

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JT INTERNATIONAL, S.A.,

Petitioner,

v.

FONTEM HOLDINGS 1 B.V.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01513 (Patent 8,375,957 B2)

Case IPR2015-01578 (Patent 8,689,805 B2)

Case IPR2015-01587 (Patent 8,365,742 B2)

Case IPR2015-01604 (Patent 8,863,752 B2)¹

Before JOSIAH C. COCKS, JAQUELINE WRIGHT BONILLA,
GRACE KARAFFA OBERMANN, DONNA M. PRAISS, BRIAN J.
McNAMARA, RAMA G. ELLURU, and JO-ANNE M. KOKOSKI,
Administrative Patent Judges.

PER CURIUM.

ORDER

Dismissing Petitions

37 C.F.R. §§ 42.71(a), 42.74

¹ This order addresses issues common to all cases; therefore, we issue a single order to be entered in each proceeding. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this heading.

IPR2015-01513 (Patent 8,375,957 B2)
IPR2015-01578 (Patent 8,689,805 B2)
IPR2015-01587 (Patent 8,365,742 B2)
IPR2015-01604 (Patent 8,863,752 B2)

The parties filed a joint motion to terminate each proceeding identified in the caption. Paper 11.² Along with the motion, the parties filed a copy of a document they describe as their written settlement agreement (Ex. 2029), as well as a separate joint request to treat the settlement agreement as business confidential information. Paper 12; *see* 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) (a party to a settlement may request that the settlement agreement be treated as business confidential and be kept separate from the patent file). The parties represent that the settlement agreement resolves all disputes between the parties that involve the patents-at-issue. Paper 11, 4–5.

The cases are in a preliminary stage. The Board has not yet issued decisions on whether to institute the proceedings.

We are persuaded that, under these circumstances, it is appropriate to dismiss the petition in each proceeding. 35 C.F.R. § 42.71(a). This paper does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

It is

ORDERED that the joint motion to terminate is *granted* and the petition is dismissed in each proceeding;

² Substantially similar papers and exhibits were filed in each proceeding. For convenience, we refer to paper and exhibit numbers in IPR2015-01513.

IPR2015-01513 (Patent 8,375,957 B2)
IPR2015-01578 (Patent 8,689,805 B2)
IPR2015-01587 (Patent 8,365,742 B2)
IPR2015-01604 (Patent 8,863,752 B2)

FURTHER ORDERED that the joint request to treat the settlement agreement as business confidential information, to be kept separate from the patent file, is *granted* in each proceeding.

PETITIONER:

Erik Swenson
erik.g.swenson@nortonrosefulbright.com

George Jordan
george.jordan@nortonrosefulbright.com

PATENT OWNER:

Michael Wise
mwise@perkinscoie.com

Joseph Hamilton
jhamilton@perkinscoie.com