UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

R.J. REYNOLDS VAPOR COMPANY,

Petitioner,

FONTEM HOLDINGS 1 B.V.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00631 Patent No. 9,339,062

Case IPR2018-00632 Patent No. 9,326,550

Case IPR2018-00633 Patent No. 9,326,551

Case IPR2018-00634 Patent No. 9,456,632

TELEPHONIC PTAB CALL

Thursday, August 2, 2018 - 3:31 p.m.

Reported by:

Elia E. Carrion, CSR, RPR, CRR

Job no: 22483

			Page	2
1	PRESENT:			
2				
3				
4	PANEL:	JUDGE GRACE KARAFFA OBERMANN		
5		JUDGE KRISTINA KALAN		
6		JUDGE KIMBERLY McGRAW		
7		JUDGE JO-ANNE KOKOSKI		
8		JUDGE DONNA PRAISS		
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

Page 3 1 **PRESENT:** 2 BRINKS GILSON & LIONE 3 455 North Cityfront Plaza Drive, 4 Suite 3600, NBC Tower 5 Chicago, Illinois 60611-5599 6 312.321.4221 7 rmallin@brinksgilson.com 8 9 MR. ROBERT S. MALLIN, ESQ. 10 appeared on behalf of the Petitioner; 11 12 13 PERKINS COIE LLP 14 1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700 15 Los Angeles, California 90067-1721 310.788.3271 16 JHamilton@perkinscoie.com 17 18 MR. JOSEPH P. HAMILTON, ESQ. appeared on behalf of the Patent Owner. 19 20 21 22 23 24

Page 4 1 JUDGE OBERMANN: I'm Judge Grace Obermann, and 2 I have on the phone with me Judge Kristina Kalan, 3 Kimberly McGraw, Jo-Anne Kokoski, and Donna Praiss. 4 Could we please start with the roll call. Do we have counsel for Petitioner on the line? 5 MR. MALLIN: Yes. Good afternoon. This is 6 Robert Mallin. 7 8 JUDGE OBERMANN: Hello, Mr. Mallin. Anyone 9 with you? 10 MR. MALLIN: No, just myself. 11 JUDGE OBERMANN: Thank you. Who do we have for Patent Owner? 12 13 MR. HAMILTON: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Joseph Hamilton of Perkins Coie for Patent Owner 14 15 Fontem. Also, I want to mention, there is a 16 court reporter on the line. And Patent Owner will 17 take the responsibility to submit a transcript as 18 soon as it's available. 19 20 JUDGE OBERMANN: I appreciate that, Mr. Hamilton. 21 Thank you. We've called this conference call because 22 23 Petitioner has requested leave to file a reply brief 24 in each proceeding. And I will just start off by

> TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com Fontem Ex. 2086 R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company v. Fontem Holdings 1 B.V. IPR2018-00634 Page 4 of 21

1 saying that I thought that the email was a bit much
2 in terms of going a bit beyond showing good cause
3 and actually arguing their case.

Page 5

So I would appreciate it if both counsel would 4 5 refrain from submitting this kind of legal-heavy or fact-heavy correspondence by email. It really does 6 abuse the process here and it makes it difficult, 7 8 because once we read these things, we can't unread 9 them, and it becomes an issue because it almost 10 takes it out of our discretion to grant the reply 11 brief when you sort of file an unauthorized reply by 12 email.

So with that, I'll ask Petitioner to pleasebegin since you lodged the request.

MR. MALLIN: Yes. Your Honor, I want toapologize for that. I won't do that again.

JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Mallin,yeah.

19MR. MALLIN: May I get the name of the fifth20judge that you mentioned that I didn't get?

21 JUDGE OBERMANN: It's Donna Praiss,

22 P-R-A-I-S-S.

23 MR. MALLIN: P-R-A-I-S-S. Thank you very much.
24 Okay. I think I got everybody now.

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com Fontem Ex. 2086 R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company v. Fontem Holdings 1 B.V. IPR2018-00634 Page 5 of 21

1 Your Honor, Petitioner here seeks authorization to file a reply in these four IPR proceedings with 2 3 respect to the Patent Owner's issue-preclusion argument and their Section 314(a) argument. 4 5 With regard to the issue-preclusion argument, Petitioner submits that good cause exists because 6 Petitioner could not have anticipated this argument 7 because issue preclusion does not attach to a 8 9 preliminary institution decision from the Board. 10 As the Supreme Court made clear in In Re 11 Cuozzo, the Patent Owner's decision to initiate inter partes review is preliminary and not final. 12 That's at 136 S.Ct. 21 --13 JUDGE OBERMANN: Mr. Mallin, I think you're 14 15 getting into the merits. MR. MALLIN: Oh, okay. I apologize. 16 17 JUDGE OBERMANN: That's okay. MR. MALLIN: So we -- we could not have -- the 18 19 point is, is we could not have anticipated this 20 argument because we believe that issue preclusion simply does not apply to an instant of preliminary 21 22 institution decision from the Board. 23 By the same token on the 3 -- moving on to the 24 314(a) argument, again, this is a situation where we

TransPerfect Legal Solutions

212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com Fontem Ex. 2086 R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company v. Fontem Holdings 1 B.V. IPR2018-00634 Page 6 of 21

1 did not anticipate this argument because this is the 2 first --

JUDGE OBERMANN: Can I just ask you a question?
I'm sorry.

