands, because, although they are small and might be expected to facilitate a higher coordination number at the metal center compared to other halides, they are less inclined to form bridges, and as a result, they impart volatility to the metal center. In addition, fluorine tends to stabilize higher

erature of thermal ses by the addition lude H₂ and SiH₄. pposed to F or Cl) kening of the M-X it upon descending i0 Table 8-6 gives commercially. oxidation state precursors better than other members of Group 17 due to its higher electronegativity. This is advantageous because it decreases the tendency for oligomerization by approaching the limit of coordination number = oxidation state which increases the vapor pressure.

A classic example is the case of WF₆ where the coordination sphere of W^{VI} is satisfied by six fluoride ligands resulting in the formation of a highly volatile complex. The halides SnCl₄ and TiCl₄ are other examples where the metal coordination number and oxidation state are satisfied with the result that oligomerization is unnecessary and these species exist as volatile liquids at room temperature and pressure (Fig. 8-2).⁶¹ (It should be noted, however, that higher coordination numbers for Sn^{IV} and Ti^{IV} are common.) In contrast, SnCl₂ is an infinite polymer in the solid state because the number of ligands is lower than the preferred coordination number and makes SnCl₂ unstable with respect to oligomerization (Fig. 8-2).⁶²⁻⁶⁴ However, SnCl₂ can be thermally de-oligomerized to form a monomeric species in the gas phase.⁶⁵ The drawback to this approach is that the use of complexes with a formally high oxidation state may require more forcing conditions (i.e., higher substrate temperature or presence of a reducing agent) to reduce the complex and to form the metal compared to lower oxidation state analogs.



SnCl₂, solid state



The halides of Ti, Zr, Hf, V, Nb, Ta, Cr, Mo, Re, Fe, Os, Co, Ir, and Sn have been used as precursors for CVD experiments at elevated temperatures where thermally sensitive substrates were not used and low substrate temperatures were not necessary.^{31,66-68} A typical reaction is shown in Equation 8.1.

$$MoF_5 + 5/2 H_2 \rightarrow Mo + 5 HF$$
 (8.1)

te precursor to the The reason for the tion number of the rization where the railable lone pairs. ugh they are small t the metal center d as a result, they to stabilize higher

8.2.2.2 Metal Alkyls

Similar trends in coordination number and oxidation state are broadly true for ligand environments other than halides. Where the coordination number of the metal is not satisfied, oligomerization generally occurs resulting in lowering of the volatility when compared to monomeric complexes. Homoleptic (possessing only one type of ligand) metal alkyl, and aryl derivatives of the transition elements are often thermally unstable at room temperature.⁵⁷ For example $W(CH_3)_6$ is a volatile solid that is capable of detonation because the alkyl substituents are insufficiently electronegative to stabilize the high oxidation state (VI) of W. Table 8-7 gives some properties of metal alkyls. In general, most metal alkyls have low melting and boiling points and high vapor pressures. The primary reason they have not been used for CVD of many elements is that carboncontaminated films are produced. Also, they are often pyrophoric, explode on contact with water, and are toxic.

Table 8-7 Properties of Selected Metal Alkyls

Compound	Formula	MP (°C)	BP (°C)	Vapor
				Pressure
1				mm Hg
Diethyl beryllium	$(C_2H_5)_2B$	12	194	
Dimethyl cadmium	(CH ₃) ₂ Cd	4	105	28/20 °C
Tetraethyl tin	$(C_2H_5)_4Sn$	-112	181	
Tetramethyl tin	(CH ₃) ₄ Sn	-54	75	
Dimethyl zinc	(CH ₃) ₂ Zn	-42	46	124/0 °C
Diethyl zinc	$(C_2H_5)_2Zn$	-28	118	6.4/20 °C

Transition metal alkyl complexes have not been studied extensively as precursors for the CVD of metal films. In the absence of a reducing atmosphere such as H₂, metal carbides are normally formed as in the case of $Ti(CH_2-t-Bu)_4$.⁶⁹ However, metal alkyl derivatives of the relevant main group metals, Sn and Pb, and the pseudo-main group metals, Zn^{II} and Cd^{II} (both d¹⁰) have been used for metal deposition. This is probably because these derivatives exhibit sufficient thermal stability that they can be handled at room temperature, they are generally monomeric (see Fig. 8-3), exhibit suitable vapor pressures, and generally thermally decompose at elevated temperatures to liberate the corresponding metal. Some metal alkyl complexes contain bridging alkyl groups or agostic interactions which may be detrimental to their use as precursors for CVD of metals.⁷⁰ H₃C-Zn-CH₃

Zn(CH₃)₂

t-Bu-H₂C

Figure 8-3 Structure of typical metal alkyl precursors, $Zn(CH_3)_2$ and $M(CH_2-t-Bu)_4$, M = Ti, Zr.

Thermal decomposition of metal alkyls to form pure metal may occur by any of three methods, (a) β -hydride elimination (well known in Al CVD; see Ch. 2), (b) α -C-H abstraction, or (c) M-C bond homolysis (Fig. 8-4).⁷⁰ In many cases β -hydrogens are not present in the precursors (i.e., methyl derivatives) and preclude the β -hydride elimination mechanism. Metals at the lower end of a given group in the periodic table tend to have weaker M-C bonds, and as a result, are more likely to undergo M-C bond homolysis compared to those at the higher end. This is particularly the case for lead(IV) alkyl compounds. While some examples of volatile lower oxidation state alkyls of tin (Sn^{II}) and lead (Pb^{II}) are known,⁷¹ they have not been used in CVD experiments.



Figure 8-4 Diagramatic representation of (a) β-hydride elimination, (b) α-hydrogenabstraction, and (c) M-C bond homolysis pathways which can occur on thermal decomposition of metal alkyl compounds.

true for ligand he metal is not volatility when type of ligand) ally unstable at is capable of to stabilize the letal alkyls. In 'apor pressures. is that carbonlode on contact

s precursors for h as H₂, metal ver, metal alkyl ido-main group his is probably n be handled at suitable vapor to liberate the alkyl groups or rs for CVD of

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 21 IPR2017-00664

8.2.2.3 Complexes with Unsaturated Organic Ligands: Alkenes, Alkynes, Allyls, η⁵-Cyclopentadienyls, η⁶-Arenes, and Related Complexes

This section includes discussion of derivatives such as olefin and alkyne complexes, metal allyls, complexes with aromatic cyclic ligands such as cyclopentadienyl or cycloheptatrienyl and metallocenes [i.e., $M(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2$, e.g., ferrocene], and arene complexes. Examples of each of these compounds are known for most transition elements. A number of metallocene and arene derivatives of the main group metals are known, but they are not common (due to their full underlying d-shells) and have not been reported as precursors for CVD experiments. A note of distinction is necessary to avoid confusion: Metallocene and other cyclopentadienyl or cycloheptatrienyl complexes and metal allyls contain π -bonded ligands which are negatively charged, whereas olefin, alkyne, and arene ligands are neutral (i.e., $\eta^6-C_6H_6$ ligands). Examples are Cr($\eta^6-C_6H_6)_2$ in which the Cr is formally zero-valent and Fe($\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2$ where Fe is formally divalent.

Alkene and Alkyne Adducts: Alkene adducts of various transition metals have been used to deposit metal films. Depending on the nature of the metal center and its oxidation state, alkene adducts can exist in bonding modes that vary anywhere between the two extremes of $M^{n+}(CH_2=CH_2)_n$ (π -bonded olefin) and $M^{(n+2)+}(CH_2-CH_2)_n$ (metallacyclopropane), where alkene = ethylene as shown in Figure 8-5.70 A similar situation holds for alkyne adducts. These different coordination modes are likely to significantly affect the strength of the metal-olefin and metal-alkyne bonds and change the CVD behavior of the precursor. Insufficient data are available to tabulate the properties of alkene and alkyne complexes that have been used as precursors for CVD by metals.





HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 22 IPR2017-00664

It is difficult to generalize about the suitability of such species as precursors for the deposition of pure metal films. However, olefin adducts of the noble metals are likely to be suitable because under a hydrogen atmosphere they are likely to catalyze hydrogenation of the olefin or alkyne rendering it less reactive and allowing it to cleanly desorb from the surface. Examples that have been studied include [(CH₃O)Ir(1,5-COD)]₂, [(AcO)Ir(1,5-COD)]₂, (η^{5} -C₅H₅)Ir(1,5-COD)₂, and Ru(hfb)(CO)₄ and will be discussed in their appropriate sections. The structure of a typical olefin adduct that has been used in CVD experiments is shown in Figure 8-6.

Metal Allyls: Metal allyl (or propenyl) complexes are known for a variety of transition and main group elements, and a number of these derivatives have been used for CVD experiments. In general these species are less labile compared to alkyl compounds because the allyl ligand often acts as a four electron donor and can also act as a π -acceptor (assuming an η^3 -coordination mode). These interactions strengthen the metal allyl bond compared to the metal alkyl bond. In addition the η^3 -allyl ligand occupies two coordination sites at the metal center and as a result stable monomeric metal allyl complexes are formed where the oxidation state is less than the preferred coordination number. To continue the analogy with W(CH₃)₆ above, M(allyl)₄, M = Mo and W, are monomeric complexes where oligomerization is prevented by the steric protection of the metal center afforded by the four allyl groups as shown in Figure 8-7.⁷²,⁷³ Insufficient data are available to tabulate the properties of metal allyl compounds that have been used as precursors for CVD of metals.



Figure 8-6 Structure of metal olefin adduct: (hfac)Rh(1,5-COD).

Homoleptic allyl compounds of Rh,⁷⁴ Ir,⁷⁴ Mo,⁷⁵ W,⁷⁵ and Pd⁷⁶ have been studied as precursors in CVD experiments. The noble metals^{74,76} give rise to films with relatively low carbon, whereas the more electropositive metals (Mo, W) result in formation of carbides and/or incorporation of graphitic carbon in the growing film.⁷⁴

enes, nd Related

cyne complexes, dienyl or cyclorene complexes. ients. A number wn, but they are een reported as ivoid confusion: and metal allyls lkyne, and arene in which the Cr

netals have been and its oxidation between the two $^{-2)+}(CH_2-CH_2)_n$.5.70 A similar es are likely to onds and change to tabulate the sors for CVD by

 $\bigcup_{C}^{C} \xrightarrow{M \leq C} M \leq \bigcup_{C}^{C}$ (b)

al and an alkene (b) Two canoa orbitals shown

376 8 Chemical Vapor Deposition of Assorted Metals





Figure 8-7 Structures of homolytic metal η^3 -allyl complexes; $Rh(\eta^3$ -allyl)₂ and proposed structure of $Mo(\eta^3$ -allyl)₄.

 η^5 -Cyclopentadienyl and Related Complexes: Complexes containing cyclopentadienyl ligands generally exhibit higher stability compared to alkyl complexes because these ligands occupy more of the metal coordination sphere and form stronger bonds to the metal center. For example, ferrocene can be considered a six-coordinate complex of Fe^{II}, where each cyclopentadienyl ligand occupies three coordination sites at the metal center (see Fig. 8-8). This results in reasonable volatility for these complexes, but the lower lability of π -bonded ligands generally results in carbon incorporation into the final film, especially for the more electropositive metals. Ferrocene, for example, is unusually thermally stable and in the absence of H₂ does not decompose below 500 °C. Similar properties are expected for other π -bonded anionic ligands such as η^7 cycloheptatrienyl. Chemical vapor deposition using individual metallocene complexes of Fe, Ru, and Co has been studied. A number of other mixed-ligand cyclopentadienyl and cycloheptatrienyl carbonyl complexes, including $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Fe(CO)_2]_2$, $[(\eta^5-C_$ C₅H₅)Mo(CO)₃]₂, $(\eta^7$ -C₇H₇)Cr(CO)₃, and $(\eta^5$ -C₅H₅)Co(CO)₂, have also been studied. As for the metallocene derivatives, the π -bonded (η^5 -C₅H₅) and (η^7 -C₇H₇) ligands are expected to be strongly bonded to these metal centers. For later transition elements, and those lower in a group, these bonds are likely to be weaker and clean removal of the ligand is more likely as for $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Rh(C_2H_4)_2$, $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Ir(1,5-COD)$, and $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Ir(1,5-COD)$. C₅H₄CH₃)Ir(1,5-COD) (see also Ch. 7).



 $h(\eta^3-allyl)_2$ and

containing ĸes alkyl complexes id form stronger a six-coordinate rdination sites at hese complexes, corporation into , for example, is e below 500 °C. Is such as η^7 ne complexes of ppentadienyl and $(CO)_2]_2, [(\eta^5$ so been studied. 7H7) ligands are on elements, and removal of the COD), and $(\eta^5$ -



Fe(n5-C5H5)2



 $[Fe(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})_{2}(CO)_{2}]_{2}$



 $Rh(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})(C_{2}H_{4})_{2}$

Structures of some $\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5$ complexes used for CVD, Fe($\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)_2,$ Figure 8-8 $[Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_2]_2$, and $Rh(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(C_2H_4)_2$.

n⁶-Arene and Related Complexes: Arene complexes are likely to suffer from similar problems as those expected for metallocene and related complexes. Arene ligands coordinated through more than one site, i.e., multihapto ligands, have a high enthalpic and entropic stability against ligand dissociation (see Fig. 8-9). Examples of the compounds that have been studied are $Cr(cumene)_2$ and $Cr(\eta^6-C_6H_6)_2$. Both gave metal carbides rather than chromium metal.77 Insufficient data are available, however, to tabulate the properties of metal arene complexes that have been used as precursors for CVD of metals.





8.2.2.4 Metal Carbonyls

Metal carbonyls are commonly thermally stable at room temperature due to the well known σ -donor, π -acceptor bonding of this ligand (see Fig. 8-10).⁷⁰ Their properties are shown in Table 8-8. The results of a solid-state structural study of two archetypal mononuclear metal carbonyls are presented in Figure 8-11.⁷⁸ They are volatile in general but are not used for CVD of many materials because carbon- and oxygen-contaminated films frequently occur. In addition, many carbonyls such as those of Fe are toxic. Related compounds that are suitable for CVD are various substituted metal carbonyls including halides and nitrosyl species such as Co(NO)(CO)3⁷⁹ (see also Ch. 7).

 Table 8-8
 Properties of Metal Carbonyls and Carbonyl Halides

Compound	MP	BP	Color /	Comments
	°C	°C	Form	
MONONUCLEAR				
V(CO) ₆	65	dec.	Black	Yellow-Orange
			crystal	in solution,
				volatile, very
				unstable
Cr(CO) ₆	164	dec.	White	Volatile
		180	crystal	
Fe(CO) ₅	-20	103	Yellow	Poisonous
			liquid	
Mo(CO) ₆	150	dec.	White	Volatile
		180	crystal	Ъ.
Ru(CO) ₅	-22		Colorless	Very volatile
			liquid	
$Os(CO)_5$	-15		Colorless	Very volatile
			liquid	
DINUCLEAR				
Mn ₂ (CO) ₁₂	152		Yellow	1.000
Fe ₂ (CO)9	80		Yellow	Volatile
	dec.		crystal	
Co ₂ (CO) ₈	51	52	Orange	CO loss
		dec.	crystal	

continued

Table 8-8 Continued

Compound	MP	BP	Color /	Comments
	°C	°C	Form_	
Re ₂ (CO) ₁₀	170	250	Colorless	Volatile
		dec.	crystal	
$Ir_2(CO)_8$	160		Yellow	Sublimes in CO
			crystal	at 160 °C
CARBONYL HA	LIDES			
Mn(CO)5Cl			Yellow	Loses CO at
				120 °C in
				organic solvent
Re(CO) ₄ Cl ₂		250	White	
		dec.	crystal	
$Ru(CO)_2I_2$		-	Orange	Suitable for
			powder	CVD
Os(CO) ₃ Cl ₂	270	280	Colorless	Suitable for
		dec.	prism	CVD
$[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2$	125		Ruby red	Suitable for
	sub.		needle	CVD
			crystal	
$[Ir(CO)_2Cl]_2$	140	***	Colorless	Suitable for
			needle	CVD
N			crystal	



Figure 8-10 (a) Nature of orbital interaction between a transition metal and a carbonyl ligand. Arrows indicate direction of electron donation. (b) Two canonical form extremes result from extent of overlap between orbitals shown in (a).

e due to the well heir properties are of two archetypal volatile in general gen-contaminated of Fe are toxic. I metal carbonyls Ch. 7).

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 27 IPR2017-00664





Metal carbonyl derivatives of virtually all the transition elements are known and monomeric derivatives occur for $M(CO)_6$ (M = V, Cr, Mo, W), Fe(CO)₅, and Ni(CO)₄. Furthermore, the metal is formally in the zero valent oxidation state in a homoleptic metal-carbonyl compound which, in principle, should enable simple dissocation of carbon monoxide to liberate the element itself without the need for a reduction step.

$$M(CO)_n \rightarrow M + nCO$$
 (8.2)

Although many such equations for numerous metal carbonyls have been written for CVD reactions, this simple elimination reaction does not represent the whole picture. In the cases of Co, Fe, and Ni, high-purity deposits can be obtained. Nevertheless, films deposited from other metal carbonyl compounds [Cr(CO)₆, for example] are invariably contaminated with metal carbides, metal oxides, and graphitic carbon. This is not surprising when the canonical form M = C = O (Fig. 8-10) is considered,⁷⁰ and when it is noted that many of the metals being deposited can catalytically cleave the C-O bond of carbon monoxide (e.g., W) under the deposition conditions employed.⁸⁰

Many examples of homopolynuclear and heteropolynuclear metal carbonyls exist and some of these species have been used for CVD experiments **30,81,82**

8.2.2.5 Metal Trifluorophosphines

In some respects, homoleptic metal trifluorophosphine derivatives are analogous to homoleptic metal carbonyl derivatives. The metal is in the zero-valent oxidation state and is coordinated to ligands that on cleavage of the metal-ligand bond release a highly volatile by-product. In principle, the trifluorophosphine derivatives should be more suitable as precursors for metal CVD compared to metal carbonyls since they are volatile,

> HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 28 IPR2017-00664

(0.0)

the PF₃ ligand is a poor σ -donor, PF₃ itself is very volatile (b.p. = -101.8 °C), and the PF₃ ligand usually does not dissociate on the metal surface. The synthesis and properties of the trifluorophosphine complexes of Re, Cr, Mo, W, Fe, Ru, Os, Co, Rh, Ir, and Pd are discussed by Kruck.⁸³ These complexes have decomposition temperatures of -20 to 300 °C and high vapor pressures. However, PF₃ is known to react with Si substrates under some conditions to form P and liberate SiF₄ (see Ch. 3) which makes these precursors undesirable for some electronics applications.

Complexes with PF₃ have been used to deposit metal films at temperatures between 200 and 300 °C. A patent discusses the use of metal trifluorophosphine and trifluorophosphine hydride complexes for CVD at temperatures of 350-600 °C.⁸⁴ However, CVD using these precursors has not been studied extensively.⁸⁵⁻⁸⁷ In other cases, the metal is not zero valent and the complex contains other ligands along with PF₃. The structure of such a trifluorophosphine complex is shown in Figure 8-12.⁸⁸





Figure 8-12 Structure of [(PF₃)₂RhCl]₂.

8.2.2.6 Metal β-diketonates

As precursors for CVD experiments, metal β -diketonates, particularly the fluorinated derivatives, have the advantage that they generally exhibit high vapor pressures but have the disadvantages that they do not generally decompose below 300 °C and that the deposit is often contaminated unless a reducing agent such as H₂ is added (Eq. 8.3).

$$Co(acac)_2 + H_2 \rightarrow Co + 2 acacH$$
 (8.3)

Metal β -diketonates (Table 8-9) show melting points well over 100 °C and often near 200 °C. All are solids at room temperature and have relatively high vapor pressures as discussed in Section 8.2.3 below. Several review articles and books discuss their synthesis and properties.⁸⁹⁻⁹⁹ Graddon discusses Zn, Mn, Cu, Ni, Co, and Fe β -diketonate complexes.⁹³ Their volatility is enhanced because the β -diketonate ligand is bidentate when bonded through the oxygen ligands, although this is not always the case, and generally prefers to chelate rather than bridge. Examples of monomeric β -diketonate complexes can be found in Chapters 4, 5, 6, and 7. To emphasize the possibility of oligomerization, examples of oligomeric, homoleptic metal β -diketonate compounds are

.CO

bonyl compounds,

ts are known and $O)_5$, and $Ni(CO)_4$. e in a homoleptic socation of carbon step.

(8.2)

n written for CVD ble picture. In the levertheless, films ple] are invariably bon. This is not d,70 and when it is e the C-O bond of b

arbonyls exist and

are analogous to ent oxidation state id release a highly s should be more e they are volatile,

shown in Figure 8-13. In some cases, extra coordination sites have been consumed by coordination of a Lewis base such as in the case of $(\beta$ -diketonate)CuL_n compounds, described in Chapter 5, which prevents oligomerization. In other cases (e.g., Ni, Co), the β -diketonate is forced to bridge as well as chelate resulting in complex structures (see Fig. 8-13).



Figure 8-13 Examples of typical homoleptic metal β -diketonate compounds: [Co(acac)₂]₄ and [Ni(acac)₂]₃.

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 30 IPR2017-00664

8.2 Chemical and Physical Properties 383

Metal	Formula	Form	Melting Point °C
Chromium	Cr(C5H7O2)3	Violet crystal	214
Cobalt	Co(C5H7O2)3	Green crystal	240
Iron	Fe(C5H7O2)3	Orange crystal	179
Lead	$Pb(C_5H_7O_2)_2$	White crystal	
Manganese	Mn(C5H7O2)2	Buff crystal	180
Rhodium	Rh(C5H7O2)3	Yellow crystal	2222
Zinc	$Zn(C_5H_7O_2)_2$	White needles	138
Zirconium	$Zr(C_5H_7O_2)_4$	White crystal	172

Table 8-9 Properties of Selected Metal β-Diketonates

A number of mixed ligand β -diketonate complexes of noble metals including Rh(CO)₂(acac) and (η^5 -C₅H₅)₂Ru(acac) have been used for CVD experiments.¹⁰⁰⁻¹⁰⁵

8.2.2.7 Metal Alkoxides

Some metal alkoxide compounds are volatile where the alkoxide ligand is sterically demanding, but they are generally not suitable as precursors for deposition of metals {see Ch. 5, [Cu(O-*t*-Bu)]₄}. In most cases metal oxides are deposited, especially when the metal center is electropositive, as in Ti.¹⁰⁶ Occasionally, films of transition metals such as Cu have been deposited from these precursors.¹⁰⁷

8.2.3 Vapor Pressures

Since volatility is only one of the criteria used to select a metal-organic compound for use as a CVD precursor, extensive discussion of these data are not warranted. However, vapor pressure data demonstrate the temperature range over which the precursors are stable as well as the temperature to which they must be heated to carry out CVD. As a rule of thumb, a vapor pressure of at least 100 mtorr is essential for a metal-organic compound to give a sufficiently high deposition rate for most applications using conventional precursor delivery methods. This criterion excludes many potential precursors because they thermally decompose before a sufficiently high vapor pressure is reached.

