IPR2018-00291, -00318, -00322, -00385, and -00797

	Page 304
1	
2	January 15, 2019
3	9:08 a.m.
4	
5	Videotape Deposition of
6	STEVEN M. MENCHEN, PH.D., held at
7	the offices of Venable Fitzpatrick,
8	1290 Avenue of the Americas, New
9	York, New York, pursuant to Notice,
10	before Erica L. Ruggieri, Registered
11	Professional Reporter and Notary
12	Public of the State of New York.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	

Page 305 1 2 APPEARANCES: 3 4 ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONER: KNOBBE MARTENS 12790 El Camino Real 5 San Diego, California 92130-2008 NATHANIEL R. LUMAN Ph.D., ESQ. 6 nate.luman@knobbe.com 7 -and-8 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP 9 201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores, California 94065-1175 10 EDWARD R. REINES, ESQ. edward.reines@weil.com 11 12 ATTORNEYS FOR PATENT OWNER: VENABLE FITZPATRICK 1290 Avenue of the Americas 13 New York, NEW YORK 10104 14 By: ROBERT S. SCHWARTZ, Ph.D., ESQ. rschwartz@Venable.com JOHN D. MURNANE, ESQ. 15 jmurnane@venable.com 16 ZACHARY L. GARRETT, ESQ. zgarrett@venable.com 17 18 ALSO PRESENT: 19 JOHN WHITE, Cooper & Dunham 20 JEFFREY L. KESTLER, Columbia MARCUS BIRCH, Illumina KARYN Y. HUI, Columbia University 21 TERESA CHEN, Columbia University 22 FLOYD ROMESBERG, Scripts Research Institute 23 DEVERELL WHITE, Videographer 24 25

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are
3	going on the record at 9:08 a.m. on
4	January 15, 2019.
5	This is media unit one, Volume
6	2 of the continued video deposition
7	of Dr. Steven Menchen.
8	STEVEN M. MENCHEN,
9	called as a witness, having been
10	previously duly sworn by a Notary
11	Public, continued to be examined and
12	testified as follows:
13	EXAMINATION BY
L 4	MR. REINES:
15	Q. You understand you are
16	still under oath?
17	A. That's right.
18	Q. Since the deposition began
19	have you discussed the proceedings
2 0	of the deposition with counsel?
21	A. No.
2 2	Q. Would you please put in
23	front of yourself Exhibit 2108.
2 4	MR. SCHWARTZ: Which is that?
2 5	MR. REINES: It's the list of

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	exhibits considered.
3	A. Yeah.
4	Q. Okay. And before the list
5	of documents considered was
6	finalized did you review it to
7	ensure that it was accurate?
8	A. The list?
9	Q. Yeah.
10	A. That this was accurate?
11	Q. Yes.
12	A. Oh, yes.
13	Q. You don't list
1 4	Dr. Romesberg's declaration on this
15	list. Did you review that before
16	you submitted your declaration?
17	A. No, not before I submitted
18	my declaration.
19	Q. At the time you formed your
2 0	opinions in this matter and
21	submitted your declaration were you
22	aware of any disagreements or any
23	let me say this.
2 4	At the time you formulated
25	your opinions and submitted your

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	declaration was there anything in
3	Dr. Romesberg's declaration that you
4	thought was inaccurate or wrong in
5	any way?
6	A. Before I formed the
7	opinions?
8	Q. When you submitted your
9	declaration.
10	A. No. I hadn't I hadn't
11	looked at his declaration.
12	Q. I'd like to show you what's
13	been marked as Exhibit 1010. This
L 4	is the '869 patent.
15	A. Okay.
16	Q. And you recognize this as
17	one of Dr. Ju's patents in the same
18	patent family?
19	A. Yeah, I recognize the
2 0	patent.
21	Q. And this is one of the
22	patents that you've looked at in
2 3	preparation for this deposition; is
2 4	that correct?
2 5	A. Is it Exhibit 1010. No.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. You did review this in
3	preparation for the deposition?
4	A. No, I no, I didn't.
5	Q. When you say you recognize
6	the patent, where do you recognize
7	it from?
8	A. It has the same title as
9	the well, similar titles to the
10	patents that I did review.
11	Q. And you are aware that
12	there's patents in the same family
13	of Ju of Ju patents that were
14	invalidated previously?
15	A. Yeah, I'm aware of that.
16	Q. I'd like to direct you to
17	the claims. If you'd look at claim
18	12, please, and read that to
1 9	yourself.
2 0	A. Okay.
21	Q. And if you could look at
22	claims 15 and 16 which are, you
2 3	know, are dependent claims.
2 4	A. Okay.
2 5	Q. Please identify any

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	meaningful differences between the
3	claim subject matter of the '869
4	patent that you just reviewed and
5	the Ju patents that are at issue in
6	this proceeding?
7	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection to
8	scope.
9	Q. If you can.
10	A. That wasn't one of the
11	things that I was asked to to
12	develop an opinion on for this
13	deposition.
L 4	Q. Are you able to identify
15	any meaningful difference whatsoever
16	at all?
17	A. I would have to study it.
18	Q. You can look at it to your
19	heart's delight right now.
2 0	A. Well, certainly the words
21	are different.
22	Q. Yeah, my question isn't
2 3	about the words being different.
2 4	The question is are there any
2 5	meaningful difference in

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	technological content between claims
3	12, 15, 16 of the '869 patent and
4	the Ju patent claims which you've
5	studied and analyzed for this
6	proceeding?
7	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
8	form. Objection, scope.
9	A. I would have to look at
10	them side by side.
11	Q. Okay. Why don't you pull
12	out the '985 patent, which is
13	Exhibit 1075, which you have.
14	A. And what would you like me
15	to do?
16	Q. So actually, we will look
17	at first of all, you have the
18	'869 patent on one hand?
19	A. Right here.
2 0	Q. I'd say look at claims 28
21	and 31 as well.
22	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
23	scope.
2 4	Q. This should be familiar
2 5	subject matter. And then so what

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	I'd like you to do is why don't
3	you put the '852 patent next to it,
4	please. It's the '852. It's 1001.
5	MR. SCHWARTZ: I'm going to
6	object to this line of questioning,
7	scope.
8	Q. So the question is can you
9	identify anything in the '852
10	patent, claim 1, that is
11	meaningfully different from what's
12	claimed in the '869 patent?
13	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
14	form. Objection, scope.
15	A. I would need to study this.
16	I don't think I could do this
17	answer your question just from
18	sitting here. I would need to study
19	it.
20	Q. Can you identify anything
21	whatsoever at all that you believe
22	would make the '852 patent claims
23	more inventive relative to the prior
2 4	art as compared to what's claimed in
25	the '869 patent?

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	MR. SCHWARTZ: Same objection.
3	Plus objection, legal conclusion.
4	A. I can't develop an opinion
5	on that here.
6	Q. Can you identify anything
7	though?
8	MR. SCHWARTZ: Same
9	objections.
10	A. I don't want to try to
11	identify anything that could be
12	inaccurate. I would have to study
13	it.
14	Q. What would you need to
15	study?
16	A. I would need to read these
17	things over.
18	Q. What are these things?
19	A. These these claims and
2 0	the specs of each patent.
21	Q. The specs are the same.
22	You've studied the specs for
23	eight eight, nine days before you
2 4	came here.
2 5	A. Well, I would need to study

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	the specifications with regard to
3	differences in the claims.
4	Q. Even though the
5	specifications are the same?
6	A. The claims read on the
7	specifications so I would have to
8	study them, each each of the
9	claims with regard to the
10	specifications.
11	Q. Are you able to read the
12	claims on the specification for the
13	'852 patent?
14	A. I'm sorry, could you repeat
15	that?
16	Q. You just said the claims
17	read on the specification. Do you
18	recall that testimony?
19	A. That's correct.
2 0	Q. And with respect to the
21	'852 patent are you capable of
22	reading claim 1 onto the
23	specification?
2 4	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
25	scope.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	A. I'm unable to do that right
3	now without looking at the claim and
4	looking at the specifications.
5	Q. Why don't you look at the
6	claim and specification. Obviously
7	the claim and specification of the
8	Ju '852 patent is something you are
9	familiar and studied in preparation
10	for today. So why don't you tell me
11	which DNA polymerase from claim 1 of
12	the '852 patent, where the
13	specification reads on that?
14	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection, scope.

- I mean I would have to look through the whole specification and the citations to make that judgment.
- To state how the Q. embodiments of the '852 patent do or don't lead on the claims of the Ju patents. That's something that you'd have to read everything in the specification for and the citations?
 - Α. Yeah.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	luge 310
1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. Do you have any basis to
3	say that the Ju patents are
4	patentably distinct relative to the
5	'869 patent?
6	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
7	form. Objection, legal conclusion.
8	Objection, scope.
9	A. That's not something I was
10	asked to to develop an opinion on
11	for this deposition.
12	Q. Do you have any opinion?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Did you ever ask did you
15	ever do anything to attempt to
16	access the Romesberg declaration to
17	read it when you were developing
18	your opinions responding to that
19	declaration?
2 0	A. I'm sorry?
21	Q. Did you ever do any did
22	you ever take any steps to attempt
23	to access the Romesberg declaration
2 4	when you were preparing your

opinions in response to the petition

asked questions about this today?

And you understood you'd be

I understood I'd be asked

Yes, I did.

Α.

0.

22

23

24

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	questions about this patent with
3	regard to my declaration.
4	Q. And you did your best to
5	prepare yourself for the deposition
6	so you could answer such questions?
7	A. With regard to my
8	declaration, yes.
9	Q. When you were in the
10	1993 were you familiar with Affymax?
11	A. Yes, I was.
12	Q. And were you familiar with
13	the technology described in the '839
L 4	patent from Affymax?
15	A. Which technology?
16	Q. What's described in the
17	'839 patent?
18	A. You are talking about the
19	synthesis of the DNA on a on a
2 0	chip?
21	Q. Is there any technology
22	within the Dower patent that was
2 3	familiar to you when you read the
2 4	patent for purposes of this project?
2 5	A. I knew about it. I

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	wouldn't say that I necessarily
3	could practice it or could describe
4	it in detail.
5	Q. If you turn to column 25,
6	please. Do you have that in front
7	of you?
8	A. Yes, I do.
9	Q. And do you see at line 45
10	of the reference to Prober?
11	A. Yes, I see that.
12	Q. And do you see the
13	statement at line 50, that "small
L 4	blocking groups," and then there's a
15	list of them? Do you see that?
16	A. I see that.
17	Q. Are you familiar with those
18	blocking groups?
19	A. I know about those blocking
2 0	groups.
21	Q. How do you know about them?
22	A. Those are blocking groups
23	that are used in various, you know,
2 4	doing synthetic transformations,
2 5	especially peptide synthesis.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. Are you familiar with the
3	Affymax technology being described
4	in column 25?
5	A. Are you talking about the
6	whole column?
7	Q. I mean generally, not every
8	single line. Just when you were
9	familiar with Affymax in the '90s,
10	were you familiar with the
11	technology that's generally being
12	described in column 25?
13	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
L 4	form.
15	A. I knew that they practiced
16	photolithography to grow DNA and
17	peptides on chips. I didn't know
18	the specifics of it.
19	Q. Did you know that Affymax
2 0	was working with reversible
21	terminators in the 3' position?
2 2	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
2 3	form.
2 4	A. You are asking if I knew
2 5	that in the '90s?

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. Yes.
3	A. No, I didn't know that.
4	Q. Sitting here now are you
5	surprised to see that Affymax is
6	working with reversible terminators
7	in small blocking groups in the
8	1990s time period?
9	A. I'm sorry, could you repeat
10	that?
11	Q. Sitting here now are you
12	surprised to see that Affymax was
13	working with reversible terminators
14	in small blocking groups in the
15	1990s time period?
16	A. What do you mean by small
17	blocking groups?
18	Q. Do you see where it says
19	small blocking groups at line 50?
2 0	A. I see that.
21	Q. Those.
22	A. So those blocking groups.
23	No, I didn't know that.
2 4	Q. Are you surprised to see
2 5	that they were working with that

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	technology?
3	A. No, I'm not surprised.
4	Q. Do you have an opinion on
5	what different blocking groups fall
6	into the category of small blocking
7	groups defined by or referenced
8	by Dower at column 25, line 50?
9	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
10	form. Objection, scope.
11	A. Yeah. I wasn't that
12	that wasn't one of the things I
13	studied for the for the
L 4	deposition or the declaration.
15	Q. Do you know what small
16	blocking groups a person of ordinary
17	skill in the art reviewing Dower
18	would understand is within the scope
19	of what's disclosed in column 25?
2 0	MR. SCHWARTZ: Same
21	objections.
22	A. Yeah. I don't
23	understand I don't understand the
2 4	question. Could you repeat the
2 5	question?

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. Do you have an opinion as
3	to what small blocking groups a
4	person of ordinary skill in the art
5	reviewing Dower would understand was
6	within the scope of what is
7	disclosed in column 25?
8	MR. SCHWARTZ: Same
9	objections.
10	A. I don't have an opinion on
11	that.
12	Q. And you recognize
13	Exhibit 1013 as the Tsien reference?
L 4	A. Yes, I do.
15	Q. And you testified
16	previously that you were generally
17	familiar with the Tsien reference
18	from before you were involved in
19	this project; is that right?
2 0	A. I'd I'd heard of the
21	Tsien reference but I wasn't really
22	familiar with it.
2 3	Q. Did you ever meet
2 4	Dr. Tsien?
2 5	A. No.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. In the 1990s were you aware
3	of what was going on at SRI in the
4	field of of sequencing?
5	A. No.
6	Q. If you turn to page 24,
7	please. You see the reference at
8	line 29 to allyl ethers?
9	Do you see that?
10	A. I see that.
11	Q. You have a general
12	familiarity to the Tsien reference
13	from your work in this matter?
L 4	A. For the deposition, yes.
15	Q. Do you see where it says at
16	line 33, "these protecting groups"?
17	At line 33 or so.
18	A. Yes, I see that.
19	Q. And you understand that
2 0	that reference includes the
21	reference to allyl ethers?
2 2	A person of ordinary skill in
2 3	the art reading Tsien would
2 4	understand that one of the
2 5	protecting groups that he's

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	promoting is the allyl ethers; is
3	that correct?
4	A. The protecting groups as an
5	open-ended set of allyl ethers, yes.
6	Q. So he says these protecting
7	groups which are stable to the
8	conditions used in the synthesis of
9	dNTP analogs and then the sequencing
10	incorporation steps, you understand
11	that's referring to allyl ethers as
12	a person of ordinary skill in the
13	art would understand this
14	disclosure?
15	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
16	form.
17	A. Yeah, this refers to allyl
18	ethers.
19	Q. And then it says here that
2 0	"Advantages over groups cleavable by
21	base hydrolysis, deblocking occurs
22	only when the specific deblocking
23	reagent is present and premature
2 4	deblocking during incorporation is
2 5	minimized "

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Do you see that description of
3	the protecting groups?
4	A. I see that.
5	Q. And there Tsien is
6	referring to allyl ethers; is that
7	correct? That's how a person of
8	ordinary skill in the art would
9	understand this disclosure?
10	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
11	form.
12	A. So a person with ordinary
13	skill in the art would understand
14	that the that allyl ethers in
15	general had some advantages, that
16	they wouldn't be cleaved by base
17	hydrolysis when deblocking occurs.
18	Q. What's that?
19	A. That allyl ethers wouldn't
20	be cleaved by base hydrolysis.
21	Q. I'm not asking what you
22	think in terms of the technology.
23	My question was a person of ordinary
2 4	skill in the art when they are

reading about the protecting groups

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	with the qualities such as being
3	cleavable and being stable, they
4	would understand that Tsien is
5	referring to allyl ethers with
6	respect to that, correct?
7	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
8	form.
9	A. They wouldn't understand
10	that.
11	Q. They wouldn't understand
12	that, okay. Do you see where it
13	says, "These protecting groups" at
1 4	the beginning of the sentence?
15	A. I see that.
16	Q. And do you recall just a
17	few minutes ago you acknowledged
18	that a person of ordinary skill in
19	the art would understand that refers
2 0	to allyl ethers? Do you recall that
21	testimony?
2 2	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
2 3	form.
2 4	Q. It's not asking you
2 5	whether

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	A. So it refers to the word
3	"allyl ether" but Tsien doesn't
4	describe allyl ethers as protecting
5	groups in this paragraph.
6	Q. I specifically asked you
7	not to not to give an answer on
8	the substance. I was just asking
9	you if you recalled a few minutes
10	ago that you testified that when
11	Tsien refers to these protecting
12	groups a person of ordinary skill in
13	the art would include would
14	understand that includes allyl
15	ethers.
16	Do you remember generally
17	testifying to that?
18	A. Yes, I said that.
19	Q. And are you now contesting
20	that testimony or do you stand by
21	that testimony?
22	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
23	asked and answered.
24	A. Yeah, I'll stand by that
25	testimony.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. Okay. All right. Is is
3	there anything in the Ju's Ju's
4	disclosure that would enable the use
5	of allyl groups for the claimed
6	inventions of the Ju patents that's
7	not disclosed in the Tsien patent?
8	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
9	scope. Objection, requires legal
10	conclusion.
11	Q. Patent document. It's
12	actually a PCT application.
13	A. Tsien doesn't describe
14	allyl ethers in this document.
15	Q. Anything else that you can
16	identify?
17	A. Could you based on what?
18	Could you repeat the question?
19	Q. Is there anything in Ju's
20	disclosure that enables the use of
21	allyl groups for used in the
22	claimed inventions of the Ju patents
23	that's not included in the Tsien
24	patent?

Same

MR. SCHWARTZ:

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	objections.
3	Q. You mentioned no disclosure
4	of allyl ethers. Is there anything
5	else that you can identify?
6	A. Tsien doesn't disclose
7	allyl ethers.
8	Q. Is there anything else you
9	can identify?
10	A. I wasn't asked to form an,
11	you know, to form an opinion on
12	that. I would have to go through
13	and reread Ju with respect to to
1 4	Tsien.
15	Q. So today you can't answer
16	that question; is that correct?
17	A. Which the question is,
18	is there anything else?
19	Q. Yes.
2 0	A. I can't answer that
21	question.
2 2	Q. Did you is there
2 3	anything in the Ju disclosure that
2 4	enables the use of the claimed
2 5	inventions of the Ju patents beyond

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	what's disclosed in Dower?
3	MR. SCHWARTZ: Same
4	objections. Objection, scope.
5	Objection, legal conclusion.
6	A. I don't quite you are
7	going to have to repeat the elements
8	of that question.
9	Q. Is there anything in the Ju
10	disclosure that enables the use of
11	the claimed inventions of the Ju
12	patents beyond what's disclosed in
13	Dower?
14	MR. SCHWARTZ: Same
15	objections.
16	Q. You are looking a little
17	surprised. Is that something you
18	have an opinion on? Can you
19	identify anything?
20	A. Well, I'm trying to reframe
21	that question in my in my mind
22	to, yeah, to understand. So you are
23	asking if there's anything in Ju
24	that's okay, could you repeat
25	that one more time.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. Is there anything in Ju's
3	disclosure that enables the claimed
4	inventions of the Ju patents beyond
5	what's disclosed in Dower?
6	A. Well, the cleavable linker.
7	Q. And what cleavable linker
8	is disclosed in in well, is
9	there anything else that you can
10	identify?
11	A. I would have to yeah, I
12	would have to reread.
13	Q. Are you prepared to answer
1 4	that question today?
15	A. I don't believe that I
16	don't think I'm prepared to answer
17	that question today.
18	Q. Okay. In if you go to
19	your declaration, which has been
2 0	marked as 2114, and if you turn to
21	32.
22	A. Page 32?
23	Q. Yeah, please.
2 4	A. Okay.
2 5	O Now I'm going to start

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	with and then pull out Kamal,
3	which is Exhibit 1037. Should be
4	somewhere in front of you. Some
5	Tetrahedron Letters.
6	A. Oh, yes, I do.
7	Q. Do you have that in front
8	of you?
9	A. Yes, I do.
10	Q. Okay, thanks. Now Ju
11	refers to Kamal a few times,
12	correct?
13	A. That's correct.
L 4	Q. What is your understanding
15	that is Ju's purpose for directing
16	the reader to Kamal?
17	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
18	scope.
19	A. It was to show that it's
2 0	possible to cleave a propenyl group
21	from an alcohol in a non-SBS
22	condition or format.
23	Q. Why would Ju direct the
2 4	reader to a teaching of cleaving an
2 5	alcohol from an allyl group that's

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	not in sequencing by synthesis
3	context, why would that be relevant
4	to a reader?
5	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
6	form.
7	A. I can't really comment on
8	why Ju would do that.
9	Q. Is there any utility for
10	the reader of the Ju patent to look
11	at the Kamal disclosure?
12	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
13	form.
L 4	A. Just to verify that the
15	citation is correct.
16	Q. But why would when you
17	say the citation is correct, what
18	what do you mean by that?
19	A. That what Ju said that
2 0	the citation that Ju cites is is
21	useful for cleaving propenyl ethers.
22	Q. What would that why
23	would a person of ordinary skill in
2 4	the art in the art care about
2 5	Kamal if they are reading the Ju

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	patent?
3	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
4	scope. Objection, form.
5	A. Just to look at the
6	citation. Anyone would do that.
7	Q. For what purpose though?
8	MR. SCHWARTZ: Same objection.
9	A. For what purpose. Yeah,
10	that's what they would do.
11	Q. Is Kamal relevant to Ju's
12	invention?
13	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
1 4	scope. Objection, form.
15	Objection, legal conclusion.
16	A. So I was only asked to
17	to determine if in Ju's invention if
18	there was prior art cited by Ju
19	that that read on the claims. So
2 0	I wasn't asked to determine anything
21	like that, form an opinion on
22	anything like that.
23	Q. Do you have any opinion as
2 4	to whether a person of ordinary
2 5	skill in the art, whether or not