MR. MALLIN: Yes.

5

JUDGE OBERMANN: Because I'm less interested in
the merits of what you're going to say on the merits
of what would be in the reply.

9 What I want to know is why this is such an 10 unusual case that we should authorize a reply? 11 Because, you know, this happens all the time. Our 12 rules are set up so that a Petitioner moves first 13 and at the preliminary stage, we have a preliminary 14 response.

15 And where are you getting this idea that if an 16 argument is made that's not anticipated in the 17 preliminary response, you should be able to get a 18 reply? Why is that good cause? Why is it such an extraordinary case that you should go outside of 19 20 what our rules provide for briefing at the preliminary stage? So that's what I want to stress 21 22 today.

MR. MALLIN: And the reason, Your Honor, is -is because it is something that we could not have

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com Fontem Ex. 2086 R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company v. Fontem Holdings 1 B.V. IPR2018-00634 Page 7 of 21

anticipated here. And, you know, we -- we 1 2 anticipated certain arguments, such as 325(d), and we addressed all of those in here. Here, this is 3 4 something that was outside the realm of something we 5 would have anticipated. 6 In this case, if issue preclusion is in fact granted here, it would be extraordinary in that it 7 8 would create a basis for, perhaps, denying this IPR 9 petition. And for that reason, it is an 10 extraordinary situation, Your Honor. 11 JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. Do you have anything else to add, Mr. Mallin, before I turn it over to 12 your friend Mr. Hamilton? 13 14 MR. MALLIN: I do not. 15 JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. Thank you very much. Mr. Hamilton, would you like to respond? 16 17 MR. HAMILTON: Sure. Your Honor, two reasons 18 for the briefing is not required: First, the Board's fully capable of evaluating the cases and 19 20 the Board has the cases, so further briefing on those cases is not necessary. 21 22 Second --23 JUDGE OBERMANN: May I ask you a question? I'm 24 sorry to interrupt. Are you saying further briefing

> TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com Fontem Ex. 2086 R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company v. Fontem Holdings 1 B.V. IPR2018-00634 Page 8 of 21

1 isn't necessary because we have this email in front 2 of us?

3 MR. HAMILTON: Well, you have the cases. And, 4 frankly, we don't need to respond to that email. 5 So, you know, I don't want to waste the Board's time 6 with additional papers, but -- that just aren't 7 necessary. 8 JUDGE OBERMANN: But you wouldn't feel a need 9 to respond to this -- if we were to put this email 10 into the record, you would have no need to respond 11 to it? MR. HAMILTON: I -- I would be happy to address 12 the three cases if Your Honor would like me to --13 14 excuse me -- four cases, but -- I don't --15 I don't --16 JUDGE OBERMANN: I'm just wondering whether or 17 not --MR. HAMILTON: I don't believe that's 18 19 necessary. 20 JUDGE OBERMANN: You know, like I said, now that we've read it, you know, submitted --21 22 MR. HAMILTON: It -- it --23 JUDGE OBERMANN: -- this unauthorized reply, 24 does the Patent Owner feel that they need to respond

> TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com Fontem Ex.2086

1 to this?

2	MR. HAMILTON: No. No, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE OBERMANN: So you don't need a surreply
4	if we were to grant a reply that would be nothing
5	more than the body of this email?
б	MR. HAMILTON: That's correct.
7	JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. Anything else?
8	MR. HAMILTON: Sure. The second point:
9	Petitioner had an opportunity to address this prior
10	Board decision in its petition, and Petitioner
11	expressly identified the Board decision in the
12	petition
13	JUDGE OBERMANN: Which Board?
14	MR. HAMILTON: The Board decision in
15	IPR2016-1270. And if you'd like, Your Honor, I can
16	orient you to to the issue just relatively
17	quickly.
18	JUDGE OBERMANN: Which case is that? What's
19	the name of the case?
20	MR. HAMILTON: That should be let me let
21	me get it. But it's it's excuse me.
22	JUDGE OBERMANN: Is it an email?
23	MR. HAMILTON: No, no, no. This is this
24	is well, so let me back up, and I'll just I'll

TransPerfect Legal Solutions

212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com Fontem Ex. 2086 R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company v. Fontem Holdings 1 B.V. IPR2018-00634 Page 10 of 21

orient you to what case I'm talking about, and then
 we can talk about it.

3 So the petition states that -- and I'm going to 4 quote from the petition -- begin quotes, the 5 intimate contact embodiment forms the basis for the unpatentability analysis in this petition, 6 7 end quote. JUDGE OBERMANN: Is this in all four cases? 8 MR. HAMILTON: That's at Petition 22. 9 10 JUDGE OBERMANN: This is in all four cases? 11 MR. HAMILTON: It's in all four cases. I'm 12 talking about the lead case here, 631, that petition -- that same statement is in all the cases 13 that -- all the cases rely on the same issue, the 14 15 same prior art reference, and this specific embodiment in the reference. 16

17 Petitioner argued that exact same reference and 18 embodiment, this intimate contact embodiment, in another IPR. And that is --19 20 JUDGE OBERMANN: What is that? MR. HAMILTON: Pardon me? 21 22 JUDGE OBERMANN: It was a different patent? 23 MR. HAMILTON: That's right. IPR2016-01270.

24 JUDGE OBERMANN: And all we have is a DI there;

TransPerfect Legal Solutions

1 right?