For comparison, vapor pressure data for representative examples of classes of precursors are presented in Figure 8-14 and Table 8-10. The compounds WF_6 and MoF_6 exhibit relatively high vapor pressures. The compounds $SnCl_4$ and $TiCl_4$ exhibit similar

in consumed by L_n compounds, i.g., Ni, Co), the structures (see

compounds:





Figure 8-14 Vapor pressures for compounds identified in Table 8-10.

Temperature in °C							
Compound	1 mm	10 mm	40 mm	100 mm	400 mm	760 mm	m.p.
MoF ₆	-65.5	-40.8	-22.1	-8.0	17.2	36.0	17.0
WF ₆	-71.4	-49.2	-33.0	-20.3	1.2	17.3	-0.5
TiCl ₄	-13.9	21.3	48.4	71.0	112.7	136.0	-30.0
SnCl ₄	-22.7	10.0	35.2	54.7	92.1	113.0	-30.2
Ni(CO) ₄	<u>1121</u>		-23.0	-6.0	25.8	42.5	-25.0
Fe(CO)5	11 (11 (11 (11 (11 (11 (11 (11 (11 (11	4.6	30.3	50.3	86.1	105.1	-21.0
Cr(CO) ₆	36.0	68.3	91.2	108.0	137.2	151.0	: ****
Co(CO) ₃ NO	100	909 1	11.0	29.0	62.0	80.0	-11.0
SnCl ₂	316.0	391.0	450.0	493.0	577.0	623.0	246.8

Table 8-10Temperatures at which Vapor Pressures (mm Hg) are Obtainedfor Inorganic and Metal-Organic Compounds

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 32 IPR2017-00664

da su si vapor pressures but lower than those of the fluorides. For comparison to the structural data presented in Figure 8-2, the vapor pressure data for SnCl₂ are shown and are substantially lower compared to SnCl₄. The monomeric carbonyl compounds all have similar vapor pressures and are in the range observed for the tetrahalides of Sn and Ti. The vapor pressures of a number of metal β -diketonates (Cr, Rh, Al, Fe, Cu, Pd; hfac and fod) are discussed by Wolf et al.⁹²

8.3 Syntheses

An extensive review of synthetic routes to all the compounds used as CVD precursors is beyond the scope of this book, especially since many of the species studied do not give rise to pure metal films. Many of the compounds used are either commercially available [metal halides, alkyls, allyls, and many of the metal carbonyls, metallocenes, and β -diketonates (see Table 8-4)] or simply prepared in high yield and the reader is directed to standard texts on this subject.^{59,60,70,97} Synthetic routes to the compounds that are not commercially available are generally contained in the papers referenced here or references therein.

8.4 Chemical Vapor Deposition

8.4.1 Deposition Characteristics

8.4.1.1 Introduction

Volatile metal-containing compounds have been used for generation of films by a variety of processes including chemical vapor deposition, large-area photochemically-induced deposition using lamps,¹⁰⁸ focused-laser-induced deposition by photochemical^{34,49-51,108,109} and photothermal routes,^{34,49-51,108-110} atomic layer epitaxy,¹¹¹ chemical beam epitaxy,¹¹² and others. The precursors used for these approaches are primarily the same as those used for CVD. Extensive literature exists for laser-induced deposition of metals^{34,49-51,108-110,113} and will not be covered in this chapter.

This section will discuss metal CVD using the precursors identified in Section 8.2 (see Table 8-1). Emphasis is on the types of precursors used, substrates, temperatures, carrier gases, purities, and rates where known. Section 8.4.2 will focus on kinetics and mechanisms of CVD of these metals where known. In this section each metal is discussed in order of its appearance in the different groups of the Periodic Table.

MoF₆ WF₆ FiCl₄ SnCl₄ Ni(CO)₄ Fe(CO)₅ Cr(CO)₆ Co(NO)₃(NO) SnCl₂

ained

).

760 mm m.p. 36.0 17.017.3 -0.536.0 -30.0 13.0 -30.2 12.5 -25.0)5.1 -21.051.0 -30.0 -11.0 23.0 246.8

8.4.1.2 Titanium

Chemical vapor deposition of titanium has not been discussed extensively in the literature, probably due to the difficulty in depositing the pure metal because it is easily oxidized and exposure to oxygen leads to contamination. In the patent literature, however, numerous volatile precursors that provide sources of Ti are claimed, but very little analytical data have been presented to support deposition of high-purity Ti films. A patent by Homer¹¹⁴ reports that "Ti(η^5 -C₅H₅)₂" can be used to deposit Ti, but no purity data were reported. The species $Ti(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(\eta^7-C_7H_7)$ was used as a precursor for CVD of Ti by others. 115,116 However, even in an H₂ plasma the films were comprised mainly of C. Other titanium alkyl and aryl compounds such as $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2TiR_2$, where R = CH₃, C₆H₅, also decompose to form products which contain considerable amounts of carbon.¹¹⁷⁻¹²⁰ Some examples of Ti deposition are from TiCl₄¹²¹ and TiBr₄¹²² by photochemical reactions or at high temperatures (900 °C) attained by laser heating. The purities reported in these cases were on the order of 90% and the 10% impurities may have been due to exposure to oxygen. Campbell et al. studied the use of Tilx at 1300-1400 °C for generation of Ti metal but did not examine CVD.¹²³ Chemical vapor deposition of titanium-rich films has also been reported from Ti[tris-(2,2'-bi-pyridine)] at temperatures near 600 °C.¹²⁴ The films, however, contained significant amounts of contaminants, up to 35% C, O, N, and H.

8.4.1.3 Zirconium

There have only been a few studies of the CVD of zirconium metal. The "hot-wire" process which involves the thermal decomposition of ZrI₄ has a reaction efficiency of 0.32 at 1152 °C.¹²⁵ The complex Zr(CH₂-*t*-Bu)₄ deposited crystalline ZrC films above 500 °C with a metal:carbon ratio of 1:2. Carbon X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) revealed carbidic carbon, graphitic carbon, and a third unidentified type of carbon species.¹²⁶ Thermal decomposition of $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2ZrR_2$, where R = Me, C₆H₅, and C₆F₅, compounds leads to carbon-contaminated products.¹¹⁷⁻¹²⁰, 127

8.4.1.4 Hafnium

The decomposition of hafnium iodide was used to form hafnium metal.¹²⁸ The reaction, carried out at 1100 °C, gave hafnium of unknown purity. Apparently, the CVD of hafnium metal from other precursors has not been reported in the literature, although metal-organic hafnium compounds, such as Hf(O-*t*-Bu)4, have been used to deposit Hf-containing films, e.g., HfO₂.¹²⁹

8.4.1.5 Vanadium

Pure vanadium metal is commercially obtained by thermal decomposition of the halides. Pure vanadium and the vanadium alloys V/Nb, V/Ti, and V/Ti/Nb have been

deposited at temperatures between 850 and 900 °C by thermal decomposition of the halides formed by passing Cl₂ over metal chips.¹³⁰ The films were deposited in the presence of H₂ and He gases. No low-temperature CVD routes exist. The thermal decomposition of a number of organometallic vanadium compounds including (η^{5} -C₅H₅)V(CO)₄,²⁴ (η^{5} -C₅H₅)V(η^{6} -C₆H₆),¹²⁰ V(η^{5} -C₅H₅)₂,¹³¹ V(CO)₆,¹³² and (η^{6} -C₆H₆)₂¹³³ has been reported, but few data are available on the identity of the materials formed.

8.4.1.6 Niobium

Chemical vapor deposition of niobium has been examined primarily in the context of deposition of the superconducting phases Nb₃Ge and Nb₃Ga (Table 8-11). Only the niobium halides have been studied extensively as precursors.^{28,29,134-143} As a result, the lowest deposition temperature obtained is near 700 °C. The films are generally of high-purity. In the case of the superconductors Nb₃Ga and Nb₃Ge, excellent electrical properties have been obtained. Niobium and Nb-containing films have been grown primarily from NbCl₅ in H₂. Only a few studies have examined NbBr₅. Niobium films have been deposited at 1200 °C at rates of up to 3,000 nm/min using NbBr₅.²⁸ The decomposition of Nb(η^6 -C₆H₆)₂ has been reported in the patent literature.¹³³ The CVD of Nb₃Ge¹⁴¹ and doping of TiO₂ using Nb ethoxide¹⁴⁰ have also been studied. No low-temperature routes to high-purity Nb films exist.

Table 8-11 Niobium Chemical Vapor Deposition Conditions

Precursor	Substrate	T _{substrate} °C	Carrier Gas	Purity	Rate nm/min	Ref.
NbBr5	Graphite	1200-1400	none	high	1000-3000	28, 29
NbCl5	Ruby, Sapphire	850-900	none			134
NbCl5*	Cu	900	Ar H2	high		135
NbCl ₅ *	Si	800-1100	Ar H2	high	1600	137
NbCl ₅ *	SiO ₂	720	Ar H2	high	170-340	138
NbCl ₅ **	Carbon	600-1200	(CH3)N, Ar, NH3, N2	high		139
NbCl ₅ *	SiO ₂	700-900	He, H_2	high	170	141

* Deposition of Nb3Ge or Nb3Ga.

** Deposition of carbonitride

extensively in the because it is easily patent literature. claimed, but very ·purity Ti films. A it Ti, but no purity as a precursor for ns were comprised H₅)₂TiR₂, where R lerable amounts of and TiBr₄¹²² by laser heating. The 1% impurities may e of TiIx at 1300-Chemical vapor ,2'-bi-pyridine)] at ficant amounts of

al. The "hot-wire" tion efficiency of e ZrC films above pectroscopy (XPS) d type of carbon = Me, C₆H₅, and

n metal.¹²⁸ The parently, the CVD iterature, although sed to deposit Hf-

pmposition of the '/Ti/Nb have been

8.4.1.7 Tantalum

The halide, TaCl₅, has been the main source for CVD of tantalum (Table 8-12).**144-149** Although high-purity films have been obtained with TaCl₅ at rates of 1,000 nm/min using hydrogen as a reducing agent, the primary problem is the need for temperatures greater than 700 °C. Tantalum deposition has also been attempted from Ta(CO)₅, but the films contained 5-10% impurities.¹⁵⁰ Ugolini, using XPS, studied the surface chemistry of Ta CVD from TaCl₅.¹⁵¹ This study showed that high-purity Ta could be produced even in the absence of hydrogen. The thermal decomposition of Ta(η^6 -C₆H₆)₂¹³³ and (η^5 -C₅H₅)₂Ta(C₆F₅)₂¹²⁷ has been reported in the patent literature. Tantalum CVD has also been studied by others.¹⁵² No low-temperature CVD routes to Ta films currently exist.

Table 8-12 Tantalum Chemical Vapor Deposition Conditions

Precursor	Substrate	T _{substrate} °C	Carrier Gas	Purity	Rate nm/min	Ref.
TaCl ₅	Мо	650-1100	H_2, N_2	high	50-100	144
TaCl ₅	Stainless steel	900-1050	H_2	>99%	2000	145
TaCl ₅	SiO ₂ , Al ₂ O ₃	800-1500	H ₂ , N ₂	high	30	146
TaCl ₅	Al ₂ O ₃	up to 1500	Ar, H ₂	high		147
TaCl ₅	Steel	1000	H ₂ , N ₂	high	2000	148
TaCl ₅	Cu,Fe,Ni,Mo	600-1400	H ₂ , N ₂	high	125	149
Ta(CO)5	Cu	450-600	H ₂	90-95%		150
TaF5	Si, SiO ₂	250-400	none	high		151

8.4.1.8 Chromium

Chromium or Cr-containing films have been deposited from only a few precursors (Table 8-13). The primary problem is the lack of low-temperature routes to the pure metal. Chromium halides deposit pure metal but require deposition temperatures near 1000 °C. For example, CrCl₂ deposits pure Cr at temperatures over 800 °C.66,67,154,155 Other precursors have lower deposition temperatures but impure films result. For example, Cr(CO)₆ provides deposition temperatures of 400 °C but the films are contaminated with carbon.
Studies of CVD of Cr using organometallic precursors have been motivated by the lack of other precursors which allow low-temperature deposition of high-purity chromium films. However, in general, no organometallic precursors have been successful in depositing pure Cr films. In a cold-wall reactor, $(\eta^{6}-C_{7}H_{8})Cr(CO)_{3}$ deposited carbide and oxide contaminated films above 300 °C.¹⁵⁷ Extensive characterization of the reaction products was performed by gas chromatography and mass spectrometry. Labelling studies showed that most of the carbon was derived from the cycloheptatriene ring with only a minor contribution from the carbonyl ligands (see Section 8.4.2.3).

The precursor Cr(cumene)₂ formed carbide films at 350-520 °C at rates of 4.5-6.5 nm/min in a cold-wall reactor using argon as the carrier gas.⁷⁷ The deposition rate exhibited a maximum at 450 °C, but the origin of this observation was not interpreted. The resistivity of the films was high, decreased with temperature, and remained above 100 $\mu\Omega$ cm at 550 °C. The films were used to fabricate high-quality Schottky barrier diodes. Similar results were obtained for Cr(η^6 -C₆H₆)₂ and other studies with Cr(cumene)₂ which gave films consisting of Cr and Cr₃C₂.^{159,160}

Table 8-13 Chromium Chemical Vapor Deposition Conditions

Precursor	Substrate	T _{substrate} °C	Carrier Gas	Purity	Rate nm/min	Ref.
Cr(CO) ₆	Fe, Cu, Steel	400-600	H ₂			153
Cr(cumene) ₂	Si, SiO ₂	350-520	Ar	C impurity	4.5-6.5	77
(η ⁵ -C ₅ H ₅)Cr(CO) ₃ H	Si	330-470	none or H_2	C +O impurity	1111	158
Cr[CH ₂ C(CH ₃) ₃] ₄	Si	330-470	none or H ₂	C+O impurity		,,
$Cr(N-i-Pr)_3$	Si	330-470	none or H_2	C+N impurity		••
(η ⁶ -C ₇ H ₈)Cr(CO) ₃	Glass, Al	300-600	none	28-36% C	777	157
CrCl ₂	Steel	750-1000	H_2	>99% Cr	100-200	67
CrCl ₂	Steel	970-1100	H_2	high purity	1702	154
CrCl ₂	Ni	950-1050	H_2	>99% Cr		155
CrCl ₂	Nb alloy	900	H ₂	>99% Cr	600-5000	66
CrF ₂	177.	950-1050	H_2	high purity	-2644	156

Rutherford et al.¹⁵⁸ used several organometallic precursors in a low-pressure cold-wall reactor to examine the deposition of Cr-containing films. Chromium carbide (Cr₃C₂) films, produced at temperatures as low as 300 °C, were the prime result of CVD using Cr(η^5 -C₅H₅)(CO)₃H, Cr(CH₂-*t*-Bu)₄, and Cr(N-*i*-Pr₂)₃. In general, higher deposition temperatures led to lower resistivities, and the best of these were in the 200-300 $\mu\Omega$ cm

ble 8-12).**144-149** 00 nm/min using peratures greater))5, but the films chemistry of Ta roduced even in $6)2^{133}$ and (η^5) m CVD has also currently exist.

Ref. ate /min 144 -100)00 145 30 146 147 .---)00 148 25 149 150 -151 ----

few precursors ites to the pure mperatures near °C.66,67,154,155 ns result. For

the films are

range. Carrier gas composition (H₂, Ar, He) had no effect on film properties. Similar behavior was observed for $Cr(t-Bu)_4$ and other tetraalkyl chromium compounds. **161-163** The best source of chromium carbide films was $Cr(N-i-Pr_2)_3$.

8.4.1.9 Molybdenum

High rates of pure molybdenum film deposition can be obtained at temperatures as low as 200 °C (Table 8-14) using MoF₆, Mo(CO)₆, and MoCl₅. All of these precursors allow deposition of clean metal under certain conditions. Deposition temperatures for MoF₆ range from 200-500 °C, for Mo(CO)₆ range from 200-600 °C, and for MoCl₅ range from 500 to 1100 °C. Because these precursors are widely available, other precursors have not been extensively examined (see Table 8-14). Using M(allyl)₄ (M = Mo and W) as

Table 8-14 Molybdenum Chemical Vapor Deposition Conditions

Precursor	Substrate	T _{substrate} °C	Carrier Gas	Purity	Rate nm/min	Ref.
MoCl ₅	Ni, Glass	800-1100	H ₂		8,350	164
MoCl ₅	1100 C	500-750	H ₂	high	-	165
MoCl ₅	Si	400-1200	H_2	>99%	0.1-40	166
MoCl ₅	Si	500-750	H_2	>99%	1-20	167
MoCl ₅	Si	600-1350	H_2	high	244	168
MoCl ₅	Si	450	H_2		502	169
MoCl ₅	Ni/Fe	850-950	H_2	den Reserve II. I.	-	146
MoCl ₅	Si	500-800	H_2	high	8.7-35.0	170
MoF ₆	SiO ₂	200-400	Ar, H ₂	4% O	200	171
Mo(CO) ₆	SiO ₂ /Si	100	H ₂ , Ar	C+O	1011	172
				impurity		
Mo(CO) ₆	Steel	400	H_2		250	27
Mo(CO) ₆	SiO_2	400-550	H ₂ , CO ₂ ,	>99 %	120-480	173
			Ar			
Mo(CO) ₆	Graphite	400-600	none	>99 %	1.67-25	29
Mo(CO) ₆	Ta, Glass	175-250	Ar	C impurity	5-100	174
Mo(CO) ₆		400-750	Ar	C impurity	***	165
Mo(CO) ₆	Fe, Cu,	350-550	H_2	>99%	10,000	153
	Steel		2		,	
$(\eta^6 - C_6 H_6) Mo(CO)_3$	Cu	250-550	H_2	5-98%		150
[η ⁶ -C ₆ H ₃ (CH ₃) ₃]-	Cu	250-650	H_2	1-100%		
Mo(CO) ₃			2			
$Mo(\eta^3-allyl)_4$	Pyrex	350-450	H ₂ or none	low	0.47-1.8	75, 17

perties. Similar npounds. 161-163

peratures as low precursors allow atures for MoF₆ oCl₅ range from cursors have not Mo and W) as

Rate	Ref.
ım/min	
8,350	164
	165
0.1-40	166
1-20	167
1222	168
34-94-94	169
	146
.7-35.0	170
200	171
	172
250	27
20-480	173
.67-25	29
5-100	174
	165
0,000	153
1444	150
47-1.8	75, 175

precursors, CVD resulted in the deposition of metal carbides together with amorphous carbon.75,175

Kaplan and d'Heurle examined the deposition of Mo films from Mo(CO)₆ in a coldwall reactor.¹⁷³ Films with bulk resistivity were grown at 500 °C at a rate of 22 nm/min using 0.001 torr CO and 0.01 torr H₂. Films deposited at lower temperatures resulted in higher resistivities, presumably due to higher levels of carbon. The lowest deposition temperature was 170 °C but only films deposited above 450 °C had satisfactory properties. Resistivities decreased with increasing temperature until a critical temperature was reached at which the resistivity became independent of temperature. The decrease in resistivity with temperature was dramatic, a factor of 100 for a temperature change of only 50 °C. This effect was explained in terms of the purity of the films which increased with increasing temperature and emphasizes the importance of deposition temperature in determining film properties. Several approaches were investigated to determine the lowest temperature at which high-purity, low-resistivity films could be produced. Production of high-quality films required temperatures of 500 °C or higher.

Molybdenum films can also be obtained by MoF_6 reduction on silicon substrates in the presence of hydrogen (see also Ch. 3).¹⁷¹ Deposition is the result of both the reaction with Si and with H₂ in roughly equal ratios. High deposition rates of 200 nm/min were obtained in the low-pressure, hot-wall reactor and no self-limiting thickness was observed. However, the films were highly porous (30%) due to diffusion of the precursor through the film to the Mo/Si interface where reaction to form Mo occurred. The extensive porosity led to a high surface area which resulted in oxygen contamination (4%) when exposed to air even at room temperature.

As a result of the porosity and oxygen contamination, the resistivities of the films were an order of magnitude higher than bulk. The morphology of films produced by this approach makes this a poor method for Mo film deposition.

The precursor MoCl₅, in the presence of hydrogen, has been used extensively for deposition of molybdenum films. Sugano et al.¹⁶⁶ have shown that high-purity films with nearly bulk resistivity can be formed between 600 and 800 °C in a cold-wall reactor. The deposition rate was investigated as a function of temperature and exhibited a maximum at 600 °C. The deposition rate was first order in MoCl₅ concentration. The resistivity decreased with increasing temperature until roughly 600 °C where it became constant. Yasuda and Murota¹⁶⁷ studied MoCl₅ reduction by hydrogen in a hot-wall reactor. Diffusion-limited deposition (see Ch. 9) was observed above 600-700 °C. The reaction rate was proportional to the 3/2 power of the hydrogen partial pressure.

Other investigations of Mo CVD not listed in Table 8-14 include the thermodynamics of selective CVD,¹⁷⁶ the generation of MoS₂ using bromine transport,¹⁷⁷ and CVD using Mo(CO)₆.¹⁷⁸ Wlodek and Wulff¹⁷⁹ have used MoCl₅ to coat surfaces at 900 °C. Molybdenum-silicon Schottky barriers have been prepared by CVD using MoCl₅.¹⁸⁰ The compound Mo(PF₃)₆ produced high purity Mo films, analogous to W(PF₃)₆⁸⁴ (see Ch. 3). However, as described earlier, the potential for impurity incorporation exists when PF₃ is liberated.

8.4.1.10 Manganese

The deposition of Mn films, apparently, has not been investigated in any detail, although Mn-Si films have been produced using single-source heterometallic precursors (see Sections 8.4.1.23 and 8.4.2.7). Manganese-containing coatings have been obtained by pyrolysis of $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2$ Mn at 460 °C in a glass vessel.¹³¹ The photodissociation of Mn₂(CO)₁₀ at wavelengths of 193-351 nm has been studied suggesting laser-induced deposition is possible.¹⁸¹⁻¹⁸³

8.4.1.11 Technetium

Although technetium is not a naturally occurring element, its chemical attributes are useful for radiopharmaceutical applications. Technetium CVD has not been reported in the open literature.

