1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD				
2					
3	TWITTER, INC.,)				
4	Petitioner,)) CASE NO.: IPR2017-00829				
5	VS.) CASE NO.: IPR2017-00830				
5) U.S. Patent No. 9,083,997 VIDSTREAM, LLC,				
6) Patent Owner.)				
7					
8					
9	************				
10	ORAL DEPOSITION OF				
11	KEVIN C. ALMEROTH, PH.D.				
12	SEPTEMBER 5, 2018				
13	************				
14					
15					
16	ORAL DEPOSITION OF KEVIN C. ALMEROTH, PH.D.,				
17	produced as a witness at the instance of the Patent				
18	Owner, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and				
19	numbered cause on September 5, 2018, from 8:04 a.m. to				
20	12:09 p.m., before Lisa C. Hundt, CSR, RPR, CLR in and				
21	for the State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand,				
22	at the law offices of Haynes and Boone, located at 2323				
23	Victory Avenue, Suite 700, Dallac Tevas in accordance				
24	with the Federal Rules of Civ				
25	provisions stated on the recc Twitter, Inc. v. VidStream LLC IPR2017-00830				

```
1
            UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
            BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
2
    TWITTER, INC.,
3
                                  )
              Petitioner,
4
                                   CASE NO.: IPR2017-00829
    VS.
                                   CASE NO.: IPR2017-00830
5
                                   U.S. Patent No. 9,083,997
    VIDSTREAM, LLC,
6
              Patent Owner.
8
     *********************
10
                       ORAL DEPOSITION OF
11
                    KEVIN C. ALMEROTH, PH.D.
12
                       SEPTEMBER 5, 2018
13
14
15
16
         ORAL DEPOSITION OF KEVIN C. ALMEROTH, PH.D.,
17
    produced as a witness at the instance of the Patent
18
    Owner, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and
19
    numbered cause on September 5, 2018, from 8:04 a.m. to
20
    12:09 p.m., before Lisa C. Hundt, CSR, RPR, CLR in and
21
    for the State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand,
2.2
    at the law offices of Haynes and Boone, located at 2323
23
    Victory Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas, in accordance
    with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the
24
25
    provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.
```

1	APPEARANCES
2	FOR THE PETITIONER:
3	Mr. Jamie H. McDole And
4	Mr. David L. McCombs HAYNES AND BOONE
5	2323 Victory Avenue Suite 700
6	Dallas, Texas 75219 214.651.5121
7	214.200.0867 (Fax) Jamie.mcdole@haynesboone.com
0	David.mccombs@haynesboone.com
9	And
10	Mr. Raghav Bajaj HAYNES AND BOONE
11	600 Congress Avenue Suite 1300
12	Austin, Texas 78701 512.867.8520
13	512.867.8603 (Fax) Raghav.bajaj@haynesboone.com
14	
15	FOR THE PATENT OWNER:
16	Mr. Eagle Robinson NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT
17	98 San Jacinto Boulevard Suite 1100
18	Austin, Texas 78701 512.474.5201
19	512.536.4598 (Fax) Eagle.robinson@nortonrosefulbright.com
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX
2	PAGE
3	Appearances 2
4	Exhibits 4
5	Stipulations
6	KEVIN C. ALMEROTH, PH.D.
7	Examination by Mr. Robinson 5
8	Corrections Page
9	Reporter's Certificate
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1			PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS	
2	NO.	DES	CRIPTION	PAGE
3	Ex.	1007	Declaration of Kevin C. Almeroth, Ph.D., Under 37 C.F.R., Section 1.68 in Support	
4			of Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,083,997 (Claims 1-19)	63
6	Ex.	1009	United States Patent Application Publication Number US 2012-0254925 A1,	
7			Nassiri	56
8	Ex.	1036	Reply Declaration of Kevin C. Almeroth, Ph.D., Under 37 C.F.R., Section 1.68 in Support of Petition for Inter Partes	
9			Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,083,997 (Claims 1-19)	6
10	Ex.	1037	HTTP Live Streaming Draft Dated 03/23/12	30
11	Ex.	1038	- Dynamic Adaptive Streaming over HTTP	
13			(DASH)	29
14	Ex.	1039	Netflix Technology Blog, HTML 5 and Video Streaming	34
15	Ex.	1040	Adobe Flash Video File Format Specification, Version 10.1	22
16	Ex.	1044		57
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	KEVIN ALMEROTH,
3	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
4	EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. ROBINSON:
6	Q. Dr. Almeroth, thank you for being here today.
7	In the context of a video file, what is a
8	file container?
9	A. So I have a section on the declaration about
10	that. I can certainly point you to it and read from it
11	if you'd like.
12	Q. You are here testifying about the technology
13	at issue in these proceedings, are you not?
14	MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.
15	A. I'm here to answer questions about my
16	declaration is my understanding.
17	Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) And part of your
18	declaration testifies about the level of ordinary skill
19	in the art, does it not?
20	A. So to be clear, I served two declarations in
21	this matter. I believe it comes up in both
22	declarations.
23	Q. The level of ordinary skill in the art?
24	A. It does.
25	Q. A person with that level of ordinary skill in

- the art is -- is referred to as a POSITA, correct?
 - A. I have heard that reference.

2.

- Q. So what would a POSITA understand a container to be in the context of a video file as of 2012?
- A. I -- I think I've addressed that in the declaration, in the reply declaration, with respect to the construction proposed by Dr. Olivier. If you give me the declaration, I can point you to that section.
- Q. All right. I will hand you what's been marked Twitter Exhibit 1036.

MR. MCDOLE: Thank you.

- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) And if you would please direct me to the part of that exhibit that you refer to.
- A. So there's two places in the section that begins on page 8 that Dr. Olivier's construction is unclear. It goes through and describes aspects of it that are unclear. Page 14 there's also a footnote that begins, "I note that Dr. Olivier has not provided definition of the term file container" [as read].

And so it continues, I won't read the rest of it. And so I don't think he has been particularly clear on what his definition of what a container is supposed to be, what it would include, what it wouldn't include.

Q. So let's start with page 8. You state,

2.

2.0

"He" -- referring to Dr. Olivier -- "provides references to examples of file containers, but does not define how a POSITA would know what is and what is it not a file container" [as read].

Is it your opinion that a POSITA would not know what a file container is in this context?

A. I don't think a POSITA would understand

Dr. Olivier's construction in what would be part of the

file container and what wouldn't be. I don't think

it's -- it's clear based on what he's identified or what

he's attempted to define.

And as the declaration goes on to describe, I make certain assumptions about what he's referring to and then use those assumptions as part of an analysis as to what the -- the reference is disclosed.

- Q. So what would a POSITA understand a file container to be?
 - A. In -- in what context?
- Q. In the context of the video files discussed in the '997 patent.
- A. I don't think the '997 says what is in the file container. I mean, if you -- if you had the patent and you want to point me to where it talks about file containers and gives a description of what's in there,

2.

- I'm certainly willing to review it. I don't recall that the '997 patent provides a definition as to what a file container is.
- Q. Did file containers exist in the context of video files prior to the filing of the '997 patent?
- A. I would have to check and see if the term "file container" was used. I know in some cases, there are references in the prior art, like Bradford, to containers, but not file containers, which is really what I'm trying to address in that footnote on page 14.
- Q. So you take issue with the inclusion of the word "file" when Dr. Olivier referenced a container for a file?
- A. He didn't reference a file for a container. He said that it was a file container.
- Q. But he discussed that in the context of video files, did he not?
- MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.
- 19 A. I -- I don't understand your question when you 20 say "it."
 - Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) I believe you said that he didn't reference a file for a container. He said that it was a file container. And so -- the question is: Didn't Dr. Olivier discuss file containers for files, for video files specifically?

2.

A. I think what he discussed is is pretty I
mean, it's self-evident based on his declaration. As
the footnote 1 says on page 14, it was probably worth
reading. I note that Dr. Olivier has not provided a
definition of the term "file container," but I note the
disclosure identified in the '304 patent in the usage of
the term "file format" to be related with the discussion
of a file container and video container in his
declaration and the description of a container format in
Bradford, which he cites in his description of a video
file.

So, I mean, it then continues, "For the purposes of rebutting Dr. Olivier's analysis in this declaration, I assume the terms are similar enough as they relate to the claim terms such that I have used the terms interchangeably" [as read].

And I think that that's an important note.

I mean, the proposed construction that he uses is file

container, but then he seems loose in what he's

referring to video container or a container format or

just something having a container.

And so, I mean, I think all of that goes into the opinion I've offered that his construction is ambiguous as to what he actually means by what's in a container format. I mean, it's -- or sorry, what's in a

file container.

2.

Q. So it sounds like the issue you're having is that he included the word "file" with "container." So let's step back one step.

Can you tell me what a POSITA would understand a container to be in the context of a video file?

MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.

- A. So there were two parts to your question. It isn't just the -- the use of the word "file" before "container." But setting aside that issue, I mean, generally a container is -- is really just a format.
 - Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) A format for what?
- A. Usually it's used in the context of referring to -- for example, like Bradford does, it talks about -- actually, I don't have Bradford. I don't remember exactly what the term that it uses, but I think it's referring to just the format of what the data is.
 - Q. What data?
 - MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.
- A. I believe it's the -- the video data, the video data and/or the audio data.
- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Wouldn't the codec used to encode the video and audio data determine the format of the video and audio data itself?

- A. Your question doesn't quite make sense. I mean, certainly the goal -- the goal of the codec is to produce data that's in a particular format according to some standard.
- Q. Codecs can be used -- at least some codecs can be used with different container formats, correct?
 - A. I'm not sure what you're asking.

2.

- Q. So H.263, for example, can that be used with different container formats?
- A. In what way? I mean, can you -- I'm not sure what you're asking. If you're asking can the H.263 video include information beyond what's described in the H.263 standard, I mean, hypothetically, you could -- you could do just about anything with that H.263 video encoding. So I'm not sure what you're asking again.
- Q. Can video data encoded in the H.263 format be placed into different types of containers?
- A. It depends on the kinds of containers that you're contemplating. If the container is some sort of structure that includes within it a video format and the container structure allows for different video formats to be included within that structure, then hypothetically, sure.
- Q. What are some types of container formats for video files?

I mean, typically when I think about a 1 Α. container in the context of a video format, it is the 2. encoded video itself. You mentioned H.263, that would 3 4 have its own format. It would include a container as 5 part of the -- the standard. Just about anything else would have an encoding format to it, MPEG, AVI, flash 6 7 video, H.261. I mean, the list goes on. Is H.261 a container? 8 Ο. By what definition of container? Α. 10 Ο. Would a POSITA in 2012 have understood H.261 11 to designate a container? 12 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form. 13 It depends on what definition of container you 14 want to use. What -- what are you saying? If -- if the 15 container is really just a format for the video, which 16 is where I think this started, I think a person of skill in the art in 2012 would understand H.261 to have a 17 18 format. 19 (BY MR. ROBINSON) What's the difference Ο. 20 between the format defined by a container and the format defined by a codec? 21 22 I don't understand the question. I don't -- I

Does a container -- do a container and a codec

don't know what you're really asking.

both define the format in a video file?

23

24

Usually it's the standard that defines what 1 Α. 2. the format of a video is. A codec, something that encodes video into that format, it's hard to say that it 3 defines what the format is. It creates video that 4 5 adheres to that format. The same thing -- I mean, a container is -- is a format. 6 So, I mean, the standard describes what 7 8 the format should be. And to the extent there's information in addition to the video, that would be 10 defined by the standard as well. 11 By the container standard? Ο. 12 Α. T --13 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form. 14 Α. I'm not sure what you mean by "container 15 standard." Usually there's an encoding standard and the 16 standard for the compression technique, something like MPEG. I -- I don't recall a standard like MPEG ever 17 18 being referred to as a container standard. 19 (BY MR. ROBINSON) Is MPEG a codec standard? 2.0 I -- the question doesn't really make sense. I mean, MPEG is a standard. It includes a -- a 21 22 reference decoder with -- by its nature defines what the 23 requirements are for the encoder. That's what MPEG

Is MPEG an encoding standard?

describes.

Q.

24

2.

- A. I think MPEG is an encoding standard. I mean, it describes -- well, it describes what the encoding is. It doesn't say how the encoder should be built. So depending on, really, what you mean by that term, it's hard to say whether it applies to the MPEG standard or not.
- Q. Is it your testimony today that a POSITA would not expect containers to be governed by standards?
 - A. I don't think that's what I've testified to.
- Q. A moment ago, you said I'm not sure what you mean by "a container standard." Why don't you understand the phrase "container standard"?
- A. I don't know what you mean when you say "container standard."
- Q. Would you understand what I meant if I said a standard that defines the parameters of a container type?
- A. Standards like MPEG, for example, can include descriptions of what the encoded data should look like and other information that can be included in a stream can be associated with the data. If -- if that's what you want to define as the container, then I think that kind of information that can be included with the encoded data itself is described in the MPEG standard.
 - Q. Are you familiar with any standards that

2.

