IPR2018-00342, IPR2018-00343, IPR2018-00344, IPR2018-00345 Schmandt, Christopher August 28, 2018

1
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT AND TRIAL APPEAL BOARD
Case Nos. IPR2018-00342, IPR2018-00343,
IPR2018-00344, IPR2018-00345
U.S. Patent Nos. RE44,326, 7,047,196
X
COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,
Petitioner,
V.
PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORP.,
Patent Owner.
X
VOLUME I
DEPOSITION OF CHRISTOPHER SCHMANDT
Tuesday, August 28, 2018, 9:03 a.m.
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
Two Seaport Lane
Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2001
Reporter: Kimberly A. Smith, CRR, CRC, RDR
Realtime Systems Administrator
Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

202-220-4158

www.hendersonlegal services.com

PROMPTU EXHIBIT 2034 COMCAST v. PROMPTU IPR2018-00344

```
2
      APPEARANCES:
2
          Farella Braun + Martel LLP
3
4
               James L. Day, Esq.
          By:
          Russ Building
6
          235 Montgomery Street
          San Francisco, CA 94104
          (415) 954-4400
8
9
          jday@fbm.com
                       for the Petitioner and the Witness;
10
11
12
          Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &
13
          Dunner, LLP
14
          By:
              Cory C. Bell, Esq.
15
          Two Seaport Lane
16
          Boston, MA 02210-2001
17
          (617) 646-1600
          cory.bell@finnegan.com
19
              and
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
3
      APPEARANCES: (continued)
 2
          Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &
 3
          Dunner, LLP
               Joshua L. Goldberg, Esq.
          By:
          901 New York Avenue, N.W.
 6
          Washington, D.C. 20001-4413
          (202) 408-4000
 8
9
          joshua.goldberg@finnegan.com
10
                       for the Patent Owner.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

IPR2018-00342, IPR2018-00343, IPR2018-00344, IPR2018-00345 Schmandt, Christopher August 28, 2018

				4
1		INDEX		
2				
3	WITNESS: Chris	stopher Schmandt		
4				
5	EXAMINATION		Page	
6	By Mr. Bell	L	5	
7				
8	EXHIBITS FOR II	DENTIFICATION:		
9	Comcast	Description	Page	
10	Exhibit 1001	U.S. Patent 7,047,196	26	
11	Exhibit 1019	Witness's declaration re	7	
12		the '196 patent in support		
13		of Petition 1 of 2		
14	Exhibit 1019	Witness's declaration re	7	
15		the '196 patent in support		
16		of Petition 2 of 2		
17	Exhibit 1023	Witness's declaration re	8	
18		the '326 patent		
19				
20	Promptu	Description	Page	
21	Exhibit 2001	MIT Media Lab web page re	12	
22		member companies		
23				
24	Original exhibi	its retained by reporter to be		
25	returned to Fir	nnegan Henderson		

```
5
                  MR. BELL: I'm Cory Bell from Finnegan
     Henderson.
                  With me is my colleague, Joshua
3
     Goldberg, also from Finnegan Henderson on behalf of
4
     Promptu.
                  MR. DAY: And James Day of Farella
6
     Braun + Martel for the petitioner Comcast and the
     witness.
8
                     CHRISTOPHER SCHMANDT,
9
         having been satisfactorily identified by the
10
         production of his driver's license, and
11
         duly sworn by the court reporter, was deposed
12
         and testified as follows:
13
                          EXAMINATION
     BY MR. BELL:
15
         Q. Good morning.
16
         Α.
              Good morning.
              Can you please state your full name for the
17
         Q.
     record.
19
         A. Christopher Schmandt.
20
             What is your address?
         Q.
21
              4 Longfellow Road, Winchester, Massachusetts.
         Α.
22
              Do you understand that you're under oath?
         Q.
23
              I do.
         Α.
24
         Ο.
              Do you understand that you're sworn to tell
25
     the truth?
```

6 The whole truth, and nothing but the truth. Α. If you do not understand a question, please Ο. 3 ask me to clarify it, okay? 4 Yes. Α. Otherwise, if you answer, I will assume Q. 6 that you understood the question. Understood? 7 Understood. 8 Ο. If you provide an answer to the question, I will assume that it's complete unless you indicate 10 otherwise, okay? 11 Α. Okay. Please answer my question with words, not 12 gestures or nonverbal cues so that the record is 13 14 complete, okay? 15 A. Yes. Occasionally I mumble a little bit. If so, just tell me to speak up, please. 16 17 If you need a break, just say so and we'll take one as needed. I'll just want to finish the open question if there is one. 19 20 Α. Of course. 21 And I will try to take a break about every 22 60 minutes. During the breaks, do you understand 23 that you may not discuss the substance of your testimony with Comcast counsel or anyone else? 24 25 A. Yes, I do.

```
(Exhibit 1019 (Petition 1 of 2)
                        was marked for identification.)
3
     BY MR. BELL:
4
              I'm handing you what was previously labeled
     as Exhibit 1019 in IPR2018-00344. And on the front
6
     it says, "(in support of Petition 1 of 2)."
7
                  Is this your declaration?
8
              Yes, it looks like it.
9
              Can you please turn to page 231.
         Ο.
10
                    That's the signature page.
             Yes.
         Α.
11
         Q. Is that your signature?
12
         Α.
              Yes.
13
              And that signature is accompanied by a
         Q.
     statement saying that the statements in your
     declaration are true under the penalty of perjury,
15
16
     correct?
17
         A. Yes.
                        (Exhibit 1019 (Petition 2 of 2)
19
                        was marked for identification.)
20
     BY MR. BELL:
21
              I am handing you what was previously marked
     as Exhibit 1019 in IPR2018-00345. Is this your
22
23
     declaration?
24
         A. It looks like it.
25
         Q. Could you please turn to page 199.
```

```
8
              Yes. I'm there.
         Α.
          Q.
              Is that your signature?
3
              It looks like it.
              And is that signature accompanied by a
4
          Q.
     statement saying that the statements in your
     declaration are true under penalty of perjury?
6
7
              This is true.
8
                        (Exhibit 1023 was marked
                        for identification.)
10
     BY MR. BELL:
11
          Q. I'm handing you what was previously marked
     as Exhibit 1023 in IPR2018-00342 and IPR2018-00343.
12
     This is your declaration, correct?
13
14
         Α.
             Yes.
15
          O.
              Please turn to page 237.
16
          Α.
              Yes.
17
              That is your signature, correct?
          Q.
          Α.
              Yes.
19
              And your signature is accompanied by a
20
     statement saying that the statements in your
21
     declaration are true under penalty of perjury,
22
     correct?
23
         Α.
              Yes.
24
              Have you been an expert witness before
25
     these Promptu cases?
```

