UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---000---

NETFLIX, INC.,

Petitioner,

vs.

Case No.: IPR2018-01630 Patent No. 9,769,477

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING, Case No.: IPR2018-01187 LLC,

Patent Owner.

Patent No. 9,769,477

DEPOSITION OF DR. JAMES ANDREW STORER

May 7, 2019

Palo Alto, California

Reported By:

Carly C. Tillotson, CSR No. 13627

Job no: 25212

Ex. 2003 Netflix v. Realtime IPR2018-01187

	D 2		P 4
	Page 2		Page 4
1	DEPOSITION OF DR. JAMES ANDREW STORER	1 2	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2 3	Date: May 7, 2019 Time: 11:05 a.m.		EXAMINATION PAGE
4	Time: 11:05 a.m. Location: Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, LLP	3	
1	379 Lytton Avenue	4 5	By Mr. Stonedale 5
5	Palo Alto, California 94301)	000
6	Tuto Titto, Cultionius y 1501	6	
7		7 8	INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE
8		9	A Declaration - IPR2018-01187 10
9		10	B Declaration - IPR2018-01630 11
10		11	C Patent Application/
11		12	Certificate 17
12 13			D U.S. Patent - No. 6,507,611 17
14		13	
15		14	E Translation of Imai 21
16			F U.S. Patent - No. 9,769,477 41
17		15	
18		16 17	oOo
19		18	
20		19	
21 22		20 21	
23		22	
24		23	
25		24 25	
	Page 3		Page 5
1	APPEARANCES	1	BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice and on
2		2	TUESDAY, MAY 7, 2019, commencing at the hour of
3 4	For the Petitioner, NETFLIX, INC.	3	11:05 a.m. of said day, at Sheppard Mullin, 379 Lytton
5	SHEPPARD MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON, LLP	4	Avenue, Palo Alto, California, before me,
6	BY: HARPER BATTS, ESQ. JEFFREY LIANG, ESQ.	5	CARLY C. TILLOTSON, Certified Shorthand Reporter
	379 Lytton Avenue	6	No. 13627, in and for the State of California, personally
7	Palo Alto, California 94301 Tel: (650) 815-2673	7	appeared:
8	Fax: (650) 815-2601	8	oOo
9	E-mail: hbatts@sheppardmullin.com jliang@sheppardmullin.com	9	DR. JAMES ANDREW STORER,
10	For the Patent Owner, REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING, LLC:	10	being first duly sworn by me to tell the truth,
11	NOROOZI PC BY: JOEL P.N. STONEDALE, ESQ.	11 12	was examined and testified as follows:
	2245 Texas Drive, Suite 300	13	EXAMINATION BY JOEL P.N. STONEDALE, ESQ. BY MR. STONEDALE:
12	Sugar Land, Texas 77479 Tel: (310) 975-7074	14	Q. Hello, Dr. Storer. Thank you for coming today.
13	E-mail: joel@noroozipc.com	15	I just want to go over a few basic things before we
14	Also presents	16	start or as we start. We have started.
15	Also present:	17	Could you please state your name and address?
	PATRICK PACHECO	18	A. Yes. My name is James Andrew Storer,
16 17		19	S-T-O-R-E-R. And I live in Lincoln, Massachusetts.
18		20	Q. Okay. And how did you prepare for today's
19 20		21	deposition?
21		22	A. Well, mainly, I prepared two declarations which
22 23		23	actually are here in front of me, two fairly large
24		24	declarations.
25		25	Q. Did you meet with your team your legal team

Page 6 1 here in the last several days to go over your materials 1 A. At some point I did, in the past, review it, 2 for -- in preparation for this deposition? 2 yes. 3 MR. BATTS: I'll just warn you not to talk about 3 Q. And have you -- have you reviewed the 4 the substance of meetings, but you can certainly tell him 4 institution decision in the second petition e-mailed on 5 about meetings with people and time. 5 the -- or the second petition which you provided a 6 6 THE WITNESS: I don't know exactly what would be declaration on the 477 patent? 7 7 considered preparation for the deposition -- other (Reporter asked for clarification.) 8 subject matter. I did have lunch with the attorneys 8 A. Yes. I believe so. Again, I don't have a 9 9 yesterday. specific memory of the moment, but I recall looking at 10 BY MR. STONEDALE: 10 the institution decisions at some point. 11 Q. Have you reviewed your declaration in this case 11 Q. All right. And have you discussed the substance 12 12 of those documents and this deposition with your legal in the last two weeks? 13 13 A. On the plane out here, I did look at portions of team in the last two weeks? 14 both declarations. 14 MR. BATTS: I'm going to object to the extent 15 15 Q. And did you do that with an eye to this coming you're requesting communications -- privileged 16 16 communications with counsel. Instruct the witness not to deposition? 17 (Reporter asked for clarification.) 17 answer. 18 A. Could you repeat the question? 18 MR. STONEDALE: I am not requesting the 19 Q. Did you review your declaration with an eye 19 substance of the communication. I am wondering if 20 towards this coming deposition? 20 you-all have met and discussed in preparation for the 21 21 deposition.

A. Well, there are a number of depositions because there are common specifications to a number of different patents that real time has asserted, so I can't precisely say whether the review would have general value given that there may be others to come. But certainly,

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 9

MR. BATTS: I'm going to maintain the objection

since you're asking about what the topics or substance of

communications were and instruct the witness not to

Page 8

obviously, I was on the plane coming out here for this one and certainly had this deposition in mind.

- Q. Okay. As far as all three depositions that you'll be participating in regarding the Realtime patent, which documents did you review in order to prepare for those depositions?
- A. I'm not sure I understand your question. Are you talking about depositions that occurred in the past, may occur in the future or today?
- Q. The -- well, for example, today's deposition. Did you review any documents in preparation for today's deposition?
- A. Again, we -- I think you asked this before. I am not exactly sure what you're encompassing in terms of "in preparation for," but as I mentioned, on the plane -you asked about the two expert -- the two declarations in front of me here. I did look at portions of those on the
- Q. Okay. And did you look at any other documents while on the plane?
- A. I can't remember specifically now, but generally speaking, I would have probably looked at portions of some of the prior art cited in those two declarations.
- Q. Have you reviewed the institution decision in this case?

BY MR. STONEDALE:

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 7

answer.

- Q. Are there any opinions in either of the two declarations that pertain to the 477 patent that you now believe are not true?
- A. At times in the past or on the plane when I looked at the declarations, I may have noticed minor typos or things which were obvious from context, but I don't recall anything that was in error.
- Q. So you stand by the substance of all the statements in your declaration; is that correct?
- A. What do you mean by "stand by"? I just said I believe that I wrote the declarations and I stated my opinions and believe them to be correct. I'm not sure what else it is you're asking.
- Q. Well, specifically, I'm asking, you believe the substance of all the opinions stated in your declarations are correct; is that true?
- A. To the extent that -- I mean, obviously there could be other things you ask me and I have additional opinions to supplement the declaration if there are other questions you have. But so far, as I mentioned before, I have nothing that I've seen, looking back, that I would -- that I would say is incorrect other than perhaps minor typos that were obvious from context.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you. In -- in ground 3 in the

Page 10 Page 12 1 first declaration you submitted on the '477 patent, do 1 certain claims are obvious in view of a combination of 2 2 you recall that you offered an opinion that a person of Imai and Pauls. That's on page 48 of that declaration. 3 3 ordinary skill in the art would create a combined system A. Yes. I see that in the table of contents, yes. 4 of Imai and Pauls. 4 Q. Now, my question is: These two combinations you 5 (Reporter asked for clarification.) 5 describe in each of the declaration that a POSITA would 6 6 MR. BATTS: Imai and Pauls, P-A-U-L-S. Imai is make of Imai and Pauls, are you describing the same 7 7 combination? I-M-A-I. 8 THE WITNESS: So maybe you can be more specific. 8 (Reporter asked for clarification.) 9 When you say "Ground 3," specifically what are you 9 A. Both declarations are referring to the same two 10 referring to? 10 documents, Imai and Pauls. 11 BY MR. STONEDALE: 11 Q. I'm asking you if a person of ordinary skill in 12 12 the art would combine them in the same way to render both Q. Do you have your declaration in Case 13 Number 20181187 in front of you? 13 sets of claims obvious. 14 14 MR. BATTS: Objection. Form. Q. Okay. Can we call that Exhibit A? 15 THE WITNESS: Usually, I think if you look at 15 16 A. Sure. 16 the reports, you think of the claims, the independent 17 THE COURT REPORTER: Am I marking that now? 17 claims, as standing separately, and you talk about an 18 MR. STONEDALE: Yes, please. 18 independent claim being -- being rendered obvious by the 19 (Exhibit A was marked for identification.) 19 art. I'm not sure about whether the phraseology in my 20 Q. Do you recall in your declaration offering an 20 reports talks about collectively, the claims together. 21 21 opinion that a POSITA, which stands for "person of I may summarize that in all cases, looking at 22 22 ordinary skill in the art," would combine the systems of each of the independent claims asserted, my opinion was 23 Imai and Pauls? 23 that they were rendered obvious in light of certain prior 24 24 art. But I'm not quite sure about the form of your A. So I'm looking at the table of contents, for 25 example, of the declaration, as you indicate 25 question. I may misunderstand it. Page 11 Page 13 1 IPR2018-01187, and the -- I think what you refer to "the 1 BY MR. STONEDALE: 2 2 grounds" are listed not as 1, 2, 3, but A, B, C. And C, Q. Yeah. So, do you offer the opinion that a -- a 3 in looking at the table of contents, says, "Claims 1, 3-6 3 POSITA would combine the teaching of that art, Imai and 4 Pauls, to create a particular system? and 9-14 of the '477 patent are obvious based on Imai in 4 5 5 view of Pauls." A. So my memory is -- and, of course, if we want to 6 Is that what you're referring to? 6 go to each of the reports, we can look at what I said and 7 7 Q. Yes, it is. refresh my memory -- is that I'm describing how it would 8 8 be obvious of one of ordinary skill in the art to use the A. Okay. 9 9 Q. And so do you recall that in your declaration in teachings of both or to incorporate the teachings of both 10 10 the second case pertaining to the '477 patent, which you or to use the teachings of one when viewing the other. 11 11 filed the declaration, you also offered an opinion that a I'm not exactly sure how to parse your words. 12 12 person of ordinary skill in the art would combine Imai Probably the best way would be to go to the sections in 13 and Pauls? 13 each of the reports and look at what is there, describing 14 14 the motivation to combine the two references. A. Okay. So I've just moved over to the 15 15 declaration -- by the way, do you want to mark this one Q. At a higher level first, I want to ask, do you 16 or not? It's up to you. 16 express any opinion that a person of ordinary skill in 17 MR. STONEDALE: Yes. We can mark it B, if you 17 the art would create any system based on the teachings of 18 18 Imai and Pauls? would like. 19 19 A. Well, let me go look at those sections of the (Exhibit B was marked for identification.) 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. So now I'm looking at 20 report to refresh my memory. Do you want to focus it on 21 what's been labeled "B," IPR2018-01360. 21 one report or the other, or are you asking generally 22 22

Q. Well, we can start with the first one. We can

A. Okay. (Witness reviewed document.) I've had a

23

24

25

about both reports?

start with Exhibit A.

And could you repeat your question?

Q. If you look at Section 8 A -- Roman

numeral VIII, Section A, you offer the opinion that

BY MR. STONEDALE:

23

24

25

Page 14 Page 16 1 chance to look at that section of the declaration. If 1 MR. BATTS: Same objection. 2 2 THE WITNESS: I think in order to answer your you could repeat your question. Thanks. 3 3 MR. STONEDALE: Could the court reporter please question, it would be useful to have a copy of Imai in 4 4 repeat the question? front of me. 5 5 (Record was read back as requested.) MR. BATTS: I have the U.S. patent version. 6 6 THE WITNESS: So this section of the report is BY MR. STONEDALE: 7 7 entitled "Motivation to combine Imai and Pauls is Q. Can we instead look at Pauls? Figure 5 of 8 Pauls, I think, would be more concise. obvious." And I talk about a number of factors in a 8 9 9 sequence of paragraphs. I note that both Imai and Pauls A. I'm happy to do that in order to answer your 10 employ asymmetric coding methods. I note that both Imai 10 last question. Maybe you're changing your question. I 11 and Pauls take into account the type of data. 11 would like to have Imai in front of me as well, if that's 12 12 They also both address -- Imai says it could be okav. 13 video signals and Pauls actually addresses you could 13 Q. Okay. Yeah. We'll call that Exhibit C. 14 argue video signals and further depth methods that could 14 MR. BATTS: So, Joel, to be clear, the copy of 15 15 be used. They also have selection mechanisms that are Imai's that I'm handing him is the U.S. patent. I don't 16 similar. 16 think I have handy right now the translation of the 17 And I say, for example -- maybe to get 17 Japanese patent. 18 specifically to your question, if you go to 18 THE WITNESS: The figures in the U.S. patent are 19 paragraph 211, I say, "It is my opinion that it would 19 easier to read; however, all citations in my report go to 20 have been obvious to combine the teachings of Imai and 20 the Japanese version. But I can navigate through the 21 Pauls, such as to use the video compression algorithms of 21 U.S. patent and go over to the Japanese version because I 22 22 Pauls with the compression" -include a cross-reference in my report. 23 23 THE COURT REPORTER: Hold on. Slow down a It would be nice, at some point, to have the 24 24 Japanese version here as well, but we can mark this at little bit. 25 THE WITNESS: -- "selection mechanisms as taught 25 the moment. How about I mark the --Page 15 Page 17 1 by either Imai or Pauls. Imai and Pauls teachings are 1 MR. STONEDALE: Maybe we can -- maybe we can 2 2 presented in such a manner that generic encoders can be take a quick break and print out the translation, which I 3 readily swapped in and out of the configuration." 3 believe would let you cite to and quote from in your 4 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Just slow down 4 report, Exhibit 1005, the translation of Imai. 5 5 a little. A. That would be fine. In the meantime, maybe we 6 THE WITNESS: "For example, Imai teaches using 6 can mark these as B and C [sic].. 7 off-the-shelf encoders such as" -- and he lists three 7 (Exhibits C and D were marked for 8 acronyms: ATRAC, A-T-R-A-C; ATRAC 2 and MPEG, M-P-E-G, 8 identification.) 9 all in caps, those acronyms -- "audio layers 1, 2 and 3. 9 MR. BATTS: So, Joel, for reference, Exhibit C 10 These encoders can be easily modified or replaced to 10 is a copy of the Pauls reference. 11 include the compression algorithms of Pauls, and Imai's 11 MR. STONEDALE: Okay. 12 teachings are structured to support such a 12 MR. BATTS: And Exhibit D is a copy of the U.S. 13 configuration." 13 version of Imai, and I've asked for --14 BY MR. STONEDALE: 14 MR. STONEDALE: I'm sorry. When you said the 15 Q. Do you offer the opinion that a POSITA would 15 U.S. version of Imai, are you talking about Exhibit 1005, 16 modify or replace any of those compression algorithms you 16 which is the translation of Imai? 17 just mentioned with any of the algorithms mentioned in 17 MR. BATTS: No. It's the U.S. counterpart. So 18 I've asked for a printout of the translation as well, but 18 19 MR. BATTS: Objection. Form. 19 right now, he has the U.S. counterpart in front of him as 20 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? 20 Exhibit D. 21 BY MR. STONEDALE: 21 MR. STONEDALE: Okay. Thank you. And that's 22 22 Q. Do you offer the opinion that a person of "D" as in dog? 23 ordinary skill in the art would modify or replace any of 23 MR. BATTS: Correct. And I guess for the 24 the encoders mentioned in Imai with any of the encoders 24 record, it is U.S. Patent Number 6507611.

THE WITNESS: Do you want to go off the record

25

25

mentioned in Pauls?

Page 18 Page 20

б

to get the translation -- the Japanese translation as well, or do you want to go ahead with the question you had? I'm okay either way -- for now, anyway.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Well, I could ask you a question about your declaration. In your declaration, do you offer any opinion that a person of ordinary skill in the art would modify or replace any of the encoders in Imai's system with any of the encoders from Pauls' system -- in your declaration?

A. So I'm not sure about the way you're characterizing as "modifying." If you look at Figure 4 of Imai, it shows a very general structure where any number of coding units can be used. And it gives examples of things that could be those coding units in both Figure 5 and Figure 16.

And those coding units, of course, could be many different things. It gives a number of examples in Figure 5, what methods might be used, for example. And so you certainly could be plugging in other things. I think Imai, clearly one of ordinary skill reading Imai understands that.

And when I say in my report -- in paragraph 211, when I say, for example, "Imai teaches using off-the-shelf encoders, well-known encoders such as

Q. Well, you offered the opinion, though, that a person of ordinary skill in the art would actually use one of the encoders that Pauls mentioned in the system that Imai's described.

MR. BATTS: Objection. There's no question. MR. STONEDALE: I think that was a question -- or maybe it didn't all come through. Sorry.

Could the court reporter read the question again?

(Record was read back as requested.) BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Do you offer the opinion that a person of ordinary skill in the art would use one of the encoders that Pauls mentioned in the system that Imai described?

A. So, still seems like that sentence is highly relevant to your question. Let me read it again and then elaborate. "These encoders can easily be" -- "these encoders," referring to Imai -- "can easily be modified or replaced to include the compression algorithms of Pauls, and Imai's teachings are structured to support such a configuration."

So not only did I point you to the generic diagram of Figure 4 of Imai that clearly shows different encoders that can be plugged in, including -- such as Figure 5, which has different algorithms it can use.

Page 19

ATRAC, ATRAC 2 and MPEG audio layers 1, 2 and 3. These encoders can easily be modified or replaced to include

the compression algorithms of Pauls, and Imai's teachings are structured to support such a configuration."

Q. Do you offer the opinion in your declaration that a person of ordinary skill in the art would use any of the algorithms from Pauls in the system of Imai?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I understand your question. Could you repeat it?

MR. STONEDALE: Could the court reporter please repeat the question?

(Record was read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: So I'm not sure, when you're using the word "system algorithms," what exactly you're encompassing. But certainly, I think at least partially answering your question -- maybe fully answering your question -- what I just read, where -- the paragraph that I referred to just ended, saying, "These encoders can easily be modified or replaced to include the compression algorithms of Pauls, and Imai's teachings are structured to support such a configuration."

I mean, it seems as though we just discussed this issue unless I misunderstand what else you're trying to put into the question.

Page 21

You also see in Imai, specifically saying at one point towards the end, that his system could easily -- could also be applied to video.

And, of course, when Pauls talks about standards of the time, standards, in fact, that have been known for a decade, in some cases before the Fallon patent to those of ordinary skill in the art, for example.

So when Pauls talks about MPEG, for example, for video, and it's an asymmetric method and Pauls is selecting it based on data type and other considerations, just as Imai does, and now Imai says, "Yeah. You can do this for video as well." Of course one of ordinary skill in the art would say, Sure. I can put in a known standard as one of those plug-ins that is shown in the figures of Imai.

MR. BATTS: And, Joel, I have copies of Exhibit 1005 the translation of Imai, if you want to mark that.

MR. STONEDALE: Sure. What exhibit letter is that?

(Exhibit E was marked for identification).

(Counsel conferred with the court reporter.)

MR. STONEDALE: I'm sorry. Could you repeat the last question?

(Record was read back as requested.)

Page 22 1 THE WITNESS: Wasn't that the one I just 1 2 2 answered? Are we waiting for a new question, or did I 3 3 misunderstand? 4 BY MR. STONEDALE: 4 5 Q. Oh, I'll ask a new question. 5 6 6 Do you offer the opinion that it would be 7 7 obvious to include MPEG to and --8 8 (Reporter asked for clarification.) 9 9 Do you offer the opinion that it would be 10 obvious to include the encoder MPEG -- that's M-P-E-G --10 11 2 in the system described by Imai? 11 12 12 MR. BATTS: Objection. Form. 13 13 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? 14 BY MR. STONEDALE: 14 15 15 Q. Do you offer in your declaration the opinion 16 16 that it would be obvious to include the encoder MPEG 2 17 17 into the system described by Imai? 18 A. So I'm not exactly sure what you're encompassing 18 repeat the question? 19 19 in the word "encoder," but we've just -- it seems to be, 20 20 really, already answered. 21 21 But, for example, when -- just looking at that 22 22 one paragraph I just read, the sentence before says, "For 23 23 example, Imai teaches using off-the-shelf encoders such 24 24 as ATRAC, ATRAC 2 and MPEG audio encoders 1, 2 and 3. 25 These encoders can be easily modified or replaced to 25 Page 23 1 include the compression algorithms of Pauls, and Imai's 1 2 2 teachings are structured to support such a encoders. 3 3

motion compensation, and so on.

So, I mean, not only would it be completely obvious and natural to use MPEG just on reading Imai alone, certainly in combination with Pauls where Pauls specifically mentions MPEG as well. It would be obvious to employ MPEG in the system that is described by Imai.

Page 24

Page 25

Q. Are there any other encoders that you offer the opinion that it would be obvious to include into the system described by Imai?

A. So, for example -- let me take a look at my report. And also -- okay. Let me take a quick look at the report. I do recall Pauls mentioning other encoders. Give me one -- allow me a second here to refresh my memory. (Witness reviewed document.)

So I had a chance to refresh my memory. Could you repeat your question?

MR. STONEDALE: Could the court reporter please

(Record was read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: So I think it would be obvious just reading Imai, to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time, to use a well-known encoding standard as to one of Imai's plug-ins or for one of Pauls' plug-ins.

And in addition, Pauls specifically calls out not only MPEG 2 and MPEG -- I assume by "MPEG," generally

configuration."

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then in my previous answer, I pointed out that Imai specifically says his system could be used for video. I specifically pointed out in the previous answer that MPEG was a standard that had been known for a decade to those of ordinary skill in the art before the timing of the filing of the Fallon patent. You've already got Imai using MPEG -- a portion of the MPEG standard for audio.

The perhaps most well-known video compression standard would be, I think, obvious just in reading Imai, let alone in reading the combination of Pauls and Imai where Pauls uses MPEG. And just so it's clear, the MPEG standard -- the MPEG 2, for example -- there's MPEG 1 and MPEG 2.

And MPEG 1, again, was developed over a number of years but introduced to the public in 1992; MPEG 2, again a number of years, but introduced to the public in

MPEG 2 has three sections. There's a syntax section, a large document on the syntax; there's a section in audio, which is being referred to here; and there's a section on the video's compression, such as the he's referring to both MPEG 1 and MPEG 2 as possible

He also calls out another standard of the time. well-known H.263, another well-known used video compression standard that was introduced in the 1994 time frame as well.

And so the point being that when one sees a picture -- or a figure, like Figure 4 of Imai and, of course, the related Figures 5 and 16, or one sees a figure like Figure 3 of Pauls, which shows a figure using multiple video or imaging coders in addition to speech encoders in addition to text encoders and a selection mechanism for choosing between them based on data type and other considerations, that to one of ordinary skill in the art, of course this is -- yet goes along the theme of why it would be natural to combine the two references. But also looking at either reference, it would be very natural and obvious and normal, if you will, to be putting -- to be plugging in -- to be putting in for one of these plug-ins in either, for example, Figure 3 of Pauls, or in the Imai figures, a well-known video compression standard of the time; and well-known to one of ordinary skill in the art because by the time the Fallon patent came around, these things had been around for years. These were what people were using.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 26 Page 28

One of ordinary skill in the art is of course aware of the standardization of algorithms for compressing common types of video, including images -- including video and also including things like images as well with other standards.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Which encoders would it be obvious to include in Imai's system?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Which encoders would it be obvious to include in Imai's system?

A. I'm not sure what you're encompassing in your question when you use the word "encoder" and "system." But we've already been talking about the -- what both Imai and Pauls described, a general system for selecting among multiple different encoders and different properties of speed and capabilities and choosing one, taking into account a data type and other considerations.

And so it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ current at -- at the time current and well-known -- actually, current for a number of years prior as well.

For example, video compression standards. Imai

and over is it seems like you've been asking the same question, more or less, over and over, so I've been trying my best to answer that question about the combination of Pauls and Imai.

You referred in your question to algorithms. Of course, my answer also referred to standards. For example, the MPEG standard describes a system that incorporates a number of well-known algorithms, such as Huffman coding, such as the DTC quantization, motion compensation at various different levels and so on; similarly for H.263, standard; similarly for MPEG 1, standard.

And I don't know -- and I think the point of my declaration is to describe how it's obvious to incorporate the teachings of the two and what is rendered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art reading these documents, when they now go ahead and look -- when you now go ahead and compare that to a claim, what is obvious and what is known to one of ordinary skill in the art, and when you go to look at a claim in the Fallon patent, what is rendered obvious in view of that prior art.

I don't -- is there a portion of my report where you are referring to me talking about building something? I don't quite understand the latter part -- the other part of the question when you talked about building, and

Page 29

Page 27

specifically says that his system, if you will, can be equally applied to video, and you also see video compression standards referred to as well in Pauls.

Again, I'm not sure exactly how you're trying to frame the use of these methods when you use the term "system" and "encoder," but we certainly can go to that claim language itself and go to my analysis on a claim-by-claim basis, if that's what is the point of your question.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. You seem to be telling me over and over again that it would be obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to include well-known algorithms or encoders in the system that Imai described.

My question is about which ones a person of ordinary skill in the art would actually include in the system that would be motivated to build?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat your question? MR. STONEDALE: If the court reporter could

please repeat the question?

(Record was read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: Your question has a number of parts. Let me take the different parts. First of all, the over and over -- the only reason I'm saying it over

you seem to be incorporating a number of claim terms in the question, so I think maybe the easiest way to answer the question would be actually asking about a particular claim in the patent and why -- why one or the other or both of these references render that claim obvious -- render it invalid due to the fact of obviousness.

So I'm not sure how to better answer your question when it's sort of outside the context of the analysis that I offer in the report, unless I've missed something and there's a place that you're thinking of that I talked about building in the report.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Well, that's my question. Do you talk about a person of ordinary skill in the art creating any particular system in combining the teachings of Imai and

particular system in combining the teachings of Imai and Pauls?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?
MR. STONEDALE: Could the court reporter please repeat the question?

(Record was read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: Well, I have a whole section on why it would be obvious to combine the two pieces of prior art and how it would be obvious to how the systems are so -- how they're so similar, the two pieces of art,

Page 30 Page 32

in so many ways, how natural it would be to combine them, to combine the ideas from them, how -- and I had actually pointed to that particular paragraph that described -- I just lost -- I think I flipped it off here a second ago -- but the paragraph that actually described how you could take various compression methods of Pauls and use them in Imai.

So I'm not quite sure what else you're asking, frankly. Again, it might be easier in the context of the analysis of why one or the other or both of these references render a particular claim invalid due to obviousness.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. The distinction, I think, turns on the terms "would" and "could." You've been telling me that it would be obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art that he could take the encoding techniques described in Pauls, implement them in Imai's system.

What I'm asking about is which encoding techniques a person of ordinary skill in the art would -- would implement versus could implement?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. I'm asking about the sorts of encoders that a

method to video and when a -- one of ordinary skill in the art and Imai shows this general system where you can plug things into it and you can have any number running simultaneously, it would be completely natural -- completely natural -- to make use of a well-known standard at the time and probably, arguably, for video the most well-known standards of the time would certainly include MPEG 2, MPEG 1 and H.263.

So it's -- so, in addition -- in addition, because of the high similarities that I talked about before in this section of my report and the motivation to combine between the two systems and the similarity of the problems that they're addressing and solving, it certainly would be natural, in view of the combination, to be using those same natural methods that Pauls explicitly calls out, including MPEG, which includes the MPEG audio layer that's specifically called out in Imai. BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Would it be natural to use -- or do you offer the opinion that it would be natural to use all of the encoders that Pauls mentioned in the system described by Imai?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.
THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?

Page 33

Q. Do you offer the opinion that it would be

Page 31

person of ordinary skill in the art -- well, strike that.

I'm asking you about which encoders a person of ordinary skill in the art would take from Pauls and implement into the system described by Imai, not which one he could take from Pauls and implement into the system of Imai.

MR. BATTS: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: It sounds like you're asking a legal question about whether if it's completely obvious to do it, does that render something obvious or not versus did someone do it in the past or something?

And, secondly, my answer was broader than you seem to be characterizing it. I first began by saying, if you look at Imai alone or Pauls alone and you see a system that allows you to plug in different methods -- in fact, in Pauls, the figure shows multiple video plug-ins. In Imai, it says it could just as well be video.

Just looking at the art by itself, without even combining the two -- just looking at either one by itself, so not necessarily looking at Imai, not necessarily taking -- first -- we can talk about the combination, but first, not necessarily taking MPEG 2 or MPEG 1 or H.263 because Pauls suggests it, but anybody of ordinary skill in the art when Imai says you could just as well use video, you could just as well apply his

natural to use all of the encoders that Pauls mentioned in the system described by Imai?

A. I'm not sure what you're encompassing in "all," but certainly, when you look at both Imai and you look at Pauls, they envision the idea that you have multiple encoders.

And, again, I'm not sure what you're encompassing in "encoder" versus "algorithm" versus other things -- we can look at specific claim language, and I'd be happy to answer the question in that way. But certainly when you look at the plug-ins, the things you can use in those figures, for example -- Figure 3 of Pauls, for example, or figures 4, 5 and 16 of Imai, certainly the -- both of them envision you would have multiple encoded -- multiple audio encoders, multiple video encoders -- right? -- so you could choose between them.

And you might even have, for example, two MPEG 2 encoders from different vendors -- right? -- and you bought them both because one vendor is advertising super, super good compression ratio, but it's expensive to run. It does -- for example, when you look at MPEG and all the video things, one of the most expensive steps is the motion compensation.

I'm trying to take a block in the current frame

Page 34 Page 36 and match it to the best possible match in the previous repeat the question? frame, sometimes to a half pixel or quarter pixel (Record was read back as requested.) resolution, which requires interpolation. And the area THE WITNESS: I could be wrong, but I think you that I search can be very narrow, just around the same

position in the previous one, or broader. And it also can be more precise. And depending on how you limit or don't limit it, it can take way more or way less time, and it could also take way more way or less time

depending on what hardware you have available, both for encoding and decoding.

So you may very well purchase two versions of the same standard, two versions of -- two encoders for the same standard, two MPEG -- two different vendors sell you an MPEG 2 encoder and you want both of them because they have different properties. One of them may not get quite as good quality or quite as good compression ratio, but it's much faster. And the other one may do better, but it requires more computational resources. It will run slower, or it will require more expensive hardware or software.

So even within the same standard, let alone the fact that you may want to have both an MPEG 2 and an H.263 because they also have different properties. The standards advertise -- or not advertise. But when the standards were released, they were released for different

THE WITNESS: I could be wrong, but I think you asked this exact same question -- I mean the exact same question -- before the break, and I gave you an answer. I'm not sure if I can answer better unless I missed something that you're trying to encompass in the

something that you're trying to encompass in the
 question. Unless I'm wrong, I believe those are the
 exact words.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Is it possible to provide a concise answer to that question?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: I felt that I did before. An accurate answer -- we also looked at that section of my report. I quoted sections of my report. But, I mean, literally, not only did you ask a similar questions many times in a row, but I believe that's precisely the exact question, so I don't know if I can answer any better. BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Would you mind giving it a try?

A. Perhaps the court reporter could read back my answer to the question.

(Record was read back as requested.) By the way, just an objection that you said

Page 37

Page 35

targeted applications, but both compress video.

So I'm not sure how to better answer your question. I'm not sure how to better answer your question.

MR. STONEDALE: Would you-all mind if we took a five-minute break off the record?

THE WITNESS: Sure.

MR. STONEDALE: I would like to use the bathroom.

THE WITNESS: Good idea.

MR. BATTS: And beyond that, lunch planning? Is there any thoughts on when we do lunch given the strange, kind of, timing of the deposition today? Because I can order in lunch now so that when we take the next break, we can eat lunch? Does that make sense?

THE WITNESS: That sounds great.

(Recess was taken.)

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Dr. Storer, do you offer the opinion that it would be obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to include any data compression encoders from Pauls in the system described by Imai?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?

MR. STONEDALE: Could the court reporter please

"M.263," I said "H.263." But otherwise, thank you.

And if you heard her speak, the opening part, I think very concisely, addressed the question, and then I gave additional explanation for the longer portion of -- other part of the question, and I really don't know how to better -- I ended it by saying, "I really don't know how to better answer your question" because you had asked a very, very similar question any number of times before that.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. It seems like part of what you objected to in my question is the use of the word "encoder," and I think maybe you prefer to use -- use the word "plug-in." Would you call MPEG a plug-in?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: No.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. How would you describe MPEG as a standard?

A. MPEG is an international standard. It includes three parts. Part one is the syntax for how you receive a stream and parse it because there's very complicated syntax to describe what it is you're supposed to do -- parameters. Anything from frame rate to all kinds of things.

And then part two is audio. Specifying how

Page 38 Page 40

to -- how an audio stream is to be -- relating to the compression of audio stream. And part three relates to the compression of a video stream. And the reason I say "relates," of course, is that the standard is really specifying the protocol. There's lots of -- as I mentioned in that longer explanation that was part of the previous answer, there are many different ways that one could go about implementing the standard that could result in slower or faster execution at the tradeoff of needing more expensive hardware or slower, or running slower or something like that, such as the motion compensation where the search could be very narrow and give a good job, or the search could be much more complex and do a slightly better job, for example.

So it's a standard -- and I would describe MPEG as a standard for video and audio compression, often, typically, video includes an audio track, but the audio portion can be used on its own, so I wouldn't call it a plug-in, but it certainly can be used as something you could plug into one of the components that is either described in the Imai patent or described in the Pauls patent.

Q. Would you call MPEG a data compression algorithm?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

also see where it's used as a component in a larger system, if you will, or encoder, if you will, or maybe some people will consider the entire MPEG as an algorithm. Others might say it consists of multiple algorithms within an MPEG encoder.

Again, I'm talking outside specifically the patent or the claim language. And, for example, something like Huffman coding is used also in JPEG image compression, JPEG compression, DCT, for example, and Huffman coding and run-length coding.

So I think the terminology, again, if we're going to -- I don't even recall sitting here. We have to go take a look at the claims. But I think that if you're going to use words like "encoder," "algorithm," what's the difference and so on, well, let's go ahead and look at the analysis of the claims. But I think I have given you an answer to describe MPEG is composed of a lot of complex pieces which work together.

(Reporter asked for clarification.) BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. For purposes of claims, would you call MPEG a data compression encoder?
- A. Okay. Well in that case, I guess if you're going to -- when you talk about claims now, I need, I guess, to have a copy of the patent at issue in front of

Page 39

Page 41

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. Would you call MPEG a data compression algorithm?
- A. So I'm not sure what you're encompassing in the word "algorithm." I think if you look -- and we could look specifically at claim language and describe what it is -- and look at my analysis of a particular claim, but outside the claims of the patent and outside even the patent, MPEG is a -- also like H.263, is a complex -- has a complex process to the way it compresses video.

First there's motion compensation. I've described that that can be very time-consuming, and there are many different approaches to that that can take more or less time. And then after that, there is quantization.

There's also -- well, there's also transform, something called the DTC, which is a complicated mathematical transform. There's quantization, and there's lossless coding, including run-length and Huffman, H-U-F-F-M-A-N, coding.

So you can certainly see in the literature where, for example, Huffman coding is presented on its own as a data compression method or as a data compression algorithm or as a data compression encoder, and you can

me.

- Q. I'm curious. What are you going to look at in the patent? The claim language is in your report.
- A. I think if we're going to talk about the claims at issue, I should have the patent in front of me, so I would just ask for that if that's okay.
- Q. I'm just curious why -- what you're looking for in the patent. Why do you need the patent to answer the question?
- A. I guess if you're going to ask a question about the patent, I would like to have the patent in front of me.
 - Q. Is there any reason that you need the patent?
- A. My understanding is today's deposition is entirely about that one patent.
 - Q. Yeah. Go ahead and give him the patent. (Exhibit F was marked for identification.)
- A. (Witness reviewed document.) So I have the patent in front of me, and at the moment, I've simply looked at Claim 1 and the language in Claim 1 that incorporates some of these words that you've been using in your questions and I've been answering sort of in generalities outside of looking at Claim 1, including "system," "encoder" and "algorithm."

And with that in front of me, if you would like

Page 42 Page 44

to repeat your question or have a question, that would be fine.

Q. Is MPEG a data compression encoder?

A. Well, MPEG is a standard, and people -- vendors or people would implement the standard in software or hardware or a combination, and so the MPEG standard is -- when you refer to MPEG, you're often referring to an encoder in the sense you're referring to someone who has a particular implementation that you might purchase or use.

MPEG itself employs many -- what one would consider well-known or -- well-known algorithms, some of them going back decades, such as Huffman coding, run-length coding, discrete cosine transform, DCT, or even motion compensation -- again, decades.

And so there -- those are often viewed as algorithms on their own. Computer science might also consider a collection of algorithms as itself an algorithm and a piece of software that implemented the standard as an encoder. So what I do in my report is I go through this claim one element at a time, so the best way to answer questions about the use of those words in the claim would be to go through my report, which goes through the elements of that claim one at a time, and those elements do include the words you've been referring

then I say, "Imai teaches 'a transmission system' for compressing data" and give a citation.

And say, "It is my opinion that Imai's 'transmission system' teaches" -- "teaches a system..."

And then the claim -- the claim now begins with,
"A plurality of different asymmetric data compression
encoders" -- so there you have the word "encoder" -"wherein each asymmetric data compression encoder of the
plurality of different asymmetric data compression
encoders is configured to utilize one or more data
compression algorithms," so there you have the word
"algorithm."

And then I go on to say, "I note that Imai teaches 'a plurality of coding methods corresponding to the encoders 51 to 53." So, for example, I cite to Figure 5.

And then I go on to say, "Imai's encoders 51 to 53 'employ different encoding methods from each other." And I'm quoting, again, from Imai when he refers to them as different encoding methods.

And I also note in paragraph 114 that "Imai states that the coding methods employed by each encoder include," and I list a number of coding methods, including the MPEG standard.

And then, I -- in paragraph 115, to finally get

Page 43

to, including "system," "encoder" and "algorithm" --

Q. Do you offer the opinion that MPEG is an encoder in your report?

A. Well, let's take a look. I guess before even looking, MPEG is a standard, and when one refers to MPEG, one is typically referring to the fact that you are going to be using some implementation of a standard.

So your question -- I'm not sure if the question exactly lines up with what MPEG is, but it may line up with how -- with the terminology when one refers to MPEG or one talks about using MPEG.

Q. So for purposes of the claim language, is MPEG an encoder?

A. So I'm going to take a quick look at the report. It seems like you're starting to ask about claim language, so let me take a look at -- to refresh my memory -- for example, the analysis of Claim 1. If you would give me a moment. (Witness reviewed document.)

So I might just point you to -- I think in parsing these words -- to just a couple very -- I haven't even looked at the full analysis of Claim 1, but just the nice thing is the claim begins using the terms that you have been addressing in your questions.

So paragraph 112 of my report says, "I note that Claim 1 includes a preamble directed to a system." And

Page 45

to the last term in your question, "I note that each of these algorithms would have been well-known to a POSITA" -- and that's P-O-S-I-T-A, which, as you noted, is an abbreviation for "person of ordinary skill in the art" -- "as audio compression" -- "are known to POSITA as audio compression algorithms."

And my opinion is supported by at least -- and I give some other well-known -- it's well-known to one of ordinary skill in the art. And I give some citations to some textbooks.

So then I go on to say, "Thus, although Imai refers generally to 'encoders' and 'coding methods,' a POSITA would have understood that Imai teaches using compression encoders because coding is a term of art in the industry that encompasses compression algorithms, and Imai states that at least ATRAC 2 and MPEG layer 3 are examples of coding methods that 'provide a high compression rate' and thus compress input data." And I go on to discuss this further.

But I think that just that introductory section to my analysis of Claim 1, just going to the first element, does parse out those terms that you've asked about, which were "system," "encoder" and "algorithm."

Q. I'm talking right now just about the term "encoder." So based on your analysis there, if you could

Page 46 Page 48

help me interpret that and let me know if MPEG is an encoder, as you've defined it there.

A. Well, what I say here is that coding is a term of art in the industry, and it encompasses compression algorithms, so an encoder encompasses algorithms.

For example, we mentioned before that you might purchase one or more MPEG -- encoders based on the MPEG standard that might have different tradeoffs.

Even with using the same standard, one encoder may take much more time or more resources but do a slightly better job in compression ratio or quality, something like that, compared to another, so they encompass algorithms.

And the encoders -- there can be encoders based on a number of well-known standards, including both audio and video and a number of video -- for example, video standards are -- sorry, audio standards are mentioned in the paragraph above.

Q. Is MPEG a compression algorithm for purposes of the claim?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat your question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Is MPEG a compression algorithm for purposes of the claim?

algorithms are each different asymmetric data compression algorithms that are each used by Imai's encoders."

I mean, what could be -- it seems completely clear, and I don't really know how to better answer your question.

Q. Is it not possible to answer the question in a "yes" or "no" format?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat your question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. Is it not possible to answer the question in a "yes" or "no" format?
 - A. Which question are you referring to?
- Q. The question of whether or not MPEG is a data compression algorithm.

A. I think the way you've asked it, you've kind of framed it in a funny way because I mentioned earlier -- so the answer, I think, to your question is "no" the way you've asked the question because you've framed it in a funny way.

People will talk about using MPEG, and what they mean is an implementation of MPEG. MPEG itself is a standard that people now can use -- people can agree upon so that when someone says they've encoded, they made an encoder according to the MPEG standard -- and as I

Page 47

A. So, we've talked earlier about how MPEG is a standard, and then you -- and MPEG does rely on certain algorithms, and an encoder that implements the MPEG standard utilizes those algorithms.

And if you go to paragraph 117 in my report, for example -- I talk about this a number of places -- "I note that Imai's encoders comprise a plurality of different asymmetric data compression encoders that utilize data compression algorithms. Specifically MPEG layers 1, 2 and 3, and the ATRAC and ATRAC 2 compression algorithms are each different asymmetric data compression algorithms that are each used by Imai's encoders."

So I think your question is very well answered just by looking at the report, that I believe that paragraph alone addresses your question.

- Q. Could you help me understand the application of your analysis to the specific issue of whether or not MPEG is a -- is a compression algorithm?
- A. We've already -- I'm not sure -- isn't that what you basically just asked me? How can I answer it any better? Let me just say again, paragraph 117 says, "I note that Imai's encoders comprise a plurality of different asymmetric data compression encoders that utilize data compression algorithms. Specifically, MPEG

layers 1, 2 and 3, and the ATRAC and ATRAC 2 compression

Page 49 mentioned earlier, you might have multiple encoders that

mentioned earlier, you might have multiple encoders that have different properties for the same standard, multiple encoders have different properties with respect to speed versus other considerations such as compression ratio or quality.

(Reporter asked for clarification.)

And so, your question -- so people will refer to using MPEG. When they talk about using it, they're referring to implementation based on the standard, and I think that the paragraph 117 in my report that I read to you clearly relates the terms "encoder" and "algorithm" and pretty clearly answers your question in a way that is not a yes or a no, but I think answers your question clearly and is the best way to answer that question -- or a good way to answer the question, anyway.

- Q. Does the system of Imai use encoders?
- A. There was kind of a -- something in the transmission kind of stopped in the middle, so could you repeat the question?
 - Q. Does the system described by Imai use encoders?
- A. So in paragraph 117, I say, "I note that Imai's encoders comprise a plurality of different asymmetric data compression encoders that utilize data compression algorithms."

And then earlier on, we noted that -- I said at

Page 50 Page 52

the very beginning, "It is my opinion that Imai's transmission system teaches the system as recited in Claim 1."

So it seems like we've already gone over this in the very beginning of my analysis of -- of Claim 1, for example. I mean, there are other places in the report where I describe Imai as well. Imai -- I describe in a number of places in the report, but certainly right there, it seems to be a very clear answer to your question.

- Q. In your paragraph 117, you say, MPEG layers 1, 2 and 3 and the ATRAC and ATRAC 2 compression algorithms are algorithms that are used by Imai's encoders; is that right?
- A. What I say is specifically, "MPEG layers 1, 2 and 3 and the ATRAC and ATRAC 2 compression algorithms are each different asymmetric data compression algorithms that are each used by Imai's encoders."
 - Q. So is MPEG layer 3 a compression algorithm?MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat your question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. Is MPEG layer 3 a compression algorithm?
- A. So -- so MPEG -- when I refer to MPEG layers 1, 2 and 3, I'm talking about, as you mentioned earlier,

What I say here in my report in paragraph 117 is, "Specifically, MPEG layers 1, 2 and 3, and the ATRAC and ATRAC 2 compression algorithms are each different asymmetric data compression algorithms that are each used by Imai's encoders."

So when Imai has an encoder that is working according to MPEG layers -- layer 3, for example, it is employing the algorithms of the standard. Although, as I mentioned earlier, there may be multiple -- you may have multiple encoders, which may have different -- may be both working according to the standard, but have different -- even in the case of audio, have different properties in terms of speed versus other considerations, such as compression ratio and quality.

Q. To summarize, would it be accurate to say that MPEG layer 3 is a compression algorithm?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: I don't think I would want to summarize my previous answer. I thought it was pretty clear, and I would rather have that be the answer than some kind of possibly incorrect summary.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Do you, in your declaration, name any data compression algorithms that are used by the system described in Imai?

Page 51

that the MPEG standard, again, which was -- which was released nearly a decade prior to the Fallon patents and was known in the -- and the stuff in it was known more than a decade before, even with MPEG 2, let alone MPEG 1.

Let's take MPEG 2, though. There were three sections. There are three sections to the standard. The syntax section, which is entitled -- I can't remember the title. It's not entitled "syntax," but basically a big section on the syntax. And then a section on audio, which is part 2; and then section on video, which is part 3.

And it's part 2, the audio, that's being referred to here, the audio. It can be used on a standalone basis, so it embodies -- the standard describes algorithms and implementations of the standard. When one has an encoder, its implementing -- it's working according to the standard, according to the algorithms in the standard, can be used to compress video.

