

Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 116 (1997) 247-258



Chemoselective removal of allylic protecting groups using water-soluble Pd(OAc) 2/TPPTS catalyst

Sandrine Lemaire-Audoire ^a, Monique Savignac ^a, Guy Pourcelot ^a, Jean-Pierre Genêt ^{a,*}, Jean-Marie Bernard ^b

^a Laboratoire de Synthèse Organique associé au CNRS URA 1381, Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Paris. 11 rue Pierre et Marie Curie, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

^b Rhône-Poulenc Industrialisation, CRIT, 85 avenue des Frères Perret, 69192 Saint-Fons Cedex, France

Received 28 November 1995; revised 29 March 1996; accepted 1 April 1996

Abstract

The removal of allylic protecting groups promoted by the catalytic system $Pd(OAc)_2/TPPTS$ has been achieved on bifunctional substrates with complete chemoselectivity and high efficiency, in aqueous media. By lowering the amount of palladium catalyst, substituted allylic carboxylates remained unaffected while allylcarbamates, in the same molecule, were quantitatively removed. When using a biphasic $C_3H_7CN-H_2O$ solvent, the water-soluble catalyst could also differentiate allylcarbonates from dimethylallylcarbamates on diprotected amino alcohols. All these reactions were carried out under mild and neutral conditions which are compatible with a large range of molecules including β -lactams.

Keywords: Allylic protecting groups; Water-soluble palladium catalyst; Palladium-catalyzed deprotection; Chemoselectivity

1. Introduction

During the last twenty years, considerable advancements have been made in the field of catalysis since the development of new and efficient transition metal catalysts have become a considerable challenge for both organic chemists and industrial groups. Nevertheless, a considerable drawback of homogeneous metal catalysis lies on the separation of the reaction product from the active catalyst, which often requires costly procedures. A solution to this problem consists of anchoring the catalyst on an organic or inorganic polymer [1] insoluble in the reaction medium. Another elegant alternative consists of using water soluble ligands which once complexed to the metal make the catalyst poorly soluble in organic media. These systems combine the advantages of homogenous and heterogeneous catalysis: easy separation of the product from the catalyst, high reactivity and high selectivity. At present, sulfonated phos-T P P M S (=phines, e.g., triphenylphosphinomonosulfonate sodium salt) [2] an d T P P T S (=Triphemylphosphinotrisulfonate sodium salt) [3], constitute the most widely used class of water soluble ligands. They found various applications in the field of hydrogenation [4], hydroformylation [5], reduction of saturated and unsaturated

1381-1169/97/17.00 Copyright © 1997 Published by Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. *PII* \$1381-1169(96)00140-9

Illumina Ex. 1115 Illumina v. Columbia IPR2018-00291, -00318, -00322, -00385, and -00797

^{*} Corresponding author.





aldehydes [6] as well as isoprenylation [7] and coupling reactions [8]¹. In our continuing interest in the area of palladium promoted reactions [10], we recently developed a water soluble catalyst prepared from $Pd(OAc)_2$ and the water soluble ligand TPPTS, which was proved to generate in situ a zerovalent palladium intermediate active in catalysis under aqueous conditions [11].

It was first used for various cross-coupling reactions [12]. This system also proved to be very efficient for the smooth and selective removal of allyl and allyloxycarbonyl (Alloc) groups in the presence of diethylamine as allyl scavenger, in aqueous media [13] (Scheme 1). In particular, secondary amines were deprotected without any competitive *N*-allylation reaction using biphasic conditions [13].

Moreover, as most synthetic sequences often require orthogonal systems where each protecting group can be independently removed in any order, especially in the field of carbohydrate [14], oligonucleotide [15] and peptide [16] chemistry, it was of great interest to extend our water soluble system to chemoselective depro-

¹ For coupling reactions using ligandless catalytic systems see Ref. [9].

tections. We indeed developed the first efficient palladium promoted conditions for the selective removal of allylic protecting groups [17], and in this paper we wish to present our complete results in this area.

2. Results and discussion

In order to determine the different factors that could allow chemoselective cleavage of allylic moiety using the water soluble Pd/TP-PTS catalyst, we first compared the rate of deprotection of several phenylacetic acid allyl esters under homogeneous and biphasic conditions (Scheme 2).

In fact, the usually accepted mechanism for palladium promoted removal of allyl carbonates and carbamates involves oxidative addition of the palladium zerovalent species on the allyl group in the first step, leading to the formation of a π -allyl palladium complex which is trapped by a nucleophile to give the deprotected product and regeneration of the palladium(0) intermediate. We anticipated that substitution on the terminal position of the allyl group could disfavour the oxidative addition step by steric interactions between the substituents and the palladium (Scheme 3). We actually found that in



Scheme 2.



(CH₃CN/H₂O) medium the dimethylallyl group (substrate c) is cleaved within 85 min whereas removal of the cinnamyl group (substrate b) is completed within 20 min in the presence of 2 mol% of palladium(0). Under the same conditions, the allyl moiety (substrate a) is instantly removed. By comparison, in a biphasic system (C₃H₇CN/H₂O), the cinnamyl and the dimethylallyl groups remain intact in the presence of 5 mol% of Pd⁰ water soluble catalyst, even after 3 days at room temperature; whereas

Table 1 Chemoselective deprotection of diprotected amino acids in the presence of Pd(OAc)₂/TPPTS



the allylic ester is still cleaved to give phenylacetic acid in excellent yield.