```
9
              Before this case, you said?
          Ο.
              Yes.
3
          Α.
              Yes.
          Q. About how many times?
             Half a dozen to ten.
          Α.
6
          Q.
             Have you been deposed before?
7
          Α.
             Yes, I have.
8
          Q.
              Do you recall about how many times?
9
              15 to 20.
          Α.
10
              Have you ever been a witness for Comcast
          Ο.
11
     before?
12
              I'm not sure.
          Α.
13
              In your declaration marked as Exhibit 1023,
          Q.
     can you turn to paragraph 5. It states in
     paragraph 5 of Exhibit 1023, "I am currently
15
     employed as a principal research scientist at the
16
17
     Media Laboratory at the Massachusetts Institute of
     Technology (MIT), " correct?
18
19
         Α.
              Yes.
20
          Q.
              And you're still employed at the Media Lab?
21
             Yes, I am.
          Α.
          Q. What is the Media Lab?
23
              So it's an interesting question. MIT has a
24
     research management structure and an academic
25
     structure.
                  So there are labs -- there are what we
```

10 call labs at MIT that are basically entities for funding purposes. 3 So a lab can receive funding. And the faculty associated with the lab are responsible for 4 the funding of the lab. The old AI lab was an 6 example of a lab. And the Media Lab is one of those. 7 So within MIT, we are a research entity. 8 But we are also an academic entity. Labs are rarely the equivalent of departments. But we are the 10 equivalent of a close-to department called Media 11 Arts and Sciences, which is in the School of 12 Architecture and Planning. So we have both a 13 research presence and an academic presence within 14 the MIT structure. How is the Media Lab funded? 15 Ο. 16 The vast majority of it is sponsored 17 research projects. Well, I should be more specific. The Media Lab is a somewhat unusual lab at MIT in 19 that actually the bulk of our funding comes from 20 corporate sponsors but through research consortia. 21 So the consortium model is that a 22 consortium publishes broad research goals/guidelines, a couple pages really. Companies join the consortium 24 for a relatively small amount of money. "Relative" 25 is a relative term, of course. And the companies

11 don't specify the research that we do. 2 Of course, part of our job is to try to 3 keep at least some of these companies happy. 4 there is no research contract that says in return for this much funding, this project will be done 6 according to this proposal, which is usually about a 10-pager kind of thing. 8 Media Lab has on the order of 50 of these -- we call them corporate research partners. 10 And they come and they go. There's a certain amount 11 of churn. 12 Other work, which is the minority, is 13 funded through what we call sponsored programs or 14 sponsored projects, which fit the other definition 15 that I mentioned where there is a commitment to do a particular body of research that will be funded, and 16 17 there is one -- one particular sponsor, either 18 corporate or federal, so money that comes from NSF, 19 for example, is done -- is done in this. 20 The Media Lab is also unusual in that 21 our IP policies are that any company that is 22 contributing to the lab has access to all of our IP 23 regardless of which group does it or whether their 24 particular group does it, and whether a particular 25 group that they're interested in or working with

```
12
     does it. And, of course, there's also gift money,
     which is money either in dollars or in kind that has
3
     no strings attached.
4
            Does Comcast fund any of the sponsored
     programs?
6
              It has sometimes in the past, but I don't
7
     think we've had them as a sponsor for quite a few
8
     years.
              Is Comcast a corporate research partner?
         O.
10
         A. Not that I'm aware of.
11
                        (Exhibit 2001 was marked
12
                        for identification.)
     BY MR. BELL:
13
         Q. So I've now handed you what I've marked as
     Exhibit 2001. On the bottom you will see it says
15
      "https://www.media.mit.edu/posts/member-companies/"?
16
17
              I see.
         Α.
             Have you seen this information before?
         Ο.
19
             Not particularly. I assume it's from our
20
                I don't look at the website regularly.
21
         Q. And on page 2 of 5, does it list Comcast as
     a consortium lab member?
22
23
         Α.
              It does.
24
              What is a consortium lab member?
         Q.
25
              They are one of the companies that I
```

13 described as a research partner. So they're a company that contributes to the research consortium 3 as opposed to a particular sponsored project. 4 I see there's quite a few companies here, some of which I've never heard of. 6 Q. And you previously said that they pay a 7 relatively small amount of money. Is that to be a 8 member? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And about how much is the relatively small Ο. 11 amount of money? 12 I think it's about 200K a year. 13 Do you know how long Comcast has been a 14 member? 15 MR. DAY: Object to the form. 16 THE WITNESS: Well, since I didn't know 17 they were a member, I wouldn't know the answer to that question. Probably not a long time, because I 18 think I might have heard of it. On the other hand, 19 20 I have had no personal interactions with anyone from 21 Comcast, so I wouldn't know. 22 BY MR. BELL: 23 Q. You are being compensated for your 24 testimony in this case against Promptu; is that 25 correct?

14 Α. Yes, I am. And Comcast is paying you to provide your 3 opinions, correct? 4 That is correct. Α. What is your hourly rate? Q. 6 Α. \$450 an hour. How does your rate here for Comcast compare 7 to the rate you've been paid for other clients? 8 9 It's my standard rate. It's been my 10 standard rate for five years or so. 11 Ο. About how many cases have you worked against Promptu to date on behalf of Comcast? 12 13 This is the only one that I can recall. Α. 14 You're aware that there is a related District Court litigation in addition to these cases 15 16 before the PTAB; is that correct? 17 Α. Yes. Have you done any work for the District O. 19 Court case? 20 Α. No. 21 How many hours have you spent preparing your declarations for these cases? 22 23 You mean up until the time of publishing Α. 24 these? 25 Q. Um-hum.

15 That was months ago. That was nine months ago. Do you want me to guess? I can't give you a 3 real number because I don't remember and I don't 4 have the data at my hand. Do you know on an order of magnitude? Q. 6 Sure. Order of magnitude, 30. 30 hours. 7 When did you first hear of Promptu? Ο. 8 When I started working on this matter, which would have been November 2017, I believe, 10 although it's possible that the attorneys had 11 contacted me in October. 12 Q. Have you ever heard of a company called 13 Agile TV? 14 Only in the reading of the patent. 15 Have you done any research for a competitor O. of Agile TV? 16 Since I never heard of Agile TV, I couldn't 17 18 answer that question. Sorry. 19 Have you done any work relating to voice 20 recognition in set-top boxes? 21 A. Other than this? 22 Q. Other than this. 23 A. Not that I can remember at the moment. 24 Q. Would there have been any difficulties in 25 providing speech recognition in a set-top box in the

16 year 2000? It depends on what form of speech 3 recognition. What do you mean by "what form of speech recognition"? 6 Well, the patent tries to differentiate 7 various forms of speech recognition rather vaguely. 8 So it would depend on -- Typically with speech recognition, some of the ways we might classify a 10 recognizer would be vocabulary size. 11 Another way we might class a recognizer 12 is whether it was speaker dependent: designed for a 13 particular person or made to accommodate a 14 particular person. Or speaker independent. 15 Another way we might differentiate speech recognizers would be whether it was isolated 16 17 speech, one word at a time; connected speech, threeto six-word utterances; or continuous speech, which 19 is what I'm doing now. 20 For any of those types of speech 21 recognition, would there have been difficulties? 22 Α. I'm not sure I understand the question. Are you asking for any in this three-dimensional 24 array, would it have been difficult, or for any in 25 this three-dimensional array, would it have not been

17 difficult? I'm not quite sure. 3 Ο. For any of the various types of speech recognition forms you listed, would there have been 4 difficulties? 6 Α. Yes. 7 What would those difficulties have been? O. 8 Computational complexity. 9 Any others? Ο. 10 That's probably a broad enough term that it Α. 11 covers it. 12 What do you mean by "computational complexity"? 13 How much processing -- how much processing 14 15 power and storage it takes to perform the recognition in bounded -- reasonable enough amount of time --16 17 to create a satisfying user experience. Q. What would be necessary to create a 19 satisfying user experience? 20 That's an excellent question and one for 21 which there's no easy answer. One way of answering 22 it is it would probably be satisfying if it was more 23 effective than using a nonspeech interface, whatever 24 the competition was. Could be a joystick or cursor 25 buttons on a remote or a touchscreen on a remote or

18 a touchscreen on the TV screen itself. So if we have a less satisfying user experience with speech, 3 then we haven't achieved the goal. 4 Other than that, you might look at recognition rate. And when you look at recognition 6 rate, we generally talk about two numbers. One is 7 precision and one is recall. 8 Precision is for the number of times that a user says a word which the recognizer should 10 understand, does the recognizer understand it --11 I'm sorry. That's recall. 12 And precision is for the number of times 13 that the user said a word, is the proper word 14 recognized or an erroneous word? That would be a 15 factor. Vocabulary size would be a factor. 16 range of utterances that one could give would be a 17 factor. 18 The more things you can say, the longer 19 it's going to take to figure out the answer, and the 20 less -- the lower the likelihood that the recognized 21 result will be correct. And, of course, the time it 22 takes to perform that -- to perform the recognition. 23 Q. By "the time it takes to perform 24 recognition, do you mean the time between when the 25 user would speak and when they would receive

