Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	11/801,647	HAGGQUIST, GREGORY W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason M. Greene	1772	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Jason M. Greene.	(3)		
(2) Shane Percival.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>21 October 2013</u> .			
Type: X Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to:  applicant applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.		
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,28,63 and 68</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Spijkers et al. and Haggquist.			
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)			
The Examiner noted that the proposed amendment would be obvious in view of the Spijkers et al. and Haggquist references since it covers all thickness ratios over 2.5. The Examiner proposed reintroducing the additional limitation that the first thickness is less than an order of magnitude larger than the second thickness to overcome the prior art. Applicants accepted the proposal and the Examiner agreed to make the changes to the independent claims by Examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance.			
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.  Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.			
Attachment			
/Jason M. Greene/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1772			

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (Rev. 8/11/2010)