2 MR. HAMILTON: That's right. And that was 3 given to you at Exhibit 2070.

4 JUDGE OBERMANN: All right.

5 MR. HAMILTON: And the relevant portion, 6 pages 12 and 13. And then there was a request for 7 rehearing, Exhibit 2016 at pages 4 and 5.

3 JUDGE OBERMANN: All right. Anything else? 9 MR. HAMILTON: So Patent Owner's brief explains 10 the reasons why that petition should be dismissed 11 based on -- by this petition should be dismissed 12 based on a -- excuse me -- why all four of these 13 petitions -- I forget that we're talking about four 14 here.

15 And patent -- excuse me -- Petitioner in the 16 petition expressly identified that decision, and 17 that's at page 15 of the petition in IPR ending in 18 631.

JUDGE OBERMANN: So I don't understand. Like what we're really looking at here is whether this case presents such a -- whether having raised the issue of 314, an issue preclusion, whether those issues could have been anticipated and should have been raised in the petition? Or whether it's a good

> TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com Fontem Ex. 2086 R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company v. Fontem Holdings 1 B.V. IPR2018-00634 Page 12 of 21

Page 13 reason to allow Petitioner to submit a short 1 reply brief that basically raises these -- I quess 2 the sense of the -- what's been raised in the 3 preliminary response? 4 So without getting into, you know, the merits 5 of issue preclusion and the merits of general 6 applicability, I'd like to hear from you, 7 8 Mr. Hamilton -- you know, they've already sent us 9 the email. And I'm hearing you say that you don't need a surreply, as we allow a very short 10 11 reply brief that would simply basically give us what's in the email. Is that right? 12 MR. HAMILTON: If it's the same -- and the 13 14 point that I was raising with respect to the petition, and specifically page 15 of the petition 15 in IPR2018-00631, is Petitioner cited this prior 16 17 Board decision and had an opportunity to address it and did not. 18 19 Well, I'm just --JUDGE OBERMANN: 20 MR. HAMILTON: So this isn't a surprise for Petitioner in terms of --21 22 JUDGE OBERMANN: Well, that doesn't mean --23 that doesn't mean that it's not surprising that you 24 would assert the issue preclusion based on a

> TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com Fontem Ex. 2086 R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company v. Fontem Holdings 1 B.V. IPR2018-00634 Page 13 of 21

preliminary decision to institute. 1 2 Let me just -- let me --3 MR. HAMILTON: So why don't we just cut to the 4 In terms of if there's a reply that says chase. 5 exactly what's in the email, Patent Owner has no 6 objection to that. We don't need to respond to it. 7 JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. And you just referred 8 me to the 631 IPR, page 15? 9 MR. HAMILTON: That's right. That's where 10 Petitioner addresses the prior decision. 11 JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. So you're talking about their citation to IPR 801? 12 13 MR. HAMILTON: IPR 801? JUDGE OBERMANN: I'm looking at page 15. 14 15 MR. HAMILTON: Okay. So page 15 of the petition for IPR2018-00631? 16 17 JUDGE OBERMANN: Yeah. Oh, I see. Are we 18 looking at -- which particular sentence are you 19 looking at here on page 15? 20 MR. HAMILTON: So the first full paragraph on page 15, it's at the bottom: Finally, the Board's 21 22 determination in IPR2016-01270 concerning 23 U.S. Patent No. 8,893,726 should not preclude 24 institution. Petitioner recognized the decision and

> TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com Fontem Ex. 2086 R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company v. Fontem Holdings 1 B.V. IPR2018-00634 Page 14 of 21

its effect on precluding institution had an
 opportunity to address it. They don't need the
 further opportunity to address it now.

4 JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. If you're done, I'm 5 going to let Mr. Mallin address that point.

6 MR. MALLIN: Yes. Just a couple points, Your Honor. First, this wasn't addressing issue 7 8 preclusion, rather this was addressing a 325(d) type 9 argument that they were going to make, that we 10 anticipated them making. And we were explaining why 11 2016-01270, why that IPR decision would not qualify as a 325(d) argument in their -- for a lot of 12 different reasons, as explained on pages 15 and 16 13 and then later again on pages 22 through like 25, 14 15 including citations of cases that didn't address issue preclusion, which is a different issue that 16 they're -- that's being raised in the Patent Owner's 17 18 preliminary response, Your Honor.

JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. Unless either you have anything to add, I'm going to put you on hold for just a brief moment so I can confer with my panelists. Anything from Mr. Hamilton? MR. HAMILTON: Just want to confirm, you know, that we don't object to the email that -- what is in

> TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com Fontem Ex. 2086 R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company v. Fontem Holdings 1 B.V. IPR2018-00634 Page 15 of 21

	Page 16
1	the email being submitted to the Board. If there's
2	anything else, then we may have an objection, may
3	want to reply to it.
4	JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. Appreciate that.
5	How about from you, Mr. Mallin?
6	MR. MALLIN: Nothing else, Your Honor,
7	right now.
8	JUDGE OBERMANN: Okay. Thank you very much.
9	I'm going to place you on a brief hold.
10	(Panel confers.)
11	JUDGE OBERMANN: Counsel, Mr. Mallin, are you
12	still there?
13	MR. MALLIN: Yes, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE OBERMANN: Mr. Hamilton, are you still on
15	the line?
16	MR. HAMILTON: I am, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE OBERMANN: Thank you. The panel has
18	conferred, and what we're going to do is issue an
19	Order that confirms that we prefer that the parties
20	not submit legal arguments by email. And as an
21	attachment to that Order, we're going to include the
22	text of the email and will indicate the Patent Owner
23	did not oppose its entry.
24	And I appreciate, Mr. Hamilton, your offer to