So the standard as a whole is -- I'm sorry, to compress audio. The standard as a whole is viewed as a, quote, "video compression standard" because typically, video has an audio associated with it, and that's why -- actually, the part 1 -- now I remember -- is called the "systems" part with the syntax, and that's why there are two parts, part 2 for audio and part 3 for video.

Page 53

- A. I don't think I understand your question. We've already been talking about -- lots of things used by Imai, we mentioned. We talked about this opening part of the analysis of Claim 1 that cites to many different methods. I'm not sure I understand your question.
- Q. Does Imai use any -- sorry. Does Imai use any data compression algorithm?
- A. What do you mean by "use"? In other words, we talked about how Imai teaches and describes all these different things, and then we've talked about analysis of a claim and what the claim is claiming and then looking to see how Imai renders each of those elements obvious.

So I -- are you trying to talk about what Imai did as an inventor when he was possibly building a system based on his invention or -- I'm not sure what you mean by "uses" versus what it is that is disclosed and rendered obvious by Imai and what it is I am relying upon in Imai in my analysis, for example, Claim 1.

- Q. Does the system described by Imai use any data compression algorithm?
- A. I don't know how to answer your question better than I already have, so let me read paragraph 117, which I think addresses your question. "I note that Imai's encoders comprise a plurality of different asymmetric data compression encoders that utilize the data

Page 54 Page 56

compression algorithms, specifically" -- "that utilize data compression algorithms" -- I didn't mean to say "the." "Specifically, MPEG layers 1, 2 and 3, and the ATRAC and ATRAC 2 compression algorithms are each different asymmetric data compression algorithms that are each used by Imai's encoders."

THE COURT REPORTER: Hold on. Slow down a little bit.

THE WITNESS: I don't understand what is not clear about that, and I don't understand why that doesn't answer your question.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Would it be reasonable for me to conclude from your opinion expressed in paragraph 117 that Imai does use data compression algorithms?

A. I can't say what's reasonable for you to do or not to do. I think paragraph 117 speaks pretty clearly.

Q. Do you express the opinion that Imai does use data compression algorithm?

A. This sounds like the same question you asked before, and I also asked you what you meant by "used." I don't know how to better answer it. You seem to be asking the same question over and over. I pointed you to relevant sections in my report. I don't really understand what else you're trying to ask that I haven't

of this sentence. Does this sentence convey that MPEG layers 3 is a compression algorithm?

A. Well, it says "MPEG layers 1, 2 and 3 and the ATRAC and ATRAC 2 compression algorithms are each different asymmetric data compression algorithms that are each used by Imai's encoders."

So I think -- I don't know whether you're trying to parse words or not. I've explained earlier that these are well-known standards and that you can now have implementations based on those standards, encoders based on those standards, that employ the algorithms from the standards.

Again, different implementations may have different complexities because the algorithms can be implemented in different ways and have different tradeoffs.

So I'm not sure what else you're asking above and beyond what is said here in the paragraph, and this paragraph is in the context of analyzing Claim 1 and the element that is being analyzed after the preamble, which simply says, "A system" -- and I note that Imai's transmission system teaches a system as recited in Claim 1. It's analyzing that next claim element -- "a plurality of different asymmetric data compression encoders wherein each asymmetric data compression encoder

Page 55

already answered.

Q. Does the system described by Imai use any data compression algorithms?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Okay. So both before the break and after the break you asked that exact -- I mean, I believe, unless my memory is wrong -- to-the-word question. I don't know how to answer any better than the last two times you asked it.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. The last sentence of paragraph 117 says that MPEG layer 3 is used by Imai's encoders; is that correct?

A. It says specifically -- I think you're paraphrasing it, but, "Specifically, MPEG layers 1, 2 and 3 and the ATRAC and ATRAC 2 compression algorithms are each different asymmetric data compression algorithms that are each used by Imai's encoders."

Q. Does that mean that MPEG layer 3 is a data compression algorithm?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: So, again, I think that's the exact same question you've already asked at least once. I don't know how I can better answer it.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Well, I'm specifically asking about the meaning

of the plurality of different asymmetric data compression encoders is configured to utilize one or more data compression algorithms." That's the word you've been asking about.

Page 57

And then I go on to talk about, in a sequence of paragraphs and not merely the 117 that we've been talking about, about how this claim -- this portion of the claim is rendered obvious by Imai, and the -- in fact, the paragraph right below my, sort of, presentation of that claim element, paragraph 113, says, "I note that Imai teaches 'a plurality of coding methods corresponding to encoders 51 to 53.""

And then I go on later to talk in paragraph -- and by the way, in the -- in paragraph 114, I list a whole bunch of things, such as MPEG and ATRAC.

And then 115 -- we mentioned this earlier -- I say, "I note that each of these algorithms would have been well-known to POSITA as audio compression algorithms."

And I go on to say, "Thus, although Imai generally" -- "Imai refers generally to 'encoders'" -- I put that in quotes -- and 'coding methods'" -- I put that in quotes -- "a POSITA would have understood that Imai teaches using compression encoders because coding is a term of art in the industry and that encompasses" -- "a

Page 58 Page 60

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

term in the industry that encompasses compression

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I think we've talked about this before. We have the notion of a system. We have the notion of an encoder. We have the notion of an encoder encompassing compression algorithms. And we have well-known standards on which encoders are based where the standards themselves describe algorithms, and those encoders encompass algorithms described by the standards, those encoders that are based on the standard. And again, it could be -- you might have multiple encoders based on the same standard with any given system.

- Q. Can you give an example of an encoder that Imai
 - A. Haven't I already done that multiple times?
- Q. It's unclear to me. But maybe you could do it now and that would make it more clear.

A. So -- well, first of all, let's go back to paragraph 114 that I skipped over there. 114 says, "I also note that Imai states that the coding methods employed by each encoder" -- each encoder -- "include: Linear PCM (Pulse Code Modulation), ADPCM (Adaptive Differential PCM)" --

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Hold on. This is technical, so can you --

So I think that the report itself in just this initial analysis of just the first claim element where we now have the beginning of the claim with the word "system" -- the preamble with the word "system" and the first claim element having the words both "encoder" and "algorithm" in the first claim element, I think the answers to your questions about those terms are very clearly laid out in just these first opening paragraph -opening paragraphs in the sense that they're addressing this first claim element of Claim 1, for example. There are other places in the report where I also describe Imai and address Imai, but certainly here.

Q. Which compression algorithms does Imai teach?

A. I don't understand. Didn't I just answer that question? I don't know how to answer it better. I just don't understand what else you're trying to ask for here. It's the same question.

Q. I was asking before if you could tell me whether or not Imai teaches any compression algorithms. Now I'm asking you if you can name any that it does teach.

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: I don't quite understand your question. Are you asking whether Imai teaches how the methods work? That is computationally some of the things that one of ordinary skill in the art, for example, would

Page 61

Page 59

THE WITNESS: This is well-known. THE COURT REPORTER: Not to me.

THE WITNESS: -- "layers 1, 2, 3 of MPEG (Moving Picture Experts Group), ATRAC (Adaptive Transform Acoustic Coding), ATRAC 2 and HVXC (Harmonic Vector "Excit" -- "Excit" -- "Excit" -- Excitation Coding). Stated otherwise, in the embodiment, the encoders 51 to 53 are prepared by using encoders which perform encoding of the audio signal with various coding methods."

And remember that later on -- we already talked about this -- I say, "Thus, although Imai refers generally to 'encoders' and 'coding methods,' a POSITA would have understood that Imai teaches using compression encoders because coding is a term of art in the industry that encompasses compression algorithms."

And furthermore, we also have talked about the fact that at the -- that Imai also notes that his system could equally be applied to video, and anyone of ordinary skill in the art -- anyone of ordinary skill in the art at that time with the knowledge of these well-known standards that have been out for years for video compression would also understand that things like MPEG 2, video, H.263 video, MPEG 1 video, for example, would be things that clearly also could be used.

have known for years; that the -- for example, a particular compression algorithm uses the DCT and Huffman coding or something like that.

Or are you asking about what Imai teaches could be used as one of the -- what I referred to earlier as "plug-ins" and, for example, Figure 5? And I'm not sure I really understand the terminology that you use in your questions. It seems as though you're still kind of -kind of going back to something you asked a number of times already and I've answered a number of times already.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. In the --

A. I'm sorry. The video -- the feed hiccupped there. Can you start again?

Q. In the portion of your declaration where you -well, let me just go to it, then. So you offer the opinion that "Imai renders obvious the limitation wherein a first asymmetric data compression encoder of the plurality of different asymmetric data compression encoders is configured to compress data blocks containing video or image data at a higher data compression rate than a second asymmetric data compression encoder" --

THE COURT REPORTER: Hold on. Can you slow down a little bit?

Page 62 Page 64

MR. STONEDALE: Oh, I'm sorry. I'll just read the whole thing over again.

"Wherein a first asymmetric data compression encoder of the plurality of different asymmetric data compression encoders is configured to compress data blocks containing video or image data at a higher data compression rate than a second asymmetric data compression encoder of the plurality of different asymmetric data encoders."

A. I'm sorry. I didn't hear a question. Or it was so long that we're repeating that -- I guess, could you say what the question is?

BY MR. STONEDALE:

б

- Q. Yes. Do you see the limitation that -- in the patent, in Claim 1, do you see the limitation that mentions a first asymmetric data compression encoder?
 - A. Yes. I see that in Claim 1.
- Q. And it's your opinion that that limitation is rendered obvious by Imai; is that correct?
- A. That limitation is rendered obvious by Imai, ves.
- Q. How does Imai disclose a first asymmetric data compression encoder?
- A. So, Imai actually describes an end-to-end system where you can have real time transmission that goes

compression code?

A. So as I was saying partway through that answer, was that it has to take into account both the speed and the compression ratio. Optimizing the speed alone may not solve the problem that the data can't go over the channel, and optimizing the compression ratio alone won't necessarily solve the problem if you're doing it too slowly.

- Q. Can I cut you off again? I think you're misunderstanding the question.
- A. Are you withdrawing the question, then, again?
- Q. I'll withdraw the question.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Do you point to any aspect of Imai as a first asymmetric data compression encoder?

A. So, as I was trying to answer your question and trying to describe how Imai works where it has to base its decision on which encoder to use, in order to achieve throughput that it gives end to end -- end to end -- and one of ordinary skill in the art well understands the considerations you have and sees familiar discussion when they read Imai, if you want to go end to end and really have throughput that goes all the way through without any difficulties, you need to encode in such a way that you both have speed that's appropriate and a compression

Page 63

through the encoding, across the channel, through the decoder and to, let's say, the listener or viewer at the other end.

Imai has to take into consideration both speed and compression ratio and other factors in order to actually ensure a real time system.

And so Imai actually shows and describes having multiple encoders and choosing an encoder in order to achieve this goal of throughput. The key goal is throughput, right? It's, Can we now have a seamless system end to end?

So what affects throughput is both the speed of the encoder and the compression ratio. If you just -- if you don't -- if you just have a really fast encoder but the data is not small enough so that it can go over the channel, it really doesn't matter how fast it is. And similarly --

- Q. So I'm going to ask more precisely --
- A. Sorry. You've interrupted me --
- Q. I've --
- A. Sorry. You've interrupted me. You've interrupted me. Are you withdrawing your question? It's fine if you are. Otherwise, I wasn't finished.
 - Q. Oh, yeah. I'll withdraw the question. How does Imai teach a first asymmetric data

ratio that is appropriate.

Really fast doesn't help you if the compression ratio is not enough because it won't go over the channel, and really good compression doesn't help you if you don't do it fast enough.

Page 65

So Imai has multiple encoder -- it has the idea of multiple encoders that have different properties in terms of speed and compression ratio and, of course, data type.

Imai talks specifically how a different encoder may do a better job with one data type than the other. So when you talk about a first and second, Imai talks about the idea that you can have a tradeoff where one encoder may be -- may do a better job for that throughput than another encoder; for example, for a particular type of data where you know a different compressor is more appropriate and will achieve, for example, higher speed or the speed necessary in order to assure this end-to-end throughput.

And so the end-to-end is a very important aspect of Imai. It's actually taking into account several -- several considerations, including both speed and compression ratio, because they're related.

Well, optimizing only one will not necessarily give you that end-to-end that you need -- in fact, Imai

Page 66 Page 68

even goes further to talk about measuring the speed of the decoder because that's also necessary as part of the chain in order to guarantee the end-to-end throughput.

MR. BATTS: So we've been going for about an hour again and I just wanted to see if, at some point, we could take the break for lunch?

MR. STONEDALE: Yeah. I think very soon. I just want to finish this line of questioning. BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. So you've described what you see as the purpose of Imai. And I'm curious if there's any encoder in particular that Imai -- or sorry. I'll start over.

I'm curious if there's any encoder that you suggest is the first asymmetric data compression encoder that Imai teaches?

A. Sure. So Imai actually includes a number of different approaches, but certainly one, such as in Figure 5, where on the fly, Imai is going to now determine what encoder will ensure this throughput, right? What encoder will do the best job.

So, for example, it even talks about making an encoding schedule in adapting on the fly. So, for example, if right now an encoder is not fast enough, it's not doing the job that's necessary in order to guarantee that throughput, or it could also be that it's not

attempt to paraphrase it. That was the answer. BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. Well, I'm not sure I understood it. So I was wondering if you could -- maybe you could state it differently so I understand better.
 - A. State what?

- Q. The answer I was attempting to paraphrase. You weren't happy with my paraphrasing of the answer, so I was wondering if you could either paraphrase it or restate it so I have a better understanding.
 - A. Well, then could you repeat the question.
- Q. I'm asking you how -- what form -- what teaching of Imai corresponds to the first asymmetric data compression code?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: I think what I'm talking about in this section of the patent is how Imai renders this claim element obvious. Okay? And so the opening paragraph here that starts out right below where I -- where I show the claim element, says, "The '477 patent uses the term 'data compression rate' to refer to the execution or algorithmic speed of a compression encoder. Or, in other words, throughput is a measure of the amount of input the data compressor can compress and make available per unit of time at the given compression ratio."

Page 67

getting enough compression ratio. Okay?

But if that encoder, for example, is not fast enough -- okay? -- because the data type is changed; Imai mentions different kinds of data types that could be coming through, then that will change to a different encoder. And that encoder that is faster, for example, would correspond to a first encoder, and the one that is slower, that is being replaced -- for example, in that example, would correspond to a second encoder.

- Q. So it's your opinion that the first encoder could be any encoder so long as it's faster?
- A. I don't think -- if you're trying to summarize my answer to the last question, that's not a summary of the answer.
 - Q. What is incorrect about that summary?
- A. It's not a summary of my answer. I don't know how to better answer that question. You're not summarizing my answer. My answer is what it was.
- Q. Would it be correct to paraphrase your answer as saying that the first asymmetric data compression encoder is the faster of the two data compression encoders?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: I wouldn't want to paraphrase my answer. My answer to that question is my answer. If you want to ask another question, fine. But I'm not going to

Page 69
And I go on to talk about this more. So -- and

And I go on to talk about this more. So -- and we know that throughput is an important -- is an important word here. And I've talked about that in the context of Imai where Imai is trying to ensure throughput. And, in fact, Imai is actually not just ensuring, you know, throughput to a disk drive or something like that, which was what a lot of Fallon's specifications are addressed to.

He's really looking at a full real time system from start to finish in guaranteeing throughput and has multiple methods that Imai can choose from in order to -- based on other considerations, such as the data type.

And some methods have faster execution. Some methods have higher compression ratio, et cetera, and Imai is able to now, based on making decisions, adaptively, on a block-by-block basis, decide on which method to use.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. So which data compression encoder in Imai would be the first asymmetric data compression code?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat your question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Which asymmetric data compression encoder taught in Imai would be the first asymmetric data compression

Page 72 Page 70

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

encoder?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. So, first of all, let's recall the wording -the claim that we're addressing here, "Wherein a first asymmetric data compression encoder of the plurality of different asymmetric data compression encoders" -- so we have already talked about how Imai describes a plurality of asymmetric data compression encoders -- "is configured to compress data blocks containing video or image data at a higher compression rate" -- let's stop there -- "video or image data" -- and again, this is discussed in this section -- but, "video or image data. Imai describes in detail audio, but also says it could apply equally to video at a higher data compression rate than a second asymmetric data compression encoder of the plurality of different asymmetric data compression encoders."

So when Imai needs to employ a -- an encoder that is faster than another one, say, one currently being used in the example I gave before, that would correspond to the first one, and the second one that was replaced might correspond to the second one in that example.

Imai has a plurality and is using various criteria to choose between them. One important criteria is speed. Another important criteria is compression ratio. And based on data type, you may be -- you may need to improve throughput based on one of those factors later in this claim as well -- of the system to ensure that it actually works, that you get to the data to the user who wants to view it or listen to it.

Q. What is a data compression algorithm that a first asymmetric data compression encoder of Imai might

A. So I think I just -- I think that's just another way of asking the same question, which I just answered, I think, pretty thoroughly. I'm not sure how to better answer it.

- Q. Do you offer the opinion that the first asymmetric data compression encoder that Imai teaches would use a data -- or sorry -- would use a data compression algorithm?
 - A. Could you repeat the question?
- Q. Do you offer the opinion that the first asymmetric data compression encoder taught by Imai would -- would use a data compression algorithm?

A. The opinion that I'm offering here for Claim Element 1 -- what I call 1[b], the one we've been talking about, this sequence of questions, is that Imai renders this claim element obvious.

So I'm not quite sure exactly the way you've worded your question, but I think I've answered pretty thoroughly in the previous two answers why Imai renders

Page 73

Page 71

because Imai is trying to -- it is describing a system that gives throughput all the way through from start to finish.

It goes through the encoder. It goes across the communication line. It goes through the decoder and viewed by -- let's say viewed or listened to by the person or entity at the other end, and that throughput to ensure that -- it's well-known in the art and it's well described and taught in Imai that you need to take into account both speed of the -- execution speed of the encoding algorithm, but also, directly related to that, the compression ratio because those two together are what allow you to actually successfully, at the encoding end, get the data through the transmission line.

And then of course at the other end, Imai goes further because Imai is concerned with time. Imai actually describes a number of methods to measure the decoding capabilities of the decoder at the other end to ensure this flow-through.

Imai has the knowledge of its own encoders, obviously, when it's choosing between them, but it may be 21 that there's a user out there that Imai has to first figure out what its capabilities are because that could also affect the real time or the real time performance, the throughput -- and throughput is a word that's used

this claim element obvious.

And furthermore, we haven't even gotten to some of the other discussion. This section actually goes on quite a while, just the discussion in my report of this claim element. It goes on, I believe -- just so I can be safe -- and I haven't had a chance to review them, those sections, yet. But it goes on through -- through paragraph 147, so paragraphs 124 to 147. So there's a lot of other discussion here about this claim element. But the discussion is all geared towards why Imai renders obvious this claim element.

MR. STONEDALE: We can break for lunch now and I'll -- how long do you-all think you need for lunch? MR. BATTS: Let's go off the record. (Lunch recess taken.)

---oOo---

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

Page 74 Page 76

Afternoon Session 2:04 p.m.

Q. When we left off, we were talking about the first data compression encoder -- sorry, the first asymmetric data compression encoder. And I was wondering, can you point me to any specific example in your declaration of an encoder that you think would constitute the first asymmetric data compression encoder of Claim 1 in either Imai or Pauls?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. Can you point me to any part of your declaration that states an opinion alleging that any encoder from Imai or Pauls would constitute a first asymmetric data compression encoder of Claim 1?
- A. What do you mean when you use the word "constitute" in reference? Remember, Imai is prior art to the Fallon patent. It came first. I'm not sure what you mean by the word "constitute."
- Q. Could serve as or would serve as. I'll rephrase.

Can you point me to any opinions in your declaration that point to any encoder from Imai or Pauls that would serve as a first asymmetric data compression Q. Do you have any opinion expressed in your report that --

(Reporter asked for clarification.)

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Do you have any opinion expressed in your report that any encoder from Imai or Pauls would be the first asymmetric compression encoder of Claim 1?

A. Let me take a quick look at the text in this section relating to what is referred to as Claim Element 1[b] and see if that helps refresh my memory to fully answer your question. (Witness reviewed document.)

So I've had a chance to briefly review the passages that -- just as exemplary, which relate to what I call Claim Element 1[b], the one you're referring to. Obviously, there are other places in the report that also discuss Imai, overview Imai, discuss how Imai, for example, is an end-to-end system guaranteeing a throughput all the way through and takes into account both compression rate, speed of encoding and compression ratio, and one clearly understands that those two things are both taught by Imai.

And my analysis of even just the analysis of the Claim 1 here certainly supports that, that both of those things are taught. The analysis really applies to both those factors and others. And so I've had a chance to

Page 77

Page 75

encoder of Claim 1?

A. Again, I -- I'm still having a little trouble with the way you worded the question. This section of my report, which we haven't really reviewed yet, but we've begun looking at it, the -- what is referred to as Claim 1[b] here that has this terminology in it, "Wherein a first asymmetric data compression encoder," et cetera, et cetera -- this section of the report is describing how this claim element is rendered obvious in view of Imai.

Your question is sort of framing it in a different way. Are you referring to language that I use in this portion of the report to describe why, when looking at Imai from the point of view of a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Imai would -- why this would be -- why this claim element would be rendered obvious?

- Q. I'm asking if there's -- if you have any specific allegations regarding what would be a first asymmetric data compression encoder for purposes of Claim 1 in either Imai or Pauls?
- A. By "allegation," are you talking about my opinions that are expressed in this part of the report?
 - Q. Yes, sir.

A. Okay. So maybe could you rephrase the question that way, I guess.

look at this, and if maybe you could repeat the question so I can precisely have that in mind?

MR. STONEDALE: Could the court reporter please repeat the question.

(Record was read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: So as I mentioned earlier, my opinions here, of course, are that this claim element is rendered obvious by Imai. And in support of that, there's quite a few paragraphs here that I mentioned following -- starting with paragraph 124 and going on to paragraph 140 -- I can't remember exactly the number.

And, for example, in the -- one of the paragraphs actually quotes a section from Imai, paragraph 67 of the -- of the Japanese translation, where it says, "The encoders 51 to 53N (N is two or more) are constructed to encode the audio signal with different coding methods from each other (for example, linear PCM (Pulse Code Modulation), ADPCM (Adaptive Differential PCM), layers 1, 2, 3 of MPEG (Moving Experts Picture [sic] Group), ATRAC (Adaptive Transform Acoustic Coding), ATRAC 2, and HVXC (Harmonic Vector Excitation Coding))."

And then it goes on to say, "Stated otherwise, in the embodiment, the encoders 51 to 53 are prepared by using encoders which perform encoding of the audio signal with various coding methods, including a linear [sic]

Page 78 Page 80

method which provides a relatively large (high) bit rate of the resulting coded data, but can reproduce audio signal with relatively good reproducibility, a method which can provide a relatively small (low) bit rate of the resulting coded data but reproduces an audio signal with relatively poor reproducibility" --

THE COURT REPORTER: Slow down, please.
THE WITNESS: -- "a method which requires a
larger amount of computation for coding, a method which
requires a not-so-large amount of computation for
decoding, and a method particularly suitable for coding a
voice."

So here, you have an example -- and I mentioned earlier that when you go further into the Imai patent, he actually -- he or she, I don't remember whether Imai is a man or woman -- but Imai actually goes into details of how once the -- that the -- the input is broken up into blocks, possibly, and that as time goes on, there's an encoding schedule, Imai may switch encoders based on things such as the type of the data.

And so here, you see Imai talking about -- if you really understand what's being said here, about both time encoding -- the time to perform the encoding and the compression ratio because Imai -- and also, Imai actually talking about the decoder as well because Imai is

There are ones that are faster in some respects and slower in other respects. They have better compression, but they're slower; or they have less compression, but they're faster. And I'm going to make a choice.

And in terms of rendering this claim element obvious, clearly, when Imai chooses one over another one because it's faster in execution time, then that would be rendering obvious this claim element that there's a configuration of a faster one and a slower one.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. And which encoder would be the first asymmetric data compression encoder of Claim 1?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: So remember that Imai predates Fallon. It's prior art. Imai is not -- you're sort of talking about Imai -- what I'm talking about is Imai rendering Claim 1[b] obvious. And why is that? Because Imai repeatedly -- okay? -- repeatedly does what is -- describes what is described in Element 1[b].

In fact, on a block-by-block basis, Imai makes this evaluation of what method I should use and chooses between methods that have different properties in terms of both speed of execution or compression rate and compression ratio in order to most effectively handle throughput.

Page 79

interested in throughput, where the system -- where it goes all the way through from the input to the viewer or listener at the other end.

And so Imai -- it talks here about Imai selecting one method which is, for example, faster than another method for the purposes of ensuring this throughput and those parameters. Speed of execution and compression ratio and other factors are what differentiate different methods that Imai has available to use, and Imai chooses the method which is going to most effectively handle the situation at hand on a block-by-block basis and sometimes finer than that, according to an encoding schedule that's later in the patent.

So, for example, when we look at this claim element, where it says -- where the claim element says, "Wherein the first asymmetric data compression encoder of the plurality of different asymmetric data compression encoders is configured to compress data blocks containing video or image data at a higher data compression rate than a second asymmetric data compression encoder."

You see that -- in Imai -- in the description I've quoted here and in many other places in Imai where he's saying, yes, in the case where I need to switch, there is -- I have these things configured in such a way.

Page 81

Of course, throughput is a term later in this claim as well, and throughput is a term throughout the -- throughout both the Fallon patent and the Imai patent and so -- repeatedly as the system operates dynamically, as it's compressing, it's making decisions based on data type, based on other considerations, whether it needs to go to a faster encoder, that's what the problem is; or whether it needs to go with one with more compression ratio.

As I mentioned earlier, these terms are related. If you simply increase the speed, it's not going to help if the compression ratio is not enough, if you can't physically put it down the channel. And if you make a great compression ratio, it's not going to help if you compute it too slowly because Imai is concerned with throughput, overall throughput. It has to have a steady flow from the data coming in at the encoding end to the data being, let's say, viewed or listened to at the decoding end.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. So I noticed in that answer, you didn't name any specific encoders. Is that because you don't offer the opinion that any specific encoder in Imai or Pauls are examples of the first asymmetric data compression encoder of Claim 1?

Page 82 Page 84

BY MR. STONEDALE:

1 MR. BATTS: Objection. Form. 2 THE WITNESS: So could you re

THE WITNESS: So could you repeat your question?
MR. STONEDALE: Could the court reporter please repeat the question?

(Record was read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: So let me take the two parts of your question separately. First part is, of course, I named a whole bunch of encoders, and I won't reread the passage, but it included encoders like ADPCN, MPEG, ATRAC and so on and a whole bunch of encoders.

And as far as the second part of your question goes, I described how, in fact, yes, Imai renders Claim 1[b] obvious because those encoders -- because, in fact, they have different properties in terms of speed of execution and compression ratio -- and by the way, this is also an important point here. We've talked about it before.

They -- Imai and also Pauls clearly envision -- you might have several encoders that are based on the same standard. Imai, in fact, even talks about that, and I quote that in another paragraph in this section where I talk about how, even within the ATRAC standard, Imai says you could have three versions. Okay?

Because with many standards, the time, the tradeoff between time and compression ratio, whenever you

better compression ratio, and there are tradeoffs between the different methods available to -- available to Imai. And, in fact, Imai describes that. Imai talks about how these methods have different tradeoffs, even within the context of one's standard.

Q. The encoders you named, you read the paragraph 67 of Imai, and you named all the encoders that are named in that paragraph, as you said. Could any of

those encoders be a first asymmetric data compressionencoder of Claim 1?

A. So, again, I think that -- I'm not sure about your terminology. You seem to be talking about Imai using Fallon terminology or something. What I'm saying is that Claim 1[b], which begins, "Wherein a first asymmetric data compression encoder of the plurality," blah, blah, blah, that claim element that we've been talking about, I'm saying if that element is rendered obvious by Imai, that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the filing of Imai, reading Imai, would find that claim element obvious in light of Imai.

And I describe how Imai -- how Imai has a selection of coders, and it's going to depend on the data. Imai now actually teaches not just some kind of fixed -- that would also be useful, perhaps, but it

Page 83

talk about throughput, of course you need to take both into account. Certainly, Imai teaches to take both into account, and one of ordinary skill in the art appreciates that even before reading Imai.

So even -- even within the same overall standard, let's say MPEG, to encoders -- you may have two different encoders, one of which is much slower but does a better job, either in terms of quality or compression ratio, and one is much faster, but they're both MPEG coders.

So what is rendered obvious, the reason this claim is rendered obvious, is, first of all, yes, I did name many different encoders in my last answer; and, yes, what Imai does is repeatedly, as data is flowing through, as the blocks are going through, it's assessing data type, it's assessing other considerations and it's choosing between a selection of first and second.

So this claim is rendered obvious clearly because at any point in time, Imai will be choosing a first one over, perhaps, a second one that was, say, being used in the previous block because now what's needed, we've gone from text to music or from a certain kind of music to another kind of audio, and now, what's appropriate in order to maintain that throughput is we need to have a method that is either faster or has a

Page 85 something much richer. It teaches an adaptive

teaches something much richer. It teaches an adaptive system, which, on a block-by-block basis, can examine data type and decide, you know, at this point in time, we've got some text. We better use a text compression method because otherwise we're running really slow here; the video method is just not compressing this text at all. It's taking way too long. Whatever. It's spending all this time to compute this stuff that is unnecessary to compute. It's way too slow, or it's bad compression ratio -- I'm going to switch methods, and I'm going to use a method that is going to keep guaranteeing throughput.

And that's the whole thing. In order to guarantee throughput, which is, of course, also what is discussed in the Fallon patent, you need to take into consideration -- and two considerations, among others, that are definitely taken into account. And as I state in paragraph 124, I describe how they're related.

When you talk about rate, when you talk about compression rate, you're -- clearly, also, it's related to compression ratio because the two work together. You can't -- you can be as fast as you want. If you're not getting enough ratio, it's not going to flow through that communication line. You can be compressing as much as you want, but if you're not going fast enough, you're

Page 86 Page 88

also going to lose your throughput.

So that's why Imai teaches a selection of encoders with different properties and teaches also -- and I did mention in another paragraph, for example, paragraph 69, it can also be that even a given standard has multiple implementations with different tradeoffs in terms of time and/or compression ratio.

So, yes, this -- Imai renders this claim element obvious because Imai is repeatedly going ahead and making this decision, oh, I've got this configuration. Some encoders are configured to be faster than others for certain kinds of data or better compression ratios than other kinds of data. And based on the data type, Imai is repeatedly going ahead and rendering -- if you will, if you envision the Imai system running, it's repeatedly rendering this claim obvious because Imai describes this process of choosing one over another because, for example, it's faster or it gets better compression. It depends on the situation.

They're related variables, and either one can be the limiting factor when you're trying to guarantee a throughput, especially in a system like Imai which is guaranteeing throughput all the way from the input to the encoder through the output to the decoder, a flow that, in order to guarantee that, it teaches to take into to actually search and interpolate a half-pixel resolution. This is included in the MPEG standard.

So maybe there's a red ball moving right now, and you can find a good match to the same position in the previous frame, a little off of it, where there's the same kind of red ball, but maybe there's a second ball at another place in the frame which would be a better match. And they're really slower, but a more sophisticated encoder would find the better match, and the simpler encoder would simply take the match that's close by.

So there's a tradeoff because within the same standard -- and this point is made in Imai when he's talking about ATRAC -- you could have two different implementations where one is much faster than the other, but one maybe gets better compression ratio, better quality. And you may have purchased them even from different vendors and may be running two, what you would call MPEG encoders, but they are part of your suite, if you will, of different methods that have different tradeoffs in both time and compression ratio and other factors, possibly, as well -- quality.

Q. Is it -- to achieve a particular compression ratio with a particular set of audio data, would it usually be faster to compress with MPEG layer 3 or ATRAC 2?

Page 87

account these factors.

Q. Would it be faster to compress data with MPEG 3 or ATRAC 2?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Would it be faster to compress data with MPEG layer 3 or ATRAC 2?

A. So, no disrespect to your question, but the way you phrased it doesn't actually match up with the technology. We mentioned earlier that both MPEG and ATRAC are standards, and those standards can be implemented to run faster or slower and also in a tradeoff to achieve more or less compression.

I gave the example before of MPEG where a really time-consuming step, or a big part of the time used by MPEG is the motion compensation. I've got a block in this frame, and I'm trying to find the best matching block in a previous frame. And I can look at a very small region around the corresponding position of the previous frame and may be only looking at integer coordinates and get a very fast encoder, or I can actually look at a very large region or perhaps through the entire previous frame to get the best possible match and possibly at the half-pixel resolution where you have

Page 89

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.
THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?
MR. STONEDALE: Could the court reporter please read the question?

(Record was read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: So, again, it seems like pretty much the same question you asked before, and the answer would begin the same way, that the question is almost phrased the wrong way. And this would apply not just to audio, but would apply to audio standards, but certainly also would apply to video standards and the example I gave with motion compensation. It would apply to audio standards, for example, in the example that -- that -- that Imai gives in paragraph 1 -- 690, even with respect to a given standard that these issues depend on the implementation.

The standards -- the standards allow the possibility of you working more quickly but possibly getting worse compression or worse quality; or working more slowly and possibly getting better compression or better quality or the -- or working in a way that requires more computational resources, which is another thing that Imai takes into account. It may have many, many users, many processes going.

And it also has to worry about its time. The

Page 90 Page 92

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

time for encoding is also a problem for Imai when it's having to parcel its recomputation of resources among many users.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that's why Imai teaches a suite of methods that have different properties in terms of time, compression ratio and other things. But particularly, time and compression ratio, which are intimately related. When we analyze Claim 1 here, for example, where it talks about compression rate, our analysis is also -- also analyzing compression ratio because at the same time, Imai teaches you have to take both into account because Imai is not in the abstract doing some abstract throughput. It's describing a real throughput from data coming in at the encoder, going through the encoder, going through the encoding channel, going through the decoder, coming out to be viewed or listened to at the other end.

And that whole system can only work if you have the time and the compression ratio properly set so that the encoder compresses it fast enough and small enough so it can fit through the communication channel. BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. So is it generally not possible to say whether one standard will be faster at encoding a given piece of data to a given compression ratio relative --

element is rendered obvious by -- by Imai.

Imai doesn't have to worry about the problem of, hypothetically, how could you implement the standard? Or, is the standard inherently faster, or are most implementations of this standard faster than most implementations of that standard?

Imai has available to it a suite of encoders which it knows the properties of. It's not a matter of hypothetically what is possible or what isn't possible. It's got a suite of encoders, and Imai teaches those encoders have different properties.

Imai also teaches that it's important to understand those properties. Obviously, Imai understands the properties of the encoders at the encoding end.

Imai goes one step further. It describes a lot of technology to measure the communication line and measure that encoding capability at the other end.

So it not only takes into account speed of compression at its end and compression ratio at its end, which are intimately related, but also properties of the other end so it can ensure this flow of -- this throughput all the way through, beginning to end.

So Imai is not asking this hypothetical question of which standard is better than another, and that's not the question we're asking in order to talk about this

Page 91

Page 93

(Reporter asked for clarification.) BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Is it generally not possible for -- is it generally not possible to say whether one standard will be faster at compressing a given data source to a given compression ratio than another standard without knowing more about how that standard is implemented?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? MR. STONEDALE: Could the court reporter please repeat the question?

(Record was read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: So let me take the different parts of your question separately. First of all, you talked about a standard compressing something. As we described earlier, the standard -- it's a standard that describes a particular method for compressing data, and now what you would have in practice is an implementation of that standard. For example, you might have purchased two different MPEG encoders. Okay? So you have an implementation of that standard.

The second part of your question seems to be asking the wrong question in the sense that what I'm arguing about here or what I describe here and give opinions about here on Claim Element 1[b] is whether this claim being rendered obvious. This claim is rendered obvious because Imai teaches a suite of methods.

It says, I have all these methods, and it teaches that you have to take into account both compression rate and compression ratio. Whenever we talk about rate here in this analysis, if we read all the paragraphs they have, they also talk about compression ratio because the two are related, and all the analysis here shows that Imai -- Imai takes into account both compression rate and compression ratio by choosing among this suite.

It doesn't -- it's not addressing some hypothetical question about which standards are better or which might have better implementations. It's saying, "I've got a bunch." And it's well-known in the art that there are many different implementations and numerous standards that could be used, and Imai is saying, you know -- describing how to make use of them.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Yeah. I'm asking that question, though. I'm asking you: Is it possible to say whether MPEG layer 3 is faster than ATRAC 2 without knowing the details of how it's implemented?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?

Page 94 Page 96

Q. I'm asking: Is it possible to know the -- to know whether MPEG layer 3 is faster at compressing data than ATRAC 2 without knowing the implementation details?

A. So, first of all, I guess a lot of my answer would be the same as the previous question. You're asking about some hypothetical assessment of some hypothetical encoders that are available. And it doesn't seem to be addressing -- the issue at hand here is whether Claim 1[b], for example, is rendered obvious by Imai.

And so I guess I would have to understand more about your hypothetical. You seem to be asking what is possible. Are you talking about, for example, at the time of ordinary skill in the art, at that time? At now [sic]? At the time the standards were being designed? What particular encoders are you envisioning? What machines would they be operated on?

You seem to be asking a very academic question about standards, and that's really not the issue that's being addressed in my report here. My report is addressing the fact that Claim 1[b] and what we've been talking about here in this case, that Claim 1[b] is rendered obvious by Imai.

Q. Yeah. I am asking an academic question, but that's okay. You're an academic. I just want to know --

which seems to be outside of my report.

My report is trying to state something not in the negative but in the positive. This is what Imai teaches, and this is why it renders the claims -- in this case, Claim 1[b] obvious.

Q. Does your report offer the opinion that H.263 is faster than MPEG at encoding video data?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Would you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Does your report offer the opinion that H.263 is faster than MPEG at encoding video data?

A. So, first of all, again, you framed the question in terms of the standard doing something rather than implementation of the standard doing something. And those standards, both -- you've mentioned two that are well-known standards, but they often have, different applications in mind that they're intended for.

But again, they both, being video compression standards, have a huge range in what the encoder can choose or not choose to do in terms of time. And so -- and so depending on the implementation, the encoder could be much faster or much slower so that you could very well have a faster -- an H.263 coder that was faster than -- they worked faster on the same data as an MPEG coder and

Page 95

Page 97

it would help me understand your other answers if you would tell me whether or not it's -- whether or not it's possible to say whether or not an encoder using one standard is going to compress data more quickly than an encoder using another standard without knowing details of the implementation rate about how those -- how those encoders are implemented?

A. So I think what my report is doing is talking about what is possible. It's talking about that, yes, it's well-known that there are numerous standards. At the time of the filing of the Imai patent, numerous standards for both audio and video were known. It was well-known there were multiple implementations of those standards that could be faster or slower for the same standard, let alone between two different standards, and that the idea of selecting between various options based on speed and/or compression ratio. And again, my analysis of compression rate here of course includes an analysis of compression ratio because they're related, as I started to describe in paragraph 124.

So I described what is possible. Your academic question of what would not be possible without having other information and the information kind of vague -- what kind of hardware, what kind of software, you know, I would have to think about that hypothetical question,

vice versa -- okay? -- depending on the particular -- when I say "an H.263 encoder," I mean an implementation of -- an encoder which is implementing the H.263 standard; or when I say "an MPEG encoder," an encoder which is implementing the MPEG standard.

And so the point -- the point of the -- of the -- of my analysis here, talking about obviousness is, it's not a matter of trying to compare standards or make some kind of hypothetical decision about which one you like better or in general or something.

What Imai teaches is when you actually have some encoders which have these tradeoffs between, let's say, time and compression ratio and other factors, possibly quality, that you now are going to choose between them and on a block-by-block basis, in fact, even according to an encoding schedule because different methods may have different performance characteristics for different kinds of data.

And it might be for a particular block of data -- video data, the H.263 was running faster and giving an acceptable compression ratio but for another block of data it was running slower and you choose a different H.263 encoder or you chose an MPEG encoder, something like that.

So the point is, the encoders have different

Page 98

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

properties. Imai teaches that, and Imai takes into consideration both compression rate and compression ratio. And, in fact, my analysis does that. My analysis really -- if you look through this section, all the sections are addressing both compression rate and compression ratio. The analysis is analyzing both because Imai teaches to take into consideration both.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And you -- really, as one of ordinary skill in the art knows at that time and as is described in Imai, they're related. You need to take into account both, or you could have a system that didn't work. You could be compressing it really fast, but it's not small enough, so it's going to go over that communication line; or you could be compressing it really well, but if you don't do it fast enough, the system will also break.

The viewer at the other end needs to be able to view or listen to that video that is coming through in a smooth throughput all the way from the input to the encoder through the output -- through the output of the encoder across the communication line into the decoder through the output of the decoder to the listener or viewer.

Q. Is it possible to derive the compression rate of an encoder from the compression ratio?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

Claim 1 talks about throughput, and I analyze -- my analysis shows that Imai teaches both.

Page 100

Page 101

But directly inferring that from the ratio, I mean, that's an idea of something -- Imai teaches something very straightforward. Imai teaches that you actually know about the properties of these encoders. And furthermore, Imai is measuring these things as time goes on. Imai is measuring whether, gee, at this point in time, the data type is changed, and in order to guarantee throughput, I should change to a different method, one that is faster for this kind of data or one that gets more compression for this kind of data.

So technically speaking, from a number, do you deduce properties of the algorithm? I'm not sure if that quite makes sense, but it is true that it's well-known and that there can very well be a tradeoff between the compression ratio and the time.

But that is not necessarily the thing you -that is, the encoding of Imai is able to choose between a suite of methods that have different properties for different data types. It's not so much taking a number in the way you phrase the question.

Q. When -- in paragraph 69 of Imai, it states that "The data rates of coded data outputted from the encoders are 64 Kbps, 32 Kbps and 24 Kbps respectively."

Page 99

Do you see that?

A. Just a second. I'll go to that paragraph. Give me one sec.

O. Page 33.

A. (Witness reviewed document.)

Q. Have you found page 33 of Imai?

A. I have found it. Could you repeat your question?

Q. You see in paragraph 69, "The data rates of coded data outputted from the encoders are 64 Kbps, 32 Kbps and 24 Kbps respectively."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, the Kbps there, that refers to kilobytes per second, right?

A. Yes. 64 Kbps, for example, refers to roughly 64,000 bits per second.

Q. Okay. That 64 Kbps is a measurement of the data outputted from the encoders; is that right?

A. So as it says here -- it says, "Therefore, here, for example, all the encoders, 51 to 53, perform encoding with ATRAC 2, while data rates of coded data outputted from the encoders are" -- and then it lists a number of rates starting with 64 Kbps.

Q. Yes. I'm asking: Are those measurements, Kbps,

THE WITNESS: Well, you're kind of working a little backwards just from a number. Technically speaking, it depends on how you -- in fact, you know what? Based on what I'm going to say right now, it actually, because it's technical -- go ahead and repeat the question. I'll continue what I'm saying, but I want to be sure I have the whole question in mind.

Could you repeat the question?

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Is it possible to derive the compression rate of an encoder from the compression ratio of the data that is encoded by the encoder?

A. So your question is a little bit -- it doesn't quite match up to the technology. We already talked about the fact how there are tradeoffs where one encoder may work very quickly but not compress as well or not get as good quality; and another coder, according to the same standards -- let's say a video -- may work much more slowly or require much more expensive hardware but do a better job, get a better compression or quality.

So the -- the -- it is true that the compression ratio and the speed -- or let's say the rate of compression and the compression ratio are intimately related in terms of trying to guarantee a throughput, and that analysis is what is done in my analysis of Claim 1.

Page 102 Page 104

are those referring to the rate of the outputted data that's been encoded?

б

A. They're talking, in this case, about -- because they're kind of referring to -- there's a lot of -- there's sort of standard ways people talk about standards. I guess you might say that.

In this case, it's saying for one second of input, you would expect -- different methods, but the first one would be, you would expect 64,000 bits of output.

Q. Okay. So, those are measurements of the output bit rate, then?

A. They're -- I don't know -- I wouldn't summarize my answer. It's pretty precise. The answer says what 64 Kbps means here. In this context, in the way that Imai is talking about a standard, it means for one second of input, there will be 64,000 bits of output from the encoder.

Q. Is the compression rate of the encoder 64 kilobytes per second?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Is 64 kilobytes per second, is that measuring the compression rate of any encoder?

decoding and reproducing the coded data in real time, transmission of the coded data can be avoided from becoming too late." Right?

Imai is very concerned with both. If the transmission is too late for any reason, whether it's because the encoding is slow -- maybe you picked a 64 Kb- -- maybe you picked a 32 Kbps coder because you needed that for the compression ratio. 64 was too big. But if that was a slow one, you might rather pick a different implementation that maybe had a little less quality but was fast enough, for example.

So, ultimately, Imai -- this paragraph is one paragraph in the context of a number, including the two before it, where Imai is concerned about this notion of not becoming too late. You don't want to have the system break. You have to have a throughput end to end from data coming in through the encoder through the communication line through the decoder to the -- to the viewing.

Imai has knowledge of the suite of encoders available to Imai at the encoding end; that is, the system that's being described, and also gives technology to measure and determine for an unknown user what their capabilities are and take that into account as well.

Page 105

Q. Is the reference to 64, 32 and 24 Kbps,

Page 103

A. So, here, the 64 kilobytes per -- the compression rate, of course, the Fallon patent relates the two, and you have to take both into account. And Imai does and my analysis does. Here, 64 Kbps you can view as the -- as the compression ratio achieved that number of bits, and it did it in a certain amount of time, the compression rate.

And the earlier paragraphs preceding this talk about the relationship between rate, speed, and compression ratio. So here, for example, Imai is giving an example that even within one given standard, the same -- that is, implementations of the same standard, he considers different methods. And in this example, they can give different compression ratios. And also, earlier on, he talks about different amounts of time.

So Imai is concerned with different amounts of time in terms of how long it takes to perform the encoding where his ultimate goal is, of course, throughput -- having a throughput all the way from beginning to end, through the encoder -- not just through the encoder, but also across the communication line through the decoder and to the viewer at the other end.

In fact, when you see Imai summarize his system, for example, at paragraph 180, at the very end, he summarizes, "Accordingly, for example, in the case of

describing the compression rate, measuring it in bits per second?