Based on these results, we investigated the selective cleavage of an allylcarbamate in the presence of a substituted allyl carboxylate in the same molecule. The doubly protected amino acids are easily prepared in two steps. The amine is first converted to the corresponding allyl carbamate using allyl chloroformate; then, treatment with cinnamyl bromide or 1-bromo-3-methyl but-2-ene in the presence of DBU leads to the substituted allyl carboxylate with high to quantitative yield. As shown in Table 1, the allyloxycarbamate of isonipecotic acid 1 was selectively and quantitatively cleaved under homogeneous conditions, in the presence of 1%

Table 2	
Chemoselective deprotection of diprotected amino alcohols in the presence of Pd(OAc) ₂ /TPPTS	



251

of Pd⁰, without affecting the dimethylallyl carboxylate (entry 1). The resulting monodeprotected product 7 was then treated with a higher amount of catalyst (5 mol%) to give the free amino acid. The same stepwise selective deprotection was achieved on a base sensitive cephalosporin 2 (entry 2); with 2.5% of water soluble catalyst the Alloc moiety was selectively removed to give the dimethylallyl carboxylate 8 within 30 min, and then the carboxylic acid was quantitatively recovered using 5% of $Pd^{0, 2}$. This methodology was then applied with success to α -amino acids. Under treatment with 0.5% of Pd(OAc)₂/TPPTS in homogeneous medium, the N-allyloxycarbonyl-O-dimethylallyl derivatives underwent selective deprotection of the amine with high to quantitative yield (entries 3, 4 and 5). On the other hand, in the presence of a cinnamyl ester partial deprotection of the acid occurred concomitantly with cleavage of the allyl carbamate in the above conditions. It was thus necessary to perform the reaction under biphasic conditions to obtain cinnamyl prolinate 12 with complete selectively (entry 6).

It is noteworthy that once the reaction is completed, simple treatment of the reaction mixture with water, followed by extraction and evaporation leads to crude products of high purity that can be engaged in further transformations without any purification, in particular in peptide coupling reactions.

In the same way, selective cleavage of allyloxycarbonates in the presence of dimethylallylcarbamates was then performed with high efficiency (Table 2). A first attempt to selectively remove the allyloxycarbonate from (1R, 2S)-(-)-ephedrine doubly protected **13**, under homogeneous conditions, using 1% of Pd⁰, led to total deprotection of the alcohol together with partial removal of the dimethylallyl carbamate. As the use of a biphasic medium would lower the rate of deprotection, the reaction was thus conducted in a butyronitrile-water system with 5% of Pd⁰; under these conditions, the allyloxy-carbonyl group was smoothly removed from oxygen without affecting the dimethylallyloxy-carbonyl moiety. In a second step, the amine could be deprotected using a homogeneous medium, with acetonitrile as co-solvent, to recover the parent molecule within 15 min, with 100% yield (entry 2). Another example on 1-(2-O-Allyloxycarbonylethyl)-N-dimethylallyoxy-carbonyl piperazine **14** showed the same highly selective deprotection sequence (entry 3).

In addition, when the reaction is carried out in a biphasic system, the active catalyst can be recycled with high efficiency according to our previously reported procedure [17].

3. Conclusion

In summary, we have developed a smooth and efficient methodology for the selective cleavage of allylic protecting groups using Pd(OAc)₂/TPPTS catalyst in aqueous medium. On the one hand, by lowering the quantity of catalyst, dimethylallyl carboxylates remain intact while allyl carbamates are cleaved in high to quantitative yields. On the other hand, by simply replacing the homogeneous medium by a biphasic system, it is possible to discriminate allyl carbonates from dimethylallyl carbamates in the same molecule. The ease of the experimental procedure as well as the high purity of the crude deprotected substrates make this palladium promoted technology very attractive for fine synthetic applications. Moreover, the mild and neutral conditions are compatible with base sensitive molecules such as B-lactams. The assembly of peptide chains using the chemoselective deprotection of α -amino acids are currently under investigation in our laboratory.

 $^{^2}$ Test experiment: when carried out in anhydrous medium using Pd(dba)₂ /DPPB catalyst and diethylamine as nucleophile, the reaction led to incomplete deprotection of the amine together with partial cleavage of the ester.

4. Experimental

4.1. General methods

Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 983G spectrophotometer. ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC 200 instrument at 200 MHz, in CDCl₃ as solvent; chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm units, by reference to Me_4Si , and coupling constants (J) are reported in Hertz and refer to apparent peak multiplicities. Abbrevations used are as follow: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet,m = multiplet, br = broad. 13 C-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC 200 instrument at 50 MHz, in CDCl₃ as solvent. Mass spectra were performed on a Hewlett-Packard Instrument. Elementary analysis were made at the Regional Service of Microanalysis (University P. et M. Curie, Paris). Thin-layer chromatography was carried out on silica gel plates (Merck F_{254}) and spots were detected by UV and Kagi-Mösher or KMnO₄ revelators. Tetrahydrofuran diethyl ether were distilled on and sodium/benzophenone. The other commercial solvents were used without any further purification.

Unless stated otherwise, all reactions were run under an atmosphere of argon. For reactions involving the $Pd(OAc)_2/TPPTS$ catalytic system, solvents (acetonitrile, butyronitrile, water) and diethylamine were degased for 15 min under an argon atmosphere.

4.2. procedure for protection of the amine on amino acids and amino alcohols (A)

The substrate (10 mmol) is dissolved in acetonitrile or THF (10 ml) and the mixture is cooled at 0°C with an ice-bath. Allyl or dimethylallyl chloroformate (0.5 eq.; 5 mmol) is added dropwise on the stirred solution over 5 min. After 15 min, the reaction mixture is allowed to react at room temperature until complete consumption of the reactants. After filtration on celite and evaporation of the solvent, the residual oil is treated with water and extracted with AcOEt. The organic layer is dried over $MgSO_4$ and concentrated in vacuo to afford pale yellow oils that generally do not necessitate further purification.