```
19
     feedback from the recognition?
              Well, specifically I'm talking about the
3
     time between when the user starts speaking and when
4
     the system -- since we're talking about speech
     recognition, when the recognition component of the
6
     system produces an output. Other things may cause
     other delays in the system, but we're not
8
     considering those right now.
9
              In building a system that implemented
10
     speech recognition, would those other delays be
11
     potential problems that a user would perceive with
12
     the system?
13
              In terms of building the speech recognition
         Α.
14
     component?
                  No.
15
         Q. How about in terms of usability?
16
             Yes, they would.
17
              In the year 2000, would there have been any
         Ο.
     difficulties with releasing a commercial speech
19
     recognition system implemented through a set-top box?
20
                  MR. DAY: I'm sorry. Could you read
21
     that back.
22
                        (Record read as requested.)
23
                  THE WITNESS: Can you clarify what you
24
     mean by "difficulties."
25
```

20 BY MR. BELL: What does the word "difficulties" mean to Ο. 3 you? 4 Things that are hard. Using that definition, in the year 2000, Q. 6 would there have been any difficulties with releasing a commercial speech recognition system 8 implemented through a set-top box? 9 Absolutely. Α. 10 What would those have been? Ο. 11 So you have a large number of set-top boxes Α. 12 out there. You have some really cool software. 13 How do you get that software on the set-top box? 14 You first have to -- So the first question is, who 15 is developing this software? Is this a cable box manufacturer like Motorola or Scientific Atlanta, or 16 17 is it some other party? If it's some other party, 18 clearly you have a lot of difficulty to negotiate a 19 business relationship, of whatever form, with the 20 set-top box manufacturer. 21 Then once that is done, you have a 22 distribution problem, okay? So -- Well, first we 23 get to another problem though, which is, you see, it's not really -- the customer relation -- the 24 25 customer doesn't have the relationship with the

21 set-top box manufacturer. The customer has the relationship with their cable supplier, their cable 3 provider. 4 So really it's -- the most important 5 thing is you've got to convince the cable provider 6 to be able to do this. So the cable provider has to be convinced that this is beneficial. 8 have to be convinced that it is a good business relationship for them to have with whoever is making 10 this speech recognizer. And, of course, they're 11 also concerned about operating costs: maintenance, all that kind of stuff. 12 13 So assuming you iron all that out, then 14 the question is, does the cable provider have a 15 single vendor set-top box or do they have multiple vendor set-top boxes that they support? Obviously 16 17 if I'm going to take speech recognition and make it 18 work on one vendor's set-top box, I'm going to have 19 to redo that to make it work on another vendor's 20 set-top box. 21 And then, of course, there's the issue 22 of set-top boxes maybe of different generations. 23 Unlike cell phones these days where vendors assume 24 we're going to throw our phones away every two years, 25 set-top boxes tend to have a longer lifetime.

22 So some of those set-top boxes that are out there in your 2 million customer-installed base are going to 3 be of different models than others and have 4 different processors and different capabilities. So you have that problem. And that's 6 harder, as you can see, than simply saying, you know, I'm compatible with MacOS Sierra, right, where 8 everybody who's running MacOS is running Sierra -well, now they're going to go to Mojave. But it's 10 more complicated. Because, of course, the customer, 11 the subscriber, doesn't even know or care about what 12 model set-top box you've got. 13 So assuming you worked all of those out, 14 then you have to do an installation. I don't know personally how network management of set-top box 15 16 software worked in 2000. I presume new stuff could 17 be downloaded from the head-end by that point. 18 I don't know whether that would happen automatically 19 under the operator's control. I suspect it would. 20 I don't know whether you need any subscriber 21 intervention in order to do that. 22 These are, of course, all parts of the difficulties. One of the big difficulties is 24 educating the user. Once you got it installed and

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

you have to show that it can work, educating the

202-220-4158

25

23 users -- the subscribers as to what they can do with voice. When you work with speech recognition, one 3 of the classic problems is, What can I say? How do 4 I phrase an utterance to the system? What's its vocabulary? 6 Back when Apple released Siri -- you 7 know, Siri, S-i-r-i -- when Apple released Siri, 8 they had a lot of advertisements. And I watched my son follow this because the advertisements all 10 showed people using Siri. The advertisements were 11 very cleverly teaching people how to talk to Siri. 12 People don't know how to talk to their recognize --13 or equipped set-top box. We have to teach them. 14 These are all difficulties. Q. Were there any technical difficulties? 15 16 A. Well, I think I said, you know, solving the 17 possibly cross-vendor problem or cross-generation --18 different generations of set-top box, those are 19 technical difficulties. 20 Actually, you know, there's another 21 technical difficulty. Another technical difficulty 22 is the microphone. Where is the microphone? Because set-top box didn't come with microphones. 24 The remote controls didn't come with set-top boxes.

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

And set-top boxes didn't come with microphone jacks.

25

24 1 So, yes, this is a really big technical difficulty because I can't do speech recognition if 3 I can't hear you. 4 Any others? Ο. I'm sure there's others. Α. 6 Q. What makes you sure that there would be 7 others? 8 Because life is complicated. And any technology is complicated. 10 Q. Do you recall when the first commercially 11 available voice recognition in a set-top box was 12 released? 13 No, I don't. Α. 14 Do you know if there were any commercially available speech recognition systems implemented 15 through a set-top box in the year 2000? 16 17 Can you repeat that. Do you know if there were any commercially 19 available speech recognition systems implemented 20 through a set-top box in the year 2000? 21 I don't know if anything was on the market 22 in 2000. 23 Q. Are you aware of any systems that were on 24 the market? 25 MR. DAY: Object to the form.

```
25
                  THE WITNESS: Any -- any -- what kind of
     systems?
3
     BY MR. BELL:
4
         Q. Are you aware of any commercially available
     speech recognition systems implemented through a
6
     set-top box?
7
              In 2000?
         Α.
8
         Ο.
             At any time.
9
              There certainly were systems.
         Α.
                                             I can't
10
     recall specifics. I can't name them specifically.
11
             Can you turn in Exhibit 1019, which is the
12
     one labeled "(Submitted in support of Petition 1 of
13
     2), " to paragraph 91. It's on page 51.
14
         Α.
              Thank you.
             Did you write the text in paragraph 91?
15
         Ο.
              You mean did I write every word? I don't
16
17
             I can't recall.
     know.
         O. Also in Exhibit 1019 labeled "(Submitted in
     support of Petition 1 of 2), " paragraph 117, did you
19
20
     write the text of that paragraph?
21
                  MR. DAY: So now you're talking about
22
     the other declaration, right?
23
                  MR. BELL: No. It's the same one.
24
                  MR. DAY: Okay. Sorry. And sorry, what
25
     paragraph?
```