- define parameters of a container that do not necessarily require a particular encoding of the video data?
- A. I haven't thought to answer that question. I mean, it's pretty specific. I mean, usually the standards I'm familiar with will describe the type of encoding. The format for the encoding include descriptions of other information that can be provided with that encoding. So I'm not really sure what you're asking about.
- Q. Do you agree that video data can exist independent of a container?
- A. It seems like a broad hypothetical. When you say can it exist, presumably that includes all scenarios, including whether or not encoders or decoders or systems or networks could act on or deliver or transport that information. It seems to suggest whether it's hypothetically possible to separate out information that you would characterize as being container from other data. Hypothetically speaking, you could take just about any data and divide it up into whatever pieces or parts that you wanted.
- Q. Can encoded video data exist independent of a container?
 - A. I think it's the same answer.
 - Q. So what are the parts that are added to a

1 video -- to video data that make it in a container? 2. MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form. I don't think there's really an answer to that 3 Α. 4 It depends on what you want to define as the container. What you want to say is in the container 5 depends on the standard. I think it just depends. 7 (BY MR. ROBINSON) How would a POSITA have 8 determined what was in a container as of 2012? MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form. 10 You -- you are using this word "container." 11 I'm not sure, again, exactly what you're trying to say 12 is within the scope of that term. But even sort of 13 setting aside that point, generally, what a person of skill in the art would do to understand what was 14 included or what could be included in encoded data 15 16 created based on following a specification, that person 17 would look to the specification to see, look to the 18 standard for that compression technique, something like 19 the MPEG standard. 20 (BY MR. ROBINSON) Would a POSITA in 2012 have Q. understood the term "container" in the context of a 21 22 video file? 23 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; asked and 24 answered.

25

I mean, ultimately, it depends. It depends on

what you specifically mean by "container." In some cases, standards will use the term "container." They might use them in different ways based on what the different standards are.

2.

As I testified to earlier, I mean, a container can really just mean a format. So, again, I think that a person of skill in the art would look to a standard to understand what the format is that's described in that standard.

Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) How would a POSITA's understanding of the term "container" in 2012 depend on what I mean by "container" today?

MR. MCDOLE: Object to the form.

A. Well, some of your questions are asking about containers generally without really any particular context. As I've stated in the declaration, it's a term that's been introduced into the proposed construction.

And so as it says in the declaration, it's not really clear when Dr. Olivier uses the term "file container" what he really means, especially given that there's different versions of the word "container," or different adjectives used to describe containers in different references, and that it's not clear and I don't think it would be clear for the reasons set forth in the declaration why a -- what a person of skill in the art

```
would understand a file container to mean in the way that Dr. Olivier has used it.
```

2.

2.0

- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) So setting aside for a moment what Dr. Olivier has said about file containers, how would a POSITA in 2012 understood the term "container" in the context of video files?

 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; asked and
- answered.

 A. So I think I've answered that a couple of
- times. I think in the context of, for example, looking at Bradford, it refers to a container, which is different than a file container, and it generally refers to a container as a format. I think that generally that's what a person of skill in the art would understand what -- what containers mean, which is, again, different than what Dr. Olivier has identified.
- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) How does the format of a container differ from the format of encoded video data?

 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; asked and answered.
- A. I -- I'm not sure what you're even asking.

 How does it differ? It -- I mean, it's -- it's two

 different things.
- O. (BY MR. ROBINSON) How so?
 - A. Well, it's like saying how does a list of car

parts differ from a grocery list. I'm not even sure how to begin to answer that question.

- Q. But they both define formats of part of a video file, correct?
 - A. Both of what?

2.

2.0

- Q. Format of a container and the format of encoded video data?
- A. There are aspects of a standard that will describe what encoded data is, what the encoding technique is, what the resulting data is. And then to the extent there's additional data along with that audio and video encoding, that would also be described as part of a standard.
- Q. Which standard, the container standard or the encoding standard?

MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.

- A. I don't understand the -- your use of those terms in a way that creates a distinction. I mean, you have, for example, an MPEG standard. I don't recall the MPEG standard being referred to as a container standard. It might have been referred to as an encoding standard in a loose way. And then the MPEG standard describes characteristics of the encoded MPEG stream or file structure.
 - Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Is the format of H.264

- encoding defined by the MPEG standard?
 - A. Not that I recall.

2.

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So is it fair to say, then, that H.264 encoding is defined by a different standard?
- A. Presumably, it is a different standard. There might be overlaps in the techniques that are used in the two, but they're produced by different organizations.
- Q. Can H.264 encoded video data be placed in an MPEG container?
- A. I don't recall. I don't think that's a question I tried to answer in my declaration.
- Q. And as you sit here today, it's not a question that you're able to answer?
- A. I'm not -- can you repeat the question? Let's start there.
 - Q. Can H.264 encoded video data be placed in an MPEG container?
 - A. I'm not even sure what you mean by an "MPEG container." So sitting here today, I -- I mean, I can't answer that question one way or another.
 - Q. MPEG4 is a container type, isn't it?
 - A. MPEG4, to my understanding, is -- is a standard for encoding video. Whether it has information in it, whether it uses the term "container," what it's specifically referring to, I mean, I don't have the

standard memorized.

2.

2.0

- Q. Is FLV a container format?
- A. It depends on what you mean by "container format." I think that the FLV talks about containers in particular ways, some of which I've described in the declaration. I'm trying to remember if it uses the term "container." I can certainly look through the declaration, you can get out the exhibit and see what it says.
- Q. Would a POSITA in 2012 have recognized FLV to be a video file container format?
- A. I can look through the declaration and see if I offer an opinion about that one way or another. Off the top of my head, I don't recall saying one way or another. So if there's something in the declaration short of me trying to read through it that you want to point me to, I'll certainly look at that.
- Q. Would a POSITA in 2012 have recognized FLV to be an encoding format for video data?
- A. So FLV has an associated specification to it. This is paragraph 20 and maybe this goes to your last question as well. So in the first sentence talks about Dr. Olivier acknowledging that FLV was a common file format, and then that's where the footnote that I pointed to earlier comes in.

2.

2.0

And then it says a POSITA would have understood that FLV is a container format and specifically denotes the file extension for a flash video file. And then it references some of what that Adobe Flash video file format specification describes and its use of the term "container formats" at least in a portion of that reference.

- Q. So you use the term "container" in this paragraph. What did you mean by it?
- A. Well, it's specifically a container format.

 And I'm referencing the use of that term as it's described in that Adobe Flash video file format specification.

So where flash is the de facto standard for dynamic media on the web supporting a number of media formats, including two core container formats for delivering synchronized audio and video, FLV. And then it says an FLV file encode synchronized audio and video streams, and that's the -- the quote that I've pulled from Exhibit 1040.

So what I mean by the file container -- or sorry, the container format for FLV is exactly what that document describes FLV as.

Q. What would a POSITA have understood the term "container format" to mean in 2012?

In the context of FLV, they would have 1 Α. 2. understood based on what that document, Exhibit 1040, describes as what the container format is. 3 4 Are there any other container formats that a 5 POSITA would have been familiar with in 2012? To the extent that there are other standards Α. 7 that were available as of 2012 and they described and used the term "container format" and then went on to --8 to define what that format is, then a POSITA would 10 have --11 Ο. You were --12 Α. -- that knowledge. 13 Q. Sorry. 14 You were a POSITA in 2012, correct? 15 Α. I was. 16 As we sit here today, can you name any other container formats that a POSITA would have been familiar 17 18 with in 2012? 19 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form. 20 I -- I mean, as of what they would have been Α. familiar with as of that particular date, I'd have to go 21 22 back and look. I mean, certainly, I know that MPEG 23 standards existed, H.261, H.264, lots of video standards existed. To the extent those documents talk about 24

25

container formats or containers, that's what a person of

- Kevin C. Almeroth, PhD skill in the art would have understood in the context of 1 those standards what that term meant. 2. 3 Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) So this quote from 4 Exhibit 1040 that you include in paragraph 20 states 5 that an FLV file encodes synchronized audio and video What information does the FLV file or 6 streams. container include that is not included in the audio and 7 8 video streams? I would have to get out the standard and look Α. 10 I don't have specific information memorized to 11 answer your question. 12 Q. Can you tell me the types of information that 13 it would include? 14 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form. 15 Α. If you give me the standard, I can go through 16 and -- and look and see what it describes. I mean, I 17 just don't have it memorized off the top of my head. 18 (BY MR. ROBINSON) You mentioned MPEG earlier Ο. 19 as well. Can you give me examples of any information 2.0 that an MPEG file includes beyond the encoded audio or video data? 21 22
 - MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.
 - So a couple of things. There's a whole family Α. of MPEG standards. There are other things described in the standard synchronization data. There can be some

24

metadata about the name of the video. Some standards, I think it's MPEG7, can include seen information.

2.

2.0

The challenge, though, in answering your question is, you asked with respect to a relationship to the audio and video data. I'm not sure how you want me to separate the audio or video data from metadata, whether you consider the metadata to be part of the audio and video data, whether you want to consider it to be separate. I mean, ultimately, you have to look at the standard.

Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) So let's focus on MPEG4 and a POSITA's understanding as of 2012. Would a POSITA have understood that metadata to be part of the encoded audio or video data?

MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.

- A. You've -- your question is really too vague to answer. I -- I mean, it -- it becomes circular. What do you mean by the encoded audio and video data? Do you include the metadata or do you not?
- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) I'm just asking what a POSITA in 2012 would have understood.
- A. I don't think a POSITA would have understood the question you're asking. I don't understand it.
- Q. Would a POSITA in 2012 have understood the difference between encoded audio and video data and

metadata?

2.

A. I think a person of skill in the art would have understood in the context of MPEG what MPEG might describe as metadata, what the encoding standard would be for audio and video, but in terms of packaging that together, one way or another whether it's considered part of the -- the audio and video data or not.

If -- if your audio or video data is limited to just what a person hears or sees, then metadata may not be part of it. If you include, for example, subtitles and you say that that's part of the metadata, but then that's something that a person sees, do you consider the -- the subtitles to be part of the video data or not.

I mean, it -- unless you define some specific aspects of the terms that you want to apply in asking that question, it -- it really just depends on the situation.

Q. So a POSITA in 2012 would not have been able to have a conversation with these terms without specific definitions?

MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.

A. I mean, they could certainly have a conversation, but if you're going to ask a specific question about whether or not you consider metadata to

be part of the audio or video stream, or the audio or video encoded data, I think the answer for a POSITA would be it depends.

2.

- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) What about synchronization data, synchronizes an audio stream with the video stream, would that have been considered by a POSITA in 2012 to be part of the audio data or the video data?
- A. That's another good example of it depends on where you draw the bounds of what you consider the audio and video data. If you say that the audio and video data is only what you see or hear, then synchronization data could be considered part of it. If you consider the audio data itself and what's encoded as part of the audio data, which can include synchronization information, then it would.

So it really just depends on -- on where you draw the boundary. I don't think a person of skill in the art in 2012 would draw -- would necessarily draw the boundary in one particular way such that it would be part of the audio data exclusively or not.

- Q. So a POSITA in 2012 would not have had any way, consistent way, to draw that boundary?
- A. Not in the way you're asking the question. A person of skill -- I mean, there's other ways to ask the question. There's other ways to define it. It -- it

might depend on what the standard says. It might depend on the question you're asking.

2.

Q. In what way would a POSITA in 2012 have drawn the boundary to determine what was video data, what was audio data, and what was neither?

MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.

A. Oh, I'd have to think about it. Principally, I would start with a standard to see how the standard is describing what's part of the encoded video data. If it described as part of the structure of that information to include synchronization, that might be a good indication that a person of skill in the art would consider that information to be part of the encoded video data.

If it's separate, and you use a different protocol, for example, like RTP to do synchronization that's different from the audio encoded in the video encoding, then in that scenario, you might consider them to be different -- or sorry, not part of the same encoding.

Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) In an MPEG4 video file that includes video data encoded in the H.264 format and audio data included in the AAC format, is it possible to play the audio data independently of the video data?

MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.

If by "independent" you mean not play the 1 Α. video data, I'm assuming you could write a -- a player 2. that would -- would only play the audio data and not 3 4 play the video data. 5 Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Could you do the same with the video data, meaning you could write a player that 7 would play the video data but not play the audio data? 8 Α. Sure. I mean, in either case, you could have a -- a player that decodes both and then simply doesn't 9 direct the -- the video or the audio to output. So, 10 11 certainly, I suspect for the scenario you described, a 12 player could present to a user only something the user 13 can hear or something only the user can see. I could 14 think of a writing a player that would that do. 15 I hand you what's been marked Twitter Ο. Exhibit 1038. Can you briefly describe what this 16 document is? 17 18 It's the standard from ISO for DASH, Α. Sure. 19 the dynamic adaptive streaming over HTTP. 20 In the upper right-hand corner, it states, Q. "Second edition 2014-05-15." 21 22 Do you understand that to be the date 23 May 15th, 2014?

Well, when you say "the date," I mean, it has

24

25

that date on it.

In the lower right-hand corner of the first 1 Ο. page, "Copyright notice ISO/IC2014." 2. Do you understand that to refer to the 3 4 year 2014? 5 Α. I do. Is there anything in this document that states 7 that MPEG DASH was available in 2012? 8 I thought I had a note about that in the declaration. I don't recall what the document says. 10 I'd have to go through and look at it. 11 I mean, obviously, this is the second 12 edition. I don't recall when the first edition was 13 available. Certainly, I don't think that DASH changed 14 significantly over that period of time as it relates to opinions that I would offer about it. 15 16 Did you submit the first edition with your declaration? 17 I don't recall that I did. 18 Α. 19 I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Ο. 2.0 Twitter Exhibit 1037. Do you see the upper left-hand corner where it says, "Internet-draft"? 21 22 Α. T do. 23 You understand that to mean that this document Ο. had not yet been finalized? 24 I understand that to mean that it was an 25 Α.

- internet draft. It had not yet become an RFC. It had not gone through the formal process yet.
- Q. Under the third paragraph under the heading "Status of this memo," on the first page, do you see where it says that, "It is inappropriate to use internet drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as work in progress" [as read]?
 - A. I do.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.0

- Q. Doesn't that suggest that this, in fact, had not been finalized yet?
- A. No. What that's referring to is that it certainly is a work in progress. I mean, ultimately, it can be turned into an RFC. But in terms of describing the context of what a person of skill in the art would have understood about the evolving standard as it existed as of a particular date, it's certainly a valid document.
 - Q. What is an RFC?
- 19 A. It's a request for comments.
 - Q. What is that?
- A. I'm not sure what you're asking. I mean, it's a document that's produced by the IETF. There are different types of RFCs.
- Q. So this internet draft had not yet been turned into an RFC?

2.

- A. I would presume not, given what this document is. I don't know where in the standards process it was, when it had been submitted for consideration. But certainly, it -- it establishes what a person of skill in the art would have understood about HTTP live streaming at the time, whether or not it had been ratified as an RFC or not.
- Q. Why would this document have expired on September 24th, 2012?
- A. So as it says, the -- the way that the IETF works is that internet drafts live for about six months and either a new version is created, in some cases, it's identical to the previous version. But the IETF separates internet drafts from RFCs so that companies who are attempting to implement a particular standard don't rely on an outdated interim version of the standard.
- Q. What does the request for comment or RFC process involve?
- A. Usually there's a last call that happens within the working group. And then it goes to the area director and then I think is eventually considered by the IESG, the internet engineering steering group. I believe that's correct. I don't have the full details memorized of what it has to go through.

Kevin C. Almeroth, PhD Are there sometimes multiple requests for Ο. comments on a single standard? It depends. Certainly there can be. As I Α. said, there are different types of RFC -- sorry -- of RFCs. You can have informational. You can have best practices. You can have, sort of, standards track RFCs. Certainly you can have RFCs that are informational as it relates to particular standards. Standards can be divided up across multiple RFCs. And are request for comments what they sound like? I mean, it's a request for stakeholders to -- to comment on a draft and provide feedback?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Generally not. It's a -- it's a holdover term from the early days. Usually RFCs -- well, I -- I said usually, and I was going to say that usually RFCs aren't open to additional editing, but that's not always the case. TCP is an RFC. It went through multiple iterations and expansions. There's a -- a tree associated with the RFCs as they relate to TCP.

So it depends. Sometimes standards will be revised if errors are found. But generally when it becomes an RFC, it's -- it's expected to have received a -- a sufficient level of review that it hopefully doesn't change.

Q. So are internet drafts, then, prior to that

RFC, sort of the last practical opportunity for stakeholders to provide feedback?

2.

- A. I'm not sure that's -- that's accurate. I mean, you can provide feedback whenever you want.

 Whether or not you can provide practical feedback beyond the time when it becomes an RFC, I mean, one of the RFCs that I've been involved in has -- has received amendments and errata, so it depends.
- Q. Is it accurate to say that at the internet draft stage there could still be updates, replacements, or even new standards that render the prior internet draft obsolete?
- A. I mean, hypothetically, absolutely. I mean, anything can happen from -- I mean, I've written drafts that have -- have expired and haven't become standards. I've been -- some standards -- or some drafts go through significant revisions. This is on revision 8 or 9. Usually you start with dash 00, so this would be revision 8.

Depending on the standard, you can track back through the earlier versions to see what's -- what's been added, if things have been changed. And then, ultimately, if there's an RFC, you can track what's been added or changed. I mean, the point really of -- of this document is to establish what -- what a

```
person of skill in the art would have understood about
1
 2.
     certain aspects of what are described here.
 3
                    THE WITNESS: If you're ready to do
 4
     another document, can we do a quick break?
 5
                    MR. ROBINSON:
                                    Sure.
                    (Break taken from 8:58 a.m. to 9:05 a.m.)
 6
 7
               (BY MR. ROBINSON) Dr. Almeroth, I'm going to
          Q.
 8
    hand you what's been marked as Twitter Exhibit 1039.
          Α.
               Okay.
               This document reflects that Netflix did not
10
11
     yet support HTML5 video playback or MPEG DASH as of its
12
    publication, correct?
13
                    MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.
14
          Α.
               I don't recall where I reference this document
15
     in my declaration, so let me find that first.
16
               (BY MR. ROBINSON) It's in paragraph 26.
17
                    (Witness reviewed document.)
18
               Okay. So then -- and then you were asking --
          Α.
19
     okay, okay. So I see that. And then you were asking
2.0
     about the document, something in the document?
               This document states that Netflix had not yet
21
          Ο.
22
     implemented HTML5, a video playback, or MPEG DASH,
23
     correct?
               I'm not sure where you're reading from.
24
          Α.
                     (Witness reviewed document.)
25
```

1 Α. I'm not -- I'm not sure where you're reading 2. from. Does this document say anywhere that Netflix 3 Ο. 4 has actually implemented HTML5 video playback? 5 Α. I don't see that it says that specifically, but what it's describing is what I identify in the 7 declaration, but not the least of which they're 8 describing how they can perform their service, how they can do streaming via Netflix using HTTP DASH. 10 My opinions aren't predicated on the fact 11 that you need to actually have been using it to 12 demonstrate what I say in the declaration. So it says 13 here in paragraph 26, for example, Netflix supports the 14 use of MPEG DASH. And then applying the standard that Dr. Olivier has used for video stream, it would exclude 15 16 Netflix from transmitting a video stream. And so it 17 would exclude from the definition of what a video stream 18 is something that's commonly referred to as video 19 streaming. 2.0 Where does Exhibit 1039 say that Netflix Q. 21 supports MPEG DASH? 22 (Witness reviewed document.) 23 I don't know that this document says it Α. specifically, but, again, I'm relying on this document 24

for what it says about video streaming, HTML 5, and MPEG

DASH.

2.

And the point, regardless of whether it says that they're actually using it or not, what my paragraph says and what my opinion is, is that to the extent that somebody is using a facility or a technique that's described in this document, that it would be excluded from what Dr. Olivier has defined as video streaming. It isn't the fact that Netflix has to actually use it, but that the kind of standard that's being used the way that it's described would be excluded from his characterization.

- Q. So in your declaration when you said Netflix supports the use of MPEG DASH, you didn't mean they actually use MPEG DASH, they just support the idea of it?
- A. No. It's -- it's -- based on my recollection, they were, in fact, using it, but the conclusions in this paragraph aren't based on the fact that they used it or not. It's -- the point isn't that they were using it or not, that that somehow makes a difference to my opinion.

It's the fact that the use of MPEG DASH through somebody like Netflix, as it's described here in this document, Exhibit 1039, would be outside of the scope of what Dr. Olivier has identified as video

2.

streaming and to use that as a basis for saying that his definition is wrong because it excludes well-known types of video streaming.

It doesn't matter whether Netflix did it or not. It's that what's described here and what's characterized here is something that would fall outside of the scope of what Dr. Olivier has said.

- Q. And, in fact, Exhibit 1039 reflects that when this article was purportedly published on December 22nd, 2010, Netflix was using its own proprietary technology?
- A. As part of what it was doing. It's contemplating the use of DASH in that context. And, again, I mean, it doesn't matter what exactly they were doing, whether or not all of what they were doing was using DASH or whether some of it was or whether any of it was. My recollection is they were using it or at least experimenting with it in part.

And the point would be that the use of that kind of technology, which is characterized as video streaming, is something that would fall outside of what Dr. Olivier believes a person of skill in the art would understand video streaming to be. And given that in 2012 these kinds of techniques were wide-spread and called video streaming, I don't think that his construction is -- is something that a person of skill

```
1
     in the art would understand -- understand video
 2.
     streaming to be.
               I'm going to hand you what's been marked
 3
     Exhibit 1040.
 4
 5
                    MR. MCDOLE: Thank you.
          Α.
               Okay.
 7
               (BY MR. ROBINSON) Briefly describe what this
     document is.
 8
               It's the Adobe Flash video file format
          Α.
10
     specification version 10.1.
               Would you refer to the page marked 7 of 89,
11
          Ο.
12
    please?
13
          Α.
               Okay. I'm there.
               First sentence says that, "Flash is the
14
          Q.
15
     de facto standard for dynamic media on the web
16
     supporting a number of media formats, including two core
    container formats for delivering synchronized audio and
17
18
    video streams" [as read].
                    Is FLV a file format or a container
19
2.0
     format?
21
               I'm not sure how to apply those terms to the
22
     standard to say one way or another. It says it includes
23
    two core container formats, and then it goes on to
     describe what those container formats are, the first of
24
```

which is F4V. And then there's the next section heading

says the F4V video file format.

2.

So it uses video file format in the document. It uses a container format in the document. Through the use of those terms, or despite the use of those terms, I -- I don't know that it would be accurate to characterize the entire standard as -- as being one or the other.

- Q. Would it be accurate to say that the container format is a subset of the file format?
 - A. I don't see where it says that.
- Q. Well, the first sentence refers to FLV as one of two core container formats, correct?
- A. It says that flash supports a number of media formats, including two core container formats, one of which is FLV.
- Q. So FLV is a -- what this document describes as a core container format for the flash standard, correct?
- A. It -- it identifies it as one of two core container formats for delivering synchronized audio and video streams. That's the way it -- it characterizes it, at least in this sentence.
- Q. And then for F4V, the other of those two core container formats, it says that the F4V container format is H.264/AAC based content, correct?
 - A. That's what it says under that first bullet

1 point.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

21

22

23

24

- Q. Is your understanding that H.264 refers to the format in which video data is encoded?
- A. It's my understanding H.264 refers to the H.264 standard. And that includes a way of encoding or compressing data.
 - Q. So is H.264 based content video data that's encoded in the H.264 format?
- A. I don't understand the question. Are you -- I don't understand the question. Are you saying that H.264 is encoded in H.264?
- Q. I'm saying H.264 based content is video data encoded in the H.264 format, correct?
- A. H.264 refers to video. It's encoded in -- in
 H.264.
- Q. And AAC refers to audio that's encoded in the AAC format, correct?
- 18 A. AAC would be audio encoded in AAC.
- Q. Okay. And H.264/AAC based content can also be placed in an MPEG4 container format, correct?
 - A. It might be able -- you might be able to. I don't have the MPEG4 standard memorized.
 - Q. So if H.264/AAC based content can be placed in either an F4V container format or an MPEG4 container format, is it accurate to say that the H.264/AAC based

content exists -- can exist independently of container format?

2.

2.0

- MR. MCDOLE: Objection; lacks foundation.
 - A. What do you mean "independently of"?
- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) H.264/AAC based content need not be in an F4V container to exist?
- A. I mean, the question doesn't make a lot of sense. I mean, hypothetically speaking, you can separate the two. I don't know if that makes them independent.
 - Q. What do you mean by "separate the two"?
- A. Well, exactly that; that you can -- you can write a program that would separate them. That whatever information is part of F4V and to whatever extent this document describes the use of F4V in conjunction with H.264 and AAC, presumably, you could write a program so that you can separate them, that you could have different data structures that store information as it relates to one, maybe have common data structures that relate to the two of them together.
- Q. So you could separate the H.264/AAC based content from the F4V container?
- A. Well, once it's in the F4V container, I don't recall how -- well, you said F4V container. Once you have data encoded using F4V, that would -- could include

different compression standards. But once you have those integrated together as part of F4V, how you separate them, I mean, whether they're -- they can be separated depends on what information ties the two together.

2.

- So, I mean, you might be able to start out with H.264 and AAC based content, and then when that's used to create an F4V stream, it's not clear that -- that the two can be separated so that what you're left with is just the H.264 and AAC based content. It would depend on how the decoder works and where you would want to draw the line.
- Q. So if you start with H.264/AAC based content that's not in a container, you could choose to either put that in an F4V container format or an MPEG4 container format?
- A. I would depend. I mean, we can look through this document to see when it talks about the F4V video file format what that includes. I mean, it says it builds on -- I mean, it says the MPEG4 standard, it uses that as a base media file format. And then it supports structures and defines specific supported codecs and extensions.
- So you can certainly combine aspects of H.264 based content together as part of FV4 [sic]. I

2.