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	they would learn about the cleaving
3	of alcohol from an allyl group from
4	Kamal?
5	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
6	scope. Objection, form.
7	Q. As relevant to the
8	sequencing by synthesis.
9	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
L 0	scope.
11	A. Yeah, I think you are
12	asking you are going to have
13	to could you repeat that question
L 4	one more time?
15	Q. Sure. Would a person of
1 6	ordinary skill in the art in the
17	year 2000 reviewing Kamal's article
18	in the Tetrahedron Letters journal
19	learn that allyl groups can be
2 0	cleaved from alcohol in the context
21	of sequencing by synthesis? Do you
2 2	have an opinion one way or the other
2 3	on that?
2 4	A. They would learn that
2 5	Kamal's method wouldn't work.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Not not generally.
3	Q. Why would Ju direct the
4	reader to something that wouldn't
5	work for the purpose of Ju's goal?
6	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
7	scope. Objection, form.
8	A. Yeah, I don't I really
9	can't comment on why why Ju did
10	that, why he did certain things.
11	Q. Do you have any explanation
12	at all as to how Kamal is relevant
13	to Ju's invention?
L 4	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
15	form. Objection, scope.
16	A. Yeah, I wasn't asked to
17	form an opinion on anything like
18	that.
19	Q. Do you have any opinion?
2 0	A. No, not really.
21	Q. Would a person with skill
22	in the art be able to adapt to
23	the teachings of Kamal to sequencing
2 4	by synthesis in the year 2000?
2 5	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	form. Objection, scope.
3	A. I don't think so.
4	Q. Is that something that you
5	have a developed opinion on?
6	A. I discuss that in my
7	declaration. So whatever is in the
8	declaration.
9	Q. Does show me where Ju
10	explains how to adapt the teachings
11	of Kamal to DNA sequencing.
12	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
13	scope.
1 4	A. That that's not
15	something that I was asked to
16	evaluate.
17	Q. Would a person of ordinary
18	skill in the art reviewing the Kamal
19	reference learn anything relevant to
2 0	sequencing by synthesis or as
21	described in the Ju patent?
22	A. As described in the Ju
23	patent. I don't I don't really
2 4	have an opinion on that.
2 5	Q. Do you have an

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	understanding why Ju is there any
3	reference cited in Ju patent that
4	you think is relevant to the Ju
5	inventions?
6	A. Yeah, that's not something
7	that I that I yeah, I don't
8	have an opinion on that. That
9	wasn't something I was asked to
10	to form an opinion on.
11	Q. Do you do you have any
12	explanation for why Ju would cite
13	Kamal if it didn't have any
14	applicability to sequencing by
15	synthesis?
16	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
17	asked and answered.
18	Q. Anything whatsoever?
19	A. I don't I don't have an
20	opinion on that.
21	Q. If you look at the '985
22	patent and, specifically, column 3.
23	A. Column 3?
2 4	Q. Yeah. Do you see at lines
25	25 through 30 it says, "It has been

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	reported that
3	3'-O-methyl-deoxynucleotides are
4	good substrates for several
5	polymerases."
6	Do you see that sentence?
7	A. I see that sentence.
8	Q. And then the next sentence
9	says refers to the allyl and
10	says, "It was also shown to be
11	incorporated by Ventr(exo-) DNA
12	polymerase in the growing strand of
13	DNA," and then it cites to Metzker.
1 4	Do you see that?
15	A. I see that.
16	Q. There Ju is citing Metzker
17	approvingly, correct?
18	A. Provingly?
19	Q. Approvingly, yes.
2 0	A. When you look at Metzker
21	it's it's not efficiently
2 2	incorporated.
23	Q. I'm not asking you to look
2 4	at Metzker. I'm asking you in terms
2 5	of what's in column 3 at line 28

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	through 30 of the '985 patent. At
3	that location Ju is citing Metzker
4	approvingly, correct?
5	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
6	form.
7	A. I can't say that it was
8	approvingly. Those are just the
9	words that he wrote.
10	Q. Do you see where he says
11	"are good substrates"?
12	A. I see that.
13	Q. And then do you see and
1 4	then it says, "Allyl was also shown
15	to be incorporated," and you are
16	saying that you can't tell whether
17	that's approving or not?
18	A. I would say he's approving
19	the 3'-O-methoxy.
2 0	Q. Okay. So with respect to
21	the Axelrod you are agreeing that
22	Axelrod is being approvingly by Ju
23	of at line 28 of column 3 of the
2 4	'985 patent?
2 5	A. Line 28. Ju says that they

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	are good substrates and he cites
3	Axelrod.
4	Q. He's citing Axelrod
5	approvingly there, will you agree
6	with that?
7	A. I I can't say if it's
8	approvingly. I can read the words
9	it says. That they are good
10	substrates for several polymerases.
11	Q. Well, let's get beneath
12	that a little bit. When you say you
13	can't say that that's approvingly,
14	is there a reason, is it because you
15	weren't asked to form an opinion on
16	it, is it because you don't know
17	whether it's approval or not?
18	A. These are Ju's words. I
19	can't say exactly what that means,
20	if it's approvingly. I can say that
21	it has been reported that
22	3'-O-methoxy-deoxynucleotides are
23	good substrates for several
24	polymerases.
25	Q. I can read the words. Your

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	job as an expert, as I think I
3	understand things, I don't think I'm
4	going out on a limb here, is to
5	analyze and characterize and form
6	opinions based on disclosures,
7	right, that's what you've been
8	doing?
9	A. That's what I have been
10	doing, yeah.
11	Q. Okay. And I'm asking you
12	to do that here and say whether
13	that's an approving cite to Axelrod
1 4	or not when he refers to it as a
15	good substrate?
16	A. He says it's a good
17	substrate.
18	Q. Right. Do you understand
19	that's an approving citation or are
2 0	you refusing to say that?
21	A. I don't really know what
2 2	approving means. I don't understand
2 3	the context of that word within this
2 4	patent.
2.5	O So you can't answer my

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	question as to whether Axelrod is
3	being cited approvingly in column 3
4	of the Ju patent because you don't
5	understand what approvingly means;
6	is that correct?
7	A. I don't know what it means
8	within the context of this patent.
9	Q. Do you deny that Ju is
10	citing Metzker approvingly for the
11	idea that allyl group could be
12	incorporated in a growing strand of
13	DNA using a polymerase?
14	A. The words that he uses to
15	describe the 3'-allyl are different
16	than the words that he uses to
17	describe the 3'-methoxy.
18	Q. Do you deny that Ju cites
19	Metzker approvingly in column 3 of
20	the '985 patent?
21	A. I don't have an opinion on
22	the use of that word.
23	Q. You are familiar with
24	you understand that in Metzker's
25	1994 paper he performed a screen for

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	different enzymes relative to
3	different protecting groups?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. And you understand those
6	types of screenings with polymerases
7	that's routine. That was routine in
8	the field in the mid-1990s?
9	A. I don't know that that was
10	routine.
11	Q. Do you have an opinion one
12	way or the other on that?
13	A. On whether they were
1 4	routine, those screenings.
15	Q. To screen different
16	polymerases, modify polymerases and
17	to do that relative to different
18	capping groups, do you have an
19	opinion one way or the other as to
2 0	whether that was routine in the
21	mid-1990s?
2 2	A. Yeah. I I don't I
2 3	don't know if that was routine.
2 4	Q. You don't know one way or
2 5	the other?

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	A. So this is with respect to
3	SBS?
4	Q. No. Just generally.
5	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
6	form.
7	A. So you are talking about
8	yeah, give me an example of an
9	application that you are talking
10	about.
11	Q. Let me start again. The
12	idea of screening protecting groups
13	relative to a series of different
14	enzymes in the mid-1990s that kind
15	of screening technique was routine;
16	is that correct?
17	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
18	form.
19	A. So you are talking about
2 0	protecting groups?
21	Q. Yes. Let's talk about
22	protecting groups?
23	A. Of polymerases?
2 4	Q. Right.
2 5	A. And the only one I know

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	about is Metzker.
3	Q. Right. Do you know whether
4	that technique was routine or not in
5	the mid-1990s? Do you have an
6	opinion one way or the other?
7	A. I don't know if that was
8	routine.
9	Q. And do you know what the
10	state of the art was in terms of
11	modifying polymerases in the
12	mid-1990s?
13	Let me ask the question again.
1 4	Based on your experience in the
15	field do you know what the state of
16	the art was in terms of modifying
17	enzymes in the 1990s, is that
18	something that you know about?
19	A. That's not something that I
2 0	could form an opinion on what the
21	state of the art was.
22	Q. Why is that something that
23	you don't know?
2 4	A. It's not something that I
2 5	ever worked with myself.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	MR. REINES: All right. Why
3	don't we take a break.
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are
5	going off the record at 10:06 a.m.
6	This ends media 1, Volume 2.
7	(Whereupon, there is a recess
8	in the proceedings.)
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back
10	on the record. The time on the
11	video monitor is 10:29 a.m. This
12	is the start of media two, Volume
13	2.
14	Q. I'd like to show you
15	Dr. Ju's paper from 2005 marked
16	Exhibit 1093.
17	(Exhibit 1093, Dr. Ju's paper
18	from 2005, marked for
19	identification, as of this date.)
20	Earlier you testified that
21	there was a paper from Dr. Ju in
22	the 2005-2006 time period that
23	proved that allyl groups could be
2 4	used as capping groups in his
25	invention.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Do you recall that testimony?
3	A. Yes, I do.
4	Q. Is this one of the papers
5	that proves that to you?
6	A. Could I look through it?
7	Q. Please do. Read whatever
8	you need to read in order to answer
9	most accurately.
10	A. So, yes, this is one of
11	papers that he used to demonstrate
12	it.
13	Q. If you turn to the results
1 4	and discussion section which is on
15	page 5934, please.
16	A. Yeah, I'm there.
17	Q. And do you see it refers
18	to, under Results and Discussion,
19	"We have shown that an allyl moiety
2 0	can be successfully used as a
21	blocking group for the 3'-OH of a
22	photocleavable fluorescent
23	nucleotide analog in SBS"?
2 4	Do you see that?
25	A. I'm actually not. This

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. 5934 under Results and
3	Discussion?
4	A. Yeah, which paragraph?
5	Q. The first sentence
6	immediately under the
7	A. Oh, can be successfully
8	used.
9	Okay. Yeah, I have read that,
10	that sentence.
11	Q. The beginning of the next
12	paragraph states, "Conventional
13	methods for cleavage of the allyl
14	group combine a transition
15	metal-catalyzed isomerization of the
16	double bond to the enol ether and
17	subsequent hydrolysis of the latter
18	to produce the corresponding
19	alcohol."
20	Do you see that?
21	A. I see that.
22	Q. And do you understand that
23	the cleaving of the allyl group in
2 4	SBS is based on conventional methods
25	in Dr. Ju's works?

	Tage 331
1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	A. That that doesn't say
3	yeah, this doesn't say that.
4	Q. Okay. But do you
5	understand that?
6	A. No, I don't understand
7	that.
8	Q. Do you know what
9	conventional methods he's referring
10	to there?
11	A. I would have to look at 26
12	and 27.
13	Q. Okay. Do you see where he
L 4	says, "For application in SBS it is
15	important to ensure that the
16	complete chemical cleavage of the
17	3'-allyl group can be rapidly and
18	specifically carried out while
19	leaving the DNA intact."
2 0	A. I see that.
21	Q. And then it says, "TPPTS
22	has been widely used"?
2 3	A. I see that.
2 4	Q. Do you know what TPPTS is?
2 5	A. It's a sulfonated form of a

	_
1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	triphenyl phosphene ligand.
3	Q. Were you aware of this
4	before you were involved in this
5	project?
6	A. No, I wasn't.
7	Q. Do you know when the
8	conventional methods for cleaving
9	allyl groups described in the Ju's
10	2005 patent, do you know when
11	when they were first established?
12	A. Could you repeat that?
13	Q. Yes. The conventional
1 4	methods for cleavage of an allyl
15	group described in Ju's 2005
16	article, do you know when they were
17	first established in the field?
18	A. Of SBS?
19	Q. No.
2 0	A. You are talking outside of
21	SBS?
22	Q. Yes.
23	A. No, I don't. I would have
2 4	to look at that.
2 5	Q. That's something you know

Objection,

MR. SCHWARTZ:

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. Did you ever did you
3	ever work with any of the cleaving
4	chemistries related to this these
5	proceedings?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Is that an area of
8	chemistry where you would say you
9	are an expert, cleaving
10	technologies?
11	A. It's an area of chemistry
12	where I could read read the
13	articles, the literature and
L 4	understand the literature.
15	Q. Okay. But that's not my
16	question. My question is do you
17	hold yourself out as an expert in
18	cleaving technologies in the context
1 9	of DNA?
2 0	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
21	form.
2 2	A. Yeah, I mean how do you
2 3	define an expert?
2 4	Q. Just in terms of how you
2 5	described yourself to the board in

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	this matter.
3	MR. SCHWARTZ: Same objection.
4	A. I can become an expert by
5	reading the the articles. I
6	haven't published anything in the
7	area.
8	Q. It's an area of technology
9	that you followed over the course of
10	your career, cleaving technologies
11	in the course of DNA?
12	A. I have followed it.
13	Q. Okay. Based on the fact
14	that you've been following the
15	literature in the area of cleaving
16	technologies for DNA, can you
17	describe what the state of the art
18	was in the 1990s?
19	A. Could you repeat that?
20	Q. Based on the fact that you
21	state you've been following the
22	literature in the area of cleaving
23	technologies for DNA, can you
24	describe what the state of the art
25	was in the 1990s?

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
3	form.
4	A. I can't I can't describe
5	it because it would have to be
6	something that I would have to go
7	back and and review.
8	Q. Have you ever reviewed it?
9	A. I have studied it back in
10	the 1990s.
11	Q. Okay. Since then is that
12	something that you've thought about?
13	A. Probably.
L 4	Q. Okay. And based on the
15	fact that this is an issue an
16	area that you've thought about and
17	followed, can you describe anything
18	about a state of the art for
19	cleaving technologies in the context
2 0	of DNA in the 1990s?
21	A. I can't describe it at this
22	deposition.
23	Q. Okay.
2 4	A. Not without going back and
2 5	reviewing. Not without going back

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	and reviewing what I might have
3	read.
4	Q. With respect to this TPPTS
5	cleaving technologies, is that
6	something I think you said that's
7	not something you've heard of before
8	this matter; is that correct?
9	A. I didn't know about this
10	reagent.
11	Q. Okay. If you turn to the
12	notes, end notes, for the Ju
13	article. Do you have that?
14	A. End notes.
15	MR. SCHWARTZ: What page are
16	you when you say end notes,
17	what
18	Q. The end notes are at 5937.
19	MR. SCHWARTZ: You mean the
2 0	references?
21	MR. REINES: Yeah. And notes
22	references.
23	MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay.
2 4	A. 5937?
2 5	Q. Yeah.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	A. Okay.
3	Q. All right. And do you see
4	the citation to 30, which is the
5	Genet reference?
6	A. I see that.
7	Q. And let me show you this.
8	It's marked as Exhibit 1094.
9	(Exhibit 1094, Genet reference
10	from 1994, marked for
11	identification, as of this date.)
12	Q. And by the way, when you
13	read the Ju reference, did you look
1 4	at citations that he used so that
15	you could understand his article
16	better?
17	A. I looked at some of the
18	some of the citations.
19	Q. Okay. And let me show you
2 0	the Genet reference from 1994.
21	MR. SCHWARTZ: I'm sorry, what
22	exhibit number is this?
23	MR. REINES: This is 1094.
2 4	Q. And this Genet reference
2 5	from 1994, this is one of the

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	references that you considered in
3	this matter as part of your review
4	of Ju's work; is that correct?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. And if you look at page
7	499, do you see that Table 1? And
8	do you understand this table?
9	A. I understand the table.
10	Q. All right. And will you
11	agree that deprotecting ALLOC in
12	aqueous solution as set forth here
13	was known in the state of the
14	within the level of skill of the art
15	in the mid-1990s? It's a very
16	specific question.
17	A. ALLOC is not an allyl
18	ether.
19	Q. But my question is do you
20	see at the top it says,
21	"Deprotective method of Alloc in
22	aqueous media." Do you see that at
23	the top?
24	A. Yeah, I see that.
25	Q. My question is just is this

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	method described on page 499, this
3	was within the level of ordinary
4	skill in the art in the mid-1990s
5	for deprotection; is that correct?
6	A. I would say so.
7	Q. Does the Ju patent
8	disclose do you have any
9	explanation for why Ju cites the
10	Genet reference and other references
11	to describe deprotection in his
12	articles but that they're not it
13	doesn't do so in the patent?
L 4	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
15	form. Objection as to scope.
16	A. The article was submitted
17	in 2005. Other than that, I don't
18	have any other opinion.
19	Q. Turning to the '985 patent
2 0	itself.
21	A. '985?
22	Q. '985, yeah. Which is
23	Exhibit 1075. What is the what
2 4	is the linker that's described by
2 5	Ju? What's the teaching of the

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	linker?
3	A. Objection, scope.
4	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
5	scope.
6	A. I wasn't asked to evaluate
7	the the linker part of the
8	patent.
9	Q. Do you know whether Dower
10	contains more or less about
11	cleavable linkers than the Ju
12	reference?
13	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
L 4	scope. Objection, form.
15	Q. Do you know whether Dower
16	discloses more about linkers than
17	the Ju reference than the Ju
18	patent, excuse me. Let me start
19	again. Do you know whether Dower
2 0	discloses more about cleavable
21	linkers than the Ju patent, or is
22	that something you never considered?
23	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
2 4	form. Objection, scope.
2 5	A. I haven't considered that.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. What would a person of
3	ordinary skill in the art in the
4	2000 time period know about
5	cleavable linkers in the context of
6	DNA?
7	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
8	scope.
9	A. Cleavable linkers with
10	regard to what?
11	Q. DNA.
12	A. Yeah, I I don't know
13	what they would have known. I can't
L 4	answer that question.
15	Q. In Ju's 2005 article he
16	describes actual experiments; is
17	that correct?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. Do you know whether Ju
2 0	performed any experiments relating
21	to sequencing by synthesis as
22	described in the claims of the Ju
2 3	patents before 2005?
2 4	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
2 5	scope.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. Based on all the work that
3	you've done in this case.
4	A. I don't know.
5	Q. I'd like to show you a
6	grant proposal marked as
7	Exhibit 2118. Is this grant
8	proposal familiar to you?
9	A. No.
10	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
11	scope.
12	Q. You've never seen this
13	before?
L 4	A. I don't remember seeing
15	this document.
16	Q. Turn to page 8, at the very
17	bottom. And do you see over here,
18	you see Dr. Ju and Steve Quake under
19	Dr. Romesberg's name?
2 0	A. I see that.
21	MR. SCHWARTZ: I'm going to
22	just object generally to any line
23	of questioning relating to this
2 4	document to outside the scope.
2 5	Q. Did you know that

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Dr. Romesberg worked with Dr. Ju?
3	A. I learned that during
4	Dr. Romesberg's deposition.
5	Q. Did you ever work with
6	Dr. Ju?
7	A. No.
8	Q. If you turn to page 29 of
9	the document.
10	A. I'm there.
11	Q. And it says specifically
12	the Ju group has developed
13	A. You are going to have to
14	point me.
15	Q. Oh, sorry. At the very
16	bottom in the left column. Fair
17	enough.
18	MR. SCHWARTZ: Excuse me, did
19	you say 29?
2 0	MR. REINES: At the bottom of
21	the page there's two numbers.
22	MR. SCHWARTZ: Right. Two
23	numbers on 24.
2 4	MR. REINES: Ah, no wonder.
25	Q. At the very bottom there is

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	a numbering system.
3	A. There's two sets of numbers
4	here.
5	Q. Yeah. Understood. The
6	lower one.
7	MR. SCHWARTZ: The lower one?
8	Q. Yes. The lower one meaning
9	the bottom of the page
10	typographically.
11	A. So I'm on page 29.
12	Q. Okay, great.
13	A. You are going to have to
14	tell me where you are.
15	Q. Yeah. It's on the bottom
16	of the left column about six lines
17	up. It says, "Specifically, the Ju
18	group has developed allyl protecting
19	groups that may be catalytically
20	removed with the palladium."
21	Do you see that?
22	A. I see that.
23	Q. And if you turn to end note
2 4	11 on page 42 you'll see the
25	reference to the Ju article?

	rage 507
1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	A. Page 42. It's reference
3	11?
4	Q. Yep.
5	A. I see that.
6	Q. And so you understand that
7	the reference made by Dr. Romesberg
8	and Dr. Ju is to the allyl work from
9	Dr. Ju that we referred to earlier?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And then Dr. Ju and
12	Dr. Romesberg state, "However, like
13	all 3'"
14	A. You are back on page 29.
15	Q. Yeah, I'm back on page 29,
16	left column, bottom of the page.
17	A. Okay.
18	Q. It says, "However, like all
19	3'-O modified dNTPs examined as well
2 0	as the nucleobase-modified dNTPs
21	discussed above, these three
22	protected dNTPs are very inefficient
23	substrates for DNA polymerases."
2 4	Do you see that?
25	A. I see that.

1 STEVEN MENCHEN, P	h.D
---------------------	-----

- Q. And do you agree that allyl protecting groups are very inefficient, at least at the -- at the -- at the -- at the dated 2005?
- MR. SCHWARTZ: Again, objection, scope.
- A. So this is -- yeah, I mean this is after the time that I was put. So I was supposed to evaluate from before 2000. Not really -- I don't really have an opinion on this after 2000.
- Q. In terms of -- are you aware that standards for the efficiency of DNA polymerases working with modified nucleotides changes over time with developments in technology?
 - MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection, scope. Objection to form.
- A. Yeah, I'm not sure exactly what that -- what that means. Could you repeat that?