A. Could you repeat the question?

Q. In paragraph 69, is the reference to 64, 32 or 24 Kbps, are those measurements of the compression rate in kilobytes per second?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: So, first of all, these aren't measurements. This paragraph is talking about a way in which you refer to different implementations of the standard, which he specifically says are -- he views as different coding methods.

So they're not measurements. It's kind of like a specification: I'm going to use one of these coders. Okay? And in terms of compression rate, paragraph 124 of my -- of my declaration begins by addressing that term that you've put -- that you've placed in your question.

It says, "The '477 patent uses the term 'data compression rate' to refer to the execution or algorithmic speed of a compression encoder or, in other words, throughput as a measure of the amount of input data a compressor can compress and make available per unit of time at a given compression ratio."

So the -- using the term "compression rate" in the context of the Fallon patent, as I've already

Page 106 Page 108

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

described, the -- Imai teaches that you take both compression ratio and rate into account. In fact, even the Fallon specifications relate compression ratio to compression rate in the specifications.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so, here, when you said "measurements" -it's not a measurement. It's a reference to a particular method. That method has the property that one second -let's say -- take the 64 Kbs example. One second of input will produce 64 kilobytes of output, so that particular number would certainly directly address compression ratio as opposed to how the term "compression rate" is used without taking into account compression ratio, but compression rate does take it into account.

You really -- you look at the -- you certainly look at the Fallon patent. It relates the two. So when you look at a standard, you care about both its time to encode and the compression ratio in order -- for example, as Imai says, you don't want to be too late. You don't want the system to break.

So here, 64 Kbs is a measurement of the compression ratio, but it's not -- it's an amount of compression. It's not a measurement, actually, is what I'm saying. It's a reference to a method, and that method has an associated compression rate or time and a related compression ratio. So it's not a measurement.

algorithmic speed of a compression encoder or, in other words, throughput as the measure of the amount of input data a compressor can compress and make available per unit of time at a given compression ratio."

So when Imai selects one of these encoders to be used, it's selecting it in such a way that it will run fast enough or it wouldn't select it. That's what Imai is teaching Imai. Imai is teaching that I'm going to --Imai has to select one that runs fast enough or the system will break. And Imai has to select one that has a sufficient compression ratio or the system will break, break in the sense that it's trying to guarantee a throughput. And "throughput" is a term, of course, used later in this claim.

So 64 Kbs is the name of a method. Imai says these are different methods, and that method will have to run sufficiently fast and get a sufficient compression ratio. So, for example, Imai would only use a 64 Kbs version of ATRAC if, A, that was -- the channel could handle 64 Kbs; that is, compression ratio is adequate; and, B, it can run fast enough because if it doesn't run fast enough, as Imai summarizes in that final paragraph I mentioned -- not final paragraph, but close to the end. As Imai summarizes, he's concerned with time. That summary that I read says, "Transmission of coded data can

Page 107

It's a ref -- it's almost like a name. But in the name -- in that name is a compression ratio, which is related to a compression rate.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. Does this paragraph disclose that the compression rate of any encoder in Imai is 64 Kbps?
 - A. Sorry. Could you repeat the question?
- Q. Does this paragraph disclose that the encoder -sorry. I'll start over. Does this paragraph disclose that the compression rate of any encoder in Imai is 64

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you repeat it again?

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Does paragraph 69 disclose that the compression rate of any encoder is 64 Kbps?

A. So you're using the term "compression rate," and that's a claim term. And at the paragraph 124, I discussed that term. So, the 64 Kbs is like a name. He says these are three different methods. It's the name of that method, and the name is referring to a compression

The term "compression rate," which I say, "The data compression rate refers to the execution or

be avoided from becoming too late." Right? You have to take -- Imai takes into account both, and it does it by implementing methods that run sufficiently fast and get a sufficient compression ratio to guarantee throughput through the encoder.

Page 109

And, in fact, Imai takes into account the transmission line and the decoder to make sure the throughput goes all the way through.

MR. BATTS: Is now a good time for another break? We've been going over an hour again?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. We can take a quick one.

Does five minutes sound good to you all?

MR. BATTS: Fine here.

MR. STONEDALE: Let's go off the record. (Recess was taken.)

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. You mentioned before that there's a relationship between the compression rate and the compression ratio. How would you characterize that relationship -- or let me be a little more specific here.

Is it true, generally, to say that the higher the compression ratio, the higher the compression rate? MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: So, I'm not sure if that's how you meant to ask the question. But in any case, the one way

Page 110

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to answer the question in general would be that there very often is an inverse relationship where a high compression ratio may mean slower execution time because the encoder is working harder to -- in some sense, to achieve that ratio.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For example, we talked about how MPEG or H.263 or something, when it's doing a search for -- when it's doing motion compensation and searching for a match, let's say of a block in the current frame to a block in the previous frame, it can look fairly quickly at just the corresponding position in the previous frame and a close area around there and operate quite quickly to get pretty good motion compensation, or it can spend a lot of time searching much more thoroughly in a much bigger area, possibly the whole previous frame and possibly at half pixel resolution and so forth so that it's quite -it's a common scenario to have a -- a method that does more higher compression to take more time and one that has a lower compression ratio to take less time.

So in other words, more data per second can flow through the encoder when it's operating faster and doing a lower compression ratio than when it's operating slower and doing a higher compression ratio. So that would be a scenario that is well understood by one of ordinary skill in the art reading the Imai patent, well described in the

language. So when I say "compression rate" in that sense, I want to be clear that I'm referring to the compression rate in the claim.

- A. Maybe you could repeat your question then.
- Q. Could a person of ordinary skill in the art reliably know that an encoder that achieves a high compretio (phonetic) -- blah. I'll have to start over.

Can a person of ordinary skill in the art reliably know that an encoder that achieves a high compression ratio on a given piece of data would have a lower compression rate than an encoder that achieves a lower compression ratio on that same data?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? MR. STONEDALE: Could the court reporter please repeat the question?

(Record was read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: So, first of all, you said -- you prefaced your question before asking it -- and thank you for repeating it -- that you were specifically using "compression rate" as its -- the term that's used in the Fallon patent. By the way, when I've said "Fallon patent" throughout this whole deposition, I assume we're all talking about the Fallon '477 patent. I've just said "Fallon patent."

Page 111

Imai patent and it's also -- not that it's novel, but it's described in the Fallon patent as well.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. And in that relationship where a higher compression ratio is achieved by the lower compression rate, is that something you could reliably apply across encoders?
- A. Maybe you could say the whole full question again. You got broken up in the middle of the question.
- Q. Is the relationship where a high compression ratio tends to imply a -- or I should say it differently.

Could a person of ordinary skill in the art reliably say that a compression encoder that achieves a high compression ratio will tend to achieve a lower compression rate than another encoder that achieves a lower compression ratio?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Well, the term "compression rate" may be used differently by different people in the art, but I'll take your -- maybe take your question a little outside the claim language and just talk about the tradeoff between how fast an encoder is able to compress --

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Well, I would like to keep it within the claim

Page 113

Page 112

So returning to my -- for example, my paragraph 124 that comes -- that is now addressing this claim element that uses the term "compression rate," and it says, "The '477 patent uses the term 'data compression rate' to refer to the execution or algorithmic speed of a compression encoder or, in other words, throughput as a measure of the amount of input data a compressor can compress and make available per unit of time at a given compression ratio."

So, for example, let's take the world of -- it's almost simpler to think about lossless compression, methods like, you know, PKzip or gzip or other things like that. If I had two methods and one of them ran faster and typically produced smaller output, I mean, obviously you would just always use the second method,

So, generally, it's sort of common sense that the reason I may be looking at more than two methods is because there's this tradeoff. Some of them will run slower but typically give smaller output, and some of them will run faster but may not give quite as small output.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Okay. Thank you. Can we look at Imai in paragraph 68? And in the middle of that paragraph, it Page 114

refers to a relatively less bit rate of the resulting coded data which provides" -- where it says "relatively less bit rate of the resulting code data; i.e., which provides a high compression rate."

So it seems to be equating the low bit rate of the resulted coded data with a high compression rate. And I'm wondering why that is so, given what you just said, that typically, the higher compression rate would be accompanied by lower -- sorry, higher compression rate would be accompanied by higher compression ratio.

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. In paragraph 68 in Imai -- that's on page 33 -- it refers to relatively less bit rate of the resulting coded data and equates that with a high compression rate. And I'm wondering why the encoder with the lower bit rate of the resulting coded data would have the high compression rate.

A. Let me take a look at the paragraph. (Witness reviewed document.) I've had a chance to look at the paragraph. Could you repeat the question?

MR. STONEDALE: Could the court reporter repeat the question, please?

(Record was read back as requested.)

throughput.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. So, the -- with respect to "compression rate" as the term is used in the Fallon patent, that value is not being set to be high here. It's the compression ratio that is high in paragraph 68; is that correct?

Page 116

Page 117

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Well, that's not really the point of the paragraph. I mean, just like the Fallon patent -- right? -- where Fallon patent also, if you read the specifications, relates compression rate and compression ratio. He's concerned with both and he's concerned in -- in this case, the assumption is you are actually doing it fast enough in order to have that result. He's using that terminology in that way here.

But, for example, even in the paragraph before, he talks about time, about -- not only about decoding time but also encoding time, and we've also referred to other passages as well. So in the context of ensuring throughput and ensuring a working system, whenever he talks about one, he's also taking into account the other because he is concerned with actually achieving throughput, and it doesn't help to make something really fast if it isn't small enough to go down the channel. It doesn't help to make it really small if it's not fast

Page 115

THE WITNESS: So the paragraph also -- it should be read in context of the one preceding it. But to answer your question, the term "data compression rate" is not standard in the art. It's used in different ways. And here, of course in Imai, Imai is concerned with both time to compress and compression ratio. That's the whole thing we talked about before where at the end, he talks about being concerned with time, "The transmission of the coded data can be avoided from becoming too late."

So here, when he's talking about compression rate -- making something smaller, compression ratio, he uses that terminology, but of course he's addressing both time and compression rate -- compression ratio, as, of course, I do in my analysis of Claim 1.

My analysis of Claim 1 really analyzes the fact that whether you take it as compression ratio or compression rate, it's rendered obvious by -- the Claim 1 is rendered obvious by Imai. So there's really no inconsistency or something that seemed to be implied in your question. He's using terminology -- he's using terminology of his own. This comes before the Fallon patent. But he's using it in the context of taking into account both time and compression rate because this is concerned -- this paragraph and the one before it and this whole area is actually concerned with that total

enough to work.

So the paragraph here is really addressing both but maybe using terminology in a slightly different way, which you'll also see in the Fallon specifications as well, that it relates the term. Compression rate certainly is intimately related to compression ratio.

And going back to my paragraph 124, I make that point when I say, "The '477 patent uses the term 'data compression rate' to refer to the execution or algorithmic speed of a compression encoder" -- that's the speed -- but, "in other words, throughput." And "throughput" is an important word here because that is, again, part of this claim later on as a measure of the amount of input data a compressor can compress and make available per unit of time at a given compression ratio.

So, yeah, there's some terminology that's a little different depending where you -- in this particular case versus talking strictly about speed, but again, Imai is really addressing both when he's talking about a working system that achieves the throughput. BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. So, I understand that the compression -- a reference to a compression ratio is a reference to a compression rate and vice versa. But my specific question here is, Imai is saying that one of them is

Page 118 Page 120

high, high value, high compression rate. Is the compression rate as value the term "high," or is it the compression ratio that's high?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, first of all, Fallon does -- although Fallon using the term "compression rate" as I have described it in 124, it later points -- and this, of course, I do point to a particular place in Fallon. In fact, I should go -- let me just mention that right now when I have a chance.

If you look at -- I guess it would be the bottom of Column 1, Fallon says, "Accordingly, a system and method that would provide dynamic modification of compression system parameters so as to provide an optimal balance between execution speed of the algorithm, (compression rate) and a resulting compression ratio is highly desirable."

So they're -- you know, he equates in some sense -- it's parentheses -- but sort of equates compression rate with execution speed. Of course, if you read further in Fallon, he also kind of intimately relates the term "compression rate" to "compression ratio" as well. But in this paragraph 68, which also follows 67 that talks about time, he is actually talking about how the data gets -- the data gets smaller, so when it says, "Furthermore, example of the coding method,

Page 119

which provides a relatively less bit rate of the resulting coded data (i.e., which provides a high compression rate), are MPEG layer 3 and ATRAC 2."

And it goes on to discuss there in that particular case, the word "compression rate" is being used in the context of the data becoming smaller, but it's also being used in the context of the preceding paragraph and so of the thing -- of the method actually running fast enough to make it smaller.

In other words, he doesn't choose a method that makes it smaller but it's too slow and, therefore, the system won't work. Again, going back to his conclusion towards the end, he's concerned about the transmission of the coded data can be avoided from becoming too late. He's real -- he does -- he has to have throughput and be able to have the data -- he's describing an end-to-end system where he takes into account a lot of things, and whenever he takes into account achieving a certain amount of reduction in size, compression ratio, he is doing the context of picking a method that can do it fast enough.

Q. You mentioned the way that compression rate is used in the -- in the Claim 1 of the patent. And I wanted to clarify a statement you made in paragraph 128 of your declaration in the -- in the first petition.

A. The first petition in paragraph 128. Okay.

Q. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And at the very bottom of that paragraph, you say -- well, you can read it. You say that, "The compression rate is the number of bits per second of data that is processed and compressed by the encoder and produced by the decoder by decompression. And that's assuming that the client heard or device receiving the compressed data can operate sufficiently fast so the decompression can keep up with the incoming compressed data."

And my question is, what if that is not true, that that assuming clause is not true? What if the client or device receiving the compressed data can't operate fast enough to decompress it at the speed the data is coming in? How would that affect the compression rate?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Yes. If the decompressor cannot keep up with the incoming compressed data, how would that affect the compression rate?

A. Well, let me take a look at the paragraph you're referring to. (Witness reviewed document.) Okay. I've read the paragraph. If you could repeat the question

again. Thank you. MR. STONEDALE: Could the court reporter please repeat the question?

Page 121

(Record was read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: So, the question is sort of -this paragraph is trying to explain the compression rate. I mean, it doesn't necessarily -- the rate doesn't depend on the decoder. There is a rate that takes place, but the whole point of having a particular rate is to keep up with a certain throughput.

So, of course the Fallon patent mainly talks about storage devices, the specifications and marginally -- in addition, a little bit it also talks about communications. But you're trying to send the compressed data to a target and communications to a decoder, so you presumably have a system where it's working. And in that context, it's describing what the rate is.

But the rate isn't really -- I mean, the rate will be what it is, whatever the decoder is doing. I'm not quite sure how to understand your question. BY MR. STONEDALE:

O. So the decompression rate in Claim 1, then, doesn't depend on the speed of the decoder? MR. BATTS: I'm sorry. Did you say

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

Page 122 Page 124

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"decompression rate" or "compression rate"?

MR. STONEDALE: Compression rate.

MR. BATTS: Could we have the question back again? It looks like it was transcribed incorrectly.

MR. STONEDALE: I'm sorry. I couldn't hear that.

MR. BATTS: Could you just repeat the question? It doesn't look like it got transcribed correctly.

MR. STONEDALE: Oh, yeah.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. The compression rate doesn't depend on the rate of the decoder -- or the speed of the decoder?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Since there was a little bit of confusion there, could you repeat the question one more time?

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Is it true that the compression rate does not depend on the speed of the decoder?

A. Well -- so your question is a little bit -doesn't really match up to the claim. You mentioned Claim 1, for example. There -- there's sort of two issues going on. There's the encoders themselves, first one, second one, you know, faster one, slower one -whatever. There are various encoders available. We

to, for example, satisfy some constraints on throughput is perhaps a different issue than literally, okay. Here is an encoder, and it has a certain -- it, let's say, achieves a certain compression ratio or typically chooses a certain compression ratio and has a certain speed that it runs at, that data compression rate -- okay? -- is a property of the encoder, not a property of the decoder.

Q. Okay. In paragraph 67 of Imai, does the different coding with different bit rates of the resulting coded data?

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?

Q. Imai discusses different encoders with different bit rates -- that's B-I-T, R-A-T-E-S -- of the resulting coded data. Now, the bit rate of the resulting code data, does that refer directly to the compression rate, or does that refer to the compression rate because it correlates with the compression rate?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. The reference in paragraph 67 to a relatively large (high) bit rate of the resulting coded data, does that refer to the compression rate of the data directly, or is that a reference to the compression ratio which

Page 123

talked about Imai having a suite of encoders with different properties. And the compression rate would be a property of the encoder, not the decoder.

On the other hand, selection -- selection of which encoder you're going to use, which compression rate you're going to use, the claim says, "Determine one or more data parameters, at least of one of determined one or more data parameters relating to a throughput of a communication channel measured in bits per second."

So if the communication channel has something at the receiving end and suddenly it's pausing the channel or not letting the data come through or not able to accept it, that could be something that was a problem with the throughput of the channel, or it could be a parameter that, among others, was taken into consideration.

Imai, of course, teaches taking lots of things into consideration. One learns from Imai that you can take into consideration encoding time, compression ratio, characteristics of the channel, decoding time, decoder compatibility, data type -- all kinds of things. You can take into account all kinds of parameters. And all those are taught in Imai, and Imai clearly renders this claim obvious.

So the issue of how you choose a method in order

correlates with the compression rate?

A. Let me take a look at the paragraph. (Witness reviewed document.) So, if you look at this paragraph, actually it says quite a bit about both compression ratio and time. So it reads, "The encoders 51 to 53 and" --"53 N" -- sorry -- "(N is two or more) are construed" --"are constructed to encode the audio signal with different coding methods from each other (for example" -- and it lists some coding methods -- "linear PCM, which is pulse code modulation; ADPCM, MPEG layers 1, 2 and 3; ATRAC, ATRAC 2 and so on.

Page 125

And then it goes on to say, "Stated otherwise, in the embodiment, the encoders 51 to 53 N are prepared by using encoders which perform encoding of the audio signal with various coding methods, including a method which provides a relatively large (high) bit rate of the resulting coded data, but can reproduce audio signal with relatively good reproducibility, a method which can provide a relatively small (low) bit rate of the resulting coded data but reproduces an audio signal with relatively poor reproducibility, a method which requires a larger amount of computation for decoding." And then it says in parentheses, "Such a method usually also requires a larger amount of computation for encoding." So you can have an asymmetric method. Yeah,

Page 126

it's asymmetric, but the encoder also requires a large amount of computation. Maybe not as large as the encoding, but a large amount of computation. "And the encoder, large amount of computation, a method which requires a not so large amount of computation for decoding and a method particularly suitable for coding a human voice."

So here, it's talking not only about a -- a high amount of bits coming out -- a large amount of bits coming out versus a smaller amount of bits coming out, but also about how the encoding may take more time when you have to output a higher quality or a higher amount of compression.

So it's addressing both time and compression ratio. So when you talk about a -- I can't remember the term you used, but a relationship between them, it does address a relationship between them but also explicitly addresses both parameters, time and how small an output you have had that is equivalent to a compression ratio.

I think I'll finish my answer -- I finished it wrong. It addresses both a smaller number of bits compression ratio and also time, how fast you encode it. If -- that's what I meant to say at the end, if I said that.

Q. Thank you. Let's look at paragraph 145 of Imai.

network transmission rate is a bottleneck in the system? MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat your question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. Is paragraph 145 describing a situation in which the transmission rate of the network is the bottleneck in the system?
- A. No.
- Q. Is it describing a situation where the transmission rate of the network is preventing the data from being reproduced in real time?
- A. So, this paragraph in isolation is simply telling you what happens. So it's not really a bottleneck -- if you're sending less, you know -- less stuff than you could be sending over the communication network, then so be it.

However, remember that Imai is actually -- in many ways actually doing -- actually teaching more than you need for -- for rendering the claims that the Fallon patent; teaching lots of considerations.

Imai is concerned with an end-to-end system where you can have throughput through the encoder with sufficient encoding speed and sufficient compression ratio so as the data can be sent across the communication line.

Page 127

Page 129

Page 128

- A. Okay. I'm there at paragraph 145.
- Q. Okay. Now, if the transmission rate of the network is smaller than the data rate of the coded data, then the coded data becomes so late that the audio signals cannot be reproduced in real time. In that situation, is the decoder of the system operating as the bottleneck?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. In the situation described in paragraph 145 where the transmission rate of the network is reduced smaller than the data rate of the coded data, in that situation, is it describing the decoder being the bottleneck of the system?
- A. Let me take a look at the paragraph. (Witness reviewed document.) I've read the paragraph. Can you repeat your question again, please? Thank you.
- Q. Is paragraph 145 describing the situation in which the decoder is the bottleneck in the system?
 - A. No.
- Q. Is it describing a situation where the encoder is the bottleneck of the system?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Is it describing the situation in which the

And, in addition, you could -- you could optionally add in Imai's technology to actually measure the decoding speed in case, for whatever reason, it happened to be a very weak decoder, you would find that out; or the receiver happened to have -- happened to not have a decoder for one of the methods you would like to use.

So in that context, if your concern was, in fact, real time viewing at the other end, then you would want to -- as it says here, you would want to address this issue because you wouldn't want the data to arrive too late. And I mentioned earlier on, this kind of goes along with what we discussed a little while ago.

If you go back to where -- go towards the end where Imai is kind of summarizing aspects of the invention, in paragraph 1 e, it ends by saying, "Transmission of the coded data can be avoided from becoming too late."

So this is a similar -- this is kind of what is going on here. You certainly can send less data over the communication line than it can accommodate. But on the other hand, if you send too little, it will get there too late and now you won't have real time viewing at the other end. That's another thing, an additional thing that's taught by Imai. Imai teaches a lot of things, and

Page 130 Page 132

1 that's also taught.

Q. I understand that. But what I'm asking about in this paragraph, is it -- which part of the system is holding up the audio data and preventing it from being produced in real time? Is it the decoder?

A. So if you read the paragraph -- if I understand your question correctly, if you read the paragraph that ends by saying, "Accordingly, the transmission rate of network 2 is reduced down smaller than the data rate of the coded data, transmission of the coded data becomes so late that the audio signals cannot be reproduced in real time."

So, it's not a limitation of the decoder. It's just there -- your throughput is not adequate at the encoding end. And the decoder is ready to decode it, but it's not getting the data sufficiently quickly.

So we've talked about this a lot, that Imai teaches a system that chooses among encoders with different capabilities. Some -- and, of course, based on data type. So for a given data type, a certain encoder may be -- run faster for an acceptable compression ratio. But for another data type, it may not run fast enough for an acceptable compression ratio, "acceptable" meaning one that will satisfy the constraints; therefore, you pick a different encoder with a different property, a different

situation where the network -- the network is too slow to transmit the data at the bit rate that it's being output from the encoder; is that accurate?

A. No.

Q. Why not?

A. What it says here at the end is, "Accordingly, if the transmission rate of the network is reduced down smaller than the data rate of the encoded data, transmission of the encoded data becomes so late that audio signals cannot be reproduced in real time."

Q. And so what --

A. That's what it says. You tried to characterize what it said. It didn't really sound like what it said. I may have misunderstood your question.

Q. Oh. Well, I'm asking if it's describing a situation in which the rate of the network is lower than the rate of the data output from the encoder.

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat your question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Is it describing the situation in which the transmission rate of the network is lower than the rate of the data output from the encoder?

A. That sounds like a different question than the one you asked before. Just to be clear, could you make

Page 133

Page 131

encoding speed so that it runs fast enough to achieve an acceptable compression ratio.

Q. So the purpose of manipulating the speed of the encoder is to affect the compression ratio in this situation?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: I don't understand. Where are you reading "manipulating the speed" from? What do you mean by that?

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. In a situation in which --(Reporter asked for clarification.)

A. I didn't get it. Maybe you should start fresh because there was a glitch in the system.

Q. In a situation in which the --

A. I'm sorry. It happened again. Start slowly again. It's coming through garbled when you start the question.

MR. BATTS: It's the throughput.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Oh, okay. Can you all hear me better now?

A. Yes.

Q. In the situation where the network -- well, look. So first of all, let me ask, do you agree that the situation being described here in paragraph 145 is a

it clear which question you're actually asking?

Q. The most recent one.

A. So could you repeat that?

MR. STONEDALE: Could the court reporter please repeat the most recent question?

(Record read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: It is describing a situation where, yes, the bits that are flowing out of the encoder, there's more than can be accommodated in -- at the same rate by the communication channel.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. And why does that prevent the audio from being reproduced in real time?

A. So, imagine now that someone is at the -there's a user out there that is viewing a video or
listening to an audio. Let's say it's a video. So
they're watching a video and it's some show they like to
watch and it's being -- it's coming in to an encoder that
Imai has chosen for the particular data type and it's
being encoded.

And it may be encoded fast enough so that the data coming in is, in fact, being accepted in real time by the encoder, the video coming in. But it's -- the resulting compression ratio is big enough so that there are many more bits per second to be sent over the

Page 134

communication line than the communication line can accommodate.

So this is that tradeoff we've been talking about, how Imai takes into account both things because Imai is concerned with throughput. And, of course, we've seen that "throughput" is a term in this claim. Imai is concerned with throughput, the data actually flowing through the encoder across the communication line.

And in the case where you want to view it at the other end, if the data doesn't get to you, obviously you can't view it. So the decoder may, in fact, be plenty fast enough to decode in real time if the data was simply provided to it, but if a certain -- if there's a certain number of bits per second of data it needs to decode and you're getting fewer than that, it can't, obviously, decode it in real time. It has to wait.

And you often see that sort of phenomena when there's a problem on a network when you're viewing a video and you get pauses or jerks and things. That is what is being avoided here. Imai is teaching the tradeoff in the case of encoding of picking a time that is fast enough to -- picking an encoding method that is fast enough to achieve an acceptable compression ratio, those two things being -- taking into account and relating.

doesn't want it to arrive too late to the communication line or doesn't want it to arrive too late at the other end, to the decoder, either. So Imai describes additional features by taking into account an unknown decoder, additional features described by Imai as well.

Q. What would happen if you decreased the compression rate but used an encoder with the same compression ratio?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the o

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. What would happen if you used an encoder with a lower compression rate but the same compression ratio?

A. It seems like you're asking a hypothetical where I have two encoders, presumably that I purchased them or something or took the trouble to install them in my system, and you're hypothesizing a situation where there's a defined compression ratio. They both have the same compression ratio, but one of them runs faster.

Why would you -- why would you have purchased the two encoders, then, if they achieve the same ratio and one is faster? Why not just always use the faster one? What possible harm -- advantage would it be to use the slower one?

Your hypothetical -- I mean, I don't know all

Page 135

Q. What would happen if you used an encoder with a higher compression ratio to encode the data? Would that help the situation?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Would using an encoder with a higher compression ratio help this situation?

A. By "this situation," I think you mean that currently the encoder being used has an insufficient compression ratio, so I think you almost answered your own question. If it's insufficient, one with a higher compression ratio would help.

Of course, Imai chooses encoders in such a way that they also run fast enough, right? Again, Imai is making an encoder that guarantees a throughput and can accept that input in real time and encode it.

So when Imai chooses, Imai is looking for an encoder that both achieves the acceptable compression ratio together with being fast enough to actually perform the compression because, again, as we discussed, going back to that summary at the end, "For example, transmission of the coded data can be avoided from becoming too late."

Imai does not want it to arrive too late,

Page 137

Page 136

the parameters in your hypothetical, and I'm not exactly sure how you're measuring speed, whether it's -- and so on and so forth, but it doesn't seem to quite make sense but maybe because I misunderstand the hypothetical.

Q. Is it your opinion that a person of ordinary skill would never include two encoders that achieve the same compression ratio on a given piece of data but have different compression rates?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat your question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Is it your opinion that a person of ordinary skill would never include two encoders that have -- that achieve the same compression ratio on a given piece of data but have different compression rates?

A. So, you're talking about some kind of particular data in your hypothetical that two encoders got exactly the same compression ratio. And then you're saying that they -- but in your hypothetical, they took a different amount of time to get that compression ratio?

Q. That's right.

A. And then the question is what? That the user would never or would or would want to -- again, the hypothetical does not quite make sense, but maybe you can restate it again. I'm trying to see what this...

Page 138

Q. Would a person of ordinary skill in the art never include two encoders that achieve the same compression ratio on a given piece of data even though they have different compression rates?

A. So I don't know -- I'm not sure the type of compression you're talking about. Let's suppose it was lossless compression, and let's assume that by some chance, they're different encoders -- well, there seem to be a lot -- when you're talking about different encoders, are you talking about that they use the same algorithm to compress the data but may be implemented different so as to run the different speeds, or are you saying that they actually use different algorithms to compress the data? Or another way to ask my question is, could both compressions from these encoders be decoded by the same decoder, or are they producing data that is encoded at the same size but actually requires a different decoder to decode it?

Q. Either way.

A. Either way? Well, okay. So in your hypothetical, let's assume the same decoder can decode either output; and let's assume, I guess, for the sake of argument that there are lossless encoders and they both encode -- because they use the same decoder, they both literally output the same sequence of bits when they

same compression ratio?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: What do you mean by having the same compression ratio?

Page 140

Page 141

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. That would achieve the same compression ratio on a given piece of data.

A. Okay. On a given piece of data. So now you're saying there's a particular block -- in your hypothetical, would the encoders possibly achieve different compression ratios on different blocks but that happens to be that on a particular block, they achieve the same ratio?

O. Yes.

A. So could you -- with that understanding, could you repeat the question?

Q. Can you think of any reason a person of ordinary skill in the art would include two different encoders that have different compression rates but the -- but achieve the same compression ratio on a given piece of data?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Well, first of all, they may achieve different compression ratios in different pieces of data. So Imai selects things based on data type. In

Page 139

encode the data block, so literally output is identical in both cases, but one takes more time than the other.

I don't know why you would not always use the -if one inherently takes more time -- that is somehow the
way it's been implemented -- it performs the same
sequence of operations, but it runs slower, maybe you
purchased a piece of software that doesn't take advantage
of multicores and the other one does or something, there
could be a reason to use one over the other because of
the hardware available, but it doesn't quite make a lot
of sense without knowing more details about your
hypothetical why someone wouldn't simply, if they're
equivalent in all ways but the one way they're different
is one is faster, why wouldn't you just choose that one?

I don't quite understand. There may be parts of your hypothetical that I don't understand. But sitting here, it doesn't make a lot of sense to me. Not in any way criticizing your question. Just, it doesn't make sense.

Q. So you can't think of any way -- or any reason why a person of ordinary skill in the art would include two encoders with different compression rates and the same -- sorry. Can you think of any reason a person of ordinary skill would include two encoders in the system of Imai that have different compression rates but the

your hypothetical as well, are you assuming that they -different methods -- when you're measuring time, are they taking different advantages of the available hardware?

For example, is one multicore? Is one single core?

It seems like -- I worry about trying to compare apples and oranges here in your hypothetical. I'm not quite sure about how you're actually framing these two hypothetical encoders.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Well, the only characteristics that I'm telling you about them is they're compression rates and they're compression ratios. Is there any -- is there any world in which a person of ordinary skill in the art would create a system with two encoders that achieved the same compression ratio on a given piece of data and have different compression rates?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Well, I'm not sure. First of all -- well, could you repeat the question?
BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Can you think of any situation why a person of ordinary skill -- sorry. Can you think of any reason why a person of ordinary skill would include two encoders that achieve the same compression ratio on a given piece of data but have different compression rates?

Page 142 Page 144

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. In your hypothetical, is this piece of data something that -- first of all, are you talking with -when you say "include," are you talking about include in Imai's system, or are you saying include in some other context?

Q. Include in Imai's system.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. When you say a particular data block, is this one that Imai's system would be processing or one that somehow there is some data block out there that you knew they happened to compress the same -- get the same compression ratio on?
 - Q. One that Imai's system would be processing.
- A. So, in your hypothetical, would Imai be working as it normally does with many different users, or would it be a single user?
- Q. In any situation you can think of, is there any situation under any circumstance under any answers to all your responses to questions where a person of ordinary skill in the art would include two encoders that achieve the same compression ratio on a given data block but have different compression units?
- A. Was that the end of your question? I think you got cuff off. Maybe you can try again. The transmission I think got cut off at the end.
 - Q. Can you think of any situation in which a person

So the -- generally, the encoders that -- the typical situation you would think of using Imai, the encoders are used as a group of encoders, and they are used -- different encoders are used on different blocks depending on data type.

So I'm not sure if the -- I'm not really quite sure the significance of your hypothetical. It's -- I think the main thing is I don't try to necessarily think of hypothetical possibilities of some particular block. What Imai clearly teaches is having a suite of encoders that have different properties with respect to time to encode and compression ratio tradeoffs and selects an appropriate encoder based on a number of parameters, including, for example, the data type of the block in question.

Q. So can you think of a reason to include two encoders that achieve the same compression ratio --(Reporter asked for clarification.)

19 BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Let's go back to paragraph 145 here. Now, how would you solve this problem by manipulating the compression ratio?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Are you asking outside the patent what I would do, or are you asking what is described in

Page 143

of ordinary skill in the art would include in Imai two encoders that achieve the same compression ratio on a given piece of data that have different compression

A. Well, I'm not sure what, you know -- what you're exactly including in your hypothetical. Imai will make an assessment based on data type, which encoder's best to use for that type. It's possible that two encoders for some particular block happen to get the same compression ratio on that block and happen to run it, let's say, about the same time on that block or different times on that block; but on other blocks, the situation was different.

Imai, on a block-by-block basis, actually examines the data type of the block, and Imai makes decisions based on both the compression rate, the time it takes to do the encoding and the re -- the and resulting compression ratio.

And the point being, you need to -- Imai needs to have an -- a method that has an acceptable ratio and encodes an acceptable length of time; that is, a time that is fast enough so that the data gets to the communication channel in a compression ratio that is small enough so it can actually travel over the communication channel.

Page 145

Imai?

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. How would Imai solve the problem of the network being too slow to reproduce the data in real time?

A. First of all, Imai teaches that -- Imai takes into account a number of considerations, so Imai will take into account the speed of the network. And when Imai is examining the suite of algorithms it has available to it, it will take into account the speed of the encoder and the amount of compression that it would be expected to get on the particular block in question.

And, of course, in making that choice, it also takes into account the data type of the block because different types and different encoders -- different encoders have different properties for different types.

So what Imai does is to select an encoder that it satisfies the constraints of being fast enough. It does the process fast enough and makes the data small enough, both compression rate and compression ratio, so as to guarantee a throughput for the data coming into the encoder and going out onto the communication line.

Q. What if Imai selected an encoder that had a higher compression rate but the same compression ratio? Would it solve this problem?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat your question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. What if Imai selected an encoder that had a higher compression rate but the same compression ratio? Would it solve this problem?
 - A. The same compression ratio as what?

Q. As the one that it's currently using where the transmission rate of the network is reduced down smaller than the data rate of the coded data, as described in paragraph 145.

A. Your question sort of is -- sort of is kind of framing it not in the way that's described by Imai. For example, if you go on to the very next paragraph, it's kind of -- paragraph 145 is kind of explaining what the problem could be. And paragraph 146, along with a lot of other places in Imai, is describing how Imai avoids this, right? So paragraph 146 says, "Thus, in view of the above problem, the server 1 is designed to detect transmission rate of the network at the time of transferring data between a server and the client terminal and to change the encoding schedule so as to include the coding method which can provide the encoded data having a bit rate not higher than the detected transmission rate of the network."

And, of course, other things we've already

D---- 1

Page 147

looked at and other places in Imai describe making that selection in such a way that the time is not too long. And again, we talked about the summary at the end where the goal, of course, is, "Transmission of the coded data can be avoided from becoming too late." Right?

So Imai is concerned with providing a system that doesn't have this problem. It's trying to explain to you what a potential problem is and how his system avoids the problem by taking into account -- we've been mainly concentrating on time to encode and compression ratio because those terms are related. And as I mentioned earlier, my entire analysis of Claim 1, it really is an analysis that analyzes Claim 1 whether you view it as compression rate or compression ratio because they're related and they're embodied in the analysis.

But, also, Imai takes into account the condition of the channel as does, for example, Pauls, which you mentioned earlier. And it takes into account considerations such as compatibility with the encoder. That's an optional feature, but it's a good feature as well. And it bases its decisions on the data type, the type of the data so it can use an encoder that is going to have these desirable properties for that type of data.

So your question is kind of -- actually posing a problem that the Imai system is designed to avoid

entirely.

- Q. Which problem is that?
- A. When I read paragraph 146, it says, "Thus, in view of the above problem," et cetera, et cetera.
- Q. But you said my question poses a problem that Imai is designed to avoid entirely. Which problem is that?

A. Well, I understood your question to be referring to paragraph 145, and then I read paragraph 146, which is referring back to paragraph 145. And it says, "Thus, in view of the problem" -- "of the above problem..."

Paragraph 146 comes directly after paragraph 145.

- Q. The bit rate referred to in paragraph 146, would that be measured in bits per second?
- A. Can you point to me where the term "bit rate" is used?
 - Q. Oh, sorry. Paragraph 146, it's the last line -- the second to last line.

A. Sorry. I thought you were pointing to paragraph 145. I apologize. (Witness reviewed document.) Well, I don't think it really matters how you measure it as long as you're always measuring it in the same way. Bits per second is a standard way to measure bit rate. You could have megabits per second or kilobytes per second. As long as when you're comparing two things, you measure the

Page 149

Page 148

same way, I don't think it matters.

O. And is that -- is that rate des

Q. And is that -- is that rate describing the rate of the outputted coded data or the data going into the encoder?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.
THE WITNESS: Could you repeat your question?
BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. Is the bit rate described in paragraph 146 describing the bit rate of the data output from the encoder or input to the encoder?
- A. Well, your question is worded a little funny. Literally speaking, it happens to be talking -- it says, "Which can provide the coded data having a bit rate." So it's sort of talking about the output. On the other hand, at a given compression ratio, the bit rate of the output is directly related to the bit rate of the input.

(Reporter asked for clarification.)

At a given compression ratio, the bit rate of the output is directly related to the bit rate of the input. If I'm getting a two-to-one compression ratio and the bit rate of the output is 1,000 bits per second, then the bit rate of the input is 2,000 bits per second.

So in the abstract, your question is kind of asking about both. But literally what is being talked about here was that Imai is describing how to avoid, as

Page 150 Page 152

the paragraph begins, the problem that is kind of described or explained in paragraph 145 in a way of Imai explaining what the advantages of a system and how the system works.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. And the bit rate, it specifically says, is not higher than the detected transmission rate of the network 2. So which bit rate is not higher than the detected transmission of the network 2? Is that the bit rate of the data output from the encoder or the bit rate of the data input to the encoder?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat your question?
MR. STONEDALE: Could the court reporter please read back the question?

(Record was read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: So specifically there, it's comparing the -- the bits that are going into the communication channel, coming out from the encoder, to what the communication channel can accommodate. BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Okay. Thank you.

MR. BATTS: Would now be a good time to take a break? We've been going for an hour and 20 minutes.

MR. STONEDALE: Yeah. Sounds good.

for certain target applications where often you will talk about the number of bits per second, output for a second of input.

But it's in the context of the implementation of the standard where the whole point of the standard is to provide very detailed specification where now that target compression ratio, for example, can be implemented in -in sufficient -- in sufficient time typical kinds of hardware that users will want to use to employ the standards.

So in some sense, when one talks about an implementation of it, you're not talking about some hypothetical thing that could do it but wouldn't do it faster, wouldn't do it in a practical way. You're talking about something that can be implemented to do it fast enough in order to, in real time -- at least in many applications, in real time, have the input flow in -- flow through the encoder and flow out at the targeted bit rate. That's sort of the point of employing the encoder.

There can be other applications where you don't care about the time you're going to store it or something on a hard drive or something in a way that you don't care. But for the applications of streaming or going over a network, for which many of these standards are specifically intended, such as MPEG 2 and H.263, that

Page 153

Page 151

(Recess was taken.)
BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. Let's look at paragraph 176, the declaration in the first petition.
- A. Paragraph 176. Okay. I'm at that place in the declaration.
- Q. All right. You see where you state that, "H.263 renders video at a bit rate of 8-24 Kbps; MPEG renders video at a bit rate of 1.5 Mbps and MPEG 2 renders video at a bit rate of 2 Mbps"?

THE COURT REPORTER: Can you repeat the last part? I'm sorry.

- Q. Do you see where you state that, "H.263 renders video at a bit rate of 8-24 Kbps; MPEG renders video at a bit rate of 1.5 Mbps; and MPEG 2 renders video at a bit rate of 2 Mbps"?
 - A. Let me take a look at the paragraph.
 - Q. It's right there in the middle of the paragraph.
 - A. Yes. I see the middle of that paragraph.
- Q. When you say "rendered video" there at a particular bit rate, are you talking about the rate at which the data is output from the encoder?
- A. So, we talked about this earlier, that sometimes people refer to the standard in terms of the target, how it's often used and it's often being intended to be used

would be the case.

Q. So does the -- what is the 1.5 Mbps describe? I mean, I know it's the name -- the name of the standard, but it seems to be giving a bit rate; is that right?

A. So actually, that's not the name of the standard. That's the name of -- or how one might refer to a profile of the standard. The standards -- we already talked about how different encoders, even for a given profile, can take much different amounts of time because they can do a better or worse job at that profile; perhaps, let's say, better or worse quality, but also different profiles, that this is going to be -- the target application will be a 1.5 megabits per second, and we now want to have appropriate software and hardware that can implement that; that is, the hardware or software has to be able to run fast enough so you can actually have one second of video come in, and it can compute fast enough in that second so that you get this bit rate coming out.

So the 1.5 megabits is referring to the number of bits per second of input that are output by an encoder that, presumably, that's -- that one of the targeted applications of MPEG 2 and H.263 would be real time streaming, for example.

Q. It sounds to me like you said 1.5 megabits per

Page 154 |

second refers to the bit rate in which the data is input and output. That's not what you said, though, right?

A. That's not what I meant to say. If I did, you're right. That's not what I meant to say. What I meant to say is that for one second of input of uncompressed data, when you talk about a profile that -- that achieves -- just using as one example of 1.5 megabits per second, that achieves -- let's say the MPEG 2, 2 megabits per second.

In this profile where it renders video at the bit rate of 2 megabits per second -- that's Mbps -- what that means is that for one second of uncompressed video coming into the encoder, the output from the encoder would be at the rate of 2 megabits -- 2 megabits would come out in that second; and that the whole point of Imai is that Imai will be choosing a method -- one of these profiles, for example, that's intended to run on certain software and hardware resources so that it can operate at a sufficient speed to be meeting that profile; that is, meeting that compression ratio that is required by the profile.

Q. Does Pauls discuss the situation where the encoding hardware is so slow that it's holding up the ability of the -- of the system to transmit data?

A. Oh, by the way, when you say "Pauls," I think I

compress it and the amount of compression, just as we saw in Imai, where the goal of Pauls is to get the data to have a throughput that gets to the user at the other end. And like Imai --

O. I understand --

A. Oh, I'm sorry. I'll stop there. That's fine. Go ahead.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. I understand that's the goal. I'm asking you, where does it describe the way of satisfying the goal as compressing the data quickly?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure -- you know, you frame the question in "satisfying the goal." Maybe you could repeat the question just so I understand what it is

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Yeah. You said Imai is talking about the goal of transmitting data to quickly increase the throughput. And you said there's various things that go into that, one of which is the network speed, compression speed, decoding speed. Where does -- where does Pauls talk about making sure the encoder is fast enough to transmit the data as quickly through Pauls?

A. Well, Pauls obviously uses different

Page 155

might have used the word "Imai" in my last thing, but the answer applies equally to both of them in the way I discussed the profiles of the MPEG standard.

Could you repeat your question?

- Q. Where does Pauls discuss the situation in which the encoder or the encoding hardware is so slow that it's holding up the ability of the system to transmit data?
- A. Well, let me take a look at Pauls. (Witness reviewed document.) I've had a chance to quickly take a look at Pauls. If you could repeat your question.
- Q. Where does Pauls describe the situation in which the encoder is so slow as to hold up the system from transmitting data?

A. So, I don't know -- it's not so much Pauls describes the negative. Pauls describes the goal, and it describes techniques for minimizing the time it takes to communicate over a network and possibly networks with limited speed, such as wired networks. So throughout the Pauls patent, not only in the introduction summary of the invention but in the different considerations that Pauls describes, he describes that the reason you're choosing between different methods is so that you can actually minimize the time it takes to send data -- the amount of data, the time it takes for the data to get to one end or the other, so he has to minimize the time it takes to

Page 157

Page 156

terminology -- it's a different patent -- than Imai. But if you look at Figure 3 of Pauls, it shows a system which operates in a very similar way to Imai where it has a selection based on data type of choosing between different encoders, which it refers to as "transcoders." It shows multiple encoders for speech and video, for example.

And it describes making this choice in order to achieve throughput. I don't recall if it uses the word "throughput," but that is what is described, is to actually increase the amount of data that can be sent to the -- to the receiver. And of course, in order to do that, you have to both achieve compression and also do it fast enough. Either one of those things, very slow execution or very bad compression ratio, could reduce the amount of data that can get to the end user.

And so that idea of having a suite of algorithms that have selected from each of which has different properties in terms of tradeoffs is very similar to what we saw in Imai when you look at something like Figure 3.

Q. Okay. I totally understand that the transmission of data is affected by the compression feed of the data. What I'm trying to ask is, where does Pauls talk about that issue?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Where does Pauls discuss encoding speed, in particular, as opposed to the goal of increasing throughput generally?

A. (Witness reviewed document.) So, for example, in my report, again, we're just using as an example the -- hold on one second. I found another place in the report I wanted to mention. So, for example -- hold on one sec. Sorry. I just saw another place, so one quick second. I actually need to check that one, too.

Well, okay. Let me start with this example. If you go to page 176 of my -- I'm sorry, not page 176, paragraph 176 of my declaration, it reads, "Pauls explains that each of the aforementioned encoding algorithms have associated different levels or percentage levels of compression. For example, Figure 5 teaches that H.263 renders video at a bit rate of 8-24 Kbs --

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can you please slow down a little bit?

-- "at a bit rate of 8-24 Kbs; MPEG renders video at a bit rate of 1.5 Mbs; and MPEG 2 renders video at a bit rate of 2.0 Mbs." This is a passage we referred to before.