- don't know that it's as clean as -- as just adding on some sort of header structure and that it would be easy to separate. I mean, it's describing here that you integrate them together to create an F4V audio and video stream.
- Q. So I didn't ask about separating just then. I just asked if you start with H.264/AAC based content that is not in container format, you can choose to either put it in an F4V container or an MPEG4 container, correct?
- MR. MCDOLE: Objection; asked and answered and form.
- A. So depending on what the two standards say, I mean, what I can say that's described at least based on these paragraphs here is what's in the F4V video file format paragraph; that it builds on -- on other standards, it has a flexible structure, and you can define specific codecs and extensions and so you can use a variety of video formats as part of F4V. Whether or not you can do the same thing for MPEG4, I'm -- you'd have to look at the MPEG4 standard to see and...
- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) If MPEG4 supports H.264 and AAC, then you could put it in an MPEG4 container?
- A. Just simply saying that it supports it doesn't necessarily mean that you can just insert it into the

2.

2.0

- container. I mean, you can say, for example, that F4V supports H.264 and AAC based content, but, again, it really depends on where you draw the boundary as to what the container is and how you're using that video -- encoded video. And it gets into the structure of the data that's used or that's created as the result of creating this file format. So it -- I'll leave it there. You can ask another question.
- Q. So if MPEG4 supports putting H.264 and ACC data into an MPEG4 container, then you could, in fact, put H.264 and AAC data into an MPEG4 container?
- A. Your question is circular, and so I will agree with it. If you can do it, then you can do it.
- Q. Would a POSITA in 2012 known whether H.264 and AAC encoded data could go into an MPEG4 container?

 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.
- A. I don't recall that as a question that I specifically tried to answer. So I'd have to give it some thought.
- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) As someone who was a POSITA in 2012, did you know that H.264 and AAC data could go into an MPEG4 container?
- A. I don't recall what specifically I knew. More importantly, I wasn't just a POSITA by 2012. So recalling back to that date and whether I remember that

specific fact, sitting here right now, it -- I don't have a specific recollection.

1

2.

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And even as someone who is well beyond a POSITA as of today, do you know whether H.264 and AAC data can go into an MPEG4 container?
- A. My recollection is similar to flash, that you can use encoded data from other standards as part of MPEG4. I'm not sure I would quite characterize it in the way that you described.
 - Q. How would you characterize?
 - A. Exactly the way that I did.

MR. MCDOLE: Form.

- A. That you can use H.264 encoded data as part of an MPEG stream. But I would have to double-check the standard. I mean, I just don't have it memorized off the top of my head.
- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) So you testified that you can use H.264 encoded data as part of an MPEG stream.

 Is that an MPEG4 stream?
 - A. Yes. That's what I was referring to.
- Q. Can you use H.264 encoded data as part of an MPEG file?
- A. I would have to check the variety of MPEG standards to see if that was possible. I mean, there's half dozen, dozen MPEG standards. I'd have to go and

look.

2.

- Q. Can you use H.264 encoded data as part of an MPEG4 file?
- A. I'd have to go back and double-check the standard. It's a pretty specific question. I don't have the standard memorized.
- Q. Were you working in -- let me rephrase that.

 Did your experience involve work in web
 design between the years of 2002 and 2012?
 - A. Very likely it did.
- Q. Do you say "very likely" because you don't recall specifically, or is that just a turn of phrase?
- A. No; you're asking about a specific decade,
 2002 to 2012. I did lots of web design prior to 2002.

 I did work starting in the mid-'90s. Much of that work
 continued on at UCSB. I could look at publications to
 confirm that some of that work continued after 2002, at
 least that I'm specifically thinking of. And there's
 probably other work that falls within that time period
 as well. So very likely.
- Q. Did your experience involve work in networked video between the years of 2002 and 2012?
 - A. Almost certainly.
- Q. How much did web design evolve between 2002 and 2012?

- What metric would you like me to use for how 1 Α. 2. How do you want me to quantify how much it's 3 evolved? 4 Ο. What are the types of metrics that come to 5 mind? 6
 - Α. None.

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Okay. Were there changes in the programming languages that were used for web design between 2002 and 2012?
 - I suspect there might have been.
- 11 But you're not sure? Ο.
 - Α. I mean, you've -- you've kind of created this arbitrary period of 2002 to 2012. I mean, Java existed before 2002, things like JavaScript, I mean, different development programs, Ruby on Rails. I just don't remember when they started and whether or not they're within your 2002 date, whether they were after or not. Certainly websites have -- have gotten more sophisticated, but...
 - Did websites get more sophisticated between 2002 and 2012?
- 22 I think as a general principle, the answer 23 would be yes.
- 24 Ο. How so?
 - More complex websites, more sophisticated Α.

- designs, new features, new services.
- Q. Did new development tools come into existence in that time frame?
 - A. Very likely.

2.

- Q. New terms come into usage in the art?
- A. It's possible.
- Q. Did the meaning of any terms evolve during that period of time?
- A. Again, it's possible. I mean, unless you have something specific to suggest, I'm not aware of any of the terms as they relate in, kind of, context of what I've been doing differed in any significant way.

But asking me a question about the universe of terms and whether they've evolved, I mean, certainly something could have changed within that period of time. Just, I'm not aware of anything relevant within the context of the opinions I've offered.

Q. How would you characterize the pace of research and development in terms of website design from 2002 to 2012?

MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.

A. I'm -- I'm sort of trying to -- to think about the possible words to describe it. I mean, everything, sort of, has its pros and cons. I think it was

certainly evolving.

2.

- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Is it fair to say that the internet was still rapidly evolving from 2002 to 2012?
- A. I think in a general sense, it probably could be considered to be rapidly evolving. But, I mean, it's such a broad statement, it depends on -- in other words, it's so broad, I'm not sure it has much applicability to anything specific.
- Q. Is it fair to say that website design and the tools available for website design were rapidly evolving from 2002 to 2012?
- A. I think they were certainly evolving. I think to the extent you would characterize it as rapidly, I'm sure some -- some books might characterize it that way.

 A lot of the core principles are still in place, but as I said, there's certainly lots of new services and features built on for new web products.

Certainly, by 2012, much of the technology, including kinds of the -- the technology and the patents at issue were -- were known.

- Q. How much time did you spend working on website design between 2002 and 2012?
- A. I don't think I could give you a specific number. Certainly it was significant. I could point you to publications where there were aspects of our

- publications that required websites, website design.
 - O. Please do.

2.

- A. Do you have my CV? I can point you to that. I don't -- I mean, it's like 200 plus publications. I don't have them all memorized, but I can walk you through the publications in that time period and point to ones that would be exemplary of including website design.
- Q. Any particular work that you recall from that time period that involve website design?
- A. You've book-ended a decade that started about 16 years ago. It's -- I mean, I would point to the publications I have and -- and start to work through these.
 - Q. So no particular examples that you recall?
- A. I've got them all in my CV. I've got published software systems, including web pages. I don't have them memorized. I would just point you to what's in my CV. And if you've got it, I can certainly walk you through it.
- Q. Well, I'm not even necessarily asking about publications; just work that you did. Any projects that involve website design? And we can start with 2012 and just work backwards so it's more recent.
 - A. I -- again, you're asking to bookend

2.

- particular dates. You haven't given me my CV. What I would do as a practice would be to look at the publications I've done and say, you know, that project involved website design.
- I mean, it's just a matter of whether or not those publications fell within the particular date range. I mean, there's a simple solution to this, which is you give me a copy of my CV and I can point you to -- to publications that I think are relevant. I just don't have those memorized.
- Q. Can you give me any examples of work that you did with networked video in the -- anywhere in the time frame of 2002 to 2012?
- A. Same thing. I was doing a lot of work in classroom research. I mean, it started in '97, '99. I think it carried over beyond 2002, into that time period. I looked at video exchange, video delivery. Video streaming might have appeared in some of the publications in that time frame. Again, I mean, I think it's all in -- in the CV.
- Starting in about 2001, I don't remember the specific date when I was working on the project for Lockheed, a lot of that was streaming video in the context of challenge networks for military. I mean, if I had my CV, I could probably go on and on for about

- 30 minutes for both video streaming and website design.
 - Q. But even without your CV, you can say that streaming multimedia content like video was the focus of your research since the early '90s?
 - A. I think that's probably accurate.
 - Q. And continues to be a focus of your research?
 - A. Yes, it does.

2.

- Q. But you -- your declaration doesn't say the same thing about website design?
- A. Oh, I don't know that it says so specifically, but throughout all of the projects that I've worked on, website design has been a core component of many of them. I mean, I know the IMJ, the interactive multimedia jukebox, is -- is mentioned specifically. There was a strong aspect of website design in that project. That was sort of '97 to '99. That morphed into AIS. Again, I think that's probably after the 2002 date, but I don't recall specifically.

There were a number of other sites and demos, proof of concept systems that we implemented that considered website design, used websites, you know, project after project in that time frame. I worked with startups, consulting groups that produced websites. So it's -- it's definitely a -- a key aspect of my research as well.

Q. But using a website isn't enough necessarily to give a POSITA knowledge of web design, correct?

2.

2.0

- A. I don't think I've relied on use of website -use of websites as a basis for establishing the
 knowledge a person of skill in the art would have.

 Certainly not what I did as part of my projects that I
 think would qualify me and both give me an understanding
 as to what the skill set would be.
- Q. Paragraph 7 of your declaration marked Exhibit 1036, you state that, "YouTube in 2012 was such a web-based tool, but an understanding of YouTube would not provide any knowledge as to web design" [as read].

How is it that understanding of web-based tool or website like YouTube wouldn't provide any knowledge as to website design or web design?

- A. So YouTube, as an example, has a sophisticated back end. And oftentimes just seeing the way that it works, just seeing it work, didn't tell you how it works on the back end.
 - Q. What do you mean by "the back end"?
- A. So how video is delivered, how -- what clients are used, the techniques for video streaming, the organization of the server architecture, how web elements are retrieved and loaded, all of those kinds of details.

- Q. But a person with experience in networked video would understand how that back end works, correct?
 - A. No. No.

2.

- Q. So YouTube would have been a mystery to a POSITA in 2012?
- A. I'm not saying it would have been a mystery.

 But at least with respect to the design and how it would work and the elements that would go into it, you can't just look at the website and determine how those things work. I mean, you'd need some additional knowledge or skill beyond just networked video.
- Q. But a person with experience in web design could just look at the website and not how that back end works?
- A. I think a person with some web design could look at aspects of the way the front end works. It isn't really a test of whether or not a person with web design knowledge could look at it and figure out how the back end works. That's -- that's not really the test. Certainly they might have some additional insights about YouTube in particular, but, I mean, that's sort of here nor there.
- Q. You said that's not really the test. What is the test that you applied for this opinion in paragraph 7 in your reply declaration?

A. Which one specifically?

2.

- Q. Specifically that, "Understanding of the types of tools, particularly web-based tools used in video recording and publishing across a communication network, does not provide the requisite knowledge of website design. YouTube in 2012 was such a web-based tool, but an understanding of YouTube would not provide any knowledge as to web design" [as read].
- A. It's exactly what I've said there. I mean,

 I -- you've -- you've asked about a test. I mean, there

 isn't really a test. It's -- it's a statement based on

 my experience and what I've said.
- Q. So I $\operatorname{\mathsf{I}}$ -- I asked about a test because you said that's not really the test.
- A. Yeah, the test isn't -- sorry, yeah. What I meant is the test -- there isn't -- the test isn't you look at the website and be able to determine what the back end is. That -- I mean, that doesn't tell you anything, whether you have website design experience or networked video experience. I mean, that's -- that's the two are -- are really irrelevant in determining what's going on in the back end.
- Q. So an understanding of the types of tools, particularly web-based tools used in video recording and publishing across a communication network, would not

include any aspects of web design?

2.

- A. I'm not suggesting that they're mutually exclusive, but it wouldn't provide sufficient knowledge to meet what a person of skill in the art would require.
- Q. I'm going to hand you what was previously marked Twitter Exhibit 1009.

MR. MCDOLE: Thank you.

- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Is that the Nassiri reference that's referenced in your declaration?
 - A. It is.
- Q. Does that Nassiri reference use the term "hyperlink" anywhere?
- A. I don't recall. I don't have it memorized. I mean, you're sitting there with a searchable copy. You could tell me better than -- than I could.
- Q. As part of your analysis, do you recall determining whether the Nassiri reference uses the term "hyperlink"?
- A. Sitting here right now off the top of my head without looking at my declaration, I don't recall one way or another. There might be some aspect of it that's described in the declaration, but you'd have to point me to it.
- Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked Exhibit 1044. Can you briefly describe what this

document is?

1

2.

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. It's an excerpt from the Microsoft Computer Dictionary, Fifth Edition.
- Q. When did you first review this document in connection with this proceeding?
- A. For this proceeding, I don't recall when it was.
- Q. Was it before you submitted your first declaration?
- A. I don't recall. I mean, to the extent it is something that I would have relied on in the first declaration, it would have been cited there. I mean, I think this goes -- well, this goes to the discussion of what a -- a video stream is. I didn't understand there to be any claim construction issue or any -- any challenges to what a video stream would mean or what a stream would mean when I wrote my -- my first declaration -- or when I -- sorry, when I wrote my declaration.
- Q. Are the pages in this Exhibit 1044 coming from a physical copy of the book that you've inspected?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. Do you have a copy of this book?
- 24 A. Not currently.
 - Q. Do you recall if you've ever had a copy of

this book?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

- 2 A. Yes.
 - Q. When was that?
 - A. Probably some years ago.
 - Q. So you didn't physically scan the pages that are included in Exhibit 1044 from the book?
 - A. I did not physically scan the pages that are part of 1044, but it's my recollection, having seen this book before, that those are pages from that book.
 - Q. Some -- spanning pages 5 of 8 and 6 of 8, there's a definition of hyperlink. The end of that definition, it says, "See also anchor, definition 2, HTML hypermedia, hypertext, and URL" [as read].