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. You don't is there
3	something about that that you don't
4	understand?
5	A. What do you mean by
6	standard?
7	Q. Standards.
8	A. Standards.
9	Q. Like one like someone
10	might call something an inefficient
11	polymerase for incorporating an
12	analog nucleotide at one point and
13	then as technology moves on
14	something that started out as being
15	efficient moves to inefficient.
16	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
17	form. Objection, scope.
18	Q. Let me ask the question
19	again.
20	A. Yeah.
21	Q. You are aware that
22	standards for what's efficient
23	incorporation of DNA analogs changes
24	over time as technology improves?
25	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	scope. Objection, form.
3	A. Yeah, I I understand
4	what you are asking. I can't think
5	of a specific example of that
6	happening.
7	Q. Okay. Let me see if what
8	we just looked at is an example of
9	that or not. You know that Dr. Ju
10	considers allyl to be efficiently
11	incorporated, correct?
12	A. In?
13	Q. In his 2005 article. In
L 4	apparently in his patents. Right?
15	A. In the two thousand
16	Q. That much you know, right?
17	A. What?
18	Q. That much you know, right?
19	A. In the 2005 article, yeah,
2 0	it looked like he solved the
21	problem, yeah.
22	Q. And what was the problem?
23	A. The problem with Metzker,
2 4	inefficient incorporation.
2 5	Q. Okay. But and what did

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	he do in the 2005 work that you
3	think solved the Metzker problem?
4	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
5	scope.
6	Q. What you are calling the
7	Metzker problem anyway.
8	A. Could could I look at
9	that article again?
10	Q. Yeah, please. It's
11	Exhibit 1093. Take your time and
12	read whatever you need to read.
13	A. Sure. So it looks like he
1 4	used a different enzyme.
15	Q. And can you can you
16	describe based on your work in this
17	matter and your review of the Ju
18	1995 paper what the key was to
19	solving the Metzker prob what
2 0	you are calling the Metzker problem
21	of inefficient incorporation?
22	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection
2 3	Q. Specifically.
2 4	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
2 5	scope.

different compared to Metzker.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. Okay. Point out what you
3	think let me start again.
4	You testified that there was
5	development in the polymerase used
6	by Ju in his 1995 work that helped
7	overcome what you called the Metzker
8	problem. Do you recall that
9	generally?
10	A. I didn't say that. What I
11	said was that there was a Metzker
12	problem and when I read this paper I
13	see that Ruperal, Ju is using 9°N
14	polymerase. Metzker didn't use that
15	in his screen. I don't know that
16	that's his everything that
17	happened to solve the problem. I'm
18	just saying that that's what's
19	different.
2 0	O. That's that's part of

- what helped solve the problem, would you say that much?
- A. I'm saying that that's different.
 - Q. And what's the significance

21

22

23

24

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	of it being different to you?
3	A. Metzker never screened it.
4	Q. And why is that
5	significant?
6	A. It's different.
7	Q. And where is if you can
8	just read it because I'm not
9	following where you are. You know
10	how you kept asking me where I am
11	if
12	A. Oh, sure. Let me read the
13	sentence. Also, I'm on the
L 4	left-hand column. It says "primer
15	extension." So I'm on page 5934.
16	Q. So let me pose the whole
17	question because right now we don't
18	have a really good question here.
19	You referred to the Metzker
2 0	problem with incorporating
21	terminators and you identified as
2 2	different what Ju did in 2005, that
2 3	he had a different polymerase. Is
2 4	that fair?
2 5	A. It's a polymerase that

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	wasn't examined by Metzker.
3	Q. Right. And which
4	where where is that now? Can you
5	describe that?
6	A. It says primary you see
7	the primer extension using the
8	3'-O-allyl-dUTP. Do you see that?
9	Q. Oh, yeah, yeah. The in
10	bold, yes.
11	A. Right. And then come down
12	about seven lines it says,
13	"Thermopol reaction buffer."
14	Q. Okay.
15	A. And 15 units of 9° North
16	9°N polymerase.
17	Q. Okay. Is the polymerase
18	that Ju uses in 2005 with his allyl
19	capping group, was that known in the
2 0	art in the 1990s?
21	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
22	scope.
23	A. I don't know.
2 4	Q. Do you have any opinion on
25	that one way or the other as to

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	whether a person skilled in the art
3	would know be aware of that
4	within their level of skill in the
5	art?
6	MR. SCHWARTZ: Same objection.
7	A. I don't have any opinion on
8	that.
9	Q. Other than Ju's use of a
10	different polymerase in his 2005
11	work, is there anything else that
12	you saw in Ju's 2005 work that
13	you that you thought addressed
14	what you call the Metzker problem?
15	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection.
16	Asked and answered.
17	A. I can't yeah, I can't
18	say for sure.
19	Q. What?
2 0	A. I can't say for sure.
21	Q. In Ju's 2005 paper he
22	characterizes the allyl capping
23	group as being efficiently
2 4	incorporated into the DNA, correct?
2 5	A. Could you show me where it

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	says that?
3	Q. I'm asking you.
4	A. I don't know that he makes
5	that statement in here. I would
6	have to find that.
7	Q. Do you consider the
8	incorporation of the allyl capping
9	group well, do you consider the
10	incorporation of the terminators
11	with the allyl capping group to be
12	efficient as described in the Ju
13	paper?
L 4	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection
15	Q. I know you read that paper
16	in advance of the deposition.
17	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
18	form. Objection, scope.
19	A. I I can't really judge
2 0	that.
21	Q. Why not?
22	A. Because I don't know
23	exactly what the I would have to
2 4	go back and reread this.
2 5	Q. Why is that?

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	A. Because you are asking me
3	about something that I hadn't really
4	considered when I read this paper.
5	Q. Is the incorporation of cap
6	terminators in the Ju patent, is
7	that efficient?
8	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
9	scope. Objection, form.
10	A. I didn't read the Ju patent
11	with looking into that. I was only
12	looking at what he disclosed as
13	prior art. That's all I did.
14	Q. Is there any cap terminator
15	that you are aware of that's ever
16	been efficiently incorporated into
17	DNA?
18	A. That depends on what you
19	mean by efficient.
20	Q. Are you sufficiently an
21	expert in order to be able to assess
22	whether or not incorporation of a
23	modified termi of a terminator
24	is efficient or not in DNA?
25	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	form.
3	A. I would have to go back
4	and and review that whole area to
5	make that determination.
6	Q. That's not something that
7	you did as part of your work in this
8	case; is that correct?
9	A. Excuse me?
10	Q. That's not something you've
11	done as part of your work in this
12	case?
13	A. To review the whole area of
1 4	cap terminators and efficiency?
15	Q. Well, that isn't my
16	question. Let me let me ask it
17	this way.
18	Can you identify any
19	terminator with a capping group that
2 0	you believe is efficiently
21	incorporated into DNA in the history
22	of science?
23	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection to
2 4	form.
25	A. I can't describe one right

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	now.
3	Q. You can't identify even
4	one?
5	A. That's not something
6	that wasn't something that I was
7	asked to do for this deposition.
8	Q. Yeah, I'm not asking you
9	whether you were asked to do it, I'm
10	asking you whether you can identify
11	even one based your expertise and
12	your hundreds of hours of work on
13	this matter?
L 4	A. No, I don't think I can
15	identify one right now. I would
16	have to go do a search.
17	Q. Do you agree with Ju and
18	Romesberg, at least from the
1 9	perspective of 2005, that three
2 0	protected dNTPs are very inefficient
21	substrates for DNA polymerases?
22	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
23	form. Objection, scope.
2 4	A. I'm not going to dispute
2 5	that.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. Are the standards of
3	what of the efficiency of dNTPs
4	with DNA polymerases something
5	that's changed over time?
6	A. I don't know.
7	MR. REINES: Why don't we take
8	another break.
9	MR. SCHWARTZ: Before we go
10	off the record, I just want to say,
11	you know, you are taking still a
12	lot of breaks and they are long
13	breaks. So again, please be
14	considerate of the fact that we
15	have a lot of stuff to get through
16	today.
17	MR. REINES: Yes.
18	MR. SCHWARTZ: So maybe you
19	can keep your breaks short because
2 0	the last one was 25 minutes.
21	MR. REINES: I'm happy to do
22	that. I'm also in the process of
2 3	doing that being I think extra

considerate by cutting down on the

questioning where I'm able to do

24

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	things so I'm hopeful that I won't
3	need to use all my time.
4	MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. So thank
5	you.
6	MR. REINES: So further
7	consideration.
8	MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you.
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time on
10	the video monitor is 11:14 a.m. We
11	off the record.
12	(Whereupon, there is a recess
13	in the proceedings.)
1 4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back
15	on the record. The time on the
16	video monitor is 11:28 a.m.
17	Q. I just want to return to
18	the subject of the standards for
19	what is efficient and what is not
2 0	efficient incorporation.
21	Do you agree that those
22	standards change over time with the
23	development of technology or not?
2 4	A. I mean if it's a
25	standard yeah, I really can't

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	picture that. If it's a standard,
3	it should be the same. But I just
4	don't know. Not on those terms.
5	Q. When you say you just don't
6	know, what do you mean you just
7	don't know?
8	A. Yeah, I can't tell you that
9	they do change or they don't change.
10	Q. Would a person of ordinary
11	skill in the art reading the Ju '985
12	patent know to use the polymerase
13	that's described in Ju's 2005
14	article for incorporation of the
15	allyl group?
16	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
17	scope.
18	A. So I didn't study the
19	available polymerases then. So I
2 0	can't say.
21	MR. REINES: All right. I
22	think that is what I have for our
23	examination.
2 4	MR. SCHWARTZ: You are
2 5	finished with your examination?

	Page 384
1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	MR. REINES: Well, subject to
3	redirect.
4	MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. Shall we
5	take an early lunch now then? This
6	would be a good time for our lunch
7	break.
8	Okay. Give us an opportunity
9	to look at the transcript. Okay.
10	MR. REINES: Thank you.
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time on
12	the video monitor is 11:32 a.m. We
13	off the record.
14	(Luncheon recess taken at
15	11:32 a.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	AFTERNOON SESSION
3	(Time noted: 1:08 p.m.)
4	STEVEN M. MENCHEN,
5	resumed and testified as follows:
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back
7	on the record. The time on the
8	video monitor is 1:08 p.m. This is
9	media three, Volume 2.
10	EXAMINATION BY
11	MR. SCHWARTZ:
12	Q. Dr. Menchen, I I have a
13	couple of questions for you on
1 4	redirect.
15	A. Okay.
16	Q. I'm handing you
17	Exhibit 2052. Is this a declaration
18	that you submitted in these
19	proceedings in July of 2018?
2 0	A. Yes.
21	Q. And please turn to
2 2	paragraph 16.
2 3	A. I'm there.
2 4	Q. And paragraph 16, let me
2 5	get there, you say, "I have reviewed

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Illumina's petition and
3	Dr. Romesberg's declaration."
4	Do you see that?
5	A. I see that.
6	Q. Does this refresh your
7	recollection as to whether you
8	reviewed Dr. Romesberg's declaration
9	prior to forming your opinions in
10	this case?
11	A. I guess I did, yeah.
12	Q. Please turn to paragraph 6.
13	And it states in paragraph 6, "In
1 4	connection with the preparation of
15	this declaration I have read the
16	following documents."
17	Do you see that?
18	A. I see that.
19	Q. And then number C I'm
2 0	sorry, letter C lists
21	Dr. Romesberg's declaration. Do you
22	see that?
23	A. I see that.
2 4	Q. Is this consistent with the
2 5	testimony that you just gave that

- 5 MR. REINES: Objection, 6 leading.
- 7 A. You are talking about the last question?
 - Q. Yeah.
- A. Yeah. Yeah, that's consistent.
 - Q. And please turn back to your declaration in the method case, Exhibit 2114.
 - A. Do you have a copy of that declaration?
 - Q. Well, you should have one.
- A. I don't -- I just don't -
 I don't see it.
- Q. Do you have it? I think
 that's it. You have it?
 - A. I have it.
- Q. And this is the declaration
 you submitted on October 25th, 2018;
 is that right?

1

2

3

4

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. And please turn to
4	paragraph 83.
5	MR. REINES: I'm sorry, 83?
6	MR. SCHWARTZ: 83.
7	MR. REINES: Thank you.
8	Q. Take a moment to review
9	that. And perhaps I can help you by
10	directing you to the bottom. Do you
11	see at the bottom of paragraph 83
12	there's a citation to
13	Dr. Romesberg's declaration?
L 4	A. I see that.
15	Q. Does this confirm
16	conform with your testimony you just
17	gave a moment ago that you reviewed
18	Dr. Romesberg's declaration prior to
19	forming your opinions in this case?
2 0	A. That's correct.
21	Q. And your declaration,
2 2	Exhibit 2114, was submitted on
2 3	October 25th, 2018; is that correct?
2 4	Look at the signature page.
2 5	A. Yes. That's correct.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. And do you understand that
3	Dr. Romesberg was deposed in these
4	proceedings on three separate days
5	between September and October 9th of
6	2018? Do you remember that?
7	A. I do remember that.
8	Q. And were you present when
9	Dr. Romesberg was deposed on each of
10	those days?
11	A. I was present on each day.
12	Q. And as we have just
13	established you also read
1 4	Dr. Romesberg's declaration prior to
15	submitting your opinions in this
16	case; is that correct? That was
17	your testimony?
18	A. That's my testimony.
19	Q. So does this refresh your
2 0	recollection that you were aware of
21	Dr. Romesberg's opinions in this
22	case prior to submitting your own
23	opinions?
2 4	A. That's correct.

Okay. You can put that

Q.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	away.
3	In many of your answers
4	yesterday and today you referred to
5	a person of ordinary skill in the
6	art also called a POSA. In those
7	answers were you referring to a POSA
8	prior to 2000?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And when you referred to a
11	POSA in your answers, you weren't
12	referring to a POSA at any time
13	other than prior to October 2000, is
14	that accurate?
15	MR. REINES: Objection,
16	leading.
17	A. That is correct.
18	Q. And when Mr. Reines was
19	asking you questions and he used the
20	term "POSA," was it your
21	understanding that he was referring
22	to a POSA prior to October 2000?
23	A. That's correct.
24	Q. And when Mr. Reines was
25	asking you questions, you didn't

	Page 393
1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	understand him to be referring to a
3	POSA at any time other than prior to
4	October 2000; is that correct?
5	MR. REINES: Objection,
6	leading.
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. Yesterday you were asked
9	the following question, "Would a
10	POSA reading the paragraphs at lines
11	12 through 29 of the Ju patent
12	understand that Ju is saying that
13	MOM and allyl could be used for his
14	invention."
15	And you gave the following

"A person skilled in the answer. art would not see that you can cleave the allyl under SBS conditions. He" really -- "He doesn't really show that in this paragraph."

That was your answer. Andwhen you referred to a POSA in your answer, were you referring to a POSA prior to October 2000?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	A. That's correct.
3	MR. REINES: Objection to
4	form. Leading.
5	Q. And that means prior to
6	Dr. Ju's invention; is that correct?
7	MR. REINES: Objection,
8	leading.
9	A. That's correct.
10	MR. REINES: And to form.
11	Q. In your declaration were
12	you responding to Dr. Romesberg's
13	positions on certain issues?
1 4	A. Yes, I was.
15	Q. Please turn please turn
16	to the '985 patent, that's the
17	Exhibit 1075.
18	A. I have it.
19	Q. And please turn to column
2 0	1. It's after all the figures. And
21	I want you to draw your attention to
22	where it says Background of the
23	Invention. And then the first
2 4	paragraph there says, "Throughout
2 5	this application various

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	publications are referenced in
3	parenthesis by author and year.
4	Full citations for these references
5	may be found at the end of the
6	specification immediately preceding
7	the claims. The" disclosure of
8	these "The disclosures of these
9	publications in their entireties are
10	hereby incorporated by reference
11	into this application to be more
12	fully to more fully describe the
13	state of the art to which this
L 4	invention pertains."
15	Did you review all of the
16	publications incorporated into the
17	patents?
18	A. Not all of the
19	publications.
2 0	Q. Please turn to your
21	materials considered list, which is
22	Exhibit 2108. It's a small
2 3	document.
2 4	A. Okay.
2 5	Q. And please turn to page 2.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	And do you see where there's a
3	listing for Exhibit 1076. It says,
4	"Excerpts from prosecution history
5	of U.S. Patent No. 9,868,985."
6	Do you see that?
7	A. I see that.
8	Q. Were you asked to read the
9	entirety of the prosecution history
10	for the patents at issue?
11	A. No.
12	Q. For this case, were you
13	asked to assess whether Dr. Ju's
14	patent teaches which capping groups
15	are small?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Would you feel comfortable
18	offering an opinion on whether
19	Dr. Ju's patent teaches which
20	capping groups are small without
21	reviewing all of the cited
22	references therein?
23	A. No.
2 4	Q. And would you feel
25	comfortable offering an opinion on

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	whether Dr. Ju's patents teaches
3	which capping groups are small
4	without having reviewed the entire
5	file wrapper?
6	A. Could you repeat that
7	question?
8	Q. And would you feel
9	comfortable offering an opinion on
10	whether Dr. Ju's patent teaches
11	which capping groups are small
12	without having reviewed the entire
13	file wrapper, the entire prosecution
14	history, would you feel comfortable?
15	A. No.
16	Q. For this case, were you
17	asked to assess whether Dr. Ju's
18	patent teaches which capping groups
19	meet the limitations of the claims?
20	A. I was not.
21	Q. And would you feel
22	comfortable offering an opinion on
23	whether Dr. Ju's patents teaches
24	which capping groups meet the
25	limitations of the claims without

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	having reviewed all of the
3	references cited in this patent?
4	A. No.
5	Q. And would you feel
6	comfortable offering an opinion on
7	whether Dr. Ju's patent teaches
8	which capping groups meet the
9	limitations of the claims without
10	having reviewed the entire
11	prosecution history of the patent?
12	A. No.
13	Q. For this case, were you
14	asked to assess whether Dr. Ju's
15	patent teaches how to use the allyl
16	or MOM capping group?
17	A. No.
18	Q. And would you feel
19	comfortable offering an opinion on
20	whether Dr. Ju's patent teaches how
21	to use the allyl or MOM capping
22	group without having reviewed all of
23	the references in the patent?
24	A. No.
25	Q. And would you feel

1	
2	

STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.

comfortable offering an opinion on whether Dr. Ju's patent teaches how to use the allyl or MOM capping group without having reviewed the entire prosecution history of the patent?

A. No.

Q. Yesterday you were asked whether a POSA reading all of the relevant patent documents would understand what the term "small" meant and you answered you did not know.

Were you asked to review the patents and prosecution history to determine what someone reading all of the patent documents would understand the term "small" to mean?

A. No.

Q. And because you didn't do that, did that affect whether or not you had an opinion on what the term "small" means?

A. Yes.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. Yesterday Mr. Reines made a
3	statement along the lines of saying
4	that since you cited the file
5	history in your declaration he
6	should be able to ask you questions
7	about anything in all of that file
8	history. Do you remember that?
9	MR. REINES: Objection,
L 0	misstates the transcript.
11	A. Yes, I do.
12	Q. Let's go to your
13	declaration, Exhibit 2114, and
1 4	that's the method declaration. You
15	just had it.
16	A. Yeah, I have it.
17	Q. And turn to paragraph 20.
18	Got it?
19	A. Yeah, I have it.
2 0	Q. About halfway down you cite
21	to Exhibit 1076 at page 32. Do you
22	see that, about halfway down?
2 3	A. Yeah, I see that.
2 4	Q. And please look at your
2 5	exhibit list. You just had that, it

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	was Exhibit 2108. It's short. Is
3	it your understanding that
4	Exhibit 1076 contains excerpts of
5	the prosecution history of the
6	patent in this proceeding?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Going back to your
9	declaration, did you cite page 32 of
10	Exhibit 1076 to support your
11	construction of chemical linker?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And turn to paragraph 21 of
14	your declaration, please. And take
15	a moment to review it.
16	A. Okay.
17	Q. In the first sentence you
18	cite Exhibit 1076 at pages 20 to 21.
19	Did you cite those pages to support
20	your construction of chemical
21	linker?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. At the end of paragraph 21
24	you cite Exhibit 1076 at pages 96,
25	11 and 15. Do you see that?

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	A. I see that.
3	Q. Did you cite those pages to
4	support your construction of
5	chemical linker?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Is it your understanding
8	that these are the only places that
9	you refer to for Exhibit 1076?
10	A. It's my understanding.
11	Q. At your deposition
12	yesterday you were asked the
13	following question, "Can you
14	identify any technological reason
15	why allyl wouldn't meet the
16	requirements of claim 1 of the '985
17	patent."
18	And as part of your answer you
19	said, "There's no method for
20	cleaving it. Nothing in the prior
21	art that would satisfy SBS."
22	In your answer when you were
23	referring to the prior art, were you
2 4	referring to the prior art that was

cited by Illumina?

	_
1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	A. I was referring to the
3	prior art cited by Illumina.
4	Q. Were you referring to prior
5	art other than that cited by
6	Illumina?
7	A. No.
8	Q. And regarding cleavage
9	conditions, Illumina cited Kamal,
10	Boss, Quian and Tsien. Is that
11	accurate?
12	A. That's accurate.
13	Q. And were those the prior
L 4	art references you were referring to
15	in your testimony yesterday?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. Please look at your
18	declaration at page 29. Remember
1 9	yesterday Mr. Reines took you to
2 0	this paragraph and asked you some
21	questions?
22	A. That's the full paragraph.
23	Starts it's paragraph 51.
2 4	Q. Right.
2 5	A. Okay.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. Remember that?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. I'm marking a new exhibit,
5	Exhibit 2130. Can you hand that to
6	the court reporter, please?
7	(Exhibit 2130, The NEB
8	Transcript, incomplete, marked for
9	identification, as of this date.)
10	MR. REINES: Can I have a
11	copy?
12	MR. SCHWARTZ: We are just
13	checking to make sure our copy
1 4	machine didn't leave pages out.
15	All right. I'm going to move to
16	another question while we confirm.
17	So I strike that.
18	I strike that question until
19	we confirm we have all the pages.
2 0	I just want to make sure that we
21	have a clean record.
22	Q. Yesterday, Dr. Menchen
2 3	you can put no aside for now. I
2 4	just have to make sure that my
2 5	exhibit has been copied correctly.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Yesterday when asked if you
3	were aware of any examples where a
4	read through band and an efficiency
5	test band co-exist you cited Metzker
6	1998. Do you remember that?
7	A. I remember that.
8	Q. I'm now going to hand you
9	what's been what is going to be
10	marked as Exhibit 2131.
11	(Exhibit 2131, Short technical
12	Reports, marked for identification,
13	as of this date.)
14	MR. REINES: Was this cited
15	with your submission report?
16	MR. SCHWARTZ: This is in
17	response to your to his
18	testimony regarding his
19	testimony to your question about
20	where is this data. And so he has
21	a right to tell you. And he said
22	Metzker 1998. So I marked
23	MR. REINES: I object to the
24	document. It's belated.
25	MR. SCHWARTZ: That's fine.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Okay. And this is directly in
3	relation to a question that
4	Mr. Reines asked Dr. Metzker
5	Dr. Menchen and Dr. Menchen's
6	response to that question.
7	Q. Is this the Metzker 1998
8	reference that you were referring to
9	yesterday?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Can you point me to the
12	example that you were thinking of
13	yesterday where a read through band
14	and an efficiency test band
15	co-exist?
16	A. Figure 3 on page 816.
17	Q. And where space in Figure 3
18	do you see their efficiency test
19	band and the coexisting read through
20	band?
21	A. If you look at 5A and B.
22	So you can see on 5A you can see
23	a an efficiency test band that's
24	about, I don't know, about 7/8ths of
25	the way down on that gel. And then

1 STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.

the termination band is just above it. And if you look near the top you can see the -- the read through band, those three bands.