And then I go on to say that, "A POSITA" --

standard may run at different speeds because the standards both -- for example, both H.263 and MPEG offer the ability for you to spend more or less time in doing motion compensation, for example.

Page 160

Page 161

There are other parts of the standard as well. And depending on the amount of time you spend, you may do a better or worse job. You may have lower quality or something like that on that output.

And so time is something that is -- when you're working with a standard, one understands these standards are designed to work on typical competing platforms in a way where, in real time, they can be implemented -- encoders can be implemented that will consume the input and be able to operate fast enough to produce the output associated with that profile.

Q. Where does Pauls -- where does Pauls say that? I understand you're telling me that a person of ordinary skill in the art would refer -- infer these things from Pauls, but where does Pauls describe the situation in which the encoding step is what is holding up the data throughput?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.
THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?
BY MR. STONEDALE:

O. Where does Pauls describe the situation in which

Page 159

person of ordinary skill in the art -- "would have appreciated that each of these well-known and widely used video compression standards have different relative rates."

video compression standards have different relative rates of compression, with MPEG having the lowest compression ratio and MPEG 2 and H.263 having higher compression ratios," and I cite to to paragraph 7 through 9 in Pauls.

So when one of ordinary skill in the art -- this is one of the nice things about data compression standards. When one of ordinary skill in the art now sees something like Figure 3 of Pauls and then reads the description in Pauls, where, hey, those components in Figure 3 can be encoders based on known data compression standards, well-known to one of ordinary skill in the art, as we mentioned earlier; for example, H.263 and MPEG 2 came out to the public in 1994. And, of course, the -- technology used in those standards was known before that as the standard was being discussed and evolved and MPEG 1 came out in 1992.

So when you talk -- when Pauls talks about the standard profiles of the -- of -- talks about these profiles that are offered by the standards, such as, oh, 2.0 Mbs. One of ordinary skill in the art clearly understands that the standards have different -- different rates, different speeds. And as we mentioned earlier, different implementations may -- of the same

the encoding step is holding up the data's throughput?

A. Well, I don't know -- you seem to be phrasing the question in the negative. Of course, this analysis here is talking about how Pauls renders Claim 1 obvious, and Pauls speaks positively about incorporating known standards with known profiles that have time and compression ratio associated with them in a system that can select from multiple methods based on, for example, data type and other considerations.

So I don't know about the negative or the word "holding up" and so on in your question. But a reader -- one of ordinary skill in the art in the time when they read Pauls and they see Pauls talking about the whole purpose of having this choice, this suite of algorithms is so that you can, in fact, minimize -- or maximize, in some sense, the amount of data that can be sent over a limited communication line; for example, a wired -- he gives the example of wire -- wireless communications where the resources are even more limited using known standards.

There's the positive information conveyed by Pauls using known standards, using known profiles for those standards, using standards that are intended for real time -- real time operation at those profiles.

So in other words, the profile itself is

Page 162 Page 164

addressing both time and compression ratio because the whole idea, let's say, of an H.263 encoder being this fast encoder for low quality video, perhaps -- or that's certainly a target application, let's say, is that, yeah, the encoder can run fast enough that when it reads one second of video, it can actually work fast enough to compress and produce the number of bits per second according to that profile.

So the pat -- my report here is not trying to point out certain negative things that Pauls might say or nothing -- or something else. It's trying to -- it is, I think, explaining why one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when reading Pauls and seeing Pauls would see that the claim -- that, for example, Claim 1 of the Fallon patent is obvious -- is rendered obvious by Pauls.

Q. So it's not your opinion, then, that Pauls actually describes the situation in which the encoding step is reducing the throughput of the data?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. It's not your opinion, then, that Pauls describes the situation in which the encoding step reduces the throughput of the data?

A. Could you repeat it again? I'm trying to

with that profile.

And so through the use of describing positively what is being achieved and what is -- and how the system is working and how it is selecting among multiple methods with different properties where the multiple methods include known standards to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time, I think that the description in my report here of that in Pauls does address very well the issue you're addressing but not necessarily in quite the negative, sort of, example way that you're looking for.

Although, I can't preclude that. Again, I'd have to look through all of Pauls here. What I've done in my report, and I've just reviewed -- for example, just the section relating to the first of the -- the claim in -- that we've been talking about in Claim 1. Certainly, what I've done here is to make the case how Pauls renders obvious that -- the Claim 1 of the Fallon patent -- the Fallon '477 patent.

Q. Does Pauls describe -- does it disclose the compression rate of MPEG?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Does Pauls disclose the compression rate of MPEG?

Page 163

Page 16

understand the question.

б

MR. STONEDALE: Could the reporter please repeat the question?

(Record was read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: No. I don't think that's correct. BY MR. STONEDALE:

O. And where does it describe that?

A. So in my previous answer, I talked about how Pauls describes how to avoid that. So in other words, you seem to be trying to frame the question -- we saw how in Imami (phonetic) -- Imai. Sorry. Not Imami. Imai gave some examples of what problems might occur and how Imai avoids them.

I don't know. I'd have to look through Pauls in detail to see if he gives examples of specifically of what you're saying. But what he does do is he conveys to the reader how to avoid those situations because he conveys to the reader the use of known standards, of known profiles and standards that, at that time, are known to be used for real time encoding. Okay?

So real time encoding meaning, yeah, the compressor has to run fast enough, and it has to achieve a compression ratio that is, according to that profile, the standard, which you then would be using in conjunction with a communication line that matches up

Page 165

A. So, again, not to -- to be disrespectful to your question, but it's sort of formed in a way that doesn't match the technology. Again, the whole point of the standard is to provide a very precise definition of how the compression works so that anyone out in the world, when they know they're receiving, let's say, an MPEG 2 stream and they've already used the part one of the standard to parse the syntax that is associated with that and know which parameters of the standard are being used and which constraints are relevant to that stream, the decoder can properly decode it -- no ifs, ands or buts -- because it's according to the standard.

So anyone out there understands that the standard has different profiles and also understands that the standard is designed to be implemented on commonly available hardware and software of different levels, depending on the profile you're using and -- and what you're doing in the encoding.

For example, you might have an encoder that is going to do a really good job of motion compensation, and that one will be implementable in real time but only if you have, let's say, a system that has multicore processing or a really fast processer.

But another method, according to the standard, which is intended for real time operation, one of

ordinary skill in the art -- well-known because this is a standard that, yes, you can get good compression and good performance in real time, right? "Real time" meaning that yes, the time -- the compression -- the time to compress is small enough so that you, in fact, can consume each second of video and produce the appropriate size output according to that profile of the standard.

Again, the standards can be used in -- usually have multiple profiles that specify -- that relate to both compression ratio and time. As you go up in higher profiles, you typically often are concerned with higher quality, higher compression ratio and thereby more software and hardware resources to achieve that in real time.

And as you go down the profile level, you have the ability to do it quicker, also to decode it quicker. The decoder could also have fewer resources.

So this idea of the standard is very, very well familiar to one of ordinary skill in the art. And one of ordinary skill in the art is very well aware of encoders based on the standard having properties of time and compression ratio in order to be used in a real time application, for example.

"Real time" by the way, nothing to do with the name of the company here, but in operation in a time

which have different properties, very well-known kinds of properties because they're based in standards in many cases, based on data type, for example, and other considerations, such as the type of line.

Page 168

Page 169

Pauls specifically talks about the fact the network could be wired or wireless and have different speeds associated with the network and based on data type and so on and so forth. So it's the -- that's how this section is organized. It's describing how, when one of ordinary skill in the art, reads Pauls, that renders Claim 1 of the Fallon patent obvious.

Q. I understand that. I'm just asking you a much narrower question. And that's, if I were a person of ordinary skill in the art and I'm reading that -- that Pauls has H.263, MPEG and MPEG 2 encoders, would I know which of those three is the fastest encoder?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. If I'm a person of ordinary skill in the art and I read that Pauls has H.263, MPEG and MPEG 2 encoders, would I know which of those encoders is the fastest?

A. So, first of all, Pauls may very well have multiple versions of each of those. And secondly, Pauls teaches that he is going to take into account various

Page 167

where there's throughput -- the input flowing through without delay through the encoder.

Q. Would a person of ordinary skill know the compression rate of the encoders mentioned in Pauls?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Would a person of ordinary skill know the compression rate of the encoders mentioned in Pauls?

A. Well, as I mentioned earlier, many of the encoders mentioned in Pauls are standard base -- are -- many of the -- Pauls describes using encoders, many of which -- based on many well-known standards so that a user is very well familiar with the capabilities of these standards and that there are implementations out there that can be implemented in various different configurations that require certain speed and compression ratio.

So I -- I don't know exactly how to answer your question because the whole -- my -- the whole theme, I guess you will, or what I'm describing here in my report is how when one reads the Pauls patent, it renders obvious, for example, Claim 1 of the Fallon patent because the user sees how Pauls provide -- describes the way of selecting and choosing between different methods

considerations, including the data rates, the rate I'm receiving, the rate I'm transmitting, the type of

network, the data type that I'm compressing, the type of video and so on -- in the case of video. The three you mentioned are video compression standards.

And so the user does -- one of ordinary skill at the time, given that these standards have been around so long at that time -- the three you mentioned are all, you know, 1994 or earlier. 1994, released by -- publicly, but even earlier perhaps known publicly.

So they're well-known to one of ordinary skill in the art, and the idea is that they see a system of Pauls which will have available some number of encoders based on well-known standards, and they see that Pauls will select among them as appropriate.

So they're well aware of the fact that the same standard -- we talked about this earlier, can have encoder implementations and encoders that have different tradeoffs between time and compression ratio and can have different tradeoffs even for a given profile in term -- in those terms as well where one may do not such a good job in terms of quality, but will run much faster and so on. We talked about motion compensation as an example, that there's a great variability in the amount of time you can spend to do a better or worse job.

Page 170 Page 172

So, I guess I'm not sure if your question exactly maps to the technology, but that's the best I can certainly answer it in that context.

Q. Is it generally true that -- that MPEG has a higher compression rate than H.263?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat your question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Is it generally true that MPEG has a higher compression rate than H.263?

A. Well, first of all, your question -- we talked about this before. You just talked about the standard versus an encoder for the standard. And it's certainly possible to create coders which, on a particular platform, either one could be faster than the other one in performing its task.

It's more that when one views the standards and one looks at the type of the data coming in, one may select one standard over the other -- an encoder based on one standard over the other. And among such encoders available, possibly ones with different rates of compression.

But to answer your question, some rule of thumb based on the standards themselves in the abstract, it doesn't really map with the technology. suite available to you, and it's describing how you choose among them. And it's describing giving examples of the standards for which it -- are examples of encoders that you might have according to those standards.

You might have more than one encoder for a given standard, and it's describing how to choose among them. It's not limiting -- it's not somehow limiting the disclosure that there's a certain particular "the" MPEG encoder and "the" H.263 encoder that you're supposed to use.

It's giving exemplary standards on which coders basing those standards would be appropriate and ones that would be good examples of things you might want to include in a suite of encoders that is going to operate as we saw, for example, in Figure 3 and the associated description of that figure.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

Would a person of ordinary skill be likely to use an H.263 encoder and an MPEG encoder to encode the same data?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.
THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?
BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Would a person of ordinary skill in the art be likely to use MPEG encoders and H.263 encoders to encode

Page 173

Page 171

Q. So would a person of ordinary skill know from reading Pauls that its MPEG encoders have a higher compression rate than an H.263 encoder?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. Would a person of ordinary skill in the art know from reading Pauls that MPEG encoders have a higher compression rate than an H.263 encoder?
- A. That's a different question than your last question. So just to be safe, could you repeat it again?
- Q. Would a person of ordinary skill in the art know from reading Pauls whether the MPEG encoders have a higher compression rate than the H.263 encoders?
- A. That's still a different question than the one you just asked. You keep specifying between "the" and "its" and "anyone." And it's sort of a hypothetical, broad question. I really need to have a precise statement even to try to answer it.

MR. STONEDALE: Could the court reporter please read the most recent question?

(Record was read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: So when you say "the MPEG encoders," Pauls is not limiting its disclosure to a particular set of encoders. It's saying that you have a

the same data?

A. Well, your hypothetical -- are you saying that there are two different users or two different streams or is it one user -- is your question asking about using different parts of the stream or using different standards?

Q. In any situation.

A. Again, you're asking hypothetically what a user might or might not want to do. Pauls certainly wouldn't preclude doing that.

A typical situation might be based on data type. You would select something from the suite that had the -- in the case of Pauls, he's trying to get the best possible property in how much data can be communicated. In order to do that, you have to do it both fast enough and you have to compress it well.

And it's -- you're asking some hypothetical about which methods a user would want to use. Again, Pauls is really describing a system where the server is choosing what to do based on considerations which could include one of the things that it could include as user preferences.

So Pauls talks about a number of considerations that it can take into account for what should be done, including the type of the data -- okay? -- and so forth.

Page 174 Page 176

- 1 Certainly, user preferences could also be incorporated.
- 2 So your question, could a user who wished to do something
- do it, it's sort of a hypothetical. I don't see any
- 4 reason why not, but I don't really -- I sort of take it
- 5 as a hypothetical outside of what is actually being --
- 6 what we're actually relying upon here, and that is the
 - disclosure of Pauls that clearly renders Claim 1 of the
- 8 Fallon patent, for example, obvious because it's
- describing very clearly a system that is selecting among methods that have different tradeoffs between -- between

how much time it takes to compress and compression ratio and other kinds of things examining the condition of the

channel and all sorts of things.

Q. When would a -- in what situation would a person of ordinary skill use JPEG to encode a video?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. In what situation would a person of ordinary skill prefer to use JPEG to encode a video?

A. So, actually, again, this is sort of a hypothetical. I'm not exactly sure how it would relate to my analysis. But, for example, in the 2000/2001 timeframe, which is not -- which is in the same vicinity here as the Fallon patent, point-and-shoot cameras were

short videos -- maybe your child jumping off the diving board or something -- and that's it because they made these huge files because they didn't do any motion compensation at all.

Not only did they not -- they didn't even do a bad job of motion compensation or a quick job just looking at a region in the previous frame. They didn't do any motion compensation at all. And that's okay. The standard doesn't require it.

That's an example of an encoder that is still operating according to the standard but has sort of the extreme tradeoff where it's really fast, doesn't even spend any time on motion compensation, but the output is really big. Okay?

And those cameras were sold. And initially, you think you bought this great camera that could take video. And it was sort of cool. It could. But you couldn't take long videos. You couldn't do what you do with your iPhone. You would take a little, short ten-second video, maybe a 30-second video, that sort of thing, because it was using -- it was either essentially or literally doing JPEG on each frame to compress video, for example.

Q. All right. Does Pauls disclose using JPEG to encode video?

A. I don't recall sitting here. I can look through

Page 177

Page 175

available that had an option to take video.

And interestingly enough -- we've talked about this -- some of them actually went to the extreme of some of the examples I've given. We talked earlier about how an implementation of the -- of, let's say, the MPEG standard, two encoders for the same standard -- two encoders based on the same standard could take much different time and have much different properties with respect to the amount of time compared to the amount of compression, and you still would be obeying the standard because it's just a matter of how much work you did to do good motion compensation. But as long as you were outputting a format, according to the standard, it could be decoded by any decoder.

And some of these early point-and-shoot cameras, when they offered a video option, what they really did is they just compressed each frame individually. And when you actually look at the MPEG 2 standard, if you compress one frame in isolation, it's very, very similar to a JPEG compression. And, in fact, some of the cameras actually had what's called motion JPEG where they would JPEG each frame individually.

And the result was you would get these huge files, but they were really simple in implement and they ran really fast, but you really could only take really Pauls.

Q. Well, do you offer the opinion in your -- in your declaration that Pauls discloses using JPEG to compress video?

A. I don't recall sitting here. Again, generally speaking, although those consumer cameras -- you asked me for an example. I gave you an example. Pauls is talking about a server. I think the normal way you would look at Pauls, the most natural way is not -- there's nothing precluding it, but the most natural way is if you saw the data type is video, you would use a video coder in a way that was -- that was sort of a good way to use the video coder. Not that those digital cameras in the 1990s were bad, but normally -- you would normally take advantage of the motion compensation. That's the point of the standard.

There's nothing that would preclude one of Pauls' coders to be a motion JPEG or something or an implementation that didn't do motion compensation at all, but I think in a typical situation with the server operating and sending data to a user, your typical use of, let's say, the Pauls system, choosing between multiple methods of different tradeoffs, you probably would be using a video compression coder that actually used the motion compensation feature of a video

Page 178 Page 180

compression coder.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Does your declaration state that Pauls discloses using JPEG as a video encoder?

A. I don't recall sitting here. That seems to be factual. I mean, if you can point me to a place in my declaration where I address JPEG one way or the other, I would be happy to look at it.

- Q. Okay. Does your declaration state where Pauls discloses using the arithmetic coding option of H.263 or JPEG?
- A. Well, first of all, H.263 does have arithmetic coding as an option. And, for example, we described earlier on how --
 - Q. I'm sorry. Can I object here? I think --
- A. Well, if you want to withdraw the question. Otherwise, I wasn't finished.
 - Q. I think you're misunderstanding the --
 - A. Are you withdrawing the question?
- Q. I'm not asking if H.263 has an arithmetic encoding option. I'm asking you whether Pauls discloses using the arithmetic encoding option of H.263?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Well, could you -- could you repeat the question?

BY MR. STONEDALE:

the time of Pauls and still at the time of Fallon, in that timeframe in the 1990s, the arithmetic coding had the property that did a little better but it took longer.

And arithmetic coding was one component in the processing chain of H.263, one of the things that you got to specify in the syntax header of an H.263 stream as to whether you're using that or not.

And furthermore, arithmetic coding also had the property that it could be accelerated depending on the particular hardware you were using as well and so that --

Q. Dr. Storer --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Again -- let me finish. Are you withdrawing your question, or can I finish my answer?

THE COURT REPORTER: Hold on. I need you to start over. I didn't hear a whole lot of what just happened here.

Q. Dr. Storer, my question was about whether or not Pauls discloses using the arithmetic option of H.263. You're telling me a lot of stuff, and it's very interesting, but I don't know if we have time for that.

I really want to know whether Pauls discloses using the option of H.263, not whether a person of ordinary skill would know that H.263 has such an option and not what such an option does.

Page 181

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

Page 179

THE WITNESS: I hadn't finished my answer to the

previous question. Are you withdrawing the previous question and now replacing it with this one, or may I

finish my answer?

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. You can answer the question unless you refuse.

A. I'm not refusing to answer. It's just that you've now asked a new question before I finished my answer to the previous question. Are you withdrawing the previous question, or can I first finish the answer before addressing the current question?

Q. No. I'm not withdrawing the previous question. But you weren't answering the previous question, and I think you know that. But it's getting late in the day. Let's see if we can move this on a little faster.

A. Well, I didn't get to finish my answer. I was almost done. I wanted to make a point that I felt was very relevant to your question. I'm simply asking, can I finish the answer or are you withdrawing the question? It's perfectly reasonable. I'm trying to do the best I can. And I want to know, are you withdrawing the question or may I finish my answer?

Q. I'm going to ask you a new question.

A. Are you withdrawing the old question for which you interrupted me and didn't let me finish my answer?

Q. Does Pauls disclose using the arithmetic

encoding options of H.263 or JPEG? A. Well, Pauls does say that H.263 is well-known,

and I would agree with that, especially when you get to the timeframe of the Fallon patent when it's been years since it's been out.

So anyone of ordinary skill in the art, when they see Pauls saying you can have a selection of encoders, which include ones based on H.263; and furthermore, they certainly understand that, in fact, arithmetic coding is one of the features in H.263 which takes more or less time. You can turn it on. You can use it. You can not use it.

Certain encoders might use it, and other encoders might not use it so that certainly that's what is rendered obvious and what is taught by Pauls. Anyone reading Pauls -- anyone of ordinary skill in the art reading Pauls clearly understands that that is a feature of H.263, for example. There's no question about it.

And so -- and Pauls is teaching that you can now have multiple video encoders that you're choosing from, and it would be perfect sense that two different versions would be one that used the arithmetic coding and did a little better job and one that didn't use the arithmetic coding and ran a little faster because at that time -- at

Page 182 Page 184 Are you withdrawing it or not? A. So, as I said before -- actually, I think that's Q. I'm asking a new question. the same question you asked before. So I would say I A. Well, I want to know whether you're withdrawing can't answer it better. I tried to be very thorough in the old question which I was trying to answer and was that answer. I don't know if I can answer any better. almost done and didn't get a chance to answer. Just let It sounds like exactly the same question you asked. Q. Does Pauls use the word "arithmetic"? me know or let me first finish the answer and then I'll address the new question. MR. BATTS: Objection. Form. Q. I'm not going to do either. I'm going to THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE: instead ask a new question. A. Well, I didn't get a chance to finish my answer O. Does Pauls use the word "arithmetic"? to the previous question. I think just out of normal A. I don't recall. I would have to look through

A. Well, I didn't get a chance to finish my answer to the previous question. I think just out of normal course of questioning and answering, I was almost done and would like to finish the answer. There was very little left to say, but I would like to say it. Or if you're withdrawing the question, fine. Then it's irrelevant. But one or the other. It seems only reasonable.

Q. I understand your position. I'm going to ask you a new question.

A. Well, I would like to know, first of all, whether you're withdrawing the previous question, or may I finish my answer?

Q. Where is -- where does Pauls discuss arithmetic encoding?

A. You haven't answered my question as to whether I

A. I don't recall. I would have to look through Pauls; however, Pauls does have point out the H.263 standard. And to anyone of ordinary skill in the art, they understand that arithmetic coding is an option in the standard, and different encoders may support it or not support it and do it at different speeds.

Q. Does Pauls mention the arithmetic mode of JPEG?MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Pauls mentions JPEG for -- at one point, Pauls says, "Enhanced Variable Rate Coder, H.263 (which is a set of guidelines being considered by the International Telecommunications Union for implementation into standards), pkzip (by PKWare, Inc.), MPEG and MPEG 2, (Moving Pictures Experts Group), and JPEG (Joint Pictures Experts Group) are examples of encoding

Page 183

Page 185

may finish my answer to the previous question or whether you're withdrawing the question.

Q. I don't have to answer your question. It's my deposition.

A. Well, then you've interrupted me, and I will now finish the answer, and then I will go ahead to your current question.

What I was going to say to finish that answer is arithmetic coding in particular has the property that it can be accelerated by various kinds of hardware configurations, and that also depends on whether you would choose that encoder or not, and that may be present in different encoders and present for different servers or even a given server where it's now dividing its resources among multiple users.

And that was how I wanted to finish that answer. Thank you for letting me do that. And now, please, if you could repeat your current question.

Q. Where does Pauls mention the arithmetic encoding of H.263?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Where does Pauls mention the arithmetic encoding option of H.263?

algorithms which are well-known in the art."

And so, of course, when you look at Figure 3 of Pauls, the user can select among multiple encoders, and it's well-known that JPEG has an arithmetic coding option. It's well-known that that is slower; it takes more time, does a little better in terms of compression or quality.

So I think any person of ordinary skill in the art reading Pauls, independent of whether the word "arithmetic" is there or not -- I would have to search Pauls -- would certainly be familiar with the standards and would be familiar with the fact that different encoders for that standard could offer or not offer the arithmetic option or do or not do the arithmetic option, and that would imply different tradeoff between time, and, for example, compression ratio or quality, and that is one of the things that is being taught by Pauls, is to choose among a suite of available encoders for the best one.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Does your declaration ever state why a person of ordinary skill, in combining Imai and Pauls, would not want to include an encoder?

A. I'm sorry. The last part of your question got garbled by the transmission. Could you repeat it?

Page 186 Page 188

Q. Would not want to use an encoder -- let me start over.

A. Yeah. Thanks.

Q. Does your declaration indicate why a person of ordinary skill in the art combining pie -- Imai and Pauls would not want to include an encoder?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form. And which declaration are you referring to?

MR. STONEDALE: Either or both.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. I'm curious if any of your declarations indicate why a person of ordinary skill in the art would not include an encoder.
- A. I'm not sure what you mean by "a person of ordinary skill in the art would not include an encoder." What Pauls teaches is there's a suite of encoders available. In fact, the more, the better. I don't really see a reason why it's advantageous to have fewer because Pauls teaches that among the available encoders, to choose the one that is best, for example, for the given data type that is -- that is at hand; for example, you see in Figure 3 mentioning text, speech, video.

So I don't know -- I don't really understand your question about why -- I don't see that in the claim language, I mean, why you wouldn't do it. In your either one or the combination renders the claims -- for example, Claim 1, obvious. You seem to be talking about some hypothetical situation where some particular user is building some system using the principles of Imai and/or Pauls and not talking about my declaration, which is talking about how the claim -- the particular claims are obvious in light of Imai or Pauls or both. And maybe I don't understand your hypothetical. If you could flush it out a little bit more.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Well -- so does your declaration not disclose what a person of ordinary skill in the art would do if they were building a system in light of Imai and Pauls?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat your question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. Does your declaration not disclose what a person of ordinary skill in the art would do if they were building a system in light of Imai and Pauls?
- A. I'm not sure what you're encompassing in "building a system." The point of my report is to describe why, for example -- we discussed before -- in view of Imai, the Claim 1, for example, of Fallon is rendered obvious or in view of Pauls or in view of the combination of the two.

Page 187

7 Page 189

hypothetical, I guess, some user -- I guess maybe you could tell me more about the hypothetical.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. Well, would a person of ordinary skill in the art include every encoder that is available in Imai and Pauls, combination?
- A. What do you mean by "every encoder that is available"?
- Q. Every encoder the person of ordinary skill in the art possibly could include.

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: I'm not quite sure the meaning of your -- well, maybe you could repeat it. Could you repeat the question?

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Would a person of ordinary skill in the art, combining Pauls and Imai, include every available encoder, or would there be some encoders they wouldn't include?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: In your hypothetical, what do you mean by a person including? Are you talking about someone who is implementing a system using the ideas of these two patents?

My declaration is talking about how one --

One of ordinary skill in the art reading the disclosure, what is described and taught in these prior art references, it now makes, for example, Claim 1 of Fallon rendered obvious. You seem to be talking about sort of a building thing. I don't know if it's a legal question, the difference between someone trying to build something and someone seeing this reference and understanding what is being disclosed and understanding that that would certainly -- in view of that disclosure, it would render, for example, Claim 1 obvious, so I don't know whether this is a -- you're asking for some kind of legal opinion or what, or is it just a hypothetical about some supposed person to building something?

Q. I'm asking whether or not you offer any opinions about how a person would implement the teachings of Imai and Pauls to create a system.

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: (Witness reviewed document.) I'm not sure I can answer any better. But could you repeat your question again?

MR. STONEDALE: Could the reporter please repeat the question?

(Record was read back as requested.)
THE WITNESS: So I've talked about the motivation to combine. I've talked about each one

individually. We've talked -- we've talked about Imai alone rendering the claim obvious. You seem to be talking about a hypothetical user doing an implementation, and I've talked about how Imai and Pauls and the combination renders the claim obvious by what is disclosed and taught.

And certainly, they disclose how a suite of compression methods, multiple compression methods, some of them, according to the same standard, can be selected from in order to achieve throughput, and throughput relies on two considerations at least; other considerations, but certainly on speed and compression ratio, as we discussed many times today.

So I'm not quite sure what you're -- whether it's sort of a hypothetical you're asking about someone performing an implementation as opposed to someone reading these references and understanding what is being disclosed and taught; and therefore, for example, the Claim 1 of the Fallon patent being rendered obvious.

I'm not sure whether it's a hypothetical or a legal question. I don't quite understand the distinction over what we've already discussed and what I discussed in my report.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Well, I guess what I'm asking, is there a

there were data types that they would be useful for, for example.

Page 192

Page 193

So it's an incredibly natural, obvious thing to do to combine the two references and certainly to -- they're just so similar. And so -- in fact, they're so similar that in my report, either one of them alone renders obvious and completely natural to combine them.

So I guess the way I've described the obviousness isn't in terms of some hypothetical user building something, but I've certainly described how it would be obvious, for example, to incorporate additional encoders into Imai that are referenced or discussed in Pauls, for example.

And I -- it seems as though I addressed the issue of obvious to combine and addressed the issue of how these references render, for example, Claim I obvious. I don't quite understand the distinction of what you're asking or whether it's a legal question or a hypothetical about a hypothetical person building a system, but I certainly -- I think I've made quite clear in this report that one of ordinary skill in the art would certainly see these references as highly similar and highly compatible, and I talk about how it would be very natural -- in fact, there's even some paragraphs we were reading before. I don't necessarily need to walk

Page 191

distinction? Do you describe how a person of ordinary skill would take the teachings of Imai and take the teachings of Pauls and combine them to create a system and how they would do that.

A. (Witness reviewed document.) Earlier on in this deposition, we went through a section of my report which describes the motivation to combine. And it describes how both patents employ asymmetric encoders, and both patents employ a suite of encoders that are selected from.

And both of them take into account data type and making that choice. And both of them take into account the transmission medium. And I'm -- right now, I'm actually flipping through that section. They -- they both talk about determining bandwidth. So you have these two systems.

And, in fact, when you looked at Figure 3 of Pauls and you looked at Figures 4, 5 and 7 or even just Figure 5 of Imai, it's -- these references are highly, highly similar. And also, both references have a framework where you can insert encoders, standard encoders.

You can add them to the suite of encoders. You can have a suite of encoders. It would be perfectly natural to add additional encoders that were available if

over this area again, so I'm not sure how to better answer your question.

Q. Okay. Well, let's say a person of ordinary skill in the art is taking the system of Imai and they're adding some encoders to it, some encoders that are not disclosed in Imai. Would that person want to include all the encoders available to him, or might he want to limit the number of encoders for some reason?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?
MR. STONEDALE: Could the court reporter please repeat the question?

(Record was read back as requested.)

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean by a "user." Imai talks about a server sending -- for example, transmitting audio or music -- or it says it could also be video -- to a viewer or a listener at the other end.

Imai talks about an end-to-end system where the data flows through the encoder, through the communication line, through the decoder and to the decoder without interruption where the decoder can watch it. So there's a whole attention to getting the proper throughput to make this system work and a lot of description and teaching in Imai.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And furthermore, Imai invites you -- invites a reader to understand that, yeah, of course you can incorporate other methods because it says it can apply equally on the video and it says you have a suite. And I don't know what you mean by "all possible available to some hypothetical user." The point is, the server and whoever designed the server based on Imai would have certain encoders they had purchased and had available, especially for types of data they expected to deal with. BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Would they have some encoders available to them that they wouldn't find useful?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. Would they have some encoders available to them that they wouldn't find useful?
 - A. So who is the "they" you're referring to?
 - Q. A person of ordinary skill.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, the person of ordinary skill reading these patents, as I've described in my report -- let's say they're reading Imai -- reads the teachings of Imai, reads the disclosures of Imai and from -- in view of that, for example, Claim 1 of Fallon is rendered obvious. I'm not sure what you mean by "they doing something" or many encoders that are based on well-known standards because standards are a good thing because now it's more likely that users out there will be able to decode the data. If you just use a decoder not based on a standard, you would only be able to use it for users who had that corresponding decoder.

Page 196

But when it's based on a standard, even if you have two versions of the encoder -- or maybe it's the MPEG standard. So for encoders based on the same standard, one may run much slower than another one because it's doing much more work to do motion compensation, but that same -- the output streams for both of them can still be decoded by a decoder that is capable of decoding MPEG -- MPEG streams.

So, you know, I -- certainly one of ordinary skill in the art understands that in designing a server using things -- using what is taught by Imai and disclosed by Imai and rendered obvious by Imai would certainly imagine a server that selected encoders based on common standards, for example.

And certainly when Imai suggests that his whole system of taking into account data type and channel of characteristics and taking into account all these things to create a nice -- a throughput that works, would certainly understand, oh, yes. And, of course, when he

Page 195

"they hypothetically out there building something" as you said in your previous question. Is there another way you can phrase the question? I don't think I really understand the question.

Q. If a person of ordinary skill in the art were to implement Imai in the real world at the time of the invention --

(Reporter asked for clarification.) BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. If a person of ordinary skill in the art were to implement Imai in the real world at the time of the invention, build a system based on the teachings of Imai, they would have to include some encoders; is that right?

A. Well, I guess this hypothetical person, again, the -- you're talking about a hypothetical person building something, and I don't know if it's a legal distinction between this person of ordinary skill in the art reading the Imai patent and understanding what is disclosed, what is taught and certainly understanding what is being claimed in the Fallon patent as being rendered obvious.

But certainly when that person understands what is being disclosed in Imai, they certainly would understand that the -- when designing the server, you certainly might very well encode many common encoder -- Page 197

says video, you would certainly want to use -incorporate the standard video encoders as well as standard audio encoders, for example.

O. Do you state which encoder in the combination of Pauls and Imai would be the first asymmetric data compression encoder of Claim 1?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

- Q. Do you state which of the encoders in the combination of Pauls and Imai would be the first asymmetric data compression encoder?
- A. So, for example, this -- we -- we talked about this question a number of hours ago where you asked it with respect to Imai. And we had quite few questions relating to this where Imai -- and of course, Imai and Pauls work in a very similar way.

Data comes in. It may be divided into blocks. And there's a determination of the data type, for example. And what type of data is this? Okay. And it might be that currently, for example, Imai gives the example of different kinds of music or different portions of a musical sequence having different properties and you may choose a different encoder at that point because you're trying to achieve throughput, trying to achieve a

Page 198 | Page 200

system where the data -- where the incoming data to the encoder flows through the encoder, flows through the channel.

And Imai even teaches how it needs to flow through the decoding to the decoder so the decoder person or entity can view the -- let's say the audio or video as it comes in real time.

And so -- and so there's a selection process, and Imai teaches doing that, for example on a block-by-block basis. If it's video, Imai can very well be using encoders of the type that are described in Pauls, MPEG, H.263. And Imai teaches on a block-by-block basis, for example, you're going to now choose -- if you're currently using an encoder which is too slow, you're going to choose an encoder which is faster. Okay?

And so in that case, your -- your first encoder would be the faster one that you choose, and the second encoder would be the slower one because you have -- Imai has a system which is configured to have encoders of different tradeoffs between speed and compression ratio. That's what is being taught.

MR. BATTS: So we've been going for about an hour and a half now. Does anyone need a break?

(Discussion had off the record.)

(Recess was taken.)

So it would be -- one of ordinary skill in the art, it would be entirely obvious, especially when we're talking about well-known standards at the time, like H.263, MPEG, to incorporate various encoders according to that standard that may have different properties. And again, we mentioned, even with the given standard, there may be multiple encoders that have different tradeoffs between the amount of time it takes to compress and the compression ratio and other factors such as the quality of the output.

Q. Would it be obvious to incorporate H.263 into Imai?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: So, could you repeat your question?

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Would it be obvious to incorporate H.263 into Imai?

A. I'm not sure what you mean by someone incorporating it. What would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art reading Imai is that that list of encoders, when Imai says his system applies equally to video, could include video coders, and of course it would be -- the most obvious kind of video coders to include in that list would be video coders that are based on

Page 199

Page 201

///

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Okay. You explained that a person of ordinary skill would want to include the video coders from Pauls into Imai in order to compress video data; is that right?

A. I don't think that's how I said it. But I certainly pointed out the fact that Imai actually invites or points out that the system of Imai, this very nice framework that we see in Figures 4, 5 and also 16, where, based on data type and other considerations, different encoders can be chosen from. Imai says you can just as well use it for video.

So certainly when one of ordinary skill in the art reads Imai, I think they would understand that anyway, but Imai specifically points that out it would be perfectly natural in a system that is highly similar to Imai.

We've already talked about how Pauls uses asymmetric methods. Pauls chooses between methods. Pauls takes into account the nature of the communication line. Pauls choice -- choosing between two methods is based on type. All these things incredibly similar, even the figures look similar. You look at Figure 3 of Pauls and you look at Figure 5 or Figure 4 -- Figures 4 and 5, for example, of Imai.

current, well-known standards of the time.

In fact, those standards are still, you know, present today as well. But standards that have been available for years prior to -- that were -- for example, available to Imai and Pauls and were available years before the Fallon patent.

Q. So would a POSITA have found it obvious to incorporate H.263 into Imai?

A. Isn't that exact -- I believe that's exactly the same question you just asked, and so I would say I -- I would defer to my answer I gave, which I thought was a very good answer. I don't know how to answer any better.

Q. Do you offer any opinions about any encoder that is a first video data compression encoder for purposes of Claim 20 and Imai, Pauls or the combination?

MR. BATTS: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question? BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. I'm going to rephrase it. Do you, in either declaration, point to any encoder that is the first video data compression encoder for purposes of Claim 20?

A. Well, let's go to that portion of my report. (Witness reviewed document.) So, for example, if you read paragraph 230, it says, "I understand that independent Claim 20 in Claim 2 include all the claim

Page 202 Page 204

limitations of Claim 1 and have an additional requirement that 'at least one of the plurality of different asymmetric data compression encoders is configured to utilize an arithmetic algorithm.' As discussed in my opinion above, both Imai alone or in view of Pauls teaches and renders obvious independent Claim 1." And it goes on.

But by the way, we've talked about the fact that my analysis of Claim 1 very thoroughly talks about how Imai discloses both taking into account time to encode and compression ratio. So, when you see Claim 20, really, it mentions compression ratio instead of encode -- instead of --

Q. Do you offer the opinion --

A. Let me finish my -- you're interrupting me. Instead of comp --

Q. Yes. I am interrupting you.

A. Instead of comp -- Instead of com --

O. Yes. I am --

A. Instead of com -- you have to let me finish my answer.

MR. BATTS: Dr. Storer, I think he was actually asking -- I do think Joel was asking a different question here. So maybe we hear the question again.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. Go ahead.

equally well here. The addition of arithmetic coding, I do address here.

And so the answers to my questions about first and second would be relevant here as well. We've talked about this quite a bit, and I'm happy to answer again. But I'm just pointing out that the analysis, the great level of detail that is in the report and we've had a lot of discussion about, Imai takes into account both compression rate and compression ratio, and you look at the similarity of these claims.

And I'm pointing out, okay. Now let's also talk about the arithmetic coding, which is a new element here. But my previous answers would apply. And I'm happy to answer again.

Q. I'm wondering, can you give me a -- the name of an encoder that you're suggesting is the first video data compression encoder of Claim 20?

A. So, again, I believe we've really -- I've really already answered this question so many times with respect to Claim 1, and the answer would -- really be the same for Claim 20, but I'll answer again, if you would like.

Q. I'm specifically trying to clarify -- I'm interested in an answer that comes in the form of the name of an encoder.

A. So, the answer -- -- you've asked this question

Page 203

Page 205

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Did you point to any encoders in either declaration that is the first video data compression encoder of Claim 20?

MR. BATTS: Objection to form.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Objection to form.

As I was starting to answer your previous question, I point out here the similarity between Claim 1 and Claim 20. And Claim 20 uses compression ratio versus --

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. You're not answering the question in --

A. Can I just finish the answer?

Q. I'm asking about the name of the encoder that could constitute the first video data compression encoder in Claim 20.

A. So if I may finish my answer. So I point out with a high similarity -- now, you can go back and look -- we had all the same questions for Claim 1. You asked me about first, second -- all these things. That analysis of Claim 1, which includes both compression rate and compression ratio, describing how Imai thoroughly addresses both and they're related, that analysis applies

before, and I've answered it many times, and I'm happy to answer it again. An answer with respect to Claim 1 --

Q. Is there any answer that comes in the form of the name of an encoder?

A. So before, we talked about this. And in reference to Claim 1, which reads incredibly similar to Claim 20, Claim 20 -- both in my analysis of Claim 1, very clearly it analyzes Imai disclosing measuring both compression rate and compression ratio. And in that answer, I pointed to the list of areas of different --

Q. I need to move on. In -- let's talk about the combination of Dawson, Imai and Pauls. If you can go to paragraph 212 of your second declaration.

A. 212 of the second declaration. Okay. Now, this declaration is the one -- the second one we're referring to would be the one labeled IPR2018-1630 where we mainly -- we've mainly been looking at -- so far, most of our discussion has been with respect to the one labeled IPR2018-01187. And you're asking me to go to what paragraph?

Q. 212. Here, you state that, "A POSITA would have been motivated to combine the compression encoder selection techniques taught by Imai, Pauls and Dawson."My question is how a POSITA would have done that.A. Let me take a quick look, then, at this section.

Page 206 Page 208

б

(Witness reviewed document.) So the paragraph 212 that you're pointing to reads, "It is my opinion that a POSITA would have been motivated to combine the compression encoder selection techniques taught by Imai, Pauls and Dawson because it would have been combining prior art" --

Q. Objection.

A. -- "elements" --

Q. Dr. Storer, as a general rule, we can just let the paragraph speak for itself. You don't have to read the whole thing into the record because the exhibit is in the record. So you can just refer to 212.

A. I actually wasn't going to read the whole thing. I was going to say, "et cetera, et cetera." And my point was that the paragraph begins -- of course this paragraph follows a number of paragraphs describing the high similarity between the three references.

But one important point of similarity, which is why I read the beginning of this, was because it involved to combine the compression encoder selection techniques. So you noticed that, if you recall, we described those pieces of prior art where there's a component in both -- for example, we described earlier in Pauls and Imai where the selector takes into account the data type, for example, and you also see that in Dawson.

So this idea of selecting different asymmetric

You understand the difference in why you do something versus how you do something? Like, you know, you may walk to get from point A to point B, but you walk by moving one leg in front of the other. There's a difference in why and how. Does that make sense?

A. I suppose. I'm not quite sure what you're asking.

Q. Yeah. Okay. So just like you may -- the reason someone does something is distinct from what they do. That's a distinction I want to draw here. I'm not asking why a person of ordinary skill would combine Dawson, Imai and Pauls. I'm asking you how they would do that.

A. You seem to be talking about a hypothetical person performing an implementation. I certainly do describe here, though, when analyzing the claims the fact that you now have three systems which are highly similar, which both have a selection mechanism to select between methods.

And, furthermore, we actually already have seen how arithmetic coding is incorporated into some of the methods described by Pauls. But now we also have a very natural combination with Dawson which specifically is addressed as arithmetic coding.

And I don't think there's any debate about arithmetic coding qualifying as an asymmetric method in

Page 207

methods which are going to work better for particular type of data. And, of course, we've already talked about the fact that some of the methods that we described earlier, such as H.263, JPEG and so on use arithmetic coding, and I talk about that as well in this section.

So, I guess -- I'm not sure if I fully answered your question. If you would like to repeat it, if there's something else I can add, I can try to do that.

Q. Is there any other paragraphs in your declarations where you describe how a POSITA would have combined Imai, Pauls and Dawson?

A. Well, I would have to look through the report, and there was -- I'm now going to be talking about how claim elements have satisfied.

But certainly, in this section -- and I'm not referring just to section 212. I'm referring to the paragraphs 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211 and 212 that describe why it would be obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art to combine these references.

We also -- these paragraphs are referring back to -- and certainly, one would understand reading my declaration that I've also had previous places where I've described why it would be obvious to combine Imai and Pauls as well.

Q. I'm not asking about why. I'm asking about how.

Page 209

the context of the Fallon patent because Fallon calls it out, doesn't really give you much information. But does call it out as something, asymmetric.

So when someone talks about the how -- I mean, the method taught, for example, by Imai, Imai teaches how Imai takes into account both time to encode and compression ratio and other factors in order to get a throughput, which is what is talked about in the claims of the -- in other words -- in other words, Imai addresses throughput, and that is what is being addressed in the Fallon claims, and that is why Imai alone and Imai in combination with Pauls renders obvious Claim 1.

And now we combine with Dawson when we're specifically talking about arithmetic coding to get further support to the arithmetic coding limitation of Claim 20.

MR. BATTS: So I'm just going to note there's five minutes left before we hit seven hours on the record.