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com Fontem Ex. 2086 R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company v. Fontem Holdings 1 B.V. IPR2018-00634 Page 16 of 21

1 have the transcript filed as an exhibit when it's 2 ready. With that, I will -- since we have everyone on 3 the phone, I will ask if anyone has any other issues 4 they'd like to discuss while we have the panel 5 together? I'll start with Mr. Mallin. 6 7 MR. MALLIN: No, Your Honor, nothing with 8 respect to these IPRs. Thank you. 9 JUDGE OBERMANN: Thank you. Mr. Hamilton? 10 MR. HAMILTON: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 11 JUDGE OBERMANN: Thank you very much, Counsel. 12 An Order will issue in due course. 13 MR. MALLIN: Thank you. JUDGE OBERMANN: This is adjourned. Thank you. 14 15 Bye-bye. 16 MR. MALLIN: Bye now. 17 (WHICH WERE ALL THE PROCEEDINGS HAD 18 IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE ON THIS 19 DATE.) 20 21 22 23 24

		Page	18
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS )		
2	) SS:		
3	COUNTY OF C O O K )		
4			
5	I, ELIA E. CARRIÓN, a Certified Shorthand		
6	Reporter of the State of Illinois, do hereby certify		
7	that I reported in shorthand the proceedings had at		
8	the PTAB CALL aforesaid, and that the foregoing is a		
9	true, complete, and correct transcript of the		
10	proceedings of said PTAB CALL as appears from my		
11	stenographic notes so taken and transcribed under my		
12	personal direction.		
13			
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I do hereunto set my		
15	hand at Chicago, Illinois, this 3rd day of		
16	August, 2018.		
17			
18			
19	Certified Shorthand Reporter		
20			
21	C.S.R. Certificate No. 084.004641		
22			
23			
24			

				1
A	<b>back</b> 10:24	Certified 18:5,19	different 11:22	<b>final</b> 6:12
able 7:17	based 12:11,12	certify 18:6	15:13,16	Finally 14:21
ABOVE-ENTIT	13:24	chase 14:4	difficult 5:7	first 7:2,12 8:18
17:18	basically 13:2,11	<b>Chicago</b> 3:6 18:15	direction 18:12	14:20 15:7
abuse 5:7	<b>basis</b> 8:8 11:5	citation 14:12	discretion 5:10	Fontem 1:6 4:15
add 8:12 15:20	behalf 3:10,19	citations 15:15	discuss 17:5	foregoing 18:8
additional 9:6	<b>believe</b> 6:20 9:18	<b>cited</b> 13:16	dismissed 12:10,11	forget 12:13
address 9:12 10:9	beyond 5:2	Cityfront 3:4	<b>Donna</b> 2:8 4:3 5:21	forms 11:5
13:17 15:2,3,5,15	<b>bit</b> 5:1,2	<b>clear</b> 6:10	Drive 3:4	<b>four</b> 6:2 9:14 11:8
addressed 8:3	<b>Board</b> 1:2 6:9,22	<b>Coie</b> 3:13 4:14	<b>due</b> 17:12	11:10,11 12:12,13
addresses 14:10	8:20 10:10,11,13	COMPANY 1:4		frankly 9:4