4.3. Typical procedure for the protection of the amine on α -amino acids (B)

The α -amino acid (10 mmol) is dissolved in a vigorously stirred aqueous solution of 4 M NaOH (10 to 15 ml) and the solution is cooled at 0°C with an ice-bath. Allyl chloroformate (1.2 eq.; 12 mmol) is added dropwise over 5 min. After 15 min, the reaction mixture is allowed to react at room temperature. After completion, the mixture is acidified to pH 1 with concentrated HCl and extracted with AcOEt. The organic layer is dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to afford pure pale yellow oils.

4.4. Typical procedure for the protection of the carboxylic acid on N-protected amino acids (C)

The carbamate is dissolved in acetonitrile (1 mmol in 1 ml) and the solution is cooled at 0°C with an ice-bath. DBU (1.1 eq.) is introduced followed by dropwise addition of the cinnamyl bromide or 4-bromo-2-methyl but-2-ene (1 eq.). After 15 min, the reaction mixture is allowed to react at room temperature. After completion, acetonitrile is evaporated in vacuo. The residue is treated with water and extracted with AcOEt. The organic layer is dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to afford crude diprotected substrates that generally do not necessitate further purification.

4.5. Typical procedure for the protection of the hydroxy function on N-protected amino alcohol (D)

The carbamate is dissolved in THF or CH_2Cl_2 (1 mmol in 2 ml) and pyridine (1.5 eq.) is introduced. Allylchloroformate (1.2 eq.) is added dropwise and the reaction mixture is stirred at room temperature. After completion, the solvent is evaporated and the residual oil is treated with water.

After extraction with AcOEt, the organic layer is washed with water and then dried over $MgSO_4$ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude products are purified by flash chromatography on silica gel, if necessary.

4.6. Typical procedure for selective deprotection (E)

The doubly protected substrate (1 mmol), is dissolved in butyronitrile/water or acetonitrile/water (3 ml/0.5 ml), under an argon atmosphere. Diethylamine (2.5 eq.; 2.5 mmol) is added, followed by rapid introduction of $Pd(OAc)_2$ (0.5 to 1 mol%) and TPPTS (1 to 2 mol%). The reaction mixture is stirred at room temperature until complete consumption of the starting diprotected product (the reaction is monitored by TLC). After completion, the solution is concentrated in vacuo. The residue is treated with water and extracted with AcOEt. The organic layer is dried over $MgSO_4$ and concentrated in vacuo. The clean crude products can be directly engaged in the second deprotection step with 3 to 5% of $Pd(OAc)_2$ and 6 to 10% of TPPTS. The reaction mixture is treated as described above, and the crude product is purified by flash chromatography, if necessary.

4.7. (3-methyl but-2-enyl)-4-N-allyloxycarbonyl piperidine carboxylate 1 was prepared according to procedures (A) and (C)

Pale yellow oil (68% yield).

TLC: $R_f = 0.66$ (AcOEt/cyclohexane 1/1).

IR (film, ν cm⁻¹): 3081, 2929, 2858, 1726, 1695, 1643, 1468, 1443, 1378, 1308, 1275, 1219.

¹H-NMR: 5.93 (1H, m, HC=), 5.33 (1H, tm, ³J = 6.1 Hz, HC=), 5.29 (1H, dm, ³ $J_{trans} = 17.3$ Hz, H₂C=), 5.20 (1H, dm, ³ $J_{cis} = 10.4$ Hz, H₂C=), 4.58 (2H, d, ${}^{3}J = 6.1$ Hz and 2H, d, ${}^{3}J = 5.6$ Hz, CH₂C=), 4.07 (2H, br d, ${}^{3}J = 12.5$ Hz, CH₂), 2.91 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.47 (1H, tt, ${}^{3}J = 10.9$ Hz and ${}^{3}J = 4.0$ Hz, HC cycle), 1.90 (2H, dd, ${}^{3}J = 12.5$ and 3.3 Hz, CH₂), 1.76 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=), 1.73 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=), 1.67 (2H, m, CH₂).

¹³C-NMR: 174.28, 154.92, 139.15, 132.98, 118.32, 117.19, 65.87, 61.40, 43.09, 40.91, 27.80, 25.64, 17.92.

GC-MS (m/z): 281 $(M)^{+}$, 240 $(M - CH_2 CH = CH_2)^{+}$, 212 $(M - CH_2 CH = C(CH_3)_2)^{+}$, 172 $(M - CH_2 CH = CH_2 - CH_2 CH = C(CH_3)_2 + 1)^{+}$, 156 $(M - OCH_2 CH = CH_2 - CH_2 CH = C(CH_3)_2 + 1)^{+}$, 112 $(CH 2N (A 110 c) - 1)^{+}$, 69 $(CH_2 CH = C(CH_3)_2)^{+}$; 41 $(CH_2 CH = CH_2)^{+}$.

4.8. (3-methyl but-2-enyl)-7-N-allyloxycarbonylaminocephalosporinoate 2

Protection of the amine was carried out in dioxane/ $H_2O(1/1)$ in the presence of 2 eq. of NaHCO₃ and 1 eq. of allyl chloroformate. The resulting carbamate was converted to the diprotected substrate **2** according typical procedure (C).

Pale yellow solid (60% yield).

TLC: $R_f = 0.6$ (AcOEt/cyclohexane 1/1).

IR (, ν cm⁻¹): 3320, 2978, 2933, 1780, 1721, 1646, 1534, 1445, 1379, 1323, 1241.