BY MR. STONEDALE:

Q. Okay. I will ask you the same question about the Lai, Imai and Pauls combination. Where do you explain how a person of ordinary skill would have combined Imai, Lai and Pauls? And I'm not asking about why they would do it. I'm asking about how that POSITA

	Page 210		Page 212
1	would combine the references.	1	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
2	A. Well, let's go to that section. Give me one	2	
3	second.	3	I, DR. JAMES ANDREW STORER, do hereby certify under
4	MR. BATTS: That's page 117.	4	penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing
5	BY MR. STONEDALE:	5	transcript of my deposition taken on May 7, 2019; that I
6	Q. Paragraph 219 is probably where you want to go.	6	have made such corrections as appear noted on the
7	A. (Witness reviewed document.)	7	Deposition Errata Page, attached hereto, signed by me;
8	Q. I think you might lose your chance to answer	8	that my testimony as contained herein, as corrected, is
9	that question pretty soon here.	9	true and correct.
10	A. (Witness reviewed document.) Well, in order to	10	Dated thisday of
11	move on quickly, yes, I describe the motivation to	11	2019, at, California.
12	combine in terms of why you would do it, why it would be	12	2015, at, Cumorina.
13	obvious to combine them. But the report the	13	
14	declaration also describes the teachings of, for example,	14	
15	Imai and Pauls in terms of how they teach to implement	15	
16	and to and to have a system that does work by work	16	DR. JAMES ANDREW STORER
17	by selecting among different encoders with different	17	DR. MANES MADRE W STORER
18	properties of either encoding speed or or compression	18	
19	ratio so that the descriptions in my report about the way	19	
20	in which in which Imai and Pauls work and operate or	20	
21	the teachings of how the system operates of course now	21	
22	relate to the obviousness to incorporate to use a	22	
23	combination such as we talked about combining Imai with	23	
24	Pauls, combining Imai and Pauls with Dawson or combining	24	
25	Imai and Pauls with Lai.	25	
		23	
	Page 211		Page 213
1	MR. BATTS: So we're over seven hours. Do you	1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET
2	have a final question, Joel?	2	Page NoLine No
3	MR. STONEDALE: No. I think that wraps it up.	3	Change:
4	Thank you all for hanging out with me today. It's been	4	Reason for
5	fun.	5	change:
6	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	6	Page No. Line No
7	(Deposition concluded at 6:46 p.m.)	7	Change:
8	oOo	8	Reason for
9		9	change:
10		10	Page NoLine No
11		11	Change:
12		12	Reason for
13		13	change:
14		14	Page NoLine No
15		15	Change:
16		16	Reason for
17		17	change:
18		18	Page NoLine No
19		19	Change:
20		20	Reason for
21		21	change:
22		22	
23		23	
23 24		23 24	

	Page 214	
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA) SS.	
2	,	
3	I do hereby certify that the witness in the	
4	foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to testify to	
5	the truth in the within-entitled cause; that said	
6	deposition was taken at the time and place therein	
7	stated; that the testimony of said witness was correctly	
8	reported by me, a certified shorthand reporter and	
9	disinterested person, and was under my supervision	
10	thereafter transcribed and when so transcribed was	
11	carefully read to or by the said witness, and, being in	
12	every desire, was thereafter by the said witness duly	
13	subscribed; that if unsigned by the witness, signature	
14	has been waived in accordance with stipulation between	
15	counsel for the respective parties.	
16	And I further certify that I am not of counsel	
17	or attorney for either or any of the parties to said	
18	deposition nor in any way interested in the outcome of	
19	the cause named in said caption.	
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have here unto set my hand	
21	and affixed my seal of office this 13th day of May,	
22	2019.	
23 24	CARLY C. TILLOTSON, CSR No. 13627	
25	Certified Shorthand Reporter	
23	Certified Shorthand Reporter	

			I	I
	206:23 209:6	addressed 37:3	45:23 46:19,24	137:20 145:10
abbreviation 45:4	accurate 36:15	69:8 94:20 192:14	47:18 48:15 49:11	155:23 156:1
ability 154:24	52:15 132:3	192:15 208:23	50:19,23 52:16	157:11,16 160:6
155:7 160:3	achieve 63:9 64:18	209:10	53:7,20 54:19	161:16 169:24
166:16	65:17 87:14 88:22	addresses 14:13	55:19 56:2 60:6	175:9,9 200:8
able 69:15 98:16	110:5 111:14	47:15 53:23	61:2 71:11 72:4	amounts 103:15,16
100:19 111:22	131:1 134:23	126:18,21 203:25	72:14,18 100:14	153:9
119:16 123:12	136:21 137:6,14	209:10	118:14 138:10	analysis 27:7 29:9
153:16 160:14	138:2 140:6,10,12	addressing 32:13	202:4	30:10 39:8 40:16
196:3,5	140:20,24 141:24	43:23 60:9 70:3	algorithmic 68:22	43:17,21 45:21,25
abstract 90:12,12	142:19 143:2	93:12 94:8,21	105:20 108:1	47:17 50:5 53:4
149:23 170:24	144:17 157:9,13	98:5 105:16 113:2	113:5 117:10	53:10,18 60:2
academic 94:18,24	163:22 166:13	115:12 117:2,19	algorithms 14:21	76:22,22,24 90:9
94:25 95:21	190:10 197:25,25	126:14 162:1	15:11,16,17 19:3	93:6,8 95:18,19
accelerated 180:9	achieved 103:5	164:9 181:11	19:7,15,21 20:19	97:7 98:3,3,6
183:10	111:5 141:14	adequate 108:20	20:25 23:1 26:2	99:25,25 100:2
accept 123:13	164:3	130:14	27:13 28:5,8 40:5	103:4 115:14,15
135:17	achieves 111:13,15	ADPCM 58:22	42:12,17,18 44:11	147:12,13,15
acceptable 97:21	112:6,9,11 117:20	77:18 125:10	45:2,6,15 46:5,5	161:3 174:23
130:21,23,23	124:4 135:19	ADPCN 82:9	46:13 47:3,4,9,11	202:9 203:23,25
131:2 134:23	154:7,8	advantage 136:23	47:12,24 48:1,2	204:6 205:7
135:19 143:20,21	achieving 116:22	139:7 177:14	49:24 50:12,13,16	analyze 90:8 100:1
accepted 133:22	119:18	advantageous	50:17 51:15,17	analyzed 56:20
accommodate	Acoustic 59:5	186:18	52:3,4,8,24 54:1,2	analyzes 115:15
129:21 134:2	77:20	advantages 141:3	54:4,5,15 55:3,15	147:13 205:8
150:20	acronyms 15:8,9	150:3	55:16 56:4,5,11	analyzing 56:19,23
accommodated	adapting 66:22	advertise 34:24,24	56:14 57:3,17,19	90:10 98:6 208:15
133:9	adaptive 1:8 3:10	advertising 33:20	58:2,6,8,9 59:16	Andrew 1:14 2:1
accompanied 114:9	58:22 59:4 77:18	affect 71:24 120:15	60:13,19 138:13	5:9,18 212:3,16
114:10	77:20 85:1	120:21 131:4	145:8 157:17	213:25
account 14:11	adaptively 69:16	affixed 214:21	158:16 161:14	ands 165:11
26:20 64:3 65:21	add 129:2 191:23	aforementioned	185:1	and/or 86:7 95:17
71:10 76:18 83:2	191:25 207:8	158:15	allegation 75:21	188:4
83:3 85:17 87:1	adding 193:5	Afternoon 74:1	allegations 75:18	answer 8:17,25
89:23 90:11 92:18	addition 24:24	ago 30:5 129:13 197:14	alleging 74:14 allow 24:13 71:13	16:2,9 23:4,6 28:3
93:4,9 98:10	25:11,12 32:9,9 121:13 129:1	agree 48:23 131:24	89:17	28:6 29:2,7 31:12 33:10 35:2,3 36:5
103:3 104:24	204:1	179:4	allows 31:15	36:6,11,15,19,23
106:2,12,13 109:2	additional 9:19	ahead 18:2 28:17	Alto 1:16 2:5 3:7	37:7 38:7 40:17
109:6 115:23	37:4 129:24 136:4	28:18 40:15 41:16	5:4	41:8 42:22 47:20
116:21 119:17,18	136:5 191:25	86:9,14 99:5	amount 68:23 78:9	48:4,6,11,18
123:22 134:4,24	192:11 202:1	156:7 183:6	78:10 103:6	49:14,15 50:9
136:4 145:6,7,9	address 5:17 14:12	202:25 203:7	105:21 106:21	52:19,20 53:21
145:13 147:9,16	60:12 106:10	algorithm 33:8	103.21 100.21	54:11,22 55:8,23
147:18 168:25	126:17 129:10	38:24 39:4,6,25	117:14 119:18	60:14,15 64:2,16
173:24 191:11,12	164:8 178:6 182:7	40:4,14 41:24	125:22,24 126:2,3	67:13,14,16,17,18
196:22,23 199:20	204:2	42:19 43:1 44:12	126:4,5,9,9,10,12	67:18,19,24,24,24
202:10 204:8	202	1	120.1,0,0,0,10,12	0,,10,10,21,21,21
	l		I	I

Ĭ				
68:1,7,8 72:10	applied 21:3 27:2	31:1,3,18,24 32:2	55:25 56:17 57:4	69:24,25 70:4,5,7
76:11 81:21 83:13	59:19	35:21 45:5,9,14	60:18,20,23 61:4	70:14,15 72:5,12
89:7 94:4 102:14	applies 76:24 155:2	46:4 57:25 59:15	68:12 72:8 75:17	72:17 74:5,8,15
102:14 110:1	200:22 203:25	59:20,20 60:25	91:23 92:23,25	74:25 75:7,19
115:3 126:20	apply 31:25 70:12	64:20 71:8 74:18	93:20,21 94:1,6	76:7 79:17,18,21
155:2 163:8	89:9,10,11,12	75:14 80:15 83:3	94:12,18,24	80:11 81:24 84:10
167:19 170:3,23	111:6 194:3	84:19 93:15 94:14	101:25 112:19	84:16 125:25
171:19 180:13	204:13	98:9 110:25	130:2 132:15	126:1 191:8 197:5
181:1,4,6,7,9,10	appreciated 159:2	111:12,19 112:5,8	133:1 136:14	197:12 199:19
181:16,19,22,25	appreciates 83:3	115:4 138:1	144:24,25 149:24	202:3 206:25
182:4,5,6,10,13	approaches 39:14	139:21 140:18	156:9 168:12	208:25 209:3
182:22 183:1,3,6	66:17	141:13 142:19	173:4,8,17 178:19	ATRAC 15:8,8
183:8,16 184:3,4	appropriate 64:25	143:1 159:1,7,9	178:20 181:18	19:1,1 22:24,24
184:4 189:19	65:1,17 83:24	159:14,22 160:18	182:2 189:11,14	45:16 47:10,10,25
193:2 201:11,12	144:13 153:14	161:12 162:12	190:15,25 192:18	47:25 50:12,12,16
201:12 202:21	166:6 169:15	164:7 166:1,19,20	202:23,23 203:16	50:16 52:2,3 54:4
203:9,15,19 204:5	172:12	168:10,14,20	205:19 207:25,25	54:4 55:15,15
204:14,20,21,23	area 34:3 110:12	169:12 171:7,12	208:7,10,12	56:4,4 57:15 59:4
204:25 205:2,2,3	110:15 115:25	172:24 179:7,17	209:24,25	59:5 77:20,21
205:10 210:8	193:1	184:13 185:1,9	aspect 64:14 65:20	82:9,22 87:3,8,12
answered 22:2,20	areas 205:10	186:5,12,15 187:5	aspects 129:15	88:13,25 93:22
47:13 55:1 61:10	arguably 32:6	187:10,16 188:12	asserted 6:23 12:22	94:3 101:22
72:8,24 135:11	argue 14:14	188:18 189:1,3	assessing 83:15,16	108:19 119:3
182:25 204:19	arguing 91:24	192:21 193:4	assessment 94:6	125:11,11
205:1 207:6	argument 138:23	195:5,10,18	143:7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1 4UJ.1 4UI.U	i ai guilleilt 130.23	1 173,3,10,10	I 1 4 3./	i attacheu 212:/
				attached 212:7 attempt 68:1
answering 19:17,17	arithmetic 178:9	196:16 199:14	associated 51:22	attempt 68:1
	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21			
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13 anybody 31:23	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19 183:24 184:6,10 184:14,17 185:4	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8 17:13,18 22:8	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15 assume 24:25	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8 audio 15:9 19:1 22:24 23:11,24
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19 183:24 184:6,10	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8 17:13,18 22:8 36:4 37:7 40:19	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15 assume 24:25 112:23 138:7,21 138:22	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8 audio 15:9 19:1 22:24 23:11,24
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13 anybody 31:23 anyway 18:3 49:15 199:15	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19 183:24 184:6,10 184:14,17 185:4 185:10,14,14	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8 17:13,18 22:8 36:4 37:7 40:19 45:22 47:20 48:16	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15 assume 24:25 112:23 138:7,21	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8 audio 15:9 19:1 22:24 23:11,24 32:17 33:15 37:25
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13 anybody 31:23 anyway 18:3 49:15	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19 183:24 184:6,10 184:14,17 185:4 185:10,14,14 202:4 204:1,12	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8 17:13,18 22:8 36:4 37:7 40:19 45:22 47:20 48:16 48:19 49:6 54:20	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15 assume 24:25 112:23 138:7,21 138:22 assuming 120:7,12	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8 audio 15:9 19:1 22:24 23:11,24 32:17 33:15 37:25 38:1,2,16,17,17
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13 anybody 31:23 anyway 18:3 49:15 199:15 apologize 148:20	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19 183:24 184:6,10 184:14,17 185:4 185:10,14,14 202:4 204:1,12 207:4 208:20,23	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8 17:13,18 22:8 36:4 37:7 40:19 45:22 47:20 48:16 48:19 49:6 54:20 54:21 55:6,9,22	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15 assume 24:25 112:23 138:7,21 138:22 assuming 120:7,12 141:1	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8 audio 15:9 19:1 22:24 23:11,24 32:17 33:15 37:25 38:1,2,16,17,17 45:5,6 46:15,17
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13 anybody 31:23 anyway 18:3 49:15 199:15 apologize 148:20 APPEAL 1:2	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19 183:24 184:6,10 184:14,17 185:4 185:10,14,14 202:4 204:1,12 207:4 208:20,23 208:25 209:14,15	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8 17:13,18 22:8 36:4 37:7 40:19 45:22 47:20 48:16 48:19 49:6 54:20 54:21 55:6,9,22 61:9 76:3 89:7	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15 assume 24:25 112:23 138:7,21 138:22 assuming 120:7,12 141:1 assumption 116:13	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8 audio 15:9 19:1 22:24 23:11,24 32:17 33:15 37:25 38:1,2,16,17,17 45:5,6 46:15,17 51:9,12,13,20,22
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13 anybody 31:23 anyway 18:3 49:15 199:15 apologize 148:20 APPEAL 1:2 appear 212:6	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19 183:24 184:6,10 184:14,17 185:4 185:10,14,14 202:4 204:1,12 207:4 208:20,23 208:25 209:14,15 arrive 129:11	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8 17:13,18 22:8 36:4 37:7 40:19 45:22 47:20 48:16 48:19 49:6 54:20 54:21 55:6,9,22 61:9 76:3 89:7 91:1 131:12	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15 assume 24:25 112:23 138:7,21 138:22 assuming 120:7,12 141:1 assumption 116:13 assure 65:18	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8 audio 15:9 19:1 22:24 23:11,24 32:17 33:15 37:25 38:1,2,16,17,17 45:5,6 46:15,17 51:9,12,13,20,22 51:25 52:12 57:18
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13 anybody 31:23 anyway 18:3 49:15 199:15 apologize 148:20 APPEAL 1:2 appear 212:6 APPEARANCES	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19 183:24 184:6,10 184:14,17 185:4 185:10,14,14 202:4 204:1,12 207:4 208:20,23 208:25 209:14,15 arrive 129:11 135:25 136:1,2	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8 17:13,18 22:8 36:4 37:7 40:19 45:22 47:20 48:16 48:19 49:6 54:20 54:21 55:6,9,22 61:9 76:3 89:7 91:1 131:12 132:25 144:18	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15 assume 24:25 112:23 138:7,21 138:22 assuming 120:7,12 141:1 assumption 116:13 assure 65:18 asymmetric 14:10	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8 audio 15:9 19:1 22:24 23:11,24 32:17 33:15 37:25 38:1,2,16,17,17 45:5,6 46:15,17 51:9,12,13,20,22 51:25 52:12 57:18 59:9 70:12 77:16
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13 anybody 31:23 anyway 18:3 49:15 199:15 apologize 148:20 APPEAL 1:2 appear 212:6 APPEARANCES 3:1	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19 183:24 184:6,10 184:14,17 185:4 185:10,14,14 202:4 204:1,12 207:4 208:20,23 208:25 209:14,15 arrive 129:11 135:25 136:1,2 art 7:23 10:3,22	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8 17:13,18 22:8 36:4 37:7 40:19 45:22 47:20 48:16 48:19 49:6 54:20 54:21 55:6,9,22 61:9 76:3 89:7 91:1 131:12 132:25 144:18 149:17 171:16	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15 assume 24:25 112:23 138:7,21 138:22 assuming 120:7,12 141:1 assumption 116:13 assure 65:18 asymmetric 14:10 21:9 44:6,8,9 47:8	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8 audio 15:9 19:1 22:24 23:11,24 32:17 33:15 37:25 38:1,2,16,17,17 45:5,6 46:15,17 51:9,12,13,20,22 51:25 52:12 57:18 59:9 70:12 77:16 77:24 78:2,5
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13 anybody 31:23 anyway 18:3 49:15 199:15 apologize 148:20 APPEAL 1:2 appear 212:6 APPEARANCES 3:1 appeared 5:7 apples 141:6 application 4:11	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19 183:24 184:6,10 184:14,17 185:4 185:10,14,14 202:4 204:1,12 207:4 208:20,23 208:25 209:14,15 arrive 129:11 135:25 136:1,2 art 7:23 10:3,22 11:12 12:12,19,24	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8 17:13,18 22:8 36:4 37:7 40:19 45:22 47:20 48:16 48:19 49:6 54:20 54:21 55:6,9,22 61:9 76:3 89:7 91:1 131:12 132:25 144:18 149:17 171:16 177:6 181:8 184:2	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15 assume 24:25 112:23 138:7,21 138:22 assuming 120:7,12 141:1 assumption 116:13 assure 65:18 asymmetric 14:10 21:9 44:6,8,9 47:8 47:11,23 48:1	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8 audio 15:9 19:1 22:24 23:11,24 32:17 33:15 37:25 38:1,2,16,17,17 45:5,6 46:15,17 51:9,12,13,20,22 51:25 52:12 57:18 59:9 70:12 77:16 77:24 78:2,5 83:23 88:23 89:10
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13 anybody 31:23 anyway 18:3 49:15 199:15 apologize 148:20 APPEAL 1:2 appear 212:6 APPEARANCES 3:1 appeared 5:7 apples 141:6	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19 183:24 184:6,10 184:14,17 185:4 185:10,14,14 202:4 204:1,12 207:4 208:20,23 208:25 209:14,15 arrive 129:11 135:25 136:1,2 art 7:23 10:3,22 11:12 12:12,19,24 13:3,8,17 15:23	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8 17:13,18 22:8 36:4 37:7 40:19 45:22 47:20 48:16 48:19 49:6 54:20 54:21 55:6,9,22 61:9 76:3 89:7 91:1 131:12 132:25 144:18 149:17 171:16 177:6 181:8 184:2 184:5 195:8	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15 assume 24:25 112:23 138:7,21 138:22 assuming 120:7,12 141:1 assumption 116:13 assure 65:18 asymmetric 14:10 21:9 44:6,8,9 47:8 47:11,23 48:1 49:22 50:17 52:4	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8 audio 15:9 19:1 22:24 23:11,24 32:17 33:15 37:25 38:1,2,16,17,17 45:5,6 46:15,17 51:9,12,13,20,22 51:25 52:12 57:18 59:9 70:12 77:16 77:24 78:2,5 83:23 88:23 89:10 89:10,12 95:12
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13 anybody 31:23 anyway 18:3 49:15 199:15 apologize 148:20 APPEAL 1:2 appear 212:6 APPEARANCES 3:1 appeared 5:7 apples 141:6 application 4:11	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19 183:24 184:6,10 184:14,17 185:4 185:10,14,14 202:4 204:1,12 207:4 208:20,23 208:25 209:14,15 arrive 129:11 135:25 136:1,2 art 7:23 10:3,22 11:12 12:12,19,24 13:3,8,17 15:23 18:7 19:6 20:2,13	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8 17:13,18 22:8 36:4 37:7 40:19 45:22 47:20 48:16 48:19 49:6 54:20 54:21 55:6,9,22 61:9 76:3 89:7 91:1 131:12 132:25 144:18 149:17 171:16 177:6 181:8 184:2 184:5 195:8 197:14 201:10	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15 assume 24:25 112:23 138:7,21 138:22 assuming 120:7,12 141:1 assumption 116:13 assure 65:18 asymmetric 14:10 21:9 44:6,8,9 47:8 47:11,23 48:1 49:22 50:17 52:4 53:24 54:5 55:16	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8 audio 15:9 19:1 22:24 23:11,24 32:17 33:15 37:25 38:1,2,16,17,17 45:5,6 46:15,17 51:9,12,13,20,22 51:25 52:12 57:18 59:9 70:12 77:16 77:24 78:2,5 83:23 88:23 89:10 89:10,12 95:12 125:7,14,17,20
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13 anybody 31:23 anyway 18:3 49:15 199:15 apologize 148:20 APPEAL 1:2 appear 212:6 APPEARANCES 3:1 appeared 5:7 apples 141:6 application 4:11 47:16 153:13	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19 183:24 184:6,10 184:14,17 185:4 185:10,14,14 202:4 204:1,12 207:4 208:20,23 208:25 209:14,15 arrive 129:11 135:25 136:1,2 art 7:23 10:3,22 11:12 12:12,19,24 13:3,8,17 15:23 18:7 19:6 20:2,13 21:7,13 23:8	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8 17:13,18 22:8 36:4 37:7 40:19 45:22 47:20 48:16 48:19 49:6 54:20 54:21 55:6,9,22 61:9 76:3 89:7 91:1 131:12 132:25 144:18 149:17 171:16 177:6 181:8 184:2 184:5 195:8 197:14 201:10 203:22 204:25	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15 assume 24:25 112:23 138:7,21 138:22 assuming 120:7,12 141:1 assumption 116:13 assure 65:18 asymmetric 14:10 21:9 44:6,8,9 47:8 47:11,23 48:1 49:22 50:17 52:4 53:24 54:5 55:16 56:5,24,25 57:1	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8 audio 15:9 19:1 22:24 23:11,24 32:17 33:15 37:25 38:1,2,16,17,17 45:5,6 46:15,17 51:9,12,13,20,22 51:25 52:12 57:18 59:9 70:12 77:16 77:24 78:2,5 83:23 88:23 89:10 89:10,12 95:12 125:7,14,17,20 127:4 130:4,11
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13 anybody 31:23 anyway 18:3 49:15 199:15 apologize 148:20 APPEAL 1:2 appear 212:6 APPEARANCES 3:1 appeared 5:7 apples 141:6 application 4:11 47:16 153:13 162:4 166:23	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19 183:24 184:6,10 184:14,17 185:4 185:10,14,14 202:4 204:1,12 207:4 208:20,23 208:25 209:14,15 arrive 129:11 135:25 136:1,2 art 7:23 10:3,22 11:12 12:12,19,24 13:3,8,17 15:23 18:7 19:6 20:2,13 21:7,13 23:8 24:21 25:15,23	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8 17:13,18 22:8 36:4 37:7 40:19 45:22 47:20 48:16 48:19 49:6 54:20 54:21 55:6,9,22 61:9 76:3 89:7 91:1 131:12 132:25 144:18 149:17 171:16 177:6 181:8 184:2 184:5 195:8 197:14 201:10 203:22 204:25 asking 8:23 9:14,15	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15 assume 24:25 112:23 138:7,21 138:22 assuming 120:7,12 141:1 assumption 116:13 assure 65:18 asymmetric 14:10 21:9 44:6,8,9 47:8 47:11,23 48:1 49:22 50:17 52:4 53:24 54:5 55:16 56:5,24,25 57:1 61:19,20,23 62:3	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8 audio 15:9 19:1 22:24 23:11,24 32:17 33:15 37:25 38:1,2,16,17,17 45:5,6 46:15,17 51:9,12,13,20,22 51:25 52:12 57:18 59:9 70:12 77:16 77:24 78:2,5 83:23 88:23 89:10 89:10,12 95:12 125:7,14,17,20 127:4 130:4,11 132:10 133:12,16
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13 anybody 31:23 anyway 18:3 49:15 199:15 apologize 148:20 APPEAL 1:2 appear 212:6 APPEARANCES 3:1 appeared 5:7 apples 141:6 application 4:11 47:16 153:13 162:4 166:23 applications 35:1	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19 183:24 184:6,10 184:14,17 185:4 185:10,14,14 202:4 204:1,12 207:4 208:20,23 208:25 209:14,15 arrive 129:11 135:25 136:1,2 art 7:23 10:3,22 11:12 12:12,19,24 13:3,8,17 15:23 18:7 19:6 20:2,13 21:7,13 23:8 24:21 25:15,23 26:1,22 27:13,16	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8 17:13,18 22:8 36:4 37:7 40:19 45:22 47:20 48:16 48:19 49:6 54:20 54:21 55:6,9,22 61:9 76:3 89:7 91:1 131:12 132:25 144:18 149:17 171:16 177:6 181:8 184:2 184:5 195:8 197:14 201:10 203:22 204:25 asking 8:23 9:14,15 12:11 13:21 28:1	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15 assume 24:25 112:23 138:7,21 138:22 assuming 120:7,12 141:1 assumption 116:13 assure 65:18 asymmetric 14:10 21:9 44:6,8,9 47:8 47:11,23 48:1 49:22 50:17 52:4 53:24 54:5 55:16 56:5,24,25 57:1 61:19,20,23 62:3 62:4,7,9,16,22	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8 audio 15:9 19:1 22:24 23:11,24 32:17 33:15 37:25 38:1,2,16,17,17 45:5,6 46:15,17 51:9,12,13,20,22 51:25 52:12 57:18 59:9 70:12 77:16 77:24 78:2,5 83:23 88:23 89:10 89:10,12 95:12 125:7,14,17,20 127:4 130:4,11 132:10 133:12,16 193:16 197:3
answering 19:17,17 41:22 181:13 182:12 203:14 answers 49:12,13 60:7 72:25 95:1 142:17 204:3,13 anybody 31:23 anyway 18:3 49:15 199:15 apologize 148:20 APPEAL 1:2 appear 212:6 APPEARANCES 3:1 appeared 5:7 apples 141:6 application 4:11 47:16 153:13 162:4 166:23 applications 35:1 96:18 152:1,17,20	arithmetic 178:9 178:11,19,21 179:1,11,23,24 180:2,4,8,18 182:23 183:9,19 183:24 184:6,10 184:14,17 185:4 185:10,14,14 202:4 204:1,12 207:4 208:20,23 208:25 209:14,15 arrive 129:11 135:25 136:1,2 art 7:23 10:3,22 11:12 12:12,19,24 13:3,8,17 15:23 18:7 19:6 20:2,13 21:7,13 23:8 24:21 25:15,23 26:1,22 27:13,16 28:16,19,21 29:14	196:16 199:14 200:2,21 206:5,21 207:19 asked 6:17 7:13,16 8:7 10:5 12:8 17:13,18 22:8 36:4 37:7 40:19 45:22 47:20 48:16 48:19 49:6 54:20 54:21 55:6,9,22 61:9 76:3 89:7 91:1 131:12 132:25 144:18 149:17 171:16 177:6 181:8 184:2 184:5 195:8 197:14 201:10 203:22 204:25 asking 8:23 9:14,15 12:11 13:21 28:1 29:3 30:8,19,25	associated 51:22 106:24 158:16 160:15 161:7 165:8 168:7 172:15 assume 24:25 112:23 138:7,21 138:22 assuming 120:7,12 141:1 assumption 116:13 assure 65:18 asymmetric 14:10 21:9 44:6,8,9 47:8 47:11,23 48:1 49:22 50:17 52:4 53:24 54:5 55:16 56:5,24,25 57:1 61:19,20,23 62:3 62:4,7,9,16,22 63:25 64:15 66:14	attempt 68:1 attempting 68:7 attention 193:23 attorney 214:17 attorneys 6:8 audio 15:9 19:1 22:24 23:11,24 32:17 33:15 37:25 38:1,2,16,17,17 45:5,6 46:15,17 51:9,12,13,20,22 51:25 52:12 57:18 59:9 70:12 77:16 77:24 78:2,5 83:23 88:23 89:10 89:10,12 95:12 125:7,14,17,20 127:4 130:4,11 132:10 133:12,16 193:16 197:3 198:6

60.24.20.004.20	l			
68:24 79:9 84:2,2	backwards 99:2	48:8 50:20 52:17	9:13,15 17:3 36:8	150:9,10 151:8,9
92:7 94:7 104:21	bad 85:9 157:15	55:4,20 60:21	36:18 47:14 55:7	151:10,14,15,15
105:22 108:3	176:6 177:14	66:4 67:22 68:15	73:5 201:9 204:18	151:21 152:18
113:8 117:15	balance 118:14	69:21 73:14 74:10	best 13:12 28:3	153:4,19 154:1,11
122:25 139:10	ball 88:3,6,6	80:13 82:1 87:4	34:1 42:21 49:14	158:18,20,21,22
141:3 145:9	bandwidth 191:15	89:1 91:8 93:24	66:20 87:18,24	158:23 188:9
165:16 169:13	base 64:17 167:11	96:8 98:25 102:21	143:7 170:2	204:5
170:21 172:1	based 11:4 13:17	105:7 107:12	173:13 181:20	bits 101:17 102:9
175:1 185:18	21:10 25:13 45:25	109:9,13,23	185:18 186:20	102:17 103:6
186:17,19 187:5,8	46:7,14 49:9	111:17 112:13	better 29:7 34:17	105:1 120:4 123:9
187:17 191:25	53:15 56:10,10	114:11 116:7	35:2,3 36:6,19	126:9,9,10,21
193:7 194:5,8,11	58:7,10,11 69:12	120:17 121:25	37:6,7 38:14	133:8,25 134:14
194:16 201:4,5,5	69:15 70:24,25	122:3,7,13 124:19	46:11 47:21 48:4	138:25 148:14,22
Avenue 2:4 3:6 5:4	78:19 81:5,6	127:8 128:2 131:6	53:21 54:22 55:8	149:21,22 150:18
avoid 147:25 148:6	82:19 86:13 95:16	131:19 132:18	55:23 60:15 65:11	152:2 153:21
149:25 163:9,17	99:4 130:19	135:4 136:9 137:9	65:14 67:17 68:5	162:7
avoided 104:2	140:25 143:7,16	140:2,22 141:17	68:10 72:9 80:2	blah 84:17,17,17
109:1 115:9	144:13 157:4	144:23 145:25	83:8 84:1 85:4	112:7
119:14 129:17	159:12 161:8	149:5 150:12,23	86:12,18 88:7,9	block 33:25 83:21
134:20 135:23	166:21 167:13	156:12 157:25	88:15,15 89:20,21	87:17,19 97:19,22
147:5	168:2,3,7 169:14	160:22 162:19	92:24 93:13,14	110:9,9 139:1
avoids 146:16	170:19,24 173:11	164:21 167:5	97:10 99:20,20	140:9,12 142:7,9
147:9 163:13	173:20 175:7	168:17 170:6	131:21 153:10,11	142:20 143:9,10
aware 26:2 166:20	179:9 194:7	171:4 172:21	160:7 169:25	143:11,12,15
169:16	195:12 196:1,4,7	174:16 178:22	179:24 180:3	144:9,14 145:11
A-T-R-A-C 15:8	196:9,19 199:10	180:25 183:21	184:3,4 185:6	145:13
a.m 2:3 5:3	199:22 200:25	184:7,18 186:7	186:17 189:19	blocks 61:21 62:6
	bases 147:21	187:11,20 188:14	193:1 201:12	70:8 78:18 79:19
B	basic 5:15	189:17 193:9	207:1	83:15 140:11
B 4:10 11:2,17,19	basically 47:20	194:13 197:7	beyond 35:11	143:12 144:4
11:21 17:6 108:21	51:8	198:22 200:13	56:18	197:18
208:3	basing 172:12	201:16 202:22	big 51:8 87:16	block-by-block
back 9:22 14:5	basis 27:8 51:14	203:6 209:17	104:8 133:24	69:16 79:12 80:20
19:13 20:10 21:25	69:16 79:12 80:20	210:4 211:1	176:14	85:2 97:15 143:14
24:19 27:22 29:21	85:2 97:15 143:14	becoming 104:3,15	bigger 110:14	198:10,12
36:2,22,24 42:13	198:10,13	109:1 115:9 119:6	bit 14:24 54:8	board 1:2 176:2
58:18 61:9 77:5	bathroom 35:9	119:14 129:18	61:25 78:1,4	bottleneck 127:7
82:5 89:5 91:12	BATTS 3:5 6:3	135:24 147:5	99:13 102:12	127:15,20,23
112:17 114:25	8:14,22 10:6	began 31:13	114:1,3,5,15,17	127:13,20,23
117:7 119:12	12:14 15:19 16:1	beginning 50:1,5	114.1,3,3,13,17	bottom 118:10
121:4 122:3	16:5,14 17:9,12	60:3 92:22 103:20	122:14,20 124:9	120:2
129:14 133:6	17:17,23 19:8	206:18	122:14,20 124:9	bought 33:20
135:22 144:20	20:5 21:16 22:12	begins 43:22 44:5	124:14,13,23	176:16
148:10 150:15,16	26:9 27:18 29:17	84:15 105:16	146:23 148:13,15	break 17:2 35:6,14
163:4 171:22				· ·
189:23 193:13	30:22 31:7 32:23	150:1 206:14	148:23 149:8,9,13	36:5 55:5,6 66:6
203:20 207:20	35:11,23 36:13	begun 75:5	149:15,16,18,19	73:12 98:15
203.20 207.20	37:15 38:25 46:21	believe 8:8 9:4,12	149:21,22 150:6,8	104:16 106:19
	l			

100.10 11 12	carefully 214:11	214:16	199:19	107.10 109.14
108:10,11,12 109:10 150:24	· ·			107:19 108:14
	Carly 1:24 5:5	cetera 69:14 75:7,8	choosing 25:13	111:21,25 112:3
198:23	214:24	148:4,4 206:13,13	26:19 63:8 71:21	113:2 115:14,15
briefly 76:12	case 1:7,8 6:11 7:25	chain 66:3 180:5	83:17,19 86:17	115:17 117:13
broad 171:18	10:12 11:10 40:23	chance 14:1 24:15	93:10 154:16	119:22 121:23
broader 31:12 34:5	52:12 79:24 94:22	73:6 76:12,25	155:21 157:4	122:21,22 123:6
broken 78:17 111:9	96:5 102:3,7	114:21 118:9	167:25 173:20	123:23 134:6
build 27:17 189:6	103:25 109:25	138:8 155:9 182:5	177:22 179:21	147:12,13 161:4
195:12	116:13 117:18	182:10 210:8	199:21	162:14,14 164:14
building 28:23,25	119:5 129:3 134:9	change 67:5 100:10	chose 97:23	164:15,17 167:23
29:11 53:14 188:4	134:21 153:1	146:21 213:3,5,7	chosen 133:19	168:11 174:7
188:13,19,21	164:16 169:4	213:9,11,13,15,17	199:11	186:24 188:2,6,23
189:5,13 192:10	173:13 198:16	213:19,21	circumstance	189:3,10 190:2,5
192:19 195:1,16	cases 12:21 21:6	changed 67:3 100:9	142:17	190:19 192:16
bunch 57:15 82:8	139:2 168:3	changing 16:10	citation 44:2	194:24 197:6
82:10 93:15	cause 214:5,19	channel 63:1,16	citations 16:19	201:15,21,25,25
buts 165:12	certain 12:1,23	64:6 65:3 81:13	45:9	201:25 202:1,6,9
B-I-T 124:14	47:2 83:22 86:12	90:15,21 108:19	cite 17:3 44:15	202:11 203:5,10
	103:6 119:18	116:24 123:9,10	159:6	203:11,11,18,21
C	121:10 124:3,4,5	123:11,14,20	cited 7:23	203:23 204:17,20
C 1:24 4:11 5:5	124:5 130:20	133:10 143:23,25	cites 53:4	204:21 205:2,6,7
11:2,2 16:13 17:6	134:13,13 152:1	147:17 150:19,20	claim 12:18 27:7	205:7,7 207:14
17:7,9 214:24	154:17 162:10	174:13 196:22	28:18,20 29:1,4,5	209:12,16
California 1:16 2:5	167:17 172:8	198:3	30:11 33:9 39:7,8	claimed 195:20
3:7 5:4,6 212:11	179:14 194:8	characteristics	40:7 41:3,20,20	claiming 53:11
214:1	certainly 6:4,25 7:2	97:17 123:20	41:23 42:21,23,24	claims 11:3 12:1,13
call 10:15 16:13	18:20 19:16 24:4	141:10 196:23	43:12,15,17,21,22	12:16,17,20,22
37:14 38:18,23	27:6 32:7,14 33:4	characterize	43:25 44:5,5	39:9 40:13,16,21
39:3 40:21 72:20	33:11,14 38:19	109:19 132:12	45:21 46:20,25	40:24 41:4 96:4
76:14 88:18 209:3	39:22 50:8 60:12	characterizing	50:3,5 53:4,11,11	128:19 188:1,6
called 32:17 39:18	66:17 76:23 83:2	18:12 31:13	53:18 56:19,23,23	204:10 208:15
51:23 175:21	89:10 106:10,14	check 158:11	57:7,7,10 60:2,3,5	209:8,11
calls 24:24 25:3	117:6 129:20	child 176:1	60:6,10,10 62:15	claim-by-claim
32:16 209:1	162:4 164:16	choice 80:4 145:12	62:17 68:17,20	27:8
camera 176:16	170:3,13 173:9	157:8 161:14	70:3 72:1,19,22	clarification 6:17
cameras 174:25	174:1 179:10,15	191:12 199:21	73:1,5,9,11 74:9	8:7 10:5 12:8
175:15,20 176:15	185:11 189:9	choose 33:16 69:11	74:16 75:1,6,9,15	22:8 40:19 49:6
177:6,13	190:7,12 192:4,10	70:22 96:21,21	75:20 76:7,9,14	76:3 91:1 131:12
capabilities 26:19	192:20,22 195:19	97:14,22 100:19	76:23 77:7 79:15	144:18 149:17
71:18,23 104:24	195:22,23,25	119:10 123:25	79:16 80:5,8,12	195:8
130:19 167:14	196:15,19,21,25	139:14 172:2,6	80:17 81:2,25	clarify 119:23
capability 92:17	197:1 199:7,13	183:12 185:18	82:13 83:12,18	204:22
capable 196:14	207:15,21 208:14	186:20 197:24	84:11,15,17,21	clause 120:12
caps 15:9	Certificate 4:11	198:13,15,17	86:8,16 90:8	clear 16:14 23:15
caption 214:19	certified 5:5 214:8	chooses 79:10 80:6	91:25 93:1,1 94:9	48:4 50:9 52:20
care 106:16 152:21	214:25	80:21 124:4	94:21,22 96:5	54:10 58:17 112:2
152:23	certify 212:3 214:3	130:18 135:14,18	99:25 100:1	132:25 133:1
132.23	ceruiy 212.3 214.3	130.10 133:14,18	77.43 100.1	132.23 133:1
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	I		l I	
192:20	105:12 118:25	204:23 205:3	39:12 42:15 87:17	23:1,12,25 25:5
clearly 18:21 20:23	124:9 125:8,9,15	coming 5:14 6:15	89:12 110:8,13	25:22 26:25 27:3
49:11,12,14 54:17	126:6 146:22	6:20 7:1 67:5	160:4 165:20	30:6 33:21 34:16
59:25 60:8 76:20	178:9,12 179:11	81:17 90:14,16	169:23 175:12	35:21 38:2,3,16
80:6 82:18 83:18	179:23,25 180:2,4	98:17 104:17	176:4,6,8,13	38:23 39:3,24,24
85:20 123:23	180:8 183:9	120:15 126:9,10	177:15,19,25	39:25 40:9,9,22
144:10 159:22	184:14 185:4	126:10 131:17	196:12	42:3 44:6,8,9,11
174:7,9 179:18	204:1,12 207:5	133:18,22,23	competing 160:11	45:5,6,14,15,18
205:8	208:20,23,25	145:20 150:19	completely 24:2	46:4,11,19,24
client 120:7,13	209:14,15	153:19 154:13	31:9 32:4,5 48:3	47:8,9,10,11,18
146:20	collection 42:18	170:18	192:7	47:23,24,25 48:1
close 88:10 108:23	collectively 12:20	commencing 5:2	complex 38:13	48:15 49:4,23,23
110:12	Column 118:11	common 6:22 26:3	39:10,11 40:18	50:12,16,17,19,23
code 58:22 64:1	com 202:18,20	110:17 113:17	complexities 56:14	51:21 52:3,4,14
68:14 69:20 77:18	combination 12:1,7	195:25 196:20	complicated 37:21	52:16,24 53:7,20
114:3 124:15	23:14 24:4 28:4	commonly 165:15	39:18	53:25 54:1,2,4,5
125:10	31:22 32:14 42:6	communicate	component 40:1	54:15,19 55:3,15
coded 78:2,5	187:6 188:1,25	155:17	180:4 206:21	55:16,19 56:2,4,5
100:24 101:10,22	190:5 197:4,11	communicated	components 38:20	56:24,25 57:1,3
104:1,2 108:25	201:15 205:12	173:14	159:11	57:18,24 58:1,6
114:2,6,16,18	208:22 209:12,22	communication	composed 40:17	59:14,16,23 60:13
115:9 119:2,14	210:23	8:19 71:5 85:24	compress 35:1	60:19 61:2,19,20
124:10,15,23	combinations 12:4	90:21 92:16 98:13	45:18 51:18,20	61:22,23 62:3,5,7
125:17,20 127:3,4	combine 10:22	98:20 103:21	61:21 62:5 68:24	62:8,16,23 63:5
127:13 129:17	11:12 12:12 13:3	104:18 123:9,10	70:8 79:19 87:2,7	63:13 64:1,4,6,15
130:10,10 135:23	13:14 14:7,20	128:15,24 129:21	88:24 95:4 99:16	64:25 65:2,4,8,23
146:9 147:4 149:3	25:16 29:23 30:1	133:10 134:1,1,8	105:22 108:3	66:14 67:1,20,21
149:13	30:2 32:12 189:25	136:1 143:23,25	111:23 113:8	68:14,21,22,25
coder 96:24,25	191:3,7 192:4,7	145:21 150:19,20	115:6 117:14	69:14,19,20,24,25
99:17 104:7	192:15 205:22	161:17 163:25	138:11,13 142:10	70:4,5,7,9,13,14
177:11,13,24	206:3,19 207:19	193:20 199:20	156:1 162:7 166:5	70:15,23 71:12
178:1 184:20	207:23 208:11	communications	173:16 174:11	72:4,5,12,14,17
coders 25:11 83:10	209:13 210:1,12	8:15,16,24 121:14	175:18 176:22	72:18 74:4,5,8,16
84:23 105:14	210:13	121:15 161:18	177:4 199:5 200:8	74:25 75:7,19
170:14 172:11	combined 10:3	comp 202:16,18	compressed 120:5	76:7,19,19 78:24
177:18 199:4	207:11 209:24	company 166:25	120:8,9,13,21	79:8,17,18,20,21
200:23,24,25	combining 29:15	compare 28:18	121:15 175:17	80:2,3,12,23,24
coding 14:10 18:14	31:19 185:22	97:8 141:5	compresses 39:11	81:8,12,14,24
18:15,17 28:9	186:5 187:17	compared 46:12	90:20	82:15,25 83:8
39:20,21,23 40:8	206:5 210:23,24	175:9	compressing 26:3	84:1,10,16 85:4,9
40:10,10 42:13,14	210:24	comparing 148:25	44:2 81:5 85:6,24	85:20,21 86:7,12
44:14,22,23 45:12	come 6:25 20:7	150:18	91:5,15,17 94:2	86:18 87:14 88:15
45:14,17 46:3	123:12 153:17	compatibility	98:12,14 156:11	88:20,22 89:19,20
57:11,22,24 58:20	154:15	123:21 147:19	169:3	90:6,7,9,10,19,25
59:5,7,9,13,15	comes 113:2	compatible 192:23	compression 14:21	91:6 92:19,19
61:3 77:17,20,21	115:21 148:12	compensation 24:1	14:22 15:11,16	93:5,5,7,10,10
77:25 78:9,11	197:18 198:7	28:10 33:24 38:12	19:3,20 20:19	95:17,18,19 96:19
	•	-	· '	