8.4.1.12 Rhenium

Chemical vapor deposition of rhenium has not been studied extensively (see Table 8-15). The precursors ReF₆, ReCl₅, HRe(CO)₅, and Re₂(CO)₁₀¹⁸⁴⁻¹⁸⁸ have been used primarily in conjunction with WF₆ to deposit tungsten-rhenium alloys. The purity of the deposited alloy films was high in all cases except for HRe(CO)₅. The compound Re₂(CO)₁₀ deposits films onto substrates heated to temperatures between 400 and 600 °C. A maximum in deposition rate was observed at 500 °C; however, no characterization data were presented so the purity of the films is unknown. No precursors exist for CVD of high-purity Re metal at low-temperatures (< 400 °C), although Re has been deposited by PECVD using (CH₃)Re(CO)₅ and HRe(CO)₅. Several other discussions of rhenium CVD are available.¹⁸⁹⁻¹⁹¹ For example, Aylett et al.¹⁹¹ have used HRe(CO)₅ to deposit Re on porous Si substrates.

Table 8-15 Rhenium Chemical Vapor D	eposition	Conditions
-------------------------------------	-----------	------------

Precursor	Substrate	T _{substrate}	Carrier Gas	Purity	Rate	Ref.
		°C			nm/min	
ReF ₆	Мо	500-1000	H ₂	high	4,200 to	184, 185
					12,000	
ReF ₆	Al_2O_3	1000-1500	H_2	high		186
ReF ₆	Cu	200-800	H_2	high	(mater)	187
HRe(CO)5	SiO ₂ , Si	130	H ₂ , Ar	30% C + O	W WC2	188

8.4.1.13 Iron

Precursors for CVD of iron allow deposition of high-purity films at temperatures as low as 200 °C at high rates (Table 8-16). The majority of studies used iron pentacarbonyl, Fe(CO)₅, as a precursor. Ferrocene, Fe(η^5 -C₅H₅)₂, has been studied to a lesser extent because it requires higher deposition temperatures near 400 to 500 °C, consistent with its higher thermal stability. Iron halides have been studied to a much lesser extent due to their deposition temperatures of 1000 °C.

Table 8-16 Iron Chemical	Vapor Deposition Conditions
--------------------------	-----------------------------

Precursor	Substrate	Tsubstrate	Carrier	Purity	Rate	Ref.
<u>.</u>		°C	Gas		nm/min	
FeF ₃		750-1150	none	>99%		192
$Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2$	Steel rod	550-600	none		0.3	193
$Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2$	Si	400-900	He, H ₂	>99%		31
Fe(CO) ₅	GaAs	200	none	>99%	0.5	194
Fe(CO)5	GaAs	150-300	H ₂	>99%	20	195
$Fe_2(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_4$	Si, Glass	260-310	He	3% C + O	8.4	196

Kaplan and Bottka deposited epitaxial films of Fe onto GaAs using Fe(CO)₅ at 200 °C with deposition rates of 0.5 nm/min in an ultra-high-vacuum (UHV) system.¹⁹⁴ Excellent film quality was observed by LEED (low energy electron diffraction) and AES (Auger electron spectroscopy). The films had comparable quality to films grown by MBE (molecular beam epitaxy). Films grown on GaAs proceeded by layer rather than island growth mechanisms. Walsh and Bottka¹⁹⁵ extended this approach to deposition of Fe films onto GaAs using Fe(CO)₅ at substrate temperatures of 150 to 300 °C and deposition rates of 0.3 nm/min in a conventional CVD system using hydrogen as the carrier gas. The optimum temperature for deposition was 200 °C as in the UHV experiments. The films were of high purity, strongly adherent, and showed no indication of tarnishing with time.

Stauf et al. deposited Fe films from Fe(η^{5} -C₅H₅)₂ at 500-600 °C at rates of 0.3 nm/min using a low precursor partial pressure (4 x 10⁻⁵ torr).¹⁹³ The films were strongly adherent to the steel and nickel substrates. No major impurities were observed, but no analysis for carbon and oxygen was carried out. A more extensive study with Fe(η^{5} -C₅H₅)₂ was carried out by Dormans who deposited α -Fe with atmospheric pressure H₂ carrier gas at temperatures of 400 to 900 °C.³¹ Auger electron spectroscopy depth profiles showed no detectable carbon and oxygen. Interestingly, no deposition was

ed in any detail, tetallic precursors twe been obtained otodissociation of ing laser-induced

ical attributes are t been reported in

vely (see Table 8-8 have been used The purity of the The compound between 400 and °C; however, no vn. No precursors , although Re has . Several other lett et al.¹⁹¹ have

Rate	Ref.
m/min	
200 to	184, 185
2,000	
	186
	187
-*-	188

observed in He up to 900 °C for the Si substrates. A similar inhibition was observed for $Co(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2$ suggesting that the effect was more general and not specific to ferrocene. This type of selectivity has not been studied or exploited for applications.

A binuclear compound, $Fe_2(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_4$, has also been examined (see Fig. 8-8)¹⁹⁶ This precursor was used to deposit films at up to 8.4 nm/min at temperatures of 260-310 °C on a Si(111) substrate and glass plates. The films contained 97% Fe with small amounts of C and O, but the source of the contamination was not identified.

8.4.1.14 Ruthenium

Chemical vapor deposition of Ru has been investigated in only a few cases (Table 8-17). Deposition temperatures as low as 250 °C with adequate rates and high purity have been obtained. The best films have been produced from Ru₃(CO)₁₂ and Ru(hfb)(CO)₄ where hfb = hexafluoro-2-butyne. The volatile Ru(CO)₅ has poor thermal stability which limits its use. Little data are available on film properties obtained using Ru(acac)₂.**35**,**199** Ruthenium has been deposited from ruthenocene, Ru(η^5 -C₅H₅)₂, in H₂ above 500 °C.**35**,**197** Precursors which allow deposition below approximately 300 °C are not available.

Table 8-17	Ruthenium Chemical	Vapor Depositi	on Conditions
------------	--------------------	----------------	---------------

Precursor	Substrate	T _{substrate}	Carrier Gas	Purity	Rate	Ref.
		°C			nm/min	
$Ru(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2$	Vycor	600	H ₂	>99%	1111	197
$Ru(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})_{2}$	SiO ₂	500-800	H_2, O_2	>80%	1000	35
			Vacuum	6.)		
Ru ₃ (CO) ₁₂	SiO ₂	250-400	H ₂ , O ₂	>99%		35
			Vacuum			
Ru(acac)2	SiO ₂	500-600	H_2, O_2	>99%		35
			Vacuum			
Ru(hfb)(CO)4	SiO ₂	500	none, H ₂	>80%	60	198
				>99%		

Deposition of ruthenium films on silicon has been accomplished using Ru(hfb)(CO)₄ in a hot-wall reactor.¹⁹⁸ The high volatility of this precursor (1-2 torr at room temperature) allowed deposition without a carrier gas. High-purity films were deposited which had good adhesion and were smooth and highly reflective.

The precursor $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ allowed deposition of high-purity films with resitivities of 17 $\mu\Omega$ cm at temperatures of 300 °C under vacuum in a hot-wall reactor.³⁵ The films had

vas observed for ific to ferrocene.

ned (see Fig. 8temperatures of ed 97% Fe with lentified.

/ cases (Table 8high purity have 1d Ru(hfb)(CO)4 al stability which $Ru(acac)_2$. **35,199** , in H₂ above y 300 °C are not

te	Ref.
nin	
÷	197
2	35
20	35
-	35
0	198

ng Ru(hfb)(CO)₄ -2 torr at room is were deposited

/ith resitivities of 35 The films had

excellent adhesion to Si and SiO₂ substrates. The precursor Ru(η^5 -C₅H₅)₂ also allowed high-purity film formation. Interestingly, films could only be deposited from Ru(η^5 -C₅H₅)₂ in oxygen. The same precursor was used to deposit RuO₂ films which have useful properties similar to Ru films. The best RuO₂ film deposited using Ru(η^5 -C₅H₅)₂ in O₂ at 575 °C had a resistivity of 90 $\mu\Omega$ cm. The films were adherent and specular. The precursor Ru(acac)₂ provided films with high resistivities and with high carbon content even in the presence of hydrogen.³⁵

8.4.1.15 Osmium

Very little work has been done with CVD of osmium. The precursor OsCl₄, however, has been used to deposit Os onto Mo and W substrates at temperatures of 1250 °C at pressures of 5 x 10^{-3} torr.²⁰⁰ The precursor Os(hfb)(CO)₄ has been used to deposit Os films and is analogous to the precursor Ru(hfb)(CO)₄.¹⁹⁸

8.4.1.16 Cobalt

High-purity cobalt films can be deposited at temperatures as low as 200 °C at high rates (Table 8-18). Several precursors, including Co₂(CO)₈, Co(acac)₂, and Co(η^{5} -C₅H₅)₂ allow deposition at temperatures near 200-300 °C. Other precursors that have been used to form films containing Co include Co(NO)(CO)₃ for doping InGaAsP,³⁷ CoCl₂ for deposition of CoB and CoSi₂,^{205,206} and Co₄(CO)₁₂ for deposition of cobalt.⁸²

Table 8-18 Cobalt Chemical Vapor Deposition Conditions

Precursor	Substrate	T _{substrate} °C	Carrier Gas	Purity	Rate nm/min	Ref.
$Co(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2$	Si	300-700	H ₂ , He	>99%	0.6-60	201
$Co(acac)_2$	Glass	324-352	H ₂	high	100	202
$Co(acac)_2$	Quartz	275-310	H ₂	>99%		100
Co ₂ (CO) ₈	Si	60-450	Ar	>99.5%	10	203, 204
HCo(CO) ₄	Si	60-450	Ar	>99.5%	10	н н
Co ₂ (CO) ₈	Si, SiO ₂	200-400	none	>99%	5.0	30
$Co(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2$	Si	200-900	H ₂ , He	>99%	3.6	201
Co ₂ (CO) ₈	Si	150-400	и и	>99%	3	U.
$(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) Co(CO)_2$	Si	300-600	н н	>99%	240	с н г
(CF ₃)Co(CO) ₄	Si	250-750	н н	>99%	0.18	200

Films were deposited from $Co_2(CO)_8$ at temperatures of 200-400 °C at rates of 3 nm/min in a hot-wall reactor. **30,203,204** Auger electron spectroscopy analysis of the films deposited at 200 °C showed only surface contamination by carbon, chlorine, and oxygen. Films deposited at higher temperatures had higher impurity concentrations. The films had excellent adhesion, were conformal, and little interdiffusion with the Si substrate was observed by Rutherford Backscattering Spectroscopy (RBS) analysis. The resistivities were 8-20 $\mu\Omega$ cm which compare well with films produced by other methods. Heating the films resulted in reaction with the silicon substrate to form CoSi₂. Dormans et al.**201** observed an upper limit for the deposition temperature using the same precursor which was fixed by the onset of gas phase particle formation in an atmospheric pressure reactor (see Ch. 9).³¹ This problem has been avoided by using low pressures for deposition.³⁰

The compound Co(acac)₂ gave films of Co at temperatures from 275 to 430 °C in a hot-wall reactor.¹⁰⁰ Films deposited in the presence of H₂ had less than 0.5% C and less than 0.2% O. Hydrogen was essential for the reduction to form pure cobalt. The optimum temperature was 275 to 310 °C which was lower than 318 to 350 °C as was suggested by Jablonowski.²⁰² Feed-rate-limited deposition or transport-limited deposition (see Ch. 9) was observed above approximately 250 °C because of the large reaction area. A patent also discusses the use of cobalt β -diketonate compounds.⁸⁹

The precursor $Co(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2$ gave Co films at temperatures of 400-700 °C in hydrogen carrier gas in a cold-wall reactor.^{31,201} The growth rate was first order in reactant partial pressure and practically independent of temperature, suggesting feed-rate or mass-transport-limited deposition. Deposition rates of 0.6 nm/min were obtained for a precursor partial pressure of 40 mtorr. The films contained no C or O contamination as determined by AES. When the SiO₂ was removed from the Si substrate, no deposition was observed at temperatures up to 900 °C in helium. This illustrates the importance of surface cleaning procedures in providing reproducible selectivity and deposition.

The compounds (η^5 -C₅H₅)Co(CO)₂ and (CF₃)Co(CO)₄ gave deposition rates of up to 0.18 nm/min in H₂ at temperatures of 300-600 °C in a cold-wall reactor.²⁰¹ The precursors (η^5 -C₅H₅)Co(CO)₂ and (CF₃)Co(CO)₄ deposited high-purity Co in H₂ as shown by AES. Deposition in He resulted in high carbon content. Experiments with (η^5 -C₅H₅)Co(CO)₂ in helium gave deposition in contrast to results with Co(η^5 -C₅H₅)₂ where no deposition was observed. This demonstrated the role of precursor design in selective deposition. The deposition rates for (η^5 -C₅H₅)Co(CO)₂ and (CF₃)Co(CO)₄ decreased with increasing temperature due to gas-phase reaction and particle formation as occurred for Co₂(CO)₈. This problem was eliminated by using lower pressures of the hydrogen carrier gas.

A number of other precursors have been studied but in less detail. The precursor $HCo(CO)_4$ has been used to deposit $CoSi_2$ by reaction with an Si substrate.²⁰¹

8.4.1.17 Rhodium

Several organometallic precursors have been used to deposit Rh metal at temperatures as low as 100 °C at high rates (Table 8-19). These precursors provide sufficient deposition rates and low enough deposition temperatures for most applications. Although several reports exist in the literature, few in-depth studies are available. Little information exists for deposition rates, resistivities, film morphology, and other The surface chemistry of $tris(\eta^3-allyl)$ rhodium^{105,209,210} properties. and (acac)Rh(CO)₂²¹¹ has been studied on TiO₂ mainly in connection with the catalytic behavior of Rh. The CVD of Rh from (hfac)Rh(1,5-COD) has been reported in a patent although few experimental details are available.²¹² A comparison of the CVD of the precursors $Rh_2(\mu-Cl)_2(CO)_4$, $Rh(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_2$, $Rh(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(1,5-COD)$, $Rh(\eta^3-C_5H_5)(1,5-COD)$, $Rh(\eta^3-C_5H_5)(1$ allyl)(CO)₂, and Rh(η^3 -allyl)₃ under vacuum (10⁻³ torr) and in the presence of H₂ was reported.²¹³ Although films deposited under vacuum were significantly contaminated with C and O, the presence of H₂ gave rise to 90% pure Rh films. The LCVD of Rh from (hfac)Rh(CO)₂ has produced lines with resistivities of 4.5 $\mu\Omega$ cm.²¹⁴

 Table 8-19
 Rhodium Chemical Vapor Deposition Conditions

Precursor	Substrate	T _{substrate} °C	Carrier Gas	Purity %	Rate nm/min	Ref.
Rh(CO)2(acac)	Glass	20-150	Ar, H ₂	C+O 2-20	0.4-1.1	103
					µg/(cm ² min)	
Rh(CO) ₂ (acac)	TiO ₂ /Si	200-500	none	C+O	72/25	105
				impurity		
$(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5) Rh(\eta^2 -$	Quartz	25	He, H ₂	>99	2-1171	207
$C_{2}H_{4})_{2}$	Glass					
	Si					
[(PF3)2RhCl2]2	Pyrex	200	none	Cl	120	208
Rh(tfa)3	Glass	250	Ar, H ₂	high	2 11 11 11	101
	Steel			-		
Rh(\eta ³ -allyl) ₃	Glass	250	Ar, H ₂	>97 in H ₂	0.3-7.3	74
				plasma		
				>86 in		
				vacuum		
Rh(n ³ -allyl) ₃	SiO ₂	120	Ar, H ₂	>98	2252	188

continued

'C at rates of 3 lysis of the films ine, and oxygen. ons. The films Si substrate was The resistivities ods. Heating the ormans et al.²⁰¹ precursor which pressure reactor deposition.30 5 to 430 °C in a 0.5% C and less ire cobalt. The > 350 °C as was transport-limited use of the large bounds.89

400-700 °C in as first order in gesting feed-rate re obtained for a contamination as ie, no deposition ie importance of osition.

on rates of up to eactor.²⁰¹ The ity Co in H₂ as iments with $(\eta^{5} 1 Co(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})_{2}$ cursor design in 1 (CF₃)Co(CO)₄ icle formation as pressures of the

The precursor te.201

Precursor	Substrate	T _{substrate} °C	Carrier Gas	Purity %	Rate nm/min	Ref
Rh(η ³ -allyl) ₃	SiO ₂	180	none	Rh 86	~33	213
				C 4		
				O 0		
	SiO ₂	130	H ₂	Rh 96	~33	213
				C 14		
				O 0		
$Rh_2(Cl)_2(CO)_4$	SiO ₂	180	none	Rh 49	~33	213
				C 24		
				O 27		
	SiO ₂	180	H ₂	Rh 91	~33	213
				C 7		
				O 2		
Rh(η ⁵ -	SiO ₂	180	none	Rh 75	~33	213
C ₅ H ₅)(CO) ₂				C 20		
	0.0	4.0.0		O 5	22	01/
	SiO_2	180	H_2	Rh 89	~33	213
				C 7		
	5:0.	270		O 4	~33	213
$Rh(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})(1,5-$	SiO ₂	270	none	Rh 81 C 19	~33	41.
COD)				00		
	SiO ₂	230	H_2	Rh 97	~33	21.
	5102	230	112	C 3	-55	21,
				00		
Rh(n ³ -allyl)(CO)2	SiO ₂	180	none	Rh 48	~33	21.
KII(1 ^o -ally1)(CO) ²	2 0102	100	none	C 40	55	
				O 12		
	SiO ₂	180	H ₂	Rh 94	~33	21
	2		2	C 6		
				0.0		

Table 8-19 continued

8.4.1.18 Iridium

Several metal-organic precursors have been used to deposit Ir at temperatures as low as 100 °C with reasonable rates and high purity (Table 8-20). In addition to the precursors shown in the Table, Ir(acac)₃ has been used for CVD,²¹⁶ and the use of Ir(β -diketonate)₃ precursors has also been discussed in a patent,²¹⁷ but little quantitative information is available about the use of these precursors for CVD.

Precursor	Substrate	T _{substrate} °C	Carrier Gas	Purity %	Rate nm/min	Ref.
IrCl3	Graphite	825-975	H ₂ , CO, Ar	high		68
IrCl4	Graphite	825	H ₂ , CO, Ar	high		н
IrBr ₃	Graphite	825	H ₂ , CO, Ar	high		н
IrF ₆	Graphite	725-875	H ₂ , CO, Ar	high	200	п
$Ir(\eta^3-allyl)_3$	SiO ₂	100	H ₂	>98%		188
$Ir(\eta^3-allyl)_3$	Glass	250-450	H ₂ , Ar, vacuum	3-17% C	0.1-3.3	74
Ir(acac)(1,5-COD)	Cu	400-750	H ₂	85-99%	777	150
$\{(COD)Ir[\mu-OCH_3]\}_2$	Cu	600	H ₂	90-95%		11
$(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{4}CH_{3})Ir(1,5-COD)$	Quartz	120-680	H ₂ , vacuum	>99%	1.3-1.4	215
$(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Ir(1,5-COD)$	Quartz		ano 20	>99%		н
$(1,5-COD)Ir(\mu-OAc)_2$	Quartz		.00 B	>99%		н

Table 8-20 Iridium Chemical Vapor Deposition Conditions

Macklin and Withers showed that IrCl₃, IrCl₄, IrBr₃, and IrF₆ allow CVD of highpurity Ir films but at temperatures near 800 °C.⁶⁸ Based on its high deposition rate in the warm-wall reactor system, IrF₆ was the best precursor for film deposition. In addition, IrF₆ is a gas at room temperature which makes introduction into the reactor more reliable and reproducible. Carbon monoxide was important in increasing deposition rates and reducing the temperature required for deposition. The role of CO was probably to coordinate with the iridium halides to form intermediate carbonyl halide species, especially in the cases of IrCl₃, IrCl₄, and IrBr₃.

One of the most complete studies of Ir CVD was carried out by Hoke et al. who examined $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{4}CH_{3})Ir(1,5-COD)$, $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Ir(1,5-COD)$, and $([1-,5-COD)Ir(\mu-OAc)]_{2}$ as precursors.²¹⁵ The motivation for this work was that Ir halides, $[(1,5-COD)Ir(\mu-OCH_{3})]_{2}$, Ir(acac)(1,5-COD), and $Ir(acac)_{3}$, require deposition temperatures above 500 °C, and in many cases they produce films with measurable impurity levels. In contrast, $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{4}CH_{3})Ir(1,5-COD)$ and $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Ir(1,5-COD)$ allow deposition of high-purity Ir films at temperatures as low as 120 °C in H₂. The advantage of $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{4}CH_{3})Ir(1,5-COD)$ is its low melting point, 40 °C, which makes it available as a liquid source. However, deposition in vacuum leads to films heavily contaminated with carbon. This result can be explained by the low oxophilicity of Ir (the oxide is not stable below 550 °C) which allows deposition in the presence of O₂ which serves to oxidize organic species on the surface and convert them into volatile species which can then desorb from the surface.

Ref. Rate m/min ~33 213 213 ~33 213 ~33 213 ~33 213 ~33 ~33 213 213 ~33 213 ~33 213 ~33 ~33 213

eratures as low as to the precursors $Ir(\beta-diketonate)_3$ ve information is

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 47 IPR2017-00664

8.4.1.19 Zinc

The CVD of pure Zn has not been studied in detail. However, a number of studies have examined deposition of zinc as a component of or dopant in materials such as ZnS, InGaAlP, GaAs, and Zn₃P₂.²¹⁸⁻²²⁷ The precursors that have been used most successfully are Zn(CH₃)₂ and ZnEt₂. In addition, the surface reactions of zinc alkyls on Si(100) surfaces have been investigated.²²⁸ The laser-induced CVD of Zn from Zn(CH₂CH₃)₂ has also been achieved.¹¹³

8.4.1.20 Cadmium

The majority of the work on Cd is for deposition of multicomponent materials where Cd is one component such as in CdS and (Cd,Hg)Te, laser deposition, and as a dopant in materials such as $InP.^{229,230}$ The precursors that have been used most extensively are Cd(CH₃)₂ and CdEt₂. Little information exists for CVD of pure Cd.

8.4.1.21 Tin

Chemical vapor deposition of tin has not been studied extensively.²³¹ However, tin has been formed from SnH₄, Sn(CH₃)₄, Sn(-*n*-Bu)₄, EtSnH₃, and Br₂Sn(-*n*-Bu)₂, and the kinetics of SnH₄ decomposition has been studied in detail (see Section 8.4.2.2). Few data are available for the other species. Most results in the literature are given for laser-induced deposition^{113,232-234} as a component of superconductors (Nb₃Sn),²³⁵ as a component in polymer films,²³⁶ and for incorporation of Sn as a dopant into materials such as In₂O₃,^{237,238} GaAs,^{239,240} and InAs.²⁴¹ Price and Trotman-Dickenson have studied the decomposition of (CH₃)₂SnCl₂ at temperatures between 554 and 688 °C but did not attempt CVD.²⁴² Tin has also been deposited by the pyrolysis of (CH₃)₂SnH₂ or Sn₂Et₆ at 540 °C using CO₂ as a carrier gas.²⁴³ A patent also reports CVD using Sn(CH₃)₄, (CH₃)SnH₃, (CH₃)₂SnH₂, and SnH₄.²⁴⁴ Gonser and Slowter have also studied CVD of Sn.²⁴⁵

8.4.1.22 Lead

Little information exists on CVD of lead. Only a few results are available and these are for photon-induced processes. In these cases, Pb has been deposited primarily from lead alkyls such as PbEt₄. Rigby²⁴⁶ and Chiu et al.²⁴⁷ reported the photodeposition of lead from PbEt₄ at room temperature. Other sources of Pb include PbPh₄²⁴⁸ and Pb(β -diketonate)₂,²⁴⁹ but in these cases the Pb is usually incorporated into other materials such as PbTiO₃ and Pb-Bi-Sr-Ca-Cu-O ceramic superconductors.

8.4 Chemical Vapor Deposition 401

8.4.1.23 Heterometallic Systems

The use of heterometallic precursors for CVD of metal films has not been studied extensively, and little information is available about film purity and deposition rates. Much more work has been done for materials such as 13-15 (GaAs), 14-16 (ZnSe), and other semiconductors.²⁵⁰ Table 8-21 shows results for metals. The primary problem with this approach, in addition to the same problems found for simple precursors, is finding precursors with high vapor pressures and deposition rates while maintaining the stoichiometry of the precursor in the film. Existing heterometallic precursors provide low deposition rates and do not always provide controlled stoichiometries in the films.

Liu et al. reported photochemical vapor deposition from $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})FeCo(CO)_{6}$, $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Fe_{2}Co(CO)_{9}$, HFeCo₃(CO)₁₂, and $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})FeNi(CO)_{3}$ which gave Fe-Co and Fe-Ni films.²⁵¹ The precursors $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})FeCo(CO)_{6}$ and HFeCo₃(CO)₁₂ provided deposition rates of 3.3 and 0.8 nm/min with a substrate temperature of roughly 50 °C and

Table 8-21 Heterometallic Chemical Vapor Deposition Conditions

Precursor	Substrate	T _{substrate} °C	Carrier Gas	Purity	Rate nm/min	Ref.
(η ⁵ -C ₅ H ₅)FeCo(CO) ₆	Al, Si	50	CO	4 % C	3	251
$(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Fe_2Co(CO)_9$	Al, Si	50	CO	(0
HFeCo ₃ (CO) ₁₂	Al, Si	50	CO		0.8	
$(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5)_2 FeNi(CO)_3$	Al, Si	50	CO	2 000 7		
$(H_3Si)_2Fe(CO)_4$	-	670-770	He			252,
(H ₃ Si)Co(CO) ₄		670-770	He	10	51659°C	253
Mn(CO)5(SiH3)	(1494 14))	670-770	He	3 000		
H ₃ SiRe(CO) ₅	3 7777 8	670-770	He		877	0 U
HFeCo ₃ (CO) ₁₂	Glass	300-350	CO	>99%	1.7	254
$(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5)$ FeCo(CO) ₆	Glass	300-350	CO	>99%	1.7	
(CO) ₄ CoGaCl ₂ (THF)	Si, glass	500	H_2	12% C+O+N		48, 255
R2GeMn2(CO)10	SiO ₂	250-500	none, H ₂	<20% C or O	50	256
[Mn(CO) ₅] ₂ SiH ₂	Ni	225-500	none	9-24% O, 18-76% C	60	257, 258
$L(CO)_n M$ - $ER^1 R^2(D)$	Si	200-400			<16	259

where

L = CO, η^5 -C₅H₅, P(CH₃)₃; n = 1-4; M = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni; E = Al, Ga, In; R^1 or $R^2 = H$ or alkyl; D = O or N donor ligand.

number of studies rials such as ZnS, been used most s of zinc alkyls on 'VD of Zn from

it materials where and as a dopant in ist extensively are

231 However, tin $n(-n-Bu)_2$, and the 8.4.2.2). Few data e given for laser-(Nb₃Sn),²³⁵ as a bant into materials n-Dickenson have 54 and 688 °C but of (CH₃)₂SnH₂ or ports CVD using Slowter have also

tvailable and these ted primarily from photodeposition of $_{3}Ph_{4}^{248}$ and Pb(β -ther materials such

were some of the few documented examples of high-purity films. No deposition was observed in the absence of irradiation by the mercury arc lamp. The stoichiometry of the precursor could be maintained in the films.

The precursors $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ FeCo(CO)₆,²⁵⁴ (H₃Si)₂Fe(CO)₄, (H₃Si)Mn(CO)₅, (H₃Si)Co(CO)₄,²⁵²,²⁵³ HFeCo₃(CO)₁₂,²⁵⁴ and (CO)₄CoGaCl₂(THF)^{47,48,255,260,261 have also been examined. Deposition rates were not reported for most of these precursors. For example, HFeCo₃(CO)₁₂ deposited films at temperatures of 300-350 °C with rates of 1.7 - 2.5 nm/min.²⁵⁴}

The CVD of manganese germanide and cobalt germanide films from $R_2GeBr_x[Mn-(CO)_n]_{2-x}$ (M = Mn, Co, x = 0,1) has been examined, and AES data showed that films deposited over the temperature range of 250 to 400 °C retained the Ge:M stoichiometry.²⁵⁶ However, the films were contaminated by carbon.

Fischer²⁵⁹ used several compounds in the family $L(CO)_n MER^1R^2(D)$ (where M and E are metals) to deposit films of CoAl, CoGa, CoIn, NiIn, NiAl, NiGa, MnGa, and MnIn. These precursors are either low-melting solids or liquids with vapor pressures of 10-50 mtorr at room temperature. The metal ratio in the precursor could be retained in the films in some cases, but not in others. Deposition temperatures were 200 to 400 °C and rates of up to 16 nm/min were obtained.

8.4.2 Kinetics and Mechanisms

Determination of the reaction byproduct distribution and the overall stoichiometry is important in understanding the pathways which lead to pure metal deposition. Unfortunately, there is a paucity of information on the identity of the reaction products for most systems. Only a few systems have been studied in sufficient detail to even allow identification of the main reaction products.

This section discusses the different classes of precursors that have been used for metal deposition according to the nature of the ligands and are discussed in the following order: halides, alkyls, alkene and alkyne π -complexes, allyls, metallocenes, carbonyl π -complexes, trifluorophosphine complexes, metal β -diketonates, bi-and multi-nuclear, and heteronuclear precursors. The composition of the product films is also examined because it relates to the reaction pathways and byproduct distributions. These discussions establish a basis for comparison and allow for rational and systematic design of new CVD precursors.

8.4.2.1 Metal Halides

Metal halides in the presence of H_2 or some other reducing agent (which may be the substrate) and without reducing agents at high temperatures allow deposition of pure metals. Deposition has been investigated from virtually all the metal halides. The main

problems with using metal halides as CVD precursors are the low volatility of many of the metal chlorides (see Section 8.2.2.1) and their high thermal decomposition temperatures.

Three deposition mechanisms are possible: (i) reduction by a gas such as H_2 or SiH₄, (ii) reduction via reaction with the substrate (Si for example) to form the metal and another halide species (SiCl₄, for example), and (iii) reaction at high temperatures without a reducing agent to form the metal and the corresponding halogen. These approaches are discussed in detail for W in Chapter 3. Examples of these classes of mechanisms are given below.

An example of the first mechanism is the reaction of MoCl₅ with H₂ which has been studied in some detail (Eq. 8.4). The reaction is first order in MoCl₅ concentration¹⁶⁶ and 3/2 order in H₂.¹⁶⁷

$$MoCl_5 + 5/2 H_2 \rightarrow Mo + 5 HCl$$
 (8.4)

An example of the second mechanism is the reaction of MoF_6 with Si substrates (Eq. 8.5), **168-180**

$$2 \operatorname{MoF}_6 + 3 \operatorname{Si} \rightarrow 2 \operatorname{Mo} + 3 \operatorname{SiF}_4$$

$$(8.5)$$

This reaction, if carried out in H_2 carrier gas, can occur simultaneously with the reaction with H_2 (Eq. 8.6) to give two parallel deposition mechanisms.

$$MoF_6 + 3 H_2 \rightarrow Mo + 6 HF$$
 (8.6)

However, the Si reduction of MoF₆ is much faster than hydrogen reduction (because H_2 must first be dissociated on Mo), and so generally a Mo layer is formed by the reduction of Equation 8.5 followed by steady-state growth according to Equation 8.6. The third mechanism is shown in the reaction of FeF₃ to form Fe. Macheteau¹⁹² has suggested that the reaction of FeF₃ occurs in the gas phase to form FeF₂ (Eq. 8.7), which subsequently reacts on the surface to form high-purity Fe and F₂, (Eq. 8.8).

$$2 \operatorname{FeF}_3 \rightarrow 2 \operatorname{FeF}_2 + \operatorname{F}_2 \quad (\text{gas phase})$$

$$(8.7)$$

$$FeF_2 \rightarrow Fe + F_2$$
 (surface) (8.8)

Other examples of this third mechanism exist. The energetics of the FeI₂ system have been described.²⁶² Similarly, AgF is known to be easily defluorinated above 500 K to liberate F_2 and Ag metal.²⁶³ Studies of the surface chemistry of TaF₅ on Si and SiO₂ have shown that high-purity Ta can be formed without H₂.¹⁵¹ Although no evidence was given, Ta deposition probably proceeds with formation of F_2 (Eq. 8.9).

deposition was chiometry of the

H₃Si)Mn(CO)₅, **17,48,255,260,261**

most of these s of 300-350 °C

n $R_2GeBr_x[Mn-i)$ nowed that films ned the Ge:M

(where M and E nGa, and MnIn. essures of 10-50 ined in the films) °C and rates of

stoichiometry is etal deposition. eaction products ail to even allow

n used for metal following order: arbonyl π -comnuclear, and henined because it assions establish new CVD pre-

hich may be the position of pure lides. The main

$$2 \operatorname{TaF_5} \rightarrow 2 \operatorname{Ta} + 5 \operatorname{F_2}$$
 (8.9)

On silicon substrates, the CVD of metal halides can often lead to formation of metal silicides. These reactions are often the basis for selective deposition (see Ch. 9) as in the case of W (see Ch. 3) and can be used for producing metal silicides (see Ch. 1). The reactions of TiCl₄ on Si surfaces have been studied in some detail and the following overall reaction pathways have been proposed (Eqs. 8.10 and 8.11).²⁶⁴

$$\operatorname{TiCl}_{4(g)} + 3\operatorname{Si}_{(s)} \to \operatorname{TiSi}_{2(s)} + \operatorname{SiCl}_{4(g)}$$

$$(8.10)$$

$$\operatorname{TiCl}_{4(g)} + 2\operatorname{H}_{2(g)} + 2\operatorname{Si}_{(s)} \rightarrow \operatorname{TiSi}_{2(s)} + 4\operatorname{HCl}_{(g)}$$

$$(8.11)$$

In the absence of H₂, excessive Si etching has been observed, as expected, based on the stoichiometry of Equation 8.10. This problem can be solved by using TiCl₄ and SiH₄ as source gases to produce either blanket or selective TiSi₂ deposition.²⁶⁴ Thermodynamic calculations reveal that in contrast to the facile reduction of WF₆ by Si to form W at 600 K, the reduction of TiCl₄ to Ti metal by Si is thermodynamically unfavorable (Eq. 8.12).²⁶⁵

$$\operatorname{TiCl}_{4(g)} + \operatorname{Si}_{(s)} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ti}_{(s)} + \operatorname{SiCl}_{(4)(g)} \qquad \qquad \Delta G^{\circ}_{298} = +26.2 \text{ kcal/mol} \quad (8.12)$$

Similar calculations for the reaction of Equation 8.12 to form TiSi_2 reveal it is thermodynamically favorable, $\Delta \text{G}^f_{298} = -5.4$ kcal/mol. However, the reaction of Equation 8.12 is limited by three factors: (i) inhibition by the native oxide layer on Si, (ii) the relatively low thermodynamic driving force and (iii) the necessity for a supply of Si from the substrate to propagate the reaction.²⁶⁵ Unlike WF₆, TiCl₄ does not react with SiO₂ under normal CVD conditions to give Ti films.

Metal halides also exhibit other deposition mechanisms such as disproportionation. For example, the reduction of TiCl₄ is further complicated by the disproportionation of sub-halides. Thermolysis of TiCl₄ can result in the formation of TiCl₃ and TiCl₂, observed as purple and brown deposits in CVD reactors. The TiCl₃ can disproportionate to form TiCl₄ and TiCl₂ according to Equation 8.13.

$$2 \operatorname{TiCl}_3 \rightarrow \operatorname{TiCl}_4 + \operatorname{TiCl}_2 \tag{8.13}$$

Also, partial reduction of TiCl₄ by Si is possible according to Equation 8.14. These subhalides can then participate in other complicating reactions leading to a poorly controlled chemistry.

$$4 \operatorname{TiCl}_{4(g)} + \operatorname{Si}_{(s)} \longrightarrow 4 \operatorname{TiCl}_{3(g)} + \operatorname{SiCl}_{4(g)}$$

$$(8.14)$$

The deposition of Ti metal from heavier halides such as TiBr₄ and TiI₄ has also been investigated. Metal was deposited on stainless steel substrates with 90% purity, and in the case of laser CVD reactions, a simple reduction to give Ti and Br₂ was proposed.¹²² However, an alternative pathway is possible and involves two disproportionation steps according to reactions 8.15 through 8.17 below.

$$2 \operatorname{Ti}X_4 \rightarrow 2 \operatorname{Ti}X_3 + X_2 \tag{8.15}$$

$$2 \operatorname{Ti}X_3 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ti}X_4 + \operatorname{Ti}X_2 \tag{8.16}$$

$$2 \operatorname{Ti}X_2 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ti}X_4 + \operatorname{Ti}$$
 (8.17)

8.4.2.2 Metal Alkyls

A number of metal alkyl compounds have been used as precursors for CVD experiments but often lead to the formation of metal carbides. The metals that have been examined include Sn, Pb, Zn, Cd, Ti, Cr, Zn, and W. In other cases, the metal alkyls are either not stable, not volatile, or react to give impure films.

Tin has been deposited from SnH₄, Sn(CH₃)₄, Sn(-*n*-Bu)₄, and Br₂Sn(-*n*-Bu)₂. Although not a metal alkyl compound, studies of stannane are relevant and are described here. Stannane, SnH₄, has a melting point of -146 °C and a boiling point of 52.5 °C but is too unstable for most CVD applications.²⁶⁶⁻²⁶⁸ It thermally decomposes even at 298 K to give Sn metal and H₂. The thermal decomposition can be explosive under certain circumstances. The activation parameters for thermal decomposition of SnH₄ reveal an activation energy of 9.1 kcal/mol with rates that are first order in SnH₄ concentration and independent of H₂ pressure.²⁶⁹ When SnD₄ was decomposed in the presence of H₂, no HD was observed, but when a mixture of SnH₄ and SnD₄ was used, HD was found. These interpretations are consistent with a lack of chemisorption of H₂ on a tin surface and provide insight into the mechanism of thermal decomposition of metal hydride intermediates.

Tin(IV) compounds also undergo facile ligand redistribution reactions which can lead ultimately to the deposition of tin metal.²⁷⁰ Analysis of the byproduct distribution of CVD of EtSnH₃ revealed products associated with homolytic (radical) reaction processes resulting in the well-known ligand redistribution reactions for Sn(IV).

$$2 \operatorname{EtSnH}_3 \rightarrow \operatorname{SnH}_4 + \operatorname{Et}_2 \operatorname{SnH}_2 \tag{8.18}$$

$$\text{SnH}_4 \rightarrow \text{Sn} + 2 \text{H}_2$$
 (8.19)

Mechanistic studies of the thermal decomposition of organo-tin compounds during CVD experiments are not widely available, probably because Sn is primarily used as a dopant in other materials. It is possible that a β -hydride elimination mechanism is

(8.9)

mation of metal Ch. 9) as in the see Ch. 1). The d the following

(8.10)

(8.11)

ed, based on the Cl₄ and SiH₄ as Thermodynamic 5 form W at 600 infavorable (Eq.

cal/mol (8.12)

Si₂ reveal it is the reaction of cide layer on Si, / for a supply of 4 does not react

proportionation. oportionation of iCl₃ and TiCl₂, disproportionate

(8.13)

.14. These suboorly controlled

(8.14)

operative (where β -hydrogen atoms are available) analogous to the case of Al, but the homogeneous solution chemistry of tin(IV) alkyls is dominated by radical mechanisms. Thermal decomposition of Ph₃SnH in the gas phase reveals products consisting of a small amount of benzene, SnPh₄, Sn₂Ph₆, and Sn that are consistent with homolytic processes.²⁷¹

Lead, zinc, and cadmium have been primarily used as dopants in other materials; therefore, the thermal decomposition of these metal alkyls in the absence of other species has not been studied in detail. Lead(IV) alkyl compounds probably undergo thermal decomposition by homolytic mechanisms analogous to the photochemically-induced reactions.²⁴⁶ Alkyl lead hydrides, $R_{(4-n)}PbH_n$, undergo thermal and photochemical decomposition below room temperature in the liquid phase by various routes such as those shown in Equation 8.20.²⁷²⁻²⁷⁴ Similar mechanisms may occur in CVD processes.

$$4 (CH_3)_3PbH \rightarrow 3 Pb(CH_3)_4 + Pb + 2 H_2$$
 (8.20)

Zinc and cadmium alkyl compounds also appear to thermally decompose via metalcarbon bond homolysis, although much more attention has been devoted to studies of their photochemically-induced decomposition. The typical temperature for the complete decomposition of Cd(CH₃)₂ in H₂ is ~ 380 °C.²⁷⁵ A study of the adsorption behavior of Zn(CH₃)₂ and ZnEt₂ on Si(100) surfaces revealed that these species dissociated below 300 K to produce alkyl species and zinc metal.²²⁸ At higher temperatures (400-600 K), Zn desorbed and left the alkyl species on the surface. Dimethyl zinc resulted in formation of surface CH₃ groups which either desorbed as CH₃ at 825 K or were dehydrogenated to form CH_x, C, and H₂. Further reaction of these species resulted in formation of acetylene, H₂, and SiC. Diethyl zinc decomposed to give surface Et· groups which underwent β -hydride elimination to give both gas-phase and surface ethylene. The physisorbed ethylene either desorbed at 650 K or decomposed to CH_x, C, and H₂.

Chemical vapor deposition experiments using Ti(CH₂-*t*-Bu)₄ resulted in formation of TiC rather than Ti metal at a substrate temperature as low as 150 °C.⁷⁶ Even in the presence of a hydrogen pressure of 10^{-2} torr, TiC was formed. The films were amorphous as deposited and crystallized only on heating above 1100 °C. Mechanistic studies revealed a reaction pathway that involved α -hydrogen abstraction to form neopentane and a titanium alkylidene intermediate.

Similar observations were made with Cr(CH₂-*t*-Bu)₄ and Cr(N-*i*-Pr₂)₃ which gave amorphous films with Cr:C ratios of 1.4:1 and 1.9:1 as deposited at 330 °C.¹⁵⁸ On annealing at 600 °C for 18 hours under vacuum (10⁻³ torr), crystalline Cr₃C₂ was formed. A byproduct analysis of CVD using Cr(CH₂-*t*-Bu)₄ revealed the presence of neopentane, isobutylene, propene, and tetramethylhexene.¹⁵⁸ A mechanism analogous to that proposed for the thermal decomposition of Ti(CH₂-*t*-Bu)₄ was implicated, although binuclear processes were not ruled out.²⁷⁶ Similar results were observed for other early transition metal alkyl compounds including Zr(CH₂-*t*-Bu)₄ which forms ZrC and (*t*-Bu-CH₂)₃W≡CSi(CH₃)₃ which forms WC.²⁷⁷

se of Al, but the ical mechanisms. sisting of a small with homolytic

other materials; e of other species undergo thermal emically-induced d photochemical s routes such as CVD processes.

(8.20)

npose via metalted to studies of for the complete ption behavior of lissociated below ires (400-600 K), ilted in formation : dehydrogenated in formation of Et groups which : ethylene. The , and H₂. 1 in formation of .⁷⁶ Even in the The films were ^oC. Mechanistic

r₂)₃ which gave 330 °C. 158 On ' $_3$ C₂ was formed. :e of neopentane, lalogous to that licated, although :d for other early s ZrC and (*t*-Bu-

traction to form

8.4.2.3 Complexes with Unsaturated Organic Ligands: Alkenes, Alkynes, Allyls, Metallocenes, and Related Complexes

Alkene and alkyne complexes: Alkene and alkyne π -complexes of metals have been investigated mainly for the cases of Ir, Ru, and Rh. In general, better results have been obtained in the presence of hydrogen in which case hydrogenation of the unsaturated organic ligand could occur. Few generalizations can be made about reaction pathways because of the wide variety of precursors that have been examined.

The reactions of $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Ir(1,5-COD)$ and $(\eta^5-C_5H_4CH_3)Ir(1,5-COD)$ in hydrogen and low O₂ partial pressure led to formation of high-purity (<1% C) Ir films at 120 °C.²¹⁵ However, when the deposition was carried out in vacuo, 80% C was incorporated. Under an H₂ atmosphere, byproduct analysis revealed the presence of only saturated hydrocarbons, cyclooctane, and presumably methylcyclopentane, although this was not stated clearly. The analysis suggested a simple mechanism in which the organic ligands were hydrogenated to form stable volatile species which desorbed from the surface. The volatile byproducts were not collected in the deposition experiment under an O₂ atmosphere, and the lack of oxidation of the film was consistent with the low oxophilicity of Ir suggesting a mechanism in which the organic substances were oxidized to form volatile species which desorbed from the surface.

