

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

PROMPTU SYSTEMS CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

IPR2018-00344
Patent 7,047,196 B2

Before JAMESON LEE, ROBERT L. KINDER, and
JULIET MITCHELL DIRBA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

DIRBA, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

NOTICE

Notice of Disposition of Sealed Final Written Decision
Determining Some Challenged Claims Unpatentable
35 U.S.C. §§ 144, 318

Today, we issue a non-public version of a Final Written Decision under 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) following a remand by the Federal Circuit. Paper 68.

For the reasons discussed in that decision (a public version of which will issue in due course), we concluded that Petitioner has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 1, 2, 4–6, 12, and 13 of the '196 patent are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), and Petitioner has not shown by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 27, 28, 30–32, and 38–42 of the '196 patent are unpatentable.

The following table summarizes our determinations:

Claims	35 U.S.C. §	References/ Basis	Claims Shown Unpatentable	Claims Not Shown Unpatentable
1, 2, 4–6, 12, 13, 27, 28, 30–32, 38–42	103(a)	Murdock		1, 2, 4–6, 12, 13, 27, 28, 30–32, 38–42
1, 2, 4–6, 12, 13, 27, 28, 30–32, 38–42	103(a)	Murdock, Nazarathy		1, 2, 4–6, 12, 13, 27, 28, 30–32, 38–42
1, 2, 4–6, 12, 13, 27, 28, 30–32, 38–42	103(a)	Murdock, Quigley		1, 2, 4–6, 12, 13, 27, 28, 30–32, 38–42
5, 6, 31, 32	103(a)	Murdock, Nazarathy, Banker		5, 6, 31, 32
5, 6, 31, 32	103(a)	Murdock, Nazarathy, Gordon		5, 6, 31, 32

Claims	35 U.S.C. §	References/ Basis	Claims Shown Unpatentable	Claims Not Shown Unpatentable
5, 6, 31, 32	103(a)	Murdock, Quigley, Banker		5, 6, 31, 32
5, 6, 31, 32	103(a)	Murdock, Quigley, Gordon		5, 6, 31, 32
1, 2, 4–6, 12, 13, 27, 28, 30–32, 38–42	103(a)	Julia, Nazarathy	1, 2, 4, 12, 13	5, 6, 27, 28, 30–32, 38–42
1, 2, 4–6, 12, 13, 27, 28, 30–32, 38–42	103(a)	Julia, Quigley	1, 2, 4, 12, 13	5, 6, 27, 28, 30–32, 38–42
5, 6, 31, 32	103(a)	Julia, Nazarathy, Banker	5, 6	31, 32
5, 6, 31, 32	103(a)	Julia, Nazarathy, Gordon ¹		31, 32
5, 6, 31, 32	103(a)	Julia, Quigley, Banker	5, 6	31, 32

¹ Because we determine that challenged claims 5 and 6 are unpatentable based on the Julia-Nazarathy-Banker combination, we decline to address claims 5 and 6 on the ground of Julia, Nazarathy, and Gordon.

Claims	35 U.S.C. §	References/ Basis	Claims Shown Unpatentable	Claims Not Shown Unpatentable
5, 6, 31, 32	103(a)	Julia, Quigley, Gordon ²		31, 32
Overall Outcome			1, 2, 4–6, 12, 13	27, 28, 30–32, 38–42

² Because we determine that challenged claims 5 and 6 are unpatentable based on the Julia-Quigley-Banker combination, we decline to address claims 5 and 6 on the ground of Julia, Quigley, and Gordon.

IPR2018-00344
Patent 7,047,196 B2

For PETITIONER:

James Day
Daniel Callaway
FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP
jday@fbm.com
dcallaway@fbm.com

Leo Lam
KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP
llam@keker.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Joshua Goldberg
Jacob Schroeder
Cory Bell
Daniel Klodowski
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
joshua.goldberg@finnegan.com
jacob.schroeder@finnegan.com
cory.bell@finnegan.com
daniel.klodowski@finnegan.com