¹H-NMR: 5.91 (1H, m, HC=), 5.64 (1H, dd, ³J = 4.6 Hz and ³J = 8.8 Hz, HC(NHAlloc)), 5.48 (1H, br d, ³J = 8.8 Hz, NH), 5.38 (1H, tm, ³J = 7.0 Hz, HC=), 5.32 (1H, dm, ³J_{trans} = 17.2 Hz, H2C=), 5.24 (1H, dm, ³J_{cis} = 10.3 Hz, H2C=), 4.96 (1H, d, ³J = 4.6 Hz, HC), 4.74 (2H, d, ³J = 7.0 Hz, CH2C=), 4.61 (2H, d, ³J = 5.6 Hz, CH2C=), 3.51 and 3.21 (2H, 2d, ²J_{gem} = 18.3 Hz, CH2), 2.17 (3H, s, CH3), 1.77 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=), 1.72 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=).

¹³C-NMR: 164.41, 162.11, 155.29, 139.78, 132.04, 130.86, 122.62, 118.20, 117.85, 66.38, 62.39, 60.86, 57.21, 29.97, 25.69, 19.91, 17.99. GC-MS (m/z): 366 $(M)^+$, 338 (M- $HC=CH_{2}-1) + ,325 (M-CH_{2}CH=CH_{2})^{+},298$ $(M-CH_{2}CH=C(CH_{3})_{2} + 1)^{+},281 (M-CO_{2}CH_{2}CH=CH_{2})^{+},226 (M-CO_{2}CH_{2}CH=CH_{2}-CH=C(CH_{3})_{2})^{+},112$ $(CO_{2}CH_{2}CH=CH_{2}-CH=C(CH_{3})_{2}-1)^{+},69$ $(CH_{2}CH=C(CH_{3})_{2})^{+},41 (CH_{2}CH=CH_{2})^{+}.$

4.9. (3-methyl but-2-enyl)-L-N-allyloxycarbonyl valinate 3 was prepared according to procedures (B) and (C)

Colourless oil (80% yield).

TLC: $R_f = 0.81$ (AcOEt/cyclohexane 1/1). IR (film, ν cm⁻¹): 3349, 2965, 2933, 1875, 1724, 1644, 1511, 1462, 1375, 1236.

¹H-NMR: 5.90 (1H, m, HC=), 5.33 (1H, bd, J = 9.1 Hz, NH), 5.29 (1H, dm, ³ $J_{trans} = 16.3$ Hz, H₂C=), 5.28 (1H, tm, ³J = 7.4 Hz, HC=), 5.20 (1H, dm, ³ $J_{cis} = 10.4$ Hz); 4.61 (2H, d, ³J = 7.4 Hz, CH₂C=), 5.55 (2H, d, ³J = 5.6Hz, CH₂C=), 4.26 (1H, dd, ³J = 4.6 and 9.1 Hz, HC(*i*Pr)); 2.12 (1H, m, HC(CH₃)₂), 1.74 (3H, s, (CH3)₂C=), 1.69 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=), 0.94 (3H, d, ³J = 6.9 Hz, CH₃ (*i*Pr)); 0.87 (3H, d, ³J = 6.9 Hz, CH₃ (*i*Pr)).

¹³C-NMR: 171.93, 155.97, 139.68, 132.60, 117.96, 117.60, 65.65, 31.90, 58.82, 31.25, 25.58, 18.81, 17.90, 17.25.

GC-MS (m/z): 269 $(M)^+$; 226 $(M-CH_{3})_{2})^+$; 202 $(M-CH_{2}CH=C(Me)_{2} + 2)^+$; 183 $(M-OCH_{2}CH=C(Me)_{2}-1)^+$; 156 $(M-CO_{2}CH_{2}CH=C(Me)_{2})^+$; 112 $(CO_{2}CH_{2}CH=C(Me)_{2}-1)^+$;69 $(CO_{2}CH_{2}CH=C(Me)_{2})^+$; 41 $(CH_{2}CH=CH_{2})^+$.

Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\rm D} 20 = -2$ (c = 0.79, CHCl₃).

4.10. (3-methyl but-2-enyl)-L-N-allyloxycarbonyl phenylalalinate 4 was prepared according to procedures (B) and (C)

Pale yellow oil (55% yield).

TLC: $R_f = 0.78$ (AcOEt/cyclohexane 1/1). IR (film, ν cm⁻¹): 3329, 3062, 3028, 2970,

2932, 1722, 1510, 1261.

¹H-NMR: 7.27 (3H, m, H_{arom}); 7.20 (2H, m,

H_{arom}); 5.90 (1H, m, HC=); 5.31 (1H, tm, ${}^{3}J = 7.3$ Hz, HC=); 5.29 (1H, dm, ${}^{3}J_{trans} = 16.0$ Hz, H₂C=); 5.20 (1H, dm, ${}^{3}J_{cis} = 10.8$ Hz, H₂C=), 4.66 (1H, m, HC(CH₂Ph)), 4.62 (2H, d, ${}^{3}J = 7.3$ Hz, CH₂C=), 4.57 (2H, d, ${}^{3}J = 5.3$ Hz); 3.11 (2H, 2d, ${}^{3}J = 5.8$ Hz), 1.79 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=), 1.71 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=).

¹³C-NMR: 171.35, 155.37, 139.82, 135.67, 132.55, 129.30, 128.41, 126.95, 117.88, 117.61, 65.64, 32.16, 54.63, 38.18, 25.63, 17.92.