And then on the next, on B, is basically the same sample that this study took the sample and did what they call a mop-up, which I'm not going to go into the details of, a mop-up where they remove -- they found a way to remove the contaminating natural nucleotide.

And what the B shows is the presence of the efficiency test band and the -- the termination band with no read through band. And you can see if you kind of mentally look at the ratios of those two, the A is actually a bit lighter than the B, but if you look at the efficiency test band and the termination band, the bottom two, and then you look at B, the efficiency test band and the termination band, those ratios look

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	about the same.
3	So the take-home message here
4	is that the presence or absence of
5	the natural nucleotide which created
6	the read through band really doesn't
7	have any affect on the on the
8	presence or absence of the
9	efficiency test band.
10	Q. Okay.
11	MR. SCHWARTZ: I'd I'd like
12	to go off the record and take a
13	very short break so that I can get
L 4	the right exhibit, the last my
15	last exhibit.
16	MR. REINES: It's your last
17	thing.
18	MR. SCHWARTZ: It's my last.
19	MR. REINES: Okay, sure.
2 0	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time on
21	the video monitor is 1:29 p.m. We
22	off the record.
2 3	(Whereupon, there is a recess
2 4	in the proceedings.)
2 5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	on the record. The time on the
3	video monitor is 1:33 p.m.
4	Q. Dr. Menchen, let's go back
5	to your declaration in the method
6	case, and please, again, turn to
7	page 29.
8	A. Okay.
9	Q. Do you remember yesterday
10	Mr. Reines took you to this
11	paragraph and asked you some
12	questions?
13	A. Yes, he did.
L 4	Q. And I'm going to be marking
15	Exhibit 2130. Would you mind
16	handing that let's mark it 2132.
17	(Exhibit 2132, Full version of
18	The NEB Transcript, marked for
19	identification, as of this date.)
2 0	Q. So I'm marking
21	Exhibit 2132, which is the full
22	version of Exhibit 2084 in your
2 3	declaration. Please turn to page 13
2 4	where you quoted the portion of the
2 5	disclosure relating to CircumVent.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	MR. REINES: I'm sorry to ask
3	you this, but just so I understand
4	what we are doing here. This NEB
5	transcript document which I have as
6	new Exhibit 2132, this is a
7	complete version of something
8	that's already been an exhibit; is
9	that correct?
10	MR. SCHWARTZ: You objected to
11	other exhibit as being incomplete
12	so we are now providing you with
13	the complete one.
1 4	MR. REINES: Okay.
15	Understood. All right. I'll
16	object subject to hearing what the
17	testimony is.
18	Q. Please turn to page 13
19	where you quoted the portion of the
2 0	disclosure relating to CircumVent.
21	And please read that section to
22	yourself.
2 3	A. Okay.
2 4	Q. Is there anything that
2 5	states that Sanger sequencing

Does allyl ethers also

Q.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	appear to page 28 in Tsien?
3	A. Yes, it does.
4	Q. Have you considered that
5	prior to today?
6	A. Yes, I have.
7	Q. And do you have views
8	regarding Tsien's reference to allyl
9	ethers on page 28?
10	A. Yes, I do.
11	Q. And what are those views?
12	MR. REINES: I'm going to
13	object this is inappropriate
L 4	supplement. If he has the views
15	already, then there's no reason
16	they should be added now.
17	MR. SCHWARTZ: This is in
18	response to your asking him about
19	legal ethers on page 24.
2 0	MR. REINES: It's an
21	inappropriate expansion on his
2 2	views on Tsien is our objection.
2 3	A. So my view is that the
2 4	allyl ethers on page 28 represent
2 5	the same group of molecules or

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	the same genus of molecules as the
3	allyl ethers that he talks about on
4	page 24, except that the allyl
5	ethers on page 24 is an open-ended
6	genus of molecules. And on page 28,
7	he actually refines he narrows
8	the genus down to, from two to about
9	20 atoms and preferably from three
10	to about ten atoms.
11	MR. REINES: Is that it?
12	Q. Are you done?
13	A. Yeah.
1 4	MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. No
15	further questions.
16	BY MR. REINES: (Continued)
17	Q. First I'd like to discuss
18	Dr. Romesberg's declaration. During
19	my examination of you, you said that
2 0	that was not something you reviewed
21	in preparation of your opinions in
22	your October declaration.
23	Do you recall that?
2 4	A. Yeah, I recall that.
2 5	Q. When counsel for Columbia

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	was showing you documents on that
3	and asked you whether you had
4	reviewed the Romesberg declaration
5	as part of your work to prepare your
6	opinions in this case you said I
7	guess so.
8	Do you recall that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Do you actually remember
11	reviewing the Romesberg declaration?
12	A. I absolutely remember
13	reviewing it.
L 4	Q. Do you know when you
15	reviewed it?
16	A. I actually don't remember
17	when I when I reviewed it,
18	whether it was before or after the
19	submission of the declarations. And
2 0	that that was the problem.
21	Q. And that's still the case,
22	right, in terms of your memory?
2 3	Sitting here now you don't remember
2 4	when you reviewed the Romesberg
2 5	declaration; is that correct?

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	A. I must have reviewed it
3	before I know I looked at it in
4	detail several times.
5	Q. I'm just asking you
6	A. And then so I assume if
7	it's in my declaration I must have
8	read it before or I wouldn't have
9	put it in.
10	Q. I'm not asking about
11	assumptions. I'm asking do you in
12	your memory remember when you
13	reviewed the Romesberg declaration
14	honestly?
15	A. I can't give you a specific
16	date.
17	Q. Whether it was before or
18	after the preparation of your
19	submission you have no memory,
20	correct?
21	A. My memory is that I
22	reviewed it before and after.
23	Q. So you do now you are
24	saying you do have a memory of that?
25	A. After after being

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	reminded, yeah, I did review it
3	before and after.
4	Q. I'm not asking you whether
5	you can make assumptions about
6	whether you reviewed it or not. I'm
7	asking do you have a memory of
8	actually reviewing the Romesberg
9	declaration before you submitted
10	yours?
11	MR. SCHWARTZ: Asked and
12	answered.
13	A. I don't remember a specific
14	day that I reviewed it.
15	Q. Okay. Can you answer
16	anything more than that?
17	A. I reviewed it several
18	times.
19	Q. Do you know whether it was
2 0	before or after you submitted your
21	declaration in terms of your actual
22	memory sitting here now?
23	MR. SCHWARTZ: Asked and
2 4	answered.
25	A. I can't remember a specific

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	day, the specific days that I
3	reviewed it or
4	Q. Can you recall whether it
5	was before let me just be clear.
6	Your declaration was submitted in
7	October 2018; is that correct?
8	A. That's that's correct.
9	Q. Can you remember sitting
10	here now in terms of your memory
11	whether you reviewed the Romesberg
12	declaration before or after you made
13	that submission, just in terms of
14	your memory?
15	A. Yeah, I reviewed it before.
16	You know, looking at the date, I
17	reviewed it before.
18	Q. When you say looking at the
19	date, you are saying looking at your
20	declaration?
21	A. Looking at the date of my
22	declaration and all of the stuff
23	that I had to read through. There
24	was a lot of stuff and that was one
25	of them. And I did look through it.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. Do you remember how the
3	Romesberg declaration influenced the
4	opinions in your declaration?
5	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
6	form.
7	A. I mean it's summarized in
8	my declaration.
9	Q. Do you have any memory
10	beyond that?
11	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
12	form.
13	A. I'd have to look through my
14	declaration to remind me exactly
15	what the influences were.
16	Q. Do you recall during your
17	testimony when I asked you very
18	specifically about the Romesberg
19	declaration, you said you didn't
20	have access to it when you were
21	preparing your declaration?
22	A. I said that.
23	Q. Right. I'd like to when
2 4	you said that you were under oath,
25	correct?

	-
1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	A. That's right.
3	Q. And you were doing your
4	best to be as honest and accurate as
5	you could?
6	A. That's right, I was, yes.
7	Q. All right. Let me ask you
8	some questions about the person of
9	ordinary skill in the art. You
L 0	asked on cross examination from
11	Columbia's counsel you said you were
12	asking questions about what the date
13	was for the perspective of a person
L 4	of ordinary skill in the art.
15	Do you recall that?
1 6	A. Yes.
17	Q. And you stated that all
18	every time you used the term "person
1 9	of ordinary skill in the art" you
2 0	were talking about one specific time
21	period.
22	Do you recall that?
2 3	A. Yes.
2 4	Q. Do you actually remember
2 5	whether or not every time you were

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	using person of ordinary skill in
3	the art whether it was really
4	limited to a particular time period
5	in truth given all the questioning
6	that I asked you?
7	A. I don't remember a case
8	where it wasn't that it didn't
9	refer to that, a question.
10	Q. Well, when I was asking you
11	about the 2005 Ju article, do you
12	remember that?
13	A. Yeah, I do.
14	Q. And do you remember me
15	using the term "person of skill in
16	art" in connection with that?
17	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
18	form.
19	A. I don't remember that. I
20	don't remember you using that.
21	Q. Do you have any idea
22	whether every single time you used
23	the term or answered a question
24	about a person of skill in the art
25	you were talking about before 2000,

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	can you really sit here honestly and
3	say that every single time it was
4	before that time period?
5	A. Every time that every
6	time we talked about prior art,
7	yeah. Yeah. If if you bring up
8	any question I can tell you whether,
9	you know, whether it referred to
10	before 2000.
11	Q. Okay. So in other words,
12	in order for you to say whether your
13	reference to a person of ordinary
14	skill in the art was before or after
15	the year 2000, you would need to
16	look at the question and answer to
17	make a decision; is that correct?
18	A. I believe that when you
19	mentioned the 2007 article
20	Q. I don't think it's 2007.
21	Do you mean 2005?
22	A. 2005 article that you did
23	mention a person with ordinary skill
24	in the art. That's the only one
25	that I can remember that that

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	happened for for that time
3	period.
4	Q. Isn't it true that with
5	respect to the time frame for the
6	person of ordinary skill in the art
7	you can't say that every time that
8	you used that term you were
9	referring to before October 2000;
10	isn't that correct? You can't make
11	that kind of authoritative
12	statement, can you?
13	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
L 4	asked and answered.
15	A. Yeah, I think I can.
16	Q. So you are saying that
17	someone that goes through the
18	transcript can rely on you and say
19	that every single time that there's
2 0	a reference to person of ordinary
21	skill in the art it's always before
22	October 2000
23	A. I believe.
2 4	Q and you're willing to
2 5	swear to that under oath?

1	STEVEN	MENCHEN,	, Ph.D
---	--------	----------	--------

- A. I believe I gave you an example of when it referred to after 2000 relating to an article that was published after 2000.
- Q. Okay. So you'll acknowledge that when you just gave testimony that was brought out by counsel for Columbia said that every single time you referred to a person of ordinary skill in the art you referred to before October 2000, that was not accurate testimony, right?

MR. SCHWARTZ:

Mischaracterizes witness's testimony.

- A. I think that I gave you an example of a case where that wasn't -- where that wasn't true but every other -- every other one that I can think of, yeah.
- Q. Do you have in mind now every single answer that you gave in the deposition over the last two

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	days where the term person of skill
3	in art was used? Is that something
4	you can think of right now?
5	A. No, I don't remember every
6	one.
7	Q. And with respect to the
8	statement that you made in response
9	to questioning from Columbia where
10	you said that every single time you
11	used the term person of ordinary
12	skill in the art you are referring
13	to October 2000, that was not
14	accurate, I'm just asking you to
15	acknowledge that?
16	A. I gave you an exception.
17	Other than that, it was accurate.
18	Q. So you are certain that
19	there's no other exceptions?
20	A. I can't say that for sure.
21	Q. So when you testified that
22	in every single instance when you
23	answered regarding a person of
24	ordinary skill in the art you were
25	referring to before October of 2000

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	that's not the kind of testimony
3	someone should be able to rely on,
4	right?
5	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
6	mischaracterizes witness's
7	testimony.
8	MR. REINES: We will get into
9	that.
10	A. I guess I don't understand
11	your point.
12	Q. My point is, when you were
13	asked whether every single time you
L 4	referred to a person of ordinary
15	skill in the art you were referring
16	to a person of ordinary skill in the
17	art prior to October 2000 that
18	wasn't accurate? I'm just asking
19	you to acknowledge that. Will you
2 0	acknowledge that?
21	A. Yeah, okay. That was not
22	accurate.
2 3	Q. Right. I'd like to ask you
2 4	questions about the Metzker 1998
2 5	paper that just came up. If you

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	could show us if you could turn
3	to Exhibit 2114, which is your
4	report at 18 and specifically
5	paragraph 31.
6	Do you have that in front of
7	you?
8	A. Yeah, I do.
9	Q. And this is where you are
10	discussing Metzker, correct?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. And you used the term
13	"Metzker" throughout here. When you
L 4	are referring to Metzker here you
15	are referring to the Metzker 1994
16	paper; isn't that right?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. And when I asked you about
19	the cartoon that's at Figure 2, I
2 0	was asking you whether there was any
21	experimental results in the Metzker
2 2	1994 paper that supported that.
23	Do you recall that?
2 4	A. Yes, I do recall that.
2 5	Q. And your answer was it

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	wasn't but it was in the Metzker
3	1998, correct?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. And with respect to the
6	Metzker 1998 paper why don't we
7	get that out in front of us. It's
8	Exhibit 2131. You had this paper
9	when you were preparing your
10	declaration; is that correct?
11	A. I did have this paper when
12	I was preparing my declaration.
13	Q. And it's up to you you
L 4	had studied; is that right?
15	A. I've looked at it, yeah.
16	Q. And you wanted to include
17	this in your declaration to support
18	your cartoon 2?
1 9	A. Yeah. I decided not to.
2 0	Q. But you that wasn't my
21	question. My question was at least
22	at one point when you were preparing
23	your declaration you wanted to
2 4	include Metzker 1998 to support your
2 5	cartoon 2, correct?

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	A. No. I would have put it
3	or I would have put it in. I would
4	assume that this would have been
5	enough.
6	Q. Do you recall in your
7	direct examination you testified
8	that you didn't include an analysis
9	of the Metzker 1998 paper because
10	you ran out of time, do you recall
11	that? I'm just asking if you recall
12	the testimony.
13	A. I do I do recall the
14	testimony.
15	Q. Okay. And was that
16	testimony truthful?
17	A. Oh, yes.
18	Q. And in fact, you had the
19	Metzker 1998 paper and you
20	considered citing it to support your
21	efficiency test band analysis and
22	you ultimately decided against doing
23	that, correct?
24	A. We didn't have time to put
25	it in.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. When you say you didn't
3	have time to put it in, how long
4	before you finalized your report did
5	you have Exhibit 2131?
6	A. I don't remember.
7	Q. Was it a matter of weeks,
8	months?
9	A. I don't remember.
10	Q. Was there anything limiting
11	your ability to discover and analyze
12	the Metzker 1998 paper safely in
13	advance of your deadline?
14	A. I'm sorry?
15	Q. Was there anything that
16	interfered with your ability to
17	find, locate the Metzker 1998 paper,
18	consider it and include it in your
19	declaration, was there anything that
20	impeded you from doing that?
21	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
22	form.
23	A. I don't remember why we
24	didn't include it, except that there
25	wasn't time.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	Q. I'd like to direct your
3	attention to Figure 3. And you see
4	the second G from the bottom, next
5	to it there's bands in the B lane
6	under 5, 25 and 50 concentrations?
7	A. I see those.
8	Q. Okay. And your testimony
9	is those efficiency test bands are
10	inconsistent with complete
11	termination; is that right?
12	Efficiency test bands are
13	inconsistent with complete
1 4	termination?
15	A. They are consistent with
16	incomplete termination.
17	Q. Are efficiency test bands,
18	if you have complete termination,
19	should there be efficiency test
2 0	bands, what you are calling
21	efficiency test bands?
2 2	A. There shouldn't be.
2 3	Q. There should not be, right?
2 4	A. Right.
2.5	O And do you see under Figure

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	3 it says, "Complete termination of
3	the methyl"? It's in bold
4	immediately under the diagram.
5	A. Oh, I see that, yeah.
6	Q. So Metzker is telling you
7	that the termination of the methyl
8	in the B channels is complete
9	termination, correct?
10	A. He is for 25 micromolar.
11	So this is a titration just like we
12	discussed before. And you can look
13	at the he's doing them at 5
14	micromolar, 25 micromolar, and 50
15	micromolar. So you can see at 5
16	micromolar that the presence of the
17	efficiency test band because it's
18	incomplete termination.
19	So what you have to remember
2 0	is this is a radioactive assay. So
21	the you are going to see very,
22	very faint, you know, really low
23	percentages of materials that are
2 4	going to show up in radioactivity.

In channel B5 --

Q.

1	STEVEN MENCHEN	, Ph.D.
---	----------------	---------

- A. So if I can complete.
- Q. Yeah, sure.
- A. So in A and B for 5, 5 micromolar, which isn't enough to get complete termination, especially in the time you can see the density of the efficiency test band in that one. And then you can see where if you look at 5B, which is the darker one.

So these were exposed for -he obviously used different
concentrations so when you look at
the exposures they are going to look
different. But you have to kind of
mentally look at the -- at the
ratios between the two bands that we
are talking about.

So at 5, which you would get incomplete termination, you can see -- you can definitely see those two bands. When you look at 25 micromolar you can see that -- there might be some faint band there but

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	you can see that the in both
3	cases where you have the A, you
4	basically don't see any band
5	compared to the termination band.
6	So I'm looking at 25 micromolar.
7	When you look at B you can see
8	that there's a huge amount of
9	terminated material there and
10	there's just a trace amount of
11	efficiency test band, hardly any.
12	So basically because he's
13	using such a high concentration,
14	these bands tend to show up but they
15	might only be a percent or half a
16	percent or something like that.
17	So he does show a complete
18	termination even though you do see a
19	faint amount of this band but it's
20	just you are always going to see
21	a little bit.
22	Q. There's always going to be
23	some efficiency test band, is that
24	what you are saying?
25	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,

MR. SCHWARTZ:

Objection,

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	mischaracterizes testimony.
3	A. What I'm saying is that
4	depending on what concentration of
5	stuff that you that you want to
6	test in there, the more concentrated
7	you make it, the more likely you are
8	to see failure peaks compared to the
9	termination peak, which is going to
10	be really, really intense.
11	Q. Do you recall testifying
12	that with both with respect to 5,
13	25, and 50 that there's an
L 4	efficiency test band for each in
15	channel B, do you recall that
16	testimony?
17	MR. SCHWARTZ: Objection,
18	asked and answered.
19	Q. When I first showed you
2 0	this today, do you recall that?
21	A. Yeah.
22	Q. All right.
23	A. There was an efficiency
2 4	test band in each.
2 5	Q. And you'll agree that the

1	STE	EVEN	MENCHEN,	Ph	. D .
---	-----	------	----------	----	-------

efficiency test band at 50B is greater than the efficiency -- what you are calling an efficiency test band at 25B?

A. I don't think you can make that statement. So what you have to remember is that there's a dynamic range on these gels, and these are radiogram gels. So what you need to do is look at the ratio of the -- of the test band to the -- to the termination band. And if you exceeded the dynamic range of the system basically you are saturated.

So everything -- once you are saturated, everything is going to look the same, all the bands. So you throw a huge amount of material on there and the reason you do that is not because you want to see how much of the terminated band you have but you want to see if you can see any of the efficiency test band.

So the fact that you have --

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	that it looks like you have a little
3	bit darker band in the 50B compared
4	to the little bit to the lighter
5	band in the 25B, that just means
6	that he loaded more material in the
7	50 than he did in the 25. They are
8	actually going to look the same
9	because they are saturated.
10	Q. So you are saying an
11	efficiency test band can be the
12	product of saturation in the system
13	as compared to incomplete
L 4	termination?
15	MR. SCHWARTZ:
16	Mischaracterizes testimony.
17	A. I didn't say that.
18	Q. I'm asking you the
19	question.
2 0	A. You mean your ability to
21	see it?
2 2	Q. Yes.
2 3	A. Yeah. You will eventually
2 4	see it.
2 5	Q. And with respect to so

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	is what's at 5B, are you saying that
3	that's is that a real efficiency
4	test band or is that how can
5	you well, let me ask you this.
6	Do you have a way of saying how dark
7	the efficiency test band needs to be
8	before it shows complete verse
9	incomplete termination, do you have
10	any system for that?
11	A. No, I don't know of any
12	system for that. At least in this
13	assay.
14	Q. Can you meaningfully look
15	at these gels and determine from the
16	presence, or, you know, the degree
17	of lightness or darkness of an
18	efficiency test band whether there's
19	complete termination or not?
20	A. What you need to do is look
21	at the size of the terminated band
22	and you can see for the 5 micromolar
23	they are obviously smaller.
2 4	Q. What's obviously smaller?
2 5	A Physically you can toll

1 STEVEN MENCHEN,	Ph.D
-------------------	------

What?