```
26
                  MR. BELL: Paragraph 117.
2
                  MR. DAY: Thank you.
3
                  THE WITNESS:
                                So I can't tell you for
     any paragraph whether I wrote every word in it or
4
     not. I'm happy to talk about the process whereby
6
     this declaration was created, if you wish.
     don't know if that's an answer to your question.
                  MR. BELL: Why don't we take a quick
8
     break before I jump into the next line of questions.
10
                  THE WITNESS:
                                Okay.
11
                  MR. DAY:
                            Sure.
12
                        (Recess at 9:45 a.m.,
13
                        resumed at 10:03 a.m.)
14
     BY MR. BELL:
         O. So in Exhibit 1019, the one labeled
15
      "(Submitted in support of Petition 2 of 2)," can you
16
17
     turn to paragraph 271.
                  So you'll have it handy, I am also going
18
     to hand you Exhibit 1001, which is the '196 patent.
19
20
                        (Exhibit 1001 was marked
21
                        for identification.)
     BY MR. BELL:
22
23
              In Claim 14 of the '196 patent, the claim
     recites, "a speech recognition system coupled to a
24
     wireline node in a network, " correct?
25
```

27 It says, "A program system controlling at least part of a speech recognition system coupled to 3 a wireline node in a network" unless that was 4 changed in the corrections, but I don't think it 5 was. 6 Are we in the same place? Q. Yes. And in Claim 14 of the '196, the 7 claim recites, "wherein each of said program steps 8 9 reside in memory accessibly coupled to at least one 10 computer included in said speech recognition system," 11 which requires that the speech recognition system 12 include a computer, correct? 13 Α. Yes. I.e., we use the term "computer" 14 broadly. Q. And that means "coupled to" in the first 15 lines of the claim where the claim recites "a speech 16 17 recognition system coupled to a wireline node in a network" means that two physical components are 18 19 connected together, correct? 20 Α. I'm sorry. This is based on the preamble, 21 or did you just read the preamble or did you read --22 Q. Yes. 23 So could you repeat the question, please. 24 Ο. Sure. And that means that "coupled to" in 25 the first lines of the claim where the claim recites

28 "a speech recognition system coupled to a wireline node in a network" means that two physical 3 components are connected to each other, correct? 4 In the broadest sense of the term Α. "connected," which is perhaps meant to be less vague 6 than "coupled," which is even more vague because the processor that does the controlling could be inside 7 8 the wireline node. I would think that still meant it was coupled to the wireline node. 10 But you would agree that "coupled to" O. 11 refers to a physical connection? 12 I don't think so. It's just too vague a 13 word. 14 What is an example in the claim of how it would not be a physical connection? 15 "Coupled" could mean anything if the claim 16 17 doesn't tell us. The claim overuses the term 18 "coupled." Memory is coupled to the computer. 19 Memory is coupled to the computer. Users are 20 coupled to the wireline. Processor -- or the speech 21 recognition system is coupled to the wireline node. 22 It means they have some way of 23 communicating perhaps. Even then, I think I'm 24 reading too much into the word "coupled." The claim

doesn't tell me anything more than that.

25

29 Would a person of ordinary skill in the art understand "coupled" to have any meaning? 3 They would understand it as I just said it: 4 a very vague term that means there's some influence between the two things that are coupled. 6 "Coupled" is one of those terms that 7 appears a lot in patent claims. It's not a term 8 that an engineer uses very often because it's too 9 vague. 10 If you could, in Exhibit 1023, your Ο. 11 declaration, could you turn to paragraph 169. 12 Sorry. It's on page 84. 13 Okay. I'm there. Α. 14 Ο. And in the third sentence, you state, "A person of ordinary skill in the art would know 15 16 that the remote node (node 517) would have to 17 determine the particular subscriber system issuing a particular voice command so that the recognized 18 command could be transmitted back to the correct 19 20 subscriber terminal unit, " correct? 21 Α. Yes. 22 In paragraph 169, you were saying it is 23 your opinion that the remote node needs to know how 24 to identify the terminal unit, correct?

Henderson Legal Services, Inc.

Which piece is the terminal unit? Can we

25

30 look at the figure that we're referring to, please, to make sure I get it right. 3 Q. Yes. It may be in the dec, if you want. Page 74, 4 is that the . . . 6 0. Yes. 7 Okay. Thanks. And now I'm sorry. Could 8 you repeat the question. 9 Sure. In paragraph 169 of your declaration, 10 you're saying that it's your opinion that the remote 11 node needs to know how to identify the terminal unit, 12 correct? 13 Α. Yes. 14 It is your opinion that it is necessary to determine the particular subscriber system in order 15 to transmit back to the correct subscriber unit, 16 17 correct? Α. Yes. And it is a transmission back to the 19 20 subscriber unit because the network transmission of 21 the speech information originated from the subscriber unit, correct? 22 23 Through the wireless remote. Α. Yes. 24 Are you saying that the communication is 25 going from the terminal unit through the remote?

31 No. I'm saying it goes from the remote through the terminal unit. 3 I'm sorry. When I say "remote," I mean 4 the handheld remote control. I'm not sure. Box 517 may sometimes be referred to as the remote node. 6 So I'm sorry if I was confusing there. I can try to clarify that if it's confusing -- my 8 answer's confusing. O. Is the remote control on the same network 10 as node 517? 11 A. Yes, through the set-top -- through the terminal. 12 13 What do you mean by "through the terminal"? 14 The remote control transmits to the 15 terminal, and the terminal transmits to the node. It's like any network has multiple hops and 16 17 translations along the way. Can node 517 communicate with the remote? 19 I believe as described in the patent, it's 20 a one-way path from the remote control to the 21 terminal unit and to the node, although I'm not sure 22 the patent precludes that -- actually, I can't answer that without taking another look at the 24 patent. 25 Q. What is a hop on a network?

32 A path on one medium between two nodes on a network. What is a network? 3 Ο. 4 A network is a -- Let me check first, if I can, to make sure that it's not a term that I 6 construed. Because if it is, I want to conform to I don't think so, but if I did, I would like to start there and then go. No. Speech recognition, set-top box, wireline node, partitioning. 10 A network is a number of nodes, some of 11 which can be endpoints connected to each other in 12 communication. 13 What's an endpoint? Q. An endpoint would be a node that has only 15 one path into it. What is a node on a network? 16 Ο. 17 A node is an entity that receives messages from the network and can put messages on the 19 network. Typically we draw a network as a bunch of 20 lines with dots where those lines connect. 21 nodes are the dots. 22 MR. BELL: Why don't we take a quick 23 break. 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. 25

```
33
                        (Recess at 10:23 a.m.,
                        resumed at 10:33 a.m.)
3
     BY MR. BELL:
         Q. You previously mentioned the process by
4
     which your declaration was drafted.
     describe that for me.
6
             Sure. As with most of these, I would say
     it's a collaborative process that starts with
     reviewing art. I never have a case where somebody
10
     throws a bunch of art at me and says, Hey, this is
11
     all cool. You agree on it, right?
12
                  There's always a -- sometimes I find
13
     art; sometimes I'm given art. I have to evaluate
14
     that art.
                I have to figure out how I feel about
15
     that art, how I think that art would be known to
     someone of skill in the art. Something that I'm
16
17
     supposed to know more than the attorneys because I
18
     practice.
19
                  So come up with a set of art that I am
20
     comfortable going with, stating whether it's
21
     obviousness or anticipation. And then when it comes
22
     to actually creating documents, I write some parts.
23
                  Typically the attorneys write other
24
             The attorneys generally own the document.
25
     I don't like Microsoft Word, so I don't like using
```

```
34
     it. And I've never been able to get it to figure
     out how to number the paragraphs. So I pass that
3
     off to them, and they do the formatting and worry
     about the tables and stuff.
4
                  There's a fair amount of back-and-forth
     on the document, no matter who wrote it, such that
6
     I've gone through and I have read it multiple times.
8
     I've made changes where I want changes to be made.
     And I end up with something that I think completely
10
     reflects my opinion.
11
                  So although I did not necessarily write
12
     every word in this -- and I can't tell you which
13
     words I wrote and which words I didn't -- these
14
     documents reflect my opinion. And that is why I
15
     signed them under penalty of perjury at the end,
     which I take fairly seriously.
16
17
             Since you were sworn in this morning, did
     you discuss anything related to this case with
18
19
     anyone during the breaks?
20
             No, of course not. I'm not allowed to.
21
     Except possibly lunchtime.
22
                  MR. BELL: Jim, do you want to waive the
23
     signature requirement on the record? We can do it
24
     instead of having it . . .
25
                  MR. DAY: Are you done?
```

IPR2018-00342, IPR2018-00343, IPR2018-00344, IPR2018-00345 Schmandt, Christopher August 28, 2018