addressing 15:7,8	10:14 13:17 16:1	complete 18:9	E	friend 8:13
adjourned 17:14	<b>Board's</b> 8:19 9:5	concerning 14:22	E 1:23 18:5	<b>front</b> 9:1
aforesaid 18:8	14:21	<b>confer</b> 15:21	East 3:14	<b>full</b> 14:20
afternoon 4:6,13	<b>body</b> 10:5	conference 4:22	effect 15:1	<b>fully</b> 8:19
<b>allow</b> 13:1,10	<b>bottom</b> 14:21	conferred 16:18	either 15:19	further 8:20,24
analysis 11:6	<b>brief</b> 4:23 5:11 12:9	confers 16:10	Elia 1:23 18:5	15:3
Angeles 3:15	13:2,11 15:21	confirm 15:23	email 5:1,6,12 9:1,4	G
anticipate 7:1	16:9	confirms 16:19	9:9 10:5,22 13:9	
anticipated 6:7,19	briefing 7:20 8:18	contact 11:5,18	13:12 14:5 15:24	general 13:6
7:16 8:1,2,5 12:23	8:20,24	correct 10:6 18:9	16:1,20,22	getting 6:15 7:15
15:10	BRINKS 3:3	correspondence	<b>embodiment</b> 11:5	13:5
<b>apologize</b> 5:16 6:16	<b>Bye</b> 17:16	5:6	11:16,18,18	GILSON 3:3
APPEAL 1:2	<b>Bye-bye</b> 17:15	<b>counsel</b> 4:5 5:4	entry 16:23	give 13:11
appeared 3:10,19	<b>B.V</b> 1:6	16:11 17:11	<b>ESQ</b> 3:9,18	<b>given</b> 12:3
appears 18:10	<u> </u>	<b>COUNTY</b> 18:3	evaluating 8:19	<b>go</b> 7:19
applicability 13:7	$\frac{\mathbf{C}}{\mathbf{C}  18:3}$	<b>couple</b> 15:6	everybody 5:24 exact 11:17	<b>going</b> 5:2 7:7 11:3
apply 6:21	C 18:5 California 3:15	course 17:12		15:5,9,20 16:9,18 16:21
appreciate 4:20 5:4		<b>court</b> 4:17 6:10	exactly 14:5 excuse 9:14 10:21	
16:4,24	<b>call</b> 1:17 4:4,22	create 8:8	12:12,15	<b>good</b> 4:6,13 5:2 6:6 7:18 12:24
argued 11:17	18:8,10 called 4:22	<b>CRR</b> 1:23	exhibit 12:3,7 17:1	Grace 2:4 4:1
arguing 5:3	capable 8:19	<b>CSR</b> 1:23	exists 6:6	grant 5:10 10:4
<b>argument</b> 6:4,4,5,7	Carrion 1:23	<b>Cuozzo</b> 6:11	explained 15:13	granted 8:7
6:20,24 7:1,16	CARRIÓN 18:5	<b>cut</b> 14:3	explaining 15:10	guess 13:2
15:9,12	case 1:9,10,12,13	<b>C.S.R</b> 18:21	explains 12:9	guess 13.2
arguments 8:2	5:3 7:10,19 8:6	D	expressly 10:11	H
16:20	10:18,19 11:1,12	<b>DATE</b> 17:19	12:16	Hamilton 3:18 4:13
<b>art</b> 11:15	12:21	day 18:15	extraordinary 7:19	4:14,21 8:13,16
assert 13:24	cases 8:19,20,21	decision 6:9,11,22	8:7,10	8:17 9:3,12,18,22
attach 6:8	9:3,13,14 11:8,10	10:10,11,14 12:16		10:2,6,8,14,20,23
attachment 16:21	11:11,13,14 15:15	13:17 14:1,10,24	F	11:9,11,21,23
August 1:18 18:16	<b>cause</b> 5:2 6:6 7:18	15:11	<b>fact</b> 8:6	12:2,5,9 13:8,13
authorization 6:1	17:18	denying 8:8	fact-heavy 5:6	13:20 14:3,9,13
authorize 7:10	Century 3:14	determination	feel 9:8,24	14:15,20 15:22,23
available 4:19	certain 8:2	14:22	fifth 5:19	16:14,16,24 17:9
<u> </u>	Certificate 18:21	<b>DI</b> 11:24	file 4:23 5:11 6:2	17:10
			<b>filed</b> 17:1	hand 18:15
	I	l	1	I