- Q. I'm just saying can you tell --
- A. If you -- if you look at the size of the peak for the 5B and then look at the size of the people for 25B, the 25B is obviously much darker, it's bigger, visually.
 - Q. That's obvious to you?
 - A. Looks that way to me.
- Q. Okay. Can you tell from the, how dark or light the efficiency, what you are calling an efficiency test band is whether or not there's completion or not to the termination?
- A. What -- what you want to do is, especially if you have something that's inefficiently incorporated, you would see the efficiency test band be darker than the termination band. So, you know, I don't think you can get the -- get it to within 5 percent but you can certainly tell

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	gross features.
3	Q. I'm just asking you without
4	doing the comparison between the
5	darkness of what you are calling the
6	efficiency test band and the
7	termination band, just from the
8	presence of what you are calling an
9	efficiency test band, can you tell
10	whether or not there's been complete
11	or incomplete termination?
12	A. So as I said before, you
13	have to look at it within the
14	context of the termination peek.
15	Q. And with respect to 50B you
16	don't deny that is what you would
17	call an efficiency termination band
18	there, do you?
19	A. It looks like there's a
20	trace.
21	Q. When you say a trace, is
22	that what you are now saying?
23	A. For 50B, it looks like
2 4	there's a trace, yeah.
25	Q. So before when I asked you

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	whether there was what you call an
3	efficiency termination band for 50B
4	and you said yes, you really meant
5	to say only a trace?
6	A. I think only a trace is the
7	same as yes.
8	Q. Okay. And is it your
9	opinion based on whatever you are
10	saying you can construe from this
11	gel that channel 5B is complete or
12	incomplete termination according to
13	you?
1 4	A. That's definitely
15	incomplete termination.
16	Q. Okay. If you'd look to the
17	right of Figure 3, do you see where
18	it says at the bottom corner, if you
19	look over to the text in the far
2 0	right column it says, "The
21	incorporation of the methyl by
22	AMV-RT shows complete termination
23	without nucleotide read through
2 4	Figure 3 lanes B"?

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	A. Where is it?
3	Q. At the bottom right-hand
4	corner of the text.
5	A. It's at the very bottom?
6	Q. It's five to six lines
7	four to five lines from the bottom.
8	A. It says, "following
9	enzymatic mop-up." Is that what
10	it what it says?
11	Q. Do you see where Metzker
12	says that the incorporation of the
13	methyl shows complete termination
L 4	without natural nucleotide read
15	through for figures 3 lanes B?
16	Do you see that? Do you
17	really not see that?
18	A. Let let me find it.
19	Q. I don't know what to say
2 0	other than it's in the rightmost
21	column four to five lines from the
22	column?
2 3	MR. SCHWARTZ: I think it's
2 4	down here.
2 5	A. It says, "Following

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	enzymatic mop-up, the incorporation
3	of 3'-O-methyl-dATP by AMV-RT shows
4	complete termination without natural
5	nucleotide read-through."
6	Q. Do you see where Metzker is
7	explaining that the methyl is
8	completely terminated in Figure 3
9	lanes B?
L 0	A. I see that.
11	Q. And lanes B there's
12	that's in plural; is that correct?
13	A. It's in plural.
L 4	Q. And there's three B lanes,
15	5, 25, and 50; is that correct?
16	A. That's right.
17	Q. Okay. With re during
18	yesterday and today I have asked you
19	a series of questions relating to
2 0	these proceedings. Were you
21	accurate in your testimony? Was
22	was your testimony truthful and
2 3	accurate to me during today and
2 4	yesterday when I asked you questions
2 5	and you gave answers?

1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	A. To the best of my
3	knowledge.
4	Q. And is there anything that
5	was came up in cross examination
6	that led you to believe that
7	anything you said was not truthful
8	and accurate in your testimony?
9	A. Just the issue of, what we
10	discussed about the POSA referring
11	to the the Metzker 2006 paper.
12	Q. Anything else?
13	A. I don't I don't think of
14	anything else.
15	MR. REINES: Nothing further.
16	All right. Thank you, everyone.
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are
18	going off the record at 2:07 p.m.
19	This concludes today's testimony
20	given by Dr. Steven Menchen. The
21	total number of media units used
22	was three and will be retained by
23	Veritext Legal Solutions.
24	(Continued on next page.)
25	

	Page 442
1	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
2	We are off the record. Thank
3	you.
4	(Time noted: 2:07 p.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
2 5	

	Page 443
1	
2	STATE OF NEW YORK)
3) :ss
4	COUNTY OF NEW YORK)
5	
6	I, STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D., the
7	witness herein, having read the
8	foregoing testimony of the pages of
9	this deposition, do hereby certify
10	it to be a true and correct
11	transcript, subject to the
12	corrections, if any, shown on the
13	attached page.
14	
15	
16	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
17	
18	
19	
20	Sworn and subscribed to before me,
21	this, day of,
22	2019.
23	
2 4	Notary Public
25	

	Page 444
1	
2	STATE OF NEW YORK)
3	ss.:
4	COUNTY OF NEW YORK)
5	
6	I, ERICA L. RUGGIERI, RPR and
7	a Notary Public within and for the
8	State of New York, do hereby
9	certify:
10	That I reported the
11	proceedings in the within-entitled
12	matter, and that the within
13	transcript is a true record of such
14	proceedings.
15	I further certify that I am
16	not related by blood or marriage, to
17	any of the parties in this matter
18	and that I am in no way interested
19	in the outcome of this matter.
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
21	hereunto set my hand this 16th day
22	of January, 2019.
23	
24	Erica Ruggieri
25	ERICA L. RUGGIERI, RPR, CSR, CLR

	Page 445
1	
2	I N D E X
3	WITNESS PAGE
4	STEVEN MENCHEN, Ph.D.
5	By: Mr. Reines 306, 411
6	Mr. Schwartz 385
7	
8	EXHIBITS
9	ILLUMINA FOR I.D.
10	Exhibit 1093, Dr. Ju's paper 348
11	from 2005
12	Exhibit 1094, Genet 359
13	reference from 1994
14	
15	EXHIBITS
16	COLUMBIA FOR I.D.
17	Exhibit 2130, The NEB 402
18	Transcript, incomplete
19	Exhibit 2131, Short 403
20	technical Reports
21	Exhibit 2132, Full version 407
22	of The NEB Transcript
23	
24	
25	*** EXHIBITS ATTACHED ***

INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS

Please read your deposition over carefully and make any necessary corrections. You should state the reason in the appropriate space on the errata sheet for any corrections that are made.

After doing so, please sign the errata sheet and date it.

You are signing same subject to the changes you have noted on the errata sheet, which will be attached to your deposition.

It is imperative that you return the original errata sheet to the deposing attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate and may be used in court.

	Page 447
1	ERRATA
2	
3	
4	
5	I wish to make the following changes,
6	for the following reasons:
7	
8	PAGE LINE
9	CHANGE:
10	REASON:
11	CHANGE:
12	REASON:
13	CHANGE:
14	REASON:
15	CHANGE:
16	REASON:
17	CHANGE:
18	REASON:
19	
20	
	STEVEN M. MENCHEN, PH.D. DATE
21	
22	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE
23	ME THISDAY OF, 201 .
24	
25	NOTARY PUBLIC COMMISSION EXPIRES

[**& - 411**] Page 1

&	16 309:22 311:3	374:22 375:18	25b 433:5 434:5
	385:22,24	376:10,12,21	436:8,8
& 305:8,19	16th 444:21	380:19 383:13	25th 387:24
1	18 424:4	418:11 419:21,22	388:23
1 312:10 314:22	1990s 321:8,15	445:11	26 351:11
315:11 348:6	324:2 345:8,21	2005-2006 348:22	27 351:12
360:7 392:20	346:14 347:5,12	2006 441:11	28 311:20 340:25
400:16	347:17 356:18,25	2007 419:19,20	341:23,25 410:2,9
1001 312:4	357:10,20 360:15	201 305:9 447:23	410:24 411:6
1010 308:13,25	361:4 375:20	2018 385:19	29 324:8 365:8,19
10104 305:13	1993 318:10	387:24 388:23	366:11 367:14,15
1013 323:13	1994 344:25	389:6 415:7	391:11 401:18
409:11,11	359:10,20,25	2019 303:20 304:2	407:7
1015 317:15	424:15,22 445:13	306:4 443:22	2:07 441:18 442:4
1037 333:3	1995 371:18	444:22	3
1075 311:13	372:19 373:6	2052 385:17	3 320:21 339:22,23
361:23 392:17	1998 403:6,22	2084 407:22	340:3,25 341:19
1076 394:3 398:21	404:7 423:24	21 399:13,18,23	341:23 342:22
399:4,10,18,24	425:3,6,24 426:9	2108 306:23	344:3,15,17,19
400:9 1093 348:16,17	426:19 427:12,17	393:22 399:2	349:21 351:17
371:11 445:10	1:08 385:3,8	2114 332:20	367:13,19 375:8
1094 359:8,9,23	1:29 406:21	387:14 388:22	404:16,17 428:3
445:12	1:33 407:3	398:13 424:3	429:2 438:17,24
10:06 348:5	2	2118 364:7 2130 402:5,7	439:15 440:3,8
10:29 348:11	2 306:6 348:6,13	407:15 445:17	30 339:25 341:2
11 366:24 367:3	385:9 393:25	2131 403:10,11	359:4 446:19
399:25	424:19 425:18,25	425:8 427:5	306 445:5
11:14 382:10	20 398:17 399:18	445:19	31 311:21 424:5
11:28 382:16	411:9	2132 407:16,17,21	32 332:21,22
11:32 384:12,15	2000 336:17	408:6 445:21	398:21 399:9
12 309:18 311:3	337:24 363:4	24 324:6 365:23	33 324:16,17
391:11	368:12,14 390:8	409:7,17,22	348 445:10
12790 305:5	390:13,22 391:4	410:19 411:4,5	359 445:12
1290 304:8 305:13	391:25 418:25	25 319:5 320:4,12	385 445:6
13 407:23 408:18	419:10,15 420:9	322:8,19 323:7	4
15 303:20 304:2	420:22 421:4,5,12	339:25 381:20	402 445:17
306:4 309:22	422:13,25 423:17 2005 348:15,18	428:6 429:10,14	403 445:19
311:3 375:15	352:10,15 361:17	430:23 431:6	407 445:21
399:25	363:15,23 368:6	432:13 434:7	411 445:5
	370:13,19 371:2	440:15	
	310.13,17 311.2		

[**42 - appeal**] Page 2

42 366:24 367:2	9	423:19,20	368:2 370:10
45 319:9	-	acknowledged	375:8,18 376:22
499 360:7 361:2	9 372:12 373:13	327:17	377:8,11 383:15
5	375:15,16 9,868,985 394:5	actual 363:16	391:13,18 396:15
	90s 320:9,25	414:21	396:21 397:4
5 428:6 429:13,15	908 320.9,23 92130-2008 305:5	adapt 337:22	400:15 409:7,22
430:4,4,20 432:12 435:22 436:25	94065-1175 305:9	338:10	409:25 410:8,24
440:15	96 399:24	added 410:16	411:3,4
50 319:13 321:19	985 311:12 339:21	addressed 376:13	americas 304:8
322:8 428:6	341:2,24 344:20	advance 377:16	305:13
429:14 432:13	361:19,21,22	427:13	amount 431:8,10
434:7 440:15	383:11 392:16	advantages 325:20	431:19 433:19
5025 444:24	400:16	326:15	amv 438:22 440:3
50b 433:2 434:3	9:08 303:21 304:3	affect 397:22	analog 349:23
437:15,23 438:3	306:3	406:7	369:12
51 401:23	9th 389:5	affymax 318:10,14	
5934 349:15 350:2	a	320:3,9,19 321:5	369:23
372:12 374:15		321:12	analysis 426:8,21
5937 358:18,24	a.m. 303:21 304:3	ago 327:17 328:10	analyze 343:5
5a 404:21,22	306:3 348:5,11	388:17	427:11
5b 430:10 435:2	382:10,16 384:12	agree 342:5	analyzed 311:5
436:6 438:11	384:15	360:11 368:2	answer 312:17
6	ability 427:11,16 434:20	380:17 382:21	318:6 328:7
6 386:12,13	able 310:14	432:25	330:15,20 332:13
·	314:11 337:22	agreeing 341:21	332:16 343:25
7	378:21 381:25	ah 365:24	349:8 363:14
7/8ths 404:24	398:6 423:3	alcohol 333:21,25	391:16,22,24
8	absence 406:4,8	336:3,20 350:19	400:18,22 414:15
8 364:16	absolutely 412:12	alloc 360:11,17,21 allyl 324:8,21	419:16 421:24 424:25
816 404:16	access 316:16,23	325:2,5,11,17	answered 328:23
83 388:4,5,6,11	317:7 416:20	326:6,14,19 327:5	339:17 376:16
839 318:13,17	accurate 307:7,10	327:20 328:3,4,14	397:13 414:12,24
852 312:3,4,9,22	390:14 401:11,12	329:5,14,21 330:4	418:23 420:14
314:13,21 315:8	417:4 421:13	330:7 333:25	422:23 432:18
315:12,20	422:14,17 423:18	336:3,19 340:9	answers 390:3,7
869 308:14 310:3	423:22 440:21,23	341:14 344:11,15	390:11 440:25
311:3,18 312:12	441:8 446:23	348:23 349:19	anyway 371:7
312:25 316:5	accurately 349:9	350:13,23 351:17	apparently 370:14
	acknowledge	352:9,14 360:17	appeal 303:3
	421:7 422:15	366:18 367:8	

appear 410:2	420:21 421:11	418:10 422:14	439:15 440:9,11
applicability	422:3,12,24	423:18 424:20	440:14
339:14	423:15,17	426:11 434:18	b5 429:25
application 329:12	article 336:17	437:3	back 348:9 357:7
346:9 351:14	352:16 358:13	assay 429:20	357:9,24,25
368:6 392:25	359:15 361:16	435:13	367:14,15 372:6
393:11	363:15 366:25	assess 378:21	377:24 379:3
appropriate 446:7	370:13,19 371:9	394:13 395:17	382:14 385:6
appropriate 118.7	383:14 418:11	396:14	387:12 399:8
approving 341:17	419:19,22 421:4	assume 413:6	406:25 407:4
341:18 343:13,19	articles 355:13	426:4	background
343:22	356:5 361:12	assumptions	392:22
approvingly	aside 402:23	413:11 414:5	band 403:4,5
340:17,19 341:4,8	asked 310:11	atoms 411:9,10	404:13,14,19,20
341:22 342:5,8,13	316:10 317:24,25	attached 443:13	404:23 405:2,5,15
342:20 344:3,5,10	328:6,23 330:10	445:25 446:14	405:16,17,22,22
344:19	335:16,20 337:16	attempt 316:15,22	405:24,25 406:6,9
aqueous 360:12,22	338:15 339:9,17	attention 392:21	426:21 429:17
area 353:8,22	342:15 362:6	428:3	430:8,25 431:4,5
354:5 355:7,11	376:16 380:7,9	attorney 446:18	431:11,19,23
356:7,8,15,22	391:8 394:8,13	attorneys 305:4,12	432:14,24 433:2,5
357:16 379:4,13	395:17 396:14	author 393:3	433:12,13,22,24
art 312:24 322:17	397:9,15 400:12	authoritative	434:3,5,11 435:4,7
323:4 324:23	401:20 403:2	420:11	435:18,21 436:15
325:13 326:8,13	404:4 407:11	available 383:19	436:22,23 437:6,7
326:24 327:19	409:6,20,21 412:3	avenue 304:8	437:9,17 438:3
328:13 334:24,24	414:11,23 416:17	305:13	bands 405:5 428:5
335:18,25 336:16	417:10 418:6	aware 307:22	428:9,12,17,20,21
337:22 338:18	420:14 423:13	309:11,15 324:2	430:18,23 431:14
347:10,16,21	424:18 432:18	352:3 368:16	433:18
356:17,24 357:18	437:25 440:18,24	369:21 376:3	base 325:21
360:14 361:4	asking 320:24	378:15 389:20	326:16,20
363:3 375:20	326:21 327:24	403:3	based 329:17
376:2,5 378:13	328:8 331:23	axelrod 341:21,22	343:6 347:14
383:11 390:6	336:12 340:23,24	342:3,4 343:13	350:24 353:2
391:17 393:13	343:11 370:4	344:2	356:13,20 357:14
400:21,23,24	374:10 377:3	b	364:2 371:16
401:3,5,14 417:9	378:2 380:8,10	b 404:21 405:6,14	380:11 438:9
417:14,19 418:3	390:19,25 410:18	405:20,24 428:5	basically 405:7
418:16,24 419:6	413:5,10,11 414:4	429:8 430:4 431:7	431:4,12 433:15
419:14,24 420:6	414:7 417:12	432:15 438:24	

[basis - cleave] Page 4

basis 316:2	bring 419:7	cases 431:3	393:4
began 306:18	brought 421:8	catalytically	cite 339:12 343:13
beginning 327:14	buffer 375:13	366:19	398:20 399:9,18
350:11	c	catalyzed 350:15	399:19,24 400:3
belated 403:24	c 305:2 306:8	category 322:6	cited 335:18 339:3
believe 312:21	385:4 386:19,20	certain 337:10	344:3 394:21
332:15 379:20	california 305:5,9	392:13 422:18	396:3 398:4
419:18 420:23	call 369:10 376:14	certainly 310:20	400:25 401:3,5,9
421:2 441:6	405:9 437:17	436:25	403:5,14
beneath 342:11	438:2	certify 443:9	cites 334:20
best 318:4 417:4	called 306:9 373:7	444:9,15	340:13 342:2
441:2	390:6	change 382:22	344:18 361:9
better 359:16	calling 371:6,20	383:9,9 447:9,11	citing 340:16
beyond 330:25	428:20 433:4	447:13,15,17	341:3 342:4
331:12 332:4	436:14 437:5,8	changed 381:5	344:10 426:20
416:10	camino 305:5	changes 368:19	city 303:9
bigger 436:9	cap 378:5,14	369:23 446:13	claim 309:17
birch 305:20	379:14	447:5	310:3 312:10
bit 342:12 405:20	capable 314:21	channel 429:25	314:22 315:3,6,7
431:21 434:3,4	capping 345:18	432:15 438:11	315:11 400:16
blocking 319:14	348:24 353:6,11	channels 429:8	claimed 312:12,24
319:18,19,22	353:23 375:19	characterize 343:5	329:5,22 330:24
321:7,14,17,19,22	376:22 377:8,11	characterizes	331:11 332:3
322:5,6,16 323:3	379:19 394:14,20	376:22	claims 309:17,22
349:21	395:3,11,18,24	checking 402:13	309:23 311:2,4,20
blood 444:16	396:8,16,21 397:4	chemical 351:16	312:22 313:19
board 303:3	care 334:24	399:11,20 400:5	314:3,6,9,12,16
355:25	career 354:7	chemistries 355:4	315:21 335:19
bold 375:10 429:3	356:10	chemistry 354:9	363:22 393:7
bond 350:16	carefully 446:5	354:20 355:8,11	395:19,25 396:9
boss 401:10	carried 351:18	chen 305:21	clean 402:21
bottom 364:17	cartoon 424:19	chip 318:20	clear 353:21
365:16,20,25	425:18,25	chips 320:17	372:17 415:5
366:9,15 367:16	case 364:3 379:8	circumvent	cleavable 325:20
388:10,11 405:23	379:12 386:10	407:25 408:20	327:3 332:6,7
428:4 438:18	387:4,13 388:19	citation 334:15,17	362:11,20 363:5,9
439:3,5,7 break 348:3 381:8	389:16,22 394:12	334:20 335:6 343:19 359:4	cleavage 350:13 351:16 352:14
384:7 406:13	395:16 396:13	388:12	353:22 401:8
breaks 381:12,13	407:6 412:6,21	citations 315:18	cleave 333:20
381:19	418:7 421:19	315:24 359:14,18	391:18
301.17		313.44 339.14,18	J71.10

[cleaved - date] Page 5

		I	100 0 110 0 7
cleaved 326:16,20	complete 351:16	construction	408:9 412:25
336:20	408:7,13 428:10	399:11,20 400:4	413:20 415:7,8
cleaving 333:24	428:13,18 429:2,8	construe 438:10	416:25 419:17
334:21 336:2	430:2,6 431:17	contains 362:10	420:10 424:10,11
350:23 352:8	435:8,19 437:10	399:4	424:17 425:3,4,10
353:6 354:13,17	438:11,22 439:13	contaminating	425:25 426:23
354:21,23 355:3,9	440:4	405:13	429:9 440:12,15
355:18 356:10,15	completely 440:8	content 311:2	443:10
356:22 357:19	completion 436:16	contesting 328:19	corrections 443:12
358:5 400:20	concentrated	context 334:3	446:6,9
clr 444:25	432:6	336:20 343:23	correctly 402:25
coexisting 404:19	concentration	344:8 353:13,15	corresponding
columbia 303:8	431:13 432:4	353:16 354:21	350:18
305:20,21,21	concentrations	355:18 357:19	counsel 306:20
411:25 421:9	428:6 430:14	363:5 437:14	411:25 417:11
422:9 445:16	concludes 441:19	continued 303:17	421:9
columbia's 417:11	conclusion 313:3	306:6,11 411:16	county 443:4
column 319:5	316:7 329:10	441:24	444:4
320:4,6,12 322:8	331:5 335:15	conventional	couple 385:13
322:19 323:7	condition 333:22	350:12,24 351:9	course 356:9,11
339:22,23 340:25	conditions 325:8	352:8,13	court 402:6
341:23 344:3,19	391:19 401:9	cooper 305:19	446:23
365:16 366:16	confirm 388:15	copied 402:25	created 406:5
367:16 374:14	402:16,19	copy 387:15	cross 417:10 441:5
392:19 438:20	conform 388:16	402:11,13	csr 444:25
439:21,22	connection 386:14	corner 438:18	cutting 381:24
combine 350:14	418:16	439:4	d
come 375:11	consider 377:7,9	correct 308:24	d 305:15 445:2
comfortable	427:18	314:19 325:3	dark 435:6 436:13
394:17,25 395:9	considerate	326:7 327:6	darker 430:10
395:14,22 396:6	381:14,24	330:16 333:12,13	434:3 436:9,22
396:19 397:2	consideration	334:15,17 340:17	darkness 435:17
comment 334:7	382:7	341:4 344:6 345:4	437:5
337:9	considered 307:2	346:16 353:24	data 403:20
commission	307:5 360:2	358:8 360:4,5	date 348:19
447:25	362:22,25 378:4	361:5 363:17,18	359:11 402:9
compared 312:24	393:21 410:4	370:11 376:24	403:13 407:19
372:25 431:5	426:20	379:8 388:2,20,23	413:16 415:16,19
432:8 434:3,13	considers 370:10	388:25 389:16,24	415:21 417:12
comparison 437:4	consistent 386:24	390:17,23 391:4,7	446:11 447:20
	387:11 428:15	392:2,6,9 401:16	770.11 447.4U