```
35
                   MR. BELL: We're done.
                   MR. DAY: We would like to review and
 2
      sign.
 3
 4
                   MR. BELL: Okay.
                   MR. DAY:
                              Great.
 6
                   MR. BELL: Okay.
 7
                   MR. DAY: I don't have any questions.
8
               (Deposition concluded at 10:36 a.m.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
36
1
                    CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2
          I, Kimberly A. Smith, Certified Realtime
3
4
     Reporter, Certified Realtime Captioner, Registered
     Diplomate Reporter, Realtime Systems Administrator,
     and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of
6
7
     Massachusetts, the officer before whom the
     proceedings were taken, hereby certify that the
8
     foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record
10
     of these proceedings; that said proceedings were
11
     taken in stenotype by me on the 28th day of August,
12
     2018, commencing at 9:03 a.m. and ending at
     10:36 a.m.
13
14
          I further certify that present on behalf of
     Petitioner was James L. Day, Esquire, of Farella
15
16
     Braun + Martel LLP; and on behalf of Patent Owner
17
     were Cory C. Bell, Esquire and Joshua L. Goldberg,
     Esquire, of Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &
18
19
     Dunner, LLP.
20
          I further certify that I am not related to, nor
21
     associated with any of the parties or their
22
     attorneys, nor do I have any disqualifying interest,
23
     personal or financial, in the actions within.
24
25
```

Page 36 of 47

IPR2018-00342, IPR2018-00343, IPR2018-00344, IPR2018-00345 Schmandt, Christopher August 28, 2018

```
37
           Signed this 29th day of August, 2018, at Suffolk
 1
      County, Massachusetts.
 3
 5
                      KIMBERLY A. SMITH, CRR, CRC, RDR
 6
     · My commission expires: November 20, 2020
 8
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

IPR2018-00342, IPR2018-00343, IPR2018-00344, IPR2018-00345 Schmandt, Christopher August 28, 2018

		38
1	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT	
2		
3	I,, do hereby	
4	acknowledge that I have read and examined the	
5	foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct	
6	and complete transcription of the testimony given by	
7	me, and any corrections appear on the attached Errata	
8	Sheet signed by me.	
9		
10		
11	(DATE) (SIGNATURE)	
12		
13	NOTARIZATION (If Required)	
14		
15	State of	
16	County of	
17		
18	Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on	
19	this, day of, 20, by	
20	, proved to me on the	
21	basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who	
22	appeared before me.	
23		
24	Signature:	
25	(Seal)	

Α	6:12 13:17	available	19:19 20:8	capabilities
a.m 1:17 26:12	15:18 17:21	24:11,15,19	20:13,15,20	22:4
	18:19 26:7	25:4	21:1,15,18	Captioner 36:4
26:13 33:1,2 35:8 36:12	31:23	Avenue 3:6	21:20 22:12	care 22:11
36:13	answer's 31:8	aware 12:10	22:15 23:13	case 1:3 9:1
	answering	14:14 24:23	23:18,23	13:24 14:19
able 21:6 34:1	17:21	25:4	24:11,16,20	33:9 34:18
Absolutely 20:9	anticipation		25:6 31:4	cases 8:25
	33:21	B	32:9	14:11,15,22
academic 9:24	APPEAL 1:2	back 19:21	boxes 15:20	cause 19:6
10:8,13	appear 38:7	23:6 29:19	20:11 21:16	cell 21:23
access 11:22	APPEARAN	30:16,19	21:22,25	certain 11:10
accessibly	2:1 3:1	back-and-fo	22:1 23:24	certainly 25:9
27:9	appeared	34:5	23:25	CERTIFICATE
accommodate	38:22	base 22:2	Braun 2:3 5:6	36:1
16:13	appears 29:7	based 27:20	36:16	Certified 36:3
accompanied 7:13 8:4,19	Apple 23:6,7	basically 10:1	break 6:17,21	36:4
accurate 36:9	Architecture	basis 38:21	26:9 32:23	certify 36:8,14
achieved 18:3	10:12	behalf 5:3	breaks 6:22	36:20
acknowledge	array 16:24,25	14:12 36:14	34:19	changed 27:4
38:4	art 29:1,15	36:16	broad 10:22	changes 34:8
ACKNOWLE	33:9,10,13	believe 15:9	17:10	34:8
38:1	33:13,14,15	31:19	broadest 28:4	check 32:4
actions 36:23	33:15,16,19	Bell 2:14 4:6	broadly 27:14	Christopher
addition 14:15	Arts 10:11	5:1,1,14 7:3	building 2:5	1:16 4:3 5:8
address 5:20	asking 16:23	7:20 8:10	19:9,13	5:19
Administrator	associated	12:13 13:22	bulk 10:19	churn 11:11
1:24 36:5	10:4 36:21	20:1 25:3,23	bunch 32:19	claim 26:23,23
advertiseme	assume 6:5,9	26:1,8,14,22	33:10	27:7,8,16,16
23:8,9,10	12:19 21:23	32:22 33:3	business	27:25,25
affirmed 38:18	assuming	34:22 35:1,4	20:19 21:8	28:14,16,17
Agile 15:13,16	21:13 22:13	35:6 36:17	buttons 17:25	28:24
15:17	Atlanta 20:16	beneficial 21:7		claims 29:7
ago 15:1,2	attached 12:3	big 22:23 24:1	<u>C</u>	clarify 6:3
agree 28:10	38:7	bit 6:15	C 2:14 36:17	19:23 31:7
33:11	attorneys	BOARD 1:2	CA 2:7	class 16:11
Al 10:5	15:10 33:17	body 11:16	cable 1:8	classic 23:3
allowed 34:20	33:23,24	Boston 1:20	20:15 21:2,2	classify 16:9
amount 10:24	36:22	2:16	21:5,6,14	clearly 20:18
11:10 13:7	August 1:17	bottom 12:15	call 10:1 11:9	cleverly 23:11
13:11 17:16	36:11 37:1	bounded	11:13	clients 14:8
34:5	automatically	17:16	called 10:10	close-to 10:10
answer 6:5,8	22:18	box 15:25	15:12	collaborative
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

٠	
	•

33:8	11:21 13:2	consortia	27:4 38:7	22:2
colleague 5:2	15:12	10:20	Cory 2:14 5:1	
Comcast 1:8	compare 14:7	consortium	36:17	D
4:9 5:6 6:24	compatible	10:21,22,23	cory.bell@fi	D 4:1
9:10 12:4,9	22:7	12:22,24	2:18	D.C 3:7
12:21 13:13	compensated	13:2	costs 21:11	data 15:4
13:21 14:2,7	13:23	construed	counsel 6:24	date 14:12
14:12	competition	32:6	County 37:2	38:11
come 11:10	17:24	contacted	38:16	day 2:4 5:5,5
23:23,24,25	competitor	15:11	couple 10:23	13:15 19:20
33:19	15:15	continued 3:1	coupled 26:24	24:25 25:21
comes 10:19	complete 6:9	continuous	27:2,9,15,17	25:24 26:2
11:18 33:21	6:14 38:6	16:18	27:24 28:1,6	26:11 34:25
comfortable	completely	contract 11:4	28:9,10,16	35:2,5,7
33:20	34:9	contributes	28:18,18,19	36:11,15
command	complexity	13:2	28:20,21,24	37:1 38:19
29:18,19	17:8,13	contributing	29:2,5,6	days 21:23
commencing	complicated	11:22	course 6:20	dec 30:4
36:12	22:10 24:8,9	control 22:19	10:25 11:2	declaration
commercial	component	31:4,9,14,20	12:1 18:21	4:11,14,17
19:18 20:7	19:5,14	controlling	21:10,21	7:7,15,23 8:6
commercially	components	27:1 28:7	22:10,22	8:13,21 9:13
24:10,14,18	27:18 28:3	controls 23:24	34:20	25:22 26:6
25:4	computational	convince 21:5	court 5:11	29:11 30:9
commission	17:8,12	convinced	14:15,19	33:5
37:7	computer	21:7,8	covers 17:11	declarations
commitment	27:10,12,13	cool 20:12	CRC 1:23 37:5	14:22
11:15	28:18,19	33:11	create 17:17	definition
Commonwe	concerned	CORP 1:11	17:18	11:14 20:5
36:6	21:11	corporate	created 26:6	delays 19:7,10
communicate	concluded	10:20 11:9	creating 33:22	department
31:18	35:8	11:18 12:9	cross-gener	10:10
communicat	conform 32:6	correct 7:16	23:17	departments
28:23	confusing	8:13,17,22	cross-vendor	10:9
communicat	31:6,7,8	9:18 13:25	23:17	depend 16:8
30:24 32:12	connect 32:20	14:3,4,16	CRR 1:23 37:5	dependent
COMMUNIC	connected	18:21 26:25	cues 6:13	16:12
1:8	16:17 27:19	27:12,19	currently 9:15	depends 16:2
companies	28:3,5 32:11	28:3 29:19	cursor 17:24	DEPONENT
4:22 10:23	connection	29:20,24	customer	38:1
10:25 11:3	28:11,15	30:12,16,17	20:24,25	deposed 5:11
12:25 13:4	considering	30:22 38:5	21:1 22:10	9:6
company	19:8	corrections	customer-in	Deposition
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