The compound $[(1,5-COD)Ir(\mu-OAc)]_2$ is thermally unstable and decomposed in the precursor reservoir during CVD experiments in vacuo.²¹⁵ Proton NMR spectroscopy revealed the presence of cyclooctadiene, cyclooctene, and cyclooctane in approximately equal amounts and suggested the alkene portion of the precursor was hydrogenated. Thermogravimetric analysis with mass spectroscopy also revealed the presence of CO₂ and ethane, thought to arise from thermal decomposition of the acetate ligands. This suggests a rather complicated reaction mechanism in which ligand hydrogenation and decomposition both occur where the presence of H₂ or O₂ could drastically change the reaction pathways. In other work, the thermal decomposition of (1,5-COD)Rh(OR) compounds produced 1,5-cyclooctadiene, 1,3-cyclooctadiene, and cyclooctane analogous to the observations for the carboxylate complexes.²⁷⁸

Thermal laser-assisted CVD of $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)M(\eta^2-C_2H_4)_2$ (M = Rh and Ir) revealed some insight into thermal CVD processes.²⁰⁷ Laser-assisted CVD of these compounds under H₂ resulted in formation of pure Rh and Ir films when the substrate was irradiated in the presence of the precursor for 20 min and then the deposit was further irradiated under H₂ for 20 min in the absence of the precursor. Irradiation of the substrate under H₂ for 20 min without a subsequent annealing step and in the absence of the precursor resulted in deposits that analyzed as C:Rh = 5:1. Therefore, the authors proposed that irradiation of $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Rh(\eta^2-C_2H_4)$ resulted in loss of the ethylene ligands, probably in the gas phase, with formation of "Rh $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$." Subsequent annealing with hydrogen resulted in reduction of Rh¹ to Rh⁰ with loss of the hydrogenated cyclopentadienyl ligand. However, under the conditions used, laser-assisted gas-phase reactions seem unlikely

because of the small deposit dimensions and low pressures involved, and loss of the neutral ligand may have occurred during precursor adsorption and reaction.

In other work, the lability of a series of Rh^{I} compounds (L₂RhCl)₂ [where L₂ = 1,5-COD, NBD (norbornadiene) and $(\eta^2-C_2H_4)_2$] was studied with respect to formation of Rh under air, nitrogen, and 7% H₂ in N₂ atmospheres.²⁷⁸ The results revealed that the thermal decomposition behavior depended on the nature of the alkene ligand and the composition of the atmosphere. Under 7% H₂ in N₂ atmosphere, the onset of thermal decomposition of these species occurred in the following order: $L_2 = (\eta^2 - C_2 H_4)_2, 91 \text{ °C};$ NBD, 143 °C; 1,5-COD, 212 °C. Under a nitrogen atmosphere, (L₂RhCl)₂, [L₂ = NBD and $(\eta^2-C_2H_4)_2$, underwent weight loss consistent with loss of one or more alkene ligands followed by formation of Rh metal at higher temperatures. In contrast, [(1,5-COD)RhCl₂ formed Rh metal directly. In air, thermal decomposition generally resulted in formation of crystalline Rh metal followed by oxidation above 500 °C to form crystalline Rh₂O₃, except for $[(\eta^2-C_2H_4)_2RhCl]_2$, which gave Rh₂O₃ directly. The weight loss corresponding to formation of "RhCl" by loss of the neutral ligand was a common feature of these reactions. However, X-ray diffraction studies showed that "RhCl" contained a significant amount of crystalline Rh and was more likely to be the disproportionation products Rh and RhCl₃, formed according to Equation 8.21. These results imply that while a reducing ambient is desirable to facilitate the reduction of the metal, complexes of noble metals can be thermally reduced in air to form the corresponding crystalline metal.

$$3 [L_2 Rh^{(I)} Cl_2] \rightarrow 2 Rh^{(0)} + 4 Rh^{(III)} Cl_3 + 12 L$$
 (8.21)

High-purity Ru films were deposited at 500 °C on Si substrates from the ruthenium alkyne complex Ru(CO)₄[η^2 -C₂(CF₃)₂] under dynamic vacuum.¹⁹⁸ At lower substrate temperature (300 °C), the thickness of the film was noticeably diminished and a yellow crystalline solid was observed. At a substrate temperature of 150 °C, the yellow crystalline solid was formed in sufficient quantity that it could be isolated and characterized as the dimer Ru₂[C₄(CF₃)₄](CO)₆, which was also obtained in homogeneous solution on refluxing the starting material in toluene. As a result of this observation, Senzaki et al.¹⁹⁸ proposed that the first step in thermal decomposition of Ru(CO)₄[η^2 -C₂(CF₃)₂] was carbonyl dissociation in the gas phase (Eq. 8.22).

$$Ru[\eta^2 - C_2(CF_3)]_2(CO)_4 \rightarrow Ru[\eta^2 - C_2(CF_3)_2](CO)_3 + CO$$
 (8.22)

This product may then react with the starting material to form the dimer according to Equation 8.23.

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Ru}[\eta^2 - \operatorname{C}_2(\operatorname{CF}_3)_2](\operatorname{CO})_3] + \operatorname{Ru}[\eta^2 - \operatorname{C}_2(\operatorname{CF}_3)_2](\operatorname{CO})_4 & \to \\ & \operatorname{Ru}_2[\operatorname{C}_4(\operatorname{CF}_3)_4](\operatorname{CO})_6 + \operatorname{CO} \end{aligned} \tag{8.23}$$

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 56 IPR2017-00664 and loss of the

/here $L_2 = 1,5$ to formation of evealed that the ligand and the nset of thermal C₂H₄)₂, 91 °C; L_{2} , $L_{2} = NBD$ or more alkene contrast, [(1,5nerally resulted 00 °C to form directly. The al ligand was a es showed that likely to be the m 8.21. These eduction of the r to form the

(8.21)

the ruthenium lower substrate d and a yellow 'C, the yellow e isolated and o obtained in a result of this composition of 22).

(8.22)

er according to

(8.23)

However, at higher temperatures thermal decomposition of the tricarbonyl intermediate may dominate resulting in Ru deposition (Eq. 8.24).

$$Ru[\eta^2 - C_2(CF_3)_2](CO)_3 \rightarrow Ru + 3 CO + C_2(CF_3)_2$$
 (8.24)

These byproducts were identified by trapping the volatile decomposition product and analyzing by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy and GC-MS-FTIR. Evidence for the species C_4F_{14} and C_4F_{12} was obtained. In addition, $Ru_2[C_4(CF_3)]_4(CO)_6$ also thermally decomposed to give Ru films. However, whether or not the dimeric species is formed as an intermediate during decomposition was not clear.

Allyls: The allyl (C₃H₅ or propenyl) complexes of Ir and Rh provide routes to the pure metals under certain conditions. The reactions of Rh(η^3 -allyl)₃ on TiO₂ surfaces have been investigated under UHV conditions.^{74,209} Tri(η^3 -allyl)rhodium reacted with OH groups on the surface to form a diallylrhodium species. Upon reaction with hydrogen at 60-100 °C, an allylrhodium hydride was formed. Further reaction with hydrogen at 120-150 °C resulted in formation of TiO₂-RhH₂ which reductively eliminated H₂ above 400 °C to yield Rh metal. No direct analysis of reaction products was reported; however, these results suggest that the purity of films can be increased by the presence of hydrogen.

In a comparison of the CVD of $M(\eta^3-\text{allyl})_3$ (M = Rh and Ir) in vacuo, under H₂, and in the presence of H·, the metal films were contaminated with C to approximately the same extent in vacuo and under H₂ (13-17 at.% C).⁷⁴ However, introduction of atomic hydrogen increased the purity (2 at.% C) of Ir and Rh films deposited in plasma-assisted experiments. It was proposed that the atomic H· aids cleavage of the M-allyl bonds with formation of propene and propane. Any carbon incorporated during deposition is then preferentially etched from the growing film by the plasma.⁷⁴

A detailed study of the thermal decomposition pathway of $M(\eta^3-allyl)_4$ (M = Mo and W) has been carried out in both a partial pressure of H₂ and under vacuum.⁷⁵ The volatile byproducts were trapped and analyzed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The films deposited from these precursors consisted primarily of the carbides, MC, together with graphitic carbon as determined by XPS, when the deposition was carried out under vacuum. Formation of unidentified metal oxides (due to failure to scrub the H₂ carrier gas) was observed under H₂. The major volatile byproducts (>95%) identified by ¹H NMR spectroscopy were propene and propane. Minor amounts of benzene, ethylene, and ethane were also observed. No evidence for hexadiene was found, in contrast to the CVD of Pd(η^3 -allyl)₂ where the product distribution was 67% propene and 33% hexadiene,⁷⁶ Te(η^1 -allyl)₂ where hexadiene was exclusively observed,¹⁷⁵ and Se(η^1 -allyl)₂²⁷⁹ where a 2 : 1 ratio of propene to hexadiene was observed. The absence of hexadiene in the case of $M(\eta^3$ -allyl)₄ suggests homolytic bond dissociation processes did not occur. A β -hydride elimination mechanism would favor formation of allene or propyne as observed for [η^5 -C₅(CH₃)₅]₂Ta(η^3 -allyl) in solution. Reaction pathways involving either α -

hydride elimination or vinyl C-H activation are proposed to be more reasonable for the Mo and W species. These accounted for the observed decomposition products and the resulting increase in the M-C bond order of the intermediate which would favor metal carbide formation. These experiments show the poor characteristics of early transition metal allyl complexes for deposition of pure metal films.

Metallocenes and Related Complexes: Metallocenes, $M(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2$, used in conjunction with H₂ often allow deposition of pure metals. The metallocenes that have been studied in most detail include those of Fe, Cr, Ru, Co, Mo, Ni, and Nb. However, the deposition temperatures tend to be high, often over 500 °C. A patent has discussed CVD using Mn, Ti, Mg, and V cyclopentadienyls at temperatures of 500 to 800 °C.²⁸⁰ Two classes of reaction pathways are found, one in which the C₅H₅⁻ ligand reacts to form various volatile and involatile species and one in which it reacts with hydrogen to give C₅H₆. A problem with the use of many metallocene precursors is that the dissociation of the organic portion of the precursor on the metal surface frequently leads to significant C incorporation. For example, CVD using Cr(η^6 -C₆H₆)₂ and Cr(cumen)₂ leads to formation of Cr and Cr₃C₂.^{77,159} Similarly, CVD using Ti(η^6 -C₆H₆)₂ leads to TiC.²⁸⁰

Although CVD using $M(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2$ has been studied extensively, detailed studies of mechanistic aspects are quite limited. In the absence of hydrogen the initial product $C_5H_5^{\bullet}$ can react to form dihydrofulvalene or disproportionate heterogeneously to incorporate C in the growing film. Under H₂, the reaction of Equation 8.25 has been proposed.³¹

$$M(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})_{2} + n H_{2} \rightarrow M(s) + 2 C_{5}H_{5+n(g)} \qquad n = 1,3,5$$
 (8.25)

This idea is supported by results in which $Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2$ gives pure Fe at temperatures ranging from 400 to 900 °C, and $Co(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2$ deposits pure Co between 300 and 700 °C. However, $Ni(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2$ cannot be used under these conditions due to reaction with H_2 at the transport temperature.

Chemical vapor deposition using $\text{Ru}(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_2$ has been studied in some detail. In the presence of oxygen, reaction of $\text{Ru}(\eta^5-\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_2$ leads to formation of Ru and RuO_2 (Eq. 8.26), which can be converted to RuO_4 (Eq. 8.27). This latter species can desorb at higher temperatures (near 900 °C) (Eq. 8.28) to provide the pure metal.³⁵ However, this approach is necessarily a high-temperature approach which is incompatible with many substrates.

$$\operatorname{Ru}(\eta^{5}-\operatorname{C_5H_5})_{2(g)} + \operatorname{O}_{2(g)} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ru}_{(s)} \text{ and } \operatorname{RuO}_{2(s)}$$
 (8.26)

$$2 \operatorname{RuO}_{2(s)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ru}_{(s)} + \operatorname{RuO}_{4(s)}$$
 (8.27)

$$\operatorname{RuO}_{4(s)} \rightarrow \operatorname{RuO}_{4(g)}$$
 (8.28)

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 58 IPR2017-00664 easonable for the products and the ould favor metal f early transition

 2 5H5)₂, used in locenes that have d Nb. However, ent has discussed 00 to 800 °C.**280** ind reacts to form hydrogen to give he dissociation of s to significant C mene)₂ leads to leads to TiC.**280** etailed studies of he initial product terogeneously to on 8,25 has been

,5 (8.25)

e at temperatures between 300 and is due to reaction

some detail. In of Ru and RuO₂ vies can desorb at
However, this atible with many

(8.26)

(8.27)

The reactivity of other unsaturated π -complexes of metals was investigated for Cr, Mo, and Co. The case of (η^{6} -C₇H₈)Cr(CO)₃ (C₇H₈ = cycloheptatriene) was investigated in detail¹⁵⁷ and resulted in formation of Cr with high levels of C and small amounts of O. Analysis of the products showed formation of C₇H₈ (unchanged), C₆H₆ (loss of CH₂), cylcoheptadiene (reaction with H₂), toluene (rearrangement), and styrene (addition of C). Labelling studies with ¹³CO showed that the majority of the carbon in the films derived from the C₇H₈. The cleavage of the C₇H₈ was proposed as the mechanism for carbon incorporation. This study shows the complex reaction chemistry that is possible in some cases.

The reactions of $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Co(CO)_2$ in hydrogen and helium have been studied, and deposition was observed between 300 and 600 °C. Films without hydrogen contained equal amounts of carbon and cobalt while films deposited in hydrogen had far lower levels of carbon.²⁰¹ Although the byproduct distribution was not studied, Equation 8.29 was proposed to account for the deposition of pure Co under H₂. This equation again relies on hydrogenation of the organic ligand to form a stable, volatile reaction product.

$$(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Co(CO)_{2(g)} + 1/2 nH_{2(g)} \rightarrow Co_{(s)} + 2 CO_{(g)} + C_{5}H_{5+n(g)}$$
 (8.29)

Chemical vapor deposition of $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Cr(CO)_3H$ leads to formation of involatile products and cyclopentadiene, dicyclopentadiene, and cyclopentene suggesting that protonation and $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ -Cr bond homolysis also occurred.¹⁵⁸ It was suggested that the carbon was derived from the C₅H₅⁻ ring and not from the CO based on the results of Truex¹⁵⁷ for $(\eta^6-C_7H_8)Cr(CO)_3$.

8.4.2.4 Metal Carbonyls

Metal carbonyl complexes have been studied extensively as precursors for CVD of Co, Fe, Mo, and Cr. In the cases of Co and Fe, high-purity deposits can be obtained. In contrast, most other metal carbonyls produce films that are contaminated with metal carbides, metal oxides, and graphitic carbon. The reason for this is that CO is dissociatively adsorbed on most metal surfaces and as a result C and O are incorporated into the film.

The most well-studied system is $Fe(CO)_5$. Ultra-high vacuum studies have shown that reaction on the first monolayer involves dissociation of the CO to form an oxygen overlayer. After the formation of this overlayer, dissociation of the CO no longer occurs and the reaction proceeds by Equation 8.30.

$$Fe(CO)_5 \rightarrow Fe + 5 CO$$
 (8.30)

Adsorbing and dissociating $Fe(CO)_5$ molecules displace the oxygen overlayer as deposition continues. Thus, the only carbon impurities in the film are found at the interface with the substrate.¹⁹⁴

Zaera has also studied the surface chemistry of iron pentacarbonyl using TPD and XPS.²⁸¹ Desorption was molecular and took place in two stages. Most of the adsorbate desorbed near 180-195 K. A small fraction of the adsorbed precursor decomposed as was shown by XPS. The fragmentation took place between 170 and 240 K and resulted in Fe and chemisorbed CO. However, high-purity films could be grown at steady state conditions because the incoming reactant displaced CO from the surface; these results agree with those above.¹⁹⁴ Similar results were obtained by Jackman and Foord²⁸² who also studied the UV photolysis of adsorbed iron pentacarbonyl under UHV conditions.

Syrkin and Kir'yanov studied the thermodynamics of iron CVD using $Fe(CO)_5$.²⁸³ Analysis of phase diagrams suggested that only Fe, Fe₃C, and Fe₃O₄ can exist at temperatures between 200 and 300 °C. They concluded that small amounts of Fe₃C and Fe₃O₄ could be formed during CVD due to the reaction of Fe with CO.

Reactions of many metal carbonyls lead to formation of metal carbides due to the catalytic dissociation of CO on the metal. One example is the reaction of $Cr(CO)_6$ (Eq. 8.31).⁸¹

$$Cr(CO)_{6(g)} \rightarrow Cr_{(s)} + Cr_2O_{3(s)} + Cr_3C_{2(s)} + CO_{(g)}$$
 (8.31)

These results suggest that metal carbonyls are good choices as precursors only for those elements which do not allow surface dissociation of CO. In cases where dissociation occurs, it may be possible to remove some of the carbon and oxygen impurities by reaction with added reagents.

The reactions of Mo(CO)₆ have been studied in some detail and provide an example where film purity can be increased by creating additional reaction pathways.¹⁷³ In certain temperature ranges, the dissociation of CO can be minimized to provide relatively pure Mo. Various strategies for removal of C from the surface were devised to improve the film purity over a wider temperature range. One approach is to add water which can react with carbon in various forms to form hydrogen and CO which then desorb from the surface during film growth (Eq. 8.32).

$$H_2O_{(g)} + C_{(s)} \rightarrow H_{2(g)} + CO_{(g)}$$

$$(8.32)$$

However, the addition of water can also lead to formation of MoO_3 , so this approach has limited utility. Similarly, addition of H_2S can increase film purity (Eq. 8.33), but again only under certain conditions.

$$H_2S_{(g)} + C_{(s)} \rightarrow 2 H_{2(g)} + CS_{2(g)}$$

$$(8.33)$$

Another approach is to introduce CO₂ which oxidizes C to CO (Eq. 8.34).

$$C_{(s)} + CO_{2(g)} \rightarrow 2 CO_{(g)}$$
 (8.34)

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 60 IPR2017-00664

l using TPD and t of the adsorbate composed as was ind resulted in Fe i at steady state ace; these results nd Foord²⁸² who V conditions. sing Fe(CO)5.²⁸³ sO4 can exist at unts of Fe₃C and

bides due to the of Cr(CO)₆ (Eq.

(8.31)

ors only for those here dissociation en impurities by

vide an example bathways.¹⁷³ In provide relatively vised to improve water which can h desorb from the

(8.32)

this approach has . 8.33), but again

(8.33)

).

(8.34)

Again, this approach was only useful for certain conditions, especially since reaction 8.34 regenerates CO which was responsible for the impurity incorporation in the first place. An analogous approach for removing impurities from surfaces during film growth has been used for tungsten CVD from organometallic precursors (see Ch. 3).

8.4.2.5 Trifluorophosphines

Complexes with PF₃ have been used to deposit metal films at temperatures between 200 and 300 °C. Related complexes include the phosphine complexes of Cu(I) compounds.⁸⁵⁻⁸⁷ The trifluorophosphine complexes of Cr, Mo, Fe, Ru, Co, Rh, and Ir are volatile and suitable for CVD. However, few results are available in the literature for these precursors. A likely reaction pathway for some metals is the reaction of the precursor to give the metal and adsorbed PF₃. The PF₃ can desorb from the surfaces of many metals. For example (see Ch. 5), P(CH₃)₃ cleanly desorbs from copper surfaces to give high-purity copper when precursors incorporating PR₃ ligands are utilized.

The reactions of $[(PF_3)_2RhCl]_2$ have been investigated in some detail.²⁰⁸ Trapping of reaction products showed formation of PF₃ as the only volatile product. No fluorine was found in the films by XPS and XRD. The films did, however, contain chlorine. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy also revealed the presence of rhodium in both reduced (Rh⁰) and oxidized (Rh^{III}) states. Crystalline Rh was identified by X-ray powder diffraction. As a result of these observations, the authors suggested that the PF₃ ligand desorbed intact from the surface after reaction of $[(PF_3)_2RhCl]_2$ leading to formation of Rh and RhCl₃ according to the disproportionation reaction of Eq. (8.35).²⁰⁸

$$3 [(PF_3)_2 RhCl]_2 \rightarrow 4 Rh + 2 RhCl_3 + 12 PF_3 \qquad (8.35)$$

8.4.2.6 Metal β-diketonates

Many metal β -diketonates in the presence of hydrogen allow deposition of high-purity metal. This is similar to the situation for CVD of copper from Cu(β -diketonate)₂ species (Chs. 4 and 5). The major disadvantages are that in some cases the deposition temperature is high and the vapor pressures are relatively low leading to low deposition rates. The metals Co, Cr, Fe, Rh, and Ru have been studied in most detail; however, only a few studies of the reaction byproducts have been reported. More details of the decomposition mechanisms of β -diketonate ligands have recently been obtained from UHV studies of Cu(I) and Cu(II) β -diketonate compounds (see Chs. 4 and 5). These studies suggest that the two major reaction pathways are formation of the β -diketone via reaction with H₂ and decomposition of the β -diketonate ligand to form a variety of products. The latter is undesirable because it can lead to impurities. An example of these two reaction pathways is CVD using Co(acac)₂. No deposition was observed in the absence of hydrogen. In the presence of hydrogen, both (acac)H and other less volatile species were formed (Eq. 8.36).¹⁰⁰

$$Co(acac)_2 + H_2 \rightarrow Co + 2 (acac)H + solids$$
 (8.36)

These species were probably formed by the catalytic reactions of the acac ligand on the Co surface (Eq. 8.36).

8.4.2.7 Bi- and Multi-Nuclear Complexes

Several bi- and multi-nuclear complexes have been used for CVD of Mn, Fe, and Co. These complexes include metal carbonyls such as $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ and $Co_2(CO)_8$ and more complex species such as $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Fe_2(CO)_4$ and $Rh_2(Cl)_2(CO)_4$. However, in only a few cases have the reaction products been examined. The reaction of $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Fe_2(CO)_4$ leads to formation of several products (Equation 8.37).¹⁹⁶

$$(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})_{2}Fe_{2}(CO)_{4} \rightarrow Fe(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})_{2} + C_{5}H_{6} + 3$$
-penten-1-yne (8.37)

The 3-penten-1-yne was proposed to be derived from a ring opening reaction of the $(C_5H_5)^-$ ligand. This ligand decomposition can lead to carbon contamination as observed in other cases such as copper deposition from $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ CuL complexes (see Ch. 5).

8.4.2.8 Heteronuclear Complexes

Heteronuclear complexes have been used to deposit films containing numerous metals. One of the main motivations for understanding the reaction pathways is to develop strategies to allow deposition of films with controlled stoichiometry. This requires that after adsorption and reaction of the precursor, both of the desired elements are retained in the film even if two species are formed, each containing one of the metals found in the precursor. Therefore, it is desirable to find reaction pathways that do not result in formation of volatile metal-containing intermediates or that give intermediates that, if formed, react rapidly to give pure metal. Only in a few cases has enough information been obtained to answer these questions.

The reaction of (CO)₄CoGaCl₂(THF) in hydrogen led to formation of CoGa, CH₄, THF, CO, acetone, and HCl.⁴⁸ The CO and acetone are presumably formed from THF decomposition on the surface or from the carbonyl. The films had 3% C at 450 °C with lower C content at lower temperatures but with correspondingly higher O content. Deposition in inert gas gave much higher carbon content.⁴⁸ The precursor stoichiometry could be retained in the film.