Why wasn't the page including the definition of URL included in Exhibit 1044?

- A. Sorry, did you say anchor link?
- Q. No. I said, "See also anchor, definition 2, HTML" [as read].
- A. Oh, I see where you're reading. Okay. Why
 didn't I? Because it's -- it's related, but, I mean,
 hyperlink provides the definition.
 - Q. Did you review the definition of URL in this dictionary?
- A. In this dictionary, I don't recall.
- Q. So as we sit here today, you can't tell me

whether that definition has anything inconsistent with the definition of hyperlink?

2.

A. I'm not sure what you mean by "inconsistent."

It's -- it's two different terms. A URL is not a hyperlink. A URL is something different. You can use a URL as part of a hyperlink. Hyperlink can be linked to a URL. But the "see also" is not a suggestion that those terms are meant to be synonymous.

So, for example, HTML is not meant to be synonymous with a hyperlink. It provides additional context in which hyperlinks can be used, but isn't -- isn't an alternative term for what -- URL is not an alternative term for hyperlink.

- Q. But you don't -- as we sit here today, you don't recall even reviewing the definition of URL in this dictionary?
- A. Yeah. As I sit here today, I don't recall reviewing what a uniform resource locator definition is in this dictionary. I know what a uniform resource locator is. I've read the RFC on what a uniform resource locator is. A URL is not a hyperlink. HTML is not a hyperlink. Hypermedia is not a hyperlink.
- These "see alsos" are intended to provide additional context for where hyperlinks might occur, not

that they are replacements for the term.

2.

2.0

- Q. Is there somewhere in this Exhibit 1044 that the book itself explains what the "see also" is intended to mean?
- A. I think it's pretty obvious that hyperlinks are not HTML, and so the "see also" here is an indication of some additional context. I don't think you need a definition of what "see also" need -- means in this document -- or in this dictionary -- to understand what it references.
- Q. Is it your belief, then, that a POSITA in 2012 never would have referred to the URL as a link?
- A. A person of skill in the art in 2012 properly using the term hyperlink and properly using the term URL would not refer to a URL as a link. A person of skill in the art properly using those terms would refer to a URL that could be used in a link and that a hyperlink can be associated with a URL. In some cases, what can be displayed as part of the hyperlink can be a URL.
- Q. Did POSITAs in 2012 always properly use these terms?
- A. In depends on the context. Certainly it's possible that somebody was using it in a nontechnically precise way. But you have a definition for what a hyperlink is. I can testify to you right now, a URL is

2.

- something different than a hyperlink. You can have URLs in hyperlinks. You can have URLs that are not hyperlinks. I mean, the two terms are different.
 - Q. Does this dictionary include a definition of the term "link"?
- A. I don't recall one way or the other. You know, link could mean a network link. It -- it depends on context. I mean, maybe it has a definition or multiple definitions. I just don't have the dictionary memorized.
- Q. So link isn't necessarily the same thing as a hyperlink, even in the context of computers?
- A. Well, the context of computers is pretty broad. In the context of describing a website, you'd have to look at the context. A link could mean a hyperlink. In fact, it very likely might.

In other contexts where you're focused -even within the same document on a network and you
say -- you have a link that connects two computers
together, I think a person of skill in the art would
probably understand that to be something different than
a hyperlink.

- Q. So a link is not necessarily synonymous with a hyperlink even in the context of networks?
 - A. Yeah, I think you're oversimplifying to the

- 1 point that it misinterprets and misconstrues my opinion.
- 2 | And I think I made it clear in the previous answers what
- 3 | I was testifying about as it relates to those two terms.
 - Q. In fact, you said in other contexts where you're focused even within the same document on a network?
 - A. That's not all of what I said.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- Q. And you say, "You have a link that connects two computers together. I think a person of skill in the art would understand that to be something different than a hyperlink" [as read].
- A. That's right. So if you're talking about the physical connection, the link between the two computers and that's the context of the discussion, a person of skill in the art would understand that to be different than hyperlinks in the context of the web.
- But it's very clear in the documents that I've seen where you're using link or hyperlink, that it's referring -- that the context tells you that it's the same thing.
- Q. And as we sit here today, you can't tell me that Exhibit 1044 does not include a definition of the term "link"?
- A. I don't have the dictionary memorized. I think I will agree with that.

1	Q. Did you review this dictionary before
2	submitting it with your declaration to determine whether
3	it had a definition of the term "link"?
4	A. Not that I recall.
5	MR. MCDOLE: Counsel, we've been going for
6	another hour here. Want to take a quick break?
7	MR. ROBINSON: Sure.
8	(Break taken from 9:59 a.m. to 10:06 a.m.)
9	Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Dr. Almeroth, does the
10	Nassiri reference mention TCP?
11	A. I don't know if it uses that term
12	specifically. I mean, I don't have it memorized. I
13	don't have a searchable copy. And whether it mentions
14	it specifically or generally, I I don't recall.
15	Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked
16	Twitter Exhibit 1007. Would you briefly describe what
17	that document is?
18	MR. MCDOLE: Thank you.
19	A. It looks like my first declaration for the
20	'997 patent for the claims 1 through 19.
21	Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Does that document mention
22	TCP anywhere?
23	A. I don't have a searchable copy in front of me.
24	It it might mention it specifically, or it might
25	mention the reliable delivery of data. TCP could be one

- example. If I had a chance to go through, I could probably point to some place in it where it mentions it.
- Q. Any other words besides "reliable" that you might have used to describe TCP?
- A. It's hard for me to say. I can't think of any off the top of my head. I think the declaration speaks for itself in terms of what the opinions are, how the reply declaration ties back to it, and the concepts that are described. I don't know that I could give you a definitive list of words off the top of my head. If I had a chance to reread it, I might be able to point you to something relevant.
- Q. Did you not reread that document in preparation for today's deposition?
 - A. I didn't reread it and memorize it, certainly.
- Q. Did you reread it?
- A. I very likely did. It's in my stack. I probably looked at it at some point.
- Q. Would you please refer back to the Nassiri reference?
 - A. Okay. I have it.
- Q. Specifically paragraph 19.
- 23 A. Okay.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

Q. Where it says, "In other examples, the executable instructions for video capture 115 may

2.

include executable instructions for an embedded video recorder. For example, an HTML IFrame may be used to embed a video recorder in a web page provided by the enterprise computing system. The IFrame may point users of the video host computing system to the video host computing system 120" [as read].

And it says, "One example of IFrame code that may be used to embed a video recorder is" [as read] -- and it provides the example with a URL and a source tag.

Is it your opinion that that URL is not a link?

- A. Well, so to be clear, it's not a hyperlink or a link in the context of something that you would click on. You can consider it a -- a link in the context of it's something that provides a link to another document or object. But what I've relied on for what can be a hyperlink and what would link -- would provide a link to the embedded video recorder is not something like that. I mean, it's -- it goes to the question of whether it's embedded or not and I laid all of that out in the declaration.
- Q. So in paragraph 19, that URL is embedded and it could be considered a link?
 - A. Not in the context of the way that I'm relying

2.

on for the purposes of what's disclosed to meet the claim limitations. Whether you might separately under some definition say that, yes, you have a URL that could be used in a link that could be clicked on, is something that's different.

And, again, I mean, I've set forth the opinions and I understand Dr. Olivier and the patent owner of taking an issue with what's considered a link or not, and, I mean, I've put all of that into the declaration.

- Q. So it's your opinion that this is not a hyperlink that can be clicked on, but I believe you testified a moment ago that URL could be considered a link?
- A. So if you -- if you wanted -- it's not a URL -- or sorry, it's not a hyperlink in the sense that you click on it. And to the extent that you would describe it as a link, in a general sense, you might do, but not for the reasons that I've set forth in the declaration. And I understand what Dr. Olivier has attempted to point to and why I disagree with him. I understand the issue, and it's -- it's addressed within the declaration.
- Q. So in paragraph 18, the fourth sentence, I believe, states that, "In some examples, the executable

instructions for video capture 115 include a link to a separate video capture page" [as read].

2.

Is it your understanding that that is the stand-alone link example that Nassiri refers back to?

A. When you say it "refers back to," I'm not sure what you're referring to that refers back to. I mean, that's one of the examples. And then one or two sentences after that, it -- it says that -- let me read it.

(Witness reviewed document.)

A. And then it says, "The link may, in some examples, be provided in a page of or otherwise integrated with other information by the enterprise computing system 110" [as read].

And then it goes on from there.

Q. Paragraph 19, specifically the first full sentence on the second column of page 2, it says, "Similar to the stand-alone link example described above, the IFrame generated on the website points user to another site" [as read].

Is the stand-alone link example described above the link to a separate video capture page that's described in paragraph 18?

A. I don't know that it has to. Let me go back and see.

(Witness reviewed document.)

- A. I'm not sure if it's talking about both of those together as stand-alone links. I mean, I'd just have to read it and give it some more thought.
- Q. There are only 18 paragraphs preceding that, so would you please go ahead and read those and see if there's something else it might be referring to?
- A. Could you articulate what you're asking me to do? You're asking me to read the first 19 paragraphs and figure out what this is referring to?
- Q. Whether the sentence similar to the stand-alone link example described above refers to the link to a separate video capture page in paragraph 18 or if there is some other link described in those preceding paragraphs that it might refer to.
- A. And you're asking me to do that analysis on the fly now?
 - Q. Yes, please.

2.

- A. Okay. I'm not sure how long it will take.

 (Witness reviewed document.)
 - A. Well, I'm still sort of reading paragraph 18.

 And as I said earlier, it says similar to the stand-alone link example, I'm not sure -- both of those can have stand-alone links, so I'm not sure if it's referring to just the first example or both examples or

if there is another example that it's trying to

differentiate from or how it's doing.

So -- so, I mean, I'd have to give it some

thought. And I haven't even looked back to see if there

thought. And I haven't even looked back to see if there are earlier examples in the first 17 paragraphs that might use the term "stand-alone" or might have a different example. I mean, it could take me some time, so I'm going to have to look at it.

(Witness reviewed document.)

- Q. Please let me know when you're done.
- A. Oh, I -- I had answered your question. I was waiting for another question.
- Q. Please review the first 17 paragraphs and see if you can answer the question.
- A. I answered it. If you want me to take another 45 minutes or however long it's going to take, I can certainly try and do that. It seems like a waste of my time.
- Q. You think it will take 45 minutes to read those 17 paragraphs that precede paragraph 18?
- A. I don't know how long it will take and to try to answer your question.
- Q. Paragraph 26, Nassiri says, "The contributor computing system 130 may access a website or other content provided by the enterprise computing system 110,

1 including the embedded or stand-alone link provided by the executable instructions for video capture" [as 2. readl. 3 What sentence of 26 were you reading? 4 Α. 5 Q. The second full sentence. Α. Okay. I see that. So it doesn't say an embedded or stand-alone 7 link. It says the embedded or stand-alone link. Where 8 is the embedded link described before that sentence in Nassiri? 10 (Witness reviewed document.) 11 12 Α. I don't know that there is one. It says the 13 embedded link provided by the executable instructions 14 for video capture. I think it says what that 15 embedded -- what that specific link is. It's the one that's provided by the executable instructions for video 16 17 capture. 18 In paragraph 19, it parrots that language and Q. 19 says, "In other examples, the executable instructions 20 for video capture 115 may include executable 21 instructions for an embedded video recorder" [as read]. 22 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form. 23 (BY MR. ROBINSON) Isn't that the same Ο. executable instructions for video capture 115 that's 24

discussed in paragraph 26?

MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form. 1 No, I don't think so. 2. Α. 3 Ο. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Why not? 4 Well, because there's multiple examples 5 described in paragraph 18. And if you look at what it says in paragraph 26 and walks through what it -- it 7 says, it -- it isn't the case that the first sentence 8 you read from 26 has to have some antecedent basis for the word "the." And if I said I'm interested in a dog that 10 11 was given to me by my grandmother, it's talking about 12 the same thing as if I said I'm interested in the dog 13 that was given to me by my grandmother. The -- the 14 antecedent basis for what it's talking about is in the 15 second part of that sentence. It's the dog that was 16 given to me by my grandmother. It doesn't establish 17 some relationship to only one of the examples that was 18 described earlier in paragraph 18. 19 Does paragraph 18 use the word "embed" or Ο. 2.0 "embedded"? Α. Let me read it and see. 21 22 (Witness reviewed document.) 23 It does. Α. 24 Ο. Where? Five lines down, first word. It's the first 25 Α.

instance that I came across it. If you'd like me to keep reading, I will.

2.

- Q. Yeah, please go ahead and review the rest of that paragraph, as well, and point to any other examples of the use of the word "embed" or "embedded."
- A. Well, wait a second. It says, "The executable instructions for video capture 115 may provide the functionality of a video recorder and may or may not be embedded within the executable instructions for website display" [as read].

And then it says, "In some examples" -- and then it says, "Later in other examples -- in some examples."