GC-MS (m/z): 317 $(M)^+$, 275 $(M-CH_2CH=CH_2)^+$; 249 $(M-CH_2CH=C(Me)_2 + 1)^+$; 204 $(M-CO_2CH_2CH=C(Me)_2)^+$; 148 $(CONHCH(CH_2Ph))^+$, 119 $(HNCH(CH_2Ph))^+$; 69 $(CH_2CH=C(Me)_2)^+$; 41 $(CH_2CH=CH_2)^+$. Rotation: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 13$ $(c = 1.1; CHCl_3)$

Elemental analysis calcd. for $C_{18}H_{23}NO_4$: C, 68.14; H, 7.26; N, 4.42. Found: C, 68.09; H, 7.30; N, 4.42.

4.11. (3-methyl but-2-enyl)-L-N-allyloxycarbonyl prolinate 5 was prepared according to procedures (B) and (C)

Pale orange oil (86% yield).

IR (film, ν cm⁻¹): 3403, 3085, 2973, 2884, 1742, 1706, 1644, 1440, 1126.

¹H-NMR: 5.85 (1H, m, HC=), 5.28 (1H, tm, ³J = , HC=); 5.20 (1H, dm, ³ J_{trans} = 16.0 Hz, H₂C=), 5.11 (1H, dm, ³ J_{cis} = 8.0 Hz, H₂C=), 4.56 (4H, m, 2CH₂C=), 4.30 (1H, td, ³J = 8.0 Hz and ³J = 4.0 Hz, HC(CO₂R)), 3.62 to 3.38 (2H, m, CH₂ cycle); 3.19 (1H, m, CH₂ cycle); 1.92 (3H, m, CH₂ cycle), 1.71 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=), 1.66 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=).

¹³C-NMR: 172.62 and 172.41, 154.51 and 154.03, 139.22 and 139.02, 132.86 and 132.66, 118.15, 117.02 and 116.70, 65.70 and 65.58, 61.73, 59.08 and 58.78, 46.71 and 46.18, 30.79 and 29.75, 25.57, 24.12 and 23.33, 17.87.

GC-MS (m/z): 267 $(M)^+$; 237 $(M-2CH_3)^+$, 183 $(M-CO_2CH_2CH=CH_2+1)^+$; 154 $(M-CO_2CH_2CH=C(Me)_2)^+$; 69 $(CH_2CH=C(Me)_2)^+$; 41 $(CH_2CH=CH_2)^+$.

Rotation: $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -53$ (c = 2.63, CH₂Cl₂).

4.12. Cinnamyl-L-N-allyloxycarbonyl prolinate 6 was prepared according to procedures (B) and (C)

Pale yellow oil (80% yield).

TLC: $R_f = 0.58$ (AcOEt/cyclohexane 1/1). IR (film, ν cm⁻¹): 3079, 3055, 3024, 2978, 2951, 2878, 1742, 1701, 1643, 1595, 1490, 1444, 1405, 1191.

¹H-NMR: 7.42 to 7.27 (5H, m, H_{arom}), 6.66 (1H, d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{trans}} = 15.9$ Hz, HC=), 6.27 (1H, dt, ${}^{3}J_{\text{trans}} = 15.9$ Hz and ${}^{3}J = 6.4$ Hz, HC=), 5.86 (1H, m, HC=), 5.31 (1H, dm, ${}^{3}J_{\text{trans}} = 17.8$ Hz, H₂C=), 5.15 (1H, m, H₂C=), 4.80 (1H, d, ${}^{3}J = 6.4$ Hz, CH₂C=),4.77 (1H, d, ${}^{3}J = 6.4$ Hz, CH₂C=), 4.62 (1H, dm, ${}^{3}J = 5.4$ Hz, CH₂C=), 4.55 (1H, m, CH₂C=), 4.40 (1H, tm, ${}^{3}J = \text{Hz}$, HC(CO₂*R*)), 3.65 to 3.47 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.24 (1H, m, CH2), 2.10 to 1.88 (3H, m, CH₂).

¹³C-NMR: 172.50 and 172.32, 154.72 and 154.08, 135.97, 134.54 and 134.19, 132.87 and 132.66, 128.49, 128.06 and 127.96, 126.54, 122.77 and 122.58, 117.20 and 116.92, 65.75, 65.51, 59.16 and 58.85, 46.80 and 46.28, 30.88 and 29.84, 24.24 and 23.43.

GC-MS (m/z): 315 $(M)^+$, 257 (M- $O C H_{2} C H = C H_{2} - 1)^{+}$, 229 (M - $CO_{2}CH_{2}CH = CH_{2}-1)^{+}$, 198 (*M* – $PhCH = CHCH_{2}^{+}$, 182 (M - $PhCH = CHCH_{2}O)^{+}$ 154 (*M* – $PhCH = CHCH_{2}OCO)^{+},$ 70 (M - $PhCH=CHCH_{2}OCO-CO_{2}CH_{2}CH=CH_{2})^{+}$. Rotation: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -43 \ (c = 0.89, \text{ CHCl}_{3}).$

4.13. (3-methyl but-2-enyl)-4-piperidine carboxylate 7 was obtained from compound 1 according to procedure (E)

Pale yellow oil (96% yield).

TLC: $R_f = 0.2 (CH_2Cl_2/MeOH 10/1)$.

IR (film, ν cm⁻¹): 3350, 2829, 2855, 1723, 1637, 1445, 1377, 1172.

¹H-NMR: 5.33 (1H, tm, ${}^{3}J = 7.2$ Hz, HC=); 4.59 (2H, d, ${}^{3}J = 7.2$ Hz, CH₂C=), 3.49 (1H, br s, NH), 3.16 (2H, dt, ${}^{3}J = 12.6$ and 10.7 Hz, CH₂), 2.72 (2H, td, ${}^{3}J = 3.8$ and 4.0 Hz, CH₂), 2.47 (1H, tt, ${}^{3}J = 10.7$ and 4.0 Hz, HC), 1.96 (2H, dm, ${}^{3}J = 10.7$ Hz, CH₂), 1.77 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=), 1.74 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.72 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=).

