

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ILLUMINA, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY
IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00291 (Patent 9,718,852 B2);
Case IPR2018-00318 (Patent 9,719,139 B2);
Case IPR2018-00322 (Patent 9,708,358 B2);
Case IPR2018-00385 (Patent 9,725,480 B2)¹

Before JENNIFER MEYER CHAGNON, JAMES A. WORTH, and
MICHELLE N. ANKENBRAND, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

WORTH, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Petitioner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of
William R. Zimmerman
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)

¹ This Order applies to all four proceedings. Paper and exhibit numbers refer to Case IPR2018-00291. The proceedings have not been consolidated, and the parties are not authorized to use a consolidated caption unless a paper contains a footnote indicating that the identical paper has been filed in each proceeding.

IPR2018-00291 (Patent 9,718,852 B2);
IPR2018-00318 (Patent 9,719,139 B2);
IPR2018-00322 (Patent 9,708,358 B2);
IPR2018-00385 (Patent 9,725,480 B2)

Petitioner filed a motion for *pro hac vice* admission of William R. Zimmerman. Paper 10 (“Motion”). Petitioner also filed a declaration from Mr. Zimmerman in support of the Motion. Ex. 1087. Patent Owner did not file an opposition to the Motion.

Having reviewed the Motion and the declaration of Mr. Zimmerman, we conclude that Mr. Zimmerman has sufficient qualifications to represent Petitioner in these proceedings and that Petitioner has shown good cause for Mr. Zimmerman’s *pro hac vice* admission. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (setting forth the requirements for *pro hac vice* admission) (Paper 7). Mr. Zimmerman will be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* in these proceedings as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Petitioner’s motions for *pro hac vice* admission of William R. Zimmerman are granted in each proceeding identified above, and Mr. Zimmerman is authorized to represent Petitioner only as back-up counsel in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Zimmerman is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

IPR2018-00291 (Patent 9,718,852 B2);
IPR2018-00318 (Patent 9,719,139 B2);
IPR2018-00322 (Patent 9,708,358 B2);
IPR2018-00385 (Patent 9,725,480 B2)

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Zimmerman is subject to the
USPTO's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the
USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth at 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–
11.901.

PETITIONER:

Kerry Taylor
Michael L. Fuller
Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear, LLP
2kst@knobbe.com
2mlf@knobbe.com

PATENT OWNER:

John White
Gary Gershik
Cooper & Dunham, LLP
jwhite@cooperdunham.com
ggershik@cooperdunham.com

John Murnane
Justin Oliver
Fitzpatrick, Cella, Harper & Scinto
jmurnane@fchs.com
joliver@fchs.com