[dated - dna] Page 6

dated 368:6	definitely 420.22	described 318:13	direct 309:16
	definitely 430:22 438:14		
datp 440:3		318:16 320:3,12	333:23 337:3
day 389:11 414:14	degree 435:16	338:21,22 352:9	426:7 428:2
415:2 443:21	delight 310:19	352:15 355:25	directing 333:15
444:21 447:23	demonstrate	361:2,24 363:22	388:10
days 313:23 389:4	349:11	377:12 383:13	directly 404:2
389:10 415:2	density 430:7	describes 363:16	disagreements
422:2 446:19	deny 344:9,18	description 326:2	307:22
deadline 427:13	437:16	detail 319:4 413:4	disclose 330:6
deblocking 325:21	deoxynucleotides	details 405:10	361:8
325:22,24 326:17	340:3 342:22	determination	disclosed 322:19
decided 425:19	depended 354:16	379:5	323:7 329:7 331:2
426:22	dependent 309:23	determine 335:17	331:12 332:5,8
decision 419:17	depending 354:17	335:20 397:17	378:12
declaration	432:4	435:15	discloses 362:16
307:14,16,18,21	depends 378:18	develop 310:12	362:20
308:2,3,9,11	deposed 389:3,9	313:4 316:10	disclosure 325:14
316:16,19,23	deposing 446:18	developed 338:5	326:9 329:4,20
317:2,4 318:3,8	deposition 303:17	365:12 366:18	330:3,23 331:10
322:14 332:19	304:5 306:6,18,20	developing 316:17	332:3 334:11
338:7,8 385:17	308:23 309:3	development	393:7 407:25
386:3,8,15,21	310:13 316:11	372:18,21 373:5	408:20
387:3,13,16,23	318:5 322:14	382:23	disclosures 343:6
388:13,18,21	324:14 357:22	developments	393:8
389:14 392:11	365:4 377:16	368:19	discover 427:11
398:5,13,14 399:9	380:7 400:11	deverell 305:23	discuss 338:6
399:14 401:18	421:25 443:9	diagram 429:4	411:17
407:5,23 411:18	446:4,15,20,21	diego 305:5	discussed 306:19
411:22 412:4,11	deprotecting	difference 310:15	367:21 429:12
412:25 413:7,13	360:11	310:25	441:10
414:9,21 415:6,12	deprotection	differences 310:2	discussing 424:10
415:20,22 416:3,4	361:5,11	314:3	discussion 349:14
416:8,14,19,21	deprotective	different 310:21	349:18 350:3
425:10,12,17,23	360:21	310:23 312:11	dispute 380:24
427:19	describe 319:3	322:5 344:15	distinct 316:4
declarations	328:4 329:13	345:2,3,15,17	dna 315:11 318:19
317:10 412:19	344:15,17 356:17	346:13 371:14	320:16 338:11
deemed 446:22	356:24 357:4,17	372:25 373:19,24	340:11,13 344:13
define 355:23	357:21 361:11	374:2,6,22,23	351:19 353:13,15
defined 322:7	371:16 375:5	376:10 430:13,16	353:18,23 354:23
	379:25 393:12		355:19 356:11,16

[dna - exhibit] Page 7

356:23 357:20	396:14,20 397:3	eight 313:23,23	327:20 328:4,15
363:6,11 367:23	402:22 404:4,5,5	el 305:5	329:14 330:4,7
368:17 369:23	407:4 411:18	elements 331:7	334:21 409:7,22
376:24 378:17,24	441:20 445:10	embodiments	409:25 410:9,19
379:21 380:21	draw 392:21	315:20	410:24 411:3,5
381:4	dry 354:12,16	enable 329:4	evaluate 338:16
dntp 325:9	duly 306:10	enables 329:20	362:6 368:11
dntps 367:19,20	dunham 305:19	330:24 331:10	eventually 434:23
367:22 380:20	dutp 375:8	332:3	exactly 342:19
381:3	dynamic 433:8,14	ended 325:5 411:5	368:23 377:23
document 329:11	e	ends 348:6	416:14
329:14 364:15,24		enol 350:16	examination
365:9 393:23	e 305:2,2 306:8,8,8	ensure 307:7	306:13 383:23,25
403:24 408:5	306:8 385:2,2,4,4	351:15	385:10 411:19
documents 307:5	385:4,4 445:2	entire 395:4,12,13	417:10 426:7
386:16 397:11,18	447:1	396:10 397:6	441:5
412:2	earlier 348:20	entireties 393:9	examined 306:11
doing 319:24	367:9	entirety 394:9	367:19 375:2
343:8,10 381:23	early 354:7 384:5	entitled 444:11	example 346:8
408:4 417:3	edward 305:10	enzymatic 439:9	370:5,8 404:12
426:22 427:20	edward.reines	440:2	421:3,19
429:13 437:4	305:10	enzyme 371:14	examples 403:3
446:10	efficiency 368:17	372:9	exceeded 433:14
double 350:16	379:14 381:3	enzymes 345:2	exception 422:16
dower 317:17	403:4 404:14,18	346:14 347:17	exceptions 422:19
318:22 322:8,17	404:23 405:15,21	erica 303:23	excerpts 394:4
323:5 331:2,13	405:24 406:9	304:10 444:6,25	399:4
332:5 362:9,15,19	409:2 426:21	errata 446:8,11,14	excuse 362:18
dr 306:7 307:14	428:9,12,17,19,21	446:17	365:18 379:9
308:3,17 317:10	429:17 430:8	especially 319:25	exhibit 306:23
323:24 348:15,17	431:11,23 432:14	430:6 436:19	308:13,25 311:13
348:21 350:25	432:23 433:2,3,4	esq 305:6,10,14,15	317:15 323:13
364:18,19 365:2,2	433:24 434:11	305:16	333:3 348:16,17
365:4,6 367:7,8,9	435:3,7,18 436:14	established 352:11	359:8,9,22 361:23
367:11,12 370:9	436:15,21 437:6,9	352:17 353:3	364:7 371:11
385:12 386:3,8,21	437:17 438:3	389:13	385:17 387:14
387:2 388:13,18	efficient 369:15,22	ether 328:3 350:16	388:22 392:17
389:3,9,14,21	377:12 378:7,19	360:18	393:22 394:3
392:6,12 394:13	378:24 382:19,20	ethers 324:8,21	398:13,21,25
394:19 395:2,10	efficiently 340:21	325:2,5,11,18	399:2,4,10,18,24
395:17,23 396:7	370:10 376:23	326:6,14,19 327:5	400:9 402:4,5,7,25
2,212,,20 0,01	378:16 379:20		

[exhibit - goal] Page 8

403:10,11 406:14	faint 429:22	five 439:6,7,21	found 393:5
406:15 407:15,17	430:25 431:19	floyd 305:22	405:12
407:21,22 408:6,8	fair 365:16 374:24	fluorescent 349:22	four 439:7,21
408:11 409:11	fall 322:5	followed 356:9,12	frame 420:5
424:3 425:8 427:5	familiar 311:24	357:17	front 306:23 319:6
445:10,12,17,19	315:9 318:10,12	following 356:14	333:4,7 424:6
445:21	318:23 319:17	356:21 374:9	425:7
exhibits 307:2	320:2,9,10 323:17	386:16 391:9,15	full 393:4 401:22
445:8,15,25	323:22 344:23	400:13 439:8,25	407:17,21 445:21
exist 403:5 404:15	364:8	447:5,6	fully 393:12,12
exo 340:11	familiarity 324:12	follows 306:12	further 382:6
expansion 410:21	familiarize 317:21	385:5	411:15 441:15
experience 347:14	family 308:18	foregoing 443:8	444:15
experimental	309:12	form 311:8 312:14	g
424:21	far 438:19	316:7 320:14,23	
experiments	features 437:2	322:10 325:16	g 428:4
363:16,20	feel 394:17,24	326:11 327:8,23	garrett 305:16
expert 343:2 355:9	395:8,14,21 396:5	330:10,11 334:6	gel 404:25 438:11
355:17,23 356:4	396:18,25	334:13 335:4,14	gels 433:9,10 435:15
378:21	field 324:4 345:8	335:21 336:6	
expertise 353:2	347:15 352:17	337:7,15,17 338:2	general 324:11 326:15
380:11	figure 404:16,17	339:10 341:6	
expires 447:25	424:19 428:3,25	342:15 343:5	generally 320:7,11 323:16 328:16
explaining 440:7	438:17,24 440:8	346:6,18 347:20	
explains 338:10	figures 392:20	351:25 353:10	337:2 346:4 364:22 373:9
explanation	439:15	354:2 355:21	
337:11 339:12	file 395:5,13 398:4	357:3 361:15	genet 359:5,9,20 359:24 361:10
361:9	398:7	362:14,24 368:22	
exposed 430:12	finalized 307:6	369:17 370:2	445:12
exposures 430:15	427:4	377:18 378:9	genus 411:2,6,8 give 328:7 346:8
extension 374:15	find 377:6 409:13	379:2,24 380:23	384:8 413:15
375:7	427:17 439:18	392:4,10 416:6,12	
extra 381:23	fine 403:25	418:18 427:22	given 418:5 441:20
f	finished 383:25	format 333:22	
f 385:2	first 311:17 350:5	formed 307:19	go 330:12 332:18 357:6 377:24
fact 356:13,20	352:11,17 392:23	308:6	379:3 380:16
357:15 381:14	399:17 411:17	forming 386:9	381:9 398:12
426:18 433:25	432:19	388:19	405:10 406:12
fail 446:21	fitzpatrick 304:7	formulated 307:24	407:4 409:10
failure 432:8	305:12	forth 360:12	goal 337:5
1allult 432.0			guai 337.3

goes 420:17	327:13 328:5,12	398:5,8 399:5	improves 369:24
going 306:3 312:5	329:5,21 336:19	hold 355:17	inaccurate 308:4
317:8 324:3 331:7	345:3,18 346:12	home 406:3	313:12
332:25 336:12	346:20,22 348:23	honest 417:4	inappropriate
343:4 348:5	348:24 352:9	honestly 413:14	410:13,21
357:24,25 364:21	353:7,11,23	419:2	include 328:13
365:13 366:13	366:19 368:3	hopeful 382:2	425:16,24 426:8
372:6 380:24	394:14,20 395:3	hours 380:12	427:18,24
399:8 402:15	395:11,18,24	huge 431:8 433:19	included 329:23
403:8,9 405:10	396:8	hui 305:21	includes 324:20
407:14 410:12	grow 320:16	hundreds 380:12	328:14
429:21,24 430:15	growing 340:12	hydrolysis 325:21	incomplete 402:8
431:20,22 432:9	344:12	326:17,20 350:17	408:11 428:16
433:17 434:8	guess 386:11	i	429:18 430:21
441:18	412:7 423:10	i.d. 445:9,16	434:13 435:9
good 340:4 341:11	h	idea 344:11	437:11 438:12,15
342:2,9,23 343:15	h 306:8 385:4	346:12 418:21	445:18
343:16 374:18	half 431:15	identification	inconsistent
384:6	halfway 398:20,22	348:19 359:11	428:10,13
gotshal 305:8	hand 311:18	402:9 403:12	incorporated
grant 364:6,7	374:14 402:5	407:19	340:11,22 341:15
368:5	403:8 439:3	identified 372:9	344:12 370:11
great 366:12	444:21	374:21	376:24 378:16
greater 433:3	handing 385:16	identify 309:25	379:21 393:10,16
gross 437:2	407:16	310:14 312:9,20	436:20
group 333:20,25	happened 373:17	313:6,11 329:16	incorporating
336:3 344:11	420:2	330:5,9 331:19	369:11 374:20
349:21 350:14,23	happening 370:6	332:10 379:18	incorporation
351:17 352:15	happy 381:21	380:3,10,15	325:10,24 369:23
354:14 365:12	heard 323:20	400:14	370:24 371:21
366:18 375:19	358:7	illumina 303:5	377:8,10 378:5,22
376:23 377:9,11	hearing 408:16	305:20 400:25	382:20 383:14
379:19 383:15 396:16,22 397:5	heart's 310:19	401:3,6,9 445:9	409:3 438:21 439:12 440:2
410:25	held 304:6	illumina's 386:2	inefficient 367:22
groups 319:14,18	help 388:9	immediately 350:6	368:4 369:10,15
319:20,22 321:7	helped 373:6,21	393:6 429:4	370:24 371:21
321:14,17,19,22	hereunto 444:21	impeded 427:20	380:20
322:5,7,16 323:3	high 409:2 431:13	imperative 446:16	inefficiently
324:16,25 325:4,7	history 379:21	important 317:12	436:20
325:20 326:3,25	394:4,9 395:14	351:15	130.20
323.20 320.3,23	396:11 397:6,16		

[influenced - letters] Page 10

influenced 416:3	i	392:6 394:13,19	370:18 372:2,4
influences 416:15	J	395:2,10,17,23	373:15 374:9
instance 422:22	january 303:20	396:7,14,20 397:3	375:23 376:3
institute 305:22	304:2 306:4	445:10	377:4,15,22 381:6
instructions 446:2	444:22	judge 377:19	381:11 383:4,6,7
intact 351:19	jeffrey 305:20	judgment 315:18	383:12 397:14
intense 432:10	jmurnane 305:15	july 385:19	404:24 412:14
interested 444:18	job 343:2	k	413:3 414:19
interfered 427:16	john 305:15,19		415:16 419:9
invalidated 309:14	journal 336:18	kamal 333:2,11,16	429:22 435:11,16
invention 335:12	ju 309:13,13 310:5	334:11,25 335:11	436:23 439:19
335:17 337:13	311:4 315:8,21	336:4 337:12,23	knowledge 441:3
348:25 391:14	316:3 329:6,22	338:11,18 339:13	known 360:13
392:6,23 393:14	330:13,23,25	401:9	363:13 375:19
inventions 329:6	331:9,11,23 332:4	kamal's 336:17,25	1
329:22 330:25	333:10,23 334:8	karyn 305:21	1 202 22 204 10
331:11 332:4	334:10,19,20,25	keep 381:19	1 303:23 304:10
339:5	335:18 337:3,9	kept 374:10	305:16,20 444:6
inventive 312:23	338:9,21,22 339:2	kestler 305:20	444:25
involve 354:8	339:3,4,12 340:16	key 371:18 372:18	lane 428:5
involved 323:18	341:3,22,25 344:4	372:21	lanes 438:24
352:4	344:9,18 348:21	kind 346:14	439:15 440:9,11
ipr2018-00291	358:12 359:13	405:18 420:11	440:14
303:12	361:7,9,25 362:11	423:2 430:16	lead 315:21
ipr2018-00318	362:17,17,21	knew 318:25	leading 387:6
303:12	363:19,22 364:18	320:15,24	390:16 391:6
ipr2018-00322	365:2,6,12 366:17	knobbe 305:4	392:4,8
303:13	366:25 367:8,9,11	knobbe.com 305:6	learn 336:2,19,24
ipr2018-00385	370:9 371:17	know 309:23	338:19
303:13	372:18 373:6,13	319:19,21,23	learned 365:3
ipr2018-00797	374:22 375:18	320:17,19 321:3	leave 402:14
303:14	377:12 378:6,10	321:23 322:15	leaving 351:19
isomerization	380:17 383:11	330:11 342:16	led 441:6
350:15	391:11,12 418:11	343:21 344:7	left 365:16 366:16
issue 310:5 317:17	ju's 308:17 329:3	345:9,23,24	367:16 374:14
357:15 394:10	329:3,19 332:2	346:25 347:3,7,9	legal 313:3 316:7
441:9	333:15 335:11,17	347:15,18,23	329:9 331:5
issues 392:13	337:5,13 342:18	351:8,24 352:7,10	335:15 410:19
	348:15,17 350:25	352:16,25 358:9	441:23
	352:9,15 360:4	362:9,15,19 363:4	letter 386:20
	363:15 376:9,12	363:12,19 364:4	letters 333:5
	376:21 383:13	364:25 370:9,16	336:18

[level - menchen] Page 11

	1		
level 360:14 361:3	location 341:3	machine 402:14	368:24 392:5
376:4	long 381:12 427:3	manges 305:8	397:24 434:5
ligand 352:2	look 309:17,21	marcus 305:20	meant 397:13
light 436:13	310:18 311:9,16	mark 407:16	438:4
lighter 405:20	311:20 315:5,16	marked 308:13	media 306:5 348:6
434:4	334:10 335:5	317:15 332:20	348:12 360:22
lightness 435:17	339:21 340:20,23	348:15,18 359:8	385:9 441:21
limb 343:4	349:6 351:11	359:10 364:6	meet 323:23
limitations 395:19	352:24 359:13	402:8 403:10,12	395:19,24 396:8
395:25 396:9	360:6 371:8 384:9	403:22 407:18	400:15
limited 418:4	388:24 398:24	marking 402:4	memory 412:22
limiting 427:10	401:17 404:21	407:14,20	413:12,19,21,24
line 312:6 319:9	405:3,18,21,23,25	marriage 444:16	414:7,22 415:10
319:13 320:8	415:25 416:13	martens 305:4	415:14 416:9
321:19 322:8	419:16 429:12	material 431:9	menchen 303:18
324:8,16,17	430:10,14,15,17	433:19 434:6	304:6 306:1,7
340:25 341:23,25	430:23 431:7	materials 393:21	307:1 308:1 309:1
364:22 447:8	433:11,18 434:8	429:23	310:1 311:1 312:1
lines 339:24	435:14,20 436:5,7	matter 307:20	313:1 314:1 315:1
366:16 375:12	437:13 438:16,19	310:3 311:25	316:1 317:1 318:1
391:10 398:3	looked 308:11,22	324:13 356:2	319:1 320:1 321:1
439:6,7,21	359:17 370:8,20	358:8 360:3	322:1 323:1 324:1
linker 332:6,7	413:3 425:15	371:17 380:13	325:1 326:1 327:1
361:24 362:2,7	looking 315:3,4	427:7 444:12,17	328:1 329:1 330:1
399:11,21 400:5	331:16 378:11,12	444:19	331:1 332:1 333:1
linkers 362:11,16	415:16,18,19,21	mean 315:16	334:1 335:1 336:1
362:21 363:5,9	431:6	317:3 320:7	337:1 338:1 339:1
list 306:25 307:4,8	looks 371:13 372:3	321:16 334:18	340:1 341:1 342:1
307:13,15 319:15	434:2 436:11	355:22 358:19	343:1 344:1 345:1
393:21 398:25	437:19,23	368:9 369:5	346:1 347:1 348:1
listing 394:3	lot 381:12,15	378:19 382:24	349:1 350:1 351:1
lists 386:20	415:24	383:6 397:19	352:1 353:1 354:1
literature 355:13	low 429:22	416:7 419:21	355:1 356:1 357:1
355:14 356:15,22	lower 366:6,7,8	434:20	358:1 359:1 360:1
little 331:16	luman 305:6	meaning 366:8	361:1 362:1 363:1
342:12 431:21	lunch 384:5,6	meaningful 310:2	364:1 365:1 366:1
434:2,4	luncheon 384:14	310:15,25	367:1 368:1 369:1
llp 305:8	m	meaningfully	370:1 371:1 372:1
loaded 434:6	m 303:18 304:6	312:11 435:14	373:1 374:1 375:1
locate 427:17	306:8,8 385:4,4	means 342:19	376:1 377:1 378:1
	447:20	343:22 344:5,7	379:1 380:1 381:1
	777.20		