Films of Fe-Co and Fe-Ni deposited using $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})FeCo(CO)_{6}$, $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})Fe_{2}Co(CO)_{9}$, HFeCo₃(CO)₁₂, and $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})FeNi(CO)_{3}$ contained roughly 4 to 8% C and O.^{251,254} Addition of oxygen during deposition resulted in formation of the metal oxides. The C and O contamination was presumably derived from both the $(C_{5}H_{5})^{-}$ and CO ligands, although no evidence was given. Again, the same stoichiometry was found in both the precursor and film.

Reaction of H₃SiCo(CO)₄ led to the formation of H₂, CO, SiH₄, and CH₄, which suggests the stoichiometry was not preserved. The CH₄ was proposed to derive from the reaction of CO with H₂.²⁵³ Stauf et al. studied the deposition of Mn₂Si from Mn₂(CO)₁₀(μ -SiH₂).²⁵⁸ This same stoichiometry was preserved in the film. However, high levels of O and C were observed in films deposited at all temperatures from 300 to 500 °C. The source of the C was thought to be the carbonyl groups which did not fully desorb from the surface.

The reactions of $L(CO)_nM$ - $ER^1R^2(D)$ (see Table 8-21) have been examined via film characterization and volatile byproduct analysis.²⁵⁹ The following reaction was proposed:

$$L(CO)_n M-ER^1 R^2(D) \rightarrow M_1 E_{1-x} + x ER_3 + D + nCO + organic fragments$$
 (8.38)

where the terms are defined in Table 8-21. In many cases, the metal ratio of the precursor was not necessarily reproduced in the films. For example, during reaction of $(CO)_4CoGa[CH_2Si(CH_3)_3]_2(THF)$, the formation of $Ga[CH_2Si(CH_3)_3]_3$ was observed. It was suggested that the stoichiometry could be maintained if the formation of group 13 alkyls is much slower than fragmentation to give involatile intermediates which react to form the metal. In general, complex chemistry was observed for the many systems that were studied.

8.4.3 Selectivity

The selectivity for deposition onto one type of surface in the presence of another has not been studied for the metals discussed in this chapter except in a few cases. A review by Carlsson discusses selective CVD of metals including Mo on patterned substrates.²⁸⁴ In the presence of SiO₂, MoF₆ can provide selective deposition on Si.¹⁶⁶⁻¹⁷⁰ Selectivity is obtained because the MoF₆ cannot react with SiO₂ while Si can reduce the Mo as shown by Equation 8.39.

$$2 \operatorname{MoF}_6 + 3 \operatorname{Si} \rightarrow 2 \operatorname{Mo} + 3 \operatorname{SiF}_4$$

$$(8.39)$$

The selectivity of $Co(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2$ has been studied for Si/SiO₂.^{31,201} Dicyclopentadienylcobalt is selective for SiO₂ and does not deposit on Si when hydrogen is present. In the absence of hydrogen, no deposition takes place on SiO₂ or Si up to 900 °C. Similarly, Fe($\eta^5-C_5H_5$)₂ deposits on SiO₂ and not on Si when deposition is carried out in hydrogen. ^{31,201} Again, no deposition was observed on either SiO₂ or Si in the absence of hydrogen. In contrast, Co₂(CO)₈ was not selective for Si, reacted on both SiO₂ and Si, and behaved similarly in both He and H₂.

(8.36)

cac ligand on the

¹Mn, Fe, and Co. $_2(CO)_8$ and more owever, in only a eaction of (η^5-196)

-yne (8.37)

g reaction of the ation as observed (see Ch. 5).

numerous metals. ys is to develop This requires that its are retained in stals found in the do not result in mediates that, if pugh information

of CoGa, CH₄, ormed from THF C at 450 °C with igher O content. sor stoichiometry

D)6, $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ ighly 4 to 8% C tion of the metal the $(C_5H_5)^-$ and metry was found

Tantalum pentachloride is not selective for Si in the presence of SiO₂ in contrast to MoF₆ and WF₆ (see Ch. 3).¹⁵¹ However, UHV studies have shown that TaCl₅ is a good precursor for blanket deposition of Ta.¹⁵¹

In general, few studies have addressed selective CVD using the precursors considered in this chapter. It is likely, however, that many of these precursors would exhibit selectivity if reacted under appropriate conditions and that the surface modification techniques discussed in Chapters 5 and 9 would allow some tailoring of the selectivity. General principles of selective CVD are discussed in Chapter 9.

8.5 Summary and Conclusions

Chemical vapor deposition of the metals discussed in this chapter (Ti, Zr, Hf, V, Nb, Ta, Cr, Mo, Mn, Tc, Re, Fe, Ru, Os, Co, Rh, Ir, Zn, Cd, Sn, and Pb) has been studied to a much lesser extent than CVD of metals such as W, Al, Cu, Au, Ag, Pt, Pd, and Ni. However, several general statements can be made.

- The metal halides, carbonyls, and cyclopentadienyls have been studied most extensively. (Relatively few organometallic precursors have been examined.)
- The major classes of metal-organic and organometallic precursors that have been studied are carbonyls, alkenes, alkynes, allyls, cyclopentadienyls, arenes, and βdiketonates.
- For most of the metals, low-temperature CVD of high-purity metal has not yet been demonstrated. This is a particularly important issue for metals such as Ti which have applications in the microelectronics industry.
- Little is known about the reaction pathways and kinetics of CVD of the metals discussed in this chapter except for Co, Mo, Ta, Cr, and Fe.
- Other than selective Mo CVD which has been examined in detail, there have been only a few studies of the selective deposition onto one type of surface in the presence of another.
- Heteronuclear single-source precursors have only been investigated in a few cases. These precursors may provide alternative routes to more complex materials such as silicides, germanides, and alloy phases and may also allow better control of compositional homogeneity, stoichiometry, and phase purity. However, the benefits have not yet been clearly demonstrated. These precursors have the disadvantage of relatively low vapor pressure, although this problem can be overcome by using alternative precursor delivery methods (see Ch. 9).
- Hydrogen may be added to improve the purity of films produced with precursors containing halide, cyclopentadienyl, β-diketonate, allyl, alkene, and alkyne ligands.

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 64 IPR2017-00664

 O_2 in contrast to it TaCl₅ is a good

ursors considered rs would exhibit face modification of the selectivity.

Fi, Zr, Hf, V, Nb, been studied to a ; Pt, Pd, and Ni.

en studied most amined.) s that have been 1, arenes, and β -

has not yet been as Ti which have

'D of the metals

, there have been ce in the presence

1 in a few cases. materials such as better control of ever, the benefits e disadvantage of ercome by using

1 with precursors alkyne ligands.

 The addition of oxygen improves film purity in cases where the metal does not form a stable oxide. The oxygen allows oxidation of organic species to form volatile products.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Judith Binder for her comments and assistance in preparing the manuscript. We also thank Teresa Powers, Dr. Christophe Roger, Dr. Yoshi Senzaki, Steve Droes, and Tom Corbitt for their helpful comments. In addition, we thank Professors Alfred Zinn and William Rees for their useful observations.

References

- 1. Diesburg, D.E., Castel, E.D. J. Met. 1989, June, 23.
- O'Brien, P., in *Inorganic Materials*, Bruce, D.W., O'Hare, D. (eds.), John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1992.
- 3. Zanella, P., Rossetto, G., Brainese, N., Ossula, F., Porchia, M., Williams, J.O. *Chem. Mater.* **1981**, *3*, 225.
- 4. Dupuis, R.D. Science 1984, 226, 623.
- 5. Smith, F.T.J. Prog. Solid State Chem. 1989, 19, 111.
- 6. Stringfellow, G.B. Organometallic Vapor-Phase Epitaxy: Theory and Practice, Academic, New York, 1989.
- 7. Razeghi, M. The MOCVD Challenge, Higer, London, 1989.
- 8. Stringfellow, G.B., in *Semiconductors and Semimetals*, *Part A*, Tsang, W.T. (ed.), Academic, London, 1985, 22, 209.
- 9. Razeghi, M., in *Semiconductors and Semimetals*, *Part A*, Tsang, W.T. (ed.), Academic, London, 1985, 22, 299.
- 10. Ludowise, M.J. J. Appl. Phys. 1985, 58, R31.
- 11. Feist, W.M., Steele, S.R., Readey, D.W., in *Science of Thin Films, V*, Hass, G., Thun, R.E. (eds.), Academic, New York, 1979, 237-322.
- 12. Dapkus, P.D. J. Cryst. Growth 1984, 68, 345.
- 13. Hitchman, M.L., Jensen, K.F. (eds.), *Chemical Vapor Deposition: Principles and Applications*, Academic, New York, 1993, 677.
- 14. Kern, W., Ban, V.S., in *Thin Film Processes*, Vossen, J.L., Kern, W. (eds.), Academic, New York, 1978, Chapter III-2.
- Maissel, L.I., Glang, R. (eds.), *Handbook of Thin Film Technology*, McGraw Hill, New York, 1970.
- 16. Sedgewick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on CVD*, ECS, Princeton, NJ, 1979.
- 17. Vossen, J.L., Kern, W. (eds.), Thin Film Processes, Academic, New York, 1978.

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 65 IPR2017-00664

- 18. Wakefield, G.F., Blocker, Jr., J.M. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on CVD*, ECS, Princeton, NJ, 1973.
- 19. Shaffhauser, A.C. (ed.), Proceedings of the Conference on CVD of Refractory Metals, AIME, 1967.
- 20. Pierson, H.D. Handbook of Chemical Vapor Deposition, Noyes, Park Ridge, NJ, 1992.
- 21. Jensen, K.F., in *Microelectronics Processing*, Hess, D.W., Jensen, K.F. (eds.), American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C., 1989.
- 22. Galasso, F.S. Chemical Vapor Deposited Materials, CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1991.
- 23. Snow, E.H., Grove, A.S., Deal, B.E., Sah, C.T. J. Appl. Phys. 1965, 36, 1664.
- 24. Green, M.L., Levy, R.A. J. Metals 1985, June, 37, 63.
- 25. Murarka, S.P. Peckarar, M.C., in *Electronic Materials Science and Technology*, Academic, San Diego, 1989.
- 26. Wolf, S. Tauber, R.N., in *Silicon Processing for VLSI Era*, *I: Process Technology*, Lattice, Sunset Beach, CA, 1986.
- 27. Sheward, J.A., Young, W.J. Vacuum 1986, 36, 37.
- 28. Miyake, M., Hirooka, Y., Imoto, R., Sano, T. Thin Solid Films 1979, 63, 303.
- 29. Miyake, M., Hirooka, Y., Imoto, R., Sano, T. Thin Solid Films 1981, 79, 75.
- 30. Gross, M.E., Schnoes-Kranz, D., Brasen, D., Luftman, H. J. Vac. Sci. Tech. B 1988, 6, 1548.
- 31. Dormans, G.J.M. J. Crystal Growth 1991, 108, 806.
- 32. Motojima, S., Kuri, S., Hattori, T. J. Less Common Metals 1986, 124, 193.
- 33. Murarka, S.P. Silicides for Very Large Scale Integration Applications, Academic, New York, 1983.
- 34. Ehrlich, D.J., Tsao, J. Y. (eds.), *Laser Microfabrication*, Academic, New York, 1989.
- Green, M.L., Gross, M.E., Papa, L.E., Schnoes, K.J., Brasen, D. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1985, 132, 2677.
- Macrander, A.T., Long, J.A., Riggs, V.G., Bloemeke, A.F., Johnston, Jr., W.D. Appl. Phys. Lett. 1984, 45, 1297.
- Cheng, W.H., Pooladdej, J., Huang, S.Y., Buehring, K.D., Applebaum, A., Wolf, D., Renner, D., Hess, K.L., Zehr, S.W. Appl. Phys. Lett. 1988, 53, 1257.
- 38. Klabunde, K., Li, Y.-X., Tan, B.-J. Chem. Mater. 1991, 3, 30.
- 39. Gates, B.C., in *Catalyst Design, Progress and Perspectives*, Hegedus, L.L. (ed.), John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1987.
- 40. Campbell, I.M., in Catalysis at Surfaces, Chapman Hall, New York, 1988.
- 41. Gurav, A., Kodas, T., Pluym, T., Xiong, Y. Aerosol Sci. Technol. 1993, 19, 411.
- 42. Henglein, A. Top. Curr. Chem. 1988, 113, 156.
- 43. Marquardt, P., Nimtz, G., Muhlschlegel, B. Solid State Communications 1998, 65, 539.
- 44. Bawendi, M.C., Steigerwald, M.L., Brus, L.E. Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1990, 41, 477.

th International D of Refractory Park Ridge, NJ, sen, K.F. (eds.), aton, FL, 1991. 5, 36, 1664. and Technology, ess Technology, 9, 63, 303. 1, 79, 75. ac. Sci. Tech. B 24, 193. ions, Academic, nic, New York, J. Electrochem. nston, Jr., W.D. baum, A., Wolf, 1257. edus, L.L. (ed.), :, 1988. 993, 19, 411. ations 1998, 65, Chem. 1990, 41,

45.	Che, M., Bennett, C.O., in Advances in Catalysis, Eley, D.D., Pines, H., Weisz,
	P.B. (eds.), Academic, New York, 1989, 36, 55.
46.	Glassl, H., Hayek, R., Kramer, R. J. Catal. 1981, 68, 397.
47.	Maury, F., Talin, A.A., Kaesz, H.D., Williams, R.S. Appl Phys. Lett. 1992, 61,
	1075.
48.	Chen, YJ., Kaesz, H.D., Kim, YK., Muller, HJ., Williams, R.S., Xue, Z. Appl.
	Phys. Lett. 1989, 55, 2760.
49.	Ehrlich, D.J., Tsao, J.Y. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 1983, B, 1, 969.
50.	Houle, F. Appl. Phys. A 1986, 41, 315.
51.	Bauerle, D. Chemical Processing with Lasers, Springer Verlag, New York, 1986.
52.	Wood, J.M., Frey, F.W. Proc. Conf. CVD of Refractory Metals, Shaffhauser, A.C.
	(ed.), AIME, 1967, 205.
53.	Crisler, L.R., Eggerman, W.G. US Patent 3 832 222, Aug. 27, 1974.
54.	Vandenbulcke, L., Vuillard, G. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1976, 123, 278.
55.	Pierson, H.O., Mullendore, A.W., in Proceedings of the 7th International
	Conference on CVD, Sedgewick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), ECS, Princeton, NJ,
	1979, 360.
56.	Van Arkel, A.E. Metallwirtschaft 1934, 13, 405.
57.	Cotton, F.A., Wilkinson, G., Advanced Inorganic Chemistry, 5th Ed., John Wiley
	and Sons, New York, 1988.
58.	Greenwood, N.N., Earnshaw, A., Chemistry of the Elements, 1st Ed., Pergamon,
	Oxford, 1984.
59.	Huheey, J.E. Inorganic Chemistry, Principles of Structure and Reactivity, 3rd Ed.,
	Harper and Row, New York, 1983.
60.	Schriver, D.F., Atkins, P.W., Langford, C.H. Inorganic Chemistry, W.H. Freeman
	& Co., New York, 1990.
61.	Fujii, H., Kimura, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1970, 43, 1933.
62.	Van Den Berg, J.M. Acta Cryst. 1962, 15, 1051.
63.	Rundle, R.E., Olson, D.H. Inorg. Chem. 1964, 3, 596.
64.	Wells, A.F. Structural Inorganic Chemistry, 5th Ed., Clarendon, Oxford, 1986.
65.	Lister, M.W., Sutton, L.E. Trans. Farad. Soc. 1941, 37, 406.
66.	Wakefield, G.F. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1969, 116, 5.
67.	Hanni, W., Hintermann, H.E. Thin Solid Films 1977, 40, 107.
68.	Macklin, B.A., Withers, J.C., in Proceedings of the Conference on CVD of
	Refractory Metals, Shaffhauser, A.C. (ed.), AIME, 1967, 161.
69.	Girolami, G.S., Gozum, J.E. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 168, 319.
70.	Collman, J.P., Hegedus, L.S., Norton, J.R., Finke, R.G. Principles and
	Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry, University Science Books,
	California, 1987.
71.	Davidson, P.J., Lappert, M.F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. 1973, 317.

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 67 IPR2017-00664

- Davis, R., Kane-Maguire, L.A.P., in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, Wilkinson, G., Stone, F.G.A., Abel, E.W. (eds.), Pergammon, Oxford, 1982, Ch. 27.2.
- 73. Wilke, G., Begdanovic, B., Hardt, P., Heimbach, P., Keim, W., Kroner, M., Oberkirch, W., Tanaka, K., Steinrucke, E., Walter, D., Zimmerman, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1966, 5, 151.
- 74. Smith, D.C., Pattillo, S.G., Elliott, N.E., Zocco, T.G., Burns, C.J., Laia, J.R., Sattelberger, A.P. *Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* **1990**, *168*, 369.
- 75. Kirss, R.U., Chen, J., and Hallock, R.B. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1992, 250, 303.
- 76. Gozum, J.E., Pollina, D.M., Jensen, J.A., Girolami, G.S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2688.
- 77. Anantha, N.G., Doo, V.Y., Seto, D.K. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1971, 118, 163.
- 78. Braga, D., Grepioni, F., Orpen, A.G. Organometallics 1993, 12, 1481.
- 79. Rayner, D.M., Nazran, A.S., Drouin, M., Hackett, P.A. J. Phys. Chem. 1986, 90, 2882.
- 80. Shinn, N.D., Madaey, T.E. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 83, 5928.
- 81. Owen, B.B., Webber, R.T. Met. Technol. 1948, 15, 230.
- 82. Psaro, R., Fusi, A., Ugo, R., Basset, J.M., Smith, A.K., Hugues, F. J. Mol. Catal. 1980 7, 511.
- 83. Kruck, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1967, 6, 53.
- 84. Sirtl, E. US Patent 3 619 288, Nov. 9, 1971.
- 85. Rand, M.J. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1975, 122, 811.
- 86. Morabito, J.M., Rand, M.J. Thin Solid Films 1974, 22, 293.
- 87. Schroder, H., Gianinoni, I., Masci, P., Kompa, K.L. Springer Ser. Chem. Phys. 1984, 39, 257.
- 88. Doppelt, P., Ricard, L., Weigel, V. Inorg. Chem. 1993, 32, 1039.
- 89. Moshier, R.W., Sievers, R.E., Spendlove, L.B. US Patent 3 356 527, Dec. 5, 1967.
- 90. Sievers, R.E., Sadlowski, J.E. Science 1978, 201, 217.
- 91. Sieck, R.F., Banks, C.V. Analytical Chemistry 1972, 44, 2307.
- 92. Wolf, W.R., Sievers, R.E., Brown, G.H. Inorg. Chem. 1972, 11, 1995.
- 93. Graddon, D.P. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1969, 4, 1.
- 94. Poston, S., Reisman, A. J. Electronic Mat. 1989, 18, 553.
- 95. Ivankovitz, J.C., Bohling, D.A., Norman, J.A.T., Roberts, D.A. US Patent 5 028 724, Jul. 2, **1991**.
- 96. Samath, S.A., Raman, N., Jeyasubramanian, K., Ramalingam, S.K. *Polyhedron* **1991**, *10*, 1687.
- 97. Siedle, A.R., in *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry*, Wilkinson, G., Gillard, R.D., McCleverty, J.A. (eds.), Pergamon, Oxford, 1987, Ch. 15.4.
- 98. Ismail, H.M. J. Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis 1991, 21, 315.
- 99. Reichert, C., Bancroft, G.M., Westmore, J.B. Can. J. Chem. 1970, 48, 1364.

 tallic Chemistry, tallic Chemistry, txford, 1982, Ch. W., Kroner, M., Tala, J. R., Etspuler, A., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1989, 48, 373. Etspuler, A., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1989, 49, 1. Etspuler, A., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1989, 49, 1. Etspuler, A., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1989, 49, 1. Etspuler, A., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1989, 49, 1. Etspuler, A., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1991, 53, 430. C.J., Laia, J.R., Etspuler, A., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1991, 53, 430. C.J., Laia, J.R., Froc. 1992, 250, Froc. 1992, 250, Froc. 1992, 250, Froc. 1998, 109. McCrary, V.P., Donnelly, V.M., in <i>Chemical Vapor Deposition: Principles a Applications</i>, Hitchman, M.L., Jensen, K.F. (eds.), Academic, New York, 198 159. Mars, J.M. Chem. Eng. Prog. 1986, Jan. 31. Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 188. Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 188. Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 188. Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 188. Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 188. Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 188. Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 188. Katoly, A., Andrews, D.A., Davey, S.T., Tubben, C.G., Wakefield, B., Davies, G.J. Applys. Lett. 1988, 52, 816. Haigh, J., Aylett, M., in Laser Microfabrication, Ehrlich, D.J., Tsao, J.Y. (edd Academic, New York, 1989, Aylett, M., Haigh, J., in Laser Processing a Diagnostics, Bauerle, D. (ed.), Springer Verlag, New York, 1984, 263. Hangh, J., Aylett, M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Boste MA, 1993. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Boste MA, 1993. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Boste MA, 1993. Razuvaev, G.A., Marin, V		
 xford, 1982, Ch. 101. Van Hemert, R.L., Spendiove, L.B., Sievers, R.E. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1965, 11 1123. 102. Etspuler, A., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1989, 48, 373. 103. Etspuler, A., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1989, 49, 1. 104. Flint, E.B., Messelhauser, J., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1991, 53, 430. 105. Lu, JP., Chu, P.W., Raj, R., Gysling, H. Thin Solid Flims 1992, 208, 172. 106. Nardi, M., Rhubright, D., Sen, A. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 3065. 107. Jeffries, P.M., Girolami, G.S. Chem. Mat. 1989, 1, 8. 108. McCrary, V.P., Donnelly, V.M., in Chemical Vapor Deposition: Principles a Applications, Hitchman, M.L., Jensen, K.F. (eds.), Academic, New York, 199 159. 118, 163. 109. Marrs, J.M. Chem. Eng. Prog. 1986, Jan. 31. 110. Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 188. 111. Santola, T., Antson, J., Pakkala, A., Lindfors, L. SID 80 Digest 1980, 108. 112. Andrews, D.A., Davey, S.T., Tubben, C.G., Wakefield, B., Davies, G.J. Applys. Lett. 1988, 52, 816. 113. Haigh, J., Aylett, M., in Laser Microfabrication, Enrlich, D.J., Tsao, J.Y. (eds) Academic, New York, 1984, 563. 114. Homer, H.J. US Patent 2 887 406, May 19, 1959. 115. Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M./ Kaloycros, A.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper N1.2/Y1.2, Boston, M 1993. 116. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Bostot MA, 1993. 117. Boekel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 4371. 118. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Marin, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628 119. Razuvaev, G.A., Marin, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. 120. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 136, 211. 121. Izquierdo, R., Aveie, M.N., Mazumder, J. Ap		References 421
 van Hemert, R.L., Spendiove, L.B., Sievers, R.E. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1965, 11 (123). W., Kroner, M., man, H. Angew. (10) Etspuler, A., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1989, 48, 373. (11) Etspuler, A., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1989, 49, 1. (12) Etspuler, A., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1991, 53, 430. (13) Lu, JP., Chu, P.W., Raj, R., Gysling, H. Thin Solid Films 1992, 208, 172. (16) Nardi, M., Rhubright, D., Sen, A. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 3065. (17) Jeffries, P.M., Girolami, G.S. Chem. Mat. 1989, 1, 8. (18) McCrary, V.P., Donnelly, V.M., in Chemical Vapor Deposition: Principles a Applications, Hitchman, M.L., Jensen, K.F. (eds.), Academic, New York, 199 (15). (18, 163. (19) Marrs, J.M. Chem. Eng. Prog. 1986, Jan, 31. (10) Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 188. (11) Santola, T., Antson, J., Pakkala, A., Lindfors, L. SID 80 Digest 1980, 108. (12) Andrews, D.A., Davey, S.T., Tubben, C.G., Wakefield, B., Davies, G.J. Applys. Lett. 1988, 52, 816. (13) Haigh, J., Aylett, M., in Laser Microfabrication, Enrlich, D.J., Tsao, J.Y. (eds) Academic, New York, 1984, 263. (14) Homer, H.J. US Patent 2 887 406, May 19, 1959. (15) Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M./ Kaloyeros, A.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Bosta MA, 1993. (16) Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Bosta MA, 1993. (17) Bockel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 4371. (18) Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Marin, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628 (19) Razuvaev, G.A., Marin, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. (20) Bockel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet Chem. 1977, 136, 211. (21) Laquierdo, R., Avein, N., Mazumder, J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 6	allic Chemistry.	100. Charles, R.G., Haverlack, P.G. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1969 , 31, 995.
 Itan, H. Angew. Itaj, J. R., Etspuler, A., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1989, 49, 1. Flint, E.B., Messelhauser, J., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1991, 53, 430. C.J., Laia, J.R., Flint, E.B., Messelhauser, J., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1992, 208, 172. Nardi, M., Rhubright, D., Sen, A. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 3065. Jeffries, P.M., Girolani, G.S. Chem. Mat. 1989, 1, 8. McCrary, V.P., Donnelly, V.M., in Chemical Vapor Deposition: Principles a Applications, Hitchman, M.L., Jensen, K.F. (eds.), Academic, New York, 199 159. Marrs, J.M. Chem. Eng. Prog. 1986, Jan. 31. Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 188. Kohm, 1986, 90, Santola, T., Antson, J., Pakkala, A., Lindfors, L. SID 80 Digest 1980, 108. Andrews, D.A., Davey, S.T., Tubben, C.G., Wakefield, B., Davies, G.J. Ap Phys. Lett. 1988, 52, 816. Haigh, J., Aylett, M., in Laser Microfabrication, Ehrlich, D.J., Tsao, J.Y. (eds Academic, New York, 1989; Aylett, M., 1984, 263. Homer, H.J. US Patent 283 406, May 19, 1959. Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M./ Kaloyeros, A.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Bostt MA, 1993. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Bostt MA, 1993. Bockel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 4371. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Marin, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628. Bockel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, <i>158</i>, 144. Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. Campbell, L.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 194		101. Van Hemert, R.L., Spendlove, L.B., Sievers, R.E. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1965, 112,
 104. Flint, E.B., Messelhauser, J., Suhr, H. Appl. Phys. A 1991, 53, 430. 105. Lu, JP., Chu, P.W., Raj, R., Gysling, H. Thin Solid Films 1992, 208, 172. 106. Nardi, M., Rhubright, D., Sen, A. <i>Inorg. Chem.</i> 1990, 29, 3065. 107. Jeffries, P.M., Girolami, G.S. <i>Chem. Mat.</i> 1989, 1, 8. 108. McCrary, V.P., Donnelly, V.M., in <i>Chemical Vapor Deposition: Principles a Applications</i>, Hitchman, M.L., Jensen, K.F. (eds.), Academic, New York, 1981, 54. 108. McCrary, V.P., Donnelly, V.M., in <i>Chemical Vapor Deposition: Principles a Applications</i>, Hitchman, M.L., Jensen, K.F. (eds.), Academic, New York, 1981, 54. 109. Marrs, J.M. <i>Chem. Eng. Prog.</i> 1986, Jan. 31. 101. Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. <i>Chem. Res.</i> 1990, 23, 188. 111. Santola, T., Antson, J., Pakkala, A., Lindfors, L. <i>SID 80 Digest</i> 1980, 108. 112. Andrews, D.A., Davey, S.T., Tubben, C.G., Wakefield, B., Davies, G.J. <i>Ap Phys. Lett.</i> 1988, 52, 816. 113. Haigh, J., Aylett, M., in <i>Laser Microfabrication</i>, Ehrlich, D.J., Tsao, J.Y. (eds Academic, New York, 1989; Aylett, M., Haigh, J., in <i>Laser Processing a Diagnostics</i>, Bauerle, D. (ed.), Springer Verlag, New York, 1984, 263. 114. Homer, H.J. <i>US Patent</i> 2 887 406, May 19, 1959. 115. Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M.J. Kaloyeros, A.E. <i>Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting</i>, Paper W11.4, Boster MA, 1993. 7, Dec. 5, 1967. 118. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Marin, V.P. <i>Zh. Obsheh. Khim.</i> 1976, 466, 628 119. Razuvaev, G.A., Marin, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. <i>Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR</i> 1976, 231, 626. 120. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. <i>J. Organom Chem.</i> 1977, 136, 211. 121. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. <i>Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.</i> 1990, 158, 14 122. Chou,	W., Kroner, M.,	
 C.J., Laia, J.R., 105. Lu, JP., Chu, P.W., Raj, R., Gysling, H. Thin Solid Films 1992, 208, 172. 106. Nardi, M., Rhubright, D., Sen, A. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 3065. broc. 1992, 250, 107. Jeffries, P.M., Girolami, G.S. Chem. Mat. 1989, J, 8. 108. McCrary, V.P., Donnelly, V.M., in Chemical Vapor Deposition: Principles a Applications, Hitchman, M.L., Jensen, K.F. (eds.), Academic, New York, 195 159. 18, 163. 109. Marrs, J.M. Chem. Eng. Prog. 1986, Jan. 31. 81. 100. Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 188. 111. Santola, T., Antson, J., Pakkala, A., Lindfors, L. SID 80 Digest 1980, 108. 112. Andrews, D.A., Davey, S.T., Tubben, C.G., Wakefield, B., Davies, G.J. Ap Phys. Lett. 1988, 52, 816. 113. Haigh, J., Aylett, M., in Lazer Microfabrication, Ehrlich, D.J., Tsao, J.Y. (eds Academic, New York, 1989, Aylett, M., Haigh, J., in Lazer Processing a Diagnostics, Bauerle, D. (ed.), Springer Verlag, New York, 1984, 263. 114. Homer, H.J. US Patent 2 887 406, May 19, 1959. 115. Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M.J. Kaloyeros, A.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W114, Bosto MA, 1993. 117. Boekel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 4371. 118. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.J., Marin, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628 119. Razuvaev, G.A., Marin, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. 120. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. 121. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 142. 123. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. 124. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conferen	nan, H. Angew.	
 Nardi, M., Rhubright, D., Sen, A. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 3065. Jorce, 1992, 250, Jeffries, P.M., Girolami, G.S. Chem. Mat. 1989, 1, 8. McCrary, V.P., Donnelly, V.M., in <i>Chemical Vapor Deposition: Principles a Applications</i>, Hitchman, M.L., Jensen, K.F. (eds.), Academic, New York, 199 159. Marrs, J.M. Chem. Eng. Prog. 1986, Jan. 31. Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 188. Santola, T., Antson, J., Pakkala, A., Lindfors, L. SID 80 Digest 1980, 108. Santola, T., Antson, J., Pakkala, A., Lindfors, L. SID 80 Digest 1980, 108. Andrews, D.A., Davey, S.T., Tubben, C.G., Wakefield, B., Davies, G.J. Ap <i>Phys. Lett.</i> 1988, 52, 816. Haigh, J., Aylett, M., in <i>Laser Microfabrication</i>, Ehrlich, D.J., Tsao, J.Y. (eds Academic, New York, 1989; Aylett, M., Haigh, J., in <i>Laser Processing a Diagnostics</i>, Bauerle, D. (ed.), Springer Verlag, New York, 1984, 263. Homer, H.J. US Patent 2887 406, May 19, 1959. Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M.J. Kaloyeros, A.E. <i>Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting</i>, Paper W11.4, Bostt MA, 1993. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. <i>Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting</i>, Paper W11.4, Bostt MA, 1993. Bockel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 4371. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Mar'in, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628 Razuvaev, G.A., Mar'in, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom <i>Chem.</i> 1977, 136, 211. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 14 Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. <i>Electrochem. Soc.</i> 1948, 92, 71. Moranchoo, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in <i></i>		
 <i>Inc.</i> 1992, 250, Iof. Jeffries, P.M., Girolami, G.S. Chem. Mat. 1989, 1, 8. McCrary, V.P., Donnelly, V.M., in Chemical Vapor Deposition: Principles a Applications, Hitchman, M.L., Jensen, K.F. (eds.), Academic, New York, 199, 159. Iol. Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 188. Santola, T., Antson, J., Pakkala, A., Lindfors, L. SID 800 Digest 1980, 108. Andrews, D.A., Davey, S.T., Tubben, C.G., Wakefield, B., Davies, G.J. Applys. Lett. 1988, 52, 816. Haigh, J., Aylett, M., in Laser Microfabrication, Ehrlich, D.J., Tsao, J.Y. (eds Academic, New York, 1989, Aylett, M., Haigh, J., in Laser Processing a Diagnostics, Bauerle, D. (ed.), Springer Verlag, New York, 1984, 263. Homer, H.J. US Patent 2 887 406, May 19, 1959. K. Polyhedron Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Boste MA, 1993. Razuvaev, G.A., Mariin, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organome Chem. 1977, 136, 211. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 14 Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 92, 71. Morancho, R., Peit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC 	C.J., Laia, J.R.,	
 McCrary, V.P., Donnelly, V.M., in <i>Chemical Vapor Deposition: Principles a</i> <i>Applications</i>, Hitchman, M.L., Jensen, K.F. (eds.), Academic, New York, 199 159. 18, 163. Marrs, J.M. <i>Chen. Eng. Prog.</i> 1986, Jan. 31. Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. <i>Acc. Chem. Res.</i> 1990, 23, 188. Santola, T., Antson, J., Pakkala, A., Lindfors, L. <i>SID 80 Digest</i> 1980, 108. Andrews, D.A., Davey, S.T., Tubben, C.G., Wakefield, B., Davies, G.J. <i>Ap</i> <i>Phys. Lett.</i> 1988, 52, 816. Haigh, J., Aylett, M., in <i>Laser Microfabrication</i>, Ehrlich, D.J., Tsao, J.Y. (eds Academic, New York, 1989; Aylett, M., Haigh, J., in <i>Laser Processing a</i> <i>Diagnostics</i>, Bauerle, D. (ed.), Springer Verlag, New York, 1984, 263. Homer, H.J. US Patent 2887 406, May 19, 1959. Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M./ Kaloyeros, A.E. <i>Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting</i>, Paper W11.4, Bosto MA, 1993. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. <i>Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting</i>, Paper W11.4, Bosto MA, 1993. Charatan, R.M., Vishinskaya, L.I., Mar'in, V.P. <i>Zh. Obsheh. Khim.</i> 1976, 46, 628 Razuvaev, G.A., Mar'in, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. <i>Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR</i> 1976, 231, 626. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. <i>J. Organom</i> <i>Chem.</i> 1977, <i>136</i>, 211. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. <i>Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.</i> 1990, <i>158</i>, 14 Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 198, 66, 191. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. <i>Electrochem. Soc.</i> 1948, 93, 271. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in <i>Proceedings of the</i> <i>International Conference on CVD</i>, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC 		
 hem. Soc. 1988, Applications, Hitchman, M.L., Jensen, K.F. (eds.), Academic, New York, 199 159. 18, 163. 109. Marrs, J.M. Chem. Eng. Prog. 1986, Jan. 31. 81. 110. Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 188. 111. Santola, T., Antson, J., Pakkala, A., Lindfors, L. SID 80 Digest 1980, 108. 112. Andrews, D.A., Davey, S.T., Tubben, C.G., Wakefield, B., Davies, G.J. Ap Phys. Lett. 1988, 52, 816. 113. Haigh, J., Aylett, M., in Laser Microfabrication, Ehrlich, D.J., Tsao, J.Y. (eds Academic, New York, 1989; Aylett, M., Haigh, J., in Laser Processing a Diagnostics, Bauerle, D. (ed.), Springer Verlag, New York, 1984, 263. 114. Homer, H.J. US Patent 2 887 406, May 19, 1959. 115. Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M.A Kaloyeros, A.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper N1.2/Y1.2, Boston, M 1993. r. Chem. Phys. 116. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Bosto MA, 1993. 117. Bockel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 4 371. 118. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Mar'in, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628 119. Razuvaev, G.A., Mar'in, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. 120. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. 121. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 14 122. Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. 123. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocker, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. 124. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), ECOMPARIMENTING, COMPARIMENTING, COMPARIMENTING, COMPARIMENTING, AND, Eds. 	roc. 1992 , 250,	
 159. 178, 163. 109. Marrs, J.M. Chem. Eng. Prog. 1986, Jan. 31. 110. Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 188. 111. Santola, T., Antson, J., Pakkala, A., Lindfors, L. SID 80 Digest 1980, 108. 112. Andrews, D.A., Davey, S.T., Tubben, C.G., Wakefield, B., Davies, G.J. Ap Phys. Lett. 1988, 52, 816. 113. Haigh, J., Aylett, M., in Laser Microfabrication, Ehrlich, D.J., Tsao, J.Y. (eds Academic, New York, 1989; Aylett, M., Haigh, J., in Laser Processing a Diagnostics, Bauerle, D. (ed.), Springer Verlag, New York, 1984, 263. 114. Homer, H.J. US Patent 2 887 406, May 19, 1959. 115. Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M.A Kaloyeros, A.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper N1.2/Y1.2, Boston, M 1993. 116. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Bostu MA, 1993. 117. Bockel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, e371. 118. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Marin, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628 119. Razuvaev, G.A., Mari n, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. 120. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. 121. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 14 122. Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. 123. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. 124. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), ECH. 		
 Kodas, T.T., Comita, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 188. Santola, T., Antson, J., Pakkala, A., Lindfors, L. SID 80 Digest 1980, 108. Santola, T., Antson, J., Pakkala, A., Lindfors, L. SID 80 Digest 1980, 108. Andrews, D.A., Davey, S.T., Tubben, C.G., Wakefield, B., Davies, G.J. Ap Phys. Lett. 1988, 52, 816. Haigh, J., Aylett, M., in Laser Microfabrication, Ehrlich, D.J., Tsao, J.Y. (eds Academic, New York, 1989; Aylett, M., Haigh, J., in Laser Processing a Diagnostics, Bauerle, D. (ed.), Springer Verlag, New York, 1984, 263. Homer, H.J. US Patent 2 887 406, May 19, 1959. Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M./ Kaloyeros, A.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper N1.2/Y1.2, Boston, M 1993. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Bosto MA, 1993. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Bosto MA, 1993. Boekel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 6 371. Boekel, C.P., Jeubar, J.H., Warin, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628 (19) Razuvaev, G.A., Marin, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 14 (22) Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EO 	hem. Soc. 1988 ,	
 Santola, T., Antson, J., Pakkala, A., Lindfors, L. SID 80 Digest 1980, 108. Andrews, D.A., Davey, S.T., Tubben, C.G., Wakefield, B., Davies, G.J. Applys. Lett. 1988, 52, 816. Haigh, J., Aylett, M., in Laser Microfabrication, Ehrlich, D.J., Tsao, J.Y. (eds Academic, New York, 1989; Aylett, M., Haigh, J., in Laser Processing a Diagnostics, Bauerle, D. (ed.), Springer Verlag, New York, 1984, 263. Homer, H.J. US Patent 2 887 406, May 19, 1959. Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M./ Kaloyeros, A.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Boste MA, 1993. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Boste MA, 1993. Boekel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 6371. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 6371. Razuvaev, G.A., Mar'in, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 144. Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC. 	18, 163.	
 112. Andrews, D.A., Davey, S.T., Tubben, C.G., Wakefield, B., Davies, G.J. Ap <i>Phys. Lett.</i> 1988, <i>52</i>, 816. 113. Haigh, J., Aylett, M., in <i>Laser Microfabrication</i>, Ehrlich, D.J., Tsao, J.Y. (eds Academic, New York, 1989; Aylett, M., Haigh, J., in <i>Laser Processing a Diagnostics</i>, Bauerle, D. (ed.), Springer Verlag, New York, 1984, 263. 114. Homer, H.J. <i>US Patent</i> 2 887 406, May 19, 1959. 115. Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M./ Kaloyeros, A.E. <i>Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting</i>, Paper W11.4, Boston M. 1993. ar. <i>Chem. Phys.</i> 116. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. <i>Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting</i>, Paper W11.4, Boston M. 1993. 117. Bockel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. <i>J. Organomet. Chem.</i> 1974, e 371. 118. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Mar'in, V.P. <i>Zh. Obsheh. Khim.</i> 1976, <i>46</i>, 628 119. Razuvaev, G.A., Mar'in, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. <i>Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR</i> 1976, <i>231</i>, 626. 120. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. <i>J. Organom Chem.</i> 1977, <i>136</i>, 211. A. US Patent 121. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. <i>Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.</i> 1990, <i>158</i>, 14 122. Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. <i>J. Appl. Phys.</i> 1989, <i>66</i>, 191. 123. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. <i>Electrochem. Soc.</i> 1948, <i>93</i>, 271. 124. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in <i>Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD</i>, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), ECONDERED. 	81.	
 Phys. Lett. 1988, 52, 816. 113. Haigh, J., Aylett, M., in Laser Microfabrication, Ehrlich, D.J., Tsao, J.Y. (eds Academic, New York, 1989; Aylett, M., Haigh, J., in Laser Processing a Diagnostics, Bauerle, D. (ed.), Springer Verlag, New York, 1984, 263. 114. Homer, H.J. US Patent 2 887 406, May 19, 1959. 115. Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M./ Kaloyeros, A.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper N1.2/Y1.2, Boston, M 1993. ar. Chem. Phys. 116. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Bosto MA, 1993. 117. Boekel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, e 371. 118. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Mar'in, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628 119. Razuvaev, G.A., Mar'in, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. 120. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. 121. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 14 122. Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. 123. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. 124. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydin, H. (eds.), EC 	Chem. 1986 , 90,	
 A. Mol. Catal. Academic, New York, 1989; Aylett, M., Haigh, J., in Laser Processing a Diagnostics, Bauerle, D. (ed.), Springer Verlag, New York, 1984, 263. Homer, H.J. US Patent 2 887 406, May 19, 1959. Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M.A Kaloyeros, A.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper N1.2/Y1.2, Boston, M 1993. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Boste MA, 1993. Boekel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, ed. 371. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Mar'in, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628 (19). Razuvaev, G.A., Mar'in, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 14 (22). Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC. 		Phys. Lett. 1988, 52, 816.
 Diagnostics, Bauerle, D. (ed.), Springer Verlag, New York, 1984, 263. Homer, H.J. US Patent 2 887 406, May 19, 1959. Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M./ Kaloyeros, A.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper N1.2/Y1.2, Boston, M 1993. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Bosto MA, 1993. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Bosto MA, 1993. Boekel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 6 371. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Mar'in, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628 Razuvaev, G.A., Mar'in, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 14 Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC 		
 115. Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M./ Kaloyeros, A.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper N1.2/Y1.2, Boston, M 1993. 116. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Bosto MA, 1993. 117. Boekel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, e 371. 118. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Mar'in, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628 119. Razuvaev, G.A., Mar'in, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. 120. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. 121. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 14 122. Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. 123. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. 124. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC 	. J. Mol. Catal.	
 Kaloyeros, A.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper N1.2/Y1.2, Boston, M 1993. er. Chem. Phys. 116. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Bosto MA, 1993. 117. Boekel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, e 371. 118. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Mar'in, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628 119. Razuvaev, G.A., Mar'in, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. 120. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. 121. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 144 122. Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. 123. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. on, G., Gillard, 124. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), ECONAL SCI. 		114. Homer, H.J. US Patent 2 887 406, May 19, 1959.
 Phys. 116. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Bostom MA, 1993. 117. Boekel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, ed. 371. 118. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Mar'in, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628. 119. Razuvaev, G.A., Mar'in, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. 120. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. A. US Patent I. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 144 122. Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. 123. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. on, G., Gillard, 124. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC 		115. Wyetznes, C., Komarov, S., Freel, C., Jones, M., Hepp, A.F., Fury, M.A., Kaloyeros, A.E. <i>Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting</i> , Paper N1.2/Y1.2, Boston, MA
 117. Boekel, C.P., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 6 371. 118. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Mar'in, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628 119. Razuvaev, G.A., Mar'in, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. 120. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organome Chem. 1977, 136, 211. 121. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 14 122. Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. 123. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. on, G., Gillard, 124. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC 	er. Chem. Phys.	116. Charatan, R.M., Gross, M.E. Fall Mat. Res. Soc. Meeting, Paper W11.4, Boston
 371. 18. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Mar'in, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628 19. Razuvaev, G.A., Mar'in, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. 10. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. 12. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 14 122. Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. 123. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. on, G., Gillard, 124. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC 		
 118. Latyaeva, V.N., Vishinskaya, L.I., Mar'in, V.P. Zh. Obsheh. Khim. 1976, 46, 628 119. Razuvaev, G.A., Mar'in, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. 120. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. 121. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 14 122. Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. 123. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. Dn, G., Gillard, 124. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC 	Dec 5 1067	
 119. Razuvaev, G.A., Mar'in, V.P., Kosneva, C.P., Vishinskaya, L.I., Cherkasov, V. Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. 120. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. 121. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 14 122. Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. 123. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. 124. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the Totol International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC 	, Dec. 5, 1907.	
 Druzhkov, O.N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSR 1976, 231, 626. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 14 Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC 		
 Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organom Chem. 1977, 136, 211. A. US Patent Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 14 122. Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), ECC. 	95	
 A. US Patent 121. Izquierdo, R., Lavoie, C., Meunier, M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1990, 158, 14 122. Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. 123. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. on, G., Gillard, 124. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC 		120. Boekel, C.P., Jelsma, A., Teuber, J.H., de Liefde Meijer, M.J. J. Organomet
 122. Chou, W.B., Azer, M.N., Mazumder, J. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 191. 123. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. 124. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC 	A. US Patent	
 K. Polyhedron 123. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 93, 271. Don, G., Gillard, 124. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC 		
on, G., Gillard, 124. Morancho, R., Petit, J., Dabosi, F., Constant, G., in <i>Proceedings of the International Conference on CVD</i> , Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC	K. Polyhedron	123. Campbell, I.E., Jaffee, R.I., Blocher, Jr., J.M., Gurland, J., Gonser, B.W. J
International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), EC	on G. Gillard	
I IIIIOIOII, 13, 1777, 373-003.	on, O., Omaru,	International Conference on CVD, Sedgwick, T.O., Lydtin, H. (eds.), ECS
48, 1364. 125. Holden, R.B., Kopelman, B. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1953, 100, 120.	18 1364	