¹³C-NMR: 174.50, 139.04, 118.39, 61.33, 45.02, 40.76, 28.17, 25.63, 17.91.

GC-MS (m/z): 197 $(M)^+$, 182 $(M-CH_3)^+$, 142 $(M-CH=C(Me)_2)^+$, 128 $(M-CH_2CH_2CH=C(Me)_2)^+$, 82 $(M-CO_2CH_2CH=C(Me)_2-2)^+$.

4.14. (3-methyl but-2-enyl)-7-aminocephalosporinoate 8 was obtained from compound 2according to procedure (E)

Yellow oil (100% yield).

TLC: $R_f = 0.41$ (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 10/1).

IR (film, ν cm⁻¹): 3396, 3330, 2970, 2934, 2918, 1766, 1669, 1628, 1442, 1355, 1299.

¹H-NMR: 5.39 (1H, tm, ${}^{3}J = 7.4$ Hz, HC=), 5.43 (1H, d, ${}^{3}J = 4.9$ Hz, HC), 4.75 (2H, d, ${}^{3}J = 7.4$ Hz, CH₂C=), 4.59 (1H d, ${}^{3}J = 4.9$ Hz, HC), 3.51 (1H, d, ${}^{2}J_{gem} = 18.2$ Hz, CH₂), 3.18 (1H, d, ${}^{2}J_{gem} = 18.2$ Hz, CH₂), 2.10 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.77 (2H, br s, NH₂), 1.76 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=), 1.72 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=).

¹³C-NMR: 168.47, 162.50, 139.63, 129.52, 122.82, 117.96, 63.40, 62.35, 58.36, 29.63, 25.70, 19.94, 18.00.

Rotation: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = 157 \ (c = 1.10, \text{ CHCl}_{3}).$

4.15. (3-methyl but-2-enyl)-L-valinate 9 was obtained from compound 3 according to procedure (E)

Pale yellow oil (73% yield).

TLC: $R_f = 0.42$ (AcOEt/cyclohexane 1/1). IR (film, ν cm⁻¹): 3381, 1961, 1932, 2875, 1727, 1671, 1163.

¹H-NMR: 5.32 (1H, tm, ³J = 7.3 Hz, HC=), 4.58 (2H, d, ³J = 7.3 Hz, CH2C=), 3.24 (1H, d, ³J = 5.0 Hz, HC(*i*Pr)), 1.99 (1H, m, HC(CH₃)₂), 1.73 (3H, s, CH₃)₂C=), 1.68 (3H, s, CH₃)₂C=), 1.43 (2H, br s, NH₂), 0.94 (3H, d, ³J = 6.9 Hz, CH₃ (*i*Pr)), 0.86 (3H, d, ³J = 6.9 Hz, CH₃ (*i*Pr)). ¹³C-NMR: 174.49, 139.18, 118.32, 61.35, 59.81, 32.00, 25.57, 19.15, 17.86, 16.97.

GC-MS (m/z): 165 $(M)^{+}$; 142 $(M-C(CH_3)_2)^{+}$; 72 $(M-CO_2CH_2CH=C(Me)_2)^{+}$; 51 $(CH=C(Me)_2)^{+}$. Rotation: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = 18 (c = 0.4, CHCl_3)$

4.16. (3-methyl but-2-enyl)-L-phenylalalinate 10 was obtained from compound 4 according to procedure (E)

Yellow oil (89% yield).

TLC: $R_f = 0.16$ (AcOEt/cyclohexane 3/1). IR (film, ν cm⁻¹): 3377, 3309, 3061, 3027, 2969, 2931, 2857, 1728, 1671, 1601, 1493, 1449, 1176.

¹H-NMR: 7.35 to 7.16 (5H, m, H_{arom}); 5.31 (1H, tm, ${}^{3}J = 7.3$ Hz, HC=), 4.61 (2H, d, ${}^{3}J = 7.3$ Hz, CH₂C=), 3.72 (1H, dd, ${}^{2}J = 13.4$ Hz and ${}^{3}J = 7.8$ Hz, CH₂Ph), 2.87 (1H, dd, ${}^{2}J = 13.4$ and 5.3 Hz, CH₂Ph), 1.77 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=), 1.69 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=), 1.52 (2H, br s, NH₂).

¹³C-NMR: 174.93, 139.35, 137.16, 129.24, 128.39, 126.65, 118.23, 61.69, 55.75, 40.96, 25.65, 17.92.

GC-MS (m/z): 233 $(M)^+$; 202 $(M-2CH_3-1)^+$; 142 $(M-PhCH_2)^+$; 120 $(H_2NCH(CH_2Ph))^+$; 69 $(CH_2CH=C(Me)_2)^+$; 41 $(CH_2CH=CH_2)^+$.

Rotation: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -6$ (c = 1.35, CHCl₃).

4.17. (3-methyl but-2-enyl)-L-prolinate 11 was obtained from compound 5 according to procedure (E)

Yellow oil (100% yield).

TLC: $R_f = 0.23$ (AcOEt/cyclohexane 3/1). IR (film, ν cm⁻¹): 3347, 2968, 2938, 2875, 1727, 1670, 1444, 1378, 1175.