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com Fontem Ex. 2086 R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company v. Fontem Holdings 1 B.V. IPR2018-00634 Page 19 of 21

happens 7:11	1:13	LLP 3:13	15:4,19 16:4,8,11	15:17 16:22
happy 9:12	issue 5:9 6:8,20 8:6	lodged 5:14	16:14,17 17:9,11	Perkins 3:13 4:14
hear 13:7	10:16 11:14 12:22	looking 12:20	17:14	personal 18:12
hearing 13:9	12:22 13:6,24	14:14,18,19	object 15:24	petition 8:9 10:10
Hello 4:8	15:7,16,16 16:18	Los 3:15	objection 14:6 16:2	10:12 11:3,4,6,9
hereunto 18:14	17:12	lot 15:12	offer 16:24	11:13 12:10,11,16
hold 15:20 16:9	issues 12:23 17:4	100 15.12	OFFICE 1:1	12:17,24 13:15,15
HOLDINGS 1:6	issue-preclusion	Μ	<b>Oh</b> 6:16 14:17	14:16
Honor 4:13 5:15	6:3,5	making 15:10	okay 5:17,24 6:16	<b>Petitioner</b> 1:5 3:10
6:1 7:23 8:10,17	0.5,5	Mallin 3:9 4:6,7,8	6:17 8:11,15 10:7	4:5,23 5:13 6:1,6
9:13 10:2,15 15:7	J	4:10 5:15,17,19	14:7,11,15 15:4	6:7 7:12 10:9,10
15:18 16:6,13,16	JHamilton@per	5:23 6:14,16,18	15:19 16:4,8	11:17 12:15 13:1
17:7,10	3:17	7:5,23 8:12,14	once 5:8	13:16,21 14:10,24
1/./,10	<b>Job</b> 1:24	15:5,6 16:5,6,11	opportunity 10:9	petitions 12:13
Ι	<b>Joseph</b> 3:18 4:14	16:13 17:6,7,13	13:17 15:2,3	phone 4:2 17:4
idea 7:15	<b>Jo-Anne</b> 2:7 4:3	17:16	oppose 16:23	phone 4.2 17.4 place 16:9
identified 10:11	judge 2:4,5,6,7,8	McGRAW 2:6 4:3	Order 16:19,21	Plaza 3:4
12:16	4:1,1,2,8,11,20	mean 13:22,23	17:12	please 4:4 5:13
<b>Illinois</b> 3:6 18:1,6	5:17,20,21 6:14	mention 4:16	orient 10:16 11:1	point 6:19 10:8
18:15	6:17 7:3,6 8:11,15	mentioned 5:20	outside 7:19 8:4	13:14 15:5
include 16:21	8:23 9:8,16,20,23	merits 6:15 7:7,7	Owner 1:7 3:19	<b>points</b> 15:6
including 15:15	10:3,7,13,18,22	13:5,6	4:12,14,17 9:24	portion 12:5
indicate 16:22	11:8,10,20,22,24	moment 15:21	14:5 16:22	<b>Praiss</b> 2:8 4:3 5:21
initiate 6:11	12:4,8,19 13:19	moves 7:12	Owner's 6:3,11	preclude 14:23
instant 6:21	13:22 14:7,11,14	moving 6:23	12:9 15:17	precluding 15:1
institute 14:1	14:17 15:4,19		12.9 13.17	preclusion 6:8,20
institution 6:9,22	16:4,8,11,14,17	N	<u> </u>	8:6 12:22 13:6,24
14:24 15:1	17:9,11,14	name 5:19 10:19	<b>P</b> 3:18	15:8,16
inter 6:12		<b>NBC</b> 3:5	page 12:17 13:15	prefer 16:19
interested 7:6	K	necessary 8:21 9:1	14:8,14,15,19,21	preliminary 6:9,12
interrupt 8:24	<b>K</b> 18:3	9:7,19	pages 12:6,7 15:13	6:21 7:13,13,17
intimate 11:5,18	Kalan 2:5 4:2	need 9:4,8,10,24	15:14	7:21 13:4 14:1
<b>IPR</b> 6:2 8:8 11:19	KARAFFA 2:4	10:3 13:10 14:6	panel 2:4 16:10,17	15:18
12:17 14:8,12,13	<b>Kimberly</b> 2:6 4:3	15:2	17:5	PRESENT 2:1 3:1
15:11	kind 5:5	North 3:4	panelists 15:22	
<b>IPRs</b> 17:8	know 7:9,11 8:1	<b>notes</b> 18:11	papers 9:6	presents 12:21
IPR2016-01270	9:5,20,21 13:5,8		paragraph 14:20	prior 10:9 11:15
11:23 14:22	15:23	0	<b>Pardon</b> 11:21	13:16 14:10 proceeding 4:24
IPR2016-1270	Kokoski 2:7 4:3	<b>O</b> 18:3,3	Park 3:14	
10:15	Kristina 2:5 4:2	Obermann 2:4 4:1	<b>partes</b> 6:12	<b>proceedings</b> 6:2 17:17 18:7,10
<b>IPR2018-00631</b> 1:9		4:1,8,11,20 5:17	particular 14:18	process 5:7
13:16 14:16	L	5:21 6:14,17 7:3,6	parties 16:19	process 5:7 provide 7:20
IPR2018-00632	lead 11:12	8:11,15,23 9:8,16	patent 1:1,2,7,9,11	<b>PTAB</b> 1:17 18:8,10
1:10	leave 4:23	9:20,23 10:3,7,13	1:12,14 3:19 4:12	<b>put</b> 9:9 15:20
IPR2018-00633	legal 16:20	10:18,22 11:8,10	4:14,17 6:3,11	<b>P-R-A-I-S-S</b> 5:22
1:12	legal-heavy 5:5	11:20,22,24 12:4	9:24 11:22 12:9	<b>P-R-A-I-S-S</b> 5:22 5:23
IPR2018-00634	line 4:5,17 16:15	12:8,19 13:19,22	12:15 14:5,23	
	LIONE 3:3	14:7,11,14,17	12.13 17.3,23	<b>p.m</b> 1:18
	l		l	l

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com Fontem Ex. 2086 R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company v. Fontem Holdings 1 B.V. IPR2018-00634 Page 20 of 21