97:13,21 98:2,2,5	141:24,25 142:11	Computer 42:17	190:12 199:10	correlates 124:18
98:6,23,24 99:10	142:20,21 143:2,3	concentrating	considered 6:7	125:1
99:11,20,21,23,23	143:9,16,18,23	147:10	184:21	correspond 67:7,9
100:12,17 102:19	144:12,17,22	concern 129:8	considers 103:13	70:18,20
102:25 103:2,5,7	145:10,19,19,23	concerned 71:16	consists 40:4	corresponding
103:10,14 104:8	145:23 146:4,4,6	81:15 103:16	constitute 74:8,15	44:14 57:11 87:20
105:1,5,15,19,20	147:10,14,14	104:4,14 108:24	74:18,20 203:17	110:11 196:6
105:23,24 106:2,3	149:15,18,20	115:5,8,24,25	constraints 124:1	corresponds 68:13
106:4,11,11,12,13	152:7 154:20	116:12,12,22	130:24 145:17	cosine 42:14
106:17,21,22,24	156:1,21 157:13	119:13 128:21	165:10	counsel 8:16 21:22
106:25 107:2,3,6	157:15,22 158:17	134:5,7 147:6	constructed 77:16	214:15,16
107:10,16,18,22	159:3,4,4,5,8,12	166:11	125:7	counterpart 17:17
107:24,25 108:1,4	161:7 162:1	concise 16:8 36:11	construed 125:6	17:19
108:11,17,20	163:23 164:20,24	concisely 37:3	consume 160:13	couple 43:20
109:4,18,18,22,22	165:5 166:2,4,10	conclude 54:13	166:6	course 13:5 18:17
110:3,18,19,22,23	166:12,22 167:4,9	concluded 211:7	consumer 177:6	21:4,12 25:9,15
111:5,5,10,13,14	167:17 169:5,19	conclusion 119:12	contained 212:8	26:1 28:6 38:4
111:15,16,18	170:5,10,22 171:3	condition 147:16	containing 61:21	65:8 71:15 77:7
112:1,3,10,11,12	171:9,14 174:11	174:12	62:6 70:8 79:19	81:1 82:7 83:1
112:21 113:3,4,6	175:10,20 177:24	conferred 21:22	contents 10:24 11:3	85:14 95:18 103:2
113:9,11 114:4,6	178:1 185:6,16	configuration 15:3	12:3	103:18 108:13
114:8,9,10,16,19	190:8,8,12 197:6	15:13 19:4,22	context 9:7,24 29:8	115:5,12,14 118:7
115:3,6,10,11,13	197:12 198:20	20:21 23:3 80:9	30:9 56:19 69:4	118:19 121:11
115:13,16,17,23	200:9 201:14,21	86:10	84:5 102:15	123:17 130:19
116:3,5,11,11	202:3,11,12 203:4	configurations	104:13 105:25	134:5 135:14
117:5,6,9,10,15	203:11,17,23,24	167:17 183:11	115:2,22 116:19	145:12 146:25
117:22,23,24	204:9,9,17 205:9	configured 44:10	119:6,7,20 121:17	147:4 157:12
118:1,2,3,5,13,15	205:9,22 206:3,19	57:2 61:21 62:5	129:8 142:5 152:4	159:15 161:3
118:15,19,21,21	209:7 210:18	70:7 79:19,25	170:3 209:1	182:12 185:2
119:3,5,19,21	compressions	86:11 198:19	continue 99:6	194:2 196:25
120:4,15,22 121:6	138:15	202:3	convey 56:1	197:16 200:23
122:1,2,11,18	compressor 65:16	confusion 122:15	conveyed 161:21	206:14 207:2
123:2,5,19 124:4	68:24 105:22	conjunction 163:25	conveys 163:16,18	210:21
124:5,6,16,17,18	108:3 113:7	consider 40:3	cool 176:17	court 10:17 14:3,23
124:24,25 125:1,4	117:14 163:22	42:12,18	coordinates 87:22	15:4 19:11 20:8
126:13,14,19,22	compretio 112:7	consideration 63:4	copies 21:16	21:22 24:17 27:20
128:23 130:21,23	comprise 47:7,22	85:16 98:2,7	copy 16:3,14 17:10	29:19 35:25 36:22
131:2,4 133:24	49:22 53:24	123:16,18,19	17:12 40:25	54:7 58:24 59:2
134:23 135:2,7,11	computation 78:9	considerations	core 141:4	61:24 77:3 78:7
135:13,19,21	78:10 125:22,24	21:10 25:14 26:20	correct 9:10,13,17	82:3 89:3 91:10
136:7,8,13,13,18	126:2,3,4,5	49:4 52:13 64:21	17:23 55:12 62:19	112:15 114:23
136:19 137:7,8,14	computational	65:22 69:12 81:6	67:19 116:6 163:5	121:2 124:11
137:15,18,20	34:18 89:22	83:16 85:16	212:9	133:4 150:14
138:3,4,6,7	computationally	128:20 145:6	corrected 212:8	151:11 158:19
139:22,25 140:1,4	60:24	147:19 155:20	corrections 212:6	171:20 180:14
140:6,11,19,20,24	compute 81:15	161:9 168:4 169:1	correctly 122:8	193:11
141:11,12,15,16	85:8,9 153:18	173:20,23 190:11	130:7 214:7	create 10:3 13:4,17
	-	-	-	

		l	1	l
141:14 170:14	70:13,14,15,24	145:18,20 146:9,9	147:21	104:1 116:17
189:16 191:3	71:14 72:2,4,5,12	146:20,23 147:4	declaration 4:9,10	123:20 125:22
196:24	72:13,13,17,18	147:21,22,23	6:11,19 8:6 9:10	126:6 129:3
creating 29:14	74:4,5,8,15,25	149:3,3,9,13	9:20 10:1,12,20	156:22 196:14
criteria 70:22,22	75:7,19 78:2,5,20	150:10,11 151:22	10:25 11:9,11,15	198:5
70:23	79:17,18,19,20,20	154:1,6,24 155:7	12:2,5 14:1 18:6,6	decompress 120:14
criticizing 139:18	79:21 80:12 81:5	155:13,23,24,24	18:10 19:5 22:15	decompression
cross-reference	81:17,18,24 83:14	156:2,11,19,24	28:14 52:23 61:16	120:6,9 121:23
16:22	83:15 84:10,16,24	157:4,11,16,22,23	74:7,13,24 105:16	122:1
CSR 1:24 214:24	85:3 86:12,13,13	159:8,12 160:20	119:24 151:3,6	decompressor
cuff 142:23	87:2,7 88:23	161:9,16 162:18	158:14 177:3	120:20
curious 41:2,7	90:13,25 91:5,17	162:24 168:3,7	178:2,6,8 185:21	decreased 136:6
66:11,13 186:11	94:2 95:4 96:7,12	169:1,3 170:18	186:4,8 187:25	deduce 100:14
current 26:22,23	96:25 97:18,20,20	172:20 173:1,11	188:5,11,17	defer 201:11
26:23,24 33:25	97:22 99:11 100:9	173:14,25 177:11	201:20 203:4	defined 46:2
110:9 181:11	100:11,12,21,24	177:21 186:21	205:13,14,15	136:18
183:7,18 201:1	100:24 101:9,10	191:11 192:1	207:22 210:14	definitely 85:17
currently 70:17	101:18,22,22	193:20 194:9	212:1	definition 165:4
135:10 146:7	102:1 104:1,2,17	196:4,22 197:5,12	declarations 5:22	delay 167:2
197:21 198:14	105:18,22 107:25	197:18,19,20	5:24 6:14 7:16,23	depend 84:23
cut 64:9 142:24	108:3,25 110:20	198:1,1 199:5,10	9:3,6,12,16 12:9	89:15 121:7,24
	112:10,12 113:4,7	201:14,21 202:3	186:11 207:10	122:11,19
D	114:2,3,6,16,18	203:4,17 204:16	decode 130:15	depending 34:6,9
D 4:12 17:7,12,20	115:3,9 117:8,14	206:23 207:2	134:12,14,16	96:22 97:1 117:17
17:22	118:24,24 119:2,6	data's 161:1	138:18,21 165:11	144:5 160:6
data 14:11 21:10	119:14,16 120:4,8	Date 2:2	166:16 196:3	165:17 180:9
25:13 26:20 35:21	120:10,13,15,21	Dated 212:10	decoded 138:15	depends 86:19 99:3
38:23 39:3,24,24	121:15 123:7,8,12	213:25	175:14 196:13	183:11
39:25 40:22 42:3	123:21 124:6,10	Dawson 205:12,23	decoder 63:2 66:2	deposition 1:14 2:1
44:2,6,8,9,10	124:15,16,23,24	206:5,24 207:11	71:5,18 78:25	5:21 6:2,7,16,20
45:18 47:8,9,11	125:17,20 127:3,3	208:11,22 209:13	86:24 90:16 98:20	7:2,10,12 8:12,21
47:23,24 48:1,14	127:4,13,13	210:24	98:21 103:22	35:13 41:14
49:23,23 50:17	128:10,24 129:11	day 5:3 181:14	104:18 109:7	112:23 183:4
52:4,23 53:7,19	129:17,20 130:4,9	212:10 214:21	120:6 121:8,16,20	191:6 211:7 212:5
53:25,25 54:2,5	130:10,10,16,20	days 6:1	121:24 122:12,12	212:7 213:1 214:4
54:15,19 55:2,16	130:20,22 132:2,8	DCT 40:9 42:14	122:19 123:3,20	214:6,18
55:18 56:5,24,25	132:8,9,17,23	61:2	124:7 127:6,14,20	depositions 6:21
57:1,2 61:19,20	133:19,22 134:7	deal 194:9	129:4,6 130:5,13	7:3,6,8
61:21,22,22,23	134:10,12,14	debate 208:24	130:15 134:11	depth 14:14
62:3,4,5,6,6,7,9	135:2,23 137:7,15	decade 21:6 23:7	136:3,5 138:16,17	derive 98:23 99:10
62:16,22 63:15,25			138:21,24 165:11	describe 12:5 28:14
64:5,15 65:8,11	137:17 138:3,11 138:13,16 139:1	51:2,4 decades 42:13,15	166:17 175:14	37:18,22 38:15
65:16 66:14 67:3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<i>'</i>
67:4,20,21 68:13	140:7,8,21,25,25	decide 69:16 85:3	193:21,21,22	39:7 40:17 50:7,7
68:21,24 69:12,19	141:15,25 142:1,7	decision 7:24 8:4	196:4,6,13 198:5	58:8 60:11 64:17
69:20,24,25 70:4	142:9,20 143:3,7	64:18 86:10 97:9	198:5	75:12 84:22 85:18
70:5,7,8,8,10,11	143:15,22 144:5	decisions 8:10	decoding 34:10	91:24 95:20 147:1
/0.5,7,0,0,10,11	144:14 145:4,13	69:15 81:5 143:16	71:18 78:11 81:19	153:2 155:11

156:10 160:19,25	206:15	53:4,10,24 54:5	197:23,24 198:20	73:3,4,9,10
163:7 164:19	description 4:8	55:16 56:5,13,14	199:10 200:5,7	198:24 204:8
188:22 191:1	79:22 159:11	56:15,15,24 57:1	202:2,23 205:10	205:18
207:10,18 208:15	164:7 172:16	61:20 62:4,8 65:7	206:25 210:17,17	disinterested 214:9
210:11	193:24	65:10,16 66:17	Differential 58:23	disk 69:6
described 20:4,14	descriptions	67:4,5 70:5,15	77:18	disrespect 87:9
22:11,17 24:6,9	210:19	75:11 77:16 79:9	differentiate 79:9	disrespectful 165:1
26:17 27:14 30:3	designed 94:15	79:18 80:22 82:14	differently 68:5	distinct 208:9
30:5,17 31:4	146:18 147:25	83:7,13 84:2,4	111:11,19	distinction 30:14
32:21 33:2 35:22	148:6 160:11	86:3,6 88:13,17	difficulties 64:24	190:21 191:1
38:21,21 39:13	165:15 194:7	88:19,19 90:5	digital 177:13	192:17 195:17
49:20 52:25 53:19	designing 195:24	91:13,20 92:11	directed 43:25	208:10
55:2 58:9 66:10	196:16	93:16 95:15 96:17	directly 71:11	divided 197:18
71:9 80:19 82:12	desirable 118:16	97:16,17,17,23,25	100:3 106:10	dividing 183:14
91:15 95:21 98:9	147:23	100:10,20,21	124:16,24 148:12	diving 176:1
104:22 106:1	desire 214:12	102:8 103:13,14	149:16,19	document 13:25
110:25 111:2	detail 70:12 163:15	103:15,16 104:10	disclose 62:22	23:23 24:14 41:18
118:6 127:11	204:7	105:10,12 107:21	107:5,8,9,16	43:18 76:11 101:5
131:25 136:5	detailed 152:6	108:16 111:19	164:19,24 176:23	114:21 120:24
144:25 146:9,12	details 78:16 93:22	115:4 117:3,17	179:1 188:11,17	125:3 127:17
149:8 150:2	94:3 95:5 139:11	123:2 124:2,9,9	190:7	148:20 155:9
157:10 178:12	detect 146:18	124:13,13 125:8	disclosed 53:16	158:6 189:18
189:2 192:8,10	detected 146:23	130:19,25,25,25	189:8 190:6,18	191:5 201:23
194:21 198:11	150:7,8	132:24 137:8,15	193:6 195:19,23	206:1 210:7,10
206:20,22 207:3	determination	137:19 138:4,8,9	196:18	documents 7:5,11
207:23 208:21	197:19	138:11,12,13,17	discloses 177:3	7:19 8:12 12:10
describes 28:7	determine 66:19	139:13,22,25	178:2,9,20 180:18	28:17
51:15 53:9 62:24	104:23 123:6	140:11,11,18,19	180:21 202:10	dog 17:22
63:7 70:6,11	determined 123:7	140:24,24 141:2,3	disclosing 205:8	doing 64:7 66:24
71:17 80:19 84:3	determining	141:16,25 142:14	disclosure 171:24	90:12 95:8 96:14
86:16 91:16 92:15	191:15	142:21 143:3,11	172:8 174:7 189:2	96:15 110:7,8,21
136:3 155:15,15	developed 23:18	143:13 144:4,4,11	189:9	110:23 116:13
155:16,21,21	device 120:7,13	145:14,14,14,15	disclosures 194:23	119:19 121:20
157:8 162:17,23	devices 121:12	145:15 153:8,9,12	discrete 42:14	128:18 160:3
163:9 167:12,24	diagram 20:23	155:20,22 156:25	discuss 45:19 76:16	165:18 173:10
191:7,7 210:14	difference 40:15	157:1,5,18 158:16	76:16 119:4	176:21 190:3
describing 12:6	189:6 208:1,5	159:3,23,24,24,25	154:22 155:5	194:25 196:11
13:7,13 71:1 75:8	different 6:22	160:1 164:5	158:3 182:23	198:9
90:13 93:18 105:1	18:18 20:23,25	165:14,16 167:16	discussed 8:11,20	Dr 1:14 2:1 5:9,14
119:16 121:17	26:18,18 27:24	167:25 168:1,6	19:23 70:10 85:15	35:19 180:11,17
127:14,19,22,25	28:10 31:15 33:19	169:18,20 170:21	107:20 129:13	202:22 206:8
128:5,9 132:15,21	34:13,15,23,25	171:10,15 173:3,3	135:21 155:3	212:3,16 213:25
133:7 146:16	38:7 39:14 44:6,9	173:5,5 174:10	159:17 188:22	draw 208:10
149:2,9,25 164:2	44:18,20 46:8	175:8,8 177:23	190:13,22,22	drive 3:11 69:6
167:21 168:9	47:8,11,23 48:1	179:22 183:13,13	192:12 202:4	152:22
172:1,2,6 173:19	49:2,3,22 50:17	184:15,16 185:12	discusses 124:13	DTC 28:9 39:18
174:9 203:24	52:3,10,12,12	185:15 197:22,22	discussion 64:21	due 29:6 30:11
		•		

JJ 5.10 214 4 12	01.25.02.1.112.2	((.)) (7.) ((.7.)	105.25 106.0	127.12 17 120 2 0
duly 5:10 214:4,12	91:25 92:1 113:3	66:23 67:2,6,6,7,9	195:25 196:8	137:13,17 138:2,8
dynamic 118:12	204:12	67:10,11,20 68:22	197:4,6,12,24	138:9,15,23
dynamically 81:4	elements 42:24,25	69:19,24 70:1,4	198:2,2,14,15,16	139:22,24 140:10
	53:12 206:7	70:14,16 71:4	198:18 201:13,14	140:18 141:8,14
e 4:13 21:21 129:16	207:14	72:5,12,17 74:4,5	201:20,21 203:5	141:23 142:19
	embodied 147:15	74:7,8,14,16,24	203:16,17 204:16	143:2,8 144:1,3,3
earlier 47:1 48:17	embodies 51:14	75:1,7,19 76:6,7	204:17,24 205:4	144:4,10,17
49:1,25 50:25 52:9 56:8 57:16	embodiment 59:7	79:17,21 80:11,12	205:22 206:4,19	145:14,15 153:8
	77:23 125:13	81:7,23,24 84:11	encoders 15:2,7,10	157:5,6 159:12
61:5 77:6 78:14	employ 14:10 24:6	84:16 86:24 87:22	15:24,24 18:8,9	160:13 166:20
81:10 87:11 91:16	26:22 44:18 56:11	88:9,10 90:14,14	18:25,25 19:2,19	167:4,9,11,12
103:8,14 129:12	70:16 152:9 191:8	90:20 95:3,5	20:3,13,17,18,24	168:15,21,22
147:12,18 151:23	191:9	96:20,22 97:2,3,4	22:23,24,25 24:7	169:13,18 170:20
159:14,25 167:10	employed 44:22	97:4,23,23 98:19	24:12 25:2,12,12	171:2,8,13,14,24
169:9,10,17 175:4	58:21	98:20,24 99:11,12	26:7,12,18 27:13	171:25 172:3,14
178:13 191:5	employing 52:8	99:15 102:18,19	30:25 31:2 32:21	172:25,25 175:6,7
206:22 207:4 early 175:15	152:19	102:25 103:20,21	33:1,6,15,16,19	179:9,14,15,21
•	employs 42:11	104:17 105:20	34:12 35:21 44:7	183:13 184:15
easier 16:19 30:9	encode 64:24 77:16	107:6,8,10,17	44:10,15,17 45:12	185:3,13,18
easiest 29:2	106:17 125:7	108:1 109:5 110:4	45:14 46:7,14,14	186:16,19 187:18
easily 15:10 19:2	126:22 135:2,17	110:21 111:13,15	47:7,8,12,22,23	191:8,9,21,22,23
19:20 20:17,18	138:24 139:1	111:22 112:6,9,11	48:2 49:1,3,16,20	191:24,25 192:12
21:2 22:25	144:12 147:10	113:6 114:17	49:22,23 50:13,18	193:5,5,7,8 194:8
eat 35:15	172:19,25 174:15	117:10 120:5	52:5,10 53:24,25	194:11,16 195:13
effectively 79:11	174:20 176:24	123:3,5 124:3,7	54:6 55:12,17	196:1,9,19 197:2
80:24	195:25 202:10,13	126:1,4 127:22	56:6,10,25 57:2	197:3,10 198:11
either 9:2 15:1 18:3	209:6	128:22 130:20,25	57:12,21,24 58:7	198:19 199:11
25:17,20 31:19	encoded 33:15	131:4 132:3,17,23	58:8,10,11 59:8,8	200:4,7,22 202:3
38:20 68:9 74:9	48:24 99:12 102:2	133:8,18,23 134:8	59:13,15 61:21	203:3 210:17
75:20 83:8,25	132:8,9 133:20,21	135:1,7,10,16,19	62:5,9 63:8 65:7	encoder's 143:7
86:20 136:3	138:16 146:22	136:7,12 144:13	67:21 70:5,7,15	encodes 143:21
138:19,20,22	encoder 22:10,16	145:10,16,21,22	71:20 77:15,23,24	encoding 24:22
157:14 170:15	22:19 26:15 27:6	146:3 147:19,22	78:19 79:19 81:22	30:17,19 34:10
176:21 182:8	33:8 34:14 37:12	149:4,10,10	82:8,9,10,13,19	44:18,20 59:9
186:9 188:1 192:6	39:25 40:2,5,14	150:10,11,19	83:6,7,13 84:7,8	63:1 66:22 71:11
201:19 203:3 210:18 214:17	40:22 41:24 42:3	151:22 152:18,19	84:10 86:3,11	71:13 76:19 77:24
	42:8,20 43:1,2,13	153:21 154:13,13	88:18 91:20 92:7	78:19,23,23 79:13
elaborate 20:17 element 42:21	44:7,8,22 45:23	155:6,12 156:23	92:10,11,14 94:7	81:17 90:1,15,24
	45:25 46:2,5,9	162:2,3,5 165:19	94:16 95:7 97:12	92:14,17 96:7,12
45:22 56:20,23	47:3 48:25 49:11	167:2 168:16	97:25 100:6,24	97:16 100:19
57:10 60:2,5,6,10	51:16 52:6 56:25	169:18 170:13,19	101:10,19,21,23	101:21 103:18
68:18,20 72:20,22	58:5,5,13,21,21	171:3,9 172:5,9,9	104:20 108:5	104:6,21 116:18
73:1,5,9,11 75:9	60:5 61:19,23	172:19,19 176:10	111:7 122:23,25	123:19 125:14,24
75:15 76:10,14	62:4,8,16,23 63:8	178:3 183:12	123:1 124:13	126:3,11 128:23
77:7 79:16,16	63:13,14 64:15,18	185:23 186:1,6,13	125:5,13,14	130:15 131:1
80:5,8,19 84:17	65:6,10,14,15	186:15 187:5,7,9	130:18 135:14	134:21,22 143:17
84:18,21 86:8	66:11,13,14,19,20	187:18 193:20	136:15,21 137:6	146:21 154:23

155 (150 2 15		110 (110 1 10	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1.5.5.1.00
155:6 158:3,15	especially 86:22	110:6 113:1,10	exhibit 4:8 10:15	115:15 118:8
160:20 161:1	179:4 194:9 200:2	116:16 118:25	10:19 11:19 13:24	129:9 133:22
162:17,23 163:20	ESQ 3:5,6,11 5:12	122:22 124:1	16:13 17:4,9,12	134:11 161:15
163:21 165:18	essentially 176:21	125:9 135:22	17:15,20 21:17,19	166:5 168:5
178:20,21 179:2	et 69:14 75:7,8	141:4 144:14	21:21 41:17	169:16 175:20
182:24 183:19,24	148:4,4 206:13,13	146:13 147:17	206:10	179:10 185:12
184:25 210:18	evaluation 80:21	152:7 153:24	Exhibits 4:7 17:7	186:17 191:17
encompass 36:7	evolved 159:18	154:7,17 157:7	expect 102:8,9	192:5,24 199:7
46:13 58:9	exact 36:4,4,9,18	158:6,7,9,12,17	expected 145:11	201:2 202:8 207:3
encompasses 45:15	55:6,22 201:9	159:14 160:2,4	194:9	208:15
46:4,5 57:25 58:1	exactly 6:6 7:14	161:8,17,18	expensive 33:21,23	factor 86:21
59:16	13:11 19:15 22:18	162:14 164:10,13	34:19 38:10 99:19	factors 14:8 63:5
encompassing 7:14	27:4 43:9 72:23	165:19 166:23	expert 7:16	70:25 76:25 79:8
19:16 22:18 26:14	77:11 137:1,17	167:23 168:3	Experts 59:4 77:19	87:1 88:21 97:13
33:3,8 39:5 58:5	143:6 167:19	169:23 172:15	184:24,25	200:9 209:7
188:20	170:2 174:22	174:8,23 176:10	explain 121:6	factual 178:5
ended 19:19 37:6	184:5 201:9	176:22 177:7,7	147:7 209:23	fairly 5:23 110:10
ends 129:16 130:8	EXAMINATION	178:12 179:19	explained 56:8	Fallon 21:6 23:9
end-to-end 62:24	4:1,2 5:12	185:16 186:20,21	150:2 199:3	25:24 28:20 51:2
65:18,20,25 66:3	examine 85:2	188:2,22,23 189:3	explaining 146:14	74:19 80:15 81:3
76:17 119:16	examined 5:11	189:10 190:18	150:3 162:12	84:14 85:15 103:2
128:21 193:19	examines 143:15	192:2,11,13,16	explains 158:15	105:25 106:3,15
Enhanced 184:20	examining 145:8	193:16 194:24	explanation 37:4	111:2 112:22,22
ensure 63:6 66:19	174:12	196:20 197:3,13	38:6	112:24,25 115:21
69:4 71:8,19 72:1	example 7:10 10:25	197:20,21,22	explicitly 32:16	116:4,9,10 117:4
92:21	14:17 15:6 18:19	198:9,13 199:25	126:17	118:4,5,7,11,20
ensuring 69:6 79:6	18:24 21:7,8	201:4,23 206:22	express 13:16	121:11 128:19
116:19,20	22:21,23 23:16	206:24 209:5	54:18	162:15 164:17,18
entire 40:3 87:24	24:10 25:20 26:25	210:14	expressed 54:14	167:23 168:11
147:12	28:7 33:12,13,18	examples 18:15,18	75:22 76:1,5	174:8,25 179:5
entirely 41:15	33:22 38:14 39:23	45:17 81:24	extent 8:14 9:18	180:1 188:23
148:1,6 200:2	40:7,9 43:17	163:12,15 172:2,3	extreme 175:3	189:4 190:19
entitled 14:7 51:7,8	44:15 46:6,16	172:13 175:4	176:12	194:24 195:20
entity 71:7 198:6	47:6 50:6 52:7	184:25	eye 6:15,19	201:6 209:1,1,11
envision 33:5,14	53:18 58:13 59:24	Exc 59:6	E-mail 3:8,13	Fallon's 69:7
82:18 86:15	60:10,25 61:1,6	Excit 59:6,6,6	e-mailed 8:4	familiar 64:21
envisioning 94:16	65:15,17 66:21,23	Excitation 59:6		166:19 167:14
equally 27:2 59:19	67:2,6,8,9 70:18	77:21		185:11,12
70:12 155:2 194:4	70:20 74:6 76:17	execution 38:9	F 4:14 41:17	far 7:3 9:21 82:11
200:22 204:1	77:12,17 78:13	68:21 69:13 71:10	fact 21:5 29:6	205:17
equates 114:16	79:5,15 86:4,18	79:7 80:7,23	31:16 34:22 43:6	fast 63:14,16 65:2,5
118:17,18	87:15 89:11,13,13	82:15 105:19	57:8 59:18 65:25	66:23 67:2 85:22
equating 114:5	90:8 91:19 94:9	107:25 110:3	69:5 80:20 82:12	85:25 87:22 90:20
equivalent 126:19	94:13 101:16,21	113:5 117:9	82:14,20 84:3	98:12,15 104:11
139:13	103:10,11,13,24	118:14,19 157:15	94:21 97:15 98:3	108:7,9,17,21,22
Errata 212:7 213:1	103:25 104:11	exemplary 76:13	99:3,15 103:23	109:3 111:22
error 9:8	106:8,17 108:18	172:11	106:2 109:6	116:14,24,25

	l	l	l	
119:9,20 120:8,14	186:22 191:17,19	84:15 91:14 94:4	89:1 91:8 93:24	frankly 30:9
126:22 130:22	199:23,24,24	96:13 102:9 105:8	96:8 98:25 102:21	fresh 131:13
131:1 133:21	figures 16:18 21:15	112:18 118:4	105:7 107:12	front 5:23 7:17
134:12,22,23	25:9,21 33:12,13	119:24,25 122:23	109:23 111:17	10:13 16:4,11
135:15,20 143:22	191:18 199:9,23	131:24 140:23	112:13 114:11	17:19 40:25 41:5
145:17,18 152:16	199:24	141:18 142:2	116:7 120:17	41:11,19,25 208:4
153:16,18 156:23	filed 11:11	145:5 151:4	122:13 124:19	full 43:21 69:9
157:14 160:14	files 175:24 176:3	164:14 168:23	127:8 128:2 131:6	111:8
162:3,5,6 163:22	filing 23:9 84:20	170:11 178:11	132:18 135:4	fully 19:17 76:11
165:23 173:15	95:11	181:10 182:6,20	136:9 137:9 140:2	207:6
175:25 176:12	final 108:22,23	197:5,11 198:16	140:22 141:17	fun 211:5
faster 34:17 38:9	211:2	201:14,20 203:4	144:23 145:25	funny 48:17,20
67:6,11,21 69:13	finally 44:25	203:17,22 204:3	149:5 150:12	149:11
70:17 79:5 80:1,4	find 84:20 87:18	204:16	156:12 157:25	further 14:14
80:7,9 81:7 83:9	88:4,9 129:4	fit 90:21	160:22 162:19	45:19 66:1 71:16
83:25 86:11,18	194:12,17	five 109:12 209:18	164:21 167:5	78:14 92:15
87:2,7,13 88:14	fine 17:5 42:2	five-minute 35:6	168:17 170:6	118:20 209:15
88:24 90:24 91:5	63:23 67:25	fixed 84:25	171:4 172:21	214:16
92:4,5 93:22 94:2	109:13 156:6	flipped 30:4	174:16 178:22	furthermore 59:17
95:14 96:7,12,23	182:15	flipping 191:14	180:25 183:21	73:2 100:7 118:25
96:24,24,25 97:20	finer 79:12	flow 81:17 85:23	184:7,18 186:7	179:10 180:8
100:11 110:21	finish 66:8 69:10	86:24 92:21	187:11,20 188:14	194:1 208:19
113:14,21 122:24	71:3 126:20	110:20 152:17,18	189:17 193:9	future 7:9
130:21 136:19,22	180:12,13 181:4	152:18 198:4	194:13 197:7	
136:22 139:14	181:10,16,19,22	flowing 83:14	200:13 201:16	G
152:14 169:22	181:25 182:6,10	133:8 134:7 167:1	203:6,8 204:23	garbled 131:17
170:15 179:25	182:13,22 183:1,6	flows 193:20 198:2	205:3	185:25
181:15 198:15,17	183:8,16 202:15	198:2	format 48:7,12	geared 73:10
fastest 168:16,22	202:20 203:15,19	flow-through 71:19	175:13	gee 100:8
Fax 3:8	finished 63:23	flush 188:8	formed 165:2	general 6:24 18:13
feature 147:20,20	126:20 178:16	fly 66:18,22	forth 110:16 137:3	26:17 32:2 97:10
177:25 179:18	181:1,8	focus 13:20	168:8 173:25	110:1 206:8
features 136:4,5	first 5:10 10:1	following 77:10	found 101:6,7	generalities 41:23
179:11	13:15,23 27:24	follows 5:11 118:23	158:8 201:7	generally 7:21
feed 61:14 157:22	31:13,21,22 39:12	206:15	frame 25:6 27:5	13:21 24:25 45:12
felt 36:14 181:17	45:21 58:18 60:2	foregoing 212:4	33:25 34:2 37:23	57:21,21 59:13
fewer 134:15	60:5,6,8,10 61:19	214:4	87:18,19,21,24	90:23 91:3,4
166:17 186:18	62:3,16,22 63:25	form 12:14,24	88:5,7 110:9,10	109:21 113:17
figure 16:7 18:12	64:14 65:12 66:14	15:19 19:8 22:12	110:11,15 156:14	144:1 158:5 170:4
18:16,16,19 20:23	67:7,10,20 68:13	26:9 27:18 29:17	163:10 175:17,19	170:9 177:5
20:25 25:8,8,10	69:20,25 70:2,3	30:22 32:23 35:23	175:22 176:7,22	generic 15:2 20:22
25:10,10,20 31:16	70:19 71:22 72:5	36:13 37:15 38:25	framed 48:17,19	getting 67:1 85:23
33:12 44:16 61:6	72:11,16 74:4,4,8	46:21 48:8 50:20	96:13	89:19,20 130:16
66:18 71:23 157:2	74:15,19,25 75:7	52:17 55:4,20	framework 191:21	134:15 149:20
157:20 158:17	75:18 76:6 79:17	60:21 67:22 68:12	199:9	181:14 193:23
159:10,12 172:15	80:11 81:24 82:7	68:15 69:21 74:10	framing 75:10	give 24:13 38:13
172:16 185:2	83:12,17,20 84:10	80:13 82:1 87:4	141:7 146:12	41:16 43:18 44:2
	, , , , , , , , ,			
	ı	1	ı	ı

45.0 0 50.12	166.15 102.6	125.10 147.20	h I 16:16	110.2.2.110.2
45:8,9 58:13	166:15 183:6	125:18 147:20	handy 16:16	118:2,3 119:2
65:25 91:24 101:2	201:22 202:25	150:23,25 165:20	hanging 211:4	124:23 125:16
103:14 113:20,21	203:7,20 205:12	166:2,2 169:21	happen 135:1	126:8 203:20
204:15 209:2	205:19 210:2,6	172:13 175:12	136:6,12 143:9,10	206:15
210:2	goal 63:9,9 103:18	177:12 196:2	happened 129:4,5	higher 13:15 61:22
given 6:24 35:12	147:4 155:15	201:12	129:5 131:16	62:6 65:17 69:14
40:16 58:12 68:25	156:2,9,10,14,18	gotten 73:2	142:10 180:16	70:9,13 79:20
86:5 89:15 90:24	158:4	great 35:16 81:14	happens 128:13	109:21,22 110:18
90:25 91:5,5	goes 25:15 42:23	169:24 176:16	140:12 149:12	110:23 111:4
103:11 105:23	62:25 64:23 66:1	204:6	happy 16:9 33:10	114:8,9,10 126:12
108:4 112:10	71:4,4,5,15 73:3,5	ground 9:25 10:9	68:8 178:7 204:5	126:12 135:2,7,12
113:8 114:7	73:7 77:22 78:16	grounds 11:2	204:13 205:1	145:23 146:4,23
117:15 130:20	78:18 79:2 82:12	group 59:4 77:20	hard 152:22	150:7,8 159:5
137:7,14 138:3	92:15 100:8 109:8	144:3 184:24,25	harder 110:4	166:10,11,12
140:7,8,20 141:15	119:4 125:12	guarantee 66:3,24	hardware 34:9,19	170:5,9 171:2,8
141:24 142:20	129:12 202:7	85:14 86:21,25	38:10 42:6 95:24	171:14
143:3 149:15,18	going 8:14,22 40:12	99:24 100:10	99:19 139:10	highly 20:15
153:9 169:7,20	40:14,24 41:2,4	108:12 109:4	141:3 152:9	118:16 191:19,20
172:5 175:4	41:10 42:13 43:6	145:20	153:14,15 154:18	192:22,23 199:16
183:14 186:21	43:14 45:21 61:9	guaranteeing 69:10	154:23 155:6	208:16
200:6	63:18 66:4,18	76:17 85:11 86:23	165:16 166:13	hit 209:18
gives 18:14,18	67:25 77:10 79:10	guarantees 135:16	180:10 183:10	hold 14:23 54:7
64:19 71:2 89:14	80:4 81:11,14	guess 17:23 40:23	harm 136:23	58:24 61:24
104:22 161:18	83:15 84:23 85:10	40:25 41:10 43:4	Harmonic 59:5	155:12 158:8,9
163:15 197:21	85:10,11,23,25	62:11 75:25 94:4	77:21	180:14
giving 36:21 97:21	86:1,9,14 89:24	94:11 102:6	HARPER 3:5	holding 130:4
103:10 153:4	90:14,15,15 95:4	118:10 138:22	hbatts@sheppar	154:23 155:7
172:2,11	97:14 98:13 99:4	167:21 170:1	3:8	160:20 161:1,11
glitch 131:14	105:14 108:8	187:1,1 190:25	header 180:6	hour 5:2 66:5
go 5:15 6:1 13:6,12	109:10 117:7	192:8 195:14	hear 62:10 122:5	109:10 150:24
13:19 14:18 16:19	119:12 122:23	207:6	131:21 180:15	198:23
16:21 17:25 18:2	123:5,6 129:20	guidelines 184:21	202:24	hours 197:14
27:6,7 28:17,18	135:21 145:21	gzip 113:12	heard 37:2 120:7	209:18 211:1
28:20 38:8 40:13	147:22 149:3	Н	Hello 5:14	Huffman 28:9
40:15 41:16 42:21	150:18,24 152:21		help 46:1 47:16	39:21,23 40:8,10
42:23 44:13,17	152:23 153:12	half 34:2 110:16	65:2,4 81:11,14	42:13 61:2
45:11,19 47:5	165:20 168:25	198:23	95:1 116:23,25	huge 96:20 175:23
57:5,13,20 58:18	172:14 181:23	half-pixel 87:25	135:3,8,13	176:3
61:17 63:15 64:5	182:8,8,18 183:8	88:1	helps 76:10	human 126:7
64:22 65:3 69:1	198:13,15,22	Hampton 2:4 3:5	hereto 212:7	HVXC 59:5 77:21
73:14 78:14 81:7	201:19 206:12,13	hand 79:11 94:8	hey 159:11	hypothesizing
81:8 98:13 99:5	207:1,13 209:17	123:4 129:22	hiccupped 61:14	136:17
101:2 109:14	good 33:21 34:16	149:15 186:21	high 32:10 45:17	hypothetical 92:23
116:24 118:8	34:16 35:10 38:13	214:20	78:1 110:2 111:10	93:13 94:6,7,12
129:14,14 144:20	49:15 65:4 78:3	handing 16:15	111:14 112:6,9	95:25 97:9 136:14
146:13 156:7,20	88:4 99:17 109:9	handle 79:11 80:24	114:4,6,16,18	136:25 137:1,4,17
158:13,25 166:10	109:12 110:13	108:20	116:5,6 118:1,1,1	137:19,24 138:21

120.12 16 140.10	:	74.24 75.0 12 14	140.6 140.25	42.0 42.7 49.22
139:12,16 140:10	image 40:8 61:22	74:24 75:9,13,14	148:6 149:25	42:9 43:7 48:22
141:1,6,8 142:1	62:6 70:8,10,11	75:20 76:6,16,16	150:2 154:15,16	49:9 89:16 91:18
142:13 143:6	79:20	76:16,21 77:8,13	155:1 156:2,4,18	91:21 94:3 95:6
144:7,9 152:13	images 26:3,4	78:14,15,16,19,21	157:1,3,20 163:11	96:15,22 97:2
171:17 173:2,17	imagine 133:14	78:24,24,25 79:4	163:11,13 185:22	104:10 152:4,12
174:3,5,22 187:1	196:19	79:4,9,10,22,23	186:5 187:5,17	175:5 177:19
187:2,21 188:3,8	imaging 25:11	80:6,14,15,16,16	188:4,7,13,19,23	184:22 190:4,16
189:12 190:3,15	Imai 4:13 10:4,6,6	80:18,20 81:3,15	189:15 190:1,4	208:14
190:20 192:9,19	10:23 11:4,12	81:23 82:12,18,20	191:2,19 192:12	implementations
192:19 194:6	12:2,6,10 13:3,18	82:22 83:2,4,14	193:4,6,15,19,25	51:15 56:10,13
195:14,15 208:13	14:7,9,10,12,20	83:19 84:2,3,3,8	194:1,7,22,22,23	86:6 88:14 92:5,6
hypothetically 92:3	15:1,1,6,24 16:3	84:13,19,20,20,21	195:6,11,12,18,23	93:14,16 95:13
92:9 173:8 195:1	16:11 17:4,13,15	84:22,22,24 86:2	196:17,18,18,21	103:12 105:10
H-U-F-F-M-A-N	17:16 18:13,21,21	86:8,9,13,15,16	197:5,11,15,16,16	159:25 167:15
39:21	18:24 19:7 20:14	86:22 88:12 89:14	197:21 198:4,9,10	169:18
H.263 25:4 28:11	20:18,23 21:1,11	89:23 90:1,4,11	198:12,18 199:5,7	implemented 42:19
31:23 32:8 34:23	21:11,15,17 22:11	90:12 92:1,2,7,10	199:8,11,14,15,17	56:15 87:13 91:7
37:1 39:10 59:24	22:17,23 23:5,10	92:12,13,15,23	199:25 200:12,18	93:23 95:7 138:11
96:6,11,24 97:2,3	23:13,14 24:3,6,9	93:2,9,9,17 94:10	200:21,22 201:5,8	139:5 152:7,15
97:20,23 110:6	24:21 25:8,21	94:23 95:11 96:3	201:15 202:5,10	160:12,13 165:15
151:7,13 152:25	26:17,25 27:14	97:11 98:1,1,7,9	203:24 204:8	167:16
153:23 158:18	28:4 29:15 30:7	100:2,4,5,7,8,19	205:8,12,23 206:4	implementing 38:8
159:5,14 160:2	31:4,6,14,17,20	100:23 101:6	206:22 207:11,23	51:16 97:3,5
162:2 168:15,21	31:24 32:2,17,22	102:16 103:4,10	208:11 209:5,5,6	109:3 187:23
170:5,10 171:3,9	33:2,4,13 35:22	103:16,23 104:4	209:9,11,11,22,24	implements 47:3
171:14 172:9,19	38:21 44:1,13,19	104:12,14,20,21	210:15,20,23,24	implied 115:19
172:25 178:9,11	44:21 45:11,13,16	106:1,18 107:6,10	210:25	imply 111:11
178:19,21 179:2,3	49:16,20 50:7,7	108:5,7,8,8,9,10	Imai's 15:11 16:15	185:15
179:9,11,19 180:5	52:6,25 53:3,6,6,9	108:15,18,22,24	18:8 19:3,21 20:4	important 65:20
180:6,18,22,23	53:12,13,17,18,19	109:2,6 110:25	20:20 23:1 24:23	69:2,3 70:22,23
183:20,25 184:12	54:14,18 55:2	111:1 113:24	26:8,13 30:18	82:16 92:12
184:20 198:12	57:8,10,20,21,23	114:14 115:5,5,18	44:3,17 47:7,12	117:12 206:17
200:4,11,17 201:8	58:13,20 59:12,14	117:19,25 123:1	47:22 48:2 49:21	improve 70:25
207:4	59:18 60:11,12,13	123:17,18,23,23	50:1,13,18 52:5	include 15:11
T	60:19,23 61:4,18	124:8,13 126:25	53:23 54:6 55:12	16:22 19:2,20
	62:19,20,22,24	128:17,21 129:15	55:17 56:6,21	20:19 22:7,10,16
idea 33:5 35:10	63:4,7,25 64:14	129:25,25 130:17	129:2 142:4,6,8	23:1 24:8 26:7,12
65:6,13 95:16	64:17,22 65:6,10	133:19 134:4,5,6	142:12	27:13,16 32:8
100:4 157:17	65:12,21,25 66:11	134:20 135:14,15	Imami 163:11,11	35:21 42:25 44:23
162:2 166:18	66:12,15,16,18	135:18,18,25	implement 30:18	58:21 137:6,13
169:12 206:25	67:3 68:13,17	136:3,5 139:25	30:21,21 31:4,5	138:2 139:21,24
ideas 30:2 187:23	69:4,4,5,11,15,19	140:25 142:13	42:5 92:3 153:15	140:18 141:23
identical 139:1	69:25 70:6,11,16	143:1,6,14,15,19	175:24 189:15	142:3,3,4,6,19
identification	70:21 71:1,9,15	144:2,10 145:1,3	195:6,11 210:15	143:1 144:16
10:19 11:19 17:8	71:16,16,20,22	145:5,5,6,8,16,22	implementable	146:22 164:6
21:21 41:17	72:5,12,17,21,25	146:3,12,16,16	165:21	172:14 173:21,21
ifs 165:11	73:10 74:9,15,18	147:1,6,16,25	implementation	179:9 185:23

	1	Î	l	Ī
186:6,13,15 187:5	individually 175:17	183:5	213:25	102:20,24 103:1
187:10,17,19	175:22 190:1	interrupting	Japanese 16:17,20	105:6 106:9
193:6 195:13	industry 45:15	202:15,17	16:21,24 18:1	148:24
199:4 200:23,24	46:4 57:25 58:1	interruption	77:14	kind 35:13 48:16
201:25	59:15	193:22	JEFFREY 3:6	49:17,18 52:21
included 82:9 88:2	infer 160:18	intimately 90:7	jerks 134:19	61:8,9 83:23,23
includes 32:16	inferring 100:3	92:20 99:23 117:6	jliang@sheppar	84:24 88:6 95:23
37:19 38:17 43:25	information 95:23	118:20	3:9	95:24,24 97:9
66:16 95:18	95:23 161:21	introduced 23:19	job 1:25 38:13,14	99:1 100:11,12
203:23	209:2	23:20 25:5	46:11 65:11,14	102:4 105:13
including 20:24	inherently 92:4	introduction	66:20,24 83:8	118:20 129:12,15
26:3,4,4 32:16	139:4	155:19	99:20 153:10	129:19 137:16
39:20 41:23 43:1	initial 60:2	introductory 45:20	160:7 165:20	146:11,14,14
44:24 46:15 65:22	initially 176:15	invalid 29:6 30:11	169:22,25 176:6,6	147:24 149:23
77:25 104:13	input 45:18 68:23	invention 53:15	179:24	150:1 189:11
125:15 143:6	78:17 79:2 86:23	129:16 155:20	Joel 3:11 5:12	200:24
144:14 169:1	98:18 102:8,17	195:7,12	16:14 17:9 21:16	kinds 37:23 67:4
173:25 187:22	105:21 106:9	inventor 53:14	202:23 211:2	86:12,13 97:17
incoming 120:9,21	108:2 113:7	inverse 110:2	joel@noroozipc	123:21,22 152:8
198:1	117:14 135:17	invites 194:1,1	3:13	168:1 174:12
inconsistency	149:10,16,20,22	199:7	Joint 184:24	183:10 197:22
115:19	150:11 152:3,17	involved 206:18	JPEG 40:8,9	knew 142:9
incorporate 13:9	153:21 154:1,5	iPhone 176:19	174:15,20 175:19	know 6:6 28:13
28:15 192:11	160:13 167:1	IPR2018-01187 1:8	175:21,21 176:22	36:19 37:5,6 46:1
194:3 197:2 200:4	insert 191:21	4:9 11:1 205:19	176:23 177:3,18	48:4 53:21 54:22
200:11,17 201:8	install 136:16	IPR2018-01360	178:3,6,10 179:2	55:8,23 56:7
210:22	institution 7:24 8:4	11:21	184:17,19,24	60:15 65:16 67:16
incorporated 174:1	8:10	IPR2018-01630 1:7	185:4 207:4	69:2,6 85:3 93:18
208:20	instruct 8:16,24	4:10	jumping 176:1	94:1,2,25 95:24
incorporates 28:8	insufficient 135:10	IPR2018-1630		99:3 100:6 102:13
41:21	135:12	205:16	K K	112:6,9 113:12
incorporating 29:1	integer 87:21	irrelevant 182:16	Kb 104:7	118:17 122:24
161:5 200:20	intended 96:18	isolation 128:12	Kbps 100:25,25,25	128:14 136:25
incorrect 9:23	151:25 152:25	175:19	101:10,11,11,14	138:5 139:3 143:5
52:21 67:15	154:17 161:23	issue 19:24 40:25	101:16,18,24,25	153:3 155:14
incorrectly 122:4	165:25	41:5 47:17 94:8	102:15 103:4	156:13 161:2,10
increase 81:11	interested 79:1	94:19 123:25	104:7,25 105:5	163:14 165:6,9
156:19 157:11	204:23 214:18	124:2 129:11	107:6,11,17 151:8	167:3,8,19 168:15
increasing 158:4	interesting 180:20	157:24 164:9	151:14	168:22 169:9
incredibly 192:3	interestingly 175:2	192:15,15	Kbs 106:8,20	171:1,7,12 180:20
199:22 205:6	international 37:19	issues 89:15 122:23	107:20 108:15,18	180:21,23 181:14
independent 12:16	184:22	I-M-A-I 10:7	108:20 158:18,21	181:21 182:3,6,20
12:18,22 185:9	interpolate 88:1	i.e 114:3 119:2	keep 85:11 111:25	184:4 186:23
201:25 202:6	interpolation 34:3	- J	120:9,20 121:9	189:5,11 194:5
INDEX 4:1,7	interpret 46:1		171:16	195:16 196:15
indicate 10:25	interrupted 63:19	James 1:14 2:1 5:9	key 63:9	201:2,12 208:2
186:4,11	63:21,22 181:25	5:18 212:3,16	kilobytes 101:14	knowing 91:6