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 69 IPR2017-00664

- 126. Smith, D.E., Rubiano, R.R., Healy, M.D., Springer, R.W. Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on CVD 1993, 417.
- 127. Tamborski, C. US Patent 3 437 516, Apr. 8, 1969.
- 128 Litton, F.B. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1951, 12, 488.
- 129. Mazdiyasni, K.S., Dolloff, R.T., Smith, II, J.S. J. Am. Cer. Soc. 1969, 52, 523.
- 130. Miller, K.J., Grieco, M.J., Sze, S.M. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1966, 113, 902.
- 131. Dyagileva, L.M., Mar'in, V.P., Tsyganova, E.I., Razavaev, G.A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 175, 63.
- 132. Fillman, L.M., Tang, S.C. Thermochimica Acta, 1984, 75, 71.
- 133. Hafner, W., Fischer, E.O. British Patent 976 573, Nov. 25, 1964.
- 134. Korzo, V.F., Lyashchenko, G.A., Kozyrkin, B.I., Rumyantseva, V.P. *Inorg. Mat.* USSR 1971, 7, 1649.
- 135. Newkirk, L.R., Valencia, F.A., Wallace, T.C. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1976, 123, 425.
- 136. Roland, G.W, Braginski, A.I. Advan. Cyrogenic Eng. 1977, 22, 347.
- 137. Weiss, F., Madar, R., Senateur, J.P., Boursier, D., Bernard, C., Fruchart, R. J. Crystal Growth 1982, 56, 423.
- 138. Madar, R., Weiss, F., Fruchart, R., Bernard, C. J. Crystal Growth 1978, 45, 37.
- 139. Pike, G.E., Pierson, H.O., Mullendore, A.W., Schirber, J.E. Appl. Polymer Symp. 1976, 29, 71.
- 140. Kurtz, S.R., Gordon, R.G. Thin Solid Films 1987, 147, 167.
- 141. Braginski, A.I., Roland, G.W. Appl. Phys. Lett. 1974, 25, 762.
- 142. Kehr, D.E.R. High Temperature-High Pressures 1978, 10, 477.
- 143. Kawamura, H., Tachikawa, K. Physics Letters 1974, 50A, 29.
- 144. Stolz, M., Hieber, K., Wieczorek, C. Thin Solid Films 1983, 100, 209.
- Hieber, K., Stolz, M., in *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on CVD*, Blocher, Jr., J.M., Hintermann, H.E., Hall, L.H. (eds.), ECS, Princeton, NJ, 1975, 436.
- 146. Hieber, K. Thin Solid Films 1974, 24, 157.
- 147. Vlakhov, E.S., Gesheva, K.A., Kovachev, V.T. Materials Letters 1987, 6, 58.
- 148. Beguin, C., Horvath, E., Perry, A.J. Thin Solid Films 1977, 46, 209.
- 149. Powell, C.E., Campbell, I.E., Gonser, B.W. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1948, 85, 258.
- 150. Papke, J.A., Stevenson, R.D. *Proceedings of the Conference on CVD Refractory Metals*, Shaffhauser, A.C. (ed.), AIME, 1967, 193.
- 151. Ugolini, D., Kowalczyk, S.P., McFeely, F.R. J. Appl. Phys. 1991, 70, 3899.
- 152. Spitz, J., Chevallier, J., in *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on CVD*, Blocher, Jr., J.M., Hintermann, H.E., Hall, L.H. (eds.), ECS, Princeton, NJ, 1975, 204.
- Lander, J.J., Germer, L.H. Metals Technology 1947, 14, 1. See also: Lander, J.J., US Patent 2 690 980, Oct. 1954; Lander, J.J., Germer, L.H. AIME Tech. Publ. 2259 1947; Lander, J.J., Germer, L.H. Metal Ind. London 1947, 71, 459; Lander, J.J., Germer, L.H. Metal Ind. London 1947, 71, 487; Lander, J.J., Germer, L.H.,

	References 423
edings of the 12th	Metals Technol. 1947, 14, TP2259; Lander, J.J., Germer, L.H. Trans. Met. Soc. AIME 1948, 175, 648.
	154. Hoar, T.P., Croom, E.A.G. J. Iron Steel Inst. (London) 1951 , 169, 101.
	155. Mazille, H.M.J. Thin Solid Films 1980 , 65, 67.
969, 52, 523.	156. Mazille, H., Andisio, S., Monnier, G. Corros. Trait. Prot. Finition 1968, 16, 346.
13, 902.	157. Truex, T.J., Saillant, R.B., Monroe, F.M. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1975, 122, 1396.
A. J. Organomet.	158. Rutherford, N.M., Larson, C.E., Jackson, R.L. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1989, 131, 439.
	159. Nash, B.D., Campbell, T.T., Block, F.E., US Bureau of Mines Report Investigation 7112, Washington, DC, 1968
V.P. Inorg. Mat.	160. Tomono, R., Yagi, E., Togashi, Y. J. Metal Finishing Soc. Jpn. 1965, 16, 210.
	161. Maury, F., Ossola, F., Schuster, F., Surface and Coatings Technology 1992, 54,
. 1976, 123, 425.	204.
47.	162. Maury, F., Ossola, F. Thin Solid Films 1992, 219, 24.
., Fruchart, R. J.	163. Maury, F., Ossola, F. Thin Solid Films 1992, 207, 82.
	164. Childs, W.J., Cline, J.E., Kisner, W.M., Wulf, J. Trans. ASM 1951, 43, 105.
1978 , <i>45</i> , 37.	165. Carver, G.E. Thin Solid Films 1979, 63, 169.
l. Polymer Symp.	166. Sugano, T., Chou, H., Yoshida, M., Nishi, T. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 1968, 7, 1028.
	167. Yasuda, K., Murota, J. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 1983, 22, L615.
	168. Casey, J.J., Verderber, R.R., Garnache, R.R. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1967, 114, 201.
	169. Simeonov, S.S., Kafedjiiska, E.I., Guerassimov, A.L. Thin Solid Films 1984, 115, 291.
	170. El-Hoshy, A.H. J. Eletrochem. Soc. 1971, 118, 2028.
209.	171. Lifshitz, N., Williams, D.S., Capio, C.D., Brown, J.M. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1987,
iference on CVD,	134, 2061.
iceton, NJ; 1975,	172. Ianno, N.J., Plaster, J.A. Thin Solid Films 1987, 147, 193.
	173. Kaplan, L.H., d'Heurle, F.M. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1970, 117, 693.
	174. DeRosa, A.I., Dove, D.B., Loehman, R.E. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 1974, 14, 455.
1987 , <i>6</i> , 58.	175. Kirss, R.U., Brown, D.W., Higa, K.T., Gedridge, R.W. Organometallics 1991, 10,
9.	3589.
. 948 , <i>85</i> , 258.	176. Harsta, A., Carlsson, JO. Thin Solid Films 1990, 185, 235.
CVD Refractory	177. Al-Hilli, A.A., Evans, B.L. J. Crystal Growth 1972, 15, 93.
	178. Hofmann, W.K. J. Mat. Sci. 1988, 23, 3981.
70, 3899.	179. Wlodek, S.T.Y., Wulff, J. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1960, 107, 565.
l Conference on	180. Kano, G., Inoue, M., Matsuno, J., Takayanagi, S. J. Appl. Phys. 1966, 37, 2985.
S, Princeton, NJ,	181. Freedman, A., Bersohn, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 4116.
	182. Seder, T.A., Church, S.P., Weitz, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7518.
ilso: Lander, J.J.,	183. Bray, R.G., Seidler, P.F., Gethner, J.S., Woodin, R.L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986,
'ME Tech. Publ.	108, 1312.
71 459 Lander	184 Holman W R Huegel E I Proceedings of the Conference on CVD of Refractory

an, W.R, Huegel, F.J. Proceedings of the Conference on CVD of Refractory Metals, Alloys and Compounds, Schaffhauser, A.C. (ed.), Am. Nuc. Soc., Hinsdale, IL, 1967, 427.

ilso: Lander, J.J. 'ME Tech. Publ. 71, 459; Lander, I., Germer, L.H.,

- 185. Holman, W.R., Huegel, F.J. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 1974, 11, 701.
- 186. Federer, J.I., Spruiell J.E. Proceedings of the Conference on CVD of Refractory Metals, Alloys, and Compounds, Schaffhauser, A.C. (ed.), Am. Nuc. Soc., Hinsdale, IL, 1967, 443.
- 187. Donaldson, J.G., Hoertel, F.W., Cochran, A.A. J. Less-Common Metals 1968, 14, 93.
- 188. Kaesz, H.D., Williams, R.S., Hicks, R.F., Chen, Y.-J., Xue, Z., Xu, D., Shuh, D.K., Thridandam, H. *Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* **1989**, *131*, 395.
- 189. Syrkin, V.F., Prokhorov, V.N., Romanov, L.N. J. Appl. Chem. USSR 1976, 49, 1330.
- 190. Anderson, H.J., Brenner, A. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on CVD, Blocher, Jr., J.M., Withers, J.C. (eds.), ECS, New York, 1971, 355.
- 191. Aylett, B.J., Earwaker, L.G., Keen, J.M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1993, 282, 281.
- 192. Macheteau, Y. J. Crystal Growth 1975, 28, 93.
- Stauf, G.T., Driscoll, D.C., Dowben, P.A., Barfuss, S., Grade, M. *Thin Solid Films* 1987, 153, 421.
- 194. Kaplan, R., Bottka, N. Appl. Phys. Lett. 1982, 41, 972.
- 195. Walsh, P.J., Bottka, N. J. Electrochem Soc. 1984, 131, 444.
- Feurer, E., Larhrafi, M., Morencho, R., Calson, R. *Thin Solid Films* 1988, 167, 195.
- 197. Trent, D.E., Paris, B., Krause, H.H. Inorg. Chem. 1964, 3, 1057.
- 198. Senzaki, Y., McCormick, F.B., Gladfelter, W.L. Chem. Mater. 1992, 4, 747.
- 199. Crosby, J.N., Hanley, R.S. US Patent 4 250 210, Jan. 10, 1981.
- 200. Lehwald, S., Wagner, H. Thin Solid Films 1974, 21, S23.
- 201. Dormans, G.J.M., Meekes, G.J.B.M., Staring, E.G.J. J. Crystal Growth **1991**, 114, 364.
- 202. Jablonowski, E.J. Cobalt 1962, 14, 28.
- 203. West, G.A. Beeson, K.W. Appl. Phys. Lett. 1988, 53, 740.
- 204. West, G.A. Beeson, K.W. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1989, 131, 389.
- 205. Motojima, S., Ihama, Y. J. Crystal Growth 1986, 76, 373.
- 206. Motojima, S., Kuri, S., Hattori, T. J. Less-Common Metals 1986, 124, 193.
- 207. Cohan, J.S., Yuan, H., Williams, R.S., Zink, J.I. Appl. Phys. Lett. 1992, 60, 1402.
- 208. Doppelt, P., Weigel, V., Guinot, P. Mater. Sci. Eng. 1993, 817, 143.
- 209. Chang, T., Bernasek, S.L., Schwartz, J. Langmuir 1991, 7, 1413.
- 210. Smith, P.B., Bernasch, S.L., Schwartz, J., McNulty, G.S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 5654.
- 211. Smith, P.B., Ph.D. Thesis, Princeton, University, 1986.
- 212. Baum, T.H., Larson, C.E., Reynolds, S.K. US Patent 5 096 737, Mar. 17, 1992.
- 213. Kumar, R., Puddephatt, R.J. Can. J. Chem. 1991, 69, 108.
- 214. Messelhauser, J., Flint, E.B., Suhr, H. Adv. Mater. 1992, 4, 347.

2

2

22

22

22 22 22

22

22 22

	References 42
VD of Refractory	215. Hoke, J.B., Stern, E.W., Murray, H.H. J. Mater, Chem. 1991 , 1, 551.
Am. Nuc. Soc.,	 216. Harding, J.T., Fry, V.R., Tuffias, R.H., Kaplan, R.B. <i>AFRPL TR</i>-86-099, 1987 217. Kordesch, K.V. <i>US Patent</i> 3 364 074, Jan. 16, 1968
,	217. Kordesch, K. V. OS Patent 5 304 074, Jan. 10, 1908 218. Blanconnier, P., Cerclet, M., Heroc, P., Jean-Louis A.M. Thin Solid Films 1978
Metals 1968, 14,	55, 375.
	219. Chichibu, S., Kushibe, M., Eguchi, K., Funemizu, M., Ohba, Y. J. Appl. Phy
, D., Shuh, D.K.,	1990 , <i>68</i> , 859.
	220. Kato, Y., Kurita, S., Suda, T. J. Appl. Phys. 1987, 62, 3733.
USSR 1976, 49,	221. Meehan, K., Dabkowski, F.P., Gavrilovic, P., Williams, J.E., Stutius, W., Hsiel
	K.C., Holonyak, Jr., N. Appl. Phys. Lett. 1989, 54, 2136.
al Conference on	222. Hirabayashi, K., Kogure, O. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 1985, 24, 1484.
71, 355.	223. Nishikawa, Y., Sugawara, H., Ishikawa, M., Kokubun, Y. J. Crystal Growth 199.
Proc. 1993 , 282,	108, 728.
	224. Blaauw, C., Hobbs, L. Appl. Phys. Lett. 1991, 59, 674.
	225. Nelson, A.W., Westbrook L.D. J. Appl. Phys. 1984, 55, 3103.
Thin Solid Films	226. Sidorov, Y.G., Vasil'eva, L.F., Sabinina, I.V., Dvoretsky, S.A., Sidorova, A.V.
	Electrochem. Soc. 1976, 123, 698.
	227. Nishikawa, Y., Tsuburai, Y., Nozaki, C., Ohba, Y., Kokubun, Y., Kinoshita, F
	Appl. Phys. Lett. 1988, 53, 2182.
Films 1988 , 167,	228. Rueter, M.A., Vohs, J.M. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1991, 204, 403.
	229. Irvine, S.J.C., Mullin, J.B., Giess, J., Gough, J.S., Royle, A., Crimes, G. J. Crysta
	Growth 1988, 93, 732.
92 , <i>4</i> , 747.	230. Blaauw, C., Emmerstorfer, B., Springthorpe, A.J. J. Crystal Growth 1987, 84, 431
	231. Andosio, S. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1980, 127, 2299.
	232. Braginski, A.I., Roland, G.W., Daniel, M.R. Appl. Polymer Symp. 1976, 29, 93.
rowth 1991, 114,	233. Braichotte, D., van den Bergh, H. Springer Ser. Chem. Phys. 1984 , 39, 183.
	234. Mingxin, Q., Monot, R., van den Bergh, H. Scientia Sinica A 1984 , 27, 531.
	235. Hanak, J.J., Strater, K., Cullen, G.W. RCA Rev. 1964, 25, 342.
	236. Suhr, H., Etspuler, A., Feurer, E., Oehr, C. Plasma Chemistry and Plasm
89.	Processing 1988, 8, 9.
	237. Yannopoulos, I.N. J. Less-Common Metals 1979, 63, 111.
24, 193.	238. Kane, J., Schweizer, H.P., Kern, W. <i>Thin Solid Films</i> 1975 , <i>29</i> , 155.
1 992 , <i>60</i> , 1402.	239. Chang, C.Y., Lee, M.K., Su, Y.K., Hsu, W.C. J. Appl. Phys. 1983 , 54, 5464.
3.	240. Kowalczyk, S.P., Miller, D.L. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 1985 , <i>B</i> , <i>3</i> , 1534.
	241. Woolley, J.C., Williams, E.W., Gagnon, R. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1965 , 112, 1112.
Chem. Soc. 1986 ,	242. Price, S.J.W., Trotman-Dickenson, A.F. <i>Trans. Farad. Soc.</i> 1958 , <i>54</i> , 1630.
	243. Sadhir, R.K., Saunders, H.E. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 1985 , A 3, 2093.
	244. Homer, H.J., Cummins, O. US Patent, 2 916 400, Dec. 8, 1959 .
Mar. 17, 1992 .	245. Gonser, B.W., Slowter, E.E. <i>Tech. Pub. Int. Tin</i> , R & D Devel. Council, Net
	York, 1938.
	246. Rigby, L.J. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1969 , 65, 2421.
	210. Kiegy, 5.5. Trans. Faraday 500, 1707, 05, 2421.

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 73 IPR2017-00664

- 247. Chiu, M.S., Shen, K.P., Ku, Y.K. Appl. Phys. B 1985, 37, 63.
- 248. Zhang, J.M., Marcy, H.O., Tonge, L.M., Wessels, B.W., Marks, T.J., Kannewurf, C.R. Appl. Phys. Lett. 1989, 55, 1906.
- 249. Nakai, T., Tabuchi, T., Sawado, Y., Kobayashi, I., Sugimori, Y. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 1992, 32, 2992.
- 250. Jones, R.A., Cowley, A.H., Ekerdt, J.G. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1991, 204, 73.
- 251. Liu, D.K., Lai, A.L., Chin, R.J. Mater. Lett. 1991, 10, 318.
- 252. Aylett, B.J., Tannahill, A.A. Vacuum 1985, 35, 435.
- 253. Aylett, B.J., Colquhoun, H.J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1977, 2058.
- 254. Czekaj, C.L., Geoffroy, G.L. Inorg Chem. 1988, 27, 8.
- 255. Kaesz, H.D., Williams, R.S., Hicks, R.F., Zink, J.I., Chen, Y.-J., Muller, H.-J., Xue, Z., Xu, D., Shuh, D.K., Kim, Y.K. New J. Chem. 1990, 14, 527.
- 256. Hampden-Smith, M.J., Garvey, J.G., manuscript in preparation.
- 257. Stauf, G.T., LaGraffe, D., Dowben, P.A., Emrich, K., Barfuss, S., Hirschwald, W., Bong, N.M. Z. Naturforsch. **1988**, 43a, 758.
- 258. Stauf, G.T., Dowben, P.A., Boag, N.M., DeLaGarza, L.M., Dowben, S.L. Thin Solid Films 1988, 156, 327.
- 259. Fischer, R.A. Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 1993, 282, 267.
- 260. Maury, F., Brandt, L., Kaesz, H.D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 449, 159.
- 261. Maury, F., Talin, A.A., Kaesz, H.D., Williams, R.S. Chem. Mater. 1993, 5, 84.
- 262. Dowben, P.A., Spencer, J.T., Stauf, G.T. Mat. Sci, Eng. B 1989, 2, 297.
- Thompson, N.R., in *Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry*, Bailar, J.C., Emeleus, H.J., Nyholm, R., Trotman-Dickenson, A.F. (eds.), Pergamon, Oxford, 1973, Ch. 28.
- 264. Chow, T.P., Steckl, A.J., in VLSI Electronics: Microstructure Science, Academic, New York, 1985 9.
- 265. Shaw, J.M., Amick, J.A. RCA Review 1970, 306.
- 266. Reiferberg, G.H., Conoidine, W.J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 2401.
- 267. Kettle, S.F.A. J. Chem. Soc. 1961, 2569.
- 268. Moorehouse, R.L., Christiansen, J.J., Gordy, W. J. Chem. Phys. 1966, 45, 1751.
- 269. Tamaru, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 60, 610.
- 270. Shin, H.K., Hampden-Smith, M.J., Kodas, T.T. unpublished results.
- 271. Del Franco, G.J., Remich, P., Dillard, C.R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1965, 4, 57.
- 272. Duffy, R., Feeney, J., Holliday, A.K. J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 1144.
- 273. Amberger, E. Angew. Chem. 1960, 72, 494.
- 274. Beeker, W.E., Cook, S.E. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82 , 6264.
- 275. Irvine, S.J.C., Hill, H., Dosser, O.D., Hails, J.E., Mullin, J.B., Shenaii-Khatkhate, D.V., Cole-Hamilton, D. Mat. Lett. 1988, 7, 25.
- 276. Davidson, P.J., Lappert, M.F., Pearch, R. Chem. Rev. 1976, 76, 219.
- 277. Xue, Z., Caulton, K.G., Chisholm, M.H. Chem. Mater. 1991, 3, 384.
- 278. Duan, Z., Hampden-Smith, M.J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 449, 173.

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 74 IPR2017-00664

;, T.J., Kannewurf,

Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.

oc. 1991, 204, 73.

, 2058.

.-J., Muller, H.-J., 527.

S., Hirschwald, W.,

Jowben, S.L. Thin

*t*49, 159. *r*. **1993**, *5*, 84. *2*, 297.
lar, J.C., Emeleus,
Oxford, 1973, Ch.

cience, Academic,

2401.

1966, 45, 1751.

ults. 1**965**, 4, 57.

Shenaii-Khatkhate,

219. 384. 173. 279. Patnaik, S., Jensen, K.F., Cripis, K.P. J. Cryst. Growth 1991, 197, 390.

280. Buloff, J.J. US Patent 2 898 235, Aug. 4, 1959.

281. Zaera, F. J. Vac. Sci. Technol 1989, A, 7, 640.

282. Jackman, R.B., Foord, J.S. Surface Science 1989, 209, 151.

283. Syrkin, V.G., Kir'yanov, Y.G. J. Appl. Chem. USSR 1970, 43, 1068.

284. Carlsson, J.-O. Solid State and Materials Sciences 1990, 16, 161.

© VCH Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, D-69451 Weinheim (Federal Republic of Germany), 1994

Distribution:

VCH, P.O. Box 10 11 61, D-69451 Weinheim, Federal Republic of Germany
Switzerland: VCH, P.O. Box, CH-4020 Basel, Switzerland
United Kingdom and Ireland: VCH, 8 Wellington Court, Cambridge CB1 1HZ, United Kingdom
USA and Canada: VCH, 220 East 23rd Street, New York, NY 10010–4606, USA
Japan: VCH. Eikow Building, 10-9 Hongo 1-chome, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

ISBN 3-527-29071-0

HARVARD Ex. 2135 p. 76 IPR2017-00664