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
U       U <thu< th=""> <thu< th=""> <thu< th=""></thu<></thu<></thu<>
quickly 10:17       right 11:23 12:12       submitting 5:3       quattherized 5:11       2016-01270 15:11         quotes 11:4       12:4,8 13:12 14:9       Suite 3:5,14       mauthorized 5:11       9:23       understand 12:19       2016-01270 15:11         raised 12:21,24       robert 3:9 4:7       roll 4:4       Sure 8:17 10:8       understand 12:19       21 16:13       22 11:9 15:14         raises 13:2       roll 4:4       surprise 13:20       surreply 10:3       11:6       22 11:9 15:14         read 5:8 9:21       read 5:8 9:21       R.J 1:4       S.Ct 6:13       musual 7:10       23 6:23         ready 17:2       S 3:9       saying 5:1 8:24       saying 5:1 8:24       36:23       36:23         reasons 8:17 12:10       second 8:22 10:8       second 8:22 10:8       talk ing 11:1,12       14:4       310.788.3271 3:10         15:13       recognized 14:24       see 14:17       second 8:22 10:8       talking 11:1,12       16:3       314 12:22         11:17       reference 11:15,16       sens 13:3       sent 13:8       14:4       16:12,21       3600 3:5         referered 14:7       referered 14:18       tak! 10:12:15:12       16:18,21       3600 3:5       3600 3:5         referered 14:7       referered 14:16       referered 14:10 <td< th=""></td<>
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
quotes 11:4       10:7       rmallin@brinks       Sure 8:17 10:8       understand 12:19       20:0 12:3         mailin@brinks       3:8       surprise 13:20       understand 12:19       21 6:13       22 11:9 15:14         raised 12:21,24       Robert 3:9 4:7       roll 4:4       surprise 13:20       understand 12:19       21 6:13       22 11:9 15:14         raises 13:2       RPR 1:23       surprise 13:20       surprise 13:23       unpatentability       11:6       22 483 1:24       22 483 1:24       23 15:14         reads 5:8 9:21       R.J 1:4       S.Ct 6:13       U.S 14:23       36:23       36:23       36:23         realm 8:4       S 3:9       saying 5:1 8:24       saying 5:1 8:24       says 14:4       section 6:4       section 6:4       section 6:4       section 6:4       310.788.3271 3:10       314 12:22         15:13       recognized 14:24       see 14:17       sense 13:3       11:17       TELEPHONIC       16:3       wasn't 15:7       waste 9:5       44 12:21       3600 3:5         reference 11:15,16       sent 13:8       sent 13:8       terms 5:2 13:21       14:4       41:22       41:27         reference 14:17       sentence 14:18       text 16:22       We'ye 4:22 9:21       41:27         reference 14:7
R       3:8       surprise 13:20       UNITED 1:1       21 6:13         raised 12:21,24       Robert 3:9 4:7       surprise 13:20       surprising 13:23       upatentability       11:6       22 11:9 15:14         raises 13:2       RPR 1:23       rules 7:12,20       S.Ct 6:13       unread 5:8       usual 7:10       36:23         ready 17:2       S       S.St 6 12:20       S       S 3:9       saying 5:1 8:24       says 14:4       T       VAPOR 1:4       310.788.3271 3:10         13:1       reasons 8:17 12:10       says 14:4       second 8:22 10:8       T       12:13 14:11       V       312.321 4:22       314 1:2:22         15:13       recognized 14:24       see 14:17       seek 6:1       11:17       seek 6:1       12:13 14:11       16:3       36:00 3:5         reference 11:15,16       sent 13:8       sent 13:8       14:4       16:18,21       36:00 3:5       36:00 3:5         reference 14:17       sent 13:8       sent 13:8       14:4       16:18,21       36:00 3:5       36:00 3:5         11:17       reference 14:18       sent 13:8       14:4       16:18,21       36:00 3:5       36:00 3:5         12:13       14:4       16:12,22       16:18,21       16:18,21       16:18,21       36:
raised 12:21,24       Robert 3:9 4:7       surprising 13:23       unpatentability       22 11:9 15:14         13:3 15:17       roll 4:4       surprising 13:23       surprising 13:23       surprising 13:23         raises 13:2       RPR 1:23       surprising 13:23       surprising 13:23       surprising 13:23         raises 13:2       RPR 1:23       surprising 13:23       surprising 13:23       surprising 13:23         read 5:8 9:21       R.J 1:4       S.Ct 6:13       U.S 14:23       3 6:23         ready 17:2       S       S 3:9       saying 5:1 8:24       saying 5:1 8:24       saying 5:1 8:24         reason 7:23 8:9       says 14:4       says 14:4       take 4:18       take 5:10       satk 11:2         recognized 14:24       sec 14:17       sec 14:17       sec 14:17       sec 14:17       sec 13:3       14:4       36:23         reference 11:15,16       sent 13:8       sent 13:14       36:00 3:5       36:00 3:5         reference 14:17       sent 13:8       sent 13:18       14:4       sent 16:22       16:18,21       36:00 3:5         reference 14:17       sent 13:18       sent 13:18       14:4       sent 13:18       sent 13
13:3 15:17       roll 4:4       roll 4:4       surreply 10:3       11:6       22433 1.24         raises 13:2       rules 7:12,20       surreply 10:3       13:10       11:6       unread 5:8         ready 17:2       realy 5:6 12:20       S       S       S       S       S       S         reason 7:23 8:9       saying 5:1 8:24       says 14:4       take 4:18       T       S       S       S:10       3       S:31 1:18         recognized 14:24       see 14:17       see 14:17       see 14:17       12:13 14:11       T       T       S       S:2 13:21       14:4       3       36:23       3 6:23       3 rd 18:15       3:31 1:18         recognized 14:24       see 14:17       see 14:17       see 14:17       12:13 14:11       T       T       36:00 3:5       3