93:22 94:3 95:5	55:14 56:2,3 59:3	Lincoln 5:19	73:13 85:7 103:17	113:11 138:7,23
139:11	77:19 125:10	line 43:9 66:8 71:5	147:2 148:21,25	lost 30:4
	learns 123:18	71:14 85:24 92:16	169:8 175:12	lot 40:17 69:7 73:9
knowledge 59:21 71:20 104:20	left 74:3 182:14	98:13,20 103:21	176:18	92:15 94:4 102:4
known 21:5,13	209:18	104:18 109:7	longer 37:4 38:6	110:13 119:17
23:7 28:19 45:5	leg 208:4	128:25 129:21	180:3	129:25 130:17
51:3,3 61:1 95:12	legal 5:25 8:12 31:9	134:1,1,8 136:2	look 6:13 7:17,19	138:9 139:10,17
159:12,17 161:5,6	189:5,12 190:21	145:21 148:17,18	11:24 12:15 13:6	146:15 180:15,19
161:19,22,22	192:18 195:16	161:17 163:25	13:13,19 14:1	193:24 204:7
163:18,19,20	length 143:21	168:4 193:21	16:7 18:12 24:10	lots 38:5 53:2
164:6 169:10	letter 21:19	199:21 213:2,6,10	24:11 28:17,20	123:17 128:20
knows 92:8 98:9	letting 123:12	213:14,18	31:14 33:4,4,9,11	low 78:4 114:5
KIIUWS 92.0 90.9	183:17	linear 58:22 77:17	33:22 39:6,7,8	125:19 162:3
${}$	let's 40:15 43:4	77:25 125:9	40:13,15 41:2	lower 110:19,22
labeled 11:21	51:5 58:18 63:2	lines 43:9	· ·	1
205:16,18	70:2,9 71:6 73:14	list 44:23 57:14	43:4,14,16 76:8 77:1 79:15 87:19	111:5,14,16 112:11,12 114:9
Lai 209:22,24	81:18 83:6 97:12	200:21,25 205:10	87:23 98:4 106:14	112:11,12 114:9
210:25	99:18,22 106:8	listed 11:2	106:15,16 110:10	136:13 160:7
laid 60:8	109:14 110:9	listen 72:3 98:17	113:24 114:20,21	lowest 159:4
Land 3:12	113:10 124:3	listened 71:6 81:18	118:10 120:23	lunch 6:8 35:11,12
language 27:7 33:9	126:25 133:16	90:16	122:8 125:2,3	35:14,15 66:6
39:7 40:7 41:3,20	138:6,7,21,22	listener 63:2 79:3	126:25 127:16	73:12,13,15
43:12,16 75:11	143:10 144:20	98:21 193:17	131:24 151:3,17	
111:21 112:1	151:3 153:11	listening 133:16	151.24 151.3,17	Lytton 2:4 3:6 5:3
186:25	154:8 162:2,4	lists 15:7 101:23	163:14 164:12	M
large 5:23 23:23	165:6,22 175:5	125:9	175:18 176:25	machines 94:17
78:1 87:23 124:23	177:22 181:15	literally 36:17	173.18 176.23	main 144:8
125:16 126:1,2,3	193:3 194:21	124:2 138:25	184:11 185:2	maintain 8:22
126:4,5,9	198:6 201:22	139:1 149:12,24	199:23,23,24	83:24
larger 40:1 78:9	204:11 205:11	176:21	203:21 204:9	making 66:21
125:22,24	210:2	literature 39:22	205:25 207:12	69:15 81:5 86:9
late 104:3,5,15	level 13:15 166:15	little 14:24 15:5	looked 7:22 9:6	115:11 135:16
106:18 109:1	204:7	54:8 61:25 75:2	36:15 41:20 43:21	145:12 147:1
115:9 119:14	levels 28:10 158:16	88:5 99:2,13	147:1 191:17,18	156:23 157:8
127:4 129:12,18	158:17 165:16	104:10 109:20	looking 8:9 9:22	191:12
129:23 130:11	LIANG 3:6	111:20 117:17	10:24 11:3,20	man 78:16
132:9 135:24,25	light 12:23 84:21	121:13 122:14,20	12:21 22:21 25:17	manipulating
136:1,2 147:5	188:7,13,19	129:13,22 149:11	31:18,19,20 41:7	131:3,8 144:21
181:14	limit 34:6,7 193:7	158:20 176:19	41:23 43:5 47:14	manner 15:2
layer 32:17 45:16	limitation 61:18	179:24,25 180:3	53:11 69:9 75:5	map 170:25
50:19,23 52:7,16	62:14,15,18,20	181:15 182:14	75:13 87:21	maps 170:2
55:12,18 87:8	130:13 209:15	185:6 188:9	113:18 135:18	marginally 121:13
88:24 93:21 94:2	limitations 202:1	live 5:19	164:10 176:7	mark 11:15,17
119:3	limited 155:18	LLC 1:9 3:10	205:17	16:24,25 17:6
layers 15:9 19:1	161:17,19	LLP 2:4 3:5	looks 122:4 170:18	21:17
47:10,25 50:11,15	limiting 86:21	Location 2:4	lose 86:1 210:8	marked 10:19
50:24 52:2,7 54:3	171:24 172:7,7	long 62:11 67:11	lossless 39:20	11:19 17:7 21:21
	1/1.271/2./,/	1011g 02.11 0/.11	10001000 07.40	
	<u> </u>	l	l	l

	1	1	I	ı
41:17	104:23 105:21	200:6	199:21 207:1,3	191:7 210:11
marking 10:17	108:2 113:7	mentioning 24:12	208:18,21	move 181:15
Massachusetts	117:13 129:2	186:22	middle 49:18 111:9	205:11 210:11
5:19	148:21,23,25	mentions 24:5	113:25 151:18,19	moved 11:14
match 34:1,1 87:10	measured 123:9	62:16 67:4 184:19	mind 7:2 35:5	moving 59:3 77:19
87:24 88:4,7,9,10	148:14	202:12	36:21 77:2 96:18	88:3 184:24 208:4
99:14 110:8	measurement	merely 57:6	99:7	MPEG 15:8 19:1
122:21 165:3	101:18 106:6,20	met 8:20	minimize 155:23	21:8 22:7,10,16
matches 163:25	106:22,25	method 21:9 32:1	155:25 161:15	22:24 23:7,10,10
matching 87:18	measurements	39:24 69:17 78:1	minimizing 155:16	23:15,15,16,16,17
materials 6:1	101:25 102:11	78:3,8,9,11 79:5,6	minor 9:6,24	23:18,19,22 24:3
mathematical	105:5,9,13 106:5	79:10 80:21 83:25	minutes 109:12	24:5,6,25,25,25
39:19	measuring 66:1	85:5,6,11 91:17	150:24 209:18	25:1,1 28:7,11
matter 6:8 63:16	100:7,8 102:24	100:11 106:7,7,23	missed 29:9 36:6	31:22,23 32:8,8
92:8 97:8 175:11	105:1 137:2 141:2	106:24 107:22	misunderstand	32:16,17 33:18,22
matters 148:21	148:22 205:8	108:15,16 110:17	12:25 19:24 22:3	34:13,14,22 37:14
149:1	mechanism 25:13	113:15 118:12,25	137:4	37:18,19 38:15,23
maximize 161:15	208:17	119:8,10,20	misunderstanding	39:3,10 40:3,5,17
Mbps 151:9,10,15	mechanisms 14:15	123:25 125:15,18	64:10 178:17	40:21 42:3,4,6,7
151:16 153:2	14:25	125:21,23,25	misunderstood	42:11 43:2,5,5,9
154:11	medium 191:13	126:4,6 134:22	132:14	43:10,11,12 44:24
Mbs 158:22,23	meet 5:25	143:20 146:22	mode 184:17	45:16 46:1,7,7,19
159:22	meeting 154:19,20	154:16 165:24	modification	46:24 47:1,2,3,9
mean 9:11,18 19:23	meetings 6:4,5	208:25 209:5	118:12	47:18,24 48:14,21
24:2 36:4,16 48:3	megabits 148:24	methods 14:10,14	modified 15:10	48:22,22,25 49:8
48:22 50:6 53:8	153:13,20,25	18:19 27:5 30:6	19:2,20 20:18	50:11,15,19,23,24
53:15 54:2 55:6	154:8,9,11,14,14	31:15 32:15 44:14	22:25	50:24 51:1,4,4,5
55:18 74:17,20	memory 8:9 13:5,7	44:18,20,22,23	modify 15:16,23	52:2,7,16 54:3
97:2 100:4 110:3	13:20 24:14,15	45:12,17 53:5	18:8	55:12,14,18 56:1
113:14 116:9	43:17 55:7 76:10	57:11,22 58:20	modifying 18:12	56:3 57:15 59:3
121:7,19 131:8	mention 86:4 118:8	59:10,13 60:24	modulation 58:22	59:24,24 77:19
135:9 136:25	158:9 183:19,24	69:11,13,14 71:17	77:18 125:10	82:9 83:6,9 87:2,7
140:3 153:3 178:5	184:17	77:17,25 79:9	moment 8:9 16:25	87:11,15,17 88:2
186:14,25 187:7	mentioned 7:15	80:22 84:2,4	41:19 43:18	88:18,24 91:20
187:22 193:14	9:21 15:17,17,24	85:10 88:19 90:4	motion 24:1 28:9	93:21 94:2 96:7
194:5,25 200:19	15:25 20:3,14	93:2,3 97:16	33:24 38:11 39:12	96:12,25 97:4,5
209:4	32:21 33:1 38:6	100:20 102:8	42:15 87:17 89:12	97:23 110:6 119:3
meaning 55:25	46:6,17 48:17	103:13 105:12	110:8,13 160:4	125:10 151:8,9,14
130:23 163:21	49:1 50:25 52:9	107:21 108:16	165:20 169:23	151:15 152:25
166:3 187:12	53:3 57:16 77:6,9	109:3 113:12,13	175:12,21 176:3,6	153:23 154:9
means 102:15,16	78:13 81:10 87:11	113:18 125:8,9,15	176:8,13 177:15	155:3 158:21,22
154:12	96:16 108:23	129:6 141:2	177:18,19,25	159:4,5,15,18
meant 54:21	109:17 119:21	155:22 161:8	196:11	160:2 164:20,25
109:25 126:23	122:21 129:12	164:4,5 167:25	motivated 27:17	165:6 168:15,15
154:3,4,5	147:12,18 159:14	173:18 174:10	205:22 206:3	168:21,21 170:4,9
measure 68:23	159:24 167:4,9,10	177:23 190:8,8	motivation 13:14	171:2,8,13,23
71:17 92:16,17	167:11 169:5,8	194:3 199:19,19	14:7 32:11 189:25	172:8,19,25 175:5

177 10 104 22 24	1 21 20	177 14 14	26 0 27 10 20 17	72 11 75 0 16
175:18 184:23,24	necessarily 31:20	177:14,14	26:9 27:18 29:17	73:11 75:9,16
196:9,14,14	31:21,22 64:7	NOROOZI 3:10	30:22 31:7 32:23	77:8 80:6,8,17
198:12 200:4	65:24 100:18	note 14:9,10 43:24	35:23 36:13,25	82:13 83:11,12,18
Mullin 2:4 3:5 5:3	121:7 144:8 164:9	44:13,21 45:1	37:15 38:25 46:21	84:19,21 86:9,16
multicore 141:4	192:25	47:7,22 49:21	48:8 50:20 52:17	92:1 93:1,2 94:9
165:22	necessary 65:18	53:23 56:21 57:10	55:4,20 60:21	94:23 96:5 115:17
multicores 139:8	66:2,24	57:17 58:20	67:22 68:15 69:21	115:18 123:24
multiple 25:11	need 40:24 41:8,13	209:17	74:10 80:13 82:1	161:4 162:15,15
26:18 31:16 33:5	64:24 65:25 70:25	noted 45:3 49:25	87:4 89:1 91:8	164:17 167:23
33:15,15,15 40:4	71:9 73:13 79:24	212:6	93:24 96:8 98:25	168:11 174:8
49:1,2 52:9,10	83:1,25 85:15	notes 59:18	102:21 105:7	179:16 188:2,7,24
58:11,15 63:8	98:10 128:19	Notice 5:1	107:12 109:23	189:4,10 190:2,5
65:6,7 69:11 86:6	143:19 158:11	noticed 9:6 81:21	111:17 112:13	190:19 192:3,7,11
95:13 157:6 161:8	171:18 180:14	206:20	114:11 116:7	192:15,17 194:24
164:4,5 166:9	192:25 198:23	notion 58:4,4,5	120:17 122:13	195:21 196:18
168:24 177:23	205:11	104:14	124:19 127:8	200:2,11,17,20,24
179:21 183:15	needed 83:22 104:8	not-so-large 78:10	128:2 131:6	201:7 202:6
185:3 190:8 200:7	needing 38:10	novel 111:1	132:18 135:4	207:18,23 209:12
music 83:22,23	needs 70:16 81:6,8	number 6:21,22	136:9 137:9 140:2	210:13
193:16 197:22	98:16 134:14	10:13 14:8 17:24	140:22 141:17	obviously 7:1 9:18
musical 197:23	143:19 198:4	18:14,18 23:18,20	144:23 145:25	71:21 76:15 92:13
M-P-E-G 15:8	negative 96:3	26:24 27:23 28:8	149:5 150:12	113:15 134:10,15
22:10	155:15 161:3,10	29:1 32:3 37:8	156:12 157:25	156:25
M.263 37:1	162:10 164:10	44:23 46:15,16	160:22 162:19	obviousness 29:6
	NETFLIX 1:4 3:4	47:6 50:8 61:9,10	164:21 167:5	30:12 97:7 192:9
N	network 127:3,12	66:16 71:17 77:11	168:17 170:6	210:22
N 77:15 125:6,6,13	128:1,6,10,16	99:2 100:13,21	171:4 172:21	occur 7:9 163:12
name 5:17,18 52:23	130:9 131:23	101:23 103:6	174:16 178:22	occurred 7:8
60:20 81:21 83:13	132:1,1,7,16,22	104:13 106:10	180:25 183:21	offer 11:25 13:2
107:1,2,2,20,21	134:18 145:3,7	120:4 126:21	184:7,18 186:7	15:15,22 18:6
107:22 108:15	146:8,19,24 150:7	134:14 144:13	187:11,20 188:14	19:5 20:12 22:6,9
153:3,3,5,6	150:9 152:24	145:6 152:2	189:17 193:9	22:15 24:7 29:9
166:25 203:16	155:17 156:21	153:20 162:7	194:13 197:7	32:19,25 35:19
204:15,24 205:4	168:6,7 169:3	169:13 173:23	200:13 201:16	43:2 61:17 72:11
named 82:8 84:7,8	networks 155:17	193:8 197:14	203:6,8 206:6	72:16 81:22 96:6
84:9 214:19	155:18	206:15	obvious 9:7,24 11:4	96:11 160:2 177:2
narrow 34:4 38:12	never 137:6,13,23	numeral 11:25	12:1,13,18,23	185:13,13 189:14
narrower 168:13	138:2	numerous 93:16	13:8 14:8,20 22:7	201:13 202:14
natural 24:3 25:16	new 22:2,5 181:8	95:10,11	22:10,16 23:13	offered 10:2 11:11
25:18 30:1 32:4,5	181:23 182:2,7,9		24:3,5,8,20 25:18	20:1 159:21
32:14,15,19,20	182:19 204:12	0	26:7,12,21 27:12	175:16
33:1 177:9,10	nice 16:23 43:22	obeying 175:10	28:14,16,18,21	offering 10:20
191:25 192:3,7,24	159:8 196:24	object 8:14 178:14	29:5,23,24 30:16	72:19
199:16 208:22	199:8	objected 37:11	31:9,10 35:20	office 1:1 214:21
nature 199:20	normal 25:18	objection 8:22	53:12,17 57:8	off-the-shelf 15:7
navigate 16:20	177:8 182:11	12:14 15:19 16:1	61:18 62:19,20	18:25 22:23
nearly 51:2	normally 142:14	19:8 20:5 22:12	68:18 72:22 73:1	oh 22:5 62:1 63:24
	ı		I	1

	I		I	I
86:10 122:9	110:22 127:6	123:25 152:16	102:11,17 106:9	103:24 104:12,13
131:21 132:15	176:11 177:21	157:8,12 166:22	113:14,20,22	105:4,9,15 107:5
148:17 154:25	operation 161:24	173:15 190:10	126:12,18 132:2	107:8,9,16,19
156:6 159:21	165:25 166:25	199:5 209:7	132:17,23 138:22	108:22,23 113:1
196:25	operations 139:6	210:10	138:25 139:1	113:25,25 114:14
okay 5:20 7:3,19	opinion 10:2,21	ordinary 10:3,22	149:9,14,16,19,21	114:20,22 115:1
9:25 10:15 11:8	11:11,25 12:22	11:12 12:11 13:8	150:10 151:22	115:24 116:6,9,16
11:14,20 13:25	13:2,16 14:19	13:16 15:23 18:7	152:2 153:21	117:2,7 118:22
16:12,13 17:11,21	15:15,22 18:7	18:21 19:6 20:2	154:2,13 160:8,14	119:8,23,25 120:2
18:3 24:11 40:23	19:5 20:1,12 22:6	20:13 21:7,12	166:7 176:13	120:23,25 121:6
41:6 55:5 64:13	22:9,15 24:8	23:8 24:21 25:14	196:12 200:10	124:8,22 125:2,3
67:1,3 68:18	32:20,25 35:19	25:23 26:1,21	outputted 100:24	126:25 127:1,11
75:24 80:18 82:23	43:2 44:3 45:7	27:12,16 28:16,19	101:10,19,22	127:16,17,19
91:20 94:25 97:1	50:1 54:14,18	29:14 30:16,20	102:1 149:3	128:5,12 129:16
101:18 102:11	61:18 62:18 67:10	31:1,3,24 32:1	outputting 175:13	130:3,6,7 131:25
105:15 113:24	72:11,16,19 74:14	35:20 45:4,9	outside 29:8 39:9,9	144:20 146:10,13
119:25 120:24	76:1,5 81:23 96:6	59:19,20 60:25	40:6 41:23 96:1	146:14,15,17
124:2,6,8 127:1,2	96:11 137:5,12	64:20 75:14 83:3	111:21 144:24	148:3,9,9,10,12
131:21 138:20	162:16,22 177:2	84:19 94:14 98:8	174:5	148:12,13,17,19
140:8 150:22	189:12 202:5,14	110:24 111:12	overall 81:16 83:5	149:8 150:1,2
151:5 157:21	206:2	112:5,8 137:5,12	overview 76:16	151:3,5,17,18,19
158:12 163:20	opinions 9:2,13,16	138:1 139:21,24	Owner 1:9 3:10	158:14 159:6
172:17 173:25	9:20 74:23 75:22	140:17 141:13,22		201:24 205:13,20
176:8,14 178:8	77:7 91:25 189:14	141:23 142:18	P	206:1,9,14,14
193:3 197:20	201:13	143:1 159:1,7,9	PACHECO 3:15	210:6
198:15 199:3	opposed 106:11	159:13,22 160:17	page 4:2,8 12:2	paragraphs 14:9
202:25 203:8	158:4 190:16	161:12 162:12	101:4,6 114:14	57:6 60:9 73:8
204:11 205:14	optimal 118:13	164:6 166:1,19,20	158:13,13 210:4	77:9,13 93:7
208:8 209:21	optimizing 64:4,6	167:3,8 168:10,14	212:7 213:2,6,10	103:8 192:24
old 181:24 182:4	65:24	168:20 169:6,11	213:14,18	206:15 207:9,17
once 55:22 78:17	option 175:1,16	171:1,7,12 172:18	Palo 1:16 2:5 3:7	207:20
ones 27:15 80:1	178:9,12,20,21	172:24 174:15,19	5:4	parameter 123:15
170:21 172:12	180:18,22,23,24	179:7,17 180:23	paragraph 14:19	parameters 37:23
179:9	183:25 184:14	184:13 185:8,22	18:23 19:18 22:22	79:7 118:13 123:7
one's 84:5	185:5,14,14	186:5,12,15 187:4	30:3,5 43:24	123:8,22 126:18
oOo 1:3 4:5,16 5:8	optional 147:20	187:9,16 188:12	44:21,25 46:18	137:1 144:13
73:16 74:2 211:8	optionally 129:2	188:18 189:1	47:5,15,21 49:10	165:9
opening 37:2 53:3	options 95:16	191:1 192:21	49:21 50:11 52:1	paraphrase 67:19
60:8,9 68:18	179:2	193:3 194:19,20	53:22 54:14,17	67:23 68:1,7,9
operate 110:12	oranges 141:6	195:5,10,17	55:11 56:18,19	paraphrasing
120:8,14 154:18	order 7:5 16:2,9	196:15 199:3,13	57:9,10,13,14	55:14 68:8
160:14 172:14	35:14 63:5,8	200:1,21 207:18	58:19 60:8 68:18	parcel 90:2
210:20	64:18 65:18 66:3	208:11 209:23	73:8 77:10,11,14	parentheses 118:18
operated 94:17	66:24 69:11 80:24	organized 168:9	82:21 84:8,9	125:23
operates 81:4	83:24 85:13 86:25	outcome 214:18	85:18 86:4,5	parse 13:11 37:21
157:3 210:21	92:25 100:9	output 86:24 98:19	89:14 95:20	45:22 56:8 165:8
operating 110:21	106:17 116:14	98:19,21 102:10	100:23 101:2,9	parsing 43:20
-				

part 28:24,25 37:2 37:5,11,20,25	28:20 29:4 38:21 38:22 39:9,10	157:2,23 158:3,14 159:6,10,11,19	102:5 111:19 151:24	Petitioner 1:6 3:4 phenomena 134:17
38:2,6 51:10,11	40:7,25 41:3,5,8,8	160:16,16,19,19	percentage 158:16	phonetic 112:7
51:12,23,24,25,25	41:11,11,13,15,16	160:25 161:4,5,13	perfect 179:22	163:11
53:3 66:2 74:13	41:19 62:15 68:17	161:13,22 162:10	perfectly 181:20	phrase 100:22
75:22 82:7,11	68:20 74:19 78:14	162:13,13,15,16	191:24 199:16	195:3
87:16 88:18 91:22	79:14 81:3,3	162:22 163:9,14	perform 59:9 77:24	phrased 87:10 89:9
117:13 130:3	85:15 95:11 103:2	164:8,12,17,19,24	78:23 101:21	phraseology 12:19
151:12 165:7	105:18,25 106:15	167:4,9,11,12,22	103:17 125:14	phrasing 161:2
185:24	110:25 111:1,2	167:24 168:5,10	135:20	physically 81:13
partially 19:16	112:22,23,24,25	168:15,21,23,24	performance 71:24	pick 104:9 130:24
participating 7:4	113:4 115:22	169:13,14 171:2,8	97:17 166:3	picked 104:6,7
particular 13:4	116:4,9,10 117:8	171:13,24 173:9	performing 170:16	picking 119:20
29:3,15 30:3,11	119:22 121:11	173:13,19,23	190:16 208:14	134:21,22
39:8 42:9 61:2	128:20 144:24	174:7 176:23	performs 139:5	picture 25:8 59:4
65:15 66:12 88:22	155:19 157:1	177:1,3,7,9,18,22	perjury 212:1,4	77:19
88:23 91:17 94:16	162:15 164:18,18	178:2,8,20 179:1	person 10:2,21	Pictures 184:24,25
97:1,19 106:6,10	167:22,23 168:11	179:3,8,16,17,18	11:12 12:11 13:16	pie 186:5
117:18 118:7	174:8,25 179:5	179:20 180:1,18	15:22 18:7 19:6	piece 42:19 90:24
119:5 121:9	190:19 195:18,20	180:21 182:23	20:2,12 27:12,15	112:10 137:7,14
133:19 137:16	201:6 209:1	183:19,24 184:6	29:14 30:16,20	138:3 139:7 140:7
140:9,12 142:7	patents 6:23 51:2	184:10,12,12,17	31:1,2 35:20 45:4	140:8,20 141:15
143:9 144:9	187:24 191:8,9	184:19,20 185:3,9	71:7 75:13 111:12	141:24 142:1
145:11 151:21	194:21	185:11,17,22	112:5,8 137:5,12	143:3
158:4 170:14	PATRICK 3:15	186:5,16,19 187:6	138:1 139:21,23	pieces 29:23,25
171:25 172:8	Pauls 10:4,6,23	187:17 188:5,7,13	140:17 141:13,21	40:18 140:24
180:10 183:9	11:5,13 12:2,6,10	188:19,24 189:16	141:23 142:18,25	206:21
188:3,6 207:1	13:4,18 14:7,9,11	190:4 191:3,18	159:1 160:17	pixel 34:2,2 110:16
particularly 78:11	14:13,21,22 15:1	192:13 197:5,11	167:3,8 168:13,20	PKWare 184:23
90:6 126:6	15:1,11,18,25	197:17 198:12	171:1,7,12 172:18	pkzip 113:12
parties 214:15,17	16:7,8 17:10 18:9	199:4,18,19,20,21	172:24 174:14,19	184:23
parts 27:24,24	19:3,7,21 20:3,14	199:23 201:5,15	180:22 185:8,21	place 29:10 88:7
37:20 51:25 82:6	20:20 21:4,8,9	202:5 205:12,23	186:4,12,14 187:4	118:7 121:8 151:5
91:13 139:15	23:1,14,15 24:4,4	206:4,22 207:11	187:9,16,22	158:8,10 178:5
160:5 173:5	24:12,23,24 25:10	207:24 208:12,21	188:12,17 189:13	214:6
partway 64:2	25:21 26:17 27:3	209:12,22,24	189:15 191:1	placed 105:17
passage 82:9	28:4 29:16 30:6	210:15,20,24,24	192:19 193:3,6	places 47:6 50:6,8
158:23	30:18 31:3,5,14	210:25	194:19,20 195:5	60:11 76:15 79:23
passages 76:13	31:16,23 32:15,21	pauses 134:19	195:10,14,15,17	146:16 147:1
116:19	33:1,5,13 35:21	pausing 123:11	195:22 198:5	207:22
pat 162:9	38:21 74:9,15,24	PC 3:10	199:3 208:11,14	plane 6:13 7:1,15
patent 1:1,2,7,9,9	75:20 76:6 81:23	PCM 58:22,23	209:23 214:9	7:18,20 9:5
3:10 4:11,12,14	82:18 147:17	77:17,19 125:10	personally 5:6	planning 35:11
7:4 8:6 9:3 10:1	154:22,25 155:5,8	penalty 212:1,4	pertain 9:3	platform 170:15
11:4,10 16:5,15	155:10,11,14,15	people 6:5 25:25	pertaining 11:10	platforms 160:11
16:17,18,21 17:24	155:19,20 156:2	40:3 42:4,5 48:21	petition 8:4,5	please 5:17 10:18
21:6 23:9 25:24	156:22,24,25	48:23,23 49:7	119:24,25 151:4	14:3 19:11 24:17

27:21 29:19 35:25	pointing 148:19	practical 152:14	204:13 207:22	161:22,24 163:19
77:3 78:7 82:3	204:6,11 206:2	practice 91:18	principles 188:4	165:14 166:9,11
89:3 91:10 112:15	points 118:6 199:8	preamble 43:25	print 17:2	proper 193:23
114:24 121:2	199:15	56:20 60:4	printout 17:18	properly 90:19
127:18 133:4	point-and-shoot	preceding 103:8	prior 7:23 12:23	165:11
150:14 158:19	174:25 175:15	115:2 119:7	26:24 28:21 29:24	properties 26:19
163:2 171:20	poor 78:6 125:21	precise 34:6 102:14	51:2 74:18 80:15	34:15,23 49:2,3
183:17 189:21	portion 23:10	165:4 171:18	189:2 201:4 206:5	52:13 65:7 80:22
193:11	28:22 37:4 38:18	precisely 6:23	206:21	82:14 86:3 90:5
plenty 134:11	57:7 61:16 75:12	36:18 63:18 77:2	privileged 8:15	92:8,11,13,14,20
plug 31:15 32:3	201:22	preclude 164:11	probably 7:22	98:1 100:6,14,20
38:20	portions 6:13 7:17	173:10 177:17	13:12 32:6 177:23	123:2 144:11
plugged 20:24	7:22 197:22	precluding 177:10	210:6	145:15 147:23
plugging 18:20	poses 148:5	predates 80:14	problem 64:5,7	157:19 164:5
25:19	posing 147:24	prefaced 112:19	81:7 90:1 92:2	166:21 168:1,2
plug-in 37:13,14	POSITA 10:21	prefer 37:13	123:13 134:18	175:8 197:23
38:19	12:5 13:3 15:15	174:20	144:21 145:3,24	200:5 210:18
plug-ins 21:14	45:3,5,13 57:18	preferences 173:22	146:5,15,18 147:7	property 106:7
24:23,23 25:20	57:23 59:13	174:1	147:8,9,25 148:2	123:3 124:7,7
31:16 33:11 61:6	158:25 201:7	preparation 6:2,7	148:4,5,6,11,11	130:25 173:14
plurality 44:6,9,14	205:21,24 206:2	7:11,15 8:20	150:1	180:3,9 183:9
47:7,22 49:22	207:10 209:25	prepare 5:20 7:5	problems 32:13	protocol 38:5
53:24 56:24 57:1	position 34:5 87:20	prepared 5:22 59:8	163:12	provide 36:11
57:11 61:20 62:4	88:4 110:11	77:23 125:13	process 39:11	45:17 78:4 118:12
62:8 70:4,6,14,21	182:18	present 3:14	86:17 145:18	118:13 125:19
79:18 84:16 202:2	positive 96:3	183:12,13 201:3	198:8	146:22 149:13
point 8:1,10 16:23	161:21	presentation 57:9	processed 120:5	152:6 165:4
20:22 21:2 25:7	positively 161:5	presented 15:2	processer 165:23	167:24
27:8 28:13 43:19	164:2	39:23	processes 89:24	provided 8:5
64:14 66:5 74:6	possibilities 144:9	presumably 121:16	processing 142:8	134:13
74:13,23,24 75:13	possibility 89:18	136:15 153:22	142:12 165:23	provides 78:1
82:16 83:19 85:3	possible 25:1 34:1	pretty 49:12 52:19	180:5	114:2,4 119:1,2
88:12 97:6,6,25	36:11 48:6,11	54:17 72:9,24	produce 106:9	125:16
100:8 116:8 117:8	87:24 90:23 91:3	89:6 102:14	160:14 162:7	providing 147:6
118:7 121:9	91:4 92:9,9 93:21	110:13 210:9	166:6	public 23:19,20
143:19 148:15	94:1,13 95:3,9,21	prevent 133:12	produced 113:14	159:15
152:5,19 154:15	95:22 98:23 99:10	preventing 128:10	120:6 130:5	publicly 169:9,10
162:10 165:3	136:23 143:8	130:4	producing 138:16	pulse 58:22 77:18
177:15 178:5	170:14 173:14	previous 23:4,6	profile 153:7,9,11	125:10
181:17 184:12,20	194:5	34:1,5 38:7 52:19	154:6,10,19,21	purchase 34:11
188:21 194:6	possibly 52:21	72:25 83:21 87:19	160:15 161:25	42:9 46:7
197:24 201:20	53:14 78:18 87:25	87:21,24 88:5	162:8 163:23	purchased 88:16
203:3,10,19	88:21 89:18,20	94:5 110:10,11,15	164:1 165:17	91:19 136:15,20
206:13,17 208:3,3	97:13 110:15,15	163:8 176:7 181:2	166:7,15 169:20	139:7 194:8
pointed 23:4,6 30:3	140:10 155:17	181:2,9,10,12,13	profiles 153:12	purpose 66:10
54:23 199:7	170:21 187:10	182:11,21 183:1	154:17 155:3	131:3 161:14
205:10	potential 147:8	195:2 203:9	159:20,21 161:6	purposes 40:21

		İ	l	İ
43:12 46:19,24	49:7,12,13,14,15	170:23 171:5,10	110:12,16 113:21	123:2,5 124:6,15
75:19 79:6 201:14	49:19 50:10,21	171:11,15,18,21	121:21 125:4	124:16,17,18,23
201:21	53:1,5,21,23	172:22 173:4	137:3,24 139:10	124:24 125:1,16
pursuant 5:1	54:11,20,23 55:8	174:2,17 178:15	139:15 141:7	125:19 127:2,3,12
put 19:25 21:13	55:22 60:15,17,23	178:18,24 179:19	144:6 164:9	127:13 128:1,6,10
57:22,22 81:13	62:10,12 63:22,24	180:13,17 181:2,3	187:12 190:14,21	130:8,9 132:2,7,8
105:17	64:10,11,12,16	181:6,8,9,10,11	192:17,20 197:15	132:16,17,22,22
putting 25:19,19	67:13,17,24,25	181:12,13,18,19	204:5 208:6	133:10 136:7,13
P-A-U-L-S 10:6	68:11 69:22 72:8	181:22,23,24	quote 17:3 51:21	143:16 145:19,23
P-O-S-I-T-A 45:3	72:15,24 74:11	182:2,4,7,9,11,15	82:21	146:4,8,9,19,23
p.m 74:1 211:7	75:3,10,24 76:11	182:19,21,25	quoted 36:16 79:23	146:24 147:14
P.N 3:11 5:12	77:1,4 82:2,4,7,11	183:1,2,3,7,18,22	quotes 57:22,23	148:13,15,23
	87:5,9 89:2,4,7,8	184:2,5,8 185:24	77:13	149:2,2,8,9,13,15
QQ	91:9,11,14,22,23	186:24 187:14	quoting 44:19	149:16,18,19,21
qualifying 208:25	92:23,25 93:13,20	188:15 189:6,20		149:22 150:6,7,8
quality 34:16 46:11	93:25 94:5,18,24	189:22 190:21	R	150:9,10 151:8,9
49:5 52:14 83:8	95:22,25 96:9,13	192:18 193:2,10	ran 113:13 175:25	151:10,14,15,16
88:16,21 89:19,21	99:6,7,8,13	193:12 194:14	179:25	151:21,21 152:19
97:14 99:17,20	100:22 101:8	195:2,3,4 197:8	range 96:20	153:4,19 154:1,11
104:11 126:12	102:22 105:3,17	197:14 200:15	rate 37:23 45:18	154:14 158:18,21
153:11 160:7	107:7 109:25	201:10,17 202:23	61:22 62:7 68:21	158:22,23 164:20
162:3 166:12	110:1 111:8,9,20	202:24 203:10,14	70:9,13 76:19	164:24 167:4,9
169:22 185:7,16	112:4,14,16,19	204:19,25 205:24	78:1,4 79:20	169:1,2 170:5,10
200:9	114:12,22,24	207:7 209:21	80:23 85:19,20	171:3,9,14 184:20
quantization 28:9	115:3,20 117:25	210:9 211:2	90:9 93:5,6,10	203:23 204:9
39:16,19	120:11,18,25	questioning 66:8	95:6,18 98:2,5,23	205:9
quarter 34:2	121:3,5,21 122:3	182:12	99:10,22 102:1,12	rates 100:24 101:9
question 6:18 7:7	122:7,15,20	questions 9:21	102:19,25 103:2,7	101:22,24 124:9
11:22 12:4,25	124:20 127:9,18	36:17 41:22 42:22	103:9 105:1,5,15	124:14 137:8,15
14:2,4,18 15:20	128:3 130:7	43:23 60:7 61:8	105:19,24 106:2,4	138:4 139:22,25
16:3,10,10 18:2,5	131:18 132:14,19	72:21 142:18	106:12,13,24	140:19 141:11,16
19:10,12,17,18,25	132:24 133:1,5	197:15 203:21	107:3,6,10,17,18	141:25 143:4
20:5,6,8,16 21:24	135:5,12 136:10	204:3	107:24,25 109:18	159:3,24 169:1
22:2,5,13 24:16	137:10,22 138:14	quick 17:2 24:11	109:22 111:6,15	170:21
24:18 26:10,15	139:18 140:16	43:14 76:8 109:11	111:18 112:1,3,11	ratio 33:21 34:16
27:9,15,19,21,23	141:19 142:22	158:10 176:6	112:21 113:3,5	46:11 49:4 52:14
28:2,3,5,25 29:2,3	144:15 145:11	205:25	114:1,3,4,5,6,8,9	63:5,13 64:4,6
29:8,13,18,20	146:1,11 147:24	quicker 166:16,16	114:15,16,17,19	65:1,3,8,23 67:1
30:23 31:9 32:24	148:5,8 149:6,11	quickly 89:18 95:4	115:3,11,13,17,23	68:25 69:14 70:24
33:10 35:3,4,24	149:23 150:13,15	99:16 110:10,12	116:3,11 117:5,9	71:12 76:20 78:24
36:1,4,5,8,12,19	155:4,10 156:14	130:16 155:9	117:24 118:1,2,5	79:8 80:24 81:9
36:23 37:3,5,7,8	156:15 158:1	156:11,19,24	118:15,19,21	81:12,14 82:15,25
37:12 39:1 41:9	160:23 161:3,11	210:11	119:1,3,5,21	83:9 84:1 85:10
41:10 42:1,1 43:8	162:20 163:1,3,10	quite 12:24 28:24	120:4,16,22 121:6	85:21,23 86:7
43:8 45:1 46:22	164:22 165:2	30:8 34:16,16	121:7,8,9,18,19	88:15,20,23 90:6
47:13,15 48:5,6,9	167:6,20 168:13	60:22 72:23 73:4	121:19,23 122:1,1	90:7,10,19,25
48:11,13,14,18,19	168:18 170:1,7,11	77:9 99:14 100:15	122:2,11,11,18	91:6 92:19 93:5,8
	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1

				I
93:10 95:17,19	159:6	133:13,22 134:12	176:25 177:5	117:23 124:22,25
97:13,21 98:3,6	read 14:5 16:19	134:16 135:17	178:4 184:11	189:7 205:6
98:24 99:11,22,23	19:13,18 20:8,10	145:4 152:16,17	206:20	referenced 192:12
100:3,17 103:5,10	20:16 21:25 22:22	153:23 160:12	receive 37:20	references 13:14
104:8 105:23	24:19 27:22 29:21	161:24,24 163:20	receiver 129:5	25:16 29:5 30:11
106:2,3,11,13,17	36:2,22,24 49:10	163:21 165:21,25	157:12	189:3 190:17
106:21,25 107:2	53:22 62:1 64:22	166:3,3,13,22,24	receiving 120:7,13	191:19,20 192:4
107:23 108:4,11	77:5 82:5 84:7	195:6,11 198:7	123:11 165:6	192:16,22 206:16
108:18,20 109:4	89:4,5 91:12 93:6	really 22:20 37:5,6	169:2	207:19 210:1
109:18,22 110:3,5	108:25 112:17	38:4 48:4 54:24	recess 35:17 73:15	referred 19:19
110:19,22,23	114:25 115:2	61:7 63:14,16	109:15 151:1	23:24 27:3 28:5,6
111:5,11,14,16	116:10 118:20	64:22 65:2,4 69:9	198:25	51:13 61:5 75:5
112:10,12 113:9	120:3,25 121:4	75:4 76:24 78:22	recited 50:2 56:22	76:9 116:18
114:10 115:6,11	127:17 130:6,7	85:5 87:15 88:8	recomputation	148:13 158:23
115:13,16 116:5	133:6 148:3,9	94:19 98:4,8,12	90:2	referring 10:10
116:12 117:6,15	150:15,16 161:13	98:14 106:14	record 14:5 17:24	11:6 12:9 20:18
117:23 118:3,15	163:4 168:21	115:15,18 116:8	17:25 19:13 20:10	25:1 28:23 42:7,8
118:22 119:19	171:21,22 189:23	116:23,25 117:2	21:25 24:19 27:22	42:25 43:6 48:13
123:19 124:4,5,25	193:13 201:24	117:19 121:19	29:21 35:6 36:2	49:9 75:11 76:14
125:4 126:15,19	206:9,12,18 212:4	122:21 128:13	36:24 73:14 77:5	102:1,4 107:22
126:22 128:24	214:11	132:13 144:6	82:5 89:5 91:12	112:2 120:24
130:21,23 131:2,4	reader 161:11	147:13 148:21	109:14 112:17	148:8,10 153:20
133:24 134:23	163:17,18 194:2	165:20,23 170:25	114:25 121:4	186:8 194:18
135:2,8,11,13,20	readily 15:3	171:18 173:19	133:6 150:16	205:15 207:16,16
136:8,13,18,19,21	reading 18:21	174:4 175:16,24	163:4 171:22	207:20
137:7,14,18,20	23:13,14 24:3,21	175:25,25,25	189:23 193:13	refers 43:5,10
138:3 140:1,4,6	28:16 83:4 84:20	176:12,14 180:21	198:24 206:10,11	44:19 45:12 57:21
140:13,20 141:15	110:25 131:8	186:18,23 195:3	209:19	59:12 101:14,16
141:24 142:11,20	162:13 168:14	202:12 204:18,18	red 88:3,6	107:25 114:1,15
143:2,10,18,20,23	171:2,8,13 179:17	204:20 209:2	reduce 157:15	154:1 157:5
144:12,17,22	179:18 185:9	Realtime 1:8 3:10	reduced 127:12	refresh 13:7,20
145:19,23 146:4,6	189:1 190:17	7:4	130:9 132:7 146:8	24:13,15 43:16
147:11,14 149:15	192:25 194:20,22	reason 27:25 38:3	reduces 162:24	76:10
149:18,20 152:7	195:18 200:21	41:13 83:11 104:5	reducing 162:18	refuse 181:6
154:20 157:15	207:21	113:18 129:3	reduction 119:19	refusing 181:7
159:5 161:7 162:1	reads 125:5 158:14	139:9,20,23	ref 107:1	regarding 7:4
163:23 166:10,12	159:10 162:5	140:17 141:22	refer 11:1 42:7	75:18
166:22 167:18	167:22 168:10	144:16 155:21	49:7 50:24 68:21	region 87:20,23
169:19 174:11	194:22,23 199:14	174:4 186:18	105:10,19 113:5	176:7
185:16 190:13	205:6 206:2	193:8 208:8 213:4	117:9 124:16,17	relate 76:13 106:3
198:20 200:9	ready 130:15	213:8,12,16,20	124:24 151:24	166:9 174:22
202:11,12 203:11	real 6:23 62:25	reasonable 54:13	153:6 160:18	210:22
203:24 204:9	63:6 69:9 71:24	54:16 181:20	206:11	related 25:9 65:23
205:9 209:7	71:24 90:13 104:1	182:17	reference 17:9,10	71:11 81:10 85:18
210:19	119:15 127:5	recall 8:9 9:8 10:2	25:17 74:18	85:20 86:20 90:7
ratios 86:12 103:14	128:11 129:9,23	10:20 11:9 24:12	104:25 105:4	92:20 93:8 95:19
140:11,24 141:12	130:5,11 132:10	40:12 70:2 157:9	106:6,23 117:23	98:10 99:24
			-	•

	1	1	1	1
106:25 107:3	151:20 162:15	156:15 158:1	214:8	requires 34:3,18
117:6 147:11,15	179:16 188:24	160:23 162:20,25	reporter 5:5 6:17	78:8,10 89:22
149:16,19 203:25	189:4 190:19	163:2 164:22	8:7 10:5,17 12:8	125:21,24 126:1,5
relates 38:2,4 49:11	194:24 195:21	167:6 168:18	14:3,23 15:4	138:17
103:2 106:15	196:18	170:7 171:5,11	19:11 20:8 21:22	reread 82:8
116:11 117:5	rendering 80:5,8	172:22 174:17	22:8 24:17 27:20	resolution 34:3
118:21	80:17 86:14,16	178:24 183:18,22	29:19 35:25 36:22	87:25 88:2 110:16
relating 38:1 76:9	128:19 190:2	184:8 185:25	40:19 49:6 54:7	resources 34:18
123:8 134:25	renders 53:12	187:13,14 188:15	58:24 59:2 61:24	46:10 89:22 90:2
164:14 197:16	61:18 68:17 72:21	189:19,21 193:10	76:3 77:3 78:7	154:18 161:19
relationship 103:9	72:25 73:10 82:12	193:12 194:14	82:3 89:3 91:1,10	166:13,17 183:15
109:17,19 110:2	86:8 96:4 123:23	197:8 200:14	112:15 114:23	respect 49:3 89:14
111:4,10 126:16	151:8,8,9,13,14	201:17 207:7	121:2 124:11	116:3 144:11
126:17	151:15 154:10	repeatedly 80:18	131:12 133:4	175:9 197:15
relative 90:25	158:18,21,22	80:18 81:4 83:14	144:18 149:17	204:19 205:2,18
159:3	161:4 164:17	86:9,14,15	150:14 151:11	respective 214:15
relatively 78:1,3,4	167:22 168:10	repeating 62:11	158:19 163:2	respectively 100:25
78:6 114:1,2,15	174:7 188:1 190:5	112:20	171:20 180:14	101:11
119:1 124:22	192:7 202:6	rephrase 74:22	189:21 193:11	respects 80:1,2
125:16,18,19,21	209:12	75:24 201:19	195:8 214:8,25	responses 142:18
released 34:25,25	repeat 6:18 11:22	replace 15:16,23	reports 12:16,20	restate 68:10
51:2 169:9	14:2,4 15:20	18:8	13:6,13,22	137:25
relevant 20:16	19:10,12 21:23	replaced 15:10	reproduce 78:2	result 38:9 116:14
54:24 165:10	22:13 24:16,18	19:2,20 20:19	125:17 145:4	175:23
181:18 204:4	26:10 27:19,21	22:25 67:8 70:19	reproduced 127:5	resulted 114:6
reliably 111:6,13	29:18,20 30:23	replacing 181:3	128:11 130:11	resulting 78:2,5
112:6,9	32:24 35:24 36:1	report 13:20,21	132:10 133:13	114:1,3,15,18
relies 190:11	39:1 42:1 46:22	14:6 16:19,22	reproduces 78:5	118:15 119:2
rely 47:2	48:9 49:19 50:21	17:4 18:23 24:11	125:20	124:10,14,15,23
relying 53:17 174:6	68:11 69:22 72:15	24:12 28:22 29:9	reproducibility	125:17,20 133:24
remember 7:21	74:11 77:1,4 82:2	29:11 32:11 36:16	78:3,6 125:18,21	143:17
51:7,23 59:11	82:4 87:5 89:2	36:16 41:3 42:20	reproducing 104:1	returning 113:1
74:18 77:11 78:15	91:9,11 93:25	42:23 43:3,14,24	requested 14:5	review 6:19,24 7:5
80:14 126:15	96:9 99:5,8 101:7	47:5,14 49:10	19:13 20:10 21:25	7:11 8:1 73:6
128:17	102:22 105:3	50:6,8 52:1 54:24	24:19 27:22 29:21	76:12
REMEMBERED	107:7,13 112:4,14	60:1,11 73:4 75:4	36:2,24 77:5 82:5	reviewed 6:11 7:24
5:1	112:16 114:12,22	75:8,12,22 76:1,5	89:5 91:12 112:17	8:3 13:25 24:14
render 12:12 29:5	114:23 120:18,25	76:15 94:20,20	114:25 121:4	41:18 43:18 75:4
29:6 30:11 31:10	121:3 122:7,15	95:8 96:1,2,6,11	133:6 150:16	76:11 101:5
189:10 192:16	124:11,20 127:9	158:7,9 162:9	163:4 171:22	114:21 120:24
rendered 12:18,23	127:18 128:3	164:8,13 167:21	189:23 193:13	125:3 127:17
28:15,21 53:17	132:19 133:3,5	188:21 190:23	requesting 8:15,18	148:20 155:9
57:8 62:19,20	135:5 136:10	191:6 192:6,21	require 34:19	158:6 164:13
75:9,16 77:8	137:10 140:16	194:21 201:22	99:19 167:17	189:18 191:5
83:11,12,18 84:18	141:19 146:1	204:7 207:12	176:9	201:23 206:1
92:1 93:1,1 94:9	149:6 150:13	210:13,19	required 154:20	210:7,10
94:23 115:17,18	151:11 155:4,10	reported 1:23	requirement 202:1	richer 85:1

Richter 2:4 3:5	satisfying 156:10	sec 101:3 158:10	151:19 161:13	152:11 161:16
right 8:11 16:16	156:14	second 8:4,5 11:10	162:13 163:15	179:22 208:5
17:19 33:16,19	saw 156:1 157:20	24:13 30:4 61:23	169:12,14 174:3	sent 128:24 133:25
45:24 50:8,14	158:10 163:10	62:7 65:12 67:9	179:8 181:15	157:11 161:16
57:9 63:10 66:20	172:15 177:10	70:13,19,20 79:21	186:18,22,24	sentence 20:15
66:23 68:19 88:3	saying 19:19 21:1	82:11 83:17,20	192:22 199:9	22:22 55:11 56:1
99:4 101:15,19	27:25 31:13 37:6	88:6 91:22 101:2	202:11 206:24	56:1
104:3 109:1	64:2 67:20 79:24	101:15,17 102:7	seeing 162:13	separately 12:17
113:16 116:10	84:14,18 93:14,17	102:16,20,24	189:7	82:7 91:14
118:8 135:15	99:6 102:7 106:23	105:2,6 106:7,8	seen 9:22 134:6	sequence 14:9 57:5
137:21 146:17	117:25 129:16	110:20 113:15	208:19	72:21 138:25
147:5 151:7,18	130:8 137:18	120:4 122:24	sees 25:7,9 64:21	139:6 197:23
153:4 154:2,4	138:12 140:9	123:9 133:25	159:10 167:24	serve 74:21,21,25
166:3 176:23	142:4 163:16	134:14 148:14,18	select 108:7,9,10	server 146:18,20
191:13 195:13	171:25 173:2	148:23,24,24	145:16 161:8	173:19 177:8,20
199:5	179:8	149:21,22 152:2,2	169:15 170:19	183:14 193:15
Roman 11:24	says 11:3 14:12	153:13,17,18,21	173:12 185:3	194:6,7 195:24
roughly 101:16	21:11 22:22 23:5	154:1,5,8,9,11,12	208:17	196:16,19
row 36:18	27:1 31:17,24	154:15 158:8,11	selected 145:22	servers 183:13
rule 170:23 206:8	43:24 47:21 48:24	162:6,7 166:6	146:3 157:18	Session 74:1
run 33:21 34:19	55:11,13 56:3,21	198:17 203:22	190:9 191:9	set 88:23 90:19
87:13 108:6,17,21	57:10 58:19 68:20	204:4 205:13,14	196:19	116:5 171:25
108:21 109:3	70:12 77:15 79:16	205:15 210:3	selecting 21:10	184:21 214:20
113:19,21 130:21	79:16 82:22 93:3	secondly 31:12	26:17 79:5 95:16	sets 12:13
130:22 135:15	101:20,20 102:14	168:24	108:6 164:4	seven 209:18 211:1
138:12 143:10	105:11,18 106:18	section 11:24,25	167:25 174:9	SHEET 213:1
153:16 154:17	107:21 108:15,25	14:1,6 23:23,24	206:25 210:17	Sheppard 2:4 3:5
160:1 162:5	113:4 114:2	23:25 29:22 32:11	selection 14:15,25	5:3
163:22 169:22	118:11,25 123:6	36:15 45:20 51:7	25:12 83:17 84:23	short 176:1,19
196:10	125:4,23 129:10	51:9,9,10 68:17	86:2 123:4,4	shorthand 5:5
running 32:3 38:10	132:6,12 146:17	70:11 73:3 75:3,8	147:2 157:4 179:8	214:8,25
85:5 86:15 88:17	148:3,10 149:12	76:9 77:13 82:21	198:8 205:23	show 68:19 133:17
97:20,22 119:9	150:6 184:20	98:4 164:14 168:9	206:4,19 208:17	shown 21:14
runs 108:9 124:6	193:16 194:3,4	191:6,14 205:25	selector 206:23	shows 18:13 20:23
131:1 136:19	197:1 199:11	207:5,15,16 210:2	selects 108:5	25:10 31:16 32:2
139:6	200:22 201:24	sections 13:12,19	140:25 144:12	63:7 93:9 100:2
run-length 39:20	scenario 110:17,24	23:22 36:16 51:6	sell 34:13	157:2,6
40:10 42:14	schedule 66:22	51:6 54:24 73:7	send 121:14 129:20	sic 17:6 77:20,25
R-A-T-E-S 124:14	78:19 79:13 97:16	98:5	129:22 155:23	94:15
	146:21	see 12:3 21:1 27:2	sending 128:14,15	signal 59:9 77:16
<u>S</u>	science 42:17	31:14 39:22 40:1	177:21 193:15	77:24 78:3,5
safe 73:6 171:11	seal 214:21	53:12 62:14,15,17	sense 35:15 42:8	125:7,15,17,20
sake 138:22	seamless 63:10	66:5,10 76:10	60:9 91:23 100:15	signals 14:13,14
satisfied 207:14	search 34:4 38:12	78:21 79:22 101:1	108:12 110:4	127:5 130:11
satisfies 145:17	38:13 88:1 110:7	101:9,12 103:23	112:2 113:17	132:10
satisfy 124:1	185:10	117:4 134:17	118:18 137:3,24	signature 214:13
130:24	searching 110:8,14	137:25 151:7,13	139:11,17,19	signed 212:7
	-	-	-	-

				I
significance 144:7	12:11 13:8,16	38:10,11 67:8	163:11 178:14	121:12
similar 14:16 29:25	15:23 18:7,21	80:2,3,9 83:7	185:24 202:25	specify 166:9 180:6
36:17 37:8 129:19	19:6 20:2,13 21:7	87:13 88:8 95:14	sort 29:8 41:22	specifying 37:25
157:3,19 175:19	21:12 23:8 24:21	96:23 97:22 110:3	57:9 75:10 80:15	38:5 171:16
191:20 192:5,6,22	25:14,23 26:1,22	110:22 113:20	102:5 113:17	speech 25:11 157:6
197:17 199:16,22	27:12,16 28:16,19	122:24 136:24	118:18 121:5	186:22
199:23 205:6	29:14 30:16,20	139:6 185:5	122:22 134:17	speed 26:19 49:3
208:16	31:1,3,24 32:1	196:10 198:18	146:11,11 149:14	52:13 63:4,12
similarities 32:10	35:20 45:4,9	slowly 64:8 81:15	152:19 164:10	64:3,4,25 65:8,17
similarity 32:12	59:20,20 60:25	89:20 99:19	165:2 171:17	65:18,22 66:1
203:10,20 204:10	64:20 75:14 83:3	131:16	174:3,4,21 176:11	68:22 70:23 71:10
206:16,17	84:19 94:14 98:8	small 63:15 78:4	176:17,20 177:12	71:10 76:19 79:7
similarly 28:11,11	110:24 111:12	87:20 90:20 98:12	189:5 190:15	80:23 81:11 82:14
63:17	112:5,8 137:6,13	113:21 116:24,25	sorts 30:25 174:13	92:18 95:17 99:22
simple 175:24	138:1 139:21,24	125:19 126:18	sound 109:12	103:9 105:20
simpler 88:9	140:18 141:13,22	143:24 145:18	132:13	108:1 113:5
113:11	141:23 142:19	166:5	sounds 31:8 35:16	117:10,11,18
simply 41:19 56:21	143:1 159:1,7,9	smaller 113:14,20	54:20 132:24	118:14,19 120:14
81:11 88:10	159:13,22 160:18	115:11 118:24	150:25 153:25	121:24 122:12,19
128:12 134:12	161:12 162:12	119:6,9,11 126:10	184:5	124:5 128:23
139:12 181:18	164:6 166:1,19,20	126:21 127:3,13	source 91:5	129:3 131:1,3,8
simultaneously	167:3,8 168:10,14	130:9 132:8 146:8	speak 37:2 206:9	137:2 145:7,9
32:4	168:20 169:6,11	smooth 98:18	speaking 7:22 99:3	154:19 155:18
single 141:4 142:15	171:1,7,12 172:18	software 34:20	100:13 149:12	156:21,21,22
sir 75:23 120:1	172:24 174:15,20	42:5,19 95:24	177:6	158:3 167:17
sitting 40:12	179:7,17 180:23	139:7 153:14,16	speaks 54:17 161:5	190:12 198:20
139:16 176:25	184:13 185:8,22	154:18 165:16	specific 8:9 10:8	210:18
177:5 178:4	186:5,12,15 187:4	166:13	33:9 47:17 74:6	speeds 138:12
situation 79:11	187:9,16 188:12	sold 176:15	75:18 81:22,23	159:24 160:1
86:19 127:6,11,14	188:18 189:1	solve 64:5,7 144:21	109:20 117:24	168:7 184:16
127:19,22,25	191:2 192:21	145:3,24 146:5	specifically 7:21	spend 110:13 160:3
128:5,9 131:5,11	193:4 194:19,20	solving 32:13	9:15 10:9 14:18	160:6 169:25
131:15,23,25	195:5,10,17	soon 66:7 210:9	21:1 23:5,6 24:5	176:13
132:1,16,21 133:7	196:16 199:4,13	sophisticated 88:8	24:24 27:1 32:17	spending 85:7
135:3,8,9 136:17	200:1,21 207:19	sorry 15:4 17:14	39:7 40:6 47:9,24	SS 214:1
141:21 142:16,17	208:11 209:23	20:7 21:23 46:17	50:15 52:2 54:1,3	stand 9:9,11
142:25 143:12	skipped 58:19	51:19 53:6 58:24	55:13,14,25 65:10	standalone 51:14
144:2 154:22	slightly 38:14	61:14 62:1,10	105:11 112:20	standard 21:14
155:5,11 160:19	46:11 117:3	63:19,21 66:12	150:6,17 152:25	23:7,10,13,16
160:25 162:17,23	slow 14:23 15:4	72:13 74:4 107:7	163:15 168:5	24:22 25:3,5,22
173:7,11 174:14	54:7 61:24 78:7	107:9,13 114:9	199:15 204:22	28:7,11,12 32:6
174:19 177:20	85:5,9 104:6,9	121:25 122:5	208:22 209:14	34:12,13,21 37:18
188:3	119:11 132:1	124:11 125:6	specification	37:19 38:4,8,15
situations 163:17	145:4 154:23	131:16 139:23	105:14 152:6	38:16 42:4,5,6,20
size 119:19 138:17	155:6,12 157:14	141:22 148:17,19	specifications 6:22	43:5,7 44:24 46:8
166:7	158:20 198:14	151:12 156:6	69:8 106:3,4	46:9 47:2,4 48:23
skill 10:3,22 11:12	slower 34:19 38:9	158:10,13,19	116:11 117:4	48:25 49:2,9 51:1

		1= 01 10 110 11		1
51:6,14,15,17,18	163:18,19 164:6	17:21 18:4 19:11	200:16 201:18	suggests 31:23
51:19,20,21 52:8	166:8 167:13,15	20:6,11 21:19,23	203:2,13 209:20	196:21
52:11 58:10,12	168:2 169:5,7,14	22:4,14 24:17	210:5 211:3	suitable 78:11
82:20,22 83:6	170:17,24 172:3,4	26:6,11 27:10,20	stop 70:9 156:6	126:6
84:5 86:5 88:2,12	172:11,12 173:6	29:12,19 30:13,24	stopped 49:18	suite 3:11 88:18
89:15 90:24 91:4	184:23 185:11	32:18 35:5,8,18	storage 121:12	90:4 92:7,10 93:2
91:6,7,15,16,16	196:1,2,20 200:3	35:25 36:10,20	store 152:21	93:11 100:20
91:19,21 92:3,4,5	201:1,2,3	37:10,17 39:2	Storer 1:14 2:1 5:9	104:20 123:1
92:6,24 95:4,5,15	standing 12:17	40:20 46:23 48:10	5:14,18 35:19	144:10 145:8
96:14,15 97:4,5	stands 10:21	50:22 52:22 54:12	180:11,17 202:22	157:17 161:14
102:5,16 103:11	start 5:16,16 13:23	55:10,24 61:12	206:8 212:3,16	172:1,14 173:12
103:12 105:11	13:24 61:15 66:12	62:1,13 66:7,9	213:25	185:18 186:16
106:16 115:4	69:10 71:2 107:9	68:2 69:18,23	straightforward	190:7 191:9,23,24
148:23 151:24	112:7 131:13,16	73:12 74:12 76:4	100:5	194:4
152:5,5 153:3,6,7	131:17 158:12	77:3 80:10 81:20	strange 35:12	summarize 12:21
155:3 159:17,20	180:15 186:1	82:3 84:6 87:6	stream 37:21 38:1	52:15,19 67:12
160:1,5,10 163:24	started 5:16 95:20	89:3 90:22 91:2	38:2,3 165:7,10	102:13 103:23
165:4,8,9,12,14	starting 43:15	91:10 93:19 96:10	173:5 180:6	summarizes 103:25
165:15,24 166:2,7	77:10 101:24	99:9 102:23 107:4	streaming 1:8 3:10	108:22,24
166:18,21 167:11	203:9	107:15 109:14,16	152:23 153:24	summarizing 67:18
169:17 170:12,13	starts 68:19	111:3,24 112:15	streams 173:3	129:15
170:19,20 172:6	state 5:6,17 68:4,6	113:23 114:13,23	196:12,14	summary 52:21
175:6,6,7,10,13	85:17 96:2 151:7	116:2 117:21	strictly 117:18	67:13,15,16
175:18 176:9,11	151:13 178:2,8	120:19 121:2,22	strike 31:1	108:25 135:22
177:16 184:13,15	185:21 197:4,10	122:2,5,9,10,17	structure 18:13	147:3 155:19
185:13 190:9	205:21 214:1	124:21 127:10	structured 15:12	super 33:20,21
191:21 196:4,7,9	stated 9:12,16 59:7	128:4 131:10,20	19:4,21 20:20	supervision 214:9
196:10 197:2,3	77:22 125:12	132:20 133:4,11	23:2	supplement 9:20
200:5,6	214:7	135:6 136:11	stuff 51:3 85:8	support 15:12 19:4
standardization	statement 119:23	137:11 140:5	128:15 180:19	19:22 20:20 23:2
26:2	171:19	141:9,20 144:19	subject 6:8	77:8 184:15,16
standards 21:4,5	statements 9:10	145:2 146:2 149:7	submitted 10:1	209:15
26:5,25 27:3 28:6	states 1:1 44:22	150:5,14,21,25	subscribed 214:13	supported 45:7
32:7 34:24,25	45:16 58:20 74:14	151:2 156:8,17	substance 6:4 8:11	supports 76:23
46:15,17,17 56:9	100:23	158:2 160:24	8:19,23 9:9,16	suppose 138:6
56:10,11,12 58:6	steady 81:16	162:21 163:2,6	successfully 71:13	208:6
58:7,9 59:22	step 87:16 92:15	164:23 167:7	suddenly 123:11	supposed 37:22
82:24 87:12,12	160:20 161:1	168:19 170:8	sufficient 108:11	172:9 189:13
89:10,11,13,17,17	162:18,23	171:6,20 172:23	108:17 109:4	sure 7:7,14 9:13
93:13,17 94:15,19	steps 33:23	174:18 178:25	128:23,23 152:8,8	10:16 12:19,24
95:10,12,14,15	stipulation 214:14	181:5 183:23	154:19	13:11 18:11 19:9
96:16,17,20 97:8	Stonedale 3:11 4:4	184:9 185:20	sufficiently 108:17	19:14 21:13,19
99:18 102:6	5:12,13 6:10 8:18	186:9,10 187:3,15	109:3 120:8	22:18 26:14 27:4
152:10,24 153:7	9:1 10:11,18	188:10,16 189:21	130:16	29:7 30:8 33:3,7
159:3,9,13,16,21	11:17,23 13:1	190:24 193:11	Sugar 3:12	35:2,3,7 36:6 39:5
159:23 160:2,10	14:3 15:14,21	194:10,15 195:9	suggest 66:14	43:8 47:19 53:5
161:6,20,22,23,23	16:6 17:1,11,14	197:9 199:2	suggesting 204:16	53:15 56:17 61:6
				l

			I	1
66:16 68:3 72:9	127:6,15,20,23	150:23 151:17	153:8 163:8	taught 14:25 69:24
72:23 74:19 84:12	128:1,7,21 130:3	153:9 155:8,9	169:17,23 170:11	71:9 72:17 76:21
99:7 100:14 109:7	130:18 131:14	168:25 173:24	170:12 175:2,4	76:24 123:23
109:24 121:21	136:17 139:24	174:4 175:1,7,25	189:24,25 190:1,1	129:25 130:1
137:2 138:5 141:7	141:14 142:4,6,8	176:16,18,19	190:4 197:13	179:16 185:17
141:18 143:5	142:12 147:6,8,25	177:14 191:2,2,11	199:18 202:8	189:2 190:6,18
144:6,7 156:13,23	150:3,4 154:24	191:12 205:25	204:4 205:5 207:2	195:19 196:17
170:1 174:22	155:7,12 157:2	taken 35:17 73:15	209:8 210:23	198:21 205:23
186:14 187:12	161:7 164:3	85:17 109:15	talking 7:8 17:15	206:4 209:5
188:20 189:19	165:22 169:12	123:15 151:1	26:16 28:23 40:6	teach 60:13,20
190:14,20 193:1	173:19 174:9	198:25 212:5	45:24 50:25 53:2	63:25 210:15
193:14 194:25	177:22 187:23	214:6	57:6 68:16 72:20	teaches 15:6 18:24
200:19 207:6	188:4,13,19,21	takes 76:18 89:23	74:3 75:21 78:21	22:23 44:1,4,4,14
208:6	189:16 191:3	92:18 93:9 98:1	78:25 80:16,16	45:13 50:2 53:9
swapped 15:3	192:20 193:4,19	103:17 109:2,6	84:13,18 88:13	56:22 57:11,24
switch 78:19 79:24	193:24 195:12	119:17,18 121:8	94:13,22 95:8,9	58:14 59:14 60:19
85:10	196:22 198:1,19	134:4 139:2,4	97:7 102:3,16	60:23 61:4 66:15
sworn 5:10 214:4	199:8,16 200:22	143:17 145:5,13	105:9 112:24	72:12 83:2 84:24
syntax 23:22,23	210:16,21	147:16,18 155:16	115:10 117:18,19	85:1,1 86:2,3,25
37:20,22 51:7,8,9	systems 10:22	155:23,24,25	118:23 126:8	90:4,11 92:10,12
51:24 165:8 180:6	29:24 32:12 51:24	174:11 179:12	134:3 137:16	93:2,4 96:4 97:11
system 10:3 13:4	191:16 208:16	185:5 199:20	138:6,9,10 142:2	98:1,7 100:2,4,5
13:17 18:8,9 19:7	S-T-O-R-E-R 5:19	200:8 204:8	142:3 149:12,14	106:1 123:17
19:15 20:3,14		206:23 209:6	151:21 152:12,15	129:25 130:18
21:2 22:11,17	T	talk 6:3 12:17 14:8	156:18 161:4,13	144:10 145:5
23:5 24:6,9 26:8	table 10:24 11:3	29:13 31:21 40:24	164:15 177:7	158:17 168:25
26:13,15,17 27:1	12:3	41:4 47:6 48:21	187:22,25 188:2,5	186:16,19 198:4,9
27:6,14,17 28:7	take 14:11 17:2	49:8 53:13 57:5	188:6 189:4 190:3	198:12 202:6
29:15 30:18 31:4	24:10,11 27:24	57:13 65:12 66:1	195:15 200:3	209:5
31:6,15 32:2,21	30:6,17 31:3,5	69:1 82:22 83:1	207:13 208:13	teaching 13:3
33:2 35:22 40:2	33:25 34:7,8	85:19,19 92:25	209:14	68:12 108:8,8
41:24 43:1,25	35:14 39:14 40:13	93:5,7 102:5	talks 12:20 21:4,8	128:18,20 134:20
44:1,4,4 45:23	43:4,14,16 46:10	103:8 111:21	43:11 65:10,12	179:20 193:25
49:16,20 50:2,2	51:5 63:4 64:3	126:15 152:1	66:21 79:4 82:20	teachings 13:9,9,10
52:24 53:14,19	66:6 71:9 76:8	154:6 156:22	84:3 90:8 100:1	13:17 14:20 15:1
55:2 56:21,22,22	82:6 83:1,2 85:15	157:24 159:19	103:15 115:7	15:12 19:3,21
58:4,12 59:18	86:25 88:10 90:11	191:15 192:23	116:17,21 118:23	20:20 23:2 28:15
60:4,4 62:24 63:6	91:13 93:4 98:7	204:11 205:11	121:11,13 152:11	29:15 189:15
63:11 69:9 71:1	98:10 103:3	207:5	159:19,20 168:5	191:2,3 194:22
72:1 76:17 79:1	104:24 106:1,8,13	talked 28:25 29:11	173:23 193:15,19	195:12 210:14,21
81:4 85:2 86:15	109:2,11 110:18	32:10 47:1 53:3,9	202:9 209:4	team 5:25,25 8:13
86:22 90:18 98:11	110:19 111:20,20	53:10 58:3 59:11	target 121:15	technical 58:25
98:15 103:23	113:10 114:20	59:17 69:3 70:6	151:24 152:1,6	99:5
104:15,22 106:19	115:16 120:23	82:16 91:14 99:14	153:13 162:4	technically 99:2
108:10,11 116:20	123:19,22 125:2	110:6 115:7 123:1	targeted 35:1	100:13
117:20 118:11,13	126:11 127:16	130:17 147:3	152:18 153:22	techniques 30:17
119:12,17 121:16	139:7 145:7,9	149:24 151:23	task 170:16	30:20 155:16
	-	-	-	-

205:23 206:4,19	testified 5:11	16:16 18:21 19:16	66:25 68:23 69:2	108:4,24 109:9
technology 87:11	testify 214:4	20:6 23:13 24:20	69:5,6,10 70:25	110:3,14,18,19
92:16 99:14	testimony 212:8	28:13 29:2 30:4	71:2,7,25,25	113:8 115:6,8,13
104:22 129:2	214:7	30:14 36:3 37:3	76:18 79:1,7	115:23 116:17,18
159:16 165:3	Texas 3:11,12	37:12 39:6 40:11	80:25 81:1,2,16	116:18 117:15
170:2,25	text 25:12 76:8	40:13,16 41:4	81:16 83:1,24	118:23 122:16
Tel 3:7,12	83:22 85:4,4,6	43:19 45:20 47:13	85:12,14 86:1,22	123:19,20 125:5
Telecommunicat	186:22	48:16,18 49:10,13	86:23 90:13,13	126:11,14,18,22
184:22	textbooks 45:10	52:18 53:1,23	92:22 98:18 99:24	127:5 128:11
tell 5:10 6:4 60:18	thank 5:14 9:25	54:17 55:13,21	100:1,10 103:19	129:9,23 130:5,12
95:2 187:2	17:21 37:1 112:19	56:7 58:3 60:1,6	103:19 104:16	132:10 133:13,22
telling 27:11 30:15	113:24 121:1	64:9 66:7 67:12	105:21 108:2,13	134:12,16,21
128:13 141:10	126:25 127:18	68:16 72:7,7,9,24	108:13 109:4,8	135:17 137:20
160:17 180:19	150:22 172:17	73:13 74:7 84:12	113:6 116:1,20,23	139:2,4 141:2
tend 111:14	183:17 211:4,6	95:8,25 113:11	117:11,12,20	143:11,16,21,21
tends 111:11	Thanks 14:2 186:3	126:20 135:9,11	119:15 121:10	144:11 145:4
ten-second 176:19	theme 25:15	139:20,23 140:17	123:8,14 124:1	146:19 147:2,10
term 27:5 45:1,14	167:20	141:21,22 142:16	128:22 130:14	150:23 152:8,16
45:24 46:3 57:25	thing 43:22 62:2	142:22,24,25	131:19 134:5,6,7	152:17,21 153:9
58:1 59:15 68:20	85:13 89:23	144:2,8,8,16	135:16 145:20	153:23 155:16,23
81:1,2 105:16,18	100:18 115:7	148:21 149:1	156:3,19 157:9,10	155:24,25 160:3,6
105:24 106:11	119:8 129:24,24	154:25 162:12	158:5 160:21	160:9,12 161:6,12
107:18,19,20,24	144:8 152:13	163:5 164:7	161:1 162:18,24	161:24,24 162:1
108:13 111:18	155:1 176:20	176:16 177:8,20	167:1 190:10,10	162:13 163:19,20
112:21 113:3,4	189:5 192:3 196:2	178:14,17 181:14	193:23 196:24	163:21 164:7
115:3 116:4 117:5	206:10,12	182:11 184:1	197:25 209:8,10	165:21,25 166:3,3
117:8 118:2,5,21	things 5:15 9:7,19	185:8 192:20	thumb 170:23	166:4,4,10,14,21
126:16 134:6	18:15,18,20 25:24	195:3 199:6,14	Tillotson 1:24 5:5	166:22,24,25
148:15 169:20	26:4 32:3 33:9,11	202:22,23 208:24	214:24	169:7,8,19,24
terminal 146:21	33:23 37:24 53:2	210:8 211:3	time 2:3 6:5,23	174:11 175:8,9
terminology 40:11	53:10 57:15 59:23	thinking 29:10	21:5 24:22 25:3,5	176:13 179:12,25
43:10 61:7 75:6	59:25 60:24 76:20	thorough 184:3	25:22,23 26:22	180:1,1,20 185:6
84:13,14 115:12	76:24 78:20 79:25	thoroughly 72:9,25	32:6,7 34:7,8	185:15 195:6,11
115:20,21 116:15	90:6 100:7 113:12	110:14 202:9	39:15 42:21,24	198:7 200:3,8
117:3,16 157:1	119:17 123:17,21	203:24	46:10 59:21 62:25	201:1 202:10
terms 7:14 29:1	129:25 134:4,19	thought 52:19	63:6 68:25 69:9	209:6 214:6
30:14 43:22 45:22	134:24 140:25	148:19 201:11	71:16,24,24 75:14	timeframe 174:24
49:11 52:13 60:7	146:25 148:25	thoughts 35:12	78:18,23,23 80:7	179:5 180:2
65:8 80:5,22	156:20 157:14	three 7:3 15:7	82:24,25 83:19	times 9:5 36:18
81:10 82:14 83:8	159:8 160:18	23:22 37:20 38:2	84:20 85:3,8 86:7	37:8 55:9 58:15
86:7 90:5 96:14	162:10 172:13	51:5,6 82:23	87:16 88:20 89:25	61:10,10 143:11
96:21 99:24	173:21 174:12,13	107:21 168:16	90:1,5,7,10,19	190:13 204:19
103:17 105:15	180:5 185:17	169:4,8 206:16	94:14,14,15 95:11	205:1
147:11 151:24	196:17,23 199:22	208:16	96:21 97:13 98:9	time-consuming
157:19 169:21,22	203:22	throughput 63:9	100:7,9,17 103:7	39:13 87:16
185:6 192:9	think 7:13 11:1	63:10,12 64:19,23	103:15,17 104:1	timing 23:8 35:13
210:12,15	12:15,16 16:2,8	65:14,19 66:3,19	105:23 106:16,24	title 51:8

			Ī	Ī
today 5:14 7:9	150:7,9 157:22	77:15 82:6 83:6	113:20 114:8	142:21
35:13 190:13	185:25 191:13	85:16,21 88:13,17	124:4 166:11	unknown 104:23
201:3 211:4	transmit 132:2	91:19 93:8 95:15	typos 9:7,24	136:4
today's 5:20 7:10	154:24 155:7	96:16 103:3		unnecessary 85:8
7:11 41:14	156:23	104:13 106:15	U	unsigned 214:13
topics 8:23	transmitting	113:13,18 122:22	ultimate 103:18	use 13:8,10 14:21
total 115:25	155:13 156:19	125:6 134:24	ultimately 104:12	19:6 20:2,13,25
totally 157:21	169:2 193:16	136:15,21 137:6	unclear 58:16	24:3,22 26:15
to-the-word 55:7	travel 143:24	137:13,17 138:2	uncompressed	27:5,5 30:6 31:25
track 38:17	TRIAL 1:2	139:22,24 140:18	154:6,12	32:5,19,20 33:1
TRADEMARK	tried 132:12 184:3	141:7,14,23	understand 7:7	33:12 35:8 37:12
1:1	trouble 75:2	142:19 143:1,8	19:9 28:24 47:16	37:13,13 40:14
tradeoff 38:9 65:13	136:16	144:16 148:25	53:1,5 54:9,10,25	42:10,22 48:23
82:25 87:14 88:11	true 9:4,17 99:21	173:3,3 175:6,6	59:23 60:14,16,22	49:16,20 53:6,6,8
100:16 111:22	100:15 109:21	179:22 187:24	61:7 68:5 78:22	53:19 54:15,18
113:19 134:3,21	120:11,12 122:18	188:25 190:11	92:13 94:11 95:1	55:2 61:7 64:18
176:12 185:15	170:4,9 212:9	191:16 192:4	117:22 121:21	69:17 72:6,13,13
tradeoffs 46:8	truth 5:10 214:5	196:8 199:21	130:2,6 131:7	72:18 74:17 75:11
56:16 84:1,4 86:6	try 36:21 142:23	two-to-one 149:20	139:15,16 156:5,9	79:10 80:21 85:4
88:20 97:12 99:15	144:8 171:19	type 14:11 21:10	156:15 157:21	85:11 93:18
144:12 157:19	207:8	25:13 26:20 65:9	160:17 163:1	105:14 108:18
169:19,20 174:10	trying 19:24 27:4	65:11,15 67:3	168:12 179:10	113:15 123:5,6
177:23 198:20	28:3 33:25 36:7	69:12 70:24 78:20	182:18 184:14	129:7 136:22,23
200:7	53:13 54:25 56:7	81:6 83:16 85:3	186:23 188:8	138:10,13,24
transcoders 157:5	60:16 64:16,17	86:13 100:9	190:21 192:17	139:3,9 143:8
transcribed 122:4	67:12 69:4 71:1	123:21 130:20,20	194:2 195:4,24	147:22 152:9
122:8 214:10,10	86:21 87:18 96:2	130:22 133:19	196:25 199:14	163:18 164:2
transcript 212:5	97:8 99:24 108:12	138:5 140:25	201:24 207:21	172:10,19,25
transferring	121:6,14 137:25	143:7,8,15 144:5	208:1	173:18 174:15,20
146:20	141:5 147:7	144:14 145:13	understanding	177:11,12,21
transform 39:17,19	157:23 162:9,11	147:21,22,23	41:14 68:10	179:13,13,14,15
42:14 59:4 77:20	162:25 163:10	157:4 161:9 168:3	140:15 189:8,8	179:24 184:6,10
translation 4:13	173:13 181:20	168:4,7 169:2,3,3	190:17 195:18,19	186:1 196:4,5
16:16 17:2,4,16	182:4 189:6	170:18 173:11,25	understands 18:22	197:1 199:12
17:18 18:1,1	197:25,25 204:22	177:11 186:21	64:20 76:20 92:13	207:4 210:22
21:17 77:14	TUESDAY 5:2	191:11 196:22	159:23 160:10	useful 16:3 84:25
transmission 44:1	turn 179:12	197:19,20 198:11	165:13,14 179:18	192:1 194:12,17
44:4 49:18 50:2	turns 30:14	199:10,22 206:23	195:22 196:16	user 71:22 72:3
56:22 62:25 71:14	two 5:22,23 6:12	207:2	understood 45:13	104:23 133:15
104:2,5 108:25	7:16,16,23 8:13	types 26:3 67:4	57:23 59:14 68:3	137:22 142:15
109:7 115:8	9:2 12:4,9 13:14	100:21 145:14,15	110:24 148:8	156:3 157:16
119:13 127:2,12	25:16 28:15 29:23	192:1 194:9	Union 184:22	167:14,24 169:6
128:1,6,10 129:17	29:25 31:19 32:12	typical 144:2 152:8	unit 68:24 105:23	173:4,8,18,21
130:8,10 132:7,9	33:18 34:11,12,12	160:11 173:11	108:4 113:8	174:1,2 177:21
132:22 135:23	34:13,13 37:25	177:20,21	117:15	185:3 187:1 188:3
142:23 146:8,19	51:25 55:9 67:21	typically 38:17	UNITED 1:1	190:3 192:9
146:24 147:4	71:12 72:25 76:20	43:6 51:21 113:14	units 18:14,15,17	193:15 194:6

users 89:24 90:3	126:10 170:13	188:24,24 189:9	warn 6:3	26:23 27:13 28:8
142:14 152:9	203:12 208:2	194:23 198:6	wasn't 22:1 63:23	32:5,7 42:12,12
173:3 183:15	vice 97:1 117:24	202:5	178:16 206:12	45:2,8,8 46:15
196:3,5	vicinity 174:24	viewed 42:16 51:20	watch 133:18	56:9 57:18 58:6
uses 23:15 53:16	video 14:13,14,21	71:6,6 81:18	193:22	59:1,21 71:8
61:2 68:20 105:18	21:3,9,12 23:6,12	90:16	watching 133:17	93:15 95:10,13
113:3,4 115:12	25:4,11,21 26:3,4	viewer 63:2 79:2	way 11:15 12:12	96:17 100:15
117:8 156:25	26:25 27:2,2	98:16,22 103:22	13:12 18:3,11	159:2,13 166:1
157:9 199:18	31:16,17,25 32:1	193:17	29:2 33:10 34:7,7	167:13 168:1
203:11	32:6 33:16,23	viewing 13:10	34:8,8 36:25	169:11,14 179:3
usually 12:15 88:24	35:1 38:3,16,17	104:19 129:9,23	39:11 42:22 48:16	185:1,4,5 196:1
125:23 166:8	39:11 46:16,16,16	133:15 134:18	48:17,18,20 49:12	200:3 201:1
utilize 44:10 47:9	51:10,18,21,22,25	views 105:11	49:14,15 57:14	went 175:3 191:6
47:24 49:23 53:25	59:19,22,24,24,24	170:17	64:23,24 71:2	weren't 68:8
54:1 57:2 202:4	61:14,22 62:6	VIII 11:25	72:8,23 75:3,11	181:13
utilizes 47:4	70:8,9,11,13	voice 78:12 126:7	75:25 76:18 79:2	We'll 16:13
U.S 4:12,14 16:5,15	79:20 85:6 89:11	vs 1:7	79:25 82:15 85:7	we're 40:11 41:4
16:18,21 17:12,15	95:12 96:7,12,19		85:9 86:23 87:9	62:11 70:3 85:5
17:17,19,24	97:20 98:17 99:18	W	89:8,9,21 92:22	92:25 112:23
	133:15,16,17,23	wait 134:16	98:18 100:22	158:7 174:6 200:2
V	134:19 151:8,9,9	waiting 22:2	102:15 103:19	205:15 209:13
vague 95:23	151:14,14,15,20	waived 214:14	105:9 108:6 109:8	211:1
value 6:24 116:4	153:17 154:10,12	walk 192:25 208:3	109:25 112:22	we've 22:19 26:16
118:1,2	157:6 158:18,22	208:3	116:15 117:3	47:1,19 50:4 53:1
variability 169:24	158:22 159:3	want 5:15 11:15	119:21 135:14	53:10 57:6 58:3
Variable 184:20	162:3,6 166:6	13:5,15,20 17:25	138:14,19,20	66:4 72:20 75:4
variables 86:20	169:4,4,5 174:15	18:2 21:17 34:14	139:5,13,18,20	82:16 83:22 84:17
various 28:10 30:6	174:20 175:1,16	34:22 52:18 64:22	146:12 147:2	85:4 94:21 109:10
59:9 70:21 77:25	176:16,19,20,22	66:8 67:23,25	148:22,23 149:1	116:18 130:17
95:16 122:25	176:24 177:4,11	85:22,25 94:25	150:2 152:14,22	134:3,5 146:25
125:15 156:20	177:11,12,24,25	99:6 104:15	154:25 155:2	147:9 150:24
167:16 168:25	178:3 179:21	106:18,19 112:2	156:10 157:3	164:15 175:2
183:10 200:4	186:22 193:17	129:10,10,11	160:12 164:10	190:1,1,22 198:22
Vector 59:5 77:21	194:4 197:1,2	134:9 135:25	165:2 166:24	199:18 202:8
vendor 33:20	198:6,10 199:4,5	136:1,2 137:23	167:25 177:8,9,10	204:4,7,18 205:17
vendors 33:19	199:12 200:23,23	152:9 153:14	177:11,12 178:6	207:2
34:13 42:4 88:17	200:24,25 201:14	172:13 173:9,18	192:8 195:2	WHEREOF
versa 97:1 117:24	201:20 203:4,17	178:15 180:21	197:17 202:8	214:20
version 16:5,20,21	204:16	181:21 182:3	210:19 214:18	widely 159:2
16:24 17:13,15	videos 176:1,18	185:23 186:1,6	ways 30:1 38:7	wire 161:18
108:19	video's 23:25	193:6,7 197:1	56:15 102:5 115:4	wired 155:18
versions 34:11,12	view 11:5 12:1	199:4 208:10	128:18 139:13	161:17 168:6
82:23 168:24	28:21 32:14 72:3	210:6 wanted 66:5 119:23	weak 129:4	wireless 161:18
179:22 196:8 versus 30:21 31:11	75:9,13 98:17	158:9 181:17	weeks 6:12 8:13	168:6
33:8,8 49:4 52:13	103:5 134:9,11	183:16	well-known 18:25	wished 174:2
53:16 117:18	146:17 147:14	wants 72:3	23:12 24:22 25:4	withdraw 63:24
33.10 11/.10	148:4,11 188:23	wants /2.3	25:4,21,22 26:23	64:12 178:15

			1	1
withdrawing 63:22	167:6 168:18	working 51:16 52:6	22:24 23:16,18	117 47:5,21 49:10
64:11 178:18	170:7 171:5,23	52:11 89:18,19,21	25:1 28:11 31:23	49:21 50:11 52:1
180:12 181:2,9,12	172:22 174:17	99:1 110:4 116:20	32:8 41:20,20,23	53:22 54:14,17
181:19,21,24	178:23 181:1	117:20 121:17	43:17,21,25 45:21	55:11 57:6 210:4
182:1,3,15,21	183:22 184:8,19	142:13 160:10	47:10,25 50:3,5	124 73:8 77:10
183:2	187:12,21 188:15	164:4	50:11,15,24 51:4	85:18 95:20
within-entitled	189:18,18,24	works 64:17 72:2	51:23 52:2 53:4	105:15 107:19
214:5	191:5 193:10,14	150:4 165:5	53:18 54:3 55:14	113:2 117:7 118:6
witness 6:6 8:16,24	194:14 197:8	196:24	56:3,19,23 59:3	128 119:23,25
10:8 11:20 12:15	200:14 201:17,23	world 113:10	59:24 60:10 62:15	13th 214:21
13:25 14:6,25	202:25 203:8	141:12 165:5	62:17 72:20 74:9	13627 1:24 5:6
15:6,20 16:2,18	206:1 210:7,10	195:6,11	74:16 75:1,20	214:24
17:25 19:9,14	211:6 214:3,7,11	worry 89:25 92:2	76:7,23 77:19	140 77:11
22:1,13 24:14,20	214:12,13,20	141:5	80:12 81:25 84:11	145 126:25 127:1
26:10 27:19,23	woman 78:16	worse 89:19,19	89:14 90:8 99:25	127:11,19 128:5
29:18,22 30:23	wondering 8:19	153:10,11 160:7	100:1 115:14,15	131:25 144:20
31:8 32:24 35:7	68:4,9 74:6 114:7	169:25	115:17 118:11	146:10,14 148:9
35:10,16,24 36:3	114:17 204:15	wouldn't 38:18	119:22 121:23	148:10,12,20
36:14 37:16 39:1	word 19:15 22:19	67:23 102:13	122:22 125:11	150:2
41:18 43:18 46:22	26:15 37:12,13	108:7 129:11	129:16 146:18	146 146:15,17
48:9 50:21 52:18	39:6 44:7,11 57:3	139:12,14 152:13	147:12,13 159:18	148:3,9,12,13,17
54:9 55:5,21 59:1	60:3,4 69:3 71:25	152:14 173:9	161:4 162:14	149:8
59:3 60:22 67:23	74:17,20 117:12	186:25 187:18	164:15,17 167:23	147 73:8,8
68:16 69:22 74:11	119:5 155:1 157:9	194:12,17	168:11 174:7	16 18:16 25:9 33:13
76:11 77:6 78:8	161:10 184:6,10	wraps 211:3	188:2,23 189:3,10	199:9
80:14 82:2,6 87:5	185:9	wrong 36:3,8 55:7	190:19 192:16	17 4:11,12
89:2,6 91:9,13	worded 72:24 75:3	89:9 91:23 126:21	194:24 197:6	176 151:3,5 158:13
93:25 96:9 99:1	149:11	wrote 9:12	202:1,6,9 203:10	158:13,14
101:5 102:22	wording 70:2	T 7	203:21,23 204:20	180 103:24
105:8 107:13	words 13:11 36:9	<u>Y</u>	205:2,6,7 209:12	1990s 177:13 180:2
109:11,24 111:18	40:14 41:21 42:22	yeah 13:2 16:13	1,000 149:21	1992 23:19 159:18
112:14,18 114:12	42:25 43:20 53:8	21:11 41:16 63:24	1.5 151:9,15 153:2	1994 23:21 25:5
114:20 115:1	56:8 60:5 68:23	66:7 93:20 94:24	153:13,20,25	159:15 169:9,9
116:8 120:18,24	105:21 108:2	109:11 117:16	154:7 158:22	
121:5 122:14	110:20 113:6	122:9 125:25	1[b 72:20 75:6	2
124:20 125:2	117:11 119:10	150:25 156:18	76:10,14 80:17,19	2 11:2 15:8,9 19:1,1
127:9,16 128:3	161:25 163:9	162:4 163:21	82:13 84:15 91:25	22:11,16,24,24
131:7 132:19	209:9,9	186:3 194:2 208:8	94:9,21,22 96:5	23:16,17,19,22
133:7 135:5	work 40:18 60:24	years 23:19,20	10 4:9	24:25 25:1 31:22
136:10 137:10	85:21 90:18 98:11	25:25 26:24 59:22	1005 17:4,15 21:17	32:8 33:18 34:14
140:3,23 141:18	99:16,18 117:1	61:1 179:5 201:4	11 4:10	34:22 45:16 47:10
144:24 146:1	119:12 160:11	201:5	11:05 2:3 5:3	47:10,25,25 50:11
148:20 149:6	162:6 175:11	yesterday 6:9	112 43:24	50:12,15,16,25
150:13,17 155:8	193:24 196:11	you-all 8:20 35:5	113 57:10	51:4,5,10,12,25
156:13 158:1,6	197:17 207:1	73:13	114 44:21 57:14	52:2,3 54:3,4
160:23 162:20	210:16,16,20	1	58:19,19	55:14,15 56:3,4
163:5 164:22	worked 96:25	1 11:2,3 15:9 19:1	115 44:25 57:16	59:3,5,24 77:19
		1 11.4,5 13:9 19:1		

			1 490	
77:21 87:3,8 88:25 93:22 94:3 101:22 119:3 125:11,11 130:9 150:8,9 151:9,10 151:15,16 152:25 153:23 154:9,9,11 154:14,14 158:22 159:5,15 165:6 168:15,21 175:18	51:11,25 52:2,7 52:16 54:3 55:12 55:15,18 56:2,3 59:3 77:19 87:2,8 88:24 93:21 94:2 119:3 125:11 157:2,20 159:10 159:12 172:15 185:2 186:22 191:17 199:23	64 100:25 101:10 101:16,18,24 102:15,20,24 103:1,4 104:7,8 104:25 105:4 106:8,9,20 107:6 107:10,17,20 108:15,18,20 64,000 101:17 102:9,17		
184:24 201:25	3-6 11:3	650 3:7,8		
2,000 149:22	30-second 176:20	6507611 17:24		
2.0 158:23 159:22	300 3:11	67 77:14 84:8		
2:04 74:1	310 3:12	118:23 124:8,22		
20 150:24 201:15	32 100:25 101:11	68 113:25 114:14		
201:21,25 202:11	104:7,25 105:4	116:6 118:22		
203:5,11,11,18	33 101:4,6 114:14	69 86:5 100:23		
204:17,21 205:7,7	379 2:4 3:6 5:3	101:9 105:4		
209:16		107:16		
2000/2001 174:23	4	690 89:14		
20181187 10:13	4 18:12 20:23 25:8	7		
2019 1:15 2:2 5:2	33:13 191:18			
212:5,11 214:22	199:9,24,24	7 1:15 2:2 5:2 159:6		
206 207:17	41 4:14 477 8:6 9:3 10:1	191:18 212:5 77479 3:12		
207 207:17	11:4,10 68:20	114193.12		
208 207:17 209 207:17	105:18 112:24	8		
21 4:13	113:4 117:8	8 11:24		
210 207:17	164:18	8-24 151:8,14		
211 14:19 18:23	48 12:2	158:18,21		
207:17		815-2601 3:8		
212 205:13,14,21	5	815-2673 3:7		
206:1,11 207:16	5 4:4 16:7 18:16,19			
207:17	20:25 25:9 33:13	9		
219 210:6	44:16 61:6 66:18	9 159:6		
2245 3:11	158:17 191:18,19	9,769,477 1:7,9 4:14		
230 201:24	199:9,24,24	9-14 11:4		
24 100:25 101:11	51 44:15,17 57:12	94301 2:5 3:7		
104:25 105:5	59:8 77:15,23 101:21 125:5,13	975-7074 3:12		
25212 1:25	53 44:15,18 57:12	70713.12		
3	59:8 77:23 101:21			
3 9:25 10:9 11:2	125:5,6,13			
15:9 19:1 22:24	53N 77:15			
25:10,20 33:12				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6			
45:16 47:10,25				
45:16 47:10,25 50:12,16,19,23,25	6,507,611 4:12 6:46 211:7			