I 				3
1:16 35:8	dollars 12:2	22.0	financial 26:22	aift 12:1
describe 33:6	dots 32:20,21	22:8 evidence	financial 36:23 find 33:12	gift 12:1 give 15:2
described	dots 32.20,21	38:21	finish 6:18	18:16
13:1 31:19	22:17	EXAMINATI		
			Finnegan 1:18	given 33:13
Description	dozen 9:5	4:5 5:13	2:12 3:3 4:25	38:6
4:9,20	drafted 33:5	examined 38:4	5:1,3 36:18	go 11:10 22:9
designed 16:12	draw 32:19 driver's 5:10	example 10:6 11:19 28:14	first 15:7 20:14	32:8
			20:14,22	goal 18:3
determine	duly 5:11	excellent	24:10 27:15	goals/guidel
29:17 30:15	Dunner 1:18	17:20	27:25 32:4	10:22
developing	2:13 3:4	Exhibit 4:10	fit 11:14	goes 31:1
20:15	36:19	4:11,14,17	five 14:10	going 18:19
different 21:22	E	4:21 7:1,5,18	follow 23:9	21:17,18,24
22:3,4,4	E 4:1	7:22 8:8,12	follows 5:12	22:2,9 26:18
23:18		9:13,15	foregoing 36:9	30:25 33:20
differentiate	easy 17:21 educating	12:11,15	38:5	Goldberg 3:5
16:6,15	22:24,25	25:11,18	form 13:15	5:3 36:17
difficult 16:24	effective 17:23	26:15,19,20	16:2,4 20:19	good 5:15,16
17:1	either 11:17	29:10	24:25	21:8
difficulties	12:2	exhibits 4:8,24	formatting	Great 35:5
15:24 16:21		experience	34:3	group 11:23
17:5,7 19:18	employed 9:16 9:20	17:17,19	forms 16:7	11:24,25
19:24 20:2,6	endpoint	18:2	17:4	guess 15:2
22:23,23	32:13,14	expert 8:24	Francisco 2:7	Н
23:14,15,19	endpoints	expires 37:7	front 7:5	Half 9:5
difficulty 20:18	32:11	F	full 5:17	hand 13:19
23:21,21	engineer 29:8	factor 18:15	fund 12:4	15:4 26:19
24:2	entities 10:1	18:15,17	funded 10:15	handed 12:14
Diplomate	entity 10:7,8	faculty 10:4	11:13,16	handheld 31:4
36:5	32:17	fair 34:5	funding 10:2,3	handing 7:4
discuss 6:23	equipped	fairly 34:16	10:5,19 11:5	7:21 8:11
34:18	23:13	Farabow 1:18	further 36:14	handy 26:18
disqualifying	equivalent	2:12 3:3	36:20	happen 22:18
36:22 distribution	10:9,10	36:18	G	happy 11:3
	Errata 38:7	Farella 2:3 5:5	Garrett 1:18	26:5
20:22 District 14:15	erroneous	36:15	2:12 3:3	hard 20:4
District 14:15	18:14	federal 11:18	36:18	harder 22:6
14:18	Esq 2:4,14 3:5	feedback 19:1	generally 18:6	head-end
document	Esquire 36:15	feel 33:14	33:24	22:17
33:24 34:6	36:17,18	figure 18:19	generations	hear 15:7 24:3
documents	evaluate 33:13	30:1 33:14	21:22 23:18	heard 13:5,19
33:22 34:14	everybody	34:1	gestures 6:13	15:12,17
doing 16:19	Gverybody	J 4 .1	gestuies 0.13	10.14,17
	l	I	I	I

,	1
/	ı
_	۰

Henderson	interest 36:22	kind 11:7 12:2	litigation	Martel 2:3 5:6
1:18,25 2:12	interested	21:12 25:1	14:15	36:16
3:3 4:25 5:2	11:25	know 13:13,16	little 6:15	Massachuse
5:3 36:18	interesting	13:17,21	LLC 1:8	1:20 5:21
Hey 33:10	9:23	15:5 22:7,11	LLP 1:18 2:3	9:17 36:7
hop 31:25	interface	22:14,18,20	2:13 3:4	37:2
hops 31:16	17:23	23:7,12,16	36:16,19	matter 15:8
hour 14:6	intervention	23:20 24:14	long 13:13,18	34:6
hourly 14:5	22:21	24:18,21	longer 18:18	mean 14:23
hours 14:21	IP 11:21,22	25:17 26:7	21:25	16:4 17:12
15:6	IPR2018-003	29:15,23	Longfellow	18:24 19:24
https://www	1:3 8:12	30:11 33:17	5:21	20:2 25:16
12:16	IPR2018-003	known 33:15	look 12:20	28:16 31:3
12.10	1:3 8:12	KIIOWII 33. 13	18:4,5 30:1	31:13
I	IPR2018-003	L	31:23	meaning 29:2
I.e 27:13	1:4 7:5	L 2:4 3:5 36:15	looks 7:8,24	means 27:15
identification	IPR2018-003	36:17	8:3	27:18,24
4:8 7:2,19	1:4 7:22	lab 4:21 9:20	lot 20:18 23:8	28:2,22 29:4
8:9 12:12	iron 21:13	9:22 10:3,4,5	29:7	· ·
26:21	isolated 16:16	10:5,6,6,15	lower 18:20	meant 28:5,8 Media 4:21
identified 5:9		10:18,18		
identify 29:24	issue 21:21	11:8,20,22	lunchtime	9:17,20,22
30:11	issuing 29:17	12:22,24	34:21	10:6,10,15
implemented	J	labeled 7:4	M	10:18 11:8
19:9,19 20:8	jacks 23:25	25:12,18	MA 2:16	11:20
24:15,19	James 2:4 5:5	26:15	MacOS 22:7,8	medium 32:1
25:5	36:15	Laboratory	magnitude	member 4:22
important 21:4	jday@fbm.c	9:17	15:5,6	12:22,24
include 27:12	2:9	labs 9:25 10:1	maintenance	13:8,14,17
included 27:10	Jim 34:22	10:8	21:11	memory 27:9
independent		Lane 1:19 2:15	majority 10:16	28:18,19
16:14	job 11:2			mentioned
	join 10:23	large 20:11	making 21:9	11:15 33:4
indicate 6:9	Joshua 3:5 5:2	Legal 1:25	management	messages
influence 29:4	36:17	license 5:10	9:24 22:15	32:17,18
information	joshua.gold	life 24:8	manufacturer	microphone
12:18 30:21	3:9	lifetime 21:25	20:16,20	23:22,22,25
inside 28:7	joystick 17:24	likelihood	21:1	microphones
installation	jump 26:9	18:20	marked 7:2,19	23:23
22:14	K	line 26:9	7:21 8:8,11	Microsoft
installed 22:24		lines 27:16,25	9:13 12:11	33:25
Institute 9:17	keep 11:3	32:20,20	12:14 26:20	million 22:2
interactions	Kimberly 1:23	list 12:21	market 24:21	minority 11:12
13:20	36:3 37:5	listed 17:4	24:24	minutes 6:22
	l		1	