13:1       RPR 1:23       unread 5:8       unread 5:8         ready 17:2       R.J 1:4       T       unread 5:8       unread 5:8         ready 17:2       S       S.Ct 6:13       U.S 14:23       3 6:23         ready 17:2       S
raises 13.2rule 1.22rule 1.22rule 1.22read 5.8 9:21rules 7:12,20R.J 1:4 $S.Ct 6:13$ unusual 7:10 $J.S 14:23$ ready 17:2 $S$ $S$ $T$ take 4:18 $J.S 14:23$ $J.S 14:13$ readm 8:4 $S 3:9$ saying 5:1 8:24take 18:11 $V$ $J.S 14:13$ reason 7:23 8:9 $S 3:9$ saying 5:1 8:24take 18:11 $J.S 14:13$ $J.S 14:13$ reasons 8:17 12:10second 8:22 10:8Section 6:4 $I.S 11:11$ $V$ $J.S 14:123$ 15:13second 8:22 10:8Section 6:4 $I.S 11:11$ $I.S 12:13 14:11$ $V$ $J.S 14:12:22$ 16:3see 14:17see 14:17 $I.S 12:13 14:11$ $I.S 12:13 14:11$ $I.S 12:13 14:12$ $I.S 13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:1$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
S       S
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
realine       13:1       saying 5:1 8:24       takes 5:10       11:12         13:1       says 14:4       second 8:22 10:8       takes 5:10       11:12         15:13       second 8:22 10:8       section 6:4       12:13 14:11       12:13 14:11         recognized 14:24       see 14:17       seeks 6:1       1:17       16:3       314 12:22         reference 11:15,16       sense 13:3       terms 5:2 13:21       16:3       3600 3:5         11:17       sentence 14:18       14:4       text 16:22       we've 4:22 9:21       4
with the second (12) of 5 and (12) of 5 a
13.1       second 8:22 10:8       talking 11:1,12       want 4:16 5:15 7:9       314 12:22         15:13       second 8:22 10:8       12:13 14:11       7:21 9:5 15:23       314(a) 6:4,24         recognized 14:24       see 14:17       12:13 14:11       16:3       3600 3:5         record 9:10       seeks 6:1       1:17       wasn't 15:7       3600 3:5         reference 11:15,16       sent 13:8       14:4       waste 9:5       4         11:17       sentence 14:18       text 16:22       16:18,21       4       412:7         we've 4:22 9:21       10:14       10:14       10:14       10:14       10:14
reasons 8:17 12:10       second 8:22 10:3       reaking 11:1,12       7:21 9:5 15:23       314(a) 6:4,24         15:13       section 6:4       12:13 14:11       16:3       3600 3:5         record 9:10       seeks 6:1       1:17       sense 13:3       sent 13:8       14:4         11:17       sentence 14:18       14:4       14:4       16:18,21       412:7         referred 14:7       sentence 14:18       text 16:22       we've 4:22 9:21       455 3:4
15:13       Section 0.4       12:13 14:11         recognized 14:24       see 14:17       16:3         record 9:10       seeks 6:1       1:17         reference 11:15,16       sense 13:3       terms 5:2 13:21         11:17       sentence 14:18       14:4         referred 14:7       sentence 14:18       text 16:22         We've 4:22 9:21       455 3:4
recognized 14:24       see 14:17       recognized 14:24       see 13:3       recognized 14:24       sense 13:3       recognized 14:24       sense 13:3       recognized 14:24       sense 13:3       recognized 14:15:7       wasn't 15:7       wasn't 15:7       set 12:13,20       4       4       12:7         11:17       referred 14:7       sentence 14:18       text 16:22       rest 16:22       rest 16:22       rest 16:22       16:18,21       455 3:4
record 9:10       secks 0.1       1.17         reference 11:15,16       sense 13:3       terms 5:2 13:21       waste 9:5         11:17       sent 13:8       14:4       4         referred 14:7       sentence 14:18       text 16:22       we've 4:22 9:21
reference 11:15,16     sense 13:3     terms 3:2 13:21       11:17     sent 13:8     14:4       referred 14:7     sentence 14:18
11:17     sentence 14:18     14.17       referred 14:7     sentence 14:18     text 16:22       412:7     455 3:4
referred 14:7 Sentence 14:16 (at 10.22) we've 4:22 9:21 (455 3:4)
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
<b>regard</b> 6:5 <b>Silver</b> $15.1,10$ $5.25,6.15,10.0,17$ <b>WITNESS</b> 18.14
rehearing 12:7 shot than 10.3,7 17.6,9,10,11,15 wondering 9.16
$\begin{bmatrix} relatively 10:16 \\ 10:17 \\$
relevant 12:5 $\frac{12}{60611}$
$\begin{array}{c} rely 11:14 \\ rely 11:14 $
reply 4:23 5:10,11   situation 0.24 6:10   times 5.24 6:14   yeah 5:18 14:17   14.0
6:2 7:8,10,18 9:23 <b>soon</b> 4:19 <b>thought</b> 5:1
10:4 13:2,11 14:4 sorry 7:4 8:24 three 9:13 0
16:3 sort 5:11 Thursday 1:18 jack file 7:11 0:5 <b>084.004641</b> 18:21 <b>8.893,726</b> 14:23
reported 1:22 18:7 specific 11:15 time 7:11 23.5
reporter 4:17 18:6   specifically 15:15   today 7:22
18:19         SS 18:2         token 6:23         1 1:6         9
request 5:14 12:6 stage 7:13,21 Tower 3:5 12 12:6 9,326,550 1:11
requested 4:23 start 4:4,24 17:6 TRADEMARK 13 12:6 9,326,551 1:12
required 8:18 State 18:1,6 1:1 136 6:13 9,339,062 1:9
respect 6:3 13:14 statement 11:13 transcribed 18:11 15 12:17 13:15 14:8 9,456,632 1:14
17:8         states 1:1 11:3         transcript 4:18         14:14,15,19,21         90067-1721 3:15
respond 8:16 9:4,9 stenographic 18:11 17:1 18:9 15:13
9:10,24 14:6 stress 7:21 TRIAL 1:2 16 15:13
response 7:14,17         submit 4:18 13:1         true 18:9         1700 3:14
13:4 15:18     16:20     turn 8:12     1888 3:14

TransPerfect Legal Solutions 212-400-8845 - Depo@TransPerfect.com Fontem Ex. 2086 R.J. Reynolds Vapor Company v. Fontem Holdings 1 B.V. IPR2018-00634 Page 21 of 21