

Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Filed: December 21, 2018

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,  
Petitioner,

v.

PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No. IPR2018-00344  
U.S. Pat. No. 7,047,196

---

**PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

The Board has already scheduled oral hearings for IPR2018-00342 and IPR2018-00343 (both related to U.S. Patent No. RE44,326) and IPR2018-00344 and IPR2018-00345 (both related to U.S. Patent No. 7,047,196, which is related to the '326 patent) on January 28, 2019. Paper 13, Order Conduct of Proceeding, at 3. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Patent Owner requests a simultaneous oral hearing in Alexandria on the instituted grounds of unpatentability in all four proceedings, including:

<b>RE44,326 Instituted Grounds</b>		
<b>Reference(s)</b>	<b>Basis</b>	<b>Claims Challenged</b>
Houser	§ 103	1–7 and 12–17
Houser and Banker or Gordon	§ 103	8, 9, 18, and 19
Houser and Martin or Blahut	§ 103	11 and 21
Murdock	§ 103	1–7 and 12–17
Murdock and Banker or Gordon	§ 103	8, 9, 18, and 19
Murdock and Martin or Blahut	§ 103	11 and 21
Julia	§ 103	1–7 and 12–17
Julia and Banker or Gordon	§ 103	8, 9, 18, and 19
Julia and Martin or Blahut	§ 103	11 and 21

<b>7,047,196 Instituted Grounds</b>		
<b>Reference(s)</b>	<b>Basis</b>	<b>Claims Challenged</b>
Murdock alone	§ 103	1, 2, 4–6, 12, 13, 27, 28, 30–32, and 38–42
Murdock and Nazarathy or Quigley	§ 103	1, 2, 4–6, 12, 13, 27, 28, 30–32, and 38–42
Murdock alone or Murdock and Nazarathy or Quigley and Banker or Gordon	§ 103	5, 6, 31, and 32
Julia and Nazarathy or Quigley	§ 103	1, 2, 4–6, 12, 13, 27, 28, 30–32, and 38–42
Julia and Nazarathy or Quigley and Banker or Gordon	§ 103	5, 6, 31, and 32
Murdock alone	§ 103	14, 15, 17–19, 25, 26, 53– 55, 61, 62, and 64–66
Murdock and Nazarathy or Quigley	§ 103	14, 15, 17–19, 25, 26, 53– 55, 61, 62, and 64–66
Murdock alone or Murdock and Nazarathy or Quigley and Banker or Gordon	§ 103	18, 19, 55, and 65

Julia and Nazarathy or Quigley	§ 103	1, 2, 4–6, 12–15, 17–19, 25–28, 30–32, 38–42, 53– 55, 61, 62, and 64–66
Julia and Nazarathy or Quigley and Banker or Gordon	§ 103	18, 19, 55, and 65

Patent Owner also requests oral hearing on any issues raised by Petitioner in its Requests for Oral Hearing, as well as any issues raised in motions to exclude.

Patent Owner requests ninety minutes of time to address these issues if all four proceedings are argued simultaneously. If the proceedings are not argued simultaneously, Patent Owner requests forty-five minutes of time for each proceeding. To the extent the Board schedules the hearing to last a length of time different than the proposed times, Patent Owner requests that it be given half the length of the hearing to address these issues.

Patent Owner requests that seven spaces be reserved at the oral hearing to accommodate its counsel and corporate representatives. Patent Owner also requests that two attorneys at Patent Owner's counsel's table be allowed to use computers at the hearing (in addition to the counsel making the argument using his or her computer to show the demonstratives), to avoid the need for the parties to

bring entire paper copies of the record into the hearing room and to facilitate efficient answering of panel questions.

Date: December 21, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/Cory C. Bell/

Cory C. Bell

Reg. No. 75,096

Counsel for Promptu Systems Corporation

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing “**PATENT OWNER’S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**” was served on December 21, 2018, via email directed to counsel of record for the Petitioner at the following:

James L. Day  
jday@fbm.com

Daniel Callaway  
dcallaway@fbm.com

calendar@fbm.com

Leo L. Lam  
llam@keker.com

Dated: December 21, 2018

By: /Ashley F. Cheung/  
Ashley F. Cheung  
Case Manager  
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP