

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Schlumberger Technology Corp.,

Petitioner

v.

Halliburton Energy Services, Inc.,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-00736

U.S. Patent No. 7,228,904

JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDINGS
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 317(a)

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72 and 42.74, and the May 24, 2018 correspondence where the Board authorized this filing, Petitioner Schlumberger Technology Corporation and Patent Owner Halliburton Energy Services, Inc. jointly request termination of this proceeding seeking *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 7,228,904.

The parties have resolved their dispute with respect to U.S. Patent No. 7,228,904 (the “Patent”). As required by 35 U.S.C. §§ 317(b) & 327(b), the parties are filing, concurrently herewith, a true copy of their Settlement Agreement as an exhibit in this proceeding. As outlined in the Settlement Agreement, the parties jointly agreed to terminate this proceeding.¹ Other than as indicated in the Agreement, there are no written or oral agreements or understandings, including any collateral agreements, between the parties, including but not limited to licenses, covenants not to sue, confidentiality agreements, or other agreements of any kind, that are made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of this proceeding. *See, e.g., General Growth Properties, Inc. et al. v. Peschke*, IPR2013-00400, Paper 35 at 2-3 (Apr. 9, 2014). [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The parties are also filing concurrently

¹ The Settlement Agreement has been filed electronically via E2E for “Parties and Board Only” to preserve confidentiality.

herewith a joint request to treat the Agreement as business confidential information and to keep it separate from the files of the IPR and the involved patent under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

Termination of this proceeding is proper under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), because this proceeding is still in its early stages before institution, with the patent owner preliminary response not due until June 14, 2018. Further, the parties are unaware of any other matter before the USPTO that would be affected by the outcome of this proceeding. Accordingly, the parties respectfully request termination of this proceeding.

Dated: May 25, 2018

/Christopher D. Bright/
Christopher D. Bright, Reg. No. 46,578
McDermott Will & Emery LLP
4 Park Plaza, Suite 1700
Irvine, CA 92614
TEL: 949-851-0633
FAX: 949-851-9348
cbright@mwe.com

Attorneys for Patent Owner
Halliburton Energy Services, Inc.

/Michael L. Kiklis/
Michael L. Kiklis, Reg. No. 38,939
Oblon, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt LLP
1940 Duke Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
TEL: 703-413-3000
FAX: 703-413-2220
mkiklis@oblon.com

Attorneys for Petitioner
Schlumberger Technology Corp.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. section 42.6, that on May 25, 2018, a complete copy of the foregoing document was served upon the following by ELECTRONIC MAIL:

Michael L. Kiklis (Reg. No. 38,939)
Oblon, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, LLP
1940 Duke Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
Telephone: 703-413-2707
cpdocketkiklis@oblon.com

Lisa M. Mandrusiak (Reg. No. 72,653)
Telephone: 703-412-6492
cpdocketmandrusiak@oblon.com

Tia D. Fenton (Reg. No. 55,170)
Oblon, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, LLP
1940 Duke Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
Telephone: 703-413-2777
tfenton@oblon.com

Jonathan M. Strang (Reg. No. 61,724)
Latham & Watkins LLP
555 Eleventh Street, NW, Ste. 1000
Washington, DC 20004-1304
Telephone: 202-637-2362
jonathan.strang@lw.com

/Christopher D. Bright/
Christopher D. Bright (Reg. No. 46,578)