MIT 4:04 0 40	20.0 0 4 40	00000100001100	00:40.00.4	name 7.45
MIT 4:21 9:18	32:2,3,4,10	Occasionally	29:12 30:4	penalty 7:15
9:23 10:1,7	32:16,18,19	6:15	pages 10:23	8:6,21 34:15
10:14,18	32:19	October 15:11	paid 14:8	people 23:10
model 10:21	never 13:5	OFFICE 1:1	paragraph	23:11,12
22:12	15:17 33:9	officer 36:7	9:14,15	perceive 19:11
models 22:3	34:1	okay 6:3,10,11	25:13,15,19	perform 17:15
Mojave 22:9	new 3:6 22:16	6:14 20:22	25:20,25	18:22,22,23
moment 15:23	nine 15:1	25:24 26:10	26:1,4,17	perjury 7:15
money 10:24	node 26:25	29:13 30:7	29:11,22	8:6,21 34:15
11:18 12:1,2	27:3,17 28:2	32:24 35:4,6	30:9	person 16:13
13:7,11	28:8,9,21	old 10:5	paragraphs	16:14 29:1
Montgomery	29:16,16,23	once 20:21	34:2	29:15 38:21
2:6	30:11 31:5	22:24	part 11:2 27:2	personal
months 15:1,1	31:10,15,18	one-way 31:20	particular	13:20 36:23
morning 5:15	31:21 32:9	open 6:19	11:16,17,24	personally
5:16 34:17	32:14,16,17	operating	11:24 13:3	22:15
Motorola	nodes 32:1,10	21:11	16:13,14	Petition 4:13
20:16	32:21	operator's	29:17,18	4:16 7:1,6,18
multiple 21:15	nonspeech	22:19	30:15	25:12,19
31:16 34:7	17:23	opinion 29:23	particularly	26:16
mumble 6:15	nonverbal	30:10,14	12:19	petitioner 1:9
	6:13	34:10,14	parties 36:21	2:10 5:6
N	Nos 1:3,5	opinions 14:3	partitioning	36:15
N 4:1	NOTARIZATI	opposed 13:3	32:9	phones 21:23
N.W 3:6	38:13	order 11:8	partner 12:9	21:24
name 5:17	Notary 36:6	15:5,6 22:21	13:1	phrase 23:4
25:10	November	30:15	partners 11:9	physical 27:18
necessarily	15:9 37:7	ordinary 29:1	parts 22:22	28:2,11,15
34:11	NSF 11:18	29:15	33:22,24	piece 29:25
necessary	number 15:3	Original 4:24	party 20:17,17	place 27:6
17:18 30:14	18:8,12	originated	party 20.17,17	Planning
need 6:17	20:11 32:10	30:21	patent 1:1,2,5	10:12
22:20	34:2	output 19:6	1:12 3:10	please 5:17
needed 6:18	numbers 18:6	overuses	4:10,12,15	6:2,12,16 7:9
needs 29:23	Tiullibers 10.0			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
30:11	0	28:17 Owner 1:12	4:18 15:14	7:25 8:15 27:23 30:1
negotiate	oath 5:22		16:6 26:19	
20:18	Object 13:15	3:10 36:16	26:23 29:7	point 22:17
network 22:15	24:25	Р	31:19,22,24	policies 11:21
26:25 27:3	Obviously	page 4:5,9,20	36:16	possible 15:10
27:18 28:2	21:16	4:21 7:9,10	path 31:20	possibly 23:17
30:20 31:9	obviousness	7:25 8:15	32:1,15	34:21
			pay 13:6	potential
31:16,25	33:21	12:21 25:13	paying 14:2	19:11
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

4	_	
ř	`	
ι	,	

				0
power 17:15	11:13 12:5	range 18:16	28:21 32:8	remember
practice 33:18	project 11:5	rarely 10:8	recognize	15:3,23
preamble	13:3	rate 14:5,7,8,9	23:12	remote 17:25
27:20,21	projects 10:17	14:10 18:5,6	recognized	17:25 23:24
precision 18:7	11:14	RDR 1:23 37:5	18:14,20	29:16,23
18:8,12	Promptu 1:11	RE44,326 1:5	29:18	30:10,23,25
precludes	4:20 5:4 8:25	read 19:20,22	recognizer	, ,
31:22	13:24 14:12	27:21,21	16:10,11	31:1,3,4,5,9
	_	· ·	*	31:14,18,20
preparing 14:21	15:7	34:7 38:4	18:9,10	repeat 24:17
ll	proper 18:13	reading 15:14	21:10	27:23 30:8
presence	proposal 11:6	28:24	recognizers	reporter 1:23
10:13,13	proved 38:20	real 15:3	16:16	4:24 5:11
present 36:14	provide 6:8	really 10:23	record 5:18	36:1,4,5
presume	14:2	20:12,24	6:13 19:22	requested
22:16	provider 21:3	21:4 24:1	34:23 36:9	19:22
previously 7:4	21:5,6,14	Realtime 1:24	redo 21:19	Required
7:21 8:11	providing	36:3,4,5	referred 31:5	38:13
13:6 33:4	15:25	reasonable	referring 30:1	requirement
principal 9:16	PTAB 14:16	17:16	refers 28:11	34:23
probably	Public 36:6	recall 9:8	reflect 34:14	requires 27:11
13:18 17:10	publishes	14:13 18:7	reflects 34:10	research 9:16
17:22	10:22	18:11 24:10	regardless	9:24 10:7,13
problem 20:22	publishing	25:10,17	11:23	10:17,20,22
20:23 22:5	14:23	receive 10:3	Registered	11:1,4,9,16
23:17	purposes 10:2	18:25	36:4	12:9 13:1,2
problems	put 32:18	receives 32:17	regularly	15:15
19:11 23:3		Recess 26:12	12:20	reside 27:9
proceedings	Q	33:1	related 14:14	responsible
36:8,10,10	question 6:2,6	recites 26:24	34:18 36:20	10:4
process 26:5	6:8,12,19	27:8,16,25	relating 15:19	result 18:21
33:4,8	9:23 13:18	recognition	relation 20:24	resumed
processing	15:18 16:22	15:20,25	relationship	26:13 33:2
17:14,14	17:20 20:14	16:3,5,7,9,21	20:19,25	retained 4:24
processor	21:14 26:7	17:4,15 18:5	21:2,9	return 11:4
28:7,20	27:23 30:8	18:5,22,24	relative 10:24	returned 4:25
processors	questions	19:1,5,5,10	10:25	review 35:2
22:4	26:9 35:7	19:13,19	relatively	reviewing 33:9
produces 19:6	quick 26:8	20:7 21:17	10:24 13:7	right 19:8 22:7
production	32:22	23:2 24:2,11	13:10	25:22 30:2
5:10	quite 12:7 13:4	24:15,19	released 23:6	33:11
program 27:1	17:2	25:5 26:24	23:7 24:12	Road 5:21
27:8		27:2,10,11	releasing	running 22:8,8
programs	R	27:17 28:1	19:18 20:7	Russ 2:5
F. Og. amio		27.17 20.1	10.10 20.7	1.400 2.0
	•	•	•	•