So your characterization that the embedded is limited to one of these examples isn't an accurate reading of what this paragraph describes.

Continuing reading, about the middle, there's a sentence that says, "The link may, in some examples, be provided in a page of or otherwise integrated with other information provided by the enterprise computing system 110" [as read].

It doesn't say the word "embedded," but it's describing that concept. And, I mean, there might be other aspects of this paragraph where it doesn't quite use the word "embedded" or doesn't exactly use the

- word "embedded" but is referring to that concept.
- Q. So paragraph 18 only uses the word "embedded" once, correct?
- A. I can't -- I mean, maybe it does. Maybe I've missed one. Whether it uses the word specifically only once, I think a word search would tell you. I've described other examples where it's describing a concept, but if -- if you're asking me whether or not the word appears only one time, I think a word search of that paragraph would tell you the answer better than me trying to -- to parse it.
- Q. And paragraph 19 uses the word "embed" and the variation "embedded" multiple times, correct?

(Witness reviewed document.)

- A. I see the word "embedded" once -- what was the other word you wanted?
 - O. Embed.

2.

- A. I see embed once. Embed a second time. Maybe I've missed others. I don't -- I don't know. Again, I think you could word search the document and see where it appears versus not.
- Q. So embedded is used multiple times in paragraph 19, but later on when Nassiri refers to an embedded link, you think it is more reasonable to search for implicitly embedded links in paragraph 18 than to

look simply at paragraph 19?

2.

2.0

MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.

- A. No. I think it's more reasonable to look at what the document is describing. I -- I -- in the functionality that it's describing, it's pretty clear in paragraph 26, there's some examples in paragraph 18, at least one of which is the same example that's described in 26. I think it's reasonable to look at what this document says and what it discloses to a person of skill in the art. And I think I've done that accurately and I've represented that in the declaration.
- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) So despite the fact that paragraph 19 repeatedly describes embedding a video recorder and an embedded video recorder and then gives an example to embed a video recorder that includes a URL that could be considered a link, there is no way that Nassiri, when it refers back to the embedded link, could be talking about paragraph 19 and that IFrame example?

 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form, lacks foundation.
- A. I -- I don't think -- I don't think a proper reading of this document in the eyes of a person of skill in the art in 2012 would -- would interpret it any other way than what I've set forth in the declaration.

 I've discussed this -- this code snippet. I've

2.

described what happens and what's described in paragraph 18, paragraph 19, paragraph 26, the relevant portions of the documents, and -- and I absolutely stand by those opinions.

And I think that -- that to the extent they are different than Dr. Olivier and the patent owner, then it's just an attempt to misconstrue what a person of skill in the art would understand based on the discussions in the disclosures in this reference.

- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) So it's your opinion that Nassiri, when it refers to an embedded link, is not referring to the URL and that source tag in the IFrame?
- A. That when Nassiri is describing in paragraph 26, the process by which it can provide an internet browser or other content display application and what happens through those steps, that they essentially mirror the requirements of the claims of the '997 patent, that what it's describing there is not that source tag in the example that's provided in paragraph 19.

What it's referring to is an embedded video player that can be accessed with a link consistent with the examples in paragraph 18 and the set of steps that are set forth in paragraph 26.

I think it's an improper reading to

2.

- suggest that what happens is that that video player is automatically loaded when the web page is presented to the user. I think that's in direct contrast to the language of Nassiri for the reasons I've set forth in my declaration.
- Q. Have you assessed or considered what Nassiri teaches independent of the claims of the '997?
- A. Absolutely. I have not used hindsight or the claims as a guide in determining what Nassiri teaches.

 Ultimately, I look at those disclosures as they relate to the claims, but understanding what Nassiri teaches through the eyes of a person of skill in the art is done without the basis of hindsight.
- Q. So in paragraph 19, for the web page that includes the IFrame, Nassiri doesn't say that the link is on that web page, does it?
- A. Sorry, I believe it does in paragraph 26. The example here is -- is not a full example of what the entire page would look like. It's an example of some code that could be embedded within an IFrame, that could embed the -- the video recorder. What it doesn't show is the way -- that what the code would look like to make the IFrame hyperlinked inconsistent with what you would understand in the context of paragraph 26, is exactly as I've set forth in my declaration.

So Nassiri only describes a link to a separate 1 Ο. video capture page, though, correct? 2. 3 Α. No; I disagree for the reasons I've set forth 4 in my declaration. So it's your opinion that Nassiri teaches a 5 Ο. web page with a link to a video recorder that's already 6 7 prepopulated in an IFrame on the same page? 8 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form. Α. Would you repeat the question? 10 (BY MR. ROBINSON) Is it your opinion that 11 Nassiri teaches a web page that includes a link to a 12 video recorder that is already prepopulated in an IFrame 13 on the same page? 14 Α. What's prepopulated? That the link is there 15 or what's at the link is already there? 16 Well, Nassiri teaches an IFrame that includes the video recorder? 17 18 As one example, it does. Α. 19 Is it your opinion that Nassiri also teaches a Ο. 2.0 link to that video recorder on the same page? Α. So I think consistent with what's in 21 22 paragraph 26, you have a contributor computing system 23 that may access a website or other content provider by the enterprise computing system. And that includes the 24

embedded or stand-alone link provided by the executable

1 instructions for video capture.

2.

2.0

So you have that web page and it includes in it an embedded link. That link is something that the user can click on and it says that the user -- well, first off, it says that the video recorder is accessible through the embedded or stand-alone link and it may be displayed on an input or output device. And then it says the user may follow the embedded or stand-alone link.

So if it's an embedded link, a user following that link can click on that link that's in the web page and then utilize the video recorder functionality provided by the executable instructions for video recording. So if you are -- if you have an embedded link and that embedded link is -- is within an IFrame, the user can click on that link and follow that link, and what will be displayed for the user is the video capture functionality.

- Q. Does Nassiri disclose an embedded link that's in an IFrame?
- A. It's describing functionality as I just read it. I can read it again.
- Q. So I think I'm asking a pretty direct question. Does Nassiri disclose an embedded link that's in an IFrame?

MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.

2.

- A. It's describing a web page within an embedded link that can be followed by a particular user. It has an example of where that embedded link can be an IFrame and says that the video recorder can be accessible through that embedded or stand-alone link. So the implementation of how it's described in paragraph 26 is not specifically provided. But it's describing that functionality generally.
- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) So Nassiri has an example of where the embedded link can be an IFrame?
 - A. That's not what I said.
 - Q. That's exactly what you said, sir.

 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.
- A. I think the record will speak for itself.
- MR. MCDOLE: Object to your gratuitous statement.
 - Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) I literally read that statement from the live feed. So if that statement is not correct, what is incorrect about it?
 - A. What I've said in paragraph 26 is it doesn't specifically say what the -- that it's implemented using an IFrame, but it's describing the functionality of where the contributing computer system may access a website or other content provided by the enterprise

computing system, including the embedded or stand-alone link provided by the executable instructions for video capture.

2.

And then the video recorder is accessible through the embedded or stand-alone link and that a user can follow that embedded or stand-alone link. It doesn't say that it's specifically an IFrame in that instance, but if it's an embedded link within that page, then that's what Nassiri is describing.

All of this is laid out in the declaration. I defer to the step-by-step explanation that's included in the two declarations.

- Q. So you testified that Nassiri doesn't say that it's specifically an IFrame in that instance. But if it's an embedded link within that page, then that's what Nassiri is describing. Is Nassiri describing that the IFrame is the embedded link?
- A. It's describing -- I mean, a person of skill in the art would understand that that's one way of implementing it, certainly. That you could have embedded link within a page that's an IFrame that you can access in the manner that I've described. It doesn't provide the implementation details for paragraph 26. It doesn't lay out what the source code would be.

2.

But as I've testified to and as I've stated in the document, in the declarations, a person of skill in the art looking at paragraph 26 and the other disclosures that I have identified and supplemented by Bradford would understand that that's one way of implementing what's in paragraph 26.

- Q. So your answer just now, you said an embedded link within a page that's an IFrame. Are you saying that the page is the IFrame and the link is embedded within it, or that the IFrame is the link within a page? Help me understand what you mean by that.
- A. Let me read from my declaration, then, because there I've laid it out very clearly.

(Witness reviewed document.)

- A. So this starts in paragraph 48 of
 Exhibit 1036. So, I mean, it starts at paragraph 48,
 continues through 49 and 50, references the earlier
 declaration. Continues on from there. And then we
 essentially then get into what Dr. Olivier's arguments
 are and as it relates to some of that source code from
 paragraph 19, I believe. So, I mean, what question do
 you have about those statements?
- Q. Is Nassiri's IFrame an example of the embedded link that it later describes?
 - A. Sorry, could you repeat the question?

- Q. Is Nassiri's IFrame an example of the embedded link that it later describes?
- A. It's my recollection that the embedded link is for the video recorder that's within that IFrame. So this is paragraph 50.
- Q. And Nassiri describes that that IFrame embeds the video recorder in a web page, correct?
 - A. Where are you pointing to in Nassiri?
 - Q. Paragraph 19 is one example.

2.

A. So in the example in paragraph 19, I mean, it says what it says. You have an HTML IFrame that may be used to embed a video recorder into a web page provided by the enterprise computing system. And so that is one example.

And then you have paragraph 26 that walks through how that is supposed to work. You have a video recorder that's accessible through the embedded or stand-alone link and then it's to be displayed on an input output device. And that a user may follow the embedded or stand-alone link and utilize the video recorder functionality.

- Q. So that's a second example of an embedded link in paragraph 26?
- A. Well, I think that the source code in 19 is incomplete. It's only a portion of what's in the

2.

- IFrame. And if you look at the example that's -- of what's there, it's only a part of what's described and doesn't include all of the examples that are contemplated in paragraph 26.
- Q. But the code that's in paragraph 19 would function to embed video recorder in a web page, correct?
- A. Is -- is -- part of a larger web page, at least a portion of it, is one example of how you could include a video recorder within an IFrame. It's only part of the source code that you would need to implement paragraph 26. Paragraph -- not all of the source code on how to implement this is laid out in paragraph 26.

So when you look at paragraph 26 in the context of paragraph 29 -- or sorry, 19, this is where you get into the opinion that Bradford supplements what's in paragraph 26 to provide an example of how paragraph 26 would be implemented.

- Q. Well, paragraph 26 describes some alternatives, doesn't it?
- A. Alternatives to what? I think it provides descriptions of how the system is supposed to work. I don't think that those are necessarily alternatives.
- Q. Are embedded and stand-alone links alternative as they're described by Nassiri?
 - A. Well, paragraph 26 is describing how the two

- of them would work. Much of the system would be similar and then you would have either embedded or stand-alone links as alternative ways of implementing what Nassiri teaches.
- Q. So what would the difference be between an embedded link and a stand-alone link in terms of what Nassiri describes in paragraph 26.
- A. I mean, there could be differences in how it's implemented, what the HTML source code would be. It might have a -- a different visual representation to the user.
- Q. What's the difference between an embedded link and a stand-alone link?
 - A. In this context?
 - O. Yes.

2.

- A. One is -- one's an embedded link and one's a stand-alone link. I mean, in one sense, the embedded link, you can have an embedded link within a page, or you could have a stand-alone link within that page. If you're implementing it with IFrames, an imbedded link would appear in the same page. You could have a stand-alone link, it might have different behaviors, depending on how you implement it.
- Q. How would a user perceive the difference between a stand-alone link and embedded link?

2.

MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.

A. They may not. It depends on what they do.

Certainly it can appear so that it's in the same page.

If you're using an embedded link, there might be a way to implement a stand-alone link that does the same thing. A stand-alone link might go to a different page.

But certainly in the context of what's being described here for an embedded link, it's describing a -- a link that can -- that can be selected and -- and rendered or displayed in the same page.

- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) So your opinion is that an embedded link, as that term is used in paragraph 26, means that a -- a link that's selected and renders or displays a response on the same page?
- A. Yeah; I'm not giving a definition to embedded that carries all of those requirements. I'm describing it in the context of paragraph 26 and the other disclosures in the reference, that it's describing an example of where you can have a web page -- or a video recorder that's embedded within a web page and activated based on the selection of a link that appears to the user for all the reasons that I've set forth in the declaration.
- Q. So the video recorder is embedded within a web page and selection of the embedded link activates the

video recorder?

2.

A. I mean, at a high level, maybe you could characterize it that way. I'm sort of trying to describe both what's in the declaration and what's described here. If I'm using slightly different words, I'm not intending it to -- to mean something different than what I've set forth in the declaration.

Whether it's -- it's the link that's -- that's already preloaded and it's embedded, you're selecting -- a user selecting the embedded link and it's -- it's loading the video player. And, again, I've done a thorough job in laying forth in the two declarations how each of the limitations are disclosed of the asserted claims.

Q. So I'm trying to understand your testimony here a moment ago. What you said is, "I'm describing in the context of paragraph 26 and the other disclosures and the reference that it's describing example of where you can have a web page or a video recorder that's embedded within a web page and activated based on the selection of a link that appears to the user for all of the reasons that I've set forth in the declaration" [as read].

That sounds like the embedded link activates a video recorder that's embedded within the

web page. Is that incorrect?