¹H-NMR: 5.32 (1H, tm, ${}^{3}J = 7.0$ Hz, HC=), 4.61 (2H, d, ${}^{3}J = 7.0$ Hz, CH₂C=), 3.80 (1H, m, HC(CO₂R)), 3.49 (1H, br s, NH), 3.11 (1H, m, CH₂(NH)), 2.97 (1H, m, CH₂(NH)), 2.16 (1H, m, CH₂), 1.91 (1H, m, CH₂), 1.80 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.75 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=), 1.70 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=). ¹³C-NMR: 174.85, 139.40, 118.14, 61.88, 59.59, 46.81, 30.07, 25.64, 25.33, 17.93.

GC-MS (m/z): 183 $(M)^+$; 114 $(M-CH_2CH = C(Me)_2)^+$; 70 $(M-CO_2CH_2CH = C(Me)_2)^+$; 41 $(CH_2CH = CH_2)^+$. Rotation: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -36 (c = 0.48, CHCl_3)$.

4.18. (1R, 2S)-1-O-allyloxycarbonyl-N-(3-methyl but-2-enyl) ephedrine **13** was prepared according to the general procedures (A) and (D)

Yellow oil (50% yield).

TLC: $R_f = 0.77$ (AcOEt/cyclohexane 1/1).

¹H-NMR: 7.34 (5H, m, Harom), 5.97 (1H, m, HC=), 5.38 (1H, dm, ${}^{3}J_{\text{trans}} = 12.5$ Hz, H₂C=), 5.31 (1H, m, HC=), 5.28 (1H, dm, ${}^{3}J_{\text{cis}} = 5.7$ Hz, H₂C=), 4.89 (1H, br s, NH), 4.65 (4H, m, CH₂C=), 4.17 (1H, d, ${}^{3}J = 6.7$ Hz, HC), 4.13 (1H, m, HC), 2.74 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.78 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=), 1.72 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=), 1.25 (3H, d, ${}^{3}J = 7.1$ Hz, CH₃).

¹³C-NMR: 141.96, 137.95, 131.43, 128.03, 127.43, 126.13, 119.31, 118.79, 76.93, 68.37, 62.25, 59.20, 25.65, 17.94.

GC-MS (m/z): 361 $(M)^{+}$, 303 (M-OCH₂CH = CH₂-1)⁺, 293 (M-CH₂CH = C(Me)₂ + 1)⁺, 259 (M-CO₂CH₂CH = CH₂-1)⁺, 220 (M-(CH₃)NCO₂CH₂CH=C(Me)₂ + 1)⁺, 170 (M-PhCHOCO₂CH₂CH=CH₂CH = CH₂)⁺, 58 $(OCH_2CH=CH_2+1)^{+}$.

4.19. but-2-enyl)piperazine **14** was prepared according to procedures (A) and (D)

Yellow oil (60% yield).

TLC: $R_f = 0.88$ (AcOEt/cyclohexane 1/1). ¹H-NMR: 5.90 (1H, m, HC=), 5.83 (1H, dm, ³J_{trans} = 18.0 Hz, H₂C=), 5.79 (1H, tm, ³J = 7.6 Hz, HC=), 5.74 (1H, dm, ³J_{cis} = 8.0 Hz), 4.62 (4H, m, CH₂C=), 4.27 (2H, t, ³J = 5.6 Hz, CH₂), 3.48 (4H, t, ³J = 4.4 Hz, CH₂), 2.66 (2H, ³J = 5.6 Hz, CH₂), 2.45 (4H, t, ³J = 4.4 Hz, CH₂), 1.75 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=). ¹³C-NMR: 155.41, 154.84, 131.42, 119.28, 118.77, 68.36, 64.90, 62.21, 56.50, 52.91, 43.50, 25.64, 17.92.

GC-MS (m/z): 326 $(M)^+$, 269 $(M-OCH_2CH = CH_2)^+$, 257 $(M-CH_2CH = C(Me)_2)^+$, 198 $(M-C_2H_4OCO_2CH_2CH = CH_2 + 1)^+$, 58 $(OCH_2CH = CH_2 + 1)^+$.

4.20. (1R, 2S)-2-N-(3-methyl but-2-enyl) ephedrine 15 was obtained from compound 13 according to procedure (E)

Pale yellow oil (100% yield).

TLC: $R_f = 0.41$ (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 10/1).

IR (film, ν cm⁻¹): 3417, 2957, 2929, 2880, 1722, 1669, 1446, 1273, 1151.

¹H-NMR: 7.32 (5H, m, H_{arom}), 5.43 (1H, tm, ³J = 7.8 Hz, HC=), 5.30 (1H, d, ³J = 3.6 Hz, HC(OH)), 3.39 (1H, m, HC), 2.72 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.85 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=), 1.82 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=), 1.17 (3H, d, ³J = 6.8 Hz, CH₃).

¹³C-NMR: 141.97, 137.94, 128.36, 126.13, 119.31, 78.24, 65.73, 62.24, 53.33, 25.63, 17.93, 15.15.

4.21. 1-(2-hydroxy ethyl)-4-N-(3-methyl but-2enyl)piperazine **16** was obtained from compound **14** according to procedure (E)

Pale orange oil (100% yield).

TLC: $R_f = 0.37$ (AcOEt/cyclohexane 1/1).

¹H-NMR: 5.34 (1H, tm, ${}^{3}J = 6.0$ Hz, HC=), 4.12 (2H, d, ${}^{3}J = 6.0$ Hz), 3.64 (2H, ${}^{3}J = 5.2$ Hz, CH₂), 3.46 (4H, t, ${}^{3}J = 5.6$ Hz, CH₂), 2.54 (2H, ${}^{3}J = 5.2$ Hz, CH₂), 2.44 (4H, t, ${}^{3}J = 5.6$ Hz, CH₂), 1.74 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=), 1.69 (3H, s, (CH₃)₂C=).