[menchen - object] Page 12

	I	T	T
382:1 383:1 384:1	methoxy 341:19	modify 345:16	433:10 435:20
385:1,12 386:1	342:22 344:17	modifying 347:11	needs 435:7
387:1 388:1 389:1	methyl 340:3	347:16	never 362:22
390:1 391:1 392:1	429:3,7 438:21	moiety 349:19	364:12 374:3
393:1 394:1 395:1	439:13 440:3,7	molecules 410:25	new 303:9,19,19
396:1 397:1 398:1	metzker 340:13,16	411:2,6	304:8,9,12 305:13
399:1 400:1 401:1	340:20,24 341:3	mom 391:13	305:13 402:4
402:1,22 403:1	344:10,19 347:2	396:16,21 397:4	408:6 443:2,4
404:1,5 405:1	370:23 371:3,7,19	moment 388:8,17	444:2,4,8
406:1 407:1,4	371:20 372:14,25	399:15	nine 313:23
408:1 409:1 410:1	373:7,11,14 374:3	monitor 348:11	non 333:21
411:1 412:1 413:1	374:19 375:2	382:10,16 384:12	north 375:15
414:1 415:1 416:1	376:14 403:5,22	385:8 406:21	notary 304:11
417:1 418:1 419:1	404:4,7 423:24	407:3	306:10 443:24
420:1 421:1 422:1	424:10,13,14,15	months 427:8	444:7 447:25
423:1 424:1 425:1	424:21 425:2,6,24	mop 405:9,11	note 366:23
426:1 427:1 428:1	426:9,19 427:12	439:9 440:2	noted 385:3 442:4
429:1 430:1 431:1	427:17 429:6	move 402:15	446:13
432:1 433:1 434:1	439:11 440:6	moves 369:13,15	notes 358:12,12,14
435:1 436:1 437:1	441:11	murnane 305:15	358:16,18,21
438:1 439:1 440:1	metzker's 344:24	n	notice 304:9
441:1,20 442:1	micromolar	n 305:2 306:8,8,8	nucleobase 367:20
443:6,16 445:4	429:10,14,14,15	' '	nucleotide 349:23
443:6,16 445:4 447:20	429:10,14,14,15 429:16 430:5,24	372:12 373:13	nucleotide 349:23 369:12 405:13
, ·		372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4	
447:20	429:16 430:5,24	372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4 385:4,4 445:2	369:12 405:13
447:20 menchen's 317:10	429:16 430:5,24 431:6 435:22	372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4 385:4,4 445:2 name 364:19	369:12 405:13 406:5 438:23
447:20 menchen's 317:10 404:5	429:16 430:5,24 431:6 435:22 mid 345:8,21 346:14 347:5,12 360:15 361:4	372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4 385:4,4 445:2 name 364:19 narrows 411:7	369:12 405:13 406:5 438:23 439:14 440:5
447:20 menchen's 317:10 404:5 mentally 405:18	429:16 430:5,24 431:6 435:22 mid 345:8,21 346:14 347:5,12	372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4 385:4,4 445:2 name 364:19 narrows 411:7 nate.luman 305:6	369:12 405:13 406:5 438:23 439:14 440:5 nucleotides 368:18
447:20 menchen's 317:10 404:5 mentally 405:18 430:17	429:16 430:5,24 431:6 435:22 mid 345:8,21 346:14 347:5,12 360:15 361:4	372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4 385:4,4 445:2 name 364:19 narrows 411:7 nate.luman 305:6 nathaniel 305:6	369:12 405:13 406:5 438:23 439:14 440:5 nucleotides 368:18 number 359:22 386:19 441:21 numbering 366:2
447:20 menchen's 317:10 404:5 mentally 405:18 430:17 mention 419:23	429:16 430:5,24 431:6 435:22 mid 345:8,21 346:14 347:5,12 360:15 361:4 mind 331:21 407:15 421:23 minimized 325:25	372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4 385:4,4 445:2 name 364:19 narrows 411:7 nate.luman 305:6 nathaniel 305:6 natural 405:13	369:12 405:13 406:5 438:23 439:14 440:5 nucleotides 368:18 number 359:22 386:19 441:21
447:20 menchen's 317:10 404:5 mentally 405:18 430:17 mention 419:23 mentioned 330:3	429:16 430:5,24 431:6 435:22 mid 345:8,21 346:14 347:5,12 360:15 361:4 mind 331:21 407:15 421:23 minimized 325:25 minutes 327:17	372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4 385:4,4 445:2 name 364:19 narrows 411:7 nate.luman 305:6 nathaniel 305:6 natural 405:13 406:5 439:14	369:12 405:13 406:5 438:23 439:14 440:5 nucleotides 368:18 number 359:22 386:19 441:21 numbering 366:2
447:20 menchen's 317:10 404:5 mentally 405:18 430:17 mention 419:23 mentioned 330:3 419:19 message 406:3 metal 350:15	429:16 430:5,24 431:6 435:22 mid 345:8,21 346:14 347:5,12 360:15 361:4 mind 331:21 407:15 421:23 minimized 325:25	372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4 385:4,4 445:2 name 364:19 narrows 411:7 nate.luman 305:6 nathaniel 305:6 natural 405:13 406:5 439:14 440:4	369:12 405:13 406:5 438:23 439:14 440:5 nucleotides 368:18 number 359:22 386:19 441:21 numbering 366:2 numbers 365:21
447:20 menchen's 317:10 404:5 mentally 405:18 430:17 mention 419:23 mentioned 330:3 419:19 message 406:3 metal 350:15 method 336:25	429:16 430:5,24 431:6 435:22 mid 345:8,21 346:14 347:5,12 360:15 361:4 mind 331:21 407:15 421:23 minimized 325:25 minutes 327:17 328:9 381:20 mischaracterizes	372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4 385:4,4 445:2 name 364:19 narrows 411:7 nate.luman 305:6 nathaniel 305:6 natural 405:13 406:5 439:14 440:4 near 405:3	369:12 405:13 406:5 438:23 439:14 440:5 nucleotides 368:18 number 359:22 386:19 441:21 numbering 366:2 numbers 365:21 365:23 366:3
447:20 menchen's 317:10 404:5 mentally 405:18 430:17 mention 419:23 mentioned 330:3 419:19 message 406:3 metal 350:15 method 336:25 360:21 361:2	429:16 430:5,24 431:6 435:22 mid 345:8,21 346:14 347:5,12 360:15 361:4 mind 331:21 407:15 421:23 minimized 325:25 minutes 327:17 328:9 381:20 mischaracterizes 317:9 421:16	372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4 385:4,4 445:2 name 364:19 narrows 411:7 nate.luman 305:6 nathaniel 305:6 natural 405:13 406:5 439:14 440:4 near 405:3 neb 402:7 407:18	369:12 405:13 406:5 438:23 439:14 440:5 nucleotides 368:18 number 359:22 386:19 441:21 numbering 366:2 numbers 365:21 365:23 366:3 o o 340:3 341:19
447:20 menchen's 317:10 404:5 mentally 405:18 430:17 mention 419:23 mentioned 330:3 419:19 message 406:3 metal 350:15 method 336:25 360:21 361:2 387:13 398:14	429:16 430:5,24 431:6 435:22 mid 345:8,21 346:14 347:5,12 360:15 361:4 mind 331:21 407:15 421:23 minimized 325:25 minutes 327:17 328:9 381:20 mischaracterizes 317:9 421:16 423:6 432:2	372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4 385:4,4 445:2 name 364:19 narrows 411:7 nate.luman 305:6 nathaniel 305:6 natural 405:13 406:5 439:14 440:4 near 405:3 neb 402:7 407:18 408:4 445:17,22	369:12 405:13 406:5 438:23 439:14 440:5 nucleotides 368:18 number 359:22 386:19 441:21 numbering 366:2 numbers 365:21 365:23 366:3 o o 340:3 341:19 342:22 367:19
### 447:20 menchen's 317:10 404:5 mentally 405:18 430:17 mention 419:23 mentioned 330:3 419:19 message 406:3 metal 350:15 method 336:25 360:21 361:2 387:13 398:14 400:19 407:5	429:16 430:5,24 431:6 435:22 mid 345:8,21 346:14 347:5,12 360:15 361:4 mind 331:21 407:15 421:23 minimized 325:25 minutes 327:17 328:9 381:20 mischaracterizes 317:9 421:16 423:6 432:2 434:16	372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4 385:4,4 445:2 name 364:19 narrows 411:7 nate.luman 305:6 nathaniel 305:6 natural 405:13 406:5 439:14 440:4 near 405:3 neb 402:7 407:18 408:4 445:17,22 necessarily 319:2	369:12 405:13 406:5 438:23 439:14 440:5 nucleotides 368:18 number 359:22 386:19 441:21 numbering 366:2 numbers 365:21 365:23 366:3 o o 340:3 341:19 342:22 367:19 375:8 385:2,2,2
### 447:20 menchen's 317:10 404:5 mentally 405:18 430:17 mention 419:23 mentioned 330:3 419:19 message 406:3 metal 350:15 method 336:25 360:21 361:2 387:13 398:14 400:19 407:5 methods 350:13	429:16 430:5,24 431:6 435:22 mid 345:8,21 346:14 347:5,12 360:15 361:4 mind 331:21 407:15 421:23 minimized 325:25 minutes 327:17 328:9 381:20 mischaracterizes 317:9 421:16 423:6 432:2 434:16 misstates 398:10	372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4 385:4,4 445:2 name 364:19 narrows 411:7 nate.luman 305:6 nathaniel 305:6 natural 405:13 406:5 439:14 440:4 near 405:3 neb 402:7 407:18 408:4 445:17,22 necessarily 319:2 necessary 446:6	369:12 405:13 406:5 438:23 439:14 440:5 nucleotides 368:18 number 359:22 386:19 441:21 numbering 366:2 numbers 365:21 365:23 366:3 0 0 340:3 341:19 342:22 367:19 375:8 385:2,2,2 440:3
### 447:20 menchen's 317:10 404:5 mentally 405:18 430:17 mention 419:23 mentioned 330:3 419:19 message 406:3 metal 350:15 method 336:25 360:21 361:2 387:13 398:14 400:19 407:5 methods 350:13 350:24 351:9	429:16 430:5,24 431:6 435:22 mid 345:8,21 346:14 347:5,12 360:15 361:4 mind 331:21 407:15 421:23 minimized 325:25 minutes 327:17 328:9 381:20 mischaracterizes 317:9 421:16 423:6 432:2 434:16 misstates 398:10 modified 367:19	372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4 385:4,4 445:2 name 364:19 narrows 411:7 nate.luman 305:6 nathaniel 305:6 natural 405:13 406:5 439:14 440:4 near 405:3 neb 402:7 407:18 408:4 445:17,22 necessarily 319:2 necessary 446:6 need 312:15,18	369:12 405:13 406:5 438:23 439:14 440:5 nucleotides 368:18 number 359:22 386:19 441:21 numbering 366:2 numbers 365:21 365:23 366:3 0 0 340:3 341:19 342:22 367:19 375:8 385:2,2,2 440:3 oath 306:16
### 447:20 menchen's 317:10 404:5 mentally 405:18 430:17 mention 419:23 mentioned 330:3 419:19 message 406:3 metal 350:15 method 336:25 360:21 361:2 387:13 398:14 400:19 407:5 methods 350:13	429:16 430:5,24 431:6 435:22 mid 345:8,21 346:14 347:5,12 360:15 361:4 mind 331:21 407:15 421:23 minimized 325:25 minutes 327:17 328:9 381:20 mischaracterizes 317:9 421:16 423:6 432:2 434:16 misstates 398:10 modified 367:19 367:20 368:18	372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4 385:4,4 445:2 name 364:19 narrows 411:7 nate.luman 305:6 nathaniel 305:6 natural 405:13 406:5 439:14 440:4 near 405:3 neb 402:7 407:18 408:4 445:17,22 necessarily 319:2 necessary 446:6 need 312:15,18 313:14,16,25	369:12 405:13 406:5 438:23 439:14 440:5 nucleotides 368:18 number 359:22 386:19 441:21 numbering 366:2 numbers 365:21 365:23 366:3 0 0 340:3 341:19 342:22 367:19 375:8 385:2,2,2 440:3 oath 306:16 416:24 420:25
### 447:20 menchen's 317:10 404:5 mentally 405:18 430:17 mention 419:23 mentioned 330:3 419:19 message 406:3 metal 350:15 method 336:25 360:21 361:2 387:13 398:14 400:19 407:5 methods 350:13 350:24 351:9	429:16 430:5,24 431:6 435:22 mid 345:8,21 346:14 347:5,12 360:15 361:4 mind 331:21 407:15 421:23 minimized 325:25 minutes 327:17 328:9 381:20 mischaracterizes 317:9 421:16 423:6 432:2 434:16 misstates 398:10 modified 367:19	372:12 373:13 375:16 385:2,2,2,4 385:4,4 445:2 name 364:19 narrows 411:7 nate.luman 305:6 nathaniel 305:6 natural 405:13 406:5 439:14 440:4 near 405:3 neb 402:7 407:18 408:4 445:17,22 necessarily 319:2 necessary 446:6 need 312:15,18	369:12 405:13 406:5 438:23 439:14 440:5 nucleotides 368:18 number 359:22 386:19 441:21 numbering 366:2 numbers 365:21 365:23 366:3 0 0 340:3 341:19 342:22 367:19 375:8 385:2,2,2 440:3 oath 306:16

[object - paper] Page 13

	I	T	T
408:16 410:13	obviously 315:6	421:6 423:21	422:11,24 423:14
objected 408:10	430:13 435:23,24	426:15 428:8	423:16
objection 310:7	436:8	436:12 438:8,16	original 446:17
311:7,8,22 312:13	occurs 325:21	440:17	outcome 444:19
312:14 313:2,3	326:17	once 433:16	outside 352:20
314:24 315:14	october 387:24	open 325:5 411:5	364:24
316:6,7,8 320:13	388:23 389:5	opinion 310:12	overcome 373:7
320:22 322:9,10	390:13,22 391:4	313:4 316:10,12	owner 303:10
325:15 326:10	391:25 411:22	322:4 323:2,10	305:12
327:7,22 328:22	415:7 420:9,22	330:11 331:18	p
329:8,9 331:4,5	421:12 422:13,25	335:21,23 336:22	
333:17 334:5,12	423:17	337:17,19 338:5	p 305:2,2 p.m. 385:3,8
335:3,4,8,13,14,15	offering 394:18,25	338:24 339:8,10	406 :21 407:3
336:5,6,9 337:6,7	395:9,22 396:6,19	339:20 342:15	441:18 442:4
337:14,15,25	397:2	344:21 345:11,19	page 324:6 332:22
338:2,12 339:16	office 303:2	347:6,20 361:18	349:15 358:15
341:5 346:5,17	offices 304:7	368:13 375:24	360:6 361:2
353:9,25 355:20	oh 307:12 333:6	376:7 394:18,25	364:16 365:8,21
356:3 357:2	349:21 350:7	395:9,22 396:6,19	
361:14,15 362:3,4	365:15 374:12	397:2,23 438:9	366:9,11,24 367:2
362:13,14,23,24	375:9 409:15	opinions 307:20	367:14,15,16 372:12 374:15
363:7,24 364:10	426:17 429:5	307:25 308:7	388:24 393:25
368:8,21,22	okay 307:4 308:15	316:18,25 343:6	398:21 399:9
369:16,17,25	309:20,24 311:11	386:9 387:4	
370:2 371:4,22,24	327:12 329:2	388:19 389:15,21	401:18 404:16 407:7,23 408:18
375:21 376:6,15	331:24 332:18,24	389:23 411:21	′
377:14,17,18	333:10 341:20	412:6 416:4	409:7,17,22 410:2
378:8,9,25 379:23	343:11 350:9	opportunity 384:8	410:9,19,24 411:4
380:22,23 383:16	351:4,13 355:15	order 349:8	411:5,6 441:24 443:13 445:3
387:5 390:15	356:13 357:11,14	378:21 419:12	
391:5 392:3,7	357:23 358:11,23	ordinary 322:16	447:8
398:9 410:22	359:2,19 366:12	323:4 324:22	pages 399:18,19
416:5,11 418:17	367:17 370:7,25	325:12 326:8,12	399:24 400:3
420:13 423:5	373:2 375:14,17	326:23 327:18	402:14,19 443:8
427:21 431:25	382:4 384:4,8,9	328:12 334:23	palladium 366:20
432:17	385:15 389:25	335:24 336:16	paper 344:25
objections 313:9	393:24 399:16	338:17 361:3	348:15,17,21
322:21 323:9	401:25 404:2	363:3 383:10	371:18 372:6
330:2 331:4,15	406:10,19 407:8	390:5 417:9,14,19	373:12 376:21
obvious 436:10	408:14,23 411:14	418:2 419:13,23	377:13,15 378:4
	414:15 419:11	420:6,20 421:11	423:25 424:16,22
		,	425:6,8,11 426:9

[paper - please] Page 14

	T	I	I
426:19 427:12,17	396:3,7,11,15,20	417:18 418:2,15	385:1 386:1 387:1
441:11 445:10	396:23 397:3,7,11	418:24 419:13,23	388:1 389:1 390:1
papers 349:4,11	397:18 399:6	420:6,20 421:10	391:1 392:1 393:1
paragraph 328:5	400:17	422:2,11,23	394:1 395:1 396:1
350:4,12 385:22	patentably 316:4	423:14,16	397:1 398:1 399:1
385:24 386:12,13	patents 308:17,22	personally 354:3	400:1 401:1 402:1
388:4,11 391:21	309:10,12,13	perspective	403:1 404:1 405:1
392:24 398:17	310:5 315:22	380:19 417:13	406:1 407:1 408:1
399:13,23 401:20	316:3 317:17	pertains 393:14	409:1 410:1 411:1
401:22,23 407:11	329:6,22 330:25	petition 316:25	412:1 413:1 414:1
424:5	331:12 332:4	386:2	415:1 416:1 417:1
paragraphs	363:23 370:14	petitioner 303:6	418:1 419:1 420:1
391:10	393:17 394:10	305:4	421:1 422:1 423:1
parenthesis 393:3	395:2,23 397:16	ph.d. 303:18 304:6	424:1 425:1 426:1
parkway 305:9	pct 329:12	305:6,14 306:1	427:1 428:1 429:1
part 360:3 362:7	peak 432:9 436:6	307:1 308:1 309:1	430:1 431:1 432:1
372:13 373:20	peaks 432:8	310:1 311:1 312:1	433:1 434:1 435:1
379:7,11 400:18	peek 437:14	313:1 314:1 315:1	436:1 437:1 438:1
412:5	people 436:7	316:1 317:1 318:1	439:1 440:1 441:1
particular 418:4	peptide 319:25	319:1 320:1 321:1	442:1 443:6,16
parties 444:17	peptides 320:17	322:1 323:1 324:1	445:4 447:20
patent 303:2,3,10	percent 431:15,16	325:1 326:1 327:1	phosphene 352:2
305:12 308:14,18	436:25	328:1 329:1 330:1	phosphoramidite
308:20 309:6	percentages	331:1 332:1 333:1	354:9,20
310:4 311:3,4,12	429:23	334:1 335:1 336:1	photocleavable
311:18 312:3,10	performed 344:25	337:1 338:1 339:1	349:22
312:12,22,25	363:20	340:1 341:1 342:1	photolithography
313:20 314:13,21	period 321:8,15	343:1 344:1 345:1	320:16
315:8,12,20 316:5	348:22 363:4	346:1 347:1 348:1	physically 435:25
318:2,14,17,22,24	417:21 418:4	349:1 350:1 351:1	picture 383:2
329:7,11,24	419:4 420:3	352:1 353:1 354:1	places 400:8
334:10 335:2	person 322:16	355:1 356:1 357:1	please 306:22
338:21,23 339:3	323:4 324:22	358:1 359:1 360:1	309:18,25 312:4
339:22 341:2,24	325:12 326:7,12	361:1 362:1 363:1	319:6 324:7
343:24 344:4,8,20	326:23 327:18	364:1 365:1 366:1	332:23 349:7,15
352:10 361:7,13	328:12 334:23	367:1 368:1 369:1	371:10 381:13
361:19 362:8,18	335:24 336:15	370:1 371:1 372:1	385:21 386:12
362:21 378:6,10	337:21 338:17	373:1 374:1 375:1	387:12 388:3
383:12 391:11	363:2 376:2	376:1 377:1 378:1	392:15,15,19
392:16 394:5,14	383:10 390:5	379:1 380:1 381:1	393:20,25 398:24
394:19 395:10,18	391:16 417:8,13	382:1 383:1 384:1	399:14 401:17

[please - quoted] Page 15

100 < 107 < 22	411.01.410.10	200 4 40 5 2 4	4 2040
402:6 407:6,23	411:21 413:18	389:4 406:24	pursuant 304:9
408:18,21 409:11	prepare 318:5	440:20 444:11,14	put 306:22 312:3
409:18,18 446:4	412:5	process 381:22	368:11 389:25
446:10	prepared 332:13	produce 350:18	402:23 413:9
plural 440:12,13	332:16	product 434:12	426:2,3,24 427:3
plus 313:3	preparing 316:24	professional	\mathbf{q}
point 365:14	317:12 416:21	304:11	quake 364:18
369:12 372:10	425:9,12,22	project 318:24	qualities 327:2
373:2 404:11	presence 405:15	323:19 352:5	question 310:22
423:11,12 425:22	406:4,8 429:16	promoting 325:2	310:24 312:8,17
polymerase	435:16 437:8	propenyl 333:20	322:24,25 326:23
315:11 340:12	present 305:18	334:21	329:18 330:16,17
344:13 369:11	325:23 389:8,11	proposal 364:6,8	330:21 331:8,21
372:13 373:5,14	previously 306:10	prosecution 394:4	332:14,17 336:13
374:23,25 375:16	309:14 323:16	394:9 395:13	344:2 347:13
375:17 376:10	primary 375:6	396:11 397:6,16	355:16,16 360:16
383:12	primer 374:14	399:5	360:19,25 363:14
polymerases 340:5	375:7	protected 367:22	369:18 374:17,18
342:10,24 345:6	prior 312:23	380:20	379:16 387:8
345:16,16 346:23	335:18 378:13	protecting 324:16	391:9 395:7
347:11 367:23	386:9 387:3	324:25 325:4,6	400:13 402:16,18
368:17 380:21	388:18 389:14,22	326:3,25 327:13	403:19 404:3,6
381:4 383:19	390:8,13,22 391:3	328:4,11 345:3	409:5 418:9,23
portion 407:24	391:25 392:5	346:12,20,22	419:8,16 425:21
408:19	400:20,23,24	366:18 368:3	425:21 434:19
posa 390:6,7,11,12	401:3,4,13 410:5	proved 348:23	questioning 312:6
390:20,22 391:3	419:6 423:17	proves 349:5	364:23 381:25
391:10,23,24	prob 371:19	providing 408:12	418:5 422:9
397:10 441:10	probably 357:13	provingly 340:18	questions 317:24
pose 374:16	prober 319:10	public 304:12	318:2,6 385:13
position 320:21	problem 370:21	306:11 443:24	390:19,25 398:6
positions 392:13	370:22,23 371:3,7	444:7 447:25	401:21 407:12
possible 333:20	371:20 373:8,12	publications 393:2	411:15 417:8,12
practice 319:3	373:17,21 374:20	393:9,16,19	423:24 440:19,24
practiced 320:15	376:14 412:20	published 356:6	quian 401:10
preceding 393:6	proceeding 310:6	421:5	quite 331:6
preferably 411:9	311:6 399:6	pull 311:11 333:2	quoted 407:24
premature 325:23	proceedings	purpose 333:15	408:19
preparation	306:19 317:18	335:7,9 337:5	+00.19
308:23 309:3	348:8 355:5	purposes 318:24	
315:9 386:14	382:13 385:19		

[r - relative] Page 16

r	reads 315:13	384:13 385:7	333:11 340:9
_	reagent 325:23	402:21 406:12,22	343:14 349:17
r 305:2,6,10 385:2	358:10	407:2 441:18	refines 411:7
447:1,1	real 305:5 435:3	442:2 444:13	reframe 331:20
radioactive 429:20	really 323:21	redirect 384:3	refresh 386:6
radioactivity	334:7 337:8,20	385:14	389:19
429:24	338:23 343:21	redwood 305:9,9	refusing 343:20
radiogram 433:10	368:12,13 374:18	refer 400:9 418:9	regard 314:2,9
ran 426:10	377:19 378:3	reference 319:10	318:3,7 363:10
range 433:9,14	382:25 391:19,20	323:13,17,21	regarding 401:8
rapidly 351:17	406:6 418:3 419:2	324:7,12,20,21	403:18 410:8
ratio 433:11	429:22 432:10,10	338:19 339:3	422:23
ratios 405:19,25	438:4 439:17	359:5,9,13,20,24	registered 304:10
430:18	reason 342:14	361:10 362:12,17	reines 305:10
reaction 375:13	400:14 410:15	366:25 367:2,7	306:14,25 348:2
read 309:18	433:20 446:7	393:10 404:8	358:21 359:23
313:16 314:6,11 314:17 315:23	447:10,12,14,16	410:8 419:13	365:20,24 381:7
314:17 313:23	447:18	420:20 445:13	381:17,21 382:6
318:23 335:19	reasons 447:6	referenced 322:7	383:21 384:2,10
342:8,25 349:7,8	recall 314:18	393:2	387:5 388:5,7
350:9 355:12,12	327:16,20 349:2	references 358:20	390:15,18,24
358:3 359:13	373:8 409:8,9	358:22 360:2	391:5 392:3,7,10
371:12,12 372:3	411:23,24 412:8	361:10 393:4	398:2,9 401:19
371.12,12 372.3	415:4 416:16	394:22 396:3,23	402:10 403:14,23
374:8,12 377:15	417:15,22 424:23	401:14	404:4 406:16,19
378:4,10 386:15	424:24 426:6,10	referred 367:9	407:10 408:2,14
389:13 394:8	426:11,13 432:11	374:19 390:4,10	409:6,20,21
403:4 404:13,19	432:15,20	391:23 419:9	410:12,20 411:11
405:4,17 406:6	recalled 328:9	421:3,10,12	411:16 423:8
408:21 413:8	receipt 446:19	423:14	441:15 445:5
415:23 438:23	recess 348:7	referring 325:11	related 355:4
439:14 440:5	382:12 384:14	326:6 327:5 351:9	444:16
443:7 446:4	406:23	372:11 390:7,12	relating 363:20
reader 333:16,24	recognize 308:16	390:21 391:2,24	364:23 407:25
334:4,10 337:4	308:19 309:5,6	400:23,24 401:2,4	408:20 421:4
reading 314:22	317:16 323:12	401:14 404:8	440:19
324:23 326:25	recollection 386:7	420:9 422:12,25	relation 404:3
334:25 356:5	389:20	423:15 424:14,15	relative 312:23
372:5,6 383:11	record 306:3	441:10	316:4 345:2,17
391:10 397:10,17	348:5,10 372:16	refers 325:17	346:13
, , , , , ,	381:10 382:11,15	327:19 328:2,11	

[relevant - says] Page 17

	T	I	T
relevant 334:3	requires 329:9	reviewing 322:17	389:21 392:12
335:11 336:7	409:2	323:5 336:17	411:18
337:12 338:19	reread 330:13	338:18 357:25	routine 345:7,7,10
339:4 397:11	332:12 377:24	358:2 394:21	345:14,20,23
rely 420:18 423:3	research 305:22	412:11,13 414:8	346:15 347:4,8
remember 328:16	respect 314:20	right 306:17	rpr 303:23 444:6
354:25 364:14	327:6 330:13	310:19 311:19	444:25
389:6,7 398:8	341:20 346:2	315:2 323:19	rschwartz 305:14
401:18 402:2	358:4 420:5 422:7	329:2 343:7,18	rt 438:22 440:3
403:6,7 407:9	425:5 432:12	346:24 347:3	ruggieri 303:23
409:23,24 412:10	434:25 437:15	348:2 354:6,10	304:10 444:6,25
412:12,16,23	responding 316:18	359:3 360:10	ruperal 373:13
413:12 414:13,25	392:12	365:22 370:14,16	S
415:9 416:2	response 316:25	370:18 374:17	s 305:2,14 306:8
417:24 418:7,12	403:17 404:6	375:3,11 379:25	· ·
418:14,19,20	410:18 422:8	380:15 383:21	385:2,2,2,4 safely 427:12
419:25 422:5	results 349:13,18	387:25 401:24	
427:6,9,23 429:19	350:2 424:21	402:15 403:21	sample 405:7,8 san 305:5
433:8	resumed 385:5	406:14 408:15	san 503:3 sanger 408:25
remind 416:14	retained 441:22	409:16 412:22	satisfy 400:21
reminded 414:2	return 382:17	416:23 417:2,6,7	satisfy 400.21 saturated 433:15
remove 405:11,12	446:17	421:14 422:4	433:17 434:9
removed 366:20	reversible 320:20	423:4,23 424:16	saturation 434:12
repeat 314:14	321:6,13	425:14 428:11,23	saturation 454:12 saw 376:12
321:9 322:24	review 307:6,15	428:24 432:22	saw 3/6:12 saying 341:16
329:18 331:7,24	309:2,10 357:7	438:17,20 439:3	372:17,20,24
336:13 352:12	360:3 371:17	440:16 441:16	372:17,20,24 373:18,23 391:12
356:19 368:25	379:4,13 388:8	rightmost 439:20	398:3 413:24
395:6	393:15 397:15	robert 305:14	415:19 420:16
report 403:15	399:15 414:2	romesberg 305:22	431:24 432:3
424:4 427:4	reviewed 310:4	316:16,23 365:2	431:24 432:3 434:10 435:2,6
reported 303:23	357:8 385:25	367:7,12 380:18	436:3 437:22
340:2 342:21	386:8 387:2	389:3,9 412:4,11	436:3 437:22
444:10	388:17 395:4,12	412:24 413:13	
reporter 304:11	396:2,10,22 397:5	414:8 415:11	says 321:18
402:6	411:20 412:4,15	416:3,18	324:15 325:6,19 327:13 339:25
reports 403:12	412:17,24 413:2	romesberg's	
445:20	413:13,22 414:6	307:14 308:3	340:9,10 341:10 341:14,25 342:9
represent 410:24	414:14,17 415:3	364:19 365:4	· ·
requirements	415:11,15,17	386:3,8,21 387:2	343:16 351:14,21
400:16		388:13,18 389:14	360:20 365:11
		,	366:17 367:18

[says - single] Page 18

	100 10 100 1507	221 10 20 21	220 11 20 220 11
374:14 375:6,12	402:12 403:16,25	321:18,20,24	338:11,20 339:14
377:2 392:22,24	406:11,18 408:10	324:7,9,10,15,18	363:21 408:25
394:3 429:2	410:17 411:14	326:2,4 327:12,15	series 346:13
438:18,20 439:8	414:11,23 416:5	339:24 340:6,7,14	440:19
439:10,12,25	416:11 418:17	340:15 341:10,12	set 325:5 360:12
sbs 333:21 346:3	420:13 421:15	341:13 349:17,24	444:21
349:23 350:24	423:5 427:21	350:20,21 351:13	sets 366:3
351:14 352:18,21	431:25 432:17	351:20,23 359:3,6	seven 375:12
353:16 391:18	434:15 439:23	360:7,20,22,24	sheet 446:8,11,14
400:21	445:6	364:17,18,20	446:17
schwartz 305:14	science 379:22	366:21,22,24	shores 305:9,9
306:24 310:7	scope 310:8 311:8	367:5,24,25 370:7	short 381:19 399:2
311:7,22 312:5,13	311:23 312:7,14	373:13 375:6,8	403:11 406:13
313:2,8 314:24	314:25 315:15	386:4,5,17,18,22	445:19
315:14 316:6	316:8 322:10,18	386:23 387:19	show 308:12
317:8 320:13,22	323:6 329:9 331:4	388:11,14 391:17	317:14 333:19
322:9,20 323:8	333:18 335:4,14	394:2,6,7 398:22	338:9 348:14
325:15 326:10	336:6,10 337:7,15	398:23 399:25	359:7,19 364:5
327:7,22 328:22	338:2,13 361:15	400:2 404:18,22	376:25 391:20
329:8,25 331:3,14	362:3,5,14,24	404:22 405:4,18	424:2 429:24
333:17 334:5,12	363:8,25 364:11	428:3,7,25 429:5	431:14,17
335:3,8,13 336:5,9	364:24 368:8,22	429:15,21 430:7,9	showed 432:19
337:6,14,25	369:17 370:2	430:22,22,24	showing 412:2
338:12 339:16	371:5,25 375:22	431:2,4,7,18,20	shown 340:10
341:5 346:5,17	377:18 378:9	432:8 433:21,23	341:14 349:19
353:9,25 355:20	380:23 383:17	433:23 434:21,24	443:12
356:3 357:2	screen 344:25	435:22 436:21	shows 405:14
358:15,19,23	345:15 372:14	438:17,25 439:11	435:8 438:22
359:21 361:14	373:15	439:16,17 440:6	439:13 440:3
362:4,13,23 363:7	screened 374:3	440:10	side 311:10,10
363:24 364:10,21	screening 346:12	seeing 364:14	sign 446:10
365:18,22 366:7	346:15	seen 364:12	signature 388:24
368:7,21 369:16	screenings 345:6	sentence 327:14	444:24
369:25 371:4,22	345:14	340:6,7,8 350:5,10	significance
371:24 375:21	scripts 305:22	372:16 374:13	373:25
376:6,15 377:14	search 380:16	399:17	significant 374:5
377:17 378:8,25	second 428:4	separate 389:4	signing 446:12
379:23 380:22	section 349:14	september 389:5	similar 309:9
381:9,18 382:4,8	408:21	sequencing 324:4	single 320:8
383:16,24 384:4	see 319:9,11,12,15	325:9 334:2 336:8	418:22 419:3
385:11 388:6	319:16 321:5,12	336:21 337:23	420:19 421:10,24

[single - subject] Page 19

			T
422:10,22 423:13	386:20 388:5	360:13 367:12	382:1 383:1 384:1
sit 419:2	408:2 409:16	393:13 443:2	385:1 386:1 387:1
sitting 312:18	427:14	444:2,8 446:7	388:1 389:1 390:1
321:4,11 412:23	space 404:17	stated 417:17	391:1 392:1 393:1
414:22 415:9	446:8	statement 319:13	394:1 395:1 396:1
six 366:16 439:6	specific 325:22	377:5 398:3	397:1 398:1 399:1
size 435:21 436:6	360:16 370:5	420:12 422:8	400:1 401:1 402:1
436:7	413:15 414:13,25	433:7	403:1 404:1 405:1
skill 322:17 323:4	415:2 417:20	states 303:2	406:1 407:1 408:1
324:22 325:12	specifically 328:6	350:12 386:13	409:1 410:1 411:1
326:8,13,24	339:22 351:18	408:25	412:1 413:1 414:1
327:18 328:12	365:11 366:17	steps 316:22	415:1 416:1 417:1
334:23 335:25	371:23 416:18	325:10	418:1 419:1 420:1
336:16 337:21	424:4	steve 364:18	421:1 422:1 423:1
338:18 360:14	specification	steven 303:18	424:1 425:1 426:1
361:4 363:3 376:4	314:12,17,23	304:6 306:1,7	427:1 428:1 429:1
383:11 390:5	315:6,7,13,17,24	307:1 308:1 309:1	430:1 431:1 432:1
417:9,14,19 418:2	393:6	310:1 311:1 312:1	433:1 434:1 435:1
418:15,24 419:14	specifications	313:1 314:1 315:1	436:1 437:1 438:1
419:23 420:6,21	314:2,5,7,10 315:4	316:1 317:1 318:1	439:1 440:1 441:1
421:11 422:2,12	specifics 320:18	319:1 320:1 321:1	441:20 442:1
422:24 423:15,16	specs 313:20,21,22	322:1 323:1 324:1	443:6,16 445:4
skilled 376:2	sri 324:3	325:1 326:1 327:1	447:20
391:16	ss 443:3 444:3	328:1 329:1 330:1	strand 340:12
small 319:13	stable 325:7 327:3	331:1 332:1 333:1	344:12
321:7,14,16,19	stand 328:20,24	334:1 335:1 336:1	strike 402:17,18
322:6,15 323:3	standard 369:6	337:1 338:1 339:1	studied 311:5
393:22 394:15,20	382:25 383:2	340:1 341:1 342:1	313:22 315:9
395:3,11 397:12	standards 368:16	343:1 344:1 345:1	322:13 357:9
397:19,24	369:7,8,22 381:2	346:1 347:1 348:1	425:14
smaller 435:23,24	382:18,22	349:1 350:1 351:1	study 310:17
solution 360:12	start 332:25	352:1 353:1 354:1	312:15,18 313:12
372:5	346:11 348:12	355:1 356:1 357:1	313:15,25 314:8
solutions 441:23	362:18 373:3	358:1 359:1 360:1	383:18 405:8
solve 373:17,21	409:19	361:1 362:1 363:1	stuff 381:15
solved 370:20	started 369:14	364:1 365:1 366:1	415:22,24 432:5
371:3	starts 401:23	367:1 368:1 369:1	subject 310:3
solving 371:19	state 304:12	370:1 371:1 372:1	311:25 382:18
sorry 314:14	315:19 347:10,15	373:1 374:1 375:1	384:2 408:16
316:20 321:9	347:21 356:17,21	376:1 377:1 378:1	443:11 446:12
359:21 365:15	356:24 357:18	379:1 380:1 381:1	

submission 403:15	331:17	technique 346:15	438:3,12,15,22
412:19 413:19	swear 420:25	347:4	439:13 440:4
415:13	sworn 306:10	technological	terminator 378:14
submitted 307:16	443:20 447:22	311:2 400:14	378:23 379:19
307:17,21,25	synthesis 318:19	technologies	terminators
308:8 361:16	319:25 325:8	355:10,18 356:10	320:21 321:6,13
385:18 387:24	334:2 336:8,21	356:16,23 357:19	374:21 377:10
388:22 414:9,20	337:24 338:20	358:5	378:6 379:14
415:6	339:15 353:19,23	technology 318:13	terms 326:22
submitting 387:3	363:21	318:15,21 320:3	340:24 347:10,16
389:15,22	synthetic 319:24	320:11 322:2	355:24 368:15
subscribed 443:20	system 366:2	326:22 356:8	383:4 412:22
447:22	433:15 434:12	368:20 369:13,24	414:21 415:10,13
subsequent 350:17	435:10,12	382:23	test 403:5 404:14
substance 328:8	t	tell 315:10 341:16	404:18,23 405:15
substrate 343:15	t 306:8 385:2,4	366:14 383:8	405:22,24 406:9
343:17	447:1	403:21 419:8	426:21 428:9,12
substrates 340:4	table 360:7,8,9	435:25 436:4,12	428:17,19,21
341:11 342:2,10	take 316:22 348:3	436:25 437:9	429:17 430:8
342:23 367:23	371:11 381:7	telling 429:6	431:11,23 432:6
380:21	384:5 388:8	ten 411:10	432:14,24 433:2,4
successfully	399:14 406:3,12	tend 431:14	433:12,24 434:11
349:20 350:7	taken 384:14	teresa 305:21	435:4,7,18 436:15
sufficiently 378:20	talk 346:21	term 390:20	436:21 437:6,9
sulfonated 351:25	talked 419:6	397:12,19,23	testified 306:12
summarized 416:7	talking 318:18	417:18 418:15,23	323:15 328:10
supplement	320:5 346:7,9,19	420:8 422:2,11	348:20 373:4
410:14	352:20 387:7	424:12	385:5 422:21
support 399:10,19	417:20 418:25	termi 378:23	426:7
400:4 425:17,24	430:19	terminated 431:9	testifying 328:17
426:20	talks 411:3	433:22 435:21	432:11
supported 424:22	teaches 394:14,19	440:8	testimony 314:18
supposed 368:11	395:2,10,18,23	termination 405:2	327:21 328:20,21
sure 336:15	396:7,15,20 397:3	405:16,22,25	328:25 349:2
368:23 371:13	teaching 333:24	428:11,14,16,18	386:25 388:16
374:12 376:18,20	361:25	429:2,7,9,18 430:6	389:17,18 401:15
402:13,20,24	teachings 337:23	430:21 431:5,18	403:18,19 408:17
406:19 422:20	338:10	432:9 433:13	416:17 421:8,13
430:3	technical 403:11	434:14 435:9,19	421:17 423:2,7
surprised 321:5	445:20	436:17,22 437:7	426:12,14,16
321:12,24 322:3	113.20	437:11,14,17	428:8 432:2,16

[testimony - use] Page 21

434:16 440:21,22	363:4 368:5,10,19	transformations	typographically
441:8,19 443:8	369:24 371:11	319:24	366:10
tetrahedron 333:5	381:5 382:3,9,15	transition 350:14	u
336:18	382:22 384:6,11	trial 303:3	u.s. 394:5
text 438:19 439:4	385:3,7 390:12	triphenyl 352:2	ultimately 426:22
thank 382:4,8	391:3 406:20	true 420:4 421:20	unable 315:2
384:10 388:7	407:2 409:14	443:10 444:13	understand
441:16 442:2	417:18,20,25	trustees 303:8	306:15 322:18,23
thanks 333:10	418:4,22 419:3,4,5	truth 418:5	322:23 323:5
thermopol 375:13	419:6 420:2,5,7,19	truthful 426:16	324:19,24 325:10
thing 406:17	421:10 422:10	440:22 441:7	325:13 326:9,13
things 310:11	423:13 426:10,24	try 313:10 409:14	327:4,9,11,19
313:17,18 322:12	427:3,25 430:7	trying 331:20	328:14 331:22
337:10 343:3	442:4	tsien 323:13,17,21	343:3,18,22 344:5
382:2	times 333:11	323:24 324:12,23	344:24 345:5
think 312:16	413:4 414:18	326:5 327:4 328:3	350:22 351:5,6
317:11 326:22	title 309:8	328:11 329:7,13	355:14 359:15
332:16 336:11	titles 309:9	329:23 330:6,14	360:8,9 367:6
338:3 339:4 343:2	titration 429:11	401:10 409:7,10	369:4 370:3 389:2
343:3 358:6 370:4	today 315:10	409:12,22 410:2	391:2,12 397:12
371:3 373:3	317:24 330:15	410:22	397:19 408:3
380:14 381:23	332:14,17 381:16	tsien's 410:8	423:10
383:22 387:20	390:4 410:5	turn 319:5 324:6	understanding
419:20 420:15	432:20 440:18,23	332:20 349:13	333:14 339:2
421:18,22 422:4	today's 441:19	358:11 364:16	390:21 399:3
433:6 436:23	top 360:20,23	365:8 366:23	400:7,10
438:6 439:23	405:3	385:21 386:12	understood
441:13	total 372:4 441:21	387:12 388:3	317:23,25 366:5
thinking 404:12	tppts 351:21,24	392:15,15,19	408:15
thirty 446:18	358:4	393:20,25 398:17	unit 306:5
thought 308:4	trace 431:10	399:13 407:6,23	united 303:2
357:12,16 376:13	437:20,21,24	408:18 409:18	units 375:15
thousand 370:15	438:5,6	424:2	441:21
three 367:21	trademark 303:2	turning 361:19	university 303:8
380:19 385:9	transcript 384:9	two 348:12 365:21	305:21,21
389:4 405:5 411:9	398:10 402:8	365:22 366:3	use 329:4,20
440:14 441:22	407:18 408:5	370:15 405:19,23	330:24 331:10
throw 433:19	420:18 443:11	411:8 421:25	344:22 354:18
time 307:19,24	444:13 445:18,22	430:18,23	373:14 376:9
321:8,15 331:25	446:20,22	types 345:6	382:3 383:12
336:14 348:10,22			396:15,21 397:4
	1	l	

[useful - zgarrett] Page 22

6.1. 224.21	100 5 100 01 00	410.5	414041515
useful 334:21	432:5 433:21,23	412:5	414:2 415:15
uses 344:14,16	436:18	worked 347:25	418:13 419:7,7
375:18	wanted 425:16,23	353:8,22 354:4	420:15 421:22
utility 334:9	way 308:5 336:22	365:2	423:21 424:8
v	345:12,19,24	working 320:20	425:15,19 429:5
v 306:8 385:4	347:6 359:12	321:6,13,25	430:3 432:21
various 319:23	375:25 379:17	368:18	434:23 437:24
392:25	404:25 405:12	works 350:25	year 336:17
venable 304:7	435:6 436:11	wrapper 395:5,13	337:24 393:3
305:12	444:18	wrong 308:4	419:15
venable.com	weeks 427:7	wrote 341:9	yep 367:4
305:14,15,16	weil 305:8	X	yesterday 390:4
ventr 340:11	weil.com 305:10	x 303:4,11 445:2	391:8 397:9 398:2
verify 334:14	wet 354:11,16		400:12 401:15,19
veritext 441:23	whatsoever	y	402:22 403:2
verse 435:8	310:15 312:21	y 305:21	404:9,13 407:9
version 407:17,22	339:18	yeah 307:3,9	409:20 440:18,24
408:7 445:21	whereof 444:20	308:19 309:15	york 303:9,19,19
video 306:6	white 305:19,23	310:22 315:25	304:9,9,12 305:13
348:11 382:10,16	widely 351:22	317:5 322:11,22	305:13 443:2,4
384:12 385:8	willing 420:24	325:17 328:24	444:2,4,8
406:21 407:3	wish 447:5	331:22 332:11,23	Z
videographer	witness 306:9	335:9 336:11	zachary 305:16
305:23 306:2	443:7 444:20	337:8,16 339:6,7	_
	445:3 446:2	339:24 343:10	zgarrett 305:16
348:4,9 382:9,14 384:11 385:6	witness's 421:16	345:22 346:8	
	423:6	349:16 350:4,9	
406:20,25 441:17	wonder 365:24	351:3 353:4 354:4	
videotape 303:17	word 328:2 343:23	354:15 355:22	
304:5	344:22	358:21,25 360:24	
view 410:23	words 310:20,23	361:22 363:12	
views 410:7,11,14	341:9 342:8,18,25	366:5,15 367:15	
410:22	344:14,16 419:11	368:9,23 369:20	
visually 436:9	work 324:13	370:3,19,21	
volume 306:5	336:25 337:5	371:10 372:2,8	
348:6,12 385:9	354:22 355:3	375:9,9 376:17	
vs 303:7	360:4 364:2 365:5	380:8 382:25	
W	367:8 371:2,16	383:8 386:11	
want 313:10	372:19 373:6	387:9,10,10	
381:10 382:17	376:11,12 379:7	398:16,19,23	
392:21 402:20	379:11 380:12	409:24 411:13,24	
	3.7.11.000.12	,	

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF SEPTEMBER 1,

2016. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.