2.

- A. That you're selecting the embedded link that's provided in the web page and that -- that activates or loads your video recorder system software?
 - Q. Is that incorrect?
- A. That's -- I think that's what I'm describing in the declaration. If I'm misremembering it, then I defer to the way the declaration lays out the opinion.
- Q. Is there any difference between activating a video player that's embedded in a web page and loading a video player that's embedded in the web page?
- A. At a high level, I'm really just trying to describe what the reference describes. I'm not trying to replace the words. So if that somehow suggests that there's a difference between the two, then I'll go back to using the exact words from the reference and that are described in the declaration. It's not my intent to suggest that there's some different functionality.
- Q. Is it your opinion that paragraph 19 can only be interpreted with reference to paragraph 26?
 - A. I didn't understand the question.
- Q. Does the IFrame described in paragraph 19 of Nassiri, is it necessarily implemented in conjunction with what Nassiri describes in paragraph 26?

MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.

2.

- A. I'm not sure what you mean by "necessarily implemented." I can say that I've looked at the reference in its entirety to what it discloses and what it would teach to a person of skill in the art without attempting to separate out and only consider portions of the reference in isolation.
- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Well, does anything in Nassiri limit paragraph 19 to being implemented with paragraph 26?
- A. Again, I don't -- I don't really understand what you're asking. I don't understand how -- are you asking if there's some explicit disclosure that says don't consider paragraph 19 in the context of paragraph 26, that they're separate? I mean, I'm not sure what you're asking or how such a characterization would manifest itself.
- Q. Does paragraph 26 refer to the IFrame that's described in paragraph 19?
- A. Looking at paragraph 26, I don't see where it explicitly says see paragraph 19 in the IFrame described therein. But I think in the context of what paragraph 26 teaches and in the context of examples that are provided, it provides insight to a person of skill in the art -- it teaches to a person of skill in the art the relevant limitations.

- Q. What are the relevant limitations?
- A. The relevant limitations of the claims to which these disclosures relate is set forth in the original or the opening declaration. I think it's Exhibit 1007.
- Q. By the claims to which these disclosures relate, you mean the claims of the '997 patent?
 - A. Yes.

2.

2.0

- Q. Independent of those claims, just looking at Nassiri, is there something in paragraph 26 that you believe refers back to paragraph 19?
- A. Well, I think paragraph 26 is talking about what the functionality is. And in the context of looking at paragraph 26 and the examples that are described in paragraph 18 and expanded on in paragraph 19, a person of skill in the art would look at all of those disclosures. If -- if you're asking if there is a specific sentence that says see paragraph 19 in paragraph 26, I don't see that explicitly.
- Q. Which functions or words in paragraph 26 do you believe refer back to paragraph 19?
- MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form, lacks foundation.
- A. Well, what I can say is that paragraph 26 talks about what the functionality of the system is,

what has to happen. In some cases, there is source code
for an IFrame that would be relevant to the
understanding of a person of skill in the art about how
at least some of the functionality on the page could be
implemented, the use of IFrames, for example.

- But how you would specifically implement the functionality of paragraph 26 using HTML is not something that's exactly listed in the specification. It's not my understanding that it has to be. But a person of skill in the art would know how to implement what's described in paragraph 26 based on looking at the -- the whole disclosure and supplemented by Bradford.
- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Can paragraph 26 be implemented without IFrames?
- A. I'd have to give it some thought. I suspect that it could be.
- Q. Does Nassiri describe any way to implement paragraph 26 without IFrames?
- A. Paragraph 26 and the rest of Nassiri don't go into the full implementation details of every example. It doesn't provide source code for all of the examples that could reasonably fit within what paragraph 26 is describing. So it doesn't get into the implementation details of how you could implement it in paragraph 26.

- It's my understanding that that's where the role of a 1 person of skill in the art would come in, in terms of 2. how to implement the different features that would be 3 described. 4 5 Q. Is paragraph 19 an example of one way to implement paragraph 26? 7 It could be used in part. I don't think it's 8 the full implementation of what's described in paragraph 26. There are aspects of the IFrame that 10 could be useful in implementing 26 and I've set forth 11 what some of that functionality would look like in the 12 declaration. The addition of certain fields, like the 13 name field, some hyperlinks to implement the 14 functionality in paragraph 26. 15 Ο. Does Nassiri disclose any other examples, 16 other than paragraph 19, for implementing paragraph 26? 17 I don't recall off the top of my head. Α. 18 might be something that I've addressed in the 19
 - declaration. I'd have to go look. Either in the declaration or to review what's in Nassiri.
 - MR. ROBINSON: We've been going for about another hour. Should we take another quick bathroom break?
- 24 MR. MCDOLE: Sure.

2.0

21

22

23

(Break taken from 11:03 a.m. to 25

11:11 a.m.) 1 (BY MR. ROBINSON) Does Nassiri Figure 3 2. Q. depict a link to the video recorder software? 3 4 (Witness reviewed document.) 5 Α. So the description of the drawings, Figure 3, says it's an illustration of another display in 7 accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. 8 Let me see if -- what else it says about Figure 3. (Witness reviewed document.) 10 So about column 4, paragraph 37, it says, 11 "Referring to Figure 3, the display may present a contributor with a video recorder" [as read]. 12 13 And then it goes on to describe the video 14 recorder. It looks like Figure 3 is after the link has 15 been selected or followed by the user, and this is the 16 result of what's displayed to the user. 17 So in the state that's shown in Figure 3, the Ο. 18 link has disappeared? 19 Well, Figure 3 doesn't have to be all of 20 what's in the display. It doesn't say one way or another whether there might also be a link that was 21 22 selected that resulted in this display. Certainly 23 Figure 3 and the disclosures about Figure 3 don't say 24 anything about a link disappearing. 25 Q. Does Figure 3 depict a link to a video

recorder?

2.

- A. As I said in the original answer, what Figure 3 shows is what the result is after that link would be selected. It has Box 310, which in column 4 is described as the video recorder. So that would be the result after the link is -- is followed or selected.
- Q. So regardless of how you get here, Figure 3 does not depict a link that is selected to -- to access the video recorder software?
- A. It -- it's not trying to show that. It's a display that's presented to a contributor and it includes a video recorder. It's not trying to show any of those other pieces and because it's not trying to show them, I don't see them in this particular example of what it is trying to show.
- Q. Does Figure 4 show a link to a video recorder software?
- A. Let's see what it says about Figure 4. It says that it's an illustration of another display in accordance with an embodiment of a present invention.

 Let's see if I can find reference in this specification.

So about paragraph 40, page 4, it looks to be a schematic illustration of another display, and it talks about a mobile device includes a display area. So it shows a display of a video recorder and what's part

of the video recorder and not what happened or what was required to get to that display.

2.

2.0

- Q. So Figure 4 also does not show a link to a video recorder software?
- A. It's not trying to show that. That's not what the purpose of the figure that I identified in the specification.
- Q. So it's your opinion that the reason it does not show a link to video recorder software is because it's not trying to show that?
- A. It's my opinion that the figure is showing something different than what you asked about because it's not what the figure is represented as describing.
- Q. So Figure 4 does not show a link to video recorder software?
- A. Figure 4 is showing the result that happens after you've -- a user has selected the link. It shows the resulting display. It doesn't show what happens before the user has selected the link, what the page looks like. It's showing something different.
- Q. So if a user selects a link to get to

 Figure 4, the link disappears or is on a different page
 so it's not shown in Figure 4?
- A. It's not the emphasis of what Figure 4 is showing. It's showing what the display would include as

2.

part of the recording. It doesn't have to show the full display. It doesn't have to show the detail of whether the link appears or disappears. It's focused on a very particular aspect that's set forth in the specification.

And then the point would be that it's -Figures 3 and 4 show what the specification intends, not
some suggestion about what it doesn't show or what it
could show or doesn't -- and doesn't show.

- Q. Does Nassiri's specification intend for Figures 3 and 4 to show a link to video recorder software?
- A. The only thing I know about the intent of
 Nassiri as it relates to Figures 3 and 4 is what the
 specification says. I don't recall a discussion as it
 relates to Figure 3 and 4 indicating that it was
 attempting to show how it got to the result that's shown
 in Figure 3 and 4.
- Q. The top of page 4, the second -- third full sentence that begins -- this is in Nassiri, Exhibit 1009.
 - A. Which column?
- Q. The left column on page 4, the third full sentence that begins, "For example, the URL or other link provided to a contributor, either as a stand-alone or as an embedded link, may contain information about

the enterprise or the particular campaign for which the video is requested -- for which video is requested" [as read].

2.

Does the phrase "URL or other link" not suggest that Nassiri considers a URL a type of link?

A. No, because it says the URL or other link provided to the contributor, so that would be what would be provided or displayed to the contributor. So it's not just the URL and then isolate everything else around it. It's the URL or other link provided to a contributor, either as a stand-alone or as an embedded link. And then it may contain information about the enterprise.

So it's providing the context of what that URL is. And the fact that it's provided to a contributor and so displayed, in that particular instance shown to the user, is something that they can then click on. It's not suggesting that any URL at all can be a link.

- Q. Doesn't paragraph 19 say that the URL used in the IFrame may be generated by the video host computing system in the manner generally described above?
- A. So the last sentence of paragraph 19 says the URL used in the IFrame may be generated, so it's an example of what's possible in the context of other

examples or descriptions that are included, and that it may be generated by the video host computing system in the manner generally described above.

2.

- Q. So if it's generated by the video host computing system, doesn't it have to be provided to the user's system in order to display the video recorder in that IFrame?
- A. I'm really not sure what you're asking. I think what this says is that the URL can be generated by the video hosting system -- the video host computing system and then provided in some way so that it's displayed as an embedded link to the user consistent with paragraph 26, paragraph 18, and then other disclosures in Nassiri.
- It's really -- I think that last sentence is just saying where the genesis of the URL that goes into the embedded link can come from.
- Q. So the URL used in the IFrame is -- in paragraph 19 is displayed to the user as an embedded link?
 - A. In the example in paragraph 19?
- Q. That's what -- yes, that's what we're talking about.
- A. Well, I'm not sure -- well, that's not what I
 was talking about. Because I've referenced

paragraphs 18 and 26 and the functionality that's described, not limited to the IFrame example in paragraph 19.

2.

- Q. I was specifically asking about the sentence, "The URL used in the IFrame," the last sentence in paragraph 19. Is that URL used in the IFrame displayed to a user in an embedded link?
- A. In the context of the invention in Nassiri, it is. Because that's what's described, for example, in paragraph 26 when it talks about the embedded or stand-alone link provided by the executable instructions for video capture. And then it says a user may follow the embedded or stand-alone link.

So if a user is following that link, it's something that they're selecting and displaying. I mean, I think that's something Dr. Olivier agreed with. If -- if you're trying to create a -- a hypothetical about a different kind of system for a different example, then, I mean, you can certainly have an IFrame of paragraph 19 where there's a source tag and it loads something. It could be automatically generated or not. It really isn't relevant. The point is the context of what Nassiri is describing is the functionality.

Q. So for now, I'm -- I'm only asking about what Nassiri describes in paragraph 19. Paragraph 19 gives

an IFrame and then it says the URL used in the IFrame.

Does the IFrame in paragraph 19 display that URL to a

user?

2.

A. So if you're asking me a hypothetical reading of Nassiri where you only look at paragraph 19, let me first say that's -- that's not the reference that was included in the ground or how I did my analysis.

Looking at a hypothetical system that uses an IFrame and includes in it a source tag, and in that source tag is a URL, whether or not a system that implemented only what's in that IFrame and nothing else, for example, as might be described in the surrounding paragraphs, then that URL may or may not be displayed. But the problem with that question and answer is it's just not what's Nassiri describes. You have to look at other paragraphs.

- Q. So just looking at the IFrame example that's given in paragraph 19, is it your opinion that that code may actually display the URL to a user?
- A. So understanding that you're asking me to ignore the rest of the disclosures of Nassiri and isolate an analysis to just focus on a portion of what one paragraph says, it's my understanding that even within that paragraph, it's describing a snippet of what a web page would look like that would display material

2.

that would include that IFrame. Paragraph 19 says nothing else about what might also be displayed on the web page. It doesn't provide the rest of what that web page would look like.

And so taken in isolation and understanding that it's incomplete, certainly it's possible that any URL that's included with the IFrame might have additional code as part of the web page in which it resides that displays what the link is or what the URL might be.

- Q. So it would require that additional code to display the URL to the user?
- A. Again, looking only at paragraph 19 in isolation with the rest of the disclosures, and focusing 19 only at looking at the I -- at the source code that's provided there and ignoring the fact that it's incomplete, it would require additional code to make the web page work, which might include displaying what the URL is.

And I -- I want to be very complete in my answer to say you're asking a -- a hypothetical that takes this paragraph out of the context of the rest of the reference to a degree that I think it misrepresents what the reference teaches.

Q. Paragraph 61 of your reply declaration,

Exhibit 1036, you say that, "A POSITA would have known to make simple modifications well within the level of skill in the art to address his concerns" [as read].

2.

Are those simple modifications described in your first declaration, Exhibit 1007?

A. They're not described in the first declaration. It was only in the rebuttal declaration from Dr. Olivier that he proposed an example and described through that example what problems would be.