¹³C-NMR: 155.44, 138.12, 119.22, 62.27, 59.37, 57.66, 52.54, 43.60, 25.79, 17.91.

GC-MS (m/z): 242 $(M)^+$, 211 $(M-CH_2OH) + , 143 (M-CH_2OH) - CH_2CH=C(Me)_2 + 1)^+, 99 (M-CH_2OH) - CO_2CH_2CH = C(Me)_2 + 1)^+, 69 (CH_2CH=C(Me)_2)^+.$

Acknowledgements

S. Lemaire-Audoire thanks the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur for a grant (1993–1996).

References

- [1] P.W. Wang and M.A. Fox, J. Org. Chem. 59 (1994) 5358 and references cited therein.
- [2] S. Ahrland, J. Chatt, N.R. Davies and A.A. Williams, J. Chem. Soc. (1958) 276.
- [3] E.G. Kuntz, (Rhône-Poulenc Industries), US4 248 802 (1981); D. Sinou, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 3 (1987) 480; B. Cornils and E.G. Kunz, J. Organomet. Chem. 502 (1995) 177.
- [4] Y. Dror and J. Manassen, J. Mol. Catal. 2 (1977) 219; A.F. Borwski, D.J. Cole-Hamilton and G. Wilkinson, Nouv. J. Chim. 2 (1978) 137; F. Joo, Z. Toth and M.T. Beck, Inorg. Chim. Acta 25 (1977) L61; C. Larpent, R. Dabard and H. Patin, Tetrahedron Lett. 28 (1987) 2507; C. Larpent and H. Patin, J. Mol. Cat. 61 (1990) 65.
- [5] W.A. Hermann, J. Kellner and H. Riepl, J. Organomet. Chem. 389 (1990) 103; P. Escoffre, A. Thorez and P. Kalck, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. (1987) 146–147.
- [6] E. Fache, F. Senocq, C. Santini and J.M. Basset, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. (1990) 1776; A. Bényei and F. Joo, J. Mol. Catal. 58 (1990) 151; J.M. Grosselin, C. Mercier, G. Allmang and F. Grass. Organometallics 10 (1991) 2126.
- [7] G. Mignani, D. Morel and Y. Colleuille, Tetrahedron Lett. 27 (1986) 2591.
- [8] A.L. Casalnuovo, J.C. Calabrese, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 (1990) 4324.
- [9] N.A. Bumagin, P.G. More and L.P. Beletskaya, J. Organomet. Chem. 371 (1989) 397.
- [10] D. Ferroud, J.M. Gaudin and J.P. Genêt, Tetrahedron Lett. 27 (1986) 845; J.P. Genêt and J.M. Gaudin, Tetrahedron 43 (1987) 5315; J.P. Genêt, S. Jugé, S. Achi, S. Mallart, J. Ruiz-Montès and G. Levif, Tetrahedron 44 (1988) 5263; J.P. Genêt and S. Grisoni, Tetrahedron Lett. 29 (1988) 4543; J.P. Genêt, J. Uziel, S. Jugé, Tetrahedron Lett. 29 (1988) 4559; J.P. Genêt, M. Port, A.M. Touzin, S. Roland, S. Thorimbert and S. Tanier, Tetrahedron Lett. 33 (1992) 77; J.P. Genêt and N. Kardos, Tetrahedron: Asymm. 5 (1994) 1525.
- [11] C. Amatore, E. Blart, JP. Genêt, A. Jutand, S. Lemaire-Audoire and M. Savignac, J. Org. Chem. 60 (1995) 6829.
- [12] J.P. Genêt, E. Blart and M. Savignac, Synlett. (1992) 715; E. Blart, J.P. Genêt, M. Safi, M. Savignac and D. Sinou, Tetrahedron 50 (1994) 505.
- [13] J.P. Genêt, E. Blart, M. Savignac, J.M. Paris, Tetrahedron Lett. 34 (1993) 4189.
- [14] H. Kunz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 26 (1987) 294 and references cited therein; H. Kunz amd H. Waldmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 24 (1985) 883; P.J. Garegg, Acc.

Chem. Res. 25 (1992) 575; H. Paulsen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 21 (1982) 155; J.S. Debenham, R. Madsen, C. Roberts and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117 (1995) 3302.

- [15] T. Huynh-Dinh, Synthèse et utilisation des oligo-nucléotides (Ed. Tec. and Doc. Lavoisier, Paris, 1993); R. Zhdanov and S.M. Zhenodarova, Synthesis (1975) 222; Y. Hayakawa, S. Wakabayashi, H. Kato and R. Noyori, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 (1990) 1691; S. Makino, U. Yoshihito, J. Masahida and Y. Hayakawa, Tetrahedron Lett. 34 (1993) 2775.
- [16] S.A. Kates, N.A. Solé, C.R. Johnson, D. Hudson, G. Barany

and F. Albericio, Tetrahedron Lett. 34 (1993) 1549; G.B. Bloomberg, D. Askin, A.R. Gargaro and M.J.A. Tanner, Tetrahedron Lett. 34 (1993) 4709; P.L. Williams, A. Mermouk, F. Guibé, F. Albericio and E. Giralt, Tetrahedron Lett. 35 (1994) 4437; E.C. Roos, P. Bernabé, H. Hiemstra and W.N. Speckamp, J. Org. Chem. 60 (1995) 1733.

[17] S. Lemaire-Audoire, M. Savignac, E. Blart, G. Pourcelot, J.P. Genêt and J.M. Bernard, Tetrahedron Lett. 35 (1994) 8783; J.M. Bernard, E. Blart, J.P. Genêt, S. Lemaire-Audoire and M. Savignac, World Patent WO9424088 (Rhône-Poulenc Chimie).