	20:8,11,13	son 23:9	started 15:8	sure 9:12 15:6
<u>S</u>	20:20 21:1	sorry 15:18	starts 19:3	16:22 17:2
S-i-r-i 23:7	21:15,16,18	18:11 19:20	33:8	24:5,6 26:11
San 2:7	21:20,22,25	25:24,24	state 5:17	27:24 30:2,9
satisfactorily	, ,	25.24,24 27:20 29:12	29:14 38:15	
5:9	22:1,12,15		statement	31:4,21 32:5 33:7
satisfactory	23:13,18,23	30:7 31:3,6		
38:21	23:24,25	speak 6:16	7:14 8:5,20	suspect 22:19
satisfying	24:11,16,20	18:25	statements	sworn 5:11,24
17:17,19,22	25:6 31:11	speaker 16:12	7:14 8:5,20	34:17 38:18
18:2	32:9	16:14	states 1:1 9:14	system 19:4,6
saying 7:14	Sheet 38:8	speaking 19:3	stating 33:20	19:7,9,12,19
8:5,20 22:6	show 22:25	specific 10:17	stenotype	20:7 23:4
29:22 30:10	showed 23:10	specifically	36:11	26:24 27:1,2
30:24 31:1	Sierra 22:7,8	19:2 25:10	steps 27:8	27:10,11,17
says 7:6 11:4	sign 35:3	specifics	storage 17:15	28:1,21
12:15 18:9	signature 7:10	25:10	Street 2:6	29:17 30:15
27:1 33:10	7:11,13 8:2,4	specify 11:1	strings 12:3	systems 1:11
Schmandt	8:17,19	speech 15:25	structure 9:24	1:24 24:15
1:16 4:3 5:8	34:23 38:11	16:2,4,7,8,16	9:25 10:14	24:19,23
5:19	38:24	16:17,17,18	stuff 21:12	25:2,5,9 36:5
School 10:11	signed 34:15	16:20 17:3	22:16 34:4	
Sciences	37:1 38:8	18:2 19:4,10	Submitted	
10:11	simply 22:6	19:13,18	25:12,18	tables 34:4
Scientific	single 21:15	20:7 21:10	26:16	take 6:18,21
20:16	Siri 23:6,7,7,10	21:17 23:2	Subscribed	18:19 21:17
scientist 9:16	23:11	24:2,15,19	38:18	26:8 32:22
screen 18:1	six-word 16:18	25:5 26:24	subscriber	34:16
Seal 38:25	size 16:10	27:2,10,11	22:11,20	taken 36:8,11
Seaport 1:19	18:15	27:16 28:1	29:17,20	takes 17:15
2:15	skill 29:1,15	28:20 30:21	30:15,16,20	18:22,23
see 12:15,17	33:16	32:8	30:22	talk 18:6 23:11
13:4 20:23	small 10:24	spent 14:21	subscribers	23:12 26:5
22:6	13:7,10	sponsor 11:17	23:1	talking 19:2,4
seen 12:18	Smith 1:23	12:7	substance	25:21
sense 28:4	36:3 37:5	sponsored	6:23	teach 23:13
sentence	software 20:12	10:16 11:13	Suffolk 37:1	teaching 23:11
29:14	20:13,15	11:14 12:4	supplier 21:2	technical
seriously	22:16	13:3	support 4:12	23:15,19,21
34:16	solving 23:16	sponsors	4:15 7:6	23:21 24:1
Services 1:25	somebody	10:20	21:16 25:12	technology
set 33:19	33:9	standard 14:9	25:19 26:16	9:18 24:9
set-top 15:20	somewhat	14:10	supposed	tell 5:24 6:16
15:25 19:19	10:18	start 32:8	33:17	26:3 28:17
10.20 10.10				

[-				8
00 05 0 / / 0	1001051-			
28:25 34:12	18:8,12 34:7	U.S 1:5 4:10	vendors 21:23	Witness's 4:11
ten 9:5	touchscreen	Um-hum 14:25	vocabulary	4:14,17
tend 21:25	17:25 18:1	understand	16:10 18:15	word 16:17
term 10:25	TRADEMARK	5:22,24 6:2	23:5	18:9,13,13
17:10 27:13	1:1	6:22 16:22	voice 15:19	18:14 20:2
28:4,17 29:4	transcript 36:9	18:10,10	23:2 24:11	25:16 26:4
29:7 32:5	transcription	29:2,3	29:18	28:13,24
terminal 29:20	38:6	understood	VOLUME 1:14	33:25 34:12
29:24,25	translations	6:6,6,7		words 6:12
30:11,25	31:17	unit 29:20,24	W	34:13,13
31:2,12,13	transmission	29:25 30:11	waive 34:22	work 11:12
31:15,15,21	30:19,20	30:16,20,22	want 6:18 15:2	14:18 15:19
terms 19:13,15	transmit 30:16	30:25 31:2	30:4 32:6	21:18,19
29:6	transmits	31:21	34:8,22	22:25 23:2
testified 5:12	31:14,15	UNITED 1:1	Washington	worked 14:11
testimony 6:24	transmitted	unusual 10:18	3:7	22:13,16
13:24 38:5,6	29:19	11:20	watched 23:8	working 11:25
text 25:15,20	TRIAL 1:2	usability 19:15	way 16:11,15	15:8
Thank 25:14	tries 16:6	use 27:13	17:21 28:22	worry 34:3
26:2	true 7:15 8:6,7	user 17:17,19	31:17	wouldn't 13:17
Thanks 30:7	8:21 36:9	18:2,9,13,25	ways 16:9	13:21
thing 11:7 21:5	38:5	19:3,11	we'll 6:17	write 25:15,16
things 18:18	truth 5:25 6:1	22:24	we're 19:4,7	25:20 33:22
19:6 20:4	6:1	users 23:1	21:24 30:1	33:23 34:11
29:5	try 6:21 11:2	28:19	35:1	wrote 26:4
think 12:7	31:7	uses 29:8	we've 12:7	34:6,13
13:12,19	Tuesday 1:17	usually 11:6	web 4:21	
23:16 27:4	turn 7:9,25	utterance 23:4	website 12:20	X
28:8,12,23	8:15 9:14	utterances	12:20	X 1:7,13 4:1
32:7 33:15	25:11 26:17	16:18 18:16	Winchester	
34:9	29:11		5:21	Y
third 29:14	TV 15:13,16,17	V	wireless 30:23	year 13:12
three- 16:17	18:1	v 1:10	wireline 26:25	16:1 19:17
three-dimen	two 1:19 2:15	vague 28:5,6	27:3,17 28:1	20:5 24:16
16:23,25	18:6 21:24	28:12 29:4,9	28:8,9,20,21	24:20
throw 21:24	27:18 28:2	vaguely 16:7	32:9	years 12:8
throws 33:10	29:5 32:1	various 16:7	wish 26:6	14:10 21:24
time 13:18	types 16:20	17:3	witness 2:10	York 3:6
14:23 16:17	17:3	vast 10:16	4:3 5:7 8:24	
17:16 18:21	_	vendor 21:15	9:10 13:16	Z
	Typically 16:8 32:19 33:23	21:16	19:23 25:1	
18:23,24	32.18 33.23	vendor's 21:18	26:3,10	0
19:3 25:8	U	21:19	32:24	02210-2001
times 9:4,8		۵۱.۱۵	JZ.Z4	1:20 2:16
	I	I	I	I

•	
	п
•	

				9
	3:7	4:10		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2001 4:21	74 30:4		
1 4:13 7:1,6	12:11,15	14 30.4		
25:12,19	200K 13:12	8		
10-pager 11:7	2017 15:12	8 4:17		
10:03 26:13	2017 15.9 2018 1:17	84 29:12		
10:23 33:1	36:12 37:1	0425.12		
10:33 33:2		9		
10:36 35:8	202 3:8 2020 37:7	9:03 1:17		
36:13	2020 37.7 231 7:9	36:12		
1001 4:10	_	9:45 26:12		
26:19,20	235 2:6	901 3:6		
1019 4:11,14	237 8:15	91 25:13,15		
7:1,5,18,22	26 4:10	94104 2:7		
25:11,18	271 26:17	954-4400 2:8		
26:15	28 1:17			
1023 4:17 8:8	28th 36:11			
8:12 9:13,15	29th 37:1			
29:10	3			
117 25:19 26:1	30 15:6,6			
12 4:21	326 4:18			
14 26:23 27:7				
15 9:9	4			
169 29:11,22	4 5:21			
30:9	408-4000 3:8			
196 4:12,15	415 2:8			
26:19,23	450 14:6			
27:7				
199 7:25	5			
2	5 4:6 9:14,15			
2 4:13,16,16	_12:21			
7:1,6,18,18	50 11:8			
12:21 22:2	51 25:13			
25:13,19	517 29:16 31:4			
26:16,16	31:10,18			
20 9:9 37:7	6			
38:19	60 6:22			
2000 16:1	617 2:17			
19:17 20:5	646-1600 2:17			
22:16 24:16				
24:20,22	7			
25:7 [′]	7 4:11,14			
20001-4413	7,047,196 1:5			
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>