So, for example, the large blank spaces.

So the first time I became aware of his opinions that it would be a problem were based on the examples that he included in the rebuttal.

What I did say in the opening declaration was that a person of skill in the art would be able to use the teachings of Nassiri supplemented with Bradford's teachings to arrive at the asserted -- or sorry, the claims at issue in the instituted grounds, and that's still my opinion in this reply. I'm really just responding to the specific example he identified.

Q. So in paragraph 61, you also say that his example is not the only way a POSITA would have combined the teachings of Nassiri and Bradford. Are there multiple ways that a POSITA would have combined the teachings of Nassiri and Bradford?

2.

A. No. What I'm saying here is that it's the
functionality is disclosed by Nassiri supplemented with
Bradford. What what that means is that Bradford
demonstrates the knowledge a person of skill in the art
would have had about HTML programming. And so it's
really Nassiri supplemented with Bradford's teachings.
You don't have to change Nassiri. It just demonstrates
how a person of skill in the art would implement that
functionality.

They could implement the functionality of Nassiri in a variety of ways, but, I mean, at that point, it becomes a design choice over how to lay out the elements that are described in Nassiri.

- Q. Nassiri itself does not describe the details of that implementation, does it?
- A. Nassiri doesn't go into the details of how a person of skill in the art would implement, but my understanding is that Nassiri is presumed to be enabling. It describes the functionality and it's supplemented by Bradford, which includes the technical knowledge that a person of skill in the art would know and bring to bear on implementing Nassiri.
- Q. How much bandwidth is required to display video recorder software within an IFrame?
 - A. I'm not sure it's a question of bandwidth. It

1 would be a question of size, and then bandwidth would 2. determine how long it would take to display whatever needed to be retrieved. 3 How big is video recording software that's 4 5 running on a server? It can vary in size. It could be hundreds of 6 7 kilobytes, maybe larger. 8 Ο. How big is the part that must be transmitted to a user device to display video recorder software in 10 an IFrame? 11 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form. 12 Α. Could you repeat the question? 13 (BY MR. ROBINSON) How big is the part of the 14 video recorder software that must be transmitted to a user device to display the video recorder software in an 15 16 IFrame? 17 I'm not sure what you mean by "part." I mean, Α. 18 you'd have to -- the thing that gets transmitted and the 19 thing that's needed could be hundreds of kilobytes, 20 potentially. It depends. 21 Q. What thing would need to be transmitted? 22 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form. 23 The software sufficient to perform the Α. 24 functionality.

25

Q.

(BY MR. ROBINSON) How much of the software

- needs to be transmitted if the recording is happening at the back end server?

 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.
 - A. I don't understand the scenario.
 - Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Well, if you have video recorder software executing on a server and it is being displayed on a user device to allow the user to use a local camera, how much needs to be transmitted to the user device?
 - A. In your hypothetical, you seem to suggest that there is not recording that's taking place on the client device. You still need the ability to accept the video to convert it, encode it, compress it. And then to be able to transmit it over the network, as I testified to, that kind of functionality would take likely hundreds of kilobytes.
 - Q. Is all of that coming from the server?
- 18 A. What server?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

- Q. The back end server where recording and storage is happening.
- MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.
- 22 A. In what system?
- Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) So let's look at Nassiri's system.
- 25 A. Okay.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There are instructions for video capture that Ο. are sent to the user device, and there are instructions for video capture that operate on the video host computing system. How much needs to be transmitted to the user system versus operating on the video host computing system?

MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form.

- Α. Okay. So of the functionality that's described as taking place within the host computer on Nassiri, the -- the code to implement that functionality, reasonably speaking, would -- would probably be at least a couple of hundred bytes, if not more.
- Ο. (BY MR. ROBINSON) What about the code to implement the functionality on the user device?
- That's what I was referring to. On the user's host computer. If you're asking -- if your question was about the video host computing system or the enterprise computing system or something on the back end, I mean, that would be different code and would be a different size.
- So Nassiri describes a video host computing system and a contributor computing system, a computer contributor -- contributor computing system corresponding to an end user who is generating a video.

1 How big is the software component, the executable 2. instructions for video recording, that would be operating on the video host computing system? 3 4 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form. 5 Α. So different than the contributor computing So essentially what I would describe as the 6 7 back end? You're asking about the back end? 8 Ο. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Yes. On the back end, it -- it would depend. Α. 10 Is it larger than the hundreds of bytes for 11 the contributor computing system? 12 Α. Likely. 13 How large are images that are displayed on web Q. 14 pages? 15 Α. They vary in size. Some of them smaller than hundreds of bytes? 16 Ο. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Some of them larger than hundreds of bytes? Q. 19 They could be. Α. 20 Okay. How large would you expect an image of Q. 21 Nassiri's Figure 3 to be? 22 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form. 23 It would depend. It could be done with tens Α. of kilobytes. And when it comes -- I'll just say when 24 25 it comes to sizes and relative advantages, I understand

2.

- Dr. Olivier has offered opinions about why there wouldn't be a motivation or what the resulting system would be and I've addressed those in my reply declaration, the benefits associated with not having to retrieve and display the video recorder because a user doesn't click on the embedded link. All of those are in the reply declaration.
 - Q. (BY MR. ROBINSON) Does segmenting a video involve dividing the video into smaller files and transmitting those files?
 - A. I was with you until you introduced the concept of files. I don't think segmenting is specific to files.
 - Q. Does segmenting a video involve dividing the video into smaller segments and transmitting those segments?
 - A. At a high level, that's generally accurate. It's so high level as to not connote a lot of meaning.
 - Q. Can an individual segment be played before the entirety of that segment has been received?
 - A. Depends on the system.
 - Q. On what system or systems can an individual segment be played before the entirety of that segment has been received?
 - A. When the initial segments don't have

- dependencies on the later segments and in a hypothetical system where you program in that capability.
 - Q. Are there any real world systems that meet that condition?
 - A. There certainly might be.

2.

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Can you name any real world systems that meet that condition?
- A. I haven't tried to look. Certainly the idea of DASH where you have segments, you can start playing the segment -- an individual segment before you receive the full segment.
- Q. Before you received the full segment or before you've received other segments?
 - A. Before you've received the full segment.
- Q. Is there anything in Exhibit 1038 you can point to to support that statement?
- A. I could certainly try and look. It's just the way that DASH works. I can tell you based on experience in working on systems that use DASH, that that's the way that it works. It's a long document. If you want me to take the time, I can certainly go through it and look.
- Let's see, it'll take about 30 seconds to see if I can't find something.
- (Witness reviewed document.)
 - A. If you look on page 9, it talks about

- subsegments within segments. Let me see...
 - Q. Sorry, page 9 of 152 or --
 - A. Page 9 of --

2.

- Q. Or is this the stand-alone page 9?
- A. Sorry, page 15 using the added tag that includes Twitter Exhibit 1038. It's page 9 in the lower right-hand corner of the page.

So then on page 11, it talks about subsegments. "Segments may be further divided into subsegments, each of which contain a whole number of complete access units" [as read].

And I believe the rest of the document would go into describing the play out of subsegments before the full segment has been received.

- Q. Can a subsegment been played before the entirety of that subsegment has been received?
- A. I don't recall. I think that really the overarching point is you don't have to receive the full segment before you can start playing media within that segment; that there are structures within that segment, not the least of which is subsegment, but there might be others that allow you and facilitate systems to start playing out the segment before that full segment has been received.
 - Q. But a subsegment is a subpart of a segment in

the MPEG DASH standard, correct?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- A. Subsegment is a part of a segment.
- Q. Can a subsegment exist independent of a segment?
 - A. I -- I don't even know what that question means. I mean, you asked for an example. I gave you one. You wanted to find some examples in this document. I pointed you to some. But you're getting into details about the implementation or what the standard describes, I don't have memorized.
- Q. Well, a subsegment is a subpart of a segment, correct?
 - A. A subsegment is a subpart of a segment, that's correct. It's a structure within a segment that I believe allows for independent play out, meaning you can play out the subsegment before the full segment has been retrieved.
 - Q. Can you play a subsegment before the full subsegment has been received?
- A. I don't --
- MR. MCDOLE: Objection; asked and answered.
- A. I don't recall. As I said, you were
 discussing segments, you can play out a portion of the
 segment before the full segment is received.

(BY MR. ROBINSON) Is encoded video data 1 Ο. always associated with a container? 2. It depends on what you mean by "container." 3 4 If a container is really just the format, then all 5 encoded data will have a format. Will H.264 encoded video data always be 6 Ο. 7 associated with a container format? 8 Α. If you're --MR. MCDOLE: Objection; form. 10 Α. If you're -- if you're interpreting container 11 to mean a format, that it just has a format, then H.264 encoded video will have a format. 12 13 (BY MR. ROBINSON) Would a POSITA in 2012 have 14 interpreted a container to just mean any format? 15 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; asked and 16 answered. 17 I don't think they would interpret it to mean 18 any old format. But within a format for a container, 19 there is a format for the encoding, and so an encoding 2.0 standard would have a format. And if that's the interpretation of container, then all the encoded video 21 22 would have a format. 23 (BY MR. ROBINSON) Would a POSITA in 2012 have Ο. understood H.264 encoding to be a container format? 24 25 MR. MCDOLE: Objection; asked and

```
answered.
```

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

25

A. That question doesn't make a lot of sense. It depends both on what you mean by "a container format" and it depends on what aspect of the standard to which you are applying it. I think a person of skill in the art would under -- understand H.264 to be an encoding standard.

And then based on the context for what H.264 describes as containers within that standard, a person of skill in the art would understand that teaching. Similarly in the way that the flash video document describes the use of a container, a person of skill in the art would understand the context of what that reference was describing as a standard and how it related to its format.

MR. ROBINSON: I think I'll pass the witness if you have any redirect.

MR. MCDOLE: Give us five minutes.

MR. ROBINSON: Sure.

(Break taken from 12:05 p.m. to

12:09 p.m.)

MR. MCDOLE: We have -- we have no

23 questions. We'll reserve for trial.

Review and reserve signature.

(Proceedings concluded at 12:09 p.m.)

1		CHANGI	ES AND SIG	GNATURI	Ξ		
2	WITNESS NAME:	KEVIN C.	ALMEROTH	DATE:	SEPTEMBER	5,	2018
3	PAGE LINE	CHANGE		REASO	N		
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	I, KEVIN C. ALMEROTH, PH.D., have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that
2	same is true and correct, except as noted above.
3	
4	
5	KEVIN C. ALMEROTH, PH.D.
6	
7	
8	
9	THE STATE OF)
10	COUNTY OF)
11	
12	Before me,, on
13	this day personally appeared KEVIN C. ALMEROTH, PH.D.,
14	known to me (or proved to me under oath or through
15) (description of identity
16	card or other document)) to be the person whose name is
17	subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged
18	to me that they executed the same for the purposes and
19	consideration therein expressed.
20	Given under my hand and seal of office this
21	, day of, 2018.
22	
23	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
24	THE STATE OFCOMMISSION EXPIRES:
25	COMMIDSION EAFIRES:

```
STATE OF TEXAS
1
2.
    COUNTY OF DALLAS
          I, LISA C. HUNDT, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in
3
4
     and for the State of Texas, hereby certify that,
5
    pursuant to the agreement hereinbefore set forth, there
    came before me on the 5th day of September, A.D. 2018,
7
    at 8:04 a.m., at the office of Haynes and Boone, located
8
    at 2323 Victory Avenue, Suite 700, in the City of
    Dallas, State of Texas, the following named person,
10
            KEVIN C. ALMEROTH, PH.D., who was by me duly
11
    cautioned and sworn to testify to the truth, the whole
12
    truth, and nothing but the truth of his knowledge
13
    touching and concerning the matters in controversy in
14
    this cause; and that he was thereupon carefully examined
15
    upon his oath and his examination reduced to writing
16
    under my supervision; that the deposition is a true
17
    record of the testimony given by the witness, same to be
18
    sworn and subscribed by said witness before any Notary
19
    Public, pursuant to the agreement of the parties; and
20
    that the amount of time used by each party at the
    deposition is as follows:
21
22
               Mr. Jamie H. McDole - 0 hours, 0 minutes,
23
               Mr. Raghav Bajaj - 0 hours, 0 minutes,
24
               Mr. David L. McCombs - 0 hours, 0 minutes,
25
               Mr. Eagle Robinson - 3 hours, 39 minutes;
```

1	I further certify that I am neither attorney nor
2	counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the
3	parties to the action in which this deposition is taken,
4	and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any
5	attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, or
6	financially interested in the action.
7	I further certify that before the completion of the
8	deposition,X the Deponent, and/or the
9	Plaintiff/Defendant,X did did not request
10	to review the transcript.
11	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and
12	affixed my seal this 6TH day of September, A.D. 2018.
13	
14	^
15	Lion Hundt
16	Dion Winas
17	LISA C. HUNDT, CSR, RPR, CLR
18	Texas CSR No. 6533 Expiration Date: 12/31/18
19	LEXITAS
20	Firm Registration No. 459 6500 Greenville Avenue, Suite 445
21	Dallas, Texas 75206 214.373.4977
22	